

Global well-posedness for hyperbolic SPDEs with non-Lipschitz coefficients driven by space-time Lévy white noise

Raluca M. Balan^{*†} Juan J. Jiménez[‡] Lluís Quer-Sardanyons[§]

November 28, 2025

Abstract

In this article, we study the global well-posedness of hyperbolic SPDEs on a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^d , driven by a space-time Lévy white noise, where the drift and diffusion coefficients are assumed to be locally Lipschitz with at most linear growth. The equations are driven by two types of space-time Lévy noise: (i) a finite-variance Lévy white noise, and (ii) a symmetric Lévy basis that may have infinite variance. A typical example of the second class is the symmetric α -stable (SaS) random measure with $\alpha \in (0, 2)$.

MSC 2020: Primary 60H15; Secondary: 60G60, 60G51, 60G52

Keywords: stochastic partial differential equations, random fields, Lévy noise, stochastic wave equation, stable random measures

1 Introduction

SPDEs driven by Lévy noise provide a mathematical framework for modeling diffusive or vibrating systems affected by heavy-tailed randomness. Such models capture discontinuous phenomena found in diverse areas, including financial crashes, turbulent flows, and cosmic radiation. The study of discontinuous random systems dates back to the early 20th century, when classical models like Brownian motion proved insufficient for describing systems with sudden, large jumps. This limitation motivated the development of Lévy processes, which provide a mathematical framework for modeling discontinuous behavior.

^{*}University of Ottawa, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, 150 Louis Pasteur Private, Ottawa, ON, K1N 6N5, Canada. E-mail address: rbalan@uottawa.ca.

[†]Research supported by a grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

[‡]Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Auburn University, 221 Parker Hall, Auburn, Alabama 36849, USA. E-mail address: juj0003@auburn.edu.

[§]Department of Mathematics, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain. E-mail address: lluis.quer@uab.cat.

There exist several mathematical frameworks for studying SPDEs. The random field approach, introduced by Walsh [43], interprets solutions as real-valued random fields, while the infinite-dimensional approach, developed by Da Prato and Zabczyk [18], treats them as stochastic processes taking values in a Hilbert space. We review briefly below the literature related to SPDEs driven by Lévy noise using the random field approach, which is the topic of the present article. We refer the reader to the monograph [35] for a study of SPDEs with Lévy noise using the infinite-dimensional approach.

One of the first studies on SPDEs driven by infinite-variance Lévy noise is [38], which established the existence and uniqueness of the solution to the stochastic heat equation:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t, x) = \Delta u(t, x) + \sigma(u(t, x))\dot{\Lambda}(t, x) \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d \quad (1)$$

under the condition

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |z|^p \nu(dz) < \infty \quad \text{for some } 0 < p < 1 + \frac{2}{d}, \quad (2)$$

where Λ is a Lévy white noise with Lévy measure ν and possibly infinite variance. Condition (2) fails for the α -stable Lévy noise, whose Lévy measure is given by:

$$\nu(dz) = c_1(-z)^{-\alpha-1}1_{(-\infty, 0)}(z) + c_2 z^{-\alpha-1}1_{(0, \infty)}(z),$$

for some $c_1, c_2 \geq 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 2)$. In this case, Mueller [30] established the existence of a solution when $\alpha < 1$ and $\sigma(u) = u^\gamma$, while Mytnik [32] addressed the case $\alpha > 1$.

The existence of solution for a general SPDEs driven by an α -stable Lévy noise with Lipschitz coefficient σ was established in [2] for equations on bounded domains. For equations on the entire space \mathbb{R}^d , a major advance occurred in article [11], which established the existence of a random field solution to equation (1), under the assumption that:

$$\int_{|z| \leq 1} |z|^p \nu(dz) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{|z| > 1} |z|^q \nu(dz) < \infty,$$

for some $0 < q \leq p$. In [13], the authors proved the regularity of the sample paths of the solution of the stochastic heat equation on bounded domains, and on the entire space \mathbb{R}^d . The uniqueness of the solution was proved in [6] for equation (1) with $\sigma(u) = \beta u$ for some $\beta > 0$. In the case of the stochastic wave equation driven by infinite variance Lévy noise, on the entire domain \mathbb{R}^d (in dimension $d \leq 2$), with globally Lipschitz coefficient σ , the existence of the solution was proved in [3], while the uniqueness was shown in [24].

In [28], the authors developed a white noise framework for the study of SPDEs driven by a d -parameter (pure jump) Lévy white noise, and illustrated this theory for solving the stochastic Poisson equation with Lévy white noise.

In the recent years, there has been an increased interest in studying SPDEs with locally Lipschitz coefficients. Many advances have been made in the case of equations driven by Gaussian noise. These equations may not have a global solution. Moreover, a global solution may not be unique, as shown for instance in [29, 31, 33, 34].

In [15], the authors studied the stochastic heat equation on $(0, 1)$, driven by the space-time Gaussian white noise W :

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t, x) = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(t, x) + b(u(t, x)) + \sigma(u(t, x))\dot{W}(t, x), \quad t > 0, x \in (0, 1) \quad (3)$$

with Dirichlet boundary conditions $u(t, 0) = u(t, 1) = 0$, and proved that if

$$b(z) = O(|u| \log |z|) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(z) = O(|z| \log |z|),$$

then there exists a global solution. Articles [7, 23] show that, if σ is constant and b is locally Lipschitz, non-decreasing on $(0, \infty)$ and non-negative, then the solution of (3) blows up in finite time if and only if the *finite Osgood-condition* holds:

$$\int_a^\infty \frac{1}{b(z)} dz < \infty \quad \text{for some } a > 0. \quad (4)$$

In [21], the authors considered the same problem for the stochastic heat equation on the entire domain \mathbb{R}^d , and proved that if b is locally Lipschitz, non-negative and non-decreasing, and σ is globally Lipschitz with $0 < \inf_{z \in \mathbb{R}} \sigma(z) \leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} \sigma(z) < \infty$, then condition (4) implies that the solution *blows up everywhere and instantaneously*, i.e.

$$\mathbb{P}(u(t, x) = \infty \quad \text{for all } t > 0 \text{ and } x \in \mathbb{R}) = 1,$$

where $u(t, x) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} u_N(t, x)$ and u_N is solution of the equation with drift coefficient $b_N(z) = b(z \wedge N)$. If $\sigma(z) = z$, it is expected that the solution will still blow up, as observed in [25] in the discrete case of interacting SDEs. Recent extensions have been provided in [8] for the colored noise in time: in particular, their results show that if $b(z) = z^p$ for some $p > 1 + \frac{2}{d}$, then the solution will not explode, provided that the initial condition is small enough.

Furthermore, an especial attention has been put in the case of the stochastic heat equation with locally Lipschitz coefficients which are super-linear. The one-dimensional case has been considered in [40], where the author proved existence of global solutions assuming that b satisfies the *infinite Osgood-condition* and allowing σ to grow superlinearly. On the entire domain \mathbb{R}^d and assuming that σ is constant, in [39] the existence of global solutions has been proved provided that b satisfies an Osgood-type condition. The extension of this result to the case where σ may have super-linear growth at infinity has been addressed in [8] (see also [9]). Finally, in [41] a general parabolic SPDE on a bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^d has been considered, assuming that the nonlinearities f and σ grow superlinear and f is not assumed to be dissipative. Then, the author provides kind of Osgood-conditions of f and σ under which there is no explosion of the solution in finite time.

For SDEs driven by Lévy white noise in time, this topic has been widely studied, but not as much as the Gaussian analogue; see, for example, [45]. Similarly, stochastic evolution equations have also been studied in different frameworks. Recently, the stochastic heat equation with non-Lipschitz coefficients driven by a time-homogeneous compensated

Poisson random measure was studied in [27]. To our knowledge, the only work that studies SPDEs driven by a time-space Lévy white noise in a context similar to this work is [44], where the existence of a weak solution for the heat equation driven by truncated α -stable white noises with non-Lipschitz coefficients is proved. This is achieved using an approximation weak convergence method for the coefficient. However, it is not known if the framework in [44] is equivalent to ours, nor is it known if this weak solution also satisfies the mild formulation.

In the present article, we continue this line of investigation dedicated to SPDEs with locally Lipschitz coefficients. The novelty lies in establishing the well-posedness of random-field solutions for a hyperbolic class of SPDEs driven by a space-time Lévy white noise with possibly infinite variance, such as *the symmetric α -stable Lévy white noise* for $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ in space-time.

We introduce now the framework for this article. We will consider an equation on a bounded domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. The equation will contain the fractional (or spectral) power $(-\Delta)^\gamma$ of the Laplacian, for $\gamma > 0$, which is defined by:

$$(-\Delta)^\gamma e_k = \lambda_k^\gamma e_k,$$

where $(\lambda_k)_{k \geq 1}$ are the eigenvalues of $-\Delta$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions, and $(e_k)_{k \geq 1}$ are the corresponding eigenfunctions forming a complete orthonormal basis of $L^2(D)$. Additionally, throughout this work, we denote by $H_r(D)$ *the fractional Sobolev space of order $r \in \mathbb{R}$* ; see Appendix A.

For the mild formulation of the solution, we will use the Green function $G_t(x, y)$ of the operator $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + (-\Delta)^\gamma$ on D with Dirichlet boundary conditions. The function G_t has the following spectral representation:

$$G_t(x, y) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\sin(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2} t)}{\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}} e_k(x) e_k(y) 1_{\{t \geq 0\}} \quad x, y \in D. \quad (5)$$

Representation (5) can be deduced following the same arguments on pages 183-184 in [20]. An important property for our analysis will be the fact that, if $\gamma > d/2$, then

$$\int_0^T \left(\sup_{x \in D} \int_D G_t^2(x, y) dy \right) dt < \infty \quad \text{for any } T > 0. \quad (6)$$

For the sake of completeness, we prove this result in Lemma 2.5.

Remark 1.1. To ensure that (6) holds for $\gamma \in (\frac{d}{2}, d]$, we additionally assume that the domain D satisfies *the cone property*. When $\gamma > d$, no extra condition on D is required.

As mentioned above, we will consider two driving Lévy noise processes. We include below the definitions of these processes, which are based on the same Poisson random measure J .

Let J be a Poisson random measure on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}_0$, defined on a complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, of intensity $\mu(dt, dx, dz) = dt dx \nu(dz)$, where ν is a *Lévy measure* on \mathbb{R}_0 , that is ν satisfies the condition:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_0} (z^2 \wedge 1) \nu(dz) < \infty.$$

Here $\mathbb{R}_0 := \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ is equipped with the distance $d(x, y) = |x^{-1} - y^{-1}|$, so that bounded sets in \mathbb{R}_0 are in fact bounded away from 0. We let $\tilde{J}(F) = J(F) - \mu(F)$ be the compensated version of J , for any bounded Borel set F in $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}_0$.

In the first part of this article (Section 2), we consider a (finite-variance) *Lévy white noise* $L = \{L(B); B \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d)\}$, defined by:

$$L(B) = \int_{B \times \mathbb{R}_0} z \tilde{J}(dt, dx, dz), \quad (7)$$

where $\mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ is the class of bounded Borel subsets of $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d$, and ν satisfies:

$$m_2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} z^2 \nu(dz) < \infty.$$

We refer the reader to Section 2.1 for the definition of the stochastic integral with respect to L , which uses the concept of predictability.

We consider the fractional stochastic wave equation on D , driven by noise L :

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}(t, x) = -(-\Delta)^\gamma u(t, x) + b(u(t, x)) + \sigma(u(t, x)) \dot{L}(t, x), & t > 0, x \in D, \\ u(0, x) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(0, x) = 0, & x \in D, \\ u(t, x) = 0, & t > 0, x \in \partial D. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

We have the following definition.

Definition 1.2. A process $\{u(t, x); t \geq 0, x \in D\}$ is a local solution of equation (8) if it is predictable and there exists a stopping time τ such that, for any $t \geq 0$ and $x \in D$,

$$u(t, x) = \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x, y) b(u(s, y)) dy ds + \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x, y) \sigma(u(s, y)) L(ds, dy),$$

almost surely (a.s.) on the event $\{t < \tau\}$, where the stochastic integral is the Itô integral. If we can take $\tau = \infty$, then u is a global solution.

In view of Lemma B.1, the fact that u is a local solution means that there exists a stopping time τ such that, for any $t \geq 0$ and $x \in D$,

$$1_{\{t < \tau\}} u(t, x) = 1_{\{t < \tau\}} \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x, y) b(u(s, y)) dy ds \quad (9)$$

$$+ 1_{\{t < \tau\}} \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x, y) \sigma(u(s, y)) L(ds, dy) \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (10)$$

We introduce the following assumption:

Assumption 1.3. (i) b and σ are *locally Lipschitz*, i.e. for any $N > 0$, there exist constants $C_{b,N}, C_{\sigma,N} > 0$ such that for any $\xi, \eta \in [-N, N]$,

$$|b(\xi) - b(\eta)| \leq C_{b,N}|\xi - \eta| \quad \text{and} \quad |\sigma(\xi) - \sigma(\eta)| \leq C_{\sigma,N}|\xi - \eta|,$$

(ii) b and σ have *linear growth*, i.e. there exist constants $D_b, D_\sigma > 0$ such that for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|b(\xi)| \leq D_b(1 + |\xi|) \quad \text{and} \quad |\sigma(\xi)| \leq D_\sigma(1 + |\xi|). \quad (11)$$

We are now ready to state the first main result of this article.

Theorem 1.4. *If $\gamma > d$ and Assumption 1.3 holds, then for any fixed $r \in (\frac{d}{2}, \gamma - \frac{d}{2})$, equation (8) has a unique global solution u such that the process $t \mapsto \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}$ is càdlàg a.s. in \mathbb{R}_+ .*

We explain briefly the strategy for constructing the global solution to equation (8). In the case of equations with locally Lipschitz coefficients, driven by space-time Gaussian white noise, a common procedure for equations on bounded domains (used for instance in [15, 23, 8]) is to consider the stopping time:

$$T_N = \inf\{t > 0; \sup_{x \in D} |u_N(t, x)| > N\},$$

where u_N is the solution of the equation with truncated coefficients (b_N, σ_N) , and identify sufficient conditions on (b, σ) under which $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} T_N = \infty$ almost surely. This method uses the fact that the solution u_N is continuous in (t, x) , which implies that $u_N(t, \cdot) \in L^\infty(D)$ for all $t \geq 0$, and hence T_N is well-defined. In the recent preprint [22], a different method was used, which shows the convergence of the sequence $\{u_N(t, x)\}_{N \geq 1}$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ uniformly in (t, x) , without using the stopping time T_N . This method relies on proving some exponential bounds on the tail probabilities of $u_N(t, x)$, and a careful analysis on the dependence on N in these bounds.

