

UNIFORM BOUNDS ON THE LEVEL OF CYCLOTOMIC DIVISION FIELDS OF ELLIPTIC CURVES

SAM ALLEN AND TYLER GENAO

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove that for each number field F there exists a uniform bound on the prime levels p of elliptic curves E/F for which $F(E[p]) = F(\zeta_p)$. Under the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis, we also give uniform bounds on p for which $F(E[p])/F$ is abelian, provided that F has no rational complex multiplication. These are generalizations of results of González-Jiménez and Lozano-Robledo [GJLR16] to general number fields.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a characteristic zero field F and an elliptic curve E/F , for each integer $n > 0$ the n -division field of E over F , denoted $F(E[n])$, is the field obtained by adjoining to F the x and y -coordinates of all points $P \in E$ whose order divides n . The n -division field also appears as the fixed field under the action of the absolute Galois group $G_F := \text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$ on the n -torsion subgroup $E[n]$ of E . The n -division field is a finite Galois extension of F , with Galois group isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$, the general linear group of 2×2 matrices over $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. In analogy to the Kronecker-Weber theorem and roots of unity over \mathbb{Q} , the coordinates of n -torsion points of elliptic curves can lend an explicit description of class field theory for imaginary quadratic fields.

For an elliptic curve E/F , the existence of the perfect, Galois-invariant n -Weil pairing on E guarantees that $F(E[n])$ contains a primitive n 'th root of unity ζ_n [Sil09, Corollary III.8.1.1]. A natural question is as follows: *how much larger is the n -division field $F(E[n])$ compared to the n -cyclotomic field $F(\zeta_n)$?* Intuitively, the n -division field should be strictly larger “most of the time” due to characteristics of the mod- n Galois representation $\rho_{E,n}: G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$, which describes the action of G_F on $E[n]$ and whose kernel has fixed field $F(E[n])$. For example, for any elliptic curve E defined over its field of definition $F = \mathbb{Q}(j(E))$, if E has CM (complex multiplication) then Hamakiotés and Lozano-Robledo showed that $F(E[n]) = F(\zeta_n)$ implies $n \leq 3$ [HLR24]. On the other hand, for any number field F and any non-CM elliptic curve E/F , by Serre’s Open Image Theorem there exists a constant $c := c(E, F) > 0$ such that for any prime $p > c$ one has $\rho_{E,p}(G_F) = \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ [Ser72], which forces $F(E[p])$ to be nonabelian.

In light of the above, one can ask if for each number field F there exists a uniform bound on integers $n > 0$ such that there exists an elliptic curve E/F where $F(E[n]) = F(\zeta_n)$. For example, as a consequence of progress towards Serre’s uniformity question over \mathbb{Q} (whether the constant $c := c(E, \mathbb{Q})$ in the preceding paragraph can be chosen independently of E) one has for all primes $p > 37$ that $\mathbb{Q}(E[p]) \neq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$.

In fact, González-Jiménez and Lozano-Robledo have proven a *sharp* upper bound over \mathbb{Q} [GJLR16].

Theorem 1. [GJLR16, Theorem 1.1] *Let E/\mathbb{Q} be an elliptic curve. If for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ one has $\mathbb{Q}(E[n]) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$, then $n \leq 5$. More generally, if $\mathbb{Q}(E[n])/\mathbb{Q}$ is abelian then $n \in \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8\}$, and $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(E[n])/\mathbb{Q})$ is isomorphic to 1 of 17 finite abelian groups.*

González-Jiménez and Lozano-Robledo proved their result through a close analysis of Galois representations of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} , in large part via group-theoretic considerations and explicit calculations with modular curves over \mathbb{Q} . However, given that considerably less is known about Galois representations over nontrivial number fields, many of their results do not immediately generalize beyond \mathbb{Q} .

In this paper, we initiate a study of cyclotomic division fields over general number fields F , where *cyclotomic* means $F(E[n]) = F(\zeta_n)$. Our first result shows that uniform bounds on prime levels of cyclotomic division fields exist over any number field F . We will use Δ_F to denote the discriminant of F/\mathbb{Q} .

Theorem 2. *Let F be a number field. Then for all elliptic curves E/F and for all primes $p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $F(E[p]) = F(\zeta_p)$, if $p \nmid \Delta_F$ and $p > 13$ (resp. $p \mid \Delta_F$ and $p > 12[F : \mathbb{Q}] + 1$), then E has an order p point over an extension K/F where $[K : F] \leq 6$ (resp. $[K : F] \leq 6[F : \mathbb{Q}]$). In particular, p is uniformly bounded.*

We additionally prove more general results for when the mod- p image is abelian. In the following, by GRH we mean the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis. Also recall that a number field is said to have rational CM if it contains an imaginary quadratic field.

Theorem 3. *Let F be a number field. Then for all elliptic curves E/F and for all primes $p \geq 17$ where $F(E[p])/F$ is abelian, if $p \nmid \Delta_F$ or $p > (6[F : \mathbb{Q}])! + 1$, then $\rho_{E,p}(G_F)$ is contained in either the split or nonsplit Cartan subgroup mod- p . In particular, if GRH is true and F has no rational CM, then p is contained in a finite set S_F from [LV14, Theorem 1] which depends only on F , and thus p is uniformly bounded.*

It is worth noting that if F is a real number field, then one can mimic the proofs of [GJLR16, Propositions 3.6, 3.7] to show that if $p > 2$ and $\rho_{E,p}(G_F)$ is an abelian subgroup of the normalizer of a Cartan subgroup, then it is also diagonalizable, which improves the first conclusion in Theorem 3. However, their proof crucially uses the existence of a nontrivial complex conjugation in G_F whose image in $\rho_{E,p}(G_F)$ has trace 0 and determinant -1 . For general number fields, one must work harder to prove this image is contained in a Cartan subgroup.

In contrast to Theorem 1, our proofs of Theorems 2 and 3 utilize the group-theoretic condition that for an elliptic curve E/F , an n -division field is cyclotomic if and only if $\rho_{E,n}(G_F) \cap \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) = 1$, where $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ denotes the special linear group of 2×2 matrices over $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. We also crucially apply Serre’s results on the image of the inertia subgroup under the mod- p Galois representation of an elliptic curve [Ser72], which is summarized in Theorem 8. We combine this with a classification of subgroups of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ (Theorem 4) to put constraints “above and below” the image $\rho_{E,p}(G_F)$, which by our cyclotomic or abelian hypothesis on $F(E[p])/F$ will prove that p is uniformly bounded.

We end this introduction with some examples of elliptic curves with cyclotomic division fields. We link each elliptic curve's LMFDB page [LMF25], as well as the page for their mod- p Galois image if it exists. The subgroup numbering follows [Sut16].

