

THE ρ -SCHWARTZ SPACE

JAYCE R. GETZ, ARMANDO GUTIÉRREZ TERRADILLOS, FARID HOSSEINIJAFARI,
AARON SLIPPER, GUODONG XI, HAOYUN YAO, AND ALAN ZHAO

ABSTRACT. Let G be a reductive group over a local field F and let $\rho : {}^L G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{V_\rho}(\mathbb{C})$ be a representation of its L -group satisfying suitable assumptions. Braverman, Kazhdan and Ngô conjectured that one has a Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G(F))$ of functions on $G(F)$ that admits a Fourier transform and satisfies certain desiderata. We prove a large portion of this conjecture. More precisely, we construct these Schwartz spaces over non-Archimedean local fields and construct an approximation to them over Archimedean fields. Our methods are spectral in nature.

CONTENTS			
1. Introduction	2	4. The Fourier transform	32
Acknowledgements	5	4.1. The kernel	35
2. Preliminaries	5	4.2. The basic function	37
2.1. Analytic number theory notation	5	4.3. Certain growth conditions	39
2.2. Varieties	5	5. The asymptotic ρ -Schwartz space	42
2.3. Linear algebras	5	5.1. Tempered local zeta integrals	44
2.4. Groups, characters and measures	6	6. The ρ -Schwartz space	46
2.5. The tempered dual	7	6.1. The Paley-Wiener theorem for	
2.6. Function spaces	10	$C_c^\infty(G(F))$	47
2.7. Functions on groups	10	6.2. The Paley-Wiener theorem for	
2.8. Harish-Chandra Plancherel	11	$C_{ac}^\infty(G(F))$	48
2.9. Constant terms	15	6.3. The extended Bernstein center	49
3. Local Langlands correspondence	16	6.4. $X^*(G)$ -eigendecomposition of	
3.1. Local factors as functions on		$\mathcal{C}(\mathrm{Temp}_{\mathrm{Ind}}(G))$	50
$\mathrm{Temp}_{\mathrm{Ind}}(G)$	21	6.5. Reductive monoids	52
3.2. Poles of L -functions	23	6.6. The Schwartz space	54
3.3. Bounds for γ -factors	28	7. Proof of Theorem 1.6	58
		References	60

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11F70; Secondary 22E30, 22E35.

Getz is thankful for partial support provided by NSF grant DMS-2400550, and Slipper is supported by NSF grant DMS-2231514. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation. Gutiérrez Terradillos is supported by a research grant (VIL54509) from VILLUM FONDEN.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a (connected) reductive group over a local field, and let $\rho : {}^L G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{V_\rho}(\mathbb{C})$ be a representation of its L -group. We assume for the introduction that we are given an isomorphism $d : G/G^{\mathrm{der}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{G}_m$, and that the dual map

$$\rho \circ d^\vee : \mathbb{C}^\times \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{V_\rho}(\mathbb{C})$$

is the inclusion of \mathbb{C}^\times into the center.

It was conjectured by Braverman and Kazhdan [BK00] that there exists a Schwartz space for $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G(F))$ attached to ρ that generalizing the familiar case in which $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$, ρ is the standard representation, and $\mathcal{S}_\rho(\mathrm{GL}_n(F)) = \mathcal{S}(M_{n \times n}(F))|\det|^{n/2}$. Our goal in this paper is to construct these Schwartz spaces in the non-Archimedean case and construct an approximation to them in the Archimedean case.

Let $L^2(G(F))$ be defined with respect to a choice of Haar measure, let $\mathcal{R} : G(F) \times G(F) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(L^2(G(F)))$ denote the regular representation, and let $\psi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a nontrivial additive character. For any function $h : G(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ write $h^\vee(g) := h(g^{-1})$.

Conjecture 1.1 (Braverman-Kazhdan, Ngô). *There are spaces*

$$(1.0.1) \quad \mathcal{S}_\rho < C^\infty(G(F)) \cap L^2(G(F)),$$

dense in $L^2(G(F))$, that are equipped with a Fourier transform

$$(1.0.2) \quad \mathcal{F}_{\rho, \psi} : \mathcal{S}_\rho \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_\rho,$$

that is unitary and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{\rho, \psi} \circ \mathcal{R}(g_1, g_2) &= \mathcal{R}(g_2, g_1) \circ \mathcal{F}_{\rho, \psi}, \\ \mathcal{F}_{\rho, \psi} \circ \mathcal{F}_{\rho, \psi^{-1}} &= \mathrm{Id}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for all $f \in \mathcal{S}_\rho$ and tempered representations π , the operator $\pi(f)$ is well-defined and

$$(1.0.3) \quad \pi(\mathcal{F}_{\rho, \psi}(f)^\vee) = \gamma(\tfrac{1}{2}, \pi, \rho, \psi) \pi(f).$$

Here the γ -factor is defined using the local Langlands correspondence as in (3.0.4).

Since ψ is usually fixed, we drop it from notation when no confusion is likely, e.g. $\mathcal{F}_\rho := \mathcal{F}_{\rho, \psi}$.

Remark 1.2. In the non-Archimedean case L. Lafforgue has constructed a space of functions that satisfies a weak analogue of the desiderata of Conjecture 1.1 [Laf14]. Roughly his space of functions is designed to satisfy Conjecture 1.1 at the level of traces (not operators).

The spaces \mathcal{S}_ρ are not uniquely determined by the conjecture above. In fact we can take \mathcal{S}_ρ to be independent of ρ :

Theorem 1.3. *Assume the desiderata on the local Langlands correspondence explained in §3 below. Then Conjecture 1.1 is true; we may take $\mathcal{S}_\rho = \mathcal{C}(G(F))$, the Harish-Chandra space of $G(F)$.*

Remark 1.4. The desiderata on the local Langlands correspondence we require are rather weak, and are known in many cases as we explain in §3. For example, if one restricts attention to $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$ then everything we require about the local Langlands correspondence is known.

The space $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ is usually referred to as the Harish-Chandra Schwartz space, but we omit “Schwartz” for brevity and to distinguish it from other spaces we consider. The space $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ is too large for many purposes. Thus one must impose some additional conditions. Let $\mathcal{C}(\pi)$ denote the space of smooth matrix coefficients of π . For $f \in C^\infty(G(F))$ and $c \in \mathcal{C}(\pi)$, let

$$Z(s, f, c) = \int_{G(F)} f(g) |d(g)|^s c(g) dg,$$

whenever this function converges absolutely.

Conjecture 1.5 (Braverman-Kazhdan, Ngô). *One can choose \mathcal{S}_ρ as in Conjecture 1.1 that additionally satisfies the following for all $f \in \mathcal{S}_\rho$ and tempered representations π of $G(F)$:*

(S1) *For all $c \in \mathcal{C}(\pi)$ the local zeta integral $Z(s, f, c)$ converges for $\mathrm{Re}(s)$ sufficiently large and*

$$\frac{Z(s, f, c)}{L(\frac{1}{2} + s, \pi, \rho)}$$

is holomorphic as a function of s . Moreover

$$Z(-s, \mathcal{F}_\rho(f), c^\vee) = \gamma(\frac{1}{2} + s, \pi, \rho, \psi) Z(s, f, c)$$

as meromorphic functions in s .

(S2) *For F Archimedean, and for $a < b$, let $V_{a,b} := \{s \in \mathbb{C} : a < \mathrm{Re}(s) < b\}$. If $p \in \mathbb{C}[s]$ is such that $s \mapsto p(s)L(s, \pi, \rho)$ has no pole on $V_{a,b}$, then $s \mapsto p(s)Z(s, f, c)$ is bounded on $V_{a,b}$.*

(S3) *For F non-Archimedean there is a function $b_\rho \in \mathcal{S}_\rho$ such that $\mathcal{F}_\rho(b_\rho) = b_\rho$ when ψ is unramified, $Z(s, b_\rho, c)$ is nonzero only if π is unramified, and $Z(s, b_\rho, c^\circ) = L(s, \pi, \rho)$ when c° is the zonal spherical function.*

(S4) *When F is non-Archimedean the space \mathcal{S}_ρ consists of functions that are almost compactly supported.*

Conjectures 1.1 and 1.5 are a large part of the local conjectures on Schwartz spaces of Braverman-Kazhdan and Ngô [BK00, Ngô14, Ngô20]. A nice summary is given in [LN24, Conjecture 2.1.1]. To compare the conjectures, we point out that for technical reasons we only consider tempered representations in this paper, whereas in loc. cit. the authors consider all irreducible admissible representations. Moreover in loc. cit. the authors ask for

an interpretation of \mathcal{F}_ρ as a convolution satisfying certain properties. We omit a discussion of this in the introduction (but see §4.1 below).

Our main results on Schwartz spaces of reductive monoids are summarized in the following theorem:

Theorem 1.6. *If the desiderata on the local Langlands correspondence in §3 are valid then Conjecture 1.5 holds.*

Let us simultaneously outline the argument and the sections of this paper. We point out at the outset that we work with more general G and ρ than those considered above in the body of the paper. In §2 we collect various preliminaries, culminating with a description of the matricial Harish-Chandra Plancherel formula in §2.8. We then turn to a statement of a coarse version of the local Langlands correspondence in §3. We also prove uniform bounds on γ -factors in the Archimedean case in §3.3. These are important because we wish to treat functions that are not necessarily finite under a maximal compact subgroup when F is Archimedean.

We then construct the Fourier transform in §4 and prove Conjecture 1.1 in Theorem 4.7. Essentially we construct the Fourier transform using the Harish-Chandra Plancherel theorem by declaring that (1.0.3) is valid. To our knowledge, the fact that the Harish-Chandra space is sufficient to construct all ρ -Fourier transforms has not been observed in the literature. We construct the kernel function for the Fourier transform spectrally in §4.1, define the basic function in §4.2, and prove several estimates for later use in §4.3.

In §5 we construct the **asymptotic ρ -Schwartz space** $\mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(G(F)) \leq \mathcal{C}(G(F))$. Briefly, it is the inverse image under the Harish-Chandra Plancherel isomorphism of meromorphic sections with poles no worse than the Langlands L -functions attached to ρ . We refer to $\mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(G(F)) \leq \mathcal{C}(G(F))$ as the asymptotic Schwartz space due to the fact that it is formally similar to the asymptotic Hecke algebra [BK18], as pointed out to us by Beuzart-Plessis. The asymptotic Hecke algebra satisfies all of the desiderata of Conjecture 1.5 except (S4).

At this point we narrow our focus to the non-Archimedean case and cut out a subspace of $\mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(G(F))$ to construct the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G(F))$. A clue to the correct definition is given by Example 6.2. It demonstrates that elements of $\mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(G(F))$ need not lie in the space of almost compactly supported smooth functions $C_{ac}^\infty(G(F))$ (see Definition 6.1). On the other hand one expects the Schwartz space to consist of almost compactly supported smooth functions. Thus we define the **ρ -Schwartz space**

$$(1.0.4) \quad \mathcal{S}_\rho(G(F)) := \mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(G(F)) \cap C_{ac}^\infty(G(F)).$$

One way to think about this definition is that $\mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(G(F))$ gives us spectral control of the Schwartz space and $C_{ac}^\infty(G(F))$ gives geometric control. Happily, the space $C_{ac}^\infty(G(F))$ can be understood using the Paley-Wiener theorem of Bernstein and Heiermann (see §6.1). By carefully leveraging the Harish-Chandra Plancherel formula and the Paley-Wiener theorem

we are able to prove that $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G(F))$ is preserved by the Fourier transform and that Theorem 1.6 is valid for $\mathcal{S}_\rho = \mathcal{S}_\rho(G(F))$ in §7.

We suspect that with additional work our methods could be extended to define Schwartz spaces (and not just asymptotic Schwartz spaces) in the Archimedean setting, but several points remain unclear. The key would be to obtain a subspace of $\mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(G(F))$ such that an Archimedean analogue of (S4) is valid and prove that it is stable under the Fourier transform.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank R. Beuzart-Plessis for crucial help with Paley-Wiener theorems, in particular for suggesting using the work of Bernstein and Heiermann and supplying Example 6.2. We also thank P. Delorme for answering a question regarding [Del10]. Getz thanks H. Hahn for her constant encouragement and help with editing. This paper was written under the auspices of the Duke Research Scholars program organized by Getz and funded by NSF RTG grant DMS-2231514.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Analytic number theory notation. Let X be a set. For functions $f, g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, we write

$$f \ll g \quad \text{or equivalently} \quad f(x) = O(g(x)),$$

if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $f(x) \leq Cg(x)$ for all $x \in X$. We say g dominates f if $f \ll g$. Occasionally we write $f \ll_{\text{?}} g$ if the implied constant depends (only) on ? . We write $f \asymp g$ if $f \ll g$ and $g \ll f$. By contrast, we say f is bounded by g if $f(x) \leq g(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

2.2. Varieties. By a standard abuse of notation, if X and Y are reduced schemes over \mathbb{C} we say that a map $X(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow Y(\mathbb{C})$ is algebraic, regular, or polynomial if it is induced by a morphism $X \rightarrow Y$ of schemes over \mathbb{C} . Similarly, a rational map $X(\mathbb{C}) \dashrightarrow Y(\mathbb{C})$ is the partial defined map induced by a rational morphism $X \dashrightarrow Y$.

2.3. Linear algebras. For a ring extension $R \rightarrow S$ and an R -module M , let $M_S := M \otimes_R S$ denote the base change to S . For a real vector space W , we write $W_{\mathbb{C}} = W \oplus iW$ for the splitting supplied by the identification $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R} \oplus i\mathbb{R}$, where i is a choice of square roots of -1 .

For a real vector space W and a subset $X \subseteq W$, let

$$(2.3.1) \quad V_X := \{x + iw \mid x \in X, w \in W\} \subseteq W_{\mathbb{C}}$$

be the cylinder over X .

2.4. Groups, characters and measures. Let F be a local field of characteristic 0 with normalized norm $|\cdot|$. When F is non-Archimedean, we let \mathcal{O}_F be its ring of integers. Let $\varpi \in F^\times$ denote a choice of uniformizer, and set $q := |\varpi|^{-1}$.

Let G be a (connected) reductive group over F and let $A_0 \leq G$ be a maximal split torus. We denote by A_G the maximal split torus in Z_G .

Put

$$\mathfrak{a}_G := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^*(G), \mathbb{R}), \quad \mathfrak{a}_G^* = X^*(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}.$$

Define a homomorphism $H_G : G(F) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{a}_G$ by

$$(2.4.1) \quad \langle \chi, H_G(g) \rangle = \log |\chi(g)|,$$

for $(g, \chi) \in G(F) \times X^*(G)$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} G(F)^1 &:= \ker H_G = \{g \in G(F) : |\chi(g)| = 1 \text{ for all } \chi \in X^*(G)\}, \\ \Lambda_G &:= \Lambda_{G,F} := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{TopGp}}(G(F)/G(F)^1, \mathbb{C}^\times). \end{aligned}$$

The subscript **TopGp** indicates that homomorphisms are taken in the category of topological groups (i.e. the morphisms are continuous group homomorphisms). Thus Λ_G is the set of unramified quasi-characters of $G(F)$. There is a surjective homomorphism

$$(2.4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{a}_{G\mathbb{C}}^* &\longrightarrow \Lambda_G \\ \chi &\longmapsto (g \mapsto e^{\langle \chi, H_G(g) \rangle}). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Re} \Lambda_G &:= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{TopGp}}(G(F)/G(F)^1, \mathbb{R}_{>0}), \\ \mathrm{Im} \Lambda_G &:= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{TopGp}}(G(F)/G(F)^1, S^1). \end{aligned}$$

Here $S^1 := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ is the unit circle. The restriction of (2.4.2) to \mathfrak{a}_G^* induces an isomorphism onto $\mathrm{Re} \Lambda_G$, and the restriction of (2.4.2) to $i\mathfrak{a}_G^*$ surjects onto $\mathrm{Im} \Lambda_G$. We let

$$(2.4.3) \quad i\mathfrak{a}_G^\vee = \ker(i\mathfrak{a}_G^* \rightarrow \mathrm{Im} \Lambda_G) = \ker(\mathfrak{a}_{G\mathbb{C}}^* \rightarrow \Lambda_G).$$

Thus $i\mathfrak{a}_G^\vee$ is trivial when F is Archimedean, and is a full rank lattice of \mathfrak{a}_G^* when F is non-Archimedean. We say a function on Λ_G is holomorphic if its pullback along (2.4.2) is holomorphic.

When F is non-Archimedean, there is a canonical identification of Λ_G with the complex points of an algebraic torus [Ren10, §V.2.4]. The Lie algebra of this torus is $\mathfrak{a}_{G\mathbb{C}}$, and the map (2.4.2) is just the exponential map. We obtain an isomorphism

$$(2.4.4) \quad \mathfrak{a}_{G\mathbb{C}}^*/i\mathfrak{a}_G^\vee \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda_G.$$

Thus our earlier definition of a holomorphic function on Λ_G coincides with the usual notion of a holomorphic function on a complex torus.

For later use, we make explicit the following fact [Ren10, §V.2.4]:

Lemma 2.1. *Assume F is non-Archimedean. For $g \in G(F)$, the map*

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_G &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ \chi &\longmapsto \chi(g)\end{aligned}$$

is algebraic. □

Following [Wal03, §I.1], a parabolic subgroup P of G is called semi-standard if it contains A_0 . We denote by P^{op} the opposite parabolic with respect to A_0 . A semi-standard Levi subgroup M is the unique Levi subgroup of a semistandard parabolic P that contains A_0 . For a semi-standard Levi subgroup M , let $\mathcal{P}(M)$ denote the set of all semi-standard parabolic subgroups with Levi subgroup M . Let \mathcal{M} denote a set of representatives of conjugacy classes of semi-standard Levi subgroups. For any $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$, by abuse of notation we write $H_M : P(F) \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}_M$ for the pullback of H_M along $P(F) \rightarrow M(F)$.

When F is non-Archimedean, we normalize measures as in [Wal03, p.240]. Namely, let K be the special subgroup associated to the maximal split torus A_0 . For any algebraic subgroup H of G , we normalize the left Haar measure on $H(F)$ so that $K \cap H(F)$ has measure 1.

Assume F is Archimedean. Since $G(F) = \text{Res}_{F/\mathbb{R}} G(\mathbb{R})$, we may view $G(F)$ canonically as a real Lie group. Choose a maximal compact subgroup K of $G(F)$. We normalize the measure following [Art, I, 1.6]. Briefly, let N be the unipotent radical of a minimal semi-standard parabolic subgroup. Pick Haar measures on \mathfrak{a}_{A_0} and on $\text{Lie } N$ (viewed as a real Lie algebra). We have

$$(2.4.5) \quad G(F) = e^{\mathfrak{a}_{A_0}} N(F) K.$$

We assume $dg = da \, dn \, dk$, where the Haar measure da (resp. dn) is the pushforward of the Haar measure on \mathfrak{a}_{A_0} (resp. $\text{Lie } N$) under the exponential map, and $\text{meas}_{dk}(K) = 1$.

For a split torus A , equip $\text{Im } \Lambda_A$ with a Haar measure as follows. Take the counting measure on $i\mathfrak{a}_{A,F}^\vee$, and choose the Haar measure on $i\mathfrak{a}_A^*$ so that the quotient measure on $i\mathfrak{a}_A^*/2\pi i\kappa X^*(A)$ has volume 1. Here

$$\kappa = \begin{cases} (\log q)^{-1} & \text{if } F \text{ is non-Archimedean and} \\ 2 & \text{if } F = \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

Give $\text{Im } \Lambda_A$ the Haar measure so that the isomorphism $i\mathfrak{a}_A^*/i\mathfrak{a}_{A,F}^\vee \cong \text{Im } \Lambda_A$ is measure preserving. When F is non-Archimedean this simply means $\text{Im } \Lambda_A$ has volume 1.

The restriction map $\text{Im } \Lambda_G \rightarrow \text{Im } \Lambda_{A_G}$ is surjective with finite kernel. Equip $\text{Im } \Lambda_G$ with the pullback of the Haar measure on $\text{Im } \Lambda_{A_G}$ that we have just chosen.

2.5. The tempered dual. Denote by

$$\Pi_2(G) \subseteq \text{Temp}(G)$$

the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible square-integrable (resp. irreducible tempered) representations of $G(F)$. Here and throughout this paper we work in the category of continuous unitary representations of $G(F)$ on a Hilbert space, and a representation of $G(F)$ is square-integrable if its matrix coefficients are square integrable modulo center.

The group of unramified characters Λ_G acts naturally on the set $\Pi(G)$ of isomorphism classes of irreducible admissible representations of $G(F)$. For $\pi \in \Pi(G)$ and $\chi \in \Lambda_G$, one obtains a representation

$$\pi_\chi := \pi \otimes \chi.$$

By pulling back along (2.4.2) we obtain an action of $\mathfrak{a}_{G\mathbb{C}}^*$:

$$(2.5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \Pi(G) \times \mathfrak{a}_{G\mathbb{C}}^* &\longrightarrow \Pi(G) \\ (\pi, \lambda) &\longmapsto \pi_\lambda := \pi \otimes e^{\langle \lambda, H_G(\cdot) \rangle}. \end{aligned}$$

An orbit under this action is sometimes called an inertial orbit. Let

$$(2.5.2) \quad i\mathfrak{a}_{G,\pi}^\vee \leq i\mathfrak{a}_G^*$$

denote the stabilizer of π ; we have $i\mathfrak{a}_G^\vee \leq i\mathfrak{a}_{G,\pi}^\vee$.

Let $\Pi_2(G)_\mathbb{C} \subseteq \Pi(G)$ denote the set of all unramified twists of $\Pi_2(G)$. For each $\pi \in \Pi_2(G)$, the inertial orbit $\mathfrak{a}_{G\mathbb{C}}^* \cdot \pi$ may be identified with the complex manifold $\mathfrak{a}_{G\mathbb{C}}^* / i\mathfrak{a}_{G,\pi}^\vee$. Thus the space $\Pi_2(G)_\mathbb{C}$ is naturally a disconnected complex manifold whose connected components are inertial orbits. The unitary representations inside the inertial orbit of π may be identified with the real manifold $i\mathfrak{a}_G^* / i\mathfrak{a}_{G,\pi}^\vee$. When F is non-Archimedean, the inertial orbits are moreover complex algebraic tori.

Let $\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)$ denote the set of isomorphism classes of tempered representations of $G(F)$ of the form $\text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma)$, where $M \in \mathcal{M}$, $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ and $\sigma \in \Pi_2(M)$. The set $\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)$ is a quotient of

$$\widetilde{\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)} = \bigsqcup_{M \in \mathcal{M}} \Pi_2(M).$$

Equip $\widetilde{\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)}$ with the natural structure of a smooth manifold. For later use we put

$$\widetilde{\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)}_\mathbb{C} = \bigsqcup_{M \in \mathcal{M}} \Pi_2(M)_\mathbb{C}$$

and equip it with its natural structure as a complex manifold; when F is non-Archimedean, it is the \mathbb{C} -points of a complex algebraic scheme, each of its connected components being a complex torus.

We recall the Harish-Chandra canonical measure $d\sigma$ on $\Pi_2(G)$. For an orbit \mathcal{O} in $\Pi_2(G)$ under the $\text{Im } \Lambda_G$ -action, the restriction of $d\sigma$ to \mathcal{O} is the pushforward of the Haar measure on $\text{Im } \Lambda_G$ along the action map $\text{Im } \Lambda_G \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \subseteq \Pi_2(G)$. This allows us to define a regular Borel

measure $d\pi$ on $\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)$ by means of

$$\int_{\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)} \varphi(\pi) d\pi := \sum_{M \in \mathcal{M}} \frac{1}{|W(G, M)|} \int_{\Pi_2(M)} \varphi(M, \sigma) \deg(\sigma) j(\sigma)^{-1} d\sigma,$$

for any $\varphi \in C_c(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$. Here $W(G, M) := N_{G(F)}(M(F))/M(F)$ is the Weyl group of $M(F)$, $\deg(\sigma)$ is the formal degree of σ , and $j(\sigma)^{-1}$ is the Harish-Chandra μ -function; see [BP20, §2.4, 2.6] and the references therein.

Lemma 2.2. *The map $(M, \sigma) \mapsto (M, \sigma^\vee)$ defines an involutive homeomorphism on $\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)$ that preserves the measure $d\pi$.*

Proof. It is clear from the definition of the formal degree that $\deg(\sigma) = \deg(\sigma^\vee)$. Moreover, $j(\sigma) = j(\sigma^\vee)$ by [Wal03, p.286 (2)]. Strictly speaking, in loc. cit. only the non-Archimedean case is discussed. The proof used [Wal03, p.283 (11)]. Its Archimedean counterpart remains true by [Wal92, Lemma 10.5.6]. \square

To ease notation, for semi-standard parabolic subgroups $P = MN$ of G with Levi subgroups M and admissible representations σ of $M(F)$, write

$$I_P^G(\sigma) := \text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma)$$

for the unitarily normalized induction. By the Langlands classification, every irreducible tempered representation π is a subrepresentation of some $I_P^G(\sigma)$ with $M \in \mathcal{M}$, $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$, and $\sigma \in \Pi_2(M)$ (c.f. [Wal03, Proposition III.4.I] and [Wal88, Proposition 5.2.5]). It is unique in the sense that $\pi \mapsto (M, \sigma)$ determines a well-defined map

$$(2.5.3) \quad \text{Temp}(G) \longrightarrow \text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G).$$

We mention that each $I_P^G(\sigma)$ has finite length (topologically), and decomposes as a direct sum of irreducible tempered representations as G -representations. We will need the following fact from [Pou72, Corollary 5.1] in the sequel:

Lemma 2.3. *Assume F is Archimedean. The map*

$$(I_P^G(\sigma))^{\text{sm}} \longrightarrow \sigma \\ f \longmapsto f(1)$$

is well-defined and continuous. The superscript sm denotes the smooth part of the representation. \square

Let $M \in \mathcal{M}$ and $P, P' \in \mathcal{P}(M)$. Moreover, let σ be an admissible representation of $M(F)$ of finite length. For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{MC}^*$ we denote by

$$(2.5.4) \quad J_{P|P'}(\sigma_\lambda) : I_{P'}^G(\sigma_\lambda)^{\text{sm}} \longrightarrow I_P^G(\sigma_\lambda)^{\text{sm}}$$

the usual $G(F)$ -intertwining operator [Wal03, Théorème IV.1.1] [Wal92, 10.1.11].

2.6. Function spaces. For a scheme X smooth and of finite type over F with $X(F) \neq \emptyset$, recall the spaces

$$C_c^\infty(X(F)) \leq \mathcal{S}(X(F)) \leq C^\infty(X(F)).$$

The first and the last spaces have their usual meaning. When F is non-Archimedean, we set $\mathcal{S}(X(F)) := C_c^\infty(X(F))$. In the Archimedean case, let $\mathcal{S}(X(F))$ be the Schwartz space defined in [AG08]; this is a nuclear Fréchet space.

