

The 3-restricted edge-connectivity of the direct product graphs*

Wenxin Wang, Yingzhi Tian[†]

College of Mathematics and System Sciences, Xinjiang University, Urumqi, Xinjiang 830046, PR China

Abstract An edge subset $S \subseteq E(G)$ is called a 3-restricted edge-cut if $G - S$ is disconnected and each component of $G - S$ contains at least three vertices. The 3-restricted edge-connectivity of a graph G , denoted by $\lambda_3(G)$, is defined as the minimum cardinality among all 3-restricted edge-cuts if there are at least one; otherwise, $\lambda_3(G) = +\infty$. It is proved that $\lambda_3(G) \leq \xi_3(G)$ if G has a 3-restricted edge-cut, where $\xi_3(G) = \min\{|[X, V(G) \setminus X]_G| : |X| = 3 \text{ and } G[X] \text{ is connected}\}$. If $\lambda_3(G) = \xi_3(G)$, then G is said to be maximally 3-restricted edge-connected. The direct product of two graphs G and H , denoted by $G \times H$, is defined as the graph with vertex set $V(G \times H) = V(G) \times V(H)$, where two vertices (u_1, v_1) and (u_2, v_2) are adjacent in $G \times H$ if and only if $u_1u_2 \in E(G)$ and $v_1v_2 \in E(H)$. In this paper, we determine, for a regular connected graph G , the 3-restricted edge-connectivity of $G \times C_n$, $G \times K_n$ and $G \times T_n$, where C_n , K_n and T_n are the cycle, the complete graph and the total graph with n vertices, respectively. As corollaries, we establish sufficient conditions for the direct product graphs $G \times C_n$, $G \times K_n$ and $G \times T_n$ to be maximally 3-restricted edge-connected.

Keywords: Edge-connectivity; 3-restricted edge-connectivity; Maximally 3-restricted edge-connectedness; The direct product graphs

1 Introduction

The topological structure of an interconnection network is often modeled by a graph $G = (V(G), E(G))$, where the vertex set $V(G)$ represents the nodes of the network and the edge set $E(G)$ represents the communication links between nodes. The *order* of G is the number of its vertices. For a vertex $u \in V(G)$, the *neighborhood* $N_G(u)$ of u in G is defined as $\{v \in V(G) \mid v \text{ is adjacent to } u\}$; the *degree* $d_G(u)$ of u in G is the number of edges incident with u , where a loop counts twice. The *minimum degree* $\delta(G)$ and the *maximum degree* $\Delta(G)$ of G are defined as $\min\{d_G(u) \mid u \in V(G)\}$ and $\max\{d_G(u) \mid u \in V(G)\}$, respectively. If each vertex of G has the same degree k , then G is said to be *k-regular*. For a vertex subset $U \subseteq V(G)$, the *induced subgraph* of U in G , denoted by $G[U]$, is the graph with vertex set U , where two vertices u and v in U are adjacent in $G[U]$ if and only if they are adjacent in G . For an edge $e = uv \in E(G)$, the *edge-degree* of e is defined as $\xi_G(e) = d_G(u) + d_G(v) - 2$. The *minimum edge-degree* of G , denoted by $\xi(G)$, is defined as $\min\{\xi_G(e) \mid e \in E(G)\}$. A graph is simple if it has no parallel edges and loops. Unless specified, all graphs in this paper refer to simple graphs. For terminology and notation not defined here, we follow those provided in [2].

*The research is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (12261086).

[†]Corresponding author. E-mail: 107552300678@stu.xju.edu.cn (W. Wang); tianyzhxj@163.com (Y. Tian).

If there exists a path between every pair of distinct vertices in G , then G is said to be *connected*; otherwise, G is *disconnected*. Each maximal connected subgraph of G is called its *component*. An edge subset $S \subseteq E(G)$ is called an *edge-cut* if $G - S$ is disconnected. The *edge-connectivity* $\lambda(G)$ of G is the minimum cardinality among all edge-cuts. It is well-known that $\lambda(G) \leq \delta(G)$. So the graph G is called *maximally edge-connected* if $\lambda(G) = \delta(G)$; and the graph G is called *super edge-connected* if every minimum edge-cut isolates one vertex.

The edge-connectivity is one of the most fundamental parameters used to measure the reliability of networks. However, one deficiency of the edge-connectivity is that it only considers whether the remaining graph is disconnected, without taking into account the properties of the components. To address this limitation, Esfahanian and Hakimi [5] introduced the notion of restricted edge-connectivity, which is a kind of conditional edge-connectivity initially proposed by Harary [8]. If an edge subset $S \subseteq E(G)$ satisfies that $G - S$ is disconnected and each component of $G - S$ has at least two vertices, then S is called a *restricted edge-cut*. The *restricted edge-connectivity* of G , denoted by $\lambda_2(G)$, is defined as the cardinality of a minimum restricted edge-cut in G if it has at least one; otherwise, $\lambda_2(G) = +\infty$. In 1988, Esfahanian and Hakimi [5] proved that if G is not a star graph $K_{1,n-1}$ and G has at least four vertices, then $\lambda_2(G) \leq \xi(G)$. So G is called *maximally restricted edge-connected* if $\lambda_2(G) = \xi(G)$; and G is called *super restricted edge-connected* if every minimum restricted edge-cut isolates an edge.

