

35th CIRP Design Conference

Declarative Policy Control for Data Spaces: A DSL-Based Approach for Manufacturing-X

Jérôme Pfeiffer^a, Nicolai Maisch^a, Sebastian Friedl^b, Matthias Milan Strljic^c, Armin Lechler^a,
Oliver Riedel^a, Andreas Wortmann^a

^aInstitute for Control Engineering of Machine Tools and Manufacturing Units, University of Stuttgart, Seidenstr. 36, 70174 Stuttgart, Germany

^bFVA GmbH, Lyoner Str. 18, 60528 Frankfurt, Germany

^cRobert Bosch GmbH, Robert-Bosch-Campus 1, 71272 Renningen, Germany

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +49-711-685-84500; E-mail address: jerome.pfeiffer@isw.uni-stuttgart.de

Abstract

The growing adoption of federated data spaces, such as in the GAIA-X and the International Data Spaces (IDS) initiative, promises secure and sovereign data sharing across organizational boundaries in Industry 4.0. In manufacturing ecosystems, this enables use cases, such as cross-factory process optimization, predictive maintenance, and supplier integration. Frameworks and standards, such as the Asset Administration Shell (AAS), Eclipse Dataspace Connector (EDC), ID-Link and Open Platform Communications Unified Architecture (OPC UA) provide a strong foundation to realize this ecosystem. However, a major open challenge is the practical description and enforcement of context-dependent data usage policies using these base technologies—especially by domain experts without software engineering backgrounds. Therefore, this article proposes a method for leveraging domain-specific languages (DSLs) to enable declarative, human-readable, and machine-executable policy definitions for sovereign data sharing via data space connectors. The DSL empowers domain experts to specify fine-grained data governance requirements—such as restricting access to data from specific production batches or enforcing automatic deletion after a defined retention period—without writing imperative code.

© 2025 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)

Peer-review under responsibility of the scientific committee of the 35th CIRP Design Conference.

Keywords: Data Spaces; Domain-Specific Languages (DSLs); Industry 4.0; Data Governance; Manufacturing-X

1. Introduction

Modern manufacturing increasingly relies on cross-organizational collaboration. In networked production environments, stakeholders can concentrate on their core competencies while collectively improving quality, flexibility, and cost efficiency. To support such scenarios, the *Manufacturing-X* initiative was launched to develop technology and conventions for trusted data ecosystems in which diverse stakeholders seamlessly exchange data across production networks [29]. Several approaches have demonstrated methods and software frameworks for enabling collaboration inside data spaces based on standards and conventions. However, the technological foundation for successful data space creation ultimately depends on interoperable interfaces that are straightforward to implement. The *Factory-X* project [12] introduced the *MX-Port* concept [13], an approach for interoperably combining different base technologies for data space connectors. The various

MX-Port configurations presented so far rely on complex software stacks, creating barriers for domain experts who have limited programming expertise. To address this challenge, we propose a base-technology-independent approach that focuses on the minimal, essential information required to implement *MX-Ports* in domain-specific applications. The contributions of this paper are: (1) An analysis of existing *MX-Port* implementation and their underlying technologies for the discovery and access & usage layers, (2) a unifying metamodel that combines the information required for implementing the *MX-Port* in the technologies, ID Link, Asset Administration Shell (AAS), Open Platform Communications Unified Architecture (OPC UA), and Eclipse Data Space Connector (EDC), and (3) a prototypical implementation of this metamodel as a Domain-Specific Language (DSL) available in a GitHub repository [14] for declarative policy control of data spaces designed to enable the semi-automatic generation of interfaces for partners within a supply chain.

2212-8271 © 2025 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)

Peer-review under responsibility of the scientific committee of the 35th CIRP Design Conference.

The paper is structured as follows: Sec. 2 explains the general principles underlying this work. Sec. 3 outlines related research. The development of the proposed DSL is presented in Sec. 4 and demonstrated through a prototype in Sec. 5. Finally, Sec. 6 discusses the results, and Sec. 7 summarizes our findings and future outlook.

