

# A KERNEL APPROACH TO THE STINESPRING AND KRAUS REPRESENTATIONS

JAMES TIAN

**ABSTRACT.** We give a self-contained derivation of the Stinespring and Kraus structure theorems for completely positive maps using only scalar positive-definite kernels.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Completely positive (CP) maps describe a fundamental class of maps between algebras of bounded operators, specifically those that preserve positivity at every matrix level. Two classical theorems capture their structure: Stinespring’s dilation theorem, which realizes any CP map as a compression of a representation on a larger Hilbert space, and Kraus’s theorem, which expresses the same map as a finite or countable sum of conjugations by bounded operators (see, e.g., [Sti55, Kra71, Pau02]). These results, although standard, are often proved using heavy machinery, including  $C^*$ -algebra representation theory, the Gelfand-Naimark-Segal construction, or matrix algebra arguments.

This paper shows that both theorems follow directly from an elementary geometric idea involving two scalar positive definite kernels. The approach proceeds in two stages:

1. From a CP map, we define a scalar kernel whose positivity follows directly from complete positivity. The associated reproducing kernel Hilbert space automatically contains the data of the Stinespring dilation, including the dilation space and the  $*$ -representation.
2. A second kernel, built from the matrix units of the underlying Hilbert space, reveals a canonical unitary identification of the dilation space with a concrete tensor product. Under this identification, the abstract Stinespring form becomes the familiar tensor-product expression, and the Kraus operators appear immediately by expanding along an orthonormal basis of the auxiliary space.

The argument requires only elementary facts about positive definite kernels and Hilbert space geometry. It parallels familiar constructions in classical analysis, such as the reproducing kernel of a Hilbert space of functions or the Kolmogorov factorization of a covariance function. In this way the kernel perspective clarifies the geometry behind complete positivity: it shows how the dilation space is generated by the “columns” of the map, how tensor structure emerges naturally, and how the Kraus operators reflect orthogonal components of this structure.

Throughout the paper, let  $H$  be a complex Hilbert space and  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  the space of bounded operators on  $H$ . All inner products are linear in the second variable.

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 46E22; Secondary: 47A20, 47L25,

*Key words and phrases.* Completely positive maps; Stinespring dilation; Kraus representation; positive definite kernels; reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces; operator algebras.

## 2. THE STINESPRING DILATION

We begin by building the dilation space from a scalar kernel defined directly by the CP map. The kernel will be shown to be positive definite (p.d.), and its reproducing kernel Hilbert space (RKHS) will serve as the dilation space.

**Theorem 2.1** (Stinespring dilation). *Let  $\varphi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$  be a CP map. There exists a minimal Stinespring dilation  $(\pi, H_K, V)$  such that*

$$\varphi(S) = V^* \pi(S) V$$

where:

- (1)  $H_K$  is the RKHS associated with the  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued kernel

$$K((S, a), (T, b)) := \langle a, \varphi(S^* T) b \rangle.$$

- (2)  $V : H \rightarrow H_K$  is the bounded linear operator,

$$Vb := K_{(I, b)} = K(\cdot, (I, b)).$$

- (3)  $\pi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H_K)$  is the unital  $*$ -representation defined on the dense span of sections by

$$\pi(S) K_{(T, b)} := K_{(ST, b)}.$$

*Proof.* Complete positivity of  $\varphi$  ensures  $K$  is a scalar-valued positive definite (p.d.) kernel on  $(\mathcal{B}(H) \times H) \times (\mathcal{B}(H) \times H)$ . Indeed, for any finite family  $\{(S_i, a_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  in  $\mathcal{B}(H) \times H$ , and  $\{c_i\}_{i=1}^n$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ , setting  $b_i = c_i a_i$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j} \bar{c}_i c_j K((S_i, a_i), (S_j, a_j)) &= \sum_{i,j} \bar{c}_i c_j \langle a_i, \varphi(S_i^* S_j) a_j \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \langle b_i, \varphi(S_i^* S_j) b_j \rangle \\ &= \langle (b_1, \dots, b_n), [\varphi(S_i^* S_j)] (b_1, \dots, b_n) \rangle \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

because the block matrix  $[\varphi(S_i^* S_j)]$  is positive in  $M_n(\mathcal{B}(H))$ .

Let  $H_K$  be the corresponding RKHS with sections

$$K_{(T, b)} := \langle \cdot, K(\cdot, (T, b)) \rangle.$$

By definition,

$$H_K = \overline{\text{span}} \{K_{(T, b)} : T \in \mathcal{B}(H), b \in H\}.$$

In particular, it consists of complex-valued functions on  $\mathcal{B}(H) \times H$  with reproducing kernel  $K$ . See, e.g., [Aro50, Ped58, Sza21].

