

The exponential distance matrix of bi-block graphs

Joyentanuj Das* and Sumit Mohanty†

Abstract

Let G be a connected graph with vertex set $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$. As a variant of the classical distance matrix, the *exponential distance matrix* was introduced independently by Yan and Yeh, and by Bapat et al. For a nonzero indeterminate q , the exponential distance matrix $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_{ij})_{n \times n}$ of G is defined by $\mathcal{F}_{ij} = q^{d_{ij}}$, where d_{ij} denotes the distance between vertices v_i and v_j in G . A connected graph is said to be a *bi-block graph* if each of its blocks is a complete bipartite graph, possibly of varying bipartition sizes. In this paper, we obtain explicit expressions for the determinant, inverse, and cofactor sum of the exponential distance matrix of bi-block graphs. As a consequence, some known results concerning the exponential distance matrix and the q -Laplacian matrix are generalized.

Keywords: Bipartite graphs, Exponential distance matrix, Determinant, Cofactor, Inverse.

MSC: 05C12, 05C50

1 Introduction and Motivation

Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ denote a finite, simple, and connected graph. Here $V(G)$ is the vertex set, and $E(G) \subseteq V(G) \times V(G)$ is the edge set. When the context is unambiguous, we abbreviate the notation to $G = (V, E)$. For two vertices $i, j \in V$, we write $i \sim j$ whenever they are adjacent.

We now introduce some basic notation that will be used throughout this article. By I_n we denote the $n \times n$ identity matrix, by $\mathbf{1}_n$ the all-ones column vector of size n , and by \mathbf{e}_i the standard basis vector with 1 in the i th position and zeros elsewhere. The matrix $J_{m \times n}$ represents the $m \times n$ all-ones matrix, with $J_m := J_{m \times m}$. Likewise, $\mathbf{0}_{m \times n}$ denotes the $m \times n$ zero matrix, and simply $\mathbf{0}$ if the size is clear from context. The transpose of a matrix A will be written as A^T .

A graph G is called *bipartite* if its vertices can be split into two disjoint sets X and Y such that every edge joins a vertex of X with a vertex of Y . The complete bipartite graph $K_{s,t}$ arises when $|X| = s$, $|Y| = t$, and every vertex of X is adjacent to all vertices of Y .

Endowing a connected graph G with the shortest-path metric, we obtain a metric space with distance function $d(i, j)$ equal to the length of the shortest path between i and j , and $d(i, i) = 0$. Two well-studied matrices associated with this metric are the *distance matrix* and the *Laplacian matrix*.

For a graph G of order n , the distance matrix is $D(G) = [d_{ij}]$ with $d_{ij} = d(i, j)$. The Laplacian matrix of G , written $L(G) = [\ell_{ij}]$, is given by

$$\ell_{ij} = \begin{cases} \delta_i, & \text{if } i = j, \\ -1, & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } i \sim j, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

*Department of Mathematics, College of Engineering and Technology, SRM Institute of Science and Technology, Kattankulathur, Chennai, 603203, India. Email: joyentanuj@gmail.com, joyentad@srmist.edu.in

†Indian Institute of Management Ranchi, Prabandhan Nagar, Vill-Mudma, Nayasarai Road, Ranchi, Jharkhand-835303, India. Email: sumitmath@gmail.com, sumit.mohanty@iimranchi.ac.in

where δ_i is the degree of vertex i . It is well known that $L(G)$ is symmetric and positive semidefinite. Moreover, the all-ones vector $\mathbf{1}$ is an eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue 0, so that $L(G)\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{1}^T L(G) = \mathbf{0}$ (see [4]).

The study of distance matrices has its origin in the seminal work of Graham and Pollack [9], who proved that for any tree T on n vertices,

$$\det D(T) = (-1)^{n-1} (n-1) 2^{n-2}.$$

Notably, this determinant depends only on n and not on the structure of the tree. Their result triggered extensive research, with several subsequent generalizations (see, e.g., [1, 2, 10, 11]).

Later, Graham and Lovász [11] obtained a striking formula for the inverse of the distance matrix of a tree T :

$$D(T)^{-1} = -\frac{1}{2}L(T) + \frac{1}{2(n-1)}\tau\tau^T, \quad \text{where } \tau = (2 - \delta_1, 2 - \delta_2, \dots, 2 - \delta_n)^T.$$

This identity links the distance and Laplacian matrices and motivated further exploration of distance matrix inverses for wider classes of graphs. Numerous extensions are now known for weighted trees [1], bidirected trees [3], block graphs [5], completely positive graphs [6], multi-block graphs [7], weighted cactoid-type digraphs [8], cactoid digraphs [12], cycle-clique graphs [13], bi-block graphs [14], distance well-defined graphs [21], and weighted cactoid digraphs [22].

In 2006, Yan and Yeh [17] and Bapat et al. [2] independently proposed the concept of exponential distance matrix, a variant of distance matrix. The exponential distance matrix of an \mathbf{n} -vertex graph G , denoted by $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_{ij})$, is the $\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{n}$ matrix with

$$\mathcal{F}_{ij} = q^{d_{ij}},$$

where q is a nonzero indeterminate. In particular, the diagonal entries of \mathcal{F} are all equal to 1. We exclude the case $q = 0$, because at this time the diagonal entries are undefined, while the other entries would be equal to 0. It is noted that the spectral properties of the so-called closeness matrix were recently investigated in [20]. Such matrix is almost the same as the exponential distance matrix \mathcal{F} with $q = \frac{1}{2}$, while the only difference lies on the diagonal entries, which are all 1 in \mathcal{F} , but all 0 in the closeness matrix.

Yan and Yeh [17] computed the determinant of exponential distance matrix of unweighted trees. Bapat et al. [2] obtained the inverse of exponential distance matrix of unweighted trees. Sivasubramanian [15] presented a formula for the inverse of exponential distance matrix of 3-hypertrees. A graph G is called a block graph, if each block of G is a clique (of possibly varying orders). Recently, in [16], Xing and Du extended the study on the exponential distance matrix from trees to block graphs.

Our aim of this paper is to extend the study on the exponential distance matrix to bi-block graphs. More precisely, we obtain the determinant, inverse, and cofactor sum of exponential matrix for bi-block graphs, extending the results given in [2, 15, 16, 17]. A connected graph is called a bi-block graph if all of blocks are complete bipartite graphs K_{m_i, n_i} , $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, with vertex partition of each block is $X_i \cup Y_i$, where $|X_i| = m_i$ and $|Y_i| = n_i$. If all the blocks are $K_{1,1}$, then the graph is a tree. The determinant and inverse of distance matrix of bi-block graphs were obtained by Hou and Sun in [14].

2 Notations and a few Preliminary Results

Let G be a bi-block graph on \mathbf{n} vertices with blocks K_{m_i, n_i} for $1 \leq i \leq r$ with the vertex partition $X_i \cup Y_i$ such that $|X_i| = m_i$ and $|Y_i| = n_i$. Then, $\mathbf{n} = \sum_{i=1}^r (m_i + n_i) - (r - 1)$. We now introduced

two matrices \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} of order $\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{n}$, and a column vector μ_G of order \mathbf{n} indexed with vertex set of the bi-block graph G as follows. Let $\mathbf{A} = [a_{uv}]$ and $\mathbf{B} = [b_{uv}]$, where

$$a_{uv} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1 - q^2(m_i - 1)(n_i - 1)}, & \text{if } u \sim v \text{ and } u, v \in K_{m_i, n_i}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$b_{uv} = \begin{cases} \frac{n_i - 1}{1 - q^2(m_i - 1)(n_i - 1)}, & \text{if } u \neq v, u \not\sim v \text{ and } u, v \in X_i, \\ \frac{m_i - 1}{1 - q^2(m_i - 1)(n_i - 1)}, & \text{if } u \neq v, u \not\sim v \text{ and } u, v \in Y_i, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

Given a vertex v in G , the v^{th} entry of μ_G is given by

$$\mu(v) = \sum_{v \in X_i} \frac{n_i - 1}{1 - q^2(m_i - 1)(n_i - 1)} + \sum_{v \in Y_i} \frac{m_i - 1}{1 - q^2(m_i - 1)(n_i - 1)} + (k - 1), \quad (2.3)$$

where $bi_G(v) = k$, is the block index of the vertex v . It is easy to notice that \mathbf{A} is a weighted adjacency matrix of G , and a principal submatrix of \mathbf{B} corresponding to a block of G is a weighted adjacency matrix of the complement graph of the respective block.

