

Plumbings of lens spaces and crepant resolutions of compound A_n singularities

Bilun Xie Yin Li

Abstract

We prove that the completed derived wrapped Fukaya categories of certain affine A_n plumbings \mathring{W}_f of 3-dimensional lens spaces along circles are equivalent to the derived categories of coherent sheaves on crepant resolutions of the corresponding compound A_n (cA_n) singularities $\mathbb{C}\llbracket u, v, x, y \rrbracket / (uv - f(x, y))$. The proof relies on the verification of a conjecture of Lekili-Segal [32]. After localization, we obtain an equivalence between the derived wrapped Fukaya category of the (non-affine) A_n plumbing $W_f \supset \mathring{W}_f$ of lens spaces along circles and the relative singularity category of the crepant resolution. This generalizes the result of Smith-Wemyss [48] and partially answers their realization question. As a consequence, we obtain a faithful representation of the pure braid group PBr_{n+1} on the graded symplectic mapping class group of W_f when the corresponding cA_n singularity is isolated.

1 Introduction

The symplectic topology of cotangent bundles of smooth manifolds and their plumbings (at points) has been studied extensively in the literature, see for example [4, 5, 17, 18, 27]. It is natural to consider the next simplest case, namely the plumbings of cotangent bundles of manifolds which intersect with each other cleanly along smooth submanifolds of dimension ≥ 1 [1]. In [48], Smith and Wemyss studied the plumbings of two copies of T^*S^3 along a circle which is unknotted in both core spheres. These 6-dimensional Weinstein manifolds W_k are known as *double bubble plumbings* and their geometries depend on the identifications of the normal bundle of the intersecting circle, which are parametrized by $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Under mirror symmetry, the double bubble plumbings correspond to certain local Calabi-Yau 3-folds which contain two floppable $(-1, -1)$ -curves meeting at a point. The wrapped Fukaya categories $\mathcal{W}(W_k; \mathbb{K})$ of W_k over an arbitrary field \mathbb{K} are computed in [6] using a fiberwise version of the partially wrapped Fukaya category introduced by Abouzaid-Auroux [2], combined with the categorical localization induced by stop removal [21]. The same computational techniques actually generalize to A_n plumbings of cotangent bundles of spheres along unknotted circles, and they correspond, under mirror symmetry, to crepant resolutions of certain cA_n singularities.

In this paper, we study a more general class of plumbings of spherical 3-manifolds along circles, in the sense that

- the plumbing diagram is cyclic instead of linear,
- the spherical 3-manifolds are no longer required to be S^3 .

More precisely, consider the total space of a Morse-Bott fibration

$$\tilde{\pi} : \mathring{W}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^* \tag{1.1}$$




Figure 1: Base of the Morse-Bott fibration $\hat{\pi}$ when $n = 5$. The arcs α_i (in teal) connecting the critical values c_i and $c_{i+1 \bmod n}$ are matching paths of the Lagrangians Q_0, \dots, Q_n , while the arcs $\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_5$ (in orange) split-generate the relative wrapped Fukaya category $\mathcal{W}(T^*S^1, D_5)$.

over the cylinder. The smooth fibers of $\hat{\pi}$ are isomorphic to $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$, and there are $n + 1$ singular fibers located at the $(n + 1)$ -th roots of unity, i.e. $c_i = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{n+1})$, where $i = 0, \dots, n$. The fibers over the critical values c_i are all isomorphic to $(\mathbb{C} \vee \mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C}^*$, so the critical loci are always \mathbb{C}^* , although the corresponding vanishing cycles may be different. The fibration $\hat{\pi}$ has monodromies around both the large circle near infinity and the small circle around the origin, and we assume that the latter is trivial. Since the monodromy maps around the large circle are fibered Dehn twists [52], which act trivially on the homology of the fiber, we can fix a global basis a, b of $H_1(T^2; \mathbb{Z})$. We assume that with respect to the basis a, b , the vanishing cycles corresponding to the critical values c_i , $i = 0, \dots, n$ are given respectively by

$$k_i a \pm l_i b \text{ for } k_i, l_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \text{ such that at least one of } k_i \text{ and } l_i \text{ equals } 1. \quad (1.2)$$

We can equip \mathring{W}_f with a symplectic form $\omega_{\mathring{W}}$ so that the smooth fibers of $\hat{\pi}$ are symplectomorphic to T^*T^2 and symplectic parallel transport is globally defined away from the critical values of $\hat{\pi}$, see Section 2.1. Consider the arc $\alpha_i \subset \mathbb{C}^*$ connecting the critical values c_i and $c_{i+1 \bmod n}$ and avoiding the other critical values of $\hat{\pi}$. Parallel transporting the zero section of the fiber T^*T^2 along the arc α_i defines a closed exact Lagrangian submanifold $Q_i \subset \mathring{W}_f$, and we can realize \mathring{W}_f as the affine A_n plumbing of the cotangent bundles T^*Q_i for $0 \leq i \leq n$ along circles $Z_i = Q_i \cap Q_{i+1}$, $i = 0, \dots, n$, see Section 2.1. Since Q_i has Heegaard genus ≤ 1 , we conclude that it is diffeomorphic either to $S^1 \times S^2$ or a lens space $L(p, q)$ for some $(p, q) = 1$, where $(p, q) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ depends explicitly on $(k_i, \pm l_i)$ and $(k_{i+1}, \pm l_{i+1})$.

Example 1. Let $n = 1$, $(k_0, \pm l_0) = (1, 0)$ and $(k_1, \pm l_1) = (0, 1)$, then \mathring{W}_f is the plumbing of two T^*S^3 's along a Hopf link, such that the Morse-Bott surgery of the two 3-spheres is an exact Lagrangian T^3 . In fact, $\mathring{W}_f \subset \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^4$ can also be realized as the complete intersection defined by the equations

$$\begin{cases} u_1 v_1 = z + 1, \\ u_2 v_2 = z - 1, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

where $u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$. This log Calabi-Yau 3-fold is one of the examples studied in [3], Section 11. It carries a Lagrangian torus fibration and the SYZ mirror

construction yields $\mathring{Y}_f = Y_f \setminus D$, where Y_f is the resolved conifold $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$ and D is the pullback of the divisor $\{w_1 w_2 = 0\}$ on the conifold $\{uv = (1 + w_1)(1 + w_2)\} \subset \mathbb{C}^4$.

Example 2. Let $n = 2$, $(k_0, \pm l_0) = (1, 0)$, $(k_1, \pm l_1) = (0, 1)$ and $(k_2, \pm l_2) = (1, \pm k)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, then $\mathring{W}_f = \mathring{W}_k$ is an open dense subset of the double bubble plumbing W_k obtained by removing a smooth fiber from the Morse-Bott fibration $\pi : W_k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ described in [48], Section 4.1. In this case, Q_1 and Q_2 are both diffeomorphic to S^3 . As one of the two (mutually diffeomorphic) Morse-Bott surgeries of Q_1 and Q_2 , Q_0 is diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times S^2$ when $k = 0$ and diffeomorphic to the lens space $L(k, 1)$ when $k \geq 1$.¹

We can encode the data of the Morse-Bott fibration $\mathring{\pi} : \mathring{W}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ in the factorization of the polynomial

$$f(x, y) = f_0(x, y) \cdots f_n(x, y), \quad (1.4)$$

where

$$f_i(x, y) = y^{k_i} \pm x^{l_i}. \quad (1.5)$$

This explains the notation \mathring{W}_f used above to denote the affine A_n plumbing of T^*Q_i 's with the prescribed data. By our assumption (1.2), each $\{f_i(x, y) = 0\} \subset \mathbb{K}^2$ defines a smooth affine algebraic curve, so we obtain a (complete local) cA_n singularity

$$\hat{R}_f = \frac{\mathbb{K}[[u, v, x, y]]}{uv - f(x, y)}, \quad (1.6)$$

which admits a crepant resolution (cf. [29] and Lemma 18 below)

$$\hat{\phi} : \hat{Y}_f \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\hat{R}_f), \quad (1.7)$$

where \hat{Y}_f is a normal Calabi-Yau variety and $\hat{\phi}^{-1}(0) = C_1 \cup \cdots \cup C_n$ consists of a chain of transversely intersecting rational curves. Note that the orderings of the polynomials $f_i(x, y)$ in the factorization (1.4) of $f(x, y)$ uniquely determine the specific crepant resolution $\hat{\phi}$. The cA_n singularity (1.6) is in general not isolated. For it to be isolated, one needs to impose the assumption

$$(k_i, \pm l_i) \neq (k_j, \pm l_j) \text{ for } 0 \leq i \neq j \leq n. \quad (1.8)$$

Symplectically, this is equivalent to requiring that none of the Lagrangian submanifolds Q_0, \dots, Q_n in the plumbing \mathring{W}_f , nor the Morse-Bott surgeries among any of them, are diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times S^2$.

The wrapped Fukaya category $\mathcal{W}(\mathring{W}_f; \mathbb{K})$ of \mathring{W}_f can be computed via counting holomorphic sections of the Morse-Bott fibration $\mathring{\pi} : \mathring{W}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$, see Section 3. Note that since $\mathring{\pi} : \mathring{W}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ carries a fiberwise Hamiltonian T^2 -action, $\mathcal{W}(\mathring{W}_f; \mathbb{K})$ is an A_∞ -category over the Laurent polynomial ring $\mathbb{K}[s_1^{\pm 1}, s_2^{\pm 1}]$, where the variables s_1 and s_2 arise as Seidel elements (see Section 2.3) in the unit group $SH^0(\mathring{W}_f; \mathbb{K})^\times \subset SH^0(\mathring{W}_f; \mathbb{K})$ of the degree 0 symplectic cohomology associated to the Hamiltonian T^2 -action. We denote by $\mathcal{W}(\mathring{W}_f; \mathbb{K})[[s_1 + 1, s_2 + 1]]$ the completion of $\mathcal{W}(\mathring{W}_f; \mathbb{K})$ at $s_1 = s_2 = -1$. After changing the variables $x = s_1 + 1$, $y = s_2 + 1$, we will simply write

$$\mathcal{W}(\mathring{W}_f; \mathbb{K})[[x, y]]. \quad (1.9)$$

On the other hand, since \mathring{W}_f is a Weinstein manifold, the morphism spaces of the wrapped Fukaya category $\mathcal{W}(\mathring{W}_f; \mathbb{K})$ carries a filtration by lengths of the word of the concatenation

¹The reader should notice the notation difference with [6], where the Lagrangian cores spheres in the plumbing W_k are denoted by Q_0 and Q_1 . Our notation is however compatible with [48].

of Hamiltonian chords. This gives rise to a second completion of $\mathcal{W}(\mathring{W}_f; \mathbb{K})$, which is also an A_∞ -category

$$\widehat{\mathcal{W}}(\mathring{W}_f; \mathbb{K}) \quad (1.10)$$

over $\mathbb{K}[[x, y]]$, and can be regarded as a further completion of $\mathcal{W}(\mathring{W}_f; \mathbb{K})[[x, y]]$. In fact, taking a Legendrian surgery perspective, it is not hard to see that $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}(\mathring{W}_f; \mathbb{K})$ can be equivalently described by the *completed Chekanov-Eliashberg dg algebra* introduced by Ekholm-Lekili [17], see Section 2.4. Our computation of the wrapped Fukaya category $\mathcal{W}(\mathring{W}_f; \mathbb{K})$ then implies the following complete local version of homological mirror symmetry for crepant resolutions of cA_n singularities.

Theorem 3. *Let \mathbb{K} be any field, and suppose that the pairs $(k_i, \pm l_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}$ for $i = 0, \dots, n$ are as in (1.2). Then there is an equivalence*

$$D^{\text{perf}} \widehat{\mathcal{W}}(\mathring{W}_f; \mathbb{K}) \simeq D^b \text{Coh}(\widehat{Y}_f), \quad (1.11)$$

where $D^{\text{perf}} \widehat{\mathcal{W}}(\mathring{W}_f; \mathbb{K})$ is the derived category of perfect A_∞ -modules over $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}(\mathring{W}_f; \mathbb{K})$ and $D^b \text{Coh}(\widehat{Y}_f)$ is the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves on \widehat{Y}_f .

Remark 4. (i) *One should view Theorem 3 as an extension in dimension 3 of [25], Theorem 28, which establishes a fully faithful embedding*

$$D^b \text{Coh}_c(X_n) \hookrightarrow D^{\text{perf}} \mathcal{F}(\mathring{A}_n; \mathbb{K}) \quad (1.12)$$

from the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves supported on the exceptional curves of the minimal resolution $X_n \rightarrow \text{Spec} \left(\frac{\mathbb{K}[u, v, x]}{uv - x^{n+1}} \right)$ of the A_n surface singularity into the Fukaya category of compact Lagrangians in the affine A_n plumbing \mathring{A}_n of T^*S^2 's, whose image is generated by the core spheres. In our case, the completed wrapped Fukaya category $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}(\mathring{W}_f; \mathbb{K})$ is related to the A_∞ -subcategory of the compact Fukaya category $\mathcal{F}(\mathring{W}_f; \mathbb{K})$ generated by Q_0, \dots, Q_n via A_∞ -Koszul duality, see Section 2.4. On the B-side, a similar fact is proved by Ballard, see [8], Theorem 1 and Theorem 3.2, although when applying these results to Noetherian schemes, additional care needs to be taken (cf. [8], Remark 3.8). If one assumes the results of [8] hold in our case, then one would get from Theorem 3 a fully faithful embedding

$$D^b \text{Coh}_c(\widehat{Y}_f) \hookrightarrow D^{\text{perf}} \mathcal{F}(\mathring{W}_f; \mathbb{K}), \quad (1.13)$$

from the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves supported on the exceptional curves $C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_n \subset \widehat{Y}_f$, whose image is generated by $Q_0, \dots, Q_n \subset \mathring{W}_f$.

(ii) *Ultimately one may want to work algebraically instead of proving homological mirror symmetry in the complete local setting. For that one needs to remove a divisor from the crepant resolution*

$$\phi : Y_f \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_f) \quad (1.14)$$

of the algebraic cA_n singularity $R_f = \frac{\mathbb{K}[u, v, x, y]}{uv - f(x, y)}$ and consider the complement \mathring{Y}_f , so that \mathring{Y}_f is a log Calabi-Yau variety containing the chain of exceptional curves $\phi^{-1}(0) = C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_n$. We expect that there should be an equivalence $D^{\text{perf}} \mathcal{W}(\mathring{W}_f; \mathbb{K}) \simeq D^b \text{Coh}(\mathring{Y}_f)$. When \mathring{W}_f is the plumbing of two T^*S^3 's along the Hopf link, the mirror \mathring{Y}_f is described in Example 1 and such an equivalence has been proved in [12].

Let $D_n \subset \mathbb{C}^*$ be the subset consisting of the $n + 1$ distinct points $c_0, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Let $\mathcal{W}(T^*S^1, D_n)$ be the relative wrapped Fukaya category [39], which is an A_∞ -category linear over the power series ring $\widehat{R} = \mathbb{K}[[t_0, \dots, t_n]]$. It is proved in [15] that after the base change

$$\widehat{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}[[x, y]], \quad t_i \mapsto f_i(x, y), \quad i = 0, \dots, n, \quad (1.15)$$

we have an equivalence

$$D^{perf}\widehat{\mathcal{W}}(T^*S^1, D_n) \otimes_{\widehat{R}} \mathbb{K}[[x, y]] \simeq D^b \text{Coh}(\widehat{Y}_f), \quad (1.16)$$

where $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}(T^*S^1, D_n)$ is again the completion of $\mathcal{W}(T^*S^1, D_n)$ with respect to the word length filtration on its morphism spaces. Thus Theorem 3 implies the equivalence

$$D^{perf}\widehat{\mathcal{W}}(T^*S^1, D_n) \otimes_{\widehat{R}} \mathbb{K}[[x, y]] \simeq D^{perf}\widehat{\mathcal{W}}(\mathring{W}_f; \mathbb{K}). \quad (1.17)$$

In fact, during the process of establishing Theorem 3, we will prove a stronger result (see also Proposition 31 for a more precise statement).

Proposition 5. *Assuming (1.2), there is an equivalence*

$$D^{perf}\mathcal{W}(T^*S^1, D_n) \otimes_{\widehat{R}} \mathbb{K}[[x, y]] \simeq D^{perf}\mathcal{W}(\mathring{W}_f; \mathbb{K})[[x, y]]. \quad (1.18)$$

In [32], the authors studied the Fukaya categories of algebraic torus fibrations (i.e. $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ -fibrations over Liouville manifolds carrying fiberwise Hamiltonian T^n -actions) and conjectured the relations between the wrapped Fukaya category of the total space and that of its base. In particular, our Morse-Bott fibration $\hat{\pi} : \mathring{W}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ is an algebraic torus fibration in the sense of [32], and Proposition 5 confirms [32], Conjecture E² in this case. For some other interesting instances of their conjecture, see [31].

After filling in the origin of the base of the Morse-Bott fibration $\hat{\pi} : \mathring{W}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ with a smooth fiber isomorphic to $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ (recall that the monodromy around the origin has been assumed to be trivial), we get a new Morse-Bott fibration

$$\pi : W_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (1.19)$$

see Figure 11 for a description of its base (after deforming π so that the critical values c_0, \dots, c_n become real). Equipping W_f with an appropriate symplectic structure ω_W , it is symplectically equivalent to an A_n plumbing of the cotangent bundles $T^*Q_1 \cdots, T^*Q_n$ along circles. Localizing on both sides of the equivalence (1.11) with respect to the idempotents associated to the cotangent fiber of Q_0 and the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Y}_f}$, respectively, we get the equivalence

$$D^{perf}\widehat{\mathcal{W}}(W_f; \mathbb{K}) \simeq D^b \text{Coh}(\widehat{Y}_f) / \langle \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Y}_f} \rangle, \quad (1.20)$$

where $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}(W_f; \mathbb{K})$ is the completion with respect to the word length filtration of the wrapped Fukaya category $\mathcal{W}(W_f; \mathbb{K})$, and the right-hand side is the *relative singularity category* associated to the crepant resolution $\hat{\phi} : \widehat{Y}_f \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\widehat{R}_f)$ in the sense of Kalck-Yang [26]. But more interestingly, we have the following.

Theorem 6. *Assume (1.2) holds. Suppose in addition that the Lagrangian submanifold $Q_0 \subset \mathring{W}_f$ is not diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times S^2$, or in other words $(k_0, \pm l_0) \neq (k_n, \pm l_n)$, then we have an equivalence*

$$D^{perf}\mathcal{W}(W_f; \mathbb{K}) \simeq D^b \text{Coh}(Y_f) / \langle \mathcal{O}_{Y_f} \rangle. \quad (1.21)$$

Note that in the above, the homological mirror symmetry equivalence (1.21) is established for the *uncompleted* wrapped Fukaya category and the crepant resolution of the *algebraic* cA_n singularity $R_f = \frac{\mathbb{K}[u, v, x, y]}{uv - f(x, y)}$. Theorem 6 partially answers the realization question raised by Smith-Wemyss, see [48], Section 7. This also generalizes the homological mirror symmetry for the double bubble plumbing W_k proved in [6] and [48], which holds when $k \geq 1$.

