

THE SPHERICAL GROWTH SERIES OF AMALGAMATED FREE PRODUCTS OF INFINITE CYCLIC GROUPS

MICHIHIKO FUJII AND TAKUYA SAKASAI

ABSTRACT. Let n be an integer greater than 1. We consider a group presented as $G(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \mid x_1^{p_1} = x_2^{p_2} = \dots = x_n^{p_n} \rangle$, with integers p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n satisfying $2 \leq p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \dots \leq p_n$. This group is an amalgamated free product of infinite cyclic groups and is geometrically realized as the fundamental group of a Seifert fiber space over the 2-dimensional disk with n cone points whose associated cone angles are $\frac{2\pi}{p_1}, \frac{2\pi}{p_2}, \dots, \frac{2\pi}{p_n}$. In this paper, we present a formula for the spherical growth series of the group $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ with respect to the generating set $\{x_1, \dots, x_n, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n^{-1}\}$. We show that from this formula, a rational function expression for the spherical growth series of $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ can be derived in concrete form for given p_1, \dots, p_n . In fact, we wrote an elementary computer program based on this formula that yields an explicit form of a single rational fraction expression for the spherical growth series of $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$. We present such expressions for several tuples (p_1, \dots, p_n) . In 1999, C. P. Gill obtained a similar formula for the same group in the case $n = 2$ and showed that there exists a rational function expression for the spherical growth series of $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ for $n \geq 2$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let n be an integer greater than 1 and p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n be integers satisfying $2 \leq p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \dots \leq p_n$. We consider a group $G(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$ presented as

$$(1) \quad G(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \mid x_1^{p_1} = x_2^{p_2} = \dots = x_n^{p_n} \rangle$$

and its natural symmetric generating set $\Sigma := \{x_1, \dots, x_n, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n^{-1}\}$. The group $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ is an amalgamated free product of n infinite cyclic groups and is geometrically realized as the fundamental group of a Seifert fiber space over the 2-dimensional disk with n cone points whose associated cone angles are $\frac{2\pi}{p_1}, \frac{2\pi}{p_2}, \dots, \frac{2\pi}{p_n}$. In this paper, we investigate the combinatorial group structure of $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ with respect to the presentation (1), and in particular, the spherical growth series $\mathcal{S}_{G(p_1, \dots, p_n)}(t)$ of $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ with respect to the generating set Σ . (See (7) in Section 2 for the definition of $\mathcal{S}_{G(p_1, \dots, p_n)}(t)$.) The aim of this paper is to obtain a formula for $\mathcal{S}_{G(p_1, \dots, p_n)}(t)$ from which a rational function expression can be derived.

For the particular case $n = 2$ with $p_1 = p_2$, Edjvet and Johnson [7] derived a formula that yields a rational function expression for $\mathcal{S}_{G(p_1, p_1)}(t)$. Also, in the case $n = 2$ with $(p_1, p_2) = (2, 3)$, Shapiro [18] and Johnson, Kim and Song [13] independently obtained the same rational function expression for $\mathcal{S}_{G(2, 3)}(t)$. Generalizing these results, Gill [11] derived a formula yielding a rational function expression for $\mathcal{S}_{G(p_1, p_2)}(t)$ for every pair (p_1, p_2) , and moreover, he showed that $\mathcal{S}_{G(p_1, \dots, p_n)}(t)$ possesses a rational function expression for every n -tuple (p_1, \dots, p_n) , where $2 \leq p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \dots \leq p_n$. Later, Fujii [9] obtained another formula yielding a rational function expression for $\mathcal{S}_{G(p_1, p_2)}(t)$ for every pair (p_1, p_2) . In this paper, we generalize this all at once to arbitrary n (≥ 2) and derive a formula that provides a rational function expression for $\mathcal{S}_{G(p_1, \dots, p_n)}(t)$.

Date: December 1, 2025.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20F36, 20F05, 20F10, Secondary 68R15.

Key words and phrases. amalgamated free product, Seifert fiber space, torus knot, spherical growth series, Garside group, Garside normal form, geodesic representative, rational function expression.

The authors were partially supported by KAKENHI (No.18K03283, No.24K06740), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, Japan.

for every n -tuple (p_1, \dots, p_n) , where $2 \leq p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \dots \leq p_n$. Using the formula given here, we obtain an explicit rational function expression for $\mathcal{S}_{G(p_1, \dots, p_n)}(t)$.

The principal method employed in this paper is the so-called “suitable-spread procedure.” It has been previously utilized for applications to the braid group of three strands (see [1]), the Artin groups of dihedral type (see [14]), the pure Artin groups of dihedral type (see [8]), and the group $G(p_1, p_2)$ (see [9]). Furthermore, it can be applied to the group $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$. In this regard, the fundamental strategy of the paper aligns with [9]. However, it is important to highlight that when applying the procedure to the group $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$, a more detailed discussion is needed. Through the discussion, we obtain the formula for the spherical growth series of $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ that includes all known cases of the group so far.

Before we apply the suitable-spread procedure to the group $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$, for each element of $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$, we must identify one unique, particular representative ν from among all of the representatives, which is called the *modified normal form* of the element (see Proposition 2.3). Then, we show that if an element g of $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ is of a particular type (Type 3 defined in Section 3), applying the suitable-spread procedure to its modified normal form ν , we obtain all of the geodesic representatives of g (see Propositions 3.8 and 3.9). For some elements of $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$, there exists just one geodesic representative, but there are also elements for which there exist multiple geodesic representatives. However, even in the latter case, it is shown that only one of these geodesic representatives can be uniquely determined (see Section 4). This determination procedure for the case $n \geq 3$ becomes complicated in comparison with the case $n = 2$. In fact, when $n \geq 3$, we have to pay attention to whether $p_k - p_1$ is even or odd for every $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. For this reason, before beginning the procedure, for the sake of clarity we re-express each integer p_k according to whether $p_k - p_1$ is even or odd, using q_1, \dots, q_m and r_{m+1}, \dots, r_n in these two cases, respectively, according to the assignment described in (54). In conjunction with this, we re-express the elements x_1, \dots, x_n using y_1, \dots, y_m and z_{m+1}, \dots, z_n , according to the assignment described in (55). The representation of $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ in terms of y_1, \dots, y_m and z_{m+1}, \dots, z_n is given in (56). With this notation, we describe a method that uniquely specifies a single output of the suitable-spread procedure. This output is regarded as a *proper* geodesic representative for the element in question, because the sets consisting of the geodesic representatives of the elements of $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ have simple expressions provided by the decomposition of $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$. In every case, for each element of $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$, a proper geodesic representative is uniquely determined. Then, in Section 5, using a lemma concerning rational function expressions for formal power series associated with sets consisting of words of certain kinds (Lemma 5.1), we obtain a formula that provides a rational function expression for the spherical growth series $\mathcal{S}_{G(p_1, \dots, p_n)}(t)$ (see Theorem 5.2).

As an important step in obtaining our results, we define a monoid $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)^+$ associated with the group $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$, which is called the positive monoid. Dehornoy and Paris [6] showed that $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)^+$ is a Garside monoid, which implies the unique existence of both the Garside normal form and the modified normal form for each element of $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ (see Propositions 2.2 and 2.3). The uniqueness of these normal forms plays a crucial role in the proofs of many of the fundamental results in this paper. The most important of these are presented in Propositions 3.4, 3.7 and 3.9.

While we are interested in the generating set Σ in this paper, motivated by works of Shapiro [18] and Mann [15, 16], Nakagawa, Tamura and Yamashita [17] studied a distinct generating set Σ' for the same group $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ and obtained a rational function expression for the spherical growth series of $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ with respect to Σ' . The set Σ' is the union of Σ and $\{\Delta, \Delta^{-1}\}$, where $\Delta = x_1^{p_1}$ and $\Delta^{-1} = x_1^{-p_1}$. It is worth noting that the rational function expression for $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ with respect to Σ' has a simpler form than that with respect to Σ .

As supplemental material, we provide a computer program written in *Mathematica*, released by Wolfram Research, which yields explicit forms of single rational fraction expressions for the spherical growth series $\mathcal{S}_{G(p_1, \dots, p_n)}(t)$. This program is available at the following website:

<http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12000/0002019730>

Before ending this section, we establish some notation. Throughout the paper, we use the following notation to represent the floor function:

$$(2) \quad \lfloor r \rfloor := \max\{n ; n \leq r, n \in \mathbf{Z}\} \quad \text{for } r \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Also, for each $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we define the following:

$$(3) \quad p_k^- := \lfloor \frac{p_k - p_1}{2} \rfloor, \quad p_k^+ := \lfloor \frac{p_1 + p_k - 1}{2} \rfloor.$$

Then, if $p_k - p_1$ is even, we have

$$(4) \quad p_k^- = \frac{p_k - p_1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad p_k^+ = \frac{p_1 + p_k - 2}{2},$$

while if $p_k - p_1$ is odd, we have

$$(5) \quad p_k^- = \frac{p_k - p_1 - 1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad p_k^+ = \frac{p_1 + p_k - 1}{2}.$$

2. GARSIDE NORMAL FORM AND MODIFIED NORMAL FORM

Let n be an integer greater than 1 and p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n be integers satisfying $2 \leq p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \dots \leq p_n$. Then, let $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ be the group presented as in (1). We define the group $\overline{G}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ by $\overline{G}(p_1, \dots, p_n) := \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \mid x_1^{p_1} = x_2^{p_2} = \dots = x_n^{p_n} = 1 \rangle$. The sequence

$$(6) \quad 1 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow G(p_1, \dots, p_n) \rightarrow \overline{G}(p_1, \dots, p_n) \rightarrow 1$$

is exact, because the center of $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ is the infinite cyclic group generated by the element $x_1^{p_1} (= x_2^{p_2} = \dots = x_n^{p_n})$. The group $\overline{G}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ is the so-called orbifold fundamental group of the 2-dimensional disk with n cone points, whose associated cone angles are $2\pi/p_1, \dots, 2\pi/p_n$. It is thus seen that $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ is geometrically realized as the fundamental group of a Seifert fiber space over the 2-dimensional orbifold.

We define the sets

$$\Sigma^+ := \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}, \quad \Sigma^- := \{x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n^{-1}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma := \Sigma^+ \cup \Sigma^-.$$

Then, let Σ^* , $(\Sigma^+)^*$ and $(\Sigma^-)^*$ be the free monoids generated by Σ , Σ^+ and Σ^- , respectively. We refer to Σ as an *alphabet*, its elements as *letters*, and elements of Σ^* as *words*. Elements of Σ^+ and Σ^- are referred to as *positive letters* and *negative letters*, respectively, while elements of $(\Sigma^+)^*$ and $(\Sigma^-)^*$ are referred to as *positive words* and *negative words*, respectively. The length of a word w is the number of letters it contains, which is denoted by $|w|$. The length of the null word, ε , is zero. The null word is the identity of each monoid.

We write the canonical monoid homomorphism as $\pi : \Sigma^* \rightarrow G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$. If u and v are words, then $u = v$ means $\pi(u) = \pi(v)$, and $u \equiv v$ means that u and v are identical letter by letter. A word $w \in \pi^{-1}(g)$ is called a *representative* of g . The length of a group element g is defined by the quantity

$$\|g\| := \min\{k ; g = \pi(\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_k), \sigma_i \in \Sigma\}.$$

A word $w \in \Sigma^*$ is *geodesic* if $|w| = \|\pi(w)\|$. A word $\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_k \in \Sigma^*$ is called a *reduced* word if $\sigma_i \neq \sigma_{i+1}^{-1}$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$. A geodesic representative is a reduced word.

Now, we define the *spherical growth series* of $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ with respect to Σ as the following formal power series:

$$(7) \quad \mathcal{S}_{G(p_1, \dots, p_n)}(t) := \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \#\{g \in G(p_1, \dots, p_n) ; \|g\| = l\} t^l.$$

It is well known that the radius of convergence of the spherical growth series of any finitely generated group is positive (cf. [4, 12]). Thus, $\mathcal{S}_{G(p_1, \dots, p_n)}(t)$ is a holomorphic function near the origin, 0.

The group structure of $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ is quite simple, as can be understood from the existence of the exact sequence (6) and the fact that $\overline{G}(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}/p_1\mathbf{Z} * \dots * \mathbf{Z}/p_n\mathbf{Z}$ as a group.

In this paper, however, we wish to elucidate the combinatorial group structure of $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ with respect to the presentation (1). In particular, we investigate the spherical growth series of $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ with respect to the generating set $\Sigma = \{x_1, \dots, x_n, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n^{-1}\}$ and derive a rational function expression for the spherical growth series $\mathcal{S}_{G(p_1, \dots, p_n)}(z)$ of $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ with respect to Σ .

In the remainder of this section, we introduce a unique particular representative for an element g of $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$, which we call the *modified normal form* of g .

First, we introduce the positive word

$$(8) \quad \Delta := x_1^{p_1} \in (\Sigma^+)^*.$$

Then, $\pi(\Delta)$ is a generator of the center of the group $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$. Specifically, we have

$$(9) \quad \sigma \Delta^{\pm 1} = \Delta^{\pm 1} \sigma \quad \text{for } \sigma \in \Sigma = \{x_1, \dots, x_n, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n^{-1}\}.$$

Let g be an element of $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$. Consider an arbitrary representative w of g and suppose that it contains l_+ instances of a positive word u satisfying $u = \Delta$ and l_- instances of a negative word v satisfying $v = \Delta^{-1}$. Then, from (9), we can obtain a distinct representative w' of g by moving each of these instances (in arbitrary order) to the rightmost position of w . Let us write the word w' as $w' \equiv \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_k \cdot \Delta^c$, where $c := l_+ - l_- (\in \mathbf{Z})$ and $\sigma_j \in \Sigma$ for each $1 \leq j \leq k$. If there exists j such that $\sigma_{j+1} \equiv \sigma_j^{-1}$, then we reduce $\sigma_j \cdot \sigma_{j+1}$ to the null word, ε . Repeating this reduction procedure, we obtain a reduced word w'' satisfying $w'' = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_k$. This yields a representative λ given by $\lambda := w'' \cdot \Delta^c$. We present λ as

$$(10) \quad \lambda \equiv x_{i_1}^{a_1} \cdot x_{i_2}^{a_2} \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{a_\tau} \cdot \Delta^c,$$

where

$$(11) \quad \begin{cases} \tau \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}, \\ i_j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \ (1 \leq j \leq \tau), i_j \neq i_{j+1} \ (1 \leq j \leq \tau - 1), \end{cases}$$

and

$$(12) \quad -(p_{i_j} - 1) \leq a_j \leq p_{i_j} - 1 \ (1 \leq j \leq \tau), \ a_j \neq 0 \ (1 \leq j \leq \tau).$$

If $\tau = 0$, we stipulate that the sub-word $x_{i_1}^{a_1} \cdot x_{i_2}^{a_2} \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{a_\tau}$ is the null word, ε . We note that $x_{i_1}^{a_1} \cdot x_{i_2}^{a_2} \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{a_\tau}$ is a reduced word, and that $|\lambda| \leq |w|$.

Next, we define the *positive monoid* $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)^+$ associated with the group $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$. This monoid is presented by

$$G(p_1, \dots, p_n)^+ = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \mid x_1^{p_1} = \cdots = x_n^{p_n} \rangle^+,$$

where the right-hand side is the quotient of the free monoid $(\Sigma^+)^*$ by an equivalence relation on $(\Sigma^+)^*$ defined as follows: (i) two positive words $w, w' \in (\Sigma^+)^*$ are *elementarily equivalent* if there exist positive words $u, v \in (\Sigma^+)^*$ such that $w \equiv u \cdot x_{i_j}^{p_j} \cdot v$ and $w' \equiv u \cdot x_{i_{j'}}^{p_{j'}} \cdot v$; (ii) two positive words $w, w' \in (\Sigma^+)^*$ are equivalent if there exists a sequence $w_0 \equiv w, w_1, \dots, w_l \equiv w'$ for some $l \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$ such that w_s is elementarily equivalent to w_{s+1} for $s = 0, \dots, l-1$.

Regarding the monoid $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)^+$, Dehornoy and Paris showed the following:

Proposition 2.1 (Example 4 in Section 5 of [6]). *$G(p_1, \dots, p_n)^+$ is a Garside monoid whose fundamental element is Δ .*

See [6] for the definition of Garside monoids and background discussion concerning them. Also, let us note that Garside monoids are defined differently in [5], where a weaker condition is employed. Additional discussion can be found in [3].

If the exponent a_j of the representative λ of $g \in G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ appearing in (10) is negative, we replace $x_{i_j}^{a_j}$ with $x_{i_j}^{p_{i_j} + a_j} \cdot \Delta^{-1}$. Then, moving every Δ^{-1} to the rightmost position, we obtain a representative μ as in the following proposition. The uniqueness of μ is implied by Proposition 2.1.

Proposition 2.2. *For each $g \in G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$, there exists a unique representative of g given by*

$$(13) \quad \mu := x_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdot x_{i_2}^{\alpha_2} \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{\alpha_\tau} \cdot \Delta^d,$$

where $d \in \mathbf{Z}$, and the condition in (11) and the following condition hold:

$$(14) \quad 0 \leq \alpha_j \leq p_{i_j} - 1 \quad (1 \leq j \leq \tau), \quad \alpha_i \neq 0 \quad (1 \leq j \leq \tau).$$

The representative of $g \in G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ in Proposition 2.2, μ , corresponds to the standard form (resp., the normal form) for an element of the braid group (resp., the Artin group of finite type) (see [10] and [2]). We call μ the *Garside normal form* of g and $x_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdot x_{i_2}^{\alpha_2} \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{\alpha_\tau}$ the *non- Δ part* of the Garside normal form.

Next, we obtain another representative of $g \in G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ from the Garside normal form, μ , defined in Proposition 2.2. First, recall the symbols p_k^- and p_k^+ , defined in (3). If there exists a sub-word $x_{i_j}^{\alpha_j}$ in the non- Δ part of μ satisfying $p_{i_j}^+ + 1 \leq \alpha_j$, replacing all such sub-words $x_{i_j}^{\alpha_j}$ with $x_{i_j}^{\alpha_j - p_{i_j}} \Delta$ and moving all such Δ to the rightmost position of μ , we obtain the representative

$$(15) \quad \nu := x_{i_1}^{\bar{\alpha}_1} \cdot x_{i_2}^{\bar{\alpha}_2} \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{\bar{\alpha}_\tau} \cdot \Delta^{d+\rho},$$

where ρ is the number of quantities α_j satisfying $p_{i_j}^+ + 1 \leq \alpha_j$, and $\bar{\alpha}_j$ is defined to be $\alpha_j - p_{i_j}$ in the case $p_{i_j}^+ + 1 \leq \alpha_j$ and α_j in the case $\alpha_j \leq p_{i_j}^+$. Then, the exponent $\bar{\alpha}_j$ satisfies the following condition:

$$(16) \quad -p_{i_j}^- \leq \bar{\alpha}_j \leq p_{i_j}^+ \quad (1 \leq j \leq \tau), \quad \bar{\alpha}_j \neq 0 \quad (1 \leq j \leq \tau).$$

We refer to the representative ν as the *modified normal form* of $g \in G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ and to $x_{i_1}^{\bar{\alpha}_1} \cdot x_{i_2}^{\bar{\alpha}_2} \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{\bar{\alpha}_\tau}$ as the *non- Δ part* of the modified normal form. From the uniqueness of the Garside normal form, we immediately obtain the following:

Proposition 2.3. *The modified normal form exists and is unique for each element of $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$.*

For the modified normal form ν , we define the following sets and quantities:

$$(17) \quad \begin{cases} R_\nu &:= \{j ; p_{i_j}^- + 1 \leq \bar{\alpha}_j \leq p_{i_j}^+\}, \\ \mathbf{r}_\nu &:= \#R_\nu. \end{cases}$$

Example 2.4. Let us consider the case $n = 3$, with $p_1 = 3, p_2 = 6, p_3 = 7$. Then, we have

$$p_1^- = 0, p_1^+ = 2; \quad p_2^- = 1, p_2^+ = 4; \quad p_3^- = 2, p_3^+ = 4.$$

Let us consider an element $g \in G(3, 6, 7)$ that has the following representative:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &\equiv x_2^2 \cdot x_3^{-3} \cdot x_1^{-2} \cdot x_3^4 \cdot x_2^5 \cdot x_3^{-2} \cdot x_2^4 \cdot x_3^2 \cdot x_1^2 \cdot x_2^4 \cdot x_3^4 \cdot x_2^2 \cdot \Delta^{-3} \\ &\equiv x_2^{a_1} \cdot x_3^{a_2} \cdot x_1^{a_3} \cdot x_3^{a_4} \cdot x_2^{a_5} \cdot x_3^{a_6} \cdot x_2^{a_7} \cdot x_3^{a_8} \cdot x_1^{a_9} \cdot x_2^{a_{10}} \cdot x_3^{a_{11}} \cdot x_2^{a_{12}} \cdot \Delta^c. \end{aligned}$$

Then the Garside normal form of g is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &\equiv x_2^2 \cdot x_3^4 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_3^4 \cdot x_2^5 \cdot x_3^5 \cdot x_2^4 \cdot x_3^2 \cdot x_1^2 \cdot x_2^4 \cdot x_3^4 \cdot x_2^2 \cdot \Delta^{-6} \\ &\equiv x_2^{\alpha_1} \cdot x_3^{\alpha_2} \cdot x_1^{\alpha_3} \cdot x_3^{\alpha_4} \cdot x_2^{\alpha_5} \cdot x_3^{\alpha_6} \cdot x_2^{\alpha_7} \cdot x_3^{\alpha_8} \cdot x_1^{\alpha_9} \cdot x_2^{\alpha_{10}} \cdot x_3^{\alpha_{11}} \cdot x_2^{\alpha_{12}} \cdot \Delta^d, \end{aligned}$$

and the modified normal form of g is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \nu &\equiv x_2^2 \cdot x_3^4 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_3^4 \cdot x_2^{-1} \cdot x_3^{-2} \cdot x_2^4 \cdot x_3^2 \cdot x_1^2 \cdot x_2^4 \cdot x_3^4 \cdot x_2^2 \cdot \Delta^{-4} \\ &\equiv x_2^{\bar{\alpha}_1} \cdot x_3^{\bar{\alpha}_2} \cdot x_1^{\bar{\alpha}_3} \cdot x_3^{\bar{\alpha}_4} \cdot x_2^{\bar{\alpha}_5} \cdot x_3^{\bar{\alpha}_6} \cdot x_2^{\bar{\alpha}_7} \cdot x_3^{\bar{\alpha}_8} \cdot x_1^{\bar{\alpha}_9} \cdot x_2^{\bar{\alpha}_{10}} \cdot x_3^{\bar{\alpha}_{11}} \cdot x_2^{\bar{\alpha}_{12}} \cdot \Delta^{d+\rho}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$R_\nu = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{r}_\nu = 9. \quad \square$$

3. NECESSARY AND SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR WORDS TO BE GEODESIC, AND THE SUITABLE-SPREAD PROCEDURE

In this section, we present necessary and sufficient conditions for words in Σ^* to be geodesic. We also introduce a procedure that yields geodesic representatives for elements of $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$, which we call the *suitable-spread procedure*.

