

# Killed in and after Action: The Long-lasting Effects of Combat Exposure on Mortality

By HELMUT FARBMACHER AND REBECCA GROH\*

*This study examines long-term mortality effects of combat exposure using the Vietnam War draft lottery as a quasi-experiment. We validate the lottery by analyzing combat fatalities, revealing that 1951-1952 cohorts had notably fewer lottery-induced deployments than 1950, limiting detectable long-term mortality impacts at the cohort level. Using deceased-only datasets, we invert standard identification by modeling draft eligibility as the outcome. We find significant excess mortality among Black men in the 1950 cohort (1.09%, approximately 2,700 additional deaths), and null effects in later cohorts. Findings suggest that pooling cohorts with limited combat exposure may prevent detection of true treatment effects at cohort levels.*

Understanding the long-term consequences of exposure to combat is of great relevance for evaluating the economic and social costs of armed conflict. As military operations continue worldwide, identifying whether combat exposure leads to persistent effects on health and mortality remains a key question with significant implications for understanding the full lifecycle costs of military service. The Vietnam War provides a valuable empirical setting to study these effects, as draft eligibility was determined through a randomized lottery that enables quasi-experimental analysis. This natural experiment allows us to examine the impact of a treatment where the treatment and control group differ only in being assigned different numbers based on their birthdays.

The Vietnam War draft lottery has been widely used to examine the short- and long-term effects of combat exposure. The quasi-experimental nature of the lottery allows for the investigation of the causal effect of military service on various outcomes. Yet the evidence remains mixed. Some studies find substantial adverse effects of military service (Hearst, Newman and Hulley, 1986; Boehmer et al., 2004; Boscarino, 2006; Autor, Duggan and Lyle, 2011; Schlenger et al., 2015; Schmitz and Conley, 2016; Wang, Flores and Flores-Lagunes, 2025), while others using similar identification strategies report no lasting differences in health or mortality (Angrist, Imbens and Rubin, 1996; Dobkin and Shabani, 2009; Angrist, Chen and Frandsen, 2010; Conley and Heerwig, 2012; Case and Deaton, 2024).

\* We thank Joshua Angrist for his helpful comments and Kevin Scotland and Napassakorn Atireklapwarodom for their excellent research assistance. Rebecca Groh gratefully acknowledges the MIT Economics Department for hosting her during a research stay, where part of this work was conducted. The replication code and instructions for obtaining the data are available at <https://github.com/farbmacher/KIA-and-KAA>. Corresponding author: Rebecca Groh: TUM School of Management, Technical University of Munich, Arcisstr. 21, 80333 Munich, Germany (rebecca.groh@tum.de).

These conflicting results underscore the need for further evidence on how combat exposure translates into mortality risk across different demographic groups and cohorts.

We contribute to this literature by revisiting the long-term mortality effects of Vietnam War service using an inverted identification strategy motivated by the structure of our data. Since both of our datasets include only deceased individuals, we cannot model survival directly. Instead of comparing mortality rates between draft-eligible and draft-exempt men, we use draft eligibility itself as the outcome variable, similar to the reduced form regression in Siminski and Ville (2011). This allows us to test whether individuals born on draft-eligible days are overrepresented among the deceased. We implement this design using two complementary datasets. The first dataset, the Combat Area Casualties Dataset (National Archives and Records Administration, 2001), contains Vietnam combat casualties, allowing us to assess whether draft eligibility translated into actual combat exposure. We test whether the share of casualties born on draft-eligible days exceeds the expected share under a uniform distribution of births within each calendar month. Under the assumption that combat mortality is independent of birth date, rejecting the associated test of equality implies that draft-eligible men were disproportionately deployed and exposed to combat. The second dataset, the Berkeley Unified Numident Mortality Database (BUNMD) (Breen and Goldstein, 2022), captures post-service deaths between 1975 and 2007, enabling us to test for long-term mortality effects using the inverted estimation approach.

