

# Commanding Humanoid by Free-form Language: A Large Language Action Model with Unified Motion Vocabulary

Zhirui Liu<sup>1,2, \*</sup> Kaiyang Ji<sup>1,2, \*</sup> Ke Yang<sup>1,2</sup> Jingyi Yu<sup>1</sup> Ye Shi<sup>1,2</sup> Jingya Wang<sup>1,2, †</sup>

<sup>1</sup>ShanghaiTech University <sup>2</sup>InstAdapt

{liuzhr2025, jiky2024, yujingyi, shiye, wangjingya}@shanghaitech.edu.cn  
ky2276@outlook.com

## Abstract

*Enabling humanoid robots to follow free-form language commands is critical for seamless human-robot interaction, collaborative task execution, and general-purpose embodied intelligence. While recent advances have improved low-level humanoid locomotion and robot manipulation, language-conditioned whole-body control remains a significant challenge. Existing methods are often limited to simple instructions and sacrifice either motion diversity or physical plausibility. To address this, we introduce Humanoid-LLA, a Large Language Action Model that maps expressive language commands to physically executable whole-body actions for humanoid robots. Our approach integrates three core components: a unified motion vocabulary that aligns human and humanoid motion primitives into a shared discrete space; a vocabulary-directed controller distilled from a privileged policy to ensure physical feasibility; and a physics-informed fine-tuning stage using reinforcement learning with dynamics-aware rewards to enhance robustness and stability. Extensive evaluations in simulation and on a real-world Unitree G1 humanoid show that Humanoid-LLA delivers strong language generalization while maintaining high physical fidelity, outperforming existing language-conditioned controllers in motion naturalness, stability, and execution success rate. Homepage: <https://humanoidlla.github.io/>.*

## 1. Introduction

Recent breakthroughs in Large Language Models (LLMs) [2, 46] have significantly advanced capabilities in perception, reasoning, and decision making across a wide range of domains, from code generation [26] to embodied action prediction, such as Vision-Language-Action (VLA) [4, 8, 22, 56, 57] models for navigation and robotic manipulation. Their success stems from scalable pretraining

and discrete representations that enable complex behaviors to be composed in a data-efficient manner. However, while most successes in embodied VLA have been achieved in robotic manipulation tasks, particularly those using gripper-based systems, transferring these advantages to *humanoid whole body control* remains challenging due to the high degree of freedom and complex dynamics inherent in humanoid robots. Moreover, unlike robot manipulation tasks that can leverage large-scale teleoperated data, it is difficult and costly to collect substantial amounts of *physically executable* humanoid motion data. Naively training on kinematic human motion captures or limited robot datasets often results in a trade-off between language faithfulness and physical feasibility, especially under real-world perturbations.

Existing methods [15, 55, 64] mainly rely on motion mimicking frameworks: learning text-to-human motion mappings from large human motion-text datasets [11, 39, 40] and then project to robots. While convenient, retargeting optimizes in the human motion space, introducing systematic projection and kinematic mismatch errors that sacrifice precision in robot execution [1, 15, 64]. Two-stage systems add physics-based tracking controllers [31] for post-hoc correction, improving feasibility but not fully recovering fine-grained, language-conditioned accuracy from end to end. End-to-end routes [34, 47] convert human dataset to humanoid datasets, yet offline policies often miss real-world stochasticity and perturbations, yielding brittle, imprecise behaviors on hardware. Distillation frameworks [45, 57] transfer a privileged tracking teacher to a text-conditioned student, achieving strong physical fidelity in simulation but compressing semantics and control into a single VAE—often weakening language grounding and blurring action selection. Across paradigms, a persistent bottleneck remains: the scarcity of high-quality, diverse, physically grounded humanoid real-robot data, limiting precise language-robot alignment and motivating robot-centric representations under minimal real-robot supervision.

To address this data scarcity challenge, we reformu-

\*Equal Contribution.

†Corresponding Author.

late language-conditioned humanoid whole-body control as an action generation problem leveraging a unified human-humanoid motion vocabulary. Specifically, we begin by constructing a unified vocabulary through joint quantization of paired human motions and their retargeted humanoid counterparts. Previous approaches are often limited by humanoid-only motion quantization [34, 47] or lack human-to-humanoid cross-embodiment reconstruction supervision [70]. In contrast, our unified tokenizer additionally enforces bidirectional cross-embodiment reconstruction, ensuring that the same discrete token corresponds to the same motion primitive across both embodiments. This results in a compact and reusable motion language that (i) benefits from the scalability of human motion datasets, (ii) unifies human and humanoid motion representation, and (iii) provides a discrete interface suitable for large language model-based reasoning and generation.

Based on this vocabulary, we bridge the semantic and physical gap through a process of *vocabulary-directed action distillation*. First, we train a privileged teacher tracking policy in simulation to accurately follow dense, retargeted humanoid reference motions with high physical fidelity. This policy is then distilled into a student controller conditioned on discrete *motion tokens* instead of continuous trajectory references. By shifting the control paradigm from dense trajectories to a compact token sequence, this approach enables the robot to execute actions selected within the vocabulary space while maintaining dynamic robustness, contact stability, and smooth whole-body coordination.

Built upon the aforementioned components, we finally train a Large Language Action Model (LLA), as illustrated in Fig. 1, that directly maps complex and expressive natural language to physically plausible humanoid action. The training proceeds in two stages. First, we conduct supervised fine-tuning (SFT) on large-scale text-human motion datasets using our unified tokenizer. Optionally, we incorporate a motion chain-of-thought prompting strategy to encourage the model to perform structured reasoning before generating motion tokens. Subsequently, we apply reinforcement learning fine-tuning (RLFT) with humanoid feedback in simulation. Here, a group relative policy optimization objective rewards the model for both semantic alignment with the instruction and the physical executability of the generated token sequences when rolled out by the vocabulary-directed controller. This closed-loop training paradigm injects crucial physical priors into the token generation process, ensuring high linguistic expressivity and motion diversity while maintaining physical feasibility.

Our framework, Humanoid-LLA, therefore integrates language understanding and humanoid robot execution into a cohesive pipeline comprising three key components: (1) a unified motion vocabulary that semantically aligns motion




Figure 1. An illustration of Humanoid-LLA. Given a high-level and abstract command (e.g., “walk in a curving figure-eight”), Humanoid-LLA first uses natural language to decompose the task (<think>...</think>), and then generates a sequence of unified motion tokens (<motion>...</motion>). A vocabulary-directed controller executes these tokens on the robot, bridging language, a unified human-humanoid motion vocabulary, and action-level control to yield physically faithful, natural whole-body behaviors.

primitives across human and humanoid embodiments; (2) a vocabulary directed action distillation process that bridges discrete tokens to physically executable control policies; and (3) a Large Language Action Model (LLA) trained via supervised fine-tuning on human motion datasets and further refined through reinforcement learning with physical feedback from the humanoid platform. Extensive evaluations in both simulation and real-world environments demonstrate compelling language generalization capabilities while maintaining high physical fidelity. We summarize our main contributions as follows:

- We present Humanoid-LLA, an end-to-end Large Language–Action Model that enables the first free-form text-to-humanoid whole-body control, mapping expressive natural language directly to executable humanoid actions.
- We introduce a unified human-humanoid motion vocabulary learned via cross-embodied VQ-VAE tokenization that provides a shared learnable latent space for both humanoid control and LLM modeling, mitigating data scarcity and embodiment mismatch for language-conditioned humanoid control.
- We introduce a two-stage fine-tuning framework that equips large language-action models with both semantic understanding and physical reasoning. By first using supervised fine-tuning on motion chain-of-thought data and then applying RL finetuning from physical humanoid feedback, our method achieves robust generalization to open-vocabulary instructions while guaranteeing physical fidelity.