2. Background

2.1. Software Language Engineering

Software language engineering [5] is a subfield of software engineering that focuses on the design, implementation, and evolution of software languages. domain-specific languages (DSLs) are a special form of software language that have a limited instruction set tailored to a specific application domain, such as digital twins [8], internet of things [20], security [15], or mechanical engineering [3]. Engineering such domain-specific languages (DSLs) [5] entails defining three essential constituents [11], i.e., a context-free syntax, a collection of non-context-free validation procedures, and a definition of the language's behavior. In this paper we focus on the syntax definition of a DSL for specifying data space connectors. The syntax of a language can be defined in terms of metamodel [7] and grammars [4]. Metamodels, in most cases, specify the abstract syntax of a language. Similar to UML class diagrams they specify classes, attributes, and relationships between classes. In contrast to metamodels, grammars can be used to define both the concrete syntax of a language, which specifies how the language constructs are represented in text, as well as the abstract syntax, which specifies the structure of the language constructs. In the evaluation we will leverage an implementation of our unifying metamodel in a textual DSL based on a grammar-based syntax specification [14].

2.2. Data Spaces

A Data space is a trusted, standardized framework for secure, sovereign data sharing between organizations, letting companies exchange information without losing ownership or control [16]. It exists to unlock the value of cross-company data collaboration [21], like improving supply chains or enabling predictive maintenance, while avoiding the risks of central platforms, lock-in, or loss of sensitive data. It works by keeping data with its owner, linking participants through certified data space connectors that enforce machine-readable usage policies, contracts, and secure transfer, all under a shared governance and certification model. Recently, the initiative Factory-X [12] plans to create an open and collaborative digital ecosystem for factory outfitters and operators. The MX-Port concept, as defined by the Factory-X project [13], proposes a modular, five-layered architecture for federated data sharing across industrial ecosystems. The architecture (see Fig. 1) includes five layers, where each layer represents a distinct functional responsibility in the data exchange process, and every MX-Port configuration is composed of components mapped to these layers:




Fig. 1: The five-layered MX-port architecture together with three application-specific implementations [13].

(L1) Adapter: Connects arbitrary business applications to the MX-Port, translating application-specific interfaces into standardized forms. (L2) Converter: Performs semantic alignment by mapping exchanged information to common models, such as Asset Administration Shell (AAS) submodels. (L3) Gate: Implements the uniform exchange interface for data transfer, ensuring compatibility and integrity between participants. (L4) Access & Usage Control: Enforces permissions, licensing rules, and usage constraints defined by the data owner. (L5) Discovery: Provides mechanisms for finding partners, data assets, and relevant services within the ecosystem.

3. Related Work

Data spaces are designed to enable collaboration across company boundaries. Interfaces are therefore necessary to bridge these borders [23, 22, 10, 28]. A vision of a holistic Manufacturing-X architecture [23] suggests combining OPC UA, the AAS, and the EDC for vertical and horizontal integration. Similarly, strategies for implementing data spaces [17] emphasize the lack of standards for integrating these respective protocols properly. To tackle this, requirements from the Open Digital Rights Language (ODRL) can be leveraged [30] to formalize privacy and access rules across the standards. Other contributions take a broader approach and cluster different protocols and serialization standards into meta-functionalities [31] to form industrial data spaces, while [24] propose data space building blocks by mapping base technologies to derived core functionalities. This clustering and generalization provides a useful overview, but a derivation of a minimal subset of standards or information relevant for MX-Port configurations is missing. At the data space level, implementation technologies such as the EDC—which follows the International Data Spaces (IDS) standard [18] have been analyzed. These studies point out issues with software documentation and compatibility [9] and emphasize the complexity of such solutions [2]. In addition, [25] highlight that a common understanding of key data space functionalities (such as usage policies and control enforcement) is still lacking, which hinders broader adoption. In summary, prior research has developed concepts for integrating different




Fig. 2: The metamodel of the information required to implement the layers L5 and L4 of MX-ports with OPC UA.

industry standards vertically across production levels and horizontally across company boundaries. Approaches to cluster and map data space core functionalities have improved the conceptual understanding of requirements. However, a formalized way to configure and interface the different technologies and standards—especially for MX-Port configurations at the data space level—remains missing.

4. Towards a DSL for Declarative Policy Control for Data Space Connectors

This section analyses the existing implementations of discovery and access & control layers by OPC UA, EDC, and ID Link and the AAS. From this we derive a unified metamodel that can be leveraged to define a DSL for declarative policy control for data space connectors in these respective technologies. For the extraction of these metamodels we interviewed experts in the Factory-X research consortium and investigated implementations of the data space connectors from practice.