In the case of equations driven by Lévy noise, the solution may not be bounded (nor continuous) on D , and hence T_N is not well-defined. To circumvent this difficulty, we prove that u_N has a modification \tilde{u}_N with values in the Sobolev space $H_r(D)$ with $0 < r < \gamma - \frac{d}{2}$, for which the map $t \mapsto \|\tilde{u}_N(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}$ is càdlàg (i.e. right-continuous with left limits). Then, we consider the stopping time:

$$\tau_N = \inf\{t > 0; \|\tilde{u}_N(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)} > N\}.$$

Assuming in addition that $r > d/2$ (which forces the restriction $\gamma > d$), we use the embedding of $H_r(D)$ into $L^\infty(D)$, and we provide an exponential bound on the second moment of $\sup_{t \leq T} \|\tilde{u}_N(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}$ which does not depend on N (see Theorem 2.8 below). Finally, as in [15], we show that with probability 1: (i) $u_N(t, x) = u_{N+1}(t, x)$ if $t < \tau_N$; (ii) $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \tau_N = \infty$. This procedure allows us to construct a global solution by pasting the solutions $(u_N)_{N \geq 1}$, i.e. defining $u(t, x) = u_N(t, x)$ for $\tau_{N-1} \leq t < \tau_N$, with $\tau_0 = 0$. Property (i) ensures that $u(t, x) = u_N(t, x)$ if $t < \tau_N$, while (ii) shows that u is global.

In the second part of this paper (Section 3), we assume that the noise is given by a *Lévy basis* $\Lambda = \{\Lambda(A); A \in \mathcal{P}_b\}$, defined by:

$$\Lambda(A) = \int_0^\infty \int_D \int_{\{|z| \leq 1\}} 1_A(t, x) z \tilde{J}(dt, dx, dz) + \int_0^\infty \int_D \int_{\{|z| > 1\}} 1_A(t, x) z J(dt, dx, dz), \quad (12)$$

where J and \tilde{J} are as above, and we assume that ν is *symmetric*. Here \mathcal{P}_b is the class of bounded predictable sets, given by Definition 2.1 below. We refer the reader to Section 3.1 for the definition and properties of the stochastic integral with respect to Λ .

Remark 1.5. (i) The process $\{Z(B) = \Lambda(\Omega \times B); B \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}_+ \times D)\}$ is an ID independently scattered random measure, as defined in [37]. $Z(B)$ may not have any moments.

(ii) If the measure ν is given by:

$$\nu(dz) = \frac{1}{2} \alpha |z|^{-\alpha-1} dz \quad \text{for some } \alpha \in (0, 2),$$

we say that Λ is a *symmetric α -stable (S α S) Lévy basis*. The process $\{Z(B); B \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d)\}$ is a S α S random measure, as defined in [42].

We consider the fractional stochastic wave equation on D , with noise Λ :

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}(t, x) = -(-\Delta)^\gamma u(t, x) + b(u(t, x)) + \sigma(u(t, x)) \dot{\Lambda}(t, x), & t > 0, x \in D, \\ u(0, x) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(0, x) = 0, & x \in D, \\ u(t, x) = 0, & t > 0, x \in \partial D. \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

An analogue of (13) on \mathbb{R}^d was studied in [16], in the Gaussian case.

Definition 1.6. A process $\{u(t, x); t \geq 0, x \in D\}$ is a local solution of equation (13) if it is predictable and there exists a stopping time τ such that, for any $t \geq 0$ and $x \in D$,

$$u(t, x) = \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x, y) b(u(s, y)) dy ds + \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x, y) \sigma(u(s, y)) \Lambda(ds, dy),$$

almost surely (a.s.) on the event $\{t < \tau\}$, where the stochastic integral is interpreted in the sense of Definition 3.1. If we can take $\tau = \infty$, then u is a global solution.

We are now ready to state the second main result of this article.

Theorem 1.7. *If $\gamma > d$ and Assumption 1.3 holds, and ν is symmetric, then for any fixed $r \in (\frac{d}{2}, \gamma - \frac{d}{2})$, equation (13) has a unique global solution u such that the process $t \mapsto \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}$ is càdlàg a.s. in \mathbb{R}_+ .*

Corollary 1.8. *If $\gamma > d$ and Assumption 1.3 holds, then equation (8) driven by S α S Lévy basis L has a unique global solution in the sense of Theorem 1.7, for any $\alpha \in (0, 2)$.*

Remark 1.9. Due to the restriction $\gamma > d$, the methods presented in this article do not apply to the stochastic wave equation (for which $\gamma = 1$).

Remark 1.10. For the stochastic heat equation driven by Lévy noise in space-time, it is well known from [13] that for any $r < -\frac{1}{2}$, the solution u of the stochastic heat equation with multiplicative noise and Lipschitz coefficients has a càdlàg version in $H_r(D)$. In particular, the constraint $r < -\frac{1}{2}$ is optimal even in the most basic case of a pure-jump Lévy white noise; see Remark 2.6 in [13]. Consequently, the techniques developed in this present work cannot be applied to the parabolic analogue of (8) due to this optimal constraint and Theorem A.1.

To prove Theorem 1.7, we will use a strategy that has been used in the literature for SPDEs driven by heavy tailed Lévy noise. We first consider the truncated noise Λ^K , which is obtained by removing the “large” jumps (which exceed a fixed value K). Due to the symmetry assumption on ν , Λ^K becomes a finite variance Lévy noise, as (7). By Theorem 1.4, equation (8) with noise L replaced by Λ^K has a global solution u^K . We show that the solutions $(u^K)_{K \geq 1}$ are consistent, in the sense that $u^K(t, x) = u^{K+1}(t, x)$ if $t < \tau^K$, where τ^K is the first time when J has a jump that exceeds K . Finally, by pasting the solutions $(u^K)_{K \geq 1}$, we obtain a global solution for equation (8).

The rest of the article is organized in two sections, which are dedicated to the proofs of Theorem 1.4 (Section 2), respectively Theorem 1.7 (Section 3). The appendix contains some auxiliary results.

2 The finite variance case

In this section, we consider the equation driven by the finite variance Lévy noise L . In Section 2.1, we introduce some preliminaries about Itô integration with respect to L . In Section 2.2, we examine the equation with noise L and globally Lipschitz coefficients (b, σ) . Finally, in Section 2.3, we present the proof of Theorem 1.4.

2.1 Preliminaries

In this section, we include some background material which is used in this paper.

We start by recalling that the filtration induced by J is given by:

$$\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma\{J([0, s] \times A \times B); s \in [0, t], A \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d), B \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}_0)\} \vee \mathcal{N}, \quad (14)$$

where \mathcal{N} is the class of \mathbb{P} -negligible sets, $\mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the class of bounded Borel sets in \mathbb{R}^d , and $\mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}_0)$ is the class of bounded Borel subsets of \mathbb{R}_0 . The filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is right-continuous, i.e.

$$\mathcal{F}_t = \mathcal{F}_{t+} \text{ for all } t \geq 0, \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{F}_{t+} := \bigcap_{s \leq t} \mathcal{F}_s.$$

All stopping times and martingales considered in this article are with respect to $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$.

We now introduce the concepts of predictable sets and predictable processes. We start by recalling that an *elementary process* is a linear combination of processes of the form

$$X(t, x) = Y 1_{(a, b]}(t) 1_A(x), \quad t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where $0 \leq a < b$, Y is \mathcal{F}_a -measurable and $A \in \mathcal{B}_d(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Definition 2.1. The *predictable* σ -field \mathcal{P} on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d$ is the σ -field generated by elementary processes. A set $A \in \mathcal{P}$ is called *predictable*. We let \mathcal{P}_b be the class of all bounded predictable sets A , i.e. sets $A \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $A \subseteq \Omega \times [0, k] \times [-k, k]^d$ for some $k > 0$. A process $\{X(t, x); t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ is called *predictable* if it is \mathcal{P} -measurable.

Recall that the noise L is given by (7). Then, for any set $B \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\mathbb{E}[L(B)] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}[|L(B)|^2] = m_2|B|,$$

where $|B|$ is the Lebesgue measure of B . Therefore, we can define the *Itô integral* $\int H dL$ with respect to L , for any predictable process H such that $\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |H(t, x)|^2 dx dt \right] < \infty$ for all $T > 0$. This integral is an isometry:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H(t, x) L(dt, dx) \right|^2 \right] = m_2 \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |H(t, x)|^2 dx dt \right],$$

and the process $\{\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H(s, x) L(ds, dx); t \geq 0\}$ is a square-integrable martingale.

2.2 The globally Lipschitz case

Because our method is based on truncating the coefficients b and σ , we consider first the equation with globally Lipschitz coefficients. Therefore, in this sub-section, we assume that b and σ are globally Lipschitz, with Lipschitz constants L_b and L_σ : for any $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|b(\xi) - b(\eta)| \leq L_b |\xi - \eta| \quad \text{and} \quad |\sigma(\xi) - \sigma(\eta)| \leq L_\sigma |\xi - \eta|.$$

Clearly, this implies that b and σ satisfy the linear growth condition (11) with constants $D_b = \max\{L_b, b(0)\}$ and $D_\sigma = \max\{L_\sigma, \sigma(0)\}$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let L be the Lévy white noise given by (7). Assume that b and σ are globally Lipschitz and $\gamma > d/2$. Then, equation (8) has a unique global solution u satisfying*

$$K_T := \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sup_{x \in D} \mathbb{E}[|u(t, x)|^2] < \infty \quad \text{for all } T > 0. \quad (15)$$

More precisely, for any $t \geq 0$ and $x \in D$,

$$u(t, x) = \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x, y) b(u(s, y)) dy ds + \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x, y) \sigma(u(s, y)) L(ds, dy) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Consequently, $\int_D \mathbb{E}[|u(t, x)|^2] dx < \infty$, and hence, $u(t, \cdot) \in L^2(D)$ a.s. for any $t > 0$.

Proof. This follows by classical methods (e.g. the proof of Theorem 13 of [14]), using Picard's iterations and relation (6). \square

We recall the following definition.

Definition 2.3. We say that \tilde{u} is a *modification* of u if

$$\mathbb{P}(u(t, x) = \tilde{u}(t, x)) = 1 \quad \text{for any } t \geq 0 \text{ and } x \in D. \quad (16)$$

Remark 2.4. If u is a global solution of (8) and \tilde{u} is a modification of u , then \tilde{u} is also a global solution of (8).

We remind that $(\lambda_k)_{k \geq 1}$ denote the eigenvalues of $-\Delta$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions, and $(e_k)_{k \geq 1}$ are the corresponding eigenfunctions. We will use the following asymptotic property of $(\lambda_k)_{k \geq 1}$, called the *Weyl's Law* (see [5, Thm. 1.11]):

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_k}{k^{2/d}} = \frac{4\pi\Gamma(1 + \frac{2}{d})^{2/d}}{|D|^{2/d}}. \quad (17)$$

Hence, there exist $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that $c_1 k^{2/d} \leq \lambda_k \leq c_2 k^{2/d}$ for all $k \geq 1$, and so,

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda_k^{r-\gamma} < \infty \quad \text{if and only if} \quad r < \gamma - \frac{d}{2}. \quad (18)$$

Weyl's law is also used to prove an important property of the Green function G :

Lemma 2.5. *If $\gamma > \frac{d}{2}$, then relation (6) holds.*

Proof. Using the expansion (5) and the fact that $(e_k)_{k \geq 1}$ form an orthonormal basis of $L^2(D)$, we have

$$\int_D G_t^2(x, y) dy = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\sin^2(\lambda_k^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} t)}{\lambda_k^\gamma} e_k^2(x).$$

Then, bounding the term $\sin^2(\lambda_k^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} t)$ by 1, we end up with

$$\int_0^T \left(\sup_{x \in D} \int_D G_t^2(x, y) dy \right) dt \leq T \sup_{x \in D} \sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda_k^{-\gamma} e_k^2(x).$$

By (A.2) in [12], the sum above is uniformly bounded on D for $\gamma > d$. For $\frac{d}{2} < \gamma \leq d$, if the domain D satisfies the cone property (see Remark 1.1), then by Theorem 8.2 in [1] there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $x \in D$ and all $u \geq 0$,

$$V(u, x) := \sum_{\lambda_k \leq u} |e_k(x)|^2 \leq C u^{d/2}.$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{\lambda_k < q} \frac{|e_k(x)|^2}{\lambda_k^\gamma} = \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_{k_q}} u^{-\gamma} V(du, x),$$

where $\lambda_{k_q} := \max\{\lambda_k : \lambda_k < q\}$. By integration by parts,

$$\int_{\lambda_1^-}^{\lambda_{k_q}} u^{-\gamma} V(du, x) \leq C \left(\lambda_{k_q}^{-\gamma+d/2} + \gamma \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_{k_q}} u^{-\gamma-1+d/2} du \right).$$

Letting $q \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{|e_k(x)|^2}{\lambda_k^\gamma} \leq C\gamma \int_{\lambda_1}^{\infty} u^{-\gamma-1+d/2} du < \infty.$$

□

For the next result, we refer the reader to Appendix A for the definition of the Sobolev space $H_r(D)$. We denote by $|D|$ the Lebesgue measure of D .

Theorem 2.6. *Let L be the Lévy white noise given by (7). Assume that b and σ are globally Lipschitz and $\gamma > d/2$. Let u be the solution of equation (8). Let $0 < r < \gamma - \frac{d}{2}$ be arbitrary. Then, there exists a modification \tilde{u} of u such that, for any $T > 0$,*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\tilde{u}(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2 \right] \leq (T+1)T\hat{C}_T(1+K_T), \quad (19)$$

where K_T is given by (15), and

$$\hat{C}_T := 4(T|D|D_b^2 + 16m_2D_\sigma^2) \sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda_k^{r-\gamma}. \quad (20)$$

Consequently, for any $T > 0$, $\mathbb{P}(\tilde{u}(t, \cdot) \in H_r(D) \text{ for any } t \in [0, T]) = 1$. Moreover, the function $t \mapsto \|\tilde{u}(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}$ is càdlàg on $[0, \infty)$, with probability 1.

Proof. Step 1. In this step we prove that for any $T > 0$ fixed, there exists a process $\{\tilde{u}(t, x); t \in [0, T], x \in D\}$ such that (16) holds for any $t \in [0, T]$ and $x \in D$, and for which (19) is satisfied. In *Step 2*, we will show that this process can be extended to $[0, \infty)$.

