

# Satellite-to-Street: Disaster Impact Estimator

<sup>1</sup>V.Sreesritha Sai  
UG Student, CSE  
VIT AP, Amaravati, INDIA  
[sreesritha.22bce8061@vitapstudent.ac.in](mailto:sreesritha.22bce8061@vitapstudent.ac.in)

<sup>2</sup>J.Sai Venkata Suma Sreeja  
UG Student, CSE  
VIT AP, Amaravati, India  
[sreeja.22bce20360@vitapstudent.ac.in](mailto:sreeja.22bce20360@vitapstudent.ac.in)

<sup>3</sup> M.Sai Sri Deepthi  
UG Student, CSE  
VIT AP, Amaravati, INDIA  
[deepthi.22bce7731@vitapstudent.ac.in](mailto:deepthi.22bce7731@vitapstudent.ac.in)

<sup>4</sup> K.Nikhil  
UG Student, CSE  
VIT AP, Amaravati, India  
[nikhil.22bce7144@vitapstudent.ac.in](mailto:nikhil.22bce7144@vitapstudent.ac.in)

## ABSTRACT

Accurate post-disaster damage assessment is of high importance for prioritizing emergency response; however, manual interpretation of satellite imagery is slow, subjective, and hard to scale. While deep-learning models for image segmentation, such as U-Net-based baselines and change-detection models, are useful baselines, they often struggle with subtle structural variations and severe class imbalance, yielding poor detection of highly damaged regions. The present work proposes a deep-learning framework that jointly processes pre- and post-disaster satellite images to obtain fine-grained pixel-level damage maps: Satellite-to-Street: Disaster Impact Estimator. The model uses a modified dual-input U-Net architecture with enhanced feature fusion to capture both the local structural changes as well as the broader contextual cues. Class-aware weighted loss functions are integrated in order to handle the dominance of undamaged pixels in real disaster datasets, thus enhancing sensitivity toward major and destroyed categories. Experimentation on publicly available disaster datasets shows improved localization and classification of structural damage when compared to traditional segmentation and baseline change-detection models. The resulting damage maps provide a rapid and consistent assessment mechanism to support and not replace expert decision-making, thus allowing more efficient, data-driven disaster management.

Keywords : Satellite Imagery, Disaster Assessment, U-Net, Semantic Segmentation, Bitemporal Analysis, Deep Learning, Damage Classification, Emergency Response.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Natural disasters occur abruptly, causing extensive damage to buildings, highways, and entire populations. In the immediate aftermath, the most crucial responsibility for rescue agencies is to determine which areas are the most devastated. Traditionally, this assessment relied on human field surveys, which may take days owing to clogged roads, water, and rough terrain. Satellite imaging has thus become a valuable tool during crises, providing comprehensive coverage in minutes. However, manually evaluating such large volumes of photos is time-consuming and error-prone for humans.

---

Recent developments in deep learning have enabled automatic damage identification in satellite imagery. Many studies have demonstrated that Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) can determine if buildings have been damaged during natural disasters, including earthquakes and wildfires [1]. Datasets like xBD and xView2 allowed researchers to provide deeper damage assessments with multiple levels of destruction. The studies showed that deep learning methods can improve emergency management decision making and dramatically reduce assessment times.

However, there are still difficulties with disaster mapping. Models tend to ignore significant damage because the majority of satellite scenes have considerably more intact portions than damaged ones. Class-weighted loss functions and change detection structures were used by some researchers to address this[2], although it is still challenging to accurately identify all damage levels. Furthermore, research from groups like the Red Cross demonstrates that the speed at which impacted communities obtain shelter, medical treatment, and other supplies is significantly impacted by delays in understanding damage.

Recent research has focussed on bitemporal analysis, which integrated images from pre and post-tragedy to allow a faster analysis. Merging two timestamps has been found to outperform using a post-disaster photo alone in the determination of even the most minute structural changes [3].

Motivated by these issues, we created Satellite-to-Street: Disaster Impact Estimator, which uses a deep-learning system to extract and categorise damage severity from satellite images. The technology combines pre- and post-disaster photos, provides pixel-level labels for different damage categories, and identifies places that require immediate care. The goal is not to replace specialists, but rather to provide them with rapid, consistent, and automated mapping to aid in disaster response.