First, we present the following lemma concerning geodesic representatives:

Lemma 3.1. *Let $g \in G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ and w be a geodesic representative of g . Then there exists a geodesic representative of g expressed as*

$$(18) \quad \lambda := x_{i_1}^{a_1} \cdot x_{i_2}^{a_2} \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{a_\tau} \cdot \Delta^c,$$

where the condition in (11) holds, and λ satisfies one of the following three conditions (19)-(21):

$$(19) \quad \bullet \quad \begin{cases} c \in \mathbf{Z}, c > 0, \\ -p_{i_j}^- \leq a_j \leq p_{i_j}^+ \quad (1 \leq j \leq \tau), \quad a_j \neq 0 \quad (1 \leq j \leq \tau) \end{cases}$$

$$(20) \quad \bullet \quad \begin{cases} c \in \mathbf{Z}, c < 0, \\ -p_{i_j}^+ \leq a_j \leq p_{i_j}^- \quad (1 \leq j \leq \tau), \quad a_j \neq 0 \quad (1 \leq j \leq \tau) \end{cases}$$

$$(21) \quad \bullet \quad \begin{cases} c = 0, \\ -p_{i_j}^+ \leq a_j \leq p_{i_j}^+ \quad (1 \leq j \leq \tau), \quad a_j \neq 0 \quad (1 \leq j \leq \tau). \end{cases}$$

If λ satisfies the condition (19) (resp., (20)), then w contains at least one positive word $u (= \Delta)$ (resp., negative word $v (= \Delta^{-1})$) and λ is obtained from w by moving every positive word $u (= \Delta)$ (resp., negative word $v (= \Delta^{-1})$) in w to the rightmost position of w .

Proof. Consider a representative λ of g as specified by (10) with (12). Because w is geodesic, a reduction of words does not occur during the procedure carried out in Section 2 in which λ is obtained from w . Hence, λ is also geodesic.

We only consider the case in which p_1 is even and p_k ($k \in \{2, \dots, n\}$) is odd, because the proof for the other cases can be carried out similarly.

Let $p_1 = 2a$ and $p_k = 2b+1$, where $a, b \in \mathbf{N}$ and $a \leq b$. It is readily seen that $x_k^{2b} = x_k^{-1}\Delta, x_k^{2b-1} = x_k^{-2}\Delta, \dots, x_k^{a+b+1} = x_k^{-(b-a)}\Delta$ and $x_k^{-2b} = x_k\Delta^{-1}, \dots, x_k^{-(a+b+1)} = x_k^{b-a}\Delta^{-1}$. If $b \geq a+1$, then we have $|x_k^{2b}| > |x_k^{-1}\Delta|, \dots, |x_k^{a+b+1}| > |x_k^{-(b-a)}\Delta|$ and $|x_k^{-2b}| > |x_k\Delta^{-1}|, \dots, |x_k^{-(a+b+1)}| > |x_k^{b-a}\Delta^{-1}|$. Contrastingly if $b = a$, we do not have such inequalities. Hence, if $b \geq a+1$ and λ contains sub-words $x_k^{2b}, \dots, x_k^{a+b+1}$ and $x_k^{-2b}, \dots, x_k^{-(a+b+1)}$, replacing $x_k^{2b}, \dots, x_k^{a+b+1}$ with $x_k^{-1}\Delta, \dots, x_k^{-(b-a)}\Delta$, and also replacing $x_k^{-2b}, \dots, x_k^{-(a+b+1)}$ with $x_k\Delta^{-1}, \dots, x_k^{b-a}\Delta^{-1}$, we obtain a representative λ' that satisfies $|\lambda'| < |\lambda|$. This is a contradiction, because λ is geodesic. Hence, λ contains no sub-word x_k^A such that $-2b \leq A \leq -(a+b+1)$ or $a+b+1 \leq A \leq 2b$. Therefore, λ takes the form $\lambda \equiv x_{i_1}^{a_1} \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{a_\tau} \cdot \Delta^c$, where c and a_j satisfy the following condition:

$$(22) \quad \begin{cases} c \in \mathbf{Z}, \\ -(a+b) \leq a_j \leq a+b \quad (1 \leq j \leq \tau), \quad a_j \neq 0 \quad (1 \leq j \leq \tau). \end{cases}$$

Now, consider the case $c > 0$. If λ contains a sub-word x_k^A such that $-(a+b) \leq A \leq -(b-a)-1$, we move Δ in λ so that it is the immediate right-hand neighbor of x_k^A , and then replace $x_k^A\Delta$ with x_k^{2b+1+A} . We thereby obtain a shorter representative of g , because $|x_k^A\Delta| > |x_k^{2b+1+A}|$. This is a contradiction. Hence, λ contains no sub-word x_k^A with $-(a+b) \leq A \leq -(b-a)-1$. Therefore, λ satisfies the condition (19), because $p_{i_j}^+ = a+b$ and $p_{i_j}^- = b-a$ in the present case. Moreover, from the construction of λ , it is immediately seen that w contains at least one positive word $u (= \Delta)$ and that λ is obtained from w by moving every positive word $u (= \Delta)$ in w to the rightmost position of w .

In the case $c < 0$, a similar argument shows that λ satisfies the condition (20).

In the case $c = 0$, λ satisfies the condition (22), which is the same as (21), because $p_{i_j}^+ = a + b$ in the present case. \square

Next, we consider the following three types of words.

Type 1. A word $\xi \in \Sigma^*$ that satisfies the following condition:

- (i) ξ contains at least one positive word $u (= \Delta)$.
- (ii) By moving every positive word $u (= \Delta)$ in ξ to the rightmost position of ξ , we obtain a word $x_{i_1}^{a_1} \cdot x_{i_2}^{a_2} \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{a_\tau} \cdot \Delta^c$ ($\in \pi^{-1}(\pi(\xi))$) that satisfies the conditions in (11) and (19).

If ξ is of Type 1, then we have $|\xi| = |x_{i_1}^{a_1} \cdot x_{i_2}^{a_2} \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{a_\tau} \cdot \Delta^c|$, and the word $x_{i_1}^{a_1} \cdot x_{i_2}^{a_2} \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{a_\tau} \cdot \Delta^c$ is the modified normal form of $\pi(\xi) \in G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$.

Type 2. A word $\xi \in \Sigma^*$ that satisfies the following condition:

- (i) ξ contains at least one negative word $v (= \Delta^{-1})$.
- (ii) By moving every negative word $v (= \Delta^{-1})$ in ξ to the rightmost position of ξ , we obtain a word $x_{i_1}^{a_1} \cdot x_{i_2}^{a_2} \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{a_\tau} \cdot \Delta^c$ ($\in \pi^{-1}(\pi(\xi))$) that satisfies the conditions in (11) and (20).

If ξ is of Type 2, then we have $|\xi| = |x_{i_1}^{a_1} \cdot x_{i_2}^{a_2} \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{a_\tau} \cdot \Delta^c|$.

Type 3. A word $\xi \in \Sigma^*$ that is presented as

$$(23) \quad \xi \equiv x_{i_1}^{a_1} \cdot x_{i_2}^{a_2} \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{a_\tau},$$

where the conditions in (11) and (21) hold. Here, for each $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pos}_{x_k}(\xi) &:= \max\{a_j ; x_{i_j} = x_k, a_j \geq 0, 1 \leq j \leq \tau\}, \\ \text{Neg}_{x_k}(\xi) &:= \max\{-a_j ; x_{i_j} = x_k, a_j \leq 0, 1 \leq j \leq \tau\}. \end{aligned}$$

These quantities satisfy the relations

$$0 \leq \text{Pos}_{x_k}(\xi) \leq p_k^+, \quad 0 \leq \text{Neg}_{x_k}(\xi) \leq p_k^+.$$

Furthermore, for words of Type 3, we define the following three sub-types.

Type 3⁺. A word $\xi \in \Sigma^*$ that is presented as in (23) for which a_j satisfies the condition in (19).

If ξ is of Type 3⁺, then ξ itself is the modified normal form of $\pi(\xi) \in G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$.

Type 3⁻. A word $\xi \in \Sigma^*$ that is presented as in (23) for which a_j satisfies the condition in (20).

Type 3⁰. A word ξ of Type 3 that is neither of Type 3⁺ nor of Type 3⁻.

Next, for $I \in \{1, 2, 3, 3^+, 3^-, 3^0\}$, we define

$$\text{WT}_I := \{\xi \in \Sigma^* ; \xi \text{ is a word of Type } I\}$$

and introduce the following notation:

$$\text{WT}_{3^+ \cup 3^-} := \text{WT}_{3^+} \cup \text{WT}_{3^-}, \quad \text{WT}_{3^- \setminus 3^+} := \text{WT}_{3^-} \setminus \text{WT}_{3^+}.$$

We call an element of $\text{WT}_{3^+ \cup 3^-}$ (resp., $\text{WT}_{3^- \setminus 3^+}$) a *word of Type 3⁺ \cup 3⁻* (resp., *of Type 3⁻ \setminus 3⁺*).

We define WT to be the following disjoint union:

$$\text{WT} := \text{WT}_1 \sqcup \text{WT}_2 \sqcup \text{WT}_3.$$

Then we have

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{WT} &= \text{WT}_1 \sqcup \text{WT}_2 \sqcup \text{WT}_{3^+ \cup 3^-} \sqcup \text{WT}_{3^0} \quad (\text{disjoint union}) \\ &= \text{WT}_1 \sqcup \text{WT}_2 \sqcup \text{WT}_{3^+} \sqcup \text{WT}_{3^- \setminus 3^+} \sqcup \text{WT}_{3^0} \quad (\text{disjoint union}). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3.1, it is seen that for each element $g \in G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$, any geodesic representative of g belongs to WT .

Remark 3.2. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{WT}_{3+} \cap \text{WT}_{3-} &= \{x_{i_1}^{a_1} \cdot x_{i_2}^{a_2} \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{a_\tau} \ ; \ \text{the condition in (11) holds,} \\ &\quad -p_{i_j}^- \leq a_j \leq p_{i_j}^- \ (1 \leq j \leq \tau), \ a_j \neq 0 \ (1 \leq j \leq \tau)\}, \end{aligned}$$

which is not empty, because it necessarily contains the identity, $\text{id}_{\Sigma^*}(=\varepsilon)$.

Let ξ be a word of Type I ($I \in \{1, 2, 3^+, 3^- \setminus 3^+, 3^0\}$), and consider the modified normal form ν for the element $\pi(\xi) \in G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$. Recall the definitions of ρ (appearing just below (15)) and \mathbf{r}_ν (appearing in (17)). Then, we find that the exponent $d + \rho$ and the quantity \mathbf{r}_ν satisfy the following:

$$(25) \quad \begin{cases} \text{(i) If } \xi \text{ is of Type 1, then } d + \rho > 0. \\ \text{(ii) If } \xi \text{ is of Type 2, then } d + \rho < 0 \text{ and } \mathbf{r}_\nu < -(d + \rho). \\ \text{(iii}^+ \text{) If } \xi \text{ is of Type } 3^+, \text{ then } d + \rho = 0. \\ \text{(iii}^- \setminus \text{iii}^+ \text{) If } \xi \text{ is of Type } 3^- \setminus 3^+, \text{ then } d + \rho < 0 \text{ and } \mathbf{r}_\nu = -(d + \rho). \\ \text{(iii}^0 \text{) If } \xi \text{ is of Type } 3^0, \text{ then } d + \rho < 0 \text{ and } \mathbf{r}_\nu > -(d + \rho). \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.3. Let $I, J \in \{1, 2, 3^+, 3^- \setminus 3^+, 3^0\}$ with $I \neq J$. In this case, we have $\pi(\text{WT}_I) \cap \pi(\text{WT}_J) = \emptyset$.

Proof. Suppose that there exists an element $g \in \pi(\text{WT}_I) \cap \pi(\text{WT}_J)$ with $I \neq J$. Then g has representatives $\xi_I \in \text{WT}_I$ and $\xi_J \in \text{WT}_J$. Let ν_{ξ_I} and ν_{ξ_J} be the modified normal forms for ξ_I and ξ_J , respectively. From (25), we conclude that ν_{ξ_I} is not identical to ν_{ξ_J} . This and the uniqueness of the modified normal form (Proposition 2.3) together yield a contradiction. \square

With the above preparation, we obtain the following proposition concerning words in $\text{WT}_1 \sqcup \text{WT}_2 \sqcup \text{WT}_{3+\cup 3-}$.

Proposition 3.4. Let ξ be a word such that $\xi \equiv x_{i_1}^{a_1} \cdot x_{i_2}^{a_2} \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{a_\tau} \cdot \Delta^c$. Assume that $c \geq 0$ (resp., $c \leq 0$) and that a_j satisfies the condition in (19) (resp., (20)). Then ξ is geodesic.

Proof. We only consider the case in which $c \geq 0$ and a_j satisfies the condition in (19), because the proof for the other case can be carried out similarly.

First, let us suppose that $c > 0$. In this case, ξ is an element of WT_1 . Thus, from Lemma 3.3, we know that $\pi(\xi)$ has no representative in $\text{WT}_2 \sqcup \text{WT}_3$. Hence, any geodesic representative γ of $\pi(\xi)$ belongs to WT_1 . This implies that there exist a'_j and c' such that $\gamma = x_{i_1}^{a'_1} \cdots x_{i_{\tau'}}^{a'_{\tau'}} \Delta^{c'}$ and $|\gamma| = |x_{i_1}^{a'_1} \cdots x_{i_{\tau'}}^{a'_{\tau'}} \Delta^{c'}|$. Therefore, because the modified normal forms of $\pi(\xi)$ and $\pi(\gamma)$ are identical (Proposition 2.3), we obtain $x_{i_1}^{a_1} \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{a_\tau} \Delta^c \equiv x_{i_1}^{a'_1} \cdots x_{i_{\tau'}}^{a'_{\tau'}} \Delta^{c'}$. Hence, we have $|\xi| = |\gamma|$, and thus ξ is geodesic.

The assertion is easily proved in the case $c = 0$ by using the result for the case $c > 0$, because a sub-word of a geodesic word is also geodesic. \square

Proposition 3.4 implies that all words in $\text{WT}_1 \sqcup \text{WT}_2 \sqcup \text{WT}_{3+\cup 3-}$ are geodesic.

Lemma 3.1 and the definition of WT imply that any element $g \in G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ has a geodesic representative $\xi \in \text{WT}$. With this in mind, for $I \in \{1, 2, 3, 3^+, 3^-, 3^+ \cup 3^-, 3^- \setminus 3^+, 3^0\}$, we define

$$(26) \quad G(p_1, \dots, p_n)_I := \{g \in G(p_1, \dots, p_n) \ ; \ g \text{ has a geodesic representative of Type } I\},$$

and we call $g \in G(p_1, \dots, p_n)_I$ an element of Type I . Then, from Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(p_1, \dots, p_n) &= G(p_1, \dots, p_n)_1 \sqcup G(p_1, \dots, p_n)_2 \sqcup G(p_1, \dots, p_n)_{3+} \sqcup G(p_1, \dots, p_n)_{3-\setminus 3^+} \\ &\quad \sqcup G(p_1, \dots, p_n)_{3^0} \quad (\text{disjoint union}) \\ (27) \quad &= G(p_1, \dots, p_n)_1 \sqcup G(p_1, \dots, p_n)_2 \sqcup G(p_1, \dots, p_n)_{3+\cup 3-} \\ &\quad \sqcup G(p_1, \dots, p_n)_{3^0} \quad (\text{disjoint union}) \\ &= G(p_1, \dots, p_n)_1 \sqcup G(p_1, \dots, p_n)_2 \sqcup G(p_1, \dots, p_n)_3 \quad (\text{disjoint union}). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we present a necessary condition for words of Type 3 to be geodesic.

Proposition 3.5. *Let ξ be a word in WT_3 . If ξ is geodesic, then for every $k, k' \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we have the following inequality:*

$$(28) \quad \text{Pos}_{x_k}(\xi) + \text{Neg}_{x_{k'}}(\xi) \leq \frac{p_k + p_{k'}}{2}.$$

Proof. Suppose that the inequality is not satisfied for some k and k' , i.e., that $\text{Pos}_{x_k}(\xi) + \text{Neg}_{x_{k'}}(\xi) > \frac{p_k + p_{k'}}{2}$. Then there exist sub-words $x_{i_j}^{a_j}$ and $x_{i_{j'}}^{a_{j'}}$ in ξ such that the following hold:

$$(29) \quad x_{i_j} = x_k, \quad x_{i_{j'}} = x_{k'}, \quad a_j > 0, \quad a_{j'} < 0, \quad a_j - a_{j'} > \frac{p_k + p_{k'}}{2}.$$

Suppose that $j < j'$ and consider the sub-word of ξ given by

$$\xi^* := x_{i_j}^{a_j} \cdot v \cdot x_{i_{j'}}^{a_{j'}}.$$

Because $x_{i_{j'}}^{a_{j'}} = x_{i_{j'}}^{p_{k'} + a_{j'}} \Delta^{-1}$ and Δ satisfies (9), we have

$$(30) \quad \xi^* = x_{i_j}^{a_j} \cdot v \cdot x_{i_{j'}}^{p_{k'} + a_{j'}} \Delta^{-1} = x_{i_j}^{a_j} \Delta^{-1} \cdot v \cdot x_{i_{j'}}^{p_{k'} + a_{j'}} = x_{i_j}^{a_j - p_k} \cdot v \cdot x_{i_{j'}}^{p_{k'} + a_{j'}}.$$

Further, from (29), we have $-(a_j - p_k) + (p_{k'} + a_{j'}) < \frac{p_k + p_{k'}}{2}$, and this implies that $-(a_j - p_k) + (p_{k'} + a_{j'}) < a_j - a_{j'}$. Thus, from (30), we find that ξ^* is not geodesic, and hence neither is ξ . The case $j > j'$ can be treated similarly, with the conclusion again that ξ is not geodesic. \square

We now introduce a procedure that yields geodesic representatives. This procedure, called the *suitable-spread procedure*, is similar to that employed in [1], [14], [8] and [9]. Following [9], we explain the procedure in the case of the group $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$.

For an element $g \in G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$, let $\mu := x_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{\alpha_\tau} \cdot \Delta^d$ be its Garside normal form. Then, consider the modified normal form of g ,

$$(31) \quad \nu := x_{i_1}^{\bar{\alpha}_1} \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{\bar{\alpha}_\tau} \cdot \Delta^{d+\rho}.$$

The word ν is the input of this procedure. Recall that the exponents $\bar{\alpha}_j$ satisfy the condition (16) and that R_ν and \mathbf{r}_ν are defined in (17).

[**Case 1 :** $d + \rho \geq 0$] In this case, ν belongs to $\text{WT}_1 \sqcup \text{WT}_{3+}$. Hence, by Proposition 3.4, ν itself is geodesic. For this reason, we regard ν as the output of this procedure.

[**Case 2 :** $d + \rho < 0$, $\mathbf{r}_\nu \leq -(d + \rho)$] First, using $\delta := -(d + \rho) > 0$, let us rewrite (31) as

$$\nu \equiv x_{i_1}^{\bar{\alpha}_1} \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{\bar{\alpha}_\tau} \cdot (\Delta^{-1})^\delta.$$

Then, if $j \in R_\nu$, moving one of the words Δ^{-1} so that it is the immediate right-hand neighbor of $x_{i_j}^{\bar{\alpha}_j}$, we obtain the following representative of g :

$$\begin{aligned} & x_{i_1}^{\bar{\alpha}_1} \cdots (x_{i_j}^{\bar{\alpha}_j} \Delta^{-1}) \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{\bar{\alpha}_\tau} \cdot (\Delta^{-1})^{\delta-1} \\ &= x_{i_1}^{\bar{\alpha}_1} \cdots (x_{i_j}^{\bar{\alpha}_j - p_{i_j}}) \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{\bar{\alpha}_\tau} \cdot (\Delta^{-1})^{\delta-1} =: \tilde{\nu}_{\bar{\alpha}_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Note the equality

$$(32) \quad |\tilde{\nu}_{\bar{\alpha}_j}| = |\nu| - (2\bar{\alpha}_j + p_1 - p_{i_j}).$$

Because $\mathbf{r}_\nu \leq \delta$, carrying out the above procedure for all $j \in R_\nu$, we obtain a representative $\tilde{\nu}$ of g . We write it as

$$\tilde{\nu} \equiv x_{i_1}^{\bar{\alpha}'_1} \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{\bar{\alpha}'_\tau} \cdot (\Delta^{-1})^{\delta - \mathbf{r}_\nu}.$$

It is seen that $\bar{\alpha}'_j$ satisfies the condition

$$-p_{i_j}^+ \leq \bar{\alpha}'_j \leq p_{i_j}^- \quad (1 \leq j \leq \tau), \quad \bar{\alpha}'_j \neq 0 \quad (1 \leq j \leq \tau).$$

The word $\tilde{\nu}$ belongs to $\text{WT}_2 \sqcup \text{WT}_{3-\setminus 3+}$. Hence, from Proposition 3.4, we know that it is geodesic. In this case, we regard $\tilde{\nu}$ as the output of the procedure.