Our analysis of long-term mortality reveals significant increases among Black men in the 1950 cohort, but null effects in the 1951 and 1952 cohorts. This pattern suggests that, for the 1950 cohort, the number of draft-eligible men exposed to combat was large enough for mortality effects to emerge at the cohort level, whereas later cohorts had too few deployed men to generate detectable cohort-level effects. This aligns with the relatively few combat deaths we observe in the 1951 and 1952 cohorts. The concentration of effects among Black men, rather than among all draft-eligible individuals, suggests important heterogeneity in how combat exposure translated into long-term health consequences across demographic groups.

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows. Section I provides information on the draft lottery (I.A) and outlines our empirical framework (I.B). Section II introduces the dataset on combat casualties (II.A), and validates the lottery mechanism (II.B). Section III presents the data on long-term mortality (III.A) and the estimated long-term effects of combat exposure on mortality (III.B). Section IV concludes with a discussion of the implications of our findings.

## I. Background and Empirical Framework

### A. Background

We exploit the quasi-experimental variation generated by the Vietnam War draft lottery system implemented in the United States in the early 1970s. Before 1970, the U.S. relied on a decentralized draft system in which local boards determined call-up orders using various deferment criteria and local quotas. On December 1, 1969, the Selective Service System held the first national draft lottery to determine the induction order for men born between January 1, 1944, and December 31, 1950. The lottery assigned a random sequence number (1-366) to each calendar day, with lower numbers indicating higher draft priority. Men born on days assigned numbers 1-195 in 1970 (1-125 in 1971; 1-95 thereafter) were draft-eligible, while those with higher numbers were effectively exempt.

The lottery was introduced relatively late in the Vietnam War. By 1970, nearly 80% of all U.S. combat deaths in Vietnam had already occurred. Although lotteries were conducted annually till 1975 for cohorts up to 1956, the last induction occurred in December 1972, and draft authority expired in 1973. This timing matters, as many individuals drafted via the lottery were never deployed, creating important variation in the relevance of lottery outcomes across cohorts.

For men born 1944-1949, the lottery's impact is ambiguous. These men were already draft-eligible under the pre-lottery system, and many had been inducted before December 1969. Consequently, in most datasets, it is difficult to distinguish whether their military service resulted from the lottery mechanism or earlier conscription.

The 1950 cohort was the first whose draft status was determined solely by the lottery. These men turned 20 in 1970, making them draft-eligible when the lottery system was implemented and when U.S. ground combat involvement in Vietnam remained substantial. The 1951 cohort turned 20 in 1971, when U.S. troop levels had already begun decreasing from their 1968-1969 peak. The 1952 cohort reached draft age in 1972, by which time the U.S. had shifted to a policy of "Vietnamization" and was withdrawing combat forces. Although men from these cohorts could be formally drafted, the probability of actual combat deployment was substantially lower than for the 1950 cohort.

This institutional context suggests that the lottery provides the cleanest quasi-experimental variation for the 1950 cohort, where draft eligibility both determined conscription and translated into a meaningful risk of combat exposure.

### B. Empirical Framework

We draw on two distinct datasets. The first, the Combat Area Casualties Dataset, which records U.S. soldier casualties during the Vietnam War, allows us to validate the lottery mechanism. The second, the BUNMD, which includes deaths of U.S. citizens between 1975 and 2007, is used to assess the long-term lifecycle costs of

combat exposure. Figure 1 presents the distribution of draft-eligible and draft-exempt birthdays for the 1950 birth cohort in each dataset, showing that our data capture deaths across the full range of lottery assignments. Figure 2 provides corresponding histograms of deaths for the 1950 cohort.




FIGURE 1. DRAFT LOTTERY ASSIGNMENT BY DATE OF BIRTH IN BOTH DATASETS (1950 COHORT)

*Note:* The upper panel illustrates the birthday distribution of draft-eligible and draft-exempt individuals from the 1950 cohort, using the Combat Area Casualties Dataset for Black and white Selective Service members who died before 1975. The lower panel displays the same distribution recorded in the BUNMD data (1975–2007) for all Black and white individuals, regardless of gender. Symbol size is proportional to the number of deaths for people born on that date in the respective dataset.



FIGURE 2. DISTRIBUTION OF DEATHS FOR THE 1950 COHORT IN THE TWO DATASETS.

