

Fortuity and R-charge concentration in the D1–D5 CFT

Chi-Ming Chang^{a,b}, and Haoyu Zhang^c

^a*Yau Mathematical Sciences Center (YMSC), Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China*

^b*Beijing Institute of Mathematical Sciences and Applications (BIMSA)
Beijing 101408, China*

^c*George P. & Cynthia Woods Mitchell Institute for Fundamental Physics and Astronomy,
Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA*

cmchang@tsinghua.edu.cn, zhanghaoyu@tamu.edu

Abstract

We investigate finite- N BPS cohomology in the D1–D5 CFT, focusing on the sector of fortuitous classes. Analyzing the supercharge cochain complexes in the $N = 2$ and $N = 3$ theories, we construct several explicit fortuitous classes. We study the decomposition of these cohomology classes into $SU(2)_a \times SU(2)_b$ representations and conjecture that, at fixed holomorphic weight, those transforming in the largest representation are necessarily fortuitous. Our results also provide strong evidence that the R -charge concentration phenomenon extends to the D1–D5 CFT.

1 Introduction

Recent analyses of fortuitous phenomena in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM, the SYK model, and the ABJ vector model have shed new light on the structure of black hole microstates in AdS/CFT [1–12]. While monotone states persist to arbitrarily large N and become non-interacting supergraviton states holographically, fortuitous states cease to remain BPS in the large- N limit. Another well-studied holographic laboratory is the D1–D5 CFT, where the celebrated Strominger–Vafa counting of black hole microstates was first carried out [13]. The BPS spectrum of this system has been extensively investigated, both via protected indices in the boundary CFT and via supersymmetric gravitational path integrals in the bulk description [14–23].

To gain more refined information about black hole microstates, one approach is to determine explicit wavefunctions of BPS states in the boundary CFT by studying the lifting matrix [24–31] in conformal perturbation theory under the exactly marginal deformation of $\text{Sym}^N(T^4)$. Using the one-to-one correspondence between BPS states and supercharge cohomology classes, the second-order lifting problem reduces to computing the cohomology of the supercharge deformed at first order; we will refer to this supercharge cohomology as Q -cohomology.

In our earlier work [32], we constructed several explicit Q -cohomology classes in the $N = 2$ theory and extended the recent classification of classes [6] to the D1–D5 CFT.¹ Building on this framework, we now present several explicit fortuitous classes in the $\frac{1}{4}$ -BPS sector at $N = 2$. Beyond the contracted large $\mathcal{N} = (4, 4)$ quantum numbers $(h, j, \tilde{h} = \tilde{j})$, we show that the supercharge cochain complexes can be further refined by the right-moving $\text{SU}(2)_{\text{outer}}$ spin \tilde{j}_{outer} . In addition, the cohomology classes carry charges (j_a, j_b) under the $\text{SU}(2)_a \times \text{SU}(2)_b$ symmetry [31]. We decompose the cohomology classes into $\text{SU}(2)_a \times \text{SU}(2)_b$ representations, and, guided by our examples, conjecture that the cohomology classes transforming in the largest representations must be fortuitous.

Another distinctive feature of fortuitous classes is their R-charge concentration. Motivated by studies of Schwarzian theories, super-JT gravity [34, 21, 35], and fortuity in supersymmetric SYK models, it was conjectured in [7] that fortuitous classes are sharply concentrated in a single space of the Q -cohomology complex. Through an explicit cohomology analysis in the $N = 2$ and $N = 3$ theories, we provide strong evidence that this conjecture extends to the D1–D5 CFT.

¹See also the discussion of fortuity using the index and symmetry approach in [33].

2 Fortuity at $N = 2$

We study the spectrum of $\frac{1}{4}$ -BPS states in the D1–D5 system by analyzing the lifting problem in the conformal perturbation theory about the orbifold point $\text{Sym}^N(T^4)$. At the orbifold point, the Hilbert space is organized by the conjugacy classes of the symmetric group S_N , which are labeled by cycle shapes p

$$p = (1^{n_1}, 2^{n_2}, \dots, k^{n_k}, \dots), \quad (2.1)$$

where n_k denotes the number of cycles of length k and satisfies $\sum_k k n_k = N$.

There are two key properties of the first order deformed supercharge action:

- It maps between states with different cycle structures by either splitting a single cycle into two or joining two cycles into one.
- It commutes with the right-moving diagonal Clifford algebra, which naturally allows the refinement of the orbifold $\frac{1}{4}$ -BPS states into distinct quartets.

The classification of Q -cohomology classes into monotone and fortuitous sectors follows the framework of [32]. The key ingredients are the supercharge action Q_N at fixed N and the actions of $Q_{N'}$ for all $N' > N$ on the enlarged Hilbert spaces. The Hilbert spaces at N and N' are related by the stringy exclusion map (SEP) $\pi_{N,N'}$, which projects orbifold BPS states at N' onto those at N . By analyzing how lifted states behave under $Q_{N'}$, one can distinguish monotone classes (which always admits a lift to a $Q_{N'}$ closed state at higher N') from fortuitous classes.