We define

$$\begin{aligned} I(t, x) &= \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x, y) b(u(s, y)) dy ds \\ Z(t, x) &= \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x, y) \sigma(u(s, y)) L(ds, dy). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.2, for any $t \geq 0$ and $x \in D$, we have:

$$u(t, x) = I(t, x) + Z(t, x) \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (21)$$

where the negligible set depends on (t, x) .

We study I first. The k -th Fourier coefficient of $I(t, \cdot)$ is:

$$\begin{aligned} I_k(t) &:= \mathcal{F}_k[I(t, \cdot)] = \langle I(t, \cdot), e_k \rangle_{L^2(D)} = \int_0^t \int_D \left(\int_D G_{t-s}(x, y) e_k(x) dx \right) b(u(s, y)) dy ds \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}} \int_0^t \int_D \sin(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}(t-s)) e_k(y) b(u(s, y)) dy ds, \end{aligned}$$

where for the last line we used the fact that:

$$\mathcal{F}_k[G_t(\cdot, y)] = \frac{\sin(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2} t)}{\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}} e_k(y). \quad (22)$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, and the linear growth property of b ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \leq T} |I_k(t)|^2 &\leq \frac{T|D|}{\lambda_k^\gamma} \int_0^T \int_D \sin^2(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}(t-s)) |e_k(y)|^2 |b(u(s, y))|^2 dy ds \\ &\leq \frac{2T|D|D_b^2}{\lambda_k^\gamma} \int_0^T \int_D |e_k(y)|^2 (1 + |u(s, y)|^2) dy ds \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

and hence, since $\int_D |e_k(y)|^2 dy = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\sup_{t \leq T} |I_k(t)|^2] &\leq \frac{2T|D|D_b^2}{\lambda_k^\gamma} \int_0^T \int_D |e_k(y)|^2 (1 + \mathbb{E}[|u(s, y)|^2]) dy ds \\ &\leq \frac{2T|D|D_b^2}{\lambda_k^\gamma} (1 + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}[|u(t, x)|^2]) \int_0^T \int_D |e_k(y)|^2 dy ds \\ &= \frac{2T^2|D|D_b^2}{\lambda_k^\gamma} (1 + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}[|u(t, x)|^2]) < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

By definition, $\|I(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2 = \sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda_k^r |I_k(t)|^2$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\sup_{t \leq T} \|I(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2] &\leq \sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda_k^r \mathbb{E}[\sup_{t \leq T} |I_k(t)|^2] \\ &\leq 2T^2|D|D_b^2 \sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda_k^{r-\gamma} (1 + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}[|u(t, x)|^2]) < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

In particular, $I(t, \cdot) \in H_r(D)$ for all $t \in [0, T]$, with probability 1.

Next, we treat Z . By the stochastic Fubini theorem (Theorem A.3 of [13]) and (22), the k -th Fourier coefficient of $Z(t, \cdot)$ is:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_k(t) &:= \mathcal{F}_k[Z(t, \cdot)] = \langle Z(t, \cdot), e_k \rangle_{L^2(D)} \\ &= \int_0^t \int_D \left(\int_D G_{t-s}(x, y) e_k(x) dx \right) \sigma(u(s, y)) L(ds, dy) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}} \int_0^t \int_D \sin(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}(t-s)) e_k(y) \sigma(u(s, y)) L(ds, dy). \end{aligned}$$

Using the formula $\sin(a-b) = \sin a \cos b - \cos a \sin b$, we write

$$Z_k(t) = \frac{1}{\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}} [\sin(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2} t) M^{(k)}(t) - \cos(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2} t) N^{(k)}(t)], \quad (26)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M^{(k)}(t) &:= \int_0^t \int_D \cos(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2} s) e_k(y) \sigma(u(s, y)) L(ds, dy) \\ N^{(k)}(t) &:= \int_0^t \int_D \sin(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2} s) e_k(y) \sigma(u(s, y)) L(ds, dy). \end{aligned}$$

The martingales $M^{(k)}$ and $N^{(k)}$ have càdlàg modifications $\widetilde{M}^{(k)}$ and $\widetilde{N}^{(k)}$; see e.g. Corollary 1 (Section 1.2) of [36]. We define:

$$\widetilde{Z}_k(t) := \frac{1}{\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}} [\sin(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2} t) \widetilde{M}^{(k)}(t) - \cos(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2} t) \widetilde{N}^{(k)}(t)]. \quad (27)$$

Hence, the map $t \mapsto \widetilde{Z}_k(t)$ is càdlàg on $[0, T]$ (recall that a càdlàg function is a right continuous function with left limits). It follows that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq T} |\widetilde{Z}_k(t)|^2 \right] \leq \frac{2}{\lambda_k^\gamma} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq T} |\widetilde{M}^{(k)}(t)|^2 \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq T} |\widetilde{N}^{(k)}(t)|^2 \right] \right\}. \quad (28)$$

We apply Doob's maximal inequality (see, e.g., [26, Thm. 3.8]): for any non-negative and right-continuous submartingale $(M_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ such that $\mathbb{E}[M_T^p] < \infty$ for some $p > 1$, it holds

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq T} M_t^p \right] \leq \left(\frac{p}{p-1} \right)^p \mathbb{E}[M_T^p].$$

Hence, using the linear growth property of σ , we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq T} |\widetilde{M}^{(k)}(t)|^2 \right] &\leq 4 \mathbb{E} [|\widetilde{M}^{(k)}(T)|^2] \\ &= 4m_2 \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \int_D \cos^2(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2} s) |e_k(y)|^2 |\sigma(u(s, y))|^2 dy ds \right] \\ &\leq 8m_2 D_\sigma^2 \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \int_D |e_k(y)|^2 (1 + |u(s, y)|^2) dy ds \right] \\ &\leq 8m_2 D_\sigma^2 T \left(1 + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}[|u(t, x)|^2] \right). \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

The same inequality holds for $\widetilde{N}^{(k)}$. Therefore, by (28), we conclude that:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq T} |\widetilde{Z}_k(t)|^2 \right] \leq \frac{32m_2 D_\sigma^2 T}{\lambda_k^\gamma} \left(1 + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}[|u(t, x)|^2] \right) < \infty.$$

It follows that on an event Ω^* of probability 1, $\sup_{t \leq T} |\widetilde{Z}_k(t)|^2 < \infty$ and hence, $\widetilde{Z}_k(t) \in L^2(D)$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. On the event Ω^* , we define

$$\widetilde{Z}(t, x) := \sum_{k \geq 1} \widetilde{Z}_k(t) e_k(x) \quad \text{for any } t \in [0, T], x \in D.$$

For any $t \in [0, T]$, consider the following event of probability 1:

$$\Omega_t = \bigcap_{k \geq 1} \{M^{(k)}(t) = \widetilde{M}^{(k)}(t) \text{ and } N^{(k)}(t) = \widetilde{N}^{(k)}(t)\}.$$

By (26) and (27), on the event Ω_t , $\widetilde{Z}_k(t) = \mathcal{F}_k Z(t, \cdot)$ for all $k \geq 1$. Therefore, on the event $\Omega_t \cap \Omega^*$, $Z(t, x) = \widetilde{Z}(t, x)$ for all $x \in D$. This means that for any $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\mathbb{P}(Z(t, x) = \widetilde{Z}(t, x) \text{ for all } x \in D) = 1. \quad (30)$$

By definition, $\|\widetilde{Z}(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2 = \sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda_k^r |\widetilde{Z}_k(t)|^2$, and hence

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq T} \|\widetilde{Z}(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2 \right] \leq \sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda_k^r \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq T} |\widetilde{Z}_k(t)|^2 \right] \quad (31)$$

$$\leq 32m_2 D_\sigma^2 T \sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda_k^{r-\gamma} \left(1 + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}[|u(t, x)|^2] \right) < \infty. \quad (32)$$

In particular, $\widetilde{Z}(t, \cdot) \in H_r(D)$ for all $t \in [0, T]$, with probability 1. On the event Ω^* , we define for any $t \in [0, T]$ and $x \in D$,

$$\widetilde{u}(t, x) := I(t, x) + \widetilde{Z}(t, x). \quad (33)$$

Relation (16) now follows from (21), (33) and (30). By (25) and (32),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq T} \|\widetilde{u}(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2 \right] &\leq 2 \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq T} \|I(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2 \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq T} \|\widetilde{Z}(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2 \right] \right\} \\ &\leq 2(2T^2 |D| D_b^2 + 32m_2 D_\sigma^2 T) \sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda_k^{r-\gamma} \left(1 + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}[|u(t, x)|^2] \right) \\ &= T \widehat{C}_T (1 + K_T) =: \widetilde{C}_T. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Step 2. For any $T > 0$, let $\{\widetilde{u}^{(T)}(t, x); t \in [0, T], x \in D\}$ be the process from *Step 1*. This means that $\mathbb{P}(u(t, x) = \widetilde{u}^{(T)}(t, x)) = 1$ for any $t \in [0, T]$ and $x \in D$, and

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq T} \|\widetilde{u}^{(T)}(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2 \right] \leq \widetilde{C}_T.$$

Define

$$\widetilde{u}(t, x) := \widetilde{u}^{(N)}(t, x) \quad \text{if } t \in [N-1, N] \text{ and } x \in D.$$

Then (16) clearly holds. To prove that (19) holds, let $T > 0$ be arbitrary. Then there exists some integer $N \geq 1$ such that $T \in [N-1, N]$. It follows that $\sup_{t \leq T} \|\widetilde{u}(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2 = \max\{X_1, \dots, X_N\}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} X_k &= \sup_{t \in [k-1, k]} \|\widetilde{u}(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2 = \sup_{t \in [k-1, k]} \|\widetilde{u}^{(k)}(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r}^2 \quad \text{for } k \leq N-1, \\ X_N &= \sup_{t \in [N-1, T]} \|\widetilde{u}(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2 = \sup_{t \in [N-1, T]} \|\widetilde{u}^{(N)}(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\mathbb{E}[X_k] \leq \tilde{C}_k \leq \tilde{C}_T$ for any $k \leq N-1$, and $\mathbb{E}[X_N] \leq \tilde{C}_T$. Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t \leq T} \|\tilde{u}(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\max\{X_1, \dots, X_N\}\right] \leq \sum_{k=1}^N \mathbb{E}[X_k] \leq N\tilde{C}_T \leq (T+1)\tilde{C}_T.$$

Step 3. In this step, we prove that $t \mapsto \|\tilde{u}(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}$ is càdlàg on $[0, T]$. Note that

$$\|\tilde{u}(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2 = \sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda_k^r |\mathcal{F}_k[\tilde{u}(t, \cdot)]|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_k[\tilde{u}(t, \cdot)] = I_k(t) + \tilde{Z}_k(t).$$

The map $t \mapsto I_k(t)$ is continuous, while $t \mapsto \tilde{Z}_k(t)$ is càdlàg.

Let $S_n(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k^r |\mathcal{F}_k[\tilde{u}(t, \cdot)]|^2$. Then $t \mapsto S_n(t)$ is càdlàg on $[0, T]$. The same argument as for (25) and (32) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t \leq T} |S_n(t) - \|\tilde{u}(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2|\right] \\ & \leq 2(2T^2|D|D_b^2 + 32m_2D_\sigma^2T) \sum_{k \geq n+1} \lambda_k^{r-\gamma} \left(1 + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}[|u(t, x)|^2]\right), \end{aligned}$$

and the latter term tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, along a subsequence, $S_n(t) \rightarrow \|\tilde{u}(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2$ uniformly in $t \in [0, T]$, with probability 1. Since the uniform limit of càdlàg functions is càdlàg (see e.g. [36, Thm. 43]), the map $t \mapsto \|\tilde{u}(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2$ is càdlàg on $[0, T]$, with probability 1. □

In the case when $\frac{d}{2} < r < \gamma - \frac{d}{2}$, we can replace (19) by a more powerful inequality, which does not depend on K_T . This new inequality (given by Theorem 2.8 below) will be used in Section 2.3 in the case when b and σ are locally Lipschitz. To prove this inequality, we introduce two operators \mathcal{T} and $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$. We explain this below.

Let \mathcal{L}_{loc}^2 be the set of all predictable processes $\phi = \{\phi(t, x); t \geq 0, x \in D\}$ such that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sup_{x \in D} \mathbb{E}[|\phi(t, x)|^2] < \infty \quad \text{for all } T > 0.$$

We now define the operators \mathcal{T} and $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$, and describe their relation with the solution u and its modification \tilde{u} .

a) *The operator \mathcal{T} .* For any $\phi \in \mathcal{L}_{loc}^2$, we let $\mathcal{T}\phi = \mathcal{I}\phi + \mathcal{Z}\phi$, where

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{I}\phi)(t, x) &= \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x, y) b(\phi(s, y)) dy ds \\ (\mathcal{Z}\phi)(t, x) &= \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x, y) \sigma(\phi(s, y)) L(ds, dy). \end{aligned}$$

We consider the Fourier coefficients: for any $k \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{I}_k \phi)(t) &= \mathcal{F}_k[(\mathcal{I}\phi)(t, \cdot)] = \frac{1}{\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}} \int_0^t \int_D \sin(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}(t-s)) e_k(y) b(\phi(s, y)) dy ds \\ (\mathcal{Z}_k \phi)(t) &= \mathcal{F}_k[(\mathcal{Z}\phi)(t, \cdot)] = \frac{1}{\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}} [\sin(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2} t) M_\phi^{(k)}(t) - \cos(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2} t) N_\phi^{(k)}(t)] \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_\phi^{(k)}(t) &= \int_0^t \int_D \cos(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2} s) e_k(y) \sigma(\phi(s, y)) L(ds, dy) \\ N_\phi^{(k)}(t) &= \int_0^t \int_D \sin(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2} s) e_k(y) \sigma(\phi(s, y)) L(ds, dy). \end{aligned}$$

Then $I = \mathcal{I}u$, $Z = \mathcal{Z}u$, $I_k = \mathcal{I}_k u$, $Z_k = \mathcal{Z}_k u$, $M^{(k)} = M_u^{(k)}$ and $N^{(k)} = N_u^{(k)}$, where u is the solution of equation (8) and $I, Z, I_k, Z_k, M^{(k)}, N^{(k)}$ are defined in the proof of Theorem 2.6. Note that

$$(\mathcal{T}\phi)(t, x) = \sum_{k \geq 1} ((\mathcal{I}_k \phi)(t) + (\mathcal{Z}_k \phi)(t)) e_k(x),$$

and hence, the Fourier coefficients of $(\mathcal{T}\phi)(t, \cdot)$ are:

$$\mathcal{F}_k[(\mathcal{T}\phi)(t, \cdot)] = (\mathcal{I}_k \phi)(t) + (\mathcal{Z}_k \phi)(t), \quad \text{for all } k \geq 1.$$

b) *The operator $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$.* Define

