

FIRST BETTI NUMBER OF REAL CALABI YAU HYPERSURFACES: EXAMPLES

DIEGO MATESSI, ARTHUR RENAUDINEAU

ABSTRACT. Continuing the investigation of real Calabi–Yau hypersurfaces in toric varieties obtained by patchworking, we present a new theorem concerning the computation of their first Betti number using mirror symmetry. Although the proof of this result will appear elsewhere, we focus here on its consequences and applications to the topology of real Calabi–Yau hypersurfaces.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Tropical mirror symmetry and connectedness	2
1.2. Cohomological patchworking spectral sequence	3
1.3. First Betti number of real Calabi-Yau patchworking	3
1.4. Applications	6
Acknowledgments	7
2. Tropical mirror pairs and cohomology	7
2.1. Reflexive Polytopes and Mirror Symmetry	7
2.2. Tropical mirror pairs	9
2.3. Tropical cohomology	11
3. Picard group of the mirror	12
4. First Betti number from the dual of the cube	15
4.1. Real Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces in the dual of the cube	15
4.2. The zero divisor	16
4.3. Non-zero divisors	17
5. Maximizing the first Betti number	18
5.1. Maximizing the first Betti number in dimension 3	18
5.2. Local configurations	19
5.3. Local examples in dimension 3	20
5.4. Global examples in dimension 3	24
5.5. Higher dimensions	27
References	27

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Tropical mirror symmetry and connectedness. In our previous work [15], we studied the tropical homology groups of mirror pairs of tropical Calabi–Yau hypersurfaces arising from unimodular central subdivisions of reflexive polytopes. Let Δ and Δ° be dual $(n + 1)$ -dimensional reflexive polytopes, and suppose that each admits a primitive central subdivision. These subdivisions yield resolutions of the toric varieties associated with Δ and Δ° , as well as crepant resolutions of their anticanonical hypersurfaces, thereby defining a mirror pair of smooth n -dimensional Calabi–Yau varieties X and X° (see [4]). Consequently, the varieties X and X° satisfy the familiar mirror symmetry relation among Hodge numbers,

$$(1) \quad h^{p,q}(X) = h^{n-p,q}(X^\circ).$$

In [15], we further demonstrated that the same combinatorial data determine tropical hypersurfaces X_{trop} and X_{trop}° which likewise exhibit a mirror symmetry relation:

Theorem 1.1 ([15]). Let A be a commutative ring. Given central primitive subdivisions of both Δ and Δ° with associated mirror tropical hypersurfaces X_{trop} and X_{trop}° , we have canonical isomorphisms

$$H_q(X_{\text{trop}}; \mathcal{F}_p \otimes A) \cong H_q(X_{\text{trop}}^\circ; \mathcal{F}_{n-p} \otimes A).$$

Here $H_q(X_{\text{trop}}; \mathcal{F}_p)$ denotes the tropical homology groups as introduced by Itenberg, Katzarkov, Mikhalkin and Zharkov [11]. These groups are defined as the cellular homology of a certain non-constant cosheaf \mathcal{F}_p of \mathbb{Z} -modules defined over X_{trop} .

In the second part of [15], we investigated real Calabi–Yau hypersurfaces obtained via patchworking arising from a primitive central triangulation T of a reflexive polytope Δ . In this setting, the choice of a sign distribution on the integral points of Δ uniquely determines a divisor D in the Picard group (with \mathbb{F}_2 -coefficients) of the resolved dual toric variety $\mathbb{C}\Sigma_T$ corresponding to Δ° . Indeed, the integral points on the boundary of Δ correspond to rays of the fan of $\mathbb{C}\Sigma_T$, and hence to toric divisors. The divisor D is defined as the sum of those toric divisors whose rays share the same sign as the center of Δ . Such a choice of signs also defines a tropical divisor (with \mathbb{F}_2 -coefficients) on the tropical toric variety $\mathbb{T}\Sigma_T$, and consequently determines a class in the tropical homology group $H_{n-1}(\mathbb{T}\Sigma_T; \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \otimes \mathbb{F}_2)$. For the general theory of tropical toric varieties, we refer the reader to our previous work [15] and the references therein.

If we denote by $\mathbb{R}X_D$ the real part of the hypersurface X_D obtained by patchworking, we proved in [15] that whenever two divisors D and D' are linearly equivalent (over \mathbb{F}_2), the corresponding real hypersurfaces $\mathbb{R}X_D$ and $\mathbb{R}X_{D'}$ are identical up to an automorphism of the ambient toric variety $\mathbb{C}\Sigma_T$. In fact, the sign distributions defining D and D' correspond to two octants of the same patchworking. The main result of the second part of [15] is the following theorem:

Theorem 1.2 ([15]). Let $\mathbb{R}X_D$ be a real Calabi-Yau hypersurface arising from a central, primitive patchworking of a reflexive polytope Δ such that the tropical homology groups $H_n(X_{\text{trop}}; \mathcal{F}_k \otimes \mathbb{F}_2)$ vanish for all $0 < k < n$. Then $\mathbb{R}X_D$ is connected if and only if the tropical divisor class $D|_{X_{\text{trop}}^\circ} \in H_{n-1}(X_{\text{trop}}^\circ; \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \otimes \mathbb{F}_2)$ is not zero.

1.2. Cohomological patchworking spectral sequence. In the forthcoming paper [16], we will shift our focus from tropical homology to tropical cohomology. For this purpose we will consider the cohomological counterpart of the patchworking spectral sequence corresponding to the distribution of signs introduced in [18]. A detailed construction of this spectral sequence can be found in [9]. For convenience, we recall here its main properties. The first page is given by the tropical cohomology groups

$$E_{p,q}^1 = H^q(X_{\text{trop}}, \mathcal{F}^p \otimes \mathbb{F}_2)$$

The differentials on the k -th page are

$$\delta^k : E_{p,q}^k \rightarrow E_{p-k,q+1}^k.$$

The spectral sequence converges to the cohomology of the corresponding real variety $\mathbb{R}X$, i.e.

$$H^q(\mathbb{R}X, \mathbb{F}_2) \cong \sum_p E_{p,q}^\infty.$$

1.3. First Betti number of real Calabi-Yau patchworking. In [16] we also prove the cohomological analogue of Theorem 1.1:

Theorem 1.3. Let A be a commutative ring. For all p and q there are canonical isomorphisms

$$(2) \quad H^q(X_{\text{trop}}; \mathcal{F}^p \otimes A) \cong H^q(X_{\text{trop}}^\circ; \mathcal{F}^{n-p} \otimes A).$$

Within this framework, we pursue the study of the topology of patchworkings arising from reflexive polytopes equipped with unimodular central triangulations and sign distributions on the vertices of these

triangulations. As recalled earlier, such a choice of signs defines a tropical divisor

$$D \in H^1(\mathbb{T}\Sigma_T, \mathcal{F}^1 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2),$$

whose restriction to $H^1(X_{\text{trop}}^\circ, \mathcal{F}^1 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2)$ is denoted by $D|_{X_{\text{trop}}^\circ}$. The main result in [16] is the following theorem:

Theorem 1.4 ([16]). Let δ_D^1 be the differential of the first page of the cohomological patchworking spectral sequence associated to the divisor D . Then the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(X_{\text{trop}}, \mathcal{F}^{n-1} \otimes \mathbb{F}_2) & \xrightarrow{\delta_D^1} & H^2(X_{\text{trop}}, \mathcal{F}^{n-2} \otimes \mathbb{F}_2) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ H^1(X_{\text{trop}}^\circ, \mathcal{F}^1 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2) & \xrightarrow{\cup_D} & H^2(X_{\text{trop}}^\circ, \mathcal{F}^2 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2), \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are the mirror maps from Theorem 1.3 and

$$\cup_D(\beta) = \beta^2 + D|_{X_{\text{trop}}^\circ} \cup \beta.$$

In certain cases this theorem is sufficient to compute the first Betti number (with \mathbb{F}_2 coefficients) of $\mathbb{R}X_D$. Recall that the real locus $\mathbb{R}X$ is called maximal if equality holds in the Smith-Thom inequality $\sum_i b_i(\mathbb{R}X) \leq \sum_i b_i(\mathbb{C}X)$.

Corollary 1.5. Suppose that either Δ or Δ° is a non-singular polytope and that there exists a divisor $D \in H^1(\mathbb{T}\Sigma_T, \mathcal{F}^1 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2)$ such that

$$(3) \quad \beta^2 + D|_{X_{\text{trop}}^\circ} \cup \beta \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \quad \text{for all } \beta \in H^1(X_{\text{trop}}^\circ, \mathcal{F}^1 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2).$$

If the cohomological patchworking spectral sequence associated to the divisor D degenerates at the second page, we have two cases

- if $D|_{X_{\text{trop}}^\circ} = 0$ then $\mathbb{R}X_D$ has two connected components and

$$b_1(\mathbb{R}X_D) = h^{n-1,1}(X) + h^{1,1}(X).$$

- if $D|_{X_{\text{trop}}^\circ} \neq 0$ then $\mathbb{R}X_D$ is connected and

$$b_1(\mathbb{R}X_D) = h^{n-1,1}(X) + h^{1,1}(X) - 1.$$

Proof. Suppose that Δ is non-singular. Then X_{trop} is combinatorially ample and by the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem in [3], the only non-zero tropical Hodge numbers of X_{trop} are those of type (j, j) and $(j, n-j)$ and they coincide with the standard Hodge numbers of X . Moreover, it also follows from [3] and the universal coefficients theorem, that the tropical cohomology groups over \mathbb{Z} have no torsion, hence also $\dim H^j(X_{\text{trop}}, \mathcal{F}^k \otimes \mathbb{F}_2) = h^{j,k}(X)$. By Theorem 1.1 and

the classical mirror symmetry identity (4), the same is true for X_{trop}° , $\dim H^j(X_{\text{trop}}^\circ, \mathcal{F}^k \otimes \mathbb{F}_2) = h^{j,k}(X^\circ)$.