Longest cochain complex and its lift

In general, at a given N , there is more than one supercharge cochain complexes in the D1–D5 system. We chose to focus on the longest supercharge cochain complex and its lift, as it contains the maximally twisted sector and is expected to capture the largest amount of black hole entropy, making it ideal for illustrating the connection between fortuity and black hole microstates. The $N = 3$ complex and its projection under $\pi_{2,3}$ to the $N = 2$ complex

can be summarized as

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \xrightarrow{Q_3} & V_{(1^3),0}^0 & \xrightarrow{Q_3} & V_{(2,1),0}^1 & \xrightarrow{Q_3} & V_{(1^3),0}^2 \oplus V_{(3),0}^2 & \xrightarrow{Q_3} & V_{(2,1),0}^3 & \xrightarrow{Q_3} & V_{(1^3),0}^4 & \xrightarrow{Q_3} & 0 \\
& & \downarrow \pi_{2,3} & & \downarrow \pi_{2,3} & & \downarrow \pi_{2,3} & & \downarrow \pi_{2,3} & & \downarrow \pi_{2,3} & & \\
0 & \xrightarrow{Q_2} & V_{(1^2),0}^0 & \xrightarrow{Q_2} & V_{(2),0}^1 & \xrightarrow{Q_2} & V_{(1^2),0}^2 & \xrightarrow{Q_2} & 0 & & 0 & &
\end{array} \tag{2.2}$$

where the space $V_{p,2\tilde{j}_{\text{outer}}}^{2\tilde{j}}$ is defined in Appendix B.

Definitions of monotone and fortuitous spaces

In [32], the Q -cohomology classes are classified as monotone and fortuitous. The spaces of monotone and fortuitous classes are

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_N^{\text{mon}} &:= (U_N^{\text{closed}} + \text{Im}(Q_N)) / \text{Im}(Q_N) . \\
\mathcal{H}_N^{\text{for}} &:= H_Q^*(V_N) / \mathcal{H}_N^{\text{mon}} \cong \text{Ker}(Q_N) / (U_N^{\text{closed}} + \text{Im}(Q_N)) .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where the space U_N^{closed} is defined by

$$U_N^{\text{closed}} := \text{Ker}(Q_N) \cap \bigcap_{N' > N} \text{Im} \left(\pi_{N,N'}|_{\text{Ker}(Q_{N'})} \right) . \tag{2.4}$$

However, directly working with these definitions requires the detailed knowledge of $Q_{N'}$ -action for all $N' > N$. To make progress, a finite-cutoff proxy criterion was provided that allows determining whether a given Q_N -cohomology class is approximately monotone by only studying the $Q_{N'}$ -action at a fixed $N' > N$. The spaces of proxy monotone classes are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_N^{\text{mon}_{N'}} &:= (U_N^{\text{closed}_{N'}} + \text{Im}(Q_N)) / \text{Im}(Q_N) , \\
U_N^{\text{closed}_{N'}} &:= \text{Ker}(Q_N) \cap \text{Im} \left(\pi_{N,N'}|_{\text{Ker}(Q_{N'})} \right) .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Note that the monotone space $\mathcal{H}_N^{\text{mon}}$ is a subspace of the proxy monotone space $\mathcal{H}_N^{\text{mon}_{N'}}$; hence, any cohomology class in the quotient $H_Q^*(V_N) / \mathcal{H}_N^{\text{mon}_{N'}}$ is fortuitous.

$N = 2$ monotone classes

Let us focus on the proxy monotone space $\mathcal{H}_2^{\text{mon}_3}$. By explicit computations, we find that all cohomology classes in $V_{(1^2),0}^0$ and $V_{(1^2),0}^2$ are proxy monotone up to $h = 4$. Their degeneracies are captured by the short-character expansion (A.2). This is consistent with the results of [26], where it was shown that only the half-BPS states and their contracted $\mathcal{N} = (4, 4)$

descendants remain unlifted. In the sector $V_{(2),0}^1$, we find that the proxy monotone degeneracies are again captured by the short-character expansion (A.3). Therefore, the monotone up to the order we computed are contracted $\mathcal{N} = (4, 4)$ descendants of the half BPS primaries.

Each proxy monotone class we have identified is in fact a genuine monotone class in the sense of (2.3). Since these proxy monotone classes arise as contracted $\mathcal{N} = (4, 4)$ descendants of $\frac{1}{2}$ -BPS states, they admit lifts to arbitrarily large $N' > 2$ that are $Q_{N'}$ -closed, obtained simply by lifting the contracted $\mathcal{N} = (4, 4)$ algebra from $N = 2$ to N' . Hence, we find

$$\mathcal{H}_2^{\text{mon}} = \mathcal{H}_2^{\text{mon}_3} \quad \text{up to } h = 4. \quad (2.6)$$

$N = 2$ fortuitous classes

Quotienting out these monotone classes as in (2.3), we find 26 fortuitous class at $h = 2, j = 0$, 608 at $h = 3, j = 0$, and 5922 at $h = 4, j = 0$ in $V_{(2),0}^1$. Focusing on the first case, we find that 20 of them transform under the $(\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{4})$ representation of the $\text{SU}(2)_a \times \text{SU}(2)_b$ symmetry, in which the highest weight class has the representative:

$$\psi_0^- \alpha_{-\frac{1}{2}}^1 \alpha_{-\frac{1}{2}}^1 \alpha_{-\frac{1}{2}}^1 |2_{--,--}\rangle \quad (2.7)$$

