

RESIDUAL-WEIGHTED DECOMPOSITION OF POSITIVE OPERATORS

JAMES TIAN

ABSTRACT. This paper investigates an iterative rank-one decomposition scheme for positive operators on a Hilbert space based on a residual-weighted congruence update. At each step the operator is compressed along a chosen unit vector while remaining inside the positive cone, and the resulting map defines a monotone dynamical system on the cone of positive operators. We prove that the associated residuals admit a canonical telescoping decomposition into rank-one terms and a limiting positive operator, and we identify this limit together with an exact energy identity expressing the defect between the initial and limiting operators as a convergent series of rank-one contributions. In the case where the iteration exhausts the operator, the residual directions form a Parseval frame for the natural range space, yielding a constructive procedure that produces Parseval frames without spectral calculus. We further solve the inverse problem by characterizing those decreasing chains with rank-one steps that arise from such dynamics via an intrinsic normalization condition involving the Moore-Penrose inverse. For trace-class operators we obtain a scalar energy identity and show that mild greedy or density conditions on the chosen directions guarantee exhaustion. An application to reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces illustrates the abstract results.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Residual-weighted rank-one update	3
3. Canonical dynamic decomposition	4
References	12

1. INTRODUCTION

Decomposing vectors in a Hilbert space is a well-understood problem, with many constructive methods available. Classical Fourier series and orthogonal expansions provide representations of the form

$$x = \sum_{n \geq 0} \langle u_n, x \rangle u_n,$$

and modern greedy algorithms iteratively build similar expansions with a residual that remains a vector. The update

$$r_{n+1} = r_n - \langle u_n, r_n \rangle u_n$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 47B65; Secondary: 42C15, 47A62.

Key words and phrases. positive operators, rank-one decompositions, Parseval frames, cone dynamics, reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces.

does not leave the ambient space and naturally preserves the basic geometry.

For positive operators, the situation is fundamentally different. If we try to remove a rank-one term from a positive operator R_n by an additive update

$$R_{n+1} = R_n - \alpha P$$

($\alpha > 0$, P a projection), there is no guarantee that R_{n+1} remains positive. Unless α is chosen using spectral information, as in Cholesky-type factorization [Hig90, CDHR08, GVL13, DRS16], the update may leave the cone $B(H)_+$. This basic obstruction limits direct analogues of greedy algorithms in the operator setting; the cone $B(H)_+$ is not closed under subtractions unless the removed term is specifically dominated by the current residual in the Löwner order.

As a result, much of the work on constructive approximation of positive operators has followed one of two directions. One approach uses convex optimization, often in the form of nuclear norm minimization [CCS10, RFP10, CR09], where positivity is enforced via spectral projections onto the positive cone, implemented through repeated singular value or eigenvalue thresholding. These methods are effective, but they typically require full spectral computations at each step. A second line of work adapts greedy ideas to matrices or trace class operators [Woj00, BCD⁺11, Tem11]. Here one must monitor positivity by step-size control or projections onto $B(H)_+$, which complicates the iteration and weakens the analogy with the vector case.

In this paper, we study a different type of decomposition scheme that avoids additive updates entirely. We analyze the multiplicative residual map

$$R_{n+1} = \Phi_u(R_n) := R_n^{1/2} (I - |u\rangle\langle u|) R_n^{1/2}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $u \in H$ is a unit vector. The update (1.1) serves as a canonical, coordinate-free extraction mechanism inside the cone $B(H)_+$. Unlike additive or spectral methods, it selects the next rank-one direction through the intrinsic geometry of the current residual.

The expression is closely related to the Lüders update from quantum measurement theory: for a projection P , a post-measurement state is obtained by the compression $\rho \mapsto (I - P)\rho(I - P)$ (up to normalization; selective outcome, trace-nonincreasing) or by the pinching $\rho \mapsto P\rho P + (I - P)\rho(I - P)$ (non-selective, trace-preserving), both linear completely positive operations [L51, Kra83, DL70, Hol11]. In contrast, $\Phi_u(R)$ is nonlinear in R and should be viewed as a congruence/compression step. Here we treat it as an iterative tool for constructing operator decompositions. This perspective makes clear that the scheme defines a discrete-time dynamical system on the cone $B(H)_+$.

The main results are as follows:

1. **Canonical Decomposition and Frames.** We prove that for any sequence of directions, the residuals R_n decrease monotonically to a limit R_∞ . In the case of complete exhaustion ($R_\infty = 0$), we show that the extracted vectors $E_n = R_n^{1/2}u_n$ form a Parseval frame for the range of R_0 in the sense of operator-valued frame theory [HL00, HLLL14, Chr16]. This gives a constructive method for producing Parseval frames directly from a positive operator, without diagonalization.
2. **Inverse Problem and Intrinsic Normalization.** We characterize the decreasing chains of positive operators with rank-one steps that arise from the residual-weighted dynamics, via an intrinsic normalization condition involving the Moore-Penrose inverses of the residuals.

