

GENERALIZED SPLINES OVER \mathbb{Z} -MODULES ON ARBITRARY GRAPHSGÖKÇEN DILAVER^{1*}, SELMA ALTINOK²

ABSTRACT. Let R be a commutative ring with identity and G a graph. An *extending generalized spline* on G is a vertex labeling $f \in \prod_v M_v$, where for each edge $e = uv$ there exists an R -module M_{uv} together with homomorphisms $\varphi_u : M_u \rightarrow M_{uv}$ and $\varphi_v : M_v \rightarrow M_{uv}$ such that $\varphi_u(f_u) = \varphi_v(f_v)$. Extending generalized splines are further generalizations for generalized splines. They can also be considered as generalized splines over modules.

In this paper, we prove that some of the results for splines can be extended to generalized splines over modules $M_v = m_v\mathbb{Z}$ at each vertex v and we define a method of a graph reduction based on graph operations on vertices and edges to produce an explicit \mathbb{Z} -module basis for generalized splines over modules. This corresponds to a sequence of surjective homomorphisms between the associated spline modules so that the space of splines decomposes as a direct sum of certain submodules.

Keywords: Splines, module bases, algebraic graph theory.

AMS Subject Classification: 05C25, 05C78, 05E16, 05C60.

1. INTRODUCTION

The term "spline" originally came from engineering, where it was used to model complex structures like ships and automobiles. Mathematicians later adopted the term to refer to what are now known as "classical splines"—piecewise polynomial functions defined on polyhedral complexes, which agree along the intersections of adjacent faces. A central focus of classical spline theory is determining the dimension of the spline space and to construct explicit bases for splines of a given degree. The algebraic properties of classical splines have been extensively studied by researchers such as Billera [2, 3, 4], Rose [8, 9], and Schenck [11]. Generalized spline theory builds upon the framework introduced by Billera and Rose [3], which defines classical splines in terms of the dual graph of a polyhedral complex. This broader theory has diverse applications in areas requiring smooth approximations, interpolation, and efficient handling of complex or large-scale data, including geometric modeling, network theory, machine learning, numerical methods, medical imaging, robotics, and finance. Owing to their versatility, generalized splines serve as powerful tools in both theoretical research and practical applications.

In this paper, we study the theory of extending generalized splines. Extending generalized splines were first mentioned in the section of open questions (see Gilbert, Polster, and Tymoczko [6]), and then were described in Tymoczko [12]. Later, in [5], we provided a detailed definition. These are generalized splines whose vertices are labeled by modules M_v rather than by the ring R itself. More explicitly, let R be a commutative ring with identity and $G = (V, E)$ an arbitrary graph, defined as a set of vertices V and edges E . An *extending generalized spline* on (G, β) is a vertex labeling $f \in \prod_v M_v$ such that for each edge uv there is a R -module M_{uv} together with R -module homomorphisms $\varphi_u : M_u \rightarrow M_{uv}$ and $\varphi_v : M_v \rightarrow M_{uv}$ satisfying $\varphi_u(f_u) = \varphi_v(f_v)$.

¹ Hacettepe University, Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Beytepe, Ankara, Turkey
e-mail: gokcen.dilaver11@hacettepe.edu.tr ; ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6055-111X>.

* Corresponding author.

² Hacettepe University Department of Mathematics, Beytepe, Ankara, Turkey
e-mail: sbhupal@hacettepe.edu.tr ; ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0782-1587>.

The set of extending generalized splines on G is given by

$$\hat{R}_G = \{f \in \prod_{v \in V} M_v \mid \text{for each edge } e = uv, \varphi_u(f_u) = \varphi_v(f_v)\}.$$

This set \hat{R}_G has the structure of an R -module. In the special case where each $M_v = R$ and each $M_{uv} = R/\beta(uv)$, with β assigning an ideal of R to each edge uv and each $\varphi_u : M_u \rightarrow M_{uv}$ being the natural quotient map, the definition of extending generalized splines reduces to the definition of generalized splines $R_{(G,\beta)}$ over R (see Gilbert, Polster, and Tymoczko [6]).

Handschy, Melnick, and Reinders [7] presented a special type of generalized spline, called flow-up class, and showed the existence of the smallest flow-up classes on cycles over \mathbb{Z} . Moreover, they proved that these flow-up classes form a basis for generalized spline modules. Altinok and Sarioğlu [1] provided an explicit formula for constructing a flow-up basis of generalized splines on arbitrary graphs over a principal ideal domain (PID) R . In our work [5], we proposed a longest path technique as a method for building a flow-up basis for generalized splines over modules on arbitrary graphs.

Rose and Suzuki [10] introduced the concept of graph reduction—referred to as graph collapsing—in the context of generalized splines. In [5], we proposed a graph reduction approach for extending generalized splines, based on the use of zero-labeled vertices.

In this paper, we first show that certain results previously established for splines over a ring naturally extend to the more general setting of splines over modules. We then introduce a general framework for graph reduction by using graph operations on arbitrary graphs to produce a basis for extending generalized splines. An explicit construction of a \mathbb{Z} -module basis in terms of the edge labels is derived, which corresponds to a sequence of surjective maps between the associated spline modules. Under our assumptions, we can reformulate and extend graph operations to the setting of extending generalized splines. The goal of graph reduction in the context of extending generalized splines is to reduce the number of vertices and edges in the graph while preserving the essential algebraic structure imposed by the splines.

The results of our work naturally generalize to generalized splines over modules $M_v = m_v R$ at each vertex v for a principal ideal domain (PID) R .

2. BACKGROUND AND NOTATIONS

We use P_n to denote a path graph with n vertices; C_n to denote a cycle graph with n vertices and K_n to denote a complete graph with n vertices. Throughout the paper, we write $(\)$ for the greatest common divisor and $[\]$ for the least common multiple.

Definition 2.1. Let R be a ring and $G = (V, E)$ a graph. An edge-labeling function is a map $\beta : E \rightarrow \{R\text{-modules}\}$ which assigns an R -module M_{uv} to each edge uv . We call the pair (G, β) as an *edge-labeled graph*.

Definition 2.2. Let R be a ring and (G, β) an edge-labeled graph. An *extending generalized spline* on (G, β) is a vertex labeling $f \in \prod_v M_v$ such that for each edge uv there is a R -module M_{uv} together with R -module homomorphisms $\varphi_u : M_u \rightarrow M_{uv}$ and $\varphi_v : M_v \rightarrow M_{uv}$ satisfying $\varphi_u(f_u) = \varphi_v(f_v)$. The collection of extending generalized splines on (G, β) with the base ring R is denoted by \hat{R}_G :

$$\hat{R}_G = \{f \in \prod_v M_v \mid \text{for each edge } uv, \varphi_u(f_u) = \varphi_v(f_v)\}.$$

For elements of \hat{R}_G we use either a column matrix notation with ordering from bottom to top as

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} f_{v_n} \\ \vdots \\ f_{v_1} \end{pmatrix} \in \hat{R}_G$$

or a vector notation as $F = (f_{v_1}, \dots, f_{v_n})$.

