

Can industrial overcapacity enable seasonal flexibility in electricity use? A case study of aluminum smelting in China

Ruike Lyu^{a,b}, Anna Li^a, Jianxiao Wang^c, Hongxi Luo^a, Yan Shen^b, Hongye Guo^b, Ershun Du^b, Chongqing Kang^b, Jesse Jenkins^{*a}

^a*Princeton University, Princeton, 08540, US*

^b*Tsinghua University, Beijing, 100084, China*

^c*Peking University, Beijing, 100084, China*

Abstract

In many countries, declining demand in energy-intensive industries (EIIs) such as cement, steel, and aluminum is leading to industrial overcapacity. Although industrial overcapacity is traditionally envisioned as problematic and resource-wasteful, it could unlock EIIs' flexibility in electricity use. Here, using China's aluminum smelting industry as a case, we evaluate the system-level cost-benefit of retaining EII overcapacity for flexible electricity use in decarbonized energy systems. We find that overcapacity can enable aluminum smelters to adopt a seasonal operation paradigm, ceasing production during winter load peaks exacerbated by heating electrification and renewable seasonality. In the 2050-net-zero scenario, the seasonal operation paradigm can reduce China's electricity system investment and operational costs by 15-72 billion CNY/year (or 8-34% of the aluminum smelting industry's product value), sufficient to offset the costs of maintaining overcapacity and product storage. It also reduces workforce fluctuations across the aluminum smelting and thermal power generation sectors by up to 62%, potentially mitigating socio-economic disruptions from industrial restructuring and energy transition.

1. Introduction

Industrial restructuring — the transition of economies from heavy industry to higher-added-value sectors [1] — is leading to declining demand for industrial products and overcapacity in energy-intensive industries in many

countries. China’s steel and cement industries, for instance, have averaged 20-30% overcapacity in the last decade, resulting in declining profitability and asset utilization [2]. China’s aluminum smelters will confront substantial excess capacity in the near future, as China’s domestic aluminum demand is increasingly met by recycled aluminum (potentially fulfilling 70% of future demand [3]). Traditionally, this structural overcapacity is viewed as problematic, causing resource waste and economic risks [4]. Consequently, governments typically strive to eliminate overcapacity through policy measures [5], such as China’s Supply-Side Structural Reform (SSSR), which was initiated around 2016 to tackle massive industrial overcapacity.

On the other hand, excess capacity also unlocks operational flexibility for energy-intensive industries, as it frees them from operating at full capacity at all times to meet demand. In decarbonized power systems reliant on variable renewable energy, the demand-side flexibility of energy-intensive industries may become more valuable for balancing electricity supply and demand [6, 7, 8]. By shifting electricity consumption to periods of high renewable energy availability, energy-intensive industries can reduce both the energy system operational costs and carbon emissions [9]. Furthermore, unlike “fast-burst” demand-side resources such as electric vehicles and air conditioning [10, 11], industrial facilities with overcapacity and material storage could potentially provide long-duration flexibility by scheduling their production over longer time horizons. In decarbonized energy systems with increasing variability in electricity prices [12], this flexibility is also essential for lowering the energy input costs of energy-intensive industries like aluminum smelting, where electricity costs exceed 30% of operational expenses [13].

In this context, determining whether industrial overcapacity should be decommissioned or retained requires a system-level cost-benefit analysis of the two competing mechanisms: decommissioning excess capacity to reduce maintenance costs versus retaining it to reduce energy system costs and emissions. To quantitatively assess this trade-off, we select China’s aluminum smelting industry as a representative case. China is likely to face over 50% overcapacity in the aluminum smelting industry by 2050 [3], due to a shift towards secondary production using aluminum scrap [14, 15]. Furthermore, the energy input for the aluminum smelting industry is almost entirely from electricity, accounting for 7% of China’s electricity demand. The aluminum smelting industry also has technical capability for flexible operation [16]. These make it an ideal example of an industry for examining the benefits of flexibly operating in the context of a decarbonized electricity system.




Figure 1: **Conceptual framework for overcapacity-enabled seasonal flexibility in energy-intensive industries.** The left panel illustrates the spring to autumn time period when renewable energy generation is abundant, with aluminum smelters operating at full capacity, producing aluminum for both meeting immediate demand and storing in inventory. The right panel illustrates winter scenarios where renewable generation is limited and heating electrification exacerbates peak demand, compelling coal and natural gas power plants to operate. During this period, aluminum smelters shut down and meet demand by drawing from product inventory. This seasonal operational paradigm leverages excess capacity to create complementary patterns between industrial production and energy system dynamics, enabling cost-effective decarbonization.

Existing studies have demonstrated the rapid power adjusting capabilities of aluminum electrolytic cells, validating the technical feasibility for flexible operation [16, 17]. While existing studies analyze plant-level thermal balance and restart processes of aluminum electrolytic cells [18, 19], a comprehensive assessment of the system-level value of this flexibility remains absent, particularly its combined impact on energy system and aluminum smelter operation. Understanding these integrated impacts and their key determinants is essential for charting a sustainable path for the aluminum industry. However, the computational complexity of modeling and optimizing the intricate coupling between two already large and complex systems—the electricity system and aluminum smelters—has been a significant barrier to such analysis.

In this work, we quantitatively evaluate the system-level flexibility value of retaining aluminum smelting overcapacity in China’s decarbonization pathway by embedding a refined aluminum smelter operation model [20] within

a provincial-resolved power system capacity expansion model to co-optimize the hourly operations of both systems. The problem is made computationally tractable by the dimensionality reduction framework developed by Lyu et al. [21] and a tailored iterative solution algorithm. We find that in net-zero electricity systems, overcapacity enables aluminum smelters to shift operations away from periods of winter electricity supply scarcity, which are driven by low renewable availability and heating electrification (Figure 1). This flexibility lowers overall electricity system costs by reducing peak loads, which in turn decreases the renewable capacity required to meet those peaks and lowers utilization of coal, natural gas, and nuclear generation. In a 2050 net-zero scenario, retaining 30% aluminum-smelting overcapacity reduces electricity system costs by 15-72 billion CNY/year (or 8-34% of the aluminum smelting industry’s product value), as compared to a no-overcapacity case. We also find that, in such a scenario, aluminum production costs are lower by over 1,500 CNY/tonne, due to aluminum smelters consuming cheaper electricity. Furthermore, because thermal power plants may also operate seasonally in decarbonized systems, complementary seasonal operation in the aluminum smelting industry could help reduce workforce fluctuations across the aluminum smelting and power generation sectors by 62%, potentially helping to stabilize employment.