²In [32], Conjecture E, Lekili-Segal considered only the simplest case when the algebraic torus fibration has rank $n = 1$, but the same heuristic argument can be extended to higher rank cases.

Remark 7. *The Lagrangian submanifold $Q_0 \subset W_f$ is obtained by performing iterated Morse-Bott surgeries of the cleanly intersecting Lagrangian submanifolds Q_1, \dots, Q_n . In this sense, the assumption of Theorem 6 is a natural generalization of the condition that $k \neq 0$ in the case of double bubble plumbings W_k .*

The reason why an algebraic version of homological mirror symmetry as in Theorem 6 would hold is that the equivalence

$$D^{perf} \mathcal{W}(\mathbb{C}, D_n) \otimes_R \mathbb{K}[x, y] \simeq D^b \text{Coh}(Y_f) / \langle \mathcal{O}_{Y_f} \rangle \quad (1.22)$$

between the derived relative wrapped Fukaya category of (\mathbb{C}, D_n) and the relative singularity category proved in [15] already holds before passing to the completion, where $D_n \subset \mathbb{C}$ is the set of points $\{c_0, \dots, c_n\}$ and $R = \mathbb{K}[t_0, \dots, t_n]$. The only place that requires the completion is [32], Conjecture E (cf. Proposition 5 above). However, after the localization from T^*S^1 to \mathbb{C} , we have an uncompleted analogue of the equivalence (1.18), see also Remark 35.

Proposition 8. *Under the same assumption as in Theorem 6, there is an equivalence*

$$D^{perf} \mathcal{W}(\mathbb{C}, D_n) \otimes_R \mathbb{K}[x, y] \simeq D^{perf} \mathcal{W}(W_f; \mathbb{K}). \quad (1.23)$$

We now turn our attention to the homological mirror symmetry for compact cores. The Fukaya category $\mathcal{F}(W_f; \mathbb{K})$ of compact exact Lagrangian submanifolds in W_f can be recovered from the wrapped Fukaya category $\mathcal{W}(W_f; \mathbb{K})$ via the generalized version of the Eilenberg-Moore equivalence due to Ekholm-Lekili [17]. There is a parallel statement on the B-side (cf. Section 4.2.3), which recovers the subcategory

$$D^b \text{Coh}_c^*(Y_f) := \langle \mathcal{O}_{C_1}(-1), \dots, \mathcal{O}_{C_n}(-1) \rangle \subset D^b \text{Coh}(Y_f). \quad (1.24)$$

Note that there is a fully faithful embedding $D^b \text{Coh}_c^*(Y_f) \hookrightarrow D^b \text{Coh}_c(Y_f)$ into the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves supported on the exceptional locus $\phi^{-1}(0) = C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_n$. Combining with Theorem 6 we obtain the following.

Corollary 9. *Under the same assumption as in Theorem 6, we have a fully faithful embedding*

$$D^b \text{Coh}_c^*(Y_f) \hookrightarrow D^{perf} \mathcal{F}(W_f; \mathbb{K}), \quad (1.25)$$

which is an equivalence if the Lagrangian submanifolds $Q_1, \dots, Q_n \subset W_f$ split-generate the compact Fukaya category $\mathcal{F}(W_f; \mathbb{K})$.

Remark 10. *It is proved in [48], Section 4.6 that Q_1, Q_2 split-generate $\mathcal{F}(W_k; \mathbb{K})$ when $k = 1$ or $k = p$ is an odd prime and $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = p$. In general, one can equip the lens spaces Q_i with non-trivial local systems to produce mutually orthogonal objects in the Fukaya category $\mathcal{F}(W_k; \mathbb{K})$, so (1.25) is not always an equivalence.*

Let $\pi_0 \text{Symp}_{gr}(W_f)$ be the graded symplectic mapping class group of W_f . There is a natural representation

$$\rho_f : PBr_{n+1} \rightarrow \pi_0 \text{Symp}_{gr}(W_f) \quad (1.26)$$

whose image is generated by the (generalized) Dehn twists [37] on the Lagrangians $Q_1, \dots, Q_n \subset W_f$ and their Morse-Bott surgeries. The homological mirror symmetry equivalences established for W_f enables us to obtain the following generalization of [48], Corollary 1.5.

Proposition 11. *Suppose that (1.2) and (1.8) hold, then the natural representation ρ_f is faithful.*

Remark 12. *In the above, the assumption (1.8) is necessary since an exact Lagrangian $S^1 \times S^2$ gives rise to a 2-dimensional fat spherical object in the Fukaya category $\mathcal{F}(W_f; \mathbb{K})$, while an exact Lagrangian lens space is a 3-dimensional one. Because of this difference, when (1.8) fails, the autoequivalence coming from an exact Lagrangian $S^1 \times S^2$ no longer lies in the pure braid group PBr_{n+1} . See for example [48], Lemma 4.11. The same phenomenon also appears on the B-side, see [51], Section 1.9.*

The results stated in the introduction involve several different assumptions. To avoid confusions and providing a clearer picture, we summarize below the relations between different assumptions. We first label the assumptions that have been used.

Label of Assumption	Content
A	(1.2), i.e. every Q_i is either $S^1 \times S^2$ or a lens space
B	(1.2) and $(k_0, \pm l_0) \neq (k_n, \pm l_n)$, i.e. Q_0 is not diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times S^2$
C	(1.2) and (1.8), i.e. none of Q_i and the Morse-Bott surgeries among Q_i 's are diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times S^2$, or the corresponding cA_n singularity is isolated

It is clear that the strengths of these assumptions satisfy $A < B < C$. The following table summarizes the assumptions needed for different results.

Result	Assumption needed
Theorem 3	A
Proposition 5	A
Theorem 6	B
Proposition 8	B
Corollary 9	B
Proposition 11	C

We end the introduction by providing an outline of the paper. In Section 2, we recall some preliminary facts about plumbings of cotangent bundles and crepant resolutions of cA_n singularities. In Section 3, we compute the wrapped Fukaya category of \hat{W}_f over any field \mathbb{K} . This is then used in Section 4 to prove the results concerning homological mirror symmetry and braid group actions.

Acknowledgements

Many of the results presented in this paper are part of the master thesis of BX [53]. We thank Uppsala University for providing the excellent research environment. YL would also like to thank Johan Asplund for the collaboration of [6] and Yankı Lekili for useful discussions related to [32], Conjecture E in 2024.

2 Preliminaries

We cover here some preliminary facts which are relevant to the main contents of this paper.

2.1 Plumbings of cotangent bundles

Fix two closed smooth n -dimensional manifolds Q_1 and Q_2 which intersect along a smooth k -dimensional submanifold $Z = Q_1 \cap Q_2$. Given any identification

$$\eta : \nu_{Z/Q_1} \xrightarrow{\cong} \nu_{Z/Q_2} \quad (2.1)$$

between the normal bundles of Z , we can construct a Stein manifold $W_\eta = T^*Q_1 \#_{Z,\eta} T^*Q_2$, called the *plumbing* of the cotangent bundles of Q_1 and Q_2 along Z with respect to the identification η . More precisely, let D^*Q_i , $i = 1, 2$ be the disc cotangent bundles, then by the Weinstein neighborhood theorem there exist open neighborhoods $U(Z)_i$ of $D^*Z \subset D^*Q_i$, which are symplectomorphic to disc subbundles of $\nu_{Z/Q_i} \times \mathbb{C}$ over Z . Using the identification

$$\eta \otimes \sqrt{-1} : U(Z)_1 \xrightarrow{\cong} U(Z)_2, \quad (2.2)$$

we can glue the Weinstein neighborhoods together to obtain a Stein domain \overline{W}_η . The plumbing W_η is the completion of \overline{W}_η . Repeating this construction, one can construct plumbings of cotangent bundles of cleanly intersecting smooth manifolds according to any plumbing diagram.

When Q_1 and Q_2 in the above are two closed 3-manifolds intersecting cleanly along a circle $Z = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cong S^1$, then since the normal bundles ν_{Z/Q_1} and ν_{Z/Q_2} are trivial, the possible isomorphisms (2.1) form a torsor for \mathbb{Z} , and we may therefore write $\eta = k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

More specifically, if $Q_1 \cong Q_2 \cong S^3$, it is proved in [48], Lemma 2.4 that any one of the two Morse-Bott surgery $K \subset T^*Q_1 \#_{Z,k} T^*Q_2$ of Q_1 and Q_2 along Z satisfies $H^*(K; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^*(S^1 \times S^2; \mathbb{Z})$ when $k = 0$ and $H^*(K; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/|k|$ when $k \neq 0$. In particular, when Z is an unknot in both Q_1 and Q_2 , then $K \subset W_k$ is diffeomorphic either to $S^1 \times S^2$ when $k = 0$ or a lens space $L(|k|, 1)$ when $k \neq 0$. The same argument as in the proof of [48], Lemma 2.4 actually extends to the case when Q_1 and Q_2 are lens spaces, and we have the following.

Proposition 13. *Let Q_1 and Q_2 be lens spaces, then their Morse-Bott surgery K of Q_1 and Q_2 in the plumbing $T^*Q_1 \#_{Z,k} T^*Q_2$ is either a homology $S^1 \times S^2$ or a homology lens space.*

Proof. For the reader's convenience, we briefly sketch the argument. Using the surgery exact triangle associated to clean intersections [36], we see that in the Fukaya category $\mathcal{F}(T^*Q_1 \#_{Z,k} T^*Q_2; \mathbb{Z})$, there is a quasi-isomorphism

$$K \simeq \text{Cone}(Q_1 \xrightarrow{\kappa} Q_2) \quad (2.3)$$

between the Morse-Bott surgery K of Q_1 and Q_2 and the mapping cone associated to the morphism $\kappa \in HF^1(Q_1, Q_2)$ which is a multiple of the unique degree 1 generator corresponding to the fundamental class of Z . Since the mapping cone is indecomposable, $\kappa \in HF^1(Q_1, Q_2)$ is primitive. Since K is exact, the endomorphism space $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(K, K)$ of $\text{Cone}(Q_1 \xrightarrow{\kappa} Q_2)$ in the Fukaya category $\mathcal{F}(T^*Q_1 \#_{Z,k} T^*Q_2; \mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to $H^*(K; \mathbb{Z})$, so we only need to compute $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(K, K)$, which is determined by the Massey product $\mu^3(e, f, e)$ in a minimal model of the Fukaya A_∞ -algebra $\bigoplus_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2} CF^*(Q_i, Q_j)$ over \mathbb{Z} , where $e \in HF^1(Q_1, Q_2)$ and $f \in HF^2(Q_2, Q_1)$ are generators. Since $\mu^3(e, f, e) = \lambda \cdot f^\vee$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $f^\vee \in HF^2(Q_1, Q_2)$, the result follows. \square

In general, the Morse-Bott surgery K of two lens spaces Q_1 and Q_2 can fail to be a lens space. However, as we will see below, this is not going to happen for the specific examples treated in this paper.

We now restrict ourselves to the specific situation of \mathring{W}_f , which is defined as the total space of a Morse-Bott fibration $\hat{\pi} : \mathring{W}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$, with data specified by the factorization (1.4). We equip it with a plurisubharmonic function $\rho : \mathring{W}_f \rightarrow (0, \infty)$. To define it, we start with the function

$$\rho_F(z_1, z_2) = |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 + \frac{1}{|z_1|^2} + \frac{1}{|z_2|^2} \quad (2.4)$$

on a smooth fiber direction of $\mathring{\pi}$, where z_1, z_2 are complex coordinates on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$. In fact, one can view the Morse-Bott fibration $\mathring{\pi} : \mathring{W}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ as a fiber connected sums of $n + 1$ elementary Morse-Bott fibrations $\pi_i : E_i \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $i = 0, \dots, n$, such that each π_i has a unique singular fiber over c_i . After taking the completion, each π_i is equivalent to the standard fibration $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by xy , where $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^*$ are complex coordinates. Since the coordinate z_1 corresponds to xy , while z_2 corresponds to z , it is clear that the function ρ_F can be extended to the singular fiber of π_i , giving a function on E_i . One can then use the biholomorphic coordinate transformations between different E_i 's to get a function on \mathring{W}_f , which we still denote by ρ_F by abuse of notations. Define $\rho_B : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ to be the function $2 \left(|z_3|^2 + \frac{1}{|z_3|^2} \right)$, where z_3 is the complex coordinate on \mathbb{C}^* and the constant 2 is added for computational convenience. The plurisubharmonic function $\rho : \mathring{W}_f \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is defined as

$$\rho = \rho_F + \mathring{\pi}^* \rho_B. \quad (2.5)$$

We equip \mathring{W}_f with the Kähler form $\omega_{\mathring{W}} := -dd^{\mathbb{C}}\rho$. Let Z be the Liouville vector field that is dual to the 1-form $\theta_{\mathring{W}} = -d^{\mathbb{C}}\rho$, and denote by ϕ_Z^t its time- t flow. Recall that the *skeleton* of \mathring{W}_f is the subset $\text{Sk}(\mathring{W}_f) := \bigcap_{t>0} \phi_Z^{-t}(\overline{\mathring{W}_f})$, where $\overline{\mathring{W}_f} \subset \mathring{W}_f$ is the corresponding Liouville domain. Since \mathring{W}_f is Weinstein, it is a Lagrangian subset.

Lemma 14. *Symplectic parallel transport is globally defined for paths away from critical values of $\mathring{\pi} : \mathring{W}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$, and it is compatible with the Hamiltonian T^2 -action rotating the fibers $\mathring{\pi}$.*

Proof. This is similar to [48], Lemma 4.1, see also Lemma 4.5 loc. cit. The global parallel transport is obtained by viewing \mathring{W}_f as a fiber sum of elementary Morse-Bott fibrations $\pi_i : E_i \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and patching together locally defined parallel transport maps, which are T^2 -equivariant. Here we use the fact that the monodromy of $\mathring{\pi}$ around the origin is trivial. \square

The following is a generalization of [30], Lemma 3.3.

Lemma 15. *The Weinstein manifold \mathring{W}_f is symplectically equivalent to the affine A_n plumbing of the cotangent bundles T^*Q_0, \dots, T^*Q_n along circles.*

Proof. The structure of the Morse-Bott fibration $\mathring{\pi} : \mathring{W}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ induces in a natural way the splitting of the Liouville vector field $Z = Z_F + Z_B$ away from the critical loci of $\mathring{\pi}$.

When restricted to a smooth fiber F of $\mathring{\pi}$, the vector field Z_F is dual to the Liouville 1-form $i^*\theta_{\mathring{W}}$, where $i : F \hookrightarrow \mathring{W}_f$ is the inclusion of the fiber. By our construction of the Kähler potential ρ , it follows that

$$i^*\theta_{\mathring{W}} = -i^* \left(d^{\mathbb{C}} \left(|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 + \frac{1}{|z_1|^2} + \frac{1}{|z_2|^2} \right) \right). \quad (2.6)$$

Thus Z_F vanishes whenever $|z_1| = |z_2| = 1$, and its flow scales $\log |z_i|$ over positive time for $i = 1, 2$.

Symplectic parallel transport is well-defined by Lemma 14, and it is compatible with the fiberwise Hamiltonian T^2 -action on $\mathring{\pi} : \mathring{W}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$, preserving $|z_1|$ and $|z_2|$. In particular, any point in \mathring{W}_f which does not satisfy $|z_1| = |z_2| = 1$ flows off to infinity, so the Lagrangian skeleton $\text{Sk}(\mathring{W}_f)$ is contained in the subset $\{|z_1| = |z_2| = 1\} \subset \mathring{W}_f$.

To determine $\text{Sk}(\mathring{W}_f) \subset \mathring{W}_f$, it remains to analyze the Liouville flow on $\{|z_1| = |z_2| = 1\}$. T^2 -equivariance implies that the symplectic form $\omega_{\mathring{W}}$ restricted to $\{|z_1| = |z_2| = 1\}$ is the




Figure 2: Gradient vector field and flow lines (in orange) of the function (2.7) when $n = 3$ (picture created by ChatGPT)

pullback of a symplectic form ω_B on the base \mathbb{C}^* . By construction, the Kähler potential ρ restricted to $\{|z_1| = |z_2| = 1\}$ is given by

$$\rho(z_3) = 2 \sum_{i=0}^n |z_3 - c_i| + 2 \left(|z_3|^2 + \frac{1}{|z_3|^2} \right). \quad (2.7)$$

On $\{|z_1| = |z_2| = 1\}$, $Z = Z_B$ is the gradient vector field of $\rho(z_3)$ with respect to the restriction of $\omega_{\hat{W}}$ to $\{|z_1| = |z_2| = 1\}$. This is the same as the pullback of the gradient flow of $\rho(z_3)$ on \mathbb{C}^* with respect to the metric associated to ω_B . See Figure 2 for a plot of the vector field $\nabla \rho(z_3)$ when $n = 3$.

Recall that the c_i 's are $n+1$ roots of unity. Calculating the critical points of (2.7), one can show that there exist $n+1$ saddle points $s_0, \dots, s_n \in \mathbb{C}^*$ with angular coordinates $\arg(c_i) < \arg(s_i) < \arg(c_{i+1 \bmod n+1})$ and modulus $\frac{1}{2} < |s_i| < 1$ for $i = 0, \dots, n$. After a small perturbation of ω_B , there are unique gradient flow lines from s_i to c_i and c_{i+1} , whose concatenation $\alpha_i \subset \mathbb{C}^*$ gives the matching path for the Lagrangian core Q_i . This proves that $\text{Sk}(\hat{W}_f) = \bigcup_{i=0}^n Q_i$, therefore \hat{W}_f is the plumbing of T^*Q_0, \dots, T^*Q_n along circles. \square

Let $Q_i, Q_{i+1 \bmod n+1} \subset \hat{W}_f$ be two adjacent Lagrangian lens spaces in the affine A_n plumbing. In this specific setting, up to Hamiltonian isotopy the Morse-Bott surgery $K \subset \hat{W}_f$ is one of the two (non-isotopic) matching cycles associated to the paths connecting the critical values c_i to $c_{i+2 \bmod n+1}$ of $\hat{\pi}$, which is necessarily diffeomorphic to $L(p, q)$ for some coprime $(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2$ (recall that $S^1 \times S^2 = L(0, 1)$). The same is true for Morse-Bott surgeries of more than two Lagrangian matching cycles.

Remark 16. The circle $Z_i = Q_i \cap Q_{i+1}$ is in general not an unknot in Q_i (or Q_{i+1}), in the sense that it does not bound an embedded disc. It is an unknot in Q_i (or Q_{i+1}), however, when Q_i (or Q_{i+1}) is diffeomorphic to S^3 .

Consider the partial compactification W_f of \hat{W}_f by filling in a smooth fiber $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ above the origin of the Morse-Bott fibration $\hat{\pi} : \hat{W}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Without changing the complex

structure on W_f , we can deform the Morse-Bott fibration $\pi : W_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ obtained by filling in the origin of \mathbb{C} so that the new critical values c'_0, \dots, c'_n now lie on the real line. Equip W_f with a symplectic form ω_W coming from the Kähler potential $\rho' = \rho_F + \pi^* \rho_{\mathbb{C}}$, where $\rho_F : W_f \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is the fiberwise potential defined in the same way as above, which takes the form (2.4) when restricted to a smooth fiber of $\pi : W_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, and $\rho_{\mathbb{C}} = 2|z_3|^2$, we see that W_f is a Weinstein manifold. Similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 15 implies the following.