For the sake of simplicity, we refer to extending generalized splines as splines.

Remark 2.3. \hat{R}_G has an R -module structure by the following two binary operations:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{R}_G \times \hat{R}_G &\rightarrow \hat{R}_G, (f, g) \mapsto f + g := (f_{v_1} + g_{v_1}, f_{v_2} + g_{v_2}, \dots, f_{v_n} + g_{v_n}), \\ R \times \hat{R}_G &\rightarrow \hat{R}_G, (r, f) \mapsto rf := (rf_{v_1}, rf_{v_2}, \dots, rf_{v_n})\end{aligned}$$

where $f = (f_{v_1}, \dots, f_{v_n})$ and $g = (g_{v_1}, \dots, g_{v_n})$.

Definition 2.4. A spline $F = (f_{v_1}, \dots, f_{v_n}) \in \prod_{v_i} M_{v_i}$ is *nontrivial* if f_{v_j} is nonzero for at least one of the j . Note that $(0, \dots, 0)$ is a trivial spline.

In this paper, we consider a graph G whose vertices are labeled by \mathbb{Z} -modules $M_v := m_v \mathbb{Z}$ and edges e are labeled by \mathbb{Z} -modules $M_e := \mathbb{Z}/r_e \mathbb{Z}$ together with quotient homomorphisms $\varphi_v : M_v \rightarrow M_e$, defined by $a \mapsto a + r_e \mathbb{Z}$, for each vertex v incident to e unless otherwise stated. We study extending generalized splines \hat{R}_G on G satisfying $\varphi_u(f_u) = \varphi_v(f_v)$ or equivalently $f_u - f_v \in r_e \mathbb{Z}$ where $e = uv$.

We can construct extending generalized splines on disjoint unions of graphs by taking the direct sum of the corresponding spline modules. If (G, β) is the union of two disjoint graphs G_1 and G_2 then $\hat{R}_G = \hat{R}_{G_1} \oplus \hat{R}_{G_2}$. In this article, unless stated otherwise, we assume that G is a connected graph.

Definition 2.5. Let (G, β) be an edge-labeled graph with an R -module M_v assigned to each vertex v and $\beta(uv) = M_{uv}$ at each edge uv . An i -th flow-up class $F^{(i)}$ over (G, β) with $1 \leq i \leq n$ is a spline for which the component $f_{v_i}^{(i)} \neq 0$ and $f_{v_s}^{(i)} = 0$ whenever $s < i$. The set of all i -th flow-up classes is denoted by $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_i$.

Definition 2.6. Let $F^{(i)} = (0, \dots, 0, f_{v_i}^{(i)}, \dots, f_{v_n}^{(i)}) \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}_i$ be a flow-up class with $f_{v_i}^{(i)} \neq 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $f_{v_s}^{(i)} = 0$ for all $s < i$. We define the leading term of $F^{(i)}$, $LT(F^{(i)}) = f_{v_i}^{(i)}$, the first nonzero entry of $F^{(i)}$. The set of all leading terms of splines in $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_i$ including a trivial spline, $\langle LT(\hat{\mathcal{F}}_i) \rangle$, forms an ideal of \mathbb{Z} .

Definition 2.7. A flow-up class $F^{(i)}$ is called a minimal element of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_i$ if $LT(F^{(i)})$ is a generator of the ideal $LT(\hat{\mathcal{F}}_i)$.

Definition 2.8. A *minimum generating set* for a \mathbb{Z} -module \hat{R}_G is a spanning set of splines with the smallest possible number of elements. The size of a minimum generating set is called *rank* and is denoted by $\text{rk } \hat{R}_G$.

Theorem 2.9 (The Chinese Remainder Theorem). *Let R be a PID and $x, a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n \in R$. Then the system*

$$\begin{aligned}x &\equiv a_1 \pmod{b_1} \\ x &\equiv a_2 \pmod{b_2} \\ &\vdots \\ x &\equiv a_n \pmod{b_n}\end{aligned}$$

has a solution if and only if $a_i \equiv a_j \pmod{(b_i, b_j)}$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $i \neq j$. The solution is unique modulo $[b_1, \dots, b_n]$.




FIGURE 1. An edge-labeled path graph (P_4, β)

Example 2.10. Consider the path graph P_4 as in Figure 1. Let $(f_{v_1}, f_{v_2}, f_{v_3}, f_{v_4}) \in \hat{R}_{P_4}$. By the spline conditions, we have the following equations:

$$f_{v_i} - f_{v_{i+1}} \in r_i \mathbb{Z}$$

for all $i = 1, 2, 3$. By solving the system of the equations together with $f_{v_j} \in m_{v_j} \mathbb{Z}$ at each vertex v_j , we proceed inductively as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{v_3} - f_{v_4} \in r_3 \mathbb{Z} &\Rightarrow f_{v_3} \in f_{v_4} + r_3 \mathbb{Z} \subset m_{v_4} \mathbb{Z} + r_3 \mathbb{Z} = (r_3, m_{v_4}) \mathbb{Z} \\ &\Rightarrow f_{v_3} \in [m_{v_3}, (r_3, m_{v_4})] \mathbb{Z}, \\ f_{v_2} - f_{v_3} \in r_2 \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad f_{v_3} \in [m_{v_3}, (r_3, m_{v_4})] \mathbb{Z} &\Rightarrow f_{v_2} \in (r_2, [m_{v_3}, (r_3, m_{v_4})]) \mathbb{Z} \\ &\Rightarrow f_{v_2} \in [m_{v_2}, (r_2, [m_{v_3}, (r_3, m_{v_4})])] \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

Additionally, we obtain the system of congruences:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} f_{v_2} &\equiv 0 \pmod{[m_{v_2}, (m_{v_3}, r_2), (m_{v_4}, r_2, r_3)]} \\ f_{v_2} &\equiv f_{v_1} \pmod{r_1}. \end{aligned}$$

By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, this system has a solution for f_{v_2} if and only if

$$f_{v_1} \equiv 0 \pmod{(r_1, [m_{v_2}, (m_{v_3}, r_2), (m_{v_4}, r_2, r_3)])}.$$

Equivalently, we have

$$(2.2) \quad f_{v_1} \equiv 0 \pmod{[m_{v_1}, (r_1, [m_{v_2}, (r_2, [m_{v_3}, (r_3, m_{v_4})])])]}.$$

If we choose f_{v_1} satisfying the system in (2.2) the Chinese Remainder Theorem guarantees the existence of a solution for f_{v_2} satisfying the system in (2.1). By continuing this process backward, we establish the existence of f_{v_j} for all $j = 3, 4$. Hence, there exists a spline $(f_{v_1}, f_{v_2}, f_{v_3}, f_{v_4})$.

