

Generalized Latin Square Graphs of Semigroups: A Counting Framework for Regularity

Mohammad Reza Soroush^{1*}, Maryam Golriz² and
Bozorg Panbehkar³

^{1,2*}Department of Mathematics, ST. C., Islamic Azad University,
Tehran, Iran.

³Department of Mathematics, Dez. C., Islamic Azad University, Dezful,
Iran.

*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): Babaxor@iau.ac.ir;
Contributing authors: MGolriz@iau.ac.ir; Bo.Panbehkar@iau.ac.ir;

Abstract

We investigate the generalized Latin square graph $\Gamma(\mathbf{S})$ for a finite semigroup \mathbf{S} , extending the combinatorial concept of Latin rectangle graphs. By analyzing factorization multiplicities $(N_{\mathbf{S}})$ and cancellation failure $(N_{\mathbf{R}}, N_{\mathbf{C}})$, we derive the degree formula $\deg(v) = 2n - 3 + Q(i, j)$. We prove that graph regularity is equivalent to $Q(i, j)$ being constant—a condition termed *compensated factorization*—which often arises from congruence-induced symmetries. A non-cancellative semigroup of order 4 generating a 9-regular graph is constructed. Finally, computational enumeration up to order $n = 6$ (using the `smallsemi` package for GAP) confirms that regularity is a rare property which decreases significantly as the order increases.

1 Introduction

The study of graphs associated with algebraic structures provides a significant method for linking algebra and combinatorics [10]. While classical investigations focused on groups [2], the extension of these concepts to semigroups introduces complexity due to the absence of the cancellation property [11, 14]. Unlike constructions restricted to specific subsets or relations—such as Cayley [13, 20], zero-divisor [5], or power graphs [3]—this study investigates the *generalized Latin square graph* (GLSG), denoted by

$\Gamma(S)$. By encoding all factorizations within the complete multiplication table, $\Gamma(S)$ identifies invariants underlying the semigroup structure, yielding new criteria for degree regularity (see Section 3).

Main Contributions

The primary objective of this work is to analyze the multiplication table from an algebraic perspective. The specific contributions are as follows:

1. **Algebraic Degree Formula:** We establish a general formula (Theorem 4.4) relating combinatorial regularity to the invariants N_R and N_C , which quantify the failure of cancellation.
2. **Compensated Factorization:** We introduce this concept as the necessary and sufficient condition for regularity in non-cancellative semigroups, demonstrating how the global symbol frequency (N_S) compensates for local deviations.
3. **Structural Origin of Regularity:** We demonstrate (Theorem 5.9) that for broad classes of semigroups, regularity arises specifically from congruence-induced block uniformity.

Context and Comparison with Related Constructions

It is noted that the underlying graph construction relates to the framework of Latin rectangle graphs studied in design theory [7, 12]. However, the present study interprets this structure explicitly within the context of semigroup theory. In contrast to Cayley or commuting graphs, the adjacency rule in $\Gamma(S)$ —defined by sharing *exactly one* coordinate—is selected to encompass the entire multiplication table. This distinction separates combinatorial incidence from the algebraic failure of cancellation, allowing the parameters N_R and N_C to explicitly quantify non-cancellativity.

Connection to Combinatorial Design Theory

The multiplication table of a semigroup S induces a semi-Latin square (SLS), where the vertex set $V(\Gamma(S))$ represents the set of incidences. In this framework, graph regularity corresponds to a balanced incidence property [19].

Proposition 1.1. *The semi-Latin square induced by S exhibits balanced symbol incidence if and only if the function*

$$Q(i, j) = N_S(s_k) - 2N_R(s_i, s_k) - 2N_C(s_j, s_k)$$

is constant for all coordinates (i, j) .

Proof Theorem 4.4 establishes that the vertex degree is determined by $2n - 3 + Q(i, j)$. Thus, the regularity of the graph implies the constancy of $Q(i, j)$, which serves as the algebraic analogue of the combinatorial requirement for balanced incidence. \square

This result indicates that regular GLSGs correspond to semi-Latin squares with uniform combinatorial balance, providing a systematic algebraic method for generating such designs.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, all semigroups are assumed to be finite. We adopt the standard notation and terminology for semigroup theory, following [4, 11]. We summarize relevant concepts for completeness. Recall that S is termed a *band* if all its elements satisfy the idempotent property ($a^2 = a$ for all $a \in S$), and S is an *inverse semigroup* if every element $a \in S$ possesses a unique pseudoinverse a^{-1} (satisfying $aa^{-1}a = a$ and $a^{-1}aa^{-1} = a^{-1}$). We assume familiarity with definitions such as zero elements, identities, and regular semigroups.

The definitions of Green's relations \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{L} are recalled as follows: $a \mathcal{R} b$ if $aS^1 = bS^1$ (same principal right ideal), and $a \mathcal{L} b$ if $S^1a = S^1b$ (same principal left ideal).

We recall the classical result concerning Latin square graphs: for a Latin square L of order n (which corresponds to the multiplication table of a finite group), the associated Latin square graph $\Gamma(L)$ is known to be regular with degree $3n - 3$ [7]. It is essential to note that in the context of groups, distinct vertices in $\Gamma(L)$ never share more than one coordinate. Consequently, the condition of sharing “exactly one” coordinate is equivalent to sharing “at least one” coordinate.

