# Combinatorial proof of an inequality on some partitions separated by parity

Yan Fan<sup>1</sup> and Ernest X.W. Xia<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Mathematical Sciences, Jiangsu University, Zhenjiang 212013, Jiangsu, People's Republic of China

<sup>2</sup>School of Mathematical Sciences, Suzhou University of Science and Technology, Suzhou, 215009, Jiangsu Province, People's Republic of China

email: free1002@126.com, ernestxwxia@163.com

**Abstract.** In 2019, Andrews investigated integer partitions in which all parts of a given parity are smaller than those of the opposite parity and introduced eight partition functions based on the parity of the smaller parts and parts of a given parity appearing at most once or an unlimited number of times. Recently, Bringmann, Craig and Nazaroglu studied the asymptotic behavior of the eight partition functions proved several inequalities for sufficiently large n. At the end of their paper, they asked for combinatorial proofs of those inequalities. In this paper, we prove that an inequality on partitions separated by parity holds for  $n \geq 373$  by a combinatorial method. This answers a question posed by Bringmann, Craig and Nazaroglu.

**Keywords:** partitions, partitions with parts separated by parity, combinatorial proofs.

AMS Subject Classification: 05A17, 05A20, 11P81.

# 1 Introduction

The aim of this paper is to present a combinatorial proof of an inequality involving the number of partitions with parts separated by parity. Let us begin with some definitions. A partition of a positive integer n is an integer sequence  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$  such that  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k > 0$  and  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_k = n$ . The numbers  $\lambda_i$  are called parts of  $\lambda$ . The frequency of a part  $\lambda_i$ , denoted by  $f_{\lambda_i}(\lambda)$ , is the number of its occurrence in the partition  $\lambda$  [1].

In 2019, Andrews [3] considered partitions in which parts of a given parity are all smaller than those of the other parity. Following the notation in [3], we denote by  $p_{yz}^{wx}(n)$  the number of partitions of n with parts of type w satisfying condition x or of type y satisfying condition z and all parts of type y satisfying condition z. Here, the symbols x and z are y or y of (which represent unrestricted parts or distinct parts). The symbols y and y are y or y of (which represent even or odd parts) with  $y \neq y$ . In this paper, we allow partitions counted by  $y_{yz}^{wx}(n)$  to have no parts of type y or no parts of type y. For example,  $y_{od}^{eu}(n)$  denotes the number of

partitions of n in which all even parts are greater than all odd parts, even parts are unrestricted and odd parts are distinct. For instance,  $p_{od}^{eu}(5) = 3$  and the partitions in question are

Andrews [3] considered all eight families of partitions, namely  $p_{ed}^{od}(n)$ ,  $p_{ed}^{ed}(n)$ ,  $p_{ed}^{ed}(n)$ ,  $p_{ed}^{ou}(n)$ ,  $p_{ed}^{ou}(n)$ ,  $p_{ed}^{ou}(n)$ ,  $p_{ed}^{ou}(n)$ ,  $p_{ed}^{ou}(n)$ ,  $p_{ed}^{ou}(n)$ , and  $p_{eu}^{eu}(n)$ . He also found that some of the eight functions are closely related to mock theta functions. Bringmann and Jennings-Shaffer [6] established new forms of the generating functions for  $p_{ed}^{od}(n)$ ,  $p_{ed}^{ed}(n)$  and  $p_{od}^{ed}(n)$ . Recently, Bringmann, Craig and Nazaroglu [5] used Ingham's Tauberian theorem to compute the asymptotic main term for each of the eight functions studied by Andrews [3]. Based on the asymptotic formulas of the eight functions, they proved that for sufficiently large n,

$$p_{ed}^{od}(n) < p_{od}^{ed}(n) < p_{od}^{eu}(n) < p_{eu}^{od}(n) < p_{ed}^{ou}(n) < p_{eu}^{ou}(n) < p_{ou}^{ed}(n) < p_{ou}^{ed}(n) < p_{ou}^{eu}(n) < p_{o$$

Note that the second, fifth, and last inequalities are trivial. At the end their paper, Bringmann, Craig and Nazaroglu [5] asked for combinatorial proofs of the other inequalities. Motivated by Bringmann, Craig and Nazaroglu's open problem, Ballantine and Welch [4] gave combinatorial injections to prove five inequalities. More precisely, they proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** [4, Theorem 1.1] The following inequalities hold:

$$\begin{split} p_{ed}^{od}(n) &< p_{od}^{ed}(n), & \text{ for } n \geq 11, \\ p_{eu}^{ou}(n) &< p_{ou}^{eu}(n), & \text{ for } n \geq 3, \\ p_{od}^{eu}(n) &< p_{ed}^{ou}(n), & \text{ for } n \geq 5, \\ p_{eu}^{od}(n) &< p_{ou}^{ed}(n), & \text{ for } n \geq 2, \\ p_{od}^{ed}(n) &< p_{eu}^{od}(n), & \text{ for } n \geq 8. \end{split}$$

Very recently, Fu and Tang [7] considered partitions with parts separated by parity with additional conditions on the multiplicity of parts and posed a conjecture which was confirmed by Ballantine and Welch [4]. At the end of their paper, Ballantine and Welch [4] asserted that they were unable to find combinatorial arguments for the following inequalities:

$$p_{od}^{eu}(n) < p_{eu}^{od}(n), (1.1)$$

$$p_{eu}^{od}(n) < p_{ed}^{ou}(n), \tag{1.2}$$

$$p_{eu}^{ou}(n) < p_{ou}^{ed}(n). \tag{1.3}$$

In this paper, we show that (1.1) is true for  $n \geq 373$  by a combinatorial method.