Without loss of generality, let K_{m_r, n_r} be a leaf block and H be the induced subgraph of G on \mathbf{m} vertices with blocks K_{m_i, n_i} for $1 \leq i \leq r - 1$. Therefore, $G = H \odot K_{m_r, n_r}$ and $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{m} + (m_r + n_r - 1)$. We will now use the notations $\mathcal{F}, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$, and μ for the bi-block graph G , and the corresponding notations $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}, \widehat{\mathbf{A}}, \widehat{\mathbf{B}}$, and $\widehat{\mu}$ for the subgraph H . Further, let us assume $v_{\mathbf{m}}$ be a cut vertex of G such that H and K_{m_r, n_r} are connected via $v_{\mathbf{m}}$. If $v_{\mathbf{m}}$ is in the vertex partition X_r of K_{m_r, n_r} , and u, v are two vertices other than $v_{\mathbf{m}}$ such that $u \in H$ and $v \in K_{m_r, n_r}$, then

$$d(u, v) = \begin{cases} d(u, v_{\mathbf{m}}) + 2, & \text{if } v \in X_r, \\ d(u, v_{\mathbf{m}}) + 1, & \text{if } v \in Y_r. \end{cases}$$

Then, the uv^{th} entry of the exponential distance matrix of G is:

$$\mathcal{F}_{uv} = \begin{cases} q^{d(u, v_{\mathbf{m}}) + 2} = q^2 \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{i\mathbf{m}}, & \text{if } v \in X_r, \\ q^{d(u, v_{\mathbf{m}}) + 1} = q \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{i\mathbf{m}}, & \text{if } v \in Y_r. \end{cases}$$

For notational convenience in calculations, we will use $K_{m_r, n_r} = K_{s, t}$. Let \mathbf{E}_1 and \mathbf{E}_2 represent the $\mathbf{m} \times (s - 1)$ and $\mathbf{m} \times t$ matrix, respectively, in which each entry of the last row is 1, and all the other entries are 0. Let $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{m}\mathbf{m}}$ be the $\mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{m}$ matrix such that the only non-zero entry is at (\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{m}) position and the value is 1. From these above settings we can write $\mathcal{F}, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$, and μ in the following form:

$$\mathcal{F} = \left[\begin{array}{c|cc} \widehat{\mathcal{F}} & q^2 \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_1 & q \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_2 \\ \hline q^2 \mathbf{E}_1^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} & (1 - q^2) I_{s-1} + q^2 J_{s-1} & q J_{(s-1) \times t} \\ \hline q \mathbf{E}_2^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} & q J_{t \times (s-1)} & (1 - q^2) I_t + q^2 J_t \end{array} \right], \quad (2.4)$$

$$\mathbf{A} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c|c} \widehat{\mathbf{A}} & \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{m} \times (s-1)} & \frac{1}{1 - q^2(s-1)(t-1)} \mathbf{E}_2 \\ \hline \mathbf{0}_{(s-1) \times \mathbf{m}} & \mathbf{0}_{s-1} & \frac{1}{1 - q^2(s-1)(t-1)} J_{(s-1) \times t} \\ \hline \frac{1}{1 - q^2(s-1)(t-1)} \mathbf{E}_2^t & \frac{1}{1 - q^2(s-1)(t-1)} J_{t \times (s-1)} & \mathbf{0}_t \end{array} \right],$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c|c} \widehat{\mathbf{B}} & \frac{t-1}{1 - q^2(s-1)(t-1)} \mathbf{E}_1 & \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{m} \times t} \\ \hline \frac{t-1}{1 - q^2(s-1)(t-1)} \mathbf{E}_1^t & \frac{-(t-1)}{1 - q^2(s-1)(t-1)} (I_{s-1} - J_{s-1}) & \mathbf{0}_{(s-1) \times t} \\ \hline \mathbf{0}_{t \times \mathbf{m}} & \mathbf{0}_{t \times (s-1)} & \frac{-(s-1)}{1 - q^2(s-1)(t-1)} (I_t - J_t) \end{array} \right],$$

and

$$\mu(v) = \begin{cases} \widehat{\mu}(v) & \text{if } v \in H \text{ and } v \neq v_{\mathbf{m}}, \\ \widehat{\mu}(v_{\mathbf{m}}) + \frac{t-1}{1 - q^2(s-1)(t-1)} - 1 & \text{if } v = v_{\mathbf{m}}, \\ \frac{t-1}{1 - q^2(s-1)(t-1)} & \text{if } v \in X_r \text{ and } v \neq v_{\mathbf{m}}, \\ \frac{s-1}{1 - q^2(s-1)(t-1)} & \text{if } v \in Y_r. \end{cases}$$

We now gives a few identities involving $\mathbf{E}_1, \mathbf{E}_2$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$. The proofs for these identities are follows from definition and hence omitted.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}, \mathbf{E}_1, \mathbf{E}_2$ and $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{mm}}$ be the matrices as above. Then, the following identities hold.*

- (a) $\mathbf{E}_1^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_2 = J_{(s-1) \times t}$ and $\mathbf{E}_2^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_1 = J_{t \times (s-1)}$.
- (b) $\mathbf{E}_1^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_1 = J_{(s-1)}$ and $\mathbf{E}_2^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_2 = J_t$.
- (c) $\mathbf{E}_1 J_{(s-1)} = (s-1) \mathbf{E}_1$ and $\mathbf{E}_2 J_t = t \mathbf{E}_2$.
- (d) $\mathbf{E}_1 J_{(s-1) \times t} = (s-1) \mathbf{E}_2$ and $\mathbf{E}_2 J_{t \times (s-1)} = t \mathbf{E}_1$.
- (e) $\mathbf{E}_1 \mathbf{E}_1^t = (s-1) \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{mm}}$ and $\mathbf{E}_2 \mathbf{E}_2^t = t \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{mm}}$.

We now recall a standard result on computing the determinant of block matrices. This result will be useful to prove subsequent results.

Proposition 2.2. [18] *Let M_{11} and M_{22} be square matrices. Then*

$$\det \left[\begin{array}{c|c} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ \hline \mathbf{0} & M_{22} \end{array} \right] = \det M_{11} \det M_{22}, \text{ and } \det \left[\begin{array}{c|c} M_{11} & \mathbf{0} \\ \hline M_{21} & M_{22} \end{array} \right] = \det M_{11} \det M_{22}.$$

Next, we prove a lemma that plays a important role in computing the determinant of the exponential matrix for bi-block graphs.

Lemma 2.3. Let G be a bi-block graph with blocks K_{m_i, n_i} for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ on $\mathbf{n} = \sum_{i=1}^r (m_i + n_i) - (r-1)$ vertices. Let q be a non-zero indeterminate such that $q \neq \pm 1$, and \mathcal{F} be the exponential distance matrix of G . Under the assumption and notations introduced as earlier, if \mathcal{F} as defined Eqn. (2.4), and L is a lower triangular block matrix given by

$$L = \left[\begin{array}{c|c|c} I_{\mathbf{m}} & \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{m} \times (s-1)} & \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{m} \times t} \\ \hline -q^2 \mathbf{E}_1^t & I_{s-1} & \mathbf{0}_{(s-1) \times t} \\ \hline -q \mathbf{E}_2^t + \frac{q^3}{q^2(s-1)+1} J_{t \times (s-1)} \mathbf{E}_1^t & \frac{-q}{q^2(s-1)+1} J_{t \times (s-1)} & I_t \end{array} \right], \quad (2.5)$$

then the product $L\mathcal{F}$ is an upper triangular block matrix and is given by

$$L\mathcal{F} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c|c} \widehat{\mathcal{F}} & * & * \\ \hline \mathbf{0}_{(s-1) \times \mathbf{m}} & (1-q^2)(I_{s-1} + q^2 J_{s-1}) & * \\ \hline \mathbf{0}_{t \times \mathbf{m}} & \mathbf{0}_{t \times (s-1)} & (1-q^2)I_t + \frac{q^2(q^2-1)(s-1)}{q^2(s-1)+1} J_t \end{array} \right]. \quad (2.6)$$

Furthermore, $\det \mathcal{F} = \det(L\mathcal{F})$.

Proof. Let

$$L\mathcal{F} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c|c} M_{11} & * & * \\ \hline M_{21} & M_{22} & * \\ \hline M_{31} & M_{32} & M_{33} \end{array} \right].$$

