
THE BOTTLENECK BIRTHDAY PROBLEM

Chijul B. Tripathy*

Homestead High School

Cupertino, CA 95014

chijul.b.tripathy@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

We introduce a fun problem that can be considered as a variant of the classic birthday problem, the *Bottleneck Birthday Problem (BBP)*. It is stated as: what is the maximum number of people we have to choose so that no day of the year has more than $r \geq 1$ birthdays incident on it with probability at least $1/2$? We provide a survey of techniques used in the literature on occupancy and load balancing problems to derive recurrence relations for exact computation of the probability and the number of people, keeping probability fixed at a threshold. Further, we show that restricted Stirling numbers of the second kind can be used to derive an additional recurrence, in a novel way. We provide numerical results from an implementation of the recurrences.

Keywords Birthday problem · Bottleneck birthday problem · Stirling number of the second kind · Restricted Stirling number of the second kind · Recurrence · Dynamic programming

1 Introduction

What is the probability that from a group of n randomly chosen people, at least two individuals will have the same birthday? This question is famously known as the *birthday problem* (see [1], page 33), having been around for nearly 100 years. Austrian mathematician Richard von Mises published this in 1939 [2]. However, English mathematician Harold Davenport is typically credited for this problem in 1927, though he did not publish it due to its simplicity and counterintuitive nature.

A standard version of the birthday problem assumes that each person's birthday is uniformly distributed across the days of the year and relies on a few simplifying assumptions including but not limited to no selection bias (e.g., no specific preference for certain birthdays or months), no interaction between individuals' birthdays (e.g., no external factors, such as hospital scheduling affect birth times), and no twins, among others. Under these assumptions, it can be shown that only 23 people are needed to achieve a probability of more than $1/2$ that at least two people share the same birthday. The result seems counterintuitive, since by the Pigeonhole principle, we would need 366 people to guarantee a shared birthday, considering 365 days being a standard year.

In the past several decades, many variants and extensions to the classic birthday problem have been published in the literature [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. For example, an interesting variation is the *Almost Birthday Problem* which asks for the minimum number of people needed so that at least two have birthdays within d consecutive days [3]. For $d = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, the corresponding minimum number of people needed are $n = 23, 14, 11, 9, 8$, respectively. Many of these variations are intriguing puzzles in their own right. Computationally efficient approximation algorithms to compute the solutions have been an area of great interest in the research community, since several real-world problems in the domains of computer science including hashing, cryptography [9], communication networks and load balancing in distributed systems [10], and problems in other domains including biology [11] and medicine [12], forensic science [13], statistics, social science and data science [14], can be modeled as one of the variants of the birthday problem. In a recent work [15], we derived recurrence relations and designed exact algorithms for a variant of the birthday problem called the *Strong Birthday Problem*, first introduced in [7].

*This work is done during the past two years under the supervision of Chittaranjan Tripathy. I am thankful to him for introducing me to discrete mathematics, birthday problem and then suggesting me that BBP can be an interesting problem to work on.

In this article, we consider an extension of the birthday problem, which we name as the *Bottleneck birthday problem* (BBP). The problem asks to find the maximum number of people we have to choose so that no day of the year has more than $r \geq 1$ birthdays incident on it with probability at least $1/2$. In the context of hashing, this problem previously appeared in the literature [16], who gave a recurrence relation to compute the probability. We survey this work, and derive multiple recurrence formulas to solve the problem. We also show a connection to the restricted Stirling numbers of the second kind, which we used to formulate the recurrence. We also give numerical results based on our implementations of the algorithms to solve BBP, discuss applications of this problem, and provide a note on the future directions that we think may lead to interesting research.

2 The Bottleneck Birthday Problem (BBP)

Let the number of days in a year be m and the number of people in a group be n . Let's assume that each person's birthday is equally likely to be incident on one of the days of the year. That is, the probability that i th person's birthday is incident on j th day of the year is $1/m$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$. This is a simplifying assumption for the problem, but it is a reasonable one in practical settings and may allow for extension to include other distributional assumptions. Let $r \geq 1$ be an integer threshold and $\gamma \in [0, 1]$ be a real number.