## 2. Related Work

**Kinematic Motion Generation.** Kinematic motion generation is typically cast as conditional sequence modeling, aiming to synthesize temporally coherent pose trajectories from text, trajectories, or other control signals. Diffusion methods generate diverse, high-quality motions but are costly and hard to control [5, 21, 50, 69], while GPT-based approaches improve efficiency and long-horizon consistency but are limited by quantization and data quality [18, 35, 68]. Recent works [12, 44, 63] introduced physics priors: PhysDiff [63] projected diffusion outputs into physically valid states via simulation, while RobotMDM [44] integrated physical feasibility into training through reward surrogates and RL controllers. These efforts highlight the trade-off between visual fidelity and physical realism. Motivated yet distinct, we employ hierarchical physical rewards to finetune a latent motion generator via RL, and ultimately leverage a tracking policy conditioned on these latents to roll out physically feasible humanoid trajectories in simulation.

**Physics-based Character Animation.** To address the artifacts of kinematic motion generation like sliding and implausible contacts, physics-based methods [30, 36, 37, 48, 62] trained controllers in simulation to enforce physical consistency. DeepMimic [36] pioneered RL-based motion imitation, extended by AMP [37] and ASE [38] for robustness and skill compositionality, and by generative methods such as MaskedMimic [48] for motion inpainting and MaskedManipulator [49] for goal-conditioned locomanipulation. Yet specifying behaviors solely through low-level rewards or task-specific controllers is cumbersome and limits semantic expressiveness. This motivates language-guided character control [19, 20, 51, 52, 54, 59], which couples natural language interfaces with physically simulated agents to overcome the physical implausibility of purely kinematic-based models. PADL scales from simple commands [19] to multi-skill tasks [20]; MoConVQ [59] exploits pretrained motion codebooks and LLMs; PDP fuses diffusion with physics-based imitation [52]; and CLoSD proposes a closed-loop plan-and-imitate architecture [51]. Together, these works point toward a unified closed-loop framework combining linguistic flexibility with physical fidelity, motivating our approach.

**Real-world Humanoid Whole-Body Control.** Recent progress in physics-based virtual character control suggests strong potential for transfer to real humanoid robots, but two obstacles remain: morphological mismatch between virtual avatars and physical embodiments, and the sim-to-real gap from unstructured environments. Prior work addresses these issues in three complementary ways: hu-

man-humanoid retargeting to align human demonstrations with robot kinematics [1, 27, 31, 48, 60], teleoperation for direct human guidance of complex whole-body behaviors [3, 13, 15, 24, 25, 66, 67], and sim-to-real methods that reduce domain mismatch via robust simulation, adaptation, and system identification [6, 10, 14, 17, 27]. These advances yield strong controllers and data pipelines, yet many approaches treat retargeting and control separately, leaving a semantic-to-physical generation gap. Language-conditioned control seeks to close this gap by mapping natural language to whole-body policies, but existing efforts have trade-offs: UH-1 [34] and ALMI [47] improve text-motion corpora and hierarchical tracking but struggle with real-world deployment; LangWBC [45] boosts physical fidelity at the cost of language generalization; and RLPPF [64] leverages physical feedback to finetune LLM-based motion generators, but operates in human-motion space and restricts motion diversity due to overly conservative reward design. Together, these results motivate unified frameworks that marry broad language generalization with diverse, physically consistent, and deployable motion generation.

## 3. Method

Our framework, as shown in Fig. 2, consists of three tightly connected components: **building unified human-humanoid motion vocabulary** (Sec. 3.1), **distilling vocabulary-directed policy** (Sec. 3.2), and **fine-tuning large language-action model** (Sec. 3.3). The first two components serve as essential prerequisites that make the integrated reasoning in the third component possible. Next, we introduce each component, highlighting its role within the overall framework.

### 3.1. Unified Human-Humanoid Vocabulary

**Humanoid Motion Canonicalization.** For human motion, prior work commonly adopts SMPL parameters to form a 263-dimensional representation [11, 29], which serves as the learning target for generative models. To establish compatibility, we construct an analogous canonical representation for humanoid motion. Starting from the Unitree G1’s [41] raw control state  $q \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times 36}$  (including root translation, orientation, and joint DoF values), we apply a mapping  $f : \mathbb{R}^{36} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{227}$  that augments kinematic details such as root velocities, 3D joint positions, and joint velocities. Each frame is thus represented as a structured 227-dimensional vector, normalized to a root-centered coordinate system. This canonicalized form aligns with the human representation, enabling subsequent learning of a unified motion space.

**Implicit Partitioning Tokenization.** We aim to learn a unified tokenizer that maps human and retargeted humanoid




Figure 2. An overview of Humanoid-LLA. In stage one, we build a unified motion vocabulary leveraging a large-scale paired human and humanoid motion dataset. With a kinematic humanoid motion goal and its corresponding vocab retrieval, we distill a vocab-directed humanoid student controller from a teacher tracking controller. The first two stages enable stage three to acquire various humanoid feedback directly from physical simulation without decoding, making our LLA enhanced with high physical fidelity and language generalization.

motions into the same discrete vocabulary, ensuring that identical tokens carry consistent semantics across modalities. The tokenizer is expected to capture heterogeneous motion distributions while remaining compact for integration with language models. To this end, we adopt VQ-VAE [53] with implicit partitioning [32], where each latent vector is split into sub-blocks and quantized by separate codebooks. Concatenating these assignments yields a large effective vocabulary without requiring a single oversized codebook. Beyond standard self-reconstruction within each modality [70], we additionally enforce cross-modal reconstruction, such that a token obtained from either modality is decoded into the same motion primitive. This constraint ensures that identical tokens correspond to equivalent human and humanoid motions, thereby establishing a semantically unified motion representation.

