

ng-reactive-lint: Smarter Linting for Angular Apps

Shrinivass Arunachalam Balasubramanian*

*Independent Researcher

ORCID: 0009-0000-2161-5643

Email: shrinivassab@gmail.com



Abstract—Reactivity is central to Angular applications, yet subtle misuse of Observables, Signals, and change-detection often leads to performance regressions that are difficult to diagnose [1]. Although Angular 17 [2] introduced a unified, signal-first model, most enterprise codebases still rely heavily on legacy RxJS patterns that create unpredictable update flows, memory leaks, and excessive change cycles. To address these issues, we developed ng-reactive-lint, a deterministic static analysis tool that understands Angular’s component semantics, lifecycle hooks, template bindings, and reactivity patterns. Unlike generic ESLint or RxJS plugins, ng-reactive-lint performs framework-aware analysis to detect high-impact anti-patterns and provide actionable, context-specific fixes. Evaluation across five large real-world projects showed reductions of up to threefold in unnecessary change detection cycles and up to 75% lower peak memory usage. The tool offers a practical, automated path to adopting modern Angular reactivity at scale.

Index Terms—Angular, Reactive Programming, RxJS, Signals, Static Analysis, Anti-Patterns, Software Quality, TypeScript

1 TAKEAWAYS

- A small set of reactivity anti-patterns account for most Angular performance issues.
- Deterministic static analysis can reliably detect problems that typical linters overlook.
- ng-reactive-lint provides developers with actionable, framework-aware remediation guidance.

PULL QUOTE

“Most Angular performance issues we observed came from a predictable handful of reactivity anti-patterns.”

INTRODUCTION

A radical change has occurred in the reactivity infrastructure design in the past two years in Angular. With the advent of Signals and Interoperability APIs [3], Angular v17+ promotes a new approach towards synchronous and deterministic reactive programming patterns. However, the reality is that most enterprise-level Angular applications use a lot of RxJS reactive programming libraries, and most people use a combination of both intentionally or unintentionally.

Usually, errors involving reactivity occur with subtleties including an unnoticed cleanup, a convoluted pipe chain, and an async pipe used with an inappropriate change detection strategy. Such errors don’t often trigger a failure in

functionality but instead can accumulate gradually and lead to memory leaks, a jittery user interface, and unnecessary change cycles.

Developers know about these issues, but resolving these issues is tough. Conventional linters, including ESLint and CodeLyzer, do not understand the lifecycle of components and template bindings in Angular components semantically. A linter specific to RxJS only debugs syntactical patterns here [3]. This means developers invest a significant amount of time analyzing performance regressions, which can be detected in an instant by a framework-aware static code analysis tool.

To fill this gap, we built a deterministic lint tool called ng-reactive-lint, which analyzes Angular components by looking at their Abstract Syntax Tree representations and reports semantic reactivity errors directly related to Angular’s best practices. [4]

WHY REACTIVITY BREAKS IN REAL APPLICATIONS

Through discussions with Angular developers and an exploratory analysis of several production codebases, we observed that most reactivity issues fall into six recurring patterns [5]. These are not isolated bugs—they are systemic misunderstandings of Angular’s dual reactivity model (Signals + RxJS). When left unchecked, these patterns degrade performance and increase debugging cost.

THE LIMITS OF TRADITIONAL LINTING

Existing linting tools fall short for three main reasons:

- 1) **Lack of lifecycle awareness.**
ESLint can see a `.subscribe()` [6] call but cannot determine whether proper cleanup (e.g., `takeUntil`, `AsyncPipe`, or `ngOnDestroy`) actually exists elsewhere in the class.
- 2) **Template blindness.**
A rule has no access to the component template and therefore cannot detect that `{{ data$ — async }}` is being used while the component still uses the inefficient

TABLE 1
Common Reactivity Anti-Patterns in Angular

Anti-Pattern	What Goes Wrong	Why It Matters
Unsubscribed Observables	Missing cleanup operators or lifecycle management	Causes memory leaks and persistent async operations
Async Pipe Without OnPush	Async pipe used under Default change-detection strategy	Triggers excessive re-renders and hurts performance
Heavy RxJS for Simple State	Complex synchronous pipe chains for trivial state	Increases cognitive load and reduces performance
Unused Observables	Dead streams left after refactors	Creates confusion; may cause subtle memory leaks
Imperative State Inside Reactive Flow	Direct property assignments inside <code>subscribe()</code>	Leads to inconsistent or out-of-sync application state
Legacy Cleanup Patterns	Manual Subject/boolean flags for teardown	Unnecessary boilerplate; error-prone and hard to maintain

`ChangeDetectionStrategy.Default` [7].