In this setting, we can define BBP as follows: what is the maximum number n_{\max} of people needed such that no day has more than r birthdays incident on it with probability at least γ . More formally,

$$n_{\max} = \max \left\{ n \mid \left(\sum_{i=1}^m X_i = n \right) \wedge \left(P\left(\max_{i=1}^m \{X_i\} \leq r \right) \geq \gamma \right) \right\}, \quad (1)$$

where X_i denotes the number of people with birthdays incident on day i , for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Since the joint distribution of X_1, \dots, X_m follows a multinomial distribution, the probability that n people's birthdays are distributed over m days so that no day has more than r birthdays incident on it can be written as

$$P(\max\{X_1, \dots, X_m\} \leq r) = \frac{1}{m^n} \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_m \geq 0 \\ k_1 + \dots + k_m = n \\ \max\{k_1, \dots, k_m\} \leq r}} \binom{n}{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m}, \quad (2)$$

where $\binom{n}{k_1, \dots, k_m} = \frac{n!}{k_1! k_2! \dots k_m!}$ is the multinomial coefficient. The denominator m^n is the size of the sample space.

The computational complexity of evaluating the above formula is exponential since we have to enumerate $O\left(\binom{n+m-1}{m-1}\right) \approx \frac{(n+m-1)^m}{m!}$ configurations.

We note that BBP can be thought of as a complementary problem to the classic birthday problem.

3 Recurrences for BBP

In this section, we give several recurrences, that appeared in the literature and the ones we have come up with. These recurrences compute the probability $P(m, n, r)$ for the input values m, n and r . For a given value of the probability threshold γ , say, $\gamma = 1/2$, binary search on the values of n can be performed to find n_{\max} . When we note the space and time complexities of the algorithms, we only note the combinatorial complexity and discard the bit-complexity of mathematical operations involving large numbers.

3.1 A Recurrence for BBP Considering One Day at a Time

The probability that exactly $k \leq r$ birthdays are incident on day j is $\binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{1}{m}\right)^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right)^{n-k}$, and the remaining $n - k$ birthdays are distributed among the remaining $m - 1$ days is $P(m - 1, n - k, r)$. By the law of total probability, summing over $k = 0, \dots, \min(n, r)$, we obtain

$$P(m, n, r) = \sum_{k=0}^{\min(n, r)} \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{1}{m}\right)^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right)^{n-k} P(m - 1, n - k, r). \quad (3)$$

The base cases are:

$$P(1, n, r) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n \leq r; \\ 0, & \text{if } n > r. \end{cases}$$

$$P(m, 0, r) = 1, \quad \text{for all } m \geq 1.$$

The above recurrence can be implemented using dynamic programming. The space complexity is $O(mnr)$ and the time complexity is $O(mnr \min(n, r))$. For a fixed r and $n = O(m)$, the time complexity is $O(m^2 r^2)$, which is polynomial time.

We note that the above recurrence is a direct application of the law of total probability to balls-and-bins problems derived from the first principles. Similar analysis for the load balancing problems appear in [17, 18, 19] for various settings.

3.2 A Recurrence for BBP Based on Counting

We derive a recurrence purely based on counting the number of ways we can arrange the birthdays in the setting of the problem BBP. Let $T(m, n, k, r)$ be the number of ways in which n people's birthdays are distributed over k days out of m possible days in a year so that no day has more than r birthdays incident on it. Clearly, $n \leq kr$. To set up a recurrence for $T(m, n, k, r)$, let's consider the following two mutually exclusive cases when we add the n th person.

Case 1: n th person forms a singleton birthday. There are $T(m, n-1, k-1, r)$ ways in which the first $n-1$ people's birthdays can be distributed over $k-1$ days so that each day has at most r people's birthdays incident on it. The singleton birthday can be one of the remaining $m - (k-1) = m - k + 1$ days. Therefore, the number of ways this can be done is $(m - k + 1)T(m, n-1, k-1, r)$.