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_k \phi)(t) = \frac{1}{\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}} [\sin(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2} t) \widetilde{M_\phi^{(k)}}(t) - \cos(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2} t) \widetilde{N_\phi^{(k)}}(t)], \quad (36)$$

where $\widetilde{M_\phi^{(k)}}$ and $\widetilde{N_\phi^{(k)}}$ are càdlàg modifications of the martingales $M_\phi^{(k)}$ and $N_\phi^{(k)}$. Let

$$\tilde{\mathcal{T}}\phi = \mathcal{I}\phi + \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}\phi \quad \text{where} \quad (\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}\phi)(t, x) = \sum_{k \geq 1} (\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_k \phi) e_k(x). \quad (37)$$

Then $\tilde{Z}_k = \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_k u$, where \tilde{Z}_k is given by (27). The Fourier coefficients of $(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}\phi)(t, \cdot)$ are:

$$\mathcal{F}_k[(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}\phi)(t, \cdot)] = (\mathcal{I}_k \phi)(t) + (\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_k \phi)(t), \quad \text{for all } k \geq 1. \quad (38)$$

Since $\mathbb{P}((\mathcal{Z}_k \phi)(t) = (\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_k \phi)(t)) = 1$ for all $t \geq 0$ and $k \geq 1$, it follows that for any $t \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}((\mathcal{T}\phi)(t, x) = (\tilde{\mathcal{T}}\phi)(t, x) \quad \text{for all } x \in D) = 1. \quad (39)$$

c) *The solution u and its modification \tilde{u} .* Assume that $\gamma > d/2$, and let u be a global solution of (8). This means that

$$\mathbb{P}(u(t, x) = (\mathcal{T}u)(t, x)) = 1 \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0, x \in D.$$

By definition (33) of \tilde{u} , we know that $\tilde{u} = \tilde{\mathcal{T}}u$. By (39), we have: for any $t \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}((\mathcal{T}u)(t, x) = \tilde{u}(t, x) \quad \text{for all } x \in D) = 1.$$

The following result will be used in the proofs of Theorem 2.8 and Lemma 2.10 below. It is a crucial statement, because it allows us to express the modification \tilde{u} as the sum of a Lebesgue integral and a stochastic integral, a.s, with the precise respective integrands.

Lemma 2.7. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.6,*

$$\mathbb{P}(\tilde{u}(t, x) = (\tilde{\mathcal{T}}\tilde{u})(t, x) \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0 \text{ and } x \in D) = 1.$$

Proof. Using (38) for $\phi = u$ and $\phi = \tilde{u}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t \leq T} \|\tilde{u}(t, \cdot) - (\tilde{\mathcal{T}}\tilde{u})(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2\right] &\leq \sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda_k^r \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t \leq T} |\mathcal{F}_k[(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}u)(t, \cdot)] - \mathcal{F}_k[(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}\tilde{u})(t, \cdot)]|^2\right] \\ &\leq 2 \left\{ \sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda_k^r \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t \leq T} |(\mathcal{I}_k u)(t) - (\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_k u)(t)|^2\right] + \sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda_k^r \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t \leq T} |(\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_k u)(t) - (\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_k \tilde{u})(t)|^2\right] \right\} \\ &\leq 2(T|D|L_b^2 + 16m_2L_\sigma^2) \sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda_k^{r-\gamma} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}[|u(t, x) - \tilde{u}(t, x)|^2] = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is proved similarly to (25) and (32), using the Lipschitz property of b and σ . Hence, by Theorem A.1, we obtain that, for any $T > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(\tilde{u}(t, x) = (\tilde{\mathcal{T}}\tilde{u})(t, x) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T] \text{ and } x \in D) = 1.$$

Finally, we take the intersection for all $T \in \mathbb{Q}_+$ of these events of probability 1. \square

Theorem 2.8. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.6, assume in addition that $\gamma > d$ and $\frac{d}{2} < r < \gamma - \frac{d}{2}$. Then, for any $T > 0$,*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\tilde{u}(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2\right] \leq T\hat{C}_T \exp(T\hat{C}_T \mathcal{C}_\infty^2),$$

where \hat{C}_T is given by (20) and \mathcal{C}_∞ is the embedding constant from Theorem A.1.

Proof. On the event of probability 1 given by Lemma 2.7, $\tilde{u} = \tilde{\mathcal{T}}\tilde{u} = \mathcal{I}\tilde{u} + \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}\tilde{u}$. Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t \leq T} \|\tilde{u}(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2\right] \leq 2 \left\{ \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t \leq T} \|(\mathcal{I}\tilde{u})(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t \leq T} \|(\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}\tilde{u})(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2\right] \right\}. \quad (40)$$

We examine separately the two terms.

We treat $\mathcal{I}\tilde{u}$ first. Recall that $(\mathcal{I}\tilde{u})(t, \cdot)$ has Fourier coefficients $\{(\mathcal{I}_k \tilde{u})(t)\}_{k \geq 1}$ given by (35) with $\phi = \tilde{u}$. Similarly to (23),

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \leq T} |(\mathcal{I}_k \tilde{u})(t)|^2 &\leq \frac{2T|D|D_b^2}{\lambda_k^\gamma} \int_0^T \int_D |e_k(y)|^2 (1 + |\tilde{u}(s, y)|^2) dy ds \\ &\leq \frac{2T|D|D_b^2}{\lambda_k^\gamma} \int_0^T (1 + \sup_{y \in D} |\tilde{u}(s, y)|^2) ds, \end{aligned}$$

where for the second line we used the fact that $\int_D |e_k(y)|^2 dy = 1$. By Theorem A.1,

$$\sup_{y \in D} |\tilde{u}(s, y)|^2 \leq \mathcal{C}_\infty^2 \|\tilde{u}(s, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2. \quad (41)$$

Hence,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq T} |(\mathcal{I}_k \tilde{u})(t)|^2 \right] \leq \frac{2T|D|D_b^2}{\lambda_k^\gamma} \int_0^T (1 + \mathcal{C}_\infty^2 \mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{u}(s, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2]) ds,$$

and

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq T} \|(\mathcal{I} \tilde{u})(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2 \right] \leq 2T|D|D_b^2 \sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda_k^{r-\gamma} \int_0^T (1 + \mathcal{C}_\infty^2 \mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{u}(s, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2]) ds. \quad (42)$$

Next, we examine $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}\tilde{u}$. Recall that $(\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}\tilde{u})(t, \cdot)$ has Fourier coefficients $\{(\mathcal{Z}_k \tilde{u})(t)\}_{k \geq 1}$ given by (36) with $\phi = \tilde{u}$. Similarly to (29),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq T} |\widetilde{M}_u^{(k)}(t)|^2 \right] &\leq 8m_2 D_\sigma^2 \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \int_D |e_k(y)|^2 (1 + |\tilde{u}(s, y)|^2) dy ds \right] \\ &\leq 8m_2 D_\sigma^2 \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T (1 + \sup_{y \in D} |\tilde{u}(s, y)|^2) ds \right], \end{aligned}$$

where for the second line we used the fact that $\int_D |e_k(y)|^2 dy = 1$. Using again the embedding inequality (41), it follows that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq T} |\widetilde{M}_u^{(k)}(t)|^2 \right] \leq 8m_2 D_\sigma^2 \int_0^T (1 + \mathcal{C}_\infty^2 \mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{u}(s, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2]) ds.$$

The same inequality holds also for $\tilde{N}_u^{(k)}$. Therefore, similarly to (28), we conclude that:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq T} |(\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_k \tilde{u})(t)|^2 \right] \leq \frac{32m_2 D_\sigma^2}{\lambda_k^\gamma} \int_0^T (1 + \mathcal{C}_\infty^2 \mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{u}(s, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2]) ds.$$

Similarly to (31), we infer that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq T} \|(\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}\tilde{u})(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2 \right] \leq 32m_2 D_\sigma^2 \sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda_k^{r-\gamma} \int_0^T (1 + \mathcal{C}_\infty^2 \mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{u}(s, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2]) ds. \quad (43)$$

Using (40), (42) and (43), it follows that:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq T} \|\tilde{u}(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2 \right] \leq \widehat{C}_T \int_0^T (1 + \mathcal{C}_\infty^2 \mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{u}(s, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2]) ds,$$

where \widehat{C}_T is given by (20). It follows that for any $T > 0$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq T} \|\tilde{u}(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2 \right] \leq \widehat{C}_T T + \widehat{C}_T \mathcal{C}_\infty^2 \int_0^T \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{\rho \leq s} \|\tilde{u}(\rho, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2 \right] ds.$$

The conclusion follows by Gronwall's lemma. \square

2.3 Proof of Theorem 1.4

In this subsection, we give the proof of Theorem 1.4. We fix r such that $\frac{d}{2} < r < \gamma - \frac{d}{2}$, and we let $\mathcal{C}_\infty = \mathcal{C}_\infty(r)$ be the embedding constant of $H_r(D)$ into $L^\infty(D)$; see Theorem A.1.

For any $N \geq 1$, we consider the truncated functions:

$$b_N(\xi) = \begin{cases} b(\xi) & \text{if } |\xi| \leq \mathcal{C}_\infty N \\ b(\mathcal{C}_\infty N) & \text{if } \xi > \mathcal{C}_\infty N \\ b(-\mathcal{C}_\infty N) & \text{if } \xi < -\mathcal{C}_\infty N \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_N(\xi) = \begin{cases} \sigma(\xi) & \text{if } |\xi| \leq \mathcal{C}_\infty N \\ \sigma(\mathcal{C}_\infty N) & \text{if } \xi > \mathcal{C}_\infty N \\ \sigma(-\mathcal{C}_\infty N) & \text{if } \xi < -\mathcal{C}_\infty N \end{cases}$$

Note that b_N and σ_N are globally Lipschitz, with Lipschitz constants:

$$L_N^{(b)} := L_{b, \mathcal{C}_\infty N} \quad \text{and} \quad L_N^{(\sigma)} := L_{\sigma, \mathcal{C}_\infty N},$$

the respective Lipschitz constants of b and σ on the interval $[-\mathcal{C}_\infty N, \mathcal{C}_\infty N]$. The functions b_N and σ_N also have linear growth, with the *same* constants D_b and D_σ as b and σ : for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|b_N(\xi)| \leq D_b(1 + |\xi|) \quad \text{and} \quad |\sigma_N(\xi)| \leq D_\sigma(1 + |\xi|).$$

We consider equation (8) with (b, σ) replaced by (b_N, σ_N) :

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}(t, x) = -(-\Delta)^\gamma u(t, x) + b_N(u(t, x)) + \sigma_N(u(t, x)) \dot{L}(t, x), & t > 0, x \in D, \\ u(0, x) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(0, x) = 0, & x \in D \\ u(t, x) = 0, & t > 0, x \in \partial D \end{cases} \quad (44)$$

We denote by u_N the global solution of equation (44): for any $t \geq 0$ and $x \in D$,

$$u_N(t, x) = \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x, y) b_N(u_N(s, y)) dy ds + \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x, y) \sigma_N(u_N(s, y)) L(ds, dy) \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (45)$$

Let \tilde{u}_N be the process given by Theorem 2.6 (a modification of u_N). Then, by Theorems 2.6 and 2.8,

a) $\mathbb{P}(u_N(t, x) = \tilde{u}_N(t, x) \text{ for all } x \in D) = 1$ for any $t \geq 0$; in particular, \tilde{u}_N is a modification of u_N and satisfies (45);

b) $\mathbb{E}[\sup_{t \leq T} \|\tilde{u}_N(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2] \leq (T+1)T\hat{C}_T(1 + K_{T,N})$ where \hat{C}_T is given by (20) and

$$K_{T,N} := \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sup_{x \in D} \mathbb{E}[|u_N(t, x)|^2];$$

c) the map $t \mapsto \|\tilde{u}_N(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}$ is càdlàg on \mathbb{R}_+ ;

d) $\mathbb{E}[\sup_{t \leq T} \|\tilde{u}_N(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2] \leq T\hat{C}_T \exp(T\hat{C}_T \mathcal{C}_\infty^2)$ for any $T > 0$, where \hat{C}_T is given by (20).

Define

$$\tau_N = \inf \{ t > 0; \|\tilde{u}_N(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)} > N \}.$$

By Lemma B.2, τ_N is a stopping time with respect to the filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$. Note that

$$\|\tilde{u}_N(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)} \leq N \quad \text{if } t < \tau_N.$$

Since $r > d/2$, by Theorem A.1, it follows that if $t < \tau_N$, then for any $x \in D$,

$$|\tilde{u}_N(t, x)| \leq \|\tilde{u}_N(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(D)} \leq \mathcal{C}_\infty \|\tilde{u}_N(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)} \leq \mathcal{C}_\infty N, \quad (46)$$

Then, using the definitions of b_N and σ_N , we infer that:

$$b_N(\tilde{u}_N(t, x)) = b(\tilde{u}_N(t, x)) \quad \text{for all } x \in D, \quad \text{if } t < \tau_N, \quad (47)$$

$$\sigma_N(\tilde{u}_N(t, x)) = \sigma(\tilde{u}_N(t, x)) \quad \text{for all } x \in D, \quad \text{if } t < \tau_N. \quad (48)$$

In addition to the local property for the stochastic integral with respect to L , given by Lemma C.1, we will use the following (obvious) local property of the Lebesgue integral:

$$1_{\{t < \tau\}} \int_0^t \int_D X(s, y) dy ds = 1_{\{t < \tau\}} \int_0^t \int_D X(s, y) 1_{\{s < \tau\}} dy ds, \quad (49)$$

for any function $\tau : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $s \mapsto 1_{\{s < \tau(\omega)\}}$ is measurable on \mathbb{R}_+ , for any $\omega \in \Omega$.

The following result deals with the consistency in the definition of \tilde{u}_N . Though later on we will indeed prove a stronger statement, we include its proof because some parts of it will be used in other proofs in the sequel.