## **2. RELATED WORK**

Early disaster mapping methods relied strongly on the manual interpretation of satellite images and traditional remote-sensing methods, which were not only slow but also struggled to cope with large-scale events. The shift to deep learning enabled significant progress, starting with large annotated datasets like xBD [4], which introduced multiclass building damage labels from paired pre- and post-disaster imagery. The xView2 challenge [5] further pushed the community forward by standardizing evaluation protocols for the tasks of building localization and damage severity grading across multiple disaster types. Complementing these efforts in dataset creation, several works demonstrated that bitemporal change-detection architectures, such as Siamese CNNs and joint feature-fusion networks, capture structural differences more reliably than single-image models do [6]. U-Net and its derivatives continue to dominate remote-sensing segmentation tasks due to their encoder-decoder structure and skip connections, which maintain spatial detail [7]. Other research addressed practical issues, such as severe class imbalance in disaster datasets, by including weighted loss functions and enhanced data augmentation. Recent research uses polygon-based annotations and JSON label formats to construct accurate ground-truth masks for segmentation networks [8]. Prior research has established deep learning, particularly bitemporal semantic segmentation, as an excellent foundation for automated disaster-impact assessment, which directly inspired the approach utilised in our system.

## **3. OVERVIEW**

Our Satellite-to-Street Disaster Impact Estimator is designed to analyse pre- and post-disaster satellite images and identify the regions most affected by a disaster. Since different landscapes respond differently to disasters, our approach handles two types of regions: (i) areas with dense topographic features such as cities, where road networks and structures are clearly visible, and (ii) areas with sparse features, such as rural or semi-urban landscapes. In congested areas, the model takes advantage of the abundance of roadways, buildings, and geometric patterns. The U-Net recognises demolished buildings, closed highways, and significant structural changes in pictures taken before and after the tragedy. In sparsely inhabited areas with widely distributed characteristics, the system focusses on land-surface variables such as floodwater spread, soil erosion, or isolated building damage. The pre- and post-disaster images are

combined into a 6-channel input and routed via the bitemporal U-Net, which generates a multi-class segmentation mask representing different levels of damage.

The block diagram shows how both types of landscapes use the same fundamental pipeline, but the model organically adapts to variances in topographic density. The output masks can then be placed on the original satellite imagery to provide a clear picture of the affected areas.





Figure 1. Block diagram demonstrating our approach

## 4. DATASETS

The proposed bitemporal damage-segmentation system is trained and tested by means of pre/post-event satellite photos, publicly available building-footprint archives, and the two most widely used disaster-mapping datasets. The large-scale building-damage databases, such as xBD 4 and xView2 5, characterize the structural condition of different structures following various natural disasters in terms of high-resolution satellite tiles and comprehensive polygon annotations. These datasets include a wide variety of calamities such as earthquakes, floods, hurricanes, and wildfires, and provide consistent multi-class classification, which can prove useful for detecting generally applicable patterns of damage. As depicted in Figure 1, the image pairs are each composed of a multi-category damage label map and a corresponding building footprint mask, along with a pre-disaster tile and its post-disaster counterpart. To supplement building-level annotations, geospatial sources such as SpaceNet, OpenStreetMap, and the Open Buildings project provide vector geometry for road and settlement layouts. These sources enable the aggregation of pixel-level forecasts into higher-level representations such as street-segment significance maps, as illustrated in Figure 2. Multispectral datasets, such as Sentinel-2 images and Copernicus EMS Rapid Mapping products, provide extra information regarding environmental context and surface variation. Together, these



**Figure 1.** 4 panels: (a) Pre-disaster high-res tile, (b) Post-disaster tile, (c) Building mask/polygons, (d) Damage labels (heatmap).




**Figure 2.** shows building predictions overlaid on a street graph, and resulting street-priority heatmap.

datasets provide a solid platform for developing and validating deep-learning algorithms for satellite-based catastrophe damage assessment, allowing models to learn structural changes across a wide range of hazards, geographic regions, and imaging conditions.

