

How smooth is the drift of the mixed fractional Brownian motion?

P. Chigansky and M. Kleptsyna

ABSTRACT. The mixed fractional Brownian motion, the sum of independent fractional and standard Brownian motions, is known to be a semimartingale if the Hurst exponent H of its fractional component is greater than $3/4$. The question in the title is motivated by recent findings in quantitative finance. In this note, we find that the drift in its Doob-Meyer decomposition has derivative which is γ -Hölder for any $\gamma < 2H - 3/2$.

1. The main result

The fractional Brownian motion (fBm) $B^H = (B_t^H, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ is the Gaussian process with continuous paths, zero mean and covariance function

$$\text{Cov}(B_s^H, B_t^H) = \frac{1}{2}t^{2H} + \frac{1}{2}s^{2H} - \frac{1}{2}|t - s|^{2H},$$

where $H \in (0, 1)$ is its Hurst exponent, [10], [17], [15]. While B^H is not a semimartingale for $H \neq \frac{1}{2}$, it can become one if an independent Brownian motion $B = (B_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ is added to it. More precisely, the process

$$X_t = B_t^H + B_t, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \tag{1.1}$$

called mixed fBm, is a semimartingale if and only if $H \in \{\frac{1}{2}\} \cup (\frac{3}{4}, 1]$. This effect, discovered in [4], plays a role in financial applications, [5], [2]. Some other interesting features of this process were revealed in, e.g., [1], [20], [3], [7], [9], [8].

By the Doob-Meyer theorem, the continuous semimartingale X can be uniquely decomposed into the sum of a local martingale and a continuous process of locally finite variation, which we will refer to in this note as the drift. The analysis of the drift of the mixed fBm is motivated by recent findings in quantitative finance. Two key concepts in finance are the volatility, that is the intensity of price fluctuations, and the market impact, which is the magnitude of the average price return induced by a given volume of transactions, see [11], [14] for details. It has been recently

Key words and phrases. mixed fractional Brownian motion, Doob-Meyer decomposition, semimartingales.

shown in [16] that the mixed fBm is a natural model for the signed flow of financial transactions, and therefore, its drift properties are of significant importance for understanding the nature of volatility and impact in financial markets.

The main result of this note is the exact value of its Hölder exponent.

THEOREM 1.1. *The drift of the mixed fBm with $H > 3/4$ is differentiable and the derivative has a continuous modification which, with probability one, is locally γ -Hölder continuous for all $\gamma < 2H - 3/2$.*

2. The proof

2.1. The drift process. The mixed fBm with $H \in (\frac{3}{4}, 1]$ defined in (1.1) is a semimartingale in its own filtration $\mathcal{F}_t^X = \sigma\{X_s, s \in [0, t]\}$ with the Doob-Meyer decomposition

$$X_t = \bar{B}_t - \int_0^t \varphi_s(X) ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad (2.1)$$

where \bar{B} is \mathcal{F}^X -Brownian motion defined by the stochastic integral

$$\bar{B}_t = \int_0^t \frac{dM_s}{g(s, s)}$$

with respect to the martingale

$$M_t = \int_0^t g(s, t) dX_s.$$

The function $g(s, t)$ is the unique continuous solution to the integral equation

$$g(s, t) + \int_0^t g(r, t) c_H |s - r|^{2H-2} dr = 1, \quad 0 < s \leq t,$$

where $c_H := H(2H - 1)$. The derivative of the drift in (2.1) is

$$\varphi_s(X) = \int_0^s L(r, s) dX_r, \quad (2.2)$$

where the function $L(r, s) = \partial_s g(r, s) / g(s, s)$ is the unique $L^2([0, s])$ solution to the integral equation

$$L(r, s) + \int_0^s L(\tau, s) c_H |r - \tau|^{2H-2} d\tau = -c_H |s - r|^{2H-2}, \quad 0 < r < s. \quad (2.3)$$

The semimartingale decomposition (2.1) is, in fact, the canonical innovation representation, see [12], of the process X as its filtration coincides with that of \bar{B} , i.e., $\mathcal{F}_t^X = \mathcal{F}_t^{\bar{B}}$ for all $t \geq 0$. This representation can be deduced from the general result in [13]. The above formulation is taken from [3, Theorem 3.4(i)]. By the Girsanov theorem the measure induced by X is locally equivalent to the Wiener measure and the Radon-Nikodym density is given by the corresponding stochastic exponential. This equivalence also follows from the general theory in [19]. A detailed discussion of all these results can be found in [6].