Although we are using aluminum smelting as a case, our findings can be generalized to other energy-intensive industries, such as steel and cement industries, where seasonal operation could potentially bring significant energy input cost savings to offset the costs of maintaining overcapacity and product storage. More broadly, our work suggests that industrial overcapacity should be reframed from a problem to an opportunity to reduce energy system costs, lower industrial production costs, and mitigate socio-economic disruptions from energy decarbonization.

2. Results

2.1. *Inevitable overcapacity in aluminum smelting in the recycle era*

This study employs a scenario analysis approach to explore the impact of uncertain parameters on the value of overcapacity in providing flexibility in the energy system. We evaluate three key dimensions: primary aluminum demand, smelter operational flexibility, and electricity system technology costs (Table 1). We assume that annual primary aluminum demand equals total aluminum demand minus demand met by recycled aluminum, which

Table 1: Outline of Model Scenario Settings.

Smelter operational flexibility				
Case	Min. power consumption (per unit of nameplate value)	Restart costs (CNY/MW)		
Low	0.9	760,000		
Mid	0.7	110,000		
High	0.5	22,000		
Unconstrained	0.0	0		
Primary aluminum demand				
Case	Stock saturation level (kg/capita)	Scrap import rate	Scrap recycle rate	Product mean lifetime (year)
Low	500	10%	75%	18
Mid	600	5%	70%	16
High	700	0%	65%	14
Technology costs (per unit of core-scenario costs)				
Case	Wind/solar CAPEX	Battery CAPEX	Long-duration storage CAPEX	Methanation /direct air capture costs
Low	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8
Mid	1	1	1	1
High	1	1.5	1.5	1.5

The normalized costs for restarting potlines of 110,000 CNY/MW [22] and 760,000 CNY/MW [23, 24] are based on historical events. The restart-up costs are measured from the electricity input side. As for the Mid-demand scenario, we set the per capita aluminum stock demand saturation at the level of U.S. demand (approximately 600 kg per capita). The historical scrap aluminum recovery rate in 2024 is 70% [25], which is used in the Mid-demand scenario. The electricity system cost data is primarily from the PyPSA-China project, originally established and open-sourced by Zhou et al. [26]. Long-duration storage includes H2 and water storage.




Figure 2: China’s projected aluminum demand and smelting overcapacity under different scenarios. The top solid line represents total aluminum demand, the green area shows recycled aluminum production (RA), and the yellow area indicates primary aluminum production (PA) up to 2050. China’s aluminum smelting capacity at the end of 2024 (45 million tonnes (Mt) per year) is represented by the red dashed line. The area between the red dashed line (smelter capacity at 2024 level) and the yellow area represents the excess capacity of aluminum smelters unless decommissioned. The overcapacity rate is represented by the purple line, and is given by one minus the utilization rate ($1 - \text{demand}/\text{capacity}$). Different scenarios are constructed based on various assumptions regarding economic development and aluminum product import/export levels, with the variable settings outlined in Table 1. Even under the high-demand scenario, China’s aluminum industry would retain 46% excess capacity — and as much as 86% under the low-demand scenario — posing a significant challenge to the sector.

is prioritized due to its significantly lower costs and lower carbon emissions compared to smelting [27, 28], but also constrained by scrap availability [3]. Detailed scenario settings and considerations are provided in the Methods section and Supplementary Notes.

Our analysis shows that over the next one to two decades, China’s primary aluminum demand will rapidly decline and remain low as more aluminum is produced from scrap, leading to unprecedented overcapacity in the aluminum smelting industry. As shown in Figure 2, the proportion of recycled aluminum production in total aluminum demand will increase from 25% in 2024 [25], to the United States level of exceeding 60% by 2050 [29]. While this transition entails substantial reductions in the industry’s energy consumption and carbon emissions, due to the lower energy intensity of producing aluminum from scrap [27], it would result in over 60% overcapacity for existing aluminum smelters. The rapid increase in China’s recycled aluminum output stems from a significant increase in available scrap aluminum, which is a delayed effect of China’s climbing aluminum production over the

past two decades, as aluminum products typically have a lifespan of 10-20 years [30].

From a modeling perspective, the projections for available scrap aluminum and, thus, recycled aluminum production are a calculated result of China’s historical aluminum production and are not significantly affected by model assumptions. For example, the model uses aluminum production data from 1990 to 2023 to calculate scrap aluminum availability, resulting in approximately 15 million tonnes (Mt) of available scrap aluminum in 2024. Then, the projected recycled aluminum production in 2024 is 10.5 Mt, which is the available scrap multiplied by the 70% scrap aluminum recovery rate. The number is very close to the historical production of 10.6 Mt [25].

In contrast, total aluminum demand is more susceptible to uncertain factors such as China’s economic development level, as well as the import and export amount of aluminum products. Nevertheless, even in the most optimistic scenario for total aluminum demand, the nationwide annual primary aluminum demand is projected to fall to 24 Mt by 2050, which is less than 54% of the 2024 level.

2.2. *Electricity system cost reduction as a result of overcapacity-enabled flexibility*

Figure 3 shows the reduction in electricity system costs in a 2050 net-zero emissions scenario when the operation of aluminum smelters with overcapacity is co-optimized with the electric power system, compared to a no-overcapacity operation scenario, which represents the value of flexibility in smelting electricity use. Here, the power system cost includes operational costs and the annualized capital investment for new generation, storage, and transmission capacity. The costs of the aluminum smelters are not included in the electricity system costs, but are discussed later in the paper. The results show that the overcapacity of aluminum smelters adds a flexibility value of 15 to 72 billion CNY to the power system, which is equivalent to 8-34% of the aluminum smelting industry’s product value in 2050. National aggregated results are shown here, while a provincial resolution for smelter and electricity system modeling is implemented (see the Methods section and Supplementary Notes 3.2 and 4.2 for details).