**Cross-embodiment Optimization.** We optimize the dual-branch VQ-VAE by combining intra-modal and cross-modal reconstruction objectives. A sequence of human motion  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times d_h}$  and humanoid motion  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times d_r}$  are first encoded into latent features  $\mathbf{z}^h = \mathcal{E}_{\text{human}}(\mathbf{m}^h)$  and  $\mathbf{z}^r = \mathcal{E}_{\text{robot}}(\mathbf{m}^r)$ , which are partitioned into sub-blocks and

quantized by multiple codebooks to yield discrete tokens  $\hat{\mathbf{z}}^h$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{z}}^r$ . These tokens are then decoded back to the motion space by modality-specific decoders  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{human}}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{robot}}$ , producing both self-reconstructions ( $\hat{\mathbf{m}}^h$ ,  $\hat{\mathbf{m}}^r$ ) and cross-reconstructions ( $\hat{\mathbf{m}}^{r \leftarrow h}$ ,  $\hat{\mathbf{m}}^{h \leftarrow r}$ ). The additional cross-modal reconstruction enforces that the same token decodes into an equivalent motion across modalities, which is critical for achieving unified tokenization. The training objective is defined as:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{intra}} + \alpha \mathcal{L}_{\text{commit}} + \beta \mathcal{L}_{\text{cross}}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{intra}}$  is the intra-modal reconstruction loss for human and humanoid motions,  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{cross}}$  penalizes discrepancies in cross-modal reconstruction (human-to-humanoid and humanoid-to-human), and  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{commit}}$  is the commitment loss. Balancing coefficients  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  control the trade-off between fidelity and codebook consistency. See Supplement for more architectural and training details.

### 3.2. Vocabulary-directed Humanoid Action Distillation

With unified motion vocabulary in Sec. 3.1, we next bridge the gap between kinematic motion primitives and physi-



cal control through a vocabulary-directed distillation process. Following the teacher–student paradigm used in recent whole-body controllers[15, 48, 60, 61], we train a privileged teacher policy to track continuous humanoid-retargeted motions with high fidelity and then distill its behavior into a vocabulary-directed student policy that relies on motion tokens. This stage shifts the control input from dense reference trajectories to the compact motion language of tokens, enabling the humanoid to execute token sequences output by the language model in Sec. 3.3.

**Fully-constrained Teacher Controller.** We follow the goal-conditioned reinforcement learning framework to train a fully-constrained teacher tracking policy  $\pi^{\text{track}}$  that tracks dense humanoid-retargeted reference states. At timestep  $t$ , the controller observes humanoid proprioception  $\mathbf{s}_t$  and a goal state  $\mathbf{g}_t^{\text{track}}$  comprising kinematic reference motion, and computes target joint positions  $\mathbf{a}_t$  for the PD controller.

The teacher proprioception  $\mathbf{s}_t$  consists of the current root linear velocity  $\dot{\mathbf{p}}_t^{\text{root}} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , root angular velocity  $\omega_t^{\text{root}} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , joint positions  $\mathbf{q}_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n_j}$ , joint velocities  $\dot{\mathbf{q}}_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n_j}$  and the previous action history  $\mathbf{a}_{t-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_j}$  with respect to the robot’s local coordinate frame:

$$\mathbf{s}_t = [\dot{\mathbf{p}}_t^{\text{root}}, \omega_t^{\text{root}}, \mathbf{q}_t, \dot{\mathbf{q}}_t, \mathbf{a}_{t-1}]. \quad (2)$$

And for tracking goal observation  $\mathbf{g}_t^{\text{track}}$ , we track relative body pose instead of absolute poses following previous tracking framework [27]:

$$\mathbf{g}_t^{\text{track}} = [\hat{\mathbf{q}}_{t+1}, \hat{\dot{\mathbf{q}}}_{t+1}, \hat{\mathbf{p}}_{t+1}^{\text{root}} - \mathbf{p}_t^{\text{root}}, \hat{\theta}_{t+1}^{\text{root}} \ominus \theta_t^{\text{root}}], \quad (3)$$

where  $\ominus$  denotes the difference between two rotations. The policy action  $\mathbf{a}_t$  is the normalized robot target joint positions, which are residual targets for nominal joint configuration.

For policy training, Proximal Policy Optimization (PPO) [43] algorithm is used to maximize the accumulated reward  $r_t = \mathbb{E}[\sum_{t=1}^T \gamma^{t-1} r_t]$ . We design the reward  $r_t$  as a weighted sum of task rewards, regularization and penalty. Details can be found in the Supplementary Material.

**Vocabulary-directed Student Controller.** After fully-constrained teacher controller is trained, we distill  $\pi^{\text{track}}$  into a vocabulary-directed student policy. Let the unified tokenizer (Sec. 3.1) provide a motion vocab window  $\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{1:T}^{\text{vocab}}$ , we aim to train a student policy  $\pi^{\text{vocab}}$  that can generate full body actions satisfying these given motion vocabulary commands. To solve this ambiguity, we follow [48, 49] and model  $\pi^{\text{vocab}}$  as a Conditional Variational Autoencoder (CVAE) [23] consisting of a vocabulary prior  $\rho$ , a residual encoder  $\mathcal{E}$  and an action decoder  $\mathcal{D}$ . At timestep  $t$ , the motion vocab observation of the student controller is:

$$\mathbf{g}_t^{\text{vocab}} = [\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{g}_t^{\text{track}}), \hat{\mathbf{z}}_t^{\text{vocab}}], \quad (4)$$

where  $\mathcal{M}(\cdot)$  is a random masking function and  $\hat{\mathbf{z}}_t^{\text{vocab}}$  is the current motion vocabulary in Sec. 3.1. The vocabulary prior is modeled as a Gaussian distribution over latents given the observed vocab constraints:

$$\rho(z_t | \mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{g}_t^{\text{vocab}}) = \mathcal{N}(\mu^\rho(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{g}_t^{\text{vocab}}), \sigma^\rho(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{g}_t^{\text{vocab}})). \quad (5)$$

The encoder  $\mathcal{E}$  is modeled as a residual to the prior that outputs a latent distribution given the full-constraint teacher observation  $\mathbf{g}_t^{\text{track}}$  [58]:

$$\mathcal{E}(z_t | \mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{g}_t^{\text{track}}) = \mathcal{N}(\mu^\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{g}_t^{\text{track}}) + \mu^\rho(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{g}_t^{\text{vocab}}), \sigma^\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{g}_t^{\text{track}})). \quad (6)$$

Based on the Dataset Aggregation (DAGger) algorithm [42], we train  $\pi^{\text{vocab}}$  from  $\pi^{\text{track}}$  with motion vocab labels within the same motion dataset. The training objective is to minimize the difference between reference action and student action as well as the KL divergence between encoder distribution  $p_\mathcal{E}$  and prior distribution  $q_\rho$ :

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi^{\text{vocab}}} = \|a_t^{\text{track}} - a_t^{\text{vocab}}\|^2 + \lambda_{\text{KL}}(p_\mathcal{E}(z_t | \mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{g}_t^{\text{track}}) \| q_\rho(z_t | \mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{g}_t^{\text{vocab}})), \quad (7)$$

where  $a_t^{\text{track}}$  is the reference action from  $\pi^{\text{track}}$ ,  $a_t^{\text{vocab}}$  is the student action sampled from  $\mathcal{D}(a_t^{\text{vocab}} | \mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{g}_t^{\text{vocab}})$  and  $\lambda_{\text{KL}}$  is the hyperparameter for balancing reconstruction and regularization.