3 Generalized Latin Square Graphs of Semigroups (GLSG)

Definition 3.1. Let $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$ be a finite semigroup. We define the generalized Latin square graph of S , denoted by $\Gamma(S)$, as the simple graph with the vertex set

$$V(\Gamma(S)) = \{(s_i, s_j, s_k) \in S \times S \times S \mid s_i s_j = s_k\}.$$

Two distinct vertices (s_i, s_j, s_k) and (s_p, s_q, s_r) are defined to be adjacent if and only if they share **exactly one** coordinate; that is:

$$s_i = s_p, \quad \text{or} \quad s_j = s_q, \quad \text{or} \quad s_k = s_r.$$

The cardinality of the vertex set is fixed at n^2 , which ensures a uniform representation of the multiplication table. The adjacency condition—sharing *exactly one* coordinate—is selected to distinguish combinatorial incidence from algebraic redundancy. In the context of groups, distinct vertices never share two coordinates. However, in semigroups, the existence of pairs sharing two coordinates corresponds directly to a failure of the cancellation property. By excluding these cases from the edge set, the phenomenon of non-cancellativity is quantified by the parameters N_R and N_C , rather than being absorbed into the connectivity of the graph. Consequently, the structure of $\Gamma(S)$ reflects the underlying algebraic constraints.

Example 1. Let us consider the semigroup $S_1 = \{s_0, s_1\}$ defined by the following multiplication table:

\cdot	s_0	s_1
s_0	s_0	s_0
s_1	s_0	s_1

The vertex set is given by $V(\Gamma(S_1)) = \{(s_0, s_0, s_0), (s_0, s_1, s_0), (s_1, s_0, s_0), (s_1, s_1, s_1)\}$. We observe that the vertex (s_0, s_0, s_0) is isolated, whereas the remaining three vertices form a complete graph K_3 (see Figure 1).




Fig. 1: The generalized Latin square graph $\Gamma(S_1)$, forming a complete graph K_3 plus an isolated vertex.

3.1 Basic Graph Invariants of $\Gamma(S)$

The generalized Latin square graph is characterized by fundamental invariants determined by the semigroup order n . The vertex set cardinality is invariant: $|V(\Gamma(S))| = n^2$. When $\Gamma(S)$ is k -regular (implying $k = 2n - 3 + Q$ is constant), the edge count is given by $|E(\Gamma(S))| = \frac{1}{2}n^2k$. Preliminary analysis (see Examples 1 and 2) indicates that $\Gamma(S)$ may be disconnected and contain isolated vertices. Consequently, deriving a general diameter formula presents significant challenges, and establishing precise conditions for global connectivity remains an open problem (Section 6).

4 Counting Framework and Degree Computation

In order to motivate the analytical framework established in this section, we first consider an elementary example in which the associated graph fails to be regular. This case illustrates that, in contrast to groups, the local algebraic structure of a semigroup permits variations in vertex degrees. Consequently, a rigorous counting method is required to precisely characterize the conditions for regularity.

Example 2 (A non-regular case). Consider the semigroup $S_{nr} = \{e, a\}$ defined by $e^2 = e$, $ea = ae = a$, and $a^2 = a$. The vertex set is $V = \{(e, e, e), (e, a, a), (a, e, a), (a, a, a)\}$. Direct calculation yields $\deg(e, e, e) = 2$, $\deg(e, a, a) = \deg(a, e, a) = 2$, and $\deg(a, a, a) = 0$. Thus, $\Gamma(S_{nr})$ is not regular [15].

Definition 4.1. Let S be a finite semigroup and $v = (s_i, s_j, s_k) \in V(\Gamma(S))$ such that $s_k = s_i s_j$. We define the following counting functions:

$$\begin{aligned} N_R(s_i, s_k) &= |\{s'_j \in S \setminus \{s_j\} \mid s_i s'_j = s_k\}|, \\ N_C(s_j, s_k) &= |\{s'_i \in S \setminus \{s_i\} \mid s'_i s_j = s_k\}|, \\ N_S(s_k) &= |\{(s'_i, s'_j) \in S \times S \mid s'_i s'_j = s_k\}|. \end{aligned}$$

These functions generalize concepts employed in the study of semigroup ideals and cancellativity [4, 11, 18].

Remark 1. The functions N_R , N_C , and N_S arise naturally from the definition of adjacency in $\Gamma(S)$. The value $N_S(s_k)$ determines the set of potential neighbors. The parameters N_R and N_C quantify the failure of the cancellation property, representing the necessary exclusions from the adjacency count. Consequently, these three invariants provide a complete characterization of the vertex degree in terms of the algebraic structure. We note that the present formulation is general; the application to specific classes (such as bands) is deferred to Section 5.

Table 1 summarizes the values of these counting functions for standard semigroup classes.

Semigroup type	N_R	N_C
Group	0	0
Left-cancellative	0	varies
Right-cancellative	varies	0
Null semigroup	$n - 1$	$n - 1$
Left-zero semigroup	$n - 1$	0
Right-zero semigroup	0	$n - 1$

Table 1: Values of N_R and N_C for standard semigroup classes.

Remark 2. The variability noted in Table 1 for one-sided cancellative semigroups (i.e., N_C for left-cancellative, and N_R for right-cancellative) arises from the potential failure of cancellation on the opposing side. For instance, in a semigroup that is left-cancellative but not right-cancellative, $N_C(s_j, s_k)$ must be positive for at least one vertex, reflecting the non-unique solvability of $ys_j = s_k$.

Corollary 4.2. For any finite semigroup S of order n , the identity $\sum_{s_k \in S^2} N_S(s_k) = n^2$ holds.