This implies that the only non-trivial maps in the first page of the spectral sequence which contribute to $b_1(\mathbb{R}X)$ are

$$H^0(X_{\text{trop}}, \mathcal{F}^n \otimes \mathbb{F}_2) \xrightarrow{\delta^1} H^1(X_{\text{trop}}, \mathcal{F}^{n-1} \otimes \mathbb{F}_2) \xrightarrow{\delta^1} H^2(X_{\text{trop}}, \mathcal{F}^{n-2} \otimes \mathbb{F}_2).$$

The first map is zero if and only if $D|_{X_{\text{trop}}^\circ} = 0$ by Theorem 1.2. The second map is computed via Theorem 1.4 and condition (3) implies that it is zero. The other term which contributes to $b_1(\mathbb{R}X)$ is $E_{1,1}^1 = H^1(X_{\text{trop}}, \mathcal{F}^1 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2)$ and it does not change in the second page. The result then follows since $H^0(X_{\text{trop}}, \mathcal{F}^n \otimes \mathbb{F}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. \square

Corollary 1.6. If Δ and Δ° are 4 or 5 dimensional ($n = 3$ or 4) and at least one of them is non-singular, then $E_{n-1,1}^\infty = E_{n-1,1}^2$ and $E_{1,1}^\infty = E_{1,1}^2$. Therefore, in these dimensions, if (3) holds, we have

- if $D|_{X_{\text{trop}}^\circ} = 0$, then $\mathbb{R}X_D$ has two connected components and

$$b_1(\mathbb{R}X_D) = h^{n-1,1}(X) + h^{1,1}(X);$$

- if $D|_{X_{\text{trop}}^\circ} \neq 0$, then $\mathbb{R}X_D$ is connected and

$$b_1(\mathbb{R}X_D) = h^{n-1,1}(X) + h^{1,1}(X) - 1.$$

In the first case $\mathbb{R}X$ is maximal.

Proof. The only non trivial higher maps in the patchworking spectral sequence which contribute to the computation of b_1 are $\delta^{n-1} : E_{n,0}^{n-1} \rightarrow E_{1,1}^{n-1}$ and $\delta^{n-3} : E_{n-1,1}^{n-3} \rightarrow E_{2,2}^{n-3}$. As for the first map, in the proof of Theorem 1.2 in [15], we showed that it is always zero, in particular $E_{1,1}^\infty \cong E_{1,1}^2 \cong H^1(X_{\text{trop}}, \mathcal{F}^1 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2)$. As for the second map, it does not exist for $n = 3$ or 4 . Therefore $E_{n-1,1}^\infty = E_{n-1,1}^2$.

In the case of the first bullet, for $n = 3$, maximality follows from Poincaré duality. In the case $n = 4$, we use the general fact that the Euler characteristic of $\mathbb{R}X$ is equal to the signature of X [6]. This can be seen also from the spectral sequence (as observed in [18]), indeed the signature formula for a $2m$ -dimensional Kähler manifold gives

$$\sigma(X) = \sum_{p,q=0}^{2m} (-1)^q h^{p,q} = \sum_{p,q=0}^{2m} (-1)^q \dim E_{p,q}^1.$$

On the other hand, the right hand side is the Euler characteristic of the spectral sequence, which is stable on all of its pages. Therefore

$$\sigma(X) = \sum_{p,q=0}^{2m} (-1)^q \dim E_{p,q}^\infty = \chi(\mathbb{R}X).$$

Assuming the first bullet, these formulas imply that

$$b_2(\mathbb{R}X) = 2h^{4,0}(X) + h^{2,2}(X) - 2h^{0,0}(X).$$

In other words, $\mathbb{R}X$ is maximal. \square

We point out that, in a different context, a result similar to Theorem 1.4 was proved by Arguz-Prince in [1] for the zero divisor case and by the first author in [14]. These articles deal with anti-symplectic involutions which preserve a Lagrangian fibration on a 3-dimensional Calabi-Yau manifold.

1.4. Applications. The main goal of this paper is to give applications of Theorem 1.4, Corollary 1.5 and Corollary 1.6 to concrete examples. In Section 4, we focus on the case of the $(n+1)$ -cube $\square = [-1, 1]^{n+1}$ and its dual \square° . We first show that, when considering the zero divisor on $\mathbb{T}\Sigma_\square$, the map on the first page of the patchworking spectral sequence for X_{trop}° is trivial for all n (see Proposition 4.2). We also examine the case of a non-zero divisor D . In this situation, we show that for all $n > 4$, the first Betti number of the patchworking associated with D coincides with $h^{n-1,1}(X)$, where X is an anticanonical hypersurface in $(\mathbb{CP}^1)^{n+1}$ (see Proposition 4.7 and Corollary 4.8). In Section 5 we address the problem of finding a divisor D on X_{trop}° such that the corresponding map δ_D^1 in the patchworking spectral sequence for X_{trop} vanishes. Equivalently, by Theorem 1.4, we seek a divisor D on X_{trop}° satisfying

$$\beta^2 + D \cup \beta = 0 \pmod{2}$$

for every divisor β . In Section 5.2, we provide sufficient local conditions ensuring the existence of such a divisor. We then present, in Section 5.3, explicit local examples of divisors in dimension three that meet these conditions, and finally show in Section 5.4 that, in certain cases, one can construct global divisors satisfying all of them.

Theorem 1.7. Let $\Delta \subset M_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a smooth reflexive polytope of dimension 4 satisfying one of the following hypothesis

- for every 2-dimensional face F of Δ , the boundary ∂F contains only three parities;
- every edge of Δ has even length.

Then, for every primitive central triangulation T of Δ , there exists a divisor $D \in H^1(X_{\text{trop}}^\circ, \mathcal{F}^1 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2)$ satisfying

$$\beta^2 + D \cup \beta = 0$$

for all divisors β .

Corollary 1.8. Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 1.7, for any primitive triangulation T of Δ there exists a divisor D such that the real hypersurface $\mathbb{R}X_D$ is connected and

$$b_1(\mathbb{R}X_D) = h^{1,2}(X) + h^{1,1}(X) - 1.$$

Acknowledgments. We thank Matthias Franz for pointing out the work [13]. Diego Matessi was partially supported by the national research project “Moduli spaces and special varieties” (PRIN 2022) and he is a member of the INDAM research group GNSAGA. Arthur Renaudineau acknowledges the support of the CDP C2EMPI, as well as the French State under the France-2030 programme, the University of Lille, the Initiative of Excellence of the University of Lille, the European Metropolis of Lille for their funding and support of the R-CDP-24-004-C2EMPI project, and also the ANR, project ANR-22-CE40-0014. Both authors wish to thank the FBK-CIRM and the Department of Mathematics at the University of Trento for their support and hospitality during the “Research in pairs program”.

2. TROPICAL MIRROR PAIRS AND COHOMOLOGY

We briefly recall in this section the fundamental definitions from [15], to which we refer for additional details and illustrative examples. In the following $M \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ is a lattice, $N = \text{Hom}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ is the dual lattice and $M_{\mathbb{R}} = M \otimes \mathbb{R}$. Given a fan Σ (either in $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ or $N_{\mathbb{R}}$) we denote by $\mathbb{C}\Sigma$ and $\mathbb{T}\Sigma$ the corresponding complex toric variety or tropical toric variety, respectively.

2.1. Reflexive Polytopes and Mirror Symmetry. A lattice polytope $\Delta \subset M_{\mathbb{R}}$ is called *reflexive* if it can be written as

$$\Delta = \{u \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \langle v, u \rangle \leq 1, v \in N\}.$$

In particular, this implies that the only interior lattice point of Δ is the origin:

$$\text{int}(\Delta) \cap M = \{0\}.$$

The *dual polytope* $\Delta^\circ \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is defined by

$$\Delta^\circ = \{v \in N_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \langle v, u \rangle \leq 1, \forall u \in \Delta\}.$$

If Δ is reflexive, then its dual Δ° is also a reflexive lattice polytope, and moreover $(\Delta^\circ)^\circ = \Delta$.

A reflexive polytope $\Delta \subset M_{\mathbb{R}}$ defines a fan in the same space, called its *face fan*, denoted by Ξ_Δ , whose cones are generated by the faces of Δ . These fans satisfy

$$\Xi_\Delta = \Sigma_{\Delta^\circ} \quad \text{and} \quad \Xi_{\Delta^\circ} = \Sigma_\Delta,$$

where Σ_Δ and Σ_{Δ° denote the respective normal fans.

Definition 2.1. A lattice simplex is called *primitive* (or *unimodular*) if its volume equals $\frac{1}{(n+1)!}$, the minimal possible volume among lattice simplices.

If Δ is a non-singular reflexive polytope, the cones of Ξ_{Δ° are regular. Consequently, all faces of Δ° are simplices with no interior lattice points. Moreover, if σ is a facet of Δ° , then the convex hull of the origin in N together with σ forms a primitive simplex.