Later on, the concept of k -restricted edge-connectivity was proposed by Fàbrega and Fiol [6]. If an edge subset $S \subseteq E(G)$ satisfies that $G - S$ is disconnected and each component of $G - S$ has at least k vertices, then S is called a *k -restricted edge-cut*. The *k -restricted edge-connectivity* $\lambda_k(G)$ is defined as the minimum cardinality among all k -restricted edge-cuts in G if there exists one; otherwise, $\lambda_k(G) = +\infty$. From this definition, it follows that if $\lambda_k(G)$ is finite, then $\lambda_l(G)$ is finite for all integers l satisfying $1 \leq l \leq k$, and the inequalities $\lambda_1(G) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_l(G) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_k(G)$ hold. Clearly, $\lambda_1(G)$ is the edge-connectivity and $\lambda_2(G)$ is the restricted edge-connectivity of G .

For two nonempty subsets $X, Y \subseteq V(G)$, $[X, Y]_G$ denotes the set of edges with one end in X and the other in Y . When $Y = V(G) \setminus X$, the edge set $[X, Y]_G$ is simply written as $\partial_G(X)$. Let $\xi_3(G) = \min\{|\partial_G(X)| : X \subseteq V(G), |X| = 3 \text{ and } G[X] \text{ is connected}\}$. Wang and Li [11] provided necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of 3-restricted edge-cut in graphs and showed that $\lambda_3(G) \leq \xi_3(G)$ holds when there is at least one 3-restricted edge-cut in G . So G is said to be *maximally 3-restricted edge-connected* if $\lambda_3(G) = \xi_3(G)$, and G is said to be *super 3-restricted edge-connected* if every minimum 3-restricted edge-cut isolates a component of order three.

Let G and H be two graphs. The *direct product* (also known as the *Kronecker product*, *tensor product*, or *cross product*) $G \times H$ has the vertex set $V(G \times H) = V(G) \times V(H)$, where two vertices (u_1, v_1) and (u_2, v_2) are adjacent in $G \times H$ if and only if $u_1 u_2 \in E(G)$ and $v_1 v_2 \in E(H)$. Weichsel [12] proved that the direct product of two nontrivial graphs is connected if and only if both graphs are connected and at least one of them is non-bipartite.

Brešar and Špacapan [3] gave some bounds on the edge-connectivity of the direct product of graphs. Later, Cao, Brglez, Špacapan and Vumar [4] obtained the edge-connectivity of the direct product of a nontrivial graph and a complete graph. Špacapan [10] not only obtained the edge-connectivity of the direct product of two graphs but also characterized the structure of the minimum edge-cut for the direct product of two graphs.

The *path*, the *cycle*, and the *complete graph* on n vertices are denoted by P_n , C_n and K_n , respectively. The *total graph* T_n is constructed from K_n by attaching a loop to every vertex of K_n . We use $K_{s,t}$ to denote the *complete bipartite graph* with one part has s vertices and the other part has t vertices. Ma, Wang and Zhang [9] studied the restricted edge-connectivity of the direct product of a nontrivial graph with a complete graph or a total graph.

Theorem 1.1. ([9]) *For any nontrivial connected graph G and any integer $n \geq 3$,*

$$\lambda_2(G \times K_n) = \min \{(n-1)\xi(G) + 2(n-2), n(n-1)\lambda_2(G)\}.$$

Theorem 1.2. ([9]) *For any nontrivial connected graph G and any integer $n \geq 3$,*

$$\lambda_2(G \times T_n) = \min\{n\xi(G) + 2(n-1), n^2\lambda_2(G)\}.$$

Bai, Tian and Yin [1] further gave sufficient conditions for the direct product of a nontrivial graph with a complete graph or a total graph to be super restricted edge-connected.

Theorem 1.3. ([1]) *For any nontrivial connected graph G and any integer $n \geq 5$. If $n(n-1)\lambda_2(G) > (n-1)\xi(G) + 2(n-2)$, then $G \times K_n$ is super restricted edge-connected.*

Theorem 1.4. ([1]) *For any nontrivial graph G and any integer $n \geq 3$. If $n^2\lambda_2(G) > n\xi(G) + 2(n-1)$, then $G \times T_n$ is super restricted edge-connected.*

Guo, Hu, Yang and Zhao [7] obtained the restricted edge-connectivity of the direct product of a connected graph G satisfying $|V(G)| \leq n$ or $\Delta(G) \leq n-1$ with an odd cycle C_n .

Theorem 1.5. ([7]) *For any connected graph G with $|V(G)| \leq n$ or $\Delta(G) \leq n-1$,*

$$\lambda_2(G \times C_n) = \min \left\{ 2n\lambda_2(G), \min_{xy \in E(G)} 2(d_G(x) + d_G(y)) - 2 \right\},$$

where $n \geq 3$ and n is odd.