From Eqns. (2.4) and (2.6), we get $M_{11} = \widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ and $M_{21} = -q^2 \mathbf{E}_1^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} + I_{s-1} q^2 \mathbf{E}_1^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} = \mathbf{0}_{(s-1) \times \mathbf{m}}$. Using the identity $\mathbf{E}_1^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_1 = J_{s-1}$ from Proposition 2.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} M_{22} &= (-q^2 \mathbf{E}_1^t)(q^2 \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_1) + q^2 J_{s-1} + (1-q^2)I_{s-1} \\ &= -q^4 \mathbf{E}_1^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_1 + q^2 J_{s-1} + (1-q^2)I_{s-1} \\ &= -q^4 J_{s-1} + q^2 J_{s-1} + (1-q^2)I_{s-1} \\ &= (1-q^2)(I_{s-1} + q^2 J_{s-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Next,

$$\begin{aligned} M_{31} &= \left[-q \mathbf{E}_2^t + \frac{q^3}{q^2(s-1)+1} J_{t \times (s-1)} \mathbf{E}_1^t \right] \widehat{\mathcal{F}} - \frac{q}{q^2(s-1)+1} J_{t \times (s-1)} \times q^2 \mathbf{E}_1^t + q^2 \mathbf{E}_2^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \\ &= -q^2 \mathbf{E}_2^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} + \frac{q^3}{q^2(s-1)+1} J_{t \times (s-1)} \mathbf{E}_1^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} - \frac{q^3}{q^2(s-1)+1} J_{t \times (s-1)} \mathbf{E}_1^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} + q^2 \mathbf{E}_2^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \\ &= \mathbf{0}_{t \times \mathbf{m}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the identities $\mathbf{E}_2^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_1 = J_{t \times (s-1)}$ and $\mathbf{E}_1^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_1 = J_{s-1}$ from Proposition 2.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{32} &= \left[-q \mathbf{E}_2^t + \frac{q^3}{q^2(s-1)+1} J_{t \times (s-1)} \mathbf{E}_1^t \right] q^2 \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_1 \\
&\quad + \left[-\frac{q}{q^2(s-1)+1} J_{t \times (s-1)} \right] [q^2 J_{s-1} + (1-q^2) I_{s-1}] + q J_{t \times (s-1)} \\
&= -q^3 \mathbf{E}_2^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_1 + \frac{q^5}{q^2(s-1)+1} J_{t \times (s-1)} \mathbf{E}_1^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_1 \\
&\quad - \frac{q^3(s-1)}{q^2(s-1)+1} J_{t \times (s-1)} - \frac{q(1-q^2)}{q^2(s-1)+1} J_{t \times (s-1)} + q J_{t \times (s-1)} \\
&= -q^3 J_{t \times (s-1)} + \frac{q^5(s-1)}{q^2(s-1)+1} J_{t \times (s-1)} - \frac{q^3(s-1)}{q^2(s-1)+1} J_{t \times (s-1)} \\
&\quad - \frac{q(1-q^2)}{q^2(s-1)+1} J_{t \times (s-1)} + q J_{t \times (s-1)} \\
&= \left[-q^3 + \frac{q^5(s-1)}{q^2(s-1)+1} - \frac{q^3(s-1)}{q^2(s-1)+1} - \frac{q(1-q^2)}{q^2(s-1)+1} + q \right] J_{t \times (s-1)} \\
&= \mathbf{0}_{(s-1) \times \mathbf{m}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, using the identities $\mathbf{E}_2^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_2 = J_t$ and $\mathbf{E}_1^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_2 = J_{(s-1) \times t}$ from Proposition 2.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{33} &= \left[-q \mathbf{E}_2^t + \frac{q^3}{q^2(s-1)+1} J_{t \times (s-1)} \mathbf{E}_1^t \right] q \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_2 \\
&\quad + \left[-\frac{q}{q^2(s-1)+1} J_{t \times (s-1)} \right] q J_{(s-1) \times t} + q^2 J_t + (1-q^2) I_t \\
&= -q^2 \mathbf{E}_2^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_2 + \frac{q^4}{q^2(s-1)+1} J_{t \times (s-1)} \mathbf{E}_1^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_2 \\
&\quad - \frac{q^2}{q^2(s-1)+1} J_{t \times (s-1)} J_{(s-1) \times t} + q^2 J_t + (1-q^2) I_t \\
&= -q^2 J_t + \frac{q^4}{q^2(s-1)+1} J_{t \times (s-1)} J_{(s-1) \times t} \\
&\quad - \frac{q^2}{q^2(s-1)+1} J_{t \times (s-1)} J_{(s-1) \times t} + q^2 J_t + (1-q^2) I_t \\
&= -q^2 J_t + \frac{q^4(s-1)}{q^2(s-1)+1} J_t - \frac{q^2(s-1)}{q^2(s-1)+1} J_t + q^2 J_t + (1-q^2) I_t \\
&= (1-q^2) I_t + \frac{q^2(q^2-1)(s-1)}{q^2(s-1)+1} J_t.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the desired result follows. \square

3 Determinant

In this section, we will compute the determinant of the exponential matrix for bi-block graphs. Before proceeding further, we first recall a few known results from matrix theory which will be used in subsequent results. Let M be an $n \times n$ matrix partitioned as

$$M = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ \hline M_{21} & M_{22} \end{array} \right], \quad (3.1)$$

where M_{11} and M_{22} are square matrices. If M_{11} is nonsingular, then the Schur complement of M_{11} in M is defined to be the matrix $M/M_{11} = M_{22} - M_{21}M_{11}^{-1}M_{12}$. We now state a result that determines the determinant and inverse of a partitioned matrix using Schur complement.

Proposition 3.1. [19] *Let M be a nonsingular matrix partitioned as in Eqn (3.1). If M_{11} is square and invertible, then*

$$\det(M) = \det(M_{11}) \det(M/M_{11}).$$

Moreover, if M is a nonsingular matrix, then

$$M^{-1} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} M_{11}^{-1} + M_{11}^{-1}M_{12}(M/M_{11})^{-1}M_{21}M_{11}^{-1} & -M_{11}^{-1}M_{12}(M/M_{11})^{-1} \\ \hline -(M/M_{11})^{-1}M_{21}M_{11}^{-1} & (M/M_{11})^{-1} \end{array} \right].$$

Next we state a result without proof that gives the eigenvalues and inverse for matrix of the form $aI + bJ$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $n \geq 2$ and J_n, I_n be matrices as defined before. For $a \neq 0$, the eigenvalues of $aI_n + bJ_n$ are a with multiplicity $n - 1$, $a + nb$ with multiplicity 1 and the determinant is given by $a^{n-1}(a + nb)$. Moreover, the matrix is invertible if and only if $a + nb \neq 0$ and the inverse is given by*

$$(aI_n + bJ_n)^{-1} = \frac{1}{a} \left(I_n - \frac{b}{a + nb} J_n \right).$$

We now compute the determinant of the exponential matrix for a complete bipartite graph.

Lemma 3.3. *Let \mathcal{F} be the exponential distance matrix of complete bipartite graph $K_{s,t}$. If q is a nonzero indeterminate such that $q \neq \pm 1$, then*

$$\det(\mathcal{F}) = (1 - q^2)^{s+t-1} [1 - q^2(s-1)(t-1)].$$

Proof. The exponential distance matrix of $K_{s,t}$ has the following block form:

$$\mathcal{F} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} (1 - q^2)I_s + q^2J_s & qJ_{s \times t} \\ qJ_{t \times s} & (1 - q^2)I_t + q^2J_t \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ \hline M_{21} & M_{22} \end{array} \right].$$

Using Lemma 3.2, $\det M_{11} = (1 - q^2)^{s-1} [q^2(s-1) + 1] \neq 0$, and hence

$$M_{11}^{-1} = \frac{1}{1 - q^2} \left[I_s - \frac{q^2}{q^2(s-1) + 1} J_s \right]. \quad (3.2)$$

The Schur complement of M_{11} in \mathcal{F} is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}/M_{11} &= M_{22} - M_{21}M_{11}^{-1}M_{12} \\ &= [(1 - q^2)I_t + q^2J_t] - \frac{q^2}{1 - q^2} \left[J_{t \times s} \left(I_s - \frac{q^2}{q^2(s-1) + 1} J_s \right) J_{s \times t} \right] \\ &= [(1 - q^2)I_t + q^2J_t] - \frac{q^2}{1 - q^2} \left[s - \frac{q^2 s^2}{q^2(s-1) + 1} \right] J_t \\ &= (1 - q^2)I_t - \frac{q^2(1 - q^2)(s-1)}{q^2(s-1) + 1} J_t. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Thus, using Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\det(\mathcal{F}/M_{11}) = (1 - q^2)^{t-1} \left[(1 - q^2) - t \frac{q^2(1 - q^2)(s-1)}{q^2(s-1) + 1} \right] = (1 - q^2)^t \left[\frac{1 - q^2(s-1)(t-1)}{q^2(s-1) + 1} \right].$$

By Proposition 3.1, $\det \mathcal{F} = \det M_{11} \det(\mathcal{F}/M_{11})$ and hence the desired result follows. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let G be a bi-block graph with blocks K_{m_i, n_i} for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ on $\mathbf{n} = \sum_{i=1}^r (m_i + n_i) - (r - 1)$ vertices. If q is a non-zero indeterminate such that $q \neq \pm 1$, and \mathcal{F} be the exponential distance matrix of G , then,*

$$\det(\mathcal{F}) = (1 - q^2)^{\mathbf{n}-1} \prod_{i=1}^r [1 - q^2(m_i - 1)(n_i - 1)].$$

Proof. We will prove this result using induction on the number of blocks r in G . From Lemma 3.3, the result is hold true for $r = 1$. Let us assume that the result is true for bi-block graphs with $r - 1$ blocks.