Lemma 2.9. *If $\gamma > d$ and Assumption 1.3 holds, then for any $N \geq 1$, $t > 0$ and $x \in D$,*

$$\tilde{u}_N(t, x) = \tilde{u}_{N+1}(t, x) \quad \text{a.s. on } \{t < \tau_N\}. \quad (50)$$

Proof. In view of Lemma B.1, we have to prove that: for any $N \geq 1$, $t > 0$ and $x \in D$,

$$1_{[0, \tau_N)}(t) (\tilde{u}_N(t, x) - \tilde{u}_{N+1}(t, x)) = 0 \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (51)$$

We fix (t, x) . We write (45) for $\tilde{u}_N(t, x)$ and for $\tilde{u}_{N+1}(t, x)$, we take the difference of these two equations, and then we multiply by $1_{[0, \tau_N)}(t)$. We obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & 1_{[0, \tau_N)}(t) (\tilde{u}_N(t, x) - \tilde{u}_{N+1}(t, x)) \\ &= 1_{[0, \tau_N)}(t) \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x, y) (b_N(\tilde{u}_N(s, y)) - b_{N+1}(\tilde{u}_{N+1}(s, y))) dy ds \\ & \quad + 1_{[0, \tau_N)}(t) \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x, y) (\sigma_N(\tilde{u}_N(s, y)) - \sigma_{N+1}(\tilde{u}_{N+1}(s, y))) L(ds, dy) \\ &= 1_{[0, \tau_N)}(t) \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x, y) (b_N(\tilde{u}_N(s, y)) - b_{N+1}(\tilde{u}_{N+1}(s, y))) 1_{\{s < \tau_N\}} dy ds \\ & \quad + 1_{[0, \tau_N)}(t) \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x, y) (\sigma_N(\tilde{u}_N(s, y)) - \sigma_{N+1}(\tilde{u}_{N+1}(s, y))) 1_{\{s < \tau_N\}} L(ds, dy), \end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we used the local property given by (49) and Lemma C.1. If $t < \tau_N$, then $|\tilde{u}_N(t, x)| \leq C_\infty N$ for any $x \in D$ (by (46)), and hence,

$$b_N(\tilde{u}_N(t, x)) = b_{N+1}(\tilde{u}_N(t, x)) = b(\tilde{u}_N(t, x)) \quad \text{for all } x \in D, \quad (52)$$

$$\sigma_N(\tilde{u}_N(t, x)) = \sigma_{N+1}(\tilde{u}_N(t, x)) = \sigma(\tilde{u}_N(t, x)) \quad \text{for all } x \in D. \quad (53)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & 1_{[0, \tau_N)}(t) (\tilde{u}_N(t, x) - \tilde{u}_{N+1}(t, x)) \\ &= 1_{[0, \tau_N)}(t) \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x, y) (b_N(\tilde{u}_N(s, y)) - b_N(\tilde{u}_{N+1}(s, y))) 1_{\{s < \tau_N\}} dy ds \\ & \quad + 1_{[0, \tau_N)}(t) \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x, y) (\sigma_N(\tilde{u}_N(s, y)) - \sigma_N(\tilde{u}_{N+1}(s, y))) 1_{\{s < \tau_N\}} L(ds, dy) \\ &=: T_1 + T_2. \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

We treat T_1 first. We take the square, we bound $1_{[0, \tau_N)}(t)$ by 1, and we use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for the $dsdy$ integral. Then we take expectation. We get:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[|T_1|^2] &\leq t|D| \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}^2(x, y) \mathbb{E}[(b_N(\tilde{u}_N(s, y)) - b_N(\tilde{u}_{N+1}(s, y)))^2 1_{\{s < \tau_N\}}] dy ds \\ &\leq t|D| (L_N^{(b)})^2 \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}^2(x, y) \mathbb{E}[(\tilde{u}_N(s, y) - \tilde{u}_{N+1}(s, y))^2 1_{\{s < \tau_N\}}] dy ds \\ &\leq t|D| (L_N^{(b)})^2 \int_0^t \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}[(\tilde{u}_N(s, y) - \tilde{u}_{N+1}(s, y))^2 1_{\{s < \tau_N\}}] \left(\sup_{x \in D} \int_D G_{t-s}^2(x, y) dy \right) ds, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that b_{N+1} is globally Lipschitz. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[|T_2|^2] &\leq m_2 \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}^2(x, y) \mathbb{E}[(\sigma_N(\tilde{u}_N(s, y)) - \sigma_N(\tilde{u}_{N+1}(s, y)))^2 1_{\{s < \tau_N\}}] dy ds \\ &\leq m_2 (L_N^{(\sigma)})^2 \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}^2(x, y) \mathbb{E}[(\tilde{u}_N(s, y) - \tilde{u}_{N+1}(s, y))^2 1_{\{s < \tau_N\}}] dy ds \\ &\leq m_2 (L_N^{(\sigma)})^2 \int_0^t \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}[(\tilde{u}_N(s, y) - \tilde{u}_{N+1}(s, y))^2 1_{\{s < \tau_N\}}] \left(\sup_{x \in D} \int_D G_{t-s}^2(x, y) dy \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

We denote

$$H(t) := \sup_{x \in D} \mathbb{E}[1_{[0, \tau_N)}(t) (u_N(t, x) - u_{N+1}(t, x))^2] \quad \text{and} \quad J(t) := \sup_{x \in D} \int_D G_t^2(x, y) dy.$$

Hence, we have proved that, for any $t \in [0, T]$,

$$H(t) \leq C \int_0^t H(s) J(t-s) ds,$$

where $C = T|D|(L_N^{(\sigma)})^2 + m_2(L_N^{(\sigma)})^2$. We observe that by (6), $\int_0^T J(t) dt < \infty$. By Lemma 15 of [14], $H(t) = 0$ for any $t \in [0, T]$. Hence, for any $t \in [0, T]$ and $x \in D$,

$$\mathbb{E}[1_{[0, \tau_N)}(t) (u_N(t, x) - u_{N+1}(t, x))^2] = 0.$$

Thus, we conclude that (51) holds. \square

For our developments, we will need a stronger property, which is stated by the following lemma. Note that a similar property is listed on p. 549 of [15] in the case of the stochastic heat equation with space-time Gaussian white noise.

Lemma 2.10. *If $\gamma > d$ and Assumption 1.3 holds, then for all $N \geq 1$,*

$$\mathbb{P}(\tilde{u}_N(t, x) = \tilde{u}_{N+1}(t, x) \text{ for all } t \in [0, \tau_N) \text{ and } x \in D) = 1. \quad (55)$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_N$ be the operator $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ defined by (37) with (b, σ) replaced by (b_N, σ_N) . By Lemma 2.7,

$$\mathbb{P}(\tilde{u}_N(t, x) = (\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_N \tilde{u}_N)(t, x) \text{ for all } t \geq 0 \text{ and } x \in D) = 1.$$

Let $B_N(t, x) = (\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_N \tilde{u}_N)(t, x) - (\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{N+1} \tilde{u}_{N+1})(t, x)$. Then

$$\mathbb{P}(\tilde{u}_N(t, x) - \tilde{u}_{N+1}(t, x) = B_N(t, x) \text{ for all } t \geq 0 \text{ and } x \in D) = 1,$$

and hence

$$\mathbb{E}[\sup_{t \leq T} \|\tilde{u}_N(t, \cdot) - \tilde{u}_{N+1}(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2 1_{\{t < \tau_N\}}] = \mathbb{E}[\sup_{t \leq T} \|B_N(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2 1_{\{t < \tau_N\}}]. \quad (56)$$

Note that $\|B_N(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2 = \sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda_k^r (H_k^N(t))^2$, where

$$H_k^N(t) = \mathcal{F}_k[(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_N \tilde{u}_N)(t, \cdot)] - \mathcal{F}_k[(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{N+1} \tilde{u}_{N+1})(t, \cdot)].$$

Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}[\sup_{t \leq T} \|B_N(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2 1_{\{t < \tau_N\}}] \leq \sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda_k^r \mathbb{E}[\sup_{t \leq T} (H_k^N(t))^2 1_{\{t < \tau_N\}}]. \quad (57)$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_k[(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_N \tilde{u}_N)(t, \cdot)] &= \frac{1}{\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}} \int_0^t \int_D \sin(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}(t-s)) e_k(y) b_N(\tilde{u}_N(s, y)) dy ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}} \sin(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2} t) \int_0^t \int_D \cos(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2} s) e_k(y) \sigma_N(\tilde{u}_N(s, y)) L(ds, dy) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}} \cos(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2} t) \int_0^t \int_D \sin(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2} s) e_k(y) \sigma_N(\tilde{u}_N(s, y)) L(ds, dy), \end{aligned}$$

with the convention that the stochastic integrals above have càdlàg sample paths.

Recall that if $t < \tau_N$, then (52) and (53) hold, and we can replace (b_N, σ_N) by (b_{N+1}, σ_{N+1}) . More precisely, using the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 2.9 (based on the local properties given by (49) and Lemma (C.1)), we infer that on the event $\{t < \tau_N\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_k[(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{N+1} \tilde{u}_{N+1})(t, \cdot)] &= \frac{1}{\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}} \int_0^t \int_D \sin(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}(t-s)) e_k(y) b_N(\tilde{u}_N(s, y)) dy ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}} \sin(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2} t) \int_0^t \int_D \cos(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2} s) e_k(y) \sigma_N(\tilde{u}_N(s, y)) L(ds, dy) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}} \cos(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2} t) \int_0^t \int_D \sin(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2} s) e_k(y) \sigma_N(\tilde{u}_N(s, y)) L(ds, dy). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& 1_{\{t < \tau_N\}} \lambda_k^{\gamma/2} H_k^N(t) \\
&= 1_{\{t < \tau_N\}} \int_0^t \int_D \sin(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}(t-s)) e_k(y) [b_N(\tilde{u}_N(s, y)) - b_N(\tilde{u}_{N+1}(s, y))] 1_{\{s < \tau_N\}} dy ds \\
&+ 1_{\{t < \tau_N\}} \sin(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}t) \int_0^t \int_D \cos(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}s) e_k(y) [\sigma_N(\tilde{u}_N(s, y)) - \sigma_N(\tilde{u}_{N+1}(s, y))] 1_{\{s < \tau_N\}} L(ds, dy) \\
&- 1_{\{t < \tau_N\}} \cos(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}t) \int_0^t \int_D \sin(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}s) e_k(y) [\sigma_N(\tilde{u}_N(s, y)) - \sigma_N(\tilde{u}_{N+1}(s, y))] 1_{\{s < \tau_N\}} L(ds, dy).
\end{aligned}$$

Using the same argument as for (42) and (29), and the Lipschitz property of b_N and σ_N , we obtain that:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq T} (H_k^N(t))^2 1_{\{t < \tau_N\}} \right] \\
& \leq \frac{2}{\lambda_k^\gamma} \left(T |D| L_N^{(b)} \right)^2 + 16 m_2 (L_N^{(\sigma)})^2 \int_0^t \int_D |e_k(y)|^2 \mathbb{E} [(\tilde{u}_N(s, y) - \tilde{u}_{N+1}(s, y))^2 1_{\{s < \tau_N\}}] dy ds.
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem A.1,

$$(\tilde{u}_N(s, y) - \tilde{u}_{N+1}(s, y))^2 \leq \|\tilde{u}_N(s, \cdot) - \tilde{u}_{N+1}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(D)}^2 \leq \mathcal{C}_\infty^2 \|\tilde{u}_N(s, \cdot) - \tilde{u}_{N+1}(s, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2.$$

Using also the fact that $\int_D |e_k(y)|^2 dy = 1$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq T} (H_k^N(t))^2 1_{\{t < \tau_N\}} \right] \leq \\
& \frac{2}{\lambda_k^\gamma} \left(T |D| L_N^{(b)} \right)^2 + 16 m_2 (L_N^{(\sigma)})^2 \mathcal{C}_\infty^2 \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{\ell \leq s} \|\tilde{u}_N(\ell, \cdot) - \tilde{u}_{N+1}(\ell, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2 1_{\{s < \tau_N\}} \right] ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Returning to (57), and using also (56), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq T} \|\tilde{u}_N(t, \cdot) - \tilde{u}_{N+1}(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2 1_{\{t < \tau_N\}} \right] \\
& \leq C_N \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{\ell \leq s} \|\tilde{u}_N(\ell, \cdot) - \tilde{u}_{N+1}(\ell, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2 1_{\{s < \tau_N\}} \right] ds,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_N = 2 \mathcal{C}_\infty^2 \left(T |D| L_N^{(b)} \right)^2 + 16 m_2 (L_N^{(\sigma)})^2 \sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda_k^{r-\gamma}. \quad (58)$$

By Gronwall lemma,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq T} \|\tilde{u}_N(t, \cdot) - \tilde{u}_{N+1}(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2 1_{\{t < \tau_N\}} \right] = 0 \quad \text{for all } T > 0.$$

Hence, $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_T) = 1$ for any $T > 0$, where

$$\Omega_T := \left\{ \sup_{t \leq T} \|\tilde{u}_N(t, \cdot) - \tilde{u}_{N+1}(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2 1_{\{t < \tau_N\}} = 0 \right\}.$$

By Theorem A.1, $\Omega_T \subseteq \Omega_T^*$, where

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_T^* &:= \left\{ \sup_{t \leq T} \|\tilde{u}_N(t, \cdot) - \tilde{u}_{N+1}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(D)}^2 = 0 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ (\tilde{u}_N(t, x) - \tilde{u}_{N+1}(t, x))1_{\{t < \tau_N\}} = 0 \quad \text{for all } t \leq T \text{ and } x \in D \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}\bigcap_{T \in \mathbb{Q}_+} \Omega_T^* &= \left\{ (\tilde{u}_N(t, x) - \tilde{u}_{N+1}(t, x))1_{\{t < \tau_N\}} = 0 \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0 \text{ and } x \in D \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \tilde{u}_N(t, x) = \tilde{u}_{N+1}(t, x) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, \tau_N) \text{ and } x \in D \right\},\end{aligned}$$

where for the last line we used the fact that $\bigcap_{t \geq 0} \{t \geq \tau_N\} = \emptyset$. □

Lemma 2.11. *If $\gamma > d$ and Assumption 1.3 holds, then for any $N \geq 1$, $\tau_N \leq \tau_{N+1}$ a.s.*

Proof. Let $N \geq 1$ be arbitrary and $\Omega_N = \{\tau_N \leq \tau_{N+1}\}$. We will prove that

$$\Omega_N^* \subseteq \Omega_N,$$

where Ω_N^* is the event of probability 1 from Lemma 2.10.