Inspired by the aforementioned results, this paper investigates the 3-restricted edge-connectivity of the direct product of a k -regular connected graph with a cycle, a complete graph and a total graph. As corollaries, we establish sufficient conditions for these direct product graphs to be maximally 3-restricted edge-connected. The subsequent section will introduce relevant definitions and lemmas. The main results will be presented in Section 3. The conclusion remarks are given in the last section.

2 Preliminary

Let $\mathcal{G} = G \times H$. For any vertex $u \in V(G)$, define $H^u = \{(u, v) \in V(\mathcal{G}) : v \in V(H)\}$, referred to as the H -layer of u in \mathcal{G} . By the definition of the direct product, H^u is an independent set in \mathcal{G} , and $[H^{u_1}, H^{u_2}]_{\mathcal{G}} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $u_1u_2 \in E(G)$. For any vertex subset $S \subseteq V(G)$, we define $H^S = \bigcup_{u \in S} H^u$.

In the following two lemmas, Wang and Li [11] established a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of 3-restricted edge-cut and provided an upper bound for the 3-restricted edge-connectivity of G .

Lemma 2.1. ([11]) *The graph G has a 3-restricted edge-cut if and only if G has two vertex-disjoint paths of order 3.*

Lemma 2.2. ([11]) *Let G be a simple connected graph of order at least 6. If G has a 3-restricted edge-cut, then $\lambda_3(G) \leq \xi_3(G)$.*

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{G} = G \times H$, where G and H are two connected graphs. Let S be the minimum 3-restricted edge-cut of \mathcal{G} , and let D_1 and D_2 be the two components of $\mathcal{G} - S$. If there exist two non-adjacent edges u_1u_2 and u_3u_4 in G such that $H^{u_1} \cup H^{u_2} \subseteq V(D_1)$ and $H^{u_3} \cup H^{u_4} \subseteq V(D_2)$, then $|S| \geq 2e(H)\lambda_2(G)$.*

Proof. Since there exist two non-adjacent edges u_1u_2 and u_3u_4 in G , we obtain that G has a restricted edge-cut and $\lambda_2(G)$ is finite. There are at least $\lambda_2(G)$ edge-disjoint paths from $\{u_1, u_2\}$ to $\{u_3, u_4\}$ in G . Thus, there are at least $2e(H)\lambda_2(G)$ edge-disjoint paths from $H^{u_1} \cup H^{u_2}$ to $H^{u_3} \cup H^{u_4}$ in \mathcal{G} . Therefore, we have $|S| \geq 2e(H)\lambda_2(G)$. \square

The following five lemmas are essential for establishing the main results in the subsequent section.

Lemma 2.4. ([1]) *Let A be a vertex subset of the graph $\mathcal{G} = K_2 \times K_n$ ($n \geq 5$). Assume $2 \leq |A| \leq 2n - 2$. Then $|[A, V(\mathcal{G}) \setminus A]_{\mathcal{G}}| \geq 2(n - 2)$, and the equality holds if and only if (i) $|A| = 2$ and $\mathcal{G}[A]$ is isomorphic to K_2 , or (ii) $|A| = 2n - 2$ and $\mathcal{G}[V(\mathcal{G}) \setminus A]$ is isomorphic to K_2 .*

Lemma 2.5. ([1]) *Let A be a vertex subset of the graph $\mathcal{G} = K_2 \times T_n$ ($n \geq 3$). Assume $2 \leq |A| \leq 2n - 2$. Then $|[A, V(\mathcal{G}) \setminus A]_{\mathcal{G}}| \geq 2(n - 1)$, and the equality holds if and only if (i) $|A| = 2$ and $\mathcal{G}[A]$ is isomorphic to K_2 , or (ii) $|A| = 2n - 2$ and $\mathcal{G}[V(\mathcal{G}) \setminus A]$ is isomorphic to K_2 .*

Lemma 2.6. *Let B be a vertex subset of the graph $\mathcal{G} = K_2 \times K_n$ ($n \geq 5$). Assume $3 \leq |B| \leq 2n - 3$. Then $|[B, V(\mathcal{G}) \setminus B]_{\mathcal{G}}| \geq 3n - 7$, and the equality holds if and only if (i) $|B| = 3$ and $\mathcal{G}[B]$ is isomorphic to P_3 , or (ii) $|B| = 2n - 3$ and $\mathcal{G}[V(\mathcal{G}) \setminus B]$ is isomorphic to P_3 , or (iii) $n = 5$, $\mathcal{G}[B]$ and $\mathcal{G}[V(\mathcal{G}) \setminus B]$ are both isomorphic to $K_{2,3}$.*

Proof. Let $U_1 = \{u_1\} \times V(K_n)$ and $U_2 = \{u_2\} \times V(K_n)$ be the bipartition of \mathcal{G} , where $\{u_1, u_2\} = V(K_2)$. Assume $B_i = B \cap U_i$ and $b_i = |B_i|$ for $i = 1, 2$. Without loss of generality, assume $|B| \leq |V(\mathcal{G}) \setminus B|$, that is $|B| \leq n$. Then $3 \leq |B| = b_1 + b_2 \leq n$.