Here, we showed the existence of a spline using Chinese Remainder Theorem.

3. GRAPH PROPERTIES

Gilbert, Polster and Tymoczko [6] focused on the question of whether there is a generalized spline for R_G when we take a spline in any subgraph G' of G . They gave the answer to this question in Theorem 5.1 [6]. The same result works here in a similar way. Here we will also focus on other questions, such as if we take the map $\hat{R}_G \rightarrow \hat{R}_{G'}$, is this map well defined, what is its kernel, what can we comment on this map?

Theorem 3.1. *Let (G, β) be an edge-labeled graph. Fix subgraph $(G', \beta|_{G'})$ of (G, β) . Let F' be a spline for $\hat{R}_{G'}$. We define $a = \prod_{N_i} r_{v_i v_j}$ where*

$$N_i = \{r_{v_i v_j} : v_i v_j \in E(G - G') \text{ and } v_i \in V(G') \text{ or } v_j \in V(G')\}.$$

Then the vector F defined by

$$f_{v_i} = \begin{cases} a f'_{v_i} & \text{if } v_i \in V(G') \\ 0 & \text{if } v_i \notin V(G') \end{cases}$$

is a spline for \hat{R}_G .

Proof. See Theorem 5.1 in [6]. □

Corollary 3.2. *Let (G, β) be an edge-labeled graph. If (G, β) includes a subgraph $(G', \beta|_{G'})$ for which $\hat{R}_{G'}$ admits a nontrivial extending generalized spline, then \hat{R}_G also contains one.*

Theorem 3.3. *Let (G, β) be an edge-labeled graph with n vertices and $(G', \beta|_{G'})$ be a subgraph of (G, β) with $n - 1$ vertices. The map $\psi : \hat{R}_G \rightarrow \hat{R}_{G'}$ defined by*

$$\psi(f_{v_1}, \dots, f_{v_{n-1}}, f_{v_n}) = (f_{v_1}, \dots, f_{v_{n-1}})$$

is a \mathbb{Z} -module homomorphism with kernel $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_n$. In general, this map is not surjective.

Proof. Firstly, we need to show that the map ψ is well-defined. Let $F = (f_{v_1}, \dots, f_{v_n}) \in \hat{R}_G$. It can be easily seen that $F' = (f_{v_1}, \dots, f_{v_{n-1}})$ also satisfy spline condition since $(G', \beta|_{G'})$ is a subgraph of (G, β) . Hence, ψ is well-defined. Since it is a projection map, it is also an \mathbb{Z} -module homomorphism. Now, we will find the kernel of ψ .

$$\begin{aligned} \ker \psi &= \{(f_{v_1}, \dots, f_{v_n}) \in \hat{R}_G \mid (f_{v_1}, \dots, f_{v_{n-1}}) = (0, \dots, 0)\} \\ &= \{F^{(n)} = (0, \dots, 0, f_{v_n}) \mid f_{v_n} \in m_{v_n} \mathbb{Z}\} = \hat{\mathcal{F}}_n \cong [m_{v_n}, N_n] \mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

where $N_n = \{r_{v_n v_j} : v_n v_j \in E \text{ for } j < n\}$.

In general, this map is not surjective. However, under some conditions from the Theorem 2.9 (CRT), we can say that this map is surjective. If we take $F' = (f_{v_1}, \dots, f_{v_{n-1}}) \in \hat{R}_{G'}$, then we know that $f_{v_i} \in m_{v_i} \mathbb{Z}$ for all i by definition and $f_{v_j} - f_{v_k} \in r_{v_j v_k} \mathbb{Z}$ for all connected vertices v_j and v_k by spline conditions. Assume that vertices v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_s} adjacent to v_n with edge labels $r_{v_n v_{i_1}}, \dots, r_{v_n v_{i_s}}$, respectively. By Theorem 2.9 (CRT), the system

$$\begin{aligned} f_{v_n} &\equiv 0 \pmod{m_{v_n}} \\ f_{v_n} &\equiv f_{v_{i_1}} \pmod{r_{v_n v_{i_1}}} \\ f_{v_n} &\equiv f_{v_{i_2}} \pmod{r_{v_n v_{i_2}}} \\ &\vdots \\ f_{v_n} &\equiv f_{v_{i_s}} \pmod{r_{v_n v_{i_s}}} \end{aligned}$$

has a solution if and only if

$$(3.1) \quad f_{v_j} \equiv f_{v_k} \pmod{(r_{v_n v_j}, r_{v_n v_k})}$$

$$(3.2) \quad f_{v_l} \equiv 0 \pmod{(m_{v_n}, r_{v_n v_l})}$$

for all $j, k, l \in \{i_1, \dots, i_s\}$ with $j \neq k$. In other words, if Equations (3.1) and (3.2) have solutions, then f_{v_n} exists. Thus, under these conditions ψ is surjective. \square

The following results state that adding edges or removing edges uv labeled by zero modules do not affect the set of extending generalized splines.

Theorem 3.4. *Let (G, β) be an edge-labeled graph. Let (G', β') be an edge-labeled graph with $V(G) = V(G')$ by adding the edges $uv \in E(G' - G)$ with a zero module $\beta'(uv) = 0$ where $\beta = \beta' |_{G}$. Then $\hat{R}_G = \hat{R}_{G'}$.*

Proof. Let $F \in \hat{R}_G$ and $e = uv$ an edge. If $e = uv \in E(G)$, then by the definition of a spline, $f_u - f_v \in r_{uv} \mathbb{Z}$. Otherwise, if $e \notin E(G)$, then the difference $f_u - f_v \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, $F \in \hat{R}_{G'}$. Conversely, if $F \in \hat{R}_{G'}$, then $F \in \hat{R}_G$, as well, since (G, β) is a subgraph of (G', β') and the spline conditions for edges in G are satisfied as part of those in G' . \square

Theorem 3.5. *Let (G, β) be an edge-labeled graph. Let (G', β') be an edge-labeled subgraph of (G, β) with $V(G) = V(G')$ by removing the edges $uv \in E(G)$ with a zero module $\beta(uv) = 0$ where $\beta' = \beta |_{G}$. Then $\hat{R}_G = \hat{R}_{G'}$.*