Lemma 17. *The Weinstein manifold W_f is symplectically equivalent to the A_n plumbing of the cotangent bundles T^*Q_1, \dots, T^*Q_n along circles.*

Proof. Running the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 15, we are reduced to studying the restriction of the Kähler potential $\rho' = \rho_F + \pi^* \rho_{\mathbb{C}}$ to the subset $\{|z_1| = |z_2| = 1\} \subset W_f$, where z_1 and z_2 are complex coordinates on the fiber of $\pi : W_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, which is given explicitly by

$$\rho'(z_3) = 2 \sum_{i=0}^n |z_3 - c'_i| + 2|z_3|^2. \quad (2.8)$$

In this case, the gradient flow lines of ρ' shrink to line segments connecting $c'_0, \dots, c'_n \in \mathbb{R}$ when $t \rightarrow -\infty$. \square

2.2 Crepant resolutions of cA_n singularities

In this subsection we work in the algebraic setting, as the story in the complete local setting is similar. Consider the cA_n singularity

$$R_f = \frac{\mathbb{K}[u, v, x, y]}{uv - f(x, y)}, \quad (2.9)$$

where $f(x, y) = f_0(x, y) \cdots f_n(x, y)$ is defined as in (1.5). We assume \mathbb{K} to be an arbitrary field. When $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$, everything discussed here is well-known, see [29].

Lemma 18. *Assume that (1.8) holds, so that . The affine variety $\text{Spec}(R_f)$ admits a specific crepant resolution $\phi : Y_f \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_f)$ containing a chain of rational curves C_1, \dots, C_n such that $C_i \cap C_{i+1}$ transversely at a unique point for $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ and $C_i \cap C_j = \emptyset$ if $|i - j| > 1$. Moreover, $C_i \subset \phi^{-1}(0)$ is either a $(-1, -1)$ -curve or a $(0, -2)$ -curve.*

Proof. One can argue similarly as in [48], Lemma 3.1. In our case, however, we shall use in addition the fact that

$$\varphi_k : Z_k \rightarrow \text{Spec} \left(\frac{\mathbb{K}[u, v, x, y]}{uv - x(x + y^k)} \right), \quad (2.10)$$

which is the blow-up of the ideal (u, x) , is a projective birational morphism with a single $(-2, 0)$ -curve as the exceptional locus when $k > 1$, regardless of $\text{char}(\mathbb{K})$. This is proved in the same way as in the case $k = 1$, which is done in [48], Lemme 3.1, by applying Zariski's main theorem and writing down the Čech complex for \mathcal{O}_{Z_k} , since the partial resolution φ_k is obtained by blowing up the same ideal.

Without loss of generality, we can assume $n \geq 2$. To construct the specific crepant resolution ϕ , we first blow up the ideal (u, f_0) to obtain $\phi_1 : Y_1 \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_f)$, where the variety Y_1 is covered by two open charts

$$\text{Spec}(\mathbb{K}[u, x, S]) \text{ and } \text{Spec} \left(\frac{\mathbb{K}[v, x, y, T]}{(vT - f_1(x, y) \cdots f_n(x, y))} \right), \quad (2.11)$$

where $S = \frac{f_0}{u}$ and $T = \frac{u}{f_0}$ patch to define a $\mathbb{P}^1 \subset Y_1$. Since $\text{Spec}(R_f)$ is normal, Zariski's main theorem combined with Čech cohomology calculations show that the morphism ϕ_0 is crepant, i.e. $R(\phi_0)_* \mathcal{O}_{Y_1} = \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(R_f)}$.

One then blow up the ideals (u, f_i) for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ to get a sequence of birational morphisms

$$Y_f \xrightarrow{\phi_n} Y_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\phi_{n-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\phi_1} \text{Spec}(R_f), \quad (2.12)$$

whose composition $\phi = \phi_n \circ \dots \circ \phi_1 : Y_f \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_f)$ gives the desired crepant resolution of the cA_n singularity R_f . Note that the crepancy of ϕ follows from the crepancy of each individual birational morphism ϕ_i , where $1 \leq i \leq n$, which can be proved using Zariski's main theorem and Čech cohomology calculations.

The partial resolution Y_{n-1} is covered by two affine charts

$$\text{Spec}(\mathbb{K}[u, x, S']) \text{ and } \text{Spec}\left(\frac{\mathbb{K}[v, x, y, T']}{vT' - f_{n-1}(x, y)f_n(x, y)}\right), \quad (2.13)$$

where $S' = \frac{f_{n-2}}{u}$ and $T' = \frac{u}{f_{n-2}}$. In Y_{n-1} , the coordinates S' and T' patch together to an exceptional curve $\mathbb{P}^1 \subset Y_{n-1}$, which passes through the node $\{vT' = f_{n-1}(x, y)f_n(x, y)\}$ in the second chart. Arguing by induction, we see that in the full resolution Y_f we have a chain of transversely intersecting \mathbb{P}^1 's, which we denote by C_1, \dots, C_n .

To find the normal bundles of $C_1, \dots, C_n \subset Y_f$, note that the last of which, C_n , comes from resolution of the cA_1 singularity $\frac{\mathbb{K}[v, x, y, T']}{vT' - f_{n-1}(x, y)f_n(x, y)}$, which is isolated by our assumption. Since any isolated cA_1 singularity is equivalent to $\frac{\mathbb{K}[u, v, x, y]}{uv - x(x+y^k)}$ for some $k \geq 1$, C_n is a $(-1, -1)$ -curve when $k = 1$, and a $(0, -2)$ -curve when $k > 1$. Contracting C_n results in a cA_{n-1} singularity $\frac{\mathbb{K}[u, v, x, y]}{(uv - f_1(x, y) \dots f_{n-1}(x, y))}$. We can then argue inductively to determine the normal bundles of C_1, \dots, C_{n-1} . \square

Remark 19. Taking into account the data on the mirror side, one can prove that the exceptional curve $C_i \subset Y_f$ is a $(-1, -1)$ -curve if the corresponding spherical Lagrangian submanifold $Q_i \subset \check{W}_f$ is diffeomorphic to S^3 , otherwise it is a $(0, -2)$ -curve.

For simplicity and for our applications in mind (cf. Proposition 11), we have assumed in the above that the cA_n singularity R_f is isolated. When it isn't isolated, since in our case the singularity is of a specific form, a similar argument as above still holds and produces a crepant resolution $Y_f \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_f)$ (cf. the $n = 0$ case of [48], Lemma 3.1).

2.3 Seidel representation

Let \mathbb{K} be an arbitrary field. For a closed symplectic manifold X satisfying certain monotonicity conditions, Seidel defined in [40] a group homomorphism

$$\mathcal{R}_X : \pi_1(\text{Ham}(X)) \rightarrow QH^0(X; \mathbb{K})^\times \quad (2.14)$$

from the fundamental group of the space Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms of X to invertible elements in even degree quantum cohomology. The map \mathcal{R}_X is known as the *Seidel representation*, and it is defined by counting holomorphic sections of a symplectic fiber bundle $E \rightarrow S^2$ constructed by patching together two copies of trivial bundles $D^2 \times X$, whose transition function is determined by some fixed element $g \in \pi_1(\text{Ham}(X))$. An element $\mathcal{R}_X(g) \in QH^0(X; \mathbb{K})^\times$ is known as a *Seidel element* associated to g .

There is an analogue of the map \mathcal{R}_X above for Liouville manifolds, whose construction is due to Oh-Tanaka [38], which takes the form

$$\mathcal{R}_M : \pi_1(\text{Aut}(M)) \rightarrow SH^0(M; \mathbb{K})^\times, \quad (2.15)$$

where $\text{Aut}(M)$ is the topological group of graded Liouville automorphisms of M . Instead of mimicking Seidel's original construction, [38] produces a coherent action of $\text{Aut}(M)$ on the wrapped Fukaya category $\mathcal{W}(M; \mathbb{K})$ via the study of the universal Liouville bundle $E\text{Aut}(M) \rightarrow B\text{Aut}(M)$, therefore simultaneously produces higher homotopical analogues of the map \mathcal{R}_M . As above, associated to each $g \in \pi_1(\text{Aut}(M))$ there is a Seidel element $\mathcal{R}_M(g) \in SH^0(M; \mathbb{K})^\times$.

2.4 Legendrian surgery

To give the reader a better understanding of the main result (Theorem 3) proved in this paper, we briefly recall here the notion of a completed Chekanov-Eliashberg dg algebra introduced by Ekholm-Lekili [17]. We work in the slightly more general set up when the skeleton consists of Lagrangian submanifolds intersecting with each other cleanly.

Recall that any $2n$ -dimensional Weinstein manifold W can be constructed from a subcritical Weinstein domain \overline{W}_0 , by attaching Weinstein handles of index n along the contact boundary $\partial\overline{W}_0$ along a link of Legendrian spheres. Fix a finite set Γ . For each $v \in \Gamma$, let $\overline{Q}_v \subset \overline{W}_0$ be an oriented connected Lagrangian submanifold whose boundary $\partial\overline{Q}_v \subset \partial\overline{W}_0$ gives a Legendrian sphere Λ_v . For simplicity, we also assume that \overline{Q}_v is *Spin* for each $v \in \Gamma$, which is always true for our purposes since in this paper we are dealing with Weinstein 6-manifolds. Moreover, we assume that the Lagrangian submanifolds $\{\overline{Q}_v\}_{v \in \Gamma}$ intersect with each other cleanly, and the intersections are contained in the interior of \overline{W}_0 . It follows that $\Lambda = \bigsqcup_{v \in \Gamma} \Lambda_v$ is a link of Legendrian spheres in $\partial\overline{W}_0$. Attaching n -handles to \overline{W}_0 along Λ , we get a Weinstein domain \overline{W} . The wrapped Fukaya category $\mathcal{W}(W; \mathbb{K})$ can be computed through Legendrian surgery [10]. In fact, let \mathcal{R} be the set of Reeb chords with ends on Λ . As a \mathbb{K} -module, the Chekanov-Eliashberg dg algebra of $\Lambda \subset \partial\overline{W}_0$ is given by

$$CE^*(\Lambda) := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{K}\langle \mathcal{R} \rangle^{\otimes i}. \quad (2.16)$$

The differential on $CE^*(\Lambda)$ counts anchored holomorphic disks with boundary punctures in $\mathbb{R} \times \partial\overline{W}_0$. The boundary components of these holomorphic curves are mapped to $\mathbb{R} \times \Lambda$, and asymptotics at the punctures give by the Reeb chords in \mathcal{R} , see [10], Section 4.1. It is clear that $CE^*(\Lambda)$ is a dg algebra over the semisimple ring $\mathbb{k} := \bigoplus_{v \in \Gamma} \mathbb{K}e_v$, with $e_w \mathcal{R} e_v$ being the set of Reeb chords from Λ_w to Λ_v . The Lagrangian filling $\bigcup_{v \in \Gamma} \overline{Q}_v$ of the Legendrian link Λ induces an augmentation

$$\varepsilon_Q : CE^*(\Lambda) \rightarrow \mathbb{k}, \quad (2.17)$$

making it an augmented dg algebra.

Let $\{L_v\}_{v \in \Gamma}$ be the Lagrangian cocore discs of the Weinstein n -handles attached along $\Lambda \subset \partial\overline{W}_0$, which generate the wrapped Fukaya category $\mathcal{W}(W; \mathbb{K})$ [11, 21], so there is an equivalence

$$D^{perf} \mathcal{W}(W; \mathbb{K}) \simeq D^{perf}(\mathcal{W}_W) \quad (2.18)$$

between the derived wrapped Fukaya category and the derived category of perfect modules over the wrapped Fukaya A_∞ -algebra

$$\mathcal{W}_W := \bigoplus_{v, w \in \Gamma} CW^*(L_v, L_w). \quad (2.19)$$

There is a surgery quasi-isomorphism [10, 17]

$$\mathcal{W}_W \simeq CE^*(\Lambda) \quad (2.20)$$

between A_∞ -algebras over \mathbb{k} , which reduces the computation of the wrapped Fukaya category to the analysis of Morse flow trees [16].

Equivalently, we can write $CE^*(\Lambda)$ as

$$CE^*(\Lambda) = \mathbb{k} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{LC}_*(\Lambda)[-1]^{\otimes i}, \quad (2.21)$$

where by $LC_*(\Lambda)$ we mean the graded \mathbb{k} -bimodule generated by \mathcal{R} , with grading shifted down by 1, and $\overline{LC}_*(\Lambda) \subset LC_*(\Lambda)$ is the submodule without the idempotents. Using the same holomorphic curves as in the definition of the differential on $CE^*(\Lambda)$ and the augmentation ε_Q , one can equip $LC_*(\Lambda)$ with the structure of an A_∞ -coalgebra. Now the dg algebra $CE^*(\Lambda)$ can be written as a cobar construction

$$CE^*(\Lambda) = \Omega LC_*(\Lambda). \quad (2.22)$$

Define the *Legendrian A_∞ -algebra* of Λ to be the graded \mathbb{k} -linear dual

$$LA^*(\Lambda) := LC_*(\Lambda)^\#. \quad (2.23)$$

Let $Q_v \subset W$ be the closed exact Lagrangian submanifold obtained as the union of \overline{Q}_v and the Lagrangian core discs of the corresponding Weinstein n -handle. Consider the Fukaya A_∞ -algebra

$$\mathcal{Q}_W := \bigoplus_{v,w \in \Gamma} CF^*(Q_v, Q_w), \quad (2.24)$$

which is also augmented by the trivial projection to the idempotents.

Proposition 20. *There is a quasi-isomorphism $\mathcal{Q}_W \simeq LA^*(\Lambda)$ between A_∞ -algebras over \mathbb{k} .*

Proof. This is essentially the same as [17], Theorem 63, except that in our case the Lagrangian submanifolds $\{Q_v\}_{v \in \Gamma}$ are allowed to have clean, instead of only transverse intersections. When the intersections are transverse, an A_∞ -morphism $\varphi : \mathcal{Q}_W \rightarrow LA^*(\Lambda)$ can be defined by counting holomorphic discs with $m+1$ boundary punctures so that the boundary components are mapped to parallel copies of $\bigcup_{v \in \Gamma} Q_v$, such that the m positive punctures are asymptotic to Lagrangian intersection points, and the unique negative puncture is asymptotic to a Reeb chord in \mathcal{R} . In our case, to define the map $\varphi : \mathcal{Q}_W \rightarrow LA^*(\Lambda)$, one can either perturb the Q_v 's so that the intersections become transverse (which does not affect $LA^*(\Lambda)$ up to quasi-isomorphism), or one can work directly with the Morse-Bott model, with punctured holomorphic discs replaced with pearly trees [43, 47]. The fact that φ is a quasi-isomorphism follows from the long exact sequence for the wrapped Floer cohomologies $HW^*(\overline{Q}_v, \overline{Q}_w)$ (computed in \overline{W}_0) induced by the subdivision of the wrapped Floer cochain complex into the high energy part and the low energy part. \square

Combining (2.20), (2.22) and Proposition 20, we obtain the generalized Eilenberg-Moore equivalence

$$R \operatorname{hom}_{\mathcal{W}_W}(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}) \simeq \mathcal{Q}_W, \quad (2.25)$$

where in the above \mathbb{k} is regarded as a right \mathcal{W}_W -module.

However, it is in general not true that the bar construction $BLA^*(\Lambda)^\#$ of the graded linear dual of the Legendrian A_∞ -algebra of Λ gives the Chekanov-Eliashberg dg algebra. Instead, we get from this the completed tensor algebra

$$\widehat{CE}^*(\Lambda) := \mathbb{k} \langle\langle \overline{LC}_*(\Lambda)[-1] \rangle\rangle, \quad (2.26)$$

which we call the *completed Chekanov-Eliashberg dg algebra*. In other words, since $CE^*(\Lambda)$ is generated as a \mathbb{k} -module by words of Reeb chords in \mathcal{R} , $\widehat{CE^*}(\Lambda)$ is the completion of $CE^*(\Lambda)$ with respect to the word length filtration. By the surgery quasi-isomorphism (2.20) and the generation result (2.18), we obtain an equivalence

$$D^{perf}\widehat{\mathcal{W}}(W; \mathbb{K}) \simeq D^{perf}\left(\widehat{CE^*}(\Lambda)\right) \quad (2.27)$$

between the completed derived wrapped Fukaya category and the derived category of perfect modules over the completed Chekanov-Eliashberg dg algebra.

3 Computing the wrapped Fukaya category

In this section, we compute the wrapped Fukaya category $\mathcal{W}(\mathring{W}_f; \mathbb{K})$ over any field \mathbb{K} . The computation actually works over \mathbb{Z} (cf. [6], Remark 2.15), but for the purpose of studying homological mirror symmetry, we work over an arbitrary field \mathbb{K} .

3.1 The setup

Our computational strategy is similar to that of [6], making use of the Morse-Bott fibration $\hat{\pi} : \mathring{W}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ and counting its holomorphic sections with boundaries on certain “admissible” Lagrangian submanifolds.