**Theorem 1.2.** For  $n \ge 373$ , (1.1) is true.

From [6], we find that the generating functions of  $p_{eu}^{od}(n)$  and  $p_{od}^{eu}(n)$  are

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{eu}^{od}(n) q^n = \frac{1}{(q^2; q^2)_{\infty}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{n^2},$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{od}^{eu}(n) (-1)^n q^n = \frac{1}{(q^2; q^2)_{\infty}} - \frac{1}{(q^2; q^2)_{\infty}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{n+j} (1 - q^{2n+1}) q^{n(3n+1)/2 - j^2},$$

where

$$(q;q)_{\infty} := \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n).$$

With Maple, we find that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (p_{eu}^{od}(n) - p_{od}^{eu}(n))q^n = -q^3 - q^5 - 2q^7 - q^8 - 2q^9 - 4q^{11} - q^{12} - 4q^{13} - 8q^{15} - 8q^{17} + 2q^{18} - \dots - 2q^{49} + 816q^{50} + 18q^{51} \dots$$

and for  $50 \le n \le 400$ ,

$$p_{eu}^{od}(n) > p_{od}^{eu}(n).$$
 (1.4)

Thanks to Theorem 1.2 and (1.4), we deduce that for  $n \geq 50$ ,

$$p_{od}^{eu}(n) < p_{eu}^{od}(n)$$
.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present some definitions on the theory of integer partitions which will be used to prove Theorem 1.2. Section 3 is devoted to posing a combinatorial proof of Theorem 1.2. We conclude in the final section with a summary of our findings and a discussion of further studies.

# 2 Definitions and notation

For a partition  $\lambda$ , we use the following notation:

- $\ell(\lambda)$  is the length of a partition  $\lambda$ , namely,  $\ell(\lambda)$  is the number of parts in  $\lambda$ ;
- $\lambda^o$  (resp.  $\lambda^e$ ) is the partition whose parts are precisely the odd (resp. even) parts of  $\lambda$ ;
- $\ell_o(\lambda)$  (resp.  $\ell_e(\lambda)$ ) is the number of odd (resp. even) parts in  $\lambda$ ;
- $\lambda_1^o$  (resp.  $\lambda_1^e$ ) is the first part in  $\lambda^o$  (resp.  $\lambda^e$ );
- $f_j(\lambda)$  is the frequency of the part j in the partition  $\lambda$ , i.e., the number of times j occurs as a part in  $\lambda$ ;

Throughout this paper, let  $A_{od}^{eu}(n)$  (resp.  $B_{eu}^{od}(n)$ ) be the set of partitions of n counted by  $p_{od}^{eu}(n)$  (resp.  $p_{eu}^{od}(n)$ ). For a partition  $\lambda \in A_{od}^{eu}(n)$ , we write it as

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)}) = (\lambda_1^e, \lambda_2^e, \dots, \lambda_s^e, \lambda_1^o, \dots, \lambda_t^o),$$

where  $s = \ell_e(\lambda)$  and  $t = \ell_o(\lambda)$ . Note that  $\lambda^e = \emptyset$  (resp.  $\lambda^o = \emptyset$ ) if and only if s = 0 (resp. t = 0). For a partition  $\mu \in B_{eu}^{od}(n)$ , we write it as


$$\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_{\ell(\mu)}) = (\mu_1^o, \mu_2^o, \dots, \mu_v^o, \mu_1^e, \dots, \mu_u^e),$$

where  $u = \ell_e(\mu)$  and  $v = \ell_o(\mu)$ . Similarly,  $\mu^e = \emptyset$  (resp.  $\mu^o = \emptyset$ ) if and only if u = 0 (resp. v = 0).