3. Trace-class Energy Identity and Exhaustion Criteria. When the initial operator is trace-class, we obtain a scalar energy identity for the trace defect between the initial and limiting operators, and we prove that weak greedy or density conditions on the chosen directions force complete exhaustion and hence the Parseval frame property.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces the residual update and basic properties of Φ_u . Section 3 develops the dynamic decomposition, solves the inverse problem, and describes the connection to Parseval frames. It also records the trace-class energy identity, establishes the exhaustion criteria, and develops an application to reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces, where the iteration produces an explicit feature-map representation of a positive definite kernel and yields an iterative kernel decomposition that avoids diagonalizing the associated integral or Gram operator.

2. RESIDUAL-WEIGHTED RANK-ONE UPDATE

Let H be a complex Hilbert space. Let $B(H)_+$ denote the set of bounded positive operators on H , partially ordered by the Löwner order:

$$0 \leq A \leq B \iff 0 \leq \langle x, Ax \rangle \leq \langle x, Bx \rangle, \quad \forall x \in H.$$

Throughout, all inner products are linear in the second variable. Denote by $|a\rangle\langle b|$ the rank-1 operator $x \mapsto \langle b, x \rangle a$.

Definition 2.1. Fix a unit vector $u \in H$ and the rank-one orthogonal projection

$$P := |u\rangle\langle u|.$$

For all $R \in B(H)_+$, let

$$\Phi(R) := R^{1/2} (I - P) R^{1/2}.$$

The operator $R \mapsto \Phi(R)$ will be referred to as the residual-weighted rank-one update associated with u .

Remark 2.2. By definition, $\Phi(R) = R - |R^{1/2}u\rangle\langle R^{1/2}u|$. Thus, $\text{ran}(R - \Phi(R)) \subset \text{ran}(R^{1/2})$ and $\text{rank}(R - \Phi(R)) \leq 1$. In particular, if $u \notin \ker(R)$, then $\Phi(R)$ is obtained from R by removing the rank-one direction $R^{1/2}u$.

Lemma 2.3. Φ maps $B(H)_+$ into $B(H)_+$, and $0 \leq \Phi(R) \leq R$.

Proof. For all $x \in H$,

$$\langle x, \Phi(R)x \rangle = \|(I - P)R^{1/2}x\|^2 \geq 0,$$

so $\Phi : B(H)_+ \hookrightarrow B(H)_+$. Also,

$$R - \Phi(R) = R^{1/2}PR^{1/2} \geq 0,$$

i.e., $\Phi(R) \leq R$. □

3. CANONICAL DYNAMIC DECOMPOSITION

In this section, we show that the iterates of Φ form a monotone sequence converging in the strong operator topology, identify the limit, and express the initial operator as a telescoping sum of rank-one terms. We then characterize complete exhaustion and connect the residual directions to a Parseval frame on the natural range space, followed by an inverse characterization that specifies exactly which rank-one chains arise from this dynamics.

Fix an initial operator $R_0 \in B(H)_+$ and a sequence of unit vectors $(u_n)_{n \geq 0} \subset H$. Consider the residual-weighted rank-one updates

$$R_{n+1} = \Phi_{u_n}(R_n) = R_n^{1/2}(I - P_n)R_n^{1/2}, \quad P_n = |u_n\rangle\langle u_n|, \quad (3.1)$$

whenever $R_n \neq 0$. If $R_n = 0$ for some n , then $R_k = 0$ and $\Phi_{u_k}(R_k) = 0$ for all $k \geq n$, so in that case the iteration becomes stationary and there is nothing further to prove. We therefore assume for the remainder of the section that $R_n \neq 0$ for all n .

It is convenient to introduce the residual vectors

$$E_n = R_n^{1/2}u_n \in H, \quad n \geq 0.$$

The first step is to rewrite each update as the removal of a rank-one positive operator:

$$R_n - R_{n+1} = |E_n\rangle\langle E_n|, \quad n \geq 0.$$

From this point on the sequence (R_n) can be viewed as a monotone decreasing sequence of positive operators, with each difference $R_n - R_{n+1}$ explicitly identified as a rank-one term. The next step is to make this precise and to identify the limiting operator.

Proposition 3.1. *The sequence $(R_n)_{n \geq 0}$ in (3.1) is decreasing in the Löwner order and bounded below by 0. There is a unique positive operator $R_\infty \in B(H)_+$ such that*

$$\langle x, R_\infty x \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x, R_n x \rangle, \quad x \in H,$$

and $R_n \rightarrow R_\infty$ in the strong operator topology.

Proof. We have

$$R_n - R_{n+1} = |E_n\rangle\langle E_n| \geq 0, \quad n \geq 0,$$

so $R_{n+1} \leq R_n$ and the sequence (R_n) is monotone decreasing in the Löwner order. Since each R_n is positive, we also have $0 \leq R_{n+1} \leq R_n$ for all n , so R_n is bounded below by 0.

Fix $x \in H$. Then $\langle x, R_{n+1}x \rangle \leq \langle x, R_n x \rangle$ for all n , and each term is nonnegative because $R_n \geq 0$. Hence the real sequence $\{\langle x, R_n x \rangle\}_{n \geq 0}$ is decreasing and bounded below by 0, so the limit

$$\ell(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x, R_n x \rangle$$

exists in $[0, \infty)$.

We now show that this limit arises from a unique positive operator $R_\infty \in B(H)_+$. Define a quadratic form $q : H \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$q(x) = \ell(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x, R_n x \rangle.$$

Each functional $x \mapsto \langle x, R_n x \rangle$ is continuous and $R_n \leq R_0$ implies

$$0 \leq q(x) \leq \langle x, R_0 x \rangle \leq \|R_0\| \|x\|^2$$

for all $x \in H$, so q is dominated by a constant multiple of $\|x\|^2$. It follows that q is a bounded quadratic form on H . A standard argument (Lax-Milgram, or polarization plus the Riesz representation theorem) now shows that there exists a unique bounded selfadjoint operator $R_\infty \in B(H)$ such that

$$q(x) = \langle x, R_\infty x \rangle$$

for all $x \in H$. Since $q(x) \geq 0$ for all x , the operator R_∞ is positive.

It remains to show that $R_n \rightarrow R_\infty$ strongly. Fix $x \in H$ and consider the sequence $R_n x$. For $m > n$ we have

$$R_n - R_m = \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} (R_k - R_{k+1}) = \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} |E_k\rangle\langle E_k| \geq 0,$$

so

$$0 \leq \langle x, (R_n - R_m)x \rangle = \langle x, R_n x \rangle - \langle x, R_m x \rangle.$$

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ and using the definition of R_∞ we obtain

$$\langle x, (R_n - R_\infty)x \rangle = \langle x, R_n x \rangle - \langle x, R_\infty x \rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since the operators are positive and bounded, convergence of the quadratic forms $\langle x, R_n x \rangle$ to $\langle x, R_\infty x \rangle$ for every $x \in H$ implies $R_n x \rightarrow R_\infty x$ in norm for every $x \in H$, that is, $R_n \rightarrow R_\infty$ in the strong operator topology. The uniqueness of R_∞ follows from uniqueness of the quadratic form representation. For more details, see e.g., [RS80]. \square

With the limiting operator in hand we can express R_0 as a sum of the limit and an infinite series of rank-one positive operators determined by the residual vectors E_n .

Proposition 3.2. *For every $N \geq 1$ we have the finite telescoping identity*

$$R_0 - R_N = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |E_n\rangle\langle E_n|.$$

Moreover, for every $x \in H$,

$$\langle x, (R_0 - R_\infty)x \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\langle E_n, x \rangle|^2,$$

and the series on the right is absolutely convergent. In particular,

$$R_0 - R_\infty = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |E_n\rangle\langle E_n|$$

with convergence in the strong operator topology.

Proof. The finite telescoping identity is obtained by summing the differences:

$$R_0 - R_N = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (R_n - R_{n+1}) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |E_n\rangle\langle E_n|,$$

which is valid for all $N \geq 1$.

Fix $x \in H$. Applying both sides of the finite telescoping identity to x and taking the inner product with x gives

$$\langle x, (R_0 - R_N)x \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \langle x, E_n \rangle \langle E_n, x \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |\langle E_n, x \rangle|^2.$$

By Proposition 3.1 we know that $R_N \rightarrow R_\infty$ strongly, so in particular

$$\langle x, (R_0 - R_N)x \rangle \rightarrow \langle x, (R_0 - R_\infty)x \rangle \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore the real sequence of partial sums

$$s_N(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |\langle E_n, x \rangle|^2$$

is increasing (each term is nonnegative) and converges to the finite limit

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} s_N(x) = \langle x, (R_0 - R_\infty)x \rangle.$$

This shows that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\langle E_n, x \rangle|^2$ converges and that

$$\langle x, (R_0 - R_\infty)x \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\langle E_n, x \rangle|^2.$$

To see that the series of rank-one operators converges strongly, we note that for each N ,

$$T_N = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |E_n\rangle\langle E_n| = R_0 - R_N,$$

hence $T_N \rightarrow R_0 - R_\infty$ strongly because $R_N \rightarrow R_\infty$ strongly. Thus

$$R_0 - R_\infty = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |E_n\rangle\langle E_n|$$

with strong operator convergence. \square

The next result records a useful specialization in the trace-class case, which makes the energy identity even more explicit.

Proposition 3.3. *Assume in addition that R_0 is trace-class. Then each R_n and R_∞ is trace-class and*

$$\text{tr}(R_0 - R_\infty) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|E_n\|^2,$$

with absolute convergence of the series on the right. In particular,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|E_n\|^2 \leq \text{tr}(R_0) < \infty.$$

Proof. R_0 is trace-class and $R_n \leq R_0$ for all n , it follows from standard properties of the trace that each R_n is trace-class and

$$0 \leq \text{tr}(R_n) \leq \text{tr}(R_0) \quad \text{for all } n.$$

Moreover, for each n ,

$$R_n - R_{n+1} = |E_n\rangle\langle E_n|$$

is rank-one, hence trace-class, and

$$\operatorname{tr}(R_n - R_{n+1}) = \operatorname{tr}(|E_n\rangle\langle E_n|) = \|E_n\|^2.$$

For each $N \geq 1$ we now compute

$$\operatorname{tr}(R_0 - R_N) = \operatorname{tr}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (R_n - R_{n+1})\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \operatorname{tr}(R_n - R_{n+1}) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \|E_n\|^2,$$

where we used linearity of the trace and the telescoping identity.

Since $R_n \downarrow R_\infty \geq 0$ in the Löwner order, the monotone convergence theorem for traces of positive operators implies that R_∞ is trace-class and

$$\operatorname{tr}(R_0 - R_\infty) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{tr}(R_0 - R_N) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \|E_n\|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|E_n\|^2.$$

In particular, the series on the right converges and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|E_n\|^2 = \operatorname{tr}(R_0 - R_\infty) \leq \operatorname{tr}(R_0).$$

□

Finally we formulate the main statement of this section, which collects and summarizes the canonical decomposition and the uniqueness determined by the update sequence.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $R_0 \in B(H)_+$ and let $(u_n)_{n \geq 0} \subset H$ be a sequence of unit vectors. Define $R_{n+1} = \Phi_{u_n}(R_n)$ and $E_n = R_n^{1/2}u_n$ for all $n \geq 0$, and let R_∞ be the strong limit of (R_n) given by Proposition 3.1. Then:*

- (1) $R_n \downarrow R_\infty$ in the Löwner order and $R_n \rightarrow R_\infty$ in the strong operator topology.
- (2) For every $x \in H$,

$$\langle x, (R_0 - R_\infty)x \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\langle E_n, x \rangle|^2,$$

and the series converges.

- (3) The operator $R_0 - R_\infty$ admits the strong operator decomposition

$$R_0 - R_\infty = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |E_n\rangle\langle E_n|.$$

- (4) The vectors $E_n = R_n^{1/2}u_n$, and hence the rank-one operators $|E_n\rangle\langle E_n|$, are uniquely determined by R_0 and the update sequence (u_n) .

If in addition R_0 is trace-class, then

$$\operatorname{tr}(R_0 - R_\infty) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|E_n\|^2.$$

Proof. Items (1)–(3) and the trace identity are exactly Propositions 3.1–3.3. The uniqueness of the vectors $E_n = R_n^{1/2}u_n$ follows from the uniqueness of the positive square roots $R_n^{1/2}$ and the recursive definition $R_{n+1} = \Phi_{u_n}(R_n)$, which determines R_n and hence E_n inductively from R_0 and (u_n) . □

3.1. Inverse Problem. We consider the following inverse question for the residual-weighted dynamics of this section:

Question. *Which decreasing chains of positive operators with rank-one steps arise from a residual-weighted iteration for some sequence of unit vectors?*

The answer is expressed in terms of an intrinsic normalization condition involving the Moore-Penrose inverse of the intermediate operators.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $R_0 \in B(H)_+$ and let $(R_n)_{n \geq 0} \subset B(H)_+$ and $(E_n)_{n \geq 0} \subset H$ satisfy*

$$R_{n+1} = R_n - |E_n\rangle\langle E_n|, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Assume that:

- (1) *For every n with $E_n \neq 0$, we have $E_n \in \text{ran}(R_n^{1/2})$;*
- (2) *For every n ,*

$$\langle E_n, R_n^\dagger E_n \rangle = 1, \quad (3.2)$$

where R_n^\dagger is the Moore-Penrose inverse of R_n .

Then there exists a sequence of unit vectors $(u_n)_{n \geq 0} \subset H$ such that

$$R_{n+1} = \Phi_{u_n}(R_n) = R_n^{1/2}(I - P_n)R_n^{1/2}, \quad P_n = |u_n\rangle\langle u_n|,$$

for all $n \geq 0$, and

$$E_n = R_n^{1/2}u_n, \quad n \geq 0.$$

In particular, the chain (R_n) arises from a residual weighted iteration with residual vectors E_n .

Conversely, suppose $R_0 \in B(H)_+$ and a sequence of unit vectors $(u_n)_{n \geq 0} \subset H$ are given such that $u_n \in \text{supp}(R_n)$. Let

$$R_{n+1} = \Phi_{u_n}(R_n), \quad E_n = R_n^{1/2}u_n.$$

Then each E_n lies in $\text{ran}(R_n^{1/2})$, and the normalization condition (3.2) holds for all $n \geq 0$.

Proof. We first prove the converse direction. Fix n . Let $s_n = s(R_n)$ be the support projection of R_n , and note that $E_n \in \text{ran}(R_n^{1/2}) \subset s_n H$. On $s_n H$, the restriction $R_n|_{s_n H}$ is strictly positive and invertible, with inverse $(R_n|_{s_n H})^{-1}$. The corresponding Moore-Penrose inverse satisfies

$$R_n^\dagger x = (R_n|_{s_n H})^{-1}x, \quad x \in s_n H.$$

Since $E_n = R_n^{1/2}u_n$ lies in $s_n H$, we have

$$R_n^\dagger E_n = (R_n|_{s_n H})^{-1}E_n = (R_n|_{s_n H})^{-1}R_n^{1/2}u_n = R_n^{-1/2}u_n$$

(where all operators are understood as acting on $s_n H$). Therefore,

$$\langle E_n, R_n^\dagger E_n \rangle = \langle R_n^{1/2}u_n, R_n^{-1/2}u_n \rangle = \langle u_n, u_n \rangle = 1.$$

For the existence (forward) direction, assume that $R_0 \in B(H)_+$ and sequences $(R_n), (E_n)$ satisfy the hypotheses of the theorem. For each $n \geq 0$, define the support projection $s_n = s(R_n)$. Since $E_n \in \text{ran}(R_n^{1/2})$, we have $E_n \in s_n H$. Define

$$u_n := (R_n^\dagger)^{1/2} E_n.$$

Then $u_n \in s_n H$ and

$$R_n^{1/2} u_n = R_n^{1/2} (R_n^\dagger)^{1/2} E_n = s_n E_n = E_n.$$

Using the normalization condition,

$$\|u_n\|^2 = \langle u_n, u_n \rangle = \langle (R_n^\dagger)^{1/2} E_n, (R_n^\dagger)^{1/2} E_n \rangle = \langle E_n, R_n^\dagger E_n \rangle = 1.$$

Thus u_n is a unit vector in H for every n . Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{u_n}(R_n) &= R_n - |R_n^{1/2} u_n\rangle \langle R_n^{1/2} u_n| \\ &= R_n - |E_n\rangle \langle E_n| = R_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

3.2. Complete exhaustion and frames. The canonical dynamic decomposition in Theorem 3.4 expresses the defect operator $(R_0 - R_\infty)$ as a series of rank-one terms built from the residual vectors (E_n) . We now give a sharper characterization of the complete exhaustion case ($R_\infty = 0$) in terms of the geometry of the sequence (E_n) .

We begin with a simple observation.

Proposition 3.6 (Analysis and frame operators). *Let $R_0 \in B(H)_+$, let $(u_n)_{n \geq 0} \subset H$ be a sequence of unit vectors, and let $(R_n)_{n \geq 0}$, $(E_n)_{n \geq 0}$, and R_∞ be as in Theorem 3.4. Define the analysis operator*

$$T : H \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N}), \quad Tx = (\langle E_n, x \rangle)_{n \geq 0}.$$

Then T is a bounded linear operator and the frame operator

$$S := T^* T = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |E_n\rangle \langle E_n|$$

exists in the strong operator topology with

$$S = R_0 - R_\infty.$$

Proof. For each $x \in H$, Theorem 3.4 gives

$$\langle x, (R_0 - R_\infty) x \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\langle E_n, x \rangle|^2.$$

In particular, the series $\sum_{n \geq 0} |\langle E_n, x \rangle|^2$ converges and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\langle E_n, x \rangle|^2 = \langle x, (R_0 - R_\infty) x \rangle \leq \|R_0 - R_\infty\| \|x\|^2.$$

Thus T is well defined and bounded, with $\|T\|^2 \leq \|R_0 - R_\infty\|$. By definition of T ,

$$\langle Tx, Ty \rangle_{\ell^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle x, E_n \rangle \langle E_n, y \rangle = \left\langle x, \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |E_n\rangle \langle E_n| \right) y \right\rangle$$

whenever the series converges in the strong operator topology. On the other hand,

$$\langle x, T^* T y \rangle = \langle Tx, Ty \rangle_{\ell^2}$$

for all $x, y \in H$. Comparing the two identities and using the characterization

$$\langle x, (R_0 - R_\infty) y \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle x, E_n \rangle \langle E_n, y \rangle$$

by polarization, we obtain

$$T^*T = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |E_n\rangle\langle E_n| = R_0 - R_{\infty}$$

with strong operator convergence. \square

The next result is a sharper characterization of the complete exhaustion case ($R_{\infty} = 0$). It shows that, in this regime, the residual vectors form a Parseval-type family for the energy encoded by R_0 .

Theorem 3.7. *Let $R_0 \in B(H)_+$, $(u_n)_{n \geq 0} \subset H$ a sequence of unit vectors, and $(R_n)_{n \geq 0}$, $(E_n)_{n \geq 0}$, R_{∞} as in Theorem 3.4. Set*

$$\mathcal{K} := \overline{\text{ran}}(R_0^{1/2}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E} := \overline{\text{span}}\{E_n : n \geq 0\} \subset H.$$

Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) $R_{\infty} = 0$.
- (2) For every $x \in H$,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\langle E_n, x \rangle|^2 = \langle x, R_0 x \rangle.$$

- (3) $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{K}$ and, for every $x \in \mathcal{K}$,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\langle E_n, x \rangle|^2 = \langle x, R_0 x \rangle.$$

In particular, when $R_{\infty} = 0$, the family $(E_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is complete in \mathcal{K} , and the frame operator of (E_n) coincides with R_0 .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). If $R_{\infty} = 0$, then Theorem 3.4 gives, for every $x \in H$,

$$\langle x, R_0 x \rangle = \langle x, (R_0 - R_{\infty}) x \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\langle E_n, x \rangle|^2,$$

which is (2).

(2) \Rightarrow (3). First, $\text{ran}(R_0^{1/2}) \subset \mathcal{E}$. Indeed, by Proposition 3.6 we have $T^*T = R_0 - R_{\infty}$. Under assumption (2) we obtain $R_0 - R_{\infty} = R_0$, so $R_{\infty} = 0$ and therefore $T^*T = R_0$. The range of T^* is the closed linear span of $\{E_n\}$, that is, \mathcal{E} . On the other hand, $\text{ran}(R_0) \subset \mathcal{E}$, because

$$\text{ran}(R_0) = \text{ran}(T^*T) \subset \text{ran}(T^*) \subset \mathcal{E}.$$

Since $\mathcal{K} = \overline{\text{ran}}(R_0^{1/2})$ and $\text{ran}(R_0)$ is dense in $\overline{\text{ran}}(R_0^{1/2})$, we have

$$\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{E}.$$

Conversely, each E_n lies in $\text{ran}(R_n^{1/2}) \subset \text{ran}(R_0^{1/2})$, hence $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{K}$. Therefore $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{K}$, and (2) restricted to $x \in \mathcal{K}$ yields (3).

(3) \Rightarrow (1). Let $x \in H$. Decompose $x = x_1 + x_0$ with $x_1 \in \mathcal{K}$ and $x_0 \in \mathcal{K}^{\perp}$. Since $\mathcal{K} = \overline{\text{ran}}(R_0^{1/2})$, we have $R_0 x_0 = 0$, so

$$\langle x, R_0 x \rangle = \langle x_1, R_0 x_1 \rangle.$$

On the other hand, each E_n lies in \mathcal{K} , hence $\langle E_n, x_0 \rangle = 0$, and

$$\langle E_n, x \rangle = \langle E_n, x_1 \rangle.$$

Applying (3) to $x_1 \in \mathcal{K}$ gives

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\langle E_n, x \rangle|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\langle E_n, x_1 \rangle|^2 = \langle x_1, R_0 x_1 \rangle = \langle x, R_0 x \rangle.$$

Thus (2) holds for all $x \in H$. Applying Theorem 3.4 again,

$$\langle x, (R_0 - R_{\infty}) x \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\langle E_n, x \rangle|^2 = \langle x, R_0 x \rangle$$

for all x , and therefore $\langle x, R_{\infty} x \rangle = 0$ for all $x \in H$. Since $R_{\infty} \geq 0$, this implies $R_{\infty} = 0$. \square

Proposition 3.8. *Let R_0 be a trace-class positive operator. If the sequence of unit vectors $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is dense in the unit sphere of H , then $R_{\infty} = 0$.*

Proof. From Proposition 3.3, we know that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|E_n\|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle u_n, R_n u_n \rangle = \text{tr}(R_0 - R_{\infty}) < \infty.$$

Since the sequence of operators is decreasing, $R_n \geq R_{\infty} \geq 0$ for all n . This implies

$$\langle u_n, R_n u_n \rangle \geq \langle u_n, R_{\infty} u_n \rangle \geq 0.$$

By the comparison test, the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle u_n, R_{\infty} u_n \rangle$ converges.

Suppose for the sake of contradiction that $R_{\infty} \neq 0$. Then there exists a unit vector $v \in H$ and a constant $\delta > 0$ such that $\langle v, R_{\infty} v \rangle = \delta$. Since $x \mapsto \langle x, R_{\infty} x \rangle$ is continuous and the sequence (u_n) is dense in the unit sphere, there exists a subsequence (u_{n_k}) and an open neighborhood U of v such that $u_{n_k} \in U$ for all k , and $\langle u_{n_k}, R_{\infty} u_{n_k} \rangle \geq \frac{\delta}{2}$ for all k . This implies that the sum $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle u_n, R_{\infty} u_n \rangle$ has infinitely many terms bounded away from zero, and therefore must diverge. This contradicts the convergence established above. Thus, we must have $R_{\infty} = 0$. \square

Corollary 3.9 (Greedy Convergence). *Let R_0 be a trace-class positive operator. Fix $c \in (0, 1]$. If the unit vectors $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$ satisfy*

$$\langle u_n, R_n u_n \rangle \geq c \|R_n\|, \quad \forall n$$

then $R_n \rightarrow 0$ in operator norm, hence $R_{\infty} = 0$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.3,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle u_n, R_n u_n \rangle = \text{tr}(R_0 - R_{\infty}) < \infty,$$

so $\langle u_n, R_n u_n \rangle \rightarrow 0$. The weak greedy condition gives

$$0 \leq c \|R_n\| \leq \langle u_n, R_n u_n \rangle \rightarrow 0,$$

hence $\|R_n\| \rightarrow 0$. Therefore $R_n \rightarrow 0$ in norm, which implies $R_{\infty} = 0$. \square

Remark 3.10 (weaker hypothesis). Because $0 \leq R_{n+1} \leq R_n$ implies $\|R_{n+1}\| \leq \|R_n\|$, it suffices that the weak greedy inequality hold for infinitely many n . Along that subsequence the same limit argument gives $\|R_{n_k}\| \rightarrow 0$, and monotonicity then forces $\|R_n\| \rightarrow 0$ for the entire sequence.

Remark 3.11 (Constructive Parsevalization). The exhaustion results above admit a direct application to the construction of frames. Assume $R_\infty = 0$. If we initialize the dynamics with $R_0 = I$, then by Theorem 3.4, the extracted vectors $E_n = R_n^{1/2} u_n$ satisfy

$$I = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |E_n\rangle\langle E_n|$$

and thus form a Parseval frame for H . This identity holds in the strong operator topology, and the reconstruction formula $x = \sum_{n \geq 0} \langle E_n, x \rangle E_n$ converges in norm for each $x \in H$. This process acts as a “soft” alternative to Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization. In Gram-Schmidt, a vector linearly dependent on its predecessors is mapped to zero (annihilated). Here, the update $R_{n+1} = \Phi_{u_n}(R_n)$ merely deflates the energy in the redundant direction; the resulting vector E_n is nonzero but scaled down exactly enough to maintain the Parseval property. Conceptually, this is a “covariance-action” analogue to the Kaczmarz method: whereas Kaczmarz projects a vector to satisfy inner product constraints, this iteration compresses the residual operator to satisfy the operator-valued energy identity.

Example 3.12 (Iterative Kernel Feature Maps). Let H_K be a Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Space (RKHS) on a domain Ω (assume Ω is a separable metric space and K is continuous so that $x \mapsto k_x$ is norm-continuous) with reproducing kernel $K(x, y)$. A central problem in learning theory is to find a feature map $\psi : \Omega \rightarrow \ell^2$ such that

$$K(x, y) = \langle \psi(x), \psi(y) \rangle.$$

While Mercer’s theorem provides such a decomposition via eigenfunctions, it requires diagonalizing the integral operator. The residual-weighted decomposition offers an iterative construction.

Let $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 0} \subset \Omega$ be a dense set of sample points (hence $\{k_{x_n}\}$ is total and $\{u_n\}$ is dense in the unit sphere).

Initialize $R_0 = I$ (the identity on H_K). At step n , choose the normalized kernel section $u_n = k_{x_n} / \|k_{x_n}\|$, where $k_x = K(\cdot, x)$. Compute the residual feature $E_n = R_n^{1/2} u_n$ and update $R_{n+1} = \Phi_{u_n}(R_n)$.

Assume the weak greedy condition $\langle u_n, R_n u_n \rangle \geq c \|R_n\|$ for some $c \in (0, 1]$ and all n . Then $R_n \rightarrow 0$ in norm by Corollary 3.9, hence $R_\infty = 0$. Consequently, the resulting functions $\{E_n\}$ form a Parseval frame for H_K . Applying the reproducing property $\langle f, k_x \rangle = f(x)$, we recover the kernel decomposition pointwise:

$$K(x, y) = \langle k_x, k_y \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle k_x, E_n \rangle \langle E_n, k_y \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n(x) \overline{E_n(y)}.$$

This approach generates the feature functions E_n sequentially and stably, avoiding the global inversion/diagonalization of a large Gram or integral operator.

REFERENCES

- [BCD⁺11] Peter Binev, Albert Cohen, Wolfgang Dahmen, Ronald DeVore, Guergana Petrova, and Przemysław Wojtaszczyk, *Convergence rates for greedy algorithms in reduced basis methods*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **43** (2011), no. 3, 1457–1472. MR 2821591
- [CCS10] Jian-Feng Cai, Emmanuel J. Candès, and Zuowei Shen, *A singular value thresholding algorithm for matrix completion*, SIAM J. Optim. **20** (2010), no. 4, 1956–1982. MR 2600248

- [CDHR08] Yanqing Chen, Timothy A. Davis, William W. Hager, and Sivasankaran Rajamanickam, *Algorithm 887: CHOLMOD, supernodal sparse Cholesky factorization and update/downdate*, ACM Trans. Math. Software **35** (2008), no. 3, Art. 22, 14. MR 2738209
- [Chr16] Ole Christensen, *An introduction to frames and Riesz bases*, second ed., Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis, Birkhäuser/Springer, [Cham], 2016. MR 3495345
- [CR09] Emmanuel J. Candès and Benjamin Recht, *Exact matrix completion via convex optimization*, Found. Comput. Math. **9** (2009), no. 6, 717–772. MR 2565240
- [DL70] E. B. Davies and J. T. Lewis, *An operational approach to quantum probability*, Comm. Math. Phys. **17** (1970), 239–260. MR 263379
- [DRSL16] Timothy A. Davis, Sivasankaran Rajamanickam, and Wissam M. Sid-Lakhdar, *A survey of direct methods for sparse linear systems*, Acta Numer. **25** (2016), 383–566. MR 3509211
- [GVL13] Gene H. Golub and Charles F. Van Loan, *Matrix computations*, fourth ed., Johns Hopkins Studies in the Mathematical Sciences, Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, MD, 2013. MR 3024913
- [Hig90] Nicholas J. Higham, *Analysis of the Cholesky decomposition of a semi-definite matrix*, Reliable numerical computation, Oxford Sci. Publ., Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1990, pp. 161–185. MR 1098323
- [HL00] Deguang Han and David R. Larson, *Frames, bases and group representations*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **147** (2000), no. 697, x+94. MR 1686653
- [HLLL14] Deguang Han, David R. Larson, Bei Liu, and Rui Liu, *Dilations of frames, operator-valued measures and bounded linear maps*, Operator methods in wavelets, tilings, and frames, Contemp. Math., vol. 626, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2014, pp. 33–53. MR 3329092
- [Hol11] Alexander Holevo, *Probabilistic and statistical aspects of quantum theory*, second ed., Quaderni/Monographs, vol. 1, Edizioni della Normale, Pisa, 2011, With a foreword from the second Russian edition by K. A. Valiev. MR 2797301
- [Kra83] Karl Kraus, *States, effects, and operations*, Lecture Notes in Physics, vol. 190, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983, Fundamental notions of quantum theory, Lecture notes edited by A. Böhm, J. D. Dollard and W. H. Wootters. MR 725167
- [L51] Gerhart Lüders, *Über die Zustandsänderung durch den Messprozess*, Ann. Physik (6) **8** (1951), 322–328. MR 46289
- [RFP10] Benjamin Recht, Maryam Fazel, and Pablo A. Parrilo, *Guaranteed minimum-rank solutions of linear matrix equations via nuclear norm minimization*, SIAM Rev. **52** (2010), no. 3, 471–501. MR 2680543
- [RS80] Michael Reed and Barry Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics. I*, second ed., Academic Press, Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York, 1980, Functional analysis. MR 751959
- [Tem11] Vladimir Temlyakov, *Greedy approximation*, Cambridge Monographs on Applied and Computational Mathematics, vol. 20, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011. MR 2848161
- [Woj00] P. Wojtaszczyk, *Greedy algorithm for general biorthogonal systems*, J. Approx. Theory **107** (2000), no. 2, 293–314. MR 1806955

MATHEMATICAL REVIEWS, 535 W. WILLIAM ST, SUITE 210, ANN ARBOR, MI 48103, USA
 Email address: jft@ams.org