Across all scenarios, the cost reductions are primarily driven by lower investment costs in renewable energy and lower operational costs for coal, natural gas, and nuclear energy (mainly fuel costs). Two mechanisms can explain the dominance of these cost reduction sources. First, wind and solar




Figure 3: Reduction in electricity system costs due to overcapacity-enabled flexibility in aluminum smelting, compared to the no-overcapacity case. Colors represent different sources of changes in system cost: renewable investment costs (green) and non-renewable operational costs (orange) account for the largest system cost reductions. The reduction in renewable investment costs reflects improved renewable energy utilization efficiency through concentrating smelting during periods of high renewable generation. The reduction in non-renewable operational costs reflects a decreased reliance on firm generation resources (coal, gas, biomass, and nuclear) to ensure power supply reliability. Cost reductions range from 15 to 72 billion CNY (8-34% of the aluminum smelting industry’s product value in 2050) across scenarios with different aluminum demand, smelter flexibility, and technology costs. The only increased investment is in heating electrification. By mitigating winter peak loads, flexible smelter operation reduces the need for costly clean firm generation and long-duration storage, thereby lowering the grid integration barriers for heating electrification.

power exhibit high variability, resulting in low utilization rates without flexibility mechanisms such as storage or demand response. Flexible operation


enables aluminum smelters to concentrate electricity consumption during periods of high renewable energy generation, thereby improving the efficiency of renewable energy utilization and reducing the required renewable capacity to meet electricity demand. Second, from a reliability perspective, flexible operation also reduces the need for firm energy (energy from non-renewable resources that can be dispatched whenever needed [10]) to maintain reliability and meet demand during periods of renewable scarcity. The reduction in non-renewable operational costs reflects this second mechanism, which reduces the need for coal- and/or natural gas-based generation (with carbon capture and storage) and nuclear power (see Supplementary 3.4 for details). However, non-renewable investment costs remain relatively unchanged, suggesting that flexible aluminum smelting primarily reduces the utilization of non-renewable resources, rather than replacing the need for non-renewable capacity.

Comparing the different scenarios, we find that the flexibility value of aluminum smelting is higher in scenarios with high aluminum demand, because the aluminum smelting sector is bigger and the impact of load flexibility is therefore larger. As smelter operational flexibility increases, the cost savings from reduced battery capacity become larger; however, these are secondary to reductions in renewable investment and non-renewable operating costs. The overall electricity system cost savings are also similar between the Non-constrained-flexibility scenario and the Mid-flexibility scenario, suggesting diminishing returns in increasing smelter operational flexibility.

We also observe that flexible operation of aluminum smelting results in more investment in heating electrification (purple), such as the deployment of electric heat pumps. The increased investment reflects the fact that aluminum smelters can reduce winter peak loads through flexible operation, thereby enabling the greater deployment of clean heating technologies.

2.3. Cost-benefit analysis of retaining overcapacity

While flexible aluminum smelting with overcapacity can reduce power system costs, it also entails higher maintenance costs and extra expenditure, such as product storage. As shown in Figure 4(a), the reduced power system costs increase with overcapacity but plateau after smelter capacity exceeds 35 Mt/year (60% overcapacity), while operational costs increase approximately proportionally with excess capacity. A smelting capacity of 18 Mt/year (36% overcapacity) is found to maximize the net system cost reduction at 17 billion



(a) Annual net benefit of different aluminum smelting capacities in 2050 (b) Distribution of optimal retained capacity and net benefit across the scenarios

Figure 4: Trade-off analysis and cost-effective strategy for retaining overcapacity. In (a), electricity system cost savings (blue), smelter operational cost increase (orange), and net system benefit (black line) are shown for 2050 in the core scenario (Mid-flexibility, Mid-demand, and Mid-technology costs). The maximal net benefit (electricity system cost reduction minus smelter operational costs) is achieved with 36% overcapacity in 2050. In (b), the mean optimal overcapacity rate increases from 6% in 2030 to 30% in 2050, due to higher flexibility requirements as the electricity system approaches net-zero emissions. However, it should be noted that the cost-effective capacity of aluminum smelting still decreases across the years due to declining primary aluminum demand. The net benefit across different scenarios first decreases as primary aluminum demand declines to 2040 levels, then increases in the net-zero emissions scenario in 2050, where load flexibility is more valuable.

CNY, where the marginal decrease in power system cost equals the marginal increase in smelter operational cost.

Figure 4(a) also shows the impact of smelter load flexibility for carbon emission reduction, indicated by the red line. The reduction in carbon emissions represents a decrease in the system's need for negative emission technologies, such as biomass with carbon capture and storage (CCS). This value continues to increase with overcapacity when it exceeds 36%, implying that more maintenance costs can be spent to achieve carbon reduction benefits. Retaining more excess capacity could be an even more attractive option if the cost of retiring capacity is taken into account (dismantling retired elec-


trollytic cells, compensating affected smelters, and relocating displaced industrial workers, etc.). These additional costs could further justify maintaining higher levels of excess capacity beyond what our results suggest.

Figure 4(b) shows the optimal smelter capacity and corresponding net system cost reduction for different years and scenarios. The average optimal overcapacity rates across all scenarios are 6%, 20%, and 30% for 2030, 2040, and 2050, respectively. The corresponding net value first decreases from 2030 to 2040, as the primary aluminum industry demand declines to 2040 levels, then increases by 2050, approaching net-zero emission targets.


2.4. Seasonal operation paradigm enabled by overcapacity

Figure 5 illustrates how the seasonal nature of renewable energy generation and heating electrification significantly alters the operational dynamics of aluminum smelters, which adjust their production schedules to align with the seasonality of the energy system. Panel (a) reveals clear seasonal operational patterns: production largely ceases from mid-November to mid-March (the winter heating season), with demand met by inventory, while operating at or near rated capacity from late March to early November. The lower panel shows that even with unconstrained flexibility, seasonal operational patterns remain dominant in aluminum smelting, indicating that system needs for seasonal flexibility are the primary driver. Although China’s energy system faces significant cooling demand in July and August, smelters maintain maximum power consumption because air conditioning load appears as short-duration peaks that can be balanced by batteries or vehicle-to-grid (V2G) technologies, making smelter load reduction less valuable.

Panel (b) of Figure 5 reveals the underlying reason for flexible aluminum smelting: smelter electricity consumption is largely complementary to China’s overall heating demand, strategically avoiding high winter electricity demand caused by heating electrification. During these winter periods, renewable energy output, especially from hydropower, is at its minimum while heating demand peaks, forcing the power system to rely more heavily on gas and coal generation to ensure power supply reliability. This results in significantly higher electricity costs, because the system must deploy more direct air capture and/or bioenergy with CCS to offset the carbon emissions, creating strong economic incentives for smelters to avoid production during these high-cost periods and instead rely on inventory to meet demand. Interestingly, the averaged optimal overcapacity rate of 30% roughly corresponds to



(a) Normalized hourly aluminum smelting power consumption and stored aluminum with 36% overcapacity in 2050.