- $(n = 2)$, $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$: the elliptic curve 98.1-a5 given by

$$E : y^2 + xy + y = x^3 - 36x - 70$$

satisfies $F(E[2]) = F(\zeta_2)$. Its mod-2 image is 2Cs.

- $(n = 3)$, $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$: the elliptic curve 722.1-a3 given by

$$E : y^2 + ixy + iy = x^3 + 10x - 90$$

satisfies $F(E[3]) = F(\zeta_3)$. Its mod-3 image is 3Cs.1.1.

- $(n = 5)$, $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$: the elliptic curve 121.1-a2 given by

$$E : y^2 + y = x^3 - x^2 - 10x - 20$$

satisfies $F(E[5]) = F(\zeta_5)$. Its mod-5 image is 5Cs.1.1.

- $(n = 5)$, $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{15})^+$: the elliptic curve 25.1-b5 given by

$$E : y^2 + (a^2 - 1)y = x^3 + (a^3 - a^2 - 3a + 2)x^2 + (-a + 2)x + a^3 - 2a$$

where a is a root of $x^4 - x^3 - 4x^2 + 4x + 1$, satisfies $F(E[5]) = F(\zeta_5)$. Its mod-5 image is 5Cs.1.1[2].

- $(n = 5)$, $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{10})$: the elliptic curve 121.1-d2 given by

$$E : y^2 = x^3 + x^2 - 41x - 199$$

satisfies $F(E[5]) = F(\zeta_5)$. Its mod-5 image is 5Cs.1.3.

- $(n = 7)$, $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$: the elliptic curve 49.1-CMa1 given by

$$E : y^2 + ay = x^3 + (a + 1)x^2 + ax$$

where $a = \frac{1+\sqrt{-3}}{2}$, satisfies $F(E[7]) = F(\zeta_7)$. Its mod-7 image is 7Cs.1.1.

- $(n = 7)$, $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{21})^+$: the elliptic curve 1.1-a5 given by

$$E : y^2 + (a^5 - 4a^3 + a^2 + 2a - 2)y = x^3 + (a^4 - 3a^2 + a + 1)x^2 + (a^5 + a^4 - 4a^3 - 2a^2 + 3a)x + a^5 - 6a^3 + 2a^2 + 9a - 6$$

where a is a root of $x^6 - x^5 - 6x^4 + 6x^3 + 8x^2 - 8x + 1$, satisfies $F(E[7]) = F(\zeta_7)$. Its mod-7 image is 7Cs.1.1[3].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This project began through the Cycle undergraduate mathematics research program at The Ohio State University. The authors thank David Kruzel for earlier discussions involving this project. The preliminary calculations for mod- p Galois images were done with **Magma** [BCP97].

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

In this section, we will review some key definitions, notation and results we will need for Galois representations of elliptic curves.

2.1. Definitions and notation. Fix an algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ of \mathbb{Q} . Throughout this paper, we let F denote an algebraic extension of \mathbb{Q} ; thus $F \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Let E/F an elliptic curve, i.e., a nonsingular projective curve of genus one with a point over F . We can assume that E is given by an equation

$$E : y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6$$

where each $a_i \in F$. The set $E := E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ of algebraic points on E forms a group under a geometric chord and tangent law. There is a natural action of the absolute Galois group $G_F := \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/F)$ on E : for $\sigma \in G_F$ and $P := (x, y) \in E$, we let σ act on P by $\sigma(P) := (\sigma(x), \sigma(y))$. Let $E[\text{tors}]$ denote the subgroup of *torsion points* of E (points with finite order). Then this action restricts to an action on $E[\text{tors}]$; in fact, for each integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ we have an induced action on $E[n]$, the group of n -torsion points (points with order dividing n). This latter action is called the *mod- n Galois representation* of E , and is written as

$$\rho_{E,n} : G_F \rightarrow \text{Aut}(E[n]).$$

The kernel of this action is $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/F(E[n]))$, where $F(E[n])$ is the n -division field of E ; this field is obtained by adjoining all coordinates of n -torsion points on E to F . Thus $F(E[n])/F$ is a Galois extension, and we have a faithful action

$$\rho_{E,n} : \text{Gal}(F(E[n])/F) \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}(E[n]).$$

Recall that $E[n]$ is a free rank two $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -module [Sil09, Corollary III.6.4]. In particular, fixing a basis $\{P, Q\}$ of $E[n]$ given an isomorphism $\text{Aut}(E[n]) \cong \text{GL}_2(n)$, where $\text{GL}_2(n) := \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ is the group of 2×2 invertible matrices over $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Thus, we can write the mod- n Galois representation as

$$\rho_{E,n,P,Q} : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(n).$$

Explicitly, if for $\sigma \in G_F$ we have $\sigma(P) = aP + cQ$ and $\sigma(Q) = bP + dQ$, then $\rho_{E,n,P,Q}(\sigma) = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$. Changing the basis of $E[n]$ from $\{P, Q\}$ conjugates this image. By abuse of notation, we will often write $\rho_{E,n}$ instead of $\rho_{E,n,P,Q}$, with some implicit basis $\{P, Q\}$ in mind.

In parallel to the above, recall that for an integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ there is an action of G_F on the subgroup of n 'th roots of unity $\mu_n \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. This action is described by the *mod- n cyclotomic character*

$$\chi_n : G_F \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mu_n).$$

Fixing a primitive n 'th root $\zeta_n \in \mu_n$, this action is explicitly

$$\chi_n(\sigma) := a_\sigma \quad \text{where } \sigma(\zeta_n) = \zeta_n^{a_\sigma}.$$

The value $\chi_n(\sigma)$ is independent of the choice of ζ_n .

The Galois action on the n -torsion subgroup of an elliptic curve and the subgroup of n 'th roots of unity are closely connected. For an elliptic curve E/F , a consequence of properties of the n -Weil pairing (see [Sil09, Proposition III.8.1]) is that the determinant of $\rho_{E,n}$ is the mod- n cyclotomic character: for any $\sigma \in G_F$, one has

$$\det \rho_{E,n}(\sigma) = \chi_n(\sigma).$$

The Weil pairing also implies that $\zeta_n \in F(E[n])$, where ζ_n is a primitive n 'th root of unity [Sil09, Corollary III.8.1.1]. Let us say that the division field $F(E[n])$ is *cyclotomic* if $F(E[n]) = F(\zeta_n)$.

2.2. Subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_2(p)$. In his landmark paper, Serre [Ser72] proved that for any number field F and any non-CM elliptic curve E/F , one has for sufficiently large primes $p \gg_{E,F} 0$ that $\rho_{E,p}(G_F) = \mathrm{GL}_2(p)$. An important step towards proving this was understanding what the action of inertia on $E[p]$ could be over a field of characteristic zero. In this section, we will review these results of Serre, since they play a crucial role in our analysis of cyclotomic division fields – intuitively, these inertia images will be too large for our mod- p Galois images if p is unbounded.

