

# Degenerate Addition Formulas of the KP hierarchy and Applications

Atsushi Nakayashiki\*

Department of Mathematics, Tsuda University  
Kodaira, Tokyo 187-8577, Japan

## Abstract

It is well known that tau functions of the KP hierarchy satisfy addition formulas. We consider the general addition formula in the determinant form and take a certain limit of it. It expresses certain shifts of a tau function in terms of the Wronskian determinants of wave functions at various values of the spectral parameter. As an application the relation between solutions created by vertex operators and those created by Darboux transformations is clarified. As another application the new addition formula for Riemann's theta functions of Riemann surfaces is obtained by considering theta function solutions of the KP hierarchy. This addition formula is different from any of formulas in Fay's book.

## 1 Introduction

Motivated by the recent study by Kodama and others [1, 9, 10, 11] on shapes of soliton solutions of the KP equation and their relations to totally non-negative Grassmannians, cluster algebras, etc. the author [18] has studied the action of vertex operators to quasi-periodic solutions of the KP hierarchy, that is, solutions corresponding to smooth algebraic curves. The vertex operators of the KP hierarchy had been introduced by Date et al. [2] and act on the space of solutions of the KP hierarchy. In [18] it is shown that the vertex operators create solutions corresponding to singular algebraic curves whose normalizations are isomorphic to the initial smooth curves. Furthermore solutions obtained in this way are shown to represent solitons on the quasi-periodic background, where the background quasi-periodic wave corresponds to the initial quasi-periodic solution.

---

\*e-mail: atsushi@tsuda.ac.jp

Darboux transformations of the KP hierarchy also carry solutions of the KP hierarchy to themselves [15, 19, 5, 7]. In [7] Kakei has studied Darboux transformations of quasi-periodic solutions of the KP hierarchy motivated by the work of Li and Zhang [13] on elliptic solitons. Surprisingly, in the case of genus one, the graphs of solutions created by vertex operators [18] and those created by Darboux transformations [7] look quite similar. So it is natural to expect that two solutions coincide. We solve this problem using the addition formula of the KP hierarchy.

The addition formula for tau functions of the KP hierarchy was discovered by M. Sato and Y. Sato [20]. The simplest addition formula takes the form

$$\alpha_{12}\alpha_{34}\tau_{12}\tau_{34} - \alpha_{13}\alpha_{24}\tau_{13}\tau_{24} + \alpha_{14}\alpha_{23}\tau_{14}\tau_{23} = 0,$$

where  $\alpha_{ij} = \alpha_i - \alpha_j$ ,

$$\tau_{ij} = \tau(t + [\alpha_i] + [\alpha_j]), \quad t = {}^t(t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots), \quad [\alpha] = (\alpha, \frac{\alpha^2}{2}, \frac{\alpha^3}{3}, \dots).$$

In [23, 22] this single equation is proved to be equivalent to the KP hierarchy itself. From this formula the addition formula of the determinant form (2.6) had been derived in [22].

The vertex operator of the KP hierarchy is the operator of the form

$$X(p, q) = e^{\eta(t, p) - \eta(t, q)} e^{-\eta(\tilde{\partial}, p^{-1}) + \eta(\tilde{\partial}, q^{-1})},$$

where

$$\eta(t, p) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i p^i, \quad \eta(\tilde{\partial}, p) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{p^i}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i}.$$

It acts on a function  $f(t)$  as

$$X(p, q)\tau(t) = e^{\eta(t, p) - \eta(t, q)} \tau(t - [p^{-1}] + [q^{-1}]).$$

The operator  $X(p, q)$  satisfies  $X(p, q)^2 = 0$  and  $e^{aX(p, q)} = 1 + aX(p, q)$  acts on the solution space of the KP hierarchy. We take various parameters as  $a, p, q$  and consider the compositions of  $e^{aX(p, q)}$ 's. We then apply it to a solution  $\tau(t)$ . The result is [17, 18]

$$\tilde{\tau}(t) := \sum_I B_I \Delta_I^- e^{\eta_I^-} \tau(t - [p_I]), \quad (1.1)$$

where,  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_N)$ ,  $[p_I] = [p_{i_1}] + \dots + [p_{i_N}]$ ,  $\eta_I^- = \eta(t, p_{i_1}^{-1}) + \dots + \eta(t, p_{i_N}^{-1})$ ,  $\Delta_I^- = \prod_{r, s \in I} (p_s^{-1} - p_r^{-1})$ ,  $B$  some  $L \times N$  matrix (3.5) with  $L > N$  and  $B_I$  is the minor determinant of  $B$  corresponding to rows specified by  $I$ . See §3 for more details. Roughly speaking this formula means that the solution created by a composition of vertex operators is written as a linear combination of shifts of  $\tau(t)$  with coefficients in exponential functions.

To write down a similar formula for Darboux transformation we need the wave function of  $\tau(t)$  defined by

$$\Psi(t, z) = \frac{\tau(t - [z])}{\tau(t)} e^{\eta(t, z^{-1})}. \quad (1.2)$$

It is a solution of some linear differential equations (see (2.3)) whose compatibility conditions give the KP hierarchy. The action of Darboux transformation on  $\tau(t)$  is then expressed in the form [7]

$$\hat{\tau}(t) := \tau(t) \sum_{I=(i_1, \dots, i_N)} C_I \text{Wr}(\Psi(t, p_{i_1}), \dots, \Psi(t, p_{i_N})), \quad (1.3)$$

where  $C$  is an  $L \times N$  matrix which specifies multiple Darboux transformations and  $C_I$  denotes the minor determinant as before (see Proposition 4.1). This solution is expressed as a linear combination of Wronskians of wave functions at various values of the spectral parameter  $z$ .

Comparing (1.1) and (1.3) we see that, in order to show  $\tilde{\tau}(t) = \hat{\tau}(t)$ , it is necessary to connect the function of the form  $\tau(t - [p_I])$  to some Wronskian. Recall that Wronskian is a certain determinant. It means that we need to express  $\tau(t - [p_I])$  by some determinant. In this way the addition formula comes in, since the addition formula (2.6) expresses  $\tau(t + \sum_{i=1}^n ([\beta_i] - [\alpha_i]))$  as a determinant. If we set  $\beta_j = 0$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , we shall get a desired formula.

However the problem is not so simple. The expression (2.6) contains the difference product of  $\{\beta_j\}$  in the denominator. It means that we need to differentiate the determinant in  $\beta_j$  if we want to set  $\beta_j = 0$ . At a glance such formula becomes very messy since if we rewrite the differentiation in  $\beta$  of the function like  $\tau(t + [\beta])$  by differentiation in  $t_j$ , derivatives in all  $t_j$  appear.

This difficulty is overcome with the help of linear differential equations satisfied by the wave function. Finally we have obtained the beautiful formula Theorem 2.2. The equality of  $\tilde{\tau}(t)$  and  $\hat{\tau}(t)$  immediately follows from it.

Concerning the limits of the addition formula it is possible to take the partial limits as  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k \rightarrow 0$  or  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \rightarrow 0$  with  $k \leq n$ . The results are given by Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.4 which, together with Theorem 2.2, constitute main results of this paper.

Here we mention the paper [25] by Zabrodin which discusses the relation of vertex operators and Darboux transformations from the view point of free fermions. It is closely related to the contents of this paper.

Any formula for tau functions implies a formula for theta functions of Riemann surfaces by considering solutions of the KP hierarchy expressed by theta functions. For example the addition formula in the determinant form (2.6) corresponds to Fay's celebrated formula ((43) in [4]) for Riemann's theta function  $\theta(v)$  of a Riemann surface

$\mathcal{C}$  of genus  $g$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \theta \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \iota(p_i) - \sum_{i=1}^n \iota(q_i) + e \right) \theta(e)^{n-1} \frac{\prod_{i < j} E(p_i, p_j) E(q_j, q_i)}{\prod_{i, j} E(p_i, q_j)} \\ &= \det \left( \frac{\theta(\iota(p_i) - \iota(q_j) + e)}{E(p_i, q_j)} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

where  $p_j, q_j \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $E(p, q)$  is the prime form,  $\iota(p) = \int_{p_\infty}^p dv$  is the Abel-Jacobi map with a base point  $p_\infty$  and  $e \in \mathbb{C}^g$  such that  $\theta(e) \neq 0$ .

In Fay's book [4] two kinds of limits of (1.4) are computed. The first one is the limit as  $e \rightarrow f$ , where  $f$  is such that  $\theta(f) = 0$  and  $f$  is not a singular point of the theta divisor ( $\theta(v) = 0$ ). The second one is the limit as all  $q_i$  approach the same point in the first limit. We derive the formula for theta functions corresponding to Theorem 2.2, which is given by Theorem 6.2. It corresponds to the limit of (1.4) where all  $q_j$  tend to the same point  $p_\infty$  while keeping  $\theta(e) \neq 0$ . Therefore formulas in Theorem 6.2 are different from two limit formulas of Fay. In the case of genus one the formulas of Theorem 6.2 had been considered and proved in [13] with the aid of some formulas of elliptic functions.

The present paper is organized as follows. In section 2 after a brief review of the KP hierarchy and the addition formula for tau functions, the main theorems are stated and proved. The vertex operators and related formulas are summarized in section 3. Section 4 provides a brief review of the Darboux transformation and the necessary formulas. The equality of tau functions obtained by vertex operators and those obtained by Darboux transformations is proved in section 5. In section 6 new addition formula for Riemann's theta function of Riemann surfaces is given. In the case of genus one the addition formulas rewritten in terms of Jacobi-Riemann theta functions and Weierstrass functions without prime form are also given.