We first specify the objects in the wrapped Fukaya category $\mathcal{W}(\mathring{W}_f; \mathbb{K})$ to work with. Consider the arcs $\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_n \subset \mathbb{C}^*$ in Figure 1, they intersect the unit circle at the points

$$\zeta_i := \exp\left(\frac{(4i+1)\pi}{2n+2}\sqrt{-1}\right), \quad i = 0, \dots, n. \quad (3.1)$$

Since $\hat{\pi}^{-1}(\zeta_i)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$, we can pick the Lagrangian $(\mathbb{R}_+)^2$ in the fiber and denote it by ℓ_i . Parallel transporting $\ell_i \subset \hat{\pi}^{-1}(\zeta_i)$ along the arc γ_i defines a Lagrangian submanifold $L_i \subset \mathring{W}_f$ diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^3 . Note that under $\hat{\pi}$, the compact cores $Q_0, \dots, Q_n \subset \mathring{W}_f$ project to arcs (i.e. gradient flow lines of (2.7) in the proof of Lemma 15) connecting z_i to $z_{i+1 \bmod n+1}$ when $i = 0, \dots, n$. By construction, the Lagrangian submanifolds L_0, \dots, L_n are Hamiltonian isotopic to the cotangent fibers of T^*Q_0, \dots, T^*Q_n , respectively, so they generate the wrapped Fukaya category of the plumbing $\mathcal{W}(\mathring{W}_f; \mathbb{K})$, see [11, 21]. Our goal is then to compute the endomorphism A_∞ -algebra

$$\mathring{\mathcal{W}}_f := \bigoplus_{0 \leq i, j \leq n} CW^*(L_i, L_j). \quad (3.2)$$

Next, we specify our wrapping Hamiltonian used to define the Floer cochain complexes $CW^*(L_i, L_j)$. To do this, we start with the observation that $\hat{\pi} : \mathring{W}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ is obtained by patching together elementary Morse-Bott fibrations $\pi_i : E_i \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with a unique singular fiber isomorphic to $(\mathbb{C} \vee \mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C}^*$ over the critical value c_i . Since π_i can be identified with the product of the standard Lefschetz fibration $\pi_{std} : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and \mathbb{C}^* , we start with the function $h : T^*S^1 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ which vanishes near the zero section, and equals r^2 when the radial coordinate r on the cylinder is large enough. Since h vanishes near the critical point, it extends to a fiberwise Hamiltonian $\tilde{h} : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by parallel transport. Denote by $\pi_{\mathbb{C}^2} : E_i \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ and $\pi_{\mathbb{C}^*} : E_i \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ the natural projections, define

$$H_{F,i} := \pi_{\mathbb{C}^2}^* \tilde{h} + \pi_{\mathbb{C}^*}^* h. \quad (3.3)$$

This gives a fiberwise Hamiltonian on the total space E_i of the elementary Morse-Bott fibration π_i . One then uses the fiberwise biholomorphism of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ to patch the locally

defined fiberwise Hamiltonians $H_{F,i}$ together to a globally defined fiberwise Hamiltonian $H_F : \mathring{W}_f \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. On the other hand, define $H_B = r^2$ to be the quadratic Hamiltonian on the base $(\mathbb{R} \times S^1, rd\theta)$. Finally, the wrapping Hamiltonian $H_W : \mathring{W}_f \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is defined to be a small Morse perturbation of

$$\hat{\pi}^* H_B + H_F. \quad (3.4)$$

Lemma 21. *The wrapping of the Lagrangian submanifolds $L_i \subset \mathring{W}_f$ induced by the Hamiltonian H_W is cofinal for $i = 0, \dots, n$.*

Proof. As we have seen in the proof of Lemma 15, the cylindrical end $[1, \infty) \times \partial \overline{\mathring{W}_f}$ of \mathring{W}_f has a product structure induced by the Morse-Bott fibration $\hat{\pi} : \mathring{W}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$, so are the cylindrical ends $[1, \infty) \times \partial \overline{L_i}$ of our Lagrangian submanifolds, where $\overline{L_i} := L_i \cap \overline{\mathring{W}_f}$, since by construction they are fibered over the arcs $\gamma_i \subset \mathbb{C}^*$, $i = 0, \dots, n$. On the other hand, our wrapping Hamiltonian $H_W : \mathring{W}_f \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined by (3.4) has product form with respect to the product structure on the cylindrical end of \mathring{W}_f . The lemma now follows from [21], Proposition 7.4. \square

It follows from Lemma 21 that we have a quasi-isomorphism between the Fukaya A_∞ -algebra defined using H_W and the Fukaya A_∞ -algebra defined using a wrapping Hamiltonian that is quadratic with respect to the usual cylindrical end $[1, \infty) \times \partial \overline{\mathring{W}_f}$ coming from the Weinstein structure on the plumbing \mathring{W}_f . Because of this, we do not distinguish between the wrapped Floer cochain complexes defined using the product type wrapping Hamiltonian H_W adapted to the Morse-Bott fibration $\hat{\pi}$ and a usual wrapping Hamiltonian, and will simply write $CW^*(L_i, L_j)$ for both of the wrapped Floer cochain complexes.

An $\omega_{\mathring{W}}$ -compatible almost complex structure J on \mathring{W}_f is called *admissible* if $\hat{\pi}$ is J -holomorphic outside of some small disjoint discs $\Delta_0, \dots, \Delta_n \subset \mathbb{C}^*$ centered at c_0, \dots, c_n , respectively. We denote by $\mathcal{J}(\hat{\pi})$ the space of admissible almost complex structures on \mathring{W}_f . For any $J \in \mathcal{J}(\hat{\pi})$, it follows from [6], Lemma 2.2 that there exists a J -plurisubharmonic function $\hbar : \mathring{W}_f \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

- \hbar restricted to each fiber of $\hat{\pi}$ is proper,
- $d\hbar(X_{H_F}) = 0$ outside of $\mathring{W}_f^{\text{in}}$, a closed subset of \mathring{W}_f whose intersection with every fiber of $\hat{\pi}$ is a sublevel set of \hbar ,
- $d\hbar(\xi^\#) = d^{\mathbb{C}}\hbar(\xi^\#) = 0$ outside of $\mathring{W}_f^{\text{in}} \cup \bigcup_{i=0}^n \pi^{-1}(\Delta_i)$ for the horizontal lift $\xi^\#$ of some vector on \mathbb{C}^* ,
- $\mathcal{L}_{X_{H_F}}(d^{\mathbb{C}}\hbar) = \mathcal{L}_{\xi^\#}(d^{\mathbb{C}}\hbar) = 0$,
- $d^{\mathbb{C}}\hbar(X_{H_F}) \geq 0$.

The existence of such a function \hbar ensures that (perturbed) J -holomorphic curves defining the A_∞ -structures of the wrapped Fukaya category $\mathcal{W}(\mathring{W}_f; \mathbb{K})$ are contained in a sublevel set of $\hbar : \mathring{W}_f \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, see [2], Proposition 3.11. On the other hand, we have a maximum principle with respect to the projection $\hat{\pi} : \mathring{W}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$, which ensures that all the Floer trajectories with Lagrangian boundary conditions on L_0, \dots, L_n are projected to a compact subset of the base \mathbb{C}^* , see [2], Proposition 3.10 (which deals with the case when the Morse-Bott fibration is over \mathbb{C} , but the same argument applies to our situation). Thus we have a well-defined A_∞ -category $\mathcal{W}(\mathring{W}_f; \mathbb{K})$ over any field \mathbb{K} .




Figure 3: Wrapping in the cylinder

3.2 The case of a single Lagrangian

We first focus on the wrapped Floer cochain complex $CW^*(L_i, L_i)$ of a single Lagrangian submanifold $L_i \subset \mathring{W}_f$, where $0 \leq i \leq n$, defined in Section 3.1.

Proposition 22. *For any $i = 0, \dots, n$, we have an isomorphism*

$$HW^*(L_i, L_i) \cong \mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}, z_3^{\pm 1}]. \quad (3.5)$$

between \mathbb{K} -modules, where the variables z_1, z_2, z_3 have degree 0.

Proof. Denote by $L_i^{(1)} := \phi_{H_W}^1(L_i)$ the wrapping of L_i under the time-1 flow of the Hamiltonian vector field X_{H_W} . Under the projection $\hat{\pi}$, the Lagrangians L_i and $L_i^{(1)}$ define arcs $\gamma_i, \gamma_i^{(1)} \subset \mathbb{C}^*$. Since the Hamiltonian $H_B : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ on the base of $\hat{\pi} : \mathring{W}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ is quadratic and the arc $\gamma_i \subset T^*S^1$ can be identified with the cotangent fiber, we get the intersection points $\gamma_i \cap \gamma_i^{(1)} = \{x_r\}_{r \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in the base cylinder \mathbb{C}^* , see Figure 3. By our choice of the wrapping Hamiltonian $H_W : \mathring{W}_f \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, above each intersection point x_r , the fiberwise Lagrangians $\ell_{i,r}, \ell_{i,r}^{(1)} := \phi_{H_F}^1(\ell_{i,r}) \subset \hat{\pi}^{-1}(x_r) \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$, which are obtained by parallel transporting $\ell_i, \ell_i^{(1)} := \phi_{H_F}^1(\ell_i) \subset \hat{\pi}^{-1}(\zeta_i)$ along the path on γ_i connecting ζ_i to x_r , intersect at the points $\{y_{p,q}\}_{(p,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2}$. It follows that the wrapped Floer cochain complex can be identified with

$$CW^*(L_i, L_i) \cong \bigoplus_{(p,q,r) \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \mathbb{K} \cdot \vartheta_{p,q,r}^i, \quad (3.6)$$

where $\vartheta_{0,0,r}^i$ corresponds to $x_r \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $\vartheta_{p,q,0}^i$ corresponds to $y_{p,q} \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$. The grading on the fibered Lagrangians $L_i, L_i^{(1)} \subset \mathring{W}_f$ are induced from the choices of gradings on the fiberwise Lagrangians $\ell_i, \ell_i^{(1)} \subset \hat{\pi}^{-1}(\zeta_i) \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ and the base arcs $\gamma_i, \gamma_i^{(1)} \subset \mathbb{C}^*$. It follows that if we use standard grading on the fiberwise Lagrangians, then each generator $\vartheta_{p,q,r}^i$ is supported in degree 0. Thus the Floer differential vanishes automatically. After identifying the generator $\vartheta_{p,q,r}^i$ with the monomial $z_1^p z_2^q z_3^r$, we obtain the isomorphism (3.5). \square

Convention. We shall use monomials in z_3 to denote the generators corresponding to the intersection points $x_r \in \gamma_i \cap \gamma_i^{(1)}$ on the base \mathbb{C}^* , and use monomials in the variables z_1, z_2 to denote the generators in the fibers of $\hat{\pi} : \mathring{W}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$.

Due to the existence of singular fibers of $\hat{\pi} : \mathring{W}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$, the triangle product

$$\mu^2 : HW^*(L_i, L_i) \otimes HW^*(L_i, L_i) \rightarrow HW^*(L_i, L_i) \quad (3.7)$$

on the wrapped Floer cohomology of L_i can potentially differ from that on the Laurent polynomial ring, therefore giving a deformation of $\mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}, z_3^{\pm 1}]$ as a \mathbb{K} -algebra. We shall determine it explicitly in the following proposition.

Proposition 23. *There is a \mathbb{K} -algebra isomorphism*

$$HW^*(L_i, L_i) \cong \frac{\mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}, (x_r)_{r \in \mathbb{Z}}]}{(x_{-1} \cdot x_1 - f(z_1, z_2), x_r \cdot x_{r'} - x_{r+r'} \text{ when } rr' \geq 0)}, \quad (3.8)$$

where $f(z_1, z_2) = f_0(z_1, z_2) \cdots f_n(z_1, z_2)$ is the polynomial (1.4) and all the generators are supported in degree 0. Here, we regard $x_r \in CW^*(\gamma_i, \gamma_i)$ in the base \mathbb{C}^* as a generator of $CW^*(L_i, L_i)$ by identifying it with $\vartheta_{0,0,r}^i$ in (3.6).

Proof. Let $L_i^{(1)}, L_i^{(2)} \subset \mathring{W}_f$ be the Lagrangian submanifolds obtained as wrappings of L_i under the time-1 and time-2 flows of X_{H_W} , respectively. Consider the cochain level map

$$\mu^2 : CW^*(L_i^{(1)}, L_i) \otimes CW^*(L_i^{(2)}, L_i^{(1)}) \rightarrow CW^*(L_i^{(2)}, L_i) \quad (3.9)$$

underlying the product (3.7). It is defined by counting (perturbed) J -holomorphic triangles $u : \mathbb{D} \setminus \{p_0, p_1, p_2\} \rightarrow (\mathring{W}_f, L_i \cup L_i^{(1)} \cup L_i^{(2)})$, where $p_0, p_1, p_2 \in \partial \mathbb{D}$ are ordered counterclockwisely, with the connected boundary components between p_0, p_1 , p_1, p_2 and p_2, p_0 mapped to the Lagrangian submanifolds $L_i, L_i^{(1)}$ and $L_i^{(2)}$, respectively. It follows from the open mapping principle that the image of u is either contained in some smooth fiber of $\hat{\pi} : \mathring{W}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$, or it is a J -holomorphic section of $\hat{\pi}$ over some triangle formed by the arcs $\gamma_i, \gamma_i^{(1)}, \gamma_i^{(2)} \subset \mathbb{C}^*$ for some $J \in \mathcal{J}(\hat{\pi})$, which are projections of the Lagrangians $L_i, L_i^{(1)}, L_i^{(2)}$ under $\hat{\pi}$, respectively. Figures 3 and 4 are two equivalent descriptions of the wrappings in the base, where the shaded regions are examples of a triangle formed by $\gamma_i, \gamma_i^{(1)}$ and $\gamma_i^{(2)}$, over which a J -holomorphic section of $\hat{\pi}$ appears. Since the smooth fibers of $\hat{\pi}$ are symplectomorphic to T^*T^2 and our wrapping Hamiltonian $H_W : \mathring{W}_f \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ has product form in the fiber direction, the counting of the J -holomorphic triangles in the fiber always gives 1 if it is non-trivial. This enables us to identify the fiberwise Floer cochain complexes $CW^*(\ell_{i,r}, \ell_{i,r}^{(1)})$ over some fixed $x_r \in \gamma_i \cap \gamma_i^{(1)}$ together with their triangle products as Laurent polynomial rings $\mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}]$, and counting J -holomorphic sections of $\hat{\pi}$ over a fixed triangle with vertices $x_r \in \gamma_i \cap \gamma_i^{(1)}$, $x_{r'} \in \gamma_i^{(1)} \cap \gamma_i^{(2)}$ and $\tilde{x}_{r+r'} \in \gamma_i \cap \gamma_i^{(2)}$ in \mathbb{C}^* gives rise to a map

$$\mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}] \otimes \mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}]. \quad (3.10)$$

In our case, depending on the positions of the generators on the base, there are two possibilities, shown in Figures 4 and 5, respectively. We remark that the intersection points $x_r \in \gamma_i \cap \gamma_i^{(1)}$ are labeled so that $x_0 = \zeta_i$, the points x_r with $r > 0$ satisfy $|x_r| > 1$ and $|x_{r+1}| > |x_r|$, while x_r with $r < 0$ satisfy $|x_r| < 1$ and $|x_{r+1}| < |x_r|$.

When the intersections $x_r \in \gamma_i \cap \gamma_i^{(1)}$ and $x_{r'} \in \gamma_i^{(1)} \cap \gamma_i^{(2)}$ satisfy $rr' \geq 0$, the triangle formed by the arcs $\gamma_i, \gamma_i^{(1)}, \gamma_i^{(2)}$ does not contain any of the critical values of $\hat{\pi}$, see Figure 4. It follows that we can shrink such a triangle to a single point by deforming the arcs $\gamma_i, \gamma_i^{(1)}, \gamma_i^{(2)}$ using a compactly supported isotopy in \mathbb{C}^* , which reduces the counting to that inside a single fiber of $\hat{\pi}$, see for example [2], Proposition 5.14. This shows that there is precisely one J -holomorphic section of $\hat{\pi}$ over the triangle and the map (3.10) is nothing but usual multiplication between Laurent polynomials. This establishes the relation $x_r \cdot x_{r'} = x_{r+r'}$ when $rr' \geq 0$ in the isomorphism (3.8). Note that we have identified $\tilde{x}_{r+r'}$ with $x_{r+r'}$.

When the intersections $x_r \in \gamma_i \cap \gamma_i^{(1)}$ and $x_{r'} \in \gamma_i^{(1)} \cap \gamma_i^{(2)}$ satisfy $rr' < 0$, the (immersed) triangles formed by the arcs $\gamma_i, \gamma_i^{(1)}, \gamma_i^{(2)}$ contain all the critical values of $\hat{\pi}$ (although some critical values may be multiply covered), see Figure 5. Since the general case can be recovered from the products $x_{-1} \cdot x_1$ and the relation $x_r \cdot x_{r'} = x_{r+r'}$ when




Figure 4: The triangle product of generators above x_0 and x_1 , where the upper edge and the lower edge of the strip are identified. The shaded triangle corresponds precisely to the one in Figure 3.




Figure 5: The triangle product of generators above x_{-1} and x_1

$rr' \geq 0$ established above, we only need to do the computation in the case when $r = -1$, $r' = 1$. In this case, every critical value of $\hat{\pi}$ is covered once by the projection of the image of u to \mathbb{C}^* . The map (3.10) is given by the composition of the product of Laurent polynomials (which comes from the triangle products of generators in the fiber direction) and the multiplication with $g(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}]$ determined by the weights of the count of J -holomorphic sections of $\hat{\pi} : \check{W}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$, i.e.

$$\mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}] \otimes \mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}] \xrightarrow{\cdot} \mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}] \xrightarrow{\cdot g(z_1, z_2)} \mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}]. \quad (3.11)$$

The fact that $g(z_1, z_2) = f(z_1, z_2)$ is established in Lemma 24 below. This proves the relation $x_{-1} \cdot x_1 = f(z_1, z_2)$ in (3.8), since x_0 corresponds to the idempotent $e_i \in CW^0(L_i, L_i)$. \square

Lemma 24. *The polynomial $g(z_1, z_2)$ in (3.11) equals $f(z_1, z_2)$.*

Proof. In order to determine the Laurent polynomial $g(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}]$, we use a trick of Abouzaid-Auroux [2], which replaces the fibered Lagrangians $L_i, L_i^{(1)}, L_i^{(2)} \subset \check{W}_f$ with Lagrangian cylinders defined as follows. For each $z = (z_1, z_2) \in (\mathbb{K}^*)^2$, let $\tau_z \subset \hat{\pi}^{-1}(\zeta_i)$ be a product torus in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ equipped with a rank one local system over \mathbb{K} whose holonomy around the i -th S^1 -factor is $z_i^{-1} \in \mathbb{K}^*$ for $i = 1, 2$. Note that τ_z is invariant under parallel transport between the fibers of $\hat{\pi}$, and we can choose the wrapping Hamiltonian $H_W : \check{W}_f \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ in Section 3.1 so that τ_z is invariant under the flow of the fiberwise Hamiltonian vector field X_{H_F} . Let $T_z^{(t)} \subset \check{W}_f$ be the Lagrangian cylinder defined by parallel transporting τ_z over the curve $\gamma_i^{(t)}$ obtained as the time- t flow of γ_i under X_{H_B} . Note that $T_z^{(t)} \cong T^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ is also equipped with a rank one local system by parallel transporting the one on τ_z .

As a \mathbb{K} -module, the wrapped Floer cochain complex $CW^*(T_z, T_z^{(1)})$ can be identified with $H^*(T^2; \mathbb{K})[z_3^{\pm 1}]$ by a similar argument as in Proposition 22. Consider the triangle product

$$\mu^2 : CW^*(T_z^{(1)}, T_z) \otimes CW^*(T_z^{(2)}, T_z^{(1)}) \rightarrow CW^*(T_z^{(2)}, T_z) \quad (3.12)$$

obtained by replacing the Lagrangians $L_i, L_i^{(1)}$ and $L_i^{(2)}$ in (3.9) with the Lagrangian cylinders $T_z, T_z^{(1)}$ and $T_z^{(2)}$, respectively. It follows from [6], Lemma 2.17 that under the identification $CW^*(T_z, T_z^{(t)}) \cong H^*(T^2; \mathbb{K})[z_3^{\pm 1}]$, the product (3.12), which is a map

$$H^*(T^2; \mathbb{K})[z_3^{\pm 1}] \otimes H^*(T^2; \mathbb{K})[z_3^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow H^*(T^2; \mathbb{K})[z_3^{\pm 1}] \quad (3.13)$$

can be identified with the composition of the cup product on $H^*(T^2; \mathbb{K})$ and the multiplication by $g(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{K}$ for any $z = (z_1, z_2) \in (\mathbb{K}^*)^2$ in the fiber direction of $\hat{\pi} : \hat{W}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$, while in the base direction it is given by the usual multiplication of Laurent polynomials in z_3 . Thus we have turned the problem of counting J -holomorphic sections of $\hat{\pi}$ with boundary on $L_i \cup L_i^{(1)} \cup L_i^{(2)}$ into the equivalent problem of counting J -holomorphic sections of $\hat{\pi}$ with boundary on $T_z \cup T_z^{(1)} \cup T_z^{(2)}$. To deal with the latter problem, first note that since all of the singular fibers of $\hat{\pi}$ are isomorphic to $(\mathbb{C} \vee \mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C}^*$, there are altogether 2^{n+1} different homotopy classes of J -holomorphic sections of $\hat{\pi}$ over the embedded triangle formed by the arcs $\gamma_i, \gamma_i^{(1)}, \gamma_i^{(2)}$, which in this case contains all the critical values c_0, \dots, c_n . We claim that for each homotopy class, the moduli space of J -holomorphic sections consists of a single orbit under the fiberwise Hamiltonian T^2 -action, so that the count of these sections passing through any given point of $\tau_z \subset \hat{\pi}^{-1}(\zeta_i)$ is equal to one.