4.1. Analysing the OPC UA Metamodel

OPC UA offers a toolset to implement the layers L4 and L5 of the MX-Port. Fig. 2 shows the metamodel of the information required to realize these respective layers in an OPC UA data space connector implementation. For this, first, general CatalogMetadata is required. This entails defining a unique title of the offering, a description summarizing the offering, the name of the publisher, *e.g.*, company XYZ GmbH, the version of the provided offering, *e.g.*, v1.0, 2025-Q3, and information such as when the offering was created, when it was last modified, and optionally a country code to specify in which language the offering is provided. For the specification of the layers L4 and L5, ResourceMetadata and PolicyInformation is required. The ResourceMetadata provides the URL of the OPC UA discovery endpoint, *e.g.*, `opc.tcp://machine-001.factory:4840`, as `dpointUrl`, the security policy, *e.g.*, Sha256, the security mode used for messaging, *e.g.*, Sign or SignAndEncrypt, and the authentication mode, *e.g.*, username or token-based. A list of supported communication protocols is also required, *e.g.*, OPC.TCP or MQTT. Furthermore, it needs to be specified which companion specifications are used via urls to the models, *e.g.*, machinery. In addition to that the address space defines the used OPC




Fig. 3: The metamodel of the information required to implement the layers L5 and L4 of MX-ports with Eclipse Data Space Connector.

UA nodeset. Optionally, quality of service metrics, *e.g.*, sampling rate, maximum number of subscriptions, can be made explicit. A list of OPC UA roles specifies which role has the permission to access which kind of data in the data space. The PolicyInformation guides the access of roles via the attributes, usagePolicy, contractOffer, and allowedRoles. Usage policy refers to a IDS usage policy model [18]. contractOffer defines a Map of key-value pairs that provide constraints such as "validUntil": "2026-12-31". Finally allowedRoles associates roles with the policy.

4.2. Analysing the Eclipse Data Space Connector Metamodel

For implementing the EDC the information depicted in Fig. 3 is required for L4 and L5. An EDC can be hosted as a client. Then the EDC instance acts as an abstraction layer, that just needs to be configured with minimal connection details reflected in the metamodel class EDCCommonConfig. Then, EDC handles the Data Space Protocol (DSP) communication, so it is not necessary to directly manage low-level DSP authentication or token exchanges. Besides, it is possible to connect directly to the DSP without the own hosted EDC. Then, it is necessary to implement and manage more of the protocol details that are contained in the class EDCDSPClientConfig. The EDCCommonConfig defines the address of the edc as an URL, a static password as the xAPIKey, the DSP address of the counter party as remoteAddress together with its remoteID, *i.e.*, the business partner number (BPN) or Decentralized identifiers (DID). For the advanced configuration the EDCDirectDSPClientConfig entails the definition of stsServiceAddress. STS (Security Token Service) refers to a service responsible for issuing security tokens that authenticate and authorize participants in a data space. Furthermore, the EDC with DSP can define an EDCPushEndpointConfig where clients can register and the data space connector can push data to.

4.3. ID Link and Asset Administration Shell

For this configuration of a data space connector, ID link is used for the discovery layer, and AAS for the access & usage layer. Fig. 5 depicts the information required for implementing both of these layers using the respective technology. The IDLinkConfig contains the globally unique id of the linked asset that should be discoverable over the baseUrl together




Fig. 4: The unifying metamodel combining information required to implement the layers L5 and L4 of MX-ports with OPC UA, EDC, and ID Link & AAS security.




Fig. 5: The metamodel of the information required to implement the layers L5 and L4 of MX-ports with ID Link and AAS security.

with a unique endpoint. The system identifier (SIDI) [19] is the local identifier for the referenced asset, *i.e.*, serial number. The AAS security layer then controls who can access and use the data. For this, it requires an identity provider (IDP) with an endpoint and secret, and a usage policy that defines contracts and access control similar to OPC UA.