2.7. Functions on groups. Let G be a connected reductive group over F . Let $L^2(G(F))$ denote the Hilbert space of square-integrable functions on G with respect to a choice of Haar measure. Let

$$(2.7.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{R} : L^2(G(F)) \times G(F) \times G(F) &\longrightarrow L^2(G(F)) \\ (\varphi, (g_1, g_2)) &\longmapsto (x \mapsto \varphi(g_1^{-1}xg_2)) \end{aligned}$$

be the action map. We use the same notation for any $G(F) \times G(F)$ -invariant space of functions on $G(F)$.

When F is non-Archimedean, a function $G(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is **uniformly smooth** if it is fixed on the left and right under a compact open subgroup $K \leq G(F)$. Let $C_u^\infty(G(F))$ denote the space of uniformly smooth functions. One has

$$C_c^\infty(G(F)) \leq C_u^\infty(G(F)) \leq C^\infty(G(F)).$$

When F is Archimedean, the space $\mathcal{S}(G(F))$ coincides with the Schwartz space of rapidly decreasing functions defined in [Cas89], and it is a smooth Fréchet representation of $G(F) \times G(F)$ of moderate growth.

In the rest of this subsection, we recall the definition of the Harish-Chandra space $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$. Choose a closed immersion $\iota : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n$ and a norm $\|\cdot\|_{M_n(F)}$ on the vector space of $n \times n$ matrices $M_n(F)$. Define a norm and a log-norm on $G(F)$ by

$$(2.7.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \|g\| &:= \max\{\|\iota(g)\|_{M_n(F)}, \|\iota^{-t}(g)\|_{M_n(F)}\}, \\ \sigma_G(g) &:= 1 + \log(\max\{1, \|g\|\}). \end{aligned}$$

We will only use $\|g\|$ and σ_G to define growth rates of functions. The spaces of functions we obtain in this manner are independent of the choice of embedding ι and norm. For convenience, we will assume that the norm is K -biinvariant. For every continuous $f : G(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and all $d \in \mathbb{R}$, set

$$(2.7.3) \quad p_d(f) := \sup_{g \in G(F)} |f(g)| \Xi^G(g)^{-1} \sigma_G(g)^d.$$

Here Ξ^G is the Harish-Chandra Ξ -function [BP20, §1.5].

Suppose F is non-Archimedean and $K' \leq G(F)$ is a compact open subgroup. Let

$$\mathcal{C}(G(F)//K') = \{f \in C^\infty(G(F)//K') : p_d(f) < \infty \text{ for all } d > 0\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}(G(F)) := \bigcup_{K'} \mathcal{C}(G(F)//K').$$

The seminorms $(p_d)_{d>0}$ endow $\mathcal{C}(G(F)//K')$ with a Fréchet topology, and we equip $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ with the locally convex colimit topology. Thus, $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ is a smooth LF-space representation of $G(F) \times G(F)$.

If F is Archimedean, let $\mathfrak{g} := \text{Lie Res}_{F/\mathbb{R}} G$ and $U(\mathfrak{g})$ be the universal enveloping algebra. The action of $G(F) \times G(F)$ on $C^\infty(G(F))$ induces an action of $U(\mathfrak{g}) \times U(\mathfrak{g})$ on functions on $C^\infty(G(F))$. We define a seminorm

$$p_{u,v,d}(f) := p_d(\mathcal{R}(u,v)f),$$

for all $d > 0$ and $u, v \in U(\mathfrak{g})$. Let

$$\mathcal{C}(G(F)) := \{f \in C^\infty(G(F)) : p_{u,v,d}(f) < \infty \text{ for all } d > 0, u, v \in U(\mathfrak{g})\}.$$

These semi-norms make $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ a nuclear Fréchet space. By [Wal88, Theorem 7.8.1], it is a smooth Fréchet representation of $G(F) \times G(F)$.

It is clear that $C_c^\infty(G(F)) \leq \mathcal{S}(G(F))$, and the inclusion is continuous. Using standard estimates for Ξ^G [BP20, Proposition 1.5.1(i)] one checks that $\Xi^G(g)^{-1}\sigma_G(g)^d$ is dominated by a polynomial function of $g \in G(F)$. This implies that there are continuous inclusions

$$C_c^\infty(G(F)) \leq \mathcal{S}(G(F)) \leq \mathcal{C}(G(F)).$$

For $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$, the convolution

$$f_1 * f_2(g) := \int_{G(F)} f_1(h) f_2(h^{-1}g) dh$$

is absolutely convergent and $f_1 * f_2 \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$; see [Wal88, §7.1] and [Wal03, Lemme III.6.1]. For $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$, let

$$f^*(g) := \overline{f(g^{-1})}.$$

The map $f \mapsto f^*$ defines a topological involution on $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ since $\sigma_G(g) \asymp \sigma_G(g^{-1})$ and $\Xi^G(g^{-1}) = \Xi^G(g)$.

2.8. Harish-Chandra Plancherel. For a Hilbert representation H of $G(F)$, let

$$(2.8.1) \quad \mathcal{HS}(H) = H^\vee \widehat{\otimes} H$$

denote the space of Hilbert-Schmidt operators on the Hilbert space H , where the completed tensor product is with respect to the Hilbert space structure. This space is naturally a Hilbert representation of $G(F) \times G(F)$.

Assume $(M, \sigma), (M', \sigma') \in \widetilde{\text{Temp}}_{\text{Ind}}(G)$ such that $I_P^G(\sigma) \cong I_{P'}^G(\sigma')$. The theory of intertwining operators provides a canonical isomorphism

$$(2.8.2) \quad \mathcal{HS}(I_P^G(\sigma)) \cong \mathcal{HS}(I_{P'}^G(\sigma'))$$

(for example, the Archimedean case is discussed in [Art, II.(2.2)]). This allows us to define $\mathcal{HS}(\pi)$ unambiguously for $\pi \in \text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)$.

Define

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{HS} &:= \bigsqcup_{(M,\sigma) \in \widetilde{\text{Temp}}_{\text{Ind}}(G)_{\mathbb{C}}} \mathcal{HS}(I_P^G(\sigma)), \\ \mathcal{HS}^{\text{sm}} &:= \bigsqcup_{(M,\sigma) \in \widetilde{\text{Temp}}_{\text{Ind}}(G)_{\mathbb{C}}} \mathcal{HS}(I_P^G(\sigma))^{\text{sm}}.\end{aligned}$$

For $\lambda \in \Lambda_M$, one has a K -equivariant isomorphism $I_P^G(\sigma_\lambda)|_K \cong I_{P(F) \cap K}^K(\sigma|_{M(F) \cap K})$. This realizes \mathcal{HS} and \mathcal{HS}^{sm} as (infinite dimensional) vector bundles over the measurable space $\widetilde{\text{Temp}}_{\text{Ind}}(G)_{\mathbb{C}}$. We denote the pullbacks of \mathcal{HS} and \mathcal{HS}^{sm} along $\widetilde{\text{Temp}}_{\text{Ind}}(G) \rightarrow \text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)_{\mathbb{C}}$ by the same symbols. In view of the identifications (2.8.2), these pullback descend to bundles over $\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)$.

Put

$$\begin{aligned}C^\infty(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)) \\ = \begin{cases} \Gamma(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G), \mathcal{HS}^{\text{sm}}) \cap C^\infty(\widetilde{\text{Temp}}_{\text{Ind}}(G), \mathcal{HS}^{\text{sm}}) & , \text{ if } F \text{ is non-Archimedean,} \\ \Gamma(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G), \mathcal{HS}) \cap C^\infty(\widetilde{\text{Temp}}_{\text{Ind}}(G), \mathcal{HS}) & , \text{ if } F \text{ is Archimedean.} \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

By abuse of notation, for a section T and $(M, \sigma) \in \widetilde{\text{Temp}}_{\text{Ind}}(G)$, we write $T(M, \sigma) = T(I_P^G(\sigma))$. Here the spaces of smooth functions are defined as in [BP20, §2.6].

We now discuss algebraic and topological structures on this space of sections. For $\pi \in \text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)$ the space $\mathcal{HS}(\pi)$ is a Hilbert algebra. Since $\mathcal{HS}(\pi)^{\text{sm}}$ is a dense subalgebra of $\mathcal{HS}(\pi)$, it inherits the structure of a Hilbert algebra. Consequently, $C^\infty(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$ is naturally an algebra under pointwise composition [BP20, Proposition A.3.1.(v)], and for a section $T \in C^\infty(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$, taking the adjoint pointwise defines another section T^* . Secondly, for $g, h \in G(F)$ and $T \in C^\infty(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$, we define the section $\square(g, h)T$ by

$$\square(g, h)T(\pi) := \pi(g) \circ T \circ \pi(h)^{-1}.$$

This defines an abstract representation $(\square, C^\infty(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)))$ of $G(F) \times G(F)$.

For $M \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\sigma \in \Pi_2(M)$ define

$$(2.8.3) \quad N(\sigma) = 1 + |\chi_\sigma|$$

as in [BP20, §2.2]. Here χ_σ is the infinitesimal character of σ and the norm is defined as in loc. cit. Define

$$(2.8.4) \quad \mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)) \leq C^\infty(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$$

to be the subspace of sections T such that

(nA) if F is non-Archimedean, then $T \in \Gamma_c(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G), \mathcal{HS}^{\text{sm}})$;

(A) if F is Archimedean, then for any $M \in \mathcal{M}$, any parabolic subgroup $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$, and any invariant differential operator D on $\text{Im } \Lambda_M$, one has

$$\sup_{\sigma \in \Pi_2(M)} \|I_P^G(\sigma)(u) \circ DT(M, \sigma) \circ I_P^G(\sigma)(v)\|_{\text{op}} N(\sigma)^k < \infty,$$

for all $k \geq 0$ and $u, v \in U(\text{Lie}(K))$. Here D is viewed as a differential operator on $\widetilde{\text{Temp}}_{\text{Ind}}(G)$ in the obvious way.

If F is Archimedean, the topology on $\mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$ is defined by the seminorms in (A). When F is non-Archimedean, it is topologized as in [BP20, (2.6.3)].

It is not obvious that the definition in (A) is independent of the choice of K . Thus we state and prove the following

Lemma 2.4. *Let F be Archimedean. One has that $T \in \mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$ if and only if*

$$\sup_{\sigma \in \Pi_2(M)} \|I_P^G(\sigma)(u) \circ DT(M, \sigma) \circ I_P^G(\sigma)(v)\|_{\text{op}} N(\sigma)^k < \infty,$$

for all $u, v \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ and all invariant differential operators D on $\text{Im } \Lambda_M$, where all unexplained notation is as in (A).

Proof. The “only if” direction is clear. Thus assume $T \in \mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$.

Combining [War72, Lemma 4.4.4.8] and [Kna01, p.211], it suffices to prove the bound in the statement of the Lemma when u, v are powers of $C_{\mathfrak{g}} - 2C_{\text{Lie}(K)}$, where $C_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $C_{\text{Lie}(K)}$ are the Casimir elements of \mathfrak{g} and $\text{Lie}(K)$ (with respect to some symmetric bilinear form). By assumption it then suffices to prove the bound for u, v being powers of $C_{\mathfrak{g}}$. The infinitesimal character of $I_P^G(\sigma)$ is the infinitesimal character χ_{σ} of σ . Hence $C_{\mathfrak{g}}$ acts on the space of $I_P^G(\sigma)$ by a scalar bounded above by $N(\sigma)$. We deduce the bound for T in the statement of the Lemma. \square

Lemma 2.5. *$\mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$ is a subalgebra of $C^{\infty}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$ invariant under the \square -action.*

Proof. The only point requiring clarification is $G(F) \times G(F)$ -invariance when F is Archimedean. But this follows from the identity $\pi(X)\pi(g) = \pi(g)\pi(\text{Ad}(g^{-1})X)$, for $g \in G(F)$ and $X \in U(\mathfrak{g})$, and Lemma 2.4. \square

The matrical Paley-Wiener theorem, a strong form of the Harish-Chandra Plancherel formula, is the following Theorem; see [Wal03] and [Art] for the proofs. We follow the formulation in [BP20, Theorem 2.6.1].

Theorem 2.6. *The map*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{HP} : \mathcal{C}(G) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)) \\ f &\longmapsto [\pi \mapsto \pi(f)] \end{aligned}$$

is a topological isomorphism. Its inverse sends a section $T \in \mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$ to

$$\text{HP}^{-1}(T)(g) := \int_{\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)} \text{tr}(\pi(g^{-1}) \circ T(\pi)) d\pi.$$

The integral on the right is absolutely convergent by [Art, II, Theorem 6.3].

Corollary 2.7. *For $f \in \mathcal{C}(G)$, one has the inversion formula*

$$f(g) = \int_{\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)} \text{tr}(\pi(g^{-1}) \circ \pi(f)) d\pi,$$

and the inner product formula

$$\int_{G(F)} |f(x)|^2 dx = \int_{\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)} \text{tr}(\pi(f)^* \circ \pi(f)) d\pi.$$

Proof. The inversion formula follows from Theorem 2.6. The inner product formula follows at once by applying Harish-Chandra Plancherel to the function $f^* * f$. \square

For $g, h \in G(F)$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$, one sees readily that $\pi(\mathcal{R}(g, h)f) = \pi(g)\pi(f)\pi(h^{-1})$, so that

$$(2.8.5) \quad \text{HP}(\mathcal{R}(h, g)f) = \square(h, g)\text{HP}(f).$$

This implies the map HP is $G(F) \times G(F)$ -equivariant, and $(\square, \mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)))$ is a continuous representation.

For a Hilbert space H and $S \in \mathcal{HS}(H)$, let

$$(2.8.6) \quad S^\top \in \mathcal{HS}(H^\vee)$$

denote the usual dual operator, which is given by $\phi \mapsto \phi \circ S$. We choose to not write S^\vee to distinguish from other \vee that have already appeared. We point out that S^\top is again Hilbert-Schmidt and

$$(2.8.7) \quad \text{tr}(S^*S) = \text{tr}((S^\top)^*S^\top).$$

We record some observations for future use:

Lemma 2.8. *For $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$ and (π, V) a tempered representation of $G(F)$, one has the equality*

$$\pi^\vee(f^\vee) = \pi(f)^\top,$$

as operators on V^\vee . \square

Lemma 2.9. *For $T \in C^\infty(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$, define a section T^\top by*

$$T^\top(\pi) = T(\pi^\vee)^\top \in \mathcal{HS}(\pi).$$

Then $T^\top \in C^\infty(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$. Moreover, if $T \in \mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$ then $T^\top \in \mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$. Finally, if $f \in \mathcal{C}(G)$, then $\text{HP}(f)^\top = \text{HP}(f^\vee)$. \square

2.9. Constant terms. Let $M \in \mathcal{M}$ and $P = MN \in \mathcal{P}(M)$. For $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$, define the **constant term** $f^{(P)} : M(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ **of f along P** by

$$f^{(P)}(m) := \delta_P^{\frac{1}{2}}(m) \int_{N(F)} f(mn) dn.$$

By [Wal03, III.6] and [Wal88, §7.2.1], the integral is absolutely convergent and $f^{(P)} \in \mathcal{C}(M(F))$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(G(F)) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(M(F)) \\ f &\longmapsto f^{(P)} \end{aligned}$$

is continuous.

Lemma 2.10. *Let $P = MN \geq P' = M'N'$ be semi-standard parabolic subgroups of G . For $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$, one has $f^{(P')} = (f^{(P)})^{(M \cap P')}$.* \square

Lemma 2.11. *One has*

$$(\mathcal{R}(m_1, m_2)f)^{(P)} = \delta_P(m_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_P(m_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{R}(m_1, m_2)f^{(P)}$$

for $(m_1, m_2, f) \in M(F)^2 \times \mathcal{C}(G(F))$. \square

Let $P = MN$. For $(M', \sigma') \in \widetilde{\text{Temp}}_{\text{Ind}}(G)$ with $M' \leq M$, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\iota_{P', P, \sigma'} : \text{Ind}_{P'(F) \cap K}^K(\sigma'|_{M'(F) \cap K}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ind}_{P(F) \cap K}^K \left(\text{Ind}_{P'(F) \cap M(F) \cap K}^{M(F) \cap K}(\sigma'|_{M'(F) \cap K}) \right).$$

For each $g_1, g_2 \in G(F)$, this induces a map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ev}_{P', P, \sigma', g_1, g_2} : \mathcal{HS}(\text{Ind}_{P'}^G(\sigma')|_K) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{HS}(\text{Ind}_{P' \cap M}^M(\sigma')|_{M(F) \cap K}) \\ T &\longmapsto ((\iota_{P', P, \sigma'}^\top \otimes \iota_{P', P, \sigma'})T)(g_1, g_2). \end{aligned}$$

Here we are using the identification (2.8.1). This in turn yields a map between sections

$$(2.9.1) \quad \begin{aligned} C^\infty(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)) &\longrightarrow C^\infty(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(M)) \\ T &\longmapsto T^{(P)} \end{aligned}$$

given by

$$T^{(P)}(M', \sigma') = \text{ev}_{P', P, \sigma', 1, 1}(T(M', \sigma')) \in \mathcal{HS}(\text{Ind}_{P' \cap M}^M(\sigma')|_{M(F) \cap K}).$$

Lemma 2.12. *For $T \in \mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$, one has $T^{(P)} \in \mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(M))$. There is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}(G(F)) & \xrightarrow{\text{HP}_G} & \mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)) \\ \downarrow (-)^{(P)} & & \downarrow (-)^{(P)} \\ \mathcal{C}(M(F)) & \xrightarrow{\text{HP}_M} & \mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(M)) \end{array}$$

Proof. Explicitly, we are claiming

$$\mathrm{ev}_{P',P,\sigma',1,1}(\mathrm{HP}_G(f)(M',\sigma')) = \mathrm{HP}_M(f^{(P)})(M',\sigma')$$

Let $m_1, m_2 \in K \cap M(F)$. By transitivity of induction, we have

$$\mathrm{ev}_{P' \cap M, M, \sigma', m_1, m_2} \circ \mathrm{ev}_{P', P, \sigma', 1, 1} = \mathrm{ev}_{P', G, \sigma', m_1, m_2}.$$

It then suffices to show

$$\mathrm{ev}_{P', G, \sigma', m_1, m_2}(\mathrm{HP}_G(f)(M', \sigma')) = \mathrm{ev}_{P' \cap M, M, \sigma', m_1, m_2}(\mathrm{HP}_M(f^{(P)})(M', \sigma')).$$

By [Wal03, Lemme VII.1.2], for $(M', \sigma') \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Temp}}_{\mathrm{Ind}}(G(F))$, one has

$$\mathrm{ev}_{P', G, \sigma', m_1, m_2}(\mathrm{HP}_G(f)(M', \sigma')) = \mathrm{HP}_{M'}((\mathcal{R}(m_1, m_2)f)^{(P')})(M', \sigma')$$

and

$$\mathrm{ev}_{P' \cap M, M, \sigma', m_1, m_2}(\mathrm{HP}_M(f^{(P)})(M', \sigma')) = \mathrm{HP}_{M'}((\mathcal{R}(m_1, m_2)f^{(P)})^{(P' \cap M)})(M', \sigma').$$

Strictly speaking, loc. cit. only treats the non-Archimedean case, but the proof is valid in the Archimedean case as well. The application of [Wal03, Lemma VII.1.1(ii)] may be replaced by [Wal92, Lemma 13.1.7]. By Lemma 2.10,

$$(\mathcal{R}(m_1, m_2)f)^{(P')} = ((\mathcal{R}(m_1, m_2)f)^{(P)})^{(P' \cap M)}$$

so it remains to show

$$(\mathcal{R}(m_1, m_2)f)^{(P)} = \mathcal{R}(m_1, m_2)f^{(P)}$$

for $m_1, m_2 \in K \cap M(F)$. This follows from Lemma 2.11. \square

3. LOCAL LANGLANDS CORRESPONDENCE

We pin down a precise, weak version of the local Langlands correspondence for our purposes. For a connected reductive group G over F , we take ${}^L G = \widehat{G}(\mathbb{C}) \rtimes W_F$ the Weil form of the L -group. If $M \leq G$ is a Levi subgroup then we obtain an L -map ${}^L M \rightarrow {}^L G$ in the standard manner [GH24, §7.4].

By a **representation of ${}^L G$** , we mean a continuous group homomorphism $\rho : {}^L G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{V_\rho}(\mathbb{C})$ where V_ρ is a finite dimensional complex vector space, such that the restriction $\rho|_{\widehat{G}(\mathbb{C})}$ is given by a morphism $\widehat{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{V_\rho}$ of algebraic groups over \mathbb{C} .

Let

$$W'_F = \begin{cases} W_F \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) & \text{if } F \text{ is non-Archimedean, and} \\ W_F & \text{if } F \text{ is Archimedean.} \end{cases}$$

An L -parameter $\phi : W'_F \rightarrow {}^L G$ is called **tempered**, if the projection of $\phi(W_F)$ down to $\widehat{G}(\mathbb{C})$ has a bounded image; i.e. is contained in a compact subset in the usual Hausdorff

topology. It is **discrete**, if its image is not contained in any proper Levi subgroup of ${}^L G$. Two L -parameters are equivalent if they are conjugate by elements in $\widehat{G}(\mathbb{C})$. Let

$$\Phi_2(G) \subseteq \Phi_t(G) \subseteq \Phi(G)$$

be the set of equivalence classes of discrete L -parameters (resp. tempered L -parameters, L -parameters).

Let $|\cdot| : W_F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ be the usual norm, defined as in [Tat79, §1.4.6]. We extend it to W'_F by pullback along the projection $W'_F \rightarrow W_F$.

For

$$(3.0.1) \quad \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{G\mathbb{C}}^* = X^*(G) \otimes \mathbb{C} \leq X_*((G/G^{\text{der}})^\wedge)_{\mathbb{C}} = X_*(Z_{\widehat{G}}^\circ)_{\mathbb{C}}$$

we can form the L -parameter

$$(3.0.2) \quad \begin{aligned} |\cdot|^\lambda : W'_F &\longrightarrow {}^L G \\ x &\longmapsto |x|^\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

There is an action of $\mathfrak{a}_{G\mathbb{C}}^*$ on $\Phi(G)$ given by

$$(3.0.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi(G) \times \mathfrak{a}_{G\mathbb{C}}^* &\longrightarrow \Phi(G) \\ (\phi, \lambda) &\longmapsto \phi_\lambda := \phi|\cdot|^\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

The restriction of the action to $i\mathfrak{a}_G^*$ preserves $\Phi_t(G)$ and $\Phi_2(G)$.

By a **local Langlands correspondence**, we mean a map

$$\text{LL} = \text{LL}_G : \Pi(G) \longrightarrow \Phi(G),$$

satisfying a list of properties. We make the list as short as possible to indicate precisely what we require.

- (LLC1) If G is torus, LL_G is given by class field theory as in [Bor79, §9].
- (LLC2) If $G = \text{GL}_N$ when F is non-Archimedean, LL_G is the correspondence constructed by Harris-Taylor and Henniart [HT01, Hen00].
- (LLC3) When F is Archimedean LL_G is the correspondence constructed by Langlands [Lan89].
- (LLC4) One has $\text{LL}_G^{-1}(\Phi_t(G)) = \text{Temp}(G)$.
- (LLC5) The map LL_G is $\mathfrak{a}_{G\mathbb{C}}^*$ -equivariant.
- (LLC6) If $\rho : {}^L G \rightarrow \text{GL}_{V_\rho}(\mathbb{C})$ is any representation, then $\rho \circ \text{LL}_G(\pi^\vee) \cong (\rho \circ \text{LL}_G(\pi))^\vee$.
- (LLC7) Let $(M, \sigma) \in \widetilde{\text{Temp}}_{\text{Ind}}(G)$ and π an irreducible subquotient of $I_P^G(\sigma)$. Then

$$\text{LL}_G(\pi) = ({}^L M \rightarrow {}^L G) \circ \text{LL}_M(\sigma).$$

Remarks.

- (1) In the Archimedean setting the local Langlands correspondence constructed by Langlands [Lan89] satisfies all the conditions above; see [Bor79, §11] for a survey.
- (2) Due to the work of Fargues and Scholze [FS21, Theorem I.9.6], one has a map LL_G satisfying (LLC1), (LLC2), (LLC5) and (LLC6) when F is non-Archimedean.

(3) If $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$ then the local Langlands correspondence constructed by Harris-Taylor and Henniart satisfies (LLC1) (when $G = \mathrm{GL}_1$), (LLC2), (LLC4), (LLC5), (LLC6) and (LLC7).

(4) If one is only interested in constructing Schwartz spaces for a particular group G , then our argument only requires a local Langlands correspondence satisfying the conditions (LLC1), (LLC2), (LLC3), (LLC4), (LLC5), (LLC6) and (LLC7) for all Levi subgroups of G .

We henceforth assume (LLC1), (LLC2), (LLC3), (LLC4), (LLC5), (LLC6) and (LLC7) throughout the paper. We say that a representation

$$\rho : {}^L G \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{V_\rho}(\mathbb{C})$$

is **tempered** if the image of W_F is bounded. We point out that this implies that ρ is Frobenius semisimple.

The local Langlands correspondence for GL_n in (LLC2) tells us that L -functions, ε -factors, and γ -factors defined either from the automorphic or Galois theoretic perspective agree, see [GH24, §12.4]. In loc. cit. this is stated in terms of Rankin-Selberg L -functions, which agree with Godement-Jacquet L -functions when both are defined; see [Jac79, Theorem 5.1] for the Archimedean case and [JPSS83, §5] for the non-Archimedean case.