*Note:* The left panel displays the distribution of combat-related deaths for the 1950 birth cohort using the Combat Area Casualties Dataset, restricted to Black and white Selective Service members who died before 1975. The right panel shows the distribution of deaths for the same 1950 cohort as recorded in the BUNMD data (1975–2007), including all Black and white individuals, regardless of gender, who died during this period.

To leverage the quasi-experimental setting of the U.S. draft lottery, our first step is to validate that the lottery mechanism functioned as intended. Specifically, we test whether men born on draft-eligible days were, in fact, more likely to be deployed to Vietnam and therefore exposed to combat, compared to men born on draft-exempt days. Because individual draft status is unobserved, combat deaths serve as a proxy for exposure. Assuming birthdays are uniformly distributed within calendar months and that the probability of being killed in action is independent of date of birth, any overrepresentation of combat deaths of men born on draft-eligible days indicates that the lottery effectively increased deployment and combat exposure. The expected fraction of combat deaths among draft-eligible men is calculated by multiplying the total number of male births in a given month (by cohort and race, from Vital Statistics 1944–1953) by the fraction of days classified as draft-eligible. We then compare this expected fraction to the observed fraction.

After validating the impact of the lottery on combat exposure, we estimate the long-term lifecycle costs measured by excess mortality. Because the BUNMD datasets consist solely of deceased individuals, we cannot use survival as the outcome variable. Instead, we analyze the probability of being born on a draft-eligible day among the deceased, using draft-eligibility as the outcome variable. This approach allows us to test whether deaths are overrepresented for certain groups among those who are draft-eligible, indicating excess mortality associated with combat exposure. We use the following regression specification:

$$(1) \quad \text{Draft-eligibility}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Black}_i + X_i' \gamma + \varepsilon_i$$

where  $i$  indexes individual observations, *Draft-eligibility* <sub>$i$</sub>  represents a binary indicator of draft-eligibility (1) or draft-exemption (0), and *Black* <sub>$i$</sub>  is a binary indicator of the individual being Black. The vector  $X_i$  captures month of birth fixed effects.

We then extend the model to also include women, who were not subject to the draft, as a control group. Since draft eligibility was randomly assigned by date of birth, men and women should have identical probabilities of being born on draft-eligible days. This argument also holds true for other group differences. We estimate the following regression equation, now also including *Men* <sub>$i$</sub> , which is a binary indicator of the deceased being male:

$$(2) \quad \text{Draft-eligibility}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Black}_i + \beta_2 \text{Men}_i + \beta_3 \text{Black}_i \times \text{Men}_i + X_i' \gamma + \varepsilon_i$$

These regressions allow us to test whether deaths are disproportionately concentrated among those born on draft-eligible days, indicating excess mortality. A positive and significant  $\beta$  coefficient suggests that the respective group, Black individuals, men, or Black men, is overrepresented among the deceased due to draft-induced combat exposure.

## II. Killed in Action

### A. Data

We use the Combat Area Casualties Dataset, which contains records of U.S. military soldiers who died in the Southeast Asian combat area during the Vietnam War, either as a result of combat or following from injuries received in combat. The dataset covers a total of 58,193 deceased soldiers with deaths occurring between 1956 and 1998. It contains detailed information on date of birth, date of death, and race, among others (National Archives and Records Administration, 2001).

We concentrate our analyses on the draft-affected cohorts from 1944 to 1952 (13,708 observations dropped) and on individuals marked as Selective Service members, as they cover the lottery draftees, which leaves us with 16,056 observations. Further, we only include Black and white individuals (109 observations dropped) and individuals who died before 1975 (44 observations dropped), as later deaths could already be covered in the BUNMD dataset. We assign the lottery numbers for each respective birth cohort based on their date of birth. This enables us to differentiate between draft-eligible and draft-exempt men among the deceased.

The presence of draft-exempt individuals among the deceased is expected for

earlier cohorts (1944–1949), when conscription reflected a mix of local draft board procedures and lottery assignment, the later cohorts were drafted exclusively through the lottery system. Thus, the presence of draft-exempt individuals among the deceased likely reflects two mechanisms. First, some men may have already enlisted before the lottery was introduced. Second, draft-motivated enlistment is plausible, as the cutoff number for the 1950 cohort (195) was not announced until later in 1970 (see Angrist, 1991). Therefore, individuals who did not yet know their draft risk may have chosen to enlist preemptively in anticipation of being drafted.