Occasionally, we use the frequency notation for partitions and write  $\lambda = (i_1^{f_1}, i_2^{f_2}, \dots, i_k^{f_k})$ , where  $f_j := f_{i_j}(\lambda)$ . If  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$  and  $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m)$  are two partitions with  $\alpha_k \ge \beta_1$ , then

$$\alpha \cup \beta = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m).$$

The Ferrers diagram of a partition  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$  is an array of left justified unit squares such that the *i*th row from the top contains  $\alpha_i$  unit squares. For example, the Ferrers diagram of  $\alpha = (6, 4, 3, 3, 1)$  is shown below



#### 3 Combinatorial proof of Theorem 1.2

For a partition  $\lambda \in A^{eu}_{od}(n),$  we will define an injection

$$\psi:A^{eu}_{od}(n)\to B^{od}_{eu}(n)$$

such that  $\mu = \psi(\lambda)$ . We will break the definition into 17 cases. For  $1 \le j \le 17$ , define

 $A_j(n):=\{\lambda|\lambda \text{ is a partition of } n \text{ and statisties the conditions given in Case } j\},$   $B_j(n):=\{\mu=\psi(\lambda)|\lambda\in A_j(n)\}.$ 

Due to the discussion of 17 cases in the proof, we have made the following table to facilitate readers' understanding of the proof process.

		properties of $\mu = \psi(\lambda)$ which is in $B_j(n)$
	$A_j(n)$	
Case 1	s = 0  or  t = 0	u = 0  or  v = 0
Case 2	$s=t\geq 2$	$ \begin{array}{c} u=v\geq 2,\; \mu_{v}^{o}-\mu_{1}^{e}\geq 2v-3\\ u>v\geq 2, \mu_{1}^{o}-\mu_{2}^{o}\geq 2(u-v+1),\; \mu_{u-v}^{e}-\mu_{u-v+1}^{e}\geq \end{array} $
Case 3	$s > t \ge 2$	$u > v \ge 2, \mu_1^o - \mu_2^o \ge 2(u - v + 1), \ \mu_{u-v}^e - \mu_{u-v+1}^e \ge 1$
		2v-4
Case 4	$t>s\geq 2$	$v > u \ge 2, \mu_1^o - \mu_2^o \ge 2(v - u + 1), \ \mu_v^o - \mu_1^e \ge 2u - 3$
Case 5	$s = 1, t \ge 1 \text{ and } \lambda_1^e - \lambda_1^o \ge 3$	$u=1, v\geq 1$
Case 6	$s = 1, t \ge 5 \text{ and } \lambda_1^e - \lambda_1^o = 1$	$v \ge 3, \ 2u - 3 = \mu_1^o, \ u - v \ge 3, \mu_1^e - \mu_2^e \ge 2, \mu_3^e = 2$
Case 7	$s = 1$ , $t = 3$ or 4 and $\lambda_1^e$ –	$v = 3 \text{ or } 4, \ \mu_v^o = 3, \ \mu_1^e = 2, \ u + 2v + 1 \ge \mu_1^o \ge 1$
	$\lambda_1^o = 1$	$2v+1, u \ge 6, \ 2 u$
Case 8	$s = 1, t = 2 \text{ and } \lambda_1^e - \lambda_1^o = 1$	$v = 2, u \ge 4, \mu_1^o - \mu_2^o = 2, \mu_1^e = 2, \mu_2^o - 2u + 11 > 0$
		$0, \ \mu_1^o \ge 5$
Case 9	$s = t = 1, \ \lambda_1^e - \lambda_1^o = 1$	$\mu = (4k - 15, 5, 3, 2, 2, 2)$
Case 10	s=2 and $t=1$	$v = 3, u \ge 5, \mu_3^o = 5, \mu_1^e = 2, \ 2u + 25 \ge \mu_1^o$
Case 11	$s \ge 3, \ t = 1 \text{ and } \lambda_1^o = 1$	$u \ge 2, \ v = 1$
Case 12	$s=3,\ t=1\ \mathrm{and}\ \lambda_1^o\geq 3$	$v = 3, \ \mu_3^o \ge 7, \ u \ge 4, \ \mu_1^e = 2, 2u + 23 \ge \mu_1^o$
Case 13	$s=4,\ t=1\ \mathrm{and}\ \lambda_1^o\geq 3$	$u \ge 6, \ v = 5, \ \mu_5^o = 3, \ \mu_1^e = 2, \ 2u + 27 \ge \mu_1^o$
Case 14	$s=5, \ t=1 \text{ and } \lambda_1^o \geq 3$	$u \ge 6, \ v = 5, \ \mu_5^o \ge 5, \ \mu_1^e = 2, 2u + 35 \ge \mu_1^o$
Case 15	$6 \le s \le 10, \ t = 1 \text{ and } \lambda_1^o \ge 3$	$f_2(\mu) > 12, \ v = 3, \ \mu_{u-f_2(\mu)}^e \ge 4, \ 3 \le u - f_2(\mu) \le 4$
		$7, 2f_2(\mu) + 15 \ge \mu_1^o$
Case 16	$s \ge 11, \ t = 1, \ \lambda_1^o \ge 3 \ \text{and}$	$u \ge 9, \ v = 3, \ f_2(\mu) \le 5, \ \mu_1^o - \mu_2^o \le 12, \ \mu_{u-5}^e -$
	$\lambda_1^e - \lambda_2^e \le 10$	$\mu_{u-4}^e \ge 2$
Case 17	$s \geq 11, t = 1, \lambda_1^o \geq 3$ and	$u \ge 15, \ v = 3, \ 6 \le f_2(\mu) \le 11, \mu_{u-11}^e - \mu_{u-10}^e \ge 2$
	$\lambda_1^e - \lambda_2^e \ge 12$	