Proof. If $F \in \hat{R}_G$, then $F \in \hat{R}_{G'}$ since (G', β') is a subgraph of (G, β) . Conversely, let $F \in \hat{R}_{G'}$. If $uv \in E(G') \subset E(G)$, then $f_u - f_v \in r_{uv} \mathbb{Z}$. If $uv \in E(G - G')$ then $F \in \hat{R}_G$ since $f_u - f_v \in \mathbb{Z}$. \square

We cannot, in general, claim that $\hat{R}_G = \hat{R}_{G'}$ when we add missing edges or remove edges uv labeled by \mathbb{Z} -modules. For instance, by adding edges $uv \in E(G' - G)$ with $\beta'(uv) = \mathbb{Z}$ where $\beta = \beta'|_G$ we get $\hat{R}_{G'} \subset \hat{R}_G$ since $(G, \beta) \subset (G', \beta')$ is a subgraph. However, the converse generally does not hold since $f_u = f_v$ need not hold in $[m_u, m_v]\mathbb{Z}$ for every edge uv .

4. GRAPH REDUCTION ON EXTENDING GENERALIZED SPLINES

In [10], Rose and Suzuki introduced definitions of the collapsing operations that reduce any simple graph to a single vertex, preserving edge label information with it. An explicit construction of a \mathbb{Z} -module basis in terms of edge labels results from this process, corresponding to a sequence of surjective maps between the associated spline modules. Under our framework, we can adapt and extend these collapsing operations to the setting of extending generalized splines.

In this section, we assume that (G, β) is an edge-labeled graph with a module $M_u = m_u\mathbb{Z}$ at each vertex u and a module $M_{uv} = \mathbb{Z}/r_{uv}\mathbb{Z}$ at each edge uv , together with quotient module homomorphisms $\varphi_u : M_u \rightarrow M_{uv}$. For simplicity, we associate r_e to each edge label $l_e = \beta(v_i v_j) = \mathbb{Z}/r_e\mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 4.1 (Multiple Edge Reduction). Let (G, β) be an edge-labeled graph with multiple edges e_1, e_2, \dots, e_s between vertices v_i and v_j for $i \neq j$. An edge-labeled reduced graph of (G, β) is an edge-labeled graph (G_e, β_e) with no multiple edge between v_i and v_j defined as follows:

- Remove the edges e_1, e_2, \dots, e_s labeled by r_1, r_2, \dots, r_s between v_i and v_j ;
- Add a new edge $e = v_i v_j$ labeled by the least common multiple $[r_1, r_2, \dots, r_s]$.

Here, $\beta_e = \beta|_{G_e}$.

We can repeat Definition 4.1 as needed to obtain an edge-labeled graph with no multiple edges.

The following lemma states that the reduction on multiple edges changes the graph, but does not change the corresponding spline module.

Lemma 4.2. Assume that (G, β) be an edge-labeled graph with e_1, e_2, \dots, e_s multiple edges between vertices v_i and v_j with labels r_1, r_2, \dots, r_s respectively for fixed i, j and (G_e, β_e) be an edge-labeled reduced graph of G by replacing multiple edges e_1, e_2, \dots, e_s to a single edge e with the label $[r_1, r_2, \dots, r_s]$. Then, $\hat{R}_G = \hat{R}_{G_e}$.

Proof. For simplicity, we prove the lemma in the case where there are exactly two multiple edges between the vertices v_i and v_j with edge labels r_1 and r_2 . Let $(f_{v_1}, f_{v_2}, \dots, f_{v_n}) \in \hat{R}_G$. By assumption, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{v_i} &\equiv f_{v_j} \pmod{r_1} \\ f_{v_i} &\equiv f_{v_j} \pmod{r_2}. \end{aligned}$$

It then follows that $f_{v_i} \equiv f_{v_j} \pmod{[r_1, r_2]}$. Since the reduction on multiple edges affects only the edges between two adjacent vertices, all other vertices and incident edges in the graph G remain the same. Therefore, $(f_{v_1}, f_{v_2}, \dots, f_{v_n}) \in \hat{R}_{G_e}$.

For the other direction, assume that $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) \in \hat{R}_{G_e}$. It suffices to check the spline condition in \hat{R}_G between two adjacent vertices with multiple edges since all vertices in G_e other than v_i and v_j , as well as the edges incident to these vertices, are the same in the graph G . So, by assumption, we have $g_k \in m_{v_k}\mathbb{Z}$ for $k = i, j$ and $g_i \equiv g_j \pmod{[r_1, r_2]}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} g_i &\equiv g_j \pmod{r_1} \\ g_i &\equiv g_j \pmod{r_2} \end{aligned}$$

so the spline condition on \hat{R}_G is also satisfied. □

Definition 4.3 (Vertex Reduction). Let (G, β) be an edge-labeled graph and v a vertex of G . A vertex reduced graph of (G, β) with respect to the vertex v is an edge-labeled graph (G_v, β_v) defined as follows:

- Remove the vertex v and all edges incident to v , i.e., the edges vv_i . The adjacent vertices v_i are retained, but each is relabeled with the module $[m_{v_i}, (m_v, r_{vv_i})]\mathbb{Z}$;
- Add a new edge between each pair of vertices adjacent to v ;
- Label the new edge between v_i and v_j with the greatest common divisor (r_{vv_i}, r_{vv_j}) , where r_{vv_i} and r_{vv_j} are the labels of the original edges incident to v .

As a result of the definition above, we observe that G_v is connected and (G_v, β_v) may have multiple edges.

Definition 4.4 (Reduced Graph). Let (G, β) be an edge-labeled graph, and v a vertex of G . A reduced graph of (G, β) associated to v (or on v), denoted by $(G_{\text{red}}, \beta_{\text{red}})$, is constructed through the following steps:

- (1) Suppose v is adjacent to the vertices v_1, \dots, v_s and the edges vv_i labeled by r_i . Perform a vertex reduction on v to obtain the intermediate graph (G_v, β_v) as described previously.
- (2) If (G_v, β_v) contains multiple edges between any pair of vertices, apply the multiple edge reduction on it to obtain the simple edge-labeled graph with no multiple edges.

As a result, the reduced graph is a simple edge-labeled graph. We can repeatedly apply Definition 4.4 until we obtain a graph with a single vertex.