3) No understanding of Angular semantics.

Modern Angular concepts such as Signals, `computed()`, the RxJS interop APIs (`toSignal`, `toObservable`), and fine-grained lifecycle events require deep, domain-specific interpretation that generic TypeScript or ESLint plugins cannot provide.

As a result, developers are left manually diagnosing reactivity problems often late in the development cycle, or worse, only after performance issues or memory leaks surface in production. This clear gap directly motivated the design and implementation of `ng-reactive-lint`.

PULL QUOTE

“A single missing cleanup operator caused a 300 MB memory leak in one enterprise Angular application.”

THE DESIGN PHILOSOPHY OF NG-REACTIVE-LINT

Our goal was simple: build a deterministic linting tool that understands Angular the way experienced developers do. Achieving this required four foundational design decisions:

1) Semantic, not syntactic analysis.

Instead of pattern-matching on raw text or generic TypeScript syntax [8], `ng-reactive-lint` performs deep inspection of Angular-specific semantics, including component decorators, lifecycle methods, template AST bindings, RxJS operator chains, interop APIs (`toSignal`, `toObservable`), change-detection strategy, and fine-grained Signal usage patterns. This semantic awareness is what enables high-fidelity detection that generic tools cannot achieve.

2) Deterministic rules instead of heuristics.

Every anti-pattern is encoded as a crisp, reproducible predicate over the TypeScript and Angular compiler ASTs. The tool never relies on fragile regular expressions or probabilistic reasoning—identical

code always produces identical diagnostics across projects and machines.

3) Actionable developer guidance.

Each diagnostic provides far more than a warning: it includes a clear explanation of the problem, a minimal failing code example, the recommended fix (often with an auto-fixable suggestion), and, when applicable, a direct link to the relevant official Angular or RxJS documentation.

4) Seamless integration with real workflows.

`ng-reactive-lint` runs in local development environments, CI/CD pipelines, pre-commit hooks, and large monorepos (full Nx workspace awareness is built-in). Performance was a first-class concern: on typical machines the tool analyzes codebases of up to 200 000 lines of code in under two seconds.

These principles collectively close the gap left by traditional linting tools and deliver diagnostics that developers can trust and act on immediately.

CORE RULES OF NG-REACTIVE-LINT

The tool currently includes six primary rules derived from the most prevalent Angular reactivity anti-patterns.

HOW NG-REACTIVE-LINT WORKS: A PRACTITIONER OVERVIEW

Rather than diving into compiler internals, this section explains the tool the way a senior Angular developer would describe it to a teammate.

`ng-reactive-lint` operates in four straightforward stages:

1) Project Inspection.

The tool starts by reading `tsconfig.json`, scanning the workspace, and building the full TypeScript Program. It then walks the Angular compiler to collect decorators, lifecycle hooks (`ngOnInit`, `ngOnDestroy`, etc.), and template metadata.

TABLE 2
Table 2 — ng-reactive-lint Core Rules

Rule	Purpose	Fix Suggestion
no-implicit-subscriptions	Prevent memory leaks	Add <code>takeUntilDestroyed()</code>
no-async-without-onpush	Prevent excessive re-renders	Switch to <code>ChangeDetectionStrategy.OnPush</code>
prefer-signal	Reduce synchronous RxJS complexity	Replace simple chains with <code>computed()</code>
no-unused-observables	Remove dead code	Delete unused <code>\$</code> streams
use-takeuntildestroyed	Replace legacy teardown code	Remove <code>Subjects</code> ; use built-in destroy interop
no-imperative-in-reactive	Keep reactive flows pure	Use <code>signal.set()</code> instead of assignments

2) Semantic Context Building.

Using the information gathered in stage 1, `ng-reactive-lint` creates a lightweight in-memory model that answers questions such as:

- Which classes are actual Angular components or directives?
- Which `Observable` fields belong to which component?
- Which cleanup patterns (`takeUntil`, `AsyncPipe`, `DestroyRef`, etc.) are already in place?
- Which template bindings reference each observable or signal?

This context is what makes deep, accurate detection possible.

3) Rule Application.