Let $K_{s,t} = K_{m_r, n_r}$ be a leaf block and H be the induced subgraph of G on \mathbf{m} vertices with blocks K_{m_i, n_i} for $1 \leq i \leq r - 1$. Under the notations defined in Section 2, $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ denote the exponential distance matrix of H and the exponential distance matrix \mathcal{F} of G can be written as in Eqn. (2.4). Thus, $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{m} + (s + t - 1)$. Applying induction hypothesis on H , we have

$$\det(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}) = (1 - q^2)^{\mathbf{m}-1} \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} [1 - q^2(m_i - 1)(n_i - 1)]. \quad (3.4)$$

From Lemma 2.6, $\det \mathcal{F} = \det(L\mathcal{F})$, where $L\mathcal{F}$ is the upper triangular block matrix defined in Eqn. (2.6). Using Proposition 2.2 and Eqn. (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\mathcal{F}) &= \det(L\mathcal{F}) \\ &= \det(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}) \times \det \left[(1 - q^2)(I_{s-1} + q^2 J_{s-1}) \right] \times \det \left[(1 - q^2)I_t + \frac{q^2(q^2 - 1)(s - 1)}{q^2(s - 1) + 1} J_t \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det \left[(1 - q^2)(I_{s-1} + q^2 J_{s-1}) \right] &= (1 - q^2)^{s-1} [q^2(s - 1) + 1] \\ \text{and } \det \left[(1 - q^2)I_t + \frac{q^2(q^2 - 1)(s - 1)}{q^2(s - 1) + 1} J_t \right] &= (1 - q^2)^t \left[\frac{1 - q^2(s - 1)(t - 1)}{q^2(s - 1) + 1} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using Eqn. (3.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\mathcal{F}) &= \det(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}) \times (1 - q^2)^{s-1} [q^2(s - 1) + 1] \times (1 - q^2)^t \left[\frac{1 - q^2(s - 1)(t - 1)}{q^2(s - 1) + 1} \right] \\ &= \det(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}) \times (1 - q^2)^{s+t-1} [1 - q^2(s - 1)(t - 1)] \\ &= \left[(1 - q^2)^{\mathbf{m}-1} \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} [1 - q^2(m_i - 1)(n_i - 1)] \right] \times (1 - q^2)^{s+t-1} [1 - q^2(s - 1)(t - 1)] \\ &= (1 - q^2)^{\mathbf{m}+(s+t-1)} [1 - q^2(s - 1)(t - 1)] \prod_{i=1}^r [1 - q^2(m_i - 1)(n_i - 1)]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{m} + (s + t - 1)$ and $K_{m_r, n_r} = K_{s,t}$, we get

$$\det(\mathcal{F}) = (1 - q^2)^{\mathbf{n}-1} \prod_{i=1}^r [1 - q^2(m_i - 1)(n_i - 1)].$$

This completes the proof. □

4 Inverse

In this section, we will find the inverse of the exponential matrix for bi-block graphs. We begin with a lemma that compute the inverse of the exponential matrix of a complete bipartite graph.

Lemma 4.1. *Let \mathcal{F} be the exponential distance matrix of complete bipartite graph $K_{s,t}$. If q is a nonzero indeterminate such that $q \neq \pm 1$ and $q^2(s-1)(t-1) \neq 1$, then*

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1} = \frac{q}{(1-q^2)[1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)]} \begin{bmatrix} q(t-1)J_s & -J_{s \times t} \\ -J_{t \times s} & q(s-1)J_t \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{1-q^2}I.$$

Proof. The exponential distance matrix of $K_{s,t}$ has the following block form:

$$\mathcal{F} = \begin{bmatrix} (1-q^2)I_s + q^2J_s & qJ_{s \times t} \\ qJ_{t \times s} & (1-q^2)I_t + q^2J_t \end{bmatrix} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ \hline M_{21} & M_{22} \end{array} \right].$$

From Eqn. (3.2), we have $M_{11}^{-1} = \frac{1}{1-q^2} \left[I_s - \frac{q^2}{q^2(s-1)+1} J_s \right]$. Then, it is easy to verify that

$$M_{21}M_{11}^{-1} = \frac{q}{q^2(s-1)+1} J_{t \times s} \text{ and } M_{11}^{-1}M_{12} = \frac{q}{q^2(s-1)+1} J_{s \times t}. \quad (4.1)$$

From Eqn. (3.3), we have $\mathcal{F}/M_{11} = (1-q^2)I_t - \frac{q^2(1-q^2)(s-1)}{q^2(s-1)+1} J_t$ and hence using Lemma 3.2, we get

$$(\mathcal{F}/M_{11})^{-1} = \frac{1}{1-q^2} \left[I_t - \frac{q^2(s-1)}{1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)} J_t \right] \quad (4.2)$$

Therefore, using Eqns. (4.1) and (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{F}/M_{11})^{-1}M_{21}M_{11}^{-1} &= \frac{q}{(1-q^2)(q^2(s-1)+1)} \left[I_t - \frac{q^2(s-1)}{1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)} J_t \right] J_{t \times s} \\ &= \frac{q}{(1-q^2)(q^2(s-1)+1)} \left[1 - \frac{q^2t(s-1)}{1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)} \right] J_{t \times s} \\ &= \frac{q}{(1-q^2)[1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)]} J_{t \times s}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} M_{11}^{-1}M_{12}(\mathcal{F}/M_{11})^{-1} &= \frac{q}{(1-q^2)(q^2(s-1)+1)} J_{s \times t} \left[I_t - \frac{q^2(s-1)}{1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)} J_t \right] \\ &= \frac{q}{(1-q^2)(q^2(s-1)+1)} \left[1 - \frac{q^2t(s-1)}{1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)} \right] J_{s \times t} \\ &= \frac{q}{(1-q^2)[1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)]} J_{s \times t}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Finally, using above calculations and Eqns. (4.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} M_{11}^{-1}M_{12}(\mathcal{F}/M_{11})^{-1}M_{21}M_{11}^{-1} &= \left[\frac{q}{(1-q^2)[1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)]} J_{s \times t} \right] \left[\frac{q}{q^2(s-1)+1} J_{t \times s} \right] \\ &= \left[\frac{q^2t}{(1-q^2)[1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)](q^2(s-1)+1)} \right] J_s, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& M_{11}^{-1} + M_{11}^{-1} M_{12} (\mathcal{F} / M_{11})^{-1} M_{21} M_{11}^{-1} \\
&= \frac{1}{1-q^2} \left[I_s - \frac{q^2}{q^2(s-1)+1} J_s \right] + \left[\frac{q^2 t}{(1-q^2)[1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)](q^2(s-1)+1)} \right] J_s \\
&= \frac{1}{1-q^2} I_s - \frac{q^2}{(1-q^2)(q^2(s-1)+1)} \left[\frac{t}{1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)} - 1 \right] J_s \\
&= \frac{1}{1-q^2} I_s - \frac{q^2(t-1)}{(1-q^2)[1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)]} J_s
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

In view of Eqns. (4.2) - (4.5), the result follows from Proposition 3.1. \square

We now ready to compute the inverse of the exponential matrix of a bi-block graph whenever it exists.

Theorem 4.2. *Let G be a bi-block graph with blocks K_{m_i, n_i} for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ on $\mathbf{n} = \sum_{i=1}^r (m_i + n_i) - (r-1)$ vertices. Let q be a non-zero indeterminate and \mathcal{F} be the exponential distance matrix of G . If \mathcal{F} is invertible, then*

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1} = \frac{1}{1-q^2} I_{\mathbf{n}} - \frac{q}{1-q^2} \mathbf{A} + \frac{q^2}{1-q^2} \mathbf{B} + \frac{q^2}{1-q^2} \text{diag}(\mu),$$

where \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} and μ as defined in Eqns. (2.1) - (2.3).

Proof. We will prove this result using induction on the number of blocks r in G . If $r = 1$, then G is a complete bipartite graph. Let $G = K_{s,t}$ with the vertex partition $X \cup Y$ such that $|X| = s$ and $|Y| = t$. Then, $\mathbf{n} = s + t$ and using Eqns. (2.1) - (2.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{A} &= \frac{1}{1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)} \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{0}_s & J_{s \times t} \\ \hline J_{t \times s} & \mathbf{0}_t \end{array} \right], \\
\mathbf{B} &= \frac{1}{1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)} \left[\begin{array}{c|c} (t-1)(J_s - I_s) & \mathbf{0}_{s \times t} \\ \hline \mathbf{0}_{t \times s} & (s-1)(J_t - I_t) \end{array} \right],
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mu(v) = \begin{cases} \frac{t-1}{1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)}, & \text{if } v \in X, \\ \frac{s-1}{1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)}, & \text{if } v \in Y. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, using the above equations and Lemma 4.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{1-q^2} I_{\mathbf{n}} - \frac{q}{1-q^2} \mathbf{A} + \frac{q^2}{1-q^2} \mathbf{B} + \frac{q^2}{1-q^2} \text{diag}(\mu) \\
&= \frac{1}{1-q^2} I_{\mathbf{n}} - \frac{q}{(1-q^2)[1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)]} \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{0}_s & J_{s \times t} \\ \hline J_{t \times s} & \mathbf{0}_t \end{array} \right] \\
& \quad + \frac{q^2}{(1-q^2)[1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)]} \left[\begin{array}{c|c} (t-1)(J_s - I_s) & \mathbf{0}_{s \times t} \\ \hline \mathbf{0}_{t \times s} & (s-1)(J_t - I_t) \end{array} \right] \\
& \quad + \frac{q^2}{(1-q^2)[1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)]} \left[\begin{array}{c|c} (t-1)I_s & \mathbf{0}_{s \times t} \\ \hline \mathbf{0}_{t \times s} & (s-1)I_t \end{array} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{1-q^2} I_{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{q}{(1-q^2)[1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)]} \left[\begin{array}{c|c} q(t-1)J_s & -J_{s \times t} \\ \hline -J_{t \times s} & q(s-1)J_t \end{array} \right] = \mathcal{F}^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the result hold true for $r = 1$. Let us assume that the result is true for bi-block graphs with $r - 1$ blocks.