Let us review a classification result for subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_2(p)$ first, given by Serre [Ser72] and essentially due to work of Dickson [Dic58]. First, for an integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ we let $\mathrm{SL}_2(n) := \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ denote the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(n)$ of matrices with determinant 1. Assume that $p \geq 3$ is prime; we define the *split Cartan group mod- p* as the subgroup of diagonal matrices,

$$C_s(p) := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(p) \right\}.$$

Its normalizer is denoted $N_s(p)$; explicitly, we have

$$N_s(p) = C_s(p) \cup \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} C_s(p) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & b \\ c & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(p) \right\}.$$

We call elements of $C_s(p)$ *diagonal*, and elements of $N_s(p) \setminus C_s(p)$ *antidiagonal*. Each diagonal matrix $m := \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \in C_s(p)$ has a *flip*, which is $m_f := \begin{bmatrix} d & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix}$. For a subgroup $H \subseteq C_s(p)$, we let H_f denote the subgroup of all flips of elements from H .

We will also define the *nonsplit Cartan group mod- p* , denoted $C_{ns}(p)$, as follows. We will write $\mathbb{F}_p := \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Fix the least positive integer ϵ which generates \mathbb{F}_p^\times : then we have

$$C_{ns}(p) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b\epsilon \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(p) \right\}.$$

This is the regular representation of $\mathbb{F}_p[\sqrt{\epsilon}]^\times$ acting on itself via multiplication with respect to the basis $\{1, \sqrt{\epsilon}\}$. Its normalizer is

$$N_{ns}(p) = C_{ns}(p) \cup \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} C_{ns}(p) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b\epsilon \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} a & b\epsilon \\ -b & -a \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

Let us also define the *Borel subgroup mod- p* as the subgroup of upper triangular matrices,

$$B_0(p) := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(p) \right\}.$$

We recall that for any subgroup $H \subseteq B_0(p)$, its *semisimplification* is

$$H^{\mathrm{ss}} := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(p) : \exists b \in \mathbb{F}_p \text{ such that } \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \in H \right\}.$$

We define the *semi-Cartan subgroup mod- p* as

$$D := D(p) := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(p) \right\}.$$

Finally, we use $Z := Z(p)$ to denote the subgroup of scalar matrices in $\mathrm{GL}_2(p)$.

Here is the Serre-Dickson classification result. For subgroups $G, H \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(p)$, when we write $H \tilde{\subseteq} G$ we mean that H is contained in G up to conjugacy.

Theorem 4 (Classification of subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_2(p)$). [Dic58, Ser72] *Fix a prime $p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and let $G \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(p)$ be a subgroup. Then one of the following holds:*

- a. G contains $\mathrm{SL}_2(p)$ (SL_2 case).
- b. We have $G \tilde{\subseteq} B_0(p)$ (Borel case).
- c. We have $G \tilde{\subseteq} N_s(p)$ or $G \tilde{\subseteq} N_{ns}(p)$ (normalizer of Cartan case).
- d. The projective quotient $G/Z \cap G$ is isomorphic to A_4 , S_4 or A_5 (exceptional cases).

2.3. Bounds on the level of Cartan Galois images. To wrap this section up, we will describe a result of Larson and Vaintrob on isogeny characters of elliptic curves; this will give us uniform bounds on prime levels p of abelian p -division fields, which is Theorem 3. Recall that for an elliptic curve E/F , a finite cyclic subgroup $C \subseteq E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ is F -rational if it is stable under the action of G_F , i.e., for each $R \in C$ and $\sigma \in G_F$ one has $\sigma(R) \in C$. Setting $n := |C|$, the associated representation

$$r: G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(C) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$$

is called the *isogeny character of C* . Explicitly, writing $C = \langle P \rangle$ one has for each $\sigma \in G_F$ that

$$r(\sigma) := a_\sigma \quad \text{where } \sigma(P) = a_\sigma P.$$

Theorem 5. [LV14, Theorem 1] *For a number field F , there exists an effectively computable set S_F of prime numbers such that the following holds. Let p be a prime not in S_F , and let E/F be an elliptic curve such that $E[p] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is reducible, say with degree one associated character $r: G_F \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^\times$. Then one of the following holds.*

- 1. *There exists a CM elliptic curve E'/F with CM field $K \subseteq F$, and associated characters $\psi, \psi': G_F \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^\times$ such that up to conjugation*

$$\rho_{E',p}(G_F) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \overline{\mathbb{F}_p} = \mathrm{im} \begin{bmatrix} \psi & 0 \\ 0 & \psi' \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\psi^{12} = r^{12}$.

- 2. *GRH fails for $F[\sqrt{-p}]$, and one has*

$$r^{12} = \chi_p^6.$$

Moreover, E has an F -rational p -isogeny and $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

Let us explain how Theorem 5 gives uniform bounds on the level of absolutely reducible mod- p Galois images. Fix an elliptic curve E/F and a prime $p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and set

$G := \rho_{E,p}(G_F)$. If $G \subseteq \tilde{B}_0(p)$, then E has an F -rational p -isogeny, i.e., there exists a G_F -stable subspace of $E[p]$. This implies that $E[p]$ is reducible, and so if $p \notin S_F$, then Theorem 5 implies that F contains a CM field or that GRH fails for $F[\sqrt{-p}]$. Similarly, if $G \subseteq C_{ns}(p)$, then $E[p]$ is irreducible over \mathbb{F}_p but reducible over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} (since $G \otimes \mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ is diagonalizable). Therefore, if G is contained in $B_0(p)$, $C_s(p)$ or $C_{ns}(p)$ up to conjugacy, and both GRH is true and F has no rational CM, then $p \in S_F$, and thus p is uniformly bounded.

3. GALOIS REPRESENTATIONS AND THE IMAGE OF INERTIA

In this section, we will prove various results on Galois representations of elliptic curves. In particular, we will provide alternate group-theoretic characterizations of cyclotomic and abelian division fields of elliptic curves, and give a description of the mod- p images of inertia subgroups for elliptic curves over local fields, following [Ser72]. We will also give several explicit descriptions of abelian subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_2(p)$.

3.1. An alternate description of cyclotomic and abelian division fields. Let us first characterize cyclotomic and abelian division fields in terms of images of Galois representations. The main result of this paper is Theorem 2, which says that for a number field F , for sufficiently large primes $p \gg_F 0$ and for all elliptic curves E/F , one has $F(E[p]) \neq F(\zeta_p)$. The guiding philosophy is that the mod- p Galois image is “relatively uniformly large” when p is uniformly large. This has been demonstrated over \mathbb{Q} by progress towards Serre’s uniformity question [LFL21, FL], and over number fields without rational CM [Gen24, IK24, Gen25].