(b) Monthly capacity factors of various load and generation resources in 2050. (Capacity Factor = energy generation/consumption of a technology, divided by its nameplate capacity)

Figure 5: Seasonal operation of aluminum smelters is complementary to energy system seasonality. In (a), smelter production largely ceases from mid-November to mid-March with demand met by stored inventory, but operates at full capacity from late March to early November. In (b), the electricity consumption of aluminum smelting is largely complementary to China's heating demand, avoiding the severe winter electricity peaks driven by heating electrification.

the proportion of winter time in a year, suggesting that seasonality is the driver behind this.

This seasonal operational paradigm could decrease the cost of producing aluminum (Figure 6). If the historical overcapacity rate of 5% is retained, the average cost per tonne of aluminum may slightly increase by 2050. Although




Figure 6: **Comparison of the composition of levelized cost per tonne of aluminum between the 2020 baseline and various 2050 overcapacity levels.** The composition of the levelized cost per tonne of aluminum is shown across three overcapacity levels in the core scenario (Mid-flexibility, Mid-demand, and Mid-technology costs) and compared against a 2020 baseline. We find that maintaining 36% overcapacity is beneficial for the smelters, reducing aluminum production costs by over 1,500 CNY/tonne, or approximately 9% of current production costs, with the drop in electricity bills offsetting the maintenance costs of overcapacity and increased restart and storage costs.

electricity costs decrease due to increased renewable penetration, total costs remain the same due to equipment depreciation costs and early decommissioning costs. In contrast, maintaining 36% overcapacity allows smelters to consume zero-marginal-cost renewable energy during high-generation periods, thus largely reducing electricity bills to offset overcapacity maintenance and product storage costs, and reducing total costs by over 1,500 CNY/tonne of aluminum. The reduced aluminum production costs, along with the electricity system cost savings shown previously, demonstrate that the seasonal flexibility strategy creates a win-win scenario for both the grid and aluminum producers, altering the economic viability of maintaining excess capacity.

As Figure 7 illustrates, the aluminum smelting industry, with overcapacity, and the power sector have complementary workforce patterns, with coal




Figure 7: **Estimated monthly workforce requirements for aluminum smelters and coal/gas power plants in 2050 with and without aluminum smelting overcapacity.** In the overcapacity scenario, complementary employment patterns between smelters and thermal generators reduce workforce variability (calculated as the standard deviation of employment throughout the year) by 62% and increase average employment by 18,000, as compared to the scenario in which all excess capacity is retired.

and gas power plants needing more workers in the winter, and the aluminum smelting industry needing more workers in the summer. Because China’s largest aluminum companies have historically operated their own captive power plants, there exists a possible scenario in which China’s aluminum companies move workers between aluminum smelters and power plants from season to season [31]. This workforce management paradigm could reduce total seasonal employment fluctuation across the two sectors by 62%, while maintaining a larger capacity also increases average employment by 18,000 workers compared to the no-overcapacity scenario. While implementing inter-industry labor mobility depends on factors such as worker training and flexible employment relations, this coupling could potentially mitigate social disruption from job losses that may result from both energy system transitions and industrial restructuring, highlighting the potential societal value of overcapacity-enabled flexibility.

3. Discussion

In power systems with increasing penetration of renewable energy, the volatility of electricity supply is often compounded by load fluctuations from heating electrification, creating significant seasonal variations in electricity

costs. Concurrently, many traditional energy-intensive industries face structural overcapacity, which reduces capacity utilization and creates uncertainty on their profitability. This convergence of challenges makes it increasingly urgent to understand how energy-intensive industries can adapt and thrive in decarbonized energy systems.

In this work, we use China’s aluminum smelting industry—a highly energy-intensive sector facing unprecedented overcapacity in the coming recycling era—as a representative case to explore the flexibility value of overcapacity in decarbonized energy systems. We developed a comprehensive framework that co-optimizes power system capacity expansion planning with detailed smelter operations, capturing key technical constraints such as temperature limits, restart costs, and operational limitations. This co-optimization was evaluated across more than 500 scenarios, varying flexibility settings and competing technology costs, to inform cost-effective strategies for retaining overcapacity and leveraging it for seasonal flexibility.

Our findings reveal that overcapacity unlocks seasonal flexibility of smelting electricity use, allowing them to curtail production during the winter when peak demand is exacerbated by heating electrification and reduced renewable output. In the 2050-net-zero scenario, seasonal flexibility reduces annual power system costs by 15-72 billion CNY through improved renewable integration and decreased reliance on firm generation, sufficient to offset the maintenance and operational costs of excess capacity and product storage, thereby cutting aluminum production costs by approximately 9% of the current level. We identify an optimal overcapacity rate of approximately 30% for the aluminum smelting industry in China, which closely matches the fraction of the year that is the winter heating season, indicating that the seasonality of the energy system is the fundamental driver of a seasonal smelter operation paradigm.

Our findings suggest that the increasing seasonality of decarbonized energy systems can be strong enough to drive energy-intensive industries to shift to a seasonal operation paradigm. Energy-intensive industries, such as aluminum, steel, and cement, will be the first to experience these impacts, given their high exposure to energy cost variations and structural overcapacity. As electricity cost variability becomes more seasonal, these sectors can leverage overcapacity and product storage capabilities to adapt to volatile electricity prices, reducing both their own operational expenses and overall energy system costs.

Interestingly, overcapacity can facilitate complementary workforce pat-

terns between energy-intensive industries and the energy sector, which can help mitigate social disruption from energy decarbonization and industrial restructuring. Nevertheless, converting the co-benefits of overcapacity into financial incentives for energy-intensive industries will require careful design of financial instruments, targeted subsidies or market mechanisms, as is required for the seasonally operating agriculture sector.

4. Methods

4.1. Scenario analysis framework

The factors that have significant and uncertain impacts on the value of overcapacity in the aluminum industry include the demand for primary aluminum (from smelting), the operational flexibility of the smelters, and the costs of competitive technologies for load flexibility (batteries, long-duration storage, etc.). This study employs a scenario analysis approach, grouping these factors into three-dimensional parameter sets (Table 1) to explore the impact of different assumptions and uncertain parameters on the research findings.

