

WARING DECOMPOSITIONS OF THE PRODUCT OF TWO QUADRICS: THE SMALL RANK CASES

MEGHANA BHAT, ENRICO CARLINI, SAIPRIYA DUBEY, AND SHREEDEVI K. MASUTI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study forms of the type $(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_m^2)(y_1^2 + \cdots + y_n^2)$ using projections. For $m = 1, m = 2$, and for any n we describe: the forbidden locus, the structure and the Hilbert function of all minimal apolar sets. In particular, we show that every minimal apolar ideal has the same Hilbert function. For $m, n \geq 3$, we provide new lower and upper bounds for the Waring rank.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $S = \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ be the standard graded polynomial ring, and let $F \in S$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree d , also known as a degree d form. It is well known that there exist $L_1, \dots, L_r \in S_1$ such that

$$F = \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i L_i^d,$$

for some $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $1 \leq i \leq r$. Such a decomposition of F is called *Waring decomposition* or *sum of powers decomposition*. The least integer r such that there exists a Waring decomposition of F with exactly r summand is called *Waring rank* of F , denoted by $\text{Rk } F$.

Question 1.1. *Given $F \in S_d$, compute the Waring rank and a Waring decomposition of F .*

This problem is a specific instance of the broader problem of tensor decomposition. Since homogeneous polynomials can be identified with symmetric tensors, Waring decompositions of forms are equivalent to decompositions of symmetric tensors into rank one terms. Consequently, Question 1.1 is highly relevant to fields relying on efficient tensor decompositions, such as Algebraic Statistics, Signal Processing [14], Quantum entanglement [3, 5], and Complexity Theory, for example in the study of complexity of matrix multiplications [15, 16, 17]. One of the most celebrated result in the Waring rank problems is due to J. Alexander and A. Hirschowitz where they determined the rank of a generic form [1]. However, despite our understanding of the generic case, computing the Waring rank of a specific polynomial remains a challenging open problem; no general effective and efficient algorithm is currently known.

Date: December 1, 2025.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 14N07 ; Secondary: 13D40.

Key words and phrases. Waring rank, Sum of powers decomposition, Apolar sets, Hilbert function.

MB is supported by Prime Minister's Research Fellowship (PMRF), Govt. of India. EC acknowledge that this study was carried out within the PRIN 2022 PNRR project grant P2022E2Z4AK "0-Dimensional Schemes, Tensor Theory, and Applications" funded by European Union– Next Generation EU within the PRIN 2022 program (D.D. 104- 02/02/2022 Ministero dell'Università e della Ricerca). SD is partially supported by a grant from the Infosys Foundation. SKM is supported by CRG grant CRG/2022/007572 and MATRICS grant MTR/2022/000816 funded by SERB, Govt. of India. The project is partially supported by SPARC grant SPARC/2019-2020/P1566/SL.

Significant progress has been made for specific families of polynomials. For example, the Waring rank of binary forms was classically known due to Sylvester. In [21, 22] an algorithm to compute the Waring rank of binary forms is presented. Similarly, the Waring rank of a quadratic form in any number of variables is classically known, as it coincides with the rank of the associated symmetric matrix.

In more recent years, the rank has been determined for several other families: the Waring rank of monomials are obtained in [6, 8]. The waring rank of binary binomials, and of elementary symmetric polynomials are computed in [4] and [19]. The study of reducible forms has also been a subject of long-standing interest due to their importance in analysis. While the rank of a quadratic form itself is elementary, the ranks of its powers are far more intricate and have been studied extensively in [13, 20]. The Waring rank of reducible cubic forms was specifically investigated in [10]. More recently, the rank of broader classes of reducible forms has been explored in [11].

Question 1.2. *Given $F \in S_d$, describe algebraic and geometric properties of the minimal apolar sets of F .*

Even when the numerical value of the rank is known, the geometric structure of the minimal apolar sets is usually unknown. In [6], the authors proved that any minimal apolar scheme to a monomial is a complete intersection. A systematic investigation into the structure of these sets was initiated in [9], where the authors introduced the concepts of Waring loci and forbidden loci. In this paper, we continue that line of inquiry by focusing on the product of two quadratic forms. Note that in [7, Proposition 4.4] it was proved that $\text{Rk } x^2(y_1^2 + \dots + y_n^2) = 3n$, while the value of $\text{Rk } (x_1^2 + x_2^2)(y_1^2 + \dots + y_n^2)$ was unknown.

Our main results are the following theorems.

Theorem A. Let $F = x^2(y_1^2 + \dots + y_n^2)$ with $n \geq 2$. If \mathbb{X} is a set of $\text{Rk } F = 3n$ distinct points apolar to F , then the following facts hold:

i) The forbidden locus of F is

$$\mathcal{F}_F = V(Y_1^2 + \dots + Y_n^2).$$

ii) If \mathbb{Y} is the projection of \mathbb{X} from $V(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ on $V(X)$, then \mathbb{Y} is a set of n distinct points in linear general position, that is

$$\frac{i \mid 0 \mid 1 \mid 2}{\text{HF}(\mathbb{Y}, i) \mid 1 \mid n \mid n},$$

and $\text{HF}(\mathbb{Y}, i) = n$ for $i \geq 3$.

iii) Let $\mathbb{Y} = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_n\}$. If $\Lambda_i = \langle Q_i, P \rangle$, where $\langle Q_i, P \rangle$ denotes the linear span of Q_i and $P = [1 : 0 : 0 : \dots : 0]$, then $\mathbb{X} \cap \Lambda_i$ is a set of three distinct points for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

iv) The Hilbert function of \mathbb{X} is

$$\frac{i \mid 0 \mid 1 \mid 2 \mid 3}{\text{HF}(\mathbb{X}, i) \mid 1 \mid n \mid 2n+1 \mid 3n},$$

and $\text{HF}(\mathbb{X}, i) = 3n$ for $i \geq 3$.

v) Up to change of coordinates, the ideal of \mathbb{X} is

$$I(\mathbb{X}) = (\{Y_i Y_j\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}, X^3 + \sum_{i=2}^n L_i(Y_1^2 - Y_i^2))$$

for linear forms $L_i \in \mathbb{C}[X, Y_1, \dots, Y_n]$, $2 \leq i \leq n$.




FIGURE 1. Structure of a minimal apolar set of $F = x^2(y_1^2 + \cdots + y_n^2)$: red dots represent apolar points contained in n -lines with 3 points on each line.

Theorem B. Let $F = (x_1^2 + x_2^2)(y_1^2 + \cdots + y_n^2)$ and $n \geq 2$, then $\text{Rk } F = 4n$. If \mathbb{X} is a set of $4n$ distinct points apolar to F , then the following facts hold:

- i) The forbidden locus of F is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_F = V(X_1^2 + X_2^2) \cup V(Y_1^2 + \cdots + Y_n^2).$$

- ii) If \mathbb{Y} is the projection of \mathbb{X} from $V(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ on $V(X_1, X_2)$, then \mathbb{Y} is a set of n distinct points in linear general position, that is

$$\frac{i \mid 0 \mid 1 \mid 2}{\text{HF}(\mathbb{Y}, i) \mid 1 \mid n \mid n},$$

and $\text{HF}(\mathbb{Y}, i) = n$ for $i \geq 3$.

- iii) Let $\mathbb{Y} = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_n\}$ and let $\ell = V(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$. If $\Lambda_i = \langle \ell, Q_i \rangle$, where $\langle \ell, Q_i \rangle$ denotes the linear span of ℓ and Q_i , then $\mathbb{X} \cap \Lambda_i$ is a set of four distinct points for $1 \leq i \leq n$ contained in two lines passing through Q_i .

- iv) Up to change of coordinates, the ideal of \mathbb{X} is

$$I(\mathbb{X}) = (\{Y_i Y_j\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}, \mathcal{Q}_1, \mathcal{Q}_2)$$

where $\mathcal{Q}_1 = X_1^2 + \sum_{i=2}^n \alpha_i (Y_1^2 - Y_i^2)$, $\mathcal{Q}_2 = X_2^2 + \sum_{i=2}^n \beta_i (Y_1^2 - Y_i^2)$ for some $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$,

$2 \leq i \leq n$ and $\sum_{i=2}^n \alpha_i \neq 0$, $\sum_{i=2}^n \beta_i \neq 0$.

- v) If \mathbb{W} is the projection of \mathbb{X} from $V(X_1, X_2)$ on $V(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$, then \mathbb{W} is a set of $2k$ points with $k \leq n$.




FIGURE 2. Structure of a minimal apolar set of $F = (x_1^2 + x_2^2)(y_1^2 + \cdots + y_n^2)$: black dots represent minimal apolar points contained in n -planes with 4 points on each plane.

We note that our forms have a special property, that is all minimal apolar sets have the same structure. In general, it is not necessary that given a form all of its minimal apolar sets have the same structure. We provide an example that has minimal apolar sets with different structures.

Example 1.3. Let $F = x(y_1^3 + y_2^3)$. By [7, Proposition 4.7] we have $\text{Rk } F = 6$. Consider the apolar sets $\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}', \mathbb{X} \neq \mathbb{X}'$ given by

$$I(\mathbb{X}) = (y_1 y_2, x^3 + y_1^3 - y_2^3), \quad I(\mathbb{X}') = (x^2 + y_1 y_2, x^3 + y_1^3 - y_2^3).$$

Our paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we recall some well-known results which are useful to obtain bounds for the Waring rank. In Section 3 we prove some technical lemmas on upper bounds for Hilbert function and change of coordinates. In sections 4, and 5 we study the specific forms $x^2(y_1^2 + \cdots + y_n^2)$ and $(x_1^2 + x_2^2)(y_1^2 + \cdots + y_n^2)$. In particular, we study the structure, the defining ideal, and the Hilbert function of all minimal apolar sets. The results are summarised in Theorem A and Theorem B. In the final section, we present new lower and upper bounds for the Waring rank of forms of the type $(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_m^2)(y_1^2 + \cdots + y_n^2)$.

Acknowledgements: The first, third and the fourth authors would like to thank the second author and the Politecnico di Torino for the hospitality, where most of this work was done during their visit. The second author would like to thank IIT Dharwad for the kind hospitality during the phases of this research.