To calculate the expected fraction of draft-eligible men in each cohort, we use the Vital Birth Statistics (National Center for Health Statistics, 1944–1952), which report monthly U.S. births by sex and race. In the 1950 cohort, there were 1,823,555 male births (51.31% of all births); in the 1951 cohort, 1,923,020 male births (51.27%); and in the 1952 cohort, 1,971,262 male births (51.24%).

The Vital Birth Statistics provide monthly birth counts in two categories, one for white individuals and another that combines all nonwhite individuals. More detailed racial information is available only on an annual basis. The data reveal that Black births comprise approximately 95% of nonwhite births across the 1944–1952 cohorts, with percentages ranging from 93.87% in 1944 to 95.68% in 1947. Consequently, using nonwhite rather than Black monthly birth counts to calculate expected fractions of draft-eligible men provides a very close approximation.

### *B. Results*

We now present the results of the validation analysis, which tests whether draft eligibility translated into higher rates of exposure to combat. Specifically, we compare the share of combat deaths among draft-eligible individuals to the expected share. A statistically significant excess of draft-eligible deaths would indicate that the lottery mechanism effectively assigned higher combat risk to those selected. Table 1 presents results for all cohorts subject to the lottery draft system. For earlier cohorts not selected solely through the lottery (1944–1949), the observed and expected fractions of draft-eligible combat deaths do not differ significantly. This pattern is consistent with the historical context, since individuals from these cohorts were subject to conventional conscription before the lottery was introduced, so their exposure to combat cannot be clearly linked to lottery outcomes. In contrast, for the later cohorts drafted exclusively through the lottery (1950–1952), the 1950 and 1951 cohorts display statistically significant excesses of combat deaths for men born on draft-eligible days. For the 1950 cohort, 72.23% of combat deaths occurred among men born on draft-eligible days, compared to an expected 53.77%, yielding a difference of 18.46 percentage points ( $t = 11.14$ ). The 1951 cohort also shows significant difference, with 60.92% of combat deaths among draft-eligible men compared to an expected 34.25% ( $t = 5.07$ ). There is no significant difference in the 1952 cohort, which records very few fatalities, reflecting the declining intensity of U.S. involvement in Vietnam by the time these men were eligible for

deployment.

TABLE 1—FATAL OBSERVED AND EXPECTED CASUALTIES OF SELECTIVE SERVICE SOLDIERS, COHORTS 1944-1952

Cohort	Total casualties	Born on draft-eligible days		<i>t</i> -statistic
		Observed	Expected	
1944	1111	616 (55.45%)	595.61 (53.61%)	1.23
1945	1586	867 (54.67%)	846.92 (53.40%)	1.01
1946	2762	1546 (55.97%)	1530.15 (55.40%)	0.61
1947	4251	2279 (53.61%)	2251.75 (52.97%)	0.84
1948	3461	1835 (53.02%)	1851.29 (53.49%)	-0.55
1949	1907	996 (52.23%)	1021.96 (53.59%)	-1.19
1950	731	528 (72.23%)	393.06 (53.77%)	11.14
1951	87	53 (60.92%)	29.80 (34.25%)	5.07
1952	7	3 (42.86%)	1.82 (25.94%)	0.84

*Note:* The table reports the total number of Vietnam combat deaths by birth cohort and compares observed and expected counts for men born on draft-eligible days (195 draft-eligible days for 1944–1950 births, 125 in 1951, and 95 in 1952). Observed: reports the actual number of deaths among men born on draft-eligible days and their percentage of total cohort casualties. Expected: reports the predicted number and percentage based on the proportion of days in each cohort’s birth year classified as draft-eligible (195 in 1944–1950, 125 in 1951, 95 in 1952), assuming uniform distribution of births across days in each month. The *t*-statistics test equality between observed and expected proportions.

We now focus on the 1950 cohort, for which the lottery mechanism appears to have operated most effectively. For Table 2, we further restrict the dataset by excluding individuals who died before 1970, as they could not have been drafted through the lottery. This reduces the dataset to a total of 628 combat deaths, of which 79 are Black and 549 are white individuals.