The remaining 6 fortuitous classes transform under $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3})$, in which the highest weight class has the representative:

$$\left[\psi_{-1}^- \alpha_{-\frac{1}{2}}^1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \psi_0^- \alpha_{-\frac{3}{2}}^1 + \sqrt{2} \psi_0^- \alpha_{-\frac{1}{2}}^1 \alpha_{-\frac{1}{2}}^1 \bar{\alpha}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^2 - \psi_0^- \alpha_{-\frac{1}{2}}^1 \bar{\alpha}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^1 \alpha_{-\frac{1}{2}}^2 - \psi_0^- \psi_{-1}^+ \bar{\psi}_0^- \alpha_{-\frac{1}{2}}^1 \right] |2_{--,--}\rangle \quad (2.8)$$

Here, we choose the representatives to be also Q^\dagger -closed; hence, (2.7) and (2.8) are both BPS under the second-order deformation. The $\text{SU}(2)_a \times \text{SU}(2)_b$ representation decomposition of the Q cohomology classes at $h = 3, j = 0$ is given in Appendix D.

Fortuity and $\text{SU}(2)_a \times \text{SU}(2)_b$ symmetry

There is an interesting interplay between the $\text{SU}(2)_a \times \text{SU}(2)_b$ symmetry and fortuity. Under $\text{SU}(2)_a$, the pairs of modes $(\alpha_n^1, \bar{\alpha}_n^1)$, $(\alpha_n^2, \bar{\alpha}_n^2)$, $(\psi_r^-, \bar{\psi}_r^-)$, and $(\psi_r^+, \bar{\psi}_r^+)$ each furnish a fundamental representation. Under $\text{SU}(2)_b$, the pairs bosonic modes (α_n^1, α_n^2) and $(\bar{\alpha}_n^1, \bar{\alpha}_n^2)$ transform in the fundamental representation, while the fermionic modes are singlets.

With h held fixed, states built from a larger number of left-moving modes transform in larger representations of the $\text{SU}(2)_a \times \text{SU}(2)_b$ symmetry.² Consequently, the largest $\text{SU}(2)_a \times$

²Here and in the following, “larger” refers to representations of larger dimension.

$SU(2)_b$ representation can only appear in the maximally twisted sector, since modes on longer cycles can carry smaller values of h . Moreover, within a sector of fixed cycle shape, any state in the largest representation must be constructed solely from modes living on the longest cycle in that cycle shape. These representation-theoretic constraints strongly restrict the action of the first-order deformed supercharge Q , which itself transforms in relatively small representations—namely, as a singlet of $SU(2)_a$ and in a fundamental of $SU(2)_b$, as discussed in [31].³ This leads to the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1. *Within a given cochain complex at fixed h , any state transforming in the largest $SU(2)_a \times SU(2)_b$ representation is fortuitous.*

For example, consider the states in $V_{(1^{N-2},2),0}^1$ with $(h,j) = (2,0)$ that transform in the largest possible representation, $(\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{4})$. We find that these states carry excitations only on the length-2 cycle, in agreement with the argument above. Under the action of Q , such states can map into the space $V_{(1^N),0}^2 \oplus V_{(1^{N-3},3),0}^2$. However, the subspace $V_{(1^N),0}^2$ does not contain any state transforming in the representation $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}) \otimes (\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{4}) = (\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{3}) \oplus (\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{5})$. Hence, the states in the $(\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{4})$ representation are Q -closed at $N = 2$ and are therefore fortuitous.

R-charge concentration

The R-charge concentration conjecture of [7] proposes that in each irreducible cochain complex, all fortuitous states concentrate in a single space, while the monotone states may spread among all the spaces in the cochain complex. Our previous results on the $N = 2$ fortuitous classes clearly exhibit the R-charge concentration.

We further argue that the Q_3 -cohomology computed by the longest cochain complex also obey the R-charge concentration. In non-central spaces $V_{(1^3),0}^0$, $V_{(1,2),0}^1$, $V_{(1,2),0}^3$, $V_{(1^3),0}^4$ in the $N = 3$ complex in (2.2), by explicit computations up to $h = 4$, we find that all the $\frac{1}{4}$ -BPS states are contracted large- $\mathcal{N} = 4$ descendants of half-BPS states;⁴ hence, are all monotone. In other words, the fortuitous classes up to $h = 4$ must reside in the central spaces $V_{(3),0}^3$ and $V_{(1^3),0}^3$.

³More precisely, the first-order deformed supercharges (Q, Q') form a fundamental representation of $SU(2)_b$, where $Q' = \tilde{G}'_{-1/2}$.

⁴The degeneracy of BPS states in $V_{(1^3),0}^0$, $V_{(1^3),0}^4$ is captured by χ_0 , while the degeneracy in $V_{(1,2),0}^1$, $V_{(1,2),0}^3$ is captured by $\chi_1 + 2\chi_2$. The explicit form of χ_0, χ_1, χ_2 is provided in appendix A.2.