2. BACKGROUND MATERIAL

An important tool, which is useful in the study of Waring rank problems is the apolarity action. Let $T = \mathbb{C}[X_0, \dots, X_n]$ be a polynomial ring over \mathbb{C} . The apolarity action of T on S is defined on monomials by

$$X_0^{a_0} \cdots X_n^{a_n} \circ (x_0^{b_0} \cdots x_n^{b_n}) = \frac{\partial^{a_0}}{\partial x_0^{a_0}} \cdots \frac{\partial^{a_n}}{\partial x_n^{a_n}} (x_0^{b_0} \cdots x_n^{b_n}),$$

and then extended linearly on S . Given a homogeneous form $F \in S_d$, using the apolarity action, we write annihilator ideal of F as $F^\perp = \{g \in T \mid g \circ F = 0\}$. Note that F^\perp is an Artinian Gorenstein ideal. Consider a Waring decomposition $F = \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i L_i^d$, with $L_i = \sum_{j=0}^n a_{ij} x_j$. We associate points $P_i \in \mathbb{P}^n$ with L_i for all $1 \leq i \leq r$ given by $P_i = [a_{i0} : \cdots : a_{in}]$. The following lemma describes shows the deep connection between apolarity and Waring problems.

Lemma 2.1 (Apolarity Lemma). *Let $\mathbb{X} = \{P_1, \dots, P_r\} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a set of points. Then for some $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$, we have $F = \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i L_i^d$ if and only if $I(\mathbb{X}) \subset F^\perp$, where L_i is the linear form corresponding the point P_i for $1 \leq i \leq r$.*

Let $\mathbb{X} \in \mathbb{P}^n$ be a set of points. \mathbb{X} is said to be a apolar to F if $I(\mathbb{X}) \subset F^\perp$, and we call $I(\mathbb{X})$ an apolar ideal of F . Further, \mathbb{X} is a minimal apolar set of F if $|\mathbb{X}| = \text{Rk } F$. Note that the Apolarity Lemma is useful to give an upper bound for the Waring rank of F .

In [7] the authors introduced the idea of e-computability, that is a technique to improve lower bounds for the Waring rank of a given form. An other result in this direction is Theorem 1.3 in [18].

Theorem 2.2. *Let $F \in S_d$, and $\mathbb{X} \in \mathbb{P}^n$ be an apolar set of F . Let I be an ideal in T generated in degree $e > 0$, and $t \in I_e$. If t is a non-zerodivisor in $T/I(\mathbb{X})$, then for $s > 0$, we have*

$$|\mathbb{X}| \geq \frac{1}{e} \sum_{i=0}^s \text{HF}(T/I(\mathbb{X}) : I + (t), i) \geq \frac{1}{e} \sum_{i=0}^s \text{HF}(T/F^\perp : I + (t), i).$$

A homogeneous form $F \in S_d$ is said to be e -computable if there exists an ideal $I \subset T$, and $t \in I_e \neq 0$ such that

$$\text{Rk } F = \frac{1}{e} \sum_{i=0}^s \text{HF}(T/F^\perp : I + (t), i).$$

The study of the Waring locus of a form was introduced in [9]. For $F \in S_d$, the Waring locus of F is given by

$$\mathcal{W}_F = \{P \in \mathbb{P}^n \mid P \in \mathbb{X}, I_{\mathbb{X}} \subset F^\perp, |\mathbb{X}| = \text{Rk } F\}.$$

The locus of forbidden points of a form is defined as its complement, that is $\mathcal{F}_F = \mathbb{P}^n \setminus \mathcal{W}_F$.

Remark 2.3. If F is e-computable by an ideal I , and $t \in I_e \neq 0$, then Theorem 2.2 implies that $V(I) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_F$.

3. BASIC RESULTS

For the first result of this section, we set $S = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be the standard graded polynomial ring and let $T = \mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be the ring of differential operators on S . The following lemma is, at the best of our knowledge, a new bound on the Hilbert function of a minimal apolar set to a given form.

Lemma 3.1. *Let \mathbb{X} be a minimal set of s points apolar to $F \in S_d$ and let $V \subset (T/I(\mathbb{X}))_a$ be a subspace. If $g \in (T/I(\mathbb{X}))_b$ where $b \geq 0$, is a nonzerodivisor and $gV \subset (F^\perp/I(\mathbb{X}))$, then*

$$\text{HF}(\mathbb{X}, d - a - b) \leq s - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V.$$

Proof. Let \mathbb{X} be a set of s points apolar to F . For each $P_i = [a_{i,0} : \dots : a_{i,n}] \in \mathbb{X}$ we can construct the linear form

$$L_i = a_{i,0}x_0 + a_{i,1}x_1 + \dots + a_{i,n}x_n$$

such that, by the Apolarity Lemma 2.1,

$$F = \sum_{i=1}^s \epsilon_i L_i^d,$$

for some constants $\epsilon_i \in \mathbb{C}$. Note that, since \mathbb{X} is minimal, we can assume the $\epsilon_i = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Let $\dim V = l$, and v_1, v_2, \dots, v_l be a basis of V . Since $gV \subset F^\perp/I(\mathbb{X})$, there exists $f_j \in I(\mathbb{X})$ such that $f_j + gv_j \in F^\perp$. Since $f_j \in I(\mathbb{X}) \subseteq F^\perp$, we get $gv_j \in F^\perp$ for $1 \leq j \leq l$.

Hence for $1 \leq j \leq l$, $gv_j \circ F = 0$. Thus we get the system of equations

$$\sum_{i=1}^s gv_j(P_i) L_i^{d-a-b} = 0$$

for $1 \leq j \leq l$, Which in matrix form has the shape:

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{bmatrix} L_1^{d-a-b} & L_2^{d-a-b} & \dots & L_s^{d-a-b} \end{bmatrix} [gv_i(P_j)]_{1 \leq i \leq l, 1 \leq j \leq s} = 0.$$

If we let $\{u_1, \dots, u_c\}$ be an ordered basis of T_{d-a-b} , where $c = \binom{n+d-a-b}{d-a-b}$, then we can write L_i^{d-a-b} as a linear combination of the chosen basis. Namely, there exists a $c \times s$ matrix M such that

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{bmatrix} L_1^{d-a-b} & L_2^{d-a-b} & \dots & L_s^{d-a-b} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & \dots & u_c \end{bmatrix} M.$$

Thus we have that

$$(3.3) \quad \text{rank}(M) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{span}(L_1^{d-a-b}, L_2^{d-a-b}, \dots, L_s^{d-a-b})_{d-a-b}.$$

Substituting (3.2) in (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & \dots & u_c \end{bmatrix} M [gv_i(P_j)]_{1 \leq i \leq l, 1 \leq j \leq s} = 0.$$

Since u_1, \dots, u_c is a basis of T_{d-a-b} , we get

$$(3.4) \quad M [gv_i(P_j)]_{1 \leq i \leq l, 1 \leq j \leq s} = 0$$

Moreover, we get that

$$[gv_i(P_j)]_{1 \leq i \leq l, 1 \leq j \leq s} = GN$$

where G is the $s \times s$ diagonal matrix having on the diagonal $g(P_1), \dots, g(P_s)$ and

$$N = [v_i(P_j)]_{1 \leq i \leq l, 1 \leq j \leq s}.$$

Note that, since g is a non-zerodivisor in $(T/I(\mathbb{X}))_b$, $g(P_i) \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq s$, G is invertible. Also, note that, since $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_l\}$ are linearly independent in $T/I(\mathbb{X})$, we have that

$$(3.5) \quad \text{rank}(N) = \dim_k(V) = l.$$

Thus Equation (3.4) yields:

$$MGN = 0.$$

Therefore the column space of GN is contained in the null space of M . Thus,

$$\text{rank}(GN) \leq \text{nullity}(M),$$

where $\text{nullity}(M)$ is the dimension of the right kernel of M . Since G is invertible, GN and N have the same column space. Thus

$$\text{rank}(N) = \text{rank}(GN) \leq \text{nullity}(M)$$

Thus, by the Rank-Nullity Theorem, we have

$$\text{rank}(M) = s - \text{nullity}(M)$$

and thus $\text{rank}(M) \leq s - \text{rank}(N)$.

By Equation (3.3), we have

$$\text{rank}(M) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(L_1^{d-a-b}, \dots, L_s^{d-a-b}) = \text{HF}(\mathbb{X}, d-a-b).$$

and, by Equation (3.5), we have $\text{rank}(N) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V$.

Hence, the result is now proved. \square

From now on, and throughout the paper, we consider a specialised version of the rings S and T in which we divide the variables into two set. Namely, we let $S = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n]$ be a standard graded polynomial ring and let $T = \mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_m, Y_1, \dots, Y_n]$ the ring of differential operators on S .

Lemma 3.2. *Let \mathbb{X} be a finite set of points in $\mathbb{P}^{m+n-1} = \mathbb{P}(S_1)$. If we let \mathbb{Y} be the projection of \mathbb{X} from $V(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ on $V(X_1, \dots, X_m)$, then*

$$I(\mathbb{Y}) = (X_1, \dots, X_m) + I(\mathbb{X}) \cap \mathbb{C}[Y_1, \dots, Y_n].$$

Proof. Note that $I(\mathbb{X})$ is a radical ideal being an ideal of points, and so is $J = I(\mathbb{X}) \cap \mathbb{C}[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]$ as the contraction of a radical ideal is radical. Now we show that $(X_1, \dots, X_m) + J$ is a radical ideal. Observe that

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{\mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_m, Y_1, \dots, Y_n]}{(X_1, \dots, X_m) + J} \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]}{J}.$$

Since J is a radical ideal, $\mathbb{C}[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]/J$ is reduced. Hence by Equation (3.6) we have $(X_1, \dots, X_m) + J$ is a radical ideal. By the standard definition of projection, we have that $I(\mathbb{Y})$ is the saturation of $(X_1, \dots, X_m) + J$. Since a radical ideal is saturated, the result is proved. \square

Next lemma shows an interesting connection between apolar set of a polynomial and its projection.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $F = F_1 F_2 \neq 0$ such that $F_1 \in S_1 = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ and $F_2 \in S_2 = \mathbb{C}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$, and \mathbb{X} be apolar to F . If \mathbb{Y}_1 is a projection of \mathbb{X} from $V(X_1, \dots, X_m)$ to $V(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$, then \mathbb{Y}_1 is apolar to F_1 . Similarly, If \mathbb{Y}_2 is a projection of \mathbb{X} from $V(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ to $V(X_1, \dots, X_m)$, then \mathbb{Y}_2 is apolar to F_2 .*

Proof. By [12, Proposition 3.1] we have

$$F^\perp = (F_1^\perp)_{S_1} + (F_2^\perp)_{S_2}$$

where $(F_i^\perp)_{S_i}$ denotes the annihilator of F_i computed in S_i for $i = 1, 2$. Let \mathbb{Y}_1 is a projection of \mathbb{X} from $V(X_1, \dots, X_m)$ to $V(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$. By Lemma 3.2 we have

$$I(\mathbb{Y}_1) = I(\mathbb{X}) \cap \mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_m] + (Y_1, \dots, Y_n).$$