Comparing the cohort’s total death records to the expected values, we find even larger differences (22.82 percentage points) than in Table 1, with the *t*-statistic increasing to  $t = 13.50$ . Analyzing the data by race shows the same overrepresentation of men born on draft-eligible days among both Black (28.42 percentage points;  $t = 6.57$ ) and white men (22.01 percentage points;  $t = 12.03$ ). These results indicate that draft eligibility significantly increased the likelihood of combat exposure for both groups.



TABLE 2—FATAL OBSERVED AND EXPECTED CASUALTIES OF SELECTIVE SERVICE SOLDIERS BORN 1950

	Overall	Black	White
<b>Total casualties</b>	628	79	549
<b>Born on draft-eligible days</b>			
Observed	481 (76.59%)	65 (82.28%)	416 (75.77%)
Expected	337.68 (53.77%)	42.55 (53.86%)	295.14 (53.76%)
<i>Difference</i>	<i>+22.82 pp</i>	<i>+28.42 pp</i>	<i>+22.01 pp</i>
<i>t</i> -statistic	13.50	6.57	12.03

*Note:* The table reports the total number of Vietnam combat deaths (1970-1974) in the 1950 cohort and compares observed and expected counts for men born on draft-eligible days. Observed: reports the actual number of deaths among men born on draft-eligible days and their percentage of total cohort casualties. Expected: reports the predicted number and percentage based on the proportion of days classified as draft-eligible, assuming uniform distribution of births across days in each month. Vital Birth Statistics (expected values) provide only month-specific birth numbers for white and nonwhite individuals; since approximately 95% of nonwhite individuals are Black, we directly compare expected fractions based on nonwhite births to observed Black casualties. The *t*-statistics test equality between observed and expected proportions.

While long-term health and mortality effects are expected for war veterans in each birth cohort, the number of individuals actually exposed to combat declines sharply across cohorts. For the 1951 and 1952 cohorts, casualty numbers drop substantially, indicating that although the lottery continued to determine draft eligibility, far fewer draft-eligible men were ultimately deployed to combat. As a result, the impact of combat exposure may not be detectable at the cohort level in later cohorts, even if individual-level effects exist. Pooling cohorts with such heterogeneous deployment levels may dilute the treatment effect and reduce statistical power to detect long-term mortality impacts. Accordingly, the 1950 cohort represents the setting where draft eligibility through the lottery most clearly translated into combat exposure and thus provides the most credible basis for analyzing long-term mortality effects.

### III. Killed after Action

#### A. Data

We use the BUNMD dataset, a detailed version of administrative mortality records containing over 49 million entries for the years 1975 to 2007. The data includes information like date of birth, date of death, race, and sex. It has a high coverage of fatalities for the period from 1988 to 2005, capturing between 75% and 90% of all deaths among U.S. citizens (Breen and Goldstein, 2022). This enables us to track deaths among the draft lottery-affected cohorts as they age from their twenties into their sixties.

For our analysis, we restrict the dataset to the draft-affected cohorts from 1944 to 1952, which leaves us with 2,779,988 observations, with primary attention

to those born in 1950. We only include Black and white individuals in these cohorts (dropping 13.13 % of the observations). Additionally, we exclude all observations lacking information on date of birth or gender to ensure accurate determination of the draft status and a clean sample construction (dropping 0.02 % of the observations). The 1950 cohort includes more than 245,000 observations; therefore, people who died between 1975 and 2007, with 33.52% women and 21.72% Black individuals. The 1951 and 1952 data, respectively, contain more than 242,000 and more than 236,000 observations, with comparable shares of women and Black individuals. The dataset allows us to assess long-term excess mortality among Vietnam veterans, even though we are not able to observe who actually served in the military, using draft eligibility as a proxy. We assign the draft lottery number to each respective cohort based on the date of birth, also for women to serve as a control group.

Since we are interested in excess mortality, we must assess whether our deceased-only dataset is prone to potential selection bias. If individuals exposed to combat had already died in excess before 1975, they would be underrepresented among the deceased individuals. To evaluate this concern, we compare the observed fraction of individuals born on draft-eligible days in the BUNMD to the expected fraction based on the underlying birth-date distribution in the population.