Case 2: n th person's birthday is incident on one of the k days containing $n-1$ birthdays. Since there are $T(m, n-1, k, r)$ ways in which the first $n-1$ people's birthdays are distributed over k days so that each day has at most r people's birthdays incident on it, the addition of n th person can be done in $kT(m, n-1, k, r)$ ways. However, we must subtract the cases where n th person's addition leads to the cases when the number of birthdays incident on a particular day goes from r to $r+1$. The number of ways in which a day with r birthdays incident on it, to which n th person's birthday will be added, can be formed in all of $T(m, n-1, k, r)$, is by choosing r people from $n-1$ people in $\binom{n-1}{r}$ ways and assigning them one of the m days as birthday, and then partitioning the remaining $n-1-r$ people into $k-1$ days as birthdays out of $m-1$ possible days, where each day has at most r people's birthdays incident on it. This can be done in $m \binom{n-1}{r} T(m-1, n-1-r, k-1, r)$ ways.

Combining the above two cases we write the recurrence relation as

$$\begin{aligned} T(m, n, k, r) = & (m - k + 1)T(m, n-1, k-1, r) + kT(m, n-1, k, r) \\ & - m \binom{n-1}{r} T(m-1, n-1-r, k-1, r). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

The base cases are $T(m, 0, 0, r) = 1$, and $T(m, n, k, r) = 0$ when $m \leq 0$ or $n \leq 0$ or $k \leq 0$ or $m - k + 1 \leq 0$ or $n > kr$.

Therefore, the complete recurrence can be written as

$$T(m, n, k, r) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } (n \leq 0 \wedge k > 0) \vee (n > 0 \wedge k \leq 0) \\ & \vee (n < k) \vee (n > kr) \vee (m - k + 1 \leq 0); \\ 1, & \text{if } (n = 0 \wedge k = 0); \\ (m - k + 1)T(m, n-1, k-1, r) \\ + kT(m, n-1, k, r) \\ - m \binom{n-1}{r} T(m-1, n-1-r, k-1, r), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

The above recurrence can be computed using dynamic programming.

For the bottleneck birthday problem, $\lceil \frac{n}{r} \rceil \leq k \leq \min(m, n)$. Therefore, the number of ways in which n people can have birthdays out of m possible days so that no day has more than r people's birthdays incident on it is given by

$$N = \sum_{k=\lceil \frac{n}{r} \rceil}^{\min(m, n)} T(m, n, k, r), \quad (6)$$

and hence the probability of this occurring is

$$P(m, n, r) = \frac{1}{m^n} N = \frac{1}{m^n} \sum_{k=\lceil \frac{n}{r} \rceil}^{\min(m, n)} T(m, n, k, r). \quad (7)$$

The above formula can be computed using dynamic programming. Note that the time and space complexities are $O(mn^2r)$ and $O(mn^2)$, respectively. This algorithm is a slow one and needs more space. However, when we need the actual count for specific k values or do distribution analysis across different k values, and understanding the structure of the solutions, this recurrence gives further insights.

Next, we introduce the r -restricted Stirling number of the second kind, and use that to derive a recurrence to compute $P(m, n, r)$.

3.3 Restricted Stirling Numbers of the Second Kind

Stirling numbers of the *second kind*, denoted by $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$, counts the number of ways to partition n distinct objects $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ into k nonempty *partitions* (*subsets*). For example, $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} 4 \\ 2 \end{smallmatrix} \right\} = 7$.

We can write a recurrence for $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$ as follows (see [20] page 259):

$$\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } (n = 0 \wedge k > 0) \vee (n > 0 \wedge k = 0); \\ 1, & \text{if } (n = 0 \wedge k = 0) \vee (n > 0 \wedge k = 1); \\ \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{smallmatrix} \right\} + k \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

The r -restricted Stirling number of the second kind, which we denote here by $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\}_{\leq r}$ is the number of ways of partitioning n distinct objects, a_1, \dots, a_n , into $\lceil \frac{n}{r} \rceil \leq k \leq n$ *unlabeled* partitions where each partition has size at most $r \geq 1$. Note that this is a restriction over the Stirling number of the second kind since no partition's size can exceed r .

For example, there are 7 ways of partitioning the 4-element set $\{a_1, \dots, a_4\}$ into 2 partitions such that each partition has at most 3 elements:

$$\begin{aligned} &\{a_1, a_2, a_3\}, \{a_4\} \quad \{a_1, a_2, a_4\}, \{a_3\} \quad \{a_2, a_3, a_4\}, \{a_1\} \quad \{a_1, a_3, a_4\}, \{a_2\} \\ &\{a_1, a_2\}, \{a_3, a_4\} \quad \{a_1, a_3\}, \{a_2, a_4\} \quad \{a_1, a_4\}, \{a_2, a_3\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} 4 \\ 2 \end{smallmatrix} \right\}_{\leq 3} = 7$. Similarly, we can easily show that $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} 5 \\ 2 \end{smallmatrix} \right\}_{\leq 3} = 10$ and $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} 5 \\ 3 \end{smallmatrix} \right\}_{\leq 3} = 25$.