### 3.3. Large Language-Action Model

In this section we show how, building upon Sec. 3.1 and Sec. 3.2, our framework implements an end-to-end mapping from highly abstract language descriptions to physically executable robot actions without relying on tracking-based retargeting. Sec. 3.2 serves as the key intermediate: a low-level controller distilled to follow latent motion tokens, seamlessly linking latent motion token generation and physics-based action execution. The following parts in this section detail the training of our proposed LLA.

#### Supervised Fine-tuning with Augmented Human Data.

To solve the limited expressivity of textual annotations in existing datasets [11, 16, 40], prior approaches [35] have resorted to LLM [46] to decompose abstract motion descriptions. However, such methods often cause motion-language misalignment since a single caption may correspond to multiple plausible motions. Inspired by recent works [7] that demonstrate the effectiveness of visual signal for motion-text understanding, we employ a vision–language model [2] with rendered motion sequences as motion context, enabling it to produce more accurate reasoning chains.

Given the augmented large-scale human motion–text datasets, we formulate motion token generation as an

autoregressive, text-conditioned language modeling task, where a motion sequence is represented as a series of discrete tokens from the unified codebook  $\mathcal{Z} = \{\langle cb_{i,j} \rangle\}$ , with  $i$  indexing the sub-codebook and  $j$  the token entry. The input is the textual description  $\mathbf{w}$ , and the supervision target  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_L)$  is constructed by concatenating motion chain-of-thought with the ground-truth motion tokens from the pretrained tokenizer. The model is trained with the standard next-token prediction loss:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{SFT}} = -\mathbb{E}_{(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{y}) \sim \mathcal{D}} \sum_{t=1}^L \log P_{\phi}(y_t | \mathbf{w}, y_{<t}), \quad (8)$$

where  $\phi$  are the model parameters. This supervised stage enables the model to respond by progressing from concise motion descriptions to richer analytical decomposition and ultimately to motion token generation.

**RL Fine-tuning with Humanoid Feedback.** Large models are commonly adapted to downstream tasks with reinforcement learning, resulting in policies that better match task-specific requirements. We adopt Group Relative Policy Optimization (GRPO) [46], a variant of PPO [43] that avoids training a separate critic by sampling a group of candidate outputs  $y^{(1:K)}$  for each input prompt  $x$ , assigning each a scalar reward, and normalizing rewards within the group to obtain relative advantages. This encourages the policy to prefer better-than-average candidates without requiring an explicit value function. The policy is optimized with a clipped surrogate objective regularized toward a reference model:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{GRPO}}(\phi) = -\mathbb{E}_x \mathbb{E}_{y^{(1:K)} \sim \pi_{\phi}} \left[ \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^K \min \left( r_k \tilde{A}_k, \text{clip}(r_k; 1 - \epsilon, 1 + \epsilon) \tilde{A}_k \right) \right] + \beta_{\text{KL}} \mathcal{L}_{\text{KL}}. \quad (9)$$

where  $x$  is the input prompt,  $y^{(1:K)}$  are  $K$  sampled candidate sequences,  $r_k$  is the likelihood ratio between the current and reference policies, and  $\tilde{A}_k$  is the group-normalized advantage. The KL term  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{KL}}$  constrains the policy to stay close to a reference model. This formulation provides a stable and efficient way to fine-tune LLA with humanoid feedback, injecting physical priors into token generation.

Unlike prior work that emphasizes kinematic fidelity [35, 64], we stress the importance of dynamics-level consistency for real-world deployment. RLPF [64] employs a binary simulator-tracking reward, which ensures executability but often reduces motion diversity, as the policy tends to favor conservative behaviors that are easy to track. To address this, we design a reward scheme that combines high-level distributional objectives with low-level

simulator-based tracking signals, achieving motions that are both physically robust and expressively varied.

**Physical Fidelity Reward Design.** The overall reward is a weighted sum of a binary format reward and a continuous physical fidelity reward. The format reward acts as a prerequisite: the model must first learn *how to answer* (i.e., producing valid structured outputs) before it can effectively learn *how to answer well* (i.e., generating physically and semantically aligned motions). Concretely, the format reward checks two requirements: (i) the response must follow a structured template beginning with `<think>...</think>` and followed by `<motion>...</motion>`; and (ii) within the motion segment, motion tokens must appear in cyclic sub-codebook order (`cb0`  $\rightarrow$  `cb1`  $\rightarrow$  ...  $\rightarrow$  `cb(N-1)` repeatedly). We define it as

$$r_{\text{format}} = \mathbb{I}\{\text{requirements satisfied}\}. \quad (10)$$

The physical fidelity reward is composed of a distributional term and a tracking term. The distributional reward encourages motion distribution generated by vocab-controller to match the distribution of physically plausible trajectories and to align semantically with the paired motion descriptions. Using contrastive motion encoder  $\phi_m(\cdot)$  and text encoder  $\phi_t(\cdot)$  [11] trained on physically plausible humanoid datasets, we define distributional reward as

$$r_{\text{dist}} = \exp(-\lambda_m \|\phi_m(\mathbf{m}_{\text{gen}}) - \phi_m(\mathbf{m}_{\text{ref}})\|_2) + \exp(-\lambda_t \|\phi_m(\mathbf{m}_{\text{gen}}) - \phi_t(\mathbf{w}_{\text{ref}})\|_2), \quad (11)$$

where the two terms collectively measure motion fidelity and semantic fidelity.  $\mathbf{m}_{\text{gen}}$ ,  $\mathbf{m}_{\text{ref}}$  and  $\mathbf{w}_{\text{ref}}$  represent the motion rolled out in simulation by the vocab-controller, ground-truth motion and paired motion description, respectively.  $\lambda_m$ ,  $\lambda_t$  are balancing coefficients.

The tracking reward measures how well a generated token sequence can be executed in simulation by the distilled vocab-controller (Sec. 3.2). We evaluate the simulated roll-out with a position reward term  $r_{\text{pos}}$  and an acceleration reward term  $r_{\text{acc}}$ :

$$r_{\text{track}} = r_{\text{pos}} + r_{\text{acc}}. \quad (12)$$

Finally, the overall reward is calculated as  $r = r_{\text{format}} + r_{\text{dist}} + r_{\text{track}}$ . For more details about the reward design, please refer to the Supplement.