For the 1950 cohort, the expected fraction of individuals born on draft-eligible days is 53.77 % (identical when restricting to men). In the BUNMD data, the corresponding shares are 53.75% overall and 53.74% for men. We find the same pattern for the 1951 and 1952 cohorts. This absence of measurable selection is not inconsistent with evidence that combat exposure increased short-term mortality, see (Hearst, Newman and Hulley, 1986). Instead, it implies that any such mortality differences may not be sufficiently large to be visible at the cohort level.

### B. Results

Our cohort-specific analyses reveal heterogeneous long-term mortality effects. Table 3 reports the regression estimates for the 1950 cohort, where month-of-birth fixed effects are included to account for potential seasonality in birth patterns.

We first estimate the model using only male observations. The results indicate that Black men are disproportionally represented among the deceased born on draft-eligible days, although the coefficient is statistically significant only at the 10 % level.

When we extend the analyses to the combined sample of men and women, the individual effects of being Black or male are neither positive nor statistically significant. However, the interaction term between being Black and male is positive and statistically significant ( $\beta = 0.0109$ ,  $SE = 0.0049$ ), indicating that Black men born on draft-eligible days are 1.09 percentage points more likely to appear among the deceased compared to what would be expected under random assignment. This result suggests that Black men experience higher long-term mortality. With 248,246 Black men born in 1950, this excess mortality translates to roughly

2706 additional deaths attributable to draft-induced combat exposure over the 33-year follow-up period.

In contrast, for the 1951 and 1952 cohorts, none of the regressors or interaction terms show statistically significant effects. The full estimation results for the 1944–1952 cohorts are presented in Table A1 in the Appendix. Excluding the 1950 cohort, this table reports the results of 32 tests, of which only one, the effect of being Black in the 1947 cohort, is significant at the 5% level, which is roughly the number one would expect by chance.

TABLE 3—EFFECT OF DRAFT ELIGIBILITY ON LONG-TERM MORTALITY FOR THE 1950 COHORT

	Men only	Men & women
<i>Black</i>	0.0051 (0.0029)	-0.0058 (0.0040)
<i>Men</i>		-0.0027 (0.0023)
<i>Black</i> $\times$ <i>men</i>		0.0109 (0.0049)
Sample size	162,974	245,151

*Note:* Estimates from OLS regression with controls for month of birth fixed effects. Robust standard errors are reported in parentheses.

These findings support two key conclusions. First, there is indeed a measurable long-term mortality effect of combat exposure for Black men in the 1950 cohort. Second, while later cohorts experienced some level of combat exposure, the sample sizes of those actually exposed to combat are insufficient to generate detectable long-term mortality effects when examining the entire cohort.

#### IV. Discussion and Conclusion

This study revisits the lifecycle costs of exposure to combat during the Vietnam War, using the U.S. draft lottery as a quasi-experimental setting. We contribute by validating whether draft eligibility effectively translated into combat exposure across cohorts and by applying an inverted identification strategy suited for deceased-only datasets.

We validate the lottery mechanism by comparing expected and observed exposure to combat, measured in terms of deaths as a proxy. The analyses show that the lottery mechanism was effective, particularly for the 1950 and 1951 cohorts, as we see significant differences. But the absolute numbers of combat exposure, which are consistent with the historical drawdown of U.S. troops and the implementation of “Vietnamization”, indicate that the sample size might be too small to translate into effects that are detectable at the cohort level, at least for the 1951 and 1952 cohorts.

Our results highlight the importance of aligning the empirical strategy with the institutional and historical context of the Vietnam War. For this reason, we conduct cohort-specific analyses, as pooling cohorts with differing exposure intensities may obscure or even eliminate the detection of true treatment effects.

Using the BUNMD dataset, we find significant excess mortality among Black men born on draft-eligible days. Our findings contribute to a growing body of literature suggesting that the lifecycle costs of combat exposure extend well beyond the combat zone and are not evenly distributed across different demographics. The elevated long-term mortality among Black men underscores the compounding effects of racial inequality and military service.