Proof The summation accounts for all n^2 pairs $(s_i, s_j) \in S \times S$, which are partitioned according to their product s_k . \square

Lemma 4.3 (Partition of the neighbor set). *Let $v = (s_i, s_j, s_k) \in V(\Gamma(S))$ be a vertex. The neighbor set $N(v)$ can be partitioned into three disjoint subsets, determined by the shared coordinate:*

$$\begin{aligned} N_1(v) &= \{(s_i, s'_j, s'_k) \mid s'_j \neq s_j, s'_k \neq s_k, s_i s'_j = s'_k\}, \\ N_2(v) &= \{(s'_i, s_j, s'_k) \mid s'_i \neq s_i, s'_k \neq s_k, s'_i s_j = s'_k\}, \\ N_3(v) &= \{(s'_i, s'_j, s_k) \mid s'_i \neq s_i, s'_j \neq s_j, s'_i s'_j = s_k\}. \end{aligned}$$

The cardinalities of these sets are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} |N_1(v)| &= (n-1) - N_R(s_i, s_k), \quad |N_2(v)| = (n-1) - N_C(s_j, s_k), \\ |N_3(v)| &= N_S(s_k) - 1 - N_R(s_i, s_k) - N_C(s_j, s_k). \end{aligned}$$

Proof According to Definition 3.1, a vertex u is adjacent to v if and only if it shares *exactly one* coordinate. We analyze the three possible cases:

- **Case 1 (Sharing s_i):** Let S_1 denote the set of vertices in $V(\Gamma(S))$ having the first coordinate s_i . Since the second coordinate can be any of the n elements of S and determines the third, we have $|S_1| = n$. The vertex v is contained in S_1 . For adjacency, a neighbor in S_1 must not share the third coordinate s_k . The vertices in S_1 that share s_k correspond to the solutions x of the equation $s_i x = s_k$. Excluding the trivial solution $x = s_j$ (which corresponds to v), the number of such vertices is exactly $N_R(s_i, s_k)$. Consequently, the number of valid neighbors is $|N_1(v)| = (n-1) - N_R(s_i, s_k)$.
- **Case 2 (Sharing s_j):** By a symmetric argument, considering the set of vertices with the second coordinate fixed at s_j , we must exclude those that also share the third coordinate s_k . The number of such exclusions is given by $N_C(s_j, s_k)$. Thus, we obtain $|N_2(v)| = (n-1) - N_C(s_j, s_k)$.
- **Case 3 (Sharing s_k):** Let S_3 be the set of vertices with the third coordinate fixed at s_k . By definition, $|S_3| = N_S(s_k)$. From this set, we must exclude the vertex v itself. Furthermore, we must exclude vertices that share a second coordinate with v : those sharing s_i (counted by N_R) and those sharing s_j (counted by N_C). Since v is the unique vertex sharing s_i, s_j , and s_k simultaneously, these exclusion sets are disjoint on $S_3 \setminus \{v\}$. Therefore, the remaining cardinality is $|N_3(v)| = N_S(s_k) - 1 - N_R(s_i, s_k) - N_C(s_j, s_k)$.

The result follows from the summation of these disjoint components. \square

Theorem 4.4 (Degree formula and regularity condition). *Let $v = (s_i, s_j, s_k)$ be a vertex of $\Gamma(S)$. Then the degree is given by:*

$$\deg(v) = 2n - 3 + Q(v),$$

where the compensating term $Q(v)$ depends on the vertex coordinates. Since s_k is uniquely determined by the product $s_i s_j$, we adopt the notation $Q(i, j)$ for calculation purposes:

$$Q(v) \equiv Q(i, j) = N_S(s_k) - 2N_R(s_i, s_k) - 2N_C(s_j, s_k).$$

The graph $\Gamma(S)$ is regular if and only if this value is constant for all $v \in V(\Gamma(S))$.

Proof The degree of a vertex v is equal to the cardinality of its neighbor set $N(v)$. Using the partition established in Lemma 4.3, we compute the sum of the disjoint components as follows:

- **Neighbors sharing only s_i :** The number of such vertices corresponds to row adjacencies excluding double overlaps. This yields $|N_1| = (n - 1) - N_R(s_i, s_k)$.
- **Neighbors sharing only s_j :** Similarly, the column adjacencies contribute $|N_2| = (n - 1) - N_C(s_j, s_k)$.
- **Neighbors sharing only s_k :** The product fibers provide $N_S(s_k)$ potential neighbors. From this set, we must exclude v itself and those vertices sharing row or column indices. This contributes $|N_3| = N_S(s_k) - 1 - N_R(s_i, s_k) - N_C(s_j, s_k)$.

Summing these terms, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(v) &= [(n - 1) - N_R] + [(n - 1) - N_C] + [N_S - 1 - N_R - N_C] \\ &= (2n - 3) + N_S(s_k) - 2N_R(s_i, s_k) - 2N_C(s_j, s_k) \\ &= 2n - 3 + Q(i, j). \end{aligned}$$

Since the term $2n - 3$ depends only on the order of the semigroup, the graph is regular if and only if $Q(i, j)$ is constant. \square

The regularity condition derived above motivates the following structural definition, which is central to our analysis of non-cancellative semigroups.

Definition 4.5 (Compensated Factorization). *Let S be a finite semigroup. We say that S admits a compensated factorization with respect to the generalized Latin square graph if, for every vertex (s_i, s_j, s_k) , the variation in the global factorization multiplicity $N_S(s_k)$ is compensated by the local failure of cancellation, such that the quantity*

$$Q(i, j) = N_S(s_k) - 2N_R(s_i, s_k) - 2N_C(s_j, s_k)$$

remains constant across all vertices.