REMARK 2.1. The assertion of Theorem 1.1 is obvious for $H = 1$ since in this case the unique solution to equation (2.3) is $L(s, t) = -1/(1+t)$. The process $\varphi_t = -X_t/(1+t)$ in (2.2) has the same Hölder exponent as the mixed fBm itself, which, for $H = 1$, has the form $X_t = \xi t + B_t$ with the standard Gaussian random variable ξ independent of B . Thus, $\gamma < \frac{1}{2}$ as claimed. The case $H \in (\frac{3}{4}, 1)$ is more involved since (2.3) is no longer solvable in a closed form.

We will prove that for $H \in (\frac{3}{4}, 1)$,

$$\mathbb{E}(\varphi_t(X) - \varphi_s(X))^2 \leq C_2 |t - s|^{4H-3} \quad (2.4)$$

for some constant C_2 (possibly dependent on $t \vee s$). Since the process $\varphi(X)$ is Gaussian, this implies

$$\mathbb{E}|\varphi_t(X) - \varphi_s(X)|^{2m} \leq C_m |t - s|^{(4H-3)m}, \quad \forall m \geq 1,$$

with constants C_m . Then by Kolmogorov's continuity theorem, $\phi(X)$ has a continuous modification, which is a.s. locally γ -Hölder for all $\gamma < \frac{m(4H-3)-1}{2m}$. The assertion of Theorem 1.1 follows by arbitrariness of m .

2.2. Auxiliary estimates. To prove (2.4), we will need some estimates for the solutions to weakly singular equations such as (2.3). For brevity, define

$$\alpha = 2 - 2H \in (0, \frac{1}{2}), \quad b_\alpha := c_{1-\alpha/2} = (1 - \alpha/2)(1 - \alpha).$$

For a fixed $t > 0$, let $f(t; r, s)$, $r, s \in [0, t]^2$ be a real valued function with finite $L^2([0, s])$ norm,

$$\|f(t; \cdot, s)\|_2^2 = \int_0^s f(t; r, s)^2 dr < \infty, \quad \forall s \in [0, t].$$

Consider the integral equation

$$Q(r, s) + \int_0^s Q(\tau, s) b_\alpha |r - \tau|^{-\alpha} d\tau = f(t; r, s), \quad 0 \leq r, s \leq t. \quad (2.5)$$

For each fixed s and $r \in [0, s]$, it has the unique solution in $L^2([0, s])$, since, for $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, the kernel satisfies, see [18, Section 94],

$$\int_0^s \int_0^s |r - \tau|^{-2\alpha} dr d\tau < \infty.$$

Since the integral in (2.5) depends only on the values of $Q(r, s)$ for $r < s$, this equation defines the function $Q(r, s)$ for $r > s$ directly. The following lemma establishes the relevant bounds on the solution to (2.5) for several types of the function $f(\cdot)$.

LEMMA 2.2.

- (i) Assume $\|f\|_\infty := \sup_{s, r \in [0, t]} |f(t; r, s)| < \infty$, then there exists a constant $C_1(t)$ such that

$$|Q(s, r)| \leq C_1(t) \|f\|_\infty, \quad \forall r, s \in [0, t].$$

- (ii) Assume $|f(t; r, s)| \leq C(s, t) |s - r|^{-\alpha}$ for some function $C(s, t)$ which satisfies $\sup_{s \in [0, t]} C(s, t) < \infty$. Then there exists a constant $C_2(t)$ such that

$$|Q(r, s)| \leq C_2(t) C(s, t) |s - r|^{-\alpha}, \quad \forall r, s \in [0, t]. \quad (2.6)$$

(iii) Let $f(t; r, s) = (s - r)^{-\alpha} - (t - r)^{-\alpha}$, then there exists a constant $C_3(t)$ such that

$$|Q(r, s)| \leq C_3(t) |(s - r)^{-\alpha} - (t - r)^{-\alpha}|, \quad \forall r, s \in [0, t].$$

PROOF.