If $g \in I(\mathbb{X}) \cap \mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_m]$, then $g \circ F = 0$. This implies $F_2(g \circ F_1) = 0$. Since $F_2 \neq 0$, we obtain $g \in F_1^\perp$. Moreover, $(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \subseteq F_1^\perp$. Hence $I(\mathbb{Y}_1) \subset F_1^\perp$. Therefore \mathbb{Y}_1 is apolar to F_1 . Similarly we obtain \mathbb{Y}_2 is apolar to F_2 . \square

To study minimal apolar sets to a form F , we will use the Apolarity Lemma. Thus we need to describe the annihilators of the forms of interest for us. This is done in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $F = (x_1^2 + \dots + x_m^2)(y_1^2 + \dots + y_n^2)$, where $m \geq 1, n \geq 2$. Then*

$$F^\perp = \begin{cases} (X_1^3, \{Y_1^2 - Y_i^2\}_{2 \leq i \leq n}, \{Y_i Y_j\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}) & \text{if } m = 1 \\ ((X_1^2 - X_i^2)_{2 \leq i \leq m}, \{X_i X_j\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq m}, \{Y_1^2 - Y_i^2\}_{2 \leq i \leq n}, \{Y_i Y_j\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}) & \text{if } m \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let

$$I = \begin{cases} (X_1^3, \{Y_1^2 - Y_i^2\}_{2 \leq i \leq n}, \{Y_i Y_j\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}) & \text{if } m = 1 \\ ((X_1^2 - X_i^2)_{2 \leq i \leq m}, \{X_i X_j\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq m}, \{Y_1^2 - Y_i^2\}_{2 \leq i \leq n}, \{Y_i Y_j\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}) & \text{if } m \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

and $T = \mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_m, Y_1, \dots, Y_n]$. Note that $I \subseteq F^\perp$. Moreover, a standard computations yields:

$$\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c} i & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ \hline \text{HF}(T/I, i) & 1 & n+m & nm+2 & n+m & 1 \end{array}$$

Note that T/F^\perp is an Artinian Gorenstein ring of socle degree 4. Thus, by symmetry, we have that

$$\text{HF}(T/F^\perp, 0) = \text{HF}(T/F^\perp, 4) = 1 \text{ and } \text{HF}(T/F^\perp, 1) = \text{HF}(T/F^\perp, 3) = n+m.$$

Now we compute $\text{HF}(T/F^\perp, 2)$ by acting all possible degree 2 forms on F . This can be done since apolarity gives a perfect pairing between S_2 and T_2 (see [2]). For $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} X_i Y_j \circ F &= 4X_i Y_j \\ X_i^2 \circ F &= Y_1^2 + \dots + Y_n^2 \\ Y_j^2 \circ F &= X_1^2 + \dots + X_m^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\text{HF}(T/F^\perp, 2) = mn + 2$, and T/I and T/F^\perp have the same Hilbert functions. Hence, since $I \subseteq F^\perp$, we showed that $I = F^\perp$. \square

We will often use group actions to study apolar subsets. In particular, given the ring

$$\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n]$$

we will consider the following action. We denote by $O(n)$ the subgroup of the complex orthogonal group $O(\mathbb{C}, m+n)$ acting as the identity on the m variables x_i and in the standard way on the n variables y_j . To a given linear form

$$L = a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_mx_m + b_1y_1 + \cdots + b_ny_n$$

corresponds a projective point

$$L_P = [a_1 : \dots : a_m : b_1 : \dots : b_n],$$

and the action of $g \in O(n)$ on L corresponds to the action of g^T on L_P . Moreover, if \mathbb{X} is apolar to a form F and $g \in O(n)$, then $g^T(\mathbb{X})$ is apolar to $g(F)$.

In particular, we will use the following technical lemma to avoid zeroes in apolar subsets.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $F \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n]$ be a form fixed by the action of $O(n)$, and let \mathbb{X} be a set of r points apolar to F . Then, there exists a set \mathbb{Y} of r points apolar to F such that, if*

$$[a_1 : \dots : a_m : b_1 : \dots : b_n] \in \mathbb{Y},$$

then $b_j \neq 0$ for all j .

Proof. For $P \in \mathbb{X}$ and $g \in O(n)$ we set

$$g^T(P) = [a_1 : \dots : a_m : b_1 : \dots : b_n]$$

and we define

$$U(P, j) = \{g \in O(n) \mid b_j = 0\}.$$

Note that $U(P, j)$ is defined by a linear equation in $O(n)$. In particular, $U(P, j)$ is a proper subvariety of $O(n)$. If we let

$$U = \bigcup_{P \in \mathbb{X}, 1 \leq j \leq n} U(P, j),$$

then U is a proper subvariety of $O(n)$. Let $g \in O(n) \setminus U$ and let

$$\mathbb{Y} = g^T(\mathbb{X}).$$

By construction \mathbb{Y} is a set of r points with the required property and the proof is now completed. \square

We will often deal with finite set of points in general linear position, that is each subset has the maximal possible linear span. Thus we recall the following basic fact:

Remark 3.6. If $\mathbb{Y} = \{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$ be a set of n points in general position in \mathbb{P}^{n-1} , then there exist linearly independent linear forms L_1, \dots, L_n such that

$$I(\mathbb{Y}) = (L_i L_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n).$$

We conclude this section with a technical result that we will often use to make computations easier. Note that the following lemma implies the well-known structure of the orbits of the complex orthogonal group.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $G = y_1^2 + \cdots + y_n^2$ and let $\mathbb{E} = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_n\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ be a minimal apolar set of G . Let O be the $n \times n$ matrix whose i^{th} column is given by a choice of coordinate of Q_i . There exists a choice of coordinates for each Q_i such that O is a complex orthogonal matrix.*

Proof. Since we are working over \mathbb{C} , any minimal sum of power decomposition of G has the shape

$$(3.7) \quad G = \sum_{i=1}^n L_i^2$$

where $L_i = a_{i1}y_1 + \dots + a_{in}y_n$. Moreover, by the Apolarity Lemma, the set of n points

$$Q_i = [a_{i1} : \dots : a_{in}], 1 \leq i \leq n$$

is apolar to G . Note that the Apolarity Lemma also states that the converse is true, that is, given the points Q_i , after rescaling, we can build the forms L_i in (3.7). Since any quadratic form is completely determined by its associated symmetric matrix, we can write (3.7) in matrix form

$$(3.8) \quad I_n = \sum_{i=1}^n (a_{ij}a_{il})_{1 \leq j, l \leq n}$$

where I_n is the $n \times n$ identity matrix and $(a_{ij}a_{il})_{1 \leq j, l \leq n}$ is the matrix associated to L_i^2 . If we let $O = (a_{ji})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$, then (3.8) yields

$$OO^\top = I_n.$$

Hence the result is proved. □

4. THE FORM $x^2(y_1^2 + \dots + y_n^2)$

In this section, we focus on the case $F = x^2(y_1^2 + \dots + y_n^2)$ with $n \geq 2$. Thus we consider the rings $S = \mathbb{C}[x, y_1, \dots, y_n]$ and $T = \mathbb{C}[X, Y_1, \dots, Y_n]$. We recall that, by [7, Proposition 4.4], we know that $\text{Rk } F = 3n$.

We will now proceed to investigate the structure of any minimal apolar sets of F . Our results are visually summarized in Figure 1.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $F = x^2(y_1^2 + \dots + y_n^2)$ with $n \geq 2$ and let \mathbb{X} be a set of $\text{Rk } F = 3n$ distinct points apolar to F . Then the following holds:*

- i) *If \mathbb{Y} is the projection of \mathbb{X} from $V(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ on $V(X)$, then \mathbb{Y} is a set of n distinct points in linear general position, that is*

$$\frac{i \mid 0 \mid 1 \mid 2}{\text{HF}(\mathbb{Y}, i) \mid 1 \mid n \mid n},$$

and $\text{HF}(\mathbb{Y}, i) = n$ for $i \geq 3$.

- ii) *Let $\mathbb{Y} = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_n\}$ and $P = [1 : 0 : \dots : 0]$. If $\Lambda_i = \langle Q_i, P \rangle$, where $\langle Q_i, P \rangle$ denotes the linear span of Q_i and P , then $\mathbb{X} \cap \Lambda_i$ is a set of three distinct points for $1 \leq i \leq n$.*

Proof. We start by proving (i). By Lemma 3.4 we have

$$(4.1) \quad F^\perp = (X^3, \{Y_i Y_j\}_{(1 \leq i < j \leq n)}, \{Y_1^2 - Y_i^2\}_{(2 \leq i \leq n)}).$$

Let $I(\mathbb{X})$ be an ideal of a minimal apolar set \mathbb{X} of F . Since $O(n)$ fixes F , by Lemma 3.5 we may assume that for $P_i \in \mathbb{X}$, $P_i = [b_i : a_{i1} : \dots : a_{in}]$ is such that $a_{i1} \neq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence Y_1 is a non-zerodivisor in $T/I(\mathbb{X})$. Let $V := \langle Y_2, Y_3, \dots, Y_n \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} \subset (T/I(\mathbb{X}))_1$. Since

$I(\mathbb{X}) \subset F^\perp$, we have that $I(\mathbb{X})_1 = 0$. Thus $\dim V = n - 1$, and $Y_1 V \subset (F^\perp / I(\mathbb{X}))$. Hence, by Lemma 3.1, we obtain that

$$\mathrm{HF}(\mathbb{X}, 2) \leq 3n - (n - 1) = 2n + 1,$$

and that

$$(4.2) \quad \dim I(\mathbb{X})_2 \geq \binom{n+2}{2} - (2n + 1) = \binom{n}{2}.$$

Note that, by Equation (4.1), F^\perp does not involve the variable X in any degree 2 terms. Thus we get

$$(4.3) \quad I(\mathbb{X})_2 = (I(\mathbb{X}) \cap \mathbb{C}[Y_1, \dots, Y_n])_2.$$

Therefore by Equation (4.2) we obtain

$$(4.4) \quad \dim(I(\mathbb{X}) \cap \mathbb{C}[Y_1, \dots, Y_n])_2 \geq \binom{n}{2}.$$

Let \mathbb{Y} be the projection of \mathbb{X} from the point $P = [1 : 0 : \dots : 0]$ on the hyperplane $V(X)$. By Lemma 3.2 we have

$$I(\mathbb{Y}) = (X) + I(\mathbb{X}) \cap \mathbb{C}[Y_1, \dots, Y_n].$$

Since $I(\mathbb{X})_1 = 0$, we get $I(\mathbb{Y})_1 = (X)_1$ and thus

$$\mathrm{HF}(\mathbb{Y}, 1) = n.$$

Since $I(\mathbb{Y})_2 = (X)_2 + (I(\mathbb{X}) \cap \mathbb{C}[Y_1, \dots, Y_n])_2$, Equation 4.4 yields

$$(4.5) \quad \mathrm{HF}(\mathbb{Y}, 2) \leq \binom{n+2}{2} - \left((n+1) + \binom{n}{2} \right) = n.$$

Since $\mathrm{HF}(\mathbb{Y}, 1) = n$ and $I(\mathbb{Y})$ is an ideal of reduced points we obtain $\mathrm{HF}(\mathbb{Y}, 2) = n$. Hence we get the Hilbert function of \mathbb{Y} as

$$\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c} i & 0 & 1 & 2 & \dots \\ \hline \mathrm{HF}(\mathbb{Y}, i) & 1 & n & n & n \end{array}$$

Therefore \mathbb{Y} is a set of n points in a general position. This concludes the proof of (i).