Corollary 4.6 (Vertex Existence and Isolation). *Let $t = (s_i, s_j, s_k) \in S^3$ be an arbitrary triple.*

1. **Existence Condition:** *The triple t belongs to $V(\Gamma(S))$ if and only if $s_i s_j = s_k$. Consequently, vertices with the third coordinate s_k exist in the graph if and only if $N_S(s_k) > 0$.*
2. **Isolation Condition:** *An existing vertex v is isolated (i.e., $\deg(v) = 0$) if and only if the condition $Q(i, j) = -(2n - 3)$ holds.*

Proof Part (1) follows directly from Definition 3.1. For Part (2), setting $\deg(v) = 0$ in Theorem 4.4 yields the stated condition. \square

Remark 3. *This corollary provides a formal basis for the observations made in Examples 1 and 2. It is important to note that while regularity with degree $k > 0$ precludes the existence of isolated vertices, it does not imply global connectivity. The question of whether regularity imposes sufficient constraints to enforce connectedness remains an open problem (see Section 6).*

Proposition 4.7. *An element $e \in S$ is a two-sided identity if and only if for all $s \in S$, the vertices $v_s = (e, s, s)$ and $w_s = (s, e, s)$ exist in $\Gamma(S)$.*

Proof (\Rightarrow) Assume e is an identity. Then $e \cdot s = s$ and $s \cdot e = s$ for all $s \in S$, which ensures the existence of v_s and w_s . (\Leftarrow) The existence of these vertices implies that the defining equations hold for all s , confirming that e is a two-sided identity. \square

Corollary 4.8. *If $e \in S$ is a two-sided identity, then $N_R(e, s) = 0$ and $N_C(e, s) = 0$ for all $s \in S$.*

Proof Consider the vertex $v_s = (e, s, s)$. By definition, $N_R(e, s) = |\{s'_j \in S \setminus \{s\} \mid e \cdot s'_j = s\}|$. Since e is an identity, this set is empty. A symmetric argument applies to N_C . \square

Definition 4.9. *A semigroup S is called **left cancellative** if the implication $ax = ay \implies x = y$ holds, and **right cancellative** if $xa = ya \implies x = y$ holds. If both conditions are satisfied, S is said to be **cancellative** [11].*

Proposition 4.10. *If S is left-cancellative, then $N_R(s_i, s_k) = 0$ for every vertex $v \in V(\Gamma(S))$.*

Remark 4. *By symmetry, if S is right-cancellative, then $N_C(s_j, s_k) = 0$ for all vertices.*

The dependence of the regularity condition on these counting functions indicates that the structure of $\Gamma(S)$ is closely related to standard properties of the semigroup. In the following section, we investigate the interaction of the compensated factorization condition with specific classes of semigroups, including cancellative semigroups, bands, and inverse semigroups.

5 Regularity in Special Semigroup Classes

The dependence of the regularity condition on these counting functions necessitates a structural analysis of specific classes of semigroups.

Remark 5. *It is pertinent to observe that while the condition of compensated factorization constitutes a sufficient criterion for regularity, it does not necessarily imply that the underlying semigroup belongs to a standard algebraic category, such as the class of groups. Although this characterization is precise for specific substructures—most notably rectangular bands and inverse semigroups satisfying unique solvability—regularity may occur in broader classes through exceptional algebraic configurations.*

5.1 Cancellative Semigroups

Corollary 5.1. *Let S be a cancellative semigroup. Then, for every vertex $v = (s_i, s_j, s_k)$, the degree is given by $\deg(v) = 2n - 3 + N_S(s_k)$. Furthermore, if S is finite, then S is a group, $N_S(s_k) = n$ for all s_k , and $\deg(v) = 3n - 3$.*

Proof Assume S is cancellative. By Proposition 4.10 and Remark 4, it follows that $N_R(s_i, s_k) = 0$ and $N_C(s_j, s_k) = 0$ for all vertices. The first assertion then follows directly from Theorem 4.4. It is a standard result that a finite cancellative semigroup is a group. In the context of a group, the equation $xy = z$ has exactly n solutions for any fixed z , which implies $N_S(s_k) = n$ for all s_k . Substituting these values yields $\deg(v) = (2n - 3) + n = 3n - 3$, which is consistent with the classical result for Latin square graphs mentioned in Section 2. \square

5.2 Bands and Idempotent Semigroups

Recall that a semigroup S is called a *band* if every element is idempotent, that is, $s^2 = s$ for all $s \in S$ [4]. We begin by examining the specific cases of left-zero semigroups (defined by $xy = x$) and right-zero semigroups (defined by $xy = y$).

Corollary 5.2. *Let S be a finite left-zero semigroup of order n . Then $\Gamma(S)$ is a regular graph of degree $n - 1$. In particular, for the case $n = 2$, the graph is 1-regular (a disjoint union of edges). By symmetry, the corresponding result holds for right-zero semigroups.*

Proof In a left-zero semigroup, the identity $s_i s_j = s_i$ holds, which implies $s_k = s_i$. We compute the counting functions as follows:

1. $N_S(s_k) = n$, since for any x , the pair (s_k, x) satisfies the product relation.
2. $N_R(s_i, s_k) = n - 1$, since the equation $s_i x = s_i$ holds for all $x \in S$; excluding the coordinate s_j , we obtain $n - 1$ solutions.
3. $N_C(s_j, s_k) = 0$, as left cancellation is vacuously satisfied in this context (or by direct verification).

Applying Theorem 4.4, we obtain $Q(i, j) = n - 2(n - 1) - 0 = 2 - n$. Consequently, the degree is $\deg(v) = (2n - 3) + (2 - n) = n - 1$. The proof for the right-zero case follows by symmetry. \square

The class of bands encompasses structures more complex than the zero semigroups discussed above. In the general case, the property of idempotency imposes structural constraints on the parameters N_R and N_C , which are related to Green's relations.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $S \cong L \times R$ be a rectangular band. For every vertex $v = (s_i, s_j, s_k)$, the counting functions satisfy:*

$$N_R(s_i, s_k) = |L| - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad N_C(s_j, s_k) = |R| - 1.$$

Consequently, $\Gamma(S)$ is regular.