Here is our characterization of cyclotomic and abelian division fields in terms of Galois representations. Note that a cyclotomic division field is automatically abelian.

Proposition 6. *Let F/\mathbb{Q} be an algebraic extension, E/F an elliptic curve and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Set $G := \rho_{E,n}(G_F)$.*

- a. One has that G is abelian if and only if $F(E[n])/F$ is abelian.*
- b. One has that $G \cap \mathrm{SL}_2(n) = 1$ iff $F(E[n]) = F(\zeta_n)$. In such a case, one has that G is abelian, with $\det: G \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ being an injection.*

Proof. First, let us observe that the mod- n Galois representation

$$\rho_{E,n}: G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(n)$$

has kernel $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/F(E[n]))$. Modding out by this kernel induces a faithful representation

$$\rho_{E,n}: \mathrm{Gal}(F(E[n])/F) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(n),$$

and thus we have $G \cong \mathrm{Gal}(F(E[n])/F)$. In particular, we have that G is abelian if and only if $F(E[n])/F$ is abelian. This proves part a.

For part b., observe that the kernel of the determinant map $\det: G \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ is $G \cap \mathrm{SL}_2(n)$, and thus $G \cap \mathrm{SL}_2(n)$ can be identified with a subgroup of $\mathrm{Gal}(F(E[n])/F)$ whose fixed field K is Galois over F . We claim that $K = F(\zeta_n)$. To see this, by the n -Weil pairing one has for all $\sigma \in G_F$ that

$$\sigma(\zeta_n) = \zeta_n^{\det \rho_{E,n}(\sigma)}.$$

It follows that an automorphism $\sigma \in G_F$ fixes ζ_n if and only if $\rho_{E,n}(\sigma) \in G \cap \mathrm{SL}_2(n)$, iff σ fixes K . We deduce that $K = F(\zeta_n)$, and so

$$G \cap \mathrm{SL}_2(n) \cong \mathrm{Gal}(F(E[n])/F(\zeta_n)).$$

Therefore, we conclude that $G \cap \mathrm{SL}_2(n) = 1$ iff $F(E[n]) = F(\zeta_n)$. In this case, the kernel of $\det: G \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ is trivial. \square

Remark 7. Proposition 6 shows that if an elliptic curve E/F has a cyclotomic n -division field, then $\rho_{E,n}(G_F)$ is isomorphic to its image $\det \rho_{E,n}(G_F)$. If each prime $p \mid n$ is unramified in F , then one can show that the mod- n cyclotomic character $\chi_n: G_F \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ is surjective, so from $\det \rho_{E,n} = \chi_n$ we conclude that $\rho_{E,n}(G_F)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ under the determinant map.

3.2. The image of inertia à la Serre. Let us review Serre's work on describing the image of the inertia subgroup under a mod- p Galois representation of an elliptic curve [Ser72]. Fix a prime $p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and let K be an algebraic extension of \mathbb{Q}_p ; let k denote the residue field of K . Also let K_{nr} denote the maximal unramified extension of K . Then the *inertia group of K* is $I := I_K := \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K_{\mathrm{nr}})$. The inertia group fits into a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow I \rightarrow G_K \rightarrow G_k \rightarrow 1.$$

Let K_t denote the maximal tamely ramified extension of K ; thus $K \subseteq K_{\mathrm{nr}} \subseteq K_t$. Let $I_p := I_{K,p} := \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K_t)$ denote the *wild inertia group of K* , and $I_t := I_{K,t} := I/I_p \cong \mathrm{Gal}(K^t/K_{\mathrm{nr}})$ the *tame inertia group of K* . We note that I_p is the largest pro- p -group in I .

More can be said about I_t . Fixing a uniformizer π of K_{nr} , we know that K_t is a compositum of the Galois extensions $K(\sqrt[d]{\pi})/K$ where each $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ is coprime to p . Therefore, I_t can be identified as an inverse limit

$$I_t = \varprojlim_{d: \gcd(p,d)=1} \mathrm{Gal}(K(\sqrt[d]{\pi})/K).$$

Thus, I_t is a pro-cyclic group. For each d considered above, we have a natural isomorphism $\theta_d: \mathrm{Gal}(K(\sqrt[d]{\pi})/K) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mu_d$, where μ_d is the subgroup of d 'th roots of unity in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ (which is isomorphic to the subgroup of d 'th roots of unity in $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$). In particular, we have an induced surjection $\theta_d: I_t \twoheadrightarrow \mu_d$. When $d = p^r - 1$ for some $r > 0$, we can identify $\mu_d \cong \mathbb{F}_{p^r}^\times$; in this case, we call $\theta_{p^r-1}: I_t \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p^r}^\times$ a *fundamental character of level r* . These characters describe the action of the tame inertia group on p -torsion subgroups of elliptic curves [Ser72].

The following result is essentially from [Ser72], which was proven in the semistable case where the absolute ramification index $e := e(K/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is trivial; however, Serre essentially gave the ingredients for the proof where $e > 1$. Lozano-Robledo proved an analogous result for elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} which are allowed to have additive reduction [LR13]. Here, we state Serre's result as a mild generalization of both cases, where both additive reduction and a nontrivial absolute ramification index are allowed.

Theorem 8. [LR13, Theorem 3.2] *Fix a prime $p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and a finite extension K/\mathbb{Q}_p of degree d . Let E/K be an elliptic curve. Then there exists a finite extension L/K such that E/L is semistable, whose ramification index satisfies $e := e(L/K) \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$.*

Let $e_0 := e(K/\mathbb{Q})$ denote the absolute ramification index of K . Let I denote the inertia group of L , and I_p the wild inertia group.

- a. Suppose that E/L has good ordinary or bad multiplicative reduction. Then E has an L -rational p -isogeny. Fix a basis of $E[p]$ such that $\rho_{E,p}(G_L)$ is upper triangular. Then we have the following:
 - i. If the wild inertia group I_p acts trivially on $E[p]$, then the mod- p image of I is semi-Cartan. More precisely, we have

$$\rho_{E,p}(I) = \text{im} \begin{bmatrix} \theta_{p-1}^{ee_0} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = D^{ee_0}.$$

- ii. If I_p acts nontrivially on $E[p]$, then we have

$$\rho_{E,p}(I) = \left\langle \text{im} \begin{bmatrix} \theta_{p-1}^{ee_0} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \gamma \right\rangle = \langle D^{ee_0}, \gamma \rangle,$$

$$\text{where } \gamma := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- b. Suppose that E/\bar{L} has good supersingular reduction.
 - i. If I_p acts trivially on $E[p]$, then up to conjugacy the mod- p image of I is the ee_0 'th power of the non-split Cartan group mod- p , i.e., we have

$$\rho_{E,p}(I) = C_{ns}(p)^{ee_0}$$

for an appropriate choice of basis for $E[p]$.