3 Outlook

The $SU(2)_a \times SU(2)_b$ symmetry plays a central role in our analysis of fortuitous states: within a given cochain complex, we conjecture that states transforming in the largest representation are fortuitous. This conjecture is reminiscent of recent observations in the study of fortuity in the single-matrix model [36]. Moreover, the $SU(2)_a \times SU(2)_b$ symmetry can be used to further refine the modified index and to probe the distribution of representations at larger N using index-based methods [37].

Our results provide strong evidence for the R-charge concentration phenomenon at $N = 2$ and 3: within each cochain complex, the BPS states are supported in the central space, which also has the maximal dimension in the complex. At large but finite N , the supercharge cochain complex becomes highly intricate and involves states with complicated cycle shapes, and from the CFT perspective it remains unclear whether this concentration pattern persists in the strict large- N limit. If the observed correlation between R-charge concentration and the dimensions of the constituent spaces continues to hold, a sharper understanding of the dimension growth along the complex becomes a natural next step.

Our analysis in this paper focuses on the longest supercharge cochain complex; systematic studies of the remaining complexes will appear in [38]. The central space in the longest complex contains all maximally twisted states, which are expected to be dual to single-center black-hole microstates [16]. There is a large class of other bulk solutions, such as black rings, multi-center bubbled geometries, and multi-center black holes [39–49], whose precise boundary duals remain to be identified. A natural possibility is that they are dual to non-maximally twisted fortuitous states residing in the central spaces of the other cochain complexes.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Iosif Bena, Nathan Benjamin, Yiming Chen, Matthias Gaberdiel, Bin Guo, Marcel Hughes, Ho tat Lam, Ji Hoon Lee, Wei Li, Masaki Shigemori, Nick Warner, and Zhenbin Yang for helpful discussions, and Ying-Hsuan Lin for collaboration in other projects. CC is partly supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (NO. 2020YFA0713000). HZ thanks the hospitality of Yau Mathematical Sciences Center, where part of the work was done during the visit. This work was performed in part at the Aspen Center for Physics, which is supported by National Science Foundation grant PHY-2210452.

A Orbifold character

A.1 Short characters at $N = 2$

Following [50, 51], there are two short characters at $N = 2$, which we denote by

$$\chi_0, \chi_1 \tag{A.1}$$

Their expansion takes the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_0 = & \frac{1}{q^{\frac{1}{2}}} - 2 \left(y + \frac{1}{y} \right) + q^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(2y^2 + \frac{2}{y^2} + 9 \right) - 2q \left(y^3 + 9y + \frac{9}{y} + \frac{1}{y^3} \right) \\ & + q^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(y^4 + 22y^2 + 60 + \frac{22}{y^2} + \frac{1}{y^4} \right) - 2q^2 \left(9y^3 + 55y + \frac{55}{y} + \frac{9}{y^3} \right) \\ & + q^{\frac{5}{2}} \left(9y^4 + 132y^2 + 305 + \frac{132}{y^2} + \frac{9}{y^4} \right) - 2q^3 \left(y^5 + 55y^3 + 266y + \frac{266}{y} + \frac{55}{y^3} + \frac{1}{y^5} \right) \\ & + q^{\frac{7}{2}} (60y^4 + 60\frac{1}{y^4} + 634y^2 + 634y^{-2} + 1323) + O(q^4) , \end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_1 = & - \left(y + \frac{1}{y} \right) + 2q^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(y^2 + 3 + \frac{1}{y^2} \right) - q \left(y^3 + 15y + \frac{15}{y} + \frac{1}{y^3} \right) \\ & + q^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(20y^2 + 54 + \frac{20}{y^2} \right) - q^2 \left(15y^3 + 113y + \frac{113}{y} + \frac{15}{y^3} \right) \\ & + q^{\frac{5}{2}} \left(6y^4 + 144y^2 + 338 + \frac{144}{y^2} + \frac{6}{y^4} \right) - q^3 \left(y^5 + 113y^3 + 630y + \frac{630}{y} + \frac{113}{y^3} + \frac{1}{y^5} \right) \\ & + q^{7/2} (54y^4 + 54y^{-4} + 772y^2 + 772y^{-2} + 1662) + O(q^4) . \end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