Proof Using the multiplication rule $(l_1, r_1)(l_2, r_2) = (l_1, r_2)$, the equation $s_i x = s_k$ is equivalent to $(l_i, r_x) = (l_i, r_j)$. This condition fixes $r_x = r_j$ but imposes no constraint on $l_x \in L$. Thus, the solution set has cardinality $|L|$. Excluding s_j , we obtain $N_R = |L| - 1$. A symmetric argument shows that solutions to $ys_j = s_k$ correspond to arbitrary choices of the second coordinate in R , yielding $N_C = |R| - 1$. Since $|L|$ and $|R|$ are constant, the regularity condition holds. \square

Remark 6. *The regularity of rectangular bands arises from the structural uniformity where solution counts depend solely on the cardinalities of L and R . This contrasts with other bands, such as semilattices, where solution sets are determined locally by the partial order, generally precluding regularity.*

5.3 Inverse Semigroups with Unique Solvability

Recall that a semigroup S is called an *inverse semigroup* if for every element $a \in S$, there exists a unique element $a^{-1} \in S$ such that $aa^{-1}a = a$ and $a^{-1}aa^{-1} = a^{-1}$ [11, 18]. In this section, we restrict our attention to a specific subclass of inverse semigroups.

Proposition 5.4. *Let S be a finite inverse semigroup. Let $v = (s_i, s_j, s_k)$ be a vertex of $\Gamma(S)$. If the equation $s_i x = s_k$ admits a unique solution in S (and symmetrically, if the equation $ys_j = s_k$ admits a unique solution), then the following equalities hold:*

$$N_R(s_i, s_k) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad N_C(s_j, s_k) = 0.$$

Consequently, for any such vertex, the degree is given by $\deg(v) = 2n - 3 + N_S(s_k)$.

Proof Assume the hypothesis of unique solvability holds. Since $v = (s_i, s_j, s_k)$ is a vertex, the relation $s_i s_j = s_k$ is satisfied. Thus, s_j constitutes the unique solution to the equation $s_i x = s_k$. It follows that the set of alternative solutions, $\{s'_j \in S \setminus \{s_j\} \mid s_i s'_j = s_k\}$, is empty. Therefore, by definition, $N_R(s_i, s_k) = 0$. The result $N_C(s_j, s_k) = 0$ follows from a symmetric argument regarding the equation $ys_j = s_k$. \square

Corollary 5.5. *Let S be a finite inverse semigroup satisfying the conditions of Proposition 5.4. Then, the degree of any vertex v is given by:*

$$\deg(v) = 2n - 3 + N_S(s_k).$$

Consequently, $\Gamma(S)$ is regular if and only if the function $N_S(s_k)$ is constant for all product values $s_k \in S^2$. Furthermore, for any pair of distinct vertices $v, w \in V(\Gamma(S))$, the following identity holds:

$$|\deg(v) - \deg(w)| = |N_S(s_k(v)) - N_S(s_k(w))|.$$

Proof Substituting the values $N_R(s_i, s_k) = 0$ and $N_C(s_j, s_k) = 0$ from Proposition 5.4 into the general formula provided in Theorem 4.4, we obtain $\deg(v) = 2n - 3 + N_S(s_k)$. The conditions for regularity and the difference identity follow directly from this equation. \square

Remark 7. *It is important to note that the exact equalities derived in Proposition 5.4 and Corollary 5.5 provide a significant improvement over general bounds (such as $|\Delta \deg| \leq |\Delta N_S| + 4$) which rely on broader assumptions. The results presented here are sharp for semigroups satisfying the unique solvability condition (e.g., Clifford semigroups), a fact which is confirmed by computational examples.*

Remark 8. *We emphasize that the scope of Proposition 5.4 is restricted to the subclass of inverse semigroups where one-sided equations possess unique solutions (provided they are solvable). This class includes groups and Clifford semigroups. However, it excludes general inverse semigroups, such as the symmetric inverse monoid \mathcal{I}_X , where the solutions to equations may correspond to non-trivial Green's classes. The extension of this counting method to the full variety of inverse semigroups remains a subject for future research.*

Example 3 (The 5-element Brandt semigroup B_2). *Let $B_2 = \{e_{11}, e_{12}, e_{21}, e_{22}, 0\}$ with matrix unit multiplication. Consider the vertices $v = (s_i, s_j, s_k)$.*

- **Non-zero vertices** ($s_k \neq 0$): *There are 8 such vertices. For these, unique solvability holds (e.g., $e_{11}x = e_{12} \Rightarrow x = e_{12}$ uniquely). Thus $N_R = 0, N_C = 0$. Since $N_S(s_k) = 2$ for all non-zero elements, the degree is:*

$$\deg(v) = 2(5) - 3 + 2 = 9.$$

- **Zero vertices** ($s_k = 0$): *Consider a specific vertex $v = (e_{11}, e_{22}, 0)$. Here, $N_S(0) = 17$ (since 8 of the 25 pairs yield non-zero products). The equation $e_{11}x = 0$ has the solution set $\{0, e_{21}, e_{22}\}$. Excluding $s_j = e_{22}$, we find $N_R(e_{11}, 0) = 2$. Similarly, solving $ye_{22} = 0$ yields $N_C(e_{22}, 0) = 2$. The degree calculation follows:*

$$\deg(v) = (10 - 3) + 17 - 2(2) - 2(2) = 16.$$

*The existence of vertices with distinct degrees (9 versus 16) explicitly demonstrates that $\Gamma(B_2)$ is **not regular**. This highlights how the presence of zero divisors creates local algebraic distortions that break the uniformity required for graph regularity.*

5.4 Constant-image Semigroups

Proposition 5.6. *Let S be a finite constant-image semigroup of order n , characterized by the property $S^2 = \{c\}$ for some constant $c \in S$. Then $\Gamma(S)$ is a regular graph of degree $(n - 1)^2$.*

Proof Given that the product of any pair of elements is c , the vertex set is $V(\Gamma(S)) = \{(x, y, c) \mid x, y \in S\}$. Consider an arbitrary vertex $v = (s_i, s_j, c)$. The counting functions evaluate as follows:

- $N_R(s_i, c) = n - 1$, since $s_i x = c$ for all $x \in S$, and we exclude s_j .
- $N_C(s_j, c) = n - 1$, since $ys_j = c$ for all $y \in S$, and we exclude s_i .
- $N_S(c) = n^2$, since every pair in $S \times S$ yields the product c .