## 2 KP hierarchy and addition formula for tau function

In this section, after reviewing the KP hierarchy and the addition formula for its tau functions, the main results of the paper are presented and proved.

Let

$$L(t) = \partial + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} u_j \partial^{1-j}, \quad t = (t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots), \quad x = t_1, \quad \partial = \partial / \partial x$$

be a monic microdifferential operator of order one without  $\partial^0$  term. By defining, for  $m \geq 1$  and a function  $f$ ,

$$\partial^{-m} f = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{-m}{j} f^{(j)} \partial^{-m-j}, \quad f^{(j)} = \frac{\partial^j f}{\partial x^j}.$$

the set of microdifferential operators  $\{\sum_{j \leq n} a_j \partial^j$  for some  $n\}$ , where  $a_j$ 's belong to some ring of functions on which  $\partial$  acts, becomes a ring. The differential operator part of  $P = \sum_j a_j \partial^j$  is defined by  $P_+ = \sum_{j \geq 0} a_j \partial^j$ .

The KP hierarchy is the set of nonlinear differential equations for  $\{u_j\}_{j=2}^\infty$  given by

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_m} = [B_m, L], \quad B_m = (L^m)_+, \quad m = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $[B_m, L] = B_m L - L B_m$ . In particular  $u = u_2$  satisfies the KP equation

$$3u_{yy} + (-4u_t + 6uu_x + u_{xxx})_x = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $y = t_2$ ,  $t = t_3$ . It describes shallow water waves.

For a solution  $L(t)$  of the KP hierarchy there exists a function  $\Psi(t, z)$ , called the wave function of  $L(t)$ , of the form

$$\Psi(t, z) = (1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} w_j(t) z^j) e^{\eta(t, z^{-1})}, \quad \eta(t, z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_j z^{-j}$$

which satisfies

$$\frac{\partial \Psi(t, z)}{\partial t_m} = B_m \Psi(t, z), \quad L(t) \Psi(t, z) = z^{-1} \Psi(t, z). \quad (2.3)$$

A tau function of  $L(t)$  can be introduced as a function which satisfies

$$\Psi(t, z) = \frac{\tau(t - [z])}{\tau(t)} e^{\eta(t, z^{-1})}, \quad [z] = (z, \frac{z^2}{2}, \frac{z^3}{3}, \dots). \quad (2.4)$$

For an  $L(t)$  a tau function exists and unique up to constant multiples [2].

The operator  $L(t)$  can be recovered from a tau function through  $\Psi(t, z)$  and the KP hierarchy can be expressed as a system of differential equations for  $\tau(t)$  as

$$\text{Res}_{z=0} \tau(t - s - [z]) \tau(t + s + [z]) e^{-2\eta(s, z^{-1})} \frac{dz}{z^2} = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

where  $s = (s_1, s_2, \dots)$  is a set of parameters. Expanding (2.5) in  $s$  we have a set of differential equations for  $\tau(t)$  in the form of so called Hirota's bilinear equations.

The function  $u_2(t)$  is recovered from  $\tau(t)$  by

$$u_2(t) = 2\partial^2 \log \tau(t).$$

It follows from (2.5) that, if  $\tau(t)$  satisfies (2.5), so does  $e^{c_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j t_j} \tau(t)$  for any constants  $c_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . This transformation

$$\tau(t) \rightarrow e^{c_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j t_j} \tau(t)$$

is called a gauge transformation.

It is discovered in [20] that a tau function of the KP hierarchy satisfies addition formulas and that the totality of addition formulas is equivalent to the KP hierarchy.

The following addition formula of the determinant form is proved in [22].

**Theorem 2.1.** [22] *For any  $n \geq 1$ , the following equation holds.*

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(t + \sum_{i=1}^n [\beta_i] - \sum_{i=1}^n [\alpha_i]) \\ = \tau(t)^{-n+1} \frac{\prod_{i,j=1}^n (\beta_i - \alpha_j)}{\prod_{i < j} (\alpha_{ij} \beta_{ji})} \det \left( \frac{\tau(t + [\beta_i] - [\alpha_j])}{\beta_i - \alpha_j} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where  $\alpha_{ij} = \alpha_i - \alpha_j$ ,  $\beta_{ij} = \beta_i - \beta_j$ .

Our main aim is to get the formula for  $\tau(t - \sum_{j=1}^n [\alpha_j])$ . To this end we should set  $\beta_j = 0$  for all  $j$  in (2.6). Notice here that the right hand side of (2.6) contains a term  $\prod_{i < j} \beta_{ji}$  in the denominator. So we consider the limit  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \rightarrow 0$ . To take the limit we need to take derivatives with respect to  $\beta_i$ . If we expand  $\tau(t + [\beta_i] - [\alpha_j])$  in  $\beta_i$ , its coefficients contain derivatives of  $\tau(t)$  in all  $t_j$ . However we can prove that the final result contains derivatives with respect to only the variable  $x (= t_1)$ . The result is described in the following theorem which, together with Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.4, constitute main results of this paper.

**Theorem 2.2.** *For any  $n \geq 1$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(t - \sum_{i=1}^n [\alpha_i]) \\ = \frac{1}{\prod_{i < j} (\alpha_j^{-1} - \alpha_i^{-1})} \text{Wr}(\Psi(t, \alpha_1), \dots, \Psi(t, \alpha_n)) \tau(t) e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n \eta(t, \alpha_i^{-1})}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$\text{Wr}(\Psi(t, \alpha_1), \dots, \Psi(t, \alpha_n)) = \det (\Psi^{(i-1)}(t, \alpha_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}, \quad \Psi^{(i)}(t, \alpha) = \frac{\partial^i \Psi(t, \alpha)}{\partial x^i}$$

is the Wronskian of  $\Psi(t, \alpha_1), \dots, \Psi(t, \alpha_n)$  with respect to the variable  $x = t_1$ .

*Proof.* Let us set

$$f(t, \alpha, \beta) = \frac{\tau(t + [\beta] - [\alpha])}{\beta - \alpha}.$$

Assuming  $|\beta| < |\alpha|$  we consider  $f(t, \alpha, \beta)$  as a series of  $\beta$  and we write it as

$$f(t, \alpha, \beta) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f_j(t, \alpha) \beta^j. \quad (2.8)$$

Set

$$F = \frac{1}{\prod_{i < j} \beta_{ji}} D, \quad D = \det (f(t, \alpha_j, \beta_i))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}. \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$F_0 = F, \quad F_k = F_{k-1}|_{\beta_k=0}, \quad k \geq 1, \quad (2.10)$$

$$\mathcal{B}_k = \prod_{k \leq i < j \leq n} \beta_{ji}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n-1, \quad \mathcal{B}_n = \mathcal{B}_{n+1} = 1. \quad (2.11)$$

Notice that each  $F_k$  is well defined due to (2.6). Then we have

**Lemma 2.1.** *For  $1 \leq k \leq n$*

$$F_k = \frac{D_k}{\mathcal{B}_{k+1} \prod_{j=k+1}^n \beta_j^k}, \quad (2.12)$$

where  $D_k$  is the following determinant

$$D_k = \begin{vmatrix} f_0(t, \alpha_1) & \cdots & f_0(t, \alpha_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ f_{k-1}(t, \alpha_1) & \cdots & f_{k-1}(t, \alpha_n) \\ f(t, \alpha_1, \beta_{k+1}) & \cdots & f(t, \alpha_n, \beta_{k+1}) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ f(t, \alpha_1, \beta_n) & \cdots & f(t, \alpha_n, \beta_n) \end{vmatrix},$$

and the empty product  $\prod_{j=k+1}^n \beta_j^k$  for  $k = n$  is understood to be 1. In particular

$$F_n = \det (f_{i-1}(t, \alpha_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}. \quad (2.13)$$

*Proof.* Notice that

$$\mathcal{B}_k|_{\beta_k=0} = \mathcal{B}_{k+1} \prod_{j=k+1}^n \beta_j. \quad (2.14)$$

We prove (2.12) by induction on  $k$ .

For  $k = 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 = F|_{\beta_1=0} &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{B}_2 \prod_{j=2}^n \beta_j} D|_{\beta_1=0} \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{B}_2 \prod_{j=2}^n \beta_j} \begin{vmatrix} f_0(t, \alpha_1) & \cdots & f_0(t, \alpha_n) \\ f(t, \alpha_1, \beta_2) & \cdots & f(t, \alpha_n, \beta_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ f(t, \alpha_1, \beta_n) & \cdots & f(t, \alpha_n, \beta_n) \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \frac{D_1}{\mathcal{B}_2 \prod_{j=2}^n \beta_j} \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

Therefore (2.12) is valid.