From the above table, we know that

$$A_{od}^{eu}(n) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{17} A_j(n). \tag{3.1}$$

and if  $i \neq j$ , then

$$A_i(n) \cap A_j(n) = B_i(n) \cap B_j(n) = \emptyset. \tag{3.2}$$

Note that if n=6k+j  $(j\in\{1,3,5\})$  with  $n\geq 373$ , then  $(2k+3,2k+1,2k+j-8,2,2)\in B_{eu}^{od}(n)$  and  $(2k+3,2k+1,2k+j-8,2,2)\not\in\bigcup_{j=1}^{17}B_j(n)$ . In addition, if n=6k+j,  $(j\in\{0,2,4\})$  with  $n\geq 373$ , then  $(2k+1,2k-1,2k+j-7,3,2,2)\in B_{eu}^{od}(n)$  and  $(2k+1,2k-1,2k+j-7,3,2,2)\not\in\bigcup_{j=1}^{17}B_j(n)$ . Therefore, for  $n\geq 373$ ,

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^{17} B_j(n) \subset B_{eu}^{od}(n) \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_{j=1}^{17} B_j(n) \neq B_{eu}^{od}(n). \tag{3.3}$$

In view of (3.1)–(3.3),

$$p_{od}^{eu}(n) = |A_{od}^{eu}(n)| = \sum_{j=1}^{17} |A_j(n)|.$$
(3.4)

and

$$p_{eu}^{od}(n) = |B_{eu}^{od}(n)| > \sum_{j=1}^{17} |B_j(n)|.$$
(3.5)

Now, we describe the details of  $\psi$ .

Case 1: s = 0 or t = 0. For any  $\lambda \in A_1(n)$  with n > 1, define

$$\psi(\lambda) := \lambda$$

then

$$B_1(n) = \{ \mu \in B_{eu}^{od}(n) \mid u = 0 \text{ or } v = 0 \}$$

Obviously, for any  $\mu \in B_1(n)$  with  $n \ge 1$ ,

$$\varphi_1^{-1}(\mu) = \mu.$$

Case 2:  $s = t \ge 2$ . For any  $\lambda = (\lambda_1^e, \dots, \lambda_s^e, \lambda_1^o, \dots, \lambda_s^o) \in A_2(n)$  with  $n \ge 12$ , define

$$\psi(\lambda) := (\lambda_1^e + (2s - 3), \lambda_2^e + (2s - 5), \dots, \lambda_{s-1}^e + 1, \lambda_s^e - 1, \lambda_1^o - (2s - 3), \lambda_2^o - (2s - 5), \dots, \lambda_{s-1}^o - 1, \lambda_s^o + 1).$$


Then

$$B_2(n) = \{ \mu \in B_{eu}^{od}(n) \mid u = v \ge 2, \mu_v^o - \mu_1^e \ge 2v - 3 \}.$$

Obviously, for any  $\mu = (\mu_1^o, \dots, \lambda_n^o, \mu_1^e, \dots, \lambda_n^e) \in B_2(n)$  with  $n \ge 12$ ,

$$\psi^{-1}(\mu) := (\mu_1^o - (2u - 3), \mu_2^o - (2u - 5), \dots, \mu_{u-1}^o - 1, \mu_u^o + 1, \mu_1^o + (2u - 3), \mu_2^o + (2u - 5), \dots, \mu_{u-1}^o + 1, \mu_u^o - 1).$$

Example: Let n = 39 and  $\lambda = (8, 8, 8, 7, 5, 3)$ . Then s = t = 3 and  $\psi(\lambda) = (8 + 3, 8 + 1, 8 - 1, 7 - 3, 5 - 1, 3 + 1) = (11, 9, 7, 4, 4, 4)$ .



Case 3:  $s > t \ge 2$ . For any  $\lambda \in A_3(n)$  with  $n \ge 16$ , define

$$\psi(\lambda) := (\lambda_1^e + 2(s-t) + (2t-3), \lambda_2^e + (2t-5), \dots, \lambda_{t-1}^e + 1, \lambda_t^e - 1, \lambda_{t+1}^e - 2, \lambda_{t+2}^e - 2, \dots, \lambda_s^e - 2, \lambda_t^e - (2t-3), \lambda_2^e - (2t-5), \dots, \lambda_{t-1}^e - 1, \lambda_t^e + 1).$$


Then

$$B_3(n) = \{ \mu \in B_{eu}^{od} \mid u > v \ge 2, \mu_1^o - \mu_2^o \ge 2(u - v + 1), \ \mu_{u-v}^e - \mu_{u-v+1}^e \ge 2v - 4 \}.$$

Obviously, for any  $\mu \in B_3(n)$  with  $n \ge 16$ ,

$$\psi^{-1}(\mu) := (\mu_1^o - (2(u-v) + 2v - 3), \mu_2^o - (2v - 5), \dots, \mu_{v-1}^o - 1, \mu_v^o + 1, \mu_1^e + 2, \mu_2^e + 2, \dots, \mu_{u-v}^e + 2, \dots, \mu_{u-v+1}^e + (2v - 3), \mu_{u-v+2}^e + (2v - 5), \dots, \mu_{u-1}^e + 1, \mu_u^e - 1).$$

Example. Let n = 26 and  $\lambda = (6, 6, 6, 4, 3, 1)$ . Then  $\varphi_2(\lambda) = (6+4+1, 6-1, 6-2, 4-2, 3-1, 1+1) = (11, 5, 4, 2, 2, 2)$ .