The observed racial heterogeneity is consistent with prior research suggesting that Black soldiers faced greater or more hazardous exposure during the Vietnam War and experienced unequal access to postwar healthcare. Studies such as Dismuke et al. (2015) show that Black veterans were disproportionately affected by mild traumatic brain injury when exposed to combat, compared to white veterans. Similarly, Dohrenwend et al. (2008), Penk et al. (1989), and Allen (1986) document higher rates of chronic PTSD among Black Vietnam veterans, attributing these disparities to greater or more severe exposure to war-zone stressors, racism within the military, and limited postwar opportunities. Roberts et al. (2011) further finds that Black veterans were less likely to receive treatment for PTSD compared to white veterans. These findings reinforce the view that the lifecycle costs of combat exposure were not evenly distributed across demographic groups. While our study leverages two complementary datasets to analyze the draft lottery and the long-term mortality, it faces several limitations. First, our mortality data include only deceased individuals, which constrains the estimation framework and precludes direct modeling of survival. Second, we rely on draft eligibility as a proxy for combat exposure, since individual-level service records are unavailable in the death registers. Although our validation results provide strong evidence for the 1950 cohort, future research would benefit from linking draft lottery data with individual military service records and mortality information, where feasible.

## REFERENCES

- Allen, Irving M.** 1986. "Posttraumatic stress disorder among black Vietnam veterans." *Psychiatric Services*, 37(1): 55–61.
- Angrist, Joshua D.** 1991. "The draft lottery and voluntary enlistment in the Vietnam era." *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 86(415): 584–595.
- Angrist, Joshua D, Guido W Imbens, and Donald B Rubin.** 1996. "Identification of causal effects using instrumental variables." *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 91(434): 444–455.
- Angrist, Joshua D, Stacey H Chen, and Brigham R Frandsen.** 2010. "Did Vietnam veterans get sicker in the 1990s? The complicated effects of military service on self-reported health." *Journal of Public Economics*, 94(11-12): 824–837.
- Autor, David H, Mark G Duggan, and David S Lyle.** 2011. "Battle scars? The puzzling decline in employment and rise in disability receipt among Vietnam era veterans." *American Economic Review*, 101(3): 339–344.
- Boehmer, Tegan K Catlin, W Dana Flanders, Michael A McGeehin, Coleen Boyle, and Drue H Barrett.** 2004. "Postservice mortality in Vietnam veterans: 30-year follow-up." *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 164(17): 1908–1916.
- Boscarino, Joseph A.** 2006. "Posttraumatic stress disorder and mortality among US Army veterans 30 years after military service." *Annals of Epidemiology*, 16(4): 248–256.
- Breen, Casey F, and Joshua R Goldstein.** 2022. "Berkeley Unified Numident Mortality Database." *Demographic Research*, 47: 111–142.
- Case, Anne, and Angus Deaton.** 2024. "Education, health-based selection, and the widening mortality gap between Americans with and without a four-year college degree." *American Journal of Epidemiology*, kwae420.
- Conley, Dalton, and Jennifer Heerwig.** 2012. "The long-term effects of military conscription on mortality: Estimates from the Vietnam-era draft lottery." *Demography*, 49(3): 841–855.
- Dismuke, Clara E, Mulugeta Gebregziabher, Derik Yeager, and Leonard E Egede.** 2015. "Racial/ethnic differences in combat-and non-combat-associated traumatic brain injury severity in the Veterans Health Administration: 2004–2010." *American Journal of Public Health*, 105(8): 1696–1702.