- ii. If I_p acts nontrivially on $E[p]$, then with respect to an appropriate basis, we have that $\rho_{E,p}(I) \subseteq B_0(p)$. Furthermore, we have $\gamma \in \rho_{E,p}(I)$, and can write the semisimplification of $\rho_{E,p}(I)$ as

$$\rho_{E,p}(I)^{\text{ss}} = \text{im} \begin{bmatrix} \theta_{p-1}^{ee_0-e'} & 0 \\ 0 & \theta_{p-1}^{e'} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $e' := v(a_p)$ is the valuation of the p 'th coefficient a_p in the formal group expansion of the multiplication-by- p map on E , which satisfies $0 \leq e' \leq ee_0$. In particular, we have $D^{(6d)!} \subseteq \rho_{E,p}(I)$.

Remark 9. As we will see when considering cyclotomic p -division fields, a quick size argument rules out the case where wild inertia acts nontrivially. However, wild inertia still needs consideration when studying abelian division fields.

3.3. Abelian subgroups of $\text{GL}_2(p)$. The way we prove Theorems 2 and 3 involves analyzing the possible “shapes” of subgroups of $\text{GL}_2(p)$, using the maximal subgroup classification in Theorem 4. To this end, we first prove which shapes are permissible for an abelian subgroup of $\text{GL}_2(p)$.

Proposition 10. Let $p \geq 3$ be prime, and let $G \subseteq \text{GL}_2(p)$ be a subgroup.

- a. If G is abelian, then G is contained in $B_0(p)$, $N_s(p)$ or $N_{ns}(p)$ up to conjugacy.
- b. If $G \subseteq B_0(p)$, then G is diagonalizable iff $p \nmid \#G$. When $G \subseteq B_0(p)$ is abelian and $p \mid \#G$, one has $G = \langle \gamma, G \cap Z \rangle$ where $\gamma := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

- c. For an abelian subgroup G that is contained in $N_s(p)$ (resp. $N_{ns}(p)$) but not $C_s(p)$ (resp. $C_{ns}(p)$), one has $G = \langle n, G \cap Z \rangle$ for any element $n \in G \setminus C_s(p)$ (resp $n \in G \setminus C_{ns}(p)$).

Before we prove this, let us prove a small lemma which allows us to analyze the two Cartan cases almost identically.

Lemma 11. *Suppose that N is a finite group with an index two subgroup $C \trianglelefteq N$. Let $G \subseteq N$ be any subgroup, and suppose that $G \not\subseteq C$. Then for any $n \in G \setminus C$ one has $G = \langle n, G \cap C \rangle$, as well as $\langle n \rangle \cap C = \langle n^2 \rangle$, $2 \mid |n|$ and $[G : G \cap C] = 2$.*

Proof. To begin with, suppose that $n \in G \setminus C$. Observe that for any $g \in G \setminus C$, the cosets nC and gC are equal, and thus $n^{-1}g \in C$. Since $n^{-1}g \in G$, we deduce that $n^{-1}g \in G \cap C$, i.e., $x \in \langle n, G \cap C \rangle$. This proves that $G = \langle n, G \cap C \rangle$.

Let us show that $\langle n \rangle \cap C = \langle n^2 \rangle$. Since the coset $(nC)^2 = 1C$, we have $\langle n^2 \rangle \subseteq \langle n \rangle \cap C$. For the other direction, we let $x \in \langle n \rangle \cap C$. From $x \in \langle n \rangle$, we can write $x = n^k$ for some $0 \leq k < |n|$. If k is odd, then we can write

$$xC = (n^{k-1}C) \cdot (nC) = nC,$$

which contradicts that $n \notin C$. We deduce that k is even, whence we conclude $\langle n \rangle \cap C = \langle n^2 \rangle$. Next, from the formula

$$|G| = \frac{|n| \cdot |G \cap C|}{|\langle n \rangle \cap (G \cap C)|} = \frac{|n| \cdot |G \cap C|}{|n^2|}$$

and from $|n^2| = \frac{|n|}{\gcd(2, |n|)}$, we also conclude that

$$|G| = \gcd(2, |n|) \cdot |G \cap C|.$$

From $G \not\subseteq C$ we have $G \cap C \neq G$, which forces $\gcd(2, |n|) = 2$. We conclude that both $2 \mid |n|$ and $[G : G \cap C] = 2$. \square

Proof of Proposition 10. By Theorem 4 on the classification of subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_2(p)$, if G is abelian, up to conjugacy G is a subgroup of $B_0(p)$, $N_s(p)$ or $N_{ns}(p)$. This proves part a. For part b., we note that $\mathrm{GL}_2(p)$ acts by left multiplication on the \mathbb{F}_p -vector space $V := \mathbb{F}_p \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle$, where $e_1 := \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $e_2 := \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Thus, any subgroup $G \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(p)$ also acts on V . By Maschke's Theorem, if $p \nmid \#G$ then V is a semisimple $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -module; in particular, any $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -submodule $W \subseteq V$ has a complementary $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -submodule $X \subseteq V$, so that $V = W \oplus X$. Thus, if $p \nmid \#G$ then V has a G -stable subspace if and only if G is diagonalizable. Therefore, if we assume that $G \subseteq B_0(p)$, then $\langle e_1 \rangle$ is a G -stable subspace of V , whence we conclude that $p \nmid \#G$ iff G is diagonalizable.

Suppose then that $G \subseteq B_0(p)$ is abelian and $p \mid \#G$. In the following two paragraphs, we will show that $G = \langle \gamma, G \cap Z \rangle$. First, we show $\gamma \in G$: by the preceding paragraph, we have $G \not\subseteq C_s(p)$, and so there exists $m = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \in G$ with $b \neq 0$. We claim that we may choose $m \in G$ such that $a = d$: if this were not the case, then all such matrices in G have distinct entries on their diagonal, and are thus individually diagonalizable; since G is abelian, this then implies that all elements of G are simultaneously diagonalizable,

see e.g. [Con] – this is impossible. Thus, we may assume that $a = d$. It follows that $m^{p-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & e \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ where $e := (p-1)a^{p-2}b \neq 0$. Then choosing $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $ex \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, we deduce that $m^{(p-1)x} = \gamma \in G$.

Next, we claim that $G = \langle G \cap C_s(p), \gamma \rangle$. This follows from the fact that for any $m = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \in G$ with $b \neq 0$, one has

$$m = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \cdot \gamma^x$$

where $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ is chosen so that $x \equiv a^{-1}b \pmod{p}$. This shows that $G \subseteq \langle G \cap C_s(p), \gamma \rangle$, whence the claim follows. Finally, one checks for any $m = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \in G$ that commutativity $\gamma \cdot m = m \cdot \gamma$ forces $a = d$, and thus $G \cap C_s(p) = G \cap Z$. We conclude that $G = \langle \gamma, G \cap Z \rangle$, which proves part b.