Now, we can define the local factors attached to a tempered representation ρ as follows. Fix a nontrivial additive character $\psi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. Consider the sequence of maps

$$\mathrm{Temp}(G) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{LL}_G} \Phi_t(G) \xrightarrow{\rho} \Phi_t(\mathrm{GL}_n).$$

For $\pi \in \mathrm{Temp}(G)$, put

$$\begin{aligned} L(s, \pi, \rho) &:= L(s, \rho \circ \mathrm{LL}_G(\pi)), \\ \varepsilon(s, \pi, \rho, \psi) &:= \varepsilon(s, \rho \circ \mathrm{LL}_G(\pi), \psi), \\ \gamma(s, \pi, \rho, \psi) &:= \gamma(s, \rho \circ \mathrm{LL}_G(\pi), \psi). \end{aligned} \tag{3.0.4}$$

We require the following compatibility assumption:

(LLC8) Assume F is non-Archimedean. Let $M \in \mathcal{M}$, $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$, σ be a unitary supercuspidal representation of $M(F)$ and $\lambda_0 \in \mathfrak{a}_M^*$. If $\pi \in \Pi_2(G)$ is a quotient of $I_P^G(\sigma_{\lambda_0})$, then the meromorphic function $\gamma(\frac{1}{2}, \sigma_\lambda, \rho|_{L_M})$ is analytic at $\lambda = \lambda_0$ and

$$\gamma(\tfrac{1}{2}, \pi, \rho, \psi) = \gamma(\tfrac{1}{2}, \sigma_\lambda, \rho|_{L_M}, \psi)|_{\lambda=\lambda_0}.$$

In the following we show that GL_n satisfies (LLC8). Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and let $\phi : W_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n/a}(\mathbb{C})$ be an irreducible representation. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta : W_F &\longrightarrow W_F \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \\ w &\longmapsto \left(w, \begin{pmatrix} |w|^{1/2} & \\ & |w|^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.0.5}$$

Then we have L -parameters

$$\phi \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{a-1} : W_F \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$$

$$\phi \circ \text{Sym}^{a-1} \circ \Delta = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{a-1} \phi \cdot |\cdot|^{(a-1)/2-i} : W_F \longrightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}).$$

Here we extend the second homomorphism trivially to $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ to regard it as an L -parameter.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume ϕ is tempered. Then the function $\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \bigoplus_{i=0}^{a-1} \phi \cdot |\cdot|^{\lambda_i+(a-1)/2-i}, \psi\right)$ is holomorphic in a neighborhood of $\lambda = 0$ and*

$$\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \phi \otimes \text{Sym}^{a-1}, \psi\right) = \gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \bigoplus_{i=0}^{a-1} \phi \cdot |\cdot|^{(a-1)/2-i}, \psi\right).$$

Proof. Assume first that ϕ is ramified. Then

$$L(s, \phi \otimes \text{Sym}^{a-1}) = L(s + (a-1)/2, \phi) = 1 = \prod_{i=0}^{a-1} L(s + (a-1)/2 - i, \phi).$$

On the other hand

$$\varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}, \phi \otimes \text{Sym}^{a-1}, \psi\right) = \varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}, \phi, \psi\right)^a = \prod_{i=0}^{a-1} \varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}, \phi, \psi\right) = \prod_{i=0}^{a-1} \varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{2} + (a-1)/2 - i, \phi, \psi\right)$$

[GR10, (11)]. Thus we deduce the lemma in this case.

Now assume that ϕ is unramified. The quotient W_F/\mathcal{I}_F of W_F by the inertial subgroup \mathcal{I}_F is abelian, so we deduce that $n = a$ and ϕ is an unramified character, say $\phi = |\cdot|^\lambda$. Then using [GR10, (11)] again one has

$$\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \phi \otimes \text{Sym}^{a-1}, \psi\right) = \frac{\varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}, \phi \otimes \text{Sym}^{a-1}, \psi\right) \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} - \lambda + (a-1)/2\right)}{\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \lambda + (a-1)/2\right)} = \frac{(-q^{-\lambda})^{a-1} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} - \lambda + (a-1)/2\right)}{\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \lambda + (a-1)/2\right)}.$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \bigoplus_{i=0}^{a-1} \phi \cdot |\cdot|^{(a-1)/2-i}, \psi\right) &= \prod_{i=0}^{a-1} \frac{\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} - \lambda + (a-1)/2 - i\right)}{\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \lambda + (a-1)/2 - i\right)} \\ &= \frac{\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} - \lambda + (a-1)/2\right) \prod_{i=1}^{a-1} (1 - q^{-(1/2+\lambda+(a-1)/2-i)})}{\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \lambda + (a-1)/2\right) \prod_{i=1}^{a-1} (1 - q^{-(1/2-\lambda+(a-1)/2-i)})} \\ &= \frac{\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} - \lambda + (a-1)/2\right) \prod_{i=1}^{a-1} q^{-(1/2+\lambda+(a-1)/2-i)} (q^{1/2+\lambda+(a-1)/2-i} - 1)}{\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \lambda + (a-1)/2\right) \prod_{i=1}^{a-1} (1 - q^{-1/2+\lambda-(a-1)/2+i})}. \end{aligned}$$

Changing variables $i \mapsto a-i$ in the top product this is

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} - \lambda + (a-1)/2\right) \prod_{i=1}^{a-1} (-q^{-(1/2+\lambda+(a-1)/2-(a-i)}) (1 - q^{1/2+\lambda+(a-1)/2-(a-i)})}{\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \lambda + (a-1)/2\right) \prod_{i=1}^{a-1} (1 - q^{-1/2+\lambda-(a-1)/2+i})} \\ &= \frac{\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} - \lambda + (a-1)/2\right)}{\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \lambda + (a-1)/2\right)} (-q^{-\lambda})^{a-1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.2. *If $G = \text{GL}_n$ then (LLC8) is true.*

Proof. Let $a|n$, and let M be the semi-standard Levi subgroup isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}_{n/a}^a$. Let σ be a unitary supercuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{n/a}(F)$. Then $\sigma^{\otimes a}$ is a unitary supercuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{n/a}^a(F)$. Let $\lambda_a \in \mathfrak{a}_M^*$ be the point such that

$$e^{\left\langle H_M \begin{pmatrix} m_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & m_a \end{pmatrix}, \lambda_a \right\rangle} = \prod_{i=1}^a |\det m_i|^{i-1-(a-1)/2}.$$

Then the induced representation $I_P^{\mathrm{GL}_n}(\sigma^{\otimes a}, \lambda_a)$ has a unique irreducible quotient $Q(\sigma^{\otimes a}, \lambda_a)$ which is a discrete series representation. Moreover all unitary discrete series representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ are isomorphic to $Q(\sigma^{\otimes a}, \lambda_a)$ for some σ and $a|n$. One can consult [GH24, §8.4] for references for these facts.

Let $\phi : W_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n/a}(\mathbb{C})$ be the L -parameter of σ . Then the L -parameter of $Q(\sigma^{\otimes a}, \lambda_a)$ is $\phi \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{a-1}$ [Zel80, §10]. Therefore

$$(3.0.6) \quad \rho(\phi \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{a-1}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \phi_i \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{a_i-1}.$$

Then

$$\phi_{\lambda_a}^{\oplus a} := \phi \circ \Delta : W_F \longrightarrow {}^L M$$

is the L -parameter of $\sigma_{\lambda_a}^{\otimes a}$. Moreover (3.0.6) implies that

$$(3.0.7) \quad \rho|_{{}^L M}(\phi_{\lambda_a}^{\oplus a}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \bigoplus_{j=0}^{a_i-1} \phi_i \otimes |\cdot|^{(a_i-1)/2-j}.$$

Thus the proposition follows from Lemma 3.1. □

Proposition 3.3. *Let $M \in \mathcal{M}$, $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$, σ be a unitary supercuspidal representation of $M(F)$ and $\lambda_0 \in \mathfrak{a}_M^*$. If $\pi \in \mathrm{Temp}(G)$ is a subrepresentation of $I_P^G(\sigma_{\lambda_0})$, then*

$$\gamma(\tfrac{1}{2}, \pi, \rho, \psi) = \gamma(\tfrac{1}{2}, \sigma_{\lambda}, \rho|_{{}^L M}, \psi)|_{\lambda=\lambda_0}.$$

In particular, the meromorphic function $\gamma(\tfrac{1}{2}, \sigma_{\lambda}, \rho|_{{}^L M}, \psi)$ is analytic at $\lambda = \lambda_0$.

Proof. Let $(M', \sigma') \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Temp}}_{\mathrm{Ind}}(G)$ be chosen so that π is a subrepresentation of $\mathrm{Ind}_{M'}^G(\sigma')$. Then $\gamma(s, \pi, \rho) = \gamma(s, \sigma', \rho|_{{}^L M'})$. By [Ren10, Corollaire VI.2.1] we can find a semi-standard Levi M of G contained in M' , $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$, σ a unitary supercuspidal representation of $M(F)$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{M\mathbb{C}}^*$ such that σ' is a subrepresentation of $\mathrm{Ind}_{P \cap M'}^{M'}(\sigma_{\lambda})$. Applying (LLC8), we have $\gamma(s, \sigma', \rho|_{{}^L M'}) = \gamma(s, \sigma, \rho|_{{}^L M})$. By transitivity of induction, π is a subrepresentation of $\mathrm{Ind}_P^G(\sigma_{\lambda})$. Thus we deduce the proposition. □

For the rest of this section we record several consequences of the local Langlands correspondence for later use.

3.1. Local factors as functions on $\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)$. Let $(M, \sigma) \in \widetilde{\text{Temp}}_{\text{Ind}}(G)$ and π be an irreducible subquotient of $I_P^G(\sigma)$. From (LLC7), we see

$$L(s, \pi, \rho) = L(s, \sigma, \rho|_{L_M}).$$

Suppose that the elements (M, σ') and (M, σ) map to the same point in $\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)$. Then $I_P^G(\sigma)$ and $I_P^G(\sigma')$ have the same semisimplification. In particular, if π is a common constituent, then

$$L(s, \sigma', \rho|_{L_M}) = L(s, \pi, \rho) = L(s, \sigma, \rho|_{L_M}).$$

This shows that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1.1) \quad L_\rho(M, \sigma) &:= L(\tfrac{1}{2}, \sigma, \rho|_{L_M}), \\ \varepsilon_{\rho, \psi}(M, \sigma) &:= \varepsilon(\tfrac{1}{2}, \sigma, \rho|_{L_M}, \psi), \\ \gamma_{\rho, \psi}(M, \sigma) &:= \gamma(\tfrac{1}{2}, \sigma, \rho|_{L_M}, \psi), \end{aligned}$$

are well-defined functions on $\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)$. We usually drop ψ from notation for simplicity. Writing $\pi = I_P^G(\sigma)$ with $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$, we set

$$L_\rho(\pi) := L_\rho(M, \sigma) = L(\tfrac{1}{2}, \sigma, \rho|_{L_M}),$$

and define the remaining local factors similarly. By pulling back along the map (2.5.3), we obtain functions

$$L_\rho, \varepsilon_\rho, \gamma_\rho : \text{Temp}(G) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

Moreover, since π is tempered, we have $L_\rho(\pi) = L(\tfrac{1}{2}, \pi, \rho)$, defined as in (3.0.4), and similarly for ε_ρ and γ_ρ .

Lemma 3.4. *The composition*

$$\widetilde{\text{Temp}}_{\text{Ind}}(G) \longrightarrow \text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G) \xrightarrow{\gamma_\rho} \mathbb{C}$$

is a smooth function and extends to a rational (resp. meromorphic) function on $\widetilde{\text{Temp}}_{\text{Ind}}(G)_{\mathbb{C}}$ when F is non-Archimedean (resp. Archimedean). The same holds if γ_ρ is replaced by L_ρ or ε_ρ . In the case of ε_ρ , the extension is regular (resp. entire) when F is non-Archimedean (resp. Archimedean). Moreover, the extensions of L_ρ and γ_ρ are holomorphic in an (analytic) open neighborhood of $\widetilde{\text{Temp}}_{\text{Ind}}(G)$.

The inverse $L_\rho^{-1} : (M, \sigma) \mapsto L(\tfrac{1}{2}, \sigma, \rho|_{L_M})^{-1}$ is well-defined, and extends to a regular (resp. entire) function $\widetilde{\text{Temp}}_{\text{Ind}}(G)_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ when F is non-Archimedean (resp. Archimedean).

Proof. Let M be a semi-standard Levi subgroup of G . Since $\widehat{M}(\mathbb{C})$ is reductive and ρ is tempered, the restriction $\rho|_{L_M} : {}^L M \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is semisimple. On the other hand, the functions L_ρ^{-1} , γ_ρ , and ε_ρ are additive. Indeed, this is obvious for the $L_\rho^{\pm 1}$, it is stated in [Tat79, (3.4.2)] for ε_ρ , and then follows for γ_ρ .

Combining the additivity observation above with (LLC5) reduces the assertions in the Lemma regarding γ_ρ to studying the function

$$\begin{aligned} i\mathfrak{a}_M^* &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ \lambda &\longmapsto \gamma(\tfrac{1}{2}, \sigma_\lambda, \rho) \end{aligned}$$

for irreducible ρ . Specifically, to prove the assertions in the lemma involving γ , it is enough to show that this function is smooth and extends to a rational (resp. meromorphic) function on $\mathfrak{a}_{G\mathbb{C}}^*$ when F is non-Archimedean (resp. Archimedean).

By irreducibility of ρ , the image of $\rho \circ |\cdot|^\lambda$ lies in the center $Z_{\mathrm{GL}_n}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^\times$, so $\rho \circ |\cdot|^\lambda = |\cdot|^{\mu(\lambda)}$ where $\mu : \mathfrak{a}_{G\mathbb{C}}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a linear functional. By (LLC2) and (LLC5), we deduce that

$$\gamma(\tfrac{1}{2}, \sigma_\lambda, \rho) = \gamma(\tfrac{1}{2} + \mu(\lambda), \mathrm{LL}_{\mathrm{GL}_n}^{-1}(\rho \circ \mathrm{LL}_M(\sigma)), \psi),$$

and similarly for $L_\rho^{\pm 1}$ and ε_ρ . The claims in the Lemma are now standard; see [Jac79] and the reference therein, for example. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\pi \in \mathrm{Temp}(G)$ and $\sigma = \mathrm{LL}_{\mathrm{GL}_n}^{-1}(\rho \circ \mathrm{LL}_G(\pi))$. Let χ_σ be the central character of σ . One has*

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(s, \pi, \rho, \psi) \varepsilon(1-s, \pi^\vee, \rho, \psi) &= \chi_\sigma(-1), \\ \gamma(s, \pi, \rho, \psi) \gamma(1-s, \pi^\vee, \rho, \psi) &= \chi_\sigma(-1). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. When $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$ and ρ is the standard representation the first relation follows from the local Langlands correspondence and [Jac79, 1.3.10], and the second relation follows from the first. One reduces the general case to this one using (LLC6). \square

Lemma 3.6. *For $\pi \in \mathrm{Temp}(G)$, one has*

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(\tfrac{1}{2}, \pi^\vee, \rho, \overline{\psi}) &= \overline{\gamma(\tfrac{1}{2}, \pi, \rho, \psi)}, \\ |\gamma(\tfrac{1}{2}, \pi, \rho, \psi)| &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. As in the previous lemma, using (LLC6), the argument reduces to the special case where $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$ and ρ is the standard representation. We henceforth assume we are in this special case.

For any $f \in \mathcal{S}(M_n(F))$, recall the local zeta integral of Godement-Jacquet:

$$Z(s, f, c) = \int_{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)} f(x) c(x) |\det x|^{s + \frac{n-1}{2}} dx,$$

where c is a matrix coefficient of π . Let $c^\vee(x) := c(x^{-1})$, and \mathcal{F}_ψ be the usual Fourier transform against the additive character ψ :

$$\mathcal{F}_\psi(f)(y) = \int_{M_n(F)} f(x) \psi(\mathrm{tr}(xy)) dx.$$

Here, we use the Haar measure that is self-dual with respect to ψ . Then the functional equation

$$Z(1-s, \mathcal{F}_\psi(f), c^\vee) = \gamma(s, \pi, \psi) Z(s, f, c)$$

holds [GJ72, Theorems 3.3 and 8.7]. Strictly speaking, in the Archimedean case, Godement and Jacquet work with a subspace of $\mathcal{S}(M_n(F))$, but the argument we are about to make is insensitive to the difference. For $s \in \mathbb{R}$

$$Z(1-s, \mathcal{F}_{\psi^{-1}}(\bar{f}), \bar{c}^\vee) = \overline{Z(1-s, \mathcal{F}_\psi(f), c^\vee)} = \overline{\gamma(s, \pi, \psi) Z(s, f, c)} = \overline{\gamma(s, \pi, \psi)} Z(s, \bar{f}, \bar{c}).$$

Since \bar{c} is a matrix coefficient for π^\vee , upon comparing the last two displayed equations, we obtain the first identity.

For the second identity, using the first equality, [Tat79, (3.4.4)] and Lemma 3.5 we have

$$|\gamma(\tfrac{1}{2}, \pi, \psi)|^2 = \gamma(\tfrac{1}{2}, \pi, \psi) \overline{\gamma(\tfrac{1}{2}, \pi, \psi)} = \chi_\pi(-1) \gamma(\tfrac{1}{2}, \pi, \psi) \gamma(\tfrac{1}{2}, \pi^\vee, \psi) = \chi_\pi(-1)^2 = 1.$$

□

3.2. Poles of L -functions. In this subsection, we assume F is an Archimedean local field. By applying Weil restriction of scalars, we may assume $F = \mathbb{R}$ without loss of generality.

In the Archimedean setting, L -functions have infinitely many poles. We will require some control on these poles that is uniform over all irreducible square-integrable representations of $G(F)$. This control is formalized in Theorem 3.9 below.

Now, we recall two well known properties of the Archimedean local Langlands correspondence. First, following ([Lan89], [Bor79, §11]), we have:

Theorem 3.7. *One has $\mathrm{LL}_G^{-1}(\Phi_2(G)) = \Pi_2(G)$.*

We write $W_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{C}^\times \cup j\mathbb{C}^\times$, and identify the subgroup \mathbb{C}^\times with $W_{\mathbb{C}}$ as usual [Tat79, §1.4.3]. Thus $j^2 = -1$ and $jzj^{-1} = \bar{z}$ for $z \in W_{\mathbb{C}}$. By [AV16, Theorem 1.3], we have the following

Theorem 3.8. *Let $C_{W_{\mathbb{R}}} \in \mathrm{Aut} W_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the involution defined by $C_{W_{\mathbb{R}}}(z) = z^{-1}$ for $z \in W_{\mathbb{C}}$, and $C_{W_{\mathbb{R}}}(j) = j$. Then $\mathrm{LL}_G(\pi) \circ C_{W_{\mathbb{R}}} = \mathrm{LL}_G(\pi^\vee)$.*

Now we are ready to state the main theorem of this subsection.

Theorem 3.9. *There exists a set $\mathcal{D} \subset \Pi_2(G)$ of representatives of $\Pi_2(G)/i\mathfrak{a}_G^*$, stable under contragredients, with the property that for any $C > 0$ there exists a finite set $\mathcal{P}(G, C)$ of polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[s]$ such that for all $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}$ there exists a $p \in \mathcal{P}(G, C)$ such that $p(s)L(s, \sigma, \rho)$ has neither pole nor zero in $\{|\mathrm{Re}(s)| \leq C\}$.*

The key point in this theorem is that the finite set $\mathcal{P}(G, C)$ does not depend on σ .

Corollary 3.10. *There exists a set \mathcal{D} of representatives of $\Pi_2(G)/i\mathfrak{a}_G^*$ in $\Pi_2(G)$ stable under contragredient, such that following holds. For any compact subset $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_{G\mathbb{C}}^*$, there exists a finite set of polynomials $\mathcal{P}(G, \mathcal{K})$ on $\mathfrak{a}_{G\mathbb{C}}^*$ with the property that for all $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}$ there exists a $p \in \mathcal{P}(G, \mathcal{K})$ such that $p(\lambda)L(\tfrac{1}{2}, \sigma_\lambda, \rho)$ has neither pole nor zero on the cylinder $V_{\mathcal{K}}$.*

Here $V_{\mathcal{K}}$ is defined as in (2.3.1).

Proof. We may assume ρ is irreducible. Retain the notation in the proof of Lemma 3.4 (view M therein as G here). Then

$$L(\tfrac{1}{2}, \sigma_{\lambda}, \rho) = L(\tfrac{1}{2} + \mu(\lambda), \sigma, \rho).$$

Take $C > 0$ such that $\{\tfrac{1}{2} + \mu(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{K}\} \subseteq \{|\operatorname{Re}(s)| \leq C\}$, and let $\mathcal{P}(G, C) \subseteq \mathbb{C}[s]$ be a finite set satisfying the statement of Theorem 3.9. Then $\mathcal{P}(G, \mathcal{K}) := \{\lambda \mapsto p(\tfrac{1}{2} + \mu(\lambda)) \mid p \in \mathcal{P}(G, C)\}$ is a finite set of polynomials on $\mathfrak{a}_{G_{\mathbb{C}}}^*$ satisfying the statement of the Corollary. This finishes the proof. \square

The proof of Theorem 3.9 will occupy the rest of the subsection. In view of (LLC5) and Theorem 3.8, it suffices to find a complete set \mathcal{D} of representatives of $\Phi_2(G)/i\mathfrak{a}_G^*$ in $\Phi_2(G)$ invariant under precomposing with $C_{W_{\mathbb{R}}}$, such that for every compact subset $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{R}$ there exists a polynomial p such that $p(s)L(s, \rho \circ \varphi)$ has no pole and no zero in $V_{\mathcal{K}}$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$.

The following lemma can be extracted from [She83, §3]:

Lemma 3.11. *If $\Phi_2(G)$ is nontrivial, then there is a torus $T \leq G$ such that $(T \cap G^{\operatorname{der}})^{\circ}$ is anisotropic and an L -map $\iota : {}^L T \rightarrow {}^L G$, such that $\Phi_2(G) \subseteq \iota_* \Phi_t(T)$.* \square

Here $\iota_* : \Phi_t(T) \rightarrow \Phi_t(G)$ is the pushforward.

Lemma 3.12. *To prove Theorem 3.9, it suffices to consider the case where G is a torus T .*

Proof. We may assume $\Phi_2(G)$ is nontrivial. Let $\iota : {}^L T \rightarrow {}^L G$ be the L -map of Lemma 3.11. Restriction to $X^*(T)$ induces a morphism $i\mathfrak{a}_G^* \rightarrow i\mathfrak{a}_T^*$. Thus we obtain an action of $i\mathfrak{a}_G^*$ on $\Phi_t(T)$ via (3.0.3), and ι_* is $i\mathfrak{a}_G^*$ -equivariant.

Since the torus T is anisotropic modulo Z_G , the torus A_G is the maximal split subtorus of T . Thus

$$X^*(T)_{\mathbb{C}} = X^*(A_G)_{\mathbb{C}} = X^*(G)_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Take $\phi \in \Phi_2(G)$ and say $\phi = \iota_* \phi'$ for some $\phi' \in \Phi_t(T)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} L(s, \phi, \rho) &= L(s, \phi', \rho \circ \iota), \\ \varepsilon(s, \phi, \rho) &= \varepsilon(s, \phi', \rho \circ \iota, \psi). \end{aligned}$$

The representation $\rho \circ \iota$ is again tempered. In view of Lemma 3.11 we have completed our reduction. \square

We are going to prove the Theorem by reducing it to manageable computations. First, we classify all representations of ${}^L T$.

Lemma 3.13. *All tempered representations of ${}^L T$ are semisimple. Moreover, all irreducible representations of ${}^L T$ are either*

- (1) continuous homomorphisms $\chi : {}^L T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that $\chi|_{\widehat{T}(\mathbb{C})}$ is algebraic and $\chi(t, z) = \chi(jtj^{-1}, \bar{z})$ for $(t, z) \in \widehat{T}(\mathbb{C}) \times W_{\mathbb{C}}$, or
- (2) $\text{Ind}_{\widehat{T}(\mathbb{C}) \times W_{\mathbb{C}}}^{LT}(\chi_0)$ where $\chi_0 : \widehat{T}(\mathbb{C}) \times W_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is a continuous homomorphism with $\chi_0|_{\widehat{T}(\mathbb{C})}$ algebraic such that $\chi_0(t, z) \neq \chi_0(jtj^{-1}, \bar{z})$ for some $(t, z) \in \widehat{T}(\mathbb{C}) \times W_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Proof. Let $\rho : {}^L T \rightarrow \text{GL}_{V_\rho}(\mathbb{C})$ be a tempered representation. Since ρ is tempered $\rho|_{W_{\mathbb{C}}}$ is semisimple. Decompose ρ into $W_{\mathbb{C}}$ -eigenspaces. Each eigenspace being $\widehat{T}(\mathbb{C})$ -invariant, by reductivity it can be decomposed into irreducible $\widehat{T}(\mathbb{C})$ -invariant subspaces. Thus $\rho|_{\widehat{T}(\mathbb{C}) \times W_{\mathbb{C}}}$ is semisimple. Since $[{}^L T : \widehat{T}(\mathbb{C}) \times W_{\mathbb{C}}]$ is finite and we are considering complex representations, this implies ρ itself is semisimple by a standard argument (see the proof of [BH06, Lemma 2.7]).

Now, let $\rho : {}^L T \rightarrow \text{GL}_{V_\rho}(\mathbb{C})$ be irreducible. The restriction $\rho|_{\widehat{T}(\mathbb{C}) \times W_{\mathbb{C}}}$ is a direct sum of one-dimensional representations. Let $\chi_0 : \widehat{T}(\mathbb{C}) \times W_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be one of these representations; in particular $\chi_0|_{\widehat{T}(\mathbb{C})}$ is algebraic. By Frobenius reciprocity, we then have a nonzero intertwining map

$$\rho \longrightarrow \text{Ind}_{\widehat{T}(\mathbb{C}) \times W_{\mathbb{C}}}^{LT}(\chi_0).$$

The representation on the right is two dimensional. It is reducible if and only if χ_0 is fixed under $W_{\mathbb{R}}/W_{\mathbb{C}}$, and hence extends to a homomorphism χ as in (1). \square

To prove Theorem 3.9, by Lemmas 3.12 and 3.13, we may assume that $G = T$ is a torus and ρ is irreducible. Moreover, by [Cas08, Theorem 2], we may take

$$(3.2.1) \quad T = \mathbb{G}_m^a \times (\text{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{C}})^b \times \text{U}_1^c,$$

for some $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, where $\text{U}_1(R) := \{x \in R \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} : x\bar{x} = 1\}$ for any \mathbb{R} -algebra R . Thus

$$(3.2.2) \quad {}^L T = ((\mathbb{C}^\times)^a \times (\mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathbb{C}^\times)^b \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^c) \rtimes W_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

We write elements of this group as $(\underline{t}, (\underline{z}_1, \underline{z}_2), \underline{u}) \rtimes w$, where $w \in W_{\mathbb{R}}$. One has

$$((1, 1, 1) \rtimes j)((\underline{t}, (\underline{z}_1, \underline{z}_2), \underline{u}) \rtimes w)((1, 1, 1) \rtimes j^{-1}) = (\underline{t}, (\underline{z}_2, \underline{z}_1), \underline{u}^{-1}) \rtimes jw j^{-1}.$$

Lemma 3.14. *The one-dimensional representations of ${}^L T$ are given by the homomorphisms $\rho_{\ell, \ell', s_0, e}$ indexed by $(\ell, \ell', s_0, e) \in \mathbb{Z}^a \times \mathbb{Z}^b \times \mathbb{C} \times \{0, 1\}$ defined by stipulating that*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\ell, \ell', s_0, e}((\underline{t}, (\underline{z}_1, \underline{z}_2), \underline{u}) \rtimes z) &= |z|^{s_0} \prod_{i=1}^a t_i^{\ell_i} \prod_{i=1}^b z_{1i}^{\ell'_i} z_{2i}^{\ell'_i}, \\ \rho_{\ell, \ell', s_0, e}((1, 1, 1) \rtimes j) &= (-1)^e. \end{aligned}$$

The representation $\rho_{\ell, \ell', s_0, e}$ is tempered if and only if $s_0 \in i\mathbb{R}$.