We now prove (ii). Let $\mathbb{Y} = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_n\}$. We have

$$\mathbb{X} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \langle Q_i, P \rangle.$$

Let $\mathcal{H}_i = \langle P, Q_1, \dots, \hat{Q}_i, \dots, Q_n \rangle$ be the hyperplane generated by the linear span of P and the points $Q_1, \dots, \hat{Q}_i, \dots, Q_n$. Note that, since $(F^\perp)_1 = 0$, $Q_i \notin \mathcal{H}_i$. If we let $L_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij} Y_j$, then

$$\begin{aligned} F^\perp : I(\mathcal{H}_i) &= F^\perp : L_i = ((\alpha_{i1} Y_1 + \dots + \alpha_{in} Y_n) \circ F)^\perp \\ &= (2x^2(\alpha_{i1} y_1 + \dots + \alpha_{in} y_n))^\perp, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality holds by [7, Lemma 3.2]. Note that

$$I(\mathbb{X} \cap \Lambda_i) \subseteq I(\mathbb{X}) : I(\mathcal{H}_i) \subseteq F^\perp : I(\mathcal{H}_i) = G^\perp,$$

where $G = 2x^2(\alpha_{i1}y_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{in}y_n)$. After a change of variables, G is a monomial and, by [8, Proposition 3.1], we have that $\text{Rk } G = 3$. Therefore each of the n lines Λ_i contains at least 3 points of \mathbb{X} . Note that P is the only point in the intersection of any two lines and that $P \in \mathcal{F}_F$ by Remark 2.3. Thus we have at least $3n$ points in the union of n lines. Hence, since $|\mathbb{X}| = 3n$, each line contains exactly 3 points. This concludes the proof of (ii).

The proof of is now completed. □

We can now characterize the points that appear in a minimal apolar set to F .

Theorem 4.2. *If $F = x^2(y_1^2 + \cdots + y_n^2)$, then the forbidden locus of F is given by*

$$\mathcal{F}_F = V(Y_1^2 + \cdots + Y_n^2).$$

Proof. Let $Q \in \mathcal{W}_F$ be a point of the Waring locus of F , that is there exists \mathbb{X} , a minimal apolar set to F , such that $Q \in \mathbb{X}$. Let \mathbb{Y} be the projection of \mathbb{X} from $P = [1 : 0 : \cdots : 0]$ into $V(X)$. By Proposition 4.1 we know that \mathbb{Y} is a set n -points in general position. Thus \mathbb{Y} is a minimal apolar set of $G = y_1^2 + \cdots + y_n^2$. By Proposition [9, Proposition 3.1] we have $\mathcal{F}_G = V(Y_1^2 + \cdots + Y_n^2)$, thus $Q \notin V(Y_1^2 + \cdots + Y_n^2)$. Hence we proved that

$$\mathcal{F}_F \supseteq V(Y_1^2 + \cdots + Y_n^2).$$

We will now show that equality holds, that is, we prove that if $Q \notin V(Y_1^2 + \cdots + Y_n^2)$, then $Q \in \mathcal{W}_F$. We split the proof in two cases depending on the first coordinate of Q to be zero or not.

- **Case (i):** Let $Q = [0 : \alpha_1 : \cdots : \alpha_n] \notin V(Y_1^2 + \cdots + Y_n^2)$. Hence $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^2 \neq 0$. Consider

$Q' = [\alpha_1 : \cdots : \alpha_n] \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^2 \neq 0$ we have $Q' \in \mathcal{W}_G$. Thus there exists a minimal apolar set $\mathbb{D} := \{Q'_1 = Q', Q'_2, \dots, Q'_n\}$ of G containing Q' . By Lemma 3.7 we obtain the orthogonal matrix N . Consider

$$I(\mathbb{X}) = (\{Y_i Y_j\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}, X^3 + X(Y_1^2 + \cdots + Y_{n-1}^2 + (-n+1)Y_n^2))$$

is an ideal of $3n$ points apolar to F . Note that $P' = [0 : 1 : 0 : \cdots : 0] \in \mathbb{X}$. Further the action of matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{bmatrix}$ fixes F . Since the action of A takes P' to Q we get the new apolar set \mathbb{X}' of F containing Q . Hence $Q \in \mathcal{W}_F$.

- **Case (ii):** Let $Q = [1 : \alpha_1 : \cdots : \alpha_n] \notin V(Y_1^2 + \cdots + Y_n^2)$. In this case, we consider the ideal of $3n$ points apolar to F given by

$$I(\mathbb{X}') = (\{Y_i Y_j\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}, X^3 + (-\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i)(Y_1^2 + \cdots + Y_{n-1}^2 + (-n+1)Y_n^2)).$$

Moreover, the point $P'' = [1 : 1 : 0 : \cdots : 0] \in \mathbb{X}'$. We construct a matrix A similarly to case (i) where the action of A fixes F and A takes P'' to Q .

The proof is now completed. □

We now focus on algebraic properties of minimal apolar sets to F : we begin by describing their Hilbert functions.

Proposition 4.3. *If $F = x^2(y_1^2 + \cdots + y_n^2)$, $n \geq 2$, and \mathbb{X} is a set of $3n$ points apolar to F , then the Hilbert function of \mathbb{X} is*

$$\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c|c} i & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & \cdots \\ \hline \text{HF}(\mathbb{X}, i) & 1 & n+1 & 2n+1 & 3n & 3n & 3n. \end{array}$$

Proof. Since $I(\mathbb{X}) \subset F^\perp$ and $(F^\perp)_1 = 0$ we obtain $\text{HF}(\mathbb{X}, 1) = n+1$. Moreover, we claim that $\text{HF}(\mathbb{X}, 2) = 2n+1$.

Proof of the claim. By contradiction we assume that $\text{HF}(\mathbb{X}, 2) \leq 2n$ and we use the notations of the proof of Proposition 4.1. From the assumption we get that $\dim I(\mathbb{X})_2 \geq \binom{n+2}{2} - 2n$. Since F_2^\perp does not involve any forms in the variable X , so is $I(\mathbb{X})_2$. Therefore, $\dim I(\mathbb{X})_2 \cap \mathbb{C}[Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n] \geq \binom{n+2}{2} - 2n$.
we obtain $\text{HF}(\mathbb{Y}, 2) \leq n-1$, a contradiction. \square

We now prove by contradiction that $\text{HF}(\mathbb{X}, 3) = 3n$. Since \mathbb{X} is a set of $3n$ points, we have that $\text{HF}(\mathbb{X}, 3) \leq 3n$. Thus, we assume by contradiction that $\text{HF}(\mathbb{X}, 3) \leq 3n-1$. Let $W = I(\mathbb{X})_3$. Then

$$(4.6) \quad \dim W = \dim I(\mathbb{X})_3 \geq \binom{n+3}{3} - 3n + 1.$$

By Proposition 4.1(ii) we know that \mathbb{X} is supported on \mathcal{L} where \mathcal{L} is the union of n lines passing through the point $P = [1 : 0 : \dots : 0]$ and each line in \mathcal{L} contains exactly 3 points of \mathbb{X} . Let $W' \subseteq W$ such that every element of W' passes through the point P . Hence

$$(4.7) \quad \dim W' \geq \dim W - 1.$$

Note that $W' \subset T_3$ and that each of its elements vanishes on 4 points of each line of \mathcal{L} . Thus W' vanishes on \mathcal{L} . Let $W'' \subset W'$ be the set of all elements passing through $\binom{n+2}{3} - n$ general points of the hyperplane $V(X)$. Note that Moreover,

$$(4.8) \quad \dim W'' \geq \dim W' - \binom{n+2}{3} + n \geq \binom{n}{2} + 1$$

where the last inequality follows from Equations (4.6) and (4.7). Since $\mathcal{L} \cap V(X)$ consists of n points, each element of W'' has X as a factor. Thus, we obtain

$$W'' = \langle XQ_1, \dots, XQ_j \rangle$$

where $j \geq \binom{n}{2} + 1$ and the forms Q_i are linearly independent degree two forms. Since $V(X) \not\supset \mathcal{L}$, we have

$$V(Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_j) \supset \mathcal{L}$$

for some $j \geq \binom{n}{2} + 1$. Thus, $Q_1, \dots, Q_j \in I(\mathcal{L})$. Note that \mathcal{L} is the non-degenerate union of n lines through P , thus

$$I(\mathcal{L}) = (L_i L_j : 1 \leq i < j \leq n),$$

where the linear forms L_i do not involve X . In particular, the ideal of \mathcal{L} is generated by $\binom{n}{2}$ degree two forms. Thus a contradiction, since the forms Q_i are linearly independent and hence $\text{HF}(\mathbb{X}, 3) = 3n$. Since \mathbb{X} is a set of $3n$ reduced points, the proof is completed. \square

We now complete the algebraic description of minimal apolar sets to F by describing their defining ideals.

Proposition 4.4. *If $F = x^2(y_1^2 + \cdots + y_n^2)$, then ideal of any minimal apolar set \mathbb{X} of F , up to change of coordinates, is of the form*

$$I(\mathbb{X}) = (\{Y_i Y_j\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}, X^3 + \sum_{i=1}^n L'_i(Y_1^2 - Y_i^2))$$

for some linear form L'_i in $\mathbb{C}[X, Y_1, \dots, Y_n]$.