FIGURE 2. An edge-labeled complete graph (K_4, β)





FIGURE 3. A vertex reduced graph (G_{v_4}, β_{v_4}) on v_4

Example 4.5. Consider the edge-labeled complete graph (K_4, β) as shown in Figure 2. We begin by removing the vertex v_4 along with all of its incident edges from K_4 , while retaining its adjacent vertices v_1, v_2 , and v_3 by relabeling them as follows:

$$m'_{v_1} = [m_{v_1}, (m_{v_4}, r_4)], \quad m'_{v_2} = [m_{v_2}, (m_{v_4}, r_5)], \quad m'_{v_3} = [m_{v_3}, (m_{v_4}, r_6)].$$

FIGURE 4. An edge-labeled reduced graph (G_{red}, β_{red})

We then add new edges v_1v_2 , v_2v_3 , and v_3v_1 with corresponding labels (r_4, r_5) , (r_5, r_6) , and (r_4, r_6) , respectively, as illustrated in Figure 3. Since the resulting graph (G_{v_4}, β_{v_4}) contains multiple edges, we apply the multiple edge reduction technique to obtain a simple edge-labeled graph, where the new edge labels are given by

$$r'_1 = [r_1, (r_4, r_5)], \quad r'_2 = [r_2, (r_5, r_6)], \quad r'_3 = [r_3, (r_4, r_6)],$$

as shown in Figure 4. This process can be repeated until we obtain a graph with a single vertex.

Lemma 4.6. *Let (G, β) be an edge-labeled graph and v_i a vertex adjacent to v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_s} via edges $v_i v_{i_t}$ labeled by r_t for $t = 1, \dots, s$. Let (G_{red}, β_{red}) be the reduced graph of (G, β) associated with the vertex v_i . Then there exists a surjective homomorphism*

$$\psi : \hat{R}_G \rightarrow \hat{R}_{G_{red}}$$

defined by

$$(f_{v_1}, \dots, f_{v_i}, \dots, f_{v_n}) \mapsto (f_{v_1}, \dots, \hat{f}_{v_i}, \dots, f_{v_n})$$

where \hat{f}_{v_i} denotes the removal of the i -th coordinate.

Proof. For simplicity, assume that the vertex v_i has degree at most 2. First, suppose that v_i has degree 1. Then it is adjacent to exactly one vertex, say v_j . By definition,

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} f_{v_l} &\equiv 0 \pmod{m_{v_l}} \\ f_{v_i} &\equiv f_{v_j} \pmod{r_{ij}} \\ f_{v_k} &\equiv f_{v_q} \pmod{r_{kq}} \end{aligned}$$

for all vertices v_l and all other edges $v_k v_q$ in the graph. By Theorem 2.9 (CRT), the system in (4.1) has a solution if and only if

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} f_{v_j} &\equiv 0 \pmod{[m_{v_j}, (m_{v_i}, r_{ij})]} \\ f_{v_k} &\equiv f_{v_q} \pmod{r_{kq}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(f_{v_1}, f_{v_2}, \dots, \hat{f}_{v_i}, \dots, f_{v_n}) \in \hat{R}_{G_{red}}$.

Now assume that v_i is adjacent to vertices v_{i_1} and v_{i_2} , with edges $v_i v_{i_1}, v_i v_{i_2}$ labeled by r_1 and r_2 , respectively. Let $(f_{v_1}, f_{v_2}, \dots, f_{v_n}) \in \hat{R}_G$. By definition,

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} f_{v_l} &\equiv 0 \pmod{m_{v_l}} \\ f_{v_i} &\equiv f_{v_{i_1}} \pmod{r_1} \text{ and } f_{v_i} \equiv f_{v_{i_2}} \pmod{r_2} \\ f_{v_k} &\equiv f_{v_q} \pmod{r_{kq}} \end{aligned}$$

for all l and other edges $v_k v_q$. By Theorem 2.9 (CRT), the system in (4.3) has a solution if and only if

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} f_{v_{i_t}} &\equiv 0 \pmod{[m_{v_{i_t}}, (m_{v_i}, r_t)]} \text{ for } t = 1, 2 \\ f_{v_{i_1}} &\equiv f_{v_{i_2}} \pmod{(r_1, r_2)} \\ f_{v_k} &\equiv f_{v_q} \pmod{r_{kq}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the vertex reduction affects only the neighborhood of v_i , the other vertices and edge conditions in the graph remain unchanged. Thus, $(f_{v_1}, f_{v_2}, \dots, \hat{f}_{v_i}, \dots, f_{v_n}) \in \hat{R}_{G_{v_i}}$, and so the map

$$\psi : \hat{R}_G \rightarrow \hat{R}_{G_{red}}, \quad (f_{v_1}, \dots, f_{v_i}, \dots, f_{v_n}) \mapsto (f_{v_1}, \dots, \hat{f}_{v_i}, \dots, f_{v_n})$$

is well-defined. It is clearly a \mathbb{Z} -module homomorphism.

To see that ψ is surjective, let $(g_1, g_2, \dots, \hat{g}_i, \dots, g_n) \in \hat{R}_{G_{red}}$. Then, by the definition of the reduced spline module, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{i_t} &\equiv 0 \pmod{[m_{v_{i_t}}, (m_{v_j}, r_t)]} \quad \text{for } t = 1, 2 \\ g_{i_1} &\equiv g_{i_2} \pmod{(r_1, r_2)} \\ g_k &\equiv g_q \pmod{r_{kq}} \quad \text{for all other edges } v_k v_q. \end{aligned}$$

From this, it follows that for each $t = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} g_{i_t} &\equiv 0 \pmod{m_{v_{i_t}}} \\ g_{i_t} &\equiv 0 \pmod{(m_{v_i}, r_t)}. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.9 (CRT), there exists an element $g_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} g_i &\equiv g_{i_t} \pmod{r_t} \\ g_i &\equiv 0 \pmod{m_{v_i}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the vertex v_i is adjacent to v_{i_t} via edges labeled by r_t , and all other vertices and incident edges in G_{red} are the same as those in G , the spline condition is satisfied. Therefore, $(g_1, g_2, \dots, \hat{g}_i, \dots, g_n) \in \hat{R}_G$. \square

Definition 4.7 (Zero Vertex Reduction). Let (G, β) be an edge-labeled graph and $F = (f_{v_1}, \dots, f_{v_n})$ a spline such that $f_{v_i} = 0$ for a unique index i . We define a new edge-labeled graph (G_{zred}, β_{zred}) , called the reduced graph associated to the zero-labeled vertex v_i , as follows:

- Remove the vertex v_i and all edges $v_i v_{i_t}$ incident to it;
- Retain the adjacent vertices, and relabel their vertex labels as $[m_{v_i}, r_{v_i v_{i_t}}]\mathbb{Z}$, reflecting the interaction with the removed vertex.

We denote this new graph by (G_{zred}, β_{zred}) .

This definition can be repeated on zero-labeled vertices successively. Note that the resulting graph (G_{zred}, β_{zred}) is not necessarily connected.