Suppose that (2.12) holds for  $k$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{k+1} &= F_k|_{\beta_{k+1}=0} \\
&= \frac{D_k}{\mathcal{B}_{k+1} \prod_{j=k+1}^n \beta_j^k} \Big|_{\beta_{k+1}=0} \\
&= \frac{1}{\mathcal{B}_{k+1}|_{\beta_{k+1}=0} \prod_{j=k+2}^n \beta_j^k} (D_k \beta_{k+1}^{-k}) \Big|_{\beta_{k+1}=0} \\
&= \frac{1}{\mathcal{B}_{k+2} \prod_{j=k+2}^n \beta_j^{k+1}} (D_k \beta_{k+1}^{-k}) \Big|_{\beta_{k+1}=0}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, by expanding each element of  $(k+1)$ th row of  $D_k$  in  $\beta_{k+1}$  using (2.8), we get

$$D_k \beta_{k+1}^{-k} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \begin{vmatrix} f_0(t, \alpha_1) & \cdots & f_0(t, \alpha_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ f_{k-1}(t, \alpha_1) & \cdots & f_{k-1}(t, \alpha_n) \\ f_i(t, \alpha_1) & \cdots & f_i(t, \alpha_n) \\ f(t, \alpha_1, \beta_{k+2}) & \cdots & f(t, \alpha_n, \beta_{k+2}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ f(t, \alpha_1, \beta_n) & \cdots & f(t, \alpha_n, \beta_n) \end{vmatrix} \beta_{k+1}^{i-k}.$$

Notice that the coefficient of  $\beta_{k+1}^{i-k}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq k-1$  becomes zero due to a property of determinants. Thus

$$(D_k \beta_{k+1}^{-k}) \Big|_{\beta_{k+1}=0} = D_{k+1}$$

and

$$F_{k+1} = \frac{D_{k+1}}{\mathcal{B}_{k+2} \prod_{j=k+2}^n \beta_j^{k+1}},$$

which shows (2.12) for  $k+1$ . Therefore (2.12) is proved.  $\square$

Next let us calculate the determinant of the right hand side of (2.13). By (2.4)

$$\Psi(t + [\beta], z) = \frac{\tau(t + [\beta] - [z])}{\tau(t + [\beta])} e^{\eta(t + [\beta], z^{-1})}. \quad (2.16)$$

Assuming  $|\beta| < |z|$  we have

$$e^{\eta(t + [\beta], z^{-1})} = e^{\eta(t, z^{-1}) + \eta([\beta], z^{-1})} = e^{\eta(t, z^{-1}) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j} \left(\frac{\beta}{z}\right)^j} = e^{\eta(t, z^{-1}) - \log\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{z}\right)} = \frac{ze^{\eta(t, z^{-1})}}{z - \beta}.$$

Putting it into (2.16) and replacing  $z$  by  $\alpha$  we obtain

$$\Psi(t + [\beta], \alpha) = -\alpha \frac{\tau(t + [\beta] - [\alpha])}{(\beta - \alpha)\tau(t + [\beta])} e^{\eta(t, \alpha^{-1})}.$$



Therefore

$$f(t, \alpha, \beta) = -\alpha^{-1} \Psi(t + [\beta], \alpha) \tau(t + [\beta]) e^{-\eta(t, \alpha^{-1})}. \quad (2.17)$$

Let us calculate  $f_j(t, \alpha)$  by expanding the right hand side of (2.17) in  $\beta$ . For this purpose let

$$e^{\eta(t, z)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(t) z^k, \quad (2.18)$$

$$\tilde{\partial} = (\partial_1, \partial_2/2, \partial_3/3, \dots), \quad \partial_k = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_k}.$$

Notice that the Schur function  $p_k(t)$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $k$  if we define  $\deg t_k = k$ . For example

$$p_0 = 1, \quad p_1 = t_1, \quad p_2 = t_2 + \frac{t_1^2}{2}, \quad p_3 = t_3 + t_1 t_2 + \frac{t_1^3}{6}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(t + [\beta], \alpha) &= e^{\eta(\tilde{\partial}, \beta)} \Psi(t, \alpha) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(\tilde{\partial}) \Psi(t, \alpha) \beta^k, \\ \tau(t + [\beta]) &= e^{\eta(\tilde{\partial}, \beta)} \tau(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(\tilde{\partial}) \tau(t) \beta^k. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\Psi(t + [\beta], \alpha) \tau(t + [\beta]) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k+l=m} \left( p_k(\tilde{\partial}) \Psi(t, \alpha) p_l(\tilde{\partial}) \tau(t) \right) \beta^m. \quad (2.19)$$

**Lemma 2.2.** *The equation*

$$p_k(\tilde{\partial}) \Psi(t, \alpha) = (\partial^k + (\text{lower order})) \Psi(t, \alpha) \quad (2.20)$$

*holds.*

*Proof.* In the left hand side of (2.20) we can replace  $\partial_j$  in  $p_k(\tilde{\partial})$  by  $\partial^j + (\text{lower order})$  using (2.3)

Replacing  $t_k$  by  $\partial^k/k$  in (2.18) we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(\tilde{\partial})|_{\partial_j = \partial^j} z^k = e^{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(z\partial)^k}{k}} = e^{-\log(1-z\partial)} = \frac{1}{1-z\partial} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \partial^k z^k.$$

Therefore

$$p_k(\tilde{\partial})|_{\partial_j = \partial^j} = \partial^k.$$

Taking into account that  $p_k(t)$  is a homogeneous polynomial we have the assertion of the lemma.  $\square$

Using (2.17), (2.19) and (2.20) we have

$$f_l(t, \alpha) = C(t, \alpha) \sum_{k=0}^l A_{l,k} \partial^k \Psi(t, \alpha), \quad C(t, \alpha) = (-\alpha)^{-1} e^{-\eta(t, \alpha^{-1})} \quad (2.21)$$

where  $A_{l,k}$  is a differential polynomial of  $\tau(t)$  which is independent of  $\alpha$  and  $A_{l,l} = \tau(t)$ . Namely

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(t, \alpha) &= C(t, \alpha) \tau(t) \Psi(t, \alpha), \\ f_1(t, \alpha) &= C(t, \alpha) (\tau(t) \partial + A_{1,0}) \Psi(t, \alpha), \\ f_2(t, \alpha) &= C(t, \alpha) (\tau(t) \partial^2 + A_{2,1} \partial + A_{2,0}) \Psi(t, \alpha), \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

Substituting them into the right hand side of (2.13) and using the properties of determinants we have

$$F_n = \det(f_{i-1}(t, \alpha_j)) = \tau(t)^n \left( \prod_{j=1}^n C(t, \alpha_j) \right) \det(\Psi^{(i-1)}(t, \alpha_j)). \quad (2.22)$$

By (2.6), (2.9), (2.10) we have

$$\tau(t - \sum_{i=1}^n [\alpha_i]) = \tau(t)^{-(n-1)} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n (-\alpha_i)^n}{\prod_{i < j} \alpha_{ij}} F_n.$$

Substitute (2.22) into this equation and get

$$\tau(t - \sum_{i=1}^n [\alpha_i]) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^{n-1}}{\prod_{i < j} \alpha_{ij}} \text{Wr}(\Psi(t, \alpha_1), \dots, \Psi(t, \alpha_n)) \tau(t) e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n \eta(t, \alpha_i^{-1})}.$$

Finally the proof of the theorem is completed if we notice

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^{n-1}}{\prod_{i < j} \alpha_{ij}} = \frac{1}{\prod_{i < j} (\alpha_j^{-1} - \alpha_i^{-1})}.$$

$\square$

In a similar way it is possible to construct the formula for  $\tau(t + \sum_{i=1}^n [\beta_i])$  in terms of Wronskian of the adjoint wave functions. Let

$$\Psi^*(t, z) = \frac{\tau(t + [z])}{\tau(t)} e^{-\eta(t, z^{-1})}$$

be the adjoint wave function of  $L(t)$ . It satisfies

$$\frac{\partial \Psi^*(t, z)}{\partial t_m} = -B_m^* \Psi^*(t, z),$$

where  $B_m^*$  is the formal adjoint of  $B_m$  [2].

Then

**Theorem 2.3.** For  $n \geq 1$

$$\tau(t + \sum_{i=1}^n [\beta_i]) = \frac{1}{\prod_{i < j} (\beta_i^{-1} - \beta_j^{-1})} \text{Wr}(\Psi^*(t, \beta_1), \dots, \Psi^*(t, \beta_n)) \tau(t) e^{\sum_{i=1}^n \eta(t, \beta_i^{-1})}.$$

*Proof.* It is possible to prove the theorem by taking the limit as  $\alpha_j \rightarrow 0$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$  of (2.6) in a similar manner to the proof of Theorem 2.2. Here we give another proof based on Theorem 2.2.

It is easily proved that if  $\tau(t)$  is a solution of (2.5) so is  $\tau(-t)$ . We apply Theorem 2.2 to  $\tilde{\tau}(t) = \tau(-t)$  and use

$$\tilde{\Psi}(t, z) = \Psi^*(-t, z), \quad (2.23)$$

where  $\tilde{\Psi}(t, z)$  is the wave function of  $\tilde{\tau}(t)$ . Then we substitute  $t$  by  $-t$  and obtain Theorem 2.3.  $\square$

More generally there are formulas which contain Theorem 2.2, 2.3 as special cases. We simply tend  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \rightarrow 0$  or  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \rightarrow 0$  for  $m \leq n$  in (2.6).