Let G be a bi-block graph with blocks K_{m_i, n_i} for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ on $\mathbf{n} = \sum_{i=1}^r (m_i + n_i) - (r - 1)$ vertices. Without loss generality, let $K_{s,t} = K_{m_r, n_r}$ be a leaf block and H be the induced subgraph of G on \mathbf{m} vertices with blocks K_{m_i, n_i} for $1 \leq i \leq r - 1$. Recall that, the notations defined in Section 2, we will use the notations \mathcal{F} , \mathbf{A} , \mathbf{B} , and μ for the bi-block graph G , and the corresponding notations $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$, $\widehat{\mathbf{A}}$, $\widehat{\mathbf{B}}$, and $\widehat{\mu}$ for the subgraph H . Then, the exponential distance matrix \mathcal{F} of G can be written as in Eqn. (2.4) and $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{m} + (s + t - 1)$. Applying induction hypothesis on H , we have

$$\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^{-1} = \frac{1}{1 - q^2} I_{\mathbf{m}} - \frac{q}{1 - q^2} \widehat{\mathbf{A}} + \frac{q^2}{1 - q^2} \widehat{\mathbf{B}} + \frac{q^2}{1 - q^2} \text{diag}(\widehat{\mu}). \quad (4.6)$$

Let us partition the \mathcal{F}^{-1} into block matrix conformal to the block matrix form of \mathcal{F} given in Eqn. (2.4), *i.e.*

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $A_{21} = A_{12}^t$, $A_{31} = A_{13}^t$ and $A_{32} = A_{23}^t$. That is,

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|c|c} \widehat{\mathcal{F}} & q^2 \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_1 & q \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_2 \\ \hline q^2 \mathbf{E}_1^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} & (1 - q^2) I_{s-1} + q^2 J_{s-1} & q J_{(s-1) \times t} \\ \hline q \mathbf{E}_2^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} & q J_{t \times (s-1)} & (1 - q^2) I_t + q^2 J_t \end{array} \right] \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{\mathbf{m}} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & I_{s-1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & I_t \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4.7)$$

Considering the multiplication of first row \mathcal{F} with \mathcal{F}^{-1} , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathcal{F}} A_{11} + q^2 \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_1 A_{21} + q \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_2 A_{31} &= I_{\mathbf{m}}, \\ \widehat{\mathcal{F}} A_{12} + q^2 \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_1 A_{22} + q \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_2 A_{32} &= \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{m} \times (s-1)}, \\ \widehat{\mathcal{F}} A_{13} + q^2 \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_1 A_{23} + q \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_2 A_{33} &= \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{m} \times t}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^{-1}$ is invertible, the above equations reduces to

$$\begin{cases} A_{11} = \widehat{\mathcal{F}}^{-1} - q^2 \mathbf{E}_1 A_{21} - q \mathbf{E}_2 A_{31}, \\ A_{12} = -q^2 \mathbf{E}_1 A_{22} - q \mathbf{E}_2 A_{32}, \\ A_{13} = -q^2 \mathbf{E}_1 A_{23} - q \mathbf{E}_2 A_{33}. \end{cases} \quad (4.8)$$

In Eqn. (4.7), considering the second row of \mathcal{F} and the second column of \mathcal{F}^{-1} , we get

$$I_{s-1} = q^2 \mathbf{E}_1^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} A_{12} + [(1 - q^2) I_{s-1} + q^2 J_{s-1}] A_{22} + q J_{(s-1) \times t} A_{32}.$$

Substituting A_{12} from Eqn. (4.8), and using the identities $\mathbf{E}_1^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_1 = J_{s-1}$ and $\mathbf{E}_1^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_2 = J_{(s-1) \times t}$ from Proposition 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{s-1} &= q^2 \mathbf{E}_1^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} [-q^2 \mathbf{E}_1 A_{22} - q \mathbf{E}_2 A_{32}] + [(1 - q^2) I_{s-1} + q^2 J_{s-1}] A_{22} + q J_{(s-1) \times t} A_{32} \\ &= -q^4 \mathbf{E}_1^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_1 A_{22} - q^3 \mathbf{E}_1^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_2 A_{32} + [(1 - q^2) I_{s-1} + q^2 J_{s-1}] A_{22} + q J_{(s-1) \times t} A_{32} \\ &= -q^4 J_{s-1} A_{22} - q^3 J_{(s-1) \times t} A_{32} + [(1 - q^2) I_{s-1} + q^2 J_{s-1}] A_{22} + q J_{(s-1) \times t} A_{32}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence $(1 - q^2)[I_{s-1} + q^2 J_{s-1}]A_{22} = I_{s-1} - q(1 - q^2)J_{(s-1) \times t}A_{32}$. Using Lemma 3.2, we have $[I_{s-1} + q^2 J_{s-1}]^{-1} = I_{s-1} - \frac{q^2}{q^2(s-1) + 1} J_{s-1}$ which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} A_{22} &= \frac{1}{1 - q^2} \left[I_{s-1} - \frac{q^2}{q^2(s-1) + 1} J_{s-1} \right] [I_{s-1} - q(1 - q^2)J_{(s-1) \times t}A_{32}] \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - q^2} \left[I_{s-1} - \frac{q^2}{q^2(s-1) + 1} J_{s-1} - \frac{q(1 - q^2)}{q^2(s-1) + 1} J_{(s-1) \times t}A_{32} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

In Eqn. (4.7), considering the third column of \mathcal{F} and the second column of \mathcal{F}^{-1} , we get

$$\mathbf{0}_{t \times (s-1)} = q\mathbf{E}_2^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} A_{12} + qJ_{t \times (s-1)} A_{22} + [(1 - q^2)I_t + q^2 J_t] A_{32}.$$

Substituting A_{12} from Eqn. (4.8), and using the identities $\mathbf{E}_2^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_1 = J_{t \times (s-1)}$ and $\mathbf{E}_2^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_2 = J_t$ from Proposition 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{0}_{t \times (s-1)} &= q\mathbf{E}_2^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} [-q^2 \mathbf{E}_1 A_{22} - q\mathbf{E}_2 A_{32}] + qJ_{t \times (s-1)} A_{22} + [(1 - q^2)I_t + q^2 J_t] A_{32} \\ &= -q^3 \mathbf{E}_2^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_1 A_{22} - q^2 \mathbf{E}_2^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_2 A_{32} + qJ_{t \times (s-1)} A_{22} + [(1 - q^2)I_t + q^2 J_t] A_{32} \\ &= -q^3 J_{t \times (s-1)} A_{22} - q^2 J_t A_{32} + qJ_{t \times (s-1)} A_{22} + [(1 - q^2)I_t + q^2 J_t] A_{32}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$A_{32} = -qJ_{t \times (s-1)} A_{22}. \quad (4.10)$$

Substituting A_{32} from Eqn. (4.10) in Eqn. (4.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} A_{22} &= \frac{1}{1 - q^2} \left[I_{s-1} - \frac{q^2}{q^2(s-1) + 1} J_{s-1} - \frac{q(1 - q^2)}{q^2(s-1) + 1} J_{(s-1) \times t} (-qJ_{t \times (s-1)} A_{22}) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - q^2} \left[I_{s-1} - \frac{q^2}{q^2(s-1) + 1} J_{s-1} + \frac{q^2(1 - q^2)t}{q^2(s-1) + 1} J_{(s-1)} A_{22} \right], \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $A_{22} \left[I_{s-1} - \frac{q^2 t}{q^2(s-1) + 1} J_{(s-1)} \right] = \frac{1}{1 - q^2} \left[I_{s-1} - \frac{q^2}{q^2(s-1) + 1} J_{s-1} \right]$. From Lemma 3.2, $\left[I_{s-1} - \frac{q^2 t}{q^2(s-1) + 1} J_{(s-1)} \right]^{-1} = I_{s-1} + \frac{q^2 t}{1 - q^2(s-1)(t-1)}$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} A_{22} &= \frac{1}{1 - q^2} \left[I_{s-1} - \frac{q^2}{q^2(s-1) + 1} J_{s-1} \right] \left[I_{s-1} + \frac{q^2 t}{1 - q^2(s-1)(t-1)} J_{s-1} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - q^2} \left[I_{s-1} + \frac{q^2(t-1)}{1 - q^2(s-1)(t-1)} J_{s-1} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Thus, using Eqn. (4.11) in Eqn. (4.10), we get

$$A_{32} = -\frac{q}{1 - q^2} \left[\frac{1}{1 - q^2(s-1)(t-1)} \right] J_{t \times (s-1)}. \quad (4.12)$$