A.2 Characters at $N = 3$

At $N = 3$, there are three short characters, which we denote by

$$\chi_0, \quad \chi_1, \quad \chi_2.$$

Their expansion takes the form

$$\chi_0 = \frac{1}{q^{\frac{3}{4}}} \left[-1 + 2q^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(y + \frac{1}{y} \right) - q(9 + 2y^{-2} + 2y^2) + q^{\frac{3}{2}}(2y^{-3} + 18y^{-1} + 18y + 2y^3) \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -q^2(2y^{-4} + 23y^{-2} + 61 + 23y^2 + 2y^4) + q^{\frac{5}{2}}(2y^{-5} + 24y^{-3} + 116y^{-1} + 116y + 24y^3 + 2y^5) \\
& -q^3(y^{-6} + 23y^{-4} + 153y^{-2} + 326 + 153y^2 + 23y^4 + y^6) \\
& + q^{\frac{7}{2}}(18y^{-5} + 164y^{-3} + 594y^{-1} + 594y + 164y^3 + 18y^5) \\
& -q^4(9y^{-6} + 153y^{-4} + 795y^{-2} + 1492 + 795y^2 + 153y^4 + 9y^6) + O(q^{\frac{9}{2}})
\end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_1 = & \frac{1}{q^{\frac{3}{4}}} \left[q^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(y + \frac{1}{y} \right) - q(6 + 2y^{-2} + 2y^2) + q^{\frac{3}{2}}(2y^{-3} + 16y^{-1} + 16y + 2y^3) \right. \\
& -q^2(2y^{-4} + 26y^{-2} + 60 + 26y^2 + 2y^4) + q^{\frac{5}{2}}(y^{-5} + 30y^{-3} + 135y^{-1} + 135y + 30y^3 + y^5) \\
& -q^3(26y^{-4} + 206y^{-2} + 408 + 206y^2 + 26y^4) + q^{\frac{7}{2}}(16y^{-5} + 234y^{-3} + 826y^{-1} + 826y + 234y^3 + 16y^5) \\
& \left. -q^4(6y^{-6} + 206y^{-4} + 1214y^{-2} + 2192 + 1214y^2 + 206y^4) + O(q^{\frac{9}{2}}) \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_2 = & \frac{1}{q^{\frac{3}{4}}} \left[-q(1 + y^{-2} + y^2) + q^{\frac{3}{2}}(2y^{-3} + 6y^{-1} + 6y + 2y^3) \right. \\
& -q^2(y^{-4} + 15y^{-2} + 22 + 15y^2 + 1y^4) + q^{\frac{5}{2}}(20y^{-3} + 62y^{-1} + 62y + 20y^3) \\
& -q^3(15y^{-4} + 121y^{-2} + 188 + 121y^2 + 15y^4) + q^{\frac{7}{2}}(6y^{-5} + 152y^{-3} + 434y^{-1} + 152y^{-3} + 6y^5) \\
& \left. -q^4(y^{-6} + 121y^{-4} + 733y^{-2} + 1144 + 733y^2 + 121y^4 + y^6) + O(q^{\frac{9}{2}}) \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

In addition, there are long characters of two distinct structures, given by

$$\chi_{h,0} = q^h(\chi_0 + 2\chi_1 + \chi_2), \quad \chi_{h+\frac{1}{2},1} = q^h(\chi_1 + 2\chi_2).$$

B Cochain Complexes in the $N = 2$ and 3 theories

In this Appendix, we spell out the cochain complexes computing the Q -cohomology in the $N = 2$ and $N = 3$ theories and explain how they are related via the projection map $\pi_{2,3}$. The cochains in these complexes are spaces of $\frac{1}{4}$ -BPS states at the orbifold point, and the differential is the first-order deformed supercharge Q , which is invariant under the right-moving $SU(2)_{\text{outer}}$ symmetry and commutes with the right-moving diagonal Clifford algebra [32]. A Clifford quartet takes the form

$$|\Omega\rangle, \quad \tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{+\text{diag}} |\Omega\rangle, \quad \tilde{\tilde{\psi}}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{+\text{diag}} |\Omega\rangle, \quad \tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{+\text{diag}} \tilde{\tilde{\psi}}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{+\text{diag}} |\Omega\rangle, \tag{B.1}$$

where $\tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{+\text{diag}}$ and $\tilde{\tilde{\psi}}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{+\text{diag}}$ are the raising operators of the right-moving diagonal Clifford algebra, and $|\Omega\rangle$ is the bottom component of the Clifford quartet, defined as the state annihilated by the lowering operators. Suppose $|\Omega\rangle$ transforms in the representation \mathbf{n} of $SU(2)_{\text{outer}}$; then the Clifford quartet transforms in the tensor product representation $(\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{2} \oplus \mathbf{1}) \otimes \mathbf{n}$. In what

follows, we focus on the bottom components of the Clifford quartets and project onto the highest-weight states under $SU(2)_{\text{outer}}$. The resulting cochain complexes are

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
0 & \xrightarrow{Q_3} & V_{(1^3),0}^0 & \xrightarrow{Q_3} & V_{(1,2),0}^1 & \xrightarrow{Q_3} & V_{(1^3),0}^2 \oplus V_{(3),0}^2 & \xrightarrow{Q_3} & V_{(1,2),0}^3 & \xrightarrow{Q_3} & V_{(1^3),0}^4 & \xrightarrow{Q_3} & 0 \\
& & \downarrow \pi_{2,3} & & \downarrow \pi_{2,3} & & \downarrow \pi_{2,3} & & \downarrow \pi_{2,3} & & \downarrow \pi_{2,3} & & \\
0 & \xrightarrow{Q_2} & V_{(1^2),0}^0 & \xrightarrow{Q_2} & V_{(2),0}^1 & \xrightarrow{Q_2} & V_{(1^2),0}^2 & \xrightarrow{Q_2} & 0 & & 0 & &
\end{array} \tag{B.2}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \xrightarrow{Q_3} & V_{(1^3),1}^1 & \xrightarrow{Q_3} & V_{(1,2),1}^2 & \xrightarrow{Q_3} & V_{(1^3),1}^3 & \xrightarrow{Q_3} & 0 \\
& & \downarrow \pi_{2,3} & & \downarrow \pi_{2,3} & & \downarrow \pi_{2,3} & & \\
0 & \xrightarrow{Q_2} & V_{(1,1),1}^1 & \xrightarrow{Q_2} & 0 & & 0 & &
\end{array} \tag{B.3}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \xrightarrow{Q_3} & V_{(1^3),2}^2 & \xrightarrow{Q_3} & 0 \\
& & \downarrow \pi_{2,3} & & \\
& & 0 & &
\end{array} \tag{B.4}$$

where the cochains $V_{p,2\tilde{j}_{\text{outer}}}^{2\tilde{j}}$ are labeled by the cycle shape p , right-moving R -charge \tilde{j} and right-moving $SU(2)_{\text{outer}}$ spin \tilde{j}_{outer} .

The bottom of $V_{(1^3),0}^0$, $V_{(1^3),0}^2$, $V_{(1^3),0}^4$ are spanned by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{g \in S_3} g |\Psi_{123}\rangle \\
& \sum_{g \in S_3} g (\tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[1]+} - \tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[2]+}) (\tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[1]+} - \tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[2]+}) |\Psi_{(12)3}\rangle \\
& \sum_{g \in S_3} g ((\bar{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[1]+} \psi_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[2]+} - \bar{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[1]+} \bar{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[2]+} + \frac{1}{3} [\psi_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[2]+} \bar{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[2]+} + \psi_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[1]+} \bar{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[1]+}]) \psi_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[3]+} \bar{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[3]+}) |\Psi_{123}\rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{B.5}$$

where $|\Psi_{123}\rangle$ denotes possible excitations of the tensor product of three T^4 theory.

The bottom of $V_{(1,2),0}^1$ and $V_{(1,2),0}^3$ are spanned by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{g \in S_3} |\Psi_{123}\rangle \\
& \sum_{g \in S_3} (\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[1]+} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[23]+}) (\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[1]+} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[23]+}) |\Psi_{123}\rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{B.6}$$

$V_{(1^3),1}^1$ contains two right-moving quartets, whose bottom components are spanned by

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{g \in S_3} (\tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[1]+} - \tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[2]+}) |\Psi_{123}\rangle \\ & \sum_{g \in S_3} (\tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[1]+} - \tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[2]+}) |\Psi_{123}\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.7})$$

$V_{(1^3),1}^3$ contains two right-moving quartets, whose bottom components are spanned by

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{g \in S_3} (\tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[1]+} - \tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[2]+}) (\tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[1]+} - \tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[2]+}) (\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[1]+} + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[2]+} - \tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[3]+}) |\Psi_{123}\rangle \\ & \sum_{g \in S_3} (\tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[1]+} - \tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[2]+}) (\tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[1]+} - \tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[2]+}) (\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[1]+} + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[2]+} - \tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[3]+}) |\Psi_{123}\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.8})$$

$V_{(1,2),1}^2$ contain two quartets, whose bottom components are spanned by

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{g \in S_3} g (\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[1]+} - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[23]+}) |\Psi_{123}\rangle \\ & \sum_{g \in S_3} g (\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[1]+} - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[23]+}) |\Psi_{123}\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.9})$$

$V_{(1^3),2}^2$ contains three totally anti-symmetric right-moving quartets, whose bottom components are spanned by

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{g \in S_3} (\tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[1]+} - \tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[2]+}) \tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[3]+} + (\tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[2]+} - \tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[3]+}) \tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[1]+} + (\tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[3]+} - \tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[1]+}) \tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[2]+} |\Psi_{123}\rangle \\ & \sum_{g \in S_3} (\tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[1]+} \tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[2]+} - \tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[1]+} \tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[3]+} + \tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[2]+} \tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[3]+}) |\Psi_{123}\rangle \\ & \sum_{g \in S_3} (\tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[1]+} \tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[2]+} - \tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[1]+} \tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[3]+} + \tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[2]+} \tilde{\psi}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{[3]+}) |\Psi_{123}\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.10})$$

C $\text{SU}(2)_a \times \text{SU}(2)_b$ charges

As discussed in [31], the deformation preserves two additional charges in addition to the $\text{SU}(2)$ R-charge. We further note that these two charges can be enhanced to two $\text{SU}(2)$ zero mode charge. The explicit modes for the left-moving sector of zero mode are presented in

the following

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1^b &= \frac{1}{2i} \sum_n \frac{1}{n} (\bar{\alpha}_{-n}^1 \alpha_n^1 + \bar{\alpha}_{-n}^2 \alpha_n^2) \\
J_2^b &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_n \frac{1}{n} (\bar{\alpha}_{-n}^2 \alpha_n^2 - \bar{\alpha}_{-n}^1 \alpha_n^1) \\
J_3^b &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_n \frac{1}{n} (\bar{\alpha}_{-n}^2 \alpha_n^1 + \bar{\alpha}_{-n}^1 \alpha_n^2)
\end{aligned} \tag{C.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1^a &= \frac{1}{2i} \left[\sum_n \frac{1}{n} (\bar{\alpha}_{-n}^2 \bar{\alpha}_n^1 + \alpha_{-n}^2 \alpha_n^1) - \psi_{-n}^- \psi_n^+ + \bar{\psi}_{-n}^- \bar{\psi}_n^+ \right] \\
J_2^a &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_n \left[\frac{1}{n} (\bar{\alpha}_{-n}^2 \bar{\alpha}_n^1 - \alpha_{-n}^2 \alpha_n^1) + \psi_{-n}^- \psi_n^+ + \bar{\psi}_{-n}^- \bar{\psi}_n^+ \right] \\
J_3^a &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_n \left[\frac{1}{n} (\bar{\alpha}_{-n}^2 \alpha_n^1 + \alpha_{-n}^2 \bar{\alpha}_n^1) - \bar{\psi}_{-n}^+ \psi_n^- + \psi_{-n}^+ \bar{\psi}_n^- \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{C.2}$$

D Representation decomposition of $h = 3, j = 0$ BPS states

We find the $SU(2)_a \times SU(2)_b$ representation decomposition of $\frac{1}{4}$ -BPS states at $h = 3, j = 0$ take the following form.

Representation	Degeneracy	Representation	Degeneracy
(6, 5)	3	(7, 6)	1
(4, 5)	3	(5, 6)	1
(6, 3)	3	(7, 4)	1
(4, 3)	9 = 6 + 3	(5, 4)	5
(2, 3)	13 = 10 + 3	(3, 4)	4 = 3 + 1
(4, 1)	6 = 3 + 3	(5, 2)	2
(2, 1)	37 = 3 + 34	(3, 2)	22 = 6 + 16
		(3, 2)	29 = 2 + 27

Table 1

We use red color to denote the degeneracy of fortuitous states, and the black color denotes the degeneracy of monotone states. Note that the fortuitous states and monotone states presented here are a combination of left-moving contracted $\mathcal{N} = (4, 4)$ primaries and descendants.

References

- [1] C.-M. Chang and Y.-H. Lin, “Words to describe a black hole,” *JHEP* **02** (2023) 109, [arXiv:2209.06728 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [2] S. Choi, S. Kim, E. Lee, S. Lee, and J. Park, “Towards quantum black hole microstates,” *JHEP* **11** (2023) 175, [arXiv:2304.10155 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [3] K. Budzik, H. Murali, and P. Vieira, “Following Black Hole States,” [arXiv:2306.04693 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [4] C.-M. Chang, L. Feng, Y.-H. Lin, and Y.-X. Tao, “Decoding stringy near-supersymmetric black holes,” *SciPost Phys.* **16** no. 4, (2024) 109, [arXiv:2306.04673 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [5] J. Choi, S. Choi, S. Kim, J. Lee, and S. Lee, “Finite N black hole cohomologies,” *JHEP* **12** (2024) 029, [arXiv:2312.16443 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [6] C.-M. Chang and Y.-H. Lin, “Holographic covering and the fortuity of black holes,” [arXiv:2402.10129 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [7] C.-M. Chang, Y. Chen, B. S. Sia, and Z. Yang, “Fortuity in SYK Models,” [arXiv:2412.06902 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [8] R. de Mello Koch, M. Kim, S. Kim, J. Lee, and S. Lee, “Brane-fused black hole operators,” [arXiv:2412.08695 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [9] A. Gadde, E. Lee, R. Raj, and S. Tomar, “Probing Non-Graviton Spectra in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM via BMN truncation and S-Duality,” [arXiv:2506.13887 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [10] C.-M. Chang and Y.-H. Lin, “Violation of S-duality in classical Q -cohomology,” [arXiv:2510.24008 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [11] J. Choi and E. Lee, “Konishi lifts a black hole,” [arXiv:2511.09519 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [12] S. Kim, J. Lee, S. Lee, and H. Oh, “BPS phases and fortuity in higher spin holography,” [arXiv:2511.03105 \[hep-th\]](#).

- [13] A. Strominger and C. Vafa, “Microscopic origin of the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy,” *Phys. Lett. B* **379** (1996) 99–104, [arXiv:hep-th/9601029](#).
- [14] J. de Boer, “Six-dimensional supergravity on $S^3 \times \text{AdS}(3)$ and 2-D conformal field theory,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **548** (1999) 139–166, [arXiv:hep-th/9806104](#).
- [15] J. de Boer, “Large N elliptic genus and AdS / CFT correspondence,” *JHEP* **05** (1999) 017, [arXiv:hep-th/9812240](#).
- [16] J. M. Maldacena, G. W. Moore, and A. Strominger, “Counting BPS black holes in toroidal Type II string theory,” [arXiv:hep-th/9903163](#).
- [17] R. Dijkgraaf, J. M. Maldacena, G. W. Moore, and E. P. Verlinde, “A Black hole Farey tail,” [arXiv:hep-th/0005003](#).
- [18] I. Bena, B. D. Chowdhury, J. de Boer, S. El-Showk, and M. Shigemori, “Moulting Black Holes,” *JHEP* **03** (2012) 094, [arXiv:1108.0411 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [19] N. Benjamin, “A Refined Count of BPS States in the D1/D5 System,” *JHEP* **06** (2017) 028, [arXiv:1610.07607 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [20] N. Benjamin and S. M. Harrison, “Symmetries of the refined D1/D5 BPS spectrum,” *JHEP* **11** (2017) 091, [arXiv:1708.02244 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [21] M. Heydeman, L. V. Iliesiu, G. J. Turiaci, and W. Zhao, “The statistical mechanics of near-BPS black holes,” *J. Phys. A* **55** no. 1, (2022) 014004, [arXiv:2011.01953 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [22] M. R. R. Hughes and M. Shigemori, “A New Supersymmetry Index for Symmetric Orbifold CFTs,” [arXiv:2509.19425 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [23] J. H. Lee and W. Li, “AdS₃ Quantum Gravity and Finite N Chiral Primaries,” [arXiv:2511.00636 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [24] E. Gava and K. S. Narain, “Proving the PP wave / CFT(2) duality,” *JHEP* **12** (2002) 023, [arXiv:hep-th/0208081](#).
- [25] B. Guo and S. D. Mathur, “Lifting of level-1 states in the D1D5 CFT,” *JHEP* **03** (2020) 028, [arXiv:1912.05567 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [26] B. Guo and S. D. Mathur, “Lifting at higher levels in the D1D5 CFT,” *JHEP* **11** (2020) 145, [arXiv:2008.01274 \[hep-th\]](#).