Case 4:  $t > s \ge 2$ . For any  $\lambda \in A_4(n)$  with  $n \ge 21$ , define

$$\psi(\lambda) := (\lambda_1^e + 2(t-s) + (2s-3), \lambda_2^e + (2s-5), \dots, \lambda_{s-1}^e + 1, \lambda_s^e - 1, \lambda_1^o - 2, \lambda_2^o - 2, \dots, \lambda_{t-s}^o - 2, \lambda_{t-s+1}^o - (2s-3), \lambda_{t-s+2}^o - (2s-5), \dots, \lambda_{t-1}^o - 1, \lambda_t^o + 1).$$


Therefore,

$$B_4(n) = \{ \mu \in B^{od}_{eu}(n) \mid v > u \ge 2, \mu^o_1 - \mu^o_2 \ge 2(v - u + 1), \ \mu^o_v - \mu^e_1 \ge 2u - 3 \}.$$

In addition, for any  $\mu \in B_4(n)$  with  $n \ge 21$ ,

$$\psi^{-1}(\mu) := (\mu_1^o - (2(v-u) + 2u - 3), \mu_2^o - (2u - 5), \dots, \mu_{u-1}^o - 1, \mu_u^o + 1, \mu_{u+1}^o + 2, \dots, \mu_v^o + 2)$$
$$\mu_1^e + (2u - 3), \mu_2^e + (2u - 5), \dots, \mu_{u-1}^e + 1, \mu_u^e - 1).$$

Example. Let n = 32 and  $\lambda = (8, 8, 7, 5, 3, 1)$ . Then  $\psi(\lambda) = (8+4+1, 8-1, 7-2, 5-2, 3-1, 1+1) = (13, 7, 5, 3, 2, 2)$ .



Case 5:  $s = 1, t \ge 1$  and  $\lambda_1^e - \lambda_1^o \ge 3$ . For any  $\lambda \in A_5(n)$  with  $n \ge 5$ , define

$$\psi(\lambda) := (\lambda_1^e - 1, \lambda_1^o, \dots, \lambda_{t-1}^o, \lambda_t^o + 1).$$

Therefore,

$$B_5(n) = \{ \mu \in B_{eu}^{od}(n) \mid u = 1, v \ge 1 \}.$$

Furthermore, for any  $\mu \in B_5(n)$  with  $n \geq 5$ ,

$$\psi^{-1}(\mu) := (\mu_1^o + 1, \mu_2^o, \dots, \mu_n^o, \mu_1^e - 1).$$

Example. Let n = 10 and  $\lambda = (6, 3, 1)$ . Then  $\psi(\lambda) = (6 - 1, 3, 1 + 1) = (5, 3, 2)$ .

Case 6:  $s = 1, t \ge 5$  and  $\lambda_1^e - \lambda_1^o = 1$ . Note that  $\lambda_1^e \ge 2t$ . For any  $\lambda \in A_6(n)$  with  $n \ge 35$ , define

$$\psi(\lambda) := (\lambda_1^o, \lambda_2^o, \dots, \lambda_{t-2}^o, \lambda_{t-1}^o + 1, \lambda_t^o + 1) \cup (2^{(\lambda_1^e - 2)/2}).$$


Thus,

$$B_6(n) = \{ \mu \in B_{eu}^{od}(n) \mid v \ge 3, \ 2u - 3 = \mu_1^o, \ u - v \ge 3, \mu_1^e - \mu_2^e \ge 2, \mu_3^e = 2 \}.$$

Furthermore, for any  $\mu \in B_6(n)$  with  $n \geq 35$ ,

$$\varphi^{-1}(\mu) = (2u - 2, \mu_1^o, \mu_2^o, \dots, \mu_v^o, \mu_1^e - 1, \mu_2^e - 1).$$

Example. Let n = 35 and  $\lambda = (10, 9, 7, 5, 3, 1)$ . Then  $\psi(\lambda) = (9, 7, 5, 3 + 1, 1 + 1) \cup (2, 2, 2, 2) = (9, 7, 5, 4, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2)$ .