4.4. Unifying Metamodel

Based on the MX-Port architecture, the unifying metamodel defines the structure for describing the discovery, access and usage configuration data of data space connectors (Fig. 4). The identification layer is represented by the class *IdentificationData*, which holds the essential identifiers of a resource such as the linked asset ID, base URL, endpoint, and identifier type. This information anchors the resource in the data space and connects it to its broader context. Building on this, the class *AssetMetaData* provides descriptive and administrative details, including title, description, publisher, semantic identifiers, versioning, creation and modification timestamps, and language. Together, these classes ensure that resources can be clearly described, catalogued, and discovered.

The usage layer is structured around the base class *Usage*, which defines the technical connection points to the resource, including the data address and schema address. This base class can be extended with technology-specific subclasses. *EDCUsage* specifies parameters relevant to EDC-based connectors, such as remote addresses, remote IDs, API keys, STS service addresses, and trusted DID registries, and can be complemented with *EDCPushEndpointsConfig* to define cloud push and callback configurations. *OPCUAUsage* models usage information for OPC UA resources, including supported protocols, quality-of-service metrics, security policies, message security

modes, and authentication modes. These technology-specific extensions allow the metamodel to remain flexible and adaptable to heterogeneous technologies. Id-link is already covered by the base class properties *Usage*.

The access and security layer is centered around the class *AccessPolicy*, which defines how resources may be consumed. This includes the usage policy, contractual clauses in the form of contract offers, and access control definitions. Roles capture a set of permissions tied to a role name, allowing fine-grained authorization. To support federated identity and secure integration with identity providers, the model includes *IdentityProviderConfig*, which defines identity provider endpoints, client credentials, grant types, and secrets, as well as *OAuthInfo*, which specifies parameters for OAuth-based authentication such as identifier, secret, grant type, and scope. Overall, the metamodel integrates descriptive metadata, discovery identifiers, technology-specific usage information, and access control mechanisms into a unified framework. It is extensible for different protocols and standards, while ensuring that discovery, usage, and access are consistently modeled and linked across the data space.

5. Case Study: Application to an Industrial Data Space

Connected factories increasingly depend on heterogeneous participants that collaborate across digitally networked value chains. To investigate such interactions, a software-defined value network (SDVN) has been designed in which fictive companies represent key lifecycle phases, each realized through real machines and IT infrastructures at the University of Stuttgart [6]. The network includes a *supplier* managing parts via robotic storage, a *logistics provider* using a planar motion system, and *manufacturers* offering complementary processes such as milling with robotic feeding or laser-based surface finishing. The *assembly* is performed by collaborative robots, while the *recycling* phase mirrors assembly by disassembling products and returning parts to supply. This modular setting illustrates the heterogeneity of industrial participants and the need for reliable, sovereign data exchange across organizations.

Here, data space connectors act as key enablers by bridging diverse infrastructures and protocols.

We implemented a prototype of our DSL for declarative data space connector descriptions and make it available online in a GitHub repository [14]. In the federated factory case study, our DSL demonstrates its value by providing a single, uniform way of describing connector configurations that would otherwise require fragmented and technology-specific setups. For space reasons we only depict one configuration (see Fig. 6) of one of the production line machines that is located at the manufacturer in the scenario described above. The production line uses a MX-Port configuration (see Fig. 1), where the discovery and access & usage layers are realized via EDC and the layers L3–L1 are handled by OPC UA. Fig. 6 depicts the EDC configuration of L5 and L4 for a production line machine. The model begins with the discovery data (ll. 2-17) that clearly links the connector to a specific machine asset in the factory. The asset meta-data (ll. 7-16) then adds descriptive information such as the title, description, publisher, and version, making the resource both understandable for humans and discoverable by machines. On this basis, the section in lines 18-44 captures how the data can be used and accessed. In the case study, the usage layer is defined with EDC specifics, describing endpoints, API keys, and a push configuration. Access control (ll. 30-43) is modeled explicitly through policies, contracts, and roles. For example, the federated factory specifies that monitoring data is available only for trusted partners and that specific roles, such as "operator" or "partner," carry defined permissions (ll. 34-35). Finally, the model integrates security aspects by linking to an identity provider and specifying OAuth credentials (ll. 37-43). This ensures that the connector is not only technically reachable but also securely accessible within the federated environment. The resulting specification highlights the practicality of the DSL. It enables engineers to describe all relevant aspects of connector configuration—discovery, usage, and access—in a single artifact that is both machine-processable and readable by humans.