If $b_1 = 0$ or $b_2 = 0$, then $|[B, V(\mathcal{G}) \setminus B]_{\mathcal{G}}| = |B|(n - 1) \geq 3n - 3$.

If $b_1 > 0$ and $b_2 > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |[B, V(\mathcal{G}) \setminus B]_{\mathcal{G}}| &\geq b_1(n - 1 - b_2) + b_2(n - 1 - b_1) \\ &= (n - 1)(b_1 + b_2) - 2b_1b_2 \\ &= (n - 1)|B| - 2b_1b_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $b_1 + b_2 = |B|$ and b_1, b_2 are positive integers, we have $(n - 1)|B| - 2b_1b_2 \geq (n - 1)|B| - 2 \left\lceil \frac{|B|}{2} \right\rceil \left\lfloor \frac{|B|}{2} \right\rfloor$. When $|B|$ is an odd integer, $(n - 1)|B| - 2 \left\lceil \frac{|B|}{2} \right\rceil \left\lfloor \frac{|B|}{2} \right\rfloor = (n - 1)|B| - 2 \frac{|B|+1}{2} \frac{|B|-1}{2} = -\frac{|B|^2}{2} + (n - 1)|B| + \frac{1}{2}$. When $|B|$ is an even integer, $(n - 1)|B| - 2 \left\lceil \frac{|B|}{2} \right\rceil \left\lfloor \frac{|B|}{2} \right\rfloor = -\frac{|B|^2}{2} + (n - 1)|B|$.

If $|B|$ is an odd integer, then $|[B, V(\mathcal{G}) \setminus B]_{\mathcal{G}}| \geq -\frac{|B|^2}{2} + (n-1)|B| + \frac{1}{2} \geq \min\{-\frac{3^2}{2} + 3(n-1) + \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{n^2}{2} + n(n-1) + \frac{1}{2}\} = \min\{3n-7, \frac{n^2}{2} - n + \frac{1}{2}\}$ by $3 \leq |B| \leq n$. For $n \geq 6$, we have $\frac{n^2}{2} - n + \frac{1}{2} > 3n-7$. For $n=5$, we have $\frac{n^2}{2} - n + \frac{1}{2} = 8 = 3n-7$. Thus we conclude that $|[B, V(\mathcal{G}) \setminus B]_{\mathcal{G}}| \geq 3n-7$, equality holds if $|B|=3$ and $\mathcal{G}[B] \cong P_3$, or $n=5, |B|=5$, $\mathcal{G}[B]$ and $\mathcal{G}[V(\mathcal{G}) \setminus B]$ are both isomorphic to $K_{2,3}$.

If $|B|$ is an even integer, then $|[B, V(\mathcal{G}) \setminus B]_{\mathcal{G}}| \geq -\frac{|B|^2}{2} + (n-1)|B| \geq \min\{-\frac{4^2}{2} + 4(n-1), -\frac{n^2}{2} + n(n-1)\} = \min\{4n-12, \frac{n^2}{2} - n\}$ by $4 \leq |B| \leq n$. Since $\min\{4n-12, \frac{n^2}{2} - n\} > 3n-7$, we have $|[B, V(\mathcal{G}) \setminus B]_{\mathcal{G}}| > 3n-7$ in this case. \square

By a similar argument as Lemma 2.5, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.7. *Let B be a vertex subset of the graph $\mathcal{G} = K_2 \times T_n$ ($n \geq 3$). Assume $3 \leq |B| \leq 2n-3$. Then $|[B, V(\mathcal{G}) \setminus B]_{\mathcal{G}}| \geq 3n-4$, and the equality holds if and only if (i) $|B|=3$ and $\mathcal{G}[B]$ is isomorphic to P_3 , or (ii) $|B|=2n-3$ and $\mathcal{G}[V(\mathcal{G}) \setminus B]$ is isomorphic to P_3 .*

Lemma 2.8. (i) *If n is an odd integer and $n \geq 3$, then $\lambda(K_2 \times C_n) = \lambda_2(K_2 \times C_n) = \lambda_3(K_2 \times C_n) = 2$.*

(ii) *If $n \geq 5$, then $\lambda(K_2 \times K_n) = n-1$, $\lambda_2(K_2 \times K_n) = 2n-4$ and $\lambda_3(K_2 \times K_n) = 3n-7$.*

(iii) *If $n \geq 5$, then $\lambda(K_2 \times T_n) = n$, $\lambda_2(K_2 \times T_n) = 2n-2$ and $\lambda_3(K_2 \times T_n) = 3n-4$.*

Proof. (i) Since $K_2 \times C_n$ is isomorphic to C_{2n} for an odd integer n , it follows that $\lambda(K_2 \times C_n) = \lambda_2(K_2 \times C_n) = \lambda_3(K_2 \times C_n) = 2$.