We set

$$\Psi(t, \alpha, \beta) = \frac{-\alpha}{\beta - \alpha} \frac{\tau(t + [\beta] - [\alpha])}{\tau(t)} e^{\eta(t, \alpha^{-1})}, \quad (2.24)$$

$$\Psi^*(t, \alpha, \beta) = \frac{\beta}{\beta - \alpha} \frac{\tau(t + [\beta] - [\alpha])}{\tau(t)} e^{-\eta(t, \beta^{-1})}. \quad (2.25)$$

The result is

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $m, n$  be nonnegative integers such that  $n \geq m$ . Then

(i)

$$\tau(t + \sum_{i=1}^{n-m} [\beta_i] - \sum_{i=1}^n [\alpha_i]) = C_{m,n} e^{-\sum_{j=1}^n \eta(t, \alpha_j^{-1})} \tau(t) \begin{vmatrix} \Psi(t, \alpha_1) & \cdots & \Psi(t, \alpha_n) \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \Psi^{(m-1)}(t, \alpha_1) & \cdots & \Psi^{(m-1)}(t, \alpha_n) \\ \Psi(t, \alpha_1, \beta_1) & \cdots & \Psi(t, \alpha_n, \beta_1) \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \Psi(t, \alpha_1, \beta_{n-m}) & \cdots & \Psi(t, \alpha_n, \beta_{n-m}) \end{vmatrix},$$

where

$$C_{m,n} = (-1)^{n(m-1)} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n \alpha_j^{m-1} \prod_{i=1}^{n-m} \prod_{j=1}^n (\beta_i - \alpha_j)}{\prod_{i < j}^n \alpha_{ij} \prod_{i < j}^{n-m} \beta_{ji} \prod_{i=1}^{n-m} \beta_i^m}.$$

(ii)

$$\tau(t + \sum_{i=1}^n [\beta_i] - \sum_{i=1}^{n-m} [\alpha_i]) = C_{m,n}^* e^{\sum_{j=1}^n \eta(t, \beta_j^{-1})} \tau(t) \begin{vmatrix} \Psi^*(t, \beta_1) & \cdots & \Psi^*(t, \beta_n) \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \Psi^{*(m-1)}(t, \beta_1) & \cdots & \Psi^{*(m-1)}(t, \beta_n) \\ \Psi^*(t, \alpha_1, \beta_1) & \cdots & \Psi^*(t, \alpha_1, \beta_n) \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \Psi^*(t, \alpha_{n-m}, \beta_1) & \cdots & \Psi^*(t, \alpha_{n-m}, \beta_n) \end{vmatrix},$$

where

$$C_{m,n}^* = (-1)^{m(n-1)} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n \beta_j^{m-1} \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^{n-m} (\beta_i - \alpha_j)}{\prod_{i < j}^{n-m} \alpha_{ij} \prod_{i < j}^n \beta_{ji} \prod_{i=1}^{n-m} \alpha_i^m}.$$

*Proof.* (i) Substitute (2.21) to (2.12) with  $k = m$  and change the index of  $\beta_j$  as  $(\beta_{m+1}, \dots, \beta_n)$  to  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-m})$ . Then we get (i) of Theorem 2.4 after a simple calculation. (ii) As in the proof of Theorem 2.3 we should simply apply the formula (i) to  $\tilde{\tau}(t) = \tau(-t)$ . Let us denote the functions (2.24) corresponding to  $\tilde{\tau}(t)$  by  $\tilde{\Psi}(t, \beta, \alpha)$ . Then

$$\tilde{\Psi}(t, \alpha, \beta) = \Psi^*(-t, \beta, \alpha). \quad (2.26)$$

Using (2.23) and (2.26) and substituting  $t$  by  $-t$  we obtain (ii) of the theorem.  $\square$

### 3 Vertex operator

In this section we review a result of [18]. The main purpose is to present the formula for the tau function which is obtained by the action of a general composition of vertex operators.

Let

$$X(p, q) = e^{\eta(t, p) - \eta(t, q)} e^{-\eta(\tilde{\partial}, p^{-1}) + \eta(\tilde{\partial}, q^{-1})}$$

be the vertex operator of the KP hierarchy [2]. It acts on a function  $f(t)$  as

$$X(p, q)\tau(t) = e^{\eta(t, p) - \eta(t, q)} \tau(t - [p^{-1}] + [q^{-1}]). \quad (3.1)$$

The importance of the vertex operator is stated in the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** [2] *If  $\tau(t)$  is a solution of the KP-hierarchy, so is  $e^{aX(p, q)}\tau(t)$  for any  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ .*

We consider the composition of  $e^{aX(p, q)}$  with various values of  $a, p, q$  and apply it to  $\tau(t)$ . The result can be written quite explicitly in a simple form.

The vertex operators satisfy the following commutation relations,

$$\begin{aligned} X(p_1, q_1)X(p_2, q_2) &= X(p_2, q_2)X(p_1, q_1) \quad \text{if } p_1 \neq q_2 \text{ and } q_1 \neq p_2, \\ X(p_1, q_1)X(p_2, q_2) &= 0 \quad \text{if } p_1 = p_2 \text{ or } q_1 = q_2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that two vertex operators commute for general values of parameters and  $X(p, q)^2 = 0$ . Then

$$e^{aX(p, q)} = 1 + aX(p, q). \quad (3.2)$$

Based on these facts we consider the following general composition of vertex operators.

Let  $M, N$  be positive integers,  $L = M + N$ ,  $\{p_j | 1 \leq j \leq L\}$  a set of mutually distinct non-zero complex parameters and  $(a_{i,j})$  an  $M \times N$  complex matrix. For these data we set

$$G = e^{\sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{j=1}^N a_{i,j} X(p_{N+i}^{-1}, p_j^{-1})}. \quad (3.3)$$

Let  $\tau(t)$  be a solution of the KP hierarchy. We apply  $G$  to  $\tau(t)$ . In order to have a formula similar to soliton solutions in [11] we first make a certain shift to  $\tau(t)$ , then apply  $G$  and make a gauge transformation. In this way we define a new tau function  $\tilde{\tau}(t)$  by

$$\tilde{\tau}(t) = \Delta(p_1^{-1}, \dots, p_N^{-1}) e^{\sum_{j=1}^N \eta(t, p_j^{-1})} G \tau(t - \sum_{j=1}^N [p_j]), \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$\Delta(p_1, \dots, p_n) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (p_j - p_i).$$

By (3.1) and (3.2)  $\tilde{\tau}(t)$  is expressed as linear combination of shifts of  $\tau(t)$ . To give an explicit formula for it let us define the  $L \times N$  matrix  $B = (b_{i,j})$  by

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & 1 & \\ b_{N+1,1} & \cdots & b_{N+1,N} & \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \\ b_{N+M,1} & \cdots & b_{N+M,N} & \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_{i,j} &= \delta_{i,j}, & 1 \leq i, j \leq N, \\ b_{N+i,j} &= a_{i,j} \prod_{m \neq j}^N \frac{p_j^{-1} - p_m^{-1}}{p_{N+i}^{-1} - p_m^{-1}}, & 1 \leq i \leq M, 1 \leq j \leq N. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

We use the following notation

$$[L] = \{1, \dots, L\}, \quad \binom{[L]}{N} = \{(i_1, \dots, i_N) | 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_N \leq L\},$$

and for  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_N) \in \binom{[L]}{N}$

$$\Delta_I^- = \Delta(p_{i_1}^{-1}, \dots, p_{i_N}^{-1}), \quad \eta_I^- = \sum_{i \in I} \eta(t, p_i^{-1}), \quad [p_I] = \sum_{i \in I} [p_i],$$

$$B_I = \det(b_{i_r, s})_{1 \leq r, s \leq N}.$$

The formula for  $\tilde{\tau}(t)$  is given in [17, 18].

**Proposition 3.1.** [17, 18] *The function  $\tilde{\tau}(t)$  defined by (3.4) is expressed as*

$$\tilde{\tau}(t) = \sum_{I \in \binom{[L]}{N}} B_I \Delta_I^- e^{\eta_I^-} \tau(t - [p_I]). \quad (3.7)$$

In [18] it is shown that, if  $\tau(t)$  is a solution associated with a nonsingular algebraic curve (Riemann surface)  $\tilde{\tau}(t)$  is a solution associated with a singular algebraic curve whose normalization is the original algebraic curve. In this case it is also observed in [18] that  $2\partial^2 \log \tilde{\tau}(t)$  describes a soliton on a quasi-periodic background corresponding to  $2\partial^2 \log \tau(t)$ .

## 4 Darboux transformation

Similarly to vertex operators Darboux transformation also takes a solution of the KP hierarchy to another one. In this section we review the definition and properties of the Darboux transformation of the KP hierarchy following [5, 7]. Our aim here is to present the general formula for the tau function obtained by successive applications of elementary Darboux transformations.

We use the symbols  $M, N, L, p_i$  as in the previous sections. Let  $L(t)$  be a solution of the KP hierarchy.

**Definition 4.1.** *A function  $\varphi(t)$  is called an eigenfunction of  $L(t)$  if it satisfies*

$$\frac{\partial \varphi(t)}{\partial t_m} = B_m \varphi(t), \quad B_m = (L^m)_+. \quad (4.1)$$

For an eigenfunction  $\varphi(t)$  of  $L(t)$  we set

$$\begin{aligned} G_\varphi &= \varphi \partial \varphi^{-1} = \partial - \partial(\log \varphi), \\ L_\varphi(t) &= G_\varphi L(t) G_\varphi^{-1}, \\ \tau_\varphi(t) &= \varphi(t) \tau(t). \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

**Theorem 4.1.** [19, 5, 6, 7] Let  $L(t)$  be a solution of the KP hierarchy (2.1) and  $\varphi(t)$  be an eigenfunction of  $L(t)$ . Then

- (1) The operator  $L_\varphi(t)$  is a solution of the KP hierarchy and  $\tau_\varphi(t)$  is a tau function of  $L_\varphi(t)$ .
- (2) For any eigenfunction  $\psi(t)$  of  $L(t)$ ,  $G_\varphi(\psi)$  is an eigenfunction of  $L_\varphi(t)$ .