Let $\omega \in \Omega_N^*$ be arbitrary. Then

$$\tilde{u}_N(\omega, t, x) = \tilde{u}_{N+1}(\omega, t, x) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, \tau_N(\omega)) \text{ and } x \in D. \quad (59)$$

Suppose by contradiction that $\omega \in \Omega_N^c$, i.e. $\tau_{N+1}(\omega) < \tau_N(\omega)$. From (59), we get:

$$\tilde{u}_N(\omega, \tau_{N+1}(\omega), x) = \tilde{u}_{N+1}(\omega, \tau_{N+1}(\omega), x) \quad \text{for all } x \in D.$$

Therefore,

$$\|\tilde{u}_N(\omega, \tau_{N+1}(\omega), \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)} = \|\tilde{u}_{N+1}(\omega, \tau_{N+1}(\omega), \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}. \quad (60)$$

We apply Lemma B.3.a) to the right-continuous function $t \mapsto \|\tilde{u}_{N+1}(\omega, t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}$ and

$$\tau_{N+1}(\omega) = \inf \{t > 0; \|\tilde{u}_{N+1}(\omega, t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)} > N + 1\}.$$

It follows that

$$\|\tilde{u}_{N+1}(\omega, \tau_{N+1}(\omega), \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)} \geq N + 1 \quad (61)$$

(this inequality is in fact valid for any $\omega \in \Omega$). On the other hand, by the definition of τ_N ,

$$\|\tilde{u}_N(\omega, t, x)\|_{H_r(D)} \leq N \quad \text{for any } t < \tau_N(\omega).$$

Taking $t = \tau_{N+1}(\omega)$, we get:

$$\|\tilde{u}_N(\omega, \tau_{N+1}(\omega), x)\|_{H_r(D)} \leq N. \quad (62)$$

Summarizing (60), (61) (62), we obtain:

$$N + 1 \leq \|\tilde{u}_{N+1}(\omega, \tau_{N+1}(\omega), \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)} = \|\tilde{u}_N(\omega, \tau_{N+1}(\omega), \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)} \leq N,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, $\omega \in \Omega_N$. □

Lemma 2.12. *If $\gamma > d$ and Assumption 1.3 holds, then $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \tau_N = \infty$ a.s.*

Proof. We apply Lemma B.3.b) to the right-continuous function $t \mapsto \|\tilde{u}_N(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}$. Recalling the definition of τ_N , we have:

$$\{\tau_N \leq T\} \subset \left\{ \sup_{t \leq T} \|\tilde{u}_N(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)} \geq N \right\}.$$

Using Chebyshev's inequality and property d) of \tilde{u}_N mentioned at the beginning of this section, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\tau_N \leq T) &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \leq T} \|\tilde{u}_N(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)} \geq N\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N^2} \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t \leq T} \|\tilde{u}_N(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2\right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N^2} T \widehat{C}_T \exp(T \widehat{C}_T \mathcal{C}_\infty^2) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for any } T > 0. \end{aligned}$$

The conclusion follows by Lemma B.4. □

Lemma 2.13. *If $\gamma > d$ and Assumption 1.3 holds, then \tilde{u}_N is a local solution of (8) up to time τ_N , i.e. for any $t \geq 0$ and $x \in D$,*

$$\begin{aligned} 1_{\{t < \tau_N\}} \tilde{u}_N(t, x) &= 1_{\{t < \tau_N\}} \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x, y) b(\tilde{u}_N(s, y)) dy ds \\ &\quad + 1_{\{t < \tau_N\}} \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x, y) \sigma(\tilde{u}_N(s, y)) L(ds, dy) \quad \text{a.s.} \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

Proof. We fix (t, x) . We write relation (45) for \tilde{u}_N and we multiply it by $1_{\{t < \tau_N\}}$. We obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} 1_{\{t < \tau_N\}} \tilde{u}_N(t, x) &= 1_{\{t < \tau_N\}} \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x, y) b_N(\tilde{u}_N(s, y)) dy ds \\ &\quad + 1_{\{t < \tau_N\}} \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x, y) \sigma_N(\tilde{u}_N(s, y)) L(ds, dy) \\ &=: \mathcal{A}_N + \mathcal{B}_N \quad \text{a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

We treat separately the two terms. For \mathcal{A}_N , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_N &= 1_{\{t < \tau_N\}} \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x, y) b_N(\tilde{u}_N(s, y)) 1_{\{s < \tau_N\}} dy ds \\ &= 1_{\{t < \tau_N\}} \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x, y) b(\tilde{u}_N(s, y)) 1_{\{s < \tau_N\}} dy ds \\ &= 1_{\{t < \tau_N\}} \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x, y) b(\tilde{u}_N(s, y)) dy ds, \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

where we used the local property (49) of the Lebesgue integral for the first and last equality, and (47) for the second equality. Similarly, for \mathcal{B}_N , we use the local property of the stochastic integral with respect to L (given by Lemma C.1) and relation (48):

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}_N &= 1_{\{t < \tau_N\}} \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x, y) \sigma_N(\tilde{u}_N(s, y)) 1_{\{s < \tau_N\}} L(ds, dy) \\ &= 1_{\{t < \tau_N\}} \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x, y) \sigma(\tilde{u}_N(s, y)) 1_{\{s < \tau_N\}} L(ds, dy) \\ &= 1_{\{t < \tau_N\}} \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x, y) \sigma(\tilde{u}_N(s, y)) L(ds, dy).\end{aligned}\tag{65}$$

This proves (63). □

Proof of Theorem 1.4:

Step 1. In this step, we prove that the process u defined by:

$$u(t, x) = \sum_{N \geq 1} \tilde{u}_N(t, x) 1_{[\tau_{N-1}, \tau_N)}(t), \quad \text{where } \tau_0 = 0,$$

is a global solution of equation (8). By the consistency relation between u_N and u_{N+1} given by Lemma 2.10, with probability 1, for any N ,

$$u(t, x) = \tilde{u}_N(t, x) \quad \text{for } t \in [0, \tau_N) \text{ and } x \in D.\tag{66}$$

Let \mathcal{A}_N and \mathcal{B}_N be as in the proof of Lemma 2.13. Recalling (64) and (65), and using (66), followed by the local properties of the two integrals, we see that:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_N &= 1_{\{t < \tau_N\}} \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x, y) b(u(s, y)) dy ds, \\ \mathcal{B}_N &= 1_{\{t < \tau_N\}} \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x, y) \sigma(u(s, y)) L(ds, dy).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, relation (63) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}1_{\{t < \tau_N\}} u(t, x) \\ = 1_{\{t < \tau_N\}} \left(\int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x, y) b(u(s, y)) dy ds + \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x, y) \sigma(u(s, y)) L(ds, dy) \right),\end{aligned}$$

a.s. We let $N \rightarrow \infty$. By Lemma 2.12, $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} 1_{\{t < \tau_N\}} = 1$ a.s. Hence, for any $t \geq 0$ and $x \in D$,

$$u(t, x) = \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x, y) b(u(s, y)) dy ds + \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x, y) \sigma(u(s, y)) L(ds, dy) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Step 2. In this step, we prove that the solution is unique.

Let v be another solution of (8) such that the process $t \mapsto \|v(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}$ is càdlàg a.s. in \mathbb{R}_+ . Observe that v is a local solution of (44) satisfying (15) on $\{t < \tau_N^v\}$ for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, where

$$\tau_N^v := \inf\{t > 0; \|v(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)} > N\}.$$

It is clear that $(\tau_N^v)_{N \geq 1}$ is non-decreasing and that $\tau_N^v \rightarrow \infty$ a.s. as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Since u is also a local solution of (44) satisfying (15) on $\{t < \tau_N\}$, by Theorem 2.2, for each $t > 0$ and $x \in D$,

$$u(t, x)1_{\{t < \tau_N^v \wedge \tau_N\}} = v(t, x)1_{\{t < \tau_N^v \wedge \tau_N\}} \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Letting $N \rightarrow \infty$ in the equality above, we conclude that for every $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times D$, one has $u(t, x) = v(t, x)$ a.s.

3 The infinite variance case

In this section, we consider the case of equation (13) driven by a Lévy basis Λ , where we assume that the Lévy measure ν is symmetric. We include some background material in Section 3.1, and we present the proof of Theorem 1.7 in Section 3.2.

3.1 Integration with respect to Lévy bases

In this section, we recall some basic material related to integration with respect to Lévy bases. We refer the reader to [10, 11] for more details.

For any $A \in \mathcal{P}_b$, let $\int 1_A d\Lambda := \Lambda(A)$. By linearity, we extend this definition to the class \mathcal{S} of linear combinations of indicators 1_A with $A \in \mathcal{P}_b$. For any predictable process H , we define the *Daniell mean*:

$$\|H\|_\Lambda = \sup_{S \in \mathcal{S}, |S| \leq |H|} \left\| \int S d\Lambda \right\|_{L^0(\Omega)},$$

where $L^0(\Omega)$ is the set of all random variables defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ equipped with the pseudo-norm $\|X\|_{L^0(\Omega)} = \mathbb{E}[|X| \wedge 1]$.

Definition 3.1. A predictable process H is *integrable* with respect to Λ if there exists a sequence $(S_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in \mathcal{S} such that $\|S_n - H\|_\Lambda \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We denote by $L^0(\Lambda)$ the class of integrable processes with respect to Λ .

For any $S \in \mathcal{S}$, we denote $I^\Lambda(S) = \int S d\Lambda$. The map $I^\Lambda : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow L^0(\Omega)$ is *not* an isometry. But the fact that this map satisfies the following trivial inequality

$$\|I^\Lambda(S)\|_{L^0(\Omega)} \leq \|S\|_\Lambda \quad \text{for all } S \in \mathcal{S}$$

is sufficient for extending I^Λ from \mathcal{S} to $L^0(\Lambda)$. More precisely, if $H \in L^0(\Lambda)$ and $(S_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is the approximating sequence of simple integrands given by Definition 3.1, then $\{I^\Lambda(S_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence in L^0 since

$$\|I^\Lambda(S_n) - I^\Lambda(S_m)\|_{L^0(\Omega)} \leq \|S_n - S_m\|_\Lambda \leq \|S_n - H\|_\Lambda + \|S_m - H\|_\Lambda \rightarrow 0,$$

as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. By definition, we set $I^\Lambda(H) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I^\Lambda(S_n)$ in $L^0(\Omega)$, and we say that $I^\Lambda(H)$ is the *stochastic integral* of H with respect to Λ . We use the notation:

$$I^\Lambda(H) = \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H(t, x) \Lambda(dt, dx).$$

3.2 Proof of Theorem 1.7

In this section, we give the proof of Theorem 1.7. As mentioned in the Introduction, we consider first the equation with truncated noise Λ^K (which has a global solution u^K), and then we paste the solutions $(u^K)_{K \geq 1}$ to construct a global solution of equation (13).

The truncated noise Λ^K is defined by:

$$\Lambda^K(A) = \int_0^\infty \int_D \int_{\{|z| \leq 1\}} 1_A(t, x) z \tilde{J}(dt, dx, dz) + \int_0^\infty \int_D \int_{\{1 < |z| \leq K\}} 1_A(t, x) z J(dt, dx, dz), \quad (67)$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{P}_b$, and the associated stopping time is:

$$\tau^K = \inf\{t \geq 0; J([0, t] \times D \times \{|z| > K\}) > 0\}. \quad (68)$$

Clearly, $\tau^K \leq \tau^{K+1}$ for any $K \geq 1$. Moreover, by Lemma B.4, $\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \tau^K = \infty$ a.s., since for any $T > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau^K > T) = \mathbb{P}(J([0, T] \times D \times \{|z| > K\}) = 0) = e^{-T|D|\nu(\{|z| > K\})} \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } K \rightarrow \infty.$$

Note that, for any $t > 0$ and $A \in \mathcal{P}_b$ with $A \subseteq \Omega \times [0, t] \times D$,

$$\Lambda(A) = \Lambda^K(A) \quad \text{on the event } \{t < \tau^K\}. \quad (69)$$

Next, we observe that, due to the *symmetry* of ν , for any $B \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B \times \{1 < |z| \leq K\}} z \tilde{J}(dt, dx, dz) &= \int_{B \times \{1 < |z| \leq K\}} z J(dt, dx, dz) - |B| \int_{\{1 < |z| \leq K\}} z \nu(dz) \\ &= \int_{B \times \{1 < |z| \leq K\}} z J(dt, dx, dz), \end{aligned}$$

and therefore, for any $B \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$L^K(B) := \Lambda^K(\Omega \times B) = \int_{B \times \{|z| \leq K\}} z \tilde{J}(dt, dx, dz).$$

Hence, the process $L^K = \{L^K(B), B \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d)\}$ is a (finite variance) Lévy white noise as in (7). More precisely, for any $B \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, $L^K(B)$ can be written as:

$$L^K(B) = \int_{B \times \mathbb{R}_0} z \tilde{J}^K(dt, dx, dz),$$

where J^K is the restriction of J to $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \{|z| \leq K\}$, and \tilde{J}^K is the compensated version of J^K . The Lévy measure of L^K is $\nu^K := \nu(\cdot \cap \{|z| \leq K\})$, which satisfies:

$$m_2^K := \int_{\mathbb{R}_0} z^2 \nu^K(dz) = \int_{\{0 < |z| \leq K\}} z^2 \nu(dz) < \infty.$$

To be able to import the results from the previous section, we use the following fact: if a predictable process H is Itô integrable with respect to L^K , then H is integrable with respect to Λ^K (in the sense of Definition 3.1), and the two integrals coincide:

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H(s, x) L^K(ds, dx) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H(s, x) \Lambda^K(ds, dx) \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (70)$$

We now return to our problem. By Theorem 1.4, we know that if $\gamma > d$ and Assumption 1.3 holds, then equation (8) with noise L replaced by L^K has a unique global solution u^K . This means that for any $t \geq 0$ and $x \in D$,

$$u^K(t, x) = \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x, y) b(u^K(s, y)) dy ds + \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x, y) \sigma(u^K(s, y)) L^K(ds, dy) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

In view of (70), this implies that for any $t \geq 0$ and $x \in D$,

$$u^K(t, x) = \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x, y) b(u^K(s, y)) dy ds + \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x, y) \sigma(u^K(s, y)) \Lambda^K(ds, dy) \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (71)$$

We recall that the solution u^K is given by:

$$u^K(t, x) = \sum_{N \geq 1} \tilde{u}_N^K(t, x) 1_{[\tau_{N-1}^K, \tau_N^K)}(t) \quad \text{with } \tau_0^K = 0,$$

where u_N^K is the solution of equation (44) with noise L replaced by L^K (and truncated coefficients b_N and σ_N), \tilde{u}_N^K is the modification of u_N^K given by Theorem 2.6, and

$$\tau_N^K = \inf\{t \geq 0; \|\tilde{u}_N^K(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)} > N\}.$$

By Lemma 2.10, with probability 1, for any K and N ,

$$u^K(t, x) = \tilde{u}_N^K(t, x) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, \tau_N^K) \text{ and } x \in D. \quad (72)$$

Lemma 3.2. *If $\gamma > d$ and Assumption 1.3 holds, and ν is symmetric, then for any $K \geq 1$,*

$$\mathbb{P}(u^K(t, x) = u^{K+1}(t, x) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, \tau^K) \text{ and } x \in D) = 1.$$

Proof. We proceed as in the proof of Lemma 2.10. To compare u^K with u^{K+1} , we will use their respective approximations \tilde{u}_N^K and \tilde{u}_N^{K+1} .