Lemma 4.8. *Let (G, β) be an edge-labeled graph and $F = (f_{v_1}, \dots, f_{v_i}, \dots, f_{v_n})$ a spline with $f_{v_i} = 0$ for a unique index i . Let (G_{zred}, β_{zred}) and (G_{red}, β_{red}) be a reduced graph associated to a zero-labeled vertex v_i and a vertex v_i together with adjacent vertices v_{i_t} of v_i with labels $[m_{v_{i_t}}, r_{i_t}]$ respect to $m_{v_i} = 0$. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} (f_{v_1}, \dots, f_{v_i}, \dots, f_{v_n}) \in \hat{R}_G &\Leftrightarrow (f_{v_1}, \dots, f_{v_{i-1}}, f_{v_{i+1}}, \dots, f_{v_n}) \in \hat{R}_{G_{zred}} \\ &\Leftrightarrow (f_{v_1}, \dots, f_{v_{i-1}}, f_{v_{i+1}}, \dots, f_{v_n}) \in \hat{R}_{G_{red}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. See Proposition 6.6 in [5]. \square

Example 4.9. Let (C_n, β) be an edge-labeled cycle graph as shown in Figure 5, where m_{v_j} and r_k arbitrary integers for $j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Let $F = (f_{v_1}, f_{v_2}, \dots, f_{v_i}, \dots, f_{v_n})$ be spline such that f_{v_i} labeled by zero for a unique index i . Then the reduced graph associated to the zero-labeled vertex v_i is obtained by removing v_i and its incident edges, and relabeling the adjacent vertices v_{i-1} and v_{i+1} by:

$$\begin{aligned} M'_{v_{i-1}} &= m'_{v_{i-1}} \mathbb{Z} = [r_{i-1}, m_{v_{i-1}}] \mathbb{Z} \\ M'_{v_{i+1}} &= m'_{v_{i+1}} \mathbb{Z} = [r_i, m_{v_{i+1}}] \mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

FIGURE 5. An edge-labeled cycle graph (C_n, β)

where $e_{v_i v_j} \in E(C_n)$ for $j = i - 1, i + 1$. The resulting graph is a path graph.

Theorem 4.10. Let (G, β) be an edge-labeled graph with n vertices and (G_{red}, β_{red}) be the reduced graph on a vertex v_n . The map $\psi : \hat{R}_G \rightarrow \hat{R}_{G_{red}}$ defined by

$$\psi(f_{v_1}, \dots, f_{v_{n-1}}, f_{v_n}) = (f_{v_1}, \dots, f_{v_{n-1}})$$

is a surjective \mathbb{Z} -module homomorphism with kernel $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_n \cup (0, \dots, 0)$ of rank 1.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that $\ker \psi = \hat{\mathcal{F}}_n \cup (0, \dots, 0)$ and the rest of proof follows from Lemma 4.6. To find the kernel of ψ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \ker \psi &= \{(f_{v_1}, \dots, f_{v_n}) \in \hat{R}_G \mid (f_{v_1}, \dots, f_{v_{n-1}}) = (0, \dots, 0)\} \\ &= \{F^{(n)} = (0, \dots, 0, f_{v_n}) \mid f_{v_n} \in m_{v_n} \mathbb{Z}\} = \hat{\mathcal{F}}_n \cup (0, \dots, 0) \cong [m_{v_n}, N_n] \mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

where $N_n = \{r_{v_n v_j} : v_n v_j \in E \text{ for } j < n\}$. Thus, it is a submodule of rank 1. □

Corollary 4.11. Let (G, β) be an edge-labeled graph and (G_{red}, β_{red}) a reduced graph obtained by applying vertex reduction on v_{i+1}, \dots, v_n from (G, β) and multiple edge reduction successively. Define the map $\psi : \hat{R}_G \rightarrow \hat{R}_{G_{red}}$ by

$$\psi(f_{v_1}, \dots, f_{v_{i-1}}, f_{v_i}, \dots, f_{v_n}) = (f_{v_1}, \dots, f_{v_i}).$$

Then, ψ is a surjective \mathbb{Z} -module homomorphism with kernel $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{i+1} \cup \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{i+2} \cup \dots \cup \hat{\mathcal{F}}_n \cup (0, \dots, 0)$.

Proof. The proof follows from the theorem by applying successively. □

Lemma 4.12. Let (G, β) be an edge-labeled graph and (G_{red}, β_{red}) a reduced graph obtained by applying vertex reduction on v_{i+1}, \dots, v_n from (G, β) and multiple edge reduction successively. Define the map $\psi : \hat{R}_G \rightarrow \hat{R}_{G_{red}}$ by

$$\psi(f_{v_1}, \dots, f_{v_{i-1}}, f_{v_i}, \dots, f_{v_n}) = (f_{v_1}, \dots, f_{v_i}).$$

Then the image of the \mathbb{Z} -module homomorphism is $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_1 \cup \hat{\mathcal{F}}_2 \cup \dots \cup \hat{\mathcal{F}}_i \cup (0, \dots, 0)$.

Proof. To find the image of the map ψ , we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{im } \psi &= \{\psi(f_{v_1}, \dots, f_{v_i}, \dots, f_{v_n}) \mid (f_{v_1}, \dots, f_{v_n}) \in \hat{R}_G\} \\ &= \{(f_{v_1}, \dots, f_{v_i}) \mid (f_{v_1}, \dots, f_{v_n}) \in \hat{R}_G\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $f_{v_1} \neq 0$, then $F = (f_{v_1}, \dots, f_{v_i}) \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}_1$. If $f_{v_1} = 0$ but $f_{v_2} \neq 0$, then $F \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}_2$. Continuing this process, we obtain that F will have a maximum of i zeros. Thus, the image of ψ is given by:

$$\text{im } \psi = \hat{\mathcal{F}}_1 \cup \hat{\mathcal{F}}_2 \cup \dots \cup \hat{\mathcal{F}}_i \cup (0, \dots, 0).$$

□

Theorem 4.13. Let (G, β) be an edge-labeled graph and $F^{(i)} = (0, \dots, 0, f_{v_i}^{(i)}, \dots, f_{v_n}^{(i)})$ a flow-up class with the first nonzero entry $f_{v_i}^{(i)}$ and $f_{v_s}^{(i)} = 0$ for all $s < i$. Define the map $\psi : \hat{R}_G \rightarrow \hat{R}_{G_{red}}$ by

$$\psi(f_{v_1}, \dots, f_{v_{i-1}}, f_{v_i}, \dots, f_{v_n}) = (f_{v_1}, \dots, f_{v_i}).$$

Then $F^{(i)}$ is minimal if and only if $\psi(F^{(i)})$ is minimal.