The transformation from  $L(t)$  to  $L_\varphi(t)$  or from  $\tau(t)$  to  $\tau_\varphi(t)$  is called an elementary Darboux transformation.

It is possible to repeat elementary Darboux transformations using a set of eigenfunctions of an initial solution  $L(t)$  with the help of this theorem

Let  $\varphi_1(t), \dots, \varphi_N(t)$  be eigenfunctions of  $L(t)$ . We set

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_j^{[1]} &= G_{\varphi_1}(\varphi_j), \\ \varphi_j^{[i, \dots, 2, 1]} &= G_{\varphi_i^{[i-1, \dots, 1]}} \cdots G_{\varphi_3^{[21]}} G_{\varphi_2^{[1]}} G_{\varphi_1}(\varphi_j) \quad i \geq 2.\end{aligned}$$

Due to  $G_\varphi(\varphi) = 0$ ,  $\varphi_j^{[i, \dots, 1]} = 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq i$  [7]. Therefore we consider  $\varphi_j^{[i, \dots, 1]}$  with  $j \geq i + 1$ . We set

$$G_i = G_{\varphi_i^{[i-1, \dots, 1]}} \cdots G_{\varphi_3^{[21]}} G_{\varphi_2^{[1]}} G_{\varphi_1}.$$

By (2) of Theorem 4.1  $\varphi_j^{[i, \dots, 1]}$  is an eigenfunction of  $G_i L G_i^{-1}$  and, by (1) of Theorem 4.1

$$\varphi_i^{[i-1, \dots, 1]} \cdots \varphi_3^{[21]} \varphi_2^{[1]} \varphi_1 \tau(t)$$

is a tau function of  $G_i L G_i^{-1}$ . We set

$$\hat{\tau}(t) = \varphi_N^{[N-1, \dots, 1]} \cdots \varphi_3^{[21]} \varphi_2^{[1]} \varphi_1 \tau(t). \quad (4.3)$$

**Example 4.1.** For  $N = 2$  we have

$$\hat{\tau}(t) = \varphi_2^{[1]}(t) \varphi_1(t) \tau(t) = G_{\varphi_1}(\varphi_2) \varphi_1 \tau(t) = (\varphi_1 \partial(\varphi_2) - \partial(\varphi_1) \varphi_2) \tau(t) = \text{Wr}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \tau(t).$$

The appearance of the Wronskian is a general feature of the transformation.

**Theorem 4.2.** [19, 5, 6, 7] The following equality holds.

$$\hat{\tau}(t) = \text{Wr}(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_N) \tau(t).$$

Let  $C = (c_{i,j})$  be an  $L \times N$  complex matrix of rank  $N$ . We take

$$\varphi_j(t) = \sum_{i=1}^L c_{i,j} \Psi(t, p_i). \quad (4.4)$$

Then

$$\text{Wr}(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_N) = \det \left( (\Psi^{(i-1)}(t, p_j))_{1 \leq i \leq N, 1 \leq j \leq L} C \right).$$

Using Binet-Cauchy formula we have

$$\text{Wr}(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_N) = \sum_{I=(i_1, \dots, i_N) \in \binom{[L]}{N}} C_I \text{Wr}(\Psi(t, p_{i_1}), \dots, \Psi(t, p_{i_N})). \quad (4.5)$$

Thus

**Proposition 4.1.** *The tau function  $\hat{\tau}(t)$  defined by (4.3) with  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_N$  given by (4.4) is expressed as*

$$\hat{\tau}(t) = \tau(t) \sum_{I=(i_1, \dots, i_N) \in \binom{[L]}{N}} C_I \text{Wr}(\Psi(t, p_{i_1}), \dots, \Psi(t, p_{i_N})). \quad (4.6)$$

We denote by  $\text{Gr}(N, L)$  the Grassmannian which consists of  $N$  dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbb{C}^L$ . A point of  $\text{Gr}(N, L)$  is represented by an  $L \times N$  matrix of rank  $N$ . Two  $L \times N$  matrices  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  represent the same point of  $\text{Gr}(N, L)$  if and only if  $Y_1 = Y_2 G$  for some invertible  $N \times N$  matrix  $G$ .

If the matrix  $C$  in (4.4) is replaced by  $CG$  with an invertible constant matrix  $G$ , then  $\hat{\tau}(t)$  changes to  $\det(G)\hat{\tau}(t)$  and  $u(t) = 2\partial^2 \log \hat{\tau}(t)$  is unchanged. So if we are interested in solutions  $u(t)$  of the KP equation,  $C$  can be considered as an element of  $\text{Gr}(N, L)$ .

## 5 Connecting vertex operators to Darboux transformation

In this section we prove, using Theorem 2.2, that two tau functions (3.7) and (4.6) obtained by vertex operators and Darboux transformations respectively coincide.

Consider the term corresponding to  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_N) \in \binom{[L]}{N}$  in the formula (3.7). We take  $n = N$ ,  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N) = (p_{i_1}, \dots, p_{i_N})$  in Theorem 2.2. Then

$$\Delta_I^- e^{\eta_I^-} \tau(t - [p_I]) = \text{Wr}(\Psi(t, p_{i_1}), \dots, \Psi(t, p_{i_N})) \tau(t).$$

Substituting this formula into (3.7) we have

**Corollary 5.1.** *If two matrices  $B$  and  $C$  given by (3.5) and (4.4) respectively coincide, the equation*

$$\tilde{\tau}(t) = \hat{\tau}(t), \quad (5.1)$$

*is valid.*



It looks that the matrix  $B$  of (3.5) seems special compared with the matrix  $C$  which is an  $L \times N$  matrix of rank  $N$ . But this is not the case.

Let  $S_L$  be the set of permutations of  $\{1, \dots, L\}$ . We can prove the following.

**Proposition 5.1.** *For any  $L \times N$  matrix  $C$  from  $\text{Gr}(N, L)$  there exists a matrix  $B$  and  $\sigma \in S_L$  such that (5.1) holds, where  $\tilde{\tau}(t)$  corresponds to  $\{B, (p_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, p_{\sigma(L)})\}$  and  $\hat{\tau}(t)$  corresponds to  $\{C, (p_1, \dots, p_L)\}$ .*

The strategy of the proof is as follows. We take  $C$  in the reduced column echelon form. We then change the order of rows of  $C$  appropriately so that the resulting matrix  $\tilde{C}$  takes the form of (3.5) and define the components of  $B$  so that  $B = \tilde{C}$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $C = (c_{i,j})$  be an arbitrary  $L \times N$  matrix and  $p_1, \dots, p_L$  non-zero parameters. For an element  $\sigma$  of  $S_L$  define the matrix  $\tilde{C} = (\tilde{c}_{i,j})$  and parameter  $\tilde{p}_1, \dots, \tilde{p}_L$  by*

$$\tilde{c}_{i,j} = c_{\sigma(i),j}, \quad \tilde{p}_i = p_{\sigma(i)},$$

Then

$$\sum_{I \in \binom{[L]}{N}} C_I \text{Wr}(\Psi(p_I)) = \sum_{I \in \binom{[L]}{N}} \tilde{C}_I \text{Wr}(\Psi(\tilde{p}_I))$$

where, for  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_N)$ ,  $\text{Wr}(\Psi(p_I)) = \text{Wr}(\Psi(t, p_{i_1}), \dots, \Psi(t, p_{i_N}))$  and similarly for  $\text{Wr}(\Psi(\tilde{p}_I))$  in which  $p_{i_j}$  is replaced by  $\tilde{p}_{i_j}$ .

*Proof.* For  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_N) \in \binom{[L]}{N}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{C}_I \text{Wr}(\Psi(\tilde{p}_I)) &= \det(\tilde{c}_{i_r,s})_{1 \leq r,s \leq N} \det(\Psi^{(r-1)}(t, \tilde{p}_{i_s}))_{1 \leq r,s \leq N} \\ &= \det(c_{\sigma(i_r),s})_{1 \leq r,s \leq N} \det(\Psi^{(r-1)}(t, p_{\sigma(i_s)}))_{1 \leq r,s \leq N} \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

Let

$$\{\sigma(i_1), \dots, \sigma(i_N)\} = \{l_1, \dots, l_N\}, \quad l_1 < \dots < l_N,$$

and  $\tilde{I} = (l_1, \dots, l_N)$ . Due to the skew symmetry of the determinant we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RHS of (5.2)} &= \det(\tilde{c}_{l_r,s}) \det(\Psi^{(r-1)}(t, \tilde{p}_{l_s})) \\ &= \tilde{C}_{\tilde{I}} \text{Wr}(\Psi(p_{\tilde{I}})), \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

and

$$\tilde{C}_I \text{Wr}(\Psi(p_I)) = \tilde{C}_{\tilde{I}} \text{Wr}(\Psi(p_{\tilde{I}})). \quad (5.4)$$

The lemma follows from (5.4) by summing up in  $I$ , since the map sending  $(i_1, \dots, i_N)$  to  $(l_1, \dots, l_N)$  is a bijection from  $\binom{[L]}{N}$  to itself.  $\square$