Proof. A general algebraic homomorphism $\widehat{T}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is of the form

$$(\underline{t}, (\underline{z}_1, \underline{z}_2), \underline{u}) \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^a t_i^{\ell_i} \prod_{i=1}^b z_{1i}^{\ell'_i} z_{2i}^{\ell''_i} \prod_{i=1}^c u_i^{\ell'''_i},$$

for $(\ell, \ell', \ell'', \ell''') \in \mathbb{Z}^a \times \mathbb{Z}^b \times \mathbb{Z}^b \times \mathbb{Z}^c$. By Lemma 3.13, we must have $\ell'_i = \ell''_i$ and $\ell''' = 0$. \square

Again, applying Lemma 3.13 and a similar argument, we obtain the following:

Lemma 3.15. *Two dimensional irreducible representations of ${}^L T$ are given by the induction of the homomorphisms $\rho_{\ell, \ell', \ell'', \ell''', s_0, m} : {}^L T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ indexed by $(\ell, \ell', \ell'', \ell''', s_0, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^a \times \mathbb{Z}^b \times \mathbb{Z}^b \times \mathbb{Z}^c \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{Z}$ given by*

$$\rho_{\ell, \ell', \ell'', \ell''', s_0, m}((\underline{t}, (z_1, z_2), \underline{u}) \rtimes z) = |z|_{\mathbb{C}}^{s_0} (z/|z|_{\mathbb{C}}^{1/2})^m \prod_{i=1}^a t_i^{\ell_i} \prod_{i=1}^b z_{1i}^{\ell'_i} z_{2i}^{\ell''_i} \prod_{i=1}^c u_i^{\ell'''_i},$$

where $\ell'_i \neq \ell''_i$ for some i , $\ell'''_i \neq 0$ for some i , or $m \neq 0$. The representation is tempered if and only if $s_0 \in i\mathbb{R}$. \square

Now we compute $\Phi_t(T)$. In the following table, we record a family of homomorphisms $W_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \widehat{T}(\mathbb{C})$ associated with each basic torus:

T	$W_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \widehat{T}(\mathbb{C})$
\mathbb{G}_m	$\chi_{\epsilon}(z) = 1, \quad \chi_{\epsilon}(jz) = (-1)^{\epsilon}, \text{ where } \epsilon \in \{0, 1\}.$
$\text{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{C}}$	$\chi_k(z) = \left(\left(\frac{z}{ z _{\mathbb{C}}^{1/2}} \right)^k, \left(\frac{z}{ z _{\mathbb{C}}^{1/2}} \right)^{-k} \right), \text{ and}$ $\chi_k(jz) = \left(\left(\frac{z}{ z _{\mathbb{C}}^{1/2}} \right)^{-k}, \left(\frac{z}{ z _{\mathbb{C}}^{1/2}} \right)^k \right), \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$
U_1	$\chi_k(z) = \left(\frac{z}{ z _{\mathbb{C}}^{1/2}} \right)^k, \quad \chi_k(jz) = \left(\frac{z}{ z _{\mathbb{C}}^{1/2}} \right)^{-k}, \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$

For any real torus T and any continuous homomorphism $\chi : W_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \widehat{T}(\mathbb{C})$ that is algebraic upon restriction to $W_{\mathbb{C}}$, the **canonical extension** of χ to an L -parameter $\tilde{\chi} : W_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow {}^L T$ is defined by $\tilde{\chi}(z) = \chi(z) \rtimes z$, and $\tilde{\chi}(jz) = \chi(jz) \rtimes jz$.

Lemma 3.16. *Let $T \in \{\mathbb{G}_m, \text{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{C}}, U_1\}$. The canonical extensions of the characters in the table above provide a complete set of representatives for $\Phi_t(T)/i\mathfrak{a}_T^*$. \square*

Proof of Theorem 3.9. We have already explained how to translate the Theorem to a statement about L -parameters after the proof of Corollary 3.10. By Lemma 3.12, it suffices to consider the case where G is a torus, and by Lemma 3.13 it suffices to consider the case where ρ is irreducible.

Using Lemma 3.16, we may take our set of representatives of L -parameters to be

$$(3.2.3) \quad \mathcal{D} := \left\{ \phi_{\underline{\epsilon}, \underline{k}, \underline{k}'} : \underline{\epsilon} \in \{0, 1\}^a, \underline{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^b, \underline{k}' \in \mathbb{Z}^c \right\},$$

where $\phi_{\underline{\epsilon}, \underline{k}, \underline{k}'} \in \Phi_t(T)$ is given by the canonical extension of

$$\phi_{\underline{\epsilon}, \underline{k}, \underline{k}'} = (\chi_{0, \epsilon_1}, \dots, \chi_{0, \epsilon_a}, \chi_{0, k_1}, \dots, \chi_{0, k_b}, \chi_{k'_1}, \dots, \chi_{k'_c}).$$

The set \mathcal{D} is stable under precomposing with $C_{W_{\mathbb{R}}}$ defined as in Theorem 3.8.

In view of Lemma 3.13, the only possibilities for irreducible representations of ${}^L T$ are listed in Lemma 3.14 and Lemma 3.15. To complete the proof it suffices to check the following claim: For each representation ρ , as in Lemma 3.14 or Lemma 3.15, and for any $C > 0$ there is a polynomial $p \in \mathbb{C}[s]$ such that $p(s)L(s, \rho \circ \phi)$ has no pole in $\{\text{Re}(s) \leq C\}$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$.

Assume $\rho = \rho_{\ell, \ell', s_0, e}$ is one dimensional. Then for all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$,

$$(3.2.4) \quad \rho \circ \phi(z) = |z|_{\mathbb{C}}^{s_0}, \quad \rho \circ \phi(j) = (-1)^{e_{\rho, \phi}}$$

for some $e_{\rho, \phi} \in \{0, 1\}$, so that $L(s, \phi, \rho) = \pi^{-\frac{s+s_0+e_{\rho, \phi}}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+s_0+e_{\rho, \phi}}{2}\right)$. Take

$$p_0(s) := \prod_{n \in [-C, C] \cap 2\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}} (s - s_0 - n),$$

and

$$p_1(s) := \prod_{n \in [-C, C] \cap (2\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0} - 1)} (s - s_0 - n).$$

Then

$$p_k(s) L(s, \phi, \rho)$$

has no pole and is nonvanishing in $|\operatorname{Re}(s)| \leq C$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$ with $e_{\rho, \phi} = k$. In this case the finite set $\mathcal{P}(G, C) = \{p_0, p_1\}$ satisfies the assertion of Theorem 3.9 for all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$.

Now, let $\rho_0 = \rho_{\ell, \ell', \ell'', \ell''', s_0, m}$ and consider $\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{\widehat{T}(\mathbb{C}) \times W_{\mathbb{C}}}^{LT} \rho_0\right) \circ \phi$ for $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$. By [Bor79, §4.2] have

$$\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{\widehat{T}(\mathbb{C}) \times W_{\mathbb{C}}}^{LT} \rho_0\right) \circ \phi = \operatorname{Ind}_{W_{\mathbb{C}}}^{W_{\mathbb{R}}} (\rho_0 \circ \phi|_{W_{\mathbb{C}}}).$$

Since L -factors are invariant under induction we deduce

$$(3.2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} L(s, \phi_{\underline{\epsilon}, \underline{k}, \underline{k}'}, \operatorname{Ind}_{\widehat{T}(\mathbb{C}) \times W_{\mathbb{C}}}^{LT} \rho_0) &= L(s, \rho_0 \circ \phi_{\underline{\epsilon}, \underline{k}, \underline{k}'}) \\ &= 2(2\pi)^{-s-s_0-f_{\rho, \phi}} \Gamma(s + s_0 + f_{\rho, \phi}) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.2.6) \quad f_{\rho, \phi} := \frac{1}{2} \left| m + \sum_{i=1}^b k_i (\ell'_i - \ell''_i) + \sum_{i=1}^c k'_i \ell'''_i \right|.$$

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, set

$$p_k(s) := \prod_{n \in [-C, C] \cap (\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0} - 2k)} (s - s_0 - n).$$

For all but finitely many $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $p_k(s) \equiv 1$. By construction we see

$$p_k(s) L(s, \phi_{\underline{\epsilon}, \underline{k}, \underline{k}'}, \operatorname{Ind}_{\widehat{T}(\mathbb{C}) \times W_{\mathbb{C}}}^{LT} \rho_0)$$

has no pole and is nonvanishing in $|\operatorname{Re}(s)| \leq C$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$ with $2f_{\rho, \phi} = k$. In this case the finite set $\mathcal{P}(G, C) = \{p_k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ satisfies the assertion of Theorem 3.9 for all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$. \square

3.3. Bounds for γ -factors. Continue to take $F = \mathbb{R}$. We assume G admits a maximal torus T such that T/A_G is anisotropic, and that we have a tempered representation

$$\rho : {}^L G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{V_\rho}(\mathbb{C}).$$

A well-known Theorem of Harish-Chandra implies that this is equivalent to the statement that $\Pi_2(G) \neq \emptyset$. For a parameter $\phi : W_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow {}^L G$, the restriction $\phi|_{W_{\mathbb{C}}}$ to $W_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a group homomorphism, and is $\widehat{G}(\mathbb{C})$ -conjugate to a morphism of the form $z \mapsto (z^\lambda \bar{z}^\mu, z)$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in X_*(\widehat{T})_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\lambda - \mu \in X_*(\widehat{T})$. Let $|\cdot|$ be a Weyl group invariant norm on $X_*(\widehat{T})_{\mathbb{C}}$ and set

$$N(\phi) := 1 + |\lambda|.$$

Recall the definition of $N(\sigma)$ from (2.8.3).

Lemma 3.17. *If $|\cdot|$ is normalized appropriately then $N(\sigma) = N(\mathrm{LL}_G(\sigma))$ for all $\sigma \in \Pi_2(G)$.*

Proof. This follows from the behavior of the local Langlands correspondence with respect to infinitesimal characters, see [BG14, §2.3]. \square

We point out that $N(\phi)$ and $N(\sigma)$ depend on a choice of norm. These choices are irrelevant for our purposes since we will only use these quantities to study coarse growth rates of functions.

Lemma 3.18. *One has $N(\rho \circ \phi) \ll_\rho N(\phi)$ for $\phi \in \Phi_t(G)$.* \square

In the remainder of this subsection, we prove the following bound for later use:

Proposition 3.19. *There exists a complete set \mathcal{D} of representatives of $\Pi_2(G)/i\mathfrak{a}_G^*$ in $\Pi_2(G)$ stable under taking contragredient that satisfies the following: for*

- (1) any $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}$,
- (2) any compact set $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_G^*$,
- (3) any polynomials $p(\lambda), p^\vee(\lambda)$ on $\mathfrak{a}_{G\mathbb{C}}^*$ such that $p(\lambda)L(\frac{1}{2}, \sigma_\lambda, \rho)$ and $p^\vee(\lambda)L(\frac{1}{2}, \sigma_{-\lambda}^\vee, \rho)$ have no poles in $V_{\mathcal{K}}$ and $p_\sigma^\vee(\lambda)L(\frac{1}{2}, \sigma_{-\lambda}^\vee, \rho)$ is nonvanishing on $V_{\mathcal{K}}$,

there exist an integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and a polynomial p_0 on $\mathfrak{a}_{G\mathbb{C}}^*$ both independent of ρ, p, p^\vee such that

$$\sup_{\lambda \in V_{\mathcal{K}}} \left| \frac{p(\lambda) \gamma(\frac{1}{2}, \sigma_{-\lambda}^\vee, \rho, \psi)}{p^\vee(\lambda)} \right| \ll_{\mathcal{K}, p, p^\vee} (1 + |p(\lambda)|) (N(\sigma) + |p_0(\lambda)|)^k.$$

The following combines [Mor05, §III.5, Lemma 3 and Lemma 5]:

Lemma 3.20. *Let $d \in \{1, 2\}$. For $Q \geq 0$ and $-\frac{1}{2} \leq \mathrm{Re}(s) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ one has*

$$\left| \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}(Q+1-s)\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}(Q+s)\right)} \right| \leq \left(\frac{d}{2} |Q+1+s| \right)^{d(\frac{1}{2}-\mathrm{Re}(s))}.$$

\square

Corollary 3.21. *Let $d \in \{1, 2\}$, $Q \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Let $p, p^\vee \in \mathbb{C}[s]$ be the monic polynomials such that $p(s)\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + \frac{1}{2} - s\right)\right)$ and $p^\vee(s)\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + \frac{1}{2} + s\right)\right)$ are holomorphic and nowhere vanishing for $|\operatorname{Re}(s)| \leq n$. Then*

$$\left| \frac{p(s)\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + \frac{1}{2} - s\right)\right)}{p^\vee(s)\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + \frac{1}{2} + s\right)\right)} \right| \ll_n (1 + p(s))|Q + 1 + s + 2n|^{nd+2}$$

for $|\operatorname{Re}(s)| \leq n$.

Proof. We follow the proof of [GL21, Lemma 3.3], filling in additional details.

Assume for the moment that $Q < 3n + 2$. Then there are only finitely many possibilities for the polynomials p, p^\vee , and the parameters d and Q in the expression we wish to bound. Of course, the possibilities depend on n . Thus if we assume further that $|\operatorname{Im}(s)| \leq 1$ the bound follows.

On the other hand if $Q \geq 3n + 2$ then $p = p^\vee = 1$. Combining this with our earlier comments, we see that it suffices to show that

$$\left| \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + \frac{1}{2} - s\right)\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + \frac{1}{2} + s\right)\right)} \right| \ll_n |Q + 1 + s + 2n|^{nd+2}$$

provided either $|\operatorname{Im}(s)| \geq 1$ or $Q \geq 3n + 2$.

Assume that $0 \leq \operatorname{Re}(s) \leq n$. For any $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, using the functional equation $\Gamma(z + 1) = z\Gamma(z)$, one has

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + \frac{1}{2} + s\right)\right) = \prod_{k=1}^N \left(\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + \frac{1}{2} + s\right) - k\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + \frac{1}{2} + s\right) - N\right)$$

and

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + \frac{1}{2} - s\right)\right) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + \frac{1}{2} - s\right) + N\right)}{\prod_{k=0}^{N-1} \left(\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + \frac{1}{2} - s\right) + k\right)}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + \frac{1}{2} - s\right)\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + \frac{1}{2} + s\right)\right)} \right| \\ &= \left| \prod_{k=0}^{N-1} \left(\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + \frac{1}{2} - s\right) + k\right) \prod_{k=1}^N \left(\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + \frac{1}{2} + s\right) - k\right) \right|^{-1} \left| \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + \frac{1}{2} - s\right) + N\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + \frac{1}{2} + s\right) - N\right)} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Assume $N \leq n + 1$. Since either $\operatorname{Im}(s) \geq 1$ or $Q \geq 3n + 2$ the above is bounded by a constant depending on n times

$$\left| \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + \frac{1}{2} - s\right) + N\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + \frac{1}{2} + s\right) - N\right)} \right| = \left| \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + 1 - \left(\frac{1}{2} + s - \frac{2N}{d}\right)\right)\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + \left(\frac{1}{2} + s - \frac{2N}{d}\right)\right)\right)} \right|.$$

Take N so that $\operatorname{Re}(s) - \frac{2N}{d} \in (-\frac{2}{d}, 0]$; then $0 \leq N \leq n + 1$. Write

$$Q + \left(\frac{1}{2} + s - \frac{2N}{d}\right) = \left(Q - \mathbb{1}_{(-2, -1]}(\operatorname{Re}(s) - \frac{2N}{d})\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} + s - \frac{2N}{d} + \mathbb{1}_{(-2, -1]}(\operatorname{Re}(s) - \frac{2N}{d})\right)$$

and

$$Q + 1 - \left(\frac{1}{2} + s - \frac{2N}{d}\right) = \left(Q + \mathbb{1}_{(-2, -1]}(\operatorname{Re}(s) - \frac{2N}{d})\right) + 1 - \left(\frac{1}{2} + s - \frac{2N}{d} + \mathbb{1}_{(-2, -1]}(\operatorname{Re}(s) - \frac{2N}{d})\right)$$

so that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{2} + s - \frac{2N}{d} + \mathbb{1}_{(-2, -1]}(\operatorname{Re}(s) - \frac{2N}{d})\right) \in \left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right].$$

Now we apply Lemma 3.20. If $d = 2$, then

$$\left| \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + \frac{1}{2} - s\right) + N\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + \frac{1}{2} + s\right) - N\right)} \right| \leq |Q + 1 + \frac{1}{2} + s - N|^{2(N - \operatorname{Re}(s))} \\ \ll |Q + 1 + \frac{1}{2} + s|^2$$

If $d = 1$, then

$$\left| \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + \frac{1}{2} - s\right) + N\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + \frac{1}{2} + s\right) - N\right)} \right| \\ = \left| \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + \frac{1}{2} - s\right) + N\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + \frac{1}{2} + s\right) - N + \mathbb{1}_{(-2, -1]}(\operatorname{Re}(s) - \frac{2N}{d})\right)} \right| \begin{cases} \left|\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + \frac{1}{2} + s\right) - N\right| & \text{if } \mathbb{1}_{(-2, -1]}(\operatorname{Re}(s) - \frac{2N}{d}) = 1 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}|Q + \mathbb{1}_{(-2, -1]}(\operatorname{Re}(s) - \frac{2N}{d}) + 1 + \frac{1}{2} + s - \frac{2N}{d} + \mathbb{1}_{(-2, -1]}(\operatorname{Re}(s) - \frac{2N}{d})\right)^{-\operatorname{Re}(s) + 2N - \mathbb{1}_{(-2, -1]}(\operatorname{Re}(s) - 2N)} \\ \times \left|\frac{1}{2}\left(Q + \frac{1}{2} + s\right) - N\right| \\ \ll |Q + 1 + s|^2.$$

Hence we obtain that

$$(3.3.1) \quad \left| \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + \frac{1}{2} - s\right)\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + \frac{1}{2} + s\right)\right)} \right| \ll_n |Q + 1 + \frac{1}{2} + s|^2$$

for $Q \geq 3n + 2$, $|\operatorname{Im}(s)| \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \operatorname{Re}(s) \leq n$.

Assume $-n \leq \operatorname{Re}(s) \leq 0$. Set $s' := s + n$, so that $0 \leq \operatorname{Re}(s') \leq n$. Then

$$\left| \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + \frac{1}{2} - s\right)\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + \frac{1}{2} + s\right)\right)} \right| = \left| \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + \frac{1}{2} + n - s'\right)\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + \frac{1}{2} - n + s'\right)\right)} \right| = \left| \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + n + \frac{1}{2} - s'\right)\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + n + \frac{1}{2} + s'\right) - nd\right)} \right| \\ = \prod_{k=0}^{nd-1} \left| \frac{d}{2}\left(Q + n + \frac{1}{2} + s'\right) - k \right| \times \left| \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + n + \frac{1}{2} - s'\right)\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + n + \frac{1}{2} + s'\right)\right)} \right| \\ \ll |Q + n + \frac{1}{2} + s'|^{nd} \left| \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + n + \frac{1}{2} - s'\right)\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + n + \frac{1}{2} + s'\right)\right)} \right|.$$

Applying the bound (3.3.1), we see

$$\left| \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + \frac{1}{2} - s\right)\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\left(Q + \frac{1}{2} + s\right)\right)} \right| \ll_n |Q + n + \frac{1}{2} + s + n|^{nd} |Q + n + 1 + \frac{1}{2} + s + n|^2 \\ \ll_n |Q + 1 + s + 2n|^{nd+2}.$$

□

Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 3.12, and using (LLC5) and Lemma 3.17, to prove Proposition 3.19 it suffices to prove the following lemma:

Lemma 3.22. *Let T be a real torus. There exists a complete set \mathcal{D} of representatives of $\Phi_t(T)/i\mathfrak{a}_T^*$ in $\Phi_t(T)$ stable under precomposition with $C_{W_{\mathbb{R}}}$ that satisfies the following: for*

- (1) any $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$,
- (2) any compact set $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathfrak{a}_T^*$,
- (3) any tempered representation $\rho : {}^L T \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{V_\rho}(\mathbb{C})$,
- (4) any polynomials $p(\lambda), p^\vee(\lambda)$ on $\mathfrak{a}_{T\mathbb{C}}^*$ such that the functions

$$\lambda \mapsto p(\lambda)L(\tfrac{1}{2}, \phi_\lambda, \rho), \quad \lambda \mapsto p^\vee(\lambda)L(\tfrac{1}{2}, \phi_\lambda \circ C_{W_{\mathbb{R}}}, \rho)$$

have no poles in $V_{\mathcal{K}}$ and are nonvanishing on $\mathfrak{a}_{T\mathbb{C}}^*$,

there exist an integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and a polynomial $p_0(\lambda)$ on $\mathfrak{a}_{T\mathbb{C}}^*$ both independent of ρ, p, p^\vee such that

$$\sup_{\lambda \in V_{\mathcal{K}}} \left| \frac{p(\lambda)\gamma(\tfrac{1}{2}, \phi_\lambda \circ C_{W_{\mathbb{R}}}, \rho, \psi)}{p^\vee(\lambda)} \right| \ll_{\mathcal{K}, p, p^\vee} (1 + |p(\lambda)|) (N(\phi) + |p_0(\lambda)|)^k$$

for $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$.

Proof. As in the last subsection, we may assume T is in the form of (3.2.1), and take \mathcal{D} as in (3.2.3). Using Lemma 3.13 and the fact that γ -factors are additive, we may assume that ρ is irreducible. The irreducible representations are 1 or 2-dimensional and classified in Lemma 3.14 and Lemma 3.15 above.

Either $\rho = \rho_{\ell, \ell', s_0, e}$ is one-dimensional, or $\rho = \mathrm{Ind}_{\tilde{T}(\mathbb{C}) \times W_{\mathbb{C}}}^{{}^L T} \rho_{\ell, \ell', \ell'', \ell''', s_0, m}$ is two-dimensional (see Lemma 3.14 and 3.15 for notation). By (3) and Lemmas 3.14 and 3.15 one has $s_0 \in i\mathbb{R}$.

Let $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$. If ρ is two-dimensional as above let $f_{\rho, \phi}$ be as in (3.2.6). Then (3.2.4) and (3.2.5) imply that

$$(3.3.2) \quad N(\rho \circ \phi) \ll \begin{cases} 1 + |s_0| & \text{if } \rho \text{ is one-dimensional} \\ 1 + |s_0| + f_{\rho, \phi} & \text{if } \rho \text{ is two-dimensional} \end{cases}$$

We have

$$L(\tfrac{1}{2}, \phi_\lambda \circ C_{W_{\mathbb{R}}}, \rho) = L(\tfrac{1}{2}, \phi_{-\lambda}^\vee, \rho) = L(\tfrac{1}{2} - \mu(\lambda), \phi^{-1}, \rho)$$

for some linear functional $\mu : \mathfrak{a}_{M\mathbb{C}}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Similarly $L(\tfrac{1}{2}, \phi_\lambda, \rho) = L(\tfrac{1}{2} + \mu(\lambda), \phi, \rho)$. The analogous identities hold for the ε -factor. Applying (3.2.4) and (3.2.5) again we see that

$$\gamma(\tfrac{1}{2}, \phi_\lambda \circ C_{W_{\mathbb{R}}}, \rho, \psi) = \varepsilon(\tfrac{1}{2}, \phi_\lambda \circ C_{W_{\mathbb{R}}}, \rho, \psi) \times ((\dim \rho)\pi)^{(\dim \rho)(s_0 + \mu(\lambda))} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\dim \rho}{2}(e_{\rho, \phi} + \tfrac{1}{2} - s_0 - \mu(\lambda)))}{\Gamma(\frac{\dim \rho}{2}(e_{\rho, \phi} + \tfrac{1}{2} + s_0 + \mu(\lambda)))}$$

where $e_{\rho, \phi} \in \{0, 1\}$ in the one-dimensional case and $e_{\rho, \phi} = f_{\rho, \phi}$ in the two-dimensional case.

By Corollary 3.21, we see

$$\left| \frac{p(\lambda)\gamma(\tfrac{1}{2}, \phi_\lambda \circ C_{W_{\mathbb{R}}}, \rho, \psi)}{p^\vee(\lambda)} \right| \ll_{\mathcal{K}, p, p^\vee} (1 + |p(\lambda)|) |e_{\rho, \phi} + 1 + s_0 + \mu(\lambda) + M|^M$$

$$\ll (1 + |p(\lambda)|) (N(\rho \circ \phi) + |\mu(\lambda) + M|)^M$$

for some $M \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Now the lemma follows from Lemma 3.18. \square

4. THE FOURIER TRANSFORM

Fix once and for all a nontrivial additive character $\psi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ on the local field F , and let $\rho : {}^L G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{V_\rho}(\mathbb{C})$ be a tempered representation. By Lemma 3.4 we have a well-defined smooth function

$$\gamma_\rho : \mathrm{Temp}_{\mathrm{Ind}}(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

We now investigate the multiplication map

$$(4.0.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \gamma_{\rho \cdot} : \mathcal{C}(\mathrm{Temp}_{\mathrm{Ind}}(G)) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathrm{Temp}_{\mathrm{Ind}}(G)) \\ T &\longmapsto (\pi \mapsto \gamma_\rho(\pi)T(\pi)) \end{aligned}$$

For the reader's convenience, we record a version of multivariable Cauchy integral formula.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ be an open subset and let $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic function. For $p \in \Omega$ and $r > 0$ assume $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z - p| \leq r\} \subseteq \Omega$. Let $(m_1, \dots, m_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^n$. One has*

$$\frac{\partial^{m_1+\dots+m_n} f}{\partial z_1^{m_1} \dots \partial z_n^{m_n}}(p) = \frac{m_1! \dots m_n!}{(2\pi i)^n} \int \dots \int \frac{f(z)}{(z_1 - p_1)^{m_1+1} \dots (z_n - p_n)^{m_n+1}} dz_1 \dots dz_n$$

where the i th integral is over $|z_i - p_i| = r$, oriented counter-clockwise.