## 4. Experiments

### 4.1. Experiment Setup

**Dataset.** We conduct extensive experiments on the text-annotated subset of the AMASS dataset [11, 33], consisting of 26,846 motion sequences, each paired with 3–4 textual descriptions. For every motion sequence, we employ

mink [65] to retarget human motions into corresponding humanoid motions. For each raw motion description, we generate a motion chain-of-thought using Qwen2.5-VL [2], yielding a paired human-humanoid dataset with richer and more coherent reasoning about the underlying motion, compared with the original short and generic descriptions. The choice of this dataset is motivated by two factors. First, AMASS motions are captured using high-quality optical motion capture, ensuring low noise compared with other human motion datasets [9, 28] curated from Internet data, thus enabling the model to better learn the latent alignment between motion and language. Second, text-annotated AMASS has been widely adopted in both human motion generation and humanoid whole-body control, which ensures standardized and fair comparison across methods.

**Baselines.** To comprehensively demonstrate the advantages of our model in terms of both motion quality and physical executability for text-to-humanoid, we compare against several state-of-the-art baselines: 1) **MDM+Retarget** [50] kinematically retargets MDM-generated motion to humanoid robots. 2) **OmniH2O** [15, 50] uses motion diffusion model to produce kinematic human motions followed by retargeting and an imitation policy to obtain physical humanoid motions. 3) **UH-1** [34] trains a decoder-only transformer to map text descriptions into humanoid motion with a retargeted humanoid motion-text dataset. 4) **LangWBC** [45] distills a CVAE-based policy to simultaneously capture text semantics and sample actions. 5) **RLPF** [64] is a recent approach exploring physical feedback to constrain the kinematic LLM-based human motion generator, which is also followed by a post-process of motion retargeting and tracking. Implementation details of baselines and experimental results for building a unified motion vocabulary and distilling the vocab-directed controller are provided in the Supplement.

**Evaluation metrics.** Most prior work on text-to-humanoid motion generation [34, 45, 47, 64] reports either low-level physics tracking metrics or human-motion generation metrics, leaving no unified protocol directly defined on humanoid robots. To fill this gap, we design an evaluation that combines physics-based tracking measures with distributional generation metrics computed in humanoid motion space. These two perspectives jointly capture executability, distributional fidelity, motion-language alignment, and diversity, thus discouraging models from producing only simple, easily executable motions at the expense of expressiveness. For the generation side, we report FID to measure distributional similarity against a physical humanoid motion set obtained by a goal-conditioned tracking policy (i.e., teacher controller in Sec. 3.2), MM-Dist and R-Precision to assess motion-language alignment, and

Methods	FID↓	R-Precision↑	MM-Dist↓	Div.→
Ground Truth	0.00	0.610	3.804	8.238
MDM+Retarget [50]	11.759	0.262	6.599	6.419
OmniH2O [15]	17.159	0.222	8.021	5.868
UH-1 [34]	8.682	0.295	5.896	6.749
LangWBC* [45]	6.171	0.320	5.587	6.031
Humanoid-LLA (Ours)	<b>2.626</b>	<b>0.447</b>	<b>4.911</b>	<b>7.122</b>

Table 1. Quantitative results on text-to-humanoid motion generation. We report R-Precision at top-3. ↑, ↓, and → indicate that higher is better, lower is better, and closer to the GT is better, respectively.

Methods	Succ.↑	MPJPE↓	E <sub>vel</sub> ↓	E <sub>acc</sub> ↓
OmniH2O [15]	72.2%	73.43	11.78	10.48
UH-1 [34]	68.8%	121.51	16.59	14.80
LangWBC* [45]	76.0%	—	—	—
RLPF [64]	80.0%	140.00	—	—
Humanoid-LLA (Ours)	<b>87.6%</b>	<b>56.43</b>	<b>8.92</b>	<b>7.74</b>

Table 2. Physics-based quantitative results. ↑ and ↓ indicate that higher is better, lower is better, respectively.

Diversity (Div.) to evaluate variability. For the physics side, we measure success rate (Succ.), mean per-joint position error MPJPE (mm), velocity error E<sub>vel</sub> (mm/frame) and acceleration error E<sub>acc</sub> (mm/frame<sup>2</sup>). Refer to the Supplement for more details.

## 4.2. Text-to-Humanoid Evaluation

The results in Tab. 1 and Tab. 2 reveal distinct trade-offs among baselines. MDM [50] generates motions in the human domain and transfers them to robots via kinematic retargeting, preserving expressiveness and diversity but lacking physical fidelity. OmniH2O [15] adds an imitation policy to obtain feasible trajectories, yet discrepancies between human and robot action spaces cause frequent tracking failures, and discarding these biases the motion distribution. UH-1 [34] trains on robot trajectories to decode from a robot-space latent manifold, improving fidelity and tracking scores while retaining generative capacity, but still falling short for real-world deployment. LangWBC [45] conditions on both language and control, achieving strong low-level executability but weaker motion-language alignment. RLPF [64] introduces physical feedback to constrain motions to the feasible set, but optimizing distributions in the human space yields suboptimal humanoid alignment. In contrast, our method couples LLM-generated tokens with a vocabulary-directed controller and fine-tunes with humanoid feedback, preserving diversity and expressiveness while substantially boosting physical fidelity. This leads to consistent improvements across both evaluation axes, outperforming prior methods on generation metrics and track-




Figure 3. Real-world demonstration of free-form language-conditioned humanoid whole-body control. The tested prompts contain unseen terms ("soldier", "military parade march", "martial arts"). Benefiting from strong language understanding and motion reasoning capabilities of LLA, the humanoid performs reasonable motions even for such abstract instructions.

Methods	FID↓	R-Precision↑	MM-Dist↓	Div.→	Succ.↑	MPJPE↓	E <sub>vel</sub> ↓	E <sub>acc</sub> ↓
Humanoid-LLA w/o CoT	10.423	0.270	6.222	6.405	64.90%	90.43	14.11	11.23
Humanoid-LLA w/o RLFT	5.132	0.331	5.443	6.668	68.64%	78.31	12.12	10.01
Humanoid-LLA w/o $r_{\text{dist}}$	4.597	0.342	5.401	6.892	85.33%	61.27	9.31	9.02
Humanoid-LLA w/o $r_{\text{track}}$	<b>2.578</b>	0.439	5.013	7.007	76.72%	66.42	10.89	9.77
Humanoid-LLA (Ours)	2.626	<b>0.447</b>	<b>4.911</b>	<b>7.122</b>	<b>87.6%</b>	<b>56.43</b>	<b>8.92</b>	<b>8.74</b>

Table 3. Quantitative results of ablation study. We ablate on the CoT reasoning, RL finetuning, and individual physical reward terms to demonstrate the effectiveness of each design component in improving both the high-level generation quality and the low-level physical execution performance.

ing metrics. We provide evaluation details in the Supplement.