By Lemma 2.7, with probability 1, $\tilde{u}_N^K = \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_N^K \tilde{u}_N^K$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_N^K$ is defined as $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ (see (37)), but with (b, σ, L) replaced by (b_N, σ_N, L^K) . Hence, by (72), on the event $\{t < \tau_N^K\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_k[u^K(t, \cdot)] &= \mathcal{F}_k[\tilde{u}_N^K(t, \cdot)] = \mathcal{F}_k[(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_N^K \tilde{u}_N^K)(t, \cdot)] \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}} \int_0^t \int_D \sin(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}(t-s)) e_k(y) b_N(\tilde{u}_N^K(s, y)) dy ds \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ \frac{1}{\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}} \sin(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}t) \int_0^t \int_D \cos(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}s) e_k(y) \sigma_N(\tilde{u}_N^K(s, y)) \Lambda^K(ds, dy) \\ &- \frac{1}{\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}} \cos(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}t) \int_0^t \int_D \sin(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}s) e_k(y) \sigma_N(\tilde{u}_N^K(s, y)) \Lambda^K(ds, dy), \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

with the convention that the stochastic integrals above have càdlàg sample paths.

Similarly, on the event $\{t < \tau_N^{K+1}\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_k[u^{K+1}(t, \cdot)] &= \frac{1}{\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}} \int_0^t \int_D \sin(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}(t-s)) e_k(y) b_N(\tilde{u}_N^{K+1}(s, y)) dy ds \\ &+ \frac{1}{\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}} \sin(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}t) \int_0^t \int_D \cos(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}s) e_k(y) \sigma_N(\tilde{u}_N^{K+1}(s, y)) \Lambda^{K+1}(ds, dy) \\ &- \frac{1}{\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}} \cos(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}t) \int_0^t \int_D \sin(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}s) e_k(y) \sigma_N(\tilde{u}_N^{K+1}(s, y)) \Lambda^{K+1}(ds, dy). \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

The idea is to transform the integral with respect to Λ^{K+1} into an integral with respect to Λ^K , so that we can compare the two Fourier coefficients above. Note that by (69), for any $A \in \mathcal{P}_b$ with $A \subset \Omega \times [0, t] \times \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\Lambda^K(A) = \Lambda^{K+1}(A) = \Lambda(A) \quad \text{on the event } \{t < \tau^K\}. \quad (76)$$

Since the stopping times τ_N^K , τ_N^{K+1} and τ^K are not comparable to each other, we consider:

$$\rho_N^K := \tau_N^K \wedge \tau_N^{K+1} \wedge \tau^K.$$

Using Lemma C.2 with $\Lambda = \Lambda^K$ and $\tau = \rho_N^K$, we obtain that:

$$1_{\{t < \rho_N^K\}} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H(s, x) \Lambda^K(ds, dx) = 1_{\{t < \rho_N^K\}} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H(s, x) 1_{\{s < \rho_N^K\}} \Lambda^K(ds, dx),$$

for a suitable predictable process H . Using this relation for the two stochastic integrals on the right hand-side of (74), we obtain that on the event $\{t < \rho_N^K\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_k[u^K(t, \cdot)] &= \frac{1}{\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}} \int_0^t \int_D \sin(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}(t-s)) e_k(y) b_N(\tilde{u}_N^K(s, y)) 1_{\{s < \rho_N^K\}} dy ds \\ &+ \frac{1}{\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}} \sin(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}t) \int_0^t \int_D \cos(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}s) e_k(y) \sigma_N(\tilde{u}_N^K(s, y)) 1_{\{s < \rho_N^K\}} \Lambda^K(ds, dy) \\ &- \frac{1}{\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}} \cos(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}t) \int_0^t \int_D \sin(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}s) e_k(y) \sigma_N(\tilde{u}_N^K(s, y)) 1_{\{s < \rho_N^K\}} \Lambda^K(ds, dy). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma C.2 with $\Lambda = \Lambda^{K+1}$ and $\tau = \rho_N^K$, followed by (76), we obtain that:

$$\begin{aligned} 1_{\{t < \rho_N^K\}} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H(s, x) \Lambda^{K+1}(ds, dx) &= 1_{\{t < \rho_N^K\}} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H(s, x) 1_{\{s < \rho_N^K\}} \Lambda^{K+1}(ds, dx) \\ &= 1_{\{t < \rho_N^K\}} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H(s, x) 1_{\{s < \rho_N^K\}} \Lambda^K(ds, dx). \end{aligned}$$

We use this relation for the two stochastic integrals on the right hand-side of (75). It follows that on the event $\{t < \rho_N^K\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_k[u^{K+1}(t, \cdot)] &= \frac{1}{\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}} \int_0^t \int_D \sin(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}(t-s)) e_k(y) b_N(\tilde{u}_N^{K+1}(s, y)) 1_{\{s < \rho_N^K\}} dy ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}} \sin(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}t) \int_0^t \int_D \cos(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}s) e_k(y) \sigma_N(\tilde{u}_N^{K+1}(s, y)) 1_{\{s < \rho_N^K\}} \Lambda^K(ds, dy) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}} \cos(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}t) \int_0^t \int_D \sin(\lambda_k^{\gamma/2}s) e_k(y) \sigma_N(\tilde{u}_N^{K+1}(s, y)) 1_{\{s < \rho_N^K\}} \Lambda^K(ds, dy). \end{aligned}$$

The two Fourier transform expressions now have the *same* integrator Λ^K , which means that we are in the position to use a similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 2.10. We will use: (i) Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for the Lebesgue integral, which produces the factor $T|D|$; (ii) Doob maximal inequality for each (càdlàg) stochastic integral with respect to the Λ^K , which produces the factor $4m_2^K$; (iii) the Lipschitz properties of the functions b_N and σ_N , which produce the factors $L_N^{(b)}$, respectively $L_N^{(\sigma)}$; (iv) the embedding of $H_r(D)$ into $L^\infty(D)$, which produces the factor \mathcal{C}_∞ . To be consistent with all the estimates used above, we use the inequality $(a+b+c)^2 \leq 2[a^2 + 2(b^2 + c^2)]$ to separate the three terms.

We obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq T} \|u^K(t, \cdot) - u^{K+1}(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2 1_{\{t < \rho_N^K\}} \right] \\ &\leq C_N^K \int_0^T \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{\ell \leq s} \|u^K(s, \cdot) - u^{K+1}(s, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2 1_{\{s < \rho_N^K\}} \right] ds, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_N^K = 2\mathcal{C}_\infty^2 (T|D|(L_N^{(b)})^2 + 16m_2^K(L_N^{(\sigma)})^2) \sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda_k^{r-\gamma}$ has the same form as C_N given by (58), but with m_2 replaced by m_2^K . By Gronwall lemma,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq T} \|u^K(t, \cdot) - u^{K+1}(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}^2 1_{\{t < \rho_N^K\}} \right] = 0 \quad \text{for all } T > 0.$$

From this, as in the proof of Lemma 2.10, we infer that $P(A_N^K) = 1$ for all $N, K \geq 1$, where

$$A_N^K = \{u^K(t, x) = u^{K+1}(t, x) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, \rho_N^K] \text{ and } x \in D\}.$$

Note that $A_{N+1}^K \subseteq A_N^K$, since $\rho_{N+1}^K \leq \rho_N^K$. Finally, since $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \rho_N^K = \tau^K$, it follows that

$$A^K := \bigcap_{N \geq 1} A_N^K = \{u^K(t, x) = u^{K+1}(t, x) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, \tau^K] \text{ and } x \in D\},$$

and hence, $\mathbb{P}(A^K) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(A_N^K) = 1$ for all $K \geq 1$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.7: For any $t \geq 0$ and $x \in D$, we let

$$u(t, x) = \sum_{K \geq 1} u^K(t, x) 1_{[\tau^{K-1}, \tau^K)}(t) \quad \text{with } \tau^0 = 0.$$

By Lemma 3.2,

$$u(t, x) = u^K(t, x) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, \tau^K) \text{ and } x \in D. \quad (77)$$

To show that u is a global solution of equation (13), we proceed as in of the proof of Theorem 1.4. Using (71), we have: for any $t \geq 0$ and $x \in D$, with probability 1,

$$\begin{aligned} 1_{\{t < \tau^K\}} u(t, x) &= 1_{\{t < \tau^K\}} u^K(t, x) = 1_{\{t < \tau^K\}} \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x-y) b(u^K(s, y)) dy ds \\ &\quad + 1_{\{t < \tau^K\}} \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x-y) \sigma(u^K(s, y)) \Lambda^K(ds, dy) \\ &=: \mathcal{A}^K + \mathcal{B}^K \quad \text{a.s.} \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

We treat separately the two terms. For the Lebesgue integral, using (77), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}^K &= 1_{\{t < \tau^K\}} \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x-y) b(u^K(s, y)) 1_{\{s < \tau^K\}} dy ds \\ &= 1_{\{t < \tau^K\}} \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x-y) b(u(s, y)) 1_{\{s < \tau^K\}} dy ds \\ &= 1_{\{t < \tau^K\}} \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x-y) b(u(s, y)) dy ds. \end{aligned}$$

For the stochastic integral, applying Lemma C.2 (for Λ^K and τ^K), relations (69) and (77), and again Lemma C.2 (this time for Λ and τ^K), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}^K &= 1_{\{t < \tau^K\}} \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x-y) \sigma(u^K(s, y)) 1_{\{s < \tau^K\}} \Lambda^K(ds, dy) \\ &= 1_{\{t < \tau^K\}} \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x-y) \sigma(u^K(s, y)) 1_{\{s < \tau^K\}} \Lambda(ds, dy) \\ &= 1_{\{t < \tau^K\}} \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x-y) \sigma(u(s, y)) 1_{\{s < \tau^K\}} \Lambda(ds, dy) \\ &= 1_{\{t < \tau^K\}} \int_0^t \int_D G_{t-s}(x-y) \sigma(u(s, y)) \Lambda(ds, dy). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $K \rightarrow \infty$ in (78) and using the fact that $\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \tau^K = \infty$ a.s. we obtain that u is a global solution of (13).

For the uniqueness of the solution, let v be another solution of (8) such that the process $t \mapsto \|v(t, \cdot)\|_{H_r(D)}$ is càdlàg a.s. in \mathbb{R}_+ . Note that v is a local solution of (71) on $\{t \leq \tau_K\}$. Applying Theorem 1.4, replacing L by L^K , we obtain for all $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times D$,

$$u(t, x) 1_{\{t \leq \tau_K\}} = v(t, x) 1_{\{t \leq \tau_K\}} \quad \text{a.s.}$$

for each $K \in \mathbb{N}$. Letting $K \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that $u(t, x) = v(t, x)$ a.s. for all $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times D$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.7.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank Eulàlia Nualart for providing them with an updated version of preprint [22]. L. Q-S. is supported by the grant PID2021-123733NB-I00 (Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad, Spain).

A Sobolev spaces

In this section, we provide some background material about Sobolev spaces which is needed in the sequel. We recall that $(\lambda_k)_{k \geq 1}$ denote the eigenvalues of $-\Delta$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions, and $(e_k)_{k \geq 1}$ are the corresponding eigenfunctions, which are smooth functions and form a complete orthonormal basis of $L^2(D)$.

Any $f \in L^2(D)$ can be written as

$$f = \sum_{k \geq 1} \mathcal{F}_k[f] e_k,$$

where $\mathcal{F}_k[f] := \langle f, e_k \rangle_{L^2(D)}$ is the k -th Fourier coefficient of f .

Let $r \in \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrary. Let E_0 be the set of functions of the form $f = \sum_{k=1}^N a_k e_k$, and define

$$\|f\|_{H_r(D)} := \left(\sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k^r a_k^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

As in Section 2 of [12], we define the *fractional Sobolev space* $H_r(D)$ of order r as the completion of E_0 with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{H_r(D)}$. Each element Φ of $H_r(D)$ can be identified with a series of the form

$$\Phi = \sum_{k \geq 1} a_k(\Phi) e_k \quad \text{with} \quad a_k(\Phi) \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\Phi\|_{H_r(D)} := \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda_k^r |a_k(\Phi)|^2 \right)^{1/2} < \infty.$$

$H_r(D)$ is a Hilbert space with the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H_r(D)}$ given by

$$\langle \Phi_1, \Phi_2 \rangle_{H_r(D)} := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^r a_k(\Phi_1) a_k(\Phi_2), \quad \Phi_1, \Phi_2 \in H_r(D).$$

Moreover, $H_s(D) \subseteq H_r(D)$ for $s \leq r$ and $H_0 = L^2(D)$. The evaluation

$$\langle \Phi_1, \Phi_2 \rangle := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k(\Phi_1) a_k(\Phi_2), \quad \Phi_1 \in H_{-r}(D), \Phi_2 \in H_r(D),$$

puts $H_r(D)$ and $H_{-r}(D)$ in duality. If $f \in H_r(D)$, then

$$a_k(f) = \mathcal{F}_k[f] \text{ if } r \geq 0, \text{ and } a_k(f) = \langle f, e_k \rangle \text{ if } r < 0.$$

The spectral power of $-\Delta$ of order $\gamma > 0$ satisfies:

$$(-\Delta)^\gamma : \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{R}} H_r(D) \rightarrow \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{R}} H_r(D), \quad (-\Delta)^\gamma \Phi := \sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda_k^\gamma a_k(\Phi) e_k.$$

The following result plays a crucial role in the present article. It is an immediate consequence of [19, Thm. 8.2].

Theorem A.1. *If $r > d/2$, then $H_r(D)$ is continuously embedded in $L^\infty(D)$, i.e. there exists a constant $\mathcal{C}_\infty > 0$ such that*

$$\|h\|_{L^\infty(D)} \leq \mathcal{C}_\infty \|h\|_{H_r(D)}, \quad \text{for all } h \in H_r(D).$$

B Auxiliary Results

In this appendix section, we give some elementary results which were used in the paper.

Lemma B.1. *For any random variable X and set $A \in \mathcal{F}$,*

$$1_A X = 0 \text{ a.s.} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad X = 0 \text{ a.s. on } A. \quad (79)$$

Here “ $X = 0$ a.s. on A ” means that $\mathbb{P}(\{X = 0\} \cap A) = \mathbb{P}(A)$.