(ii) Let S be a minimum edge-cut of $K_2 \times K_n$. If $K_2 \times K_n - S$ contains an isolated vertex, then $|S| \geq n-1$. Otherwise, by Lemma 2.4, we have $|S| \geq 2n-4 \geq n-1$. Thus $\lambda(K_2 \times K_n) = |S| \geq n-1$. On the other hand, $\lambda(K_2 \times K_n) \leq \delta(K_2 \times K_n) = n-1$. Therefore, it follows that $\lambda(K_2 \times K_n) = n-1$.

Since $K_2 \times K_n$ is not isomorphic to the star graph $K_{1,n-1}$ and contains at least four vertices, we have $\lambda_2(K_2 \times K_n) \leq \xi_2(K_2 \times K_n) = 2n-4$. Lemma 2.4 implies $\lambda_2(K_2 \times K_n) \geq 2n-4$. Therefore, $\lambda_2(K_2 \times K_n) = 2n-4$.

By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we know that $K_2 \times K_n$ has a 3-restricted edge-cut and $\lambda_3(K_2 \times K_n) \leq \xi_3(K_2 \times K_n) = 3n-7$. Furthermore, by Lemma 2.5, it follows that $\lambda_3(K_2 \times K_n) \geq 3n-7$. Thus, $\lambda_3(K_2 \times K_n) = 3n-7$.

(iii) The proof is similar to (ii). \square

3 Main Results

The *girth* $g(G)$ of G is the length of its shortest cycle if G contains cycles; otherwise, define $g(G) = +\infty$. The only 1-regular connected graph is K_2 . By Lemma 2.7 (i), we have $\lambda_3(K_2 \times C_n) = 2$ for an odd integer n . So we consider $k \geq 2$ in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a k (≥ 2)-regular connected graph with at least four vertices. Then*

$$\lambda_3(G \times C_n) = \begin{cases} \min\{2n\lambda_2(G), 6k-6\}, & \text{if } g(G) = 3; \\ \min\{2n\lambda_2(G), 6k-4\}, & \text{if } g(G) \geq 4, \end{cases}$$

where $n \geq 3$ and n is odd.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{G} = G \times C_n$. By Lemma 2.1, \mathcal{G} has a 3-restricted edge-cut. When $g(G) = 3$, the definition of the direct product graph implies that $g(\mathcal{G}) = 3$. According to Lemma 2.2, we have $\lambda_3(\mathcal{G}) \leq \xi_3(\mathcal{G}) = 6k - 6$. When $g(G) \geq 4$, the definition of the direct product graph implies that $g(\mathcal{G}) \geq 4$. By Lemma 2.2, we obtain $\lambda_3(\mathcal{G}) \leq \xi_3(\mathcal{G}) = 6k - 4$. Since G is not a star graph and has at least four vertices, it follows G has a restricted edge-cut. Let R be the minimum restricted edge-cut of the graph G . Then $G - R$ has exactly two nontrivial components X_1 and X_2 . Define $Y_i = X_i \times V(C_n)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Since both $\mathcal{G}[Y_1]$ and $\mathcal{G}[Y_2]$ contain at least three vertices, it follows that $\lambda_3(\mathcal{G}) \leq |[Y_1, Y_2]_{\mathcal{G}}| = 2n\lambda_2(G)$. Therefore,

$$\lambda_3(G \times C_n) \leq \begin{cases} \min\{2n\lambda_2(G), 6k - 6\}, & \text{if } g(G) = 3; \\ \min\{2n\lambda_2(G), 6k - 4\}, & \text{if } g(G) \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

Now, it suffices to prove that

$$\lambda_3(G \times C_n) \geq \begin{cases} \min\{2n\lambda_2(G), 6k - 6\}, & \text{if } g(G) = 3; \\ \min\{2n\lambda_2(G), 6k - 4\}, & \text{if } g(G) \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

Let S be the minimum 3-restricted edge-cut of \mathcal{G} . Then $\mathcal{G} - S$ has exactly two components, say D_1 and D_2 , where $|V(D_1)| \geq 3$ and $|V(D_2)| \geq 3$.

If for any vertex $u \in V(G)$, we have $C_n^u \subseteq V(D_1)$ or $C_n^u \subseteq V(D_2)$. Considering that D_1 is connected and C_n^u is an independent set, it follows that there exist at least two layers $C_n^{u_1}$ and $C_n^{u_2}$ adjacent in D_1 . Similarly, there are at least two layers $C_n^{u_3}$ and $C_n^{u_4}$ adjacent in D_2 . By Lemma 2.3, we have $|S| \geq 2n\lambda_2(G)$. So we assume that there exists a vertex $u_1 \in V(G)$ such that $C_n^{u_1} \cap V(D_1) \neq \emptyset$ and $C_n^{u_1} \cap V(D_2) \neq \emptyset$ in the following proof. By Lemma 2.7, we have $\lambda(K_2 \times C_n) = 2$. Thus, for any vertex $u \in N_G(u_1)$, it follows that $|[C_n^{u_1}, C_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \geq 2$.

Case 1. Every vertex $u \in N_G(u_1)$ satisfies $C_n^u \subseteq V(D_1)$ or $C_n^u \subseteq V(D_2)$.