To see this, denote by $Z_i^{\pm} \cong \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^*$, $i = 0, \dots, n$ the irreducible components of the singular fibers $\hat{\pi}^{-1}(c_i)$. Fix some i and a sign sequence $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \{\pm\}^{n+1}$, consider the complement $Y_\alpha := \hat{W}_f \setminus (\bigcup_{j=0}^n Z_j^{\alpha_j})$, it is not hard to see that $Y_\alpha \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^3$. Let $u : \Delta_i \rightarrow \hat{W}_f$ be a J -holomorphic section of $\hat{\pi}$ above the triangle Δ_i with vertices x_{-1}, x_1 and \hat{x}_0 (cf. Figure 5) intersecting $Z_j^{\alpha_j} \subset \hat{\pi}^{-1}(c_j)$ at a single point for each $j = 0, \dots, n$ and disjoint from the other $Z_j^{-\alpha_j}$'s, then $u(\Delta_i) \subset Y_\alpha$. By parametrizing the holomorphic sections of $\hat{\pi}|_{Y_\alpha} : Y_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ using $z_3 \in \Delta_i$, we are reduced to finding holomorphic maps $\Delta_i \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ which satisfy appropriate boundary conditions over $\partial\Delta_i$. It now follows from the standard complex analysis argument in the proof of [2], Proposition 5.24 that the moduli space of J -holomorphic sections $\mathcal{S}(\hat{\pi}, \Delta_i, J)$ of $\hat{\pi}$ over Δ_i in this particular class consists of a single T^2 -orbit.

It remains to determine the contribution of this unique T^2 -orbit. The J -holomorphic sections of $\hat{\pi}$ contributing to the triangle product (3.12) is weighted by the holonomies of the local systems on the Lagrangian cylinders $T_z^{(t)}$ for $t = 0, 1, 2$. To determine these weights, we first smooth the boundary of the triangular region $\Delta_i \subset \mathbb{C}^*$ formed by the arcs $\gamma_i, \gamma_i^{(1)}, \gamma_i^{(2)}$, which deforms the union of Lagrangian tori $\bigcup_{z \in \partial\Delta_i} \tau_z$ to a product Lagrangian torus $T_i \cong T^3 \subset \hat{W}_f$, so that the holonomy of the local system on T_i along the deformed curve $\tilde{\gamma}_i \subset \mathbb{C}^*$ (i.e. a smooth curve encircling all the critical values of $\hat{\pi}$, see the left-hand side of Figure 6) is trivial. Note that the local system on T_i is defined by parallel transporting the one on τ_z . We then perform a second deformation of the curve $\tilde{\gamma}_i$, so that the deformed curve $\tilde{\gamma}'_i$ is sufficiently close to the concatenation of the basic loops β_0, \dots, β_n such that each β_i is a basic loop encircling the critical value c_i , see the right-hand side of Figure 6. Since these deformations are isotopies, they do not affect the holonomy $\text{hol}(u(\partial\Delta_i)) \in \mathbb{K}^*$.

Denote by $S_i \subset \mathbb{C}^*$ the region bounded by the smooth curve $\tilde{\gamma}'_i$, and by D_{ij} the small discs centered at c_j , $j = 0, \dots, n$, with $\partial D_{ij} = \beta_j$. Locally near c_j we have an elementary Morse-Bott fibration $\pi_j : \bar{E}_j \rightarrow D_{ij}$ with a unique singular fiber, where $\bar{E}_j \subset E_j \cong \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^*$ is the Liouville domain. Let $\mathcal{S}(\hat{\pi}, S_i, J)$ be the moduli space of J -holomorphic sections of $\hat{\pi}$ with boundary conditions given by the product torus $T'_i \subset \hat{W}_f$ which fibers over $\tilde{\gamma}'_i$. For a generic $J \in \mathcal{J}(\hat{\pi})$, $\mathcal{S}(\hat{\pi}, S_i, J)$ is a smooth manifold, and we write $\mathcal{J}_{\text{reg}}(\hat{\pi}) \subset \mathcal{J}(\hat{\pi})$ for the (C^∞ dense) subspace of regular admissible almost complex structures. Let $\mathcal{S}(\pi_j, D_{ij}, J_j)$ be the moduli space of J_j -holomorphic sections of π_j , so that the almost complex structure

J_j is regular and π_j is J_j -holomorphic outside of a small disc centered at $c_j \in D_{ij}$. The latter space carries an evaluation map $ev_j : \mathcal{S}(\pi_j, D_{ij}, J_j) \rightarrow \tau_z$, where $\tau_z \subset \pi_j^{-1}(*)$ is the product torus defined by parallel transporting the one in the fiber $\hat{\pi}^{-1}(\zeta_j)$. We show use the following fact about the gluing of pseudoholomorphic curves.

Proposition 25. *Assume the almost complex structures J_j are regular and the evaluation maps ev_j and $ev_{j+1 \bmod n}$ are mutually transverse, then for sufficiently small gluing parameter, there is an almost complex structure $J \in \mathcal{J}_{reg}(\hat{\pi})$, such that we have the diffeomorphism*

$$\mathcal{S}(\hat{\pi}, S_i, J) = \bigsqcup \mathcal{S}(\pi_j, D_{ij}, J_j)_{ev_j \times ev_{j+1}} \mathcal{S}(\pi_{j+1}, D_{i(j+1)}, J_{j+1}), \quad (3.14)$$

between the moduli space of J -holomorphic sections of $\hat{\pi} : \hat{W}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ over S_i and the fiber product of the individual moduli spaces $\mathcal{S}(\pi_j, D_{ij}, J_j)$, where the evaluation maps ev_j and ev_{j+1} are at the point $\{*\} = \beta_j \cap \beta_{j+1}$.

A similar statement of the proposition above can be found in [41], Proposition 2.7, although that is more restrictive in the sense that Seidel was dealing with pseudoholomorphic sections of a Lefschetz fibration. See also [42], (17c). However, the same argument as in [41], Section 2.4 can be adapted here. See also the discussions in [52], Section 4.4, which covers our situation.

Recall that the count of J -holomorphic sections in $\mathcal{S}(\hat{\pi}, S_i, J)$ is weighted by the holonomies of the local systems on the product Lagrangian torus T'_i . By (3.14), this is the product of the holonomies of the local systems on the product Lagrangian tori $T_{ij} \subset \bar{E}_j$ obtained by parallel transporting $\tau_z \subset \pi_j^{-1}(\zeta_j)$ along the circle β_j .

Without loss of generality, we assume from now on that the vanishing cycle of $\hat{\pi} : \hat{W}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ at c_j is $k_j a + l_j b$ with $(k_j, l_j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2$. For each $j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, let $[D_{\pm}] \in H_2(E_j, T_{ij}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ be the two relative homology classes of the sections of $\pi_j : \bar{E}_j \rightarrow D_{ij}$, so that $[D_+]$ is the class of sections intersecting the irreducible component $Z_j^+ \subset \pi_j^{-1}(c_j)$ once positively, and $[D_-]$ is the other class of sections. Following the proof of [2], Proposition 5.26, we define a 2-chain D_{+-} on \bar{E}_j relative to T_{ij} as follows. Pick a point $s \in \beta_j$ and a path $\gamma \subset D_{ij}$ connecting s to c_j , let D_{+-} be the union of the S^1 -orbits of the S^1 -action with weight $(k_j - l_j, 0)$, such that when approaching the singular fiber over c_j along γ , these S^1 -orbits collapse to points on $Z_j^+ \cap Z_j^- \cong \mathbb{C}^*$. We can orient D_{+-} so that it equals $[D_-] - [D_+]$ in $H_2(E_j, T_{ij})$, and ∂D_{+-} represents the class $(-k_j, l_j, 0) \in H_1(T^3; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^3$. By our choice of the local system on τ_z , the holonomy along ∂D_{+-} is $z_2^{k_j} z_1^{-l_j} \in \mathbb{K}^*$. Since ∂D_- represents the class $(0, -l_j, 1) \in H_1(T^3; \mathbb{Z})$, it follows that the holomorphic sections in classes $[D_-]$ and $[D_+]$ are weighted by $z_1^{l_j}$ and $z_2^{k_j}$, respectively, so the total weights of the J -holomorphic sections in $\mathcal{S}(\hat{\pi}, S_i, J)$ are given by the product of $f_j(z_1, z_2)$, as claimed. \square

3.3 Products between two adjacent Lagrangians

For $i = 0, \dots, n$, consider the Lagrangian submanifolds $L_i, L_{i+1 \bmod n+1} \subset \hat{W}_f$ defined by parallel transporting the fiberwise Lagrangians $\ell_i \subset \hat{\pi}^{-1}(\zeta_i), \ell_{i+1 \bmod n+1} \subset \hat{\pi}^{-1}(\zeta_{i+1 \bmod n+1})$ over the paths $\gamma_i, \gamma_{i+1 \bmod n+1} \subset \mathbb{C}^*$, respectively. It follows from Proposition 22 that as \mathbb{K} -vector spaces (from now on, the index $i+1$ in this subsection will always be understood as $i+1 \bmod n+1$)

$$CW^*(L_i, L_i) \cong \bigoplus_{(p,q,r) \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \mathbb{K} \cdot \vartheta_{p,q,r}^i, \quad CW^*(L_{i+1}, L_{i+1}) \cong \bigoplus_{(p,q,r) \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \mathbb{K} \cdot \vartheta_{p,q,r}^{i+1}. \quad (3.15)$$




Figure 6: Deformation of the curve $\tilde{\gamma}_1$

After wrapping the Lagrangian submanifold L_i using the time-1 flow of X_{H_W} , we get a well-defined Floer cochain complex $CW^*(L_i^{(1)}, L_{i+1})$ whose generators correspond to the transverse intersection points $L_i^{(1)} \cap L_{i+1}$. Under the projection $\tilde{\pi}$, they are mapped to the intersections between the arcs $\gamma_i^{(1)}$ and γ_{i+1} . We label these intersection points by $\{x_r^-\}_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{C}^*$. Above each point x_r^- , the Floer complex $CW^*(L_i^{(1)}, L_{i+1})$ has generators corresponding to the transverse intersection points of the fiberwise Lagrangians $\ell_{i,r}^{(1)}, \ell_{i+1,r} \subset \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(x_r^-)$, where $\ell_{i,r}^{(1)}$ is the time-1 flow of $\ell_{i,r}$ under the Hamiltonian vector field X_{H_F} . Since $\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(x_r^-) \cong T^*T^2$ and $\ell_{i,r}^{(1)}$ and $\ell_{i+1,r}$ are Hamiltonian isotopic to cotangent fibers, by our choice of the fiberwise wrapping Hamiltonian H_F in Section 3.1, we see that $\ell_{i,r}^{(1)} \cap \ell_{i+1,r}$ is parametrized by $(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, and there is a similar identification

$$CW^*(L_i^{(1)}, L_{i+1}) \cong \bigoplus_{(p,q,r) \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \mathbb{K} \cdot \eta_{p,q,r}^i. \quad (3.16)$$

as (3.15). In Figure 7, the generators $\eta_{p,q,r}^i$ project under $\tilde{\pi}$ to the intersections between the blue arc and the upper edge. Similarly, let $L_{i+1}^{(2)}$ be the time-2 flow of L_{i+1} under the Hamiltonian vector field X_{H_W} , we have

$$CW^*(L_{i+1}^{(2)}, L_i^{(1)}) \cong \bigoplus_{(p,q,r) \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \mathbb{K} \cdot \delta_{p,q,r}^i, \quad (3.17)$$

where the generators $\delta_{p,q,r}^i$ correspond to the fiberwise intersection points that project to $\gamma_{i+1}^{(2)} \cap \gamma_i^{(1)} = \{x_r^+\}_{r \in \mathbb{Z}}$ under $\tilde{\pi}$. In Figure 7, these correspond to the intersection points between the red arc and the blue arc on the bottom edge. Now we consider the triangle product

$$\mu^2 : CW^*(L_i^{(1)}, L_{i+1}) \otimes CW^*(L_{i+1}^{(2)}, L_i^{(1)}) \rightarrow CW^*(L_{i+1}^{(2)}, L_{i+1}). \quad (3.18)$$

As we have seen in Section 3.2, the (perturbed) J -holomorphic discs contributing to the product are either contained in the fiber or appear as J -holomorphic sections of $\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{W}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$. In the fiber direction, since both ℓ_i and ℓ_{i+1} are cotangent fibers of T^*T^2 , the fiberwise Floer complexes $CW^*(\ell_i, \ell_{i+1})$ and $CW^*(\ell_{i+1}, \ell_{i+1})$ together with their pair-of-pants products computed in T^*T^2 can be identified with the Laurent polynomial ring $\mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}]$. The product (3.18) over the fixed triangle in \mathbb{C}^* with vertices x_r^- , $x_{r'}^+$ and $x_{r+r'}$, where $r, r' \in \mathbb{Z}$, formed by the arcs $\gamma_i^{(1)}$, γ_{i+1} and $\gamma_{i+1}^{(2)}$ is therefore identified with a map

$$\mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}] \otimes \mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}] \xrightarrow{g_i(z_1, z_2)} \mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}], \quad (3.19)$$




Figure 7: The triangle product (3.18) between generators over x_0^+ and x_0^-

given by the multiplication of Laurent polynomials in the fiber direction of $\hat{\pi}$, composed with the multiplication of a particular Laurent polynomial $g_i(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}]$ coming from the weights of J -holomorphic sections of $\hat{\pi}$. The expression of $g_i(z_1, z_2)$ depends on the values of r and r' . For our purposes, we shall only determine $g_i(z_1, z_2)$ in the situation when $r = r' = 0$. As we shall see later, this in fact determines $g_i(z_1, z_2)$ in all cases.

Proposition 26. *When $r = r' = 0$, we have $g_i(z_1, z_2) = f_i(z_1, z_2)$.*

Proof. This is similar to Lemma 24, so the proof will only be sketched. For any $z \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$, we first replace the Lagrangian submanifolds $L_i^{(1)}, L_{i+1}, L_{i+1}^{(2)} \subset \check{W}_f$ with the Lagrangian cylinders $T_{z,i}^{(1)}, T_{z,i+1}, T_{z,i+1}^{(2)}$ equipped with rank 1 local systems obtained by parallel transporting the Lagrangian branes $\tau_z \subset \hat{\pi}^{-1}(\zeta_i)$ and $\tau_z \subset \hat{\pi}^{-1}(\zeta_{i+1})$, as specified in the proof of Lemma 24, along the arcs $\gamma_i^{(1)}, \gamma_{i+1}$ and $\gamma_{i+1}^{(2)}$, respectively. This transfers the problem of determining the triangle product (3.18) to that of computing the product

$$\mu^2 : CW^*(T_{z,i}^{(1)}, T_{z,i+1}) \otimes CW^*(T_{z,i+1}^{(2)}, T_{z,i}^{(1)}) \rightarrow CW^*(T_{z,i+1}^{(2)}, T_{z,i+1}). \quad (3.20)$$

By [6], Lemma 2.17, the map (3.20) is then identified with

$$H^*(T^2; \mathbb{K})[z_3^{\pm 1}] \otimes H^*(T^2; \mathbb{K})[z_3^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow H^*(T^2; \mathbb{K})[z_3^{\pm 1}], \quad (3.21)$$

which is the cup product composed with the multiplication by $g_i(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{K}$ in the $H^2(T^2; \mathbb{K})$ factor, and the usual polynomial multiplication in the variable z_3 . To determine the dependence of the weight $g_i(z_1, z_2)$ on z_1 and z_2 , one then deforms the triangle formed by the arcs $\gamma_i^{(1)}, \gamma_{i+1}$ and $\gamma_{i+1}^{(2)}$ to a smooth curve $\tilde{\gamma} \subset \mathbb{C}^*$. Parallel transporting τ_z over $\tilde{\gamma}$ then yields a product Lagrangian torus $T_{i,i+1} \subset \check{W}_f$, equipped with the local system obtained by parallel transporting the one on τ_z . In this case, it follows from our assumption $r = r' = 0$ that $\tilde{\gamma}$ encircles a unique critical value c_i of $\hat{\pi}$, see Figure 7. It follows from the proof of Lemma 24 that there are exactly two J -holomorphic sections of $\hat{\pi} : \check{W}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ over the disc bounded by $\tilde{\gamma}$, whose total contribution after weighting by the holonomies along the boundary with respect to the local system on $T_{i,i+1}$ is precisely $z_2^{k_i} \pm z_1^{l_i}$. \square

In a similar manner, we can compute the triangle product

$$\mu^2 : CW^*(L_{i+1}^{(1)}, L_i) \otimes CW^*(L_i^{(2)}, L_{i+1}^{(1)}) \rightarrow CW^*(L_i^{(2)}, L_i), \quad (3.22)$$

where $L_{i+1}^{(1)}$ is the time-1 flow of L_{i+1} under X_{H_W} and $L_i^{(2)} = \phi_{H_W}^2(L_i)$ is the time-2 flow of L_i . Since the computation of (3.22) is completely parallel to the case of (3.18), we omit the details. The upshot is that over the triangle formed by the arcs $\gamma_{i+1}^{(1)}, \gamma_i$ and $\gamma_i^{(2)}$ with vertices $x_0^+ \in \gamma_{i+1}^{(1)} \cap \gamma_i^2$, $x_0^- \in \gamma_{i+1}^1 \cap \gamma_i$ and $x_0 \in \gamma_i^{(2)} \cap \gamma_i$, where the Lagrangian submanifolds $L_{i+1}^{(1)}, L_i$ and $L_i^{(2)}$ fiber over, the product (3.22) can be identified with a




Figure 8: The triangle product (3.22) between generators over x_0^+ and x_0^-




Figure 9: The triangles in \mathbb{C}^* over which the J -holomorphic sections of $\hat{\pi}$ contributing to the product (3.23)

$\text{map } \mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}] \otimes \mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}]$, under which two Laurent polynomials get multiplied together, followed by a further multiplication with the polynomial $f_i(z_1, z_2) = z_2^{k_i} \pm z_1^{l_i}$. See Figure 8 for an illustration.