Substituting the expression of A_{32} from Eqn. (4.10) in Eqn. (4.8), the expression of A_{12} is given by $A_{12} = -q^2 \mathbf{E}_1 A_{22} + q^2 \mathbf{E}_2 J_{t \times (s-1)} A_{22}$. Further, using the identities $\mathbf{E}_2 J_{t \times (s-1)} = t\mathbf{E}_1$ and $\mathbf{E}_1 J_{s-1} =$

$(s-1)\mathbf{E}_1$ from Proposition 2.1, and the expression of A_{22} from Eqn.(4.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{12} &= q^2(t-1)\mathbf{E}_1 A_{22} \\
&= q^2(t-1)\mathbf{E}_1 \left[\frac{1}{1-q^2} \left[I_{s-1} + \frac{q^2(t-1)}{1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)} J_{s-1} \right] \right] \\
&= \frac{q^2(t-1)}{1-q^2} \left[\mathbf{E}_1 + \frac{q^2(t-1)}{1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)} (s-1)\mathbf{E}_1 \right] \\
&= \frac{q^2}{1-q^2} \left[\frac{(t-1)}{1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)} \right] \mathbf{E}_1.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

We now consider the second row of \mathcal{F} and the third column of \mathcal{F}^{-1} in the product Eqn. (4.7), we get

$$\mathbf{0}_{(s-1) \times t} = q^2 \mathbf{E}_1^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} A_{13} + [(1-q^2)I_{s-1} + q^2 J_{s-1}] A_{23} + q J_{(s-1) \times t} A_{33}.$$

Substituting A_{13} from Eqn. (4.8), and using the identities $\mathbf{E}_1^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_1 = J_{s-1}$ and $\mathbf{E}_1^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_2 = J_{(s-1) \times t}$ from Proposition 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{0}_{(s-1) \times t} &= q^2 \mathbf{E}_1^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} [-q^2 \mathbf{E}_1 A_{23} - q \mathbf{E}_2 A_{33}] + [(1-q^2)I_{s-1} + q^2 J_{s-1}] A_{23} + q J_{(s-1) \times t} A_{33} \\
&= -q^4 \mathbf{E}_1^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_1 A_{23} - q^3 \mathbf{E}_1^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_2 A_{33} + [(1-q^2)I_{s-1} + q^2 J_{s-1}] A_{23} + q J_{(s-1) \times t} A_{33} \\
&= -q^4 J_{s-1} A_{23} - q^3 J_{(s-1) \times t} A_{33} + [(1-q^2)I_{s-1} + q^2 J_{s-1}] A_{23} + q J_{(s-1) \times t} A_{33},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $[I_s + q^2 J_{s-1}] A_{23} = -q J_{s-1} A_{33}$. From Lemma 3.2, we have $[I_{s-1} + q^2 J_{s-1}]^{-1} = I_{s-1} - \frac{q^2}{q^2(s-1)+1} J_{s-1}$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{23} &= -q \left[I_{s-1} - \frac{q^2}{q^2(s-1)+1} J_{s-1} \right] J_{s-1} A_{33} \\
&= -\frac{q}{q^2(s-1)+1} J_{s-1} A_{33}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

In Eqn. (4.7), considering the third row of \mathcal{F} and the third column of \mathcal{F}^{-1} , we get

$$I_t = q \mathbf{E}_2^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} A_{13} + q J_{t \times (s-1)} A_{23} + [(1-q^2)I_t + q^2 J_t] A_{33}.$$

Substituting A_{13} from Eqn. (4.8), and using the identities $\mathbf{E}_2^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_1 = J_{t \times (s-1)}$ and $\mathbf{E}_2^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_2 = J_t$ from Proposition 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_t &= q \mathbf{E}_2^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} [-q^2 \mathbf{E}_1 A_{23} - q \mathbf{E}_2 A_{33}] + q J_{t \times (s-1)} A_{23} + [(1-q^2)I_t + q^2 J_t] A_{33} \\
&= -q^3 \mathbf{E}_2^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_1 A_{23} - q^2 \mathbf{E}_2^t \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{E}_2 A_{33} + q J_{t \times (s-1)} A_{23} + [(1-q^2)I_t + q^2 J_t] A_{33} \\
&= -q^3 J_{t \times (s-1)} A_{23} - q^2 J_t A_{33} + q J_{t \times (s-1)} A_{23} + [(1-q^2)I_t + q^2 J_t] A_{33} \\
&= (1-q^2)[q J_{t \times (s-1)} A_{23} + A_{33}].
\end{aligned}$$

Now substituting A_{23} from Eqn.(4.14), the above equation gives

$$I_t = (1-q^2) \left[q J_{t \times (s-1)} \left[-\frac{q}{q^2(s-1)+1} J_{s-1} A_{33} \right] + A_{33} \right] = (1-q^2) \left[I_t - \frac{q^2(s-1)}{q^2(s-1)+1} J_t \right] A_{33}.$$

From Lemma 3.2, $\left[I_t - \frac{q^2(s-1)}{q^2(s-1)+1} J_t \right]^{-1} = I_t + \frac{q^2(s-1)}{1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)} J_t$, and hence

$$A_{33} = \frac{1}{1-q^2} \left[I_t + \frac{q^2(s-1)}{1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)} J_t \right]. \tag{4.15}$$

Since $A_{23} = A_{32}^t$, substituting $A_{23} = -\frac{q}{1-q^2} \left[\frac{1}{1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)} \right] J_{(s-1) \times t}$ using Eqn. (4.12) and A_{33} from Eqn. (4.15) in the expression of A_{13} from Eqn. (4.8), we get

$$A_{13} = -q^2 \mathbf{E}_1 \left[-\frac{q}{1-q^2} \left[\frac{1}{1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)} \right] J_{(s-1) \times t} \right] - q \mathbf{E}_2 \left[\frac{1}{1-q^2} \left[I_t + \frac{q^2(s-1)}{1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)} J_t \right] \right].$$

Further, using the identities $\mathbf{E}_1 J_{(s-1) \times t} = (s-1) \mathbf{E}_2$ and $\mathbf{E}_2 J_t = t \mathbf{E}_2$ from Proposition 2.1 gives

$$A_{13} = -\frac{q}{1-q^2} \left[\frac{1}{1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)} \right] \mathbf{E}_2. \quad (4.16)$$

Since $A_{21} = A_{12}^t$ and $A_{31} = A_{13}^t$, Eqns. (4.13) and (4.16) gives

$$A_{21} = \frac{1}{1-q^2} \left[\frac{q^2(t-1)}{1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)} \right] \mathbf{E}_1^t \text{ and } A_{31} = -\frac{q}{1-q^2} \left[\frac{1}{1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)} \right] \mathbf{E}_2^t.$$

Then, using the identities $\mathbf{E}_1 \mathbf{E}_1^t = (s-1) \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{mm}}$ and $\mathbf{E}_2 \mathbf{E}_2^t = t \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{mm}}$ from Proposition 2.1, the expression of A_{11} in Eqn. (4.8) is reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} A_{11} &= \widehat{\mathcal{F}}^{-1} - q^2 \mathbf{E}_1 A_{21} - q \mathbf{E}_2 A_{31} \\ &= \widehat{\mathcal{F}}^{-1} - q^2 \mathbf{E}_1 \left[\frac{1}{1-q^2} \left[\frac{q^2(t-1)}{1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)} \right] \mathbf{E}_1^t \right] - q \mathbf{E}_2 \left[-\frac{q}{1-q^2} \left[\frac{1}{1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)} \right] \mathbf{E}_2^t \right] \\ &= \widehat{\mathcal{F}}^{-1} - \frac{q^2}{1-q^2} \left[\frac{q^2(t-1)}{1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)} \right] \mathbf{E}_1 \mathbf{E}_1^t + \frac{q^2}{1-q^2} \left[\frac{1}{1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)} \right] \mathbf{E}_2 \mathbf{E}_2^t \\ &= \widehat{\mathcal{F}}^{-1} - \frac{q^2}{1-q^2} \left[\frac{q^2(s-1)(t-1)}{1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)} \right] \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{mm}} + \frac{q^2}{1-q^2} \left[\frac{t}{1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)} \right] \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{mm}} \\ &= \widehat{\mathcal{F}}^{-1} + \frac{q^2}{1-q^2} \left[\frac{(t-1)}{1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)} + 1 \right] \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{mm}}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of induction hypothesis and the above calculations we establish the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}^{-1} &= \frac{1}{1-q^2} I \\
&- \frac{q}{1-q^2} \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\mathbf{A}} & \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{m} \times (s-1)} & \frac{1}{1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)} E_2 \\ \mathbf{0}_{(s-1) \times \mathbf{m}} & \mathbf{0}_{s-1} & \frac{1}{1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)} J_{(s-1) \times t} \\ \frac{1}{1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)} E_2^t & \frac{1}{1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)} J_{t \times (s-1)} & \mathbf{0}_t \end{bmatrix} \\
&+ \frac{q^2}{1-q^2} \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\mathbf{B}} & \frac{t-1}{1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)} E_1 & \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{m} \times t} \\ \frac{t-1}{1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)} E_1^t & \frac{t-1}{1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)} (J_{s-1} - I_{s-1}) & \mathbf{0}_{(s-1) \times t} \\ \mathbf{0}_{t \times \mathbf{m}} & \mathbf{0}_{t \times (s-1)} & \frac{s-1}{1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)} (J_t - I_t) \end{bmatrix} \\
&+ \frac{q^2}{1-q^2} \begin{bmatrix} \text{diag}(\widehat{\mu}) + \left[\frac{t-1}{1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)} + 1 \right] E_{\mathbf{m}\mathbf{m}} & \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{m} \times (s-1)} & \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{m} \times t} \\ \mathbf{0}_{(s-1) \times \mathbf{m}} & \frac{t-1}{1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)} I_{s-1} & \mathbf{0}_{(s-1) \times t} \\ \mathbf{0}_{t \times \mathbf{m}} & \mathbf{0}_{t \times (s-1)} & \frac{s-1}{1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)} I_t \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \frac{1}{1-q^2} I - \frac{q}{1-q^2} \mathbf{A} + \frac{q^2}{1-q^2} \mathbf{B} + \frac{q^2}{1-q^2} \text{diag}(\mu).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