Proof. By Proposition 4.3, we have $\text{HF}(\mathbb{X}, 3) = 3n$. Keeping the same notation as in Proposition 4.3, we have $\text{HF}(\mathbb{X}, 2) = \binom{n}{2}$ and \mathbb{Y} is an ideal of n points in general position apolar to $G = y_1^2 + \cdots + y_n^2$. Hence by Lemma 3.7, we can assume $\mathbb{Y} = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$. Hence

$$(Y_i Y_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n) \subseteq I(\mathbb{X}) \cap \mathbb{C}[Y_1, \dots, Y_n].$$

Therefore

$$I(\mathbb{X})_2 = (Y_i Y_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n).$$

Further, by Proposition 4.3 we have $\mathbb{X} \subset \mathcal{L}$. Hence $I(\mathbb{X}) \supset I(\mathcal{L})$. Note that $\text{HF}(\mathcal{L}, 3) = \binom{n+3}{3} - (3n+1)$. Hence, there exists exactly one degree 3 generator in $I(\mathbb{X})$. Since $I(\mathbb{X}) \subset F^\perp$, a degree 3 generator has to be of the form $X^3 + \sum_{i=2}^n L'_i(Y_1^2 - Y_i^2)$ for some $L'_i \subset T_1$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. We write

$$I(\mathbb{X}) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n (Y_1, Y_2, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_n, Q = X^3 + \sum_{i=2}^n L'_i(Y_1^2 - Y_i^2)) + J,$$

where J is generated in degree at least four in $I(\mathbb{X})$. By Proposition 4.1, we have 3 points on each line $V(Y_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_n)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Consider $\bar{Q} \in \frac{\mathbb{C}[X, Y_1, \dots, Y_n]}{(Y_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_n)}$. Note that $\bar{Q} = X^3 + (\alpha X + k Y_i) Y_i^2$ with $(\alpha, k) \neq 0$, and \bar{Q} does not have a multiple factor. Indeed, if \bar{Q} has a multiple factor, then there exists a degree 2 form in $(\bar{Q}) + \bar{J}$. Since $(\bar{Q}) + \bar{J}$ is an ideal of 3 points, this is a contradiction. Hence \bar{Q} does not have a multiple factor. Therefore, (\bar{Q}) is an ideal of 3 points. Thus $V(\bar{Q}) = V((\bar{Q}) + \bar{J})$. Therefore $(\bar{Q}) \supseteq \bar{J}$. Hence $I(\mathbb{X})$ has generators in degree at most 3. \square

Theorem A is now proved by Propositions 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4.

5. THE FORM $(x_1^2 + x_2^2)(y_1^2 + \cdots + y_n^2)$

We note that up to a change of coordinates the forms $(x_1^2 + x_2^2)(y_1^2 + \cdots + y_n^2)$ and $x_1 x_2 (y_1^2 + \cdots + y_n^2)$ can be identified. Hence, throughout this section, we assume the form to be $F = x_1 x_2 (y_1^2 + \cdots + y_n^2)$ with $n \geq 2$. By Proposition 6.4, we obtain that $\text{Rk } F = 4n$.

We study the structure of minimal apolar sets of F , we obtain the forbidden locus of F , and we also describe their ideals and Hilbert functions.

We begin by studying the projection of any minimal apolar set from $V(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$. A summary of the below result can be visualized in Figure 2.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $F = x_1x_2(y_1^2 + \dots + y_n^2)$ and let \mathbb{X} be a minimal apolar set of points to F . Then $\text{Rk } F = 4n$ and the following facts hold:*

- i) *If \mathbb{Y} is the projection of \mathbb{X} from $V(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ on $V(X_1, X_2)$, then \mathbb{Y} is a set of n distinct points in linear general position, that is*

$$\begin{array}{c|c|c|c} i & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ \hline \text{HF}(\mathbb{Y}, i) & 1 & n & n \end{array},$$

and $\text{HF}(\mathbb{Y}, i) = n$ for $i \geq 3$.

- ii) *Let $\mathbb{Y} = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_n\}$ and let $\ell = V(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$. If we consider the plane $\Lambda_i = \langle \ell, Q_i \rangle$, where $\langle \ell, Q_i \rangle$ denotes the linear span of ℓ and Q_i , then $\mathbb{X} \cap \Lambda_i$ is a set of four distinct points for $1 \leq i \leq n$ contained in two lines passing through Q_i .*

Proof. Proposition 6.4 immediately yields that $\text{Rk } F = 4n$ we use. We now prove (i). By Lemma 3.4 we have

$$(5.1) \quad F^\perp = (X_1^2, X_2^2, \{Y_i Y_j\}_{(1 \leq i < j \leq n)}, \{Y_1^2 - Y_i^2\}_{(2 \leq i \leq n)})$$

Let \mathbb{X} be a minimal apolar set of F . Since $O(n)$ fixes F by Lemma 3.5, we can assume that for $P_i = [u_i : v_i : a_{i,1} : \dots : a_{i,n}] \in \mathbb{X}$, $a_{i,1} \neq 0$.

Hence $g = Y_1 \in (T/I(\mathbb{X}))_1$ is a non-zerodivisor in $T/I(\mathbb{X})$. Let $V = \langle Y_2, Y_3, \dots, Y_n \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} \subset (T/I(\mathbb{X}))_1$. Note that $gV \subset (F^\perp/I(\mathbb{X}))$, and $\dim V = n - 1$. By Lemma 3.1, we get

$$\text{HF}(\mathbb{X}, 2) \leq 4n - (n - 1) = 3n + 1.$$

Thus

$$(5.2) \quad \dim I(\mathbb{X})_2 \geq \binom{n+3}{2} - 3n - 1.$$

Let \mathbb{Y} be the projection of \mathbb{X} on $V(X_1, X_2)$ from the line $V(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$. Therefore by Lemma 3.2 we have

$$(5.3) \quad I(\mathbb{Y}) = (X_1, X_2) + (I(\mathbb{X}) \cap \mathbb{C}[Y_1, \dots, Y_n])$$

Since $I(\mathbb{X}) \subset F^\perp$, $I(\mathbb{X})_1 = 0$. Hence $I(\mathbb{Y})_1 = (X_1, X_2)_1$. Therefore

$$(5.4) \quad \text{HF}(\mathbb{Y}, 1) = n.$$

We have $I(\mathbb{Y})_2 = (X_1, X_2)_2 + (I(\mathbb{X}) \cap \mathbb{C}[Y_1, \dots, Y_n])_2$. We now use Grassmann formula to estimate

$$\dim I(\mathbb{X})_2 \cap \mathbb{C}[Y_1, \dots, Y_n].$$

By Equation (5.1) we note that $\dim(F^\perp)_2 = \binom{n}{2} + (n - 1) + 2$ and

$$\dim(F^\perp)_2 \cap \mathbb{C}[Y_1, \dots, Y_n] = \binom{n}{2} + (n - 1).$$

Thus we get that

$$(5.5) \quad \dim I(\mathbb{X})_2 \cap \mathbb{C}[Y_1, \dots, Y_n] \geq \dim I(\mathbb{X})_2 + \dim(F^\perp)_2 \cap \mathbb{C}[Y_1, \dots, Y_n] - \dim(F^\perp)_2 = \binom{n}{2},$$

and also

$$(5.6) \quad \text{HF}(\mathbb{Y}, 2) \leq \binom{n+1}{2} - \binom{n}{2} = n$$

Since $I(\mathbb{Y})$ is an ideal of points and $\text{HF}(\mathbb{Y}, 1) = n$, we obtain

$$\text{HF}(\mathbb{Y}, 2) = n.$$

Hence the Hilbert function of \mathbb{Y} is given by

$$\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c} i & 0 & 1 & 2 & \cdots \\ \hline \text{HF}(\mathbb{Y}, i) & 1 & n & n & n \end{array}$$

Therefore, \mathbb{Y} is a set of n points in a general position.

We can now proceed with the proof of (ii). Let $\mathbb{Y} = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_n\}$. From part (i) we have

$$\mathbb{X} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \langle \ell, Q_i \rangle,$$

where $\langle \ell, Q_i \rangle$ is the plane passing through ℓ and Q_i . Let $P_1 = [1 : 0 : \cdots : 0]$, $P_2 = [0 : 1 : 0 : \cdots : 0]$, and $\mathcal{H}_i = \langle P_1, P_2, Q_1, \dots, \hat{Q}_i, \dots, Q_n \rangle$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since \mathcal{H}_i is a hyperplane, we let $\mathcal{H}_i = V(L_i)$, where L_i is a linear form not involving X_1 and X_2 . We *claim* that $Q_i \notin \mathcal{H}_i$.

Proof of the claim. We prove the claim by contradiction. If $Q_i \in \mathcal{H}_i$ then $\mathbb{X} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_i$. This implies that $I(\mathcal{H}_i) \subseteq I(\mathbb{X}) \subseteq F^\perp$. This yields $L_i \in F^\perp$, a contradiction. \square

Let $L_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij} y_j$, and consider the points of \mathbb{X} not on $V(L_i)$, that is the points $\mathbb{X} \cap \Lambda_i$. Computing we get

$$\begin{aligned} F^\perp : I(\mathcal{H}_i) &= F^\perp : (\alpha_{i1}y_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{in}y_n) = ((\alpha_{i1}Y_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{in}Y_n) \circ F)^\perp \\ &= (2x_1x_2(\alpha_{i1}y_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{in}y_n))^\perp \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality holds by [7, Lemma 3.2]. After a change of coordinates $G = x_1x_2L_i$ is a monomial and [8, Proposition 3.1] yields $\text{Rk } G = 4$. Note that

$$I(\mathbb{X} \cap \Lambda_i) = I(\mathbb{X}) : I(\mathcal{H}_i) \subseteq F^\perp : I(\mathcal{H}_i) = G^\perp.$$

Therefore, each of the n planes $\Lambda_i = \langle \ell, Q_i \rangle$ contains at least 4 points of \mathbb{X} . Note that $V(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ is the only line in the intersection of any two planes and that, by Remark 2.3, $V(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_F$. Hence we obtain at least $4n$ points in the union of the n planes. Since $|\mathbb{X}| = 4n$, each plane Λ_i contains exactly 4 points. Moreover, these 4 points lie exactly on 2 lines passing through Q_i with exactly two points on each line. This follows from Proposition 5.3, see Remark 5.4. The proof is now completed. \square

Remark 5.2. In the proof of Proposition 5.1, we proved that Equation (5.6) is an equality. Hence, equality holds in Equations (5.5) and (5.2). Thus we proved that $\text{HF}(\mathbb{X}, 2) = 3n + 1$.

We now determine the ideal of minimal apolar sets to F .