[**Case 3 :** $d + \rho < 0$, $\mathbf{r}_\nu > -(d + \rho)$ ($= \delta$)] First, pick δ exponents $\bar{\alpha}_{j_1}, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_{j_\delta}$ from among the exponents of ν such that $\sum_{t=1}^{\delta} (2\bar{\alpha}_{j_t} + p_1 - p_{i_{j_t}})$ realizes its maximal value. Then, applying the procedure in Case 2 to all $x_{i_{j_t}}^{\bar{\alpha}_{j_t}}$, we obtain a representative $\tilde{\nu}$ that contains no Δ^{-1} . We present this representative as

$$\tilde{\nu} \equiv x_{i_1}^{\bar{\alpha}'_1} \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{\bar{\alpha}'_\tau}.$$

Here, $\bar{\alpha}'_j$ satisfies the condition

$$-p_{i_j}^+ \leq \bar{\alpha}'_j \leq p_{i_j}^+ \quad (1 \leq j \leq \tau), \quad \bar{\alpha}'_j \neq 0 \quad (1 \leq j \leq \tau).$$

The word $\tilde{\nu}$ ($\in \text{WT}_3$) is the output of the procedure. In the present case, from (32), we obtain

$$(33) \quad |\tilde{\nu}| = |\nu| - \sum_{t=1}^{\delta} (2\bar{\alpha}_{j_t} + p_1 - p_{i_{j_t}}).$$

Note that the choice of the integers $\bar{\alpha}_{j_1}, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_{j_\delta}$ maximizing $\sum_{t=1}^{\delta} (2\bar{\alpha}_{j_t} + p_1 - p_{i_{j_t}})$ is not necessarily unique, and the output $\tilde{\nu}$ depends on this choice. We define the set of all such choices as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CE}_g := \{ (j_1, \dots, j_\delta) ; \quad & \nu \equiv x_{i_1}^{\bar{\alpha}_1} \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{\bar{\alpha}_\tau} \cdot \Delta^{-\delta} \text{ (modified normal form of } g), \mathbf{r}_\nu > \delta > 0, \\ & 1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_\delta \leq \tau, \\ & \text{and } \sum_{t=1}^{\delta} (2\bar{\alpha}_{j_t} + p_1 - p_{i_{j_t}}) \text{ realizes its maximal value} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Example 3.6. Let us choose the element ν given in Example 2.4, which is the modified normal form of the element $g \in G(3, 6, 7)$. This implies Case 3, because $\mathbf{r}_\nu = 9$ and $\delta = 4$. We can verify the following:

$$\text{CE}_g = \{(2, 4, 7, 10), (2, 7, 10, 11), (4, 7, 10, 11), (2, 7, 9, 10), (4, 7, 9, 10), (7, 9, 10, 11)\}.$$

Applying the suitable spread procedure in accordance with these choices, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nu &= x_2^2 \cdot (x_3^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot x_1 \cdot (x_3^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot x_2^{-1} \cdot x_3^{-2} \cdot (x_2^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot x_3^2 \cdot x_1^2 \cdot (x_2^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot x_3^4 \cdot x_2^2 \\ &= x_2^2 \cdot x_3^{-3} \cdot x_1 \cdot x_3^{-3} \cdot x_2^{-1} \cdot x_3^{-2} \cdot x_2^{-2} \cdot x_3^2 \cdot x_1^2 \cdot x_2^{-2} \cdot x_3^4 \cdot x_2^2 =: \tilde{\nu}_1 \\ &= x_2^2 \cdot (x_3^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot x_1 \cdot x_3^4 \cdot x_2^{-1} \cdot x_3^{-2} \cdot (x_2^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot x_3^2 \cdot x_1^2 \cdot (x_2^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot (x_3^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot x_2^2 \\ &= x_2^2 \cdot x_3^{-3} \cdot x_1 \cdot x_3^4 \cdot x_2^{-1} \cdot x_3^{-2} \cdot x_2^{-2} \cdot x_3^2 \cdot x_1^2 \cdot x_2^{-2} \cdot x_3^{-3} \cdot x_2^2 =: \tilde{\nu}_2 \\ &= x_2^2 \cdot x_3^4 \cdot x_1 \cdot (x_3^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot x_2^{-1} \cdot x_3^{-2} \cdot (x_2^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot x_3^2 \cdot x_1^2 \cdot (x_2^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot (x_3^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot x_2^2 \\ &= x_2^2 \cdot x_3^4 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_3^{-3} \cdot x_2^{-1} \cdot x_3^{-2} \cdot x_2^{-2} \cdot x_3^2 \cdot x_1^2 \cdot x_2^{-2} \cdot x_3^{-3} \cdot x_2^2 =: \tilde{\nu}_3 \\ &= x_2^2 \cdot (x_3^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot x_1 \cdot x_3^4 \cdot x_2^{-1} \cdot x_3^{-2} \cdot (x_2^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot x_3^2 \cdot (x_1^2 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot (x_2^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot x_3^4 \cdot x_2^2 \\ &= x_2^2 \cdot x_3^{-3} \cdot x_1 \cdot x_3^4 \cdot x_2^{-1} \cdot x_3^{-2} \cdot x_2^{-2} \cdot x_3^2 \cdot x_1^{-1} \cdot x_2^{-2} \cdot x_3^4 \cdot x_2^2 =: \tilde{\nu}_4 \\ &= x_2^2 \cdot x_3^4 \cdot x_1 \cdot (x_3^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot x_2^{-1} \cdot x_3^{-2} \cdot (x_2^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot x_3^2 \cdot (x_1^2 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot (x_2^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot x_3^4 \cdot x_2^2 \\ &= x_2^2 \cdot x_3^4 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_3^{-3} \cdot x_2^{-1} \cdot x_3^{-2} \cdot x_2^{-2} \cdot x_3^2 \cdot x_1^{-1} \cdot x_2^{-2} \cdot x_3^4 \cdot x_2^2 =: \tilde{\nu}_5 \\ &= x_2^2 \cdot x_3^4 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_3^4 \cdot x_2^{-1} \cdot x_3^{-2} \cdot (x_2^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot x_3^2 \cdot (x_1^2 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot (x_2^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot (x_3^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot x_2^2 \\ &= x_2^2 \cdot x_3^4 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_3^4 \cdot x_2^{-1} \cdot x_3^{-2} \cdot x_2^{-2} \cdot x_3^2 \cdot x_1^{-1} \cdot x_2^{-2} \cdot x_3^{-3} \cdot x_2^2 =: \tilde{\nu}_6. \end{aligned}$$

For this example, the procedure given here implies the six outputs $\tilde{\nu}_1, \dots, \tilde{\nu}_6$. \square

The procedure described above is the suitable-spread procedure. Note that the output of this procedure is also a representative of g . We denote by SS_g the set consisting of all of the outputs of the suitable-spread procedure applied to the modified normal form of g , ν . In Cases 1 and 2, we have $\#\text{SS}_g = 1$. In Case 3, SS_g is bijective with CE_g . The following provides the final piece for this procedure.

Proposition 3.7. *Let g be an element of $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$, and let $\mu \equiv x_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{\alpha_\tau} \cdot \Delta^d$ and $\nu \equiv x_{i_1}^{\bar{\alpha}_1} \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{\bar{\alpha}_\tau} \cdot \Delta^{d+\rho}$ be the Garside normal form and the modified normal form of g , respectively. Then, applying the suitable-spread procedure to ν , we obtain a geodesic representative of g .*

Proof. In the case $d + \rho \geq 0$ and in the case $d + \rho < 0$ with $\mathbf{r}_\nu \leq -(d + \rho)$, it has been shown that the output of the suitable-spread procedure is geodesic. Hence, it remains only to consider the case $d + \rho < 0$ with $\mathbf{r}_\nu > -(d + \rho)$.

Now, note that there exists at least one geodesic representative of g . Choose one of these, say γ . Because γ is geodesic, from Lemma 3.1 and (24), one of the following holds: (i) γ is of Type 1; (ii) γ is of Type 2; (iii⁺) γ is of Type 3⁺; (iii⁻ \setminus iii⁺) γ is of Type 3⁻ \setminus 3⁺; (iii⁰) γ is of Type 3⁰. As in the proof of Lemma 3.3, using the uniqueness of the modified normal form (Proposition 2.3), we can confirm that the modified normal form of $g = \pi(\gamma)$ satisfies the following condition in each of the corresponding cases: (i) $d + \rho > 0$; (ii) $d + \rho < 0, \mathbf{r}_\nu < -(d + \rho)$; (iii⁺) $d + \rho = 0$; (iii⁻ \setminus iii⁺) $d + \rho < 0, \mathbf{r}_\nu = -(d + \rho)$; (iii⁰) $d + \rho < 0, \mathbf{r}_\nu > -(d + \rho)$. Therefore, we need only treat Case (iii⁰).

In Case (iii⁰), γ takes the form $\gamma \equiv x_{i_1}^{a_1} \cdots x_{i_T}^{a_T}$, where a_j satisfies the condition

$$-p_{i_j}^+ \leq a_j \leq p_{i_j}^+ \quad (1 \leq j \leq T), \quad a_j \neq 0 \quad (1 \leq j \leq T).$$

Next, we obtain the Garside normal form, μ_γ , for $g = \pi(\gamma)$ from the representative γ as in Proposition 2.2. We write μ_γ as

$$\mu_\gamma \equiv x_{i_1}^{A_1} \cdots x_{i_T}^{A_T} \cdot \Delta^D.$$

Here, if $a_j < 0$, then we have $A_j = p_{i_j} + a_j$, and if $a_j \geq 0$, then we have $A_j = a_j$. We also have $D = -(\mathbf{r}_\gamma^{(1)} + \mathbf{r}_\gamma^{(2)})$, where we define

$$\begin{cases} R_\gamma^{(1)} := \{j ; -p_{i_j}^- \leq a_j < 0\}, & \mathbf{r}_\gamma^{(1)} := \#R_\gamma^{(1)}, \\ R_\gamma^{(2)} := \{j ; -p_{i_j}^+ \leq a_j \leq -p_{i_j}^- - 1\}, & \mathbf{r}_\gamma^{(2)} := \#R_\gamma^{(2)}. \end{cases}$$

By the uniqueness of the Garside normal form (Proposition 2.2), for the quantities above, we have $T = \tau$, $A_j = \alpha_j$ and $D = d$. Also, because $p_{i_j} - p_{i_j}^- = p_{i_j}^+ + 1$, we have $\mathbf{r}_\gamma^{(1)} = \rho$. Thus, the relation $-(d + \rho) = \mathbf{r}_\gamma^{(2)}$ holds, and this implies that $\delta = \mathbf{r}_\gamma^{(2)}$. Then, because γ is of Type 3⁰, we have $\mathbf{r}_\gamma^{(2)} > 0$ and $\mathbf{r}_\nu > \mathbf{r}_\gamma^{(2)}$. Hence, we find $\mathbf{r}_\nu > \delta = \mathbf{r}_\gamma^{(2)} > 0$. It is readily seen that the geodesic γ is obtained from the modified normal form ν by making the replacements $x_{i_j}^{\bar{\alpha}_j} \rightarrow x_{i_j}^{\bar{\alpha}_j} \Delta^{-1}$ for all $j \in R_\gamma^{(2)}$ as in the suitable-spread procedure. Moreover, it is clear that the quantity

$$\sum_{j \in R_\gamma^{(2)}} (2\bar{\alpha}_j + p_1 - p_{i_j})$$

is less than or equal to the maximal value of the quantity

$$\sum_{t=1}^{\delta} (2\bar{\alpha}_{j_t} + p_1 - p_{i_{j_t}}).$$

Thus, from (33) and the assumption that γ is geodesic, it follows that they are equal. Therefore, we conclude that the suitable-spread procedure produces a word $\tilde{\nu}$ of length $|\gamma|$. Hence, this word is geodesic. (Note that from the above argument, it follows that if γ is of Type 3⁰, then γ is obtained from the suitable-spread procedure applied to ν .) \square

Let $g \in G(p_1, \dots, p_n)_{3+}$ (resp., $g \in G(p_1, \dots, p_n)_{3-\setminus 3+}$). Then we have Case 1 (resp., Case 2), and any geodesic representative $\gamma \in \text{WT}_{3+}$ (resp., $\gamma \in \text{WT}_{3-\setminus 3+}$) itself is the output of this procedure. From this and the argument given in the proof of Proposition 3.7, we obtain the following:

Proposition 3.8. *Let g be an element of $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)_3$ and $\gamma \in \text{WT}_3$ be a geodesic representative of g . Then γ is an output of the suitable-spread procedure.*

Next, we present a sufficient condition for words of Type 3 to be geodesic and a necessary and sufficient condition for elements of $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)_3$ to have a single geodesic representative.

Proposition 3.9. (1) *Let $\xi \equiv x_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{\alpha_\tau}$ be an element of WT_3 . If ξ satisfies the inequalities in (28), then it is geodesic.*

(2) *Let g be an element of $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)_3$. Then the set of all of the geodesic representatives of g is identical to SS_g .*

(3) *Let g be an element of $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)_3$, and let $\xi \equiv x_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{\alpha_\tau} \in \text{WT}_3$ be its geodesic representative. If ξ satisfies the inequalities*

$$(34) \quad \text{Pos}_{x_k}(\xi) + \text{Neg}_{x_{k'}}(\xi) < \frac{p_k + p_{k'}}{2} \quad (1 \leq k \leq n, 1 \leq k' \leq n),$$

then $\#\text{SS}_g = 1$.

(4) *Let g be an element of $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)_3$, and let $\xi \equiv x_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{\alpha_\tau} \in \text{WT}_3$ be its geodesic representative. Suppose that there appear some generators x_k and $x_{k'}$ in ξ such that the following equality holds:*

$$(35) \quad \text{Pos}_{x_k}(\xi) + \text{Neg}_{x_{k'}}(\xi) = \frac{p_k + p_{k'}}{2}.$$

Then $\#\text{SS}_g \geq 2$.

Proof. In the following, for $\xi \equiv x_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{\alpha_\tau} \in \text{WT}_3$, we use the notation $P_{x_k} := \text{Pos}_{x_k}(\xi)$ and $N_{x_k} := \text{Neg}_{x_k}(\xi)$ for each $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

First, write the Garside normal form of $\pi(\xi)$ as

$$\mu \equiv x_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{\alpha_\tau} \cdot \Delta^d.$$

Here, d is given by

$$(36) \quad d = -(\#\{j ; a_j < 0\}) \leq 0,$$

and α_j satisfies the following:

$$(37) \quad \begin{cases} a_j < 0 \Rightarrow \alpha_j = p_{i_j} + a_j, \\ a_j \geq 0 \Rightarrow \alpha_j = a_j. \end{cases}$$

Next, write the modified normal form of $\pi(\xi)$ as

$$\nu \equiv x_{i_1}^{\bar{\alpha}_1} \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{\bar{\alpha}_\tau} \cdot \Delta^{d+\rho}.$$

Here, ρ is given by

$$(38) \quad \rho = \#\{j ; p_{i_j}^+ + 1 \leq \alpha_j\} = \#\{j ; -p_{i_j}^- \leq a_j < 0\},$$

and $\bar{\alpha}_j$ satisfies the following:

$$(39) \quad \begin{cases} -p_{i_j}^+ \leq a_j \leq -p_{i_j}^- - 1 \Rightarrow \bar{\alpha}_j = p_{i_j} + a_j, \\ -p_{i_j}^- \leq a_j \leq p_{i_j}^+ \Rightarrow \bar{\alpha}_j = a_j. \end{cases}$$

(The second equality in (38) follows from the relation $p_{i_j}^+ + 1 - p_{i_j} = -p_{i_j}^-$ and the fact that $\{j ; p_{i_j}^+ + 1 \leq a_j\}$ is empty.) Moreover, recall that the set R_ν and the quantity \mathbf{r}_ν are defined in (17) for the modified normal form ν .

Next, note that the definition of N_{x_k} implies the following:

$$(40) \quad x_{i_j} = x_k, \quad a_j < 0 \Rightarrow a_j \geq -N_{x_k}.$$

Further, the definition of P_{x_k} implies

$$(41) \quad x_{i_j} = x_k, \quad a_j \geq 0 \Rightarrow \bar{\alpha}_j = \alpha_j = a_j \leq P_{x_k},$$

as follows from (21) and the relations $0 \leq a_j \leq p_{i_j}^+$ and $\alpha_j = a_j$. It is thus seen that from (36) and (38), we have

$$(42) \quad -(d + \rho) = \#R_\xi^{(2)} \geq 0,$$

where we define

$$(43) \quad R_\xi^{(2)} := \{j ; -p_{i_j}^+ \leq a_j \leq -p_{i_j}^- - 1\}.$$

Moreover, from (37), (39) and the relation $p_{i_j}^+ + 1 - p_{i_j} = -p_{i_j}^-$, it is readily confirmed that

$$(44) \quad R_\nu \supseteq R_\xi^{(2)}.$$

This and (42) imply

$$(45) \quad \mathbf{r}_\nu \geq -(d + \rho).$$

With the above preparation, we now demonstrate the four assertions of the proposition one by one.

1. Written in terms of $P_{x_{k'}}$ and N_{x_k} , the inequality in (28) becomes

$$(46) \quad P_{x_{k'}} + N_{x_k} \leq \frac{p_{k'} + p_k}{2}.$$

By Proposition 3.4, if $\xi \in \text{WT}_{3+\cup 3^-}$, then ξ is geodesic. Let us assume here that $\xi \notin \text{WT}_{3+\cup 3^-}$. From this assumption, there exist j and j' such that $-p_{i_j}^+ \leq a_j \leq -p_{i_j}^- - 1$ and $p_{i_{j'}}^- + 1 \leq a_{j'} \leq p_{i_{j'}}^+$. Let $x_{i_j} = x_k$ and $x_{i_{j'}} = x_{k'}$. Then, from (41), (46), (40) and (39), we obtain

$$(47) \quad \begin{aligned} 2\bar{\alpha}_{j'} + p_1 - p_{k'} = 2a_{j'} + p_1 - p_{k'} &\leq 2P_{x_{k'}} + p_1 - p_{k'} \\ &\leq p_1 + p_k - 2N_{x_k} \\ &\leq p_1 + p_k + 2a_j \\ &= 2\bar{\alpha}_j + p_1 - p_k. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let us apply the suitable-spread procedure to the modified normal form ν , noting that the relations (42), (45) and $\delta = \#R_\xi^{(2)}$ hold. From (47), it is seen that the choice of all $x_{i_j}^{\bar{\alpha}_j}$ with $j \in R_\xi^{(2)}$ maximizes $\sum_{t=1}^{\delta} (2\bar{\alpha}_{j_t} + p_1 - p_{i_{j_t}})$, and thus this is one possible choice. Proceeding with this choice, we obtain ξ exactly. Thus, by Proposition 3.7, ξ is geodesic.

2. First, note that from (27), g has no geodesic representative of Type 1 or Type 2. Next, recall that Proposition 3.8 asserts that all of the geodesic representatives of Type 3 can be obtained by applying the suitable-spread procedure to ν . We thus conclude that any geodesic representative of g is an element of SS_g . Conversely, by Proposition 3.7, any element of SS_g is a geodesic representative of g . Therefore, the set consisting of all of the geodesic representatives of g is identical to SS_g .

3. If ξ satisfies all the inequalities in (34), we obtain

$$(48) \quad \begin{aligned} 2\bar{\alpha}_{j'} + p_1 - p_{k'} = 2a_{j'} + p_1 - p_{k'} &\leq 2P_{x_{k'}} + p_1 - p_{k'} \\ &< p_1 + p_k - 2N_{x_k} \\ &\leq p_1 + p_k + 2a_j \\ &= 2\bar{\alpha}_j + p_1 - p_k. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, in contrast to the situation considered in the proof of the first assertion, only the choice of all $x_{i_j}^{\bar{\alpha}_j}$ with $j \in R_\xi^{(2)}$ maximizes $\sum_{t=1}^{\delta} (2\bar{\alpha}_{j_t} + p_1 - p_{i_{j_t}})$. Thus this is the only possible choice in this case, and therefore ξ is the unique geodesic representative obtained from the suitable-spread procedure. Hence, we have $\text{SS}_g = \{\xi\}$ and $\#\text{SS}_g = 1$.

4. By Proposition 3.5, ξ satisfies the inequalities in (28). Hence, we can use the argument in the proof of the first assertion here too. Now, suppose that ξ satisfies one of the equalities in (35). We only consider the case that ξ satisfies $P_{x_k} + N_{x_k} = p_k$, because the other cases can be treated

similarly. If $P_{x_k} = 0$, then $N_{x_k} = p_k$, and this implies that ξ is not of Type 3. Thus, in this case, we must have $P_{x_k} \geq 1$, and similarly, $N_{x_k} \geq 1$. Now, let $x_k^{a_N}$ and $x_k^{a_P}$ be sub-words of ξ realizing the values N_{x_k} and P_{x_k} , respectively. Then, we have $a_N < 0$ and $a_P > 0$. Also, using (37), we obtain $\alpha_N = p_k + a_N = p_k - N_{x_k}$ and $\alpha_P = a_P = P_{x_k}$. Thus, from $P_{x_k} + N_{x_k} = p_k$, we obtain $\alpha_N = \alpha_P$. Hence, we can choose $x_k^{\alpha_P}$ in the suitable-spread procedure instead of $x_k^{\alpha_N}$. Doing so, we obtain another geodesic representative. Hence, ξ is not the unique geodesic representative, and thus $\#SS_g \geq 2$. \square

4. A UNIQUE GEODESIC REPRESENTATIVE

In this section, we propose a criterion that can be used to uniquely specify a single proper geodesic representative for an element $g \in G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$, even in the case that multiple geodesic representatives exist for that element. Using this criterion, we define a set Γ such that the unique geodesic representative of every element of $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ is an element of Γ . This is done according to the decomposition of $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ given in (27).