Proof. When $m_1 = \dots = m_n = 0$, this is the usual Cauchy integral formula [Voi07, Theorem 1.17]. The formula for general m_1, \dots, m_n follows upon differentiating under the integral sign. \square

Lemma 4.2. *The map $\gamma_{\rho \cdot}$ is a well-defined continuous linear operator.*

Proof. When F is non-Archimedean the lemma is immediate.

Assume F is Archimedean. To prove that $\gamma_{\rho \cdot}$ is well-defined and continuous, we must prove the continuity of the seminorm on $\mathcal{C}(\mathrm{Temp}_{\mathrm{Ind}}(G))$ given by

$$T \longmapsto \sup_{\sigma \in \Pi_2(M)} \|I_P^G(\sigma)(u) \circ D(\gamma_\rho T)(M, \sigma) \circ I_P^G(\sigma)(v)\|_{\mathrm{op}} N(\sigma)^k$$

for $M \in \mathcal{M}$, D an invariant differential operator on \mathfrak{ia}_M^* , $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $u, v \in U(\mathrm{Lie}(K)_\mathbb{C})$. By the product rule, to prove this it suffices to show there exists some $L \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$(4.0.2) \quad |D\gamma(\tfrac{1}{2}, \sigma, \rho|_{L_M}, \psi)| \ll_{D, \rho} N(\sigma)^L$$

for all $\sigma \in \Pi_2(M)$. We first observe that there is an open neighborhood of 0 in \mathfrak{ia}_M with compact closure \mathcal{K} such that (4.0.2) holds for $D = \mathrm{id}$ and $\lambda \in V_{\mathcal{K}}$ by Proposition 3.19. To prove it for general D we use Lemma 4.1 to reduce to the case of $D = \mathrm{id}$. \square

We remind the reader that $\gamma_\rho = \gamma_{\rho,\psi}$ also depends on a choice of ψ (see (3.1.1)). Define $\mathcal{F}_{\rho,\psi} : \mathcal{C}(G(F)) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(G(F))$ by

$$(4.0.3) \quad \mathcal{F}_{\rho,\psi} := (\cdot)^\vee \circ \text{HP}^{-1} \circ \gamma_{\rho,\psi} \text{HP}$$

where HP is the map in Theorem 2.6. By loc. cit, for $f \in \mathcal{C}(G)$

$$(4.0.4) \quad \mathcal{F}_{\rho,\psi}(f)(g) = \int_{\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)} \gamma_{\rho,\psi}(\pi) \text{tr}(\pi(g) \circ \pi(f)) d\pi.$$

We usually drop the ψ from notation, writing $\mathcal{F}_\rho := \mathcal{F}_{\rho,\psi}$. By Lemma 4.2 and Theorem 2.6 \mathcal{F}_ρ is a continuous linear operator. By (2.8.5) HP is $G(F) \times G(F)$ -equivariant. It follows that

$$(4.0.5) \quad \mathcal{F}_\rho \circ \mathcal{R}(h, g) = \mathcal{R}(g, h) \circ \mathcal{F}_\rho.$$

where \mathcal{R} is the action map (2.7.1).

By Lemma 2.9 one has

$$(4.0.6) \quad \mathcal{F}_\rho = \text{HP}^{-1} \circ (\cdot)^\top \circ \gamma_\rho \cdot \circ \text{HP}.$$

In view of this, define $\mathcal{F}_\rho^{\text{op}} : \mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$ by

$$(4.0.7) \quad \mathcal{F}_\rho^{\text{op}} := (\cdot)^\top \circ \gamma_\rho \cdot$$

This is again a continuous linear operator. The two operators just introduced are related by the commuting square:

$$(4.0.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}(G(F)) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_\rho} & \mathcal{C}(G(F)) \\ \text{HP} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{HP} \\ \mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_\rho^{\text{op}}} & \mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)) \end{array}$$

We shall refer to $\mathcal{F}_\rho = \mathcal{F}_{\rho,\psi}$ as the **Fourier transform** attached to ρ and ψ on the Harish-Chandra space.

Lemma 4.3. *For $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$ and $\pi \in \text{Temp}(G) \cup \text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)$, one has*

$$\pi(\mathcal{F}_\rho(f)^\vee) = \gamma_\rho(\pi)\pi(f) \text{ and } \pi(\mathcal{F}_\rho(f)) = \gamma_\rho(\pi^\vee)\pi(f^\vee).$$

Proof. First assume $\pi \in \text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)$, and write $\pi = I_P^G(\sigma)$ for some $(M, \sigma) \in \widetilde{\text{Temp}}_{\text{Ind}}(G)$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$. By (4.0.3), we have

$$\pi(\mathcal{F}_\rho(f)^\vee) = \text{HP}(\mathcal{F}_\rho(f)^\vee)(\pi) = (\gamma_\rho \text{HP}(f))(\pi) = \gamma_\rho(\pi)\pi(f).$$

This proves the first equality. By Lemma 2.8 and the first equality (with π replaced by π^\vee)

$$\pi(\mathcal{F}_\rho(f))^\top = \pi^\vee(\mathcal{F}_\rho(f)^\vee) = \gamma_\rho(\pi^\vee)\pi^\vee(f).$$

We conclude the proof of the second equality by applying Lemma 2.8 again.

If $\pi \in \text{Temp}(G)$, it is a subrepresentation of $I_P^G(\sigma)$ for some $(M, \sigma) \in \widetilde{\text{Temp}}_{\text{Ind}}(G)$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ (see the discussion near (2.5.3)), and $\pi(f)$ is the operator obtained by restricting $I_P^G(\sigma)(f)$ to the subspace π . The claim for $\text{Temp}(G)$ then follows. \square

Lemma 4.4. *The Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}_\rho : \mathcal{C}(G(F)) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(G(F))$ is unitary.*

Proof. We first observe that Corollary 2.7 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}_\rho f\|_{L^2}^2 &= \int_{\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)} \text{tr}(\pi(\mathcal{F}_\rho(f))^* \circ \pi(\mathcal{F}_\rho(f))) d\pi \\ &= \int_{\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)} |\gamma(\tfrac{1}{2}, \pi^\vee, \rho, \psi)|^2 \text{tr}(\pi(f^\vee)^* \circ \pi(f^\vee)) d\pi \quad (\text{by Lemma 4.3}) \\ &= \int_{\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)} \text{tr}(\pi(f^\vee)^* \circ \pi(f^\vee)) d\pi \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.6}). \end{aligned}$$

Changing variables $\pi \mapsto \pi^\vee$ and using Lemma 2.2 this is

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)} \text{tr}(\pi^\vee(f^\vee)^* \circ \pi^\vee(f^\vee)) d\pi \\ &= \int_{\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)} \text{tr}((\pi(f)^\top)^* \circ \pi(f)^\top) d\pi \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.8}) \\ &= \int_{\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)} \text{tr}(\pi(f)^* \circ \pi(f)) d\pi \quad (\text{by (2.8.7)}) \\ &= \|f\|_{L^2}^2 \quad (\text{by Corollary 2.7}). \end{aligned}$$

\square

Lemma 4.5. *One has $\mathcal{F}_{\rho, \bar{\psi}} \circ \mathcal{F}_{\rho, \psi} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}(G(F))}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\rho, \psi}^4 = \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}(G(F))}$. In particular $\mathcal{F}_{\rho, \psi}$ is a topological isomorphism.*

Proof. For the first identity, by applying both Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 3.6 twice, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\mathcal{F}_{\rho, \bar{\psi}} \circ \mathcal{F}_{\rho, \psi}(f)) &= \gamma(\tfrac{1}{2}, \pi^\vee, \rho, \bar{\psi}) \pi(\mathcal{F}_{\rho, \psi}(f)^\vee) \\ &= \gamma(\tfrac{1}{2}, \pi^\vee, \rho, \bar{\psi}) \gamma(\tfrac{1}{2}, \pi, \rho, \psi) \pi(f) \\ &= \overline{\gamma(\tfrac{1}{2}, \pi, \rho, \psi)} \cdot \gamma(\tfrac{1}{2}, \pi, \rho, \psi) \pi(f) \\ &= \pi(f). \end{aligned}$$

For the second identity, by Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 3.5,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\mathcal{F}_{\rho, \psi}^4(f)) &= \gamma(\tfrac{1}{2}, \pi^\vee, \rho, \psi) \pi(\mathcal{F}_{\rho, \psi}^3(f)^\vee) \\ &= \gamma(\tfrac{1}{2}, \pi^\vee, \rho, \psi) \gamma(\tfrac{1}{2}, \pi, \rho, \psi) \pi(\mathcal{F}_{\rho, \psi}^2(f)) \\ &= (\gamma(\tfrac{1}{2}, \pi^\vee, \rho, \psi) \gamma(\tfrac{1}{2}, \pi, \rho, \psi))^2 \pi(f) = \pi(f). \end{aligned}$$

\square

Lemma 4.6. *Let $M \in \mathcal{M}$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$. The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{C}(\mathrm{Temp}_{\mathrm{Ind}}(G)) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_\rho^{\mathrm{op}}} & \mathcal{C}(\mathrm{Temp}_{\mathrm{Ind}}(G)) \\
\downarrow (-)^{(P)} & & \downarrow (-)^{(P)} \\
\mathcal{C}(\mathrm{Temp}_{\mathrm{Ind}}(M)) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_{\rho|_{L_M}}^{\mathrm{op}}} & \mathcal{C}(\mathrm{Temp}_{\mathrm{Ind}}(M))
\end{array}$$

is commutative. Consequently, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{C}(G(F)) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_\rho} & \mathcal{C}(G(F)) \\
\downarrow (-)^{(P)} & & \downarrow (-)^{(P)} \\
\mathcal{C}(M(F)) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_{\rho|_{L_M}}} & \mathcal{C}(M(F))
\end{array}$$

is commutative.

Proof. The first assertion follows from the definitions and the fact that $\gamma_\rho|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{Temp}_{\mathrm{Ind}}(M)}} = \gamma_{\rho|_{L_M}}$. The second assertion follows from the first assertion and Lemma 2.12. \square

The following is a restatement of Theorem 1.3:

Theorem 4.7. *Assume the desiderata on the local Langlands correspondence explained in §3. Then Conjecture 1.1 is true; we may take $\mathcal{S}_\rho = \mathcal{C}(G(F))$, the Harish-Chandra space of $G(F)$.*

Proof. The Fourier transform is constructed in (4.0.3). It is unitary by Lemma 4.4, the twisted equivariance property is (4.0.5) and the identity $\mathcal{F}_{\rho, \overline{\psi}} \circ \mathcal{F}_{\rho, \psi} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}(G(F))}$ is part of Lemma 4.5. The fact that $\pi(f)$ is well-defined for tempered π and $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$ is well-known, and is implicit in Theorem 2.6. The identity (1.0.3) is part of Lemma 4.3. \square

4.1. The kernel. For a continuous linear functional $T : \mathcal{C}(G(F)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$, define $T * f : G(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by the formula

$$T * f(x) := T(\mathcal{R}(x, 1)f^\vee) = T((\mathcal{R}(1, x)f)^\vee).$$

Definition 4.8. A continuous linear functional $T : \mathcal{C}(G(F)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called **invariant** if $T \circ \mathcal{R}(g, g) = T$ for $g \in G(F)$.

Lemma 4.9. *If $\Phi : \mathcal{C}(G(F)) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(G(F))$ is an $G(F) \times G(F)$ -equivariant continuous linear operator, then there exists an invariant continuous linear functional $T : \mathcal{C}(G(F)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\Phi(f) = T * f$ for $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$.*

Proof. The linear functional T is given by $T(f) := \Phi(f^\vee)(1)$. \square

By (4.0.5) we see that

$$\mathcal{F}_\rho \circ (-)^\vee : \mathcal{C}(G(F)) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(G(F))$$

defines an element of $\text{End}_{G(F) \times G(F)}(\mathcal{C}(G(F)))$. By Lemma 4.9 there exists an invariant continuous functional

$$J_\rho : \mathcal{C}(G(F)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

satisfying $J_\rho * f = \mathcal{F}_\rho(f^\vee)$ for $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$, or

$$(4.1.1) \quad \mathcal{F}_\rho(f) = J_\rho * f^\vee.$$

We refer to J_ρ as the **kernel of \mathcal{F}_ρ** .

For the rest of this subsection assume F is non-Archimedean. For any compact open subgroup $K' \leq G(F)$, the Fourier transform \mathcal{F}_ρ restricts to an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{F}_\rho : \mathcal{C}(G(F)//K') \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(G(F)//K').$$

Let

$$e_{K'} := \text{meas}_{dg}(K')^{-1} \mathbb{1}_{K'}.$$

Definition 4.10. Let $K' \leq G(F)$ be an open compact subgroup. Let $\Gamma_{K'} \in \mathcal{C}(G(F)//K')$ denote the unique function such that

$$\text{HP}(\Gamma_{K'}) = \gamma_\rho \text{HP}(e_{K'}).$$

Lemma 4.11. Let $K' \leq G(F)$ be a compact open subgroup. For $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F)//K')$, one has

$$\mathcal{F}_\rho(f) = \Gamma_{K'}^\vee * f^\vee = f^\vee * \Gamma_{K'}^\vee.$$

Proof. Since $(f * g)^\vee = g^\vee * f^\vee$, it suffices to show

$$\mathcal{F}_\rho(f)^\vee = \Gamma_{K'} * f = f * \Gamma_{K'}.$$

One has

$$\text{HP}(\mathcal{F}_\rho(f)^\vee) = \gamma_\rho \text{HP}(f).$$

Since f is K' -biinvariant, we see $f * e_{K'} = e_{K'} * f = f$. It follows that

$$\gamma_\rho \text{HP}(f) = \gamma_\rho \text{HP}(e_{K'}) \text{HP}(f) = \text{HP}(\Gamma_{K'} * f).$$

and

$$\gamma_\rho \text{HP}(f) = \gamma_\rho \text{HP}(f) \text{HP}(e_{K'}) = \text{HP}(f * \Gamma_{K'}).$$

□

The kernel J_ρ is represented by a uniformly smooth function on $C_c^\infty(G(F))$. More precisely:

Lemma 4.12. Assume F is non-Archimedean. There is a $w \in C^\infty(G(F))$ such that

$$w * f^\vee(1) = J_\rho * f^\vee(1)$$

for all $f \in C_c^\infty(G(F))$.

Proof. Let $K' \leq G(F)$ be a compact open subgroup; then $\{gK'\}_{g \in G(F)}$ is an open cover of $G(F)$. The problem is local, so it suffices to show that there exists an $w \in C_u^\infty(G(F))$ such that $J_\rho * f^\vee(1) = w * f^\vee(1)$ for all $f \in C^\infty(gK')$. Note that $C^\infty(gK') \subseteq \mathcal{C}(G(F) // (K' \cap gK'g^{-1}))$, so by (4.1.1) and Lemma 4.11 we see

$$J_\rho * f^\vee(1) = \mathcal{F}_\rho(f)(1) = \Gamma_{K' \cap gK'g^{-1}}^\vee * f^\vee(1).$$

Since $\Gamma_{K' \cap gK'g^{-1}}^\vee \in \mathcal{C}(G(F)) \subseteq C^\infty(G(F))$, we are done. \square

4.2. The basic function. Upon taking $K \times K$ -invariant subspaces on both sides of HP, we obtain an isomorphism

$$\text{HP} : \mathcal{C}(G(F) // K) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{ind}}(G))^{K \times K}.$$

In the rest of this subsection when F is non-Archimedean we assume G is unramified, K is hyperspecial and ψ is unramified. Let P_0 be a minimal parabolic subgroup containing A_0 ; then A_0 is a maximal split torus in P_0 , $M_0 = C_G(A_0)$ is a Levi subgroup of P_0 , and $A_{M_0} = A_0$. Since A_0 is maximal, it follows that the quotient M_0/A_0 is anisotropic, so $(M_0/A_0)(F) = M_0(F)/A_0(F)$ is compact. In particular, the trivial representation triv_{M_0} of $M_0(F)$ is square integrable; by abuse of notation we write $\text{Im } \Lambda_{A_0}$ for the connected component of $\text{Temp}_{\text{ind}}(G)$ containing (M_0, triv_{M_0}) . When F is non-Archimedean, G is quasi-split, so M_0 is a maximal torus in G .

Lemma 4.13. *For $T \in \mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{ind}}(G))^{K \times K}$, one has $\text{supp}(T) \subseteq \text{Im } \Lambda_{A_0}$.*

Proof. Let $M \in \mathcal{M}$, $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ and $\sigma \in \Pi_2(M)$. Put $\pi = I_P^G(\sigma)$. We claim that $\pi^K \neq 0$ if and only if $P = P_0$, $M = M_0$, and $\sigma \in \text{Im } \Lambda_{A_0}$. The if direction is clear. We check the “only if” direction.

For F Archimedean, this follows from [Wal92, §13.8.2]. Recall we’ve viewed the \mathbb{C} -points of a complex connected reductive group as the \mathbb{R} -points of its restriction of scalars to \mathbb{R} . (In fact the proof is much simpler when $F = \mathbb{C}$.)

Assume F is non-Archimedean. Let τ be an irreducible subrepresentation of π such that $\tau^K \neq 0$. Then τ is an unramified tempered representation of $G(F)$. It is known that τ is a subrepresentation of $I_{P_0}^G(\chi)$ for some $\chi \in \Lambda_{A_0}$ [GH24, Theorems 7.6.9 and 7.6.10]. Let $\phi : W_F' \rightarrow {}^L G$ denote the L -parameter of τ . Since τ is tempered the set $\phi(\text{Frob}_F^{\mathbb{Z}})$ is bounded, and therefore χ is unitary. Now $\pi = I_P^G(\sigma)$ and $I_{P_0}^G(\chi)$ share a constituent, and σ and τ are both square-integrable. Therefore, M and M_0 , and likewise σ and τ , are $W(G, A_0)$ -conjugate [Wal03, Proposition III.4.1]. \square

Let $d\chi$ denote the restriction of $d\pi$ to $\text{Im } \Lambda_{A_0}$. Let

$$(4.2.1) \quad \mathfrak{S}_\chi(g) = \langle I_{P_0}^G(\chi)(g)\varphi, \varphi^\vee \rangle$$

where $(\varphi, \varphi^\vee) \in I_{P_0}^G(\chi)^K \times (I_{P_0}^G(\chi)^\vee)^K$ is the unique element such that $\varphi|_K = 1$ and $\langle \varphi, \varphi^\vee \rangle = 1$. Thus \mathfrak{S}_χ is the zonal spherical function for $I_{P_0}^G(\chi)$.

Corollary 4.14. *For $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F)//K)$, one has*

$$f(g) = \int_{\text{Im } \Lambda_{A_0}} \left(\int_{G(F)} f(x) \mathfrak{S}_\chi(x) dx \right) \mathfrak{S}_{\chi^{-1}}(g) d\chi.$$

Proof. By Corollary 2.7 and Lemma 4.13, we must show

$$\text{tr}(I_{P_0}^G(\chi)(g^{-1}) \circ I_{P_0}^G(\chi)(f)) = \mathfrak{S}_{\chi^{-1}}(g) \int_{G(F)} f(x) \mathfrak{S}_\chi(x) dx.$$

For φ as in (4.2.1) one has $\text{HP}(\mathbb{1}_K)(\chi) = \varphi \otimes \varphi^\vee \in I_{P_0}^G(\chi) \otimes I_{P_0}^G(\chi)^\vee$. Hence

$$I_{P_0}^G(\chi)(f) = \langle I_{P_0}^G(\chi)(f) \varphi, \varphi^\vee \rangle \cdot = \left(\int_{G(F)} f(x) \mathfrak{S}_\chi(x) dx \right) \cdot p_\chi$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(I_{P_0}^G(\chi)(g^{-1}) \circ I_{P_0}^G(\chi)(f)) &= \text{tr}(I_{P_0}^G(\chi)(g^{-1}) \circ p_\chi) \left(\int_{G(F)} f(x) \mathfrak{S}_\chi(x) dx \right) \\ &= \langle I_{P_0}^G(\chi)(g^{-1}) \varphi, \varphi^\vee \rangle \left(\int_{G(F)} f(x) \mathfrak{S}_\chi(x) dx \right) \\ &= \mathfrak{S}_{\chi^{-1}}(g) \int_{G(F)} f(x) \mathfrak{S}_\chi(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 4.15. Assume F is non-Archimedean. The **basic function** b_ρ is the unique element in $\mathcal{C}(G(F)//K)$ such that

$$(4.2.2) \quad \text{HP}(b_\rho) = L_\rho \text{HP}(\mathbb{1}_K) \in \mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))^{K \times K}.$$

By Corollary 4.14, one then has

$$(4.2.3) \quad b_\rho(g) = \int_{\text{Im } \Lambda_{A_0}} L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi, \rho|_{L_{A_0}}\right) \mathfrak{S}_{\chi^{-1}}(g) d\chi.$$

Previously in the literature the basic function has been defined using the spherical Fourier transform [Li16, Luo19]. In view of (4.2.3), this agrees with our definition.

Lemma 4.16. *If ψ is unramified then $\mathcal{F}_\rho(b_\rho) = b_\rho$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.3, for $\chi \in \text{Im } \Lambda_{A_0}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{P_0}^G(\chi)(\mathcal{F}_\rho(b_\rho)^\vee) &= \gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi, \rho|_{L_{A_0}}, \psi\right) I_{P_0}^G(\chi)(b_\rho) \\ &= \gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi, \rho|_{L_{A_0}}, \psi\right) L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi, \rho|_{L_{A_0}}, \psi\right) \text{HP}(\mathbb{1}_K)(\chi) \\ &= \varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi, \rho|_{L_{A_0}}, \psi\right) L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi^{-1}, \rho|_{L_{A_0}}, \psi\right) \text{HP}(\mathbb{1}_K)(\chi) \\ &= \varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi, \rho|_{L_{A_0}}, \psi\right) I_{P_0}^G(\chi)(b_\rho^\vee) \quad (\text{by (4.2.2)}). \end{aligned}$$

Since ψ and χ are unramified $\varepsilon(\frac{1}{2}, \chi, \rho|_{L_{A_0}}, \psi) = 1$. Combining Lemma 4.13 with the Harish-Chandra Plancherel theorem (Theorem 2.6) we deduce that $\mathcal{F}_\rho(b_\rho)^\vee = b_\rho^\vee$ and hence $\mathcal{F}_\rho(b_\rho) = b_\rho$. □

4.3. Certain growth conditions. In this subsection we recall several growth conditions necessary for the next section.

For our use in this subsection, for any semi-standard parabolic P of G we choose a continuous function $\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{k} : G(F) \rightarrow P(F) \times K$ such that

$$(4.3.1) \quad g = \mathbf{a}(g)\mathbf{k}(g) \text{ for } g \in G(F).$$

When F is non-Archimedean we choose \mathbf{a} to be locally constant.

4.3.1. *The non-Archimedean case.* Assume F is non-Archimedean.

Definition 4.17. A section $T \in C^\infty(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$ is **polynomial** if for all $(M, \sigma) \in \widetilde{\text{Temp}}_{\text{Ind}}(G)$, the map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im } \Lambda_M &\longrightarrow \mathcal{HS}(I_P^G(\sigma))^{\text{sm}} \\ \chi &\longmapsto T(M, \sigma \otimes \chi) \end{aligned}$$

has image contained in a finite-dimensional space W and the induced map $\text{Im } \Lambda_M \rightarrow W$ is the restriction of an algebraic map $\Lambda_M \rightarrow W$.

Here we give Λ_M the structure of the \mathbb{C} -points of a torus over \mathbb{C} as discussed above (2.4.4).

To clarify the role of the assumptions in the definition we prove the following lemma:

Lemma 4.18. *If $T \in C^\infty(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$ then $T(\text{Im } \Lambda_M)$ is contained in a finite dimensional subspace.*

Proof. By definition of $C^\infty(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$ and [BP20, Proposition A.3.1(ii)], for any $T \in C^\infty(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$, the image $T(\text{Im } \Lambda_M)$ is contained in a bounded subset. Here when we say bounded we mean with respect to the topology on $(I_P^G(\sigma) \otimes I_P^G(\sigma^\vee))^{\text{sm}}$ that is the strict colimit

$$\text{colim}_{K'} (I_P^G(\sigma) \otimes I_P^G(\sigma^\vee))^{\text{sm}})^{K' \times K'}$$

over compact open subgroups K' , where each $(I_P^G(\sigma) \otimes I_P^G(\sigma^\vee))^{\text{sm}})^{K' \times K'}$ is endowed with the canonical topology on a finite dimensional complex vector space. Bounded subsets with respect to this colimit topology are contained in $(I_P^G(\sigma) \otimes I_P^G(\sigma^\vee))^{\text{sm}})^{K' \times K'}$ for some K' [SW99, §6.5]. \square

The extension of a polynomial section to a morphism from Λ_M is unique and we will identify polynomial sections and their extensions when convenient. Thus a polynomial section may be regarded as a section of $\mathcal{HS}^{\text{sm}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{Temp}}_{\text{Ind}}(G)_{\mathbb{C}}$.

For polynomial sections $T \in C^\infty(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$ and $(\chi, (M, \sigma)) \in \Lambda_G \times \widetilde{\text{Temp}}_{\text{Ind}}(G)$ define

$$(4.3.2) \quad T_\chi(M, \sigma) := T(M, \sigma \otimes \chi|_M).$$

Lemma 4.19. *Let $T \in C^\infty(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$ be a polynomial section.*

(1) *For $\chi \in \Lambda_G$, T_χ is a polynomial section.*

- (2) If $T \in \mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$, then $T_\chi \in \mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$ for all $\chi \in \Lambda_G$.
- (3) One has $T \in \mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$ if and only if the support of T is contained in a finite set of connected components of $\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)$.
- (4) The section $T^\top \in C^\infty(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$ defined in Lemma 2.9 is a polynomial section.
- (5) Let T, S be two polynomial sections. Then TS is a polynomial section.