## 5. METHODOLOGY

### 5.1 Overview of Proposed Framework

The main process frequently employed in previous building-centric disaster-mapping systems is shown in Figure 5.1.1[9]. In these workflows, bounding boxes for all visible structures are created by first processing pre- and post-disaster satellite tiles using a specialised building-detection model . To detect damaged buildings, external damage



assessments like UNOSAT annotations are used . After damaged and undamaged structures are separated through a filtering stage (Step 3), training samples are created by applying normalisation and data-augmentation techniques (Step

4). Previous change-detection studies extensively used such multi-stage, detection-driven pipelines[10], particularly in systems that use cropped patches instead of full-resolution tiles.[11]

Figure 5.1.1 The data generation pipeline: (1) Pre- and post-disaster satellite images are first passed through the building detection model to identify all buildings. (2) Damaged buildings are extracted from manual damage assessments of the region provided by UNOSAT. (3) Negative examples are obtained by removing the buildings tagged as damaged from all detected buildings. (4) Damaged and undamaged examples are normalized, and data augmentation is applied.

## 5.2 Data Preparation

The dataset consists of a set of pairs of pre- and post-event satellite tiles and polygon-based damage comments. Each pre-event image is matched with a corresponding post-event tile, aligning them so the model can learn about the structure changes due to the disaster. The images are all 1024×1024 and are shrunk down to 256×256 with high-quality interpolation and transformed to a standard RGB format. Figures 5.2.1 and 5.2.2 are typical pre- and post-disaster tiles from our trials.

Building footprints and subtype details are included in the JSON-formatted damage labels. To ensure pixel-level alignment between images and annotations, these polygon coordinates are rasterised into seven-class semantic masks after being scaled to the new resolution. This preprocessing approach enables dependable supervision for change segmentation tasks across various geographical locations [12] by adhering to recognised standards in large-scale catastrophe datasets like xBD and xView2.[13]

## 5.3 Model Training




Figure 5.2.1 Pre-disaster image



Figure 5.2.2 Post-disaster image

The model is trained using a U-Net architecture, which generates a pixel-wise seven-class damage mask from the pre-disaster and post-disaster photos as a six-channel input. While skip connections aid in preserving the fine spatial information necessary for precise segmentation, the encoder learns hierarchical characteristics that signify structural change. We employ a class-weighted cross-entropy loss, giving rare damage categories more weights as suggested in earlier disaster-mapping studies[14], to solve the severe class imbalance—where "no-damage" pixels predominate. The


Adam optimiser is used for training, and basic augmentations such flips and rotations are used to enhance generalisation. Pixel accuracy and Dice scores, which are frequently used in change-detection and semantic segmentation studies, are used to assess model performance [15].

## 5.4 Street-Level Impact Analysis

After generating pixel-level damage masks from the U-Net model, we convert these building-wise predictions into a meaningful street-level impact representation. Each detected building footprint is matched to its nearest road segment using OpenStreetMap geometry, allowing the spatial distribution of damage to be aggregated along individual streets. The final street damage index is computed using a normalized weighted formulation:

$$S_j = \frac{1}{N_j} \sum_{i \in B_j} w_i \cdot d_i$$


Where  $S_j$ = damage score of the street,  $B_j$ = set of buildings along street  $j$ ,  $d_i$  = damage level of building  $i$ ,  $w_i$  = weight proportional to building area



**Figure 5.4.1**

For broader context, Figure 5.4.2 presents an example of a more complex Siamese SE-ResNeXt-50 bitemporal network used in prior research for building damage classification. These architectures rely on deep attention modules and share-

weight dual encoders to compare pre- and post-disaster images[16]. We include this figure for comparative understanding, as such models represent the trend toward high-capacity encoders for change detection. In contrast, our approach maintains a lightweight U-Net and performs street-level analysis only as a post-processing step, ensuring computational efficiency while still enabling interpretable region-scale impact mapping[17].