In order to formulate a recurrence, let's consider the n th object a_n . There are two mutually exclusive cases to consider.

Case 1: (Forms a partition). a_n forms a singleton partition. There are $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{smallmatrix} \right\}_{\leq r}$ ways in which the first $n-1$ objects are partitioned into $k-1$ partitions so that each partition has size $\leq r$. The singleton partition formed by a_n can be added to each of the above ways of partitioning to form k partitions of n objects with each partition has size $\leq r$. Therefore, the number of ways this can be done is $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{smallmatrix} \right\}_{\leq r}$.

Case 2: (Does not form a partition). a_n is inserted into any of the k nonempty partitions of the first $n-1$ objects. Since the first $n-1$ objects can be partitioned in $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\}_{\leq r}$ ways into k nonempty partitions so that each partition has size at most r , addition of a_n can be done in $k \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\}_{\leq r}$ ways. However, we must subtract the cases where a_n 's addition leads to the partition size going from r to $r+1$. The number of ways in which r -size partitions can be formed in all of $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\}_{\leq r}$ partitions is by choosing r objects from $n-1$ objects in $\binom{n-1}{r}$ ways, and then partitioning the remaining $n-1-r$ objects into $k-1$ partitions of size at most r , which in total is $\binom{n-1}{r} \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n-1-r \\ k-1 \end{smallmatrix} \right\}_{\leq r}$.

Combining the above, we get the following recurrence for $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\}_{\leq r}$.

$$\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\}_{\leq r} = \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{smallmatrix} \right\}_{\leq r} + k \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\}_{\leq r} - \binom{n-1}{r} \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n-1-r \\ k-1 \end{smallmatrix} \right\}_{\leq r}. \quad (9)$$

The base cases are $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right\}_{\leq r} = 1$, and $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\} = 0$ when $n \leq 0$ or $k \leq 0$ or $n > kr$. Also, $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ n \end{smallmatrix} \right\}_{\leq r} = 1$.

Therefore, the complete recurrence can be written as

$$\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\}_{\leq r} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } (n \leq 0 \wedge k > 0) \vee (n > 0 \wedge k \leq 0) \vee (n > kr); \\ 1, & \text{if } (n = 0 \wedge k = 0); \\ \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{smallmatrix} \right\}_{\leq r} + k \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\}_{\leq r} - \binom{n-1}{r} \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n-1-r \\ k-1 \end{smallmatrix} \right\}_{\leq r}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

See [21, 22, 23, 24] for more on the restricted Stirling numbers of the second kind and related identities. We will use the above-derived recurrence for the restricted Stirling numbers of the second kind to formulate a recurrence for BBP.

3.4 A Recurrence for BBP using the Restricted Stirling Numbers of the Second Kind

We can derive a recurrence using restricted Stirling numbers of the second kind as follows. The number of ways of distributing n people's birthdays over m possible days in a year so that each day has at most r birthdays incident on it can be done as follows. Let's choosing k birthdays out of m days in $\binom{m}{k}$ ways for $\lceil \frac{n}{r} \rceil \leq k \leq \min(m, n)$. For each such choice, there are $k! \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\}_{\leq r}$ ways of distributing n people into k days so that each day has at most r people's birthdays incident on it. Here $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\}_{\leq r}$ is the r -restricted Stirling number of the second kind. Therefore, the total number of ways is given by

$$N = \sum_{k=\lceil \frac{n}{r} \rceil}^{\min(m, n)} \binom{m}{k} k! \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\}_{\leq r}, \quad (11)$$

Since the number of ways in which n people can be assigned m days as birthdays is m^n , which is the size of the sample space, the probability that n people's birthdays are distributed over m possible days in a year so that each day has at most r birthdays incident on it is given by

$$P(m, n, r) = \frac{1}{m^n} N = \frac{1}{m^n} \sum_{k=\lceil \frac{n}{r} \rceil}^{\min(m, n)} \binom{m}{k} k! \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\}_{\leq r}. \quad (12)$$

The above recurrence can be implemented using dynamic programming. The space complexity is $O(n^2)$. The time complexity is $O(n^2 r + mn)$, where the first part is for computing the Stirling numbers and the second part is for compute the sum to obtain the probability.