In the model, China’s domestic aluminum smelters are required to meet the country’s annual primary aluminum demand, which is equal to the total aluminum demand minus the demand that can be met by recycled aluminum, as recycled aluminum is prioritized for production due to its per-unit mineral, energy, and investment costs being orders of magnitude lower than those for aluminum smelting. We focus exclusively on primary aluminum smelters and do not consider recycled aluminum production to be overcapacity, as its output is currently increasing and does not face overcapacity issues. Additionally, recycled aluminum production has limited grid interaction flexibility value due to its low electricity intensity (only 5% of the electricity used in primary aluminum production) and is not traditionally considered an energy-intensive industry.

Using performance data from numerical simulations of aluminum smelter operations, as well as existing literature on the shutdown, restart processes, and costs of real-world aluminum smelters, we developed a reduced-order model that represents the key characteristics of aluminum electrolytic cell temperature constraints and restart costs (see Supplementary note 3 for more details). However, the cost uncertainty is large because there are few historical cases of aluminum smelters being restarted. Thus, we evaluated three comparative scenarios: Low: No progress has been made in

improving smelter operational flexibility, and restart costs from historical high-cost reports are being used. High: New technologies and methods have significantly improved smelter operational flexibility, and restart costs have dropped substantially. This represents the goal of ongoing research, development, and demonstration efforts to enhance smelter-grid interaction [32]. Un-constrained: This is an idealized scenario that disregards the temperature constraints, startup/shutdown constraints, and restart costs of potlines. It only considers rated power constraints, and the value of grid interaction in this scenario represents the theoretical maximum.

Finally, we consider three main power system technology cost scenarios (see Table 1) that reflect different levels of power generation technology development. All scenarios assume that a variety of competitive, clean, and stable technologies are available, covering a range from high fixed costs and low variable costs to low fixed costs and high variable costs. We also assume the availability of cost-competitive long-duration storage technologies (in the form of hydrogen and hot water) and a certain degree of flexibility in residential heating. By modeling combinations of different scenarios for primary aluminum demand, smelter flexibility, and technology costs, we are able to evaluate the sensitivity of the main power system and aluminum industry outcomes to changes along these dimensions.

4.2. Aluminum demand projections

Our objective is to project China’s primary aluminum demand for the coming decades, which requires projecting both total aluminum demand and the future supply of recycled aluminum. Our methodology is based on the assumption that per capita aluminum in-use stock tends to saturate as the economy develops, a common approach for projecting the demand for metal raw materials like steel and aluminum [33, 34]. To obtain historical aluminum in-use stock data, which is not explicitly tracked in official statistics, we sourced historical aluminum production data from the China Statistical Yearbook. We then calculated the aluminum stock by accumulating historical production and accounting for product retirement. Specifically, using China’s primary and secondary aluminum production data from 1993 to 2023 and assuming that the lifespan of aluminum products follows a Weibull distribution, we calculated the annual aluminum in-use stock up to 2024. Next, we input this data into the EnergyPathways model [35], a mature, open-source software for forecasting various types of demand, which has been used in the Net-Zero America [36] and Net-Zero Australia [37] projects. Using the

stock-based method, with the projected population by Chen et al. [38] as the driver, we selected logistic regression as the extrapolation curve for future aluminum demand. The saturation level is set differently for various scenarios, as detailed in Supplementary Note 1. The EP model projects the annual aluminum stock, total aluminum demand, and retired aluminum products (the available scrap in that year) up to 2060. The calculation formula is as follows:

$$\text{Stock}_{\text{year}} = \text{Stock}_{\text{year}-1} + \text{Production}_{\text{year}} - \text{Scrap}_{\text{year}} \quad (1)$$

Here, $\text{Stock}_{\text{year}}$ represents the extrapolated aluminum stock, $\text{Production}_{\text{year}}$ is the total demand/production for aluminum, and scrap is calculated from the historical stock based on the assumption that product lifespan follows a Weibull distribution. Therefore, the total aluminum demand and available scrap can be calculated from the above formula. Based on the scrap, we can calculate the recycled aluminum production ($\text{Production}_{\text{recycle}} = \text{Scrap} \times \text{Recycle}_{\text{rate}}$). By subtracting the recycled aluminum production from the total aluminum demand for that year, we obtain the primary aluminum demand/production ($\text{Production}_{\text{primary}} = \text{Production} - \text{Production}_{\text{recycle}}$). China is also a major aluminum exporter. Based on current export levels, we have also established two scenarios: reduced exports and increased exports (see Table 1), with further details provided in Supplementary Note 1. Having obtained the annual aluminum demand projections, we assume that the hourly aluminum demand remains constant throughout the year, which simplifies demand distribution yet imposes a stricter supply-demand balance. This demand must be satisfied either through production from aluminum smelters or by drawing from existing aluminum inventories.

4.3. Modeling smelter operational flexibility

This study employs a mixed-integer linear model, an initial version of which was developed by Shen et al. [20] as a linear model for production and energy consumption decisions in smelter operations. The model is capable of representing the relationships between internal temperature changes and electrolysis rates in response to applied voltage and current in a potline, as observed in both numerical simulations and experiments [39, 40]. It is transformed into a linear programming model through piecewise linearization and numerical approximation. To model the shutdown and startup decisions and their associated costs for smelters, we introduced startup and shutdown constraints and costs into the aforementioned linear model, transforming it into

a mixed-integer model (see Supplementary note 3 and 5). This enables our model to optimize the smelter’s operational decisions over a longer timescale. However, the introduction of integer variables makes it computationally infeasible to directly embed a plant-level operational model into a power system planning model. Therefore, we design a decomposition-based iterative algorithm for solving this problem. To determine the production capacity of smelters, based on the Chinese government’s policy that primary aluminum capacity will not exceed 45 million tonnes per year, we assume that the production capacity of aluminum smelters remains unchanged at the 2024 level of 45 million tonnes per year, unless early retirement is chosen. This assumption is based on the typical lifespan of an aluminum smelter, which exceeds 50 years, and the fact that more than 90% of China’s aluminum smelters were built after 2000.

Since not all provinces have complete statistical data on aluminum smelting capacity, we distributed the national capacity to each province based on its 2023 production. We assumed that the capacity share of each province remains unchanged when reducing overcapacity (see Supplementary Note 3.3 for more details). This approach is justified by the fact that China’s aluminum smelting industry currently operates at a high capacity utilization rate of approximately 97%, meaning that most provinces are running at near full capacity. For estimating the needed workforce for smelters and power plants, we use the average number of workers required per unit of operating capacity, assuming a certain proportion of variable workforce (see Supplementary Note 3.5).