Finally, we explain how to compute the triangle products (3.18) and (3.22) in the cases when r or r' is non-zero. Recall the identifications $CW^*(L_{i+1}, L_{i+1}) \cong \bigoplus_{(p,q,r) \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \mathbb{K} \cdot \vartheta_{p,q,r}^{i+1}$ and $CW^*(L_{i+1}, L_i) \cong \bigoplus_{(p,q,r) \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \mathbb{K} \cdot \delta_{p,q,r}^i$ from (3.15) and (3.16). Since the generators $\delta_{p,q,r}^i$ can be obtained as the product of $\delta_{p,q,0}^i$ with $\vartheta_{0,0,r}^{i+1}$ under the map

$$\mu^2 : CW^*(L_{i+1}^{(2)}, L_i^{(1)}) \otimes CW^*(L_{i+1}^{(2)}, L_{i+1}^{(2)}) \rightarrow CW^*(L_{i+1}^{(2)}, L_i^{(1)}), \quad (3.23)$$

the product (3.18) over a triangle formed by the arcs $\gamma_i^{(1)}, \gamma_{i+1}$ and $\gamma_{i+1}^{(2)}$ with vertices $x_0^- \in \gamma_i^{(1)} \cap \gamma_{i+1}$, $x_r^+ \in \gamma_{i+1}^{(2)} \cap \gamma_i^{(1)}$ and $x_r \in \gamma_{i+1}^{(2)} \cap \gamma_{i+1}$ is the composition of (3.23) and the triangle product (3.18) between generators above the intersection points x_0^- and x_0^+ . In other words, we have the identities

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^2(\eta_{p',q',0}^i, \delta_{p,q,r}^i) &= \mu^2(\eta_{p',q',0}^i, \mu^2(\delta_{p,q,0}^i, \vartheta_{0,0,r}^{i+1})) \\ &= \mu^2(\mu^2(\eta_{p',q',0}^i, \delta_{p,q,0}^i), \vartheta_{0,0,r}^{i+1}) \\ &= f_i(z_1, z_2) \mu^2(\vartheta_{p+p',q+q',0}^{i+1}, \vartheta_{0,0,r}^{i+1}) \\ &= f_i(z_1, z_2) \vartheta_{p+p',q+q',r}^{i+1} \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

for any $p, q, p', q', r \in \mathbb{Z}$, where the second equality follows from the fact that all the Floer cochains are supported in degree 0, the third equality is a consequence of Proposition 26, and the last equality uses Proposition 23. An illustration of the product (3.23) is given in Figure 9. Note that since none of the triangles contributing to (3.23) contain any critical values of $\hat{\pi}$, the weights of the corresponding J -holomorphic sections are always 1, which is compatible with (3.24).

More generally, in order to determine the product (3.18) between Floer cochains $\eta_{p',q',r'}^i$ and $\delta_{p,q,r}^i$, we will first regard $\eta_{p',q',r'}^i$ as the product between $\vartheta_{0,0,r'}^i$ and $\eta_{p',q',0}^{i+1}$ under the

map

$$\mu^2 : CW^*(L_{i+1}, L_{i+1}) \otimes CW^*(L_i^{(1)}, L_{i+1}) \rightarrow CW^*(L_i^{(1)}, L_{i+1}). \quad (3.25)$$

It follows from (3.24) and Proposition 23 that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^2(\eta_{p',q',r'}^i, \delta_{p,q,r}^i) &= \mu^2(\mu^2(\vartheta_{0,0,r'}^{i+1}, \eta_{p',q',0}^i), \delta_{p,q,r}^i) \\ &= \mu^2(\vartheta_{0,0,r'}^{i+1}, \mu^2(\eta_{p',q',0}^i, \delta_{p,q,r}^i)) \\ &= f_i(z_1, z_2) \mu^2(\vartheta_{0,0,r'}^{i+1}, \vartheta_{p+p',q+q',r}^{i+1}) \\ &= \begin{cases} f_i(z_1, z_2) \vartheta_{p+p',q+q',r+r'}^{i+1} & \text{if } r \cdot r' \geq 0, \\ f_i(z_1, z_2) f(z_1, z_2) \vartheta_{p+p',q+q',r+r'}^{i+1} & \text{if } r \cdot r' < 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

This completes the computation of the triangle product (3.18) in all cases. Since the discussions for the product triangle (3.22) in the general case is parallel, we omit the details.

3.4 Concluding the computation

We start with the following observation, which in particular shows that the product structure μ^2 on the wrapped Fukaya A_∞ -algebra \hat{W}_f is determined by the triangle product for wrapped Floer cochain complexes of two adjacent Lagrangians.

Lemma 27. *Let $L_i, L_j \subset \hat{W}_f$ be two non-adjacent Lagrangians in the collection $\{L_0, \dots, L_n\}$, where $i+1 < j \bmod n+1$. Up to the multiplication of a polynomial factor in $\mathbb{K}[z_1, z_2]$, any generator of $CW^*(L_i, L_j)$ can be identified with the products of the generators in the Floer cochain complexes $CW^*(L_i, L_{i+1}), \dots, CW^*(L_{j-1}, L_j)$ of adjacent Lagrangians.*

Proof. Let $L_i^{(2)}$ be the image of L_i under the time-2 flow of the Hamiltonian vector field X_{H_W} . From our discussions in the previous sections, it should now be clear that we have an identification

$$CW^*(L_i^{(2)}, L_j) \cong \bigoplus_{(p,q,r) \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \mathbb{K} \cdot \sigma_{p,q,r}^{i,j} \quad (3.27)$$

between \mathbb{K} -vector spaces, where the generators $\sigma_{p,q,r}^{i,j}$ project to $x_r \in \gamma_i^{(2)} \cap \gamma_j$ under $\hat{\pi} : \hat{W}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$. Consider the triangle product

$$\mu^2 : CW^*(L_{j-1}^{(1)}, L_j) \otimes CW^*(L_i^{(2)}, L_{j-1}^{(1)}) \rightarrow CW^*(L_i^{(2)}, L_j), \quad (3.28)$$

which can be identified with the composition of maps

$$\mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}] \otimes \mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}] \xrightarrow{\cdot g_{ij}(z_1, z_2)} \mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}], \quad (3.29)$$

where the first one is the usual multiplication between Laurent polynomials coming from the triangle products in the fibers of $\hat{\pi} : \hat{W}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ and the second one is the multiplication with a particular Laurent polynomial $g_{ij}(z_1, z_2)$ coming from the counting of J -holomorphic sections of $\hat{\pi}$ over the triangle formed by the arcs $\gamma_{j-1}^{(1)}, \gamma_j$ and $\gamma_i^{(2)}$. Since for each $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists a triangle in \mathbb{C}^* formed by the arcs $\gamma_{j-1}^{(1)}, \gamma_j$ and $\gamma_i^{(2)}$ with x_r as one of its vertices, we see that for any $\sigma_{p,q,r}^{i,j}$, the map (3.9) with output $\sigma_{p,q,r}^{i,j}$ is non-trivial. On the other hand, as we have seen in Sections 3.2 and 3.3, the Laurent polynomial $g_{ij}(z_1, z_2)$ comes from the holonomies of rank 1 local systems along the boundaries of the J -holomorphic sections of $\hat{\pi}$, it follows our choice of the local systems on the Lagrangian branes $\tau_z \subset \hat{\pi}^{-1}(\zeta_j)$ that negative powers of z_1 and z_2 will not appear in $g_{ij}(z_1, z_2)$, so we actually have $g_{ij}(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{K}[z_1, z_2]$. The proof of the general case now follows from induction. \square

The situation for the Floer cochain complexes $CW^*(L_i, L_j)$ with $j + 1 < i \bmod n + 1$ is similar, and is therefore left to the reader.

Since the generators of the wrapped Fukaya A_∞ -algebra \mathring{W}_f are all supported in degree 0, there are no non-trivial differential or higher A_∞ -structures. Thus our computations of the triangle products in Sections 3.2 and 3.3 have already determined the wrapped Fukaya A_∞ -algebra \mathring{W}_f , as is shown in the following proposition.

Proposition 28. *The formal A_∞ -algebra \mathring{W}_f is isomorphic to the algebra over \mathbb{K} generated by*

$$\vartheta_{0,0,0}^i \in CW^*(L_i, L_i), \quad \eta_{0,0,0}^i \in CW^*(L_i, L_{i+1}), \quad \delta_{0,0,0}^i \in CW^*(L_{i+1}, L_i), \quad (3.30)$$

for $i = 0, \dots, n$ and $z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}$, where all generators lie in degree 0. Moreover, $\vartheta_{0,0,0}^i = e_i \in CW^0(L_i, L_i)$ is an idempotent and the generators $\eta_{0,0,0}^i$ and $\delta_{0,0,0}^i$ satisfy the relations

$$\delta_{0,0,0}^i \cdot \eta_{0,0,0}^i = f_i(z_1, z_2) \vartheta_{0,0,0}^i, \quad \eta_{0,0,0}^i \cdot \delta_{0,0,0}^i = f_i(z_1, z_i) \vartheta_{0,0,0}^{i+1}, \quad (3.31)$$

where $i = 0, \dots, n \bmod n + 1$.

Proof. By Lemma 27 above, in order to generate the wrapped Fukaya A_∞ -algebra \mathring{W}_f over $\mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}]$, we only need to consider Floer cochains between adjacent Lagrangians among $L_0, \dots, L_n \subset \mathring{W}_f$ or the Lagrangians themselves, which are precisely $\eta_{p,q,r}^i, \delta_{p,q,r}^i$ and $\vartheta_{p,q,r}^i$ for $i = 0, \dots, n$ and $p, q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Using the induction argument as in the proof of Lemma 27, it is straightforward to verify the identities

$$\eta_{0,0,0}^i \cdot \eta_{0,0,0}^{i+1} \cdots \eta_{0,0,0}^n \cdot \eta_{0,0,0}^1 \cdots \eta_{0,0,0}^{i-1} = \vartheta_{0,0,1}^i, \quad (3.32)$$

$$\delta_{0,0,0}^i \cdot \delta_{0,0,0}^{i-1} \cdots \delta_{0,0,0}^0 \cdot \delta_{0,0,0}^n \cdots \delta_{0,0,0}^{i+1} = \vartheta_{0,0,-1}^i, \quad (3.33)$$

so it follows from Proposition 23 that all Floer cochains $\{\vartheta_{p,q,r}^i\}_{(p,q,r,i) \in \mathbb{Z}^4}$ are generated by the idempotents $\{\vartheta_{0,0,0}^i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and the concatenations of the Floer cochains in $\{\eta_{0,0,0}^i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\{\delta_{0,0,0}^i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ over $\mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}]$. By our discussions at the end of Section 3.3, we also know that the Floer cochains $\eta_{p,q,r}^i$ and $\delta_{p,q,r}^i$ for $(p, q, r, i) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ are generated by $\eta_{0,0,0}^i, \delta_{0,0,0}^i$ and $\vartheta_{0,0,r}^i$ over $\mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}]$ for $(i, r) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, so the first claim follows. The relations (3.31) follow from Proposition 26. \square

4 Homological mirror symmetry and autoequivalences

In this section, we prove the homological mirror symmetry between the 6-dimensional Weinstein manifold \mathring{W}_f and the crepant resolution \hat{Y}_f of the cA_n singularity \hat{R}_f based on the computations of the wrapped Fukaya category in Section 3, and then derive from this a few consequences.

4.1 The Evans-Lekili model

Let \hat{R}_f be the cA_n singularity defined by (1.6). The derived category of coherent sheaves on the crepant resolution $\hat{Y}_f \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\hat{R}_f)$ has a convenient 1-dimensional model in terms of symplectic geometry, which we briefly recall here. This is discovered by Evans-Lekili in [15].

Consider the relative wrapped Fukaya category $\mathcal{W}(T^*S^1, D_n)$, where $D_n \subset T^*S^1$ is a finite set $\{c_0, \dots, c_n\}$ consisting of the critical values of $\hat{\pi} : \mathring{W}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$. The objects of $\mathcal{W}(T^*S^1, D_n)$ are the arcs $\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_n$ that the Lagrangian submanifolds $L_0, \dots, L_n \subset \mathring{W}_f$ fiber over, and its morphisms are wrapped Floer cochain complexes $CW^*(\gamma_i, \gamma_j)$




Figure 10: The quiver \mathring{Q}_n

computed in the cylinder T^*S^1 . When defining the A_∞ -structures on $\mathcal{W}(T^*S^1, D_n)$, the counting of holomorphic curves are weighted by the number of times it passes through the points c_0, \dots, c_n . As a consequence, $\mathcal{W}(T^*S^1, D_n)$ is an A_∞ -category linear over the ring $R = \mathbb{K}[t_0, \dots, t_n]$ or its completion $\hat{R} = \mathbb{K}[[t_0, \dots, t_n]]$. For a general construction of the relative Fukaya category, see [39].

Let \mathring{Q}_n be the quiver with $n+1$ vertices and $2n+2$ arrows as depicted in Figure 10. Label its vertices by $0, \dots, n$, and the arrows by $a_0, b_0, \dots, a_n, b_n$, where a_i is the arrow starting from the vertex i and ending at the vertex $i+1$, while b_i is the arrow starting at the vertex $i+1$ and ending at the vertex i . Consider the path algebra $R\mathring{Q}_n$, and the ideal

$$I_R = \langle a_i b_i - t_i e_{i+1}, b_i a_i - t_i e_i \mid i = 0, \dots, n \rangle, \quad (4.1)$$

where e_i is an idempotent associated to the vertex i . Define

$$\mathring{\mathcal{A}}_n := R\mathring{Q}_n / I_R. \quad (4.2)$$

We regard it as a formal A_∞ -algebra concentrated in degree 0. It follows from [15], Proposition 2.2 that we have an equivalence

$$D^{perf} \mathcal{W}(T^*S^1, D_n) \simeq D^{perf}(\mathring{\mathcal{A}}_n) \quad (4.3)$$

between the derived relative wrapped Fukaya category of (T^*S^1, D_n) and the derived category of perfect modules over $\mathring{\mathcal{A}}_n$.

On the mirror side, one considers the versal deformation

$$\mathrm{Spec} \left(\frac{R[u, v]}{(uv - t_0 \cdots t_n)} \right) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^{n+1} \quad (4.4)$$

of the A_n curve singularity and its toric crepant resolution Y . It follows from [15], Corollary 4.6 that there is an equivalence

$$D^b \mathrm{Coh}(Y) \simeq D^{perf}(\mathring{\mathcal{A}}_n) \quad (4.5)$$

between the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves on Y and the derived category of perfect modules over $\mathring{\mathcal{A}}_n$. After the base change

$$\hat{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}[[x, y]], \quad t_i \mapsto f_i(x, y), \quad i = 0, \dots, n, \quad (4.6)$$

we get the following.




Figure 11: Base of the Morse-Bott fibration $\pi : W_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ when $n = 5$. The arcs $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_5$ split-generate the relative wrapped Fukaya category $\mathcal{W}(\mathbb{C}, D_5)$.

After the base change $R \rightarrow \mathbb{K}[x, y]$ defined as in (4.6), the dg algebra $\mathcal{A}_n \otimes_R \mathbb{K}[x, y]$ is quasi-isomorphic to the *derived contraction algebra* [9] associated to the crepant resolution $\phi : Y_f \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_f)$, and $H^0(\mathcal{A}_n \otimes_R \mathbb{K}[x, y])$ gives the *contraction algebra* introduced by Donovan-Wemyss [13].

Switching to the symplectic perspective, the localization corresponds to excising the arc $\gamma_0 \subset \mathbb{C}^*$, which gives rise to the unit disc \mathbb{D} with $n+1$ marked points $D_n = \{c'_0, \dots, c'_n\}$, see Figure 11. It follows from [21], Proposition 11.2 that the localized A_∞ -category $\mathcal{W}(T^*S^1, D_n)/\langle \gamma_0 \rangle$ is quasi-equivalent to the relative wrapped Fukaya category $\mathcal{W}(\mathbb{C}, D_n)$. It is proved in [15] that we have an equivalence

$$D^{perf} \mathcal{W}(\mathbb{C}, D_n) \simeq D^{perf}(\mathcal{A}_n), \quad (4.14)$$

from which the mirror equivalence

$$D^{perf} \mathcal{W}(\mathbb{C}, D_n) \otimes_R \mathbb{K}[x, y] \simeq D^b \text{Coh}(Y_f)/\langle \mathcal{O}_{Y_f} \rangle \quad (4.15)$$

follows.³

4.2 Proofs of the results

In this subsection we prove the results related to homological mirror symmetry stated in the introduction, which are Theorem 3, Proposition 5, Theorem 6, Proposition 8 and Corollary 9.

4.2.1 Theorem 3 and Proposition 5

We first prove Theorem 3, which asserts that there is an equivalence

$$D^{perf} \hat{\mathcal{W}}(\hat{W}_f; \mathbb{K}) \simeq D^b \text{Coh}(\hat{Y}_f). \quad (4.16)$$

By the Proposition 29, in order to prove Theorem 3 it suffices to identify the completion of the wrapped Fukaya A_∞ -algebra $\hat{W}_f = \bigoplus_{0 \leq i, j \leq n} CW^*(L_i, L_j)$ (with respect to the

³Strictly speaking, [15] only proves the complete local version of (4.15), namely $D^{perf} \mathcal{W}(\mathbb{C}, D_n) \otimes_{\hat{R}} \mathbb{K}[[x, y]] \simeq D^b \text{Coh}(\hat{Y}_f)/\langle \mathcal{O}_{\hat{Y}_f} \rangle$. In order to get the algebraic equivalence (4.15), one needs to prove the split-generation of $\mathcal{W}(T^*S^1, D_n)$ by $\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_n$ over $R = \mathbb{K}[t_0, \dots, t_n]$, without passing to the completion. This is done in [35]. See also [15], Remark 1.8.

word length filtration) with the algebra $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n \otimes_{\widehat{R}} \mathbb{K}[[x, y]]$. This is done in the following proposition.

Proposition 30. *We have an isomorphism*

$$\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_f \simeq \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n \otimes_{\widehat{R}} \mathbb{K}[[x, y]], \quad (4.17)$$

where $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_f$ is the completed wrapped Fukaya A_∞ -algebra.