For part c., we first assume that $G \subseteq N_s(p)$ with $G \not\subseteq C_s(p)$. Then by Lemma 11, we have for any element $n \in G \setminus C_s(p)$ that $G = \langle n, G \cap C_s(p) \rangle$. It suffices to show that $G \cap C_s(p) = G \cap Z$. This is similar to part b.: writing $n = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & b \\ c & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, we have for all $m = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \in G \cap C_s(p)$ that $nm = mn$, which forces $a = d$. This proves that $G = \langle n, G \cap Z \rangle$. The case where $G \subseteq N_{ns}(p)$ with $G \not\subseteq C_{ns}(p)$ is near identical by Lemma 11: one checks here that for any $n = \begin{bmatrix} a & b\epsilon \\ -b & -a \end{bmatrix} \in G$ and $m = \begin{bmatrix} c & d\epsilon \\ d & c \end{bmatrix} \in G \cap C_{ns}(p)$, one has from $nm = mn$ that $d = 0$, and thus $m \in G \cap Z$, which shows that $G = \langle n, G \cap C_{ns}(p) \rangle = \langle n, G \cap Z \rangle$. \square

In our proofs of Theorems 2 and 3, we will often need to argue that the image of inertia is an explicitly known subgroup of $\rho_{E,p}(G_F)$, not just up to conjugacy. The following lemma lets us do this via the classification of subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_2(p)$ given in Theorem 4. This lemma focuses on the case where the image contains a conjugate of the power of the semi-Cartan group or nonsplit Cartan subgroup mod- p .

Lemma 12. *Fix a prime $p \geq 3$ and an integer $k > 0$. Set $\gamma := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(p)$.*

- a. *If $D^k \subseteq \langle \gamma, Z \rangle$ then $p-1 \mid k$.*
- b. *For any subgroup $G \subseteq C_s(p)$, if $D^k \subseteq G$ and $p-1 \nmid k$ then $D^k \subseteq G$ or $D_f^k \subseteq G$.
If $D^k \subseteq N_s(p) \setminus C_s(p)$, then $p-1 \mid 2k$.*
- c. *If $D^k \subseteq C_{ns}(p)$ then $p-1 \mid k$, and if $D^k \subseteq N_{ns}(p)$ then $p-1 \mid 2k$.*
- d. *If $C_{ns}(p)^k \subseteq \langle \gamma, Z \rangle$ then $p+1 \mid \gcd(k, p^2-1)$.*

Proof. For this proof, let us fix a generator g of \mathbb{F}_p^\times ; then it follows that $n := \begin{bmatrix} g^k & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ generates D^k , and has order $\frac{p-1}{\gcd(k, p-1)}$.

For part a., suppose that $D^k \subseteq \langle \gamma, Z \rangle$. Then for some $m = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(p)$, we have $mD^km^{-1} \subseteq \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x & xy \\ 0 & x \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(p) \right\}$. We check that

$$(1) \quad mnm^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} adg^k - bc & -ab(g^k - 1) \\ cd(g^k - 1) & ad - bcg^k \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{ad - bc}.$$

This forces $cd(g^k - 1) = 0$. Since $|g^k| = \frac{p-1}{\gcd(k, p-1)}$, if $p-1 \nmid k$ then $\gcd(k, p-1) \neq p-1$, and thus $g^k \neq 1$, which implies that $cd = 0$. If $c = 0$, then we see that

$$mnm^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} g^k & -bd^{-1}(g^k - 1) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

which means $g^k = 1$, a contradiction. Thus $c \neq 0$, which implies that $d = 0$, and so

$$mnm^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & ac^{-1}(g^k - 1) \\ 0 & g^k \end{bmatrix},$$

again an impossibility. We conclude that $p-1 \mid k$.

For part b., writing $mnm^{-1} \subseteq G \subseteq N_s(p)$ for some $m := \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(p)$, we have that mnm^{-1} is either a diagonal or antidiagonal matrix. Assuming that $p-1 \nmid k$, we have $g^k \neq 1$; and so (1) implies that either $ab = cd = 0$ (diagonal case), or $adg^k = bc$ and $bcg^k = ad$ (antidiagonal case).

In the diagonal case, if $c = 0$ then invertibility of m implies that $ad \neq 0$, and thus $b = 0$, which shows by (1) that

$$mnm^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} g^k & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = m;$$

thus $mD^km^{-1} = D^k \subseteq G$. On the other hand, if $c \neq 0$ then $d = 0$, and thus $bc \neq 0$ and $a = 0$. This shows that

$$mnm^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & g^k \end{bmatrix} = m_f.$$

This implies that $mD^km^{-1} = D_f^k \subseteq G$.

In the antidiagonal case, we have both $adg^{2k} = ad$ and $bcg^{2k} = bc$. If $a = 0$ or $d = 0$, then $bc \neq 0$; we thus have $ad \neq 0$ or $bc \neq 0$, so that $g^{2k} = 1$, and hence $p-1 \mid 2k$. This concludes part b.

For part c., suppose that $D^k \subseteq N_{ns}(p)$. The eigenvalues of elements of D^k come in pairs $(x^k, 1)$ where $x \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$; on the other hand, eigenvalues of elements from $C_{ns}(p)$ come in pairs $u \pm v\sqrt{\epsilon}$, while eigenvalues from elements of $N_{ns}(p) \setminus C_{ns}(p)$ come in pairs $\pm\sqrt{u^2 - v^2\epsilon}$ (N.B.: in both cases we assume $(u, v) \neq (0, 0) \in \mathbb{F}_p^2$). In particular, from $D^k \subseteq N_{ns}(p)$ we know that for some $(u, v) \in \mathbb{F}_p^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ we have $g^k = u + v\sqrt{\epsilon}$ and $1 = u - v\sqrt{\epsilon}$, or (without loss of generality) $g^k = \sqrt{u^2 - v^2\epsilon}$ and $1 = -\sqrt{u^2 - v^2\epsilon}$. In the former case, this forces $u = 1$ and $v = 0$, and thus $g^k = 1$, which implies that $p-1 \mid k$. In the latter case, we deduce that $g^{2k} = 1$, and thus $p-1 \mid 2k$.

Finally, for part d. we observe that elements of $\langle \gamma, Z \rangle$ have repeated eigenvalues. As noted in part c., elements of $C_{ns}(p)$ have eigenvalues of the form $a \pm b\sqrt{\epsilon}$, which forces elements of any conjugate of $C_{ns}(p)^k$ in $\langle \gamma, Z \rangle$ to be contained in Z . This implies that $\frac{p^2-1}{\gcd(k, p^2-1)} \mid p-1$, and so $p+1 \mid \gcd(k, p^2-1)$. \square

4. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 2 AND 3

In this section, we prove Theorems 2 and 3. In both proofs, we let $d := [F : \mathbb{Q}]$. We start with proving the more general abelian case, which is Theorem 3.