Proposition 5.3. *Let \mathbb{X} be a minimal apolar set of F . Then the ideal $I(\mathbb{X})$, up to change of coordinates, is given by*

$$I(\mathbb{X}) = (\{Y_i Y_j\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}, X_1^2 + \sum_{i=2}^n \alpha_i (Y_1^2 - Y_i^2), X_2^2 + \sum_{i=2}^n \beta_i (Y_1^2 - Y_i^2))$$

where $\alpha_i, \beta_i \neq 0$ and $\sum_{i=2}^n \alpha_i \neq 0, \sum_{i=2}^n \beta_i \neq 0$.

Proof. Let \mathbb{Y} be the projection of \mathbb{X} on $V(X_1, X_2)$ from the line $V(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$. By the proof of Theorem 5.1 (ii) we have $\mathbb{Y} = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_n\}$ is a set of n points in general position. Moreover, by Lemma 3.3 we have \mathbb{Y} is apolar to $G = (y_1^2 + \dots + y_n^2)$. Hence by Lemma 3.7 we assume obtain an orthogonal matrix O which acts on S and fixes F , and takes \mathbb{Y} to $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$. Hence $I(\mathbb{Y}) \supseteq (Y_i Y_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n)$. Hence $(Y_i Y_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n) \subseteq I(\mathbb{X})_2$. By Remark 5.2 we have $\dim I(\mathbb{X})_2 = \binom{n}{2} + 2$. Hence there exist quadratic forms $\mathcal{Q}_1, \mathcal{Q}_2 \in I(\mathbb{X})_2$ such that

$$I(\mathbb{X})_2 = (\{Y_i Y_j\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}, \mathcal{Q}_1, \mathcal{Q}_2).$$

Since $\mathcal{Q}_1, \mathcal{Q}_2 \in F^\perp$, and $\text{HF}(\mathbb{Y}, 2) = \binom{n}{2}$, $\mathcal{Q}_1, \mathcal{Q}_2$ has at least one of the coefficient of X_1^2, X_2^2 non-zero. Thus

$$\mathcal{Q}_1 = X_1^2 + \sum_{i=2}^n \alpha_i (Y_1^2 - Y_i^2), \quad \mathcal{Q}_2 = X_2^2 + \sum_{i=2}^n \beta_i (Y_1^2 - Y_i^2).$$

And we *claim* that $\alpha_i \neq 0, \beta_i \neq 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$ and $\sum_{i=2}^n \alpha_i, \sum_{i=2}^n \beta_i \neq 0$.

Proof of the claim. By contradiction assume $\alpha_i = 0$ for some i and consider the plane defined by $\mathcal{P}_i = (Y_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_n)$. Note that, by Proposition 5.1 (ii), $\overline{I(\mathbb{X})} \subset T/\mathcal{P}_i$ is an ideal of 4 points. Moreover, if $\alpha_i = 0$, then $X_1 \in \overline{I(\mathbb{X})}$. Hence, the Hilbert function of $\text{HF}(T/\overline{I(\mathbb{X})}, 2) \leq 2$. This is a contradiction. Similar arguments can be repeated to complete the proof. \square

Hence, we write

$$I(\mathbb{X}) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n (Y_1, Y_2, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_n, \mathcal{Q}_1, \mathcal{Q}_2) + J,$$

where J is generated in degree at least three in $I(\mathbb{X})$.

Consider

$$\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_1, \bar{\mathcal{Q}}_2 \in \frac{\mathbb{C}[X_1, X_2, Y_1, \dots, Y_n]}{(Y_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_n)} \simeq \mathbb{C}[X_1, X_2, Y_i],$$

and note that $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_1 = X_1^2 - k_1 Y_i^2, \bar{\mathcal{Q}}_2 = X_2^2 - k_2 Y_i^2$ with $k_1, k_2 \neq 0$. In particular, $(\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_1, \bar{\mathcal{Q}}_2)$ is an ideal of four points. By Proposition 5.1, we now that $(\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_1, \bar{\mathcal{Q}}_2) + \bar{J}$ is the ideal of the same four points. Thus, $(\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_1, \bar{\mathcal{Q}}_2) \supseteq \bar{J}$ and hence

$$(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_n, \mathcal{Q}_1, \mathcal{Q}_2) \supseteq J$$

for all i . The proof is now completed. \square

Remark 5.4. We can now complete the proof of Proposition 5.1 part (ii). In particular, using the notation of Proposition 5.1 and 5.3, we want to show that the four points in each of the planes Λ_i lie on a pair of lines passing through the point Q_i . After a change of coordinates we may assume Q_i to be the coordinate point E_i , and we may assume the ideal of the four points to be

$$(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_n, Q_1, Q_2).$$

Since this ideal contains a degree two polynomial without Y_i , this is enough to conclude.

We now determine the Hilbert function of any minimal apolar set to F .

Proposition 5.5. *If $F = x_1x_2(y_1^2 + y_2^2 + \dots + y_n^2)$, then the Hilbert function of any minimal apolar set \mathbb{X} is*

$$\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c|c} i & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & \dots \\ \hline \text{HF}(\mathbb{X}, i) & 1 & n+2 & 3n+1 & 4n & 4n & 4n \end{array}$$

Proof. By Proposition 5.3, after a change of coordinates, we have $I(\mathbb{X}) = (\{Y_iY_j\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}, Q_1, Q_2)$

where $Q_1 = X_1^2 + \sum_{i=2}^n \alpha_i(Y_1^2 - Y_i^2)$, and $Q_2 = X_2^2 + \sum_{i=2}^n \beta_i(Y_1^2 - Y_i^2)$. We consider the lexicographic ordering $X_1 > X_2 > Y_1 > \dots > Y_n$ on $\mathbb{C}[X_1, X_2, Y_1, \dots, Y_n]$ and we compute a Gröbner basis G of $I(\mathbb{X})$. In particular, we will show that $G = (\{Y_iY_j\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}, Q_1, Q_2)$ is such a basis and this is enough to prove the result.

To prove that G is Gröbner basis we prove that each S-polynomial is zero mod G . Let $f_{i,j} = Y_iY_j$ and note that the S-polynomial of two monomials is automatically zero. Thus, we only need to consider the following two cases.

$$\begin{aligned} S(f_{i,j}, Q_1) &= \frac{Y_iY_jX_1^2}{Y_iY_j}(Y_iY_j) - \frac{Y_iY_jX_1^2}{X_1^2}Q_1 \\ &= Y_iY_j \sum_{k=2}^n \alpha_k(Y_1^2 - Y_k^2) \\ &= 0 \mod G. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $S(f_{i,j}, Q_2) = 0 \mod G$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} S(Q_1, Q_2) &= \frac{X_1^2X_2^2}{X_1^2}Q_1 - \frac{X_1^2X_2^2}{X_2^2}Q_2 \\ &= X_2^2 \left(\sum_{i=2}^n \alpha_i(Y_1^2 - Y_i^2) \right) - X_1^2 \left(\sum_{i=2}^n \beta_i(Y_1^2 - Y_i^2) \right) \\ &= X_2^2 \left(\sum_{i=2}^n \alpha_i(Y_1^2 - Y_i^2) \right) - X_1^2 \left(\sum_{i=2}^n \beta_i(Y_1^2 - Y_i^2) \right) + X_1^2X_2^2 - X_1^2X_2^2 \\ &= X_2^2Q_1 - X_1^2Q_2 \\ &= 0 \mod G. \end{aligned}$$

Hence G is a Gröbner basis of $I(\mathbb{X})$. Therefore the initial ideal of $I(\mathbb{X})$ is given by

$$\text{in}_>(I(\mathbb{X})) = (\{Y_iY_j\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}, X_1^2, X_2^2).$$

The proof is now completed. \square

We can now characterize which points appear in any minimal apolar sets to F .

Theorem 5.6. *If $F = x_1x_2(y_1^2 + y_2^2 + \cdots + y_n^2)$, then the forbidden locus of F*

$$\mathcal{F}_F = V(X_1X_2) \cup V(Y_1^2 + \cdots + Y_n^2).$$

Proof. By Proposition 5.3 we have $I(\mathbb{X}) = (\{Y_iY_j\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}, X_1^2 + \sum_{i=2}^n \alpha_i(Y_1^2 - Y_i^2), X_2^2 + \sum_{i=2}^n \beta_i(Y_1^2 - Y_i^2))$ up to a change of coordinates.

Let $P \in \mathcal{W}_F$ and assume that $P \in V(X_1X_2)$. We will prove that this is a contradiction. If $X_1(P) = 0$ or $X_2(P) = 0$, then, by the shape of the ideal, we get that $P = [0 : 0 : \cdots : 0]$. Thus, $V(X_1X_2) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_F$.

Now, let $Q = [a : b : c_1 : \cdots : c_n] \in \mathcal{W}_F$ and assume by contradiction that $Q \in V\left(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2\right)$.

Let \mathbb{X} be a minimal apolar set containing Q . Following Proposition 5.1 we consider \mathbb{Y} the projection of \mathbb{X} from $V(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ to $V(X_1, X_2)$. Since $|\mathbb{Y}| = n$, we obtain \mathbb{Y} is a minimal apolar set of $G = y_1^2 + \cdots + y_n^2$ by Lemma 3.3. Note that, by Remark 2.3, the image of Q under the projection exists and it is $[0 : 0 : c_1 : \cdots : c_n] \in \mathcal{W}_G$. This is a contradiction by

Proposition [9, Proposition 3.1] since $\mathcal{F}_G = V(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2)$. Hence, $V(Y_1^2 + \cdots + Y_n^2) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_F$.

Thus we proved that $\mathcal{F}_F \supseteq V(X_1X_2) \cup V(Y_1^2 + \cdots + Y_n^2)$. Now we prove that equality holds. Let $Q = [a : b : c_1 : c_2 : \cdots : c_n]$ such that $ab \neq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2 \neq 0$. We want to show that $Q \in \mathcal{W}_F$. Let Q' be the projection of Q from $V(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ to $V(X_1, X_2)$ given by $Q' = [c_1 : c_2 : \cdots : c_n]$. By Proposition [9, Proposition 3.1] we get $Q' \in \mathcal{W}_G$ where $G = (y_1^2 + \cdots + y_n^2)$. Hence there exists P'_2, \dots, P'_n such that $\mathbb{Y} = \{Q', P'_2, \dots, P'_n\}$ is a minimal apolar set of G . By Lemma 3.7 we obtain an orthogonal matrix N with the normalized coordinates of Q' in the first column. Therefore the matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & N \end{bmatrix}$$

fixes F . Consider the minimal apolar set of F given by the following ideal:

$$I(\mathbb{X}) = (\{Y_iY_j\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}, X_1^2 - Y_1^2 - \cdots - Y_{n-1}^2 + (n-1)Y_n^2, X_1^2 - X_2^2).$$

The action of M takes \mathbb{X} into another minimal apolar set of F . Note that the point $[1, 1, 1, 0, \dots, 0] \in \mathbb{X}$ is mapped to Q by this action. Hence, $Q \in \mathcal{W}_F$. \square

We now consider the projections of minimal apolar sets to F on the line $V(X_1, X_2)$.