5 Cofactor sum of bi-block graphs

In this section, we determine the sum of all the cofactors of the exponential distance matrix for bi-block graphs. Notice that the cofactor sum of a matrix is equivalent to the sum of all entries of its adjoint matrix. Denote by M^* the adjoint matrix of an $n \times n$ matrix M . Recall that $M^* = \det(M)M^{-1}$ when M is invertible, which means that

$$\text{cof}(M) = \sum_{i,j} (M^*)_{ij} = \det(M) \sum_{i,j} (M^{-1})_{ij}.$$

Lemma 5.1. *Let G be the complete bipartite graph $K_{s,t}$ and \mathcal{F} be the exponential distance matrix of G . Let q be a nonzero indeterminate such that $q \neq \pm 1$ and $q^2 \neq \frac{1}{(s-1)(t-1)}$, then*

$$\text{cof}(\mathcal{F}) = (1-q^2)^{s+t-1} \left(\frac{2q(q-1)st}{(1-q^2)} + s+t \right)$$

Proof. From Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1} = \frac{q}{(q^2-1)[q^2(s-1)(t-1)-1]} \begin{bmatrix} q(t-1)J_s & -J_{s \times t} \\ -J_{t \times s} & q(s-1)J_t \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{q^2-1} I.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i,j} (\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{ij} &= \frac{q}{(q^2 - 1)[q^2(s - 1)(t - 1) - 1]} \times [q(t - 1)s^2 - 2st + q(s - 1)t^2] - \frac{s + t}{q^2 - 1} \\
&= \frac{q \times [q(t - 1)s^2 - 2st + q(s - 1)t^2] - (s + t)(q^2(s - 1)(t - 1) - 1)}{(q^2 - 1)[q^2(s - 1)(t - 1) - 1]} \\
&= \frac{2q(q - 1)st - (s + t)(q^2 - 1)}{(q^2 - 1)[q^2(s - 1)(t - 1) - 1]}.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, using the fact that $\det(\mathcal{F}) = (1 - q^2)^{s+t-1} [1 - q^2(s - 1)(t - 1)]$ from Lemma 3.3 we have the required result. \square

Theorem 5.2. *Let G be an \mathbf{n} -vertex bi-block graph with $r > 1$ blocks K_{m_i, n_i} , $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, where $\mathbf{n} = \sum_{i=1}^r (m_i + n_i) - r + 1$ and \mathcal{F} be the exponential distance matrix of G . Let q be a nonzero indeterminate such that $q \neq \pm 1$ and $q^2 \neq \frac{1}{(m_i - 1)(n_i - 1)}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{cof}(\mathcal{F}) &= (1 - q^2)^{\mathbf{n}-1} \left[\prod_{i=1}^r (1 - q^2(m_i - 1)(n_i - 1)) \right] \\
&\times \left[\sum_{i=1}^r \left(\frac{2q(q - 1)m_i n_i}{(1 - q^2)(1 - q^2(m_i - 1)(n_i - 1))} + \frac{m_i + n_i}{1 - q^2(m_i - 1)(n_i - 1)} \right) - (r - 1) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We prove the results using induction on the number of blocks r . First assume that $r = 1$, then G is an \mathbf{n} -vertex complete bipartite graph. Without loss of generality we assume $G = K_{s,t}$. Then, using Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\text{cof}(\mathcal{F}) = (1 - q^2)^{s+t-1} \left(\frac{2q(q - 1)st}{(1 - q^2)} + s + t \right),$$

which matches with the expression for $r = 1$. Hence the result is true for $r = 1$. Now suppose that $r > 1$ and the result is true for any bi-block graphs with $r - 1$ blocks. Thus, applying inductive hypothesis to H , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{cof}(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}) &= (1 - q^2)^{\mathbf{m}-1} \left[\prod_{i=1}^{r-1} (1 - q^2(m_i - 1)(n_i - 1)) \right] \\
&\times \left[\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \left(\frac{2q(q - 1)m_i n_i}{(1 - q^2)(1 - q^2(m_i - 1)(n_i - 1))} + \frac{m_i + n_i}{1 - q^2(m_i - 1)(n_i - 1)} \right) - (r - 2) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{i,j} (\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^{-1})_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \left(\frac{2q(q - 1)m_i n_i}{(1 - q^2)(1 - q^2(m_i - 1)(n_i - 1))} + \frac{m_i + n_i}{1 - q^2(m_i - 1)(n_i - 1)} \right) - (r - 2).$$

Next, let us consider an \mathbf{n} -vertex bi-block graph with $r > 1$ blocks, where the leaf block $K_{s,t}$ is attached to H at a cut-vertex. Using the 3×3 block partition of the matrix \mathcal{F}^{-1} from the proof

of the Theorem 4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i,j} (\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{ij} &= \sum_{i,j} (A_{11})_{ij} + \sum_{i,j} (A_{22})_{ij} + \sum_{i,j} (A_{33})_{ij} + 2 \sum_{i,j} (A_{12})_{ij} + 2 \sum_{i,j} (A_{13})_{ij} + 2 \sum_{i,j} (A_{23})_{ij} \\
&= \sum_{i,j} (\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^{-1})_{ij} + \frac{q^2}{1-q^2} \left[\frac{t-1}{1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)} + 1 \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{q^2(t-1)(s-1)^2}{(1-q^2)(1-q^2(s-1)(t-1))} + \frac{s-1}{1-q^2} + \frac{q^2(s-1)t^2}{(1-q^2)(1-q^2(s-1)(t-1))} + \frac{t}{1-q^2} \\
&\quad + \frac{2q^2}{1-q^2} \left[\frac{(t-1)(s-1)}{1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)} \right] - \frac{2qt}{(1-q^2)(1-q^2(s-1)(t-1))} \\
&\quad - \frac{2qt(s-1)}{(1-q^2)(1-q^2(s-1)(t-1))} \\
&= \sum_{i,j} (\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^{-1})_{ij} \\
&\quad + \frac{q^2}{(1-q^2)(1-q^2(s-1)(t-1))} [(t-1) + (t-1)(s-1)^2 + (s-1)t^2 + 2(t-1)(s-1)] \\
&\quad + \frac{q^2}{1-q^2} + \frac{s+t-1}{1-q^2} - \frac{2qst}{(1-q^2)(1-q^2(s-1)(t-1))} \\
&= \sum_{i,j} (\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^{-1})_{ij} + \frac{q^2(s^2(t-1) + t^2(s-1)) - 2qst}{(1-q^2)(1-q^2(s-1)(t-1))} + \frac{s+t}{1-q^2} - 1 \\
&= \sum_{i,j} (\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^{-1})_{ij} + \frac{2q(q-1)st + (s+t)(1-q^2)}{(1-q^2)[1-q^2(s-1)(t-1)]} - 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Since we have taken the leaf block to be $K_{s,t}$, we have $m_r = s$ and $n_r = t$. Thus we have

$$\sum_{i,j} (\mathcal{F}^{-1})_{ij} = \sum_{i,j} (\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^{-1})_{ij} + \left(\frac{2q(q-1)m_r n_r}{(1-q^2)(1-q^2(m_r-1)(n_r-1))} + \frac{m_r + n_r}{1-q^2(m_r-1)(n_r-1)} \right) - 1.$$

Finally, using the induction hypothesis for H , the result follows. \square

6 q -Laplacian of bi-block graphs

Recall that **deg** and **adj** represent the diagonal degree matrix and adjacency matrix of a connected graph G , respectively. The Laplacian matrix of G is defined to be $L = \mathbf{deg} - \mathbf{adj}$. The concept q -Laplacian matrix was introduced for trees, when Bapat et al. [2] investigated the inverse of exponential distance matrix for trees.