Given a pair of dual reflexive polytopes (Δ, Δ°) , one may consider the *anticanonical hypersurfaces*

$$X \subset \mathbb{C}\Sigma_\Delta, \quad X^\circ \subset \mathbb{C}\Sigma_{\Delta^\circ}.$$

Both X and X° are Calabi–Yau varieties, forming a *mirror pair*. Typically, both the hypersurfaces and their ambient toric varieties are singular. In favorable situations, these singularities can be resolved by a *crepant resolution*, which can often be obtained through *primitive convex central subdivisions*.

Definition 2.2. Let $\Delta \subset M_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a reflexive polytope. A triangulation of Δ with vertices in M is called *central* if it is obtained by taking the convex hulls of the origin in M together with the simplices from a triangulation of the facets of Δ . It is called *convex* if it is induced by an integral convex piecewise linear function on Δ . The triangulation is said to be *primitive* if all of its simplices are primitive.

We denote such a triangulation by T , and by ∂T the collection of simplices of T contained in the boundary $\partial\Delta$.

Let T be a primitive central triangulation of Δ . The corresponding *regular fan* Σ_T is constructed by taking the cones from the origin of M over the simplices in the subdivision of Δ . This fan refines $\Xi_\Delta = \Sigma_{\Delta^\circ}$, and therefore defines a desingularization

$$\mathbb{C}\Sigma_T \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}\Sigma_{\Delta^\circ}.$$

Throughout this work, we assume that there exist primitive triangulations T and T° of Δ and Δ° respectively; convexity will not always be assumed. In this situation, generic anticanonical hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}\Sigma_T$ and $\mathbb{C}\Sigma_{T^\circ}$ are smooth Calabi–Yau varieties. We denote by

$$X \subset \mathbb{C}\Sigma_{T^\circ}, \quad X^\circ \subset \mathbb{C}\Sigma_T$$

the smooth anticanonical hypersurfaces replacing the singular ones in $\mathbb{C}\Sigma_\Delta$ and $\mathbb{C}\Sigma_{\Delta^\circ}$. When the triangulations are not convex, these varieties may be non-projective.

In the projective case (that is, when both T and T° are convex), Batyrev and Borisov [5] proved that the Hodge numbers of X and X° satisfy the *mirror symmetry identity*

$$(4) \quad h^{p,q}(X) = h^{n-p,q}(X^\circ).$$

This relation is established in Theorem 4.15 of [5] for the *stringy Hodge numbers*, which depend only on the singular Calabi–Yau varieties. Proposition 1.1 of [5] shows that, in the smooth projective case, these coincide with the usual Hodge numbers of the crepant resolutions determined by T and T° . Although a general proof does not appear to our knowledge in the literature, it is expected that the mirror identity of Hodge numbers continues to hold in the non-projective case.

2.2. Tropical mirror pairs. We first introduce some notations that will be used throughout the paper. Given a simplex $\sigma \in T$, we define:

- $C(\sigma) \in \Sigma_T$ as the cone over σ ;
- $\langle \sigma \rangle \subset M$ denotes the integral tangent space to σ ;
- σ^\perp as the subspace of N orthogonal to $\langle \sigma \rangle$;
- if $\sigma \neq 0$, then

$$\sigma_\infty = \sigma \cap \partial\Delta.$$

Given a cone $\rho \in \Sigma_T$, we define:

- $S(\rho) = \rho \cap \Delta$;
- $\hat{\sigma}$ as the simplex $S(C(\sigma))$, i.e. the convex hull of 0 and σ .

In particular, when $0 \in \sigma$, we have $\hat{\sigma} = \sigma$, and σ_∞ is the unique facet of σ contained in $\partial\Delta$. If $0 \notin \sigma$, then $\sigma_\infty = \sigma$.

Given two fans Σ and Σ' such that Σ is a refinement of Σ' , we set:

- for every cone $\rho \in \Sigma$, denote by $\min(\rho)$ the smallest cone of Σ' containing ρ .

If Σ is the normal fan of a polytope Δ , we denote:

- ρ^\vee the face of Δ normal to ρ ;
- for any face F of Δ , F^\vee the cone of Σ normal to F .

Assume that T is a primitive convex central triangulation of Δ . If $\mu : \Delta \cap M \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is a convex function ensuring the convexity of T , one can consider its Legendre transform (defined over $N_{\mathbb{R}}$):

$$(5) \quad \mu^*(x) = \max_{y \in \Delta \cap M} (\langle x, y \rangle - \mu(y)),$$

and define the set

$$V_\mu := \{x \in N_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \exists y_1 \neq y_2 \text{ such that } \mu^*(x) = \langle x, y_1 \rangle - \mu(y_1) = \langle x, y_2 \rangle - \mu(y_2)\}.$$

In other words, V_μ is the set of points where the maximum in (5) is attained at least twice. It is the *tropical hypersurface* associated to μ : a weighted, rational, balanced polyhedral complex inducing a subdivision of $N_\mathbb{R}$ which is dual (as a poset) to the triangulation T (see for example [7]).

Moreover, the compactification of V_μ in the tropical toric variety $\mathbb{T}\Sigma_\Delta$ induces a subdivision of $\mathbb{T}\Sigma_\Delta$ whose underlying poset is the subposet of $\Sigma_\Delta \times T$:

$$(6) \quad \Phi := \{(\rho, \sigma) \in \Sigma_\Delta \times T \mid \sigma \subset \rho^\vee\}.$$

The order on this poset is the inverse of inclusion, i.e. $(\rho, \sigma) \leq (\rho', \sigma')$ iff $\rho' \subset \rho$ and $\sigma' \subset \sigma$ (see [8], Remark 2.3).

Definition 2.3. Let T be a primitive convex central triangulation of Δ and T° a primitive convex central triangulation of Δ° , induced respectively by convex functions μ and μ° . We denote by X_{trop} the compactification of V_μ inside $\mathbb{T}\Sigma_{T^\circ}$, and by X_{trop}° the compactification of V_{μ° inside $\mathbb{T}\Sigma_T$. The pair $(X_{\text{trop}}, X_{\text{trop}}^\circ)$ is called a tropical mirror pair.

The tropical variety X_{trop} induces a subdivision of $\mathbb{T}\Sigma_{T^\circ}$ with underlying poset

$$\mathcal{P}(T^\circ, T) := \{(\tau, \sigma) \in T^\circ \times T \mid 0 \in \tau \text{ and } \sigma \subset \min(C(\tau))^\vee\}.$$

Recall that $C(\tau)$ is the cone (in Σ_{T°) over τ . Since Σ_{T° is a refinement of the fan Ξ_{Δ° (the normal fan of Δ), we have that $\min(C(\tau))^\vee$ is a face of Δ . The order on $\mathcal{P}(T^\circ, T)$ is again the inverse of inclusion. We will be interested more specifically in the subposet of $\mathcal{P}(T^\circ, T)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{P}^1(T^\circ, T) := \{(\tau, \sigma) \in \mathcal{P}(T^\circ, T) \mid \dim(\sigma) \geq 1\}.$$

This poset parametrizes X_{trop} . As it will appear later, this poset will be the support of the cosheaves we will consider. We refer the reader to our paper [15] for illustrative examples. There, we address the more general setting of subdivisions T and T° that are not necessarily convex, a case we omit here for reasons of clarity and conciseness. We now make a remark that will be useful later.

Remark 2.4. Let τ be an edge of T° containing the origin, whose other endpoint lies in the interior of a facet of Δ° . Then there is no element of $\mathcal{P}^1(T^\circ, T)$ whose first coordinate is τ , since $\min C(\tau)^\vee$ is zero dimensional. Geometrically, this means that the divisor of $\mathbb{T}\Sigma_{T^\circ}$ corresponding to τ does not intersect the tropical hypersurface X_{trop} .

2.3. Tropical cohomology. Tropical cohomology introduced by Itenberg, Katzarkov, Mikhalkin and Zharkov [11], in its cellular version, belongs to the realm of poset cohomology (see for example [8] and reference therein for poset cohomology). We fix two primitive central triangulations T and T° of Δ and Δ° , respectively, which are not necessarily convex. The poset $\mathcal{P}(T^\circ, T)$ is graded by

$$\dim(\tau, \sigma) = \text{codim}(\tau) - \dim(\sigma).$$

Note that in the geometric realization of $\mathcal{P}(T^\circ, T)$, we have

$$\dim(\tau, \sigma) = \dim F(\tau, \sigma).$$

Moreover, the poset $\mathcal{P}(T^\circ, T)$ is *thin* and admits a *balanced signature*, obtained by choosing orientations on each cell $F(\tau, \sigma)$.