4.1. Types 1, 2 and $3^+ \cup 3^-$. [**Type 1**] Consider an element $g \in G(p_1, \dots, p_n)_1$, and let $\xi \in \text{WT}_1$ be its geodesic representative. By Proposition 3.4, the modified normal form ν of $g = \pi(\xi)$ is also geodesic. We regard ν as a proper geodesic representative for this element g and define

$$(49) \quad \Gamma_1 := \{\nu \in \Sigma^* ; \quad \nu \equiv x_{i_1}^{a_1} \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{a_\tau} \cdot \Delta^c, \\ \nu \text{ satisfies the conditions in (11) and (19)}\}.$$

[**Type 2**] Consider an element $g \in G(p_1, \dots, p_n)_2$. The inverse of g , g^{-1} , is contained in $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)_1$. Let us consider the modified normal form ν of g^{-1} . Then, we regard ν^{-1} as a proper geodesic representative for the element g and define

$$(50) \quad \Gamma_2 := \{\nu^{-1} \in \Sigma^* ; \quad \nu \equiv x_{i_1}^{a_1} \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{a_\tau} \cdot \Delta^c, \\ \nu \text{ satisfies the conditions in (11) and (19)}\}.$$

[**Type $3^+ \cup 3^-$**] Consider an element $g \in G(p_1, \dots, p_n)_{3^+ \cup 3^-}$, and let $\xi \in \text{WT}_{3^+ \cup 3^-}$ be its geodesic representative. Because ξ satisfies (34), then by Proposition 3.9.3, ξ itself is the unique geodesic representative for $g = \pi(\xi)$. Let us define

$$(51) \quad \Gamma_{3^+} := \text{WT}_{3^+}, \quad \Gamma_{3^-} := \text{WT}_{3^-}.$$

From Remark 3.2, we have

$$(52) \quad \begin{aligned} \Gamma_{3^+} \cap \Gamma_{3^-} &= \text{WT}_{3^+} \cap \text{WT}_{3^-} \\ &= \{\xi \in \Sigma^* ; \quad \xi \equiv x_{i_1}^{a_1} \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{a_\tau}, \xi \text{ satisfies the condition in (11),} \\ &\quad -p_{i_j}^- \leq a_j \leq p_{i_j}^- \ (1 \leq j \leq \tau), \ a_j \neq 0 \ (1 \leq j \leq \tau)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We introduce the following notation:

$$(53) \quad \Gamma_{3^+ \cup 3^-} := \Gamma_{3^+} \cup \Gamma_{3^-}, \quad \Gamma_{3^+ \cap 3^-} := \Gamma_{3^+} \cap \Gamma_{3^-}.$$

4.2. Type 3^0 . Consider an element $g \in G(p_1, \dots, p_n)_{3^0}$, and let $\xi \in \text{WT}_{3^0}$ be its geodesic representative. By Proposition 3.9.4, if ξ satisfies one of the equalities in (35), there exist multiple geodesic representatives for $g = \pi(\xi)$, and moreover, by Proposition 3.9.2, these geodesic representatives are obtained from the suitable-spread procedure. Here, we propose a method that uniquely specifies a single proper output of the suitable-spread procedure in such a case.

First, we rearrange p_1, \dots, p_n as follows: (1) consider all p_k such that $p_k - p_1$ are even; (2) letting m be the number of such p_k 's, rewrite them as q_1, \dots, q_m , where the correspondence is chosen so as to satisfy $q_1 \leq q_2 \leq \dots \leq q_m$; (3) rewrite the remaining as r_{m+1}, \dots, r_n , again choosing the

correspondence such that $r_{m+1} \leq \dots \leq r_n$. Then, we have

$$(54) \quad \begin{cases} \bullet & q_1 = p_1, \\ \bullet & q_k - p_1 \text{ is even for each } k \in \{1, \dots, m\}, \\ \bullet & r_k - p_1 \text{ is odd for each } k \in \{m+1, \dots, n\}, \\ \bullet & q_1 \leq q_2 \leq \dots \leq q_m, \quad r_{m+1} \leq \dots \leq r_n, \\ \bullet & \{p_1, \dots, p_n\} = \{q_1, \dots, q_m\} \sqcup \{r_{m+1}, \dots, r_n\} \text{ (disjoint union)}. \end{cases}$$

Next, corresponding to the above rewriting of p_1, \dots, p_n , we rename the generators, x_1, \dots, x_n , as

$$(55) \quad \begin{cases} \bullet & \text{if } p_k \text{ is rewritten to } q_{k'}, \text{ then } x_k \text{ is rename as } y_{k'}, \\ \bullet & \text{if } p_k \text{ is rewritten to } r_{k'}, \text{ then } x_k \text{ is rename as } z_{k'}. \end{cases}$$

Then, the group $G(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$ is represented as

$$(56) \quad G(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) = \langle y_1, \dots, y_m, z_{m+1}, \dots, z_n \mid y_1^{q_1} = \dots = y_m^{q_m} = z_{m+1}^{r_{m+1}} = \dots = z_n^{r_n} \rangle.$$

Also, we note the following:

$$(57) \quad \begin{cases} \bullet & q_k^- = \lfloor \frac{q_k - p_1}{2} \rfloor = \frac{q_k - p_1}{2}, \quad q_k^+ = \lfloor \frac{p_1 + q_k - 1}{2} \rfloor = \frac{p_1 + q_k - 2}{2} \quad (k \in \{1, \dots, m\}), \\ \bullet & r_k^- = \lfloor \frac{r_k - p_1}{2} \rfloor = \frac{r_k - p_1 - 1}{2}, \quad r_k^+ = \lfloor \frac{p_1 + r_k - 1}{2} \rfloor = \frac{p_1 + r_k - 1}{2} \quad (k \in \{m+1, \dots, n\}). \end{cases}$$

Now, by the argument given in the proof of Proposition 3.7, the modified normal form of $g = \pi(\xi)$ takes the form

$$\nu \equiv x_{i_1}^{\bar{\alpha}_1} \dots x_{i_\tau}^{\bar{\alpha}_\tau} \cdot (\Delta^{-1})^\delta,$$

where τ and i_j satisfy the condition in (11), $\bar{\alpha}_j$ satisfies the condition in (16), and δ satisfies the relations $\delta = -(d + \rho)$ and $\mathbf{r}_\nu > \delta > 0$. Next, for $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $l \in \{0, 1, \dots, p_1 - 2\}$, we define

$$(58) \quad \begin{cases} Y_k[l] &:= \{x_{i_j}^{\bar{\alpha}_j} ; x_{i_j}^{\bar{\alpha}_j} \text{ is a sub-word of } \nu, x_{i_j} = y_k, \bar{\alpha}_j = q_k^+ - l\}, \\ y_k[l] &:= \#Y_k[l], \\ Y[l] &:= \sqcup_{k=1}^m Y_k[l] \text{ (disjoint union)}, \\ y[l] &:= \#Y[l] = \sum_{k=1}^m y_k[l], \end{cases}$$

and for $k \in \{m+1, \dots, n\}$ and $l \in \{0, 1, \dots, p_1 - 1\}$, we define

$$(59) \quad \begin{cases} Z_k[l] &:= \{x_{i_j}^{\bar{\alpha}_j} ; x_{i_j}^{\bar{\alpha}_j} \text{ is a sub-word of } \nu, x_{i_j} = z_k, \bar{\alpha}_j = r_k^+ - l\}, \\ z_k[l] &:= \#Z_k[l], \\ Z[l] &:= \sqcup_{k=m+1}^n Z_k[l] \text{ (disjoint union)}, \\ z[l] &:= \#Z[l] = \sum_{k=m+1}^n z_k[l]. \end{cases}$$

Then, we have

$$(60) \quad \bullet \quad y_k^{\bar{\alpha}_j} \in Y[l] \quad \Rightarrow \quad 2\bar{\alpha}_j + p_1 - q_k = 2p_1 - 2 - 2l,$$

$$(61) \quad \bullet \quad z_k^{\bar{\alpha}_j} \in Z[l] \quad \Rightarrow \quad 2\bar{\alpha}_j + p_1 - r_k = 2p_1 - 1 - 2l,$$

and hence also

$$(62) \quad \bullet \quad z_k^{\bar{\alpha}_j} \in Z[l], \quad z_{k'}^{\bar{\alpha}_{j'}} \in Z[l'] \quad \text{and} \quad l \leq l' \quad \Rightarrow \quad 2\bar{\alpha}_j + p_1 - r_k \geq 2\bar{\alpha}_{j'} + p_1 - r_{k'},$$

$$(63) \quad \bullet \quad z_k^{\bar{\alpha}_j} \in Z[l], \quad y_{k'}^{\bar{\alpha}_{j'}} \in Y[l'] \quad \text{and} \quad l \leq l' \quad \Rightarrow \quad 2\bar{\alpha}_j + p_1 - r_k > 2\bar{\alpha}_{j'} + p_1 - q_{k'},$$

$$(64) \quad \bullet \quad y_k^{\bar{\alpha}_j} \in Y[l], \quad y_{k'}^{\bar{\alpha}_{j'}} \in Y[l'] \quad \text{and} \quad l \leq l' \quad \Rightarrow \quad 2\bar{\alpha}_j + p_1 - q_k \geq 2\bar{\alpha}_{j'} + p_1 - q_{k'},$$

$$(65) \quad \bullet \quad y_k^{\bar{\alpha}_j} \in Y[l], \quad z_{k'}^{\bar{\alpha}_{j'}} \in Z[l'] \quad \text{and} \quad l < l' \quad \Rightarrow \quad 2\bar{\alpha}_j + p_1 - q_k > 2\bar{\alpha}_{j'} + p_1 - r_{k'}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$(66) \quad \mathbf{r}_\nu = \sum_{l=0}^{p_1-1} z[l] + \sum_{l=0}^{p_1-2} y[l],$$

which follows from (4) and (5), along with the definition of \mathbf{r}_ν , given in (17).

Note that from (60), in the suitable-spread procedure, we can choose elements from $Y[l](= \sqcup_{k=1}^m Y_k[l])$ without considering which subsets they belong to. Also, from (61), the same holds for $Z[l](= \sqcup_{k=m+1}^n Z_k[l])$. With this observation, we adopt the following rule:

- (67) • We choose elements from $Y[l] = \sqcup_{k=1}^m Y_k[l]$ in descending order. In other words, we choose elements from $Y_k[l]$ before those from $Y_{k-1}[l]$ ($k = m, m-1, \dots, 2$).
- (68) • We choose elements from $Z[l] = \sqcup_{k=m+1}^n Z_k[l]$ in descending order. In other words, we choose elements from $Z_k[l]$ before those from $Z_{k-1}[l]$ ($k = n, n-1, \dots, m+2$).

4.2.1. *Case: $\mathbf{p}_k - \mathbf{p}_1$ is odd for some $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.* In this section, we consider the case $m < n$, i.e., the case in which there exists $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $p_k - p_1$ is odd. Then, from (66), we have one of the following cases for ν . (These cases are separated according to where δ is located in the open interval $(0, \mathbf{r}_\nu)$, as seen in Figure 1.)

- Case $(N, 2M+1)$, in which $0 \leq N \leq p_1 - 1$ and $0 \leq M \leq n - m - 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} (z[l] + y[l]) + \sum_{k=n-(M-1)}^n z_k[N] &< \delta \\ &< \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} (z[l] + y[l]) + \sum_{k=n-M}^n z_k[N]; \end{aligned}$$

- Case $(N, 2M+2)$, in which $0 \leq N \leq p_1 - 2$ and $0 \leq M \leq n - m - 1$, or $N = p_1 - 1$ and $0 \leq M \leq n - m - 2$:

$$\delta = \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} (z[l] + y[l]) + \sum_{k=n-M}^n z_k[N] \quad \text{with} \quad z_{n-M}[N] > 0;$$

- Case $(N, 2M+1)$, in which $0 \leq N \leq p_1 - 2$ and $n - m \leq M \leq n - 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} (z[l] + y[l]) + z[N] + \sum_{k=n-(M-1)}^m y_k[N] &< \delta \\ &< \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} (z[l] + y[l]) + z[N] + \sum_{k=n-M}^m y_k[N]; \end{aligned}$$

- Case $(N, 2M+2)$, in which $0 \leq N \leq p_1 - 2$ and $n - m \leq M \leq n - 1$:

$$\delta = \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} (z[l] + y[l]) + z[N] + \sum_{k=n-M}^m y_k[N] \quad \text{with} \quad y_{n-M}[N] > 0.$$




Figure 1.

Example 4.1. Let $n = 3$, with $p_1 = 3, p_2 = 6$ and $p_3 = 7$. Then, we consider the same group as in Example 2.4, $G(3, 6, 7) = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \mid x_1^3 = x_2^6 = x_3^7 \rangle$. In this case, $p_2 - p_1$ is odd and $p_3 - p_1$ is even. Thus, we have $m = 2$, $q_1 = 3$, $q_2 = 7$ and $r_3 = 6$, and $G(3, 6, 7)$ is represented as

$G(3, 6, 7) = \langle y_1, y_2, z_3 \mid y_1^3 = y_2^7 = z_3^6 \rangle$. Also, we have

$$q_1^- = 0, q_1^+ = 2; \quad q_2^- = 2, q_2^+ = 4; \quad r_3^- = 1, r_3^+ = 4.$$

Now, let us consider an element $h \in G(3, 6, 7)$ whose modified normal form is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \nu &\equiv z_3^2 \cdot y_2^4 \cdot y_1 \cdot y_2^4 \cdot z_3^{-1} \cdot y_2^{-2} \cdot z_3^4 \cdot y_2^2 \cdot y_1^2 \cdot z_3^4 \cdot y_2^4 \cdot z_3^2 \cdot (\Delta^{-1})^\delta \\ &\equiv z_3^{\bar{\alpha}_1} \cdot y_2^{\bar{\alpha}_2} \cdot y_1^{\bar{\alpha}_3} \cdot y_2^{\bar{\alpha}_4} \cdot z_3^{\bar{\alpha}_5} \cdot y_2^{\bar{\alpha}_6} \cdot z_3^{\bar{\alpha}_7} \cdot y_2^{\bar{\alpha}_8} \cdot y_1^{\bar{\alpha}_9} \cdot z_3^{\bar{\alpha}_{10}} \cdot y_2^{\bar{\alpha}_{11}} \cdot z_3^{\bar{\alpha}_{12}} \cdot (\Delta^{-1})^\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{cases} Y_1[0] = \{y_1^{\bar{\alpha}_9}\}, & Y_1[1] = \{y_1^{\bar{\alpha}_3}\}, \\ Y_2[0] = \{y_2^{\bar{\alpha}_2}, y_2^{\bar{\alpha}_4}, y_2^{\bar{\alpha}_{11}}\}, & Y_2[1] = \emptyset, \\ Z_3[0] = \{z_3^{\bar{\alpha}_7}, z_3^{\bar{\alpha}_{10}}\}, & Z_3[1] = \emptyset, \quad Z_3[2] = \{z_3^{\bar{\alpha}_1}, z_3^{\bar{\alpha}_{12}}\}, \end{cases}$$

$$R_\nu = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12\}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} z[0] = z_3[0] = 2, \\ z[0] + y_2[0] = z_3[0] + y_2[0] = 5, \\ z[0] + y[0] = z_3[0] + (y_2[0] + y_1[0]) = 6, \\ (z[0] + y[0]) + z[1] = (z[0] + y[0]) + z_3[1] = 6, \\ (z[0] + y[0]) + z[1] + y_2[1] = (z[0] + y[0]) + z_3[1] + y_2[1] = 6, \\ (z[0] + y[0]) + (z[1] + y[1]) = (z[0] + y[0]) + (z_3[1] + (y_2[1] + y_1[1])) = 7, \\ \mathbf{r}_\nu = \sum_{l=0}^{p_1-1} z[l] + \sum_{l=0}^{p_1-2} y[l] = (z[0] + y[0]) + (z[1] + y[1]) + z_3[2] = 9. \end{cases}$$

Hence, if $0 < \delta < 9$, then h is of Type 3^0 .

For this example, we have the following:

- If $\delta = 1$, then $0 < \delta < z_3[0]$, and hence we have Case $(0, 1)$.
- If $\delta = 2$, then $\delta = z_3[0]$ and $z_3[0] > 0$, and hence we have Case $(0, 2)$.
- If $\delta = 3$ or 4 , then $z[0] < \delta < z[0] + y_2[0]$, and hence we have Case $(0, 3)$.
- If $\delta = 5$, then $\delta = z[0] + y_2[0]$ and $y_2[0] > 0$, and hence we have Case $(0, 4)$.
- If $\delta = 6$, then $\delta = z[0] + y_2[0] + y_1[0]$ and $y_1[0] > 0$, and hence we have Case $(0, 6)$.
- If $\delta = 7$, then $\delta = (z[0] + y[0]) + z[1] + y_2[1] + y_1[1]$ and $y_1[1] > 0$, and hence we have Case $(1, 6)$.
- If $\delta = 8$, then $(z[0] + y[0]) + (z[1] + y[1]) < \delta = (z[0] + y[0]) + (z[1] + y[1]) + z_3[2]$, and hence we have Case $(2, 1)$. \square


Next, we describe the proposed method yielding a unique proper output $\hat{\nu}$ of the suitable-spread procedure. First, we present an explicit expression for $\hat{\nu}$, and then we define the set consisting of all $\hat{\nu}$. This is carried out separately in each of the cases considered in Figure 1.

[Case $(N, 2M + 1)$, in which $0 \leq N \leq p_1 - 1$ and $0 \leq M \leq n - m - 1$]

In this case, we perform the suitable-spread procedure in accordance with the following rules.

- (1) All elements of $\left(\sqcup_{l=0}^{N-1} (Z[l] \sqcup Y[l])\right) \sqcup \left(\sqcup_{k=n-(M-1)}^n Z_k[N]\right)$ are chosen, because we have the relations in (62), (63) and (65), and we adopt the rule (68).
- (2) Define $\phi := \delta - \left(\sum_{l=0}^{N-1} (z[l] + y[l]) + \sum_{k=n-(M-1)}^n z_k[N]\right)$ (see Figure 2). In this case, we have $0 < \phi < z_{n-M}[N]$. Hence, from (62), (63) and (68), we choose ϕ sub-words from $Z_{n-M}[N]$. Here, for conciseness, we write r_{n-M} , r_{n-M}^+ and r_{n-M}^- as r , r^+ and r^- .
- (3) When choosing the ϕ sub-words from $Z_{n-M}[N]$, we always choose the leftmost of these.

We represent all the sub-words belonging to $Z_{n-M}[N]$ by $z_{n-M}^{\bar{\alpha}_{j_1}}, \dots, z_{n-M}^{\bar{\alpha}_{j_{z_{n-M}[N]}}}$. Then, the sub-words chosen through this procedure are $z_{n-M}^{\bar{\alpha}_{j_1}}, \dots, z_{n-M}^{\bar{\alpha}_{j_\phi}}$.

Figure 2. The location of δ and the definition of ϕ .

In this way, we obtain an output $\hat{\nu}$ containing $z_{n-M}^{\bar{\alpha}_{j1}-r}, \dots, z_{n-M}^{\bar{\alpha}_{j\phi}-r}$ and $z_{n-M}^{\bar{\alpha}_{j\phi+1}}, \dots, z_{n-M}^{\bar{\alpha}_{jz_{n-M}[N]}}$. Here, note that $z_{n-M}^{\bar{\alpha}_{jt}-r} \equiv z_{n-M}^{-(r^-+N+1)}$ ($t \in \{1, \dots, \phi\}$), because $(r^+ - N) - r = -(r^- + N + 1)$. Then, choosing z_- (resp., z_+) to be the leftmost element, $z_{n-M}^{\bar{\alpha}_{j1}-r}$ (resp., $z_{n-M}^{\bar{\alpha}_{j\phi+1}}$), we can write the output $\hat{\nu}$ as

$$(69) \quad \hat{\nu} \equiv \xi^{(1)} \cdot z_- \cdot \xi^{(2)} \cdot z_+ \cdot \xi^{(3)},$$

where $\xi^{(1)}$, $\xi^{(2)}$ and $\xi^{(3)}$ are given by

$$(70) \quad \begin{cases} \xi^{(1)} := x_{i_1}^{a_1} x_{i_2}^{a_2} \cdots x_{i_{\kappa_1}}^{a_{\kappa_1}}, \\ \xi^{(2)} := x_{i_1}^{b_1} x_{i_2}^{b_2} \cdots x_{i_{\kappa_2}}^{b_{\kappa_2}}, \\ \xi^{(3)} := x_{i_1}^{c_1} x_{i_2}^{c_2} \cdots x_{i_{\kappa_3}}^{c_{\kappa_3}}, \end{cases}$$

with the following conditions:

$$(71) \quad \xi^{(1)} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bullet \quad \kappa_1 \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}, \\ \bullet \quad x_{i_{\kappa_1}} \neq z_{n-M}, \\ \bullet \quad x_{i_j} = z_k \text{ with } n-M+1 \leq k \leq n \\ \implies -(r_k^- + N + 1) \leq a_j \leq r_k^+ - N - 1, \quad a_j \neq 0, \\ \bullet \quad x_{i_j} = z_k \text{ with } k = n - M \\ \implies -(r^- + N) \leq a_j \leq r^+ - N - 1, \quad a_j \neq 0, \\ \bullet \quad x_{i_j} = z_k \text{ with } m+1 \leq k \leq n-M-1 \\ \implies -(r_k^- + N) \leq a_j \leq r_k^+ - N, \quad a_j \neq 0, \\ \bullet \quad x_{i_j} = y_k \text{ with } 1 \leq k \leq m \\ \implies -(q_k^- + N) \leq a_j \leq q_k^+ - N, \quad a_j \neq 0, \end{array} \right.$$

$$(72) \quad \xi^{(2)} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bullet \quad \kappa_2 \in \mathbf{N}, \\ \bullet \quad x_{i_1} \neq z_{n-M}, x_{i_{\kappa_2}} \neq z_{n-M}, \\ \bullet \quad x_{i_j} = z_k \text{ with } n-M+1 \leq k \leq n \\ \implies -(r_k^- + N + 1) \leq b_j \leq r_k^+ - N - 1, \quad b_j \neq 0, \\ \bullet \quad x_{i_j} = z_k \text{ with } k = n - M \\ \implies -(r^- + N + 1) \leq b_j \leq r^+ - N - 1, \quad b_j \neq 0, \\ \bullet \quad x_{i_j} = z_k \text{ with } m+1 \leq k \leq n-M-1 \\ \implies -(r_k^- + N) \leq b_j \leq r_k^+ - N, \quad b_j \neq 0, \\ \bullet \quad x_{i_j} = y_k \text{ with } 1 \leq k \leq m \\ \implies -(q_k^- + N) \leq b_j \leq q_k^+ - N, \quad b_j \neq 0, \end{array} \right.$$

$$(73) \quad \xi^{(3)} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bullet \quad \kappa_3 \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}, \\ \bullet \quad x_{i_1} \neq z_{n-M}, \\ \bullet \quad x_{i_j} = z_k \text{ with } n-M+1 \leq k \leq n \\ \implies -(r_k^- + N + 1) \leq c_j \leq r_k^+ - N - 1, \quad c_j \neq 0, \\ \bullet \quad x_{i_j} = z_k \text{ with } k = n - M \\ \implies -(r^- + N) \leq c_j \leq r^+ - N, \quad c_j \neq 0, \\ \bullet \quad x_{i_j} = z_k \text{ with } m+1 \leq k \leq n-M-1 \\ \implies -(r_k^- + N) \leq c_j \leq r_k^+ - N, \quad c_j \neq 0, \\ \bullet \quad x_{i_j} = y_k \text{ with } 1 \leq k \leq m \\ \implies -(q_k^- + N) \leq c_j \leq q_k^+ - N, \quad c_j \neq 0. \end{array} \right.$$