Case 7: s=1, t=3 or 4 and  $\lambda_1^e-\lambda_1^o=1$ . For  $\lambda\in A_7(n)$  with  $n\geq 54$ , define

$$\psi(\lambda) := (\lambda_2^o + 4, \dots, \lambda_t^o + 4, 3) \cup (2^{\lambda_1^e - 2t}).$$


Note that if  $n \geq 54$ , then  $\lambda_1^e \geq 14$  and

$$B_7(n) = \{ \mu \in B_{eu}^{od}(n) \mid v = 3 \text{ or } 4, \ \mu_v^o = 3, \ \mu_1^e = 2, \ u + 2v + 1 \ge \mu_1^o \ge 2v + 1, u \ge 6, \ 2|u \}.$$

Moreover, for any  $\mu \in B_7(n)$  with  $n \ge 54$ ,

$$\psi^{-1}(\mu) := (u + 2v, u + 2v - 1, \mu_1^o - 4, \dots, \mu_{v-1}^o - 4).$$

Example. Let n = 54 and  $\lambda = (14, 13, 11, 9, 7)$ . Then  $\psi(\lambda) = (11 + 4, 9 + 4, 7 + 4, 3) \cup (2^6) = (15, 13, 11, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2)$ .



Case 8: s=1, t=2 and  $\lambda_1^e-\lambda_1^o=1.$  For any  $\lambda\in A_8$  with  $n\geq 20,$  define

$$\psi(\lambda) := (\lambda_1^e - 3, \lambda_1^o - 4) \cup (2^{(\lambda_2^o + 7)/2}).$$


Note that if  $n \geq 20$ , then  $\lambda_1^o \geq 7$ . Hence,

$$B_8(n) = \{ \mu \in B_{eu}^{od}(n) \mid v = 2, u \ge 4, \mu_1^o - \mu_2^o = 2, \mu_1^e = 2, \mu_2^o - 2u + 11 > 0, \ \mu_1^o \ge 5 \}.$$

In addition, for any  $\mu \in B_8(n)$  with  $n \geq 20$ ,

$$\psi^{-1}(\mu) = (\mu_1^o + 3, \mu_2^o + 4, 2f_2(\mu) - 7).$$

Example. Let n = 22 and  $\lambda = (10, 9, 3)$ . Then  $\psi(\lambda) = (10 - 3, 9 - 4) \cup (2^5) = (7, 5, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2)$ .



Case 9: s = 1, t = 1 and  $\lambda_1^e - \lambda_1^o = 1$ . Note that for this case,

$$A_9(4k) = A_9(4k-3) = A_9(4k-2) = \emptyset$$
  $(k \ge 1)$ 

and

$$A_9(4k-1) = \{(2k, 2k-1)\}$$
  $(k \ge 1).$ 

For  $k \geq 6$ , define

$$\psi((2k, 2k-1)) := (4k-15, 5, 3, 2, 2, 2).$$

Thus,

$$B_9(4k-1) = \{(4k-15, 5, 3, 2, 2, 2)\}$$

and

$$B_9(4k) = B_9(4k-3) = B_9(4k-2) = \emptyset$$
  $(k \ge 6)$ .

Obviously,

$$\psi^{-1}((4k-15,5,3,2,2,2)) = (2k,2k-1).$$

Case 10: s = 2 and t = 1. For any  $\lambda \in A_{10}(n)$  with  $n \ge 83$ , define

$$\psi(\lambda) := (\lambda_2^e + 7, \lambda_1^o + 6, 5) \cup (2^{(\lambda_1^e - 18)/2}).$$

Note that  $\lambda_1^e \ge 28$  since  $n \ge 83$ . Thus,

$$B_{10}(n) = \{ \mu \in B_{eu}^{od}(n) \mid v = 3, u \ge 5, \mu_3^o = 5, \mu_1^e = 2, 2u + 25 \ge \mu_1^o \}.$$

In addition, for any  $\mu \in B_{10}(n)$  with  $n \geq 83$ ,

$$\psi^{-1}(\lambda) := (2u + 18, \mu_1^o - 7, \mu_2^o - 6).$$

Case 11:  $s \geq 3$ , t = 1 and  $\lambda_1^o = 1$ . For any  $\lambda \in A_{11}(n)$  with  $n \geq 7$ , define

$$\psi(\lambda) := (\lambda_1^e + 1, \lambda_2^e, \dots, \lambda_s^e).$$

Therefore,

$$B_{11}(n) = \{ \mu \in B_{eu}^{od}(n) \mid u \ge 2, \ v = 1 \}.$$

For any  $\mu \in B_{11}(n)$  with  $n \geq 7$ ,

$$\psi^{-1}(\mu) := (\mu_1^o - 1, \mu_1^e, ..., \mu_n^e, 1).$$

Example. Let n = 25 and  $\lambda = (10, 8, 6, 1)$ . Then  $\psi(\lambda) = (10 + 1, 8, 6) = (11, 8, 6)$ .