4.4. *Smelter costing*

The investment, operation, and maintenance costs of aluminum smelters are estimated from annual reports from Chinese smelters [13]. However, it is challenging to determine the idling and startup/shutdown costs, as there are not many historical cases where smelters were idled for long periods or shut down due to insufficient demand. According to publicly available reports, the normalized cost for restarting potlines can vary from 110,000 CNY/MW to 760,000 CNY/MW [22, 23, 24], which is 1000 times higher than restarting coal-fired units [41]. This study does not attempt to predict the future trajectory of smelter restart costs, but rather presents extreme cases in addition to the baseline case to represent the two extremes of the spectrum for the development of flexible aluminum smelting technologies, resulting in a total of four smelter flexibility cases. In the Low-flexibility

and Mid-flexibility cases, the smelter flexibility parameters are set based on current literature and reports. The former’s restart cost is estimated at 760,000 CNY/MW in a case of a U.S.-owned smelter. The latter’s restart cost is from a documented restart event at a smelter in Yunnan, China, estimated at 110,000 CNY/MW. For smelters to conduct seasonal production and help adapt to fluctuations in the power grid’s supply and demand, they may need to increase the storage period of aluminum products to act as a buffer for balancing production and demand. Therefore, we also need to model the warehouse costs of aluminum products. Product warehouse costs are estimated by multiplying the actual short-term warehouse rental fees in each region for 2023 by the required warehouse area [42]. However, this may lead to an overestimation, as long-term rental fees are typically lower. A more detailed description of the smelter operational cost calculation method is in Supplementary note 3.3.

4.5. Power system capacity expansion model

This study aims to evaluate the load flexibility value of aluminum smelters for China’s decarbonized power system. A provincial resolution is needed because China’s aluminum industry is unevenly distributed across multiple provinces, and the highly interconnected nature of China’s power grid means that the impact of this flexibility is likely to span across provincial boundaries. We set the net-zero year of 2050, even though China’s overall carbon neutrality target is 2060 [43], since the power sector is generally considered the primary and critical area for achieving this goal and requires deep decarbonization at an earlier stage. According to several studies and scenario analyses, China’s power sector is expected to achieve net-zero emissions between 2045 and 2055 [44]. To compare the effects of different carbon reduction constraints, we set up scenarios with 20%, 60%, and 100% reductions in carbon emissions for 2030, 2040, and 2050, respectively, based on 2020 emission levels.

This study uses PyPSA, an open-source model described in detail elsewhere [45], to explore China’s pathway to carbon emission reduction. The model uses 2020 as the base year to formulate a brownfield capacity expansion model, covering thirty-one provincial administrative regions of China, with each province aggregated into a single node. Each node not only handles electricity but also incorporates multiple energy carriers, including heat, hydrogen, biomass, methane, and coal, reflecting the integration of a multi-energy system. The connections between nodes include electricity trans-

mission via high-voltage direct current (HVDC) and high-voltage alternating current (HVAC) lines, as well as hydrogen transmission via hydrogen pipelines. The PyPSA model uses linear optimization to minimize annual operational and investment costs while adhering to technical and physical constraints. The system operation is modeled with an 8,760-hour time resolution over a single meteorological year (2020 as the representative year). The optimization includes the capacity of generators, storage, converters, and transmission, as well as the hourly operational dispatch of each technology. Exogenous variables include: demand curves for different sectors, the ratio of district heating to decentralized heating, the capacity of hydro reservoirs and run-of-river generators, and pumped hydro storage capacity. HVAC and HVDC lines are assumed to be long-lasting and therefore permanent. Thus, transmission network assets can be reinforced but not removed. The detailed input data for the model are primarily derived from the PyPSA China-specific project, originally established and open-sourced by Zhou et al. [26], and have been used in peer-reviewed publications.

4.6. Dimensionality reduction and tailored iterative solution

Our objective is to address the computational intractability that arises when integrating detailed smelter operation models (which include integer variables) into a large-scale power system planning model. We adopt an iterative decomposition framework based on the D3R method proposed by Lyu et al. [21], which provides a computationally efficient linear approximation of the complex industrial load constraints. The process begins by embedding this linearized smelter model obtained through the D3R framework into the main linear capacity expansion model. Solving this initial integrated model yields a first estimate of electricity system capacity expansion results and the hourly local marginal prices (LMPs) for electricity in each province. Then, these LMPs are fixed and used as an exogenous price signal for the detailed smelter operational models. This step, a core part of the decomposition, allows each smelter’s 8760-hourly cost-minimization problem (including all unit commitment constraints) to be solved independently and in parallel. Once the detailed optimal operational schedule (i.e., the 8760-hourly load profile) is determined for each smelter, we fix these schedules in the main capacity expansion model and then re-optimize all remaining power system planning and operational variables. This re-optimization generates a new, updated set of LMPs, which are fed back into the detailed smelter models. This entire process is iterated until the objective function of the planning model con-

verges—which our tests show occurs within several iterations—indicating a consistent, optimal solution for both system planning and smelter operation has been found. For more details, see Supplementary note 5.

4.7. Limitations and opportunities

Our study has several limitations that offer avenues for future research. Our model employs a top-down approach, projecting nationwide aluminum demand, which may differ from granular end-use forecasts [46]. However, our projected trends align with those of bottom-up studies [47]. We also simplify the distinction between primary/recycled aluminum, as well as market/trade dynamics. Regarding smelter representation, our model aggregates plants at the provincial level (see Supplementary Note 5.2 for details) and, due to computational constraints, assumes fixed capacity ratios between provinces. Future work could leverage efficient frameworks to optimize the spatial distribution of smelter retirement or migration, thereby capturing regional flexibility value, or, with enough data, offer plant-level operational strategies. We also do not differentiate between smelting technologies, retrofitting options, or stoichiometry of aluminum smelting [48, 31]. Finally, our co-optimization focuses on the aluminum smelting industry — the most electrified energy-intensive industry and one that is roughly ten times more energy-intensive per tonne of product than steelmaking [49, 50]. The aluminum smelting’s high electricity use and operational flexibility make it a prime candidate for studying grid interaction, but subsequent research should explore the co-optimization of multiple energy-intensive sectors to develop a more general understanding of how other energy-intensive industries can collectively manage structural overcapacity and navigate the low-carbon transition. More broadly, the question of how to leverage industrial-energy sector coupling to mitigate the socio-economic impacts of energy decarbonization and industrial restructuring represents a promising future research direction.