6. Discussion

Our case study demonstrates the applicability of the DSL and the unified metamodel. However, several limitations remain. At this stage, the approach is purely analytical by comparing existing solution for data space connectors based on the MX-Port concept, and does not yet include a code generator that would translate models directly into executable configurations for connectors. Implementing this code generator is subject to future work within the Factory-X project. Another limitation lies in the scope of our analysis. The DSL so far is based on the technologies of OPC UA, EDC, and AAS with ID-Link. Extensions to other integration technologies, as well as to further architectural layers beyond discovery, usage, and access (e.g., monitoring, governance or intellectual property [1] aspects), have not yet been realized. Furthermore, additional case studies would be necessary to assess the generalizability of the approach across different industrial settings and data space sce-

```

1 connector config InnoWindow {
2   discovery {
3     linkedAssetID assembly-url0;
4     baseUrl "https://dataspace.innowindow.isw.uni-stuttgart.de";
5     endpoint "opc.tcp://url0.assembly:4840";
6     idType URI;
7     asset {
8       title UR10 OPC UA Machine Server;
9       description "Access to machine data via OPC UA";
10      publisher coPack GmbH;
11      sidiCodes ["machinery", "opcua", "production"];
12      version "1.2.0";
13      created "2025-05-10T10:00:00Z";
14      modified "2025-08-01T12:00:00Z";
15      language en;
16    }
17  }
18  edc usage {
19    dataAddress "opc.tcp://url0.assembly:4840/opcua";
20    dataSchemaAddress "https://isw.uni-stuttgart.de/machinery-nodeset";
21    remoteAddress "https://edc.isw.uni-stuttgart.de/api";
22    remoteID urn:copack:url0;
23    xAPIKey "edc-api-copack";
24    stsServiceAddress "https://sts.copack.eu";
25    trustedRegistries ["edc:trusted"];
26    push endpoints {
27      cloudPush { telemetry = "https://manu0711.cloud/telemetry" };
28      callBackAddress "https://edc.isw.uni-stuttgart.de/edc/callback";
29    }
30    access policy {
31      usagePolicy "IDS: Monitoring only, no redistribution";
32      contractOffer { validUntil="2026-01-01", allowedOps="read" };
33      accessControl [
34        Role { name operator; permissions [read, subscribe]; },
35        Role { name partner; permissions [read]; }
36      ];
37      identity provider config {
38        idpEndpoint "https://idp.isw.uni-stuttgart.de/oauth2/token";
39        clientId copack-idp-client;
40        secret "copack-idp-secret";
41        grantType client_credentials;
42      }
43    }
44    } oauth { //...
45  }

```

Fig. 6: A model defining the EDC data space connector of a production machine.

narios. Finally, the DSL does not yet support an import or modularization mechanism for reusing recurring configuration elements. Technically, however, this extension is possible through the language workbench MontiCore [27], that we utilized for the implementation of the current DSL version. Besides, we plan to alleviate this limitation in the future by leveraging our expertise in language modularization and reuse [26] from previous publications in the field.

7. Conclusion

In this paper we analyzed three data space connector configurations according to the MX-Port layered-architectures and extracted the information that is necessary to implement the layers for discovery and access & usage in OPC UA, AAS with ID-Link and EDC. From this analysis, we extracted metamodels for each technology and abstracted commonalities between those metamodels into a unifying metamodel, which can be extended with technology-specific aspects. We evaluated this metamodel by implementing a textual DSL and demonstrated its applicability in a SDVN. In the future, we plan to add a code generator to generate parts of connector implementations in the respective technologies and to extend our DSL to further layers of the MX-Port concept.

Acknowledgements

Partly funded by the Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Energy (BMWE) – Factory-X – 13MX001L. Website <https://factory-x.org>, the Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Energy (BMWE) – growingING – 13IPC036G. Website <https://www.growingdigitaltwin.de>, and by the Innovation Campus Future Mobility - Website: <https://www.icm-bw.de/en/>.