Let $M_1 = \{x_1, \dots, x_{k_1}\}$ be the neighbors of u_1 in G such that $C_n^{x_i} \subseteq V(D_1)$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, k_1\}$, and let $M_2 = \{y_1, \dots, y_{k_2}\}$ be the neighbors of u_1 in G such that $C_n^{y_j} \subseteq V(D_2)$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, k_2\}$, where $k_1 + k_2 = k$. Since $C_n^{u_1}$ is an independent set, there exists at least one vertex $x_1 \in M_1$ such that $C_n^{x_1} \subseteq V(D_1)$ and one vertex $y_1 \in M_2$ such that $C_n^{y_1} \subseteq V(D_2)$. Therefore, $|[C_n^{u_1}, C_n^{x_1}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| + |[C_n^{u_1}, C_n^{y_1}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| = 2n$.

Subcase 1.1. There exists a vertex $x' \in N_G(M_1)$ and a vertex $y' \in N_G(M_2)$ such that $C_n^{x'} \subseteq V(D_1)$ and $C_n^{y'} \subseteq V(D_2)$.

Without loss of generality, assume $x' \in N_G(x_1)$ and $y' \in N_G(y_1)$. Then $C_n^{x'} \cup C_n^{x_1} \subseteq V(D_1)$ and $C_n^{y'} \cup C_n^{y_1} \subseteq V(D_2)$. By Lemma 2.3, we have $|S| \geq 2n\lambda_2(G)$.

Subcase 1.2. Each vertex $x \in N_G(M_1)$ satisfies $C_n^x \cap V(D_2) \neq \emptyset$, or each vertex $y \in N_G(M_2)$ satisfies $C_n^y \cap V(D_1) \neq \emptyset$.

Without loss of generality, assume that each vertex $x \in N_G(M_1)$ satisfies $C_n^x \cap V(D_2) \neq \emptyset$. Consequently, for any vertex $x \in N_G(x_1)$, we have $C_n^x \cap V(D_2) \neq \emptyset$. By $\lambda(K_2 \times C_n) = 2$, it follows that $|[C_n^{x_1}, C_n^x]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \geq 2$ for any vertex $x \in N_G(x_1)$.

If for every vertex $x \in N_G(x_1) \setminus \{u_1\}$, it holds that $C_n^x \subseteq V(D_2)$, then $|[C_n^{x_1}, C_n^x]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| = 2n$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
|S| &\geq |[C_n^{u_1}, C_n^{x_1}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| + |[C_n^{u_1}, C_n^{y_1}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| + \sum_{u \in N_G(u_1) \setminus \{x_1, y_1\}} |[C_n^{u_1}, C_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \\
&\quad + \sum_{x \in N_G(x_1) \setminus \{u_1\}} |[C_n^{x_1}, C_n^x]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \\
&\geq 2n + 2(k-2) + 2n(k-1) \\
&= 2nk + 2k - 4 \\
&> 6k - 4.
\end{aligned}$$

If there exists a vertex $x' \in N_G(x_1) \setminus \{u_1\}$ such that $C_n^{x'} \cap V(D_1) \neq \emptyset$ and $C_n^{x'} \cap V(D_2) \neq \emptyset$, then $x' \notin N_G(u_1)$. By $\lambda(K_2 \times C_n) = 2$, it follows that $|[C_n^{x'}, C_n^x]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \geq 2$ for any vertex $x \in N_G(x')$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
|S| &\geq |[C_n^{u_1}, C_n^{x_1}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| + |[C_n^{u_1}, C_n^{y_1}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| + \sum_{u \in N_G(u_1) \setminus \{x_1, y_1\}} |[C_n^{u_1}, C_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \\
&\quad + \sum_{x \in N_G(x_1) \setminus \{u_1\}} |[C_n^{x_1}, C_n^x]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| + \sum_{x \in N_G(x') \setminus \{x_1\}} |[C_n^{x'}, C_n^x]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \\
&= 2n + 2(k-2) + 2(k-1) + 2(k-1) \\
&= 6k - 4 + 2n - 4 \\
&> 6k - 4.
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2. There is a vertex $u_2 \in N_G(u_1)$ such that $C_n^{u_2} \cap V(D_1) \neq \emptyset$ and $C_n^{u_2} \cap V(D_2) \neq \emptyset$.

Let $A_1 = C_n^{u_1} \cap V(D_1)$, $B_1 = C_n^{u_1} \cap V(D_2)$, $A_2 = C_n^{u_2} \cap V(D_1)$, $B_2 = C_n^{u_2} \cap V(D_2)$. Then $|A_1| + |B_1| = |A_2| + |B_2| = n$ and $|A_i| \geq 1$, $|B_i| \geq 1$ for $i = 1, 2$. By $\lambda(K_2 \times C_n) = 2$, we have $|[C_n^{u_2}, C_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \geq 2$ for any vertex $u \in N_G(u_2)$.

Subcase 2.1. There is a vertex $u_3 \in N_G(u_1) \setminus \{u_2\}$ such that $C_n^{u_3} \cap V(D_1) \neq \emptyset$ and $C_n^{u_3} \cap V(D_2) \neq \emptyset$.