Proof. $1_A X = 0$ a.s. means that $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_0) = 1$, where $\Omega_0 = \{1_A X = 0\} = (\{X = 0\} \cap A) \cup A^c$. Since

$$\mathbb{P}(\Omega_0) = \mathbb{P}(\{X = 0\} \cap A) + \mathbb{P}(A^c),$$

the fact that $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_0) = 1$ is equivalent to $\mathbb{P}(\{X = 0\} \cap A) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(A^c) = \mathbb{P}(A)$. \square

Lemma B.2. *Let $B \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open set, and $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a real-valued right-continuous process, which is adapted with respect to a right-continuous filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$. Let*

$$\tau := \inf\{t \geq 0; X(t) \in B\}.$$

Then τ is a stopping time with respect to $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$.

Proof. This argument is given in the proof of Theorem 3 (Chapter I) of [36], where it is stated that X is càdlàg. We include the proof which shows that the fact that X has left-limits is not needed. Since $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is right-continuous, it suffices to prove that

$$\{\tau < t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t \quad \text{for all } t > 0.$$

To see this, let $r > t$ be arbitrary. For any $u \in (t, r)$, $\{\tau < u\} \in \mathcal{F}_u \subset \mathcal{F}_r$. Hence, $\{\tau \leq t\} = \bigcap_{u \in (t, r) \cap \mathbb{Q}} \{\tau < u\} \in \mathcal{F}_r$. Since $r > t$ was arbitrary, $\{\tau \leq t\} \in \bigcap_{r > t} \mathcal{F}_r = \mathcal{F}_{t+} = \mathcal{F}_t$.

We claim that

$$\{\tau < t\} = \bigcap_{s \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, t)} \{X(s) \in B\}.$$

To see this, let $S = \{t > 0 : X(t) \in B\}$. If $\tau < t$, there exists $t_0 \in S$ such that $t_0 < t$. Hence $X(t_0) \in B$. But $X(t_0) = \lim_{s \downarrow t_0, s \in \mathbb{Q}} X(s)$, since X is right-continuous. So, there exists $s \in [t_0, t) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ such that $X(s) \in B$. For the reverse inclusion, if $X(s) \in B$ for some $s \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, t)$, then $s \in S$. Since $\tau = \inf S$, we must have $\tau \leq s$. So, $\tau < t$. \square

Lemma B.3. *Let $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a right-continuous function and $a > 0$. Define*

$$\tau = \inf\{t > 0; g(t) > a\}, \quad \text{with } \inf \emptyset = \infty.$$

a) If $\tau < \infty$, then $g(\tau) \geq a$. b) If $\tau \leq T$, then $\sup_{t \leq T} g(t) \geq a$.

Proof. a) Let $A = \{t > 0; g(t) > a\}$. By the definition of the infimum, for any $n \geq 1$, there exists $t_n \in A$ such that $\tau \leq t_n < \tau + \frac{1}{n}$. Hence $g(t_n) > a$ for all n , and $t_n \rightarrow \tau$. Since g is right-continuous, $g(t_n) \rightarrow g(\tau)$. Hence, $g(\tau) \geq a$.

b) If $g(\tau) > a$, then $\sup_{t \leq T} g(t) \geq g(\tau) > a$. If $g(\tau) = a$, we have two cases:

- (i) If there exists $t^* \in (\tau, T]$ such that $g(t^*) > a$, then $\sup_{t \leq T} g(t) \geq \sup_{t \in (\tau, T]} g(t) > a$.
- (ii) Otherwise, $g(t) \leq a$ for all $t \in (\tau, T]$. Since $g(t) \leq a$ for all $t \in [0, \tau)$ (by the definition of τ), it follows that $\sup_{t \leq T} g(t) = g(\tau) = a$. \square

Lemma B.4. *, Let $(\tau_N)_{N \geq 1}$ be a sequence of stopping times such that $\tau_N \leq \tau_{N+1}$ a.s. for all $N \geq 1$. If $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\tau_N \leq T) = 0$ for any $T > 0$, then $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \tau_N = \infty$ a.s.*

Proof. Let $\Omega_0 = \{\tau_N \leq \tau_{N+1} \text{ for all } N \geq 1\}$. Then $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_0) = 1$. Let $A_N^{(T)} = \{\tau_N \leq T\} \cap \Omega_0$. Then $A_{N+1}^{(T)} \subset A_N^{(T)}$ for any $N \geq 1$, and hence,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{N \geq 1} A_N^{(T)}\right) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(A_N^{(T)}) = 0 \quad \text{for any } T > 0.$$

This shows that $\mathbb{P}(\{\tau_N \leq T \text{ for all } N \geq 1\} \cap \Omega_0) = 0$ for any $T > 0$. Then $\mathbb{P}(A) = 0$, where

$$A = \Omega_0 \cap \bigcup_{T \in \mathbb{Q}_+} \{\tau_N \leq T \text{ for all } N \geq 1\}.$$

Finally, the conclusion follows, once we observe that

$$A^c = \Omega_0^c \cup \bigcap_{T \in \mathbb{Q}_+} \{\tau_N > T \text{ for some } N \geq 1\} = \Omega_0^c \cup \left\{ \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \tau_N = \infty \right\}.$$

\square

C Local property of the stochastic integral

In this section, we include some local property of the stochastic integrals with respect to L and Λ . Lemma C.1 was used in the proofs of Lemmas 2.9, 2.10 and 2.13, while Lemma C.2 was used in the proof of Lemma 3.2 and in the proof of Theorem 1.7. For these results, we refer to relation (2.22) of [4].

Lemma C.1. *Let L be the Lévy white noise given by (7), and $H = \{H(t, x); t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ be a predictable process such that*

$$\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |H(t, x)|^2 dt dx < \infty \quad \text{for all } T > 0.$$

Then for any stopping time τ and for any $t > 0$,

$$1_{[0, \tau)}(t) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H(s, x) L(ds, dx) = 1_{[0, \tau)}(t) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H(s, x) 1_{[0, \tau)}(s) L(ds, dx).$$

Lemma C.2. *Let Λ be an arbitrary Lévy basis. Let $H = \{H(t, x); t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ be a predictable process for which there exists a sequence $(T_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of stopping times with $T_n \leq T_{n+1}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n = \infty$, such that for any $n \geq 1$,*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sup_{x \in D} \mathbb{E}[H^2(t, x) 1_{\{t \leq T_n\}}] < \infty \quad \text{for all } T > 0.$$

Then H is integrable with respect to Λ , and for any stopping time τ and for any $t > 0$,

$$1_{[0, \tau)}(t) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H(s, x) \Lambda(ds, dx) = 1_{[0, \tau)}(t) \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H(s, x) 1_{[0, \tau)}(s) \Lambda(ds, dx).$$

References

- [1] Agmon, S. (1965). On kernels, eigenvalues, and eigenfunctions of operators related to elliptic problems. *Comm. Pure and Appl. Math.*, **18**, 627-663.
- [2] Balan, R.M. (2014). SPDEs with α -stable Lévy noise: a random field approach. *Intern. J. Stoch. Anal.* Article ID 793275.
- [3] Balan, R.M. (2023). Stochastic wave equation with Lévy white noise. *ALEA, Latin Amer. J. Probab. Math. Stat.* **20**, 463–496.
- [4] Bichteler, K. and Jacod, J. (1983). Random measures and stochastic integration. In: “Theory and Applications of Random Fields”, Kallianpur G. (ed.), 1-18, Springer, Berlin.
- [5] Birman, M.Š. and Solomjak, M.Z. (1980). *Quantitative Analysis in Sobolev Embedding Theorems and Applications to Spectral Theory*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI.
- [6] Berger, Q., Chong C. and Lacoïn, H. (2023). The stochastic heat equation with multiplicative Lévy noise: Existence, moments, and intermittency. *Comm. Math. Physics* **402**, 2215-2299.
- [7] Bonder, J.F. and Groisman, P. (2009). Time–space white noise eliminates global solutions in reaction-diffusion equations. *Phys. D* **238**, 209-215.
- [8] Chen, L., Foondun, M., Huang, J. and Salins, M. (2025). Global solution for super-linear stochastic heat equation on \mathbb{R}^d under Osgood-type conditions. *Nonlinearity* **38** 055026.
- [9] Chen, L. and Huang, J. (2023). Superlinear stochastic heat equation on \mathbb{R}^d . In: Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 151.9, pp. 4063–4078.
- [10] Chong, C. (2017). Lévy driven Volterra equations in space and time. *J. Theoret. Probab.* **30**, 1014-1058.

- [11] Chong, C. (2017). Stochastic PDEs with heavy-tailed noise. *Stoch. Proc. Their Appl.* **127**, 2262-2280.
- [12] Chong, C., and Dalang, R. C. (2023). Power variations in fractional Sobolev spaces for a class of parabolic stochastic PDEs. *Bernoulli* **29**, 1792-1820.
- [13] Chong, C., Dalang, R. C. and Humeau, T. (2019). Path properties of the solution to the stochastic heat equation with Lévy noise. *Stoch. PDEs: Anal. Comp.* **7**, 123-168.
- [14] Dalang, R. C. (1999). Extending martingale measure stochastic integral with applications to spatially homogenous s.p.d.e.'s. *Electr. J. Probab.* **4**, no. 6, 1-29.
- [15] Dalang, R.C., Khoshnevisan, D. and Zhang, T. (2019). Global solutions to stochastic reaction-diffusion equations with super-linear drift and multiplicative noise. *Ann. Probab.* **47**, 519-559.
- [16] Dalang, R. C. and Sanz-Solé, M. (2005). Regularity of the sample paths of a class of second-order spde's. *J. Funct. Anal.* **227**, 304-337.
- [17] Dalang, R. C., and Sanz-Solé, M. (2024). *Stochastic partial differential equations, space-time white noise and random fields*. Preprint arXiv:2402.02119.
- [18] Da Prato, G. and Zabczyk, J. (1992). *Stochastic Equations in Infinite Dimensions* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [19] Di Nezza, E., Palatucci, G. and Valdinoci, E. (2012) Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces. *Bull. Sci. Math.* **136**, 521-573.
- [20] Duffy, D.G. (2015). *Green's functions with applications*. Chapman and Hall/CRC.
- [21] Foondun, M., Khoshnevisan, D. and Nualart, E. (2024). Instantaneous everywhere-blowup of parabolic SPDEs. *Probab. Th. Rel. Fields* **190**, 601-624.
- [22] Foondun, M., Khoshnevisan, D. and Nualart, E. (2024). On the well-posedness of SPDEs with locally Lipschitz coefficients. Preprint arXiv: 2411.09381.
- [23] Foondun, M. and Nualart, E. (2021). The Osgood condition for stochastic partial differential equations. *Bernoulli* **27**, 295-311.
- [24] Jiménez, J.J. (2024). Stochastic wave equation with heavy-tailed noise: uniqueness of solutions and past light-cone property. *Stoch. Proc. Their Appl.* **178**, 104479.
- [25] Joseph, M. and Ovhal, S. (2025). Instantaneous blowup for interacting SDEs with superlinear drift. Preprint arXiv:2506.21164.
- [26] Karatzas, I. and Shreve, S.E. *Brownian motion and stochastic calculus*. Second edition, Grad. Texts in Math., 113. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [27] Kavin, R., and Majee, A. K. (2025). Levy driven stochastic heat equation with logarithmic nonlinearity: Well-posedness and Large deviation principle. *Appl. Math. Optim.*, **91**, 1-48.

- [28] Lokke, A., Oksendal, B. and Proske, F. (2004). Stochastic partial differential equations driven by Lévy space-time white noise. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **14**, 1506-1528.
- [29] Marinelli, C. and Röckner, M. (2010). On uniqueness of mild solutions for dissipative stochastic evolution equations. *Infin. Dim. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Topics.* **13** (2010), 363-376.
- [30] Mueller, C. (1998). The heat equation with Lévy noise. *Stoch. Proc. Their Appl.* **74**, 67-82.
- [31] Mueller, C., Mytnik, L. and Perkins, E. (2014) Nonuniqueness for a parabolic SPDE with $\frac{3}{4} - \varepsilon$ -Hölder diffusion coefficients. *Ann. Probab.* **42**, 2032-2112.
- [32] Mytnik, L. (2002). Stochastic partial differential equation driven by stable noise. *Probab. Th. Rel. Fields* **123**, 157-201.
- [33] Mytnik, L. and Perkins, E. (2011). Pathwise uniqueness for stochastic heat equations with Hölder continuous coefficients: the white noise case. *Probab. Th. Rel. Fields* **149**, 1-96.
- [34] Mytnik, L., Perkins, E. and Sturm, A. (2006). On pathwise uniqueness for stochastic heat equations with non-Lipschitz coefficients. *Ann. Probab.* **34**, 1910-1959.
- [35] Peszat, S. and Zabczyk, J. (2007). *Stochastic Partial Differential Equations with Lévy Noise*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [36] Protter, P. (1990). *Stochastic Integration and Differential Equations*. Springer, Berlin.
- [37] Rajput, B. S. and Rosinski, J. (1989). Spectral representations of infinitely divisible processes. *Probab. Th. Rel. Fields* **82**, 451-487.
- [38] Saint Loubert Bié, E. (1998). Étude d'une EDPS conduite par un bruit poissonnien. *Probab. Th. Rel. Fields* **111**, 287-321.
- [39] Salins, M. (2022). Existence and uniqueness of global solutions to the stochastic heat equation with superlinear drift on an unbounded spatial domain. *Stoch. Dyn.* **22**, no. 5, Paper No. 2250014, 30 pp.
- [40] Salins, M. (2022). Global solutions for the stochastic reaction-diffusion equation with super-linear multiplicative noise and strong dissipativity. *Electron. J. Probab.* **27**, Paper No. 12, 17 pp.
- [41] Salins, M. (2022). Global solutions to the stochastic reaction-diffusion equation with superlinear accretive reaction term and superlinear multiplicative noise term on a bounded spatial domain. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **375**, no. 11, 8083-8099.
- [42] Samorodnitsky, G. and Taqqu, M. S. (1994). *Stable non-Gaussian Random Processes*. Chapman and Hall.

- [43] Walsh, J.B. (1986). An introduction to stochastic partial differential equations. In: 11Ecole d'Eté de Probabilités de Saint-Flour XIV", Lecture Notes Math. **1180**, 265-439. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [44] Wang, Y., Yan, C., and Zhou, X. (2024). Existence of weak solutions to stochastic heat equations driven by truncated α -stable white noises with non-Lipschitz coefficients. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **537**, 128362.
- [45] Xu, Y., Pei, B., and Guo, G. (2015). Existence and stability of solutions to non-Lipschitz stochastic differential equations driven by Lévy noise. *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **263**, 398-409.