References

- [1] Annighöfer, B., Maisch, N., Wortmann, A., 2025. Challenges of Collaborative MBSE in the Presence of Practical Intellectual Property Requirements (to appear), in: Proceedings of the ACM/IEEE 28th International Conference on Model Driven Engineering Languages and Systems, MOD-ELS Companion 2024, Grand Rapids, USA, October 5-10, 2025, ACM.
- [2] Bacco, M., Kocian, A., Chessa, S., Crivello, A., Barsocchi, P., 2024. What are data spaces? systematic survey and future outlook. Data Brief 57, 110969.
- [3] Bibow, P., Dalibor, M., Hopmann, C., Mainz, B., Rumpe, B., Schmalzing, D., Schmitz, M., Wortmann, A., 2020. Model-Driven Development of a Digital Twin for Injection Molding, in: Dustdar, S., Yu, E., Salinesi, C., Rieu, D., Pant, V. (Eds.), International Conference on Advanced Information Systems Engineering, Springer International Publishing. pp. 85–100.
- [4] Butting, A., Eikermann, R., Kautz, O., Rumpe, B., Wortmann, A., 2019. Systematic Composition of Independent Language Features. Journal of Systems and Software 152, 50–69.
- [5] Butting, A., Pfeiffer, J., Rumpe, B., Wortmann, A., 2020. A compositional framework for systematic modeling language reuse, in: Proceedings of the 23rd ACM/IEEE International Conference on Model Driven Engineering Languages and Systems, Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA. p. 35–46.
- [6] Clar, J., Dietrich, D., Maisch, N., Reiff, C., Ziegler, G.H., Lechler, A., Verl, A., Riedel, O., 2025. Software-defined Value Network: An Industrial Testbed for Manufacturing-X (to appear). Proceedings of the 13th Congress of the German Academic Association for Production Technology (WGP), Hannover, September 2025.
- [7] Combemale, B., Barais, O., Wortmann, A., 2017. Language engineering with the GEMOC studio, in: 2017 IEEE International Conference on Software Architecture Workshops, ICSA Workshops 2017, Gothenburg, Sweden, April 5-7, 2017, IEEE Computer Society. pp. 189–191.
- [8] Dalibor, M., Heithoff, M., Michael, J., Netz, L., Pfeiffer, J., Rumpe, B., Varga, S., Wortmann, A., 2022. Generating customized low-code development platforms for digital twins. Journal of Computer Languages 70, 101117.
- [9] Dam, T., Klausner, L.D., Neumaier, S., Priebe, T., 2023. A survey of dataspace connector implementations, in: Bena, N., Martino, B.D., Maratea, A., Sperduti, A., Nardo, E.D., Ciaramella, A., Montella, R., Ardagna, C.A. (Eds.), Proceedings of the 2nd Italian Conference on Big Data and Data Science, Naples, Italy, September 11-13, 2023, CEUR-WS.org.
- [10] DIN SPEC 91345, 2016. Reference Architecture Model Industrie 4.0 (RAMI4.0).
- [11] Erdweg, S., Giarrusso, P.G., Rendel, T., 2012. Language Composition Untangled, in: Proceedings of the Twelfth Workshop on Language Descriptions, Tools, and Applications, ACM, Tallinn, Estonia. pp. 1–8. doi:10.1145/2427048.2427055.
- [12] Factory-X Consortium, 2025. Factory-x — an open and collaborative digital ecosystem for factory outfitters and operators. <https://factory-x.org/>. Accessed: 2025-08-14.
- [13] Factory-X Project Team, 2025. MX-Port Concept V1.00. Technical Report. Factory-X / Manufacturing-X Initiative. URL: <https://factory-x.org/wp-content/uploads/MX-Port-Concept-V1.00.pdf>. accessed: 2025-08-14.
- [14] GitHub Repository, 2025. Data space connector dsl. https://github.com/jerome-pfeiffer1/FactoryX_DSL4DataSpaces.
- [15] Hacks, S., Katsikeas, S., Rencelj Ling, E., Iong, W., Pfeiffer, J., Wortmann, A., 2022. Towards a systematic method for developing meta attack language instances, in: Augusto, A., Gill, A., Bork, D., Nurcan, S., Reinhartz-Berger, I., Schmidt, R. (Eds.), Enterprise, Business-Process and Information Systems Modeling, Springer, Cham. pp. 139–154.