Then, for each pair (N, M) satisfying $0 \leq N \leq p_1 - 1$ and $0 \leq M \leq n - m - 1$, we define the following set:

$$\Gamma_{30}^{(N, 2M+1)} := \{ \hat{\nu} \in \Sigma^* ; \quad \hat{\nu} \equiv \xi^{(1)} \cdot z_{n-M}^{-(r^- + N + 1)} \cdot \xi^{(2)} \cdot z_{n-M}^{r^+ - N} \cdot \xi^{(3)}, \\ \xi^{(1)}, \xi^{(2)} \text{ and } \xi^{(3)} \text{ are given in (71)–(73)} \}.$$

Example 4.2. We consider here the element ν given in Example 4.1 with $\delta = 1$. Then, $0 < \delta < 2 = z_3[0]$, and we have Case $(N, 2M+1)$ with $N = 0$ and $M = 0$. From (62) and (63), we choose one element from $Z[0](= Z_3[0])$. There are two choices, because $z_3[0] = 2$. Then, applying the suitable spread procedure in accordance with these choices, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nu &= z_3^2 \cdot y_2^4 \cdot y_1 \cdot y_2^4 \cdot z_3^{-1} \cdot y_2^{-2} \cdot (z_3^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot y_2^2 \cdot y_1^2 \cdot z_3^4 \cdot y_2^4 \cdot z_3^2 \\ &= z_3^2 \cdot y_2^4 \cdot y_1 \cdot y_2^4 \cdot z_3^{-1} \cdot y_2^{-2} \cdot z_3^{-2} \cdot y_2^2 \cdot y_1^2 \cdot z_3^4 \cdot y_2^4 \cdot z_3^2 =: \tilde{\nu}_1 \\ &= z_3^2 \cdot y_2^4 \cdot y_1 \cdot y_2^4 \cdot z_3^{-1} \cdot y_2^{-2} \cdot z_3^4 \cdot y_2^2 \cdot y_1^2 \cdot (z_3^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot y_2^4 \cdot z_3^2 \\ &= z_3^2 \cdot y_2^4 \cdot y_1 \cdot y_2^4 \cdot z_3^{-1} \cdot y_2^{-2} \cdot z_3^4 \cdot y_2^2 \cdot y_1^2 \cdot z_3^{-2} \cdot y_2^4 \cdot z_3^2 =: \tilde{\nu}_2. \end{aligned}$$

In this way, from Proposition 3.9, we obtain all the geodesic representatives of $\pi(\nu)$, which are denoted as $\tilde{\nu}_1$ and $\tilde{\nu}_2$. If we choose the leftmost element from $Z_3[0]$, then we obtain the word, $\tilde{\nu}_1$,

which is the output $\hat{\nu}$ that we choose here.

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\nu} &\equiv \xi^{(1)} \cdot z_- \cdot \xi^{(2)} \cdot z_+ \cdot \xi^{(3)} \\ &\equiv (z_3^2 \cdot y_2^4 \cdot y_1 \cdot y_2^4 \cdot z_3^{-1} \cdot y_2^{-2}) \cdot z_3^{-2} \cdot (y_2^2 \cdot y_1^2) \cdot z_3^4 \cdot (y_2^4 \cdot z_3^2). \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

Example 4.3. We consider here the element ν given in Example 4.1 with $\delta = 8$. Then, $(z[0] + y[0]) + (z[1] + y[1]) = 7 < \delta < 9 = (z[0] + y[0]) + (z[1] + y[1]) + z_3[2]$, and we have Case $(N, 2M + 1)$ with $N = 2$ and $M = 0$. From (62) and (65), we choose all the elements of $Z[0] \sqcup Y[0] \sqcup Z[1] \sqcup Y[1]$ and one element from $Z[2](= Z_3[2])$. There are two choices, because $z_3[2] = 2$. Applying the suitable spread procedure in accordance with these choices, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\nu &= (z_3^2 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot (y_2^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot (y_1 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot (y_2^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot z_3^{-1} \cdot y_2^{-2} \cdot (z_3^4 \Delta^{-1}) \\ &\quad \cdot y_2^2 \cdot (y_1^2 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot (z_3^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot (y_2^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot z_3^2 \\ &= z_3^{-4} \cdot y_2^{-3} \cdot y_1^{-2} \cdot y_2^{-3} \cdot z_3^{-1} \cdot y_2^{-2} \cdot z_3^{-2} \cdot y_2^2 \cdot y_1^{-1} \cdot z_3^{-2} \cdot y_2^{-3} \cdot z_3^2 =: \tilde{\nu}_1 \\ &= z_3^2 \cdot (y_2^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot (y_1 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot (y_2^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot z_3^{-1} \cdot y_2^{-2} \cdot (z_3^4 \Delta^{-1}) \\ &\quad \cdot y_2^2 \cdot (y_1^2 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot (z_3^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot (y_2^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot (z_3^2 \Delta^{-1}) \\ &= z_3^2 \cdot y_2^{-3} \cdot y_1^{-2} \cdot y_2^{-3} \cdot z_3^{-1} \cdot y_2^{-2} \cdot z_3^{-2} \cdot y_2^2 \cdot y_1^{-1} \cdot z_3^{-2} \cdot y_2^{-3} \cdot z_3^{-4} =: \tilde{\nu}_2.\end{aligned}$$

In this way, from Proposition 3.9, we obtain all the geodesic representatives of $\pi(\nu)$, which are denoted as $\tilde{\nu}_1$ and $\tilde{\nu}_2$. If we choose the leftmost element from $Z_3[2]$, then we obtain $\tilde{\nu}_1$, which is the output $\hat{\nu}$ in this case:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\nu} &\equiv \xi^{(1)} \cdot z_- \cdot \xi^{(2)} \cdot z_+ \cdot \xi^{(3)} \\ &\equiv (\varepsilon) \cdot z_3^{-4} \cdot (y_2^{-3} \cdot y_1^{-2} \cdot y_2^{-3} \cdot z_3^{-1} \cdot y_2^{-2} \cdot z_3^{-2} \cdot y_2^2 \cdot y_1^{-1} \cdot z_3^{-2} \cdot y_2^{-3}) \cdot z_3^2 \cdot (\varepsilon). \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

[Case $(N, 2M + 2)$, in which $0 \leq N \leq p_1 - 2$ and $0 \leq M \leq n - m - 1$, or $N = p_1 - 1$ and $0 \leq M \leq n - m - 2$]

In this case, from (62), (63), (65) and (68), all the elements of $\left(\sqcup_{l=0}^{N-1} (Z[l] \sqcup Y[l])\right) \sqcup (\sqcup_{k=n-M}^n Z_k[N])$ are chosen. Then we obtain a unique output $\hat{\nu}$, which has the form

$$(74) \quad \hat{\nu} \equiv x_{i_1}^{a_1} x_{i_2}^{a_2} \cdots x_{i_\kappa}^{a_\kappa},$$

with the following conditions:

$$(75) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bullet \quad \kappa \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}, \\ \bullet \quad x_{i_j} = z_k \text{ with } n - M \leq k \leq n \\ \quad \implies -(r_k^- + N + 1) \leq a_j \leq r_k^+ - N - 1, \quad a_j \neq 0, \\ \bullet \quad x_{i_j} = z_k \text{ with } m + 1 \leq k \leq n - M - 1 \\ \quad \implies -(r_k^- + N) \leq a_j \leq r_k^+ - N, \quad a_j \neq 0, \\ \bullet \quad x_{i_j} = y_k \text{ with } 1 \leq k \leq m \\ \quad \implies -(q_k^- + N) \leq a_j \leq q_k^+ - N, \quad a_j \neq 0, \end{array} \right.$$

and

$$(76) \quad \bullet \quad \exists j \in \{1, \dots, \kappa\} \text{ s.t., } a_j = -(r^- + N + 1),$$

$$(77) \quad \bullet \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \hat{\nu} \text{ contains at least one of the following sub-words:} \\ z_k^{r_k^-+1}, z_k^{r_k^-+2}, \dots, z_k^{r_k^+-N-1} \quad (k \in \{m+1, \dots, n\}), \\ z_k^{r_k^+-N} \quad (k \in \{m+1, \dots, n-M-1\}), \\ y_k^{q_k^-+1}, y_k^{q_k^-+2}, \dots, y_k^{q_k^+-N} \quad (k \in \{1, \dots, m\}). \end{array} \right.$$

Here, note the following: (i) here too we write r_{n-M}^+ , r_{n-M}^- and r_{n-M}^- as r , r^+ and r^- , and $\hat{\nu}$ must satisfy the condition (76), because here we have $z_{n-M}[N] > 0$ and $(r^+ - N) - r = -(r^- + N + 1)$; (ii) $\hat{\nu}$ must satisfy the condition (77), because, from the assumption $\mathbf{r}_\nu > \delta$, there exists at least one element in $(\sqcup_{l=N+1}^{p_1-1} Z[l]) \sqcup (\sqcup_{k=m+1}^{n-M-1} Z_k[N]) \sqcup (\sqcup_{l=N}^{p_1-2} Y[l])$, and we have $r_k^+ - (p_1 - 1) = r_k^- + 1$ ($k \in \{m+1, \dots, n\}$) and $q_k^+ - (p_1 - 2) = q_k^- + 1$ ($k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$).

Then, for each pair (N, M) with $0 \leq N \leq p_1 - 2$ and $0 \leq M \leq n - m - 1$ or $N = p_1 - 1$ and $0 \leq M \leq n - m - 2$, we define the following set:

$$\Gamma_{30}^{(N, 2M+2)} := \{\hat{\nu} \in \Sigma^* ; \hat{\nu} \text{ is given in (74) with the conditions in (75)–(77)\}.$$

Example 4.4. Here, we choose the element ν given in Example 4.1 with $\delta = 2$. Then, $\delta = z_3[0]$ and $z_3[0] > 0$, and we have Case $(N, 2M + 2)$ with $N = 0$ and $M = 0$. From (62) and (63), we choose all the elements from $Z_3[0]$. Applying the suitable spread procedure in accordance with this choice, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nu &= z_3^2 \cdot y_2^4 \cdot y_1 \cdot y_2^4 \cdot z_3^{-1} \cdot y_2^{-2} \cdot (z_3^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot y_2^2 \cdot y_1^2 \cdot (z_3^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot y_2^4 \cdot z_3^2 \\ &= z_3^2 \cdot y_2^4 \cdot y_1 \cdot y_2^4 \cdot z_3^{-1} \cdot y_2^{-2} \cdot z_3^{-2} \cdot y_2^2 \cdot y_1^2 \cdot z_3^{-2} \cdot y_2^4 \cdot z_3^2 =: \tilde{\nu}_1. \end{aligned}$$

From Proposition 3.9, for $\pi(\nu)$, there is a single geodesic representative, which is denoted as $\tilde{\nu}_1$ here and is the output $\hat{\nu}$ in this case. \square

[Case $(N, 2M + 1)$, in which $0 \leq N \leq p_1 - 2$ and $n - m \leq M \leq n - 1$]

In this case, we perform the suitable-spread procedure in accordance with the following rules.

- (1) All the elements of $(\sqcup_{l=0}^N Z[l]) \sqcup (\sqcup_{l=0}^{N-1} Y[l]) \sqcup (\sqcup_{k=n-(M-1)}^m Y_k[N])$ are chosen, because we have the relations in (63)–(65) and adopt the rule (67).
- (2) Define the quantity $\psi := \delta - \left(\sum_{l=0}^N z[l] + \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} y[l] + \sum_{k=n-(M-1)}^m y_k[N] \right)$ (see Figure 3). In this case, we have $0 < \psi < y_{n-M}[N]$. Hence, from (64), (65) and (67), we choose ψ sub-words from $Y_{n-M}[N]$. Here, for conciseness, we write q_{n-M} , q_{n-M}^+ and q_{n-M}^- as q , q^+ and q^- .
- (3) When choosing the ψ sub-words from $Y_{n-M}[N]$, we always choose the leftmost of these.

We represent all the sub-words belonging to $Y_{n-M}[N]$ by $y_{n-M}^{\bar{\alpha}_{j_1}}, \dots, y_{n-M}^{\bar{\alpha}_{j_{y_{n-M}[N]}}}$. Then, the sub-words chosen through this procedure are $y_{n-M}^{\bar{\alpha}_{j_1}}, \dots, y_{n-M}^{\bar{\alpha}_{j_\psi}}$.




Figure 3. The location of δ and the definition of ψ .

In this way, we obtain an output $\hat{\nu}$ containing $y_{n-M}^{\bar{\alpha}_{j_1}-q}, \dots, y_{n-M}^{\bar{\alpha}_{j_\psi}-q}$ and $y_{n-M}^{\bar{\alpha}_{j_{\psi+1}}}, \dots, y_{n-M}^{\bar{\alpha}_{j_{y_{n-M}[N]}}}$. Here, note that $y_{n-M}^{\bar{\alpha}_{js}-q} \equiv y_{n-M}^{-(q^--N+1)}$ ($s \in \{1, \dots, \psi\}$), because $(q^+ - N) - q = -(q^- + N + 1)$. Then, choosing y_- (resp., y_+) to be the leftmost element, $y_{n-M}^{\bar{\alpha}_{j_1}-q}$ (resp., $y_{n-M}^{\bar{\alpha}_{j_{\psi+1}}}$), we can write the output $\hat{\nu}$ as

$$(78) \quad \hat{\nu} \equiv \xi^{(4)} \cdot y_- \cdot \xi^{(5)} \cdot y_+ \cdot \xi^{(6)},$$

where $\xi^{(4)}$, $\xi^{(5)}$ and $\xi^{(6)}$ are given by

$$(79) \quad \begin{cases} \xi^{(4)} := x_{i_1}^{a_1} x_{i_2}^{a_2} \cdots x_{i_{\kappa_1}}^{a_{\kappa_1}}, \\ \xi^{(5)} := x_{i_1}^{b_1} x_{i_2}^{b_2} \cdots x_{i_{\kappa_2}}^{b_{\kappa_2}}, \\ \xi^{(6)} := x_{i_1}^{c_1} x_{i_2}^{c_2} \cdots x_{i_{\kappa_3}}^{c_{\kappa_3}}, \end{cases}$$

with the following conditions:

$$(80) \quad \xi^{(4)} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bullet \quad \kappa_1 \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}, \\ \bullet \quad x_{i_{\kappa_1}} \neq y_{n-M}, \\ \bullet \quad x_{i_j} = z_k \text{ with } m+1 \leq k \leq n \\ \quad \implies -(r_k^- + N + 1) \leq a_j \leq r_k^+ - N - 1, \quad a_j \neq 0, \\ \bullet \quad x_{i_j} = y_k \text{ with } n-M+1 \leq k \leq m \\ \quad \implies -(q_k^- + N + 1) \leq a_j \leq q_k^+ - N - 1, \quad a_j \neq 0, \\ \bullet \quad x_{i_j} = y_k \text{ with } k = n-M \\ \quad \implies -(q^- + N) \leq a_j \leq q^+ - N - 1, \quad a_j \neq 0, \\ \bullet \quad x_{i_j} = y_k \text{ with } 1 \leq k \leq n-M-1 \\ \quad \implies -(q_k^- + N) \leq a_j \leq q_k^+ - N, \quad a_j \neq 0, \end{array} \right.$$

$$(81) \quad \xi^{(5)} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bullet \quad \kappa_2 \in \mathbf{N}, \\ \bullet \quad x_{i_1} \neq y_{n-M}, x_{i_{\kappa_2}} \neq y_{n-M}, \\ \bullet \quad x_{i_j} = z_k \text{ with } m+1 \leq k \leq n \\ \quad \implies -(r_k^- + N + 1) \leq b_j \leq r_k^+ - N - 1, \quad b_j \neq 0, \\ \bullet \quad x_{i_j} = y_k \text{ with } n-M+1 \leq k \leq m \\ \quad \implies -(q_k^- + N + 1) \leq b_j \leq q_k^+ - N - 1, \quad b_j \neq 0, \\ \bullet \quad x_{i_j} = y_k \text{ with } k = n-M \\ \quad \implies -(q^- + N + 1) \leq b_j \leq q^+ - N - 1, \quad b_j \neq 0, \\ \bullet \quad x_{i_j} = y_k \text{ with } 1 \leq k \leq n-M-1 \\ \quad \implies -(q_k^- + N) \leq b_j \leq q_k^+ - N, \quad b_j \neq 0, \end{array} \right.$$

$$(82) \quad \xi^{(6)} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bullet \quad \kappa_3 \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}, \\ \bullet \quad x_{i_1} \neq y_{n-M}, \\ \bullet \quad x_{i_j} = z_k \text{ with } m+1 \leq k \leq n \\ \quad \implies -(r_k^- + N + 1) \leq c_j \leq r_k^+ - N - 1, \quad c_j \neq 0, \\ \bullet \quad x_{i_j} = y_k \text{ with } n-M+1 \leq k \leq m \\ \quad \implies -(q_k^- + N + 1) \leq c_j \leq q_k^+ - N - 1, \quad c_j \neq 0, \\ \bullet \quad x_{i_j} = y_k \text{ with } k = n-M \\ \quad \implies -(q^- + N) \leq c_j \leq q^+ - N, \quad c_j \neq 0, \\ \bullet \quad x_{i_j} = y_k \text{ with } 1 \leq k \leq n-M-1 \\ \quad \implies -(q_k^- + N) \leq c_j \leq q_k^+ - N, \quad c_j \neq 0. \end{array} \right.$$

Then, for each pair (N, M) satisfying $0 \leq N \leq p_1 - 2$ and $n - m \leq M \leq n - 1$, we define

$$\Gamma_{3^0}^{(N, 2M+1)} := \{\widehat{\nu} \in \Sigma^* ; \quad \widehat{\nu} \equiv \xi^{(4)} \cdot y_{n-M}^{-(q^- + N + 1)} \cdot \xi^{(5)} \cdot y_{n-M}^{q^+ - N} \cdot \xi^{(6)}, \\ \xi^{(4)}, \xi^{(5)} \text{ and } \xi^{(6)} \text{ are given in (79) with the conditions in (80)–(82)}\}.$$

Example 4.5. Here, we choose the element ν given in Example 4.1 with $\delta = 4$. Then, $z[0] = 2 < \delta < 5 = z[0] + y_2[0]$, and we have Case $(N, 2M+1)$ with $N = 0$ and $M = 1$. From (63)–(65) and (67), we choose all the elements of $Z[0]$ and two elements from $Y_2[0]$. There are three choices, because $y_2[0] = 3$. Applying the suitable spread procedure in accordance with these choices, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nu &= z_3^2 \cdot (y_2^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot y_1 \cdot (y_2^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot z_3^{-1} \cdot y_2^{-2} \cdot (z_3^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot y_2^2 \cdot y_1^2 \cdot (z_3^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot y_2^4 \cdot z_3^2 \\ &= z_3^2 \cdot y_2^{-3} \cdot y_1 \cdot y_2^{-3} \cdot z_3^{-1} \cdot y_2^{-2} \cdot z_3^{-2} \cdot y_2^2 \cdot y_1^2 \cdot z_3^{-2} \cdot y_2^4 \cdot z_3^2 =: \tilde{\nu}_1 \\ &= z_3^2 \cdot (y_2^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot y_1 \cdot y_2^4 \cdot z_3^{-1} \cdot y_2^{-2} \cdot (z_3^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot y_2^2 \cdot y_1^2 \cdot (z_3^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot (y_2^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot z_3^2 \\ &= z_3^2 \cdot y_2^{-3} \cdot y_1 \cdot y_2^4 \cdot z_3^{-1} \cdot y_2^{-2} \cdot z_3^{-2} \cdot y_2^2 \cdot y_1^2 \cdot z_3^{-2} \cdot y_2^{-3} \cdot z_3^2 =: \tilde{\nu}_2 \\ &= z_3^2 \cdot y_2^4 \cdot y_1 \cdot (y_2^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot z_3^{-1} \cdot y_2^{-2} \cdot (z_3^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot y_2^2 \cdot y_1^2 \cdot (z_3^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot (y_2^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot z_3^2 \\ &= z_3^2 \cdot y_2^4 \cdot y_1 \cdot y_2^{-3} \cdot z_3^{-1} \cdot y_2^{-2} \cdot z_3^{-2} \cdot y_2^2 \cdot y_1^2 \cdot z_3^{-2} \cdot y_2^{-3} \cdot z_3^2 =: \tilde{\nu}_3. \end{aligned}$$

If we choose the leftmost elements from $Y_2[0]$, then we obtain $\tilde{\nu}_1$, which is the output $\hat{\nu}$ in this case:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\nu} &\equiv \xi^{(4)} \cdot y_- \cdot \xi^{(5)} \cdot y_+ \cdot \xi^{(6)} \\ &\equiv (z_3^2) \cdot y_2^{-3} \cdot (y_1 \cdot y_2^{-3} \cdot z_3^{-1} \cdot y_2^{-2} \cdot z_3^{-2} \cdot y_2^2 \cdot y_1^2 \cdot z_3^{-2}) \cdot y_2^4 \cdot (z_3^2).\end{aligned}$$