3.5 A Recurrence Based Computing Direct Probability Computation

In this section, we develop a recurrence relation that directly computes $P(m, n, r)$, the probability that no day has more than r people's birthdays incident on it in a group of n people whose birthdays are distributed uniformly at random into the m days of a year. Our main motivation behind this approach is to avoid counting which involves large numbers and instead derive a recurrence relation that directly computes the probability. The recurrence derived in this section is adapted from [16].

Let $\langle m, n, r \rangle$ represent a *configuration* in which n people's birthdays are distributed over m days, and r is a (free) parameter. The total number of such configurations is m^n . If the distribution of birthdays is such that no day has more than r birthdays incident on it, then the configuration $\langle m, n, r \rangle$ is called *valid*, otherwise, it is called *invalid*. Let $T(m, n, r) = |\{ \langle m, n, r \rangle \mid \langle m, n, r \rangle \text{ is valid} \}|$ denote the number of valid configurations. Let $P(m, n, r)$ denote the probability of finding a valid configuration. Then

$$P(m, n, r) = \frac{T(m, n, r)}{m^n}. \quad (13)$$

For $\langle m, n, r \rangle$ to be a valid configuration, it must be the case that $\langle m, n-1, r \rangle$ is a valid configuration and adding n -th person's birthday does not violate the valid configuration. Let $Q(m, n, r)$ denote the probability that adding n -th person's birthday does not violate the valid configuration, conditioned on the first $n-1$ people's birthdays resulted in a valid configuration. Then using the formula for conditional probability, we can write

$$P(m, n, r) = P(m, n-1, r) \cdot Q(m, n, r). \quad (14)$$

We want to compute $Q(m, n, r)$. Adding n -th person's birthday gives an invalid configuration if and only if the day has already r birthdays from the first $n-1$ birthday distribution. Let the n -th person's birthday be added to the i -th day of the year which has exactly r birthdays incident on it. Let's now compute the probability that i -th day has r birthdays incident on it. This can happen in $\binom{n-1}{r}$ ways. The remaining $n-1-r$ people's birthdays must be distributed over $m-1$ days so that no day has more than r people's birthdays incident on it, which can be done in $T(m-1, n-1-r, r)$ ways. Therefore the total number of ways in which i -th day can have exactly r birthdays is

$\binom{n-1}{r} \cdot T(m-1, n-1-r, r)$. The number of valid configurations with $n-1$ people with m days, which defines the sample space, is $T(m, n-1, r)$. Therefore, the probability that i -th day has r birthdays incident on it and hence valid is

$$P(i\text{-th day has } r \text{ birthdays incident on it} \mid \text{valid configuration}) = \frac{\binom{n-1}{r} \cdot T(m-1, n-1-r, r)}{T(m, n-1, r)},$$

which, by symmetry, is for $1 \leq i \leq m$.

The expected number of days with r birthdays incident on them is

$$E[\text{number of days with } r \text{ birthdays incident on them}] = m \cdot \frac{\binom{n-1}{r} \cdot T(m-1, n-1-r, r)}{T(m, n-1, r)}.$$

Then the probability that n -th person's birthday is incident on a day with r birthdays is given by

$$\frac{E[\text{number of days with } r \text{ birthdays incident on them}]}{m} = \frac{\binom{n-1}{r} \cdot T(m-1, n-1-r, r)}{T(m, n-1, r)}.$$

In other words, it is the probability that adding n -th person's birthday violates the valid configuration, conditioned on the first $n-1$ people's birthdays resulted in a valid configuration. Therefore,

$$Q(m, n, r) = 1 - \frac{\binom{n-1}{r} \cdot T(m-1, n-1-r, r)}{T(m, n-1, r)}. \quad (15)$$