(i) Multiply both sides of (2.5) by $Q(r, s)$ and integrate to obtain

$$\|Q(\cdot, s)\|_2^2 + \int_0^s \int_0^s Q(r, s) Q(\tau, s) b_\alpha |r - \tau|^{-\alpha} d\tau dr = \int_0^s Q(r, s) f(t; r, s) dr.$$

The kernel $|r - \tau|^{-\alpha}$ is non-negative definite and hence

$$\|Q(\cdot, s)\|_2^2 \leq \int_0^s |Q(r, s)| |f(t; r, s)| dr \leq \|f\|_\infty \|Q(\cdot, s)\|_2 \sqrt{t}.$$

This implies $\|Q(\cdot, s)\|_2 \leq \|f\|_\infty \sqrt{t}$ and, consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} |Q(r, s)| &\leq \|f\|_\infty + b_\alpha \|Q(\cdot, s)\|_2 \left(\int_0^s |r - \tau|^{-2\alpha} d\tau \right)^{1/2} \leq \\ &\|f\|_\infty + \|f\|_\infty \sqrt{t} \frac{1}{1 - 2\alpha} (r^{1-2\alpha} + (s - r)^{1-2\alpha})^{1/2} \leq \frac{2}{1 - 2\alpha} t^{1-\alpha} \|f\|_\infty, \end{aligned}$$

which verifies the claimed bound.

(ii) The difference $\tilde{Q}(r, s) := Q(r, s) - f(t; r, s)$ solves the equation

$$\tilde{Q}(r, s) + b_\alpha \int_0^s \tilde{Q}(\tau, s) |r - \tau|^{-\alpha} d\tau = -b_\alpha \int_0^s |r - \tau|^{-\alpha} f(t; \tau, s) d\tau. \quad (2.7)$$

For $r < s$, the expression in the right hand side satisfies the bound

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| b_\alpha \int_0^s |r - \tau|^{-\alpha} f(t; \tau, s) d\tau \right| \leq \\ &C(s, t) \int_0^s |\tau - r|^{-\alpha} (s - \tau)^{-\alpha} d\tau = C(s, t) \int_0^s v^{-\alpha} |s - r - v|^{-\alpha} dv = \\ &C(s, t) (s - r)^{1-2\alpha} \int_0^{s/(s-r)} \tau^{-\alpha} |1 - \tau|^{-\alpha} d\tau = \\ &C(s, t) (s - r)^{1-2\alpha} \left(\int_0^{s/(s-r)} \tau^{-\alpha} (|1 - \tau|^{-\alpha} - \tau^{-\alpha}) d\tau + \int_0^{s/(s-r)} \tau^{-2\alpha} d\tau \right) \leq \\ &C(s, t) (s - r)^{1-2\alpha} \left(\int_0^\infty \tau^{-\alpha} (|1 - \tau|^{-\alpha} - \tau^{-\alpha}) d\tau + \frac{1}{1 - 2\alpha} \left(\frac{s}{s - r} \right)^{1-2\alpha} \right) \leq \\ &C(s, t) \left(\left(\pi + \frac{2}{1 - 2\alpha} \right) (s - r)^{1-2\alpha} + \frac{1}{1 - 2\alpha} s^{1-2\alpha} \right) \leq \\ &\frac{8}{1 - 2\alpha} t^{1-2\alpha} C(s, t) =: C(t) C(s, t). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the same bound holds for $r > s$. Thus by (i) that

$$|\tilde{Q}(r, s)| \leq C_1(t) C(t) C(s, t)$$

and, consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} |Q(r, s)| &\leq |\tilde{Q}(r, s)| + |f(t; r, s)| \leq C_1(t)C(t)C(s, t) + C(s, t)|s - r|^{-\alpha} \leq \\ &(C_1(t)C(t)t^\alpha + 1)C(s, t)|s - r|^{-\alpha} =: C_2(t)C(s, t)|s - r|^{-\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

as claimed in (2.6).