References

- [1] L. Tan, Z. Yang, M. Irfan, C. J. Ding, M. Hu, J. Hu, Toward low-carbon sustainable development: Exploring the impact of digital economy development and industrial restructuring, *Bus. Strategy Environ.* 33 (2024) 2159–2172.
- [2] B. Xie, C. Yang, W. Song, L. Song, H. Wang, The impact of environmental regulation on capacity utilization of china’s manufacturing

- industry: An empirical research based on the sector level, *Ecol. Indic.* 148 (2023) 110085.
- [3] Y. Li, Q. Yue, J. He, F. Zhao, H. Wang, When will the arrival of China's secondary aluminum era?, *Resour. Policy* 65 (2020) 101573.
 - [4] B. Yu, C. Shen, Environmental regulation and industrial capacity utilization: An empirical study of china, *J. Clean. Prod.* 246 (2020) 118986.
 - [5] J. Guo, H. Dong, H. Farzaneh, Y. Geng, C. L. Reddington, Uncovering the overcapacity feature of china's industry and the environmental & health co-benefits from de-capacity, *J. Environ. Manage.* 308 (2022) 114645.
 - [6] W. J. Cole, D. Greer, P. Denholm, A. W. Frazier, S. Machen, T. Mai, N. Vincent, S. F. Baldwin, Quantifying the challenge of reaching a 100% renewable energy power system for the United States, *Joule* 5 (2021) 1732–1748.
 - [7] J. D. Jenkins, N. A. Sepulveda, Long-duration energy storage: A blueprint for research and innovation, *Joule* 5 (2021) 2241–2246.
 - [8] H. Wei, M. Ma, H. Jiang, T. Capuder, F. Teng, G. Strbac, J. Zhang, N. Zhang, C. Kang, Generation and transmission expansion planning incorporating economically feasible heterogeneous demand-side resources, *Appl. Energy* 381 (2025) 125067.
 - [9] H. Golmohamadi, Demand-side management in industrial sector: A review of heavy industries, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 156 (2022) 111963.
 - [10] N. A. Sepulveda, J. D. Jenkins, F. J. De Sisternes, R. K. Lester, The Role of Firm Low-Carbon Electricity Resources in Deep Decarbonization of Power Generation, *Joule* 2 (2018) 2403–2420.
 - [11] R. Van Heerden, O. Y. Edelenbosch, V. Daioglou, T. Le Gallic, L. B. Baptista, A. Di Bella, F. P. Colelli, J. Emmerling, P. Fragkos, R. Hasse, J. Hoppe, P. Kishimoto, F. Leblanc, J. Lefèvre, G. Luderer, G. Marangoni, A. Mastrucci, H. Pettifor, R. Pietzcker, P. Rochedo,

- B. Van Ruijven, R. Schaeffer, C. Wilson, S. Yeh, E. Zisarou, D. Van Vuuren, Demand-side strategies enable rapid and deep cuts in buildings and transport emissions to 2050, *Nat. Energy* (2025).
- [12] J. Bistline, Variability in deeply decarbonized electricity systems, *Environmental Science & Technology* 55 (2021) 5629–5635.
 - [13] Aluminum Corporation of China Limited, 2020 Annual Report, Technical Report, Chinalco Finance and Asset Department (Board of Directors Office), 2021. URL: <https://www.chalco.com.cn/tzzgx/yjbg/ndbg/202104/P020210420669662364743.pdf>, accessed: 2025-09-10.
 - [14] L. Song, S. Van Ewijk, E. Masanet, T. Watari, F. Meng, J. M. Cullen, Z. Cao, W.-Q. Chen, China’s bulk material loops can be closed but deep decarbonization requires demand reduction, *Nat. Clim. Chang.* 13 (2023) 1136–1143.
 - [15] D. Eheliyagoda, J. Li, Y. Geng, X. Zeng, The role of china’s aluminum recycling on sustainable resource and emission pathways, *Resour. Policy* 76 (2022) 102552.
 - [16] D. Todd, M. Caufield, B. Helms, A. P. Generating, I. M. Starke, B. Kirby, J. Kueck, Providing reliability services through demand response: A preliminary evaluation of the demand response capabilities of alcoa inc, ORNL/TM 233 (2008) 1–52.
 - [17] C.-J. Wong, J. Bao, M. Skyllas-Kazacos, B. Welch, M. Mahmoud, A. Arkhipov, N. Ahli, Studies on Power Modulation of Aluminum Smelting Cells Based on a Discretized Mass and Thermal Dynamic Model, *Metall. Mater. Trans. B* 54 (2023) 562–577.
 - [18] A. T. Tabereaux, Loss in Cathode Life Resulting from the Shutdown and Restart of Potlines at Aluminum Smelters, in: G. Bearne, M. Dupuis, G. Tarcy (Eds.), *Essential Readings in Light Metals: Volume 2 Aluminum Reduction Technology*, Cham, 2016, pp. 723–728.
 - [19] K. J. Driscoll, The Economics of Shutting and Restarting Primary Aluminium Smelting Capacity, in: G. Bearne, M. Dupuis, G. Tarcy (Eds.), *Essential Readings in Light Metals: Volume 2 Aluminum Reduction Technology*, Cham, 2016, pp. 699–706.