- [27] N. Benjamin, C. A. Keller, and I. G. Zadeh, “Lifting 1/4-BPS states in $\text{AdS}_3 \times \text{S}^3 \times \text{T}^4$,” *JHEP* **10** (2021) 089, [arXiv:2107.00655 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [28] M. R. Gaberdiel, R. Gopakumar, and B. Nairz, “Beyond the tensionless limit: integrability in the symmetric orbifold,” *JHEP* **06** (2024) 030, [arXiv:2312.13288 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [29] M. R. Gaberdiel, F. Lichtner, and B. Nairz, “Anomalous dimensions in the symmetric orbifold,” [arXiv:2411.17612 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [30] M. Fabri, A. Sfondrini, and T. Skrzypek, “Perturbed symmetric-product orbifold: first-order mixing and puzzles for integrability,” [arXiv:2504.13091 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [31] M. R. Gaberdiel and I. L. Meur, “The Triplet Perturbation of the Symmetric Orbifold,” [arXiv:2509.03132 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [32] C.-M. Chang, Y.-H. Lin, and H. Zhang, “Fortuity in the D1-D5 system,” [arXiv:2501.05448 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [33] M. R. R. Hughes and M. Shigemori, “Fortuity within Supergravity,” [arXiv:2505.14888 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [34] D. Stanford and E. Witten, “Fermionic Localization of the Schwarzian Theory,” *JHEP* **10** (2017) 008, [arXiv:1703.04612 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [35] G. J. Turiaci and E. Witten, “ $\mathcal{N} = 2$ JT supergravity and matrix models,” *JHEP* **12** (2023) 003, [arXiv:2305.19438 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [36] Y. Chen, “Fortuity with a single matrix,” [arXiv:2511.00790 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [37] N. Benjamin and H. Zhang, “Refined index in the D1-D5 system,” **Work in progress**.
- [38] C.-M. Chang, Y.-H. Lin, and H. Zhang, “Multi-cycle fortuity in the D1D5 system,” **Work in progress**.
- [39] R. Emparan and H. S. Reall, “A Rotating black ring solution in five-dimensions,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88** (2002) 101101, [arXiv:hep-th/0110260](#).
- [40] J. P. Gauntlett, J. B. Gutowski, C. M. Hull, S. Pakis, and H. S. Reall, “All supersymmetric solutions of minimal supergravity in five- dimensions,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **20** (2003) 4587–4634, [arXiv:hep-th/0209114](#).
- [41] H. Elvang, R. Emparan, D. Mateos, and H. S. Reall, “Supersymmetric black rings and three-charge supertubes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **71** (2005) 024033, [arXiv:hep-th/0408120](#).

- [42] H. Elvang, R. Emparan, D. Mateos, and H. S. Reall, “A Supersymmetric black ring,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93** (2004) 211302, [arXiv:hep-th/0407065](#).
- [43] I. Bena and P. Kraus, “Microstates of the D1-D5-KK system,” *Phys. Rev. D* **72** (2005) 025007, [arXiv:hep-th/0503053](#).
- [44] I. Bena and N. P. Warner, “Black holes, black rings and their microstates,” *Lect. Notes Phys.* **755** (2008) 1–92, [arXiv:hep-th/0701216](#).
- [45] G. N. Candlish, “On the smoothness of the multi-BMPV black hole spacetime,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **27** (2010) 065005, [arXiv:0904.3885 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [46] G. W. Gibbons and N. P. Warner, “Global structure of five-dimensional fuzzballs,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **31** (2014) 025016, [arXiv:1305.0957 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [47] J. Lucietti, “All Higher-Dimensional Majumdar–Papapetrou Black Holes,” *Annales Henri Poincaré* **22** no. 7, (2021) 2437–2450, [arXiv:2009.05358 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [48] I. Bena, E. J. Martinec, S. D. Mathur, and N. P. Warner, “Fuzzballs and Microstate Geometries: Black-Hole Structure in String Theory,” [arXiv:2204.13113 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [49] I. Bena and N. P. Warner, “Microstate Geometries,” [arXiv:2503.17310 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [50] T. Eguchi and A. Taormina, “Character Formulas for the $N = 4$ Superconformal Algebra,” *Phys. Lett. B* **200** (1988) 315.
- [51] T. Eguchi and A. Taormina, “Unitary Representations of $N = 4$ Superconformal Algebra,” *Phys. Lett. B* **196** (1987) 75.