□

Lemma 4.20. *If $f \in C_c^\infty(G(F))$ then $\text{HP}(f)$ is a polynomial section.*

Proof. Let $(M, \sigma) \in \text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$. A standard argument using K -finiteness reduces the problem to showing that for any $(\varphi, \varphi^\vee) \in I_P^G(\sigma)^{\text{sm}} \times I_P^G(\sigma^\vee)^{\text{sm}}$ and $g \in G(F)$ the map

$$(4.3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \Lambda_M &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ \chi &\longmapsto \langle I_P^G(\sigma \otimes \chi)(g)\varphi, \varphi^\vee \rangle \end{aligned}$$

is algebraic. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle I_P^G(\sigma \otimes \chi)(g)\varphi, \varphi^\vee \rangle &= \int_K \langle I_P^G(\sigma \otimes \chi)(g)\varphi(k), \varphi^\vee(k) \rangle dk \\ &= \int_K (\delta_P^{\frac{1}{2}}\chi)(\mathbf{a}(kg)) \langle \varphi(\mathbf{k}(kg)), \varphi^\vee(k) \rangle dk. \end{aligned}$$

As noted after the definition of \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{k} in (4.3.1), we can take \mathbf{a} to be locally constant. Hence, by Lemma 2.1, we conclude that (4.3.3) is algebraic. □

4.3.2. *Archimedean case.* Now assume F is Archimedean.

Let V be a quasi-complete locally convex vector space over \mathbb{C} . A fairly comprehensive discussion of the notion of a holomorphic function $\mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow V$ is given in [Kru20]. In what follows we will use the fact that holomorphy is equivalent to separate holomorphy (holomorphy in each variable separately). Moreover holomorphy is equivalent to weak holomorphy.

Definition 4.21. An element $T \in C^\infty(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$ is called a **holomorphic** section if for all $(M, \sigma) \in \widetilde{\text{Temp}}_{\text{Ind}}(G)$, the map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im } \Lambda_M &\longrightarrow \mathcal{HS}(I_P^G(\sigma)) \\ \chi &\longmapsto T(M, \sigma \otimes \chi) \end{aligned}$$

extends to a holomorphic map $\Lambda_M \rightarrow \mathcal{HS}(I_P^G(\sigma))$.

If T is a holomorphic section we continue to denote by T its extension to $\widetilde{\text{Temp}}_{\text{Ind}}(G)_\mathbb{C}$. It is a holomorphic section of the bundle $\mathcal{HS} \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{Temp}}_{\text{Ind}}(G)_\mathbb{C}$.

For any holomorphic section T and $\chi \in \Lambda_G$ let

$$(4.3.4) \quad T_\chi(M, \sigma) := T(M, \sigma \otimes \chi|_{M(F)})$$

for $(M, \sigma) \in \widetilde{\text{Temp}}_{\text{Ind}}(G)$.

Lemma 4.22. *Let $T \in C^\infty(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$ be a holomorphic section.*

- (1) *For $\chi \in \Lambda_G$, $T_\chi \in C^\infty(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$, and is a holomorphic section.*
- (2) *The section $T^\top \in C^\infty(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$ defined in Lemma 2.9 is a holomorphic section.*

□

Lemma 4.23. *Let $T, S \in C^\infty(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$ be two holomorphic sections. Then $TS \in C^\infty(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$ is a holomorphic section.*

Proof. The section TS is the composition

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im } \Lambda_M &\rightarrow \text{Im } \Lambda_M \times \text{Im } \Lambda_M \xrightarrow{T \times S} \mathcal{HS}(I_P^G(\sigma)) \times \mathcal{HS}(I_P^G(\sigma)) \rightarrow \mathcal{HS}(I_P^G(\sigma)) \\ T \times S : (\chi, \chi') &\longmapsto (T(M, \sigma \otimes \chi), S(M, \sigma \otimes \chi')) \end{aligned}$$

where the first arrow is the diagonal and the last arrow is composition.

Using the fact that weak holomorphy is equivalent to holomorphy we see that the composite of the last two arrows is separately holomorphic. Since separate holomorphy is equivalent to holomorphy in the setting at hand we deduce that TS is holomorphic. □

For the next proof, recall the definition of the Fréchet algebra $\mathcal{S}(G(F))$ given in [Cas89]. By Weil restriction we may assume $F = \mathbb{R}$. Define the norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $G(F)$ as in (2.7.2). For $f \in C^\infty(G(F))$ and $n \geq 1$, $u, v \in U(\mathfrak{g})$, let

$$\|f\|_{u,v,n} := \sup_{g \in G(F)} \|g\|^n |\mathcal{R}(u, v)f(g)|.$$

Then

$$(4.3.5) \quad \mathcal{S}(G(F)) := \{f \in C^\infty(G(F)) : \|f\|_{u,v,n} < \infty \text{ for all } n \geq 1, u, v \in U(\mathfrak{g})\}.$$

Lemma 4.24. *For $f \in \mathcal{S}(G(F))$, the section $\text{HP}(f)$ is a holomorphic section, and satisfies the bound*

$$\sup_{\sigma \in \Pi_2(M)/i\mathfrak{a}_M^*} \sup_{\lambda \in V_{\mathcal{K}}} \|I_P^G(\sigma_\lambda)(u) \circ \text{HP}(M, \sigma_\lambda) \circ I_P^G(\sigma_\lambda)(v)\|_{\text{op}} N(\sigma_\lambda)^k < \infty.$$

for all $M \in \mathcal{M}$, $u, v \in U(\text{Lie}(K)_{\mathbb{C}})$, $k \geq 0$ and compact subsets $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathfrak{a}_M^*$.

Proof. Let $(M, \sigma) \in \widetilde{\text{Temp}}_{\text{Ind}}(G)$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}(G(F))$. For $\lambda \in i\mathfrak{a}_M^*$, the operator $\text{HP}(f)(M, \sigma_\lambda)$ is given by the absolutely convergent integral

$$I_P^G(\sigma_\lambda)(f) = \int_{G(F)} f(g) I_P^G(\sigma_\lambda)(g) dg.$$

Since the representation $I_P^G(\sigma_\lambda)^{\text{sm}}$ has moderate growth, the integral remains absolutely convergent for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_M^*(\mathbb{C})$. We continue to denote by $I_P^G(\sigma_\lambda)(f)$ the resulting operator.

We first prove the bound. By [Del05, (1.25)], we have

$$\|I_P^G(\sigma_\lambda)(g)\|_{\text{op}} \leq \|g\|^{|\text{Re}(\lambda)|}.$$

Then

$$\|I_P^G(\sigma_\lambda)(\mathcal{R}(u, v)f)\|_{\text{op}} \ll \int_{G(F)} |\mathcal{R}(u, v)f(g)| \|g\|^{|\text{Re}(\lambda)|} dg \ll \|f\|_{u, v, k}$$

for some $k \gg 0$ depending on \mathcal{K} . Now argue as in [Del05, Lemme 1] to obtain the bound.

We now prove that $\text{HP}(f)$ is a holomorphic section. The continuous dual of $\mathcal{HS}(I_P^G(\sigma))$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{HS}(I_P^G(\sigma^\vee))$. In this space smooth finite rank operators are dense. Employing [Gro53, §2, Remarque 1], to prove that $\text{HP}(f)$ is a holomorphic section it suffices to check that each $\varphi \in I_P^G(\sigma)^{\text{sm}}$ and $\varphi^\vee \in I_P^G(\sigma^\vee)^{\text{sm}}$ the function

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{a}_M^* &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ \lambda &\longmapsto \langle I_P^G(\sigma_\lambda)(f)\varphi, \varphi^\vee \rangle \in \mathbb{C} \end{aligned}$$

is holomorphic. One computes

$$\begin{aligned} \langle I_P^G(\sigma_\lambda)(f)\varphi, \varphi^\vee \rangle &= \int_{G(F)} f(g) \int_K \langle I_P^G(\sigma_\lambda)(g)\varphi(k), \varphi^\vee(k) \rangle dk dg \\ &= \int_{G(F)} f(g) \int_K e^{\langle \rho_P + \lambda, H_M(\mathfrak{a}(g)) \rangle} \langle \varphi(\mathbf{k}(kg)), \varphi^\vee(k) \rangle_\sigma dk dg \end{aligned}$$

where ρ_P is the half-sum of the positive roots. Differentiating under the integral sign we deduce the result. \square

5. THE ASYMPTOTIC ρ -SCHWARTZ SPACE

In this subsection we give a definition of a Schwartz space attached to a tempered representation $\rho : {}^L G \rightarrow \text{GL}_{V_\rho}(\mathbb{C})$. We assume the weak form of the local Langlands conjecture discussed in §3. When F is Archimedean, if $M \in \mathcal{M}$ denote by

$$(5.0.1) \quad \mathcal{D}(M) \subseteq \Pi_2(M)$$

a set satisfying the conclusion of Corollary 3.10 with (G, ρ) replaced by $(M, \rho|_{L_M})$.

Define the space

$$\mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)) \leq \mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$$

to be the collection of all $T \in \mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$ satisfying the following properties:

Suppose first F is non-Archimedean. Then $T \in \mathcal{S}_\rho(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$ if and only if $L_\rho^{-1}T$ is a polynomial section. Next, assume F is Archimedean. Then $T \in \mathcal{S}_\rho(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$ if and only if

- (1) $L_\rho^{-1}T$ is a holomorphic section, and
- (2) for any $M \in \mathcal{M}$, any compact set $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathfrak{a}_M^*$, any polynomial $p : \mathfrak{a}_{M\mathbb{C}}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $p(\lambda)L(\frac{1}{2}, \sigma_\lambda, \rho)$ has no pole in $V_{\mathcal{K}}$ for all $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}(M)$, and any $u, v \in (\text{Lie } K)_{\mathbb{C}}$, the operator

$$p(\lambda)I_P^G(\sigma_\lambda)(u) \circ T(M, \sigma_\lambda) \circ I_P^G(\sigma_\lambda)(v),$$

originally defined for $\lambda \in i\mathfrak{a}_M^*$, extends to a bounded operator on $I_P^G(\sigma_\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in V_K$. Moreover for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ we have

$$\|T\|_{u,v,k,\mathcal{K},p} := \sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}(M)} \sup_{\lambda \in V_K} \|p(\lambda)I_P^G(\sigma_\lambda)(u) \circ T(M, \sigma_\lambda) \circ I_P^G(\sigma_\lambda)(v)\|_{\text{op}} N(\sigma_\lambda)^k < \infty.$$

Corollary 3.10 states that p as in (2) exist.

Lemma 5.1. $\mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$ is a $G(F) \times G(F)$ -invariant subspace of $\mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$.

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 2.4 the bound in (2) implies the analogous bound for all $u, v \in U(\mathfrak{g})$. Thus the proof is the same as that of Lemma 2.5. \square

Definition 5.2. The **asymptotic ρ -Schwartz space** $\mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(G(F)) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(G(F))$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(G(F)) := \text{HP}^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))).$$

By Lemma 5.1 this is a $G(F) \times G(F)$ -invariant subspace of $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$.

We call this the asymptotic Schwartz space for two reasons. First, it is a subspace of $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ specified by stipulating the asymptotics of functions after applying HP. Second, it is formally similar to the asymptotic Hecke algebra considered in [BK18].

Lemma 5.3. The space $\mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(G(F))$ is preserved by \mathcal{F}_ρ .

Proof. By commutativity of the diagram (4.0.8) and the fact that HP is an isomorphism by Theorem 2.6, it suffices to prove that $\mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$ is stable under $\mathcal{F}_\rho^{\text{op}}$, defined as in (4.0.7).

Let $T \in \mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$. By the definition of τ in Lemma 2.9, we have

$$(5.0.2) \quad \frac{\mathcal{F}_\rho^{\text{op}}(T)(\pi)}{L_\rho(\pi)} = \frac{\gamma_\rho(\pi^\vee)T(\pi^\vee)^\tau}{L_\rho(\pi)} = \varepsilon_\rho(\pi^\vee) \frac{T(\pi^\vee)^\tau}{L_\rho(\pi^\vee)} = \varepsilon_\rho(\pi^\vee) \left(\frac{T}{L_\rho} \right)^\tau(\pi).$$

By Lemmas 4.19, 4.22 and 3.4, this proves that $L_\rho^{-1}\mathcal{F}_\rho^{\text{op}}(T)$ is polynomial in the non-Archimedean case and holomorphic in the Archimedean case.

It remains to show that F is Archimedean one has $\|\mathcal{F}_\rho^{\text{op}}(T)\|_{u,v,k,\mathcal{K},p} < \infty$, where u, v, k, \mathcal{K}, p are as in (2). Let p^\vee be another polynomial such that $p^\vee(\lambda)L(\frac{1}{2}, \sigma_{-\lambda}^\vee, \rho)$ is nonvanishing and holomorphic in V_K . By Corollary 3.10 we can choose p^\vee from a finite set depending on \mathcal{K} . Write

$$\begin{aligned} & \|p(\lambda)I_P^G(\sigma, \lambda)(u) \circ \mathcal{F}_\rho^{\text{op}}(T)(M, \sigma_\lambda) \circ I_P^G(\sigma, \lambda)(v)\|_{\text{op}} \\ &= \left\| \frac{p(\lambda)\gamma(\frac{1}{2}, \sigma_{-\lambda}^\vee, \rho)}{p^\vee(\lambda)} \cdot p^\vee(\lambda)I_P^G(\sigma, \lambda)(u) \circ T(M, \sigma_{-\lambda}^\vee)^\tau \circ I_P^G(\sigma, \lambda)(v) \right\|_{\text{op}}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of Proposition 3.19, it follows that $\|\mathcal{F}_\rho^{\text{op}}(T)\|_{u,v,k,\mathcal{K},p} < \infty$. \square

Lemma 5.4. *One has $\mathcal{S}(G(F)) \leq \mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(G(F))$, and*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}(G(F)) * \mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(G(F)) &\leq \mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(G(F)), \\ \mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(G(F)) * \mathcal{S}(G(F)) &\leq \mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(G(F)).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first containment follows from Lemma 4.20 and Lemma 4.24. To prove the first displayed equation, let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(G(F))$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(G(F))$. Then

$$\frac{\text{HP}(\varphi * f)}{L_\rho} = \text{HP}(\varphi) \frac{\text{HP}(f)}{L_\rho}$$

In view of Lemma 4.19(5) and Lemma 4.23, we are left with proving that the seminorms defined in (2) are bounded in the Archimedean case. This follows from the bound in Lemma 4.24. The proof of the second displayed equation is essentially the same. \square

Lemma 5.5. *Let $M \in \mathcal{M}$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$. The map (2.9.1) induces a map*

$$(-)^{(P)} : \mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\rho|_{L_M}}^{\text{as}}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(M)).$$

Consequently, the constant term map $f \mapsto f^{(P)}$ induces a map

$$(-)^{(P)} : \mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(G(F)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\rho|_{L_M}}^{\text{as}}(M(F)).$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.12 it suffices to prove the first statement. Observe that $L_\rho|_{\widetilde{\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}}(M)} = L_{\rho|_{L_M}}$. Using Lemma 2.3 in the Archimedean case we deduce that if $T \in \mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$ then $L_{\rho|_{L_M}}^{-1} T^{(P)}$ is polynomial (resp. holomorphic). The required bound for $T^{(P)}$ in the Archimedean case follows from Lemma 2.3. \square

The following is clear:

Lemma 5.6. *If F is non-Archimedean then $b_\rho \in \mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(G(F))$.* \square

5.1. Tempered local zeta integrals. For a representation π of $G(F)$ and $(\varphi, \varphi^\vee) \in \pi^{\text{sm}} \times (\pi^\vee)^{\text{sm}}$, let

$$(5.1.1) \quad c_{\varphi, \varphi^\vee}(g) := \langle \pi(g)\varphi, \varphi^\vee \rangle$$

be the corresponding smooth matrix coefficient. We let

$$(5.1.2) \quad \mathcal{C}(\pi) := \langle c_{\varphi, \varphi^\vee} : (\varphi, \varphi^\vee) \in \pi^{\text{sm}} \times (\pi^\vee)^{\text{sm}} \rangle \leq C^\infty(G(F)).$$

be the \mathbb{C} -span of these matrix coefficients in $C^\infty(G(F))$. Note that $\{c^\vee : c \in \mathcal{C}(\pi)\} = \mathcal{C}(\pi^\vee)$, where we recall for a function $c \in C^\infty(G(F))$ we have set $c^\vee(g) := c(g^{-1})$.

Definition 5.7. For a representation π of $G(F)$, and $(\lambda, f, c) \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \times C^\infty(G(F)) \times \mathcal{C}(\pi)$ set

$$Z(\lambda, f, c) := \int_{G(F)} e^{\langle \lambda, H_G(g) \rangle} f(g) c(g) dg$$

whenever the integral is absolutely convergent. We refer to $Z(\lambda, f, \varphi)$ as a **local zeta integral**.

We point out that for any $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$, $\pi \in \text{Temp}(G)$, $(\varphi, \varphi^\vee) \in \pi^{\text{sm}} \times (\pi^\vee)^{\text{sm}}$ and $\lambda \in i\mathfrak{a}_G$ one has

$$(5.1.3) \quad Z(\lambda, f, c_{\varphi, \varphi^\vee}) = \langle \pi_\lambda(f) \varphi, \varphi^\vee \rangle.$$

In particular, the integral defining $Z(\lambda, f, c)$ is absolutely convergent.

Lemma 5.8. *For $(\lambda, f, c) \in i\mathfrak{a}_G^* \times \mathcal{C}(G(F)) \times \mathcal{C}(\pi)$ one has*

$$Z(-\lambda, \mathcal{F}_\rho(f), c^\vee) = \gamma(\tfrac{1}{2}, \pi_\lambda, \rho) Z(\lambda, f, c).$$

Proof. In view of (5.1.3), this follows from Lemma 4.3. \square

Proposition 5.9. *Let $\pi \in \text{Temp}(G)$. For $(f, c) \in \mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(G(F)) \times \mathcal{C}(\pi)$, the local zeta integral $Z(\lambda, f, c)$ extends to a meromorphic function of $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{GC}^*$, and is a holomorphic (resp. polynomial) multiple of $L(\tfrac{1}{2}, \pi_\lambda, \rho)$ when F is Archimedean (resp. non-Archimedean). It satisfies the functional equation*

$$(5.1.4) \quad Z(-\lambda, \mathcal{F}_\rho f, c^\vee) = \gamma(\tfrac{1}{2}, \pi_\lambda, \rho) Z(\lambda, f, c).$$

The statement that $Z(\lambda, f, c)$ is a polynomial multiple of $L(\tfrac{1}{2}, \pi_\lambda, \rho)$ means there exists $p \in \mathbb{C}[\Lambda_G]$ such that $Z(\lambda, f, c) = p(e^{\langle \lambda, H_G(\cdot) \rangle}) L(\tfrac{1}{2}, \pi_\lambda, \rho)$.

Proof. For $\lambda \in i\mathfrak{a}_G^*$, we rewrite (5.1.3) as

$$Z(\lambda, f, c_{\varphi, \varphi^\vee}) = L(\tfrac{1}{2}, \pi_\lambda, \rho) \left\langle \frac{\pi_\lambda(f)}{L(\tfrac{1}{2}, \pi_\lambda, \rho)} \varphi, \varphi^\vee \right\rangle.$$

Realizing π as a subrepresentation of $I_P^G(\sigma)$ for some $(M, \sigma) \in \widetilde{\text{Temp}}_{\text{Ind}}(G)$, we get

$$Z(\lambda, f, c_{\varphi, \varphi^\vee}) = L_\rho(M, \sigma_\lambda) \left\langle \frac{\text{HP}(M, \sigma_\lambda)(f)}{L_\rho(M, \sigma_\lambda)} \varphi, \varphi^\vee \right\rangle.$$

Since $f \in \mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(G(F))$, the right hand side extends to a meromorphic function in $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{GC}^*$. This provides a meromorphic continuation of $Z(\lambda, f, c_{\varphi, \varphi^\vee})$. Moreover, it shows that $Z(\lambda, f, c_{\varphi, \varphi^\vee})$ is a holomorphic (resp. polynomial) multiple of $L(\tfrac{1}{2}, \pi_\lambda, \rho)$ when F is Archimedean (resp. non-Archimedean). Finally, since both sides of (5.1.4) are meromorphic functions in λ , the equality holds by Lemma 5.8 and the identity principle. \square

In fact the local zeta integrals converge for a larger range of λ . Write $\rho = \rho_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_n$ where each ρ_i is irreducible. By irreducibility and (LLC5), there exist linear functionals $\mu_i : \mathfrak{a}_G^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$ such that

$$L_\rho(M, \sigma_\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^m L(\tfrac{1}{2} + \mu_i(\lambda), \sigma, \rho_i|_{L_M})$$

for all $(M, \sigma) \in \widetilde{\text{Temp}}_{\text{Ind}}(G)$. Put

$$C := \bigcap_{i=1}^m \{ \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_G^* \mid \mu_i(\lambda) > -\tfrac{1}{2} \}$$

and form the cylinder $V_C \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_{GC}^*$ as in (2.3.1).

Lemma 5.10. *Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(G(F))$. Then $fe^{\langle \lambda, H_G(\cdot) \rangle} \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$ for $\lambda \in V_C$.*

Proof. For $\lambda \in V_C$ we have a well-defined operator

$$\text{HP}(f)_\lambda(M, \sigma) := (L_\rho^{-1} \text{HP}(f))(M, \sigma_\lambda) \cdot L_\rho(M, \sigma_\lambda) \in \mathcal{HS}(I_P^G(\sigma)).$$

Indeed, the quotient is a polynomial section in the non-Archimedean case and a holomorphic section in the Archimedean case by definition of $\mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(G(F))$. It is clear that $\text{HP}(f)_\lambda \in \mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$ in the non-Archimedean case.

We claim the same is true in the Archimedean case. We must show

$$(5.1.5) \quad \sup_{\sigma \in \Pi_2(M)} \|I_P^G(\sigma)(u) \circ D\text{HP}(f)_\lambda(M, \sigma) \circ I_P^G(\sigma)(v)\|_{\text{op}} N(\sigma)^k < \infty$$

where all unexplained symbols are as in §2.8. Let $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathfrak{a}_{M\mathbb{C}}^*$ be the closure of a bounded neighborhood of the origin. Choose \mathcal{K} small enough that $L(\frac{1}{2}, \sigma_{\lambda'}, \rho)$ has no pole in $V_{\mathcal{K}}$ for all $\sigma \in \Pi_2(M)$ and all $\lambda' \in \lambda + V_{\mathcal{K}}$. Noticing $\lambda + V_{\mathcal{K}} = V_{\text{Re}(\lambda) + \mathcal{K}}$, by definition of $\mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(G(F))$ we have

$$\sup_{\sigma \in \Pi_2(M)/i\mathfrak{a}_M^*} \sup_{\lambda' \in \lambda + V_{\mathcal{K}}} \|p(\lambda') I_P^G(\sigma_{\lambda'})(u) \circ T(M, \sigma_{\lambda'}) \circ I_P^G(\sigma_{\lambda'})(v)\|_{\text{op}} N(\sigma)^k < \infty$$

for any polynomial p on $\mathfrak{a}_{M\mathbb{C}}^*$. Restricting the bound to $i\mathfrak{a}_M^* \subseteq V_{\mathcal{K}}$ proves (5.1.5) when D is constant one (by taking $p(\lambda) = 1$). Since we chose \mathcal{K} to be the closure of an open set, bounds for general D follow from Lemma 4.1.

To conclude the proof, we contend that for each $g \in G(F)$ that

$$(5.1.6) \quad f(g)e^{\langle \lambda, H_G(g) \rangle} = \text{HP}^{-1}(\text{HP}(f)_\lambda)(g)$$

provided $\lambda \in V_C$. This is clear when $\text{Re}(\lambda) = 0$, so by identity principle it suffices to show that both sides are holomorphic in λ . This is immediate for the left hand side. For the right hand side this follows from the fact that HP^{-1} is a continuous linear map. \square

Corollary 5.11. *For $(f, \pi) \in \mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(G(F)) \times \text{Temp}(G)$ and $c \in \mathcal{C}(\pi)$ the local zeta integral $Z(\lambda, f, c)$ converges absolutely for $\lambda \in V_C$.* \square

6. THE ρ -SCHWARTZ SPACE

Except in §6.5, throughout this section F is a non-Archimedean local field.

Definition 6.1. The space $C_{ac}^\infty(G(F))$ of **almost compactly supported smooth functions** is the space of uniformly smooth functions $f : G(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that for all compact sets $\Omega \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_G$ the function $g \mapsto f(g)\mathbb{1}_\Omega(H_G(g))$ is compactly supported.

Elements of the asymptotic Hecke algebra $\mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(G(F))$ need not be of almost compact support:

Example 6.2. Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_2$ and let $\rho : \mathrm{GL}_2 \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2$ be the identity (i.e. the standard representation). Let $I < \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F)$ be the Iwahori subgroup. Let St denote the Steinberg representation; then St^I is one dimensional [BH06, §14.4]. Pick any $\varphi \in \mathrm{St}^I$ with $\langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle = 1$.

Let $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathrm{GL}_2(F))$ be the unique function such that $\mathrm{HP}(f)$ is zero outside of $\mathrm{St} \otimes i\mathfrak{a}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}^*$, and the restriction of $\mathrm{HP}(f)$ to $\mathrm{St} \otimes i\mathfrak{a}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}^*$ is the orthogonal projection to $\mathrm{St}^I \otimes i\mathfrak{a}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}^*$. Clearly $\mathrm{HP}(f) \in \mathcal{S}_\rho^{\mathrm{as}}(\mathrm{Temp}_{\mathrm{Ind}}(\mathrm{GL}_2))$, so that $f \in \mathcal{S}_\rho^{\mathrm{as}}(\mathrm{GL}_2(F))$. One computes

$$f(g) = \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}_F^\times}(\det g) \langle \mathrm{St}(g^{-1})\varphi, \varphi \rangle.$$

Then $\mathrm{supp}(f) \subseteq \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(F) \mid |\det g| = 1\} = \{g \in M_2(F) \mid |\det g| = 1\}$. Note that $\mathrm{supp}(f)$ cannot be compact in $M_2(F)$ since this would imply the Steinberg representation is supercuspidal.

Thus we are led to introduce a smaller Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G(F))$, which will correct this defect.

6.1. The Paley-Wiener theorem for $C_c^\infty(G(F))$. We recall the Paley-Wiener theorem proved independently by Bernstein and Heiermann.