- [20] Y. Shen, R. Lyu, H. Guo, C. Kang, An Improved Modeling Method for Electrolyte Aluminum Loads Considering Thermal Balance and Flexible Regulation Cost, in: 2025 IEEE International Conference on Power Systems and Smart Grid Technologies (PSSGT), 2025, pp. 331–337.
- [21] R. Lyu, H. Guo, G. Strbac, C. Kang, Data-Driven Dimension Reduction for Industrial Load Modeling Using Inverse Optimization, *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid* 16 (2025) 2695–2698.
- [22] H. Xueen, W. Xinshe, W. Jianpei, The silver declaration on baiyinpo: The record of the secondary startup of the electrolysis cell of luoyang yugang longquan aluminum co., ltd., *China’s Nonferr. Met.* 15 (2009) 54–57.
- [23] N. Lazzaro, High costs challenge smelter responses to aluminum oversupply: Alcoa, 2020. URL: <https://www.spglobal.com/commodity-insights/en/news-research/latest-news/metals/051420-high-costs-challenge-smelter-responses-to-aluminum-oversupply-alcoa>.
- [24] J. Coyne, Alcoa to restart 268,000 mt/year of aluminum smelting capacity in Brazil: company, 2021. URL: <https://www.spglobal.com/commodity-insights/en/news-research/latest-news/metals/092021-alcoa-to-restart-268000-mtyear-of-aluminum-smelting-capacity-in-brazil-company>.
- [25] China Nonferrous Metals News, China’s Recycled Aluminium Output Exceeds 10 Million Tons in 2024, 2025. URL: https://www.foundry.com.cn/lists/detail/a_id/2158/cate_id/2158/nav_id/1.html, accessed: 2025-09-10.
- [26] X. Zhou, K. Strunz, T. Brown, H. Sun, F. Neumann, Multi-energy system horizon planning: Early decarbonisation in China avoids stranded assets, *Energy Internet* 1 (2024) 81–98.
- [27] S. Li, T. Zhang, L. Niu, Q. Yue, Analysis of the development scenarios and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions in China’s aluminum industry till 2030, *J. Clean. Prod.* 290 (2021) 125859.
- [28] T. Peng, L. Ren, E. Du, X. Ou, X. Yan, Life Cycle Energy Consumption and Greenhouse Gas Emissions Analysis of Primary and Recycled Aluminum in China, *Processes* 10 (2022) 2299.

- [29] The Aluminum Association, Key Sustainability Performance Indicators for the Aluminum Can, Technical Report, The Aluminum Association, 2024. URL: https://www.aluminum.org/sites/default/files/2024-12/FINAL-2024_Aluminum-Can-KPI-Report.pdf, accessed: 2025-09-10.
- [30] H. Hatayama, I. Daigo, Y. Matsuno, Y. Adachi, Evolution of aluminum recycling initiated by the introduction of next-generation vehicles and scrap sorting technology, *Resources, Conservation and Recycling* 66 (2012) 8–14.
- [31] C. Tan, X. Yu, D. Li, T. Lei, Q. Hao, D. Guan, Different technology packages for aluminium smelters worldwide to deliver the 1.5 °C target, *Nat. Clim. Chang.* 15 (2025) 51–58.
- [32] M. Lukin, R. Jeltsch, Reduction Cell Restart Method Influence on Cell Life Evolution, in: B. A. Sadler (Ed.), *Light Metals 2013*, Cham, 2016, pp. 719–724.
- [33] L. Song, P. Wang, M. Hao, M. Dai, K. Xiang, N. Li, W.-Q. Chen, Mapping provincial steel stocks and flows in China: 1978-2050, *J. Clean. Prod.* 262 (2020) 121393.
- [34] S. Li, Z. Wang, Q. Yue, T. Zhang, Analysis of the quantity and spatial characterization of aluminum in-use stocks in China, *Resour. Policy* 79 (2022) 102979.
- [35] C. Farid, H. Luo, A. Gunawan, A. Pascale, E. Larson, EnergyPATHWAYS Model User Guide, Revision 1, Technical Report, Andlinger Center for Energy and the Environment, Princeton University, 2024. Accessed: 2025-09-16.
- [36] E. Larson, Net-zero America: Potential pathways, infrastructure, and impacts, Princeton University, 2020.
- [37] D. Davis, A. Pascale, A. Vecchi, B. Bharadwaj, R. Jones, T. Strawhorn, M. Tabatabaei, M. Lopez Peralta, Y. Zhang, J. Beiraghi, et al., Modelling summary report, Net Zero Australia, 2023.

- [38] Y. Chen, F. Guo, J. Wang, W. Cai, C. Wang, K. Wang, Provincial and gridded population projection for China under shared socioeconomic pathways from 2010 to 2100, *Sci. Data* 7 (2020) 83.
- [39] H. A. Øye, M. Sørli, Power failure, restart and repair, *Alum. Int. Today* (2011).
- [40] H. A. Øye, POWER FAILURE, TEMPORARY POT SHUT-DOWN, RESTART AND REPAIR, 27th Int. Alum. Conf. (2011).
- [41] R. K. SMITH, Analysis of hourly generation patterns at large coal-fired units and implications of transitioning from baseload to load-following electricity supplier, *J. Mod. Power Syst. Clean Energy* 7 (2019) 468–474.
- [42] Colliers, Map of Logistics and Warehouse Market Rental Prices in China for the Second Half of 2023, Colliers official website, 2024. Published: March 11, 2024. Retrieved from <https://www.colliers.com.cn/zh-cn/research/20240312logisticsrental>. Accessed: 2025-09-10.
- [43] F. Yang, X. Yang, X. Li, China’s diverse energy transition pathways toward carbon neutrality by 2060, *Sustain. Prod. Consum.* 47 (2024) 236–250.
- [44] J. Liu, T. Wang, Y. Wang, X. Lin, R. Zhou, K. Wang, China’s 1+N policy system supports an earlier peak in carbon emissions, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 215 (2025) 115626.
- [45] T. Brown, J. Hörsch, D. Schlachtberger, PyPSA: Python for Power System Analysis, *J. Open Res. Softw.* 6 (2018). [arXiv:1707.09913](https://arxiv.org/abs/1707.09913).
- [46] A. Lennon, The aluminium demand risk of terawatt photovoltaics for net zero emissions by 2050, *Nat. Sustain.* 5 (2022).
- [47] Y. Yang, H. Zhang, L. Wu, M. Wang, Supply potential, carbon emission reduction, energy conservation, and sustainable pathways for aluminum recycling in China, *Sustain. Prod. Consum.* 50 (2024) 239–252.
- [48] A. Shen, J. Zhang, Technologies for CO₂ emission reduction and low-carbon development in primary aluminum industry in China: A review, *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 189 (2024) 113965.

- [49] International Aluminium Institute, Primary Aluminium Smelting Power Consumption, Technical Report, International Aluminium Institute, 2023. Accessed: 2025-09-10.
- [50] A. Hasanbeigi, C. Springer, How Clean Is the U.S. Steel Industry?, 2019. URL: <http://www.globalefficiencyintel.com/us-steel-industry-benchmarking-energy-co2intensities>, accessed: 2025-09-10.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank Eric Larson for reviewing the paper, Jiaxiang Zhang, Xicheng Cha, Jiarui Li, and Yingrui Zhang for their assistance with data collection, and Zongzhi Du for providing consultation on the operation of aluminum corporations in China.