4.1. A proof of Theorem 3. By Proposition 10, for an appropriate choice of basis $\{P, Q\}$ for $E[p]$ we have that G is contained in $B_0(p)$, $N_s(p)$ or $N_{ns}(p)$.

Case 1, where $G \subseteq B_0(p)$. We claim this implies that $G \subseteq C_s(p)$. Suppose this were not true. Then by Proposition 10.b we have $G = \langle \gamma, G \cap Z \rangle$ where $\gamma := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. We consider the two cases for ramification of p in F .

(1) Suppose that $p \nmid \Delta_F$. We can write

$$G = \text{im} \begin{bmatrix} r & * \\ 0 & r \end{bmatrix}$$

where $r : G_F \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^\times$ is the isogeny character of $\langle P \rangle$. However, we then have $r^2(G_F) = \det(G) = \chi_p(G_F)$, and consequently $\chi_p(G_F) \subseteq (\mathbb{F}_p^\times)^2$. Since p is unramified in F , we must also have $\chi_p(G_F) = \mathbb{F}_p^\times$, which forces $p = 2$.

(2) Suppose that $p \mid \Delta_F$. Write $e_0 := e(\mathfrak{P} \mid p)$ where \mathfrak{P} is a prime of F above p . By Theorem 8, we know that up to conjugacy G contains D^{ee_0} , $C_{ns}(p)^{ee_0}$ or $D^{6 \cdot d!}$.

If E has potential good ordinary or bad multiplicative reduction at a prime above p , then by Theorem 8 we have $D^{ee_0} \subseteq G$. Thus, by Lemma 12.a we have $p-1 \mid ee_0$; since $e \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$ and $e_0 \leq d$, this implies that $p \leq 6d+1$. If E has potential good supersingular reduction with trivial wild inertia, then Theorem 8 implies that $C_{ns}(p)^{ee_0} \subseteq G$. Thus, Lemma 12.d implies that $p+1 \mid \gcd(ee_0, p^2-1)$, which means $p \leq 6d-1$. Finally, in the potential supersingular case where wild inertia acts nontrivially, we have by Theorem 8 that $D^{(6d)!} \subseteq G$, and thus by Lemma 12.a we get $p-1 \mid (6d)!$, which means $p \leq (6d)!+1$.

By the above analysis, we conclude that if $G \subseteq B_0(p)$, and either $p > 2$ with $p \nmid \Delta_F$, or $p > (6d)!+1$, then $G \subseteq C_s(p)$.

Case 2, where $G \subseteq N_s(p)$. We will show that $G \subseteq C_s(p)$ when p is large enough. Since $p \nmid \#G$, by Theorem 8 we have $D^{ee_0} \subseteq G$ or $C_{ns}(p)^{ee_0} \subseteq G$, with notation as in Case 1.

Suppose that $G \not\subseteq C_s(p)$. Then by Proposition 10.c, we have $G = \langle n, G \cap Z \rangle$ for any $n \in G \setminus C_s(p)$.

(1) Suppose that $p \nmid \Delta_F$. Then $e_0 = 1$, and so $D^e \subseteq G$ or $C_{ns}(p)^e \subseteq G$. if $D^e \subseteq G$ then Lemma 12.b implies that $p-1 \mid 2e$, and thus $p \leq 13$. If $C_{ns}(p)^e \subseteq G$, then Lemma 12.d shows that $p+1 \mid \gcd(e, p^2-1)$, which implies that $p \leq 7$.

- (2) Suppose that $p \mid \Delta_F$. Similar to the previous part, in the case $D^{ee_0} \subseteq G$ we get $p - 1 \mid 2ee_0$, and in the case $C_{ns}(p)^{ee_0} \subseteq G$ we have $p + 1 \mid \gcd(ee_0, p^2 - 1)$.

We conclude that when $G \subseteq N_s(p)$, if $p \nmid \Delta_F$ and $p > 13$, or if $p > 12d + 1$, then $G \subseteq C_s(p)$ with $D^{ee_0} \subseteq G$.

Case 3, where $G \subseteq N_{ns}(p)$. We claim that $G \subseteq C_{ns}(p)$. Again by Theorem 8, we know that $D^{ee_0} \subseteq G$ or $C_{ns}(p)^{ee_0} \subseteq G$. By Lemma 12.c and an analysis identical to that of Case 2, we can show that if $D^{ee_0} \subseteq G$, then $p \leq 13$ when $p \nmid \Delta_F$, and otherwise $p \leq 12d + 1$. Therefore, for primes p where $p \nmid \Delta_F$ and $p > 13$, or where $p > 12d + 1$, we must have $C_{ns}(p)^{ee_0} \subseteq G$. In this case, if $G \not\subseteq C_{ns}(p)$ then Proposition 10.c implies that $G = \langle \gamma, G \cap Z \rangle$, which by Lemma 12.d forces $p \leq 5$ when $p \nmid \Delta_F$, and $p \leq 6d - 1$ otherwise – both of which are impossible.

We conclude that when $G \subseteq N_{ns}(p)$, if $p \nmid \Delta_F$ and $p > 13$, or if $p > 12d + 1$, then $G \subseteq C_{ns}(p)$. Furthermore, we have $C_{ns}(p)^{ee_0} \subseteq G$, not just up to conjugation, since $C_{ns}(p)$ is cyclic. This proves Theorem 3.

4.2. A proof of Theorem 2. Assume that $F(E[p]) = F(\zeta_p)$; then by Proposition 6, we have for $G := \rho_{E,p}(G_F)$ that $G \cap \mathrm{SL}_2(p) = 1$. Since G is abelian, by Proposition 10 it must be contained in $B_0(p)$, $N_s(p)$ or $N_{ns}(p)$. Since G embeds into \mathbb{F}_p^\times via the determinant map, we have $p \nmid \#G$, which simplifies our analysis. For example, Theorem 8 shows that we have $D^{ee_0} \subseteq G$ or $C_{ns}(p)^{ee_0} \subseteq G$ for some $e \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$ and for any ramification index e_0 for a prime in F above p .

We will show that $D^{ee_0} \subseteq G \subseteq C_s(p)$. We first claim that $D^{ee_0} \subseteq G$. Suppose this is not true; then $C_{ns}(p)^{ee_0} \subseteq G$, and so comparing sizes yields

$$p^2 - 1 \mid \gcd(ee_0, p^2 - 1) \cdot \#G \mid \gcd(ee_0, p^2 - 1) \cdot (p - 1),$$

whence we have

$$p + 1 \mid \gcd(ee_0, p^2 - 1).$$

If $p \nmid \Delta_F$ then $e_0 = 1$, and thus $p \leq 5$. Otherwise, from $e_0 \leq d$ we have $p \leq 6d - 1$. We conclude that if $p \nmid \Delta_F$ and $p > 5$, or if $p \mid \Delta_F$ and $p > 6d - 1$, then $D^{ee_0} \subseteq G$.