Proof. Let (G_{red}, β_{red}) be the graph reduction of (G, β) on vertices v_{i+1}, \dots, v_n and $F^{(i)}$ a flow-up class on (G, β) . It is immediate that $\psi(F^{(i)}) = (0, \dots, 0, f_{v_i}^{(i)})$ also has $i - 1$ leading zeros. Furthermore, since both vectors have their first nonzero entry at position i , we have:

$$LT(F^{(i)}) = f_{v_i}^{(i)} = LT(\psi(F^{(i)})).$$

Now assume that $F^{(i)}$ is minimal but $\psi(F^{(i)})$ is not. Then there exists another element

$$F = (0, \dots, 0, g_{v_i}) \in \hat{R}_{G_{red}}$$

with $g_{v_i} \neq 0$ such that $g_{v_i} \mid f_{v_i}^{(i)}$ and $g_{v_i} \neq f_{v_i}^{(i)}$. By the definition of the reduction map and the Theorem 2.9 (CRT), we can lift F to a flow-up class $G = (0, \dots, 0, g_{v_i}, \dots, g_{v_n}) \in \hat{R}_G$. But then this contradicts the minimality of $F^{(i)}$ because $g_{v_i} \mid f_{v_i}^{(i)}$ and $g_{v_i} \neq f_{v_i}^{(i)}$. This contradiction shows that $\psi(F^{(i)})$ must also be minimal.

Conversely, assume $\psi(F^{(i)})$ is minimal but $F^{(i)}$ is not. Then there exists another flow-up class $G = (0, \dots, 0, g_{v_i}, \dots, g_{v_n}) \in \hat{R}_G$ such that $g_{v_i} \neq 0$, $g_{v_i} \mid f_{v_i}^{(i)}$ and $g_{v_i} \neq f_{v_i}^{(i)}$. Applying ψ , we obtain $\psi(G) = (0, \dots, g_{v_i}) \in \hat{R}_{G_{red}}$, which contradicts the minimality of $\psi(F^{(i)})$. Therefore, $F^{(i)}$ must also be minimal. \square

Corollary 4.14. *Let (G, β) be an edge-labeled graph and $F^{(i)} = (0, \dots, 0, f_{v_i}^{(i)}, \dots, f_{v_n}^{(i)})$ a flow-up class with the smallest first nonzero entry $f_{v_i}^{(i)}$ and $f_{v_s}^{(i)} = 0$ for all $s < i$. Then $f_{v_i}^{(i)}$ is invariant under a graph reduction.*

Example 4.15. Let (C_n, β) be an edge-labeled cycle graph as in Figure 5 and

$$F^{(1)} = (f_{v_1}^{(1)}, f_{v_2}^{(1)}, \dots, f_{v_n}^{(1)})$$

a flow-up class with the smallest nonzero entry $f_{v_1}^{(1)}$ on (C_n, β) . By Theorem 6.4 in [5], we have the smallest nonzero

$$f_{v_1}^{(1)} = [m_{v_1}, (m_{v_2}, r_1), (m_{v_3}, r_1, r_2), \dots, (m_{v_n}, r_1, \dots, r_{n-1}), (m_{v_n}, r_n), (m_{v_{n-1}}, r_{n-1}, r_n), \dots, (m_{v_2}, r_2, \dots, r_n)]$$

on (C_n, β) . Assume that we remove vertex v_n on (C_n, β) by using a graph reduction so that (C_n, β) transforms to a cycle graph (G_{red}, β_{red}) of $n - 1$ vertices with vertex labels m_{v_1} and $m_{v_{n-1}}$ replaced by $m'_{v_1} = [m_{v_1}, (m_{v_n}, r_n)]$ and $m'_{v_{n-1}} = [m_{v_{n-1}}, (m_{v_n}, r_{n-1})]$, respectively, and an added new edge $v_{n-1}v_1$ labeled by $r'_{n-1} = (r_{n-1}, r_n)$. Let $G^{(1)} = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{n-1})$ be a spline with the smallest nonzero entry g_1 on (G_{red}, β_{red}) . By Theorem 6.4 in [5], g_1 is equal to


$$g_1 = [m'_{v_1}, (m_{v_2}, r_1), \dots, (m'_{v_{n-1}}, r_1, \dots, r_{n-2}), (m'_{v_{n-1}}, r'_{n-1}), (m_{v_{n-2}}, r_{n-2}, r'_{n-1}), \dots, (m_{v_2}, r_2, \dots, r'_{n-1})].$$

We replace $m'_{v_1}, m'_{v_{n-1}}$ by $[m_{v_1}, (m_{v_n}, r_n)]$ and $[m_{v_{n-1}}, (m_{v_n}, r_{n-1})]$ in g_1 , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= [m_{v_1}, (m_{v_n}, r_n), (m_{v_2}, r_1), \dots, ([m_{v_{n-1}}, (m_{v_n}, r_{n-1})], r_1, \dots, r_{n-2}), ([m_{v_{n-1}}, (m_{v_n}, r_{n-1})], (r_{n-1}, r_n)), \\ &\quad (m_{v_{n-2}}, r_{n-2}, (r_{n-1}, r_n)), \dots, (m_{v_2}, r_2, \dots, (r_{n-1}, r_n))] \\ &= [m_{v_1}, (m_{v_2}, r_1), (m_{v_3}, r_1, r_2), \dots, (m_{v_n}, r_1, \dots, r_{n-1}), (m_{v_n}, r_n), (m_{v_{n-1}}, r_{n-1}, r_n), \dots, (m_{v_2}, r_2, \dots, r_n)] \\ &= f_{v_1}^{(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $f_{v_1}^{(1)}$ is invariant under graph reduction on v_n . When we transform (C_n, β) into a graph with a single vertex v_1 by deleting v_2, \dots, v_{n-1} of (G_{red}, β_{red}) successively, we observe that $f_{v_1}^{(1)}$ is invariant under this transformation.