### Proof of Proposition 5.1

Let  $C \in \text{Gr}(N, L)$  and  $B$  a matrix of the form (3.5) with its components  $b_{N+i,j}$  being to be determined. We can assume that  $C$  is in reduced column echelon form (see (5.5) for example). We suppose that pivots of  $C$  are in  $(i_1, 1), \dots, (i_N, N)$  components with  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_N \leq L$ . Let  $\{1, 2, \dots, L\} = \{i_1, \dots, i_N, j_1, \dots, j_{L-N}\}$  with  $j_1 < \dots < j_{L-N}$ . Then we define  $\sigma \in S_L$  by

$$\sigma(i_1, \dots, i_N, j_1, \dots, j_{L-N}) = (1, 2, \dots, L).$$

and the  $L \times N$  matrix  $\tilde{C} = (\tilde{c}_{i,j})$  by

$$\tilde{c}_{i,j} = c_{\sigma(i),j}.$$

Then  $\tilde{C}$  becomes the matrix of the form (3.5). We define  $b_{N+i,j}$  by

$$b_{N+i,j} = \tilde{c}_{N+i,j}.$$

Then the proposition follows from Lemma 5.1. □

**Example 5.1.** The case of  $\text{Gr}(2, 4)$ .

Consider the matrix  $C$  given by

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.5)$$

and parameters  $(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4)$ . We shall show how to construct the matrix

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ b_{3,1} & b_{3,2} \\ b_{4,1} & b_{4,2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.6)$$

corresponding to  $C$ . The pivots of  $C$  are in  $(1, 1), (3, 2)$  components. So  $i_1 = 1, i_2 = 3, j_1 = 2, j_4 = 4$  and  $\sigma \in S_4$  is defined by  $\sigma(1, 3, 2, 4) = (1, 2, 3, 4)$ , that is,  $\sigma$  is the permutation of 2 and 3. The matrix  $\tilde{C}$  is obtained by exchanging 2nd and 3rd rows of  $C$ ,

$$\tilde{C} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ a & 0 \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.7)$$

We define  $b_{3,1} = a, b_{3,2} = 0, b_{4,1} = b, b_{4,2} = c$ . Then  $B = \tilde{C}$ . The associated parameters are  $(p_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, p_{\sigma(4)}) = (p_1, p_3, p_2, p_4)$ . With this  $B$  and parameters,  $\tilde{\tau}(t)$  given by (3.7) and  $\hat{\tau}(t)$  given by (4.6) coincide.

The vertex operator  $G$  corresponding to  $(C, (p_1, \dots, p_4))$  is given by (3.3) with

$$\begin{aligned} a_{1,1} &= a \frac{p_2^{-1} - p_3^{-1}}{p_1^{-1} - p_3^{-1}}, & a_{1,2} &= 0, \\ a_{2,1} &= b \frac{p_4^{-1} - p_3^{-1}}{p_1^{-1} - p_3^{-1}}, & a_{2,2} &= c \frac{p_4^{-1} - p_1^{-1}}{p_3^{-1} - p_1^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

## 6 New addition formula for theta functions of Riemann surfaces

If we consider a solution of the KP hierarchy expressed by a theta function of a Riemann surface the addition formula of Theorem 2.2 for tau functions becomes that for a theta function. In this section we derive it.

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a compact Riemann surface of genus  $g$ ,  $\{A_i, B_i\}$  a homology basis with the intersections  $A_i \cdot A_j = 0$ ,  $B_i \cdot B_j = 0$ ,  $A_i \cdot B_j = \delta_{i,j}$ ,  $\{dv_i\}_{i=1}^g$  the normalized basis of Abelian differentials of the first kind,  $dv = {}^t(dv_1, \dots, dv_g)$ ,  $\Omega_{i,j} = \int_{B_j} dv_i$ ,  $\Omega = (\Omega_{i,j})$  the normalized period matrix,  $p_\infty$  a point of  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $\iota(p) = \int_{p_\infty}^p dv$  the Abel map and  $z$  a local coordinate around  $p_\infty$  that satisfies  $z(p_\infty) = 0$ . Riemann's theta function with the characteristics  $e = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^g$  is defined by

$$\theta \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} (v, \Omega) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^g} \exp(\pi i {}^t(m + a)\Omega(m + a) + 2\pi i {}^t(m + a)(v + b))$$

where  $v = {}^t(v_1, \dots, v_g)$ . The theta function with the characteristics  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is denoted by  $\theta(v, \Omega)$ .

We need the prime form  $E(x, y)$ ,  $x, y \in \mathcal{C}$  (see [4, 14, 8] for details). It is defined as follows. Let  $\epsilon = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon' \\ \epsilon'' \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\epsilon', \epsilon'' \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^g$  be a non-singular odd half period, which means that  $\epsilon$  satisfies the following two conditions,

$$\theta[\epsilon](-v, \Omega) = -\theta[\epsilon](v, \Omega), \quad \frac{\partial \theta[\epsilon]}{\partial v_i}(0) \neq 0 \quad \text{for some } i.$$

Such  $\epsilon$  exists but is not necessarily unique [4, 14]. We take any one of them. Let  $h_\epsilon(x)$  be such that

$$h_\epsilon(x)^2 = \sum_{k=1}^g \frac{\partial \theta[\epsilon]}{\partial v_k}(0) dv_k(x).$$

It can be considered as a section of a certain holomorphic line bundle on  $\mathcal{C}$  and is unique up to sign. We choose any sign and define  $h_\epsilon(x)$ . Then the prime form is defined by

$$E(x, y) = \frac{\theta[\epsilon](\iota(y) - \iota(x), \Omega)}{h_\epsilon(x)h_\epsilon(y)}.$$

It is the section of a certain holomorphic line bundle on  $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$  independent of  $\epsilon$  and the choice of the sign of  $h_\epsilon(x)$ . The prime form satisfies the following properties,

- (i)  $E(x, y) = -E(y, x)$ ,
- (ii)  $E(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$ ,
- (iii) for any point  $p \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $x, y \in \mathcal{C}$  near  $p$  and a local coordinate  $u$  around  $p$  the expansion of  $E(x, y)$  in  $u(y)$  at  $u(x)$  is of the form

$$E(x, y) \sqrt{du(x)} \sqrt{du(y)} = u(y) - u(x) + O((u(y) - u(x))^3).$$

Using the local coordinate  $z = z(x)$ ,  $w = z(y)$  define  $E(z, w)$  and  $E(p_\infty, y)$  by

$$E(x, y) = \frac{E(z, w)}{\sqrt{dz} \sqrt{dw}}$$

$$E(p_\infty, y) = E(x, y) \sqrt{dz}|_{x=p_\infty} = \frac{E(0, w)}{\sqrt{dw}}.$$

Notice that  $E(p_\infty, y)$  depends on the choice of a local coordinate  $z$ .

We define  $v_{i,j}$ ,  $q_{i,j}$  as the expansion coefficients with respect to  $z = z(x)$ ,  $w = w(y)$ :

$$dv_i = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} v_{i,j} z^{j-1} dz, \quad (6.1)$$

$$dz dw \log \frac{E(z, w)}{w - z} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} q_{i,j} z^{i-1} w^{j-1} dz dw. \quad (6.2)$$

Since  $E(z, w)$  is skew symmetric in  $z$  and  $w$ ,  $q_{i,j} = q_{j,i}$ .

We set

$$\mathcal{V} = (v_{i,j})_{1 \leq i \leq g, 1 \leq j}, \quad \mathcal{V}_1 = {}^t(v_{1,1}, v_{2,1}, \dots, v_{g,1}), \quad (6.3)$$

$$q(t|s) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} q_{i,j} t_i s_j, \quad q(t) = q(t|t). \quad (6.4)$$

By Krichever's theory on Baker-Akhiezer function [12] solutions of the KP hierarchy expressed by Riemann's theta function of Riemann surfaces had been derived [21, 8].

**Theorem 6.1.** [21, 8] *For any  $c \in \mathbb{C}^g$*

$$\tau(t) = e^{\frac{1}{2}q(t)} \theta(\mathcal{V}t + c) \quad (6.5)$$

*is a solution of the KP hierarchy.*

We take (6.5) as  $\tau(t)$  in Theorem 2.2 and derive the formula for  $\theta(v)$ .

Let  $dr_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  be the differential of the second kind with a pole only at  $p_\infty$  normalized by the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A_i} dr_k &= 0 \quad \text{for any } i, \\ dr_k &= d \left( \frac{1}{z^k} + O(1) \right) \quad \text{near } p_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Such  $dr_k$  exists and unique if a local coordinate around  $p_\infty$  is fixed. The differential  $dr_k$  can be described using the prime form. Let

$$\omega(x, y) = d_x d_y \log E(x, y) = \left( \frac{1}{(z-w)^2} + \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} q_{i,j} z^{i-1} w^{j-1} \right) dz dw$$

be the bilinear meromorphic differential on  $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$  with a double pole on the diagonal  $\{x = y\}$ . Due to the quasi-periodicity of the theta function  $\omega(x, y)$  satisfies

$$\int_{\alpha_i(x)} \omega(x, y) = \int_{\alpha_i(y)} \omega(x, y) = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq g,$$

where  $\int_{\alpha_i(x)}$  signifies the integral over  $\alpha_i$  in  $x$  variable and similarly for  $\int_{\alpha_i(y)}$ .