By $\lambda(K_2 \times C_n) = 2$, it follows $|[C_n^{u_3}, C_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \geq 2$ for any vertex $u \in N_G(u_3)$.

If $u_3 \notin N_G(u_2)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
|S| &\geq \sum_{u \in N_G(u_1)} |[C_n^{u_1}, C_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| + \sum_{u \in N_G(u_2) \setminus \{u_1\}} |[C_n^{u_2}, C_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \\
&\quad + \sum_{u \in N_G(u_3) \setminus \{u_1\}} |[C_n^{u_3}, C_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \\
&\geq 2k + 2(k-1) + 2(k-1) \\
&= 6k - 4.
\end{aligned}$$

If $u_3 \in N_G(u_2)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
|S| &\geq \sum_{u \in N_G(u_1)} |[C_n^{u_1}, C_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| + \sum_{u \in N_G(u_2) \setminus \{u_1\}} |[C_n^{u_2}, C_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \\
&\quad + \sum_{u \in N_G(u_3) \setminus \{u_1, u_2\}} |[C_n^{u_3}, C_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \\
&\geq 2k + 2(k-1) + 2(k-2) \\
&= 6k - 6.
\end{aligned}$$

Subcase 2.2. For every vertex $u \in N_G(u_1) \setminus \{u_2\}$, either $C_n^u \subseteq V(D_1)$ or $C_n^u \subseteq V(D_2)$.

If there exists a vertex $u'_3 \in N_G(u_2) \setminus \{u_1\}$ such that $C_n^{u'_3} \cap V(D_1) \neq \emptyset$ and $C_n^{u'_3} \cap V(D_2) \neq \emptyset$, then, analogously to Subcase 2.1, we obtain either $|S| \geq 6k - 4$ or $|S| \geq 6k - 6$. So we assume that for any vertex $u \in (N_G(u_1) \cup N_G(u_2)) \setminus \{u_1, u_2\}$, either $C_n^u \subseteq V(D_1)$ or $C_n^u \subseteq V(D_2)$.

Subcase 2.2.1. Any vertex $u \in (N_G(u_1) \cup N_G(u_2)) \setminus \{u_1, u_2\}$ satisfies $C_n^u \subseteq V(D_1)$, or any vertex $u \in (N_G(u_1) \cup N_G(u_2)) \setminus \{u_1, u_2\}$ satisfies $C_n^u \subseteq V(D_2)$.

Without loss of generality, assume that each vertex $u \in (N_G(u_1) \cup N_G(u_2)) \setminus \{u_1, u_2\}$ satisfies $C_n^u \subseteq V(D_1)$. Then $V(D_2) \subseteq C_n^{u_1} \cup C_n^{u_2}$ and $|B_1| + |B_2| \geq 3$. Thus $\sum_{u \in N_G(u_1) \setminus \{u_2\}} |[C_n^{u_1}, C_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| + \sum_{u \in N_G(u_2) \setminus \{u_1\}} |[C_n^{u_2}, C_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| = 2(k-1)|B_1| + 2(k-1)|B_2| \geq 3 \times 2(k-1) = 6(k-1)$.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
|S| &\geq |[C_n^{u_1}, C_n^{u_2}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| + \sum_{u \in N_G(u_1) \setminus \{u_2\}} |[C_n^{u_1}, C_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \\
&\quad + \sum_{u \in N_G(u_2) \setminus \{u_1\}} |[C_n^{u_2}, C_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \\
&\geq 2 + 6(k-1) \\
&= 6k - 4.
\end{aligned}$$

Subcase 2.2.2. There exists a vertex $u_4 \in (N_G(u_1) \cup N_G(u_2)) \setminus \{u_1, u_2\}$ such that $C_n^{u_4} \subseteq V(D_1)$, and there exists a vertex $u_5 \in (N_G(u_1) \cup N_G(u_2)) \setminus \{u_1, u_2\}$ such that $C_n^{u_5} \subseteq V(D_2)$.

If there exists a vertex $u' \in N_G(u_4)$ such that $C_n^{u'} \subseteq V(D_1)$, and there exists a vertex $u'' \in N_G(u_5)$ such that $C_n^{u''} \subseteq V(D_2)$, then by Lemma 2.3, we have $|S| \geq 2n\lambda_2(G)$. So we assume that every vertex $u \in N_G(u_4)$ satisfies $C_n^u \cap V(D_2) \neq \emptyset$, or every vertex $u \in N_G(u_5)$ satisfies $C_n^u \cap V(D_1) \neq \emptyset$. Without loss of generality, assume that every vertex $u \in N_G(u_4)$ satisfies $C_n^u \cap V(D_2) \neq \emptyset$. By $\lambda(K_2 \times C_n) = 2$ we have $|[C_n^{u_4}, C_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \geq 2$ for any vertex $u \in N_G(u_4)$.