(iii). In this case, the right hand side of (2.7) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| b_\alpha \int_0^s |r - \tau|^{-\alpha} f(t; \tau, s) d\tau \right| \leq \\ &\int_0^s |r - \tau|^{-\alpha} ((s - \tau)^{-\alpha} - (t - \tau)^{-\alpha}) d\tau = \\ &\int_0^s |r - s + u|^{-\alpha} (u^{-\alpha} - (t - s + u)^{-\alpha}) du = \\ &(t - s)^{1-2\alpha} \int_0^A |B - v|^{-\alpha} (v^{-\alpha} - (1 + v)^{-\alpha}) dv, \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where we defined

$$A := s/(t - s) \in (0, \infty) \quad \text{and} \quad B := (s - r)/(t - s) \in (0, A).$$

For $B \leq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^A |B - v|^{-\alpha} (v^{-\alpha} - (1 + v)^{-\alpha}) dv \leq \int_0^\infty |B - v|^{-\alpha} (v^{-\alpha} - (1 + v)^{-\alpha}) dv \leq \\ &\int_0^2 |B - v|^{-\alpha} v^{-\alpha} dv + \int_2^\infty (v - 2)^{-\alpha} (v^{-\alpha} - (1 + v)^{-\alpha}) dv \leq \\ &\int_0^B (B - v)^{-\alpha} v^{-\alpha} dv + \int_B^2 (v - B)^{-2\alpha} dv + \frac{3}{1 - 2\alpha} = \\ &\pi B^{1-2\alpha} + \frac{1}{1 - 2\alpha} (2 - B)^{1-2\alpha} + \frac{3}{1 - 2\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

which verifies the bound

$$\sup_{A \geq 0} \sup_{0 \leq B \leq 2} \int_0^A |B - v|^{-\alpha} (v^{-\alpha} - (1 + v)^{-\alpha}) dv < \infty. \tag{2.9}$$

For $B \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^A |B - v|^{-\alpha} (v^{-\alpha} - (1 + v)^{-\alpha}) dv \leq \int_0^\infty |B - v|^{-\alpha} (v^{-\alpha} - (1 + v)^{-\alpha}) dv = \\ &B^{1-2\alpha} \left(\int_0^1 + \int_1^\infty \right) |\tau - 1|^{-\alpha} (\tau^{-\alpha} - (\tau + 1/B)^{-\alpha}) d\tau =: B^{1-2\alpha} (J_1(B) + J_2(B)). \end{aligned}$$

The second term satisfies the bound

$$\begin{aligned} J_2(B) &= \int_1^\infty (\tau - 1)^{-\alpha} (\tau^{-\alpha} - (\tau + 1/B)^{-\alpha}) d\tau = \\ &\int_1^\infty (\tau - 1)^{-\alpha} \tau^{-\alpha} \left(1 - (1 + 1/(B\tau))^{-\alpha} \right) d\tau \leq \\ &\alpha B^{-1} \int_1^\infty (\tau - 1)^{-\alpha} \tau^{-\alpha-1} d\tau \leq \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} B^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$B^{1-2\alpha}J_2(B) \leq \frac{1}{1-\alpha}B^{-2\alpha} \leq \frac{2^{-2\alpha}}{1-\alpha}, \quad \forall B \geq 2.$$

To estimate the term $B^{1-2\alpha}J_1(B)$ as $B \rightarrow \infty$, let $R := 1/B$ and write

$$B^{1-2\alpha}J_1(B) = R^\alpha \frac{1}{R^{1-\alpha}} \int_0^1 (1-\tau)^{-\alpha} (\tau^{-\alpha} - (\tau+R)^{-\alpha}) d\tau.$$