Recall that $P(m, n, r) = T(m, n, r)/m^n$. Using this in the above equation, we obtain

$$Q(m, n, r) = 1 - \frac{\binom{n-1}{r} \cdot (m-1)^{n-1-r} P(m-1, n-1-r, r)}{m^{n-1} P(m, n-1, r)}. \quad (16)$$

Using Equation 16 in Equation 14 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P(m, n, r) &= P(m, n-1, r) \cdot \left[1 - \frac{\binom{n-1}{r} \cdot (m-1)^{n-1-r} P(m-1, n-1-r, r)}{m^{n-1} P(m, n-1, r)} \right] \\ &= P(m, n-1, r) - \binom{n-1}{r} \cdot \frac{(m-1)^{n-1-r}}{m^{n-1}} \cdot P(m-1, n-1-r, r). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

The base cases of the recurrence are:

$$\begin{aligned} P(m, 0, r) &= 1, & \forall m \geq 1, & \quad \text{no days, trivially valid;} \\ P(0, n, r) &= 0, & \forall n > 0, & \quad \text{no days, trivially invalid;} \\ P(1, n, r) &= \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n \leq r; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} & & \quad \text{one day only;} \\ P(m, n, r) &= 0 & \text{if } n > mr, & \quad \text{impossibility by pigeonhole principle.} \end{aligned}$$

Taking a look at the recurrence in Equation 17, the second term in the right hand side is a correction term that adjusts for those configurations that were valid with $n-1$ people's birthdays but became invalid when n -th person's birthday is added.

The recurrence in Equation 17 can be implemented using dynamic programming. The key computation happens in evaluating the term $\binom{n-1}{r} \cdot (m-1)^{n-1-r} / m^{n-1}$. However, the computation can be carefully sequenced as follows so that big number operations can be avoided through simple memoization:

$$\binom{n-1}{r} \cdot \frac{(m-1)^{n-1-r}}{m^{n-1}} = \frac{n-1}{n-1-r} \cdot \frac{m-1}{m} \cdot \left[\binom{n-2}{r} \cdot \frac{(m-1)^{n-2-r}}{m^{n-2}} \right]. \quad (18)$$

Precomputing the values using the recurrence in Equation 18, and noting that there are in total $O(mn)$ states, the space complexity is $O(mn)$, and the time complexity is $O(mnr)$. The probability computation using this formulation is efficient both time and space complexity point of view compared to other methods we discussed previously. However, if we need to count the number of ways or desire to understand the structure of the problem, the other methods shed light in complementary ways that this method does not provide.

4 Results and Discussion

We implemented all the recurrences derived in the previous sections. We use an arbitrary precision arithmetic library so that large integer operations can be performed. The implementations all give identical results, thereby providing the validity of the theoretical derivations and implementation. The Table 1 shows the maximum number of people n required for an m -day year so that no day of the year has more than $r \geq 1$ birthdays incident on it with probability at least $1/2$, for different values of m and r .

The recurrence in Equation 12 is faster to compute both the number of ways and the probability compared to the recurrences in Equations 3 and 5. The recurrence in Equation 17 is the most efficient one to directly compute the probability values, however, we cannot use this to compute the number of ways valid configurations can be formed.

$\begin{smallmatrix} m \\ r \end{smallmatrix}$	10	25	50	100	200	365	500	1000
1	4	6	8	12	16	22	26	37
2	9	15	24	37	59	87	106	167
3	15	27	45	73	121	186	234	387
4	21	41	69	116	197	312	398	680
5	28	56	95	164	284	459	590	1030
6	35	71	124	216	380	622	805	1426
7	42	88	154	272	483	797	1038	1860
8	49	104	185	330	591	984	1286	2325
9	57	121	217	390	704	1180	1548	2818
10	65	139	250	452	822	1384	1820	3334

Table 1: The maximum number of people n required for an m -day year so that no day of the year has more than $r \geq 1$ birthdays incident on it with probability at least $1/2$.

5 Conclusion

In the article, we provided a survey of several methods for doing exact computations of the number of ways valid configurations can be generated and the probability computation for the Bottleneck Birthday Problem. We also, derived a recurrence from the first principles for counting the number of ways valid configurations made, and derived another recurrence by using restricted Stirling numbers of the second kind. We discussed their time and space complexities and provided insights into the usefulness of each method. We note that BBP applies to numerous practical problems involving load balancing in distributed systems, hash table design, resource allocations, and other areas in science and engineering. In the future, we would like to extend our studies to non-uniform distributions of birthdays and multi-dimensional extensions (e.g., person's propensity for a certain day).