Each rule is a small, focused query over the semantic model. Examples include:

- Find every explicit `.subscribe()` and verify that a known cleanup mechanism exists in the same component.
- Locate every `{{ value$ | async }}` usage and confirm the component uses `ChangeDetectionStrategy.OnPush`.
- Detect observable fields suffixed with `$` that are never read in the template or via interop APIs.

4) Reporting.

Diagnostics are emitted in the standard format expected by editors and CI systems:

- Severity level (error, warning, or suggestion)
- Precise file name and line/column
- Clear natural-language explanation
- Minimal “before → after” code sample (many rules are auto-fixable)

Because every step is built on the official TypeScript and Angular compiler APIs, the results are fast, repeatable, and—most importantly—trustworthy in real-world codebases.

CASE STUDIES FROM PRODUCTION CODEBASES

To demonstrate real-world impact, we ran `ng-reactive-lint` on five large production Angular

codebases totaling 1.2 million lines of code. The following three representative cases illustrate the kinds of problems developers face daily and the concrete benefits the tool delivers.

Case Study 1: Enterprise Dashboard (150 000 LOC)

Problem. Multiple long-lived `.subscribe()` calls in deeply nested components caused memory leaks [9] that QA could only reproduce after hours of continuous use.

What the tool found.

- 27 unsubscribed observables missing cleanup
- 10 `async` pipe usages under `ChangeDetectionStrategy.Default`
- 7 imperative assignments inside `subscribe()`

Outcome. After applying `takeUntilDestroyed`, enabling `OnPush` where appropriate, and migrating simple state to Signals, the team reduced peak memory consumption by approximately 70% and eliminated UI stuttering.

Lesson. Most memory leaks only appear after prolonged sessions; static analysis catches them instantly during normal development.

Case Study 2: E-Commerce Frontend (80 000 LOC)

Problem. The codebase overused RxJS for purely synchronous derivations and simple derived state.

What the tool found.

- 12 opportunities to replace synchronous RxJS chains with Signals
- 6 completely unused observable fields

Outcome. Migrating the flagged cases to Signals reduced cyclomatic complexity by 33% and noticeably simplified service-layer logic.

Lesson. Signals dramatically lower code complexity when used instead of unnecessary RxJS pipelines.

Case Study 3: Scientific Visualization Tool (200 000 LOC)

Problem. High-frequency data streams triggered excessive change-detection cycles, making the UI feel sluggish with large datasets.

What the tool found.

- Widespread `async` pipe usage under the Default change-detection strategy
- Overly complex RxJS pipelines feeding template bindings directly

Outcome. Switching affected components to `OnPush` and restructuring pipelines improved frame rates by nearly 3× under load.

Lesson. Achieving smooth performance in data-intensive UIs requires both static enforcement of `OnPush` and disciplined reactive architecture.

HOW TO USE NG-REACTIVE-LINT TODAY

Installation (one command, globally or as dev dependency):

```
npm install -g ng-reactive-lint
# or locally:
npm install --save-dev ng-reactive-lint
```

Run against a project

```
ng-reactive-lint "src/**/*.ts"
```

Suggested CI/CD integration (add this step to GitHub Actions, GitLab CI, etc.):

```
ng-reactive-lint --ci
```

This single line in CI has prevented hundreds of reactivity regressions in teams that adopted it.

Sidebar: When to Prefer Signals Over RxJS

Use **Signals** when

- State is purely synchronous
- Values are derived from other local signals
- No true asynchronous operations are involved
- UI updates must be immediate and predictable
- You want the absolute minimum boilerplate

Use **RxJS** when

- Real async sources exist (HTTP, WebSocket, events)
- Cancellation or back-pressure matters
- You need advanced concurrency (merge, switchMap chains, etc.)
- Multiple streams must be combined over time

`ng-reactive-lint` automatically flags clear-cut misuse in both directions, helping teams migrate at their own pace.

WHAT DEVELOPERS LEARNED: QUALITATIVE FEEDBACK

We conducted a two-week hands-on evaluation with 15 Angular developers across three organizations. Key results:

- 93% rated diagnostic messages as “clear” or “very clear”
- 87% agreed the tool noticeably accelerated their understanding of modern Angular reactivity (Signals + RxJS interop)
- 100% supported making `ng-reactive-lint --ci` a mandatory pipeline step

A recurring comment was that the tool doubles as an educational companion: junior developers cited the provided fix examples as the fastest way they ever learned when (and why) to prefer `computed()` over `map()` or when to add `takeUntilDestroyed`.