Proof. By Proposition 28, $\mathring{\mathcal{W}}_f$ is the formal A_∞ -algebra generated by $\vartheta_{0,0,0}^i$, $\eta_{0,0,0}^i$, $\delta_{0,0,0}^i$, $z_1^{\pm 1}$ and $z_2^{\pm 1}$, with $(\vartheta_{0,0,0}^i)^2 = \vartheta_{0,0,0}^i$ and the relations (3.31). Define a map $\mathring{\psi} : \mathring{\mathcal{W}}_f \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n \otimes_{\widehat{R}} \mathbb{K}[[x, y]]$ by

$$\mathring{\psi}(\vartheta_{0,0,0}^i) = e_i, \quad \mathring{\psi}(\eta_{0,0,0}^i) = a_i, \quad \mathring{\psi}(\delta_{0,0,0}^i) = b_i, \quad i = 0, \dots, n, \quad (4.18)$$

$$\mathring{\psi}(z_1) = x - 1, \quad \mathring{\psi}(z_2) = y - 1, \quad \mathring{\psi}(z_1^{-1}) = - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} x^j, \quad \mathring{\psi}(z_2^{-1}) = - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} y^j. \quad (4.19)$$

It is straightforward to check that $\mathring{\psi}$ is an algebra map. Passing to the completion $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_f$ of $\mathring{\mathcal{W}}_f$ cancels the negative powers of z_1 and z_2 , since $z_1^{-1} = - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (z_1 + 1)^j$ and $z_2^{-1} = - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (z_2 + 1)^j$ in $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_f$. It follows that the map $\mathring{\psi}$ is an isomorphism. \square

We now prove Proposition 5, which claims the equivalence

$$D^{perf} \mathcal{W}(T^*S^1, D_n) \otimes_{\widehat{R}} \mathbb{K}[[x, y]] \simeq D^{perf} \mathcal{W}(\mathring{\mathcal{W}}_f; \mathbb{K})[[x, y]] \quad (4.20)$$

between the base changed relative wrapped Fukaya category of (T^*S^1, D_n) and the derived wrapped Fukaya category of $\mathring{\mathcal{W}}_f$ completed at the values -1 of the Seidel elements.

Recall that the wrapped Fukaya category $\mathcal{W}(\mathring{\mathcal{W}}_f; \mathbb{K})$ is linear over the algebra $SH^0(\mathring{\mathcal{W}}_f; \mathbb{K})$. In our case, there is a Hamiltonian T^2 -action on $\mathring{\mathcal{W}}_f$ rotating the fibers of the Morse-Bott fibration $\mathring{\pi} : \mathring{\mathcal{W}}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$, see Section 2.1. After fixing a basis of $H_1(T^2; \mathbb{Z})$, it gives rise to two Seidel elements $s_1, s_2 \in SH^0(\mathring{\mathcal{W}}_f; \mathbb{K})^\times$ via the map (2.15). In particular, $\mathcal{W}(\mathring{\mathcal{W}}_f; \mathbb{K})$ is an A_∞ -category over $\mathbb{K}[s_1^{\pm 1}, s_2^{\pm 1}]$. The A_∞ -category $\mathcal{W}(\mathring{\mathcal{W}}_f; \mathbb{K})[[x, y]]$ is the completion of $\mathcal{W}(\mathring{\mathcal{W}}_f; \mathbb{K})$ at $s_1 = s_2 = -1$. The more precise statement of Proposition 5 is the following.

Proposition 31. *There exists a choice of a basis of $H_1(T^2; \mathbb{Z})$, such that after taking the completion of $\mathcal{W}(\mathring{\mathcal{W}}_f; \mathbb{K})$ with respect to the corresponding Seidel elements $s_1, s_2 \in SH^0(\mathring{\mathcal{W}}_f; \mathbb{K})^\times$ at $s_1 = s_2 = -1$, the equivalence (4.20) holds.*

To prove Proposition 31, we start with the following observation.

Lemma 32. *For an appropriate choice of the basis of $H_1(T^2; \mathbb{Z})$, the Seidel elements $s_1, s_2 \in SH^0(\mathring{\mathcal{W}}_f; \mathbb{K})^\times$ associated to the Hamiltonian T^2 -action correspond precisely to the generators z_1 and z_2 in the wrapped Fukaya A_∞ -algebra $\mathring{\mathcal{W}}_f$.*

Proof. The map (2.15), when applied to the Weinstein manifold $\mathring{\mathcal{W}}_f$, has its image in $SH^0(\mathring{\mathcal{W}}_f; \mathbb{K})^\times$. We have group isomorphisms

$$SH^0(\mathring{\mathcal{W}}_f; \mathbb{K})^\times \cong HH^0\left(\mathcal{W}(\mathring{\mathcal{W}}_f; \mathbb{K})\right)^\times \cong Z(\mathring{\mathcal{W}}_f)^\times, \quad (4.21)$$

where $HH^0\left(\mathcal{W}(\mathring{\mathcal{W}}_f; \mathbb{K})\right)$ is the zeroth Hochschild cohomology of the wrapped Fukaya category and $Z(\mathring{\mathcal{W}}_f)$ is the center of the (formal) A_∞ -algebra $\mathring{\mathcal{W}}_f$. In the above, the first isomorphism follows from the fact that the closed-open string map is an isomorphism

for Weinstein manifolds [20], while the second isomorphism is due to the fact that \mathring{W}_f is concentrated in degree 0. It follows from Proposition 28 that $Z(\mathring{W}_f)$ is generated by $z_1^{\pm 1}$ and $z_2^{\pm 1}$. It follows from the isomorphism (4.21) and the existence of two (linearly independent) Seidel elements that $SH^0(\mathring{W}_f; \mathbb{K})^\times$ is also generated by $s_1^{\pm 1}$ and $s_2^{\pm 1}$. We choose a basis of $H^1(T^2; \mathbb{Z})$ so that the corresponding orbits of the Hamiltonian S^1 -actions in the fiber $\hat{\pi}^{-1}(\zeta_i) \cong T^*T^2$ are homologous to the Hamiltonian chords with ends on $\ell_i \subset \hat{\pi}^{-1}(\zeta_i)$ representing z_1 and z_2 for any $0 \leq i \leq n$. Since the parallel transport is T^2 -equivariant, there is a map $u : (\mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}, p) \rightarrow (\mathring{W}_f, L_i)$, where $p \in \partial \mathbb{D}$, with asymptotics at s_i and z_i , respectively, contributing to the closed-open map $SH^*(\mathring{W}_f; \mathbb{K}) \rightarrow HW^*(L_i, L_i)$, whose image is contained in a fiber of $\hat{\pi}$. Since the fiber is symplectomorphic to T^*T^2 , there is precisely one such map u for $i = 1, 2$, which gives the isomorphism $\mathbb{K}[s_1^{\pm 1}, s_2^{\pm 1}] \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}]$ from the subalgebra of $SH^0(\mathring{W}_f; \mathbb{K})$ generated by $s_1^{\pm 1}$ and $s_2^{\pm 1}$ to the fiberwise wrapped Floer cohomology $HW^0(\ell_i, \ell_i)$. \square

Proof of Proposition 31. It follows from the proof of Proposition 30 that we have an algebra map $\hat{\psi}' : \mathring{W}_f \rightarrow \hat{R}\hat{Q}_n/I_R$, defined in the same way as $\hat{\psi}$, see (4.18) and (4.19). Notice the difference here: we do not take the completion of the path algebra in the target of the map $\hat{\psi}'$, only the coefficient ring is completed from R to \hat{R} . By Lemma 32 above, it suffices to show that $\hat{\psi}'$ induces an isomorphism after passing to the completion of \mathring{W}_f at $z_1 = z_2 = -1$, but this follows from the simple observation that such a completion is enough to invert z_1 and z_2 . \square

4.2.2 Theorem 6 and Proposition 8

We now proceed to prove Theorem 6, which says that under the assumption that $(k_0, \pm l_0) \neq (k_n, \pm l_n)$ (i.e. $Q_0 \not\cong S^1 \times S^2$), there is an equivalence

$$D^{perf} \mathcal{W}(W_f; \mathbb{K}) \simeq D^b Coh(Y_f) / \langle \mathcal{O}_{Y_f} \rangle \quad (4.22)$$

between the derived wrapped Fukaya category of the A_n plumbing of the cotangent bundles T^*Q_1, \dots, T^*Q_n along circles according to the data provided by the factorization of $f(z_1, z_2)$ and the relative singularity category of the corresponding crepant resolution of the cA_n singularity R_f .

By [31], Lemma 4.5, the non-compact Lagrangian submanifold $L_0 \subset \mathring{W}_f$ admits a Weinstein neighborhood $U(L_0)$, which is symplectomorphic to an open neighborhood of L_0 in T^*L_0 . Cutting out $U(L_0)$ from \mathring{W}_f gives rise to a Weinstein domain $\overline{\mathring{W}_f} \setminus U(L_0)$.

Lemma 33. *The Weinstein domain $\overline{\mathring{W}_f} \setminus U(L_0)$ is symplectically equivalent to the A_n plumbing \overline{W}_f of the disc cotangent bundles D^*Q_1, \dots, D^*Q_n along circles, with an additional 1-handle attached.*

Proof. By construction, $\overline{\mathring{W}_f} \setminus U(L_0)$ is the total space of the Morse-Bott fibration $\bar{\pi} : \overline{\mathring{W}_f} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ with base the unit disc \mathbb{D} obtained by excising γ_0 from D^*S^1 , $n+1$ critical values $c_0, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{D}$ and vanishing cycles specified as before by (1.2), together with a 3-dimensional 1-handle attached to $\partial \overline{\mathring{W}_f}$, which also fibered over a 1-dimensional 1-handle attached to $\partial \mathbb{D}$ at two distinct points (to make it an annulus), with fiber being the product $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$ of two unit discs. After smoothing the corners and passing to the completion, it follows from Lemma 17 that the total space W_f of the Morse-Bott fibration $\pi : W_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is symplectically equivalent to the A_n plumbing of cotangent bundles T^*Q_1, \dots, T^*Q_n according to the data provided by (1.4). \square

Since subcritical handles do not affect the wrapped Fukaya category up to quasi-isomorphism, it follows from Lemma 33 above and [21], Proposition 11.2 that we have a

quasi-equivalence

$$\mathcal{W}(W_f; \mathbb{K}) \simeq \mathcal{W}(\mathring{W}_f; \mathbb{K}) / \langle L_0 \rangle, \quad (4.23)$$

where the right-hand side is the Drinfeld localization of $\mathcal{W}(\mathring{W}_f; \mathbb{K})$ with respect to the idempotent $\vartheta_{0,0,0}^0 \in CW^0(L_0, L_0)$. By the equivalence (4.15), in order to prove Theorem 6, it suffices to establish the quasi-equivalence

$$\mathcal{W}(\mathring{W}_f; \mathbb{K}) / \langle L_0 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{W}(T^*S^1, D_n) \otimes_R \mathbb{K}[x, y] / \langle \gamma_0 \rangle \quad (4.24)$$

between the localized wrapped Fukaya categories when Q_0 is not diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times S^2$. Note that the completed version of (4.24) is obvious, namely

$$\mathcal{W}(\mathring{W}_f; \mathbb{K}) \llbracket x, y \rrbracket / \langle L_0 \rangle \simeq \mathcal{W}(T^*S^1, D_n) \otimes_R \mathbb{K} \llbracket x, y \rrbracket / \langle \gamma_0 \rangle, \quad (4.25)$$

since by Proposition 31 above, they are the same localizations of equivalent categories. In view of the equivalence (4.14), in order to prove (4.24), it suffices to prove the following.

Proposition 34. *Suppose that $(k_0, \pm l_0) \neq (k_n, \pm l_n)$, there is a quasi-equivalence*

$$\mathcal{W}(\mathring{W}_f; \mathbb{K}) / \langle L_0 \rangle \simeq (\mathcal{A}_n \otimes_R \mathbb{K}[x, y])^{perf}, \quad (4.26)$$

where the right-hand side is the dg category of perfect modules over the dg algebra $\mathcal{A}_n \otimes_R \mathbb{K}[x, y]$.

Proof. From the definition of Drinfeld localization, we see that the localization of the endomorphism algebra \mathring{W}_f of $\mathcal{W}(\mathring{W}_f; \mathbb{K})$ with respect to the idempotent $\vartheta_{0,0,0}^0$ associated to L_0 is quasi-isomorphic to the dg algebra generated by $\vartheta_{0,0,0}^i, \eta_{0,0,0}^i, \delta_{0,0,0}^i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n, z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}$, which have degree 0 and satisfying $(\vartheta_{0,0,0}^i)^2 = \vartheta_{0,0,0}^i$ and (3.31), together with two additional generators ε_0 and ε_n coming from the localization, with grading -1 , satisfying

$$\varepsilon_0^2 = \varepsilon_n^2 = 0, \quad (4.27)$$

and having the differentials

$$d\varepsilon_0 = f_0(z_1, z_2)\vartheta_{0,0,0}^1, \quad d\varepsilon_n = f_n(z_1, z_2)\vartheta_{0,0,0}^n. \quad (4.28)$$

We can define a map ψ from $\mathcal{A}_n \otimes_R \mathbb{K}[x, y]$ to the localization of \mathring{W}_f by

$$\psi(e_i) = \vartheta_{0,0,0}^i, \quad \psi(a_i) = \eta_{0,0,0}^i, \quad \psi(b_i) = \delta_{0,0,0}^i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (4.29)$$

$$\psi(x) = z_1 + 1, \quad \psi(y) = z_2 + 1. \quad (4.30)$$

By Proposition 28 and the formulae (4.27) and (4.28) above, it is straightforward to verify that ψ is a dg algebra map. To show that it is a quasi-isomorphism, it suffices to show that the generators z_1^{-1} and z_2^{-1} in the Drinfeld localization of \mathring{W}_f are redundant, namely they can be generated by the positive powers of z_1 and z_2 . To see this, we make use of (4.28), which gives the relations

$$z_1^{l_0} \pm z_2^{k_0} = z_1^{l_n} \pm z_2^{k_n} = 0. \quad (4.31)$$

We now need the assumption that $(k_0, \pm l_0) \neq (k_n, \pm l_n)$.

We first consider the case when $l_0 = 1$, in which case it follows from (4.31) that $(\mp z_2)^{k_0 l_n} \pm z_2^{k_n} = 0$.

- If $l_n = 1$, then $(\mp z_2)^{k_0} \pm z_2^{k_n} = 0$, where $k_0 \neq k_n$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $k_0 < k_n$, then $z_2^{k_n - k_0} = \pm 1$, which implies that $z_2^{-1} = \pm z_2^{k_n - k_0 - 1}$. Since $z_1 = \mp z_2^{k_0}$, we also have $z_1^{-1} = \pm z_2^{k_0(k_n - k_0 - 1)}$. Thus both of z_1^{-1} and z_2^{-1} are either the identity or generated by the positive powers of z_2 .

- If $k_n = 1$, then $(\mp z_2)^{k_0 l_n} \pm z_2 = 0$. If k_0 or $l_n = 0$, then $z_2^{-1} = z_2 = \pm 1$ and also $z_1 = \pm 1$ by (4.31), so we are done. Otherwise, neither k_0 nor l_n is zero, so $\pm z_2^{k_0 l_n - 1} = 1$. It follows that $z_2^{-1} = \pm z_2^{k_0 l_n - 2}$. Note that $k_0 l_n \geq 2$, since if $k_0 = l_n = 1$, then $(k_0, \pm l_0) = (k_n, \pm l_n) = (1, \pm 1)$, contradicting our assumption that $(k_0, \pm l_0) \neq (k_n, \pm l_n)$. Since $z_1 = \mp z_2^{k_0}$, we obtain $z_1^{-1} = \pm z_2^{k_0(k_0 l_n - 2)}$, and we again conclude that z_1^{-1} and z_2^{-1} are either the identity or generated by the positive powers of z_2 .

The case when $k_0 = 1$ is parallel. \square

This completes the proof of Theorem 6. Proposition 8, which concerns with the equivalence

$$D^{perf} \mathcal{W}(\mathbb{C}, D_n) \otimes_R \mathbb{K}[x, y] \simeq D^{perf} \mathcal{W}(W_f; \mathbb{K}) \quad (4.32)$$

under the same assumption that $(k_0, \pm l_0) \neq (k_n, \pm l_n)$, follows from Proposition 34 and the quasi-equivalence $\mathcal{W}(\mathbb{C}, D_n) \simeq \mathcal{W}(T^* S^1, D_n) / \langle \gamma_0 \rangle$ mentioned in Section 4.1, which is again a consequence of [21], Proposition 11.2.

Remark 35. Consider the completion $\mathcal{W}(W_f; \mathbb{K})[[x, y]]$ of the wrapped Fukaya category of W_f at -1 of the Seidel elements $\mathfrak{s}_1, \mathfrak{s}_2 \in SH^0(W_f; \mathbb{K})^\times$, now associated to the fiberwise T^2 -rotation of the Morse-Bott fibration $\pi : W_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Applying the same argument as Lemma 32, one finds that \mathfrak{s}_1 and \mathfrak{s}_2 correspond to z_1 and z_2 under

$$SH^0(W_f; \mathbb{K})^\times \rightarrow HH^0(\mathcal{W}(W_f; \mathbb{K}))^\times \rightarrow Z(\mathcal{W}_f)^\times, \quad (4.33)$$

provided that we choose an appropriate basis of $H_1(T^2; \mathbb{Z})$. Note that z_1 and z_2 are images of the same generators in the wrapped Fukaya A_∞ -algebra \mathring{W}_f of \mathring{W}_f under the Drinfeld localization which removes L_0 . As we have seen in the proof of Proposition 34, under the assumption that $(k_0, \pm l_0) \neq (k_n, \pm l_n)$, z_1 and z_2 become torsion units in $H^0(\mathcal{W}_f)$, which implies that \mathfrak{s}_1 and \mathfrak{s}_2 are also torsion units in $SH^0(W_f; \mathbb{K})$. See also [6], Corollary 2.24 for the explicit calculation of $H^0(\mathcal{W}_f)$ in the case when $W_f = W_k$ is the double bubble plumbing. This gives an alternative, yet more conceptual explanation of the fact that the completion of the wrapped Fukaya category $\mathcal{W}(W_f; \mathbb{K})$ at $\mathfrak{s}_1 = \mathfrak{s}_2 = -1$ is in fact redundant when the Morse-Bott surgery Q_0 of Q_1, \dots, Q_n is not diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times S^2$.

4.2.3 Corollary 9

Finally, we prove Corollary 9, which says that there is a fully faithful embedding

$$D^b \text{Coh}_c^*(Y_f) \hookrightarrow D^{perf} \mathcal{F}(W_f; \mathbb{K}), \quad (4.34)$$

when $Q_0 \subset \mathring{W}_f$ is not diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times S^2$.

Regarding L_1, \dots, L_n as Lagrangian submanifolds in the partial compactification W_f of \mathring{W}_f , consider the wrapped Fukaya A_∞ -algebra

$$\mathcal{W}_f := \bigoplus_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} CW^*(L_i, L_j). \quad (4.35)$$

It follows from the surgery quasi-isomorphism (2.20) that there is an equivalence $D^{perf} \mathcal{W}(W_f; \mathbb{K}) \simeq D^{perf}(\mathcal{W}_f)$ between the derived wrapped Fukaya category of W_f and the derived category of perfect modules over \mathcal{W}_f . On the other hand, one can use the compact cores Q_1, \dots, Q_n in the plumbing W_f to form another Fukaya A_∞ -algebra

$$\mathcal{Q}_f := \bigoplus_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} CF^*(Q_i, Q_j), \quad (4.36)$$

which is the endomorphism A_∞ -algebra of the corresponding objects in the compact Fukaya category $\mathcal{F}(W_f; \mathbb{K})$. Note that both of \mathcal{W}_f and \mathcal{Q}_f can be regarded as A_∞ -algebras over the semisimple ring $\mathbb{K} := \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathbb{K}e_i$. The following is a consequence of (2.25).