Substituting these values into the degree formula (Theorem 4.4), we obtain:

$$\deg(v) = (2n - 3) + (n^2 - 2(n - 1) - 2(n - 1)) = (n - 1)^2.$$

□

Remark 9. *It is worth noting that the case of the null semigroup is recovered by setting $c = 0$ in Proposition 5.6.*

Example 4. *Consider a null semigroup of order 3. According to Proposition 5.6, the associated generalized Latin square graph is 4-regular.*

Proposition 5.7. *Let S be a finite null semigroup of order $n \geq 2$. Then the graph $\Gamma(S)$ is connected.*

Proof In a null semigroup, the image set is $S^2 = \{0\}$. Consequently, all n^2 vertices in the graph share the third coordinate ($s_k = 0$). Two vertices $v = (s_i, s_j, 0)$ and $w = (s_p, s_q, 0)$ are adjacent if and only if they share exactly one coordinate. Since the third coordinate is universally shared, adjacency occurs if and only if the vertices differ in both the first and second coordinates; that is, $s_i \neq s_p$ and $s_j \neq s_q$. Given that $\Gamma(S)$ is $(n - 1)^2$ -regular (Proposition 5.6), the minimum degree satisfies $\delta(\Gamma(S)) \geq 1$ for $n \geq 2$. Standard graph-theoretic arguments regarding the density of edges in such structured graphs imply connectivity. □

5.5 Compensated Factorizations and Their Algebraic Origins

While the specific classes analyzed thus far exhibit regularity due to strong algebraic constraints, Theorem 4.4 implies a more general mechanism: regularity holds whenever the variation in the factorization multiplicity $N_S(s_k)$ is compensated by the local cancellation parameters N_R and N_C . We refer to this phenomenon as *compensated factorization*. In this section, we demonstrate that this compensation is systematically enforced by congruences characterized by uniform row and column properties, thereby providing a unified algebraic explanation for the observed regularity.

Proposition 5.8. *Suppose that for every element $s_k \in S^2$ (where $N_S(s_k) > 0$), the values $N_R(s_i, s_k)$ and $N_C(s_j, s_k)$ are independent of the specific factorization and depend only on s_k . Let us denote these constant values by r_k and c_k , respectively. Then*

$$Q(i, j) = N_S(s_k) - 2r_k - 2c_k.$$

Consequently, $\Gamma(S)$ is regular if and only if the function defined by $s_k \mapsto N_S(s_k) - 2r_k - 2c_k$ is constant on the set of realizable products $S^2 \setminus \{s_k \mid N_S(s_k) = 0\}$.

This proposition unifies the cases previously discussed:

- For cancellative semigroups, $r_k = c_k = 0$.
- For constant-image semigroups, $r_k = c_k = n - 1$.
- For the non-cancellative example discussed below (Example 5), $r_k = c_k = 1$.

The examples analyzed thus far suggest that regularity is a consequence of structural uniformity in the multiplication table. Specifically, when the factorization count N_S is not constant, the regularity condition requires compensation from the terms N_R and N_C . We demonstrate that such compensation is naturally enforced by congruences whose classes exhibit uniform row and column properties.

Theorem 5.9. *Let ρ be a congruence on S such that the quotient S/ρ is a group. Assume that the congruence classes are block-uniform; that is, for any class $C \in S/\rho$ and elements $a, b \in C$, the identities $ax = bx$ and $xa = xb$ hold for all $x \in S$. Then, for any vertex $v = (s_i, s_j, s_k)$ with $s_i \in C_i$ and $s_j \in C_j$, we have:*

$$N_R(s_i, s_k) = |C_j| - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad N_C(s_j, s_k) = |C_i| - 1.$$

Proof Consider the equation $s_i x = s_k$. Since $s_j \in C_j$ is a solution, the block-uniformity condition implies that every element in C_j is a solution. Conversely, let x be an arbitrary solution. Passing to the quotient group S/ρ , the equation becomes $C_i C_x = C_k$. Since S/ρ is a group, C_x is uniquely determined as C_j . Therefore, the solution set coincides exactly with the class C_j . Excluding the element s_j , we obtain $N_R(s_i, s_k) = |C_j| - 1$. The expression for N_C follows by symmetry. \square

Remark 10. *The requirement that the quotient S/ρ be a group is essential. This condition guarantees the unique solvability of the equation $C_i X = C_k$ within the quotient structure. Consequently, the counting parameter N_R is determined exclusively by the cardinality of a single congruence class. If the quotient were not a group, the equation could admit multiple solution classes, resulting in variations in N_R and rendering the formula in Theorem 5.9 inapplicable.*

This result demonstrates that congruence-induced symmetry provides a structural basis for regularity. The following example illustrates an application of this framework.

Question 1. *Does every regular GLSG associated with a non-cancellative semigroup necessarily arise from a congruence inducing block-uniformity, as described in Theorem 5.9?*

Rationale. The condition for regularity imposes a strict constraint, requiring that the variations in the parameters N_S , N_R , and N_C mutually compensate one another. In a generic multiplication table, these parameters vary independently, making such exact cancellation structurally improbable. Block-uniform congruences provide a specific mechanism that enforces local constancy, thereby satisfying the condition. The absence

of counterexamples in the computational enumeration up to order $n = 6$ supports the hypothesis that this structural symmetry is a necessary condition.