Let

$$\Pi_0(G) \subseteq \Pi_2(G)$$

be the subset of unitary supercuspidal representations, equipped with subspace topology, and let

$$\mathrm{Temp}_{\mathrm{Ind},0}(G) \subset \mathrm{Temp}_{\mathrm{Ind}}(G)$$

be the subset of $I_P^G(\sigma)$ with $\sigma \in \Pi_0(M)$ for some $M \in \mathcal{M}$. This is a union of components of $\mathrm{Temp}_{\mathrm{Ind}}(G)$, and is naturally a quotient of

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Temp}_{\mathrm{Ind},0}(G)} := \bigsqcup_{M \in \mathcal{M}} \Pi_0(M).$$

We continue to denote by $\mathcal{HS}^{\mathrm{sm}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Temp}_{\mathrm{Ind},0}(G)$ the pullback of the bundle $\mathcal{HS}^{\mathrm{sm}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Temp}_{\mathrm{Ind}}(G)$. We say a section $T \in \Gamma(\mathrm{Temp}_{\mathrm{Ind},0}(G), \mathcal{HS}^{\mathrm{sm}})$ is polynomial if T satisfies the condition in Definition 6.7 but only for all $M \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\sigma \in \Pi_0(M)$. Let

$$(6.1.1) \quad \mathrm{Poly}(\mathrm{Temp}_{\mathrm{Ind},0}(G), \mathcal{HS}^{\mathrm{sm}}) < \Gamma_c(\mathrm{Temp}_{\mathrm{Ind},0}(G), \mathcal{HS}^{\mathrm{sm}})$$

be the subspace of polynomial sections with compact support. The following is a rephrasing of [Hei01, Théorème (0.1)]:

Theorem 6.3. *The map*

$$(6.1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathrm{BH} : C_c^\infty(G(F)) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Poly}(\mathrm{Temp}_{\mathrm{Ind},0}(G)) \\ f &\longmapsto \mathrm{HP}(f)|_{\mathrm{Temp}_{\mathrm{Ind},0}(G)} \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism.

□

6.2. The Paley-Wiener theorem for $C_{ac}^\infty(G(F))$. In this subsection we extend Theorem 6.3 to $C_{ac}^\infty(G(F))$. We warn the reader at the outset that $C_{ac}^\infty(G(F))$ is not an algebra under convolution, but it does admit the structure of a left and right module over $C_c^\infty(G(F))$, either by convolution or multiplication.

The map $H_G : G(F) \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}_G$ factors through $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^*(G), (\log q)\mathbb{Z})$. For $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^*(G), \mathbb{Z})$, set

$$(6.2.1) \quad \mathbb{1}_\alpha = \mathbb{1}_{H_G^{-1}(-(\log q)\alpha)}.$$

Lemma 6.4. *One has*

$$C_{ac}^\infty(G(F)) = \{f \in C_u^\infty(G(F)) \mid f\mathbb{1}_\alpha \in C_c^\infty(G(F)) \text{ for all } \alpha \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^*(G), \mathbb{Z})\}.$$

□

For $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^*(G), \mathbb{Z})$, set

$$C_c^\infty(G(F))_\alpha := \{f \in C_c^\infty(G(F)) \mid f\mathbb{1}_\alpha = f\} = C_c^\infty(G(F))\mathbb{1}_\alpha.$$

The following is an immediate corollary of Lemma 6.4:

Corollary 6.5. *One has an isomorphism of \mathbb{C} -vector spaces*

$$C_{ac}^\infty(G(F)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \left(\prod_{\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^*(G), \mathbb{Z})} C_c^\infty(G(F))_\alpha \right)^{\text{sm}} \\ f \longmapsto (f\mathbb{1}_\alpha)$$

where the superscript sm indicates tuples fixed on the left and right by a compact open subgroup $K' \leq G(F)$. □

For $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^*(G), \mathbb{Z})$, let

$$(6.2.2)$$

$$\text{Poly}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind},0}(G))_\alpha := \{T \in \text{Poly}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind},0}(G)) : T(M, \sigma_\lambda) = q^{-\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle} T(M, \sigma) \text{ for } \lambda \in i\mathfrak{a}_G^*\}$$

be the α -isotypic component under the action of $i\mathfrak{a}_G^*$. Then

$$(6.2.3) \quad \text{Poly}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind},0}(G)) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^*(G), \mathbb{Z})} \text{Poly}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind},0}(G))_\alpha.$$

We define

$$(6.2.4) \quad \text{FL}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind},0}(G)) := \left(\prod_{\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^*(G), \mathbb{Z})} \text{Poly}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind},0}(G))_\alpha \right)^{\text{sm}}$$

where the superscript sm denotes tuples $(T_\alpha)_\alpha$ that are fixed under $K' \times K'$ for a compact open subgroup $K' \leq G(F)$ (independent of α). The FL stands for “formal Laurent.” For $\alpha \in \text{Hom}(X^*(G), \mathbb{Z})$ we let

$$(6.2.5) \quad e_\alpha : \text{FL}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind},0}(G)) \longrightarrow \text{Poly}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind},0}(G))_\alpha$$

be the projection.

Lemma 6.6. For $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^*(G), \mathbb{Z})$ one has

$$\text{BH}(C_c^\infty(G(F))_\alpha) = \text{Poly}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind},0}(G))_\alpha$$

and the isomorphism BH of Theorem 6.3 extends to an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \text{BH} : C_{ac}^\infty(G(F)) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{FL}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind},0}(G)), \\ f &\longmapsto (\text{BH}(f \mathbb{1}_\alpha))_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For $(M, \sigma) \in \widetilde{\text{Temp}}_{\text{Ind}}(G(F))$, $\lambda \in i\mathfrak{a}_G^*$ and $f \in C_c^\infty(G(F))_\alpha$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \text{HP}(f)(M, \sigma_\lambda) &= \int_{G(F)} f(g) I_P^G(\sigma_\lambda)(g) dg \\ (6.2.6) \quad &= \int_{G(F)} f(g) I_P^G(\sigma)(g) e^{\langle \lambda, H_G(g) \rangle} \mathbb{1}_\alpha(g) dg \\ &= q^{-\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle} \text{HP}(f)(M, \sigma). \end{aligned}$$

This shows

$$\text{BH}(C_c^\infty(G(F))_\alpha) \leq \text{Poly}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind},0}(G))_\alpha.$$

It follows from (6.2.3) and Theorem 6.3 that this inclusion is an equality. The explicit extension is now clear from Corollary 6.5. \square

6.3. The extended Bernstein center. Let

$$\begin{aligned} (6.3.1) \quad \mathfrak{Z}(G) &:= \text{End}_{G(F) \times G(F)}(C_c^\infty(G(F))) \\ \mathfrak{Z}^1(G) &:= \text{End}_{G(F)^1 \times G(F)^1}(C_c^\infty(G(F))). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathfrak{Z}(G)$ is the Bernstein center. We refer to $\mathfrak{Z}^1(G)$ as the **extended Bernstein center**.

Definition 6.7. A function $T : \text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is **polynomial** if for all $(M, \sigma) \in \widetilde{\text{Temp}}_{\text{Ind}}(G)$, the map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im } \Lambda_M &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ \chi &\longmapsto T(M, \sigma \otimes \chi) \end{aligned}$$

is the restriction of an algebraic map $\Lambda_M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Here we give Λ_M the structure of the \mathbb{C} -points of a torus over \mathbb{C} as discussed above (2.4.4). We denote by

$$(6.3.2) \quad \text{Poly}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind},0}(G), \mathbb{C})$$

the space of polynomial functions on $\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind},0}(G)$. Define $\text{Poly}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind},0}(G), \mathbb{C})_\alpha$ and $\text{FL}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind},0}(G), \mathbb{C})$ via the natural analogues of (6.2.2) and (6.2.4), respectively. Then the natural analogue of (6.2.3) holds.

For each

$$(f, \alpha, T) \in C_c^\infty(G(F)) \times \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^*(G), \mathbb{Z}) \times \text{FL}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind},0}(G), \mathbb{C})$$

define

$$(6.3.3) \quad m_\alpha T(f) := \text{BH}^{-1}(e_\alpha \text{TBH}(f)).$$

Proposition 6.8. *One has $m_\alpha T \in \mathfrak{Z}^1(G)$ for all $(\alpha, T) \in \text{Hom}(X^*(G), \mathbb{Z}) \times \text{FL}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind},0}(G), \mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. If $f \in C_c^\infty(G(F))$ then $e_\alpha \text{TBH}(f) \in \text{Poly}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind},0}(G))_\alpha$. Therefore, by Lemma 6.6

$$m_\alpha T(f) \in C_c^\infty(G(F))_\alpha \leq C_c^\infty(G(F)),$$

so $m_\alpha T \in \text{End}_{G(F)^1 \times G(F)^1}(C_c^\infty(G(F)))$. One can check directly that $m_\alpha T$ is $G(F)^1 \times G(F)^1$ -invariant. \square

6.4. $X^*(G)$ -eigendecomposition of $\mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$.

Lemma 6.9. *Let $K' \leq G(F)$ be an open compact subgroup. For $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F)//K')$ and $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^*(G), \mathbb{Z})$, one has $f \mathbb{1}_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(G(F)//K')$. Moreover,*

$$f = \sum_{\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^*(G), \mathbb{Z})} f \mathbb{1}_\alpha$$

where the sum is convergent in the Fréchet topology of $\mathcal{C}(G(F)//K')$.

Proof. If $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^*(G), \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ then the two assertions of the lemma are trivial, so assume $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^*(G), \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$.

The first assertion follows directly from the definition of $\mathcal{C}(G(F)//K')$. To prove the second assertion, let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $d > 0$. Let S be a finite subset of $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^*(G), \mathbb{Z})$. If $\alpha \neq \alpha'$ then $f \mathbb{1}_\alpha$ and $f \mathbb{1}_{\alpha'}$ have disjoint support. Thus

$$p_d \left(\sum_{\alpha \notin S} f \mathbb{1}_\alpha \right) = \sup_{\alpha \notin S} \sup_{x \in G(F)} |f \mathbb{1}_\alpha(x)| \Xi_G(x)^{-1} \sigma_G(x)^d$$

where p_d is the seminorm (2.7.3). Trivially $p_{d+1}(f \mathbb{1}_\alpha) \leq p_{d+1}(f)$, so

$$|f \mathbb{1}_\alpha(x)| \leq p_{d+1}(f) \mathbb{1}_\alpha(x) \Xi(x) \sigma_G(x)^{-d-1}$$

for all $x \in G(F)$. Hence

$$p_d \left(\sum_{\alpha \notin S} f \mathbb{1}_\alpha \right) \leq p_{d+1}(f) \sup_{\alpha \notin S} \sup_{x \in G(F)} \mathbb{1}_\alpha(x) \sigma_G(x)^{-1}.$$

By the Cartan decomposition, this is dominated by

$$p_{d+1}(f) \sup_{\alpha \notin S} \sup_{\lambda \in X_*(A_0)} \mathbb{1}_\alpha(\lambda(\varpi)) \sigma_G(\lambda(\varpi))^{-1}.$$

Choose a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $X_*(A_0)_\mathbb{R}$. Then $\sigma_G(\lambda(\varpi)) \asymp_G (1 + \|\lambda\|)$. We can choose $S = S_\varepsilon$ large enough that if $\mathbb{1}_\alpha(\lambda(\varpi)) = 1$ for some $\alpha \notin S$ then $\|\lambda\| \geq \varepsilon^{-1}$. Hence

$$p_d \left(\sum_{\alpha \notin S_\varepsilon} f \mathbb{1}_\alpha \right) \ll_{G,f} \varepsilon.$$

\square

For $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^*(G), \mathbb{Z})$, let

$$\mathcal{C}(G(F))_{\alpha} := \mathcal{C}(G(F)) \mathbb{1}_{\alpha}$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))_{\alpha} := \{T \in \mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)) \mid T(M, \sigma_{\lambda}) = q^{(\lambda, \alpha)} T(M, \sigma) \text{ for all } \lambda \in i\mathfrak{a}_G^*\}.$$

Lemma 6.10. *The map HP restricts to an isomorphism*

$$\text{HP} : \mathcal{C}(G(F))_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))_{\alpha}$$

for $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^*(G), \mathbb{Z})$. For $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$, one has

$$\text{HP}(f) = \sum_{\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^*(G), \mathbb{Z})} \text{HP}(\mathbb{1}_{\alpha} f),$$

the sum being convergent in the topology of $\mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$.

Proof. The computation (6.2.6) shows that $\text{HP}(\mathcal{C}(G(F))_{\alpha}) \leq \mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))_{\alpha}$. Then

$$\text{HP}\left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \mathcal{C}(G(F))_{\alpha}\right) \leq \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))_{\alpha}.$$

Taking the closure gives

$$\mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)) = \text{HP}(\mathcal{C}(G(F))) = \overline{\text{HP}\left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \mathcal{C}(G(F))_{\alpha}\right)} \subseteq \overline{\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))_{\alpha}}$$

and hence

$$(6.4.1) \quad \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))_{\alpha} \leq \mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$$

is dense. Since each $\mathcal{C}(G(F))_{\alpha}$ is closed, it follows that

$$\text{HP}(\mathcal{C}(G(F))_{\alpha}) = \mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))_{\alpha}.$$

The last statement follows from Lemma 6.9 and the continuity of HP. □

For $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^*(G), \mathbb{Z})$, denote by

$$e_{\alpha} : \mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))_{\alpha}$$

the projection. For $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$ and $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^*(G), \mathbb{Z})$, one has

$$(6.4.2) \quad \text{HP}(\mathbb{1}_{\alpha} f) = e_{\alpha} \text{HP}(f).$$

This is compatible with the notation (6.2.5) in the sense that for $f \in C_c^{\infty}(G(F))$ one has

$$(6.4.3) \quad e_{\alpha} \text{BH}(f) = (e_{\alpha} \text{HP}(f))|_{\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}, 0}(G)}.$$

To proceed further it is helpful to recall some facts on reductive monoids.

6.5. Reductive monoids. We briefly review the Ngô's construction of L -monoids from [Ngô20, §5]. Let F be a local field of characteristic 0, and let G be a connected reductive group over F . Let $\rho : {}^L G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_V(\mathbb{C})$ be a finite dimensional representation of ${}^L G$ that factors through ${}^L G \rightarrow \widehat{G}(\mathbb{C}) \rtimes \mathrm{Gal}(E/F)$ for some finite Galois extension E/F . We make this assumption because we have worked with the Weil form of the L -group in this paper.

We recall the construction of M_ρ . One has

$$(6.5.1) \quad \rho|_{Z_G^\circ} = \chi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \chi_t$$

for some collection of characters $\chi_i \in X^*(Z_G^\circ)$, possibly occurring with multiplicity. We assume that

$$(6.5.2) \quad \chi_i \neq 1 \text{ for all } i \text{ and the } \chi_i \text{ generate a strongly convex cone } C_{Z_G^\circ}(\rho) \subset X^*(Z_G^\circ)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Here a strictly convex cone is a convex cone containing no line.

Fix an algebraic closure \overline{F} of F , and let $G_{\overline{F}}$ be the base change of G to \overline{F} . Let $T \leq G$ be a maximal torus and B a Borel containing $T_{\overline{F}}$. Let $\Omega(\rho) \subseteq X^*(\widehat{T}) = X_*(T_{\overline{F}})$ be the convex span of the weights of ρ and let $C(\rho) \subseteq X_*(T_{\overline{F}})_{\mathbb{R}}$ denote the cone generated by $\Omega(\rho)$. As explained in [Ngô20, §5], assumption (6.5.2) implies that $C(\rho)$ is strictly convex. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \in X^*(T_{\overline{F}})$ be a set of elements lying in the positive Weyl chamber with respect to B such that their $W(G, T)(F)$ -orbits generate $\Omega(\rho)^\vee := \{\alpha \in X^*(T_{\overline{F}}) : \langle \alpha, \Omega(\rho) \rangle \geq 0\}$. Let $\omega_{\alpha_i} : G_{\overline{F}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{V_{\alpha_i}}$ denote a representation of highest weight α_i , and let

$$(6.5.3) \quad \omega_\rho : G_{\overline{F}} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathrm{End}_{V_{\alpha_i}}$$

be the canonical map.

By our assumption that the characteristic of F is zero, claim (a') in the proof of [Wan17, Proposition 2.3.2] implies the following:

Lemma 6.11. *The closure of the image of ω_ρ in $\bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathrm{End}_{V_{\alpha_i}}$ is normal.* \square

In [Ngô20, §5], the monoid $M_{\rho, \overline{F}}$ is defined to be the normalization of the closure of the image of ω_ρ . By Lemma 6.11, in our setting no normalization is necessary. In [Ngô20, Proposition 5.1] it is proved that $M_{\rho, \overline{F}}$ admits an F -model independent of the choice of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$; hence $M_{\rho, \overline{F}}$ is independent of the choice of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$. We will proceed slightly differently to define our model so that the whole representation ω_ρ descends. In any case by loc. cit. the unit group of $M_{\rho, \overline{F}}$ is $G_{\overline{F}}$ and the map $G_{\overline{F}} \rightarrow M_{\rho, \overline{F}}$ is an open immersion.

Lemma 6.12. *For an appropriate choice of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ the morphism ω_ρ descends to a morphism*

$$\omega_\rho : G \longrightarrow E_{\omega_\rho} := \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{D}} E_{\mathfrak{D}}$$

where each $E_{\mathfrak{D}}$ is an irreducible representation of $G \times G$, with $E_{\mathfrak{D}} \cong E_{\mathfrak{D}'}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{D}'$.

Proof. There is a natural action of $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ on $T_{\overline{F}}$ and hence $X^*(T_{\overline{F}})$. We consider the twisted action

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \times X^*(T_{\overline{F}}) &\longrightarrow X^*(T_{\overline{F}}) \\ (\sigma, \nu) &\longmapsto w(\sigma \circ \nu)\end{aligned}$$

where $w \in W(\widehat{G}, \widehat{T})$ is the unique element such that the automorphism $\nu \mapsto w(\sigma \circ \nu)$ preserves the positive Weyl chamber with respect to B . This is the same action as that given in [Tit71, §3.1].

As mentioned above without changing $M_{\rho, \overline{F}}$ we may enlarge $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$. From this fact and the definition of $M_{\rho, \overline{F}}$ as a closure we can even enlarge $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$ to be a multiset, or in other words allow multiplicities greater than 1 in the representation ω_ρ . We first enlarge $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$ so that it is a union of $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ -orbits. For each orbit \mathfrak{D} choose $\alpha_i \in \mathfrak{D}$ and let F_i be the fixed field of the stabilizer $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)_{\alpha_i}$. This group is also the stabilizer of

$$(\alpha_i, w_0(-\alpha_i)) \in X^*(T_{\overline{F}}) \times X^*(T_{\overline{F}})$$

where w_0 is the long Weyl element; this is the highest weight of $\text{End}_{V_{\alpha_i}}$ as a $G \times G$ representation.

By [Tit71, Théorème 7.2] one can attach a division algebra D_i over F_i to $(\alpha_i, w_0(-\alpha_i))$ such that

$$\text{Res}_{F_i/F} \text{Res}_{D_i/F_i} \text{End}_{V_{\alpha_i}}$$

descends to an irreducible representation of $G \times G$. By loc. cit., up to isomorphism over F , this representation does not depend on the choice of α_i in the $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ -orbit so we denote it by $E_{\mathfrak{D}}$.

Thus we choose the multiset $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$ so that it is a union of $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ -orbits, where the multiplicity of an orbit $\mathfrak{D} \ni \alpha_i$ is $\dim_{F_i} D_i$. Then the representation descends as claimed. \square

We let M_ρ be the closure of $\omega_\rho(G)$ in E_{ω_ρ} . We refer to it as the **L -monoid** attached to ρ . The notation is consistent, as the base change of M_ρ to \overline{F} is $M_{\rho, \overline{F}}$. This model may be identified with the model constructed by Ngô by the uniqueness statement in [Ngô20, Proposition 5.1].

Lemma 6.13. *One has $\text{codim}(M_\rho - M_\rho^{\text{sm}}, M_\rho) \geq 2$.*

Proof. By Lemma 6.11 M_ρ is normal, so it is regular in codimension 1 [Sta21, Tag 0345]. Over a field of characteristic zero regular is equivalent to smooth [Sta21, Tag 0B8X]. \square

We have $X_*(G/G^{\text{der}}) = X_*(G_{\overline{F}}/G_{\overline{F}}^{\text{der}})^{\text{Gal}_F}$. Hence the cone $C_{Z_{\overline{G}}^{\circ}} \subset X^*(Z_{\overline{G}})_{\mathbb{R}}$ defines a strictly convex cone

$$C_{Z_{\overline{G}}^{\circ}}(\rho)^{\text{Gal}_F} \subset X_*(G/G^{\text{der}})_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

The canonical isomorphism $X_*(A_G)_\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}(X^*(G), \mathbb{Z})_\mathbb{R} =: \mathfrak{a}_G$ and the map $A_G \rightarrow G/G^{\text{der}}$ induce an isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{a}_G \xrightarrow{\sim} X_*(A_G)_\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\sim} X_*(G/G^{\text{der}}).$$

We denote by

$$(6.5.4) \quad C_{A_G}(\rho) \subset \mathfrak{a}_G$$

the inverse image of $C_{Z_G^\circ(\rho)}^{\text{Gal}_F}$ under this isomorphism.

6.6. The Schwartz space. We continue to assume that ρ satisfies condition (6.5.2). We then define

$$(6.6.1) \quad \mathcal{S}_\rho(G(F)) := \mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(G(F)) \cap C_{ac}^\infty(G(F)).$$

We refer to this space as the ρ -**Schwartz space of $G(F)$** .

Remark 6.14. The definition (6.6.1) still makes sense if ρ does not satisfy (6.5.2), but the resulting space has unexpected properties. For example, if $G = \text{SL}_2$ and $\rho : {}^L\text{SL}_2 \rightarrow \text{GL}_V$ is any nontrivial representation then $b_\rho \notin C_{ac}^\infty(G(F)) = C_c^\infty(\text{SL}_2(F))$.

Let

$$(6.6.2) \quad \mathcal{S}_\rho(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind},0}(G))$$

be the set of all sections $T : \text{Temp}_{\text{Ind},0}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{HS}^{\text{sm}}$ such that

- (1) there exists $(T_\alpha)_\alpha \in \text{FL}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind},0}(G))$ such that

$$T = \sum_{\alpha \in \text{Hom}_\mathbb{Z}(X^*(G), \mathbb{Z})} T_\alpha$$

and the sum converges in $\mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$, and

- (2) the section T is the restriction of an element of $\mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$.

Lemma 6.15. *One has an injection of \mathbb{C} -vector spaces*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_\rho(G(F)) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_\rho(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind},0}(G)) \\ f &\longmapsto \sum_{\alpha \in \text{Hom}_\mathbb{Z}(X^*(G), \mathbb{Z})} \text{BH}(f \mathbb{1}_\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Lemma 6.10, for $f \in \mathcal{C}(G(F))$ one has

$$\text{HP}(f) = \sum_{\alpha \in \text{Hom}_\mathbb{Z}(X^*(G), \mathbb{Z})} \text{HP}(f \mathbb{1}_\alpha)$$

where the sum on the right converges in $\mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$. In particular, on each connected component of $\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)$ the sum converges absolutely. Since $\text{BH}(f \mathbb{1}_\alpha) = \text{HP}(f \mathbb{1}_\alpha)|_{\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind},0}(G)}$ we can now apply Lemma 6.6 to see that the map in the lemma is well-defined. Since

$$\overline{\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))_\alpha} = \mathcal{C}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G))$$

by (6.4.1) we can recover the T_α from T in (1). Hence injectivity follows from Lemma 6.6. \square

We now prepare to prove that the Fourier transform preserves $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G(F))$. Recall $C_{A_G}(\rho)$, defined as in (6.5.4).

Lemma 6.16. *One has*

$$(6.6.3) \quad \begin{aligned} L_\rho|_{\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind},0}(G)} &= \sum_{\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^*(G), \mathbb{Z}) \cap C_{A_G}(\rho)} L_{\rho, \alpha} \\ L_\rho^\vee|_{\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind},0}(G)} &= \sum_{\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^*(G), \mathbb{Z}) \cap -C_{A_G}(\rho)} L_{\rho, \alpha}^\vee \end{aligned}$$

where $L_{\rho, \alpha}, L_{\rho, \alpha}^\vee \in \text{Poly}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind},0}(G), \mathbb{C})_\alpha$ and both sums converge absolutely. Moreover $\varepsilon_\rho \in \text{Poly}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind},0}(G), \mathbb{C})$.

Proof. Write $\rho = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{t_0} \rho_i$ where each $\rho_i : {}^L G \rightarrow \text{GL}_{V_{\rho_i}}(\mathbb{C})$ is an irreducible tempered representation. Then, after possibly reindexing, $\rho_i|_{Z_G^\circ} = \chi_i I_{V_{\rho_i}}$ where the characters χ_i are as in (6.5.1). In particular for $\lambda \in i\mathfrak{a}_G^*$ we have

$$(6.6.4) \quad \rho \circ |\cdot|^\lambda = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{t_0} |\cdot|^{\langle \chi_i, \lambda \rangle} \otimes \rho_i,$$

where we view λ as an element of $X_*(Z_G^\circ)_{\mathbb{C}}$ as in (3.0.1).

Assume $\pi = I_P^G(\sigma)$ with $\sigma \in \Pi_0(M)$. Moreover let $\lambda \in i\mathfrak{a}_G^*$. Using (LLC5) we have

$$(6.6.5) \quad \rho_i|_{L_M} \circ \text{LL}(\sigma_\lambda) = \rho_i|_{L_M} \circ \text{LL}(\sigma)|\cdot|^\lambda = |\cdot|^{\langle \chi_i, \lambda \rangle} \otimes \left(\bigoplus_{n=0}^m \phi_{i,n}(\sigma) \otimes \text{Sym}^n \right)$$

where each $\phi_{i,n}(\sigma) : W_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_{V_n}(\mathbb{C})$ is a representation of W_F . Let $\text{Fr} \in W_F$ be a lift of the Frobenius element and $\mathcal{I}_F < W_F$ the inertia subgroup. Then

$$\begin{aligned} L_\rho(\pi_\lambda) &= \prod_{n=0}^m \prod_{i=1}^{t_0} \det(1 - q^{-(n-1)/2 - \langle \chi_i, \lambda \rangle} \phi_{i,n}(\sigma)|_{V_n^{\mathcal{I}_F}}(\text{Fr})) \\ &= \prod_{n=0}^m \prod_{i=1}^{t_0} \sum_{k_i \geq 0} \text{Tr}(\text{Sym}^{k_i}(\phi_{i,n}(\sigma)|_{V_n^{\mathcal{I}_F}}(\text{Fr}))) q^{-\frac{k_i(n-1)}{2} - k_i \langle \chi_i, \lambda \rangle}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for $(k_1, \dots, k_{t_0}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{t_0}$, we define

$$L_{\rho, \sum_{i=1}^{t_0} k_i \chi_i}(\pi_\lambda) := \prod_{n=0}^m \prod_{i=1}^{t_0} \text{Tr}(\text{Sym}^{k_i}(\phi_{i,n}(\sigma)|_{V_n^{\mathcal{I}_F}}(\text{Fr}))) q^{-\frac{k_i(n-1)}{2} - k_i \langle \chi_i, \lambda \rangle},$$

and moreover define $L_{\rho, \alpha} = 0$ if α is not of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{t_0} k_i \chi_i$, for $k_1, \dots, k_{t_0} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Since $C_{A_G}(\rho)$ is a convex cone, we then obtain the first identity of the lemma.