Case 12: s = 3, t = 1 and  $\lambda_1^o \ge 3$ . For any  $\lambda \in A_{12}(n)$  with  $n \ge 95$ , define

$$\psi(\lambda) := (\lambda_2^e + 7, \lambda_3^e + 5, \lambda_1^o + 4) \cup (2^{(\lambda_1^e - 16)/2})$$

Note that if  $n \geq 95$ , then  $\lambda_1^e \geq 24$ . Thus,

$$B_{12}(n) = \{ \mu \in B_{eu}^{od}(n) \mid v = 3, \mu_3^o \ge 7, u \ge 4, \mu_1^e = 2, 2u + 23 \ge \mu_1^o \}.$$

For any  $\mu \in B_{12}(n)$  with  $n \geq 95$ ,

$$\psi^{-1}(\mu) := (2u + 16, \mu_1^o - 7, \mu_2^o - 5, \mu_3^o - 4).$$

Example. Let n=103 and  $\lambda=(26,26,26,25)$ . Then  $\psi(\lambda)=(26+7,26+5,25+4)\cup(2^5)=(33,31,29,2,2,2,2,2)$ .

Case 13: s = 4, t = 1 and  $\lambda_1^o \ge 3$ . For any  $\lambda \in A_{13}(n)$  with  $n \ge 159$ , define

$$\psi(\lambda) := (\lambda_2^e + 7, \lambda_3^e + 5, \lambda_4^e + 3, \lambda_1^o + 2, 3) \cup (2^{(\lambda_1^e - 20)/2}).$$

Note that if  $n \ge 159$ , then  $\lambda_1^e \ge 32$ . Therefore,

$$B_{13}(n) = \{ \mu \in B_{eu}^{od}(n) \mid u \ge 6, \ v = 5, \ \mu_5^o = 3, \ \mu_1^e = 2, 2u + 27 \ge \mu_1^o \}.$$

For any  $\mu \in B_{13}(n)$  with  $n \ge 159$ ,

$$\psi^{-1}(\mu) = (2u + 20, \mu_1^o - 7, \mu_2^o - 5, \mu_3^o - 3, \mu_4^o - 2).$$

Example. Let n = 179 and  $\lambda = (38, 36, 36, 36, 36, 33)$ . Then  $\psi(\lambda) = (36 + 7, 36 + 5, 36 + 3, 33 + 2, 3) \cup (2^9) = (43, 41, 39, 35, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2)$ .

Case 14: s = 5, t = 1 and  $\lambda_1^o \ge 3$ . For any  $\lambda \in A_{14}(n)$  with  $n \ge 227$ , define

$$\psi(\lambda) := (\lambda_2^e + 9, \lambda_3^e + 7, \lambda_4^e + 5, \lambda_4^e + 3, \lambda_1^o + 2) \cup (2^{(\lambda_1^e - 26)/2}).$$

Note that if  $n \geq 227$ , then  $\lambda_1^e \geq 38$ . Thus,

$$B_{14}(n) = \{ \mu \in B_{eu}^{od}(n) \mid u \ge 6, \ v = 5, \ \mu_5^o \ge 5, \ \mu_1^e = 2, 2u + 35 \ge \mu_1^o \}.$$

For any  $\mu \in B_{14}(n)$  with  $n \geq 227$ ,

$$\psi^{-1}(\mu) = (2u + 26, \mu_1^o - 9, \mu_2^o - 7, \mu_3^o - 5, \mu_4^o - 3, \mu_5^o - 2).$$

Case 15:  $6 \le s \le 10$ , t = 1 and  $\lambda_1^o \ge 3$ . For any  $\lambda \in A_{15}(n)$  with  $n \ge 373$ , define

$$\psi(\lambda) := (\lambda_2^e + 5, \lambda_3^e + 3, \lambda_4^e + 1, \lambda_5^e, \lambda_6^e, ..., \lambda_s^e, \lambda_1^o + 1) \cup (2^{(\lambda_1^e - 10)/2})$$

Note that if  $n \geq 373$ , then  $\lambda_1^e \geq 34$ . Therefore,

$$B_{15}(n) = \{ \mu \in B_{eu}^{od}(n) \mid f_2(\mu) > 12, \ v = 3, \ \mu_{u - f_2(\mu)}^e \ge 4, \ 3 \le u - f_2(\mu) \le 7, \ 2f_2(\mu) + 15 \ge \mu_1^o \}.$$

For any  $\mu \in B_{15}(n)$  with  $n \geq 373$ ,

$$\psi^{-1}(\mu) := (2f_2(\mu) + 10, \mu_1^o - 5, \mu_2^o - 3, \mu_3^o - 1, \mu_1^e, \mu_2^e, \dots, \mu_{u - f_2(\mu) - 1}^e, \mu_{u - f_2(\mu)}^e - 1).$$

Case 16:  $s \ge 11$ , t = 1,  $\lambda_1^o \ge 3$  and  $\lambda_1^e - \lambda_2^e \le 10$ . For any  $\lambda \in A_{16}(n)$  with  $n \ge 47$ , define

$$\psi(\lambda) := (\lambda_1^e + 5, \lambda_2^e + 3, \lambda_3^e + 1, \lambda_4^e, \dots, \lambda_{s-4}^e, \lambda_{s-3}^e - 2, \lambda_{s-2}^e - 2, \lambda_{s-1}^e - 2, \lambda_s^e - 2, \lambda_1^o - 1).$$