Proposition 5.7. *If \mathbb{W} is the projection of \mathbb{X} from $V(X_1, X_2)$ on $V(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$, then \mathbb{W} is a set of $2k$ points with $k \leq n$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, we have $I(\mathbb{W}) = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n) + I(\mathbb{X}) \cap \mathbb{C}[X_1, X_2]$. To prove that the maximal number of points is $2n$, it is enough to prove that $(I(\mathbb{X})_{2n} \cap \mathbb{C}[X_1, X_2]) \neq 0$. By

Proposition 5.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I(\mathbb{X}) &= (\{Y_i Y_j\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}, X_1^2 + \sum_{i=2}^n \alpha_{1i}(Y_1^2 - Y_n^2), X_2^2 + \sum_{i=2}^n \alpha_{2i}(Y_1^2 - Y_i^2)) \\
 (5.7) \quad &= \bigcap_{i=1}^n (Y_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_n, X_1^2 - k_{1i}Y_i^2, X_2^2 - k_{2i}Y_i^2)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $k_{ji} = \alpha_{ji}$ for $1 \leq j \leq 2$ and $2 \leq i \leq n$, and $k_{j1} = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{ji}$ for $1 \leq j \leq 2$. Hence

$\prod_{i=1}^n (k_{2i}X_1^2 - k_{1i}X_2^2) \in I(\mathbb{X})$. Thus the first part of the proof is completed.

Now we prove that only an even number of points can occur in \mathbb{W} . Note that formula 5.7 gives a primary decomposition of $I(\mathbb{X})$. In particular, to understand the projection \mathbb{W} , we need to study the common roots of the polynomials $f_{k_{2i}} = X_1^2 - k_{1i}X_2^2$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Any pair of these polynomials either has two common roots or no common root at all. Hence, the result follows. \square

The previous Proposition 5.7 shows that the projection \mathbb{W} , unlikely the projection \mathbb{Y} , is not completely determined. In the remark that follows we give more details on \mathbb{W} .

Remark 5.8. Keeping the same notation as in Proposition 5.1, we note the following:

- (i) If we choose $\alpha_{1i} = \alpha_{2i}$ for all $2 \leq i \leq n$, then $X_1^2 - X_2^2 \in I(\mathbb{X})$. Thus, \mathbb{W} contains exactly 2 points.
- (ii) If $\frac{\alpha_{1i}}{\alpha_{2i}} \neq \frac{\alpha_{1j}}{\alpha_{2j}}$ for any $2 \leq i, j \leq n$, $i \neq j$ such that $\frac{\sum_{k=2}^n \alpha_{1k}}{\sum_{k=2}^n \alpha_{2k}} \neq \frac{\alpha_{1i}}{\alpha_{2i}}$ for any $2 \leq i \leq n$, we obtain exactly $2n$ points in \mathbb{W} . For example, choose $\alpha_{1i} = i - 1$ for $2 \leq i \leq n - 1$, $\alpha_{1n} = e^n$ and $\alpha_{2i} = 1$ for all $2 \leq i \leq n$.
- (iii) For $n = 3$ we can not get exactly 4 points in \mathbb{W} . Indeed, note that if $\frac{\alpha_2}{\beta_2} \neq \frac{\alpha_3}{\beta_3}$ implies

$$\frac{\alpha_2 + \alpha_3}{\beta_2 + \beta_3} \neq \frac{\alpha_2}{\beta_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\alpha_2 + \alpha_3}{\beta_2 + \beta_3} \neq \frac{\alpha_3}{\beta_3}$$

This implies \mathbb{W} has exactly 6 points. Further, if $\frac{\alpha_2}{\beta_2} = \frac{\alpha_3}{\beta_3}$, then we obtain exactly 2 points in \mathbb{W} .

- (iv) For $n \geq 4$, we can choose $\frac{\alpha_i}{\beta_i}$ such that \mathbb{W} has 4 points. i.e. choose $\frac{\alpha_2}{\beta_2} \neq \frac{\alpha_3}{\beta_3}$, and $\frac{\alpha_3}{\beta_3} = \frac{\alpha_i}{\beta_i}$ for all $i \geq 4$ with $\sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \alpha_i = \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \beta_i = 0$.

Theorem B is now proved by using Propositions 5.1, 5.3, 5.5, 5.6, and 5.7.

Example 5.9. Let $F = (x_1^2 + x_2^2)(y_1^2 + y_2^2 + y_3^2)$. By Remark 5.8 we have that the projection of any minimal apolar set \mathbb{X} from $V(X_1, X_2)$ to $V(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3)$ is either a set of 2 points or a set of 6 points, while the projection of \mathbb{X} from $V(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3)$ to $V(X_1, X_2)$ is always a set of 3 points. Hence, by Theorem B we obtain that any minimal apolar set \mathbb{X} to F has one of the following shapes described in Figure 3 and Figure 4. Red dots denote the points in \mathbb{X} in both the pictures.




FIGURE 3. Minimal apolar set whose projection from $V(X_1, X_2)$ to $V(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3)$ having 2 points.




FIGURE 4. Minimal apolar set whose projection from $V(X_1, X_2)$ to $V(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3)$ having 6 points.

6. THE FORMS $(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_m^2)(y_1^2 + y_2^2 + \cdots + y_n^2)$

In this section, we provide a new lower and a upper bound for the rank of the forms $(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_m^2)(y_1^2 + y_2^2 + \cdots + y_n^2)$.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $n \geq 2$. If we let $F = (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)(y_1^2 + \cdots + y_n^2)$, then $\text{Rk } F \leq 6n$.*

Proof. Consider the ideal

$$I(\mathbb{X}) = (\{Y_i Y_j\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}, X_1 X_2, X_1 X_3, X_2^2 - X_3^2, X_1^2 - X_2^2 + Y_1^2 + \cdots + Y_{n-1}^2 - (n-1)Y_n^2).$$

Note that $I(\mathbb{X}) \subset F^\perp$ by Lemma 3.4 and that

$$I(\mathbb{X}) = \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n (Y_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_n, X_1, X_2^2 - X_3^2, -X_2^2 + Y_i^2) \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n (Y_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_i, \dots, Y_n, X_2, X_3, X_1^2 + Y_i^2) \right).$$

Thus, \mathbb{X} is an ideal of $6n$ points apolar to F . Hence, by the Apolarity Lemma, the result is proved. \square

Proposition 6.2. *Let $m, n \geq 2$. If we let $F = (x_1^2 + \cdots + x_m^2)(y_1^2 + \cdots + y_n^2)$, then $\text{Rk } F \leq 2mn$.*

Proof. Let $q = y_1^2 + \cdots + y_n^2$. We divide the proof in two cases: m even and m odd.

Case (i): $m = 2k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we write

$$(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_m^2)q = (x_1^2 + x_2^2)q + \cdots + (x_{2k-1}^2 + x_{2k}^2)q.$$

Therefore by Proposition 6.4, we get

$$\text{Rk } F \leq 4nk = 2n \cdot 2k = 2mn.$$

Case (ii): $m = 2k + 1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we write

$$(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_m^2)q = (x_1^2 + \cdots + x_{2k-2}^2)q + (x_{2k-1}^2 + x_{2k}^2 + x_{2k+1}^2)q.$$

Therefore, by case (i) and Proposition 6.1, we get

$$\text{Rk } F \leq 2(2k-2)n + 6n = 2n \cdot (2k+1) = 2mn.$$

The proof is completed. \square

Theorem 6.3. *Let $n \geq m \geq 3$. If we let $F = (x_1^2 + \cdots + x_m^2)(y_1^2 + \cdots + y_n^2)$, then*

$$n(m+2) < \text{Rk } F \leq 2mn.$$

Proof. Note that the upper bound comes from Proposition 6.2, thus we only need to prove the lower bound. Using Lemma 3.4 we get

$$F^\perp = (\{X_i X_j\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq m}, \{X_1^2 - X_i^2\}_{2 \leq i \leq m}, \{Y_i Y_j\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}, \{Y_1^2 - Y_i^2\}_{2 \leq i \leq n}).$$

Set $I = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$, $t = \alpha_1 Y_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n Y_n$, and $J = (F^\perp : I) + (t)$. Thus we get

$$J = (\{X_i X_j\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq m}, \{X_1^2 - X_i^2\}_{2 \leq i \leq m}, \{Y_i Y_j\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}, Y_i^2\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}) + (t).$$

Computing we get

$$\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c} i & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ \hline \text{HF}(T/J, i) & 1 & m+n-1 & m(n-1) & n-1 & 0 \end{array}$$

and thus $\sum_{i \geq 0} \text{HF}(T/J, i) = n(m+2)$. Hence, by [7, Theorem 3.3], we get

$$\text{Rk } F \geq \sum_{i \geq 0} \text{HF}(T/J, i) = n(m+2).$$

We now prove by contradiction that $\text{Rk } F > n(m+2)$. We assume that $\text{Rk } F = n(m+2)$ and we let \mathbb{X} being a minimal apolar subset of F . Note that F is e -computable using $I = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ and $t = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i Y_i$. Thus Remark 2.3 yields $V(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_F$.

Let $V = F_2^\perp \cap \mathbb{C}[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]_2$ and note that $\dim V = \binom{n}{2} + n - 1$. If we let $\dim V/I(\mathbb{X}) = \dim V - \alpha$, then $\dim I(\mathbb{X})_2 \cap \mathbb{C}[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]_2 = \alpha$. Thus, by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\text{HF}(\mathbb{X}, 2) \leq n(m+2) - \dim V/I(\mathbb{X}).$$

Therefore

$$\dim I(\mathbb{X})_2 \geq \binom{n+m+1}{2} - n(m+2) + \dim V/I(\mathbb{X}).$$

Applying Grassmann's formula, we get

(6.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha = \dim I(\mathbb{X})_2 \cap \mathbb{C}[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]_2 &\geq \dim I(\mathbb{X})_2 + \dim V - \dim(V + I(\mathbb{X})_2) \\ &\geq \dim I(\mathbb{X})_2 + \dim V - \dim F_2^\perp \\ &\geq \binom{n+m+1}{2} - n(m+2) + \dim V/I(\mathbb{X}) + \dim V - \dim F_2^\perp \\ &\geq \binom{n}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

and thus $\alpha \geq \binom{n}{2}$.