Recall that the p -multitangent cosheaves over $\mathcal{F}_p(T^\circ, T)$ are defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_p(\tau, \sigma) := \sum_{\substack{\lambda \subset \sigma \\ \dim(\lambda) = 1}} \bigwedge^p (\lambda^\perp / \langle C(\tau) \rangle).$$

The p -multitangent sheaves $\mathcal{F}^p(T^\circ, T)$ are the duals

$$\mathcal{F}^p(\tau, \sigma) := \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}_p(\tau, \sigma), \mathbb{Z}).$$

Let $s = \dim \sigma$ and let vol_σ be a generator of $\wedge^s \langle \sigma \rangle$, unique up to a sign. Then we have

Lemma 2.5.

$$\mathcal{F}^p(\tau, \sigma) = \frac{\wedge^p C(\tau)^\perp}{\text{vol}_\sigma \wedge \wedge^{p-\dim \sigma} C(\tau)^\perp}.$$

Proof. For every $\tau \in T^\circ$ we will denote

$$(N)_\tau = N / \langle C(\tau) \rangle,$$

where $\langle C(\tau) \rangle$ is the submodule of N generated by $C(\tau) \cap N$. We have $\text{Hom}(\wedge^p N_\tau, \mathbb{Z}) = \wedge^p C(\tau)^\perp$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^p(\tau, \sigma) &= \frac{\wedge^p C(\tau)^\perp}{[\sum_{\lambda \subset \sigma, \dim(\lambda)=1} \wedge^p (\lambda^\perp / \langle C(\tau) \rangle)]^\perp} = \\ &= \frac{\wedge^p C(\tau)^\perp}{\bigcap_{\lambda \subset \sigma, \dim(\lambda)=1} [\wedge^p (\lambda^\perp / \langle C(\tau) \rangle)]^\perp}. \end{aligned}$$

For any submodule $W \subset N_\tau$ we have that

$$\left[\bigwedge^p W \right]^\perp = W^\perp \wedge \bigwedge^{p-1} C(\tau)^\perp$$

In particular

$$\mathcal{F}^p(\tau, \sigma) = \frac{\bigwedge^p C(\tau)^\perp}{\bigcap_{\lambda \subset \sigma, \dim(\lambda)=1} (\langle \lambda \rangle \wedge \bigwedge^{p-1} C(\tau)^\perp)}.$$

We also have

$$\bigcap_{\lambda \subset \sigma, \dim(\lambda)=1} (\langle \lambda \rangle \wedge \bigwedge^{p-1} C(\tau)^\perp) = \text{vol}_\sigma \wedge \bigwedge^{p-\dim \sigma} C(\tau)^\perp.$$

This proves the lemma. \square

The sheaf maps of \mathcal{F}^p are induced by the natural injections

$$(7) \quad \langle C(\tau') \rangle \longrightarrow \langle C(\tau) \rangle,$$

whenever $\tau \subset \tau'$ (recall that, by definition of $\mathcal{P}(T^\circ, T)$, both τ and τ' contain 0).

The support of the sheaves \mathcal{F}^p is the subposet $\mathcal{P}^1(T^\circ, T)$. For brevity, if \mathcal{G} is any sheaf on $\mathcal{P}^1(T^\circ, T)$, we will write

$$H^\bullet(X_{\text{trop}}; \mathcal{G}) := H^\bullet(\mathcal{P}^1(T^\circ, T); \mathcal{G})$$

for the corresponding cohomology groups.

3. PICARD GROUP OF THE MIRROR

Let $(X_{\text{trop}}, X_{\text{trop}}^\circ)$ be a tropical mirror pair arising from central primitive triangulations T and T° of Δ and Δ° of dimensions $n+1$. The main result of this section is the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1. If the polytope Δ is non-singular and if $n \geq 3$, the map $H^1(\mathbb{T}\Sigma_T, \mathcal{F}^1 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow H^1(X_{\text{trop}}^\circ, \mathcal{F}^1 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2)$ induced by inclusion is surjective.

Remark 3.2. In the case where the polytope Δ° is non-singular, then $\mathbb{T}\Sigma_T = \mathbb{T}\Sigma_{\Delta^\circ}$ and the hypersurface X_{trop}° in $\mathbb{T}\Sigma_T$ is combinatorially ample (see Definition 2.5 in [3]). Then results from [3] apply and the map in Theorem 3.1 is an isomorphism.

Remark 3.3. In [10], Gross studies a mirror X° of the quintic threefold $X \subset \mathbb{P}^4$, obtained by choosing a particular triangulation of the associated polytope. He examines a collection of divisors on X° and shows in Lemma 4.3 that these divisors generate $H^2(X^\circ, \mathbb{Z})$. Notably, the divisors in question arise precisely from the ambient toric variety. Consequently, in this particular case, Theorem 3.1 may be viewed as a tropical analogue of Lemma 4.3 of [10]

The strategy to prove Theorem 3.1 is the same as in [3]. For simplicity we write \mathcal{F}^p instead of $\mathcal{F}^p \otimes \mathbb{F}^2$. We introduce the following short exact sequences of cellular sheaves:

$$(8) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\Delta^\circ}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\Delta^\circ}^p|_{X_{\text{trop}}^\circ} \rightarrow 0,$$

and

$$(9) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\Delta^\circ}^p|_{X_{\text{trop}}^\circ} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{trop}}^\circ}^p \rightarrow 0.$$

Here the sheaf $\mathcal{F}_{\Delta^\circ}^p$ is defined on the subdivision of $\mathbb{T}\Sigma_T$ induced by X_{trop}° with underlying poset the subposet of $T \times T^\circ$ defined by

$$\mathcal{P}(T, T^\circ) := \{(\tau, \sigma) \in T \times T^\circ \mid 0 \in \tau \text{ and } \sigma \in \min(C(\tau))^\vee\}.$$

Its restriction to X_{trop}° is the restriction to the subposet

$$\mathcal{P}^1(T, T^\circ) = \{(\tau, \sigma) \in \mathcal{P}(T, T^\circ) \mid \dim(\sigma) \geq 1\}.$$

The sheaf $\mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{trop}}^\circ}^p$ is also defined on the poset $\mathcal{P}^1(T, T^\circ)$. The sheaf $\mathcal{F}_{\Delta^\circ}^p$ is simply defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_{\Delta^\circ}^p(\tau, \sigma) := \bigwedge^p C(\tau)^\perp.$$

Moreover, recall that Lemma 2.5 states that

$$\mathcal{F}_{X_{\text{trop}}^\circ}^p(\tau, \sigma) = \frac{\bigwedge^p C(\tau)^\perp}{\text{vol}_\sigma \wedge \bigwedge^{p-\dim \sigma} C(\tau)^\perp}.$$

Proposition 3.4. One has $H^2(\mathcal{Q}^1) = 0$.

Proof. Since the polytope Δ is non-singular, the set of points in $\Delta^\circ \cap N$ coincide with the set of vertices of Δ° . Moreover, for any vertex v of Δ° , the set $\min(C(v))$ consists only of the cone $C(v)$ over v and its dual face $(\min(C(v)))^\vee$ is the facet of Δ normal to $C(v)$. So the support of \mathcal{Q}^p is

$$\bigsqcup_{v \in \text{Vert}(\Delta^\circ)} \{(\tau, v) \mid \tau_\infty \in C(v)^\vee\} \subset \mathcal{P}(T, T^\circ) \setminus \mathcal{P}^1(T, T^\circ).$$

Denote by Σ_{T_v} the fan in $M_\mathbb{R}$ consisting of all cones $C(\tau)$ such that $(\tau, v) \in \mathcal{P}(T, T^\circ)$. The fan Σ_{T_v} is obtained by taking cones over the triangulation of the facet $C(v)^\vee$ of Δ . The support of \mathcal{Q}^p is then

$$\bigsqcup_{v \in \text{Vert}(\Delta^\circ)} |\Sigma_{T_v}| \times v,$$

and moreover

$$\mathcal{Q}^p|_{\Sigma_{T_v} \times v} = \mathcal{F}^p|_{\Sigma_{T_v}}.$$

Then

$$H^q(Q^p) = \bigoplus_{v \in \text{Vert}(\Delta^\circ)} H_c^q(\mathbb{T}\Sigma_{T_v}, \mathcal{F}^p).$$

As explained for example in [12][Section 2.5], the compactly supported cohomology groups $H_c^i(\mathbb{C}\Sigma_{T_v}, \mathbb{F}_2)$ splits as a (non-canonical) direct sum

$$H_c^i(\mathbb{C}\Sigma_{T_v}, \mathbb{F}_2) = \bigoplus_{p+q=i} H_c^q(\mathbb{T}\Sigma_{T_v}, \mathcal{F}^p).$$

Since the fan Σ_{T_v} is non-singular, by Poincaré duality and universal coefficient theorem, one has $H_c^i(\mathbb{C}\Sigma_{T_v}, \mathbb{F}_2) \cong H^{2n+2-i}(\mathbb{C}\Sigma_{T_v}, \mathbb{F}_2)$. Since Σ_{T_v} is full dimensional with convex support, the cohomology $H^k(\mathbb{C}\Sigma_{T_v}, \mathbb{C})$ vanishes for odd k (see, for example, [17, Corollary 4.4]). In fact, this vanishing holds also with integral coefficients (by [13, Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 4.3]). The three hypotheses of [13, Theorem 4.3] are satisfied: the variety $\mathbb{C}\Sigma_{T_v}$ is locally standard because it is smooth; its orbit space is a (non-compact) polytope homeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}\Sigma_{T_v}$, so every face is acyclic; and the third hypothesis is also fulfilled. In particular, we obtain $H^2(Q^1) = 0$. \square

Proposition 3.5. One has $H^2(\mathcal{N}^1) = 0$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2.5 that

$$\mathcal{N}^p(\tau, \sigma) = \text{vol}_\sigma \wedge \bigwedge_{p-\dim \sigma} C(\tau)^\perp.$$