By Theorem 4, we know that an appropriate choice of basis for $E[p]$ implies G is contained in $B_0(p)$, $N_s(p)$ or $N_{ns}(p)$. We break this up into three cases.

Case 1: $G \subseteq B_0(p)$. By Proposition 10.b, since $p \nmid \#G$ we have $G \subseteq C_s(p)$.

Case 2: $G \subseteq N_s(p) \setminus C_s(p)$. Then $D^{ee_0} \subseteq G \subseteq N_s(p)$. If $e_0 = 1$, then by Lemma 12.b we have $p - 1 \mid 2e$, and thus $p \leq 13$; otherwise, we have $p - 1 \mid 2ee_0$, and thus $p \leq 12d + 1$.

Case 3: $G \subseteq N_{ns}(p)$. Then by Lemma 12.c we have $p - 1 \mid 2ee_0$, which gives the same conclusions as in Case 2.

We conclude that when $G \cap \mathrm{SL}_2(p) = 1$, if $p \nmid \Delta_F$ and $p > 13$, or if $p \mid \Delta_F$ and $p > 12d + 1$, then for some basis $\{P, Q\}$ of $E[p]$ we have $D^{ee_0} \subseteq G \subseteq C_s(p)$. By Lemma 12.b, we can assume that $D^{ee_0} \subseteq G$, without loss of generality. Since G is cyclic, we

know that $G^{ee_0} \subseteq D^{ee_0}$. Let us prove the following lemma, which shows there exists an extension K/F of degree $[K : F] = [G : G^{ee_0}] \mid ee_0$ for which $\rho_{E,p,P,Q}(G_K) \subseteq D$.

Lemma 13. *Let E/F be an elliptic curve, and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then for any subgroup $H \subseteq \rho_{E,n}(G_F)$, there exists a (unique) finite subextension $F(E[n])/K/F$ for which $\rho_{E,n}(G_K) = H$.*

Proof. Since $F(E[n])/F$ is a finite Galois extension, there exists a unique subextension $F(E[n])/K/F$ for which $H = \text{Gal}(F(E[n])/K)$. For the base change E/K one has the Galois representation

$$\rho_{E/K,n} : G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(n),$$

where we use the same implicit basis of $E[n]$ for both $\rho_{E/F,n}$ and $\rho_{E/K,n}$. We find that the kernel of this representation is

$$\ker \rho_{E/K,n} = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/K(E[n])).$$

Since $K \subseteq F(E[n])$, it follows that $K(E[n]) = F(E[n])$, which shows that $\ker \rho_{E/K,n} = \ker \rho_{E/F,n}$. We thus have

$$\rho_{E/K,n}(G_K) \cong G_K / \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/F(E[n])) \cong \text{Gal}(F(E[n])/K) = H. \quad \square$$

Continuing the proof of Theorem 2, we deduce that the basis element Q of $E[p]$ is K -rational. If we let $b := b([K : \mathbb{Q}])$ denote a strong uniform bound on prime orders of torsion points over K (such as the one given by Parent [Par99]), then we have $p \leq b$. We conclude that E has an order p point whose field of definition lies in an extension of F of degree at most 6 when $p \nmid \Delta_F$ and $p > 13$, and of degree $\leq 6d$ when $p \mid \Delta_F$ and $p > 12d + 1$. This proves the claimed uniform bounds on primes levels of cyclotomic division fields.

REFERENCES

- [BCP97] Wieb Bosma, John Cannon, and Catherine Playoust. The Magma algebra system. I. The user language. volume 24, pages 235–265. 1997. Computational algebra and number theory (London, 1993).
- [Con] Keith Conrad. Simultaneous commutativity of operators. Note at <https://kconrad.math.uconn.edu/blurbs/linmultialg/simulcomm.pdf>.
- [Dic58] Leonard Eugene Dickson. *Linear groups: With an exposition of the Galois field theory*. Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1958. With an introduction by W. Magnus.
- [FL] Lorenzo Furio and Davide Lombardo. Serre’s uniformity question and proper subgroups of $c_{ns}^+(p)$. Preprint available at [arxiv.org:2305.17780](https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.17780).
- [Gen24] Tyler Genao. Growth of torsion groups of elliptic curves upon base change from number fields. *Ramanujan J.*, 63(2):409–429, 2024.
- [Gen25] Tyler Genao. New isogenies of elliptic curves over number fields. *Int. J. Number Theory*, 21(10):2343–2357, 2025.
- [GJLR16] Enrique González-Jiménez and Álvaro Lozano-Robledo. Elliptic curves with abelian division fields. *Math. Z.*, 283(3-4):835–859, 2016.
- [HLR24] Asimina S. Hamakiotes and Álvaro Lozano-Robledo. Elliptic curves with complex multiplication and abelian division fields. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 110(6):Paper No. e70031, 21, 2024.
- [IK24] Bo-Hae Im and Hansol Kim. Growth of torsion groups of elliptic curves over number fields without rationally defined CM. *J. Number Theory*, 258:1–21, 2024.

- [LFL21] Samuel Le Fourn and Pedro Lemos. Residual Galois representations of elliptic curves with image contained in the normaliser of a nonsplit Cartan. *Algebra Number Theory*, 15(3):747–771, 2021.
- [LMF25] The LMFDB Collaboration. The L-functions and modular forms database. <https://www.lmfdb.org>, 2025. [Online; accessed July 15, 2025].
- [LR13] Álvaro Lozano-Robledo. On the field of definition of p -torsion points on elliptic curves over the rationals. *Math. Ann.*, 357(1):279–305, 2013.
- [LV14] Eric Larson and Dmitry Vaintrob. Determinants of subquotients of Galois representations associated with abelian varieties. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 13(3):517–559, 2014. With an appendix by Brian Conrad.
- [Par99] Pierre Parent. Bornes effectives pour la torsion des courbes elliptiques sur les corps de nombres. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 506:85–116, 1999.
- [Ser72] Jean-Pierre Serre. Propriétés galoisiennes des points d’ordre fini des courbes elliptiques. *Invent. Math.*, 15(4):259–331, 1972.
- [Sil09] Joseph H. Silverman. *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, volume 106 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, Dordrecht, second edition, 2009.
- [Sut16] Andrew V. Sutherland. Computing images of Galois representations attached to elliptic curves. *Forum Math. Sigma*, 4:Paper No. e4, 79, 2016.

THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, COLUMBUS, OH 43210, USA
Email address: `allen.2767@buckeyemail.osu.edu`

THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, COLUMBUS, OH 43210, USA
Email address: `genao.5@osu.edu`