We consider  $w = z(y)$  as a parameter. Then we take the coefficient of  $dw$  of  $\omega(x, y)$  and differentiate it several times in  $w$ . In this way we get the differential in  $x$  variable. It coincides with  $dr_k$ . Explicitly

$$dr_k = -\frac{1}{(k-1)!} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial w^k} d_z \log E(z, w)|_{w=0} = d_z \left( \frac{1}{z^k} - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q_{j,k} \frac{z^j}{j} \right). \quad (6.6)$$

We denote  $\int^x dr_k$  the indefinite integral of  $dr_k$  such that the expansion near  $p_\infty$  has no constant term, that is

$$\int^x dr_k = \frac{1}{z^k} - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q_{j,k} \frac{z^j}{j}, \quad z = z(x).$$

In particular

$$\int^x dr_1 = -\frac{\partial}{\partial w} \log E(z, w)|_{w=0}.$$

Consider points  $p_1, \dots, p_g$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  and define, for  $1 \leq j \leq g$ ,

$$D_j = \sum_{k=1}^g v_{1,k} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_k} + \int^{p_j} dr_1. \quad (6.7)$$

In terms of  $dv_k$  and  $E(z, w)$  it can be written as

$$D_j = \sum_{k=1}^g \frac{dv_k}{dz} \Big|_{z=0} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_k} - \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \log E(z(p_j), w)|_{w=0}. \quad (6.8)$$

**Theorem 6.2.** Let  $n \geq 1$ ,  $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $c \in \mathbb{C}^g$ . Then

$$(1) \quad \frac{\theta \left( \mathcal{V}_1 x + c - \sum_{j=1}^n \iota(p_j) \right) \prod_{i < j} E(p_j, p_i)}{\theta(\mathcal{V}_1 x + c) \prod_{i=1}^n E(p_\infty, p_i)^{n-1}} e^{x \sum_{j=1}^n \int^{p_j} dr_1} \\ = \det \left( \partial^{i-1} \left( \frac{\theta(\mathcal{V}_1 x + c - \iota(p_j))}{\theta(\mathcal{V}_1 x + c)} e^{x \int^{p_j} dr_1} \right) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}.$$

(2) Let  $e \in \mathbb{C}^g$  be such that  $\theta(e) \neq 0$ . Then

$$\frac{\theta \left( e - \sum_{j=1}^n \iota(p_j) \right) \prod_{i < j} E(p_j, p_i)}{\theta(e) \prod_{i=1}^n E(p_\infty, p_i)^{n-1}} = \det (\phi_i(p_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n},$$

where

$$\phi_i(p_j) = D_j^{i-1} \frac{\theta(v - \iota(p_j))}{\theta(v)} \Big|_{v=e}, \quad i \geq 1.$$

**Remark 6.1.** The formula (1), (2) of this theorem can be considered as a limit of Fay's general addition formula (1.4). It is the limit as all  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  approach the same point  $p_\infty$  while keeping  $e$  so that  $\theta(e) \neq 0$ . In [4] two limits of (1.4) are computed. The first one is the limit as  $e$  tends to  $f$  where  $f$  is a non-singular point of  $(\theta(v) = 0)$ . The second one is the limit, in the first limit, as all  $p_j$  tend to the same point. Therefore the formulas (1), (2) are different from formulas in p33 of [4]. Moreover in [4] the notion of  $x$  derivative is not introduced. The  $x$  derivative structure in (2) of Theorem 6.2 is reflected in the fact that the coefficient of  $\partial/\partial v_k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq g$ , in  $D_j$  consists of only the first term of the expansion of  $dv_k$  at  $p_\infty$  (see (6.1), (6.7), (6.8)).

### Proof of Theorem 6.2.

We use the following formulas

$$e^{q([z]||[w])} = \frac{zw}{w-z} \frac{E(z, w)}{E(0, z)E(0, w)} \quad \text{for } z \neq w, \quad e^{\frac{1}{2}q([z]||[z])} = \frac{z}{E(0, z)} \quad (6.9)$$

$$q(t|[z]) = \eta(t, z^{-1}) - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i \int^p dr_i, \quad (6.10)$$

$$\mathcal{V}[z] = \iota(p) = \int_{p_\infty}^p dv, \quad (6.11)$$

where  $z = z(p)$  in (6.10) and (6.11). Those formulas can be verified using (6.2), (6.3), (6.4), (6.6).

Firstly let us calculate the left hand side of the formula of Theorem 2.2 divided by  $\tau(t)$ . Set  $\alpha_i = z(p_i)$ ,  $p_i \in \mathcal{C}$ . By (6.11) we have

$$\tau \left( t - \sum_{i=1}^n [\alpha_i] \right) = e^{\frac{1}{2}q(t - \sum_{i=1}^n [\alpha_i])} \theta(\mathcal{V}t - \sum_{i=1}^n \iota(p_i) + c)$$

Then, using (6.9), (6.10) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\tau(t - \sum_{i=1}^n [\alpha_i])}{\tau(t)} &= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i}{\prod_{i < j} (\alpha_i^{-1} - \alpha_j^{-1})} \frac{\prod_{i < j} E(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)}{\prod_{i=1}^n E(0, \alpha_i)^n} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n \eta(t, \alpha_i^{-1})} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\theta(\mathcal{V}t - \sum_{i=1}^n \iota(p_i) + c)}{\theta(\mathcal{V}t + c)} e^{\sum_{i=1}^\infty t_i \sum_{j=1}^n \int^{p_j} dr_i}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.12)$$

Next let us calculate the Wronskian part of the right hand side of the formula in Theorem 2.2.

The wave function at  $z = \alpha_j$  becomes

$$\Psi(t, \alpha_j) = \frac{\alpha_j}{E(0, \alpha_j)} \frac{\theta(\mathcal{V}t + c - \iota(p_j))}{\theta(\mathcal{V}t + c)} e^{\sum_{k=1}^\infty t_k \int^{p_j} dr_k}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Wr}(\Psi(\alpha_1), \dots, \Psi(\alpha_n)) &= \\ \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{E(0, \alpha_i)} \det \left( \partial^{i-1} \left( \frac{\theta(\mathcal{V}t + c - \iota(p_j))}{\theta(\mathcal{V}t + c)} e^{x \int^{p_j} dr_1} \right) \right) &e^{\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=2}^\infty t_k \int^{p_j} dr_k}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.13)$$

By (6.12) and (6.13) the formula of Theorem 2.2 becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\theta(\mathcal{V}t - \sum_{i=1}^n \iota(p_i) + c)}{\theta(\mathcal{V}t + c)} e^{x \sum_{j=1}^n \int^{p_j} dr_1} \\ &= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n E(0, \alpha_i)^{n-1}}{\prod_{i < j} E(\alpha_j, \alpha_i)} \det \left( \partial^{i-1} \left( \frac{\theta(\mathcal{V}t + c - \iota(p_j))}{\theta(\mathcal{V}t + c)} e^{x \int^{p_j} dr_1} \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6.14)$$

The first product term of the right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^n E(0, \alpha_i)^{n-1}}{\prod_{i < j} E(\alpha_j, \alpha_i)} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{E(0, z(p_i))^{n-1}}{\sqrt{dz(p_i)}^{n-1}}}{\prod_{i < j} \frac{E(z(p_j), z(p_i))}{\sqrt{dz(p_j)} \sqrt{dz(p_i)}}} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n E(p_\infty, p_i)^{n-1}}{\prod_{i < j} E(p_j, p_i)}.$$

Substituting this into (6.14) and setting  $t_1 = x$ ,  $t_j = 0$  for  $j \geq 2$  we get (1) of the theorem.

The formula (2) of the theorem follows from (1) as follows.

Notice that

$$e^{-x \int^{p_j} dr_1} \cdot \partial \cdot e^{x \int^{p_j} dr_1} = \partial + \int^{p_j} dr_1 =: \mathcal{D}_j. \quad (6.15)$$

Multiply the formula (1) of the theorem by  $e^{-x \sum_{j=1}^n \int^{p_j} dr_1}$ , use (6.15) and set  $\mathcal{V}_1 x + c = e$ . Then the differential  $\mathcal{D}_j$  turns into  $D_j$  and we get (2).  $\square$

### The case of $g = 1$

In the  $g = 1$  case a non-singular odd half period used to define the prime form is explicitly known so that the formulas in Theorem 6.2 can be rewritten in terms of theta functions only without using the prime form. We shall give them here.

Let  $\omega_1, \omega_2$  be complex numbers such that  $\Omega = \omega_2/\omega_1$  has positive imaginary part,  $\sigma(u)$ ,  $\zeta(u)$ ,  $\wp(u)$  Weierstrass sigma, zeta, pe functions corresponding to  $2\omega_1, 2\omega_2$  respectively. We denote by  $X$  the Weierstrass cubic

$$y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2x - g_3$$

which is parametrized as  $(x, y) = (\wp(u), \wp'(u))$ . The segments  $0(2\omega_1)$ ,  $0(2\omega_2)$  define closed curves on  $X$  which we denote by  $A, B$ . Then  $\{A, B\}$  is a basis of the homology group of  $X$  with the intersections  $A \cdot A = B \cdot B = 0$ ,  $A \cdot B = 1$ . Let  $du = dx/y$ . Then

$$\int_A du = 2\omega_1, \quad \int_B du = 2\omega_2,$$

and the normalized differential of the first kind becomes

$$dv = \frac{1}{2\omega_1} du.$$

The non-singular odd half period of  $X$  is  $\Omega/2 + 1/2$  whose characteristics is  $\epsilon = {}^t(1/2, 1/2)$ . We set

$$\theta_{11}(v) = \theta[\epsilon](v, \Omega)$$

We take  $p_\infty = \infty$  as a base point and set

$$v(p) = \int_\infty^p dv$$

which we adopt as a local coordinate around  $\infty$ ,  $z = v(p)$ . Then  $v_{1,j} = \delta_{1,j}$  and  $\mathcal{V}_1 = {}^t(1, 0, 0, \dots)$ . We have

$$h_\epsilon^2(p) = \theta'_{11}(0) dv(p),$$

and the prime form is given by

$$E(p, q) = \frac{\theta_{11}(\int_p^q dv)}{h_\epsilon(p)h_\epsilon(q)} = \frac{\theta'_{11}(0)^{-1}\theta_{11}(z(q) - z(p))}{\sqrt{dz(p)}\sqrt{dz(q)}},$$

where  $\theta'_{11}(v)$  denotes the derivative of  $\theta_{11}(v)$ . Therefore

$$E(z, w) = \theta'_{11}(0)^{-1}\theta_{11}(w - z).$$

We also have

$$E(p_\infty, p) = \frac{E(0, z(p))}{\sqrt{dz(p)}} = \frac{\theta'_{11}(0)^{-1}\theta_{11}(z(p))}{\sqrt{dz(p)}}.$$