Therefore,

$$B_{16}(n) = \{ \mu \in B_{eu}^{od}(n) \mid u \ge 9, \ v = 3, \ f_2(\mu) \le 5, \ \mu_1^o - \mu_2^o \le 12, \ \mu_{u-5}^e - \mu_{u-4}^e \ge 2 \}.$$

For any  $\mu \in B_{16}(n)$  with n > 47,

$$\psi^{-1}(\mu) = (\mu_1^o - 5, \mu_2^o - 3, \mu_3^o - 1, \mu_1^e, \mu_2^e, \dots \mu_{n-5}^e, \mu_{n-4}^e + 2, \mu_{n-3}^e + 2, \mu_{n-2}^e + 2, \mu_{n-1}^e + 2, \mu_n^e + 1).$$

Case 17:  $s \ge 11$ , t = 1,  $\lambda_1^o \ge 3$  and  $\lambda_1^e - \lambda_2^e \ge 12$ . For any  $\lambda \in A_{17}(n)$  with  $n \ge 59$ , define

$$\psi(\lambda) := (\lambda_1^e - 7, \lambda_2^e + 3, \lambda_3^e + 1, \lambda_4^e, ..., \lambda_{s-4}^e, \lambda_{s-3}^e - 2, \lambda_{s-2}^e - 2, \lambda_{s-1}^e - 2, \lambda_s^e - 2, \lambda_1^o - 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2).$$

Therefore,

$$B_{17}(n) = \{ \mu \in P_{eu}^{od}(n) \mid u \ge 15, \ v = 3, \ 6 \le f_2(\mu) \le 11, \mu_{u-11}^e - \mu_{u-10}^e \ge 2 \}.$$

For any  $\mu \in B_{17}(n)$  with  $n \geq 59$ ,

$$\psi^{-1}(\mu) := (\mu_1^o + 7, \mu_2^o - 3, \mu_3^o - 1, \mu_1^e, \mu_2^e, \dots, \mu_{u-11}^e, \mu_{u-10}^e + 2, \mu_{u-9}^e + 2, \mu_{u-8}^e + 2, \mu_{u-7}^e + 2, \mu_{u-6}^e + 1).$$

Therefore,  $\psi$  is a bijection from  $A_i(n)$  to  $B_i(n)$  when  $n \geq 373$ . Thus for  $n \geq 373$ .

$$|A_j(n)| = |B_j(n)|.$$
 (3.6)

Theorem 1.2 follows from (3.4)–(3.6). This completes the proof.

# 4 Concluding remarks

As seen in Introduction, partitions with parts separated by parity have received considerable attention in recent years. Recently, Bringmann, Craig and Nazaroglu [5] studied the asymptotic behavior of the eight partition functions proved several inequalities for sufficiently large n. They also asked for combinatorial proofs of those inequalities at the end of their paper. In this paper, we show that an inequality on partitions separated by parity holds for  $n \geq 373$  by a combinatorial method. This settles an open problem posed by Bringmann, Craig and Nazaroglu. Unfortunately, we can not give combinatorial proofs of (1.2) and (1.3). The combinatorial proofs of (1.2) and (1.3) will likely require a different approach.

In [4], Ballantine and Welch provided a partition-theoretic interpretation of  $p_{ed}^{ed}(n) - p_{ed}^{od}(n)$ . Therefore, it would be interesting to present a partition-theoretic interpretation of  $p_{eu}^{od}(n) - p_{od}^{eu}(n)$  for  $n \ge 50$ .

## Statements and Declarations

**Acknowledgments.** The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to Shishuo Fu for his helpful suggestions. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 12401434 and 12371334) and the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province of China (Grant no. BK20221383).

**Author Contributions.** The authors have equally contributed to the manuscript.

**Declaration of competing interest.** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Data availability. No data was used for the research described in the article.

### References

- [1] G.E. Andrews, The Theory of Partitions, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass, 1976; reprinted, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [2] G.E. Andrews, Integer partitions with even parts below odd parts and the mock theta functions, Ann. Comb. 22 (2018) 433-445.
- [3] G.E. Andrews, Partitions with parts separated by parity, Ann. Comb. 23 (2019) 241–248.
- [4] C. Ballantine and A. Welch, Combinatorial proofs of inequalities involving the number of partitions with parts separated by parity, Ramanujan J. 67 (2025) 59.
- [5] K. Bringmann, W. Craig and C. Nazaroglu, On the asymptotic behavior for partitions separated by parity, Electron. J. Comb. 32 (2025) P1.2.
- [6] K. Bringmann and C. Jennings-Shaffer, A note on Andrews' partitions with parts separated by parity, Ann. Comb. 23 (2019) 573–578.
- [7] S.S. Fu and D.Z. Tang, Partitions with parts separated by parity: conjugation, congruences and the mock theta functions, Proc. R. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A Math. 155 (2025) 954–974.