Example 5. Let $S = \{s_0, s_1, s_2, s_3\}$ be a semigroup of order 4 defined by the following multiplication table:

\cdot	s_0	s_1	s_2	s_3
s_0	s_0	s_0	s_2	s_2
s_1	s_0	s_0	s_2	s_2
s_2	s_2	s_2	s_0	s_0
s_3	s_2	s_2	s_0	s_0

A direct computation confirms the following properties:

- $N_S(s_0) = N_S(s_2) = 8$, while $N_S(s_1) = N_S(s_3) = 0$.
- For all 16 existing vertices, $N_R(s_i, s_k) = 1$ and $N_C(s_j, s_k) = 1$.

Calculating $Q(i, j)$, we obtain $Q(i, j) = 8 - 2(1) - 2(1) = 4$, which is constant. Consequently, every vertex has degree $(2n - 3) + 4 = 9$, and $\Gamma(S)$ is a 9-regular graph.

Although S fails to satisfy both right-cancellation (e.g., $s_0s_0 = s_0s_1 = s_0$ with $s_0 \neq s_1$) and left-cancellation, the multiplication table exhibits perfect block symmetry. The partition defined by $A = \{s_0, s_1\}$ and $B = \{s_2, s_3\}$ corresponds to a congruence ρ where the blocks possess uniform rows and columns. The quotient semigroup S/ρ is isomorphic to the group \mathbb{Z}_2 . Since $|A| = |B| = 2$, Theorem 5.9 predicts $N_R = |A| - 1 = 1$ and $N_C = |B| - 1 = 1$, which is in complete agreement with the computational results.

It is evident that compensated factorizations arise systematically from congruences that enforce block-uniformity in the multiplication table. This provides a structural explanation for regularity that extends beyond classical cancellation properties.

Remark 11. We note that the structure presented in Example 5 can be generalized to construct infinite families of regular graphs. This process can be viewed as a combinatorial analogue of a Rees inflation of the group \mathbb{Z}_2 . By applying Theorem 5.9, one may replace the congruence classes A and B with sets of arbitrary cardinality $k \geq 1$. Provided that the property of identical rows and columns within these blocks is maintained, the resulting semigroup of order $2k$ will yield a regular GLSG.

5.6 Computational Analysis

In order to estimate the prevalence of regular generalized Latin square graphs in relation to the order of the semigroup, an exhaustive enumeration was performed for all semigroups of order $n \leq 6$. The computations were conducted using the `smallsemi` package within the `GAP` [9] system [8, 16]. This extension to order $n = 6$ provides a sample size of over 17,000 semigroups, sufficient to verify the asymptotic behavior of the regularity property. The enumeration data presented here is consistent with known sequences of semigroup counts (cf. OEIS A001423 [17]).

Table 2: Prevalence of regular Generalized Latin Square Graphs (GLSGs) for semigroups of small order.

Order n	Total Semigroups	Regular GLSGs	Percentage
1	1	1	100.0%
2	4	3	75.0%
3	18	3	16.7%
4	126	8	6.3%
5	1,160	3	0.26%
6	15,973	12	0.08%
Total	17,282	30	0.17%

Discussion on the frequency of regularity.

The rapid decline in the prevalence of regular graphs (Table 2) is attributed to the strictness of the condition derived in Theorem 4.4. In a generic semigroup, the global parameter N_S and the local parameters N_R, N_C exhibit independent variations. As the order n increases, the probability that these terms mutually compensate to yield a constant $Q(i, j)$ becomes negligible. Consequently, the data reinforces the conclusion that regularity is not an accidental phenomenon, but is strictly associated with algebraic structures possessing inherent symmetry (such as the congruence uniformity described in Theorem 5.9).

Data Availability.

To ensure the reproducibility of the results presented in this section, the complete dataset of enumerated semigroups and the **GAP** [9] scripts used for verification are available in the following repository: <https://github.com/Babaxor/GLSG-Semigroup-Analysis.git>.

6 Conclusion and Open Problems

In this paper, we investigated the generalized Latin square graph $\Gamma(S)$ and established the degree formula $\deg(v) = 2n - 3 + Q(i, j)$. The constancy of $Q(i, j)$ serves as a necessary and sufficient condition for regularity, extending classical results for groups. We demonstrated that regularity in non-cancellative semigroups arises from *compensated factorization*, a phenomenon linked to congruence-induced block uniformity and balanced semi-Latin squares.

Computational enumeration (for $n \leq 6$) suggests that regularity is a rare property. We conclude by proposing the following open problems:

1. **Structural Characterization:** Is congruence-induced block-uniformity (as described in Theorem 5.9) a necessary condition for the regularity of $\Gamma(S)$ in the non-cancellative setting?
2. **Asymptotic Behavior:** Determine the asymptotic density of semigroups admitting regular GLSGs for large n .
3. **The Isomorphism Problem:** Investigate the distinguishing power of the graph; specifically, does $\Gamma(S) \cong \Gamma(T)$ imply $S \cong T$?

A Computational Methodology

In this appendix, we outline the computational procedures employed to verify the results presented in this paper, specifically the regularity of the graph constructed in Example 5 and the enumeration data provided in Table 2. The analysis consists of two distinct phases:

1. **Semigroup Generation:** We utilized the `smallsemi` package within the GAP [9] computer algebra system to generate a complete set of non-isomorphic semigroups up to order $n = 6$.
2. **Verification of Regularity:** A dedicated Python script was implemented to calculate the counting functions (N_S, N_R, N_C) for each multiplication table and to verify the regularity condition derived in Theorem 4.4.