For $L_\rho(\pi^\vee)$ we use (LLC5) and (LLC6), obtaining the isomorphisms

$$\rho_i|_{L_M} \circ \text{LL}((\sigma_\lambda)^\vee) \cong \rho_i|_{L_M} \circ \text{LL}(\sigma^\vee)|\cdot|^{-\lambda} \cong (\rho_i|_{L_M} \circ \text{LL}(\sigma))^\vee \otimes |\cdot|^{-\langle \chi_i, \lambda \rangle}.$$

Arguing as before we obtain the assertions involving $L_{\rho, \alpha}^\vee$.

Since ε -factors are additive, using (LLC5) we have

$$(6.6.6) \quad \varepsilon_\rho(\pi_\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{t_0} \varepsilon(\tfrac{1}{2}, \pi_\lambda, \rho_i, \psi) = \prod_{i=1}^{t_0} \varepsilon(\tfrac{1}{2} + \langle \chi_i, \lambda \rangle, \pi, \rho_i, \psi).$$

We can now use [GR10, (11)] to relate these ε -factors to ε -factors of representations of W_F . The well-known behavior of ε -factors of representations of W_F under twisting [Tat79, (3.4.5)] implies the last assertion of the lemma. \square

Define $\mathfrak{Z}^1(G)$ as in (6.3.1).

Lemma 6.17. *Let $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^*(G), \mathbb{Z})$. There are unique elements $m_{\alpha}\varepsilon_{\rho}L_{\rho}^{\vee}, m_{\alpha}L_{\rho} \in \mathfrak{Z}^1(G)$ with the property that*

$$\pi(m_{\alpha}\varepsilon_{\rho}L_{\rho}^{\vee}(f)) = e_{\alpha}\varepsilon_{\rho}(\pi)L_{\rho}(\pi^{\vee})\pi(f) \text{ and } \pi(m_{\alpha}L_{\rho}(f)) = e_{\alpha}L_{\rho}(\pi)\pi(f)$$

for all $(\pi, f) \in \text{Temp}_{\text{Ind},0}(G) \times C_c^{\infty}(G(F))$. Moreover, there is an element $m_{\alpha}\gamma_{\rho}$ of $\mathfrak{Z}^1(G)$ such that

$$(6.6.7) \quad \pi(m_{\alpha}\gamma_{\rho}f) = e_{\alpha}\gamma_{\rho}(\pi)\pi(f)$$

for all $(\pi, f) \in \text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G) \times C_c^{\infty}(G(F))$.

Proof. By Lemma 6.16 and Proposition 6.8

$$m_{\alpha}L_{\rho} = m_{\alpha}(L_{\rho,\alpha'})_{\alpha'} \in \mathfrak{Z}^1(G).$$

One constructs $m_{\alpha}\varepsilon_{\rho}L_{\rho}^{\vee} \in \mathfrak{Z}^1(G)$ by an analogous argument. Thus we are left with proving the last claim.

Since $m_{\alpha}\varepsilon_{\rho}L_{\rho}^{\vee} \in \mathfrak{Z}^1(G)$ and L_{ρ}^{-1} is a polynomial function on $\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind},0}(G)$ we have that $m_{\alpha}\gamma_{\rho} \in \mathfrak{Z}^1(G)$. Thus the identity (6.6.7) holds if $\pi \in \text{Temp}_{\text{Ind},0}(G)$. Now assume that $\pi \in \text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)$ is irreducible. We can choose a semi-standard parabolic subgroup P with semi-standard Levi M and a (possibly nonunitary) supercuspidal representation σ of $M(F)$ such that $\pi \hookrightarrow I_P^G(\sigma)$. By Proposition 3.3 we then have $\gamma_{\rho}(\pi) = \gamma_{\rho|_{L_M}}(\sigma)$, so we deduce (6.6.7) for π . Since the subset of irreducible representations in $\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)$ is dense [Ren10, Théorème VI.8.5] the identity (6.6.7) follows for general $\pi \in \text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)$ by continuity of both sides in π . \square

Lemma 6.18. *For $f \in C_c^{\infty}(G(F))$, one has $\mathcal{F}_{\rho}(f) \in \mathcal{S}_{\rho}(G(F))$. More precisely, for $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^*(G), \mathbb{Z})$, one has $e_{\alpha}\gamma_{\rho}\text{BH}(f) \in \text{Poly}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind},0}(G))_{\alpha}$ and*

$$\mathcal{F}_{\rho}(f)^{\vee} = \sum_{\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^*(G), \mathbb{Z})} \text{BH}^{-1}(e_{\alpha}\gamma_{\rho}\text{BH}(f)),$$

where the sum on the right converges in $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$.

Proof. The last assertion of Lemma 6.17 implies that $e_{\alpha}\gamma_{\rho}\text{BH}(f) \in \text{Poly}(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind},0}(G))_{\alpha}$.

By the Harish-Chandra Plancherel formula (Theorem 2.6) and Lemma 4.3 the operator $f \mapsto \mathbb{1}_{\alpha}\mathcal{F}_{\rho}(f)^{\vee}$ is the unique operator on $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ such that

$$(6.6.8) \quad \pi(\mathbb{1}_{\alpha}\mathcal{F}_{\rho}(f)^{\vee}) = e_{\alpha}\gamma_{\rho}(\pi)\pi(f)$$

for all $\pi \in \text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G)$. Since $C_c^{\infty}(G(F))$ is dense in $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ it is also the unique operator that satisfies (6.6.8) for $(\pi, f) \in \text{Temp}_{\text{Ind}}(G) \times C_c^{\infty}(G(F))$.

In the proof of Lemma 6.17 the operator $m_\alpha \gamma_\rho$ is constructed using the general procedure in Proposition 6.8. In particular

$$(6.6.9) \quad \mathbb{1}_\alpha \mathcal{F}_\rho(f)^\vee = \text{BH}^{-1}(e_\alpha \gamma_\rho \text{BH}(f))$$

for $f \in C_c^\infty(G(F))$. We deduce in particular that $\mathcal{F}_\rho(f) \in C_{ac}^\infty(G(F))$. Since $\mathcal{F}_\rho(f) \in \mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(G(F))$ by lemmas 5.3 and 5.4 we deduce $\mathcal{F}_\rho(f) \in \mathcal{S}_\rho(G(F))$.

By Lemma 6.9 and (6.6.9),

$$\mathcal{F}_\rho(f)^\vee = \sum_{\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^*(G), \mathbb{Z})} \mathbb{1}_\alpha \mathcal{F}_\rho(f)^\vee = \sum_{\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^*(G), \mathbb{Z})} \text{BH}^{-1}(e_\alpha \gamma_\rho \text{BH}(f))$$

where the sum on the right converges in $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$. \square

Lemma 6.19. *Let $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^*(G), \mathbb{Z})$. If $T \in \mathcal{S}_\rho(\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind},0}(G))$ then $e_\alpha \gamma_\rho e_{\alpha'} T = 0$ for all but finitely many $\alpha' \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^*(G), \mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof. The section $L_\rho^{-1}T$ is polynomial. Thus by Lemma 6.16 there are only finitely many $\alpha' \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^*(G), \mathbb{Z})$ that are not in $C_{A_G}(\rho)$ such that $e_{\alpha'} T \neq 0$. Thus it suffices to show that $e_\alpha \gamma_\rho e_{\alpha'} T$ vanishes for all but finitely many $\alpha' \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^*(G), \mathbb{Z}) \cap C_{A_G}(\rho)$.

Let $(M, \sigma) \in \text{Temp}_{\text{Ind},0}(G)$. The sum defining $L_\rho^\vee(\sigma_\lambda)$ converges absolutely for $\lambda \in i\mathfrak{a}_M$. Thus by Fourier inversion

$$(6.6.10) \quad e_\alpha \gamma_\rho e_{\alpha'} T(M, \sigma) = \int_{i\mathfrak{a}_G^*/i\mathfrak{a}_G^\vee} q^{-(\alpha, \lambda)} \varepsilon_\rho(\sigma_\lambda) \frac{L_\rho^\vee(\sigma_\lambda)}{L_\rho(\sigma_\lambda)} e_{\alpha'} T(M, \sigma_\lambda) d\lambda.$$

We observe that $e_{\alpha'} T(M, \sigma_\lambda)|_{i\mathfrak{a}_G^*} = q^{(\alpha' - \alpha, \lambda)} c_{M, \sigma}$ for some operator $c_{M, \sigma}$ that is independent of λ . Hence (6.6.10) vanishes unless

$$(6.6.11) \quad \int_{i\mathfrak{a}_G^*/i\mathfrak{a}_G^\vee} q^{(\alpha' - \alpha, \lambda)} \varepsilon_\rho(\sigma_\lambda) \frac{L_\rho^\vee(\sigma_\lambda)}{L_\rho(\sigma_\lambda)} d\lambda$$

is nonzero. Since $\varepsilon_\rho(\sigma_\lambda) L_\rho(\sigma_\lambda)^{-1}$ is a polynomial in λ the expansion (6.6.3) implies that (6.6.11) is zero for all but finitely many $\alpha' \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^*(G), \mathbb{Z}) \cap C_{A_G}(\rho)$. \square

Theorem 6.20. *The Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}_\rho : \mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(G(F)) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(G(F))$ preserves $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G(F))$.*

Proof. We already know that \mathcal{F}_ρ preserves $\mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(G(F))$ by Lemma 5.3. Thus it suffices to show that for $f \in \mathcal{S}_\rho(G(F))$ and arbitrary $\alpha \in \text{Hom}(X^*(G), \mathbb{Z})$ one has $\mathbb{1}_\alpha \mathcal{F}_\rho(f)^\vee \in C_c^\infty(G(F))$. For any $f \in \mathcal{S}_\rho(G(F))$ the sum $\sum_{\alpha'} f \mathbb{1}_{\alpha'}$ converges to f in $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ by Lemma 6.9 and \mathcal{F}_ρ is a continuous automorphism of $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$. We therefore have

$$\mathbb{1}_\alpha \mathcal{F}_\rho(f)^\vee = \mathbb{1}_\alpha \mathcal{F}_\rho \left(\sum_{\alpha' \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^*(G), \mathbb{Z})} \mathbb{1}_{\alpha'} f \right)^\vee = \sum_{\alpha' \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^*(G), \mathbb{Z})} \mathbb{1}_\alpha \mathcal{F}_\rho(\mathbb{1}_{\alpha'} f)^\vee.$$

By Lemma 6.18 this is

$$(6.6.12) \quad \sum_{\alpha' \in \text{Hom}(X^*(G), \mathbb{Z})} \text{BH}^{-1}(e_\alpha \gamma_\rho \text{BH}(\mathbb{1}_{\alpha'} f)).$$

Now $e_\alpha \gamma_\rho \text{BH}(\mathbb{1}_{\alpha'} f) = e_\alpha \gamma_\rho \text{HP}(\mathbb{1}_{\alpha'} f)|_{\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind},0}(G)} = e_\alpha \gamma_\rho e_{\alpha'} \text{HP}(f)|_{\text{Temp}_{\text{Ind},0}(G)}$. Thus by definition of $\mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(G(F))$ and Lemma 6.19 the sum over α' in (6.6.12) has finite support. This implies $\mathbb{1}_\alpha \mathcal{F}_\rho(F)^\vee \in C_c^\infty(G(F))$. \square

Proposition 6.21. *Assume that G is unramified over F with hyperspecial subgroup $K < G(F)$. One has $b_\rho \in \mathcal{S}_\rho(G(F))^{K \times K}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.6, $b_\rho \in \mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(G(F))$. Thus we just have to prove that $b_\rho \in C_{ac}^\infty(G(F))$. This is equivalent to the assertion that $\mathbb{1}_\alpha b_\rho \in C_c^\infty(G(F))$ for all $\alpha \in \text{Hom}(X^*(G), \mathbb{Z})$.

The Haar measure on $G(F)$ is normalized so that K has measure 1. Consider the operator $m_\alpha L_\rho \in \mathfrak{Z}^1(G)$ of Lemma 6.17. We have

$$\pi(m_\alpha L_\rho(\mathbb{1}_K)) = \begin{cases} e_\alpha L_\rho(\pi) & \text{if } \pi \text{ is unramified} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

In other words $\mathbb{1}_\alpha b_\rho = m_\alpha L_\rho(\mathbb{1}_K)$. Since $m_\alpha L_\rho \in \mathfrak{Z}^1(G)$ one has $m_\alpha L_\rho(\mathbb{1}_K) \in C_c^\infty(G(F))$. \square

The following conjecture is important for global applications:

Conjecture 6.22. *The support of any $f \in \mathcal{S}_\rho(G(F))$ is contained in a compact subset of $M_\rho(F)$.*

If one wants to construct modulation groups as in [GGH⁺25], then one must prove that $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G(F))$ is local as defined in loc. cit. This means that the following conjecture is valid:

Conjecture 6.23. *If $(\varphi, f) \in C^\infty(M_\rho(F)) \times \mathcal{S}_\rho(G(F))$ then $\varphi f \in \mathcal{S}_\rho(G(F))$.*

7. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.6

In this section we collect our previous work to prove Theorem 1.6. Let us fix a nontrivial additive character ψ of F and a tempered representation $\rho : {}^L G \rightarrow \text{GL}_V(\mathbb{C})$. We assume that we have an isomorphism $d : G/G^{\text{der}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{G}_m$ such that

$$\rho \circ d^\vee : \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow \text{GL}_{V_\rho}(\mathbb{C})$$

satisfies $(\rho \circ d^\vee)(z) = z \cdot \text{id}_{V_\rho}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^\times$.

In §4 we defined the ρ -Fourier transform

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{\rho, \psi} : \mathcal{C}(G) &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}(G), \\ f &\mapsto (\cdot)^\vee \circ \text{HP}^{-1} \circ \gamma_{\rho, \psi} \text{HP}(f), \end{aligned}$$

which depends on both ρ and ψ . Unless otherwise specified, we suppress the dependence on ψ from the notation. We recall that Theorem 1.3 holds with this definition of the Fourier transform by Theorem 4.7. This theorem also shows that any subspace of $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ that is

stable under the Fourier transform also satisfies Conjecture 1.1. Lemma 4.3 implies that for any $(\pi, f) \in \text{Temp}(G) \times \mathcal{C}(G(F))$ one has

$$\pi(\mathcal{F}_\rho(f)^\vee) = \gamma(\tfrac{1}{2}, \pi, \rho, \psi)\pi(f).$$

Let us now consider the ρ -Schwartz space of $G(F)$, which we recall is defined as

$$\mathcal{S}_\rho(G(F)) := \mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(G(F)) \cap C_{ac}^\infty(G(F)),$$

where $\mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(G(F))$ and $C_{ac}^\infty(G(F))$ were defined in definitions 5.2 and 6.1 respectively. This is a subspace of $\mathcal{C}(G(F))$ which, by Theorem 6.20, is stable under the Fourier transform \mathcal{F}_ρ . Consequently, the space $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G(F))$ satisfies Conjecture 1.1.

We now show that the space $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G(F))$ also satisfies Conjecture 1.5. For any tempered representation π of $G(F)$, we denote by $\mathcal{C}(\pi)$ the space of smooth matrix coefficients of π . Given $f \in \mathcal{S}_\rho(G(F))$ and $c \in \mathcal{C}(\pi)$, we consider the local zeta integral

$$Z(s, f, c) := \int_{G(F)} f(g) |d(g)|^s c(g) dg,$$

whenever the integral converges absolutely. Note that

$$|d(g)|^s = e^{\langle s\lambda, H_G(g) \rangle}$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{GC}^*$. Since $f \in \mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(G(F))$, Corollary 5.11 implies that the zeta integral $Z(s, f, c)$ converges for $\text{Re}(s)$ sufficiently large. Proposition 5.9 shows that $Z(s, f, c)$ extends meromorphically to all s and that

$$\frac{Z(s, f, c)}{L(\tfrac{1}{2} + s\mu(\lambda), \pi, \rho)},$$

is a holomorphic as a function of s , where $\mu : \mathfrak{a}_{GC}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the linear functional characterized by the identity

$$\rho \circ |\cdot|^\lambda = |\cdot|^{\mu(\lambda)} I_{V_\rho},$$

Here $|\cdot|^\lambda : W'_F \rightarrow {}^L G$ is the L -parameter defined in (3.0.2). Moreover, Proposition 5.9 also shows that

$$Z(-s, \mathcal{F}_\rho(f), c^\vee) = \gamma(\tfrac{1}{2} + s\mu(\lambda), \pi, \rho) Z(s, f, c).$$

By our assumption on d , it follows that $\mu(\lambda) = 1$, confirming (S1).

When F is non-Archimedean, Proposition 6.21 shows that the basic function b_ρ , constructed in Definition 4.15, belongs to $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G(F))^{K \times K}$. By Lemma 4.16, it is invariant under the Fourier transform \mathcal{F}_ρ . Furthermore, the definition of b_ρ implies that $Z(s, b_\rho, c)$ is non-zero only when π is unramified, and in this case

$$Z(s, b_\rho, c^\circ) = L(s, \pi, \rho),$$

when c° is the zonal spherical function. This establishes (S3) of Conjecture 1.5. The condition (S4) is valid by construction of $\mathcal{S}_\rho(G(F))$. When F is Archimedean, (S2) automatically follows from the definition of $\mathcal{S}_\rho^{\text{as}}(G(F))$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.6. \square

REFERENCES

- [AG08] A. Aizenbud and D. Gourevitch. Schwartz functions on Nash manifolds. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (5):Art. ID rnm 155, 37, 2008. [10](#)
- [Art] J. Arthur. Harmonic analysis of the Schwartz space on a reductive Lie group I & II. [7](#), [12](#), [13](#), [14](#)
- [AV16] J. Adams and D. A. Vogan. Contragredient representations and characterizing the local Langlands correspondence. *Am. J. Math.*, 138(3):657–682, 2016. [23](#)
- [BG14] K. Buzzard and T. Gee. The conjectural connections between automorphic representations and Galois representations. In *Automorphic forms and Galois representations. Vol. 1*, volume 414 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 135–187. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2014. [28](#)
- [BH06] C. J. Bushnell and G. Henniart. *The local Langlands conjecture for $GL(2)$* , volume 335 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006. [25](#), [47](#)
- [BK00] A. Braverman and D. Kazhdan. γ -functions of representations and lifting. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, (Special Volume, Part I):237–278, 2000. With an appendix by V. Vologodsky, GAFA 2000 (Tel Aviv, 1999). [2](#), [3](#)
- [BK18] A. Braverman and D. Kazhdan. Remarks on the asymptotic Hecke algebra. In *Lie groups, geometry, and representation theory*, volume 326 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 91–108. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2018. [4](#), [43](#)
- [Bor79] A. Borel. Automorphic L -functions. In *Automorphic forms, representations and L -functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 2*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, pages 27–61. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979. [17](#), [23](#), [27](#)
- [BP20] R. Beuzart-Plessis. A local trace formula for the Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for unitary groups: the Archimedean case. *Astérisque*, (418):ix+305, 2020. [9](#), [10](#), [11](#), [12](#), [13](#), [39](#)
- [Cas89] W. Casselman. Introduction to the Schwartz space of $\Gamma \backslash G$. *Canad. J. Math.*, 41(2):285–320, 1989. [10](#), [41](#)
- [Cas08] W. Casselman. Computations in real tori. In *Representation theory of real reductive Lie groups*, volume 472 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 137–151. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008. [25](#)
- [Del05] P. Delorme. Sur le théorème de Paley-Wiener d’Arthur. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 162(2):987–1029, 2005. [41](#), [42](#)
- [Del10] P. Delorme. Constant term of smooth H_ψ -spherical functions on a reductive p -adic group. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 362(2):933–955, 2010. [5](#)
- [FS21] L. Fargues and P. Scholze. Geometrization of the local Langlands correspondence. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2102.13459, February 2021. [17](#)
- [GGH⁺25] J. R. Getz, A. Gutiérrez Terradillos, F. Hosseini-Jafari, B. Hu, S. Lee, A. Slipper, M-H. Tomé, H. Yao, and A. Zhao. Modulation groups. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2510.23932, October 2025. [58](#)
- [GH24] J. R. Getz and H. Hahn. *An introduction to automorphic representations—with a view toward trace formulae*, volume 300 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, Cham, [2024] ©2024. [16](#), [18](#), [20](#), [37](#)
- [GJ72] R. Godement and H. Jacquet. *Zeta functions of simple algebras*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 260. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972. [23](#)
- [GL21] J. R. Getz and B. Liu. A refined Poisson summation formula for certain Braverman-Kazhdan spaces. *Sci. China Math.*, 64(6):1127–1156, 2021. [29](#)

- [GR10] B. H. Gross and M. Reeder. Arithmetic invariants of discrete Langlands parameters. *Duke Math. J.*, 154(3):431–508, 2010. [19](#), [56](#)
- [Gro53] A. Grothendieck. Sur certains espaces de fonctions holomorphes. I. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 192:35–64, 1953. [42](#)
- [Hei01] V. Heiermann. Une formule de Plancherel pour l’algèbre de Hecke d’un groupe réductif p -adique. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 76(3):388–415, 2001. [47](#)
- [Hen00] G. Henniart. Une preuve simple des conjectures de Langlands pour $GL(n)$ sur un corps p -adique. *Invent. Math.*, 139(2):439–455, 2000. [17](#)
- [HT01] M. Harris and R. Taylor. *The geometry and cohomology of some simple Shimura varieties*, volume 151 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2001. With an appendix by Vladimir G. Berkovich. [17](#)
- [Jac79] H. Jacquet. Principal L -functions of the linear group. In *Automorphic forms, representations and L -functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 2*, volume XXXIII of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 63–86. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1979. [18](#), [22](#)
- [JPSS83] H. Jacquet, I. I. Piatetskii-Shapiro, and J. A. Shalika. Rankin-Selberg convolutions. *Amer. J. Math.*, 105(2):367–464, 1983. [18](#)
- [Kna01] A. W. Knap. *Representation theory of semisimple groups*. Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2001. An overview based on examples, Reprint of the 1986 original. [13](#)
- [Kru20] K. Kruse. Vector-valued holomorphic functions in several variables. *Funct. Approx. Comment. Math.*, 63(2):247–275, 2020. [40](#)
- [Laf14] L. Lafforgue. Noyaux du transfert automorphe de Langlands et formules de Poisson non linéaires. *Jpn. J. Math.*, 9(1):1–68, 2014. [2](#)
- [Lan89] R. P. Langlands. On the classification of irreducible representations of real algebraic groups. In *Representation theory and harmonic analysis on semisimple Lie groups*, volume 31 of *Math. Surveys Monogr.*, pages 101–170. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989. [17](#), [23](#)
- [Li16] W-W. Li. Basic functions and unramified local L -factors for split groups. *Science China Mathematics*, pages 1–36, 2016. [38](#)
- [LN24] Z. Luo and B. C. Ngô. Nonabelian Fourier Kernels on SL_2 and GL_2 . *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2409.14696, September 2024. [3](#)
- [Luo19] Zhilin Luo. On the Braverman-Kazhdan proposal for local factors: spherical case. *Pacific J. Math.*, 300(2):431–471, 2019. [38](#)
- [Mor05] C. Julio Moreno. *Advanced analytic number theory: L -functions*, volume 115 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005. [28](#)
- [Ngô14] B. C. Ngô. On a certain sum of automorphic L -functions. In *Automorphic forms and related geometry: assessing the legacy of I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro*, volume 614 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 337–343. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2014. [3](#)
- [Ngô20] B. C. Ngô. Hankel transform, Langlands functoriality and functional equation of automorphic L -functions. *Jpn. J. Math.*, 15(1):121–167, 2020. [3](#), [52](#), [53](#)
- [Pou72] N. S. Poulsen. On C^∞ -vectors and intertwining bilinear forms for representations of Lie groups. *J. Functional Analysis*, 9:87–120, 1972. [9](#)
- [Ren10] D. Renard. *Représentations des groupes réductifs p -adiques*, volume 17. Paris: Société Mathématique de France, 2010. [6](#), [20](#), [56](#)

- [She83] D. Shelstad. Orbital integrals, endoscopic groups and L -indistinguishability for real groups. In *Conference on automorphic theory (Dijon, 1981)*, volume 15 of *Publ. Math. Univ. Paris VII*, pages 135–219. Univ. Paris VII, Paris, 1983. [24](#)
- [Sta21] The Stacks project authors. The stacks project. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2021. [53](#)
- [SW99] H. H. Schaefer and M. P. Wolff. *Topological vector spaces*, volume 3 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1999. [39](#)
- [Tat79] J. Tate. Number theoretic background. In *Automorphic forms, representations and L -functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 2*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, pages 3–26. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979. [17](#), [21](#), [23](#), [56](#)
- [Tit71] J. Tits. Représentations linéaires irréductibles d’un groupe réductif sur un corps quelconque. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 247:196–220, 1971. [53](#)
- [Voi07] C. Voisin. *Hodge theory and complex algebraic geometry. I*, volume 76 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, english edition, 2007. Translated from the French by Leila Schneps. [32](#)
- [Wal88] N. R. Wallach. *Real reductive groups. I*, volume 132 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1988. [9](#), [11](#), [15](#)
- [Wal92] N. R. Wallach. *Real reductive groups. II*, volume 132 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1992. [9](#), [16](#), [37](#)
- [Wal03] J.-L. Waldspurger. La formule de Plancherel pour les groupes p -adiques (d’après Harish-Chandra). *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 2(2):235–333, 2003. [7](#), [9](#), [11](#), [13](#), [15](#), [16](#), [37](#)
- [Wan17] J. Wang. On the reductive monoid associated to a parabolic subgroup. *J. Lie Theory*, 27(3):637–655, 2017. [52](#)
- [War72] G. Warner. *Harmonic analysis on semi-simple Lie groups. I*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1972. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 188. [13](#)
- [Zel80] A. V. Zelevinsky. Induced representations of reductive p -adic groups. II. On irreducible representations of $GL(n)$. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 13(2):165–210, 1980. [20](#)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DUKE UNIVERSITY, DURHAM, NC 27708

Email address: jayce.getz@duke.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AARHUS UNIVERSITY, AARHUS, DENMARK

Email address: armangute@math.au.dk

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DUKE UNIVERSITY, DURHAM, NC 27708

Email address: farid.hj@math.duke.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DUKE UNIVERSITY, DURHAM, NC 27708

Email address: aaron.slipper@duke.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA

Email address: xi000023@umn.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DUKE UNIVERSITY, DURHAM, NC 27708

Email address: haoyun.yao@duke.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY, NEW YORK, NY, 10027

Email address: asz2115@columbia.edu