In particular, for $p = 1$, one obtains that $\mathcal{N}^1(\tau, \sigma) = \langle \sigma \rangle$ if $\dim(\sigma) = 1$ and $\mathcal{N}^1(\tau, \sigma) = 0$ otherwise. Note that since the polytope Δ is non-singular, $\min C(e) = C(e)$ for every $e \in T^\circ$ and $C(e)^\vee$ is an n -dimensional face of Δ . Then the support of \mathcal{N}^1 is

$$\bigsqcup_{e \in \text{Edge}(T^\circ)} \{(\tau, e) \mid \tau_\infty \in C(e)^\vee\} \subset \mathcal{P}^1(T, T^\circ).$$

Denote by Σ_{T_e} the fan in $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ consisting of all cones $C(\tau)$ such that $(\tau, e) \in \mathcal{P}^1(T, T^\circ)$. Notice that the support of Σ_{T_e} is convex and n -dimensional, in particular it is contractible. The support of \mathcal{N}^1 is

$$\bigsqcup_{e \in \text{Edge}(T^\circ)} |\Sigma_{T_e}| \times e,$$

and moreover

$$\mathcal{N}^1|_{\Sigma_{T_e} \times e} = \langle e \rangle \cong \mathbb{F}_2$$

is a constant sheaf. Then

$$H^q(\mathcal{N}^1) \cong \bigoplus_{e \in \text{Edge}(T^\circ)} H_c^q(\mathbb{T}\Sigma_{T_e}, \mathbb{F}_2).$$

But $H_c^q(\mathbb{T}\Sigma_{T_e}, \mathbb{F}_2) = 0$ if $q < \dim(n)$. So in particular if $n \geq 3$ then $H^2(\mathcal{N}^1) = 0$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.1. It follows immediately by considering the long exact sequences in cohomology associated to the short exact sequences 8 and 9 and applying Proposition 3.4 and 3.5. \square

4. FIRST BETTI NUMBER FROM THE DUAL OF THE CUBE

4.1. Real Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces in the dual of the cube. Let $\square = [-1, 1]^{n+1}$, and \square° its dual. Let $(X_{\text{trop}}, X_{\text{trop}}^\circ)$ be a pair of mirror tropical hypersurfaces arising from central primitive triangulations T and T° of \square and \square° . We consider a patchworking on (\square°, T°) and we want to compute the first Betti number of the corresponding real variety $\mathbb{R}X^\circ$.

As a preliminary step, we establish a straightforward lemma about the square map on the toric variety $\mathbb{T}\Sigma_\square$:

Lemma 4.1. The map

$$Sq : \begin{array}{c} H^1(\mathbb{T}\Sigma_\square, \mathcal{F}^1 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{T}\Sigma_\square, \mathcal{F}^2 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2) \\ \beta \mapsto \beta \cup \beta \end{array}.$$

is identically zero. Moreover, for any $D \in H^1(\mathbb{T}\Sigma_\square, \mathcal{F}^1 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2)$, the map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \cup_D : H^1(\mathbb{T}\Sigma_\square, \mathcal{F}^1 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2) & \rightarrow & H^2(\mathbb{T}\Sigma_\square, \mathcal{F}^2 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2) \\ \beta & \mapsto & \beta \cup D \end{array}$$

has kernel generated by D and rank n .

Proof. The rays of the fan Σ_\square are generated by the vectors $\pm e_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n+1$, where $\{e_1, \dots, e_{n+1}\}$ is the standard basis in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} . Let $\beta = D_{\varepsilon e_i}$ be a divisor on $\mathbb{T}\Sigma_\square$ with $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. By considering the monomial $m = e_i^*$, we obtain the relation

$$D_{e_i} + D_{-e_i} = 0.$$

It follows that

$$D_{\varepsilon e_i}^2 = D_{\varepsilon e_i} D_{-\varepsilon e_i} = 0,$$

since e_i and $-e_i$ do not belong to a common maximal cone of Σ_\square . Working over \mathbb{F}_2 , we have

$$\left(\sum_i \varepsilon_i D_{e_i} \right)^2 = \sum_i \varepsilon_i D_{e_i}^2,$$

and the first assertion follows.

Moreover, since $D_{e_i} = D_{-e_i}$ over \mathbb{F}_2 , any divisor can be written as

$$D = \sum_{i \in I} D_{e_i}$$

for some subset $I \subset \{1, \dots, n+1\}$. Let $D = \sum_{i \in I} D_{e_i}$ and $\beta = \sum_{j \in J} D_{e_j}$. The condition $D \cup \beta = 0$ implies immediately that $I = J$. This establishes the second claim. \square

4.2. The zero divisor. Consider first the zero divisor in $H^1(\mathbb{T}\Sigma_{T^\circ}, \mathcal{F}^1 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2)$, and denote by δ^1 the differential of the first page of the associated patchworking spectral sequence. Then

Proposition 4.2. For any $n \geq 3$, the map

$$\delta^1 : H^1(X_{\text{trop}}^\circ, \mathcal{F}^{n-1} \otimes \mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow H^2(X_{\text{trop}}^\circ, \mathcal{F}^{n-2} \otimes \mathbb{F}_2)$$

is zero.

Proof. Since we are considering the zero divisor, the mirror map associated to δ^1 is simply the square map

$$\begin{aligned} Sq : H^1(X_{\text{trop}}, \mathcal{F}^1 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2) &\rightarrow H^2(X_{\text{trop}}, \mathcal{F}^2 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2) \\ \beta &\mapsto \beta \cup \beta \end{aligned}.$$

By the cohomological version of tropical Lefschetz hyperplane theorem [3], if $n \geq 3$ one has the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(\mathbb{T}\Sigma_\square, \mathcal{F}^1 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2) & \xrightarrow{\text{Sq}} & H^2(\mathbb{T}\Sigma_\square, \mathcal{F}^2 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^1(X_{\text{trop}}, \mathcal{F}^1 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2) & \xrightarrow{\text{Sq}} & H^2(X_{\text{trop}}, \mathcal{F}^2 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2), \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrow on the left is an isomorphism. Since the upper horizontal map is zero by Lemma 4.1, also the lower horizontal map must be zero. This shows, by Theorem 1.4, that $\delta^1 = 0$. \square

Remark 4.3. The previous proposition is trivially true for $n = 1$. For $n = 2$, since we have the equality $\chi(\mathbb{R}X^\circ) = \sigma(X^\circ)$, the first Betti number of $\mathbb{R}X$ is uniquely determined by number of connected components, i.e. in the case of the zero divisor $b_1(\mathbb{R}X^\circ) = h^{1,1}(X^\circ)$. This implies that $\delta_1 = 0$.

Applying Corollaries 1.5 and 1.6 to this case, we have

Corollary 4.4. If the patchworking spectral sequence associated to the zero divisor degenerates at the second page, then the patchwork $\mathbb{R}X^\circ$ has two connected components and its first Betti number is equal

to $h^{1,1}(X) + h^{n-1,1}(X)$, where $X \subset (\mathbb{C}P^1)^{n+1}$ is an anticanonical hypersurface.

Corollary 4.5. In dimension $n = 3$ or 4 the patchwork $\mathbb{R}X^\circ$ has two connected components and its first Betti number is equal to $h^{1,1}(X) + h^{n-1,1}(X)$, where $X \subset (\mathbb{C}P^1)^{n+1}$ is an anticanonical hypersurface. In other words, in dimension $n = 3$ or 4 , $\mathbb{R}X^\circ$ is maximal.

Remark 4.6. In [2] Arguz and Prince, find various four dimensional reflexive polytopes where $\delta^1 = 0$ for the zero divisor, in the context of anti-symplectic involutions preserving a Lagrangian fibration.

4.3. Non-zero divisors. Let us now consider the case of a non-zero divisor D .

Proposition 4.7. If $n > 4$, the map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \cup_D : H^1(X_{\text{trop}}, \mathcal{F}^1) & \rightarrow & H^2(X_{\text{trop}}, \mathcal{F}^2) \\ \beta & \mapsto & \beta^2 + \beta \cup D \end{array}$$

has kernel equal to $\langle D \rangle$.

Proof. It follows again from tropical Lefschetz hyperplane theorem in cohomology and Lemma 4.1. \square

Corollary 4.8. If $n > 4$ and $D \neq 0$, then

$$b_1(\mathbb{R}X_D^\circ) = h^{n-1,1}(X),$$

where X is an anticanonical hypersurface in $(\mathbb{C}P^1)^{n+1}$.