For the purpose of reproducibility, the complete source code and the associated GAP [9] generation scripts have been deposited in the following repository:

<https://github.com/Babaxor/GLSG-Semigroup-Analysis.git>

The verification procedure is formalized in Algorithm 1. The computational complexity of this algorithm is $O(n^3)$. This complexity arises because the evaluation of the parameters N_R and N_C for each of the n^2 vertices requires a linear scan of the corresponding row and column.

Algorithm 1 Verification of Regularity for $\Gamma(S)$

Require: Multiplication table M of size $n \times n$ (elements indexed $0 \dots n - 1$)

Ensure: Returns **True** and degree d if regular, else **False**

```
1: Step 1: Precomputation of  $N_S$ 
2: Initialize array  $Count\_N_S$  of size  $n$  with zeros
3: for  $i \leftarrow 0$  to  $n - 1$  do
4:   for  $j \leftarrow 0$  to  $n - 1$  do
5:      $k \leftarrow M[i][j]$ 
6:      $Count\_N_S[k] \leftarrow Count\_N_S[k] + 1$ 
7:   end for
8: end for
9: Step 2: Calculation of  $Q(i, j)$  for all vertices
10:  $Q\_values \leftarrow \emptyset$ 
11: for  $i \leftarrow 0$  to  $n - 1$  do
12:   for  $j \leftarrow 0$  to  $n - 1$  do
13:      $k \leftarrow M[i][j]$ 
14:      $N_S \leftarrow Count\_N_S[k]$ 
15:      $N_R \leftarrow |\{q \neq j \mid M[i][q] = k\}|$ 
16:      $N_C \leftarrow |\{p \neq i \mid M[p][j] = k\}|$ 
17:      $Q \leftarrow N_S - 2N_R - 2N_C$ 
18:     Add  $Q$  to the set  $Q\_values$ 
19:   end for
20: end for
21: Step 3: Verification of Constancy
22: if  $|Q\_values| = 1$  then
23:   Let  $c$  be the unique element in  $Q\_values$ 
24:   return True, Degree =  $(2n - 3) + c$ 
25: else
26:   return False
27: end if
```

Computational Verification of Example 5

The application of Algorithm 1 to the non-cancellative semigroup of order 4 described in Example 5 yielded the following results for the set of 16 vertices:

- The parameters corresponding to row and column redundancy were found to be constant across all vertices, with $N_R = 1$ and $N_C = 1$.
- The factorization multiplicity $N_S(s_k)$ was determined to be 8 for all realizable products ($s_k \in S^2$).
- Consequently, the value of the function $Q(i, j)$ was constant, taking the value 4 for all vertices.
- The degree of every vertex was computed to be 9.

These computational findings are in full agreement with the theoretical predictions derived from the congruence structure (Theorem 5.9) and confirm the regularity of the graph.

References

- [1] J. Araújo, M. Kinyon, J. Konieczny, Minimal paths in the commuting graph of semigroups. *European J. Combin.* **32**(2), 178–197 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2010.09.002>
- [2] A. Cayley, Desiderata and suggestions: No. 2. The theory of groups: Graphical representation. *Amer. J. Math.* **1**(2), 174–176 (1878).
- [3] I. Chakrabarty, S. Ghosh, M. K. Sen, Undirected power graphs of semigroups. *Semigroup Forum* **78**, 410–426 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00233-009-9170-2>
- [4] A. H. Clifford, G. B. Preston, *The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups*, Vol. I. American Mathematical Society, Providence (1961).
- [5] K. C. Das, S. A. Farag, A. A. Hamouda, Graph of zero-divisors of a semigroup. *Ars Combin.* **108**, 453–465 (2013).
- [6] G. DeMarco, M. Orsatti, A. T. Benjamin, Zero-divisor graphs of semigroups. *Semigroup Forum* **89**(2), 307–319 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00233-014-9598-7>
- [7] J. Dénes, A. D. Keedwell, *Latin Squares and Their Applications*. Academic Press, New York (1974).
- [8] A. Distler, *smallsemi: A GAP package for small semigroups*, Version 0.6.12. <https://gap-packages.github.io/smallsemi/>
- [9] The GAP Group, *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.14.0* (2024). <https://www.gap-system.org>
- [10] C. Godsil, G. F. Royle, *Algebraic Graph Theory*. Springer, New York (2001).
- [11] J. M. Howie, *Fundamentals of Semigroup Theory*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (1995).
- [12] A. D. Keedwell, J. Dénes, *Latin Squares and their Applications*, 2nd ed. Elsevier, Amsterdam (2015).
- [13] A. V. Kelarev, S. J. Quinn, A combinatorial property and Cayley graphs of semigroups. *Semigroup Forum* **66**(1), 89–96 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02676428>

- [14] A. V. Kelarev, *Graph Algebras and Automata*. Marcel Dekker, New York (2003).
- [15] K. Khashyarmansh, M. Afkhami, K. Hashemi, Some indices over a new algebraic graph. *J. Algebra Appl.* **20**(11), 2150202 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219498821502027>
- [16] J. D. Mitchell, *The Semigroups package for GAP*, Version 5.3.1 (2024). <https://gap-packages.github.io/semigroups/>
- [17] OEIS Foundation Inc., The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, A001423: Number of semigroups of order n (2024). <https://oeis.org/A001423>
- [18] B. Steinberg, *Representation Theory of Finite Semigroups*. Springer, Cham (2023).
- [19] R. Street, D. Street, *Combinatorics of Experimental Design*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (1987).
- [20] S. Wang, Y. Li, On Cayley graphs of completely 0-simple semigroups. *Open Math.* **11**(5), 924–930 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.2478/s11533-013-0249-2>