Note that ν , $\tilde{\nu}_1$, $\tilde{\nu}_2$ and $\tilde{\nu}_3$ are the same elements considered in Example 3.6 if we simply replace y_1 with x_1 , y_2 with x_3 and z_3 with x_2 . From Proposition 3.9, the set of all geodesic representatives of $\pi(\nu)$ consists of $\tilde{\nu}_1, \dots, \tilde{\nu}_6$, which are obtained in Example 3.6. \square

[Case $(N, 2M + 2)$, in which $0 \leq N \leq p_1 - 2$ and $n - m \leq M \leq n - 1$]

In this case, all the elements of $(\sqcup_{l=0}^N Z[l]) \sqcup (\sqcup_{k=0}^{N-1} Y[l]) \sqcup (\sqcup_{k=n-M}^m y_k[N])$ are chosen, because we have the relations in (63)–(65) and (67). Then we obtain a unique output $\hat{\nu}$, which has the form

$$(83) \quad \hat{\nu} \equiv x_{i_1}^{a_1} x_{i_2}^{a_2} \cdots x_{i_\kappa}^{a_\kappa},$$

with the following conditions:

$$(84) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bullet \quad \kappa \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}, \\ \bullet \quad x_{i_j} = z_k \text{ with } m+1 \leq k \leq n \\ \quad \implies -(r_k^- + N + 1) \leq a_j \leq r_k^+ - N - 1, \quad a_j \neq 0, \\ \bullet \quad x_{i_j} = y_k \text{ with } n-M \leq k \leq m \\ \quad \implies -(q_k^- + N + 1) \leq a_j \leq q_k^+ - N - 1, \quad a_j \neq 0, \\ \bullet \quad x_{i_j} = y_k \text{ with } 1 \leq k \leq n-M-1 \\ \quad \implies -(q_k^- + N) \leq a_j \leq q_k^+ - N, \quad a_j \neq 0, \end{array} \right.$$

and

$$(85) \quad \bullet \quad \exists j \in \{1, \dots, \kappa\} \text{ s.t., } a_j = -(q^- + N + 1),$$

$$(86) \quad \bullet \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \hat{\nu} \text{ contains at least one of the following sub-words:} \\ z_k^{r_k^-+1}, z_k^{r_k^-+2}, \dots, z_k^{r_k^+-N-1} \quad (k \in \{m+1, \dots, n\}), \\ y_k^{q_k^-+1}, y_k^{q_k^-+2}, \dots, y_k^{q_k^+-N-1} \quad (k \in \{1, \dots, m\}), \\ y_k^{q_k^+-N} \quad (k \in \{1, \dots, n-M-1\}). \end{array} \right.$$

Here, note the following: (i) here too we write q_{n-M} , q_{n-M}^+ and q_{n-M}^- as q , q^+ and q^- , and $\hat{\nu}$ must satisfy the condition (85), because here we have $y_{n-M}[N] > 0$ and $(q^+ - N) - q = -(q^- + N + 1)$; (ii) $\hat{\nu}$ must satisfy the condition (86), because, from the assumption $\mathbf{r}_\nu > \delta$, there exists at least one element in $(\sqcup_{l=N+1}^{p_1-1} Z[l]) \sqcup (\sqcup_{l=N}^{p_1-2} Y[l]) \sqcup (\sqcup_{k=1}^{n-M-1} Y_k[N])$, and we have $r_k^+ - (p_1 - 1) = r_k^- + 1$ ($k \in \{m+1, \dots, n\}$) and $q_k^+ - (p_1 - 2) = q_k^- + 1$ ($k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$).

Then, for each pair (N, M) with $0 \leq N \leq p_1 - 2$ and $n - m \leq M \leq n - 1$, we define the following set:

$$\Gamma_{3^0}^{(N, 2M+2)} := \{\hat{\nu} \in \Sigma^* ; \hat{\nu} \text{ is given in (83) with the conditions in (84)–(86)}\}.$$

Example 4.6. Here, we choose the element ν given in Example 4.1 with $\delta = 5$. Then, $\delta = z[0] + y_2[0]$ and $y_2[0] > 0$, and we have Case $(N, 2M + 2)$ with $N = 0$ and $M = 1$. From (63)–(65) and (67), we choose all the elements from $Z[0] \sqcup Y_2[0]$. Applying the suitable spread procedure in accordance with this choice, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\nu &= z_3^2 \cdot (y_2^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot y_1 \cdot (y_2^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot z_3^{-1} \cdot y_2^{-2} \cdot (z_3^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot y_2^2 \cdot y_1^2 \cdot (z_3^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot (y_2^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot z_3^2 \\ &= z_3^2 \cdot y_2^{-3} \cdot y_1 \cdot y_2^{-3} \cdot z_3^{-1} \cdot y_2^{-2} \cdot z_3^{-2} \cdot y_2^2 \cdot y_1^2 \cdot z_3^{-2} \cdot y_2^{-3} \cdot z_3^2 =: \tilde{\nu}_1.\end{aligned}$$

The geodesic representative, $\tilde{\nu}_1$, is the output $\hat{\nu}$ in this case. Note that ν contains an element $y_1^2 \in Y_1[0]$ ($\subset Y[0]$) and we have $y[0] = 4$. Therefore, there are four ways to choose three elements from $Y[0]$. Thus, from Propotition 3.9, there are four geodesic representatives of ν . \square

Example 4.7. Here, we choose the element ν given in Example 4.1 with $\delta = 6$. Then, $\delta = z[0] + y_2[0] + y_1[0]$ and $y_1[0] > 0$, and we have Case $(N, 2M + 2)$ with $N = 0$ and $M = 2$. From (63)–(65), we choose all the elements from $Z[0] \sqcup Y_2[0] \sqcup Y_1[0]$. Applying the suitable spread procedure in accordance with this choice, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nu &= z_3^2 \cdot (y_2^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot y_1 \cdot (y_2^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot z_3^{-1} \cdot y_2^{-2} \cdot (z_3^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot y_2^2 \cdot (y_1^2 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot (z_3^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot (y_2^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot z_3^2 \\ &= z_3^2 \cdot y_2^{-3} \cdot y_1 \cdot y_2^{-3} \cdot z_3^{-1} \cdot y_2^{-2} \cdot z_3^{-2} \cdot y_2^2 \cdot y_1^{-1} \cdot z_3^{-2} \cdot y_2^{-3} \cdot z_3^2 =: \tilde{\nu}_1. \end{aligned}$$

From Proposition 3.9, for $\pi(\nu)$, there is a single geodesic representative, which is denoted $\tilde{\nu}_1$ here and is the output $\hat{\nu}$ in this case. \square

Example 4.8. Here, we choose the element ν given in Example 4.1 with $\delta = 7$. Then, $\delta = (z[0] + y[0]) + z[1] + y_2[1] + y_1[1]$ and $y_1[1] > 0$, and we have Case $(N, 2M + 2)$ with $N = 1$ and $M = 2$. From (63)–(65), we choose all the elements from $(Z[0] \sqcup Y[0]) \sqcup Z[1] \sqcup Y_2[1] \sqcup Y_1[1]$. Applying the suitable spread procedure in accordance with this choice, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nu &= z_3^2 \cdot (y_2^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot (y_1 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot (y_2^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot z_3^{-1} \cdot y_2^{-2} \cdot (z_3^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot y_2^2 \cdot (y_1^2 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot (z_3^4 \Delta^{-1}) \\ &\quad \cdot (y_2^4 \Delta^{-1}) \cdot z_3^2 \\ &= z_3^2 \cdot y_2^{-3} \cdot y_1^{-2} \cdot y_2^{-3} \cdot z_3^{-1} \cdot y_2^{-2} \cdot z_3^{-2} \cdot y_2^2 \cdot y_1^{-1} \cdot z_3^{-2} \cdot y_2^{-3} \cdot z_3^2 =: \tilde{\nu}_1. \end{aligned}$$

From Proposition 3.9, for $\pi(\nu)$, there is a single geodesic representative, which is denoted as $\tilde{\nu}_1$ here and is the output $\hat{\nu}$ in this case. \square

4.2.2. Case: $p_k - p_1$ is even for every $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. In this section, we consider the case $m = n$, i.e., the case in which $p_k - p_1$ is even for every $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then, as in the previous section, from (66), we have one of the following cases.

- Case $(N, 2M + 1)$, in which $0 \leq N \leq p_1 - 2$ and $0 \leq M \leq n - 1$:

$$\sum_{l=0}^{N-1} y[l] + \sum_{k=n-(M-1)}^n y_k[N] < \delta < \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} y[l] + \sum_{k=n-M}^n y_k[N];$$

- Case $(N, 2M + 2)$, in which $0 \leq N \leq p_1 - 3$ and $0 \leq M \leq n - 1$, or $N = p_1 - 2$ and $0 \leq M \leq n - 2$:

$$\delta = \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} y[l] + \sum_{k=n-M}^n y_k[N] \quad \text{with} \quad y_{n-M}[N] > 0.$$

[Case $(N, 2M + 1)$, in which $0 \leq N \leq p_1 - 2$ and $0 \leq M \leq n - 1$]

This case is obtained by setting $m = n$ in Case $(N, 2M + 1)$ (with $0 \leq N \leq p_1 - 2$, $n - m \leq M \leq n - 1$) considered in the previous section. Let us define $\bar{\xi}^{(4)}$, $\bar{\xi}^{(5)}$ and $\bar{\xi}^{(6)}$ as

$$(87) \quad \begin{cases} \bar{\xi}^{(4)} := \xi^{(4)} & \text{with } m := n, \\ \bar{\xi}^{(5)} := \xi^{(5)} & \text{with } m := n, \\ \bar{\xi}^{(6)} := \xi^{(6)} & \text{with } m := n, \end{cases}$$

where $\xi^{(4)}$, $\xi^{(5)}$ and $\xi^{(6)}$ are given in (79) with the conditions (80)–(82).

Now, for each pair (N, M) with $0 \leq N \leq p_1 - 2$ and $0 \leq M \leq n - 1$, we define the following set:

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{30}^{(N, 2M+1)} := \{\bar{\nu} \in \Sigma^* ; \quad \bar{\nu} \equiv \bar{\xi}^{(4)} \cdot y_{n-M}^{-(q^- + N+1)} \cdot \bar{\xi}^{(5)} \cdot y_{n-M}^{q^+ - N} \cdot \bar{\xi}^{(6)}, \\ \bar{\xi}^{(4)}, \bar{\xi}^{(5)} \text{ and } \bar{\xi}^{(6)} \text{ are given in (87)}\}.$$

[Case $(N, 2M + 2)$, in which $0 \leq N \leq p_1 - 3$ and $0 \leq M \leq n - 1$, or $N = p_1 - 2$ and $0 \leq M \leq n - 2$]

This case is obtained by setting $m = n$ in Case $(N, 2M+2)$ (with $0 \leq N \leq p_1-2$, $n-m \leq M \leq n-1$) considered in the previous section and appropriately by modifying the ranges of N and M . Let us define $\widehat{\nu}$ as

$$(88) \quad \widehat{\nu} := \widehat{\nu} \quad \text{with} \quad m := n,$$

where $\widehat{\nu}$ is given in (83) with the conditions in (84)–(86).

Now, for each pair (N, M) with $0 \leq N \leq p_1 - 3$ and $0 \leq M \leq n - 1$, or $N = p_1 - 2$ and $0 \leq M \leq n - 2$, we define the following set:

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{3^0}^{(N, 2M+2)} := \{\widehat{\nu} \in \Sigma^* ; \widehat{\nu} \text{ is given in (88)}\}.$$

4.2.3. *A set consisting of unique geodesic representatives of elements of $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$.* Collecting the cases considered in Sections 4.2.1 and 4.2.2, we define Γ_{3^0} as follows:

- If $m < n$, then

$$(89) \quad \Gamma_{3^0} := \bigsqcup_{N=0}^{p_1-1} \bigsqcup_{M=0}^{n-m-1} \Gamma_{3^0}^{(N, 2M+1)} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{N=0}^{p_1-2} \bigsqcup_{M=0}^{n-m-1} \Gamma_{3^0}^{(N, 2M+2)} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{M=0}^{n-m-2} \Gamma_{3^0}^{(p_1-1, 2M+2)} \\ \sqcup \bigsqcup_{N=0}^{p_1-2} \bigsqcup_{M=n-m}^{n-1} \Gamma_{3^0}^{(N, 2M+1)} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{N=0}^{p_1-2} \bigsqcup_{M=n-m}^{n-1} \Gamma_{3^0}^{(N, 2M+2)}.$$

- If $m = n$, then

$$(90) \quad \Gamma_{3^0} := \bigsqcup_{N=0}^{p_1-2} \bigsqcup_{M=0}^{n-1} \bar{\Gamma}_{3^0}^{(N, 2M+1)} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{N=0}^{p_1-3} \bigsqcup_{M=0}^{n-1} \bar{\Gamma}_{3^0}^{(N, 2M+2)} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{M=0}^{n-2} \bar{\Gamma}_{3^0}^{(p_1-2, 2M+2)}.$$

Then, applying the arguments given in the previous sections, we obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 4.9. *Let g be an element of $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)_{3^0}$. Then there exists a unique geodesic representative of g in the set Γ_{3^0} . Moreover, the restriction of π to Γ_{3^0} is a bijective map to $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)_{3^0}$.*

Finally, we define Γ to be the following disjoint union:

$$(91) \quad \Gamma := \Gamma_1 \sqcup \Gamma_2 \sqcup \Gamma_{3^+ \cup 3^-} \sqcup \Gamma_{3^0}.$$

From Theorem 4.9 and the argument given in Section 4.1, we conclude that the restriction map of π to Γ is a bijective map to $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$.

5. COMPUTATION OF GROWTH SERIES

In this section, we compute the spherical growth series for the sets Γ_I ($I \in \{1, 2, 3^+, 3^-, 3^+ \cap 3^-, 3^+ \cup 3^-, 3^0\}$) defined in Section 4 and obtain a rational function expression for the spherical growth series of the group $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$.

Let Λ be a subset of Σ^* . Assume that each element ξ of Λ is geodesic and that there is no other element $\xi' \in \Lambda$ satisfying $\pi(\xi') = \pi(\xi)$. We define the spherical growth series for the set Λ as follows:

$$(92) \quad \mathcal{S}_\Lambda(t) := \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \#\{\xi \in \Lambda ; |\xi| = l\} t^l.$$

From the argument presented in the previous section, we know that the above assumption holds for the sets appearing on the right-hand sides of (89) and (90). Hence, we can define their spherical growth series.

In order to simplify the presentation of the growth series, we introduce

$$(93) \quad T_0 := 0 \quad \text{and} \quad T_u := t + t^2 + \dots + t^u \quad \text{for each } u \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Next, for $u, v \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$, we define

$$(94) \quad f(u, v) := T_u + T_v.$$

Also, for $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and $u_1, \dots, u_k, v_1, \dots, v_k \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$, we define

$$(95) \quad g_k(u_1, v_1; \dots; u_k, v_k) := \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=2}^k (i-1) \cdot F_k^i(f(u_1, v_1), \dots, f(u_k, v_k))},$$

$$(96) \quad h_k(u_1, v_1; \dots; u_k, v_k) := \frac{\{1 + f(u_1, v_1)\} \cdots \{1 + f(u_k, v_k)\}}{1 - \sum_{i=2}^k (i-1) \cdot F_k^i(f(u_1, v_1), \dots, f(u_k, v_k))},$$

where $F_k^i(X_1, \dots, X_k)$ is the elementary symmetric polynomial of degree i in the k variables X_1, \dots, X_k . In the case $k = n$, for conciseness, we use the following notation:

$$(97) \quad F^i(X_1, \dots, X_n) := F_n^i(X_1, \dots, X_n),$$

and

$$(98) \quad g(u_1, v_1; \dots; u_n, v_n) := g_n(u_1, v_1; \dots; u_n, v_n),$$

$$(99) \quad h(u_1, v_1; \dots; u_n, v_n) := h_n(u_1, v_1; \dots; u_n, v_n).$$

We have the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *Let n be an integer greater than 1. For each $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, choose non-negative integers A_k and B_k . Let K be an integer in $\{1, \dots, n\}$, and let $\Lambda_{(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_n, B_n)}$, $\Lambda_{(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_n, B_n)}^K$ and $\Lambda_{(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_n, B_n)}^{KK}$ be subsets of Σ^* defined as*

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_n, B_n)} &:= \{\xi \in \Sigma^* ; \quad \xi \equiv x_{i_1}^{s_1} \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{s_\tau}, \text{ } \xi \text{ satisfies the condition in (11),} \\ &\quad \text{if } x_{i_j} = x_k, \text{ then } -A_k \leq s_j \leq B_k \text{ and } s_j \neq 0 \text{ (} 1 \leq j \leq \tau \text{)}\}, \\ \Lambda_{(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_n, B_n)}^K &:= \{\xi \in \Lambda_{(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_n, B_n)} ; \quad \xi \equiv x_{i_1}^{s_1} \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{s_\tau}, \text{ } x_{i_1} \neq x_K\}, \\ \Lambda_{(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_n, B_n)}^{KK} &:= \{\xi \in \Lambda_{(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_n, B_n)} ; \quad \xi \equiv x_{i_1}^{s_1} \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{s_\tau}, \text{ } \tau \geq 1, \text{ } x_{i_1} \neq x_K, \text{ } x_{i_\tau} \neq x_K\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\tau = 0$, we stipulate that $x_{i_1}^{s_1} \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{s_\tau}$ is the null word, ε . For a subset Λ of Σ^* , we define the following formal power series:

$$\Omega_\Lambda(t) := \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \#\{\xi \in \Lambda ; |\xi| = l\} t^l.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\Lambda_{(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_n, B_n)}}(t) &= h(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_n, B_n), \\ \Omega_{\Lambda_{(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_n, B_n)}^K}(t) &= \prod_{k=1}^{K-1} \{1 + f(A_k, B_k)\} \cdot \prod_{k=K+1}^n \{1 + f(A_k, B_k)\} \cdot g(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_n, B_n), \\ \Omega_{\Lambda_{(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_n, B_n)}^{KK}}(t) &= \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i \cdot F^i(f(A_1, B_1), \dots, f(A_{K-1}, B_{K-1}), 0, \right. \\ &\quad \left. f(A_{K+1}, B_{K+1}), \dots, f(A_n, B_n)) \right\} \cdot g(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_n, B_n) \end{aligned}$$

for each t in a sufficiently small neighborhood of the origin, 0. (If each element ξ of $\Lambda_{(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_n, B_n)}$ is geodesic and there is no other element $\xi' \in \Lambda_{(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_n, B_n)}$ satisfying $\pi(\xi') = \pi(\xi)$, then we have

$\Omega_{\Lambda_{(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_n, B_n)}}(t) = \mathcal{S}_{\Lambda_{(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_n, B_n)}}(t)$, by definition. The same holds for $\Lambda_{(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_n, B_n)}^K$ and $\Lambda_{(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_n, B_n)}^{KK}$.)

Proof. First, we prove the assertion for $\Lambda_{(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_n, B_n)}$ by induction with respect to n .

The case $n = 2$ is easily verified. (For example, see Lemma 5.1 in [9].) Now, assume that the assertion holds in the case $n = k$. Then we have

$$\Omega_{\Lambda_{(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_k, B_k)}}(t) = h_k(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_k, B_k).$$

It is readily seen that any word ξ in $\Lambda_{(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_{k+1}, B_{k+1})}$ is expressed as

$$(100) \quad \xi \equiv x_{k+1}^{s_0} \cdot (w_1 x_{k+1}^{s_1} \cdot w_2 x_{k+1}^{s_2} \cdots w_\tau x_{k+1}^{s_\tau}) \cdot w_{\tau+1},$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \tau \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}, \\ w_j \in \Lambda_{(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_k, B_k)} \setminus \{\varepsilon\} \quad (1 \leq j \leq \tau), \\ w_{\tau+1} \in \Lambda_{(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_k, B_k)}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} -A_{k+1} \leq s_j \leq B_{k+1} \quad (0 \leq j \leq \tau), \\ s_j \neq 0 \quad (1 \leq j \leq \tau). \end{cases}$$

If $\tau = 0$, we stipulate that $w_1 x_{k+1}^{s_1} \cdot w_2 x_{k+1}^{s_2} \cdots w_\tau x_{k+1}^{s_\tau}$ is the null word, ε . Then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \Omega_{\Lambda_{(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_{k+1}, B_{k+1})}}(t) \\ &= \{1 + f(A_{k+1}, B_{k+1})\} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \{h_k(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_k, B_k) - 1\} \cdot f(A_{k+1}, B_{k+1})} \\ & \quad \cdot h_k(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_k, B_k) \\ &= \frac{\{1 + f(A_1, B_1)\} \cdots \{1 + f(A_{k+1}, B_{k+1})\}}{1 - \sum_{i=2}^{k+1} (i-1) \cdot F_{k+1}^i(f(A_1, B_1), \dots, f(A_{k+1}, B_{k+1}))} \\ &= h_{k+1}(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_{k+1}, B_{k+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the assertion holds in the case $n = k+1$. Therefore, the assertion is proved for $\Lambda_{(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_n, B_n)}$.