Define  $V : H \rightarrow H_K$  by  $Vb = K_{(I, b)}$ , and  $\pi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H_K)$  by  $\pi(S) K_{(T, b)} = K_{(ST, b)}$ . To see  $\pi(S)$  is well defined and bounded, take a finite sum  $u = \sum_k c_k K_{(T_k, b_k)}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi(S) u\|^2 &= \left\| \sum_k c_k K_{(ST_k, b_k)} \right\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{k,l} \bar{c}_k c_l K((ST_k, b_k), (ST_l, b_l)) \\ &= \sum_{k,l} \bar{c}_k c_l \langle b_k, \varphi(T_k^* S^* S T_l) b_l \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $S^* S \leq \|S\|^2 I$  in  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  and the map  $A \mapsto T_k^* A T_k$  is positive, we have

$$[T_k^* S^* S T_l] \leq \|S\|^2 [T_k^* T_l]$$

in  $M_n(\mathcal{B}(H))$ .

Applying the CP map  $id_{M_n} \otimes \varphi$  and pairing with  $(b_k) \in H^{\oplus n}$  yields

$$\sum_{k,l} \bar{c}_k c_l \langle b_k, \varphi(T_k^* S^* S T_l) b_l \rangle \leq \|S\|^2 \sum_{k,l} \bar{c}_k c_l \langle b_k, \varphi(T_k^* T_l) b_l \rangle = \|S\|^2 \|u\|^2.$$

Hence  $\|\pi(S)\| \leq \|S\|$ . One checks that

$$\pi(S_1) \pi(S_2) = \pi(S_1 S_2)$$

on the dense set of sections. Since  $K$  is Hermitian,  $\pi(S)^* = \pi(S^*)$ . Hence  $\pi$  is a unital  $*$ -representation of  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ .

For all  $S \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  and  $a, b \in H$ ,

$$\langle a, \varphi(S) b \rangle = K((I, a), (S, b)) = \langle Va, \pi(S) Vb \rangle_{H_{-\{K\}}},$$

that is,  $\varphi(S) = V^* \pi(S) V$ .

Finally, the dilation is minimal by construction, as the spanning set for  $H_K$  is precisely  $\{K_{(S,a)}\} = \{\pi(S) Va\}$ .  $\square$

### 3. THE KRAUS REPRESENTATION

The dilation obtained in the previous section is still abstract: it lives inside the reproducing kernel Hilbert space generated by the first kernel. Our next goal is to uncover the concrete tensor product structure hidden inside that space. This structure is responsible for the familiar form of a completely positive map as a sum of operator conjugations.

To reveal it, we introduce a second scalar positive definite kernel, built from the matrix units of the underlying Hilbert space. This auxiliary kernel generates a new reproducing kernel Hilbert space which plays the role of the ‘‘ancillary’’ tensor factor.

A simple computation then shows that the original dilation space  $H_K$  is unitarily equivalent to an honest tensor product of Hilbert spaces. Once this identification is made, the Kraus representation follows immediately by expanding the dilation operator along an orthonormal basis of the auxiliary space.

**Theorem 3.1** (canonical tensor structure). *Let  $(\pi, H_K, V)$  be the minimal Stinespring dilation from Theorem 2.1. Fix an orthonormal basis (ONB)  $\{e_i\}$  in  $H$ , and let  $E_{ij} = |e_i\rangle\langle e_j|$ . Let  $H_{\hat{K}}$  be the RKHS of the kernel*

$$\hat{K}((i, a), (j, b)) := \langle a, \varphi(E_{ij}) b \rangle.$$

*Then the Stinespring space  $H_K$  is canonically unitary to  $H \otimes H_{\hat{K}}$  via an isomorphism  $U$  that identifies:*

- (1) *The representation  $\pi(S)$  with  $S \otimes I_{H_{\hat{K}}}$ .*
- (2) *The operator  $V$  with  $W : H \rightarrow H \otimes H_{\hat{K}}$ , where*

$$Wa := \sum_i e_i \otimes \hat{K}_{(i,a)}.$$

*This identification transforms the abstract Stinespring form  $\varphi(S) = V^* \pi(S) V$  into the canonical tensor product form*

$$\varphi(S) = W^* (S \otimes I_{H_{\hat{K}}}) W.$$

*Furthermore, expanding  $W$  in an ONB  $\{f_\alpha\}$  of  $H_{\hat{K}}$  yields the Kraus form*

$$\varphi(S) = \sum V_\alpha^* S V_\alpha,$$

where

$$V_\alpha := (I_H \otimes \langle f_\alpha, \cdot \rangle) W.$$

*Proof.* The proof proceeds in two steps. First, we establish the unitary isomorphism  $U$ . Second, we transport the representation  $(\pi, V)$  along  $U$  to derive the tensor product and Kraus forms. See the diagram below.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & W & & \\
 & \nearrow & & \searrow & \\
 H & \xrightarrow{V} & H_K & \xrightarrow{U} & H \otimes H_{\hat{K}} \\
 \downarrow \varphi(S) & & \downarrow \pi(S) & & \downarrow S \otimes I_{H_{\hat{K}}} \\
 H & \xrightarrow{V} & H_K & \xrightarrow{U} & H \otimes H_{\hat{K}} \\
 & \nwarrow & & \nearrow & \\
 & & W & & 
 \end{array}$$