Architecture	ResNet-50	ResNeXt-50
Network Depth	50	50
Dropout Regularization	0.4	0.4
Loss Function	CCE	CCE
Optimizer	Adam	Adam
Initial learning rate	0.001	0.001
Learning rate scheduling	Manual scheduling	Manual scheduling

Architecture	ResNet-50	ResNeXt-50
Activation Function	ReLU	ReLU
Mini Batch Size	64	64
Epochs Trained	50	50

Figure 5.4.2

# 6. RESULTS

A series of experiments were conducted to evaluate the performance of the proposed SE-ResNeXt50 U-Net model for pixel-level building damage segmentation and downstream street-level impact estimation. All experiments were performed using Python and PyTorch on the xBD benchmark dataset, following a consistent 70–30 train–test split. Evaluation focused on pixel-level accuracy, IoU, Dice score, and street-priority correlation

Training and inference were performed on a machine with an NVIDIA GPU using PyTorch, OpenCV, and Albumentations. Both pre- and post-accident photos were scaled to  $256 \times 256$ , normalised, and stacked channel-wise. Random flips, rotations, blur, and colour jitter were among the data augmentation techniques used to improve generalisation. To reduce class imbalance, especially for minor and major damage, class-weighted cross-entropy, a popular method in building-damage segmentation, was applied. Ground-truth polygon labels were converted into pixel-wise masks using scaled coordinates from the xBD annotation JSON files. The model was trained for 50 epochs with the Adam optimiser at a learning rate of  $1e-4$ , and then it was evaluated on unseen disaster events to measure generalisation across hazard kinds.

## 6.1 Segmentation Performance

The IoU and Dice score for each damage class are shown in Table 6.1.1. The model demonstrated a high capacity to distinguish between no-damage, partial damage, and complete destruction with an overall IoU of 0.74 and Dice of 0.81. The qualitative results (Fig. 6.1.2) clearly detect collapsed structures, roof detachment, and debris clusters, and the predicted masks and ground-truth polygons closely align.

Table 6.1.1

CLASS	IoU	Dice
No Damage	0.82	0.89
Minor Damage	0.65	0.68
Major Damage	0.58	0.72
Destroyed	0.75	0.83
Mean	0.74	0.81




Figure 6.1.2 (a) Pre-disaster image, (b) Post-disaster image, (c) Predicted mask, (d) Ground-truth mask.

## 6.2 Model Comparison

To validate the architectural contribution, we compared our SE-ResNeXt50 encoder with a standard ResNet-50 U-Net. As shown in Table 6.2.1, the SE-ResNeXt50 U-Net achieved higher IoU due to squeeze-and-excitation attention and grouped convolutions, which help capture fine-grained structural changes.

Encoder	mIoU	Dice
ResNet-50 U-Net	0.69	0.76
SE-ResNeXt50 U-Net	0.74	0.81

## 7. CONCLUSION

In this work, we developed an end-to-end deep learning framework for building-damage assessment using pre- and post-disaster satellite imagery. The system integrates a U-Net architecture with an SE-ResNeXt50 encoder to enhance hierarchical feature extraction through residual group convolutions and channel-wise attention, resulting in improved discrimination of subtle structural changes [18],[19]. Experiments on the xBD benchmark demonstrate that the model achieves consistent performance across four levels of damage severity, with strong Dice and IoU scores even in visually complex environments. To extend pixel-level predictions into actionable insights, a street-level impact analysis module was introduced. By linking building polygons to their nearest road segments and aggregating weighted damage scores, the system generates priority heatmaps that highlight critical routes for emergency response[20]. This representation aligns with recent findings showing that combining semantic segmentation with spatial network modeling significantly improves post-disaster accessibility analysis.

Overall, the proposed framework offers a scalable and reliable solution for rapid disaster impact estimation, effectively bridging fine-grained building damage detection with street-scale operational planning. With future integration of multispectral imagery, transformer encoders, and larger-scale road network analytics, the system can be extended into a comprehensive decision-support tool for disaster management agencies and humanitarian responders [21].

## 8. FUTURE WORK

Future research can concentrate on enhancing the suggested disaster-impact framework's operational utility and accuracy. The model would be more reliable in situations where optical data is scarce because of clouds, smoke, or nighttime circumstances if multispectral or SAR imagery were included. The system's capacity to capture long-range structural dependencies may also be improved by transformer-based encoders or hybrid CNN-ViT designs, particularly in densely populated areas. In terms of decision-making, incorporating Graph Neural Networks (GNNs) into the street-level study could offer more accurate modelling of how damage spreads throughout interconnected road networks. Lastly, connecting the system with GIS dashboards and deploying information via a lightweight edge-cloud pipeline would enable near-real-time assessments for emergency agencies during major disaster situations. Further refinements can also focus on improving the system's efficiency so it can run faster during large-scale emergencies. In addition, expanding the dataset with more diverse disaster scenarios would help the model generalize better to real-world conditions.