Next, we prove the assertion for $\Lambda_{(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_n, B_n)}^K$. Any word ξ^K in this set is expressed as

$$(101) \quad \xi^K \equiv (w_1 x_K^{s_1} \cdot w_2 x_K^{s_2} \cdots w_\tau x_K^{s_\tau}) \cdot w_{\tau+1},$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \tau \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}, \\ w_j \in \Lambda_{(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_{K-1}, B_{K-1}; 0, 0; A_{K+1}, B_{K+1}; \dots; A_n, B_n)} \setminus \{\varepsilon\} \quad (1 \leq j \leq \tau), \\ w_{\tau+1} \in \Lambda_{(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_{K-1}, B_{K-1}; 0, 0; A_{K+1}, B_{K+1}; \dots; A_n, B_n)}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$-A_K \leq s_j \leq B_K \quad (1 \leq j \leq \tau), \quad s_j \neq 0 \quad (1 \leq j \leq \tau).$$

Then, using the assertion for $\Lambda_{(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_n, B_n)}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Omega_{\Lambda_{(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_n, B_n)}}^{KK}(t) \\
&= \frac{1}{1 - \{h(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_{K-1}, B_{K-1}; 0, 0; A_{K+1}, B_{K+1}; \dots; A_n, B_n) - 1\} \cdot f(A_K B_K)} \\
&\quad \cdot h(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_{K-1}, B_{K-1}; 0, 0; A_{K+1}, B_{K+1}; \dots; A_n, B_n) \\
&= \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{K-1} \{1 + f(A_k, B_k)\} \cdot \prod_{k=K+1}^n \{1 + f(A_k, B_k)\}}{1 - \sum_{i=2}^n (i-1) \cdot F^i(f(A_1, B_1), \dots, f(A_n, B_n))} \\
&= \prod_{k=1}^{K-1} \{1 + f(A_k, B_k)\} \cdot \prod_{k=K+1}^n \{1 + f(A_k, B_k)\} \cdot g(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_n, B_n).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we prove the assertion for $\Lambda_{(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_n, B_n)}^{KK}$. Any word ξ^{KK} in this set is expressed as

$$(102) \quad \xi^{KK} \equiv (w_1 x_K^{s_1} \cdot w_2 x_K^{s_2} \cdots w_\tau x_K^{s_\tau}) \cdot w_{\tau+1},$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \tau \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \\ w_j \in \Lambda_{(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_{K-1}, B_{K-1}; 0, 0; A_{K+1}, B_{K+1}; \dots; A_n, B_n)} \setminus \{\varepsilon\} \quad (1 \leq j \leq \tau + 1). \end{cases}$$

and

$$-A_K \leq s_j \leq B_K \quad (1 \leq j \leq \tau), \quad s_j \neq 0 \quad (1 \leq j \leq \tau).$$

Then, using the assertion for $\Lambda_{(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_n, B_n)}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Omega_{\Lambda_{(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_n, B_n)}}^{KK}(t) \\
&= \frac{1}{1 - \{h(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_{K-1}, B_{K-1}; 0, 0; A_{K+1}, B_{K+1}; \dots; A_n, B_n) - 1\} \cdot f(A_K B_K)} \\
&\quad \cdot \{h(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_{K-1}, B_{K-1}; 0, 0; A_{K+1}, B_{K+1}; \dots; A_n, B_n) - 1\} \\
&\quad \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i \cdot F^i(f(A_1, B_1), \dots, f(A_{K-1}, B_{K-1}), 0, f(A_{K+1}, B_{K+1}), \dots, f(A_n, B_n)) \\
&= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i \cdot F^i(f(A_1, B_1), \dots, f(A_{K-1}, B_{K-1}), 0, f(A_{K+1}, B_{K+1}), \dots, f(A_n, B_n))}{1 - \sum_{i=2}^n (i-1) \cdot F^i(f(A_1, B_1), \dots, f(A_n, B_n))} \\
&= \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i \cdot F^i(f(A_1, B_1), \dots, f(A_{K-1}, B_{K-1}), 0, f(A_{K+1}, B_{K+1}), \dots, f(A_n, B_n)) \right\} \\
&\quad \cdot g(A_1, B_1; \dots; A_n, B_n). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

5.1. Types 1, 2 and $3^+ \cup 3^-$. [Types 1 and 2] By Propositions 2.3 and 3.4 and Lemma 5.1, the spherical growth series for the set

$$(103) \quad \Gamma'_1 := \{\nu' \in \Sigma^* ; \quad \nu' \equiv x_{i_1}^{a_1} \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{a_\tau}, \\ \nu' \text{ satisfies the conditions in (11) and (19)}\}$$

has the following rational function expression:

$$(104) \quad h(p_1^-, p_1^+; \cdots; p_n^-, p_n^+).$$

(Here, note that if $\tau = 0$, the sub-word $x_{i_1}^{a_1} \cdots x_{i_\tau}^{a_\tau}$ is regarded as being the null word.) Moreover, from (49), we know that any element $\nu \in \Gamma_1$ is a product of $\nu' \in \Gamma'_1$ and Δ^c ($c \geq 1$). Hence, with $|\Delta| = p_1$, we have

$$(105) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_1}(t) &= h(p_1^-, p_1^+; \cdots; p_n^-, p_n^+) \cdot (t^{p_1} + t^{2p_1} + t^{3p_1} + \cdots) \\ &= h(p_1^-, p_1^+; \cdots; p_n^-, p_n^+) \cdot \frac{t^{p_1}}{1-t^{p_1}} \end{aligned}$$

for each t in a sufficiently small neighborhood of the origin. Similarly, from (50), we have

$$(106) \quad \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_2}(t) = h(p_1^-, p_1^+; \cdots; p_n^-, p_n^+) \cdot \frac{t^{p_1}}{1-t^{p_1}}$$

for each t in a sufficiently small neighborhood of the origin.

[**Type $3^+ \cup 3^-$**] From (51) and (103), we have $\Gamma_{3^+} = \Gamma'_1$. Hence, from (104), we have

$$(107) \quad \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{3^+}}(t) = h(p_1^-, p_1^+; \cdots; p_n^-, p_n^+)$$

for each t in a sufficiently small neighborhood of the origin. Similarly, we have

$$(108) \quad \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{3^-}}(t) = h(p_1^-, p_1^+; \cdots; p_n^-, p_n^+)$$

for each t in a sufficiently small neighborhood of the origin. Hence, from (53), (107) and (108), we obtain

$$(109) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{3^+ \cup 3^-}}(t) &= \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{3^+}}(t) + \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{3^-}}(t) - \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{3^+ \cap 3^-}}(t) \\ &= 2\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{3^+}}(t) - \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{3^+ \cap 3^-}}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Also, from (52), we have

$$(110) \quad \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{3^+ \cap 3^-}}(t) = h(p_1^-, p_1^-; \cdots; p_n^-, p_n^-)$$

for each t in a sufficiently small neighborhood of the origin.

5.2. Type 3^0 .

5.2.1. *Case: $p_k - p_1$ is odd for some $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.* In this section, consider the case $m < n$, i.e., the case in which there exists $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $p_k - p_1$ is odd.

From Theorem 4.9 and the partition (89), we find that the spherical growth series for Γ_{3^0} is obtained as the following summation of the growth series:

$$(111) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{3^0}}(z) &= \sum_{N=0}^{p_1-1} \sum_{\substack{M=0 \\ p_1-2}}^{n-m-1} \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{3^0}^{(N, 2M+1)}}(t) + \sum_{N=0}^{p_1-2} \sum_{\substack{M=0 \\ p_1-2}}^{n-m-1} \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{3^0}^{(N, 2M+2)}}(t) + \sum_{M=0}^{n-m-2} \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{3^0}^{(p_1-1, 2M+2)}}(t) \\ &\quad + \sum_{N=0} \sum_{M=n-m} \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{3^0}^{(N, 2M+1)}}(t) + \sum_{N=0} \sum_{M=n-m} \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{3^0}^{(N, 2M+2)}}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we give rational function expressions for all the terms on the right-hand side of (111).

[**Case $(N, 2M+1) : 0 \leq N \leq p_1-1, 0 \leq M \leq n-m-1$**]

By Proposition 3.9 and Lemma 5.1, the spherical growth series for the three sets

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\xi^{(1)}} &:= \{\xi^{(1)} \in \Sigma^* ; \xi^{(1)} \text{ is a word given in (70) with the condition in (71)}\}, \\ \Gamma_{\xi^{(2)}} &:= \{\xi^{(2)} \in \Sigma^* ; \xi^{(2)} \text{ is a word given in (70) with the condition in (72)}\}, \\ \Gamma_{\xi^{(3)}} &:= \{\xi^{(3)} \in \Sigma^* ; \xi^{(3)} \text{ is a word given in (70) with the condition in (73)}\} \end{aligned}$$

have the following rational function expressions:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{\xi(1)}}(t) &= \prod_{k=1}^m \{1 + f(q_k^- + N, q_k^+ - N)\} \cdot \prod_{k=m+1}^{n-M-1} \{1 + f(r_k^- + N, r_k^+ - N)\} \\
&\quad \cdot \prod_{k=n-M+1}^n \{1 + f(r_k^- + N + 1, r_k^+ - N - 1)\} \\
&\quad \cdot g(q_1^- + N, q_1^+ - N; \dots; q_m^- + N, q_m^+ - N; \\
&\quad r_{m+1}^- + N, r_{m+1}^+ - N; \dots; r_{n-M-1}^- + N, r_{n-M-1}^+ - N; \\
&\quad r_{n-M}^- + N, r_{n-M}^+ - N - 1; \\
&\quad r_{n-M+1}^- + N + 1, r_{n-M+1}^+ - N - 1; \dots; r_n^- + N + 1, r_n^+ - N - 1), \\
\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{\xi(2)}}(t) &= \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i \cdot F^i(f(q_1^- + N, q_1^+ - N), \dots, f(q_m^- + N, q_m^+ - N), \right. \\
&\quad f(r_{m+1}^- + N, r_{m+1}^+ - N), \dots, f(r_{n-M-1}^- + N, r_{n-M-1}^+ - N), \\
&\quad 0, f(r_{n-M+1}^- + N + 1, r_{n-M+1}^+ - N - 1), \dots, f(r_n^- + N + 1, r_n^+ - N - 1)) \} \\
&\quad \cdot g(q_1^- + N, q_1^+ - N; \dots; q_m^- + N, q_m^+ - N; \\
&\quad r_{m+1}^- + N, r_{m+1}^+ - N; \dots; r_{n-M-1}^- + N, r_{n-M-1}^+ - N; \\
&\quad r_{n-M}^- + N + 1, r_{n-M}^+ - N - 1; \\
&\quad r_{n-M+1}^- + N + 1, r_{n-M+1}^+ - N - 1; \dots; r_n^- + N + 1, r_n^+ - N - 1), \\
\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{\xi(3)}}(t) &= \prod_{k=1}^m \{1 + f(q_k^- + N, q_k^+ - N)\} \cdot \prod_{k=m+1}^{n-M-1} \{1 + f(r_k^- + N, r_k^+ - N)\} \\
&\quad \cdot \prod_{k=n-M+1}^n \{1 + f(r_k^- + N + 1, r_k^+ - N - 1)\} \\
&\quad \cdot g(q_1^- + N, q_1^+ - N; \dots; q_m^- + N, q_m^+ - N; \\
&\quad r_{m+1}^- + N, r_{m+1}^+ - N; \dots; r_{n-M-1}^- + N, r_{n-M-1}^+ - N; \\
&\quad r_{n-M}^- + N, r_{n-M}^+ - N; \\
&\quad r_{n-M+1}^- + N + 1, r_{n-M+1}^+ - N - 1; \dots; r_n^- + N + 1, r_n^+ - N - 1).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, using the equality $(r_{n-M}^- + N + 1) + (r_{n-M}^+ - N) = r_{n-M}$, we obtain

$$(112) \quad \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{30}^{(N, 2M+1)}}(t) = t^{r_{n-M}} \cdot \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{\xi(1)}}(t) \cdot \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{\xi(2)}}(t) \cdot \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{\xi(3)}}(t)$$

for each t in a sufficiently small neighborhood of the origin.

[Case $(N, 2M + 2) : 0 \leq N \leq p_1 - 2$ and $0 \leq M \leq n - m - 1$, or $N = p_1 - 1$ and $0 \leq M \leq n - m - 2$]

We define the following four sets:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_1 &:= \{\xi \in \Sigma^*; \xi \equiv x_{i_1}^{a_1} x_{i_2}^{a_2} \cdots x_{i_\kappa}^{a_\kappa}, \kappa \text{ and } a_j \text{ satisfy the condition in (75)}\}, \\
\Phi_2 &:= \{\xi \in \Sigma^*; \xi \equiv x_{i_1}^{a_1} x_{i_2}^{a_2} \cdots x_{i_\kappa}^{a_\kappa}, \kappa \text{ and } a_j \text{ satisfy the condition in (113)}\}, \\
\Phi_3 &:= \{\xi \in \Sigma^*; \xi \equiv x_{i_1}^{a_1} x_{i_2}^{a_2} \cdots x_{i_\kappa}^{a_\kappa}, \kappa \text{ and } a_j \text{ satisfy the condition in (114)}\}, \\
\Phi_4 &:= \{\xi \in \Sigma^*; \xi \equiv x_{i_1}^{a_1} x_{i_2}^{a_2} \cdots x_{i_\kappa}^{a_\kappa}, \kappa \text{ and } a_j \text{ satisfy the condition in (115)}\},
\end{aligned}$$

where the conditions (113)–(115) referred to are the following:

$$(113) \quad \bullet \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bullet \kappa \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}, \\ \bullet x_{i_j} = z_k \text{ with } n - M + 1 \leq k \leq n \\ \quad \implies -(r_k^- + N + 1) \leq a_j \leq r_k^+ - N - 1, \ a_j \neq 0, \\ \bullet x_{i_j} = z_k \text{ with } k = n - M \\ \quad \implies -(r_{n-M}^- + N) \leq a_j \leq r_{n-M}^+ - N - 1, \ a_j \neq 0, \\ \bullet x_{i_j} = z_k \text{ with } m + 1 \leq k \leq n - M - 1 \\ \quad \implies -(r_k^- + N) \leq a_j \leq r_k^+ - N, \ a_j \neq 0, \\ \bullet x_{i_j} = y_k \text{ with } 1 \leq k \leq m \\ \quad \implies -(q_k^- + N) \leq a_j \leq q_k^+ - N, \ a_j \neq 0. \end{array} \right.$$

$$(114) \quad \bullet \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bullet \kappa \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}, \\ \bullet x_{i_j} = z_k \text{ with } n - M \leq k \leq n \\ \quad \implies -(r_k^- + N + 1) \leq a_j \leq r_k^-, \ a_j \neq 0, \\ \bullet x_{i_j} = z_k \text{ with } m + 1 \leq k \leq n - M - 1 \\ \quad \implies -(r_k^- + N) \leq a_j \leq r_k^-, \ a_j \neq 0, \\ \bullet x_{i_j} = y_k \text{ with } 1 \leq k \leq m \\ \quad \implies -(q_k^- + N) \leq a_j \leq q_k^-, \ a_j \neq 0. \end{array} \right.$$

$$(115) \quad \bullet \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bullet \kappa \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}, \\ \bullet x_{i_j} = z_k \text{ with } n - M + 1 \leq k \leq n \\ \quad \implies -(r_k^- + N + 1) \leq a_j \leq r_k^-, \ a_j \neq 0, \\ \bullet x_{i_j} = z_k \text{ with } m + 1 \leq k \leq n - M \\ \quad \implies -(r_k^- + N) \leq a_j \leq r_k^-, \ a_j \neq 0, \\ \bullet x_{i_j} = y_k \text{ with } 1 \leq k \leq m \\ \quad \implies -(q_k^- + N) \leq a_j \leq q_k^-, \ a_j \neq 0. \end{array} \right.$$

Then, we have

$$(116) \quad \Gamma_{30}^{(N, 2M+2)} = (\Phi_1 \setminus \Phi_2) \setminus (\Phi_3 \setminus \Phi_4).$$

By Proposition 3.9 and Lemma 5.1, the growth series for the sets Φ_1, Φ_2, Φ_3 and Φ_4 have the following rational function expressions:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{\Phi_1}(t) &= h(q_1^- + N, q_1^+ - N; \dots; q_m^- + N, q_m^+ - N; \\ &\quad r_{m+1}^- + N, r_{m+1}^+ - N; \dots; r_{n-M-1}^- + N, r_{n-M-1}^+ - N; \\ &\quad r_{n-M}^- + N + 1, r_{n-M}^+ - N - 1; \\ &\quad r_{n-M+1}^- + N + 1, r_{n-M+1}^+ - N - 1; \dots; r_n^- + N + 1, r_n^+ - N - 1), \\ \mathcal{S}_{\Phi_2}(t) &= h(q_1^- + N, q_1^+ - N; \dots; q_m^- + N, q_m^+ - N; \\ &\quad r_{m+1}^- + N, r_{m+1}^+ - N; \dots; r_{n-M-1}^- + N, r_{n-M-1}^+ - N; \\ &\quad r_{n-M}^- + N, r_{n-M}^+ - N - 1; \\ &\quad r_{n-M+1}^- + N + 1, r_{n-M+1}^+ - N - 1; \dots; r_n^- + N + 1, r_n^+ - N - 1), \\ \mathcal{S}_{\Phi_3}(t) &= h(q_1^- + N, q_1^-; \dots; q_m^- + N, q_m^-; \\ &\quad r_{m+1}^- + N, r_{m+1}^-; \dots; r_{n-M-1}^- + N, r_{n-M-1}^-; \\ &\quad r_{n-M}^- + N + 1, r_{n-M}^-; \\ &\quad r_{n-M+1}^- + N + 1, r_{n-M+1}^-; \dots; r_n^- + N + 1, r_n^-), \\ \mathcal{S}_{\Phi_4}(t) &= h(q_1^- + N, q_1^-; \dots; q_m^- + N, q_m^-; \\ &\quad r_{m+1}^- + N, r_{m+1}^-; \dots; r_{n-M-1}^- + N, r_{n-M-1}^-; \\ &\quad r_{n-M}^- + N, r_{n-M}^-; \\ &\quad r_{n-M+1}^- + N + 1, r_{n-M+1}^-; \dots; r_n^- + N + 1, r_n^-). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from (116), we obtain

$$(117) \quad \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{30}^{(N, 2M+2)}}(t) = \{\mathcal{S}_{\Phi_1}(t) - \mathcal{S}_{\Phi_2}(t)\} - \{\mathcal{S}_{\Phi_3}(t) - \mathcal{S}_{\Phi_4}(t)\}$$

for each t in a sufficiently small neighborhood of the origin.

[Case $(N, 2M + 1) : 0 \leq N \leq p_1 - 2, n - m \leq M \leq n - 1$]

By Proposition 3.9 and Lemma 5.1, the spherical growth series for the three sets

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{\xi^{(4)}} &:= \{\xi^{(4)} \in \Sigma^* ; \xi^{(4)} \text{ is a word given in (79) with the condition in (80)}\}, \\ \Gamma_{\xi^{(5)}} &:= \{\xi^{(5)} \in \Sigma^* ; \xi^{(5)} \text{ is a word given in (79) with the condition in (81)}\}, \\ \Gamma_{\xi^{(6)}} &:= \{\xi^{(6)} \in \Sigma^* ; \xi^{(6)} \text{ is a word given in (79) with the condition in (82)}\}\end{aligned}$$

have the following rational function expressions:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{\xi^{(4)}}}(t) &= \prod_{k=1}^{n-M-1} \{1 + f(q_k^- + N, q_k^+ - N)\} \cdot \prod_{k=n-M+1}^m \{1 + f(q_k^- + N + 1, q_k^+ - N - 1)\} \\ &\quad \cdot \prod_{k=m+1}^n \{1 + f(r_k^- + N + 1, r_k^+ - N - 1)\} \\ &\quad \cdot g(q_1^- + N, q_1^+ - N; \dots; q_{n-M-1}^- + N, q_{n-M-1}^+ - N; \\ &\quad q_{n-M}^- + N, q_{n-M}^+ - N - 1; \\ &\quad q_{n-M+1}^- + N + 1, q_{n-M+1}^+ - N - 1; \dots; q_m^- + N + 1, q_m^+ - N - 1; \\ &\quad r_{m+1}^- + N + 1, r_{m+1}^+ - N - 1; \dots; r_n^- + N + 1, r_n^+ - N - 1), \\ \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{\xi^{(5)}}}(t) &= \{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i \cdot F^i(f(q_1^- + N, q_1^+ - N), \dots, f(q_{n-M-1}^- + N, q_{n-M-1}^+ - N), \\ &\quad 0, f(q_{n-M+1}^- + N + 1, q_{n-M+1}^+ - N - 1), \dots, f(q_m^- + N + 1, q_m^+ - N - 1), \\ &\quad f(r_{m+1}^- + N + 1, r_{m+1}^+ - N - 1), \dots, f(r_n^- + N + 1, r_n^+ - N - 1))\} \\ &\quad \cdot g(q_1^- + N, q_1^+ - N; \dots; q_{n-M-1}^- + N, q_{n-M-1}^+ - N; \\ &\quad q_{n-M}^- + N + 1, q_{n-M}^+ - N - 1; \\ &\quad q_{n-M+1}^- + N + 1, q_{n-M+1}^+ - N - 1; \dots; q_m^- + N + 1, q_m^+ - N - 1; \\ &\quad r_{m+1}^- + N + 1, r_{m+1}^+ - N - 1; \dots; r_n^- + N + 1, r_n^+ - N - 1), \\ \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{\xi^{(6)}}}(t) &= \prod_{k=1}^{n-M-1} \{1 + f(q_k^- + N, q_k^+ - N)\} \cdot \prod_{k=n-M+1}^m \{1 + f(q_k^- + N + 1, q_k^+ - N - 1)\} \\ &\quad \cdot \prod_{k=m+1}^n \{1 + f(r_k^- + N + 1, r_k^+ - N - 1)\} \\ &\quad \cdot g(q_1^- + N, q_1^+ - N; \dots; q_{n-M-1}^- + N, q_{n-M-1}^+ - N; \\ &\quad q_{n-M}^- + N, q_{n-M}^+ - N; \\ &\quad q_{n-M+1}^- + N + 1, q_{n-M+1}^+ - N - 1; \dots; q_m^- + N + 1, q_m^+ - N - 1; \\ &\quad r_{m+1}^- + N + 1, r_{m+1}^+ - N - 1; \dots; r_n^- + N + 1, r_n^+ - N - 1).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, using the equality $(q_{n-M}^- + N + 1) + (q_{n-M}^+ - N) = q_{n-M}$, we obtain

$$(118) \quad \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{30}^{(N, 2M+1)}}(t) = t^{q_{n-M}} \cdot \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{\xi^{(4)}}}(t) \cdot \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{\xi^{(5)}}}(t) \cdot \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{\xi^{(6)}}}(t)$$

for each t in a sufficiently small neighborhood of the origin.