#### 4.3. Ablation Studies

We perform ablation studies to assess the contribution of each component of LLA in terms of generation quality and physical fidelity. (1) **Humanoid-LLA w/o CoT**: removes chain-of-thought augmentation and relies solely on raw motion descriptions when generating motion tokens. (2) **Humanoid-LLA w/o RLFT**: replaces the RL fine-tuned model with the SFT-only baseline. (3) **Humanoid-LLA w/o  $r_{\text{dist}}$** : excludes the distributional reward while retaining the tracking-based term. (4) **Humanoid-LLA w/o  $r_{\text{track}}$** : excludes the tracking reward while retaining the distributional term. The results in Tab. 3 highlight that the motion CoT fundamentally aligns motion understanding and generation, laying the foundation for semantically-consistent humanoid action execution. The RLFT provides an efficient coarse-to-fine scheme to boost LLA’s performance in both generation quality and physical tracking accuracy.

## 5. Conclusion

In this work, we present Humanoid-LLA, a unified framework for open-vocabulary humanoid control that bridges high-level language command and humanoid whole body execution. Our approach addresses the critical challenges of language generalization and physical fidelity in text-to-humanoid whole body control. Specifically, Humanoid-LLA introduce a unified codebook that aligns human and humanoid motion primitives, effectively bridging large language models and whole-body controller. By augmenting large-scale human-motion datasets with vision language model generated annotations and fine-tuning with humanoid feedback in simulation, our model achieves enhanced language generalization and physical feasibility at execution. Extensive evaluations on both physical feasibility and motion quality demonstrate that our method outperforms prior works in physical environments, culminating in successful deployment on real humanoid hardware. Extending Humanoid-LLA to richer multimodal grounding and longer-horizon planning remains an important direction.



## Acknowledgement

This work was supported by NSFC (No.62406195, W2431046), Shanghai Local College Capacity Building Program (23010503100), Shanghai Frontiers Science Center of Human-centered Artificial Intelligence (Shanghai-HAI), MoE Key Laboratory of Intelligent Perception and Human-Machine Collaboration (ShanghaiTech University), the Shanghai Frontiers Science Center of Human-centered Artificial Intelligence, HPC Platform and Core Facility Platform of Computer Science and Communication of ShanghaiTech University and Shanghai Engineering Research Center of Intelligent Vision and Imaging.

## References

- [1] Joao Pedro Araujo, Yanjie Ze, Pei Xu, Jiajun Wu, and C. Karen Liu. Retargeting matters: General motion re-targeting for humanoid motion tracking. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2510.02252*, 2025. 1, 3
- [2] Shuai Bai, Keqin Chen, Xuejing Liu, Jialin Wang, Wenbin Ge, Sibao Song, Kai Dang, Peng Wang, Shijie Wang, Jun Tang, Humen Zhong, Yuanzhi Zhu, Mingkun Yang, Zhao-hai Li, Jianqiang Wan, Pengfei Wang, Wei Ding, Zheren Fu, Yiheng Xu, Jiabo Ye, Xi Zhang, Tianbao Xie, Zesen Cheng, Hang Zhang, Zhibo Yang, Haiyang Xu, and Junyang Lin. Qwen2.5-vl technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2502.13923*, 2025. 1, 5, 7
- [3] Qingwei Ben, Feiyu Jia, Jia Zeng, Junting Dong, Dahua Lin, and Jiangmiao Pang. Homie: Humanoid locomanipulation with isomorphic exoskeleton cockpit. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2502.13013*, 2025. 3
- [4] Johan Bjorck, Fernando Castañeda, Nikita Cherniadev, Xingye Da, Runyu Ding, Linxi Fan, Yu Fang, Dieter Fox, Fengyuan Hu, Spencer Huang, et al. Gr00t n1: An open foundation model for generalist humanoid robots. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.14734*, 2025. 1
- [5] Xin Chen, Biao Jiang, Wen Liu, Zilong Huang, Bin Fu, Tao Chen, and Gang Yu. Executing your commands via motion diffusion in latent space. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 18000–18010, 2023. 3
- [6] Xuxin Cheng, Yandong Ji, Junming Chen, Ruihan Yang, Ge Yang, and Xiaolong Wang. Expressive whole-body control for humanoid robots. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.16796*, 2024. 3
- [7] Jieming Cui, Tengyu Liu, Nian Liu, Yaodong Yang, Yixin Zhu, and Siyuan Huang. Anyskill: Learning open-vocabulary physical skill for interactive agents. In *Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 2024. 5
- [8] Pengxiang Ding, Jianfei Ma, Xinyang Tong, Binghong Zou, Xinxin Luo, Yiguo Fan, Ting Wang, Hongchao Lu, Panzhong Mo, Jinxin Liu, et al. Humanoid-vla: Towards universal humanoid control with visual integration. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2502.14795*, 2025. 1
- [9] Ke Fan, Shunlin Lu, Minyue Dai, Runyi Yu, Lixing Xiao, Zhiyang Dou, Junting Dong, Lizhuang Ma, and Jingbo Wang. Go to zero: Towards zero-shot motion generation with million-scale data. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 13336–13348, 2025. 7
- [10] Zipeng Fu, Qingqing Zhao, Qi Wu, Gordon Wetzstein, and Chelsea Finn. Humanplus: Humanoid shadowing and imitation from humans. In *Conference on Robot Learning*, pages 2828–2844. PMLR, 2025. 3
- [11] Chuan Guo, Shihao Zou, Xinxin Zuo, Sen Wang, Wei Ji, Xingyu Li, and Li Cheng. Generating diverse and natural 3d human motions from text. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 5152–5161, 2022. 1, 3, 5, 6
- [12] Gaoge Han, Mingjiang Liang, Jinglei Tang, Yongkang Cheng, Wei Liu, and Shaoli Huang. Reindiffuse: Crafting physically plausible motions with reinforced diffusion model. In *2025 IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV)*, pages 2218–2227. IEEE, 2025. 3
- [13] Tairan He, Zhengyi Luo, Wenli Xiao, Chong Zhang, Kris Kitani, Changliu Liu, and Guanya Shi. Learning human-to-humanoid real-time whole-body teleoperation. In *2024 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, pages 8944–8951. IEEE, 2024. 3
- [14] Tairan He, Jiawei Gao, Wenli Xiao, Yuanhang Zhang, Zi Wang, Jiashun Wang, Zhengyi Luo, Guanqi He, Nikhil Sobanbab, Chaoyi Pan, et al. Asap: Aligning simulation and real-world physics for learning agile humanoid whole-body skills. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2502.01143*, 2025. 3
- [15] Tairan He, Zhengyi Luo, Xialin He, Wenli Xiao, Chong Zhang, Weinan Zhang, Kris M Kitani, Changliu Liu, and Guanya Shi. Omnih2o: Universal and dexterous human-to-humanoid whole-body teleoperation and learning. In *Conference on Robot Learning*, pages 1516–1540. PMLR, 2025. 1, 3, 5, 7
- [16] Inwoo Hwang, Jian Wang, Bing Zhou, et al. Snapmogen: Human motion generation from expressive texts. In *The Thirty-ninth Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2025. 5
- [17] Mazeyu Ji, Xuanbin Peng, Fangchen Liu, Jialong Li, Ge Yang, Xuxin Cheng, and Xiaolong Wang. Exbody2: Advanced expressive humanoid whole-body control. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.13196*, 2024. 3
- [18] Biao Jiang, Xin Chen, Wen Liu, Jingyi Yu, Gang Yu, and Tao Chen. Motiongpt: Human motion as a foreign language. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024. 3
- [19] Jordan Juravsky, Yunrong Guo, Sanja Fidler, and Xue Bin Peng. Padl: Language-directed physics-based character control. In *SIGGRAPH Asia 2022 Conference Papers*, pages 1–9, 2022. 3
- [20] Jordan Juravsky, Yunrong Guo, Sanja Fidler, and Xue Bin Peng. Superpadl: Scaling language-directed physics-based control with progressive supervised distillation. In *ACM SIGGRAPH 2024 Conference Papers*, pages 1–11, 2024. 3
- [21] Korrawe Karunratanakul, Konpat Preechakul, Supasorn Suwajanakorn, and Siyu Tang. Guided motion diffusion for