IMPLICATIONS FOR PRACTICE

Angular v17 and beyond are pushing a signal-first mental model — a significant shift from the RxJS-centric patterns that dominated the past decade. Teams modernizing large codebases cannot afford rip-and-replace rewrites. They need guardrails that encourage incremental improvement without breaking existing behavior.

`ng-reactive-lint` directly supports this reality by

- flagging clear anti-patterns while allowing intentional exceptions,
- highlighting low-hanging performance wins (e.g., `async pipe` + `OnPush`),
- eliminating hours of manual code reviews for memory leaks,
- serving as an always-available mentor for junior and senior developers alike.

In practice, teams that added the tool to CI reported faster onboarding, fewer production incidents, and steadily improving bundle sizes and runtime performance — all without a dedicated “reactivity task force.”

THREATS TO VALIDITY AND LIMITATIONS

Like any static analysis tool, `ng-reactive-lint` makes deliberate trade-offs:

- Advanced RxJS patterns (custom operators, subject-based cleanup in base classes, or highly dynamic subscriptions) may trigger conservative warnings.
- Template-driven forms and fully dynamic component loading are currently out of scope.
- The tool deliberately prefers false positives over false negatives for critical issues such as memory leaks.
- Suggestions to migrate to Signals are exactly that — suggestions. Architectural fit remains a human decision.

These limitations are acceptable for a practitioner tool: catching 95% of real problems early is far more valuable than being 100% silent on edge cases.

CONCLUSION

Angular’s reactivity model is maturing fast. Signals, RxJS interop, and fine-grained change detection give developers unprecedented power — and unprecedented ways to shoot themselves in the foot.

`ng-reactive-lint` closes the gap between best practices documented in blog posts and enforcement in real codebases. By leveraging the official TypeScript and Angular compiler APIs, it delivers deterministic, framework-aware diagnostics that generic linters cannot match.

Production use across more than a million lines of code has shown measurable gains in responsiveness, memory behavior, and code simplicity. Perhaps more importantly, developers consistently report that the tool teaches them modern Angular patterns as they write code.

Future versions will add auto-fixes, richer migration assistants, and deeper integration with upcoming Angular compiler features. The ultimate goal remains simple: give every Angular developer an always-on assistant that helps

them write fast, correct, and idiomatic reactive code — today and as the framework continues to evolve.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are grateful to the anonymous reviewers whose feedback was invaluable. During the course of working on this manuscript and source code, the following tool was employed in a limited manner as an assistant in suggesting small bug fixes, initial skeletons of unit test codes, and grammar improvements in the text draft form: GitHub Copilot, which runs inside Visual Studio Code. All source codes, test codes, texts, figures, and scientific works presented in the article have since then been carefully checked and validated by the authors. The responsibility of all works presented in this paper lies exclusively with the authors.

REFERENCES

- [1] Angular Team, “Signals: A new reactive primitive,” <https://angular.dev/guide/signals>, 2024, accessed: 2025-11-27.
- [2] S. A. Balasubramanian, “Signal-first architectures: Rethinking front-end reactivity,” <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2506.13815>, 2025, arXiv:2506.13815 [cs.SE], Accessed: 2025-11-27.
- [3] Angular Team, “Rxjs interoperability with signals,” <https://angular.dev/api/core/rxjs-interop>, 2024, accessed: 2025-11-27.
- [4] —, “Angular style guide,” <https://angular.dev/style-guide>, 2024, accessed: 2025-11-27.
- [5] M. Alfadel, F. Alkhudayr, E. A. AlOmar, and Z. AlRubaye, “Empirical study of the relationship between design patterns and code smells,” *PLoS ONE*, vol. 15, no. 11, p. e0241734, 2020.
- [6] ESLint Team, “Eslint – pluggable javascript linter,” <https://eslint.org>, 2024, accessed: 2025-11-27.
- [7] Angular Team, “Change detection in angular,” <https://angular.dev/guide/change-detection>, 2024, accessed: 2025-11-27.
- [8] D. Sherret, “ts-morph – typescript compiler api wrapper,” <https://ts-morph.com>, 2024, accessed: 2025-11-27.
- [9] A. Shahoor *et al.*, “Leakpair: Proactive repairing of memory leaks in web applications,” *Proc. 38th IEEE/ACM Int. Conf. Automated Software Engineering (ASE)*, pp. 123–135, 2023.