For a tree T on \mathbf{n} vertices, let $\mathbf{d}^t = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$ and $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{d} - \mathbf{1}$, where d_i is the degree of the i^{th} vertex. Then the q -Laplacian matrix, \mathcal{L} , of a tree T is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = qL - (q-1)I + q(q-1)\text{diag}(\mathbf{z}),$$

where L is the usual Laplacian matrix of T . Note that, when $q = 1$, then $\mathcal{L} = L$. More interestingly, in [2] the authors showed the relation between q -Laplacian matrix and the inverse of exponential distance matrix for trees.

Lemma 6.1. [2] For a tree and any nonzero indeterminate $q \neq \pm 1$,

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1} = \frac{1}{1-q^2} \mathcal{L}.$$

In this section, we extend the definition of q -Laplacian matrix to bi-block graphs and show how it is related with the exponential distance matrix.

Let G be a \mathbf{n} -vertex bi-block graph with $r > 1$ blocks K_{m_i, n_i} , $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, where $\mathbf{n} = \sum_{i=1}^r (m_i + n_i) - r + 1$. Then, we define an \mathbf{n} -dimensional vector \mathbf{x} as follows:

$$\mathbf{x}_G(v) = \sum_{v \in X_i} \frac{1 - q^2(m_i - 2)(n_i - 1)}{1 - q^2(m_i - 1)(n_i - 1)} + \sum_{v \in Y_i} \frac{1 - q^2(m_i - 1)(n_i - 2)}{1 - q^2(m_i - 1)(n_i - 1)} - (1 - q^2)(k - 1),$$

where $v \in V(G)$ is a vertex with block degree of v , $\hat{d}_G(v) = k$ and the summation is taken over all the blocks K_{m_i, n_i} that contains v as a vertex. Note that, when $q = 1$ and $m_i = n_i = 1$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, $\mathbf{x}_G(v) = \deg(v)$, i.e. $\mathbf{x}_G = \mathbf{d}$. Next, we define the q -Laplacian matrix for bi-block graphs as follows:

$$\mathcal{L} = \text{diag}(\mathbf{x}) + q(q\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{A}),$$

where the matrices \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} are same as defined in where \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} and μ as defined in Eqns. (2.1) and (2.2). One can easily verify that when $q = 1$ and $m_i = n_i = 1$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, $q(q\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{adj}$, the adjacency matrix of the tree. Hence, $\mathcal{L} = \mathbf{deg} - \mathbf{adj} = L$, matches with the usual Laplacian matrix for trees. Thus \mathcal{L} is a generalization of the Laplacian matrix for the bi-block graphs.

In the next result, we express the inverse of the exponential distance matrix of the bi-block graphs in terms of the q -Laplacian matrix for the same.

Theorem 6.2. *Let G be an \mathbf{n} -vertex bi-block graph with $r > 1$ blocks K_{m_i, n_i} , $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, where $\mathbf{n} = \sum_{i=1}^r (m_i + n_i) - r + 1$ and \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L} be the exponential distance matrix and q -Laplacian matrix of G , respectively. Let q be a nonzero indeterminate such that $q \neq \pm 1$ and \mathcal{F} is invertible. Then*

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1} = \frac{1}{1 - q^2} \mathcal{L}.$$

Proof. From Theorem 4.2, we have

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1} = \frac{1}{1 - q^2} I - \frac{q}{1 - q^2} \mathbf{A} + \frac{q^2}{1 - q^2} \mathbf{B} + \frac{q^2}{1 - q^2} \text{diag}(\mu),$$

i.e.

$$(1 - q^2) \mathcal{F}^{-1} = I - q\mathbf{A} + q^2\mathbf{B} + q^2 \text{diag}(\mu).$$

From the definition of the q -Laplacian matrix for bi-block graphs, we have

$$\mathcal{L} = \text{diag}(\mathbf{x}) + q(q\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{A}).$$

Thus, if we can show that

$$\text{diag}(\mathbf{x}) = I + q^2 \text{diag}(\mu)$$

then we are done. We verify this as follows: Let v be a vertex in G with $bi_G(v) = k$, the block

index of v . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
1 + q^2\mu(v) &= 1 + q^2 \left[\sum_{v \in X_i} \frac{n_i - 1}{1 - q^2(m_i - 1)(n_i - 1)} + \sum_{v \in Y_i} \frac{m_i - 1}{1 - q^2(m_i - 1)(n_i - 1)} + (k - 1) \right] \\
&= 1 + q^2(k - 1) + q^2 \left[\sum_{v \in X_i} \frac{n_i - 1}{1 - q^2(m_i - 1)(n_i - 1)} + \sum_{v \in Y_i} \frac{m_i - 1}{1 - q^2(m_i - 1)(n_i - 1)} \right] \\
&= (q^2 - 1)(k - 1) + \left[\sum_{v \in X_i} \left(1 + \frac{q^2(n_i - 1)}{1 - q^2(m_i - 1)(n_i - 1)} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{v \in Y_i} \left(1 + \frac{q^2(m_i - 1)}{1 - q^2(m_i - 1)(n_i - 1)} \right) \right] \\
&= (q^2 - 1)(k - 1) + \left[\sum_{v \in X_i} \frac{1 - q^2(m_i - 2)(n_i - 1)}{1 - q^2(m_i - 1)(n_i - 1)} + \sum_{v \in Y_i} \frac{1 - q^2(m_i - 1)(n_i - 2)}{1 - q^2(m_i - 1)(n_i - 1)} \right] \\
&= \sum_{v \in X_i} \frac{1 - q^2(m_i - 2)(n_i - 1)}{1 - q^2(m_i - 1)(n_i - 1)} + \sum_{v \in Y_i} \frac{1 - q^2(m_i - 1)(n_i - 2)}{1 - q^2(m_i - 1)(n_i - 1)} - (1 - q^2)(k - 1) \\
&= \mathbf{x}(v).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the result follows. \square

References

- [1] R.B. Bapat, S.J. Kirkland, M. Neumann, On distance matrices and Laplacians, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 401: 193–209, 2005.
- [2] R.B. Bapat, A.K. Lal, S. Pati, A q -analogue of the distance matrix of a tree, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 416 (2006) 799–814.
- [3] R.B. Bapat, A.K. Lal, S. Pati, The distance matrix of a bidirected tree, *Electron. J. Linear Algebra* 18: 233–245, 2009.
- [4] R.B. Bapat. *Graphs and matrices*. Second Edition, Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi. 2014.
- [5] R.B. Bapat and S. Sivasubramanian. Inverse of the distance matrix of a block graph. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*. 59(12):1393-1397, 2011.
- [6] J. Das, S. Jayaraman and S. Mohanty. Distance Matrix of a Class of Completely Positive Graphs: Determinant and Inverse. *Spec. Matrices* 8:160-171, 2020.
- [7] J. Das and S. Mohanty, Distance matrix of multi-block graphs: determinant and inverse. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 70(19), 3994–4022, 2020.
- [8] J. Das and S. Mohanty, Distance matrix of weighted cactoid-type digraphs, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 70(20), 5392–5422, 2021.
- [9] R.L. Graham and H.O. Pollak. On the addressing problem for loop switching. *Bell System Technical Journal*. 50:2495-2519, 1971.
- [10] R. L. Graham, A. J. Hoffman and H. Hosoya. On the distance matrix of a directed graph. *J. Graph Theory*. 1:85-88, 1977.
- [11] R.L. Graham and L. Lovász. Distance matrix polynomials of trees. *Advances in Mathematics*. 29(1):60-88, 1978.

- [12] Y. Hou and J. Chen. Inverse of the distance matrix of a cactoid digraph. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*. 475:1-10, 2015.
- [13] Y. Hou, A. Fang and Y. Sun. Inverse of the distance matrix of a cycle-clique graph. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*. 485:33-46, 2015.
- [14] Y. Hou and Y. Sun. Inverse of the distance matrix of a bi-block graph. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*. 64(8):1509-1517, 2016.
- [15] S. Sivasubramanian, q -Analogues of distance matrices of 3-hypertrees, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 431 (2009) 1234–1248.
- [16] R. Xing and Z. Du, The exponential distance matrix of block graphs, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, Volume 447, 2023, 127673.
- [17] W. Yan, Y.N. Yeh, The determinants of q -distance matrices of trees and two quantities relating to permutations, *Adv. Appl. Math.* 39 (2007) 311–321.
- [18] F. Zhang. *Matrix theory. Basic results and Techniques*. Universitext, Springer Verlag, New York. 1999.
- [19] F. Zhang (Editor). *The Schur complement and its applications. Numerical Methods and Algorithms*, 4. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.
- [20] L. Zheng, B. Zhou, On the spectral closeness and residual spectral closeness of graphs, *RAIRO-Oper. Res.* 56 (2022) 2651–2668.
- [21] H. Zhou. The inverse of the distance matrix of a distance well-defined graph. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*. 517:11-29. 2017.
- [22] H. Zhou, Q. Ding and R. Jia. Inverse of the distance matrix of a weighted cactoid digraph. *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 362, 2019.