We now show that $\alpha = \binom{n}{2}$. Note that $(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m) + I(\mathbb{X}) \cap \mathbb{C}[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]$ is the ideal of the projection of \mathbb{X} onto $V((X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m))$; we call this projection \mathbb{Y} . Since $\text{HF}(\mathbb{Y}, 1) = n$,

we get $\text{HF}(\mathbb{Y}, 2) \geq n$. Thus

$$\text{HF}(\mathbb{Y}, 2) = \binom{n+1}{2} - \dim I(\mathbb{X})_2 \cap \mathbb{C}[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]_2 \geq n$$

and

$$(6.2) \quad \alpha \leq \binom{n+1}{2} - n = \binom{n}{2}.$$

Therefore by Equation (6.1), (6.2), we have

$$\dim I(\mathbb{X})_2 \cap \mathbb{C}[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]_2 = \binom{n}{2}.$$

Therefore, $\text{HF}(\mathbb{Y}, 2) = n$ and thus $I(\mathbb{Y})$ is an ideal of n points. Therefore the apolar set \mathbb{X} is contained in n m -dimensional linear spaces each passing through $V(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$.

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} F^\perp : (\alpha_1 Y_1 + \dots + \alpha_n Y_n) &= ((\alpha_1 Y_1 + \dots + \alpha_n Y_n) \circ F)^\perp \\ &= ((x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_m^2)(\alpha_1 y_1 + \dots + \alpha_n y_n))^\perp \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality holds by [7, Lemma 3.2]. Note that $G = (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_m^2)(\alpha_1 y_1 + \dots + \alpha_n y_n)$ is such that $\text{Rk } G = 2m$. Since $V(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_F$, \mathbb{X} contains $2mn$ distinct points. Hence a contradiction since $2mn > n(m+2)$. \square

Next we prove Waring rank of the forms of the type $F = x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \dots x_m^{a_m} (y_1^b + \dots + y_n^b)$, where $m, n \geq 1$, $b \geq 2$, and $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_m$ with $a_1 + 1 \geq b$. Note that this includes the case $F = (x_1^2 + x_2^2)(y_1^2 + \dots + y_n^2)$. To understand the structure of minimal apolar sets of such forms, computation of rank was crucial.

Proposition 6.4. *Let $F = x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \dots x_m^{a_m} (y_1^b + \dots + y_n^b)$, where $m, n \geq 1$, $b \geq 2$, and $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_m$ with $a_1 + 1 \geq b$. Then*

$$\text{Rk } F = \left(\prod_{i=1}^m (a_i + 1) \right) n.$$

Proof. We use e -computability to obtain a lower bound. Consider $I = (Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n)$ and $t = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i Y_i$. Let $\tilde{I} = F^\perp : I + (t)$. Then

$$(6.3) \quad \tilde{I} = (\{X_i^{a_i+1}\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}, \{Y_i Y_j\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}, \{Y_i^b\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}, \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i Y_i).$$

We want to compute the Hilbert function of T/\tilde{I} . For $l \geq 0$, and $l+1 < b$,

$$(6.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{HF}(T/\tilde{I}, l+1) &= (\text{No. of linearly independent degree } l \text{ monomials in } T/\tilde{I} \text{ involving only } x'_i \text{s})(n-1) \\ &\quad + (\text{No. of linearly independent degree } l+1 \text{ monomials in } T/\tilde{I} \text{ involving only } x'_i \text{s}). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$. Define

$$C(m, s, \mathbf{a}) = |\{(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_m) \mid \sum_{i=1}^m l_i = s \text{ and } l_i \leq a_i\}|.$$

Here $C(m, s, \mathbf{a})$ counts the number of linearly independent monomials of degree s in T/\tilde{I} involving only x_i 's. By Equation (6.4), for all $l \geq 0$,

$$(6.5) \quad \text{HF}(T/\tilde{I}, l+1) = C(m, l, \mathbf{a})(n-1) + C(m, l+1, \mathbf{a}).$$

Further, $\text{HF}(T/\tilde{I}, (\sum_{i=1}^m a_i) + 1) = 0$. Let $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=0}^{\alpha+1} \text{HF}(T/\tilde{I}, l) &= 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{\alpha+1} \text{HF}(T/\tilde{I}, l) = 1 + \sum_{l=0}^{\alpha} \text{HF}(T/\tilde{I}, l+1) \\ (6.6) \quad &= 1 + \sum_{l=0}^{\alpha} (C(m, l, \mathbf{a})(n-1) + C(m, l+1, \mathbf{a})) \quad (\text{by Equation (6.5)}) \\ &= n \sum_{l=0}^{\alpha} C(m, l, \mathbf{a}) = n \prod_{i=1}^m (a_i + 1) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Equation (6.6),

$$(6.7) \quad \text{Rk } F \geq \sum_{l \geq 0} \text{HF}(T/\tilde{I}, l) = n \prod_{i=1}^m (a_i + 1),$$

where the first inequality holds by [7, Theorem 3.3]. Further, the ideal

$$I(\mathbb{X}) = (\{Y_i Y_j\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}, Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_m)$$

where $Q_i = X_i^{a_i+1} + (Y_1^{a_i+1-b} + \dots + Y_n^{a_i+1-b})((-n+1)Y_1^b + Y_2^b + \dots + Y_n^b)$ is contained in F^\perp . We have

$$I(\mathbb{X}) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n (Y_1, Y_2, \dots, \hat{Y}_i \cdots Y_n, q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m),$$

where $q_j = X_j^{a_j+1} + Y_i^{a_i+1}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq m$, for all $2 \leq i \leq n$, and for $i = 1$, for all $1 \leq j \leq m$, $q_j = X_j^{a_j+1} + (-n+1)Y_i^{a_i+1}$. Note that over the field of complex numbers, q_j split into linear forms, giving the primary decomposition of $I(\mathbb{X})$. Hence $I(\mathbb{X})$ is an ideal of $n \prod_{i=1}^m (a_i + 1)$ distinct points. Therefore by the Apolarity Lemma,

$$(6.8) \quad \text{Rk } F \leq n \prod_{i=1}^m (a_i + 1).$$

Therefore, by inequalities (6.7) and (6.8), we have obtained the result. \square

Remark 6.5. Our guess is that the upper bound is the Waring rank of F in the Theorem 6.3 as in the case of $m = 2$ proved in Proposition 6.4. If that is the case, then we have a nice structure for minimal apolar sets of F .

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Alexander and A. Hirschowitz. Polynomial interpolation in several variables. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 4(2):201–222, 1995.
- [2] V. K. Anthony Iarrobino. *Power Sums, Gorenstein Algebras, and Determinantal Loci*. Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, 1999.
- [3] A. Bernardi and I. Carusotto. Algebraic geometry tools for the study of entanglement: an application to spin squeezed states. *J. Phys. A*, 45(10):105304, 13, 2012.
- [4] L. Brustenga i Moncusí and S. K. Masuti. The Waring rank of binary binomial forms. *Pacific J. Math.*, 313(2):327–342, 2021.
- [5] W. Bruzda, S. Friedland, and K. Życzkowski. Rank of a tensor and quantum entanglement. *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 72(11):1796–1859, 2024.
- [6] W. Buczyńska, J. Buczyński, and Z. Teitler. Waring decompositions of monomials. *Journal of Algebra*, 378:45–57, 2013.
- [7] E. Carlini, M. V. Catalisano, L. Chiantini, A. V. Geramita, and Y. Woo. Symmetric tensors: rank, Strassen’s conjecture and e -computability. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)*, 18(1):363–390, 2018.
- [8] E. Carlini, M. V. Catalisano, and A. V. Geramita. The solution to the Waring problem for monomials and the sum of coprime monomials. *Journal of Algebra*, 370:5–14, 2012.
- [9] E. Carlini, M. V. Catalisano, and A. Oneto. Waring loci and the Strassen conjecture. *Adv. Math.*, 314:630–662, 2017.
- [10] E. Carlini, E. Ventura, and C. Guo. Real and complex waring rank of reducible cubic forms. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 220(11):3692–3701, 2016.
- [11] L. Colarte-Gómez and F. Galuppi. Symmetric rank of some reducible forms. 2024. Preprint available at arXiv:2410.20390.
- [12] M. DiPasquale, Z. Flores, and C. Peterson. On the apolar algebra of a product of linear forms. In *ISSAC’20—Proceedings of the 45th International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation*, pages 130–137. ACM, New York, [2020] ©2020.
- [13] C. Flavi. Upper bounds for the rank of powers of quadrics. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 707:49–79, 2025.
- [14] J. M. Landsberg. *Tensors: geometry and applications*, volume 128 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.
- [15] J. M. Landsberg. *Geometry and complexity theory*, volume 169 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2017.
- [16] J. M. Landsberg. Secant varieties and the complexity of matrix multiplication. *Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste*, 54:Art. No. 11, 21, 2022.
- [17] J. M. Landsberg and G. Ottaviani. New lower bounds for the border rank of matrix multiplication. *Theory Comput.*, 11:285–298, 2015.
- [18] J. M. Landsberg and Z. Teitler. On the ranks and border ranks of symmetric tensors. *Found. Comput. Math.*, 10(3):339–366, 2010.
- [19] H. Lee. Power sum decompositions of elementary symmetric polynomials. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 492:89–97, 2016.
- [20] B. Reznick. Sums of even powers of real linear forms. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 96(463):viii+155, 1992.
- [21] J. J. Sylvester. Lx. on a remarkable discovery in the theory of canonical forms and of hyperdeterminants. *The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science*, 2(12):391–410, 1851.
- [22] J. J. Sylvester. Sketch of a memoir on elimination, transformation, and canonical forms. *Cambridge and Dublin Mathematical Journal*, 6:186–200, 1851.

(Meghana Bhat) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DHARWAD,
PERMANENT CAMPUS, CHIKKAMALLIGWAD, DHARWAD - 580011, KARNATAKA, INDIA
Email address: `mbhat.math@gmail.com`

(Enrico Carlini) DISMA DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, POLITECNICO DI TORINO, CORSO
DUCA DEGLI ABRUZZI, 24, TORINO - 10129, ITALY
Email address: `enrico.carlini@polito.it`

(Saipriya Dubey) CHENNAI MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, PLOT H1 SIPCOT IT PARK, SIRUSERI, KE-
LAMBAKKAM - 603103, TAMIL NADU, INDIA
Email address: `saipriya721@gmail.com`

(Shreedevi K. Masuti) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DHARWAD,
PERMANENT CAMPUS, CHIKKAMALLIGWAD, DHARWAD - 580011, KARNATAKA, INDIA
Email address: `shreedevi@iitdh.ac.in`