Corollary 4.16. *Let (G, β) be an edge-labeled graph and $F^{(i)} = (0, \dots, 0, f_{v_i}^{(i)}, \dots, f_{v_n}^{(i)}) \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}_i$ a flow-up class with the smallest nonzero entry $f_{v_i}^{(i)} \neq 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $f_{v_s}^{(i)} = 0$ for all $s < i$. Let (G_{red}, β_{red}) be the reduced graph obtained by successively removing vertices v_j for all $j = 1, \dots, i - 1, i + 1, \dots, n$. Then, (G_{red}, β_{red}) is a null graph with vertex v_i labeled by $m_{v_i}^* \mathbb{Z}$ such that $f_{v_i}^{(i)} = m_{v_i}^*$.*

FIGURE 6. An edge-labeled graph (G, β)

Example 4.17. Consider the edge-labeled graph (G, β) shown in Figure 6. According to Theorem 6.4 in [5], it is straightforward to verify that

$$f_{v_1}^{(1)} = [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4]$$

together with

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= [m_{v_1}, (m_{v_2}, r_1), (m_{v_4}, r_1, r_4), (m_{v_6}, r_1, r_4, r_6)] \\ a_2 &= [m_{v_1}, (m_{v_2}, r_1), (m_{v_3}, r_1, r_2), (m_{v_4}, r_1, r_2, r_3), (m_{v_6}, r_1, r_2, r_3, r_6)] \\ a_3 &= [m_{v_1}, (m_{v_2}, r_1), (m_{v_3}, r_1, r_2), (m_{v_5}, r_1, r_2, r_5)] \\ a_4 &= [m_{v_1}, (m_{v_2}, r_1), (m_{v_4}, r_1, r_4), (m_{v_3}, r_1, r_3, r_4), (m_{v_5}, r_1, r_3, r_4, r_5)]. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let (G_{red}, β_{red}) be the reduced graph obtained by sequentially deleting the vertices v_i for $i = 2, \dots, 6$ so that the resulting graph (G_{red}, β_{red}) is a graph with a single vertex v_1 , whose label is

$$m_{v_1}^* = \left[m_{v_1}, \left(r_1, \left[m_{v_2}, (r_4, [m_{v_4}, (m_{v_6}, r_6)]) \right], \left([r_2, (r_3, r_4)], [m_{v_3}, (m_{v_5}, r_5), (r_3, [m_{v_4}, (m_{v_6}, r_6)])] \right) \right) \right] \right].$$

It is evident from this expression that $f_{v_1}^{(1)}$ is equal to $m_{v_1}^*$.

The following theorem states a relation between an edge-labeled graph G and a reduced graph G_{red} .

Theorem 4.18. Let (G, β) be an edge-labeled graph. Define the map $\psi : \hat{R}_G \rightarrow \hat{R}_{G_{red}}$ by

$$\psi(f_{v_1}, \dots, f_{v_n}) = (f_{v_1}, \dots, f_{v_i}).$$

Then, $\hat{R}_G \cong \hat{R}_{G_{red}} \oplus \ker \psi$ with a submodule $\ker \psi$ of rank 1.

Proof. Let B_{red} and \mathcal{K} be flow-up bases for $\hat{R}_{G_{red}}$ and $\ker \psi$, respectively. By Corollary 4.11 and Lemma 4.12, we can assume that $\mathcal{K} = \{F^{(i+1)}, \dots, F^{(n)}\}$ and $B_{red} = \{F^{(1)}, \dots, F^{(i)}\}$. By Theorem 4.1 in [5], since B_{red} and \mathcal{K} are flow-up bases, first nonzero entries of $F^{(j)}$ for all j are minimal. Assume that B contains a preimage corresponding to each element of B_{red} . From the Theorem 4.13, B is also minimal. Then, $B \cup \mathcal{K}$ is a flow-up basis for \hat{R}_G . Thus, B and \mathcal{K} complete a basis for \hat{R}_G . \square


Corollary 4.19. Let (G_i, β_i) be a sequence of graph reduction so that

$$\hat{R}_G = \hat{R}_{G_n} \rightarrow \hat{R}_{G_{n-1}} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \hat{R}_{G_2} \rightarrow \hat{R}_{G_1} \rightarrow \hat{R}_{G_0} = 0$$

where $\psi_i : \hat{R}_{G_i} \rightarrow \hat{R}_{G_{i-1}}, (f_{v_1}, \dots, f_{v_i}) \rightarrow (f_{v_1}, \dots, f_{v_{i-1}})$. Then,

$$\hat{R}_G = \ker \psi_1 \oplus \ker \psi_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \ker \psi_n$$

with submodules $\ker \psi_i$ of rank 1.

FIGURE 7. Example of an edge-labeled path graph (P_3, β)

Example 4.20. Consider the edge-labeled path graph (P_3, β) as in Figure 7. By Corollary 4.19, we know that $\hat{R}_G = \ker\psi_1 \oplus \ker\psi_2 \oplus \ker\psi_3$ with a corresponding flow-up basis

$$B = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 42 \\ 90 \\ 10 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 120 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 84 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work is derived from the author's PhD thesis conducted in the Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Hacettepe University, and was supported by the TÜBİTAK BİDEB 2211-Y scholarship program.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Altınok, S. Sarioğlu, *Flow-up bases for generalized spline modules on arbitrary graphs*, J. Algebra Appl. doi: [10.1142/S0219498821501802](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219498821501802), **2019**.
- [2] L. Billera, *Homology of smooth splines: generic triangulations and a conjecture of Strang*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 310, no. 1, 325-340. MR 965757 (89k:41010), **1988**.
- [3] L. Billera, L. Rose, *A dimension series for multivariate splines*, Discrete Comput. Geom. 6, no. 2, 107-128. MR 1083627 (92g:41010), **1991**.
- [4] L. Billera, L. Rose, *Modules of piecewise polynomials and their freeness*, Math. Zeit. 209, 485-497, **1992**.
- [5] G. Dilaver, S. Altınok, *Extending generalized splines over integers*, [arXiv:2505.04342](https://arxiv.org/abs/2505.04342), **2025**.
- [6] S. Gilbert, S. Polster, J. Tymoczko, *Generalized splines on arbitrary graphs*, Pacific J. Math. 281 (2) 333-364, **2016**.
- [7] M. Handschy, J. Melnick, S. Reinders, *Integer generalized splines on cycles*, [arXiv:1409.1481](https://arxiv.org/abs/1409.1481), **2014**.
- [8] L. Rose, *Combinatorial and topological invariants of modules of piecewise polynomials*, Adv. Math. 116, no. 1, 34-45. MR 1361478 (97b:13036) **1995**.
- [9] L. Rose, *Graphs, Syzygies, and multivariate splines*, Discrete Comput. Geom. 32, no. 4, 623-637. MR 2096751 (2005g:41024), **2004**.
- [10] L. Rose, J. Suzuki. *Generalized Integer Splines on Arbitrary Graphs* [Discrete Mathematics](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00033-023-02139-1), **346.1: 113139, 2023**.
- [11] H. Schenck, *A spectral sequence for splines*, Adv. in Appl. Math. 19, no. 2, 183-199, **1997**.
- [12] J. Tymoczko, *Splines in geometry and topology*, Computer Aided Geometric Design 45 (2016): 32-47, **2016**.