- controllable human motion synthesis. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 2151–2162, 2023. 3
- [22] Moo Jin Kim, Karl Pertsch, Siddharth Karamcheti, Ted Xiao, Ashwin Balakrishna, Suraj Nair, Rafael Rafailov, Ethan P Foster, Pannag R Sanketi, Quan Vuong, et al. Openvla: An open-source vision-language-action model. In *8th Annual Conference on Robot Learning*, 2024. 1
- [23] Diederik P Kingma and Max Welling. Auto-encoding variational bayes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.6114*, 2013. 5
- [24] Jialong Li, Xuxin Cheng, Tianshu Huang, Shiqi Yang, Rizhao Qiu, and Xiaolong Wang. Amo: Adaptive motion optimization for hyper-dexterous humanoid whole-body control. *Robotics: Science and Systems 2025*, 2025. 3
- [25] Yixuan Li, Yutang Lin, Jieming Cui, Tengyu Liu, Wei Liang, Yixin Zhu, and Siyuan Huang. Clone: Closed-loop whole-body humanoid teleoperation for long-horizon tasks, 2025. 3
- [26] Jacky Liang, Wenlong Huang, Fei Xia, Peng Xu, Karol Hausman, Brian Ichter, Pete Florence, and Andy Zeng. Code as policies: Language model programs for embodied control. In *2023 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*. IEEE, 2023. 1
- [27] Qiayuan Liao, Takara E Truong, Xiaoyu Huang, Guy Tevet, Koushil Sreenath, and C Karen Liu. Beyondmimic: From motion tracking to versatile humanoid control via guided diffusion. *arXiv e-prints*, pages arXiv–2508, 2025. 3, 5
- [28] Jing Lin, Ailing Zeng, Shunlin Lu, Yuanhao Cai, Ruimao Zhang, Haoqian Wang, and Lei Zhang. Motion-x: A large-scale 3d expressive whole-body human motion dataset. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36: 25268–25280, 2023. 7
- [29] Matthew Loper, Naureen Mahmood, Javier Romero, Gerard Pons-Moll, and Michael J Black. Smpl: a skinned multi-person linear model. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 34(6):1–16, 2015. 3
- [30] Zhengyi Luo, Jinkun Cao, Kris Kitani, Weipeng Xu, et al. Perpetual humanoid control for real-time simulated avatars. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 10895–10904, 2023. 3
- [31] Zhengyi Luo, Jinkun Cao, Alexander W. Winkler, Kris Kitani, and Weipeng Xu. Perpetual humanoid control for real-time simulated avatars. In *International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, 2023. 1, 3
- [32] Chuofan Ma, Yi Jiang, Junfeng Wu, Jihan Yang, Xin Yu, Zehuan Yuan, Bingyue Peng, and Xiaojuan Qi. Unitok: A unified tokenizer for visual generation and understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2502.20321*, 2025. 4
- [33] Naureen Mahmood, Nima Ghorbani, Nikolaus F. Troje, Gerard Pons-Moll, and Michael J. Black. AMASS: Archive of motion capture as surface shapes. In *International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 5442–5451, 2019. 6
- [34] Jiageng Mao, Siheng Zhao, Siqi Song, Chuye Hong, Tianheng Shi, Junjie Ye, Mingtong Zhang, Haoran Geng, Jitendra Malik, Vitor Guizilini, and Yue Wang. Universal humanoid robot pose learning from internet human videos. In *2025 IEEE-RAS 24th International Conference on Humanoid Robots (Humanoids)*, pages 1–8, 2025. 1, 2, 3, 7
- [35] Runqi Ouyang, Haoyun Li, Zhenyuan Zhang, Xiaofeng Wang, Zheng Zhu, Guan Huang, and Xingang Wang. Motion-r1: Chain-of-thought reasoning and reinforcement learning for human motion generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2506.10353*, 2025. 3, 5, 6
- [36] Xue Bin Peng, Pieter Abbeel, Sergey Levine, and Michiel Van de Panne. Deepmimic: Example-guided deep reinforcement learning of physics-based character skills. *ACM Transactions On Graphics (TOG)*, 37(4):1–14, 2018. 3
- [37] Xue Bin Peng, Ze Ma, Pieter Abbeel, Sergey Levine, and Angjoo Kanazawa. Amp: Adversarial motion priors for stylized physics-based character control. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (ToG)*, 40(4):1–20, 2021. 3
- [38] Xue Bin Peng, Yunrong Guo, Lina Halper, Sergey Levine, and Sanja Fidler. Ase: Large-scale reusable adversarial skill embeddings for physically simulated characters. *ACM Transactions On Graphics (TOG)*, 41(4):1–17, 2022. 3
- [39] Matthias Plappert, Christian Mandery, and Tamim Asfour. The KIT motion-language dataset. *Big Data*, 4(4):236–252, 2016. 1
- [40] Abhinanda R Punnakkal, Arjun Chandrasekaran, Nikos Athanasiou, Alejandra Quiros-Ramirez, and Michael J Black. Babel: Bodies, action and behavior with english labels. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 722–731, 2021. 1, 5
- [41] Unitree Robotics. Unitree g1 humanoid robot. <https://www.unitree.com/g1>, 2024. 3
- [42] Stéphane Ross, Geoffrey Gordon, and Drew Bagnell. A reduction of imitation learning and structured prediction to no-regret online learning. In *Proceedings of the fourteenth international conference on artificial intelligence and statistics*, pages 627–635. JMLR Workshop and Conference Proceedings, 2011. 5
- [43] John Schulman, Filip Wolski, Prafulla Dhariwal, Alec Radford, and Oleg Klimov. Proximal policy optimization algorithms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1707.06347*, 2017. 5, 6
- [44] Agon Serifi, Ruben Grandia, Espen Knoop, Markus Gross, and Moritz Bächer. Robot motion diffusion model: Motion generation for robotic characters. In *SIGGRAPH asia 2024 conference papers*, pages 1–9, 2024. 3
- [45] Yiyang Shao, Xiaoyu Huang, Bike Zhang, Qiayuan Liao, Yuman Gao, Yufeng Chi, Zhongyu Li, Sophia Shao, and Koushil Sreenath. Langwbc: Language-directed humanoid whole-body control via end-to-end learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2504.21738*, 2025. 1, 3, 7
- [46] Zhihong Shao, Peiyi Wang, Qihao Zhu, Runxin Xu, Junxiao Song, Xiao Bi, Haowei Zhang, Mingchuan Zhang, YK Li, et al. Deepseekmath: Pushing the limits of mathematical reasoning in open language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.03300*, 2024. 1, 5, 6
- [47] Jiayuan Shi, Xinzhe Liu, Dewei Wang, Ouyang Lu, Sören Schwertfeger, Fuchun Sun, Chenjia Bai, and Xuelong Li. Adversarial locomotion and motion imitation for humanoid policy learning. In *Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*, 2025. 1, 2, 3, 7