By the L'Hôpital rule,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{R \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{R^{1-\alpha}} \int_0^1 (1-\tau)^{-\alpha} (\tau^{-\alpha} - (\tau+R)^{-\alpha}) d\tau &= \\ \lim_{R \rightarrow 0} \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \frac{1}{R^{-\alpha}} \int_0^1 (1-\tau)^{-\alpha} (\tau+R)^{-\alpha-1} d\tau &= \\ \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \lim_{R \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{R^{-\alpha}} \int_0^1 ((1-\tau)^{-\alpha} - 1) (\tau+R)^{-\alpha-1} d\tau + \\ \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \lim_{R \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{R^{-\alpha}} \int_0^1 (\tau+R)^{-\alpha-1} d\tau &= \frac{1}{1-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $B^{1-2\alpha}J_1(B) \rightarrow 0$ as $B \rightarrow \infty$ and hence

$$\sup_{A \geq 0} \sup_{B \geq 2} \int_0^A |B-v|^{-\alpha} (v^{-\alpha} - (1+v)^{-\alpha}) dv < \infty. \quad (2.10)$$

By plugging (2.9) and (2.10) into (2.8), we obtain

$$\left| b_\alpha \int_0^s |r-\tau|^{-\alpha} f(t; \tau, s) d\tau \right| \leq C_\alpha (t-s)^{1-2\alpha}$$

for some constant C_α . Applying (i) of Lemma 2.2 to equation (2.7) yields

$$|\tilde{Q}(r, s)| \leq C_1(t) C_\alpha t^{1-2\alpha}$$

and, in turn,

$$\begin{aligned} |Q(r, s)| &\leq |\tilde{Q}(r, s)| + |f(t; r, s)| \leq C_1(t) C_\alpha t^{1-2\alpha} + ||s-r|^{-\alpha} - |t-r|^{-\alpha}| \leq \\ &\left(C_1(t) C_\alpha t^{1-2\alpha} + 1 \right) ||s-r|^{-\alpha} - |t-r|^{-\alpha}| := C_3(t) ||s-r|^{-\alpha} - |t-r|^{-\alpha}|. \end{aligned}$$

□

2.3. Proof of (2.4). For $s < t$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}((\varphi_t(X) - \varphi_s(X))^2) &= \mathbb{E}\varphi_t(X)^2 + \mathbb{E}\varphi_s(X)^2 - 2\mathbb{E}\varphi_s(X)\varphi_t(X) = \\
&\int_0^t L(\tau, t) \left(L(\tau, t) + b_\alpha \int_0^t L(r, t) |\tau - r|^{-\alpha} dr \right) d\tau \\
&+ \int_0^s L(\tau, s) \left(L(\tau, s) + b_\alpha \int_0^s L(r, s) |\tau - r|^{-\alpha} dr \right) d\tau \\
&- 2 \int_0^s L(\tau, s) \left(L(\tau, t) + b_\alpha \int_0^t L(r, t) |\tau - r|^{-\alpha} dr \right) d\tau = \\
&- \int_0^t L(\tau, t) b_\alpha |\tau - t|^{-\alpha} d\tau - \int_0^s L(\tau, s) b_\alpha |\tau - s|^{-\alpha} d\tau \\
&+ 2 \int_0^s L(\tau, s) b_\alpha |\tau - t|^{-\alpha} d\tau =: -b_\alpha(I_1 + I_2 + I_3),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

where we defined

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &:= \int_0^s (L(\tau, t) - L(\tau, s)) |\tau - t|^{-\alpha} d\tau, \\
I_2 &:= \int_0^s L(\tau, s) (|\tau - s|^{-\alpha} - |\tau - t|^{-\alpha}) d\tau, \\
I_3 &:= \int_s^t L(\tau, t) |\tau - t|^{-\alpha} d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

We will estimate these terms using the bounds from Lemma 2.2. By (ii) the solution to (2.3) satisfies $|L(r, s)| \leq b_\alpha C_2(t) |r - s|^{-\alpha}$ and hence

$$|I_3| \leq C_2(t) \int_s^t (t - \tau)^{-2\alpha} d\tau = C_2(t) \frac{1}{1 - 2\alpha} (t - s)^{1 - 2\alpha}. \tag{2.12}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_2| &\leq C_2(t) \int_0^s (s - \tau)^{-\alpha} ((s - \tau)^{-\alpha} - (t - \tau)^{-\alpha}) d\tau = \\
&C_2(t) \int_0^s u^{-\alpha} (u^{-\alpha} - (t - s + u)^{-\alpha}) d\tau \leq \\
&C_2(t) (t - s)^{1 - 2\alpha} \int_0^\infty v^{-\alpha} (v^{-\alpha} - (v + 1)^{-\alpha}) dv \leq \\
&C_2(t) \frac{1}{1 - 2\alpha} (t - s)^{1 - 2\alpha}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