The normalized differential of the second kind  $dr_1$  is given by

$$dr_1 = \frac{d^2}{dz^2} \log \theta_{11}(z) dz.$$

Set

$$\widehat{\zeta}(z) = \frac{d}{dz} \log \theta_{11}(z).$$

Then

$$D_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial v} + \widehat{\zeta}(\alpha_j), \quad \alpha_j = z(p_j).$$

**Theorem 6.2 for  $g = 1$ : In terms of Jacobi-Riemann theta functions.**

(1)

$$\frac{\theta(x + c - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j) \prod_{i < j} \theta_{11}(\alpha_i - \alpha_j)}{\theta(x + c) \prod_{i=1}^n \theta_{11}(\alpha_i)^{n-1}} e^{x \sum_{j=1}^n \widehat{\zeta}(\alpha_j)} = \theta'_{11}(0)^{-\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)} \det \left( \partial^{i-1} \left( \frac{\theta(x + c - \alpha_j)}{\theta(x + c)} e^{x \widehat{\zeta}(\alpha_j)} \right) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}. \quad (6.16)$$

(2) Let  $e \in \mathbb{C}$  be such that  $e \not\equiv 1/2 + \Omega/2 \pmod{\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\Omega}$ . Then

$$\frac{\theta(e - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j) \prod_{i < j} \theta_{11}(\alpha_i - \alpha_j)}{\theta(e) \prod_{i=1}^n \theta_{11}(\alpha_i)^{n-1}} = \theta'_{11}(0)^{-\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)} \det (\phi_i(\alpha_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}, \quad (6.17)$$

where

$$\phi_i(\alpha_j) = D_j^{i-1} \left( \frac{\theta(v - \alpha_j)}{\theta(v)} \right) \Big|_{v=e}, \quad i \geq 1.$$

Next we rewrite these formulas in terms of Weierstrass functions  $\sigma(u)$ ,  $\zeta(u)$ .

Replace  $c$  by  $c + \Omega/2 + 1/2$ . Then replace  $x, c, \alpha_j$  by  $x/2\omega_1, c/2\omega_1, \alpha_j/2\omega_1$  respectively in (6.16). After that use

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{11}\left(\frac{u}{2\omega_1}\right) &= \frac{\theta'_{11}(0)}{2\omega_1} e^{-\frac{\eta_1}{2\omega_1} u^2} \sigma(u), & \eta_i &= \zeta(\omega_i), \\ \theta\left(e + \frac{\Omega}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right) &= -ie^{-\frac{\pi i}{4}\Omega - \pi i e} \theta_{11}(e). \end{aligned}$$

We express the final formula using the Lamé function [24] (or Baker-Akhiezer function of genus one [12]) defined by

$$\Phi(x, \alpha) = \frac{\sigma(x - \alpha)}{\sigma(x)\sigma(\alpha)} e^{x\zeta(\alpha)}.$$

Set

$$\Phi^{(i)}(x, \alpha) = \partial^i \Phi(x, \alpha).$$

Then

**Theorem 6.2 for  $g = 1$ : In terms of Weierstrass functions.**

(1) As a function of  $x, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ ,

$$\frac{\sigma(x - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_j) \prod_{i < j} \sigma(\alpha_i - \alpha_j)}{\sigma(x) \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma(\alpha_i)^n} e^{x \sum_{j=1}^n \zeta(\alpha_j)} = \det (\Phi^{(i-1)}(x, \alpha_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}. \quad (6.18)$$

(2) For  $e \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\sigma(e) \neq 0$ ,

$$\frac{\sigma(e - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_j) \prod_{i < j} \sigma(\alpha_i - \alpha_j)}{\sigma(e) \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma(\alpha_i)^{n-1}} = \det (\tilde{\phi}_i(\alpha_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}, \quad (6.19)$$

where

$$\tilde{\phi}_i(\alpha_j) = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial v} + \zeta(\alpha_j) \right)^{i-1} \left( \frac{\sigma(v - \alpha_j)}{\sigma(v)} \right) \Big|_{v=e}$$

**Remark.** Formula (6.18) is proved in [13] ( Lemma 3.1) using Frobenius-Stickelberger formula (see [24] for example) and some related formulas proved in [16, 3].

## Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Kanehisa Takasaki for valuable comments on the manuscript and for informing him the reference [25]. He would also like to thank Yasuhiko Yamada for the comments on the possibility of the extension of Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3, which is now Theorem 2.4. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP24K06787.

## References

- [1] S. Chakravarty and Y. Kodama, Classification of the line-soliton solutions of KP II, *J. Phys. A* **41** (2008) 275209.
- [2] E. Date, M. Jimbo, M. Kashiwara and T. Miwa, Transformation groups for soliton equations, in Nonlinear Integrable Systems-Classical Theory and Quantum Theory, M. Jimbo and T. Miwa (eds.), World Scientific, Singapore, (1983), 39-119.

- [3] N. Delice, F.W. Nijhoff, On elliptic Lax pairs and isomonodromic deformation systems for elliptic lattice equations, in: Representation Theory, Special Functions and Painlevé Equations, Adv. Stud. in Pure Mathematics **76** (2018) 487-525.
- [4] J. Fay, *Theta functions on Riemann surfaces*, LNM **352**, 1973, Springer.
- [5] G.F. Helminck and J.W. Van de Leur, Geometric Backlund-Darboux transformations for the KP hierarchy, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **37** (2001) 479-519.
- [6] V.G. Kac and J.W. Van de Leur, Equivalence of formulations of the MKP hierarchy and its polynomial tau-functions, *Japanese J. Math.* **13** (2018) 235-271.
- [7] S. Kakei, Solutions to the KP hierarchy with an elliptic background, arXiv:2310.11679.
- [8] N. Kawamoto, Y. Namikawa, A. Tsuchiya and Y. Yamada, Geometric realization of conformal field theory on Riemann surfaces, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **116** (1988) 247-308.
- [9] Y. Kodama and L. Williams, KP solitons, total positivity and cluster algebras, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **108**(22) (2011) 8984-8989.
- [10] Y. Kodama and L. Williams, KP solitons and total positivity for the Grassmannian, *Invent Math.* **198** (2014) 637-699.
- [11] Y. Kodama, *KP solitons and the Grassmannians*, Springer, 2017.
- [12] I.M. Krichever, Methods of algebraic geometry in the theory of nonlinear equations, *Russ. Math. Surv.*, **Vol. 32** (1977) 185-213.
- [13] X. Li and D.J. Zhang Elliptic soliton solutions:  $\tau$  functions, vertex operators and bilinear identities, *J. Nonlinear Sci.*, **32** (2022) N. 70, 53pp.
- [14] D. Mumford, *Tata lectures on theta II*, Birkhauser, 1983.
- [15] V.B. Matveev and M.A. Salle, *Darboux transformations and solitons*, Springer Series in Nonlinear Dynamics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
- [16] F.W. Nijhoff, Y.Y. Sun and D.J. Zhang, Elliptic solutions of Boussinesq type lattice equations and the elliptic  $N$ -th root of unity, arXiv:1909.02948.
- [17] A. Nakayashiki, Tau functions of  $(n, 1)$  curves and soliton solutions on non-zero constant backgrounds, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **111** (2021) 85.
- [18] A. Nakayashiki, Vertex operators of the KP hierarchy and singular algebraic curves, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **114** (2024) 82.
- [19] W. Ovel, Darboux theorems and Wronskian formulas for integrable systems I. Constrained KP flows, *Physica A* **195** (1993) 533-576.

- [20] M. Sato and Y. Sato, Soliton equations as dynamical systems on infinite dimensional Grassmann manifold, in *Nolinear Partial Differential Equations in Applied Sciences*, P.D. Lax, H. Fujita and G. Strang (eds.), North-Holland, Amsterdam, and Kinokuniya, Tokyo, (1982) 259-271.
- [21] G. Segal and G. Wilson, Loop groups and equations of KdV type, *Publ. Math. IHES* **61** (1985) 5-65.
- [22] Y. Shigyo, On addition formulae of KP, mKP and BKP hierarchies, *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.* **9** (2013) 035.
- [23] K. Takasaki and T. Takebe, Integrable hierarchies and dispersionless limit, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **7** (1995) 743-808.
- [24] E.T. Whittaker and G.N. Watson, *A course of Modern Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, 1902.
- [25] A. Zabrodin, Revisiting Backlund-Darboux transformations for KP and BKP integrable hierarchies, arXiv:2506.07208v1.