- [48] Chen Tessler, Yunrong Guo, Ofir Nabati, Gal Chechik, and Xue Bin Peng. Maskedmimic: Unified physics-based character control through masked motion inpainting. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 43(6):1–21, 2024. 3, 5
- [49] Chen Tessler, Yifeng Jiang, Erwin Coumans, Zhengyi Luo, Gal Chechik, and Xue Bin Peng. Maskedmanipulator: Versatile whole-body control for loco-manipulation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2505.19086*, 2025. 3, 5
- [50] Guy Tevet, Sigal Raab, Brian Gordon, Yoni Shafir, Daniel Cohen-or, and Amit Haim Bermano. Human motion diffusion model. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2023. 3, 7
- [51] Guy Tevet, Sigal Raab, Setareh Cohan, Daniele Reda, Zhengyi Luo, Xue Bin Peng, Amit Haim Bermano, and Michiel van de Panne. Cload: Closing the loop between simulation and diffusion for multi-task character control. In *The Thirteenth International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2024. 3
- [52] Takara Everest Truong, Michael Pisen, Zhaoming Xie, and Karen Liu. Pdp: Physics-based character animation via diffusion policy. In *SIGGRAPH Asia 2024 Conference Papers*, pages 1–10, 2024. 3
- [53] Aaron Van Den Oord, Oriol Vinyals, et al. Neural discrete representation learning. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30, 2017. 4
- [54] Yan Wu, Korrawe Karunratanakul, Zhengyi Luo, and Siyu Tang. Uniphys: Unified planner and controller with diffusion for flexible physics-based character control. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2504.12540*, 2025. 3
- [55] Shusheng Xu, Huaijie Wang, Yutao Ouyang, Jiaxuan Gao, Zhiyu Mei, Chao Yu, and Yi Wu. Lagoon: Language-guided motion control. In *2024 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 9743–9750. IEEE, 2024. 1
- [56] Xinyu Xu, Yizheng Zhang, Yong-Lu Li, Lei Han, and Cewu Lu. Humanvla: Towards vision-language directed object rearrangement by physical humanoid. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 37:18633–18659, 2024. 1
- [57] Haoru Xue, Xiaoyu Huang, Dantong Niu, Qiayuan Liao, Thomas Kragerud, Jan Tommy Gravdahl, Xue Bin Peng, Guanya Shi, Trevor Darrell, Koushil Sreenath, et al. Leverb: Humanoid whole-body control with latent vision-language instruction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2506.13751*, 2025. 1
- [58] Heyuan Yao, Zhenhua Song, Baoquan Chen, and Libin Liu. Controlvae: Model-based learning of generative controllers for physics-based characters. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 41(6):1–16, 2022. 5
- [59] Heyuan Yao, Zhenhua Song, Yuyang Zhou, Tenglong Ao, Baoquan Chen, and Libin Liu. Moconvq: Unified physics-based motion control via scalable discrete representations. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 43(4):1–21, 2024. 3
- [60] Kangning Yin, Weishuai Zeng, Ke Fan, Zirui Wang, Qiang Zhang, Zheng Tian, Jingbo Wang, Jiangmiao Pang, and Weinan Zhang. Unitracker: Learning universal whole-body motion tracker for humanoid robots. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2507.07356*, 2025. 3, 5
- [61] Shaofeng Yin, Yanjie Ze, Hong-Xing Yu, C. Karen Liu, and Jiajun Wu. Visualmimic: Visual humanoid loco-manipulation via motion tracking and generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2509.20322*, 2025. 5
- [62] Ye Yuan, Viktor Makoviychuk, Y Guo, S Fidler, X Peng, and K Fatahalian. Learning physically simulated tennis skills from broadcast videos. *ACM Trans. Graph.*, 42(4), 2023. 3
- [63] Ye Yuan, Jiaming Song, Umar Iqbal, Arash Vahdat, and Jan Kautz. Physdiff: Physics-guided human motion diffusion model. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 16010–16021, 2023. 3
- [64] Junpeng Yue, Zepeng Wang, Yuxuan Wang, Weishuai Zeng, Jiangxing Wang, Xinrun Xu, Yu Zhang, Sipeng Zheng, Ziluo Ding, and Zongqing Lu. RL from physical feedback: Aligning large motion models with humanoid control. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2506.12769*, 2025. 1, 3, 6, 7
- [65] Kevin Zakka. Mink: Python inverse kinematics based on mujoco. <https://github.com/kevinzakka/mink>, 2024. 7
- [66] Yanjie Ze, Zixuan Chen, João Pedro Araújo, Zi ang Cao, Xue Bin Peng, Jiajun Wu, and C. Karen Liu. Twist: Teleoperated whole-body imitation system. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2505.02833*, 2025. 3
- [67] Yanjie Ze, Siheng Zhao, Weizhuo Wang, Angjoo Kanazawa, Rocky Duan, Pieter Abbeel, Guanya Shi, Jiajun Wu, and C Karen Liu. Twist2: Scalable, portable, and holistic humanoid data collection system. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2511.02832*, 2025. 3
- [68] Jianrong Zhang, Yangsong Zhang, Xiaodong Cun, Shaoli Huang, Yong Zhang, Hongwei Zhao, Hongtao Lu, and Xi Shen. T2m-gpt: Generating human motion from textual descriptions with discrete representations. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 2023. 3
- [69] Mingyuan Zhang, Zhongang Cai, Liang Pan, Fangzhou Hong, Xinying Guo, Lei Yang, and Ziwei Liu. Motiondiffuse: Text-driven human motion generation with diffusion model. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 46(6):4115–4128, 2024. 3
- [70] Haoyu Zhao, Sixu Lin, Qingwei Ben, Minyue Dai, Hao Fei, Jingbo Wang, Hua Zou, and Juntong Dong. Smap: Self-supervised motion adaptation for physically plausible humanoid whole-body control. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2505.19463*, 2025. 2, 4