- [16] Halevy, A.Y., Franklin, M.J., Maier, D., 2006. Principles of dataspace systems, in: Vansummeren, S. (Ed.), Proceedings of the Twenty-Fifth ACM SIGACT-SIGMOD-SIGART Symposium on Principles of Database Systems, June 26-28, 2006, Chicago, Illinois, USA, ACM. pp. 1–9.
- [17] Inigo, M.A., Legaristi, J., Larrinaga, F., Perez, A., Cuenca, J., Kremer, B., Montejo, E., Porto, A., 2022. Towards standardized manufacturing as a service through asset administration shell and international data spaces connectors, in: 48th Annual Conference of the IEEE Industrial Electronics Society, IEEE. pp. 1–6.
- [18] International Data Spaces Association, 2025. Usage control. <https://international-data-spaces-association.github.io/DataspaceConnector/Documentation/v5/UsageControl>. Accessed: 2025-08-14.
- [19] International Electrotechnical Commission, 2024. Iec 61406-2:2024 – identification link – part 2: Types/models, lots/batches, items and characteristics. URL: <https://webstore.iec.ch/en/publication/77973>. accessed: 2025-08-15.
- [20] Kirchhoff, J.C., Rumpe, B., Schmalzing, D., Wortmann, A., 2022. MonTiThings: Model-driven development and deployment of reliable IoT applications. Journal of Systems and Software, 111087.
- [21] Möller, F., Jussen, I., Springer, V., Gieß, A., Schweihoff, J.C., Gelhaar, J., Guggenberger, T., Otto, B., 2024. Industrial data ecosystems and data spaces. Electronic Markets 34, 41.
- [22] Moreno, T., Almeida, A., Toscano, C., Ferreira, F., Azevedo, A., 2023. Scalable Digital Twins for industry 4.0 digital services: a dataspace approach. Prod. Manuf. Res. 11.
- [23] Neubauer, M., Steinle, L., Reiff, C., Ajdinović, S., Klingel, L., Lechler, A., Verl, A., 2023. Architecture for manufacturing-X: Bringing asset administration shell, eclipse dataspace connector and OPC UA together. Manufacturing Letters 37, 1–6.
- [24] Noardo, F., Atkinson, R., Bastin, L., Maso, J., Simonis, I., Villar, A., Voidrot, M.F., Zaborowski, P., 2024. Standards for data space building blocks. Remote Sens. (Basel) 16, 3824.
- [25] Pampus, J., Jahnke, B.F., Quensel, R., 2022. Evolving data space technologies: Lessons learned from an IDS connector reference implementation, in: Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer Nature Switzerland, Cham. Lecture notes in computer science, pp. 366–381.
- [26] Pfeiffer, J., Wortmann, A., 2021. Towards the black-box aggregation of language components, in: 2021 ACM/IEEE International Conference on Model Driven Engineering Languages and Systems Companion (MODELS-C), IEEE. pp. 576–585.
- [27] Pfeiffer, J., Wortmann, A., 2023. A low-code platform for systematic component-oriented language composition, in: Saraiva, J., Degueule, T., Scott, E. (Eds.), Proceedings of the 16th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Software Language Engineering, SLE 2023, Cascais, Portugal, October 23-24, 2023, ACM. pp. 208–213.
- [28] Pivoto, D.G.S., de Almeida, L.F.F., da Rosa Righi, R., Rodrigues, J.J.P.C., Lugli, A.B., Alberti, A.M., 2021. Cyber-physical systems architectures for industrial internet of things applications in Industry 4.0: A literature review. J. Manuf. Syst. 58, 176–192.
- [29] Plattform Industrie 4.0, 2022. White paper on manufacturing-x. URL: https://www.plattform-i40.de/IP/Redaktion/EN/Downloads/Publikation/Manufacturing-X_long.html.
- [30] Sidibe, G.D.S., Dhoubi, S., 2024. An approach for sovereign data exchange of AAS digital twins through the international data space network, in: 2024 IEEE 29th International Conference on Emerging Technologies and Factory Automation (ETFA), IEEE. pp. 1–4.
- [31] Singh, P., Nidhi, Haq, A.U., Belatiis, M., 2023. Meta standard requirements for harmonizing dataspace integration at the edge, in: 2023 IEEE Conference on Standards for Communications and Networking (CSCN), IEEE. pp. 130–135.