## 9. REFERENCES

- [1] Gupta, R., Hosfelt, R., Sajeev, S., et al., “xBD: A Dataset for Assessing Building Damage from Satellite Imagery,” CVPR Workshops, 2019.
- [2] Xu, S., Xia, G., Bai, X., et al., “Siamese Networks for Bitemporal Change Detection in Remote Sensing,” IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 2020.
- [3] Chen, F., Wu, Z., Du, Q., “Change Detection in Multispectral Imagery Using Deep Learning: A Review,” ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, 2021.
- [4] Gupta, R., et al. “xBD: A Dataset for Assessing Building Damage from Satellite Imagery.” CVPR Workshops, 2019.
- [5] Hagerty, J., Huyck, C., Basu, S., “The xView2 Challenge: Advancing Building Damage Assessment with Pre- and Post-Event Satellite Imagery.” IEEE GRSS, 2020.
- [6] Daudt, R., et al. “Fully Convolutional Siamese Networks for Change Detection.” ICIP, 2018.
- [7] Ronneberger, O., Fischer, P., Brox, T., “U-Net: Convolutional Networks for Biomedical Image Segmentation.” MICCAI, 2015.
- [8] Xu, Y., et al. “Polygon-Based Building Damage Annotation and Segmentation for Post-Disaster Assessment.” ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, 2021.
- [9] Xu, Y., Sun, X., Lunga, D., & Zhu, Z. “Building Damage Assessment Using Multi-Temporal Satellite Imagery.” *IEEE TGRS*, 2020.
- [10] Robinson, C., et al. “Large-Scale Disaster Mapping Using High-Resolution Satellite Imagery.” *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 2022.
- [11] Gupta, R., et al. “xBD: A Dataset for Assessing Building Damage from Satellite Imagery.” *CVPR Workshops*, 2019.
- [12] R. Gupta, R. Hosfelt, S. Sajeev, N. Patel, C. Robinson, L. Jiao, G. Goodman, and C. Huyck, “xBD: A Dataset for Assessing Building Damage from Satellite Imagery,” *Proc. IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops (CVPRW)*, 2019.
- [13] X. Xu, S. Roy, H. Chen, C. Robinson, and R. Schultz, “xView2: A Large-Scale Challenge Dataset for Post-Disaster Damage Assessment,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.02628*, 2020.

- [14] F. Ma, X. Wang, and Y. Li, "Deep Learning-Based Change Detection for Disaster Response," *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 452–456, 2021.
- [15] V. Iglovikov and A. Shvets, "TernausNet: U-Net with VGG11 Encoder Pre-Trained on ImageNet for Image Segmentation," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1801.05746*, 2018.
- [16] Y. Deng and S. Wang, "Siamese attention networks for bitemporal building damage assessment," *Remote Sensing*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 1–21, 2022. doi:10.3390/rs14030721.
- [17] S. Saha, Y. Chen, and R. Shah, "Post-disaster road network accessibility analysis using building damage and satellite imagery," *Remote Sensing Letters*, vol. 12, no. 10, pp. 1010–1019, 2021. doi:10.1080/2150704X.2021.1950864.
- [18] O. Ronneberger, P. Fischer, and T. Brox, "U-Net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation," *Proc. MICCAI*, 2015.
- [19] J. Hu, L. Shen, and G. Sun, "Squeeze-and-Excitation Networks," *Proc. CVPR*, pp. 7132–7141, 2018.
- [20] S. Saha, Y. Chen, and R. Shah, "Post-disaster road network accessibility analysis using building damage and satellite imagery," *Remote Sensing Letters*, vol. 12, no. 10, pp. 1010–1019, 2021.
- [21] Y. Deng and S. Wang, "Siamese attention networks for bitemporal building damage assessment," *Remote Sensing*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 1–21, 2022.