References

- [1] William Feller. *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications, Volume 1*. John Wiley & Sons, New York, 3rd edition, 1968.
- [2] Richard von Mises. Über Aufteilungs- und Besetzungswahrscheinlichkeiten. (German) [on partitioning and occupation probabilities]. *Istanbul Üniversitesi Fen Fakültesi Mecmuası*, 4:145–163, 1939.
- [3] Joseph I. Naus. The teacher's corner: An extension of the birthday problem. *The American Statistician*, 22(1):27–29, 1968.
- [4] Frederick Mosteller. Understanding the birthday problem. *The Mathematics Teacher*, 55(4):322–325, 1962.
- [5] Frank H. Mathis. A generalized birthday problem. *SIAM Review*, 33(2):265–270, 1991.
- [6] T. S. Nunnikhoven. A birthday problem solution for nonuniform birth frequencies. *The American Statistician*, 46(4):270–274, 1992.
- [7] Anirban DasGupta. The matching, birthday and the strong birthday problem: a contemporary review. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, 130(1-2):377–389, 2005.
- [8] Kiyoshi Inoue and Sigeo Aki. Methods for studying generalized birthday and coupon collection problems. *Communications in Statistics - Simulation and Computation*, 37(5):844–862, 2008.

- [9] Mihir Bellare and Tadayoshi Kohno. Hash function balance and its impact on birthday attacks. In *Advances in Cryptology – EUROCRYPT 2004*, 2004.
- [10] Victor Eijkhout, Margaret Myers, and John D. McCalpin. Appearances of the birthday paradox in high performance computing. *CoRR*, abs/1909.12195, 2019.
- [11] D.M. Green and C.G. Mitchell. The birthday problem: repeated sampling of animal populations and ethics of experimental design. *Animal*, 18(11):101352, 2024.
- [12] Peter M. Krawitz, Orion J. Buske, Na Zhu, Michael Brudno, and Peter N. Robinson. The genomic birthday paradox: how much is enough? *Human Mutation*, 36(10):989–997, 2015.
- [13] David H. Kaye. Beyond uniqueness: the birthday paradox, source attribution and individualization in forensic science testimony. *Law, Probability and Risk*, 12(1):3–11, March 2013.
- [14] Jackson Gold and Maria Cuellar. How often are fingerprints repeated in the population? expanding on evidence from AI with the birthday paradox, 2024.
- [15] Chijul B. Tripathy. The strong birthday problem revisited, 2025.
- [16] M. Ramakrishna. Computing the probability of hash table/urn overflow. In *Proceedings of the 1987 Winter Simulation Conference*, pages 972–973, USA, 1987. IEEE Computer Society.
- [17] V. F. Kolchin, B. A. Sevastyanov, and V. P. Chistyakov. *Random Allocations*. Scripta Series in Statistics. V. H. Winston & Sons, Washington, 1978. Distributed by Halsted Press, New York.
- [18] C. Raab and R. Steger. "balls into bins" — a simple and tight analysis. In *Algorithms and Data Structures: 6th International Symposium, WADS 1999*, volume 1668 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, page 479–492. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1999.
- [19] Yossi Azar, Andrei Z. Broder, Anna R. Karlin, and Eli Upfal. Balanced allocations. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 29(1):1–24, 1999.
- [20] Ronald L. Graham, Donald E. Knuth, and Oren Patashnik. *Concrete Mathematics: A Foundation for Computer Science*. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 2nd edition, 1994.
- [21] Louis Comtet. *Advanced combinatorics*. D. Reidel Pub. Co., Dordrecht, 1974.
- [22] F. T. Howard. Associated stirling numbers. *Fibonacci Quarterly*, 18(4):303–315, 1980.
- [23] Feng-Zhen Zhao. Some properties of associated Stirling numbers. *Journal of Integer Sequences*, 11(1):Article 08.1.7, 2008. 9 pages.
- [24] Takao Komatsu, Kalman Liptai, and István Mező. Incomplete poly-bernoulli numbers associated with incomplete stirling numbers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1510.05799*, 2015.