Proof. By Proposition 4.7 and Theorem 1.2, the \mathbb{F}_2 vector spaces $E_{n-1,1}^2$ and $E_{n,0}^2$ of the second page of the patchworking spectral sequence are zero. Since $E_{n,0}^2 = 0$, the higher map in the patchworking spectral sequence $E_{n,0}^{n-1} \rightarrow E_{1,1}^{n-1}$ is zero, and so

$$b_1(\mathbb{R}X_D) = \dim H^1(X_{\text{trop}}^\circ; \mathcal{F}^1 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2).$$

Moreover by Theorem 1.3, one has

$$H^1(X_{\text{trop}}^\circ; \mathcal{F}^1 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2) \cong H^1(X_{\text{trop}}; \mathcal{F}^{n-1} \otimes \mathbb{F}_2).$$

Since the toric variety Σ_\square is non-singular, the tropical hypersurface X_{trop} is combinatorially ample and by [3]

$$\dim H^1(X_{\text{trop}}; \mathcal{F}^{n-1} \otimes \mathbb{F}_2) = h^{n-1,1}(X).$$

\square

Remark 4.9. In the cases $n = 3$ we argue that the map $\beta \mapsto \beta^2 + \beta \cup D$ is always zero. We give a non-rigorous proof as follows. First of all the map is linear in D , hence it is enough to prove it assuming $D = D_{e_j}$ (notation as in proof of Lemma 4.1). Since $\beta^2 = 0$ for all β , it is enough to assume $\beta = D_{e_k}$ and prove that $(D_{e_j} \cup D_{e_k})|_{X_{\text{trop}}} = 0$ for all j and k . By Poincaré duality, we can prove this by showing that $(D_{e_j} \cup D_{e_k})|_{X_{\text{trop}}} \cup \alpha = 0$ for all $\alpha \in H^1(X_{\text{trop}}, \mathcal{F}^1 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2)$. Again, by the Lefschetz theorem for $n = 3$,

$$H^1(X_{\text{trop}}, \mathcal{F}^1 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2) \cong H^1(\mathbb{T}\Sigma_{\square}, \mathcal{F}^1 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2).$$

In particular it is enough to show that $(D_{e_j} \cup D_{e_k} \cup D_{e_m})|_{X_{\text{trop}}} = 0$ for all j, k, m . Such classes, before restricting them to X_{trop} , are either 0 or correspond in $H^1(\mathbb{T}\Sigma_{\square}, \mathcal{F}^1 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2)$ to the Poincaré dual of an edge of the cube \square (this happens if all the indices are distinct). An edge intersects X_{trop} transversely in two points, just like over \mathbb{C} , the line $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{0\} \dots \times \{0\}$ intersects X twice. Since we are over \mathbb{F}_2 , this should imply that the restriction to X_{trop} is zero. The only reason why this is not a rigorous proof is that we do not know if, in tropical cohomology, the Poincaré dual of a transverse intersection of tropical cycles is equal to the cup product of the Poincaré duals. If this argument is correct, we would have that $\mathbb{R}X^\circ$ is connected and its first Betti number is $h^{1,1}(X) + h^{n-1,1}(X) - 1$, as follows from Corollary 1.6.

5. MAXIMIZING THE FIRST BETTI NUMBER

5.1. Maximizing the first Betti number in dimension 3. Let $(X_{\text{trop}}, X_{\text{trop}}^\circ)$ be a pair of 3-dimensional mirror tropical hypersurfaces arising from central primitive triangulations (Δ, T) and (Δ°, T°) of dual pairs of 4-dimensional reflexive polytopes. We try to maximize the first Betti number of a patchworking $\mathbb{R}X$ associated to a divisor $D \in H^1(X_{\text{trop}}^\circ, \mathcal{F}^1 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2)$. As we saw in Corollary 1.6, in the case $D = 0$, $\mathbb{R}X$ is maximal if and only if $\beta^2 = 0$ for all $\beta \in H^1(X_{\text{trop}}^\circ, \mathcal{F}^1 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2)$. Otherwise, if $D \neq 0$, $\mathbb{R}X$ is never maximal and the maximal possible first Betti number is $h^{1,1}(X) + h^{2,1}(X) - 1$, which occurs if $\beta^2 + \beta \cup D = 0$ for all $\beta \in H^1(X_{\text{trop}}^\circ, \mathcal{F}^1 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2)$. We conjecture the following

Conjecture 5.1. If a central primitive triangulation T admits a class $\beta \in H^1(X_{\text{trop}}^\circ, \mathcal{F}^1 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2)$ with $\beta^2 \neq 0$, then no central primitive patchworking of Δ can produce a maximal variety.

We believe this conjecture is reasonable following the work of Arguz-Prince [1]. In the context of anti-symplectic involutions preserving a Lagrangian fibration, they study the zero divisor case, and they show that the topology of the real locus does not change under “flips” of

the triangulation (see Appendix A of [1]). In particular the first Betti number is independent of the triangulation. They also compute that for the mirror of the quintic in \mathbb{P}^4 , the map $\beta \mapsto \beta^2$ is not zero for a particular triangulation [2], hence it is never zero. We expect that also in our context of patchworking on central triangulations, there does not exist a maximal quintic. On the other hand, in Theorem 5.8, we show that for a certain class of smooth reflexive polytopes, for any central triangulation, we can find a patchworking with $b_1(\mathbb{R}X) = h^{1,1}(X) + h^{1,2}(X) - 1$. This applies in particular to quintics. In the context of Lagrangian fibrations, this result was also found for a particular triangulation, by the first author in [14].

5.2. Local configurations. In this section we assume $n \geq 3$. We look for a divisor $L \in H^1(X_{\text{trop}}^\circ, \mathcal{F}^1 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2)$ such that

$$(10) \quad \beta^2 + \beta \cup L = 0, \quad \text{for all } \beta \in H^1(X_{\text{trop}}^\circ, \mathcal{F}^1 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2).$$

First of all, thanks to the surjectivity Theorem 3.1, we may assume β and L to be the restriction of a divisor in $H^1(\mathbb{T}\Sigma_T, \mathcal{F}^1 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2)$. Recall also that toric divisors of type D_v , with v a vertex of T , restrict to zero whenever v is contained in the interior of a facet of Δ (see Remark 2.4). Therefore we may write L as

$$L = \sum_{v \in \text{Skel}_{n-1}(\partial\Delta)} \epsilon_v D_v.$$

for some coefficients $\epsilon_v \in \mathbb{F}_2$. Obviously we may also think of L as the set of v 's such that $\epsilon_v = 1$. Then L satisfies (10) if and only if

$$(11) \quad D_r^2 = D_r \cup L \quad \text{for all } r \in \text{Skel}_{n-1} \partial\Delta.$$

We now observe that $D_r \cup D_v = 0$ whenever r and v do not lie on a common edge of T , since this product already vanishes in the ambient toric variety. We say that two vertices r and v are adjacent, and we denote it by $r \leftrightarrow v$, if r and v belong to a common edge of T . Notice that $r \leftrightarrow r$. Now, for every $r \in \text{Skel}_{n-1} \partial\Delta$, we denote by

$$\mathcal{L}_r = \{v \in L \mid v \leftrightarrow r\}.$$

Then (11) holds if and only if

$$(12) \quad D_r \cup (D_r + \sum_{v \in \mathcal{L}_r} D_v) = 0$$

for all $r \in \text{Skel}_{n-1} \partial\Delta$.

Recall that two toric divisors D_1 and D_2 are linearly equivalent (over \mathbb{F}_2), if and only if there exists $m \in N \otimes \mathbb{F}_2$ such that

$$D_1 + D_2 = \sum_j \langle m, v_j \rangle D_{v_j},$$

where the sum is over all primitive generators v_j of the rays of the fan. We write, in this case, $D_1 \sim D_2$. We now fix $r \in \text{Skel}_{n-1} \partial\Delta$ and look for configurations of L around r which ensure that (12) holds for that particular r . It is convenient to denote by $o(D_r)$ any divisor which does not contain vertices adjacent to r . In particular $D_r \cup o(D_r) = 0$. We have that (12) certainly holds if

$$(13) \quad D_r + \sum_{v \in \mathcal{L}_r} D_v \sim o(D_r).$$

We have the following cases.

Case 1. If $r \in \mathcal{L}_r$, then (13) holds if $\sum_{v \in \mathcal{L}_r - \{r\}} D_v \sim o(D_r)$. This means that there exists an $m \in N \otimes \mathbb{F}_2$ such that

- $\langle m, r \rangle = 0 \pmod{2}$;
- $\langle m, v \rangle = 1 \pmod{2}$ for all $v \in \mathcal{L}_r - \{r\}$;
- $\langle m, w \rangle = 0 \pmod{2}$ for all $w \leftrightarrow r$ such that $w \notin \mathcal{L}_r$.

Notice this includes also the case where $\mathcal{L}_r = \{r\}$, i.e. r is isolated in L . Indeed, in this case, we can take $m = 0$.

Case 2. If $r \notin \mathcal{L}_r$, then (13) holds if there exists $m \in N \otimes \mathbb{F}_2$ such that

- $\langle m, r \rangle = 1 \pmod{2}$;
- $\langle m, v \rangle = 1 \pmod{2}$ for all $v \in \mathcal{L}_r$;
- $\langle m, w \rangle = 0 \pmod{2}$ for all $w \leftrightarrow r$ such that $w \notin \mathcal{L}_r$ and $w \neq r$.

This also includes the case where r is in the interior of a codimension 2 face of Δ and every vertex adjacent to r is in L , except r .

We have that if for every $r \in \text{Skel}_{n-1}(\partial\Delta)$, the set \mathcal{L}_r falls in one of the two cases above, then L satisfies (10).

5.3. Local examples in dimension 3. We now assume that $\Delta \subset M_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a non-singular 4-dimensional reflexive polytope with some primitive central triangulation T . We look at some examples of local configurations for a divisor L satisfying (10). Define the parity of a vector $v \in M$ to be its reduction in $M \otimes \mathbb{F}_2$, which we denote by $[v]$. Given a face F of Δ , we will denote by $[F] \subseteq M \otimes \mathbb{F}_2$ the $\pmod{2}$ reduction of the affine subspace spanned by F . Notice that if F is a proper face, since Δ is reflexive, $[F]$ never passes through the origin.