[Case $(N, 2M + 2) : 0 \leq N \leq p_1 - 2, n - m \leq M \leq n - 1$]

We define the following four sets:

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_1 &:= \{\xi \in \Sigma^* ; \xi \equiv x_{i_1}^{a_1} x_{i_2}^{a_2} \cdots x_{i_\kappa}^{a_\kappa}, \kappa \text{ and } a_j \text{ satisfy the condition in (84)}\}, \\ \Psi_2 &:= \{\xi \in \Sigma^* ; \xi \equiv x_{i_1}^{a_1} x_{i_2}^{a_2} \cdots x_{i_\kappa}^{a_\kappa}, \kappa \text{ and } a_j \text{ satisfy the condition in (119)}\}, \\ \Psi_3 &:= \{\xi \in \Sigma^* ; \xi \equiv x_{i_1}^{a_1} x_{i_2}^{a_2} \cdots x_{i_\kappa}^{a_\kappa}, \kappa \text{ and } a_j \text{ satisfy the condition in (120)}\}, \\ \Psi_4 &:= \{\xi \in \Sigma^* ; \xi \equiv x_{i_1}^{a_1} x_{i_2}^{a_2} \cdots x_{i_\kappa}^{a_\kappa}, \kappa \text{ and } a_j \text{ satisfy the condition in (121)}\},\end{aligned}$$

where the conditions (119)–(121) referred to are the following:

$$(119) \quad \bullet \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bullet \kappa \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}, \\ \bullet x_{i_j} = z_k \text{ with } m+1 \leq k \leq n \\ \quad \implies -(r_k^- + N + 1) \leq a_j \leq r_k^+ - N - 1, \ a_j \neq 0, \\ \bullet x_{i_j} = y_k \text{ with } n-M+1 \leq k \leq m \\ \quad \implies -(q_k^- + N + 1) \leq a_j \leq q_k^+ - N - 1, \ a_j \neq 0, \\ \bullet x_{i_j} = y_k \text{ with } k = n-M \\ \quad \implies -(q_{n-M}^- + N) \leq a_j \leq q_{n-M}^+ - N - 1, \ a_j \neq 0, \\ \bullet x_{i_j} = y_k \text{ with } 1 \leq k \leq n-M-1 \\ \quad \implies -(q_k^- + N) \leq a_j \leq q_k^+ - N, \ a_j \neq 0. \end{array} \right.$$

$$(120) \quad \bullet \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bullet \kappa \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}, \\ \bullet x_{i_j} = z_k \text{ with } m+1 \leq k \leq n \\ \quad \implies -(r_k^- + N + 1) \leq a_j \leq r_k^-, \ a_j \neq 0, \\ \bullet x_{i_j} = y_k \text{ with } n-M \leq k \leq m \\ \quad \implies -(q_k^- + N + 1) \leq a_j \leq q_k^-, \ a_j \neq 0, \\ \bullet x_{i_j} = y_k \text{ with } 1 \leq k \leq n-M-1 \\ \quad \implies -(q_k^- + N) \leq a_j \leq q_k^-, \ a_j \neq 0. \end{array} \right.$$

$$(121) \quad \bullet \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bullet \kappa \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}, \\ \bullet x_{i_j} = z_k \text{ with } m+1 \leq k \leq n \\ \quad \implies -(r_k^- + N + 1) \leq a_j \leq r_k^-, \ a_j \neq 0, \\ \bullet x_{i_j} = y_k \text{ with } n-M+1 \leq k \leq m \\ \quad \implies -(q_k^- + N + 1) \leq a_j \leq q_k^-, \ a_j \neq 0, \\ \bullet x_{i_j} = y_k \text{ with } 1 \leq k \leq n-M \\ \quad \implies -(q_k^- + N) \leq a_j \leq q_k^-, \ a_j \neq 0. \end{array} \right.$$

Then, we have

$$(122) \quad \Gamma_{3^0}^{(N, 2M+2)} = (\Psi_1 \setminus \Psi_2) \setminus (\Psi_3 \setminus \Psi_4).$$

By Proposition 3.9 and Lemma 5.1, the growth series for the sets Ψ_1, Ψ_2, Ψ_3 and Ψ_4 have the following rational function expressions:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{\Psi_1}(t) &= h(q_1^- + N, q_1^+ - N; \dots; q_{n-M-1}^- + N, q_{n-M-1}^+ - N; \\ &\quad q_{n-M}^- + N + 1, q_{n-M}^+ - N - 1; \\ &\quad q_{n-M+1}^- + N + 1, q_{n-M+1}^+ - N - 1; \dots; q_m^- + N + 1, q_m^+ - N - 1; \\ &\quad r_{m+1}^- + N + 1, r_{m+1}^+ - N - 1; \dots; r_n^- + N + 1, r_n^+ - N - 1), \\ \mathcal{S}_{\Psi_2}(t) &= h(q_1^- + N, q_1^+ - N; \dots; q_{n-M-1}^- + N, q_{n-M-1}^+ - N; \\ &\quad q_{n-M}^- + N, q_{n-M}^+ - N - 1; \\ &\quad q_{n-M+1}^- + N + 1, q_{n-M+1}^+ - N - 1; \dots; q_m^- + N + 1, q_m^+ - N - 1; \\ &\quad r_{m+1}^- + N + 1, r_{m+1}^+ - N - 1; \dots; r_n^- + N + 1, r_n^+ - N - 1), \\ \mathcal{S}_{\Psi_3}(t) &= h(q_1^- + N, q_1^-; \dots; q_{n-M-1}^- + N, q_{n-M-1}^-; \\ &\quad q_{n-M}^- + N + 1, q_{n-M}^-; \\ &\quad q_{n-M+1}^- + N + 1, q_{n-M+1}^-; \dots; q_m^- + N + 1, q_m^-; \\ &\quad r_{m+1}^- + N + 1, r_{m+1}^-; \dots; r_n^- + N + 1, r_n^-), \\ \mathcal{S}_{\Psi_4}(t) &= h(q_1^- + N, q_1^-; \dots; q_{n-M-1}^- + N, q_{n-M-1}^-; \\ &\quad q_{n-M}^- + N, q_{n-M}^-; \\ &\quad q_{n-M+1}^- + N + 1, q_{n-M+1}^-; \dots; q_m^- + N + 1, q_m^-; \\ &\quad r_{m+1}^- + N + 1, r_{m+1}^-; \dots; r_n^- + N + 1, r_n^-). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from (122), we obtain

$$(123) \quad \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{3^0}^{(N, 2M+2)}}(t) = \{\mathcal{S}_{\Psi_1}(t) - \mathcal{S}_{\Psi_2}(t)\} - \{\mathcal{S}_{\Psi_3}(t) - \mathcal{S}_{\Psi_4}(t)\}$$

for each t in a sufficiently small neighborhood of the origin.

5.2.2. *Case: $p_k - p_1$ is even for every $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.* In this section, we consider the case $m = n$, i.e., that in which $p_k - p_1$ is even for every $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

From Theorem 4.9 and the partition (90), we find that the spherical growth series for Γ_{3^0} is obtained as the following summation of the growth series:

$$(124) \quad \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{3^0}}(z) = \sum_{N=0}^{p_1-2} \sum_{M=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{S}_{\bar{\Gamma}_{3^0}^{(N, 2M+1)}}(t) + \sum_{N=0}^{p_1-3} \sum_{M=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{S}_{\bar{\Gamma}_{3^0}^{(N, 2M+2)}}(t) + \sum_{M=0}^{n-2} \mathcal{S}_{\bar{\Gamma}_{3^0}^{(p_1-2, 2M+2)}}(t).$$

Now, we give rational function expressions for all the terms on the right-hand side of (124).

[Case $(N, 2M + 1) : 0 \leq N \leq p_1 - 2, 0 \leq M \leq n - 1$]

By Proposition 3.9 and Lemma 5.1, for each $i \in \{4, 5, 6\}$, the spherical growth series of the set

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{\xi^{(i)}} := \{\bar{\xi}^{(i)} \in \Sigma^* ; \bar{\xi}^{(i)} \text{ is a word given in (87)}\}$$

satisfies the following:

$$\mathcal{S}_{\bar{\Gamma}_{\xi^{(i)}}}(t) = \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{\xi^{(i)}}}(t) \quad \text{with } m = n.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$(125) \quad \mathcal{S}_{\bar{\Gamma}_{3^0}^{(N, 2M+1)}}(t) = \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{3^0}^{(N, 2M+1)}}(t) \quad \text{with } m = n$$

for each t in a sufficiently small neighborhood of the origin.

[Case $(N, 2M + 2) : 0 \leq N \leq p_1 - 3$ and $0 \leq M \leq n - 1$, or $N = p_1 - 2$ and $0 \leq M \leq n - 2$]

Here, as in the previous case, we obtain

$$(126) \quad \mathcal{S}_{\bar{\Gamma}_{3^0}^{(N, 2M+2)}}(t) = \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{3^0}^{(N, 2M+2)}}(t) \quad \text{with } m = n$$

for each t in a sufficiently small neighborhood of the origin.

5.3. **Growth series for $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$.** From the partition (91), we have

$$(127) \quad \mathcal{S}_{G(p_1, \dots, p_n)}(t) = \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_1}(t) + \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_2}(t) + \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{3^+ \cup 3^-}}(t) + \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{3^0}}(t),$$

and from (105)–(107), we have

$$(128) \quad \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_1}(t) = \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_2}(t) = \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{3^+}}(t) \cdot \frac{t^{p_1}}{1 - t^{p_1}}.$$

Combining (127), (128), (109), (111) and (124), we obtain a rational function expression for the spherical growth series of $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ as follows:

Theorem 5.2. *Let n be an integer greater than 1, let p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n be integers satisfying $2 \leq p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \dots \leq p_n$, and let $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ be the group presented as in (1). Let m be the number of p_k 's such that $p_k - p_1$ is even. Then the spherical growth series of the group $G(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ with respect to the generating set $\{x_1, \dots, x_n, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n^{-1}\}$ has the following rational function expression:*

$$(129) \quad \mathcal{S}_{G(p_1, \dots, p_n)}(t) = 2\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{3^+}}(t) \cdot \frac{1}{1 - t^{p_1}} - \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{3^+ \cap 3^-}}(t) + \mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{3^0}}(t).$$

Here, we note the following:

- $\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{3^+}}(t)$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{3^+ \cap 3^-}}(t)$ are given in (107) and (110), respectively.
- If $m < n$, then the last term, $\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{3^0}}(t)$, is given in (111), and all the terms appearing in (111) are given in Section 5.2.1.
- If $m = n$, then $\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma_{3^0}}(t)$ is given in (124), and all the terms appearing in (124) are given in Sections 5.2.1 and 5.2.2.

- The rational functions T_u , $f(u, v)$, $g(u_1, v_1; \dots; u_n, v_n)$ and $h(u_1, v_1; \dots; u_n, v_n)$ are given in (93), (94), (98) and (99), respectively.

Example 5.3.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{G(2,2,2)}(t) &= \frac{(1+t)(-1+2t^2)}{(-1+t)(-1+2t)^2} \cdot \\
\mathcal{S}_{G(2,2,3)}(t) &= \frac{(1+t)(1+t-3t^2-15t^3-10t^4+30t^5+28t^6+16t^7)}{(-1+t)(-1+t+4t^2)^2(-1+t+2t^2+2t^3)} \cdot \\
\mathcal{S}_{G(2,2,4)}(t) &= \frac{-1-t+6t^3+6t^4+2t^5}{(-1+t)(-1+2t+2t^2)^2} \cdot \\
\mathcal{S}_{G(2,3,3)}(t) &= \frac{(1+t)(-1+2t)(-1-t+t^2+16t^3+32t^4+20t^5+8t^6)}{(-1+t)(-1+2t+4t^2)^2(-1+t+3t^2+2t^3)} \cdot \\
\mathcal{S}_{G(2,3,4)}(t) &= \frac{\{1+2t-6t^2-29t^3-51t^4+7t^5+220t^6+445t^7+463t^8+284t^9+100t^{10}+16t^{11}\}}{(-1+t)(-1+t+7t^2+4t^3)^2(-1+t+4t^2+5t^3+2t^4)} \cdot \\
\mathcal{S}_{G(2,3,5)}(t) &= \frac{\{1+2t-6t^2-29t^3-51t^4+7t^5+220t^6+445t^7+463t^8+284t^9+100t^{10}+16t^{11}\}}{(-1+t)(-1+t+7t^2+4t^3)^2(-1+t+4t^2+5t^3+2t^4)} \cdot \\
\mathcal{S}_{G(2,3,6)}(t) &= \frac{\{(1+t)(1-8t^2-18t^3-12t^4+48t^5+166t^6+274t^7+265t^8+172t^9+68t^{10}+16t^{11})\}}{(-1+t)(-1+2t+3t^2+2t^3)(-1+t+7t^2+7t^3+4t^4)^2} \cdot \\
\mathcal{S}_{G(2,3,7)}(t) &= \frac{\{(1+t)(1+4t-t^2-43t^3-138t^4-193t^5+75t^6+1056t^7+2930t^8+5284t^9+7160t^{10} \\ &\quad +7638t^{11}+6544t^{12}+4524t^{13}+2500t^{14}+1088t^{15}+336t^{16}+64t^{17})\}}{(-1+t)(-1+8t^2+14t^3+14t^4+8t^5)^2(-1+5t^2+11t^3+12t^4+9t^5+5t^6+2t^7)} \cdot \\
\mathcal{S}_{G(3,3,3)}(t) &= \frac{(1+t)(-1+2t)(1+2t^2)}{(-1+t)(-1+4t)(-1+2t+2t^2)} \cdot \\
\mathcal{S}_{G(3,3,4)}(t) &= \frac{\{-1+t+17t^2+11t^3-67t^4-167t^5-246t^6-130t^7+638t^8+2152t^9+3672t^{10}+4272t^{11}+3704t^{12} \\ &\quad +2376t^{13}+1040t^{14}+272t^{15}+32t^{16}\}}{(-1+t)(-1+2t+8t^2+4t^3)^2(-1+t+5t^2+6t^3+2t^4)(-1+t+3t^2+4t^3+4t^4+2t^5)} \cdot \\
\mathcal{S}_{G(3,6,7)}(t) &= \frac{\{-1-4t+21t^2+172t^3+301t^4-1070t^5-7231t^6-18462t^7-16780t^8+58393t^9+331780t^{10} \\ &\quad +992604t^{11}+2257404t^{12}+4289278t^{13}+7087793t^{14}+10394402t^{15}+13680190t^{16} \\ &\quad +16262820t^{17}+17530098t^{18}+17171612t^{19}+15299344t^{20}+12395068t^{21} \\ &\quad +9117638t^{22}+6071726t^{23}+3643664t^{24}+1957008t^{25}+931500t^{26} \\ &\quad +387360t^{27}+137776t^{28}+40544t^{29}+9328t^{30}+1504t^{31}+128t^{32}\}}{\{(-1+t)(-1+t+8t^2+15t^3+15t^4+11t^5+6t^6+2t^7)(-1+12t^2+32t^3+48t^4+46t^5+26t^6+8t^7)^2 \\ &\quad \cdot (-1+8t^2+21t^3+31t^4+35t^5+32t^6+24t^7+13t^8+6t^9+2t^{10})\}} \cdot \\
\mathcal{S}_{G(2,2,2,2)}(t) &= \frac{(1+t)(-1+3t^2)}{(-1+t)(-1+3t)^2} \cdot \\
\mathcal{S}_{G(2,3,4,5)}(t) &= \frac{\{1+4t-16t^2-149t^3-393t^4+45t^5+3879t^6+16001t^7+40715t^8 \\ &\quad +75854t^9+109176t^{10}+124076t^{11}+112301t^{12}+80936t^{13} \\ &\quad +45998t^{14}+20136t^{15}+6464t^{16}+1368t^{17}+144t^{18}\}}{(-1+t)(-1+t+17t^2+38t^3+36t^4+12t^5)^2(-1+t+12t^2+25t^3+30t^4+22t^5+11t^6+3t^7)} \cdot
\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{G(2,3,7,8)}(t) = \frac{\begin{aligned} &\{1+4t-16t^2-163t^3-516t^4-320t^5+4296t^6+24213t^7+81073t^8+206772t^9+434218t^{10}+778907t^{11} \\ &+1218441t^{12}+1683284t^{13}+2070095t^{14}+2277157t^{15}+2246173t^{16} \\ &+1987792t^{17}+1576248t^{18}+1116383t^{19}+702382t^{20} \\ &+389314t^{21}+187754t^{22}+77324t^{23}+26408t^{24} \\ &+7112t^{25}+1368t^{26}+144t^{27}\} \end{aligned}}{\begin{aligned} &\{(-1+t)(-1+t+17t^2+43t^3+69t^4+80t^5+62t^6+36t^7+12t^8)^2 \\ &\cdot (-1+t+12t^2+33t^3+55t^4+68t^5+64t^6+47t^7+26t^8+11t^9+3t^{10})\} \end{aligned}}.$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{G(2,2,2,2,2)}(t) = \frac{(1+t)(-1+2t)(1+2t)}{(-1+t)(-1+4t)^2}.$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{G(3,3,3,3,3)}(t) = \frac{(1+t)(-1+4t-4t^2+8t^3)}{(-1+t)(-1+8t)(-1+4t+4t^2)}.$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{G(3,4,5,6,7)}(t) = \frac{\begin{aligned} &\{-1-4t+88t^2+839t^3+829t^4-29238t^5-227292t^6-729448t^7+537936t^8+19529418t^9+126701958t^{10} \\ &+554358269t^{11}+1923140887t^{12}+5629278332t^{13}+14371787530t^{14}+32675409006t^{15}+67112438033t^{16} \\ &+125827368129t^{17}+217031712921t^{18}+346438522281t^{19}+514115396075t^{20}+711769397882t^{21} \\ &+921744217990t^{22}+1118743167770t^{23}+1274436479732t^{24}+1363938860927t^{25}+1372181491114t^{26} \\ &+1297977449990t^{27}+1154299044726t^{28}+964677120102t^{29}+757061517889t^{30}+557294933886t^{31} \\ &+384230982310t^{32}+247632202450t^{33}+148818119668t^{34}+83136635312t^{35}+43006809888t^{36} \\ &+20501800616t^{37}+8952019048t^{38}+3552861968t^{39}+1268966672t^{40}+402581120t^{41} \\ &+111449184t^{42}+26255936t^{43}+5070080t^{44}+754944t^{45}+77312t^{46}+4096t^{47}\} \end{aligned}}{\begin{aligned} &\{(-1+t)(-1+t+39t^2+177t^3+441t^4+734t^5+872t^6+748t^7+448t^8+172t^9+32t^{10})^2 \\ &\cdot (-1+2t+30t^2+99t^3+188t^4+249t^5+251t^6+198t^7+123t^8+58t^9+20t^{10}+4t^{11}) \\ &\cdot (-1+t+24t^2+90t^3+209t^4+364t^5+510t^6+594t^7+589t^8+500t^9 \\ &+366t^{10}+227t^{11}+119t^{12}+51t^{13}+16t^{14}+4t^{15})\} \end{aligned}}.$$

Acknowledgments

The first author would like to thank Yasushi Yamashita for his useful comments concerning growth series of the fundamental groups of Seifert fiber spaces, Laura Ciobanu for her interesting and instructive comments concerning growth series of both the Artin groups of dihedral type and the fundamental groups of Seifert fiber spaces, Yohei Komori and Yuriko Umemoto for their interesting and useful talks on growth series of finitely generated groups given in a workshop held at Osaka City University, and Ruth Kellerhals for her useful comments and kind hospitality during his stays at University of Fribourg.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. BERGER, Minimum crossing numbers for 3-braids, *J. Phys. A* **27**(18) (1994), 6205-6213.
- [2] E. BRIESKORN AND K. SAITO, Artin-Gruppen und Coxeter-Gruppen, *Invent. Math.* **17** (1972), 245-271.
- [3] R. CHARNEY AND J. MEIER, The language of geodesics for Garside Groups, *Math. Z.* **248** (2004), 495-509.
- [4] M. COORNAERT, T. DELZANT AND A. PAPADOPOULOS, *Géométrie et théorie des groupes*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1441**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [5] P. DEHORNOY, Groupes de Garside, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **35** (2002), 267-306.
- [6] P. DEHORNOY AND L. PARIS, Gaussian groups and Garside groups, two generalisations of Artin groups, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **79** (1999), 569-604.
- [7] M. EDJUVET AND D. L. JOHNSON, The growth of certain amalgamated free products and HNN-extensions, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Ser. A)* **52** (1992), 285-298.
- [8] M. FUJII, The spherical growth series for pure Artin groups of dihedral type, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **49** (2013), 497-530.
- [9] M. FUJII, A new formula for the spherical growth series of an amalgamated free product of two cyclic groups, *Kodai Math. J.* **41** (2018), 475-511.
- [10] F. A. GARSIDE, The braid groups and other groups, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* **20** (1969), 235-254.
- [11] C. P. GILL, Growth series of stem products of cyclic groups, *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.* **9** (1999), 1-30.

- [12] P. DE LA HARPE, Topics in Geometric Group Theory, Chicago Lectures in Math., Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago and London, 2000.
- [13] D. L. JOHNSON, A. C. KIM AND H. J. SONG, The growth of the trefoil group, Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on the Theory of Groups held at Pusan National Univ. (A. C. Kim and D. L. Johnson, eds.), Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1995, 157-161.
- [14] J. MAIRESSE AND F. MATHÉUS, Growth series for Artin groups of dihedral type, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. **16** (2006), 1087-1107.
- [15] A. MANN, The growth of free products, J. Algebra **326** (2011), 208-217.
- [16] A. MANN, How Groups Grow, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series **395**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2012.
- [17] Y. NAKAGAWA, M. TAMURA AND Y. YAMASHITA, The growth of the fundamental group of Seifert fibered spaces. Preprint 2016.
- [18] M. SHAPIRO, Growth of a $\mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbf{R}$ manifold group, Math. Nach. **167** (1994), 279-312.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF THE RYUKYUS, NISHIHARA-CHO, NAKAGAMI-GUN, OKINAWA 903-0213, JAPAN.

Email address: mfujii@sci.u-ryukyu.ac.jp

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, 3-8-1 KOMABA, MEGURO-KU, TOKYO 153-8914, JAPAN.

Email address: sakasai@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp