

GRAVITY-DARKENING EXPONENTS FOR MESA STELLAR EVOLUTION MODELS

A. CLARET

Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía, CSIC, Apartado 3004, 18080 Granada, Spain and
Dept. Física Teórica y del Cosmos, Universidad de Granada, Campus de Fuentenueva s/n, 10871 Granada, Spain

G. TORRES

Center for Astrophysics | Harvard & Smithsonian, 60 Garden St., Cambridge MA 02148, USA
Accepted for publication in RNAAS

ABSTRACT

In this Research Note we present new gravity-darkening exponents (β) for several stellar evolution models from the ZAMS up to the giant phase. The models were computed using the MESA code (version 7385) for the composition $X = 0.70$ and $Z = 0.02$, adopting A09 opacities and a mixing length parameter of $\alpha_{\text{MLT}} = 1.84$. Results were calculated using the triangle strategy, for initial stellar masses of 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, 3.0, 5.0, 7.0, 10.0, 15.0, and 20.0 M_{\odot} , and provide an update on previously available β values that used older models.

Keywords: stellar evolutionary models; gravity darkening; tides; eclipsing binary stars; exoplanet astronomy

1. INTRODUCTION

In a pioneering paper, von Zeipel (1924) quantified the effect of gravity-darkening in stars by establishing the relationship between the local surface gravity, g , and the effective temperature, T_{eff} , for the case of envelopes in radiative equilibrium. The corresponding equation can be written more generally as:

$$\mathbf{F} = -\frac{4acT^3}{3\kappa\rho} \frac{dT}{d\Phi} g^{\beta}, \quad (1)$$

or equivalently

$$T_{\text{eff}}^4 \propto g^{\beta}. \quad (2)$$

In the first of these expressions, \mathbf{F} is the vector of radiative energy flux, Φ is the total potential, T is the local temperature, κ the opacity, ρ the local density, a the radiation pressure constant, and c is the speed of light in vacuum. The gravity-darkening exponent (GDE) β is a bolometric quantity, and is equal to unity for envelopes in radiative equilibrium. Decades later, Lucy (1967) addressed the case of envelopes in convective equilibrium, and derived a single average value of $\beta \approx 0.32$.

Some 30 years later, Claret (1998) revisited the issue of the calculation of β , and introduced the so-called method of triangles for its derivation (see Kippenhahn et al. 1967), valid for models over the entire H-R diagram, including those for stars with convective envelopes. This efficient and expedient method allows for the consideration of evolutionary changes in both the stellar interior and the outer layers. It provides continuity for the theoretical values of the GDE across the H-R diagram, thus avoiding the previous physically unrealistic situation characterized by a step function in β between radiative and convective envelopes (1.0–0.32). Here we use this method as well.

Empirical determinations of β from W UMa eclipsing binaries, which experience strong proximity effects lead-

ing to significant gravity darkening, generally support the continuity of the GDE across the convective/radiative boundary, as predicted by theory (see, e.g., Fig. 3 by Claret 2003). While some of those early estimates have suffered from biases caused by limitations in the photometry, much improved determinations should now be possible with modern instrumentation and the availability of high-precision and high-cadence light curves from space-based facilities, such as NASA’s Kepler/K2 and TESS missions, and others.

GDEs have been used extensively in the interpretation of light curves of eclipsing binaries, enabling the derivation of accurate stellar properties (component masses, radii, temperatures, etc.). More recently, they have also found use in the transiting exoplanet field, particularly for the study of rapidly rotating host stars. The precision of the light curves now available places more stringent demands on the accuracy of stellar parameters adopted from theory, including β . We expect the new values of the GDEs presented in this Note to be helpful to the community for studies such as those mentioned above. The triangle strategy applied here works well for this purpose, within the theoretical uncertainties typical of stellar evolution theory, and has a number of advantages:

- It is a self-consistent method, as the same evolutionary models are used to compute continuous β values as well as many other stellar properties. These include the stellar radius, T_{eff} , internal pressure and other thermodynamic variables, apsidal-motion constants, the moment of inertia, the gravitational potential energy, and additional parameters needed for the calculation of timescales of orbit circularization and spin-orbit synchronization in binary systems, among others;
- The changes in the chemical profile in the envelope and in the interior are taken into account at each timestep;
- The method does not present possible effects of sphericity, given the spherical symmetry of the structure equations;
- It can be adapted to any stellar evolution code;

Table 1
Merged Evolutionary Tracks

Age (yr)	$\log L$ (L_{\odot})	$\log g$ (cgs)	$\log T_{\text{eff}}$ (K)	Mass (M_{\odot})	β
1.00000D+05	−0.16450	4.53493	3.74476	1.00000	0.40517
2.20000D+05	−0.17110	4.53800	3.74388	1.00000	0.40726
3.64000D+05	−0.17814	4.54076	3.74281	0.99999	0.40853
5.36800D+05	−0.18335	4.54336	3.74216	0.99999	0.40911
7.44160D+05	−0.18829	4.54579	3.74153	0.99999	0.40984

Note. — Table 1 is published in its entirety in the electronic edition of the *RNAAS*. A portion is shown here for guidance regarding its form and content. The full version contains the data for all 10 initial masses from this work.

- It allows the study of the influence of optical depth on the GDE calculations.

In this Note we applied the method to the calculation of β with the widely used Modules for Experiments in Stellar Astrophysics code (MESA; Paxton et al. 2019, and references therein) over a wide range of stellar masses. The use of a state-of-the-art code such as MESA to generate stellar evolution models provides a needed update to the calculations previously available (Claret 1998), as many of the key physical ingredients have changed over the years.

2. RESULTS

Our calculations used MESA version 7385, for consistency with our earlier work presenting calculations of apsidal motion constants obtained with the same code, for use in the study of eclipsing binaries (see Claret 2019; Claret et al. 2021; Claret 2023, 2024). Here we computed β values for evolutionary models from the zero-age main sequence (ZAMS) up to the giant phase, and initial masses of 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, 3.0, 5.0, 7.0, 10.0, 15.0, and

20.0 M_{\odot} (see the machine readable version of Table 1). Results are presented for the composition $X = 0.70$ and $Z = 0.02$, with ‘A09’ radiative opacities from Asplund et al. (2009), and an adopted solar-calibrated mixing-length parameter $\alpha_{\text{MLT}} = 1.84$. The evolutionary tracks provide β along with other customary quantities ($\log L$, $\log g$, $\log T_{\text{eff}}$, and current mass) as a function of age, and are available electronically with this Note. The first few lines of the table containing all 10 evolutionary tracks is shown in Table 1, to illustrate the content.

The Spanish MINC/AEI (grants PID2022-137241NB-C43 and PID2019-107061GB-C64) are gratefully acknowledged for their support during the development of this work. We also acknowledge financial support from grant CEX2021-001131-S, funded by MINC/AEI 10.13039/501100011033. This research has made use of NASA’s Astrophysics Data System Abstract Service.

REFERENCES

- Asplund, M., Grevesse, N., Sauval, A. J., et al. 2009, *ARA&A*, 47, 481
 Claret, A. 1998, *A&AS*, 131, 395
 Claret, A. 2003, *A&A*, 406, 623
 Claret, A. 2019, *A&A*, 628, A29
 Claret, A. 2023, *A&A*, 674, A67
 Claret, A. 2024, *A&A*, 687, A167
 Claret, A., Giménez, A., Baroch, D., et al. 2021, *A&A*, 654, A17
 Kippenhahn R., Weigert A., Hofmeister E., 1967, *Computational Physics* (New York: Academic Press), Vol. 7, p. 129
 Lucy, B. 1967, *Zeitschrift für Astrophysik*, Vol. 65, p.89, 406, 623
 Paxton, B., Smolec, R., Schwab, J., et al. 2019, *ApJS*, 243, 10
 von Zeipel, H. 1924, *MNRAS*, 84, 665