5.3.1. Interior of a 2-dimensional face. Let F be a 2-dimensional face of Δ . Fix a parity $p \in [F]$. Consider a divisor L such that $L \cap F$ consists only of those points of F with parity p . Let r be a point in the interior of F . We check if \mathcal{L}_r falls into one of the two cases above. Suppose first that $r \in L$. Then it is isolated. Indeed, since the triangulation T is primitive, r is the only point adjacent to r of parity p . So \mathcal{L}_r falls in Case 1. Suppose now that $r \notin L$. In particular, two of the four parities inside $[F]$ are $[r]$ and p . Consider a 3-dimensional vector subspace in $M \otimes \mathbb{F}_2$, transverse to $[F]$ and intersecting it precisely in the two parities which are different from $[r]$ and p . Let $m \in N \otimes \mathbb{F}_2$ be the orthogonal vector to this three dimensional vector subspace. Then $\langle m, p \rangle = \langle m, [r] \rangle = 1$. If v is adjacent to r , but $v \neq r$, then $[v] \neq [r]$. In particular, if $v \in \mathcal{L}_r$ then its parity is p , in which case $\langle m, v \rangle = 1$. Otherwise, if $v \notin \mathcal{L}_r$, then $[v] \neq p$ and therefore $\langle m, v \rangle = 0$. Then \mathcal{L}_r falls in Case 2. Notice that these arguments are independent of the triangulation T .

5.3.2. Around a vertex. Let r be a vertex of Δ . Assume that we have chosen a parity $p_F \in [F]$ for each two dimensional face F containing r and define L so that $L \cap F$ consists only of points of parity p_F , for every F . Clearly, given F and F' , if $L \cap (F \cap F') \neq \emptyset$, then we must have $p_F = p_{F'}$. Since Δ is smooth, the dual face r^\vee is a facet of Δ° whose vertices n_1, \dots, n_4 form a basis of N . Moreover, $\langle [n_j], [r] \rangle = 1$ for all $j = 1, \dots, 4$. There are four edges containing r , denote them by E_1, \dots, E_4 so that E_j is contained in the affine line defined by the linear equations $\langle n_k, v \rangle = 1$, for all $k \neq j$. There are six 2-dimensional faces containing r . Denote by F_{jk} the one that contains E_j and E_k , ($j \neq k$). We have that F_{jk} is contained in the affine plane given by equations $\langle n_i, v \rangle = 1$ for all $i \neq j, k$. Denote by v_j the integral point of E_j , adjacent to r (and different from r). Notice that

$$(14) \quad \langle [n_j], [v_j] \rangle = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle [n_i], [v_j] \rangle = 1 \quad \text{for all } i \neq j$$

Let p_{jk} denote the chosen parity on the face F_{jk} . Among all possible configurations, we look for the admissible ones, i.e. those where \mathcal{L}_r satisfies Case 1 or Case 2.

Example 5.2 (The simple vertex). Suppose that $p_{jk} = [r]$ for all j and k . Then $r \in \mathcal{L}_r$ and it is isolated. Therefore the configuration is admissible since it satisfies Case 1. See Figure 1.

Example 5.3 (The pointed vertex). Fix some j and define the configuration as follows. On all faces containing E_j the chosen parity coincides with $[v_j]$, i.e. $p_{jk} = [v_j]$ for all k . On the remaining faces F_{ik}




FIGURE 1. The simple vertex: the chosen parity (in red) in any face is the parity of the vertex.

(with $j \neq i, k$), the parity p_{ik} is the unique one which is different from $[r]$, $[v_i]$ and $[v_k]$. In this case $r \notin \mathcal{L}_r$. Let us check that \mathcal{L}_r satisfies Case 2. Consider $m = \sum_{i \neq j} [n_i]$. First of all $\langle m, [r] \rangle = 1$. By (14), $\langle m, p_{jk} \rangle = \langle m, [v_j] \rangle = 1$. Now consider p_{ik} with $j \neq i, k$. We have that $\langle [n_\ell], p_{ik} \rangle = 1$ for all $\ell \neq i, k$ while $\langle [n_i], p_{ik} \rangle = \langle [n_k], p_{ik} \rangle = 0$. In particular $\langle m, p_{ik} \rangle = 1$. This shows that m satisfies the first two bullets listed in Case 2. Suppose now that w is adjacent to r , $w \notin \mathcal{L}_r$ and $w \neq r$. If $w \in F_{ik}$ with $j \neq i, k$, then its parity coincides with either v_i or v_k . But then, by (14), $\langle m, [w] \rangle = 0$. On the other hand, if $w \in F_{jk}$, then either $[w] = [v_k]$, or $[w] \neq [v_j], [v_k], [r]$. In the first case we already know that $\langle m, [w] \rangle = 0$, for the previous argument. In the second case, $\langle [n_\ell], [w] \rangle = 1$ for all $\ell \neq j, k$ and $\langle [n_j], [w] \rangle = \langle [n_k], [w] \rangle = 0$. Then $\langle m, [w] \rangle = 0$. Therefore the configuration is admissible since \mathcal{L}_r satisfies Case 2. See Figure 2.




FIGURE 2. The pointed vertex for E_4 . The chosen parity is drawn in red in any face.

Example 5.4 (Non-admissible vertex). Suppose that for all j and k , p_{jk} is different from the parities $[r]$, $[v_j]$ and $[v_k]$. So that r and the v_j 's are not in \mathcal{L}_r . Since the parities $[v_1], \dots, [v_4]$ form a basis of $M \otimes \mathbb{F}_2$, the only $m \in N \otimes \mathbb{F}_2$ which satisfies $\langle m, [v_j] \rangle = 0$ is $m = 0$. But then we also have $\langle m, [r] \rangle = 0$. Therefore this configuration is not admissible since it cannot satisfy neither Case 1 nor Case 2.

5.3.3. Around an edge. Let E be an edge of Δ . Again, assume that we have chosen a parity $p_F \in [F]$ for each two dimensional face F containing E and define L so that, $L \cap F$ consists only of points of parity p_F , for every F . The dual face E^\vee in Δ° is a two dimensional simplex with vertices n_1, n_2, n_3 , so that E is contained in the affine line given by equations $\langle n_j, v \rangle = 1$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$. There are three 2-dimensional faces containing E . Denote by F_j the one defined by the equations $\langle n_k, v \rangle = 1$ for all $k \neq j$. We look for admissible configurations around an edge E , i.e. those for which \mathcal{L}_r satisfies Case 1 or Case 2 for every r in the interior of E .

Example 5.5 (The simple edge). Choose a parity p_E on $[E]$. Define $p_F = p_E$ for every F containing E . If $[r] = p_E$, then r is isolated and therefore \mathcal{L}_r satisfies Case 1. If $[r] \neq p_E$, let $m = \sum_{j=1}^3 [n_j]$. Then $\langle m, [r] \rangle = \langle m, p_E \rangle = 1$. So that \mathcal{L}_r satisfies the first two bullets of Case 2. The affine hyperplane in $M \otimes \mathbb{F}_2$ cut by the equation $\langle m, v \rangle = 1$, is transverse to all affine planes $[F_j]$ and intersects them exactly in the two points $[r]$ and p_E . In particular, for any $w \in F$ adjacent to r , $w \notin \mathcal{L}_r$ and $w \neq r$, we must have $\langle m, w \rangle = 0$. Therefore \mathcal{L}_r satisfies Case 2 and the configuration is admissible. See Figure 3. Notice that any edge E adjacent to the simple vertex in Example 5.2 replicates the configuration just described here if p_E is the parity of the vertex. Similarly, in the pointed vertex Example 5.3, this configuration is replicated by the edge E_j , when p_E is the parity of v_j .

Example 5.6 (The empty edge). In this configuration none of the chosen parities p_F lie on E , in particular E does not contain elements of L . First choose $p(F_1)$ and $p(F_2)$ (not lying on E). Then there is just one parity on F_3 , not lying on E , which is linearly independent of $p(F_1)$ and $p(F_2)$. Let $p(F_3)$ be this parity. For any r in E , the points $[r], p_{F_1}, p_{F_2}, p_{F_3}$ form a basis of $M \otimes \mathbb{F}_2$. In particular there exists a unique affine hyperplane passing through $[r], p_{F_1}, p_{F_2}, p_{F_3}$ (and not through the origin), i.e. there is an m such that $\langle m, [r] \rangle = \langle m, p_{F_j} \rangle = 1$ for all $j = 1, 2, 3$. Such an hyperplane must also be transverse to all $[F_j]$'s. In particular if $w \in F$ is adjacent to r , $w \notin \mathcal{L}_r$ and $w \neq r$, we must have $\langle m, [w] \rangle = 0$. Therefore this configuration is admissible,




FIGURE 3. The simple edge: the chosen parity (in red) in any face is a parity of the edge.

since \mathcal{L}_r satisfies Case 2 for all r in the interior of E . See Figure 4. Notice that in the pointed vertex Example 5.3, this configuration is replicated by every edge E_k with $k \neq j$.




FIGURE 4. The empty edge: the chosen parity is drawn in red in any face.

Example 5.7 (The non-admissible edge). Having chosen p_{F_1} and p_{F_2} , not lying on E , one can choose p_{F_3} , not lying on E , so that $p_{F_1} + p_{F_2} + p_{F_3} = 0$. In particular, there does not exist m such that $\langle m, p_{F_j} \rangle = 1$ for all $j = 1, 2, 3$. Hence this configuration is not admissible since \mathcal{L}_r cannot satisfy Case 2.

5.4. Global examples in dimension 3. We describe a simple way to construct a divisor L satisfying (10), which is independent of the chosen primitive triangulation T . The method works well on some examples of reflexive polytopes.

Theorem 5.8. Let $\Delta \subset M_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a smooth reflexive polytope of dimension 4 satisfying one of the following hypothesis

- for every 2-dimensional face F of Δ , the boundary ∂F contains only three parities;
- every edge of Δ has even length.