To estimate I_1 , note that $D(r, s) := L(r, t) - L(r, s)$ solves the equation, cf. (2.3),

$$\begin{aligned}
D(r, s) + \int_0^s D(\tau, s) b_\alpha |r - \tau|^{-\alpha} d\tau = \\
b_\alpha (|s - r|^{-\alpha} - |t - r|^{-\alpha}) - \int_s^t L(\tau, t) b_\alpha |r - \tau|^{-\alpha} d\tau.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

By (ii) of Lemma 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_s^t L(\tau, t) b_\alpha |r - \tau|^{-\alpha} d\tau \right| &\leq C_2(t) \int_s^t (t - \tau)^{-\alpha} |r - \tau|^{-\alpha} d\tau = \\ C_2(t) \int_0^{t-s} u^{-\alpha} |t - r - u|^{-\alpha} du &= C_2(t) (t - s)^{1-2\alpha} \int_0^1 v^{-\alpha} \left(\frac{t-r}{t-s} - v \right)^{-\alpha} dv \stackrel{\dagger}{\leq} \\ C_2(t) (t - s)^{1-2\alpha} \left(\frac{t-r}{t-s} - 1 \right)^{-\alpha} \int_0^1 v^{-\alpha} dv &= C_2(t) \frac{1}{1-\alpha} (t - s)^{1-\alpha} (s - r)^{-\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

where \dagger holds since $(t - r)/(t - s) \in [1, \infty)$ for $r \leq s$. By linearity of (2.14) and uniqueness of its solution, it follows from (ii) and (iii) of Lemma 2.2 that

$$|L(r, t) - L(r, s)| \leq C_3(t) ((s - r)^{-\alpha} - (t - r)^{-\alpha}) + C_2(t)^2 \frac{1}{1-\alpha} (t - s)^{1-\alpha} (s - r)^{-\alpha}.$$

Substitution of this bound yields

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| &\leq C_3(t) \int_0^s ((s - \tau)^{-\alpha} - (t - \tau)^{-\alpha}) (t - \tau)^{-\alpha} d\tau + \\ &\quad C_2(t)^2 \frac{1}{1-\alpha} (t - s)^{1-\alpha} \int_0^s (s - \tau)^{-\alpha} (t - \tau)^{-\alpha} d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

The first integral satisfies the bound

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^s ((s - \tau)^{-\alpha} - (t - \tau)^{-\alpha}) (t - \tau)^{-\alpha} d\tau &\leq \\ \int_0^s (u^{-\alpha} - (t - s + u)^{-\alpha}) (t - s + u)^{-\alpha} du &\leq \\ (t - s)^{1-2\alpha} \int_0^\infty (v^{-\alpha} - (v + 1)^{-\alpha}) (v + 1)^{-\alpha} dv &\leq \\ \frac{1}{1-2\alpha} (t - s)^{1-2\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

The second integral in (2.15) has a similar estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} (t - s)^{1-\alpha} \int_0^s (s - \tau)^{-\alpha} (t - \tau)^{-\alpha} d\tau &\leq \\ (t - s)^{1-\alpha} \int_0^s (s - \tau)^{-2\alpha} d\tau &= \frac{1}{1-2\alpha} (t - s)^{1-\alpha} s^{1-2\alpha} \leq \\ \frac{1}{1-2\alpha} t^{1-\alpha} (t - s)^{1-2\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$|I_1| \leq \frac{1}{1-2\alpha} \left(C_3(t) + C_2(t)^2 \frac{1}{1-2\alpha} t^{1-\alpha} \right) (t - s)^{1-2\alpha}. \quad (2.16)$$

Substitution of (2.12), (2.13) and (2.16) into (2.11) yields (2.4), which completes the proof.