**Step 1.** Fix an ONB  $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$  in  $H$ , and let  $E_{ij} = |e_i\rangle\langle e_j|$ . The block matrix  $[\varphi(E_{ij})]$  is positive by complete positivity, so  $\hat{K}$  is a scalar-valued p.d. kernel defined on  $(I \times H) \times (I \times H)$ . Let  $H_{\hat{K}}$  be the associated RKHS with sections  $\hat{K}_{(i,a)}$ , so that

$$H_{\hat{K}} = \overline{\text{span}} \{ \hat{K}_{(i,a)} : i \in I, a \in H \}.$$

We now relate the kernel  $K$  from Theorem 2.1 to this new kernel  $\hat{K}$ . For any  $(S, a)$  and  $(T, b)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 K((S, a), (T, b)) &= \langle a, \varphi(S^* T) b \rangle \\
 &= \sum_{i,j} \langle e_i, S^* T e_j \rangle \langle a, \varphi(E_{ij}) b \rangle \\
 &= \sum_{i,j} \langle S e_i, T e_j \rangle \langle \hat{K}_{(i,a)}, \hat{K}_{(j,b)} \rangle_{H_{\hat{K}}} \\
 &= \left\langle \sum_i S e_i \otimes \hat{K}_{(i,a)}, \sum_j T e_j \otimes \hat{K}_{(j,b)} \right\rangle_{H \otimes H_{\hat{K}}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This calculation shows that the linear map  $U : H_K \rightarrow H \otimes H_{\hat{K}}$ , defined on the spanning set of sections by

$$U(K_{(T,b)}) := \sum_i T e_i \otimes \hat{K}_{(i,b)}$$

is isometric, with dense range in  $H \otimes H_{\hat{K}}$ . Thus,  $U$  is unitary, and

$$H_K \simeq H \otimes H_{\hat{K}}.$$

**Step 2.** We now transport the Stinespring representation  $(\pi, V)$  from  $H_K$  to  $H \otimes H_{\hat{K}}$ .

Using  $U$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 U \pi(S) U^* \left( \sum_i T e_i \otimes \hat{K}_{(i,b)} \right) &= U \left( \pi(S) K_{(T,b)} \right) \\
 &= U \left( K_{(ST,b)} \right) \\
 &= \sum_i S T e_i \otimes \hat{K}_{(i,b)} \\
 &= (S \otimes I) \left( \sum_i T e_i \otimes \hat{K}_{(i,b)} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

So  $\pi(S)$  is unitarily equivalent to  $S \otimes I_{H_{\hat{K}}}$ .

Also, the operator  $V$  becomes  $W := UV$ :

$$Wa := U(Va) = U(K_{(I,a)}) = \sum_i e_i \otimes \hat{K}_{(i,a)}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(S) &= V^* \pi(S) V = (UV)^* (U \pi(S) U^*) (UV) \\ &= W^* (S \otimes I) W. \end{aligned}$$

Lastly, let  $\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  be an ONB of  $H_{\hat{K}}$ . Define the operators  $V_\alpha : H \rightarrow H$  by

$$V_\alpha := \left( I_H \otimes \langle f_\alpha, \cdot \rangle_{H_{\hat{K}}} \right) W.$$

This means  $V_\alpha a$  is the vector component of  $Wa$  along  $f_\alpha$ , so

$$Wa = \sum_\alpha (V_\alpha a) \otimes f_\alpha.$$

This can be written as  $W = \sum_\alpha V_\alpha \otimes f_\alpha$ . Substituting this into the tensor form gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(S) &= W^* (S \otimes I) W \\ &= \left( \sum_\alpha V_\alpha^* \otimes f_\alpha \right) (S \otimes I) \left( \sum_\beta V_\beta \otimes f_\beta \right) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta} V_\alpha^* S V_\beta \langle f_\alpha, f_\beta \rangle \\ &= \sum_\alpha V_\alpha^* S V_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

The convergence is in the strong operator topology.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [Aro50] N. Aronszajn, *Theory of reproducing kernels*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **68** (1950), 337–404. MR 51437
- [Kra71] K. Kraus, *General state changes in quantum theory*, Ann. Physics **64** (1971), 311–335. MR 292434
- [Pau02] Vern Paulsen, *Completely bounded maps and operator algebras*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 78, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002. MR 1976867
- [Ped58] George Pedrick, *Theory of reproducing kernels for Hilbert spaces of vector valued functions*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1958, Thesis (Ph.D.)—University of Kansas. MR 2938971
- [Sti55] W. Forrest Stinespring, *Positive functions on  $C^*$ -algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **6** (1955), 211–216. MR 69403
- [Sza21] Franciszek Hugon Szafraniec, *Revitalising Pedrick’s approach to reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory **15** (2021), no. 4, Paper No. 66, 12. MR 4250453

MATHEMATICAL REVIEWS, 535 W. WILLIAM ST, SUITE 210, ANN ARBOR, MI 48103, USA  
Email address: [jft@ams.org](mailto:jft@ams.org)