Then, for every primitive central triangulation T of Δ , there exists a divisor $L \in H^1(X_{\text{trop}}^\circ, \mathcal{F}^1 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2)$ satisfying (10).

Proof. The easiest case is when Δ satisfies the second bullet. With this hypothesis, all vertices v of Δ have the same parity, i.e. there exists $p \in M \otimes \mathbb{F}_2$ such that $[v] = p$ for every vertex $v \in \Delta$. Let L consist of all the points $r \in \text{Skel}_2(\Delta) \cap M$ of parity p . We have $p \in [F]$ for all proper faces of Δ , therefore, in the interior of every two dimensional face, L is like in §5.3.1. Every vertex is a simple vertex (Example 5.2) and every edge is a simple edge (Example 5.5).

Assume now that Δ satisfies the first bullet. Choose a vertex $v_0 \in \Delta$ and let $p = [v_0]$. Let L be the divisor such that, for every 2-dimensional face F , $L \cap F$ consists of points of parity p if $p \in [F]$, otherwise it consists of points of the unique parity in $[F]$ which is not contained in ∂F . Then, in the interior of F , L is as in Example 5.3.1. Notice that v_0 is a simple vertex.

Suppose now that $v \in \Delta$ is a simple vertex with respect to L . Then $[v] = p$ and every edge containing v is a simple edge. We have that any other vertex v' of Δ which is connected to v by an edge E , is either a simple or a pointed vertex. Indeed, if E has even length, then also $[v'] = p$, and v' is a simple vertex by definition. If E has odd length, then $[v'] \neq p$. Let v'' be the integral point in the interior of E which is closest to v' , then $[v''] = p$. Let F be a two dimensional face containing v' . If F contains E , then by definition $L \cap F$ consists of points of parity p . If F does not contain E , then $p \notin [F]$, therefore $L \cap F$ consists of points whose parity is the unique one in $[F]$ which is not on ∂F . Hence v' must be pointed vertex.

Suppose now that v is a pointed vertex and denote by E_s the unique simple edge containing v . Let us prove that any other vertex v' of Δ which is connected to v by an edge E , is either a simple or a pointed vertex. Assume first that $E = E_s$. If E has odd length, $[v'] = p$ and hence v' is a simple vertex. If E has even length, as we argued in the previous case, v' must be a pointed vertex. Now assume E is one of the empty edges. Let F_s be the two dimensional face which contains E and E_s . Obviously v' is a vertex of F_s . Let E'_s be the other edge of F_s which contains v' and let v'' be the integral point in the interior of E'_s which is closest to v' . By the property that ∂F_s contains only three parities, we must have that $[v''] = p$, since none of the two parities in E can be equal to p . Now let F be a face containing E , but not E_s .

Notice that since v is a pointed vertex, $L \cap F$ is the set of points in F whose parity is the unique one not contained in ∂F . Let E' be the other edge of F which contains v' . Then $L \cap E' = \emptyset$. Let F' be a two dimensional face containing v' but not E . If it contains E'_s , then $L \cap F'$ is the set points of parity p . If F' does not contain E'_s , then $p \notin [F']$ and $L \cap F'$ is set of points whose parity is the unique one not in $\partial F'$. This shows that v' is a pointed vertex.

Given any vertex v of Δ , we can connect it to v_0 by a path of edges, and therefore v is either simple or pointed. Moreover, also every edge is either simple or empty. This concludes the proof of the theorem. \square

Corollary 5.9. Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 5.8, for any primitive triangulation T of Δ there exists a divisor D such that the real hypersurface $\mathbb{R}X_D$ is connected and

$$b_1(\mathbb{R}X_D) = h^{1,2}(X) + h^{1,1}(X) - 1.$$

Example 5.10. Any smooth reflexive 4 dimensional polytope whose two dimensional faces are simplices, satisfies the second bullet. For instance let P be a standard (unimodular) 4 dimensional simplex in \mathbb{R}^4 . Then $\Delta = 5P$ is the reflexive polytope which corresponds to quintics in \mathbb{P}^4 . Any central triangulation of Δ admits a patchworking real quintic $\mathbb{R}X$ with $b_1(\mathbb{R}X) = h^{1,1}(X) + h^{1,2}(X) - 1 = 101$. A similar construction, on a topological model of the quintic, was given by the first author in the context of antisymplectic involutions preserving Lagrangian fibrations, see Section 8.2 of [14]. The main difference here is that via patchworking we find actual quintics, instead of just a topological model. Moreover, Theorem 5.8 proves existence for any central triangulation instead of a specific one.

Example 5.11. Consider the cube $\square = [-1, 1]^4$. This is the polytope for a Calabi-Yau hypersurface X of degree $(2, 2, 2, 2)$ in $(\mathbb{C}P^1)^4$. All of its edges have length 2, therefore for any central triangulation of \square we can find a patchworking $\mathbb{R}X$ with first Betti number $b_1(\mathbb{R}X) = h^{1,1}(X) + h^{1,2}(X) - 1$.

Example 5.12. Of course there are a lot of examples where Theorem 5.8 does not apply. A simple example is the following. Let P be a standard 2-dimensional simplex and let $\Delta = (3P) \times (3P)$. It corresponds to hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}P^2 \times \mathbb{C}P^2$ of degree $(3, 3)$. Any square two dimensional face F of Δ is such that ∂F contains 4-parities, therefore Theorem 5.8 does not apply. It is unlikely that a divisor satisfying (10) exists for all triangulations. For the moment we have been unable to find a triangulation admitting such a divisor.

5.5. Higher dimensions. The problem of maximizing the first Betti number in higher dimensions is harder. Indeed in higher dimensions there is an interesting obstruction to finding a divisor satisfying (10). Suppose such a divisor exists. Given $\alpha, \beta \in H^1(X_{\text{trop}}^\circ, \mathcal{F}^1)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\beta^2 &= L \cup \beta \\ \alpha^2 &= L \cup \alpha.\end{aligned}$$

If we multiply the first equation by α , the second by β and then subtract the two equations, we get that

$$\alpha^2 \cup \beta = \alpha \cup \beta^2 \quad \text{for all } \alpha, \beta \in H^1(X_{\text{trop}}^\circ, \mathcal{F}^1).$$

It can be shown that in dimension $n = 3$, this relation is indeed satisfied on a mirror X_{trop}° associated to any central triangulation. On the contrary, in higher dimensions, not all mirrors X_{trop}° , i.e. not all triangulations, satisfy this property. More details on this obstruction and on the higher-dimensional problem will be given in our forthcoming paper [16].

REFERENCES

- [1] Hülya Argüz and Thomas Prince. Real Lagrangians in Calabi–Yau threefolds. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 121(2):287–311, 2020.
- [2] Hülya Argüz and Thomas Prince. On the cohomology groups of real Lagrangians in Calabi–Yau threefolds. *Experimental Mathematics*, 32(1):169–190, 2023.
- [3] Charles Arnal, Arthur Renaudineau, and Kris Shaw. Lefschetz section theorems for tropical hypersurfaces. *Annales Henri Lebesgue*, 4:1347–1387, 2021.
- [4] Victor V. Batyrev. Dual polyhedra and mirror symmetry for Calabi–Yau hypersurfaces in toric varieties. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 1994.
- [5] Victor V Batyrev and Lev A Borisov. Mirror duality and string-theoretic Hodge numbers. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 126(1):183–203, 1996.
- [6] Benoit Bertrand. Euler characteristic of primitive T-hypersurfaces and maximal surfaces. *Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu*, 9(1):1–27, 2010.
- [7] Erwan Brugallé, Ilia Itenberg, Grigory Mikhalkin, and Kristin Shaw. Brief introduction to tropical geometry. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1502.05950*, 2015.
- [8] Erwan Brugallé, Lucía López de Medrano, and Johannes Rau. Combinatorial patchworking: back from tropical geometry. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 377(10):6793–6826, 2024.
- [9] Jules Chenal. Poincaré duality, degeneracy, and real Lefschetz property for T-hypersurfaces. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.11919*, 2023.
- [10] Mark Gross. Topological mirror symmetry. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 144(1):75, 2001.
- [11] Ilia Itenberg, Ludmil Katzarkov, Grigory Mikhalkin, and Ilia Zharkov. Tropical homology. *Mathematische Annalen*, 374(1):963–1006, 2019.

- [12] Arno Jordan. Homology and cohomology of toric varieties. *PhD Thesis, Universitaet Konstanz*, 1998.
- [13] Mikiya Masuda. Cohomology of open torus manifolds. *Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics*, 252(1):146–154, 2006.
- [14] Diego Matessi. On real Calabi–Yau threefolds twisted by a section. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 109(1):e12845, 2024.
- [15] Diego Matessi and Arthur Renaudineau. Mirror symmetry for tropical hypersurfaces and patchworking. *Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu*, pages 1–39, 2024.
- [16] Diego Matessi and Arthur Renaudineau. First betti number of real tropical Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces. *in preparation*, 2027.
- [17] Tadao Oda. The algebraic de Rham theorem for toric varieties. *Tohoku Mathematical Journal, Second Series*, 45(2):231–247, 1993.
- [18] Arthur Renaudineau and Kristin Shaw. Bounding the Betti numbers of real hypersurfaces near the tropical limit. *Annales Scientifiques de l’École Normale Supérieure, 4ème série*, 56(3):945–980, 2023.