- Dobkin, Carlos, and Reza Shabani.** 2009. "The health effects of military service: Evidence from the Vietnam draft." *Economic Inquiry*, 47(1): 69–80.
- Dohrenwend, Bruce P, J Blake Turner, Nicholas A Turse, Roberto Lewis-Fernandez, and Thomas J Yager.** 2008. "War-related posttraumatic stress disorder in Black, Hispanic, and majority White Vietnam veterans: The roles of exposure and vulnerability." *Journal of Traumatic Stress: Official Publication of the International Society for Traumatic Stress Studies*, 21(2): 133–141.
- Hearst, Norman, Thomas B Newman, and Stephen B Hulley.** 1986. "Delayed effects of the military draft on mortality." *New England Journal of Medicine*, 314(10): 620–624.
- National Archives and Records Administration.** 2001. "Combat Area Casualties Dataset, Vietnam War." *Dataset. Duke University Libraries Digital Repository*, Coverage: August 6, 1956 to January 21, 1998. Public use file. Accessed: 2025-09-30.
- National Center for Health Statistics.** 1944–1952. "Vital Statistics of the United States." [https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data\\_access/VitalStatsOnline.htm](https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data_access/VitalStatsOnline.htm), Volumes and parts vary by year. Accessed: 2025-09-30.
- Penk, Walter E, Ralph Robinowitz, John Black, Michael Dolan, William Bell, Dovalee Dorsett, Michael Ames, and Lori Noriega.** 1989. "Ethnicity: Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) differences among Black, White, and Hispanic veterans who differ in degrees of exposure to combat in Vietnam." *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 45(5): 729–735.
- Roberts, Andrea L, Stephen E Gilman, Joshua Breslau, Naomi Breslau, and Karestan C Koenen.** 2011. "Race/ethnic differences in exposure to traumatic events, development of post-traumatic stress disorder, and treatment-seeking for post-traumatic stress disorder in the United States." *Psychological Medicine*, 41(1): 71–83.
- Schlenger, William E, Nida H Corry, Christianna S Williams, Richard A Kulka, Norah Mulvaney-Day, Samar DeBakey, Catherine M Murphy, and Charles R Marmar.** 2015. "A prospective study of mortality and trauma-related risk factors among a nationally representative sample of Vietnam veterans." *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 182(12): 980–990.
- Schmitz, Lauren, and Dalton Conley.** 2016. "The long-term consequences of Vietnam-era conscription and genotype on smoking behavior and health." *Behavior Genetics*, 46(1): 43–58.

- Siminski, Peter, and Simon Ville.** 2011. “Long-run mortality effects of Vietnam-era army service: evidence from Australia’s conscription lotteries.” *American Economic Review*, 101(3): 345–349.
- Wang, Xintong, Carlos A Flores, and Alfonso Flores-Lagunes.** 2025. “The effects of Vietnam-era military service on the long-term health of veterans: A bounds analysis.” *Journal of Health Economics*, 101: 102984.

## APPENDIX

16

TABLE A1—EFFECT OF DRAFT-ELIGIBILITY ON LONG-TERM MORTALITY, COHORTS 1944–1952

	1944	1945	1946	1947	1948	1949	1950	1951	1952
<b>Panel A: Men only</b>									
Black	-0.0037 (0.0029)	-0.0008 (0.0030)	0.0036 (0.0030)	0.0015 (0.0029)	0.0007 (0.0029)	-0.0002 (0.0029)	0.0051 (0.0029)	0.0007 (0.0029)	-0.0014 (0.0027)
Sample size	188,205	170,471	185,124	192,153	175,168	168,541	162,974	162,567	159,303
<b>Panel B: Men &amp; women</b>									
Black	0.0031 (0.0038)	0.0027 (0.0040)	-0.0034 (0.0039)	0.0088 (0.0038)	0.0002 (0.0039)	0.0037 (0.0039)	-0.0058 (0.0040)	-0.0054 (0.0040)	-0.0030 (0.0037)
Men	0.0003 (0.0020)	0.0008 (0.0021)	-0.0012 (0.0020)	0.0013 (0.0020)	-0.0001 (0.0022)	-0.0015 (0.0022)	-0.0027 (0.0023)	-0.0026 (0.0023)	0.0026 (0.0021)
Black × Men	-0.0068 (0.0048)	-0.0035 (0.0050)	0.0071 (0.0049)	-0.0073 (0.0048)	0.0006 (0.0048)	-0.0038 (0.0049)	0.0109 (0.0049)	0.0061 (0.0049)	0.0016 (0.0045)
Sample size	297,822	268,230	289,512	299,377	270,326	256,722	245,151	241,353	235,473

Note: Estimates from OLS regression with controls for month of birth fixed effects. Robust standard errors are reported in parentheses.

KILLED IN AND AFTER ACTION