Acknowledgement. We are grateful to Mathieu Rosenbaum for bringing the question to our attention and for his insightful comments on the subject.

References

- [1] Fabrice Baudoin and David Nualart. Equivalence of Volterra processes. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 107(2):327–350, 2003.
- [2] Christian Bender, Tommi Sottinen, and Esko Valkeila. Fractional processes as models in stochastic finance. In *Advanced mathematical methods for finance*, pages 75–103. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [3] Chunhao Cai, Pavel Chigansky, and Marina Kleptsyna. Mixed Gaussian processes: a filtering approach. *Ann. Probab.*, 44(4):3032–3075, 2016.
- [4] Patrick Cheridito. Mixed fractional Brownian motion. *Bernoulli*, 7(6):913–934, 2001.
- [5] Patrick Cheridito. Arbitrage in fractional Brownian motion models. *Finance Stoch.*, 7(4):533–553, 2003.
- [6] Patrick Cheridito. Representations of Gaussian measures that are equivalent to Wiener measure. In *Séminaire de Probabilités XXXVII*, volume 1832 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 81–89. Springer, Berlin, 2003.
- [7] P. Chigansky, M. Kleptsyna, and D. Marushkevych. Mixed fractional Brownian motion: a spectral take. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 482(2):123558, 23, 2020.
- [8] Carsten H. Chong, Thomas Delerue, and Fabian Mies. Rate-optimal estimation of mixed semimartingales. *Ann. Statist.*, 53(1):219–244, 2025.
- [9] Josephine Dufitinema, Foad Shokrollahi, Tommi Sottinen, and Lauri Viitasaari. Long-range dependent completely correlated mixed fractional brownian motion. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 170:104289, 2024.
- [10] Paul Embrechts and Makoto Maejima. *Selfsimilar processes*. Princeton Series in Applied Mathematics. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2002.
- [11] Jim Gatheral, Thibault Jaisson, and Mathieu Rosenbaum. Volatility is rough. *Quantitative Finance*, 18(6):933–1336, 2018.
- [12] Takeyuki Hida and Masuyuki Hitsuda. *Gaussian processes*, volume 120 of *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1993. Translated from the 1976 Japanese original by the authors.
- [13] Masuyuki Hitsuda. Representation of Gaussian processes equivalent to Wiener process. *Osaka J. Math.*, 5:299–312, 1968.
- [14] Paul Jusselin and Mathieu Rosenbaum. No-arbitrage implies power-law market impact and rough volatility. *Mathematical Finance*, 30(4):1309–1336, 2020.
- [15] Yuliya S. Mishura. *Stochastic calculus for fractional Brownian motion and related processes*, volume 1929 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.
- [16] Johannes Muhle-Karbe, Youssef Ouazzani-Chahdi, Grégoire Szymanski, and Mathieu Rosenbaum. Baseline flow: The invisible hand of market dynamics. *Working paper*, 2025.
- [17] Vlasov Pipiras and Murad S. Taqqu. *Long-range dependence and self-similarity*. Cambridge Series in Statistical and Probabilistic Mathematics, [45]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2017.
- [18] Frigyes Riesz and Béla Sz.-Nagy. *Functional analysis*. Dover Books on Advanced Mathematics. Dover Publications Inc., New York, 1990. Translated from the second French edition by Leo F. Boron, Reprint of the 1955 original.
- [19] L. A. Shepp. Radon-Nikodým derivatives of Gaussian measures. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 37:321–354, 1966.
- [20] Harry van Zanten. When is a linear combination of independent fBm’s equivalent to a single fBm? *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 117(1):57–70, 2007.

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS AND DATA SCIENCE, THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM,
MOUNT SCOPUS, JERUSALEM 91905, ISRAEL
Email address: Pavel.Chigansky@mail.huji.ac.il

LABORATOIRE MANCEAU DE MATHÉMATIQUES, LE MANS UNIVERSITÉ, FRANCE
Email address: Marina.Kleptsyna@univ-lemans.fr