Proposition 36. *There is a quasi-isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{Q}_f \simeq R\mathrm{hom}_{\mathcal{W}_f}(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{K}) \quad (4.37)$$

between A_∞ -algebras over \mathbb{K} .

Denote by \mathcal{B}_f the derived contraction algebra $\mathcal{A}_n \otimes_R \mathbb{K}[x, y]$, then we have

$$D^b \mathrm{Coh}(Y_f) / \langle \mathcal{O}_{Y_f} \rangle \simeq D^{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathcal{B}_f). \quad (4.38)$$

by (4.15). On the other hand, let \mathcal{C}_f be the endomorphism dg algebra of the object $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_{C_i}(-1)$ in the dg enhancement of $D^b \mathrm{Coh}(Y_f)$, then by definition we have an equivalence

$$D^b \mathrm{Coh}_c^*(Y_f) \simeq D^{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathcal{C}_f). \quad (4.39)$$

The following proposition is the B-side analogue of Proposition 36.

Proposition 37. *There is a quasi-isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{C}_f \simeq R\mathrm{hom}_{\mathcal{B}_f}(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{K}) \quad (4.40)$$

between dg algebras over \mathbb{K} .

Proof. This follows directly from the definition, since $\mathcal{O}_{C_i}(-1)[1]$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ give simple modules over the noncommutative crepant resolution of R_f (cf. [50], Proposition 3.5.7). \square

By our assumption that $Q_0 \cong S^1 \times S^2$, Theorem 6 holds. Combining Propositions 36 and 37, we get from Theorem 6 the equivalence

$$D^b \mathrm{Coh}_c^*(Y_f) \simeq D^{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathcal{Q}_f), \quad (4.41)$$

which completes the proof of Corollary 9.

4.3 Braid group actions

In this subsection, we discuss the affine pure braid group action on the derived wrapped Fukaya category $D^{\mathrm{perf}}\mathcal{W}(\check{W}_f; \mathbb{K})$ and prove that its additive counterpart, namely the pure braid group action on the graded symplectic mapping class group of W_f , is faithful.

Recall that the *affine pure braid group* PBr_{n+1}^{aff} on $n+1$ strands is generated by $\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_n, \rho$ subject to the relations

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i & \text{for } |i-j| \geq 2, \\ \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} & \text{for } i = 0, \dots, n, \\ \rho \sigma_i \rho^{-1} = \sigma_{i+1} & \text{for } i = 0, \dots, n, \end{cases} \quad (4.42)$$

where the indices are understood to be modulo $n+1$. Note that PBr_{n+1}^{aff} contains the ordinary pure braid group PBr_{n+1} generated by $\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_n$ as a subgroup, and it is itself a subgroup of PBr_{n+2} .

In [15], an action of the affine pure braid group PBr_{n+1}^{aff} on the derived relative wrapped Fukaya category $D^{\mathrm{perf}}\mathcal{W}(T^*S^1, D_n)$ is defined, where the generator $\rho \in PBr_{n+1}^{\mathrm{aff}}$ corresponds to a symplectomorphism which cyclically permutes the arcs $\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_n \subset T^*S^1$ up




Figure 12: The half-twisted arc $\sigma_0(\gamma_0)$

to Hamiltonian isotopy, and σ_i corresponds to the half twist around the arc connecting $c_{i-1 \bmod n+1}$ to c_i for $i = 0, \dots, n$. See Figure 12 for a description of $\sigma_0(\gamma_0)$.

By Proposition 5, we get a homomorphism

$$\hat{\rho}_f : PBr_{n+1}^{\text{aff}} \rightarrow \text{Auteq} D^{\text{perf}} \mathcal{W}(\mathring{W}_f; \mathbb{K})[[x, y]] \quad (4.43)$$

from the affine pure braid group to the group of automorphisms of the triangulated category $D^{\text{perf}} \mathcal{W}(\mathring{W}_f; \mathbb{K})[[x, y]]$, under which the Lagrangian submanifold L_i is sent to the admissible Lagrangian $\sigma_i(L_i)$ obtained by parallel transporting $\ell_i \subset \mathring{\pi}^{-1}(\zeta_i)$ over the curve $\sigma_i(\gamma_i) \subset \mathbb{C}^*$. This is compatible with the symmetry on the B-side. Assuming (1.8), there is a homomorphism

$$\hat{\varphi}_f : PBr_{n+1}^{\text{aff}} \rightarrow \text{Auteq} D^b \text{Coh}(\hat{Y}_f). \quad (4.44)$$

Unfortunately, it is in general not clear whether the homomorphisms $\hat{\rho}_f$ and $\hat{\varphi}_f$ are injective, although conjecturally they are.

In fact, assuming (1.8), one can define an action of PBr_{n+1}^{aff} directly on $D^{\text{perf}} \mathcal{W}(\mathring{W}_f; \mathbb{K})$, using the spherical and lens space Dehn twists along Q_0, \dots, Q_n and their Morse-Bott surgeries. Conjecturally, this is mirror to the PBr_{n+1}^{aff} -action on $D^b \text{Coh}(\hat{Y}_f)$, where \hat{Y}_f is the “genuine” mirror to \mathring{W}_f , see Remark 4, (ii). Further evidences of this expectation can be found in [30], Section 6. Thus it is natural to expect that the action of PBr_{n+1}^{aff} on $D^{\text{perf}} \mathcal{W}(\mathring{W}_f; \mathbb{K})$ is faithful. As a comparison, it follows from [19] that the action of PBr_{n+1}^{aff} on the Fukaya category of the affine 3-fold

$$\{(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) \in \mathbb{C}^3 \times \mathbb{C}^* \mid z_1^2 + z_2^2 + z_3^2 = z_4^{n+1} - 1\}, \quad (4.45)$$

which is the affine A_n plumbing of T^*S^3 's along points, is indeed faithful.

We can achieve more complete result for the action of the ordinary pure braid group PBr_{n+1} on the wrapped Fukaya category of the partial compactification W_f of \mathring{W}_f , since the faithfulness of the action on the mirror side is well-understood [23]. More geometrically, we have Proposition 11, which asserts the faithfulness of the representation $\rho_f : PBr_{n+1} \rightarrow \pi_0 \text{Symp}_{gr}(W_f)$, which we now give a proof.

Proof of Proposition 11. This is similar to [48], Corollary 4.29. When (1.8) holds, the cA_n singularity R_f is isolated, and it follows from [23] that on the B-side, the (fat) spherical twists [46, 49] associated to $\mathcal{O}_{C_1}(-1), \dots, \mathcal{O}_{C_n}(-1)$ and the iterated mapping cones on the non-trivial morphisms

$$\mathcal{O}_{C_i}(-1) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_j}(-1) \quad (4.46)$$

for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ induces an injective homomorphism

$$PBr_{n+1} \rightarrow \text{Auteq} D^b \text{Coh}_c^*(Y_f). \quad (4.47)$$

On the A-side, there is also a natural homomorphism

$$PBr_{n+1} \rightarrow \text{Auteq} D^{\text{perf}}(\mathcal{Q}_f) \quad (4.48)$$

generated by spherical and lens space Dehn twists, where $D^{\text{perf}}(\mathcal{Q}_f) \subset D^{\text{perf}}\mathcal{F}(W_f; \mathbb{K})$ is the full subcategory generated by Q_1, \dots, Q_n . Arguing as in the proof of [48], Proposition 4.27, we see that the homological mirror symmetry equivalence (4.41) intertwines the action of PBr_{n+1} on the level of objects, which by [23] is enough to conclude the injectivity of the map (4.48). Since (4.48) factors as

$$PBr_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\rho_f} \pi_0 \text{Symp}_{gr}(W_f) \rightarrow \text{Auteq} D^{\text{perf}}(\mathcal{Q}_f), \quad (4.49)$$

we conclude that ρ_f must also be injective. \square

4.4 Future work

It is proved by Keating-Smith [30] that the compactly supported symplectic mapping class group of plumbings of two T^*S^3 's along a Hopf link in Example 1 is infinitely generated. It would be interesting to know whether the same conclusion holds for all the Weinstein manifolds \mathring{W}_f studied in this paper. Our results certainly open up the possibilities of the investigations in this direction.

It is also an interesting question whether \mathring{W}_f admits a quasi-dilation [44, 45], namely a pair $(b, h) \in SH^1(\mathring{W}_f; \mathbb{K}) \times SH^0(\mathring{W}_f; \mathbb{K})^\times$ with $\Delta(b) = h$, where Δ is the BV operator, or even a cyclic quasi-dilation [33, 34, 54]. A quasi-dilation for \mathring{W}_f , once liftable over \mathbb{Z} , can be used to prove similar classification results of exact Lagrangians as those proved in [22] for affine conic bundles over $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$.

In [6], a cyclic quasi-dilation over an arbitrary field \mathbb{K} is used to exclude the possible change of the knot types of $Z = Q_1 \cap Q_2$ in the double bubble plumbing W_k under Hamiltonian isotopies. Note that Theorem 6 combined with [24], Theorem A actually implies the existence of a cyclic quasi-dilation for the A_n plumbing W_f when $(k_0, \pm l_0) \neq (k_n, \pm l_n)$. Combining with what we observed in Remark 35, the same argument as [6], Lemma 4.7 implies the following.

Proposition 38. *Suppose $(k_0, \pm l_0) \neq (k_n, \pm l_n)$. Let $L \subset W_f$ be a closed oriented exact Lagrangian submanifold, then L cannot be a $K(\pi, 1)$ space.*

Proof. Suppose $L \subset W_f$ is an exact Lagrangian $K(\pi, 1)$ space. Arguing as in [6], Lemma 4.7, we see that the existence of a cyclic quasi-dilation and the Viterbo functoriality applied to the Weinstein embedding $D^*L \hookrightarrow W_f$ implies that there must be some non-trivial unit in $SH^0(W_f; \mathbb{K})$ that is not torsion. This contradicts with what we have observed in Remark 35, which suggests that all units in $SH^0(W_f; \mathbb{K})$ are torsion. \square

Comparing with [45], Corollary 6.3, it is natural to expect that W_f actually admits a dilation, i.e. a class $b \in SH^1(W_f; \mathbb{K})$ with $\Delta(b) = 1$, over a field \mathbb{K} of characteristic 0.

One would also like to generalize the set up of this paper and allow both of the numbers k_i and l_i in the definition of vanishing cycles of the Morse-Bott fibration $\pi : \mathring{W}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ to take values other than 1, albeit with the requirement that k_i and l_i are coprime. See [7], Example 4.5 for such a situation on the B-side. However, this seems to be out of the reach of our current calculation techniques described in Section 3.

References

- [1] M. Abouzaid, *A topological model for the Fukaya categories of plumbings*, J. Differ. Geom. 87(1), 1–80 (2011).
- [2] M. Abouzaid and D. Auroux, *Homological mirror symmetry for hypersurfaces in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$* , Geom. Topol. 28 (2024) 2825–2914.
- [3] M. Abouzaid, D. Auroux and L. Katzarkov, *Lagrangian fibrations on blowups of toric varieties and mirror symmetry for hypersurfaces*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 123, 199–282 (2016).
- [4] M. Abouzaid and I. Smith, *Exact Lagrangians in plumbings*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 22 (2012), no. 4, 785–831.
- [5] J. Asplund, *Simplicial descent for Chekanov-Eliashberg dg-algebras*, J. Topol. 16(2): 489–541, 2023.
- [6] J. Asplund and Y. Li, *Persistence of unknottedness of clean Lagrangian intersections*, arXiv:2501.05856. To appear in Journal of Topology.
- [7] J. August, *On the finiteness of the derived equivalence classes of some stable endomorphism rings*, Math. Z. 296 (3-4)1157–1183, 2020.
- [8] M. Ballard, *Derived categories of singular schemes with an application to reconstruction*, Adv. Math. 227(2), 895–919 (2011).
- [9] M. Booth, *Noncommutative deformation theory, the derived quotient, and DG singularity categories*, arXiv:1810.10060.
- [10] F. Bourgeois, T. Ekhholm, Y. Eliashberg, *Effect of Legendrian surgery*, with an appendix by S. Ganatra and M. Maydanskiy, Geom. Topol. 16, (2012), 301–389.
- [11] B. Chantraine, G. Dimitroglou-Rizell, P. Ghiggini and R. Golovko. *Geometric generation of the wrapped Fukaya category of Weinstein manifolds and sectors*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4), 57(1):1–85, 2024.
- [12] K. Chan, D. Pomerleano and K. Ueda, *Lagrangian torus fibrations and homological mirror symmetry for the conifold*, Comm. Math. Phys. 341 (2016), 135–178.
- [13] W. Donovan and M. Wemyss, *Noncommutative deformations and flops*, Duke Math. J. 165, no. 8 (2016): 1397–1474.
- [14] V. Drinfeld, *DG quotients of DG categories*, J. Algebra 272 (2004), no.2, 643–691.
- [15] J. Evans and Y. Lekili, *Noncommutative crepant resolutions of cA_n singularities via Fukaya categories*, arXiv:2307.06592. To appear in Documenta Mathematica.
- [16] T. Ekhholm, *Morse flow trees and Legendrian contact homology in 1-jet spaces*, Geom. Topol. 11: 1083–1224, 2007.
- [17] T. Ekhholm and Y. Lekili, *Duality between Lagrangian and Legendrian invariants*, Geom. Topol. 27 (2023), no. 6, 2049–2179.
- [18] T. Etgü and Y. Lekili, *Fukaya categories of plumbings and multiplicative preprojective algebras*, Quantum Topol. 10 (2019), no. 4, 777–813.

- [19] A. Gaddbled, A.-L. Thiel, and E. Wagner, *Categorical action of the extended braid group of affine type A*, Commun. Contemp. Math., 19(3):1650024, 39, 2017.
- [20] S. Ganatra, *Symplectic cohomology and duality for the wrapped Fukaya category*, arXiv:1304.7312.
- [21] S. Ganatra, J. Pardon and V. Shende, *Sectorial descent for wrapped Fukaya categories*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 37 (2024), 499–635.
- [22] S. Ganatra and D. Pomerleano, *A log PSS morphism with applications to Lagrangian embeddings*, J. Topol. 14(1): 291–368, 2021.
- [23] Y. Hirano and M. Wemyss, *Faithful actions from hyperplane arrangements*. Geom. Topol. 22 (6), 3395–3433 (2018).
- [24] Z. Hua and B. Keller, *Cluster categories and rational curves*, Geom. Topol. 28(6): 2569–2634, 2024.
- [25] A. Ishii, K. Ueda and H. Uehara, *Stability conditions on A_n -singularities*, J. Differential Geom. 84 (2010), no. 1, 87–126.
- [26] M. Kalck and D. Yang, *Relative singularity categories I: Auslander resolutions*, Adv. Math. 301:973–1021, 2016.
- [27] D. Karabas and S. Lee, *Wrapped Fukaya category of plumbings*, J. Symplectic Geom. 23 (4) (2025), 853–949.
- [28] J. Karmazyn, *Deformations of algebras defined by tilting bundles*, J. Algebra 513: 388–434, 2018.
- [29] S. Katz, *Small resolutions of Gorenstein threefold singularities*, In Algebraic geometry: Sundance 1988, volume 116 of Contemp. Math., pages 61–70. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991.
- [30] A. Keating and I. Smith, *Symplectomorphisms and spherical objects in the conifold smoothing*, Compos. Math. 160 (11): 2738–2773, 2025.
- [31] S. Lee, Y. Li, S. Liu, and C.Y. Mak, *Fukaya categories of hyperplane arrangements*, arXiv:2405.05856, to appear in Geometry & Topology.
- [32] Y. Lekili and E. Segal, *Equivariant Fukaya categories at singular values*, arXiv:2304.10969. To appear in Glasgow Mathematical Journal.
- [33] Y. Li, *Exact Calabi-Yau categories and odd-dimensional Lagrangian spheres*, Quantum Topology 15 (2024), 123–227.
- [34] Y. Li, *Aspherical Lagrangian submanifolds, Audin’s conjecture and cyclic dilations*, arXiv:2308.05086.
- [35] D. Mamaev, *Relative wrapped Fukaya categories of surfaces*, PhD Thesis, University College London, 2025.
- [36] C.Y. Mak and W. Wu, *Dehn twist exact sequences through Lagrangian cobordism*. Compos. Math. 154 (12), 2485–2533 (2018).
- [37] C.Y. Mak and W. Wu, *Dehn twists and Lagrangian spherical manifolds*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) 25(5), 85 (2019).

- [38] Y.-G. Oh and H.L. Tanaka, *Continuous and coherent actions on wrapped Fukaya categories*, arXiv:1911.00349.
- [39] T. Perutz and N. Sheridan, *Constructing the relative Fukaya category*, J. Symplectic Geom. 21 (2023), no. 5, 997–1076.
- [40] P. Seidel, π_1 of symplectic automorphism groups and invertibles in quantum homology rings, Geom. Funct. Anal. 7 (1997) 1046–1095.
- [41] P. Seidel, *A long exact sequence for symplectic Floer cohomology*, Topology 42 (2003) 1003–1063.
- [42] P. Seidel, *Fukaya categories and Picard–Lefschetz theory*, Zurich Lectures in Advanced Mathematics, European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2008.
- [43] P. Seidel, *Homological mirror symmetry for the genus 2 curve*, J. Alg. Geom. 20: 727–769, (2011).
- [44] P. Seidel, *Lectures on categorical dynamics and symplectic topology*, available at <http://www-math.mit.edu/~seidel/>.
- [45] P. Seidel and J. Solomon, *Symplectic cohomology and q -intersection numbers*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 22, 443–477 (2012).
- [46] P. Seidel and R.P. Thomas, *Braid group actions on derived categories of sheaves*, Duke Math. J. 108 (2001), 37–108.
- [47] N. Sheridan, *On the homological mirror symmetry conjecture for pairs of pants*, J. Diff. Geom. 89: 271–367, (2011).
- [48] I. Smith and M. Wemyss, *Double bubble plumbings and two-curve flops*, Sel. Math. New Ser. 29, 29 (2023).
- [49] Y. Toda, *On a certain generalization of spherical twists*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 135(1), 119–134 (2007).
- [50] M. Van den Bergh, *Three-dimensional flops and noncommutative rings*, Duke Math. J. 122(3):423–455, 2004.
- [51] M. Wemyss, *A lockdown survey on cDV singularities*, from “McKay correspondence, mutation and related topics” (Y Ito, A Ishii, O Iyama, editors), Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 88, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo (2023), 47–93.
- [52] K. Wehrheim and C.T. Woodward, *Exact triangle for fibered Dehn twists*, Res Math Sci 3, 17 (2016).
- [53] B. Xie, *Homological mirror symmetry for crepant resolutions of compound A_n singularities*, Master Thesis, Uppsala University, in preparation.
- [54] Z. Zhou, *Symplectic fillings of asymptotically dynamically convex manifolds II— k -dilations*, Adv. Math., 406: Paper No. 108522, 62, 2022.

Bilun Xie, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UPPSALA UNIVERSITY, 753 10 UPPSALA, SWEDEN
E-mail address: `bilun.xie.3601@student.uu.se`

Yin Li, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UPPSALA UNIVERSITY, 753 10 UPPSALA, SWEDEN
E-mail address: `yin.li@math.uu.se`