If $u_4 \in N_G(u_1)$ and $u_4 \in N_G(u_2)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
|S| &\geq \sum_{u \in N_G(u_1)} |[C_n^{u_1}, C_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| + \sum_{u \in N_G(u_2) \setminus \{u_1\}} |[C_n^{u_2}, C_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \\
&\quad + \sum_{u \in N_G(u_4) \setminus \{u_1, u_2\}} |[C_n^{u_4}, C_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \\
&\geq 2k + 2(k-1) + 2(k-2) \\
&= 6k - 6.
\end{aligned}$$

If $u_4 \in N_G(u_1)$ but $u_4 \notin N_G(u_2)$, or $u_4 \in N_G(u_2)$ but $u_4 \notin N_G(u_1)$, then, without loss of generality, we assume $u_4 \in N_G(u_1)$ and $u_4 \notin N_G(u_2)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
|S| &\geq \sum_{u \in N_G(u_1)} |[C_n^{u_1}, C_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| + \sum_{u \in N_G(u_2) \setminus \{u_1\}} |[C_n^{u_2}, C_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \\
&\quad + \sum_{u \in N_G(u_4) \setminus \{u_1\}} |[C_n^{u_4}, C_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \\
&\geq 2k + 2(k-1) + 2(k-1) \\
&= 6k - 4.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is thus complete. \square

By Lemma 2.7, we have $\lambda_3(K_2 \times K_n) = 3n - 7$. So we consider $k \geq 2$ in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. *Let G be a k (≥ 2)-regular connected graph with at least four vertices. Then*

$$\lambda_3(G \times K_n) = \begin{cases} \min\{n(n-1)\lambda_2(G), 3k(n-1) - 6\}, & \text{if } g(G) = 3; \\ \min\{n(n-1)\lambda_2(G), 3k(n-1) - 4\}, & \text{if } g(G) \geq 4, \end{cases}$$

where $n \geq 5$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{G} = G \times K_n$. By Lemma 2.1, \mathcal{G} has a 3-restricted edge-cut. When $g(G) = 3$, the definition of the direct product graph implies that $g(\mathcal{G}) = 3$. According to Lemma 2.2, we have $\lambda_3(\mathcal{G}) \leq \xi_3(\mathcal{G}) = 3k(n-1) - 6$. When $g(G) \geq 4$, the definition of the direct product graph implies that $g(\mathcal{G}) \geq 4$. By Lemma 2.2, we obtain $\lambda_3(\mathcal{G}) \leq \xi_3(\mathcal{G}) = 3k(n-1) - 4$. Since G is not a star graph and has at least four vertices, it follows G has a restricted edge-cut. Let R be the minimum restricted edge-cut of the graph G . Then $G - R$ has exactly two nontrivial components X_1 and X_2 . Define $Y_i = X_i \times V(K_n)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Since both $\mathcal{G}[Y_1]$ and $\mathcal{G}[Y_2]$ contain at least three vertices, it follows that $\lambda_3(G) \leq |[Y_1, Y_2]_{\mathcal{G}}| = n(n-1)\lambda_2(G)$. Therefore,

$$\lambda_3(G \times K_n) \leq \begin{cases} \min\{n(n-1)\lambda_2(G), 3k(n-1) - 6\}, & \text{if } g(G) = 3; \\ \min\{n(n-1)\lambda_2(G), 3k(n-1) - 4\}, & \text{if } g(G) \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

Now, it suffices to prove that

$$\lambda_3(G \times K_n) \geq \begin{cases} \min\{n(n-1)\lambda_2(G), 3k(n-1) - 6\}, & \text{if } g(G) = 3; \\ \min\{n(n-1)\lambda_2(G), 3k(n-1) - 4\}, & \text{if } g(G) \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

Let S be a minimum 3-restricted edge-cut of G . Then $G - S$ has exactly two components, say D_1 and D_2 , where $|V(D_1)| \geq 3$ and $|V(D_2)| \geq 3$.

If for any vertex $u \in V(G)$, we have $K_n^u \subseteq V(D_1)$ or $K_n^u \subseteq V(D_2)$. Considering that D_1 is connected and K_n^u is an independent set, it follows that there exist at least two layers $K_n^{u_1}$ and $K_n^{u_2}$ in D_1 that are adjacent. Similarly, there are at least two layers $K_n^{u_3}$ and $K_n^{u_4}$ adjacent in D_2 . By Lemma 2.3, we have $|S| \geq n(n-1)\lambda_2(G)$. So we assume that there exists a vertex $u_1 \in V(G)$ such that $K_n^{u_1} \cap V(D_1) \neq \emptyset$ and $K_n^{u_1} \cap V(D_2) \neq \emptyset$ in the following proof. By Lemma 2.7, we have $\lambda(K_2 \times K_n) = n-1$. Thus, for any vertex $u \in N_G(u_1)$, it follows that $|[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \geq n-1$.

Case 1. Every vertex $u \in N_G(u_1)$ satisfies $K_n^u \subseteq V(D_1)$ or $K_n^u \subseteq V(D_2)$.

Let $M_1 = \{x_1, \dots, x_{k_1}\}$ be the neighbors of u_1 in G such that $K_n^{x_i} \subseteq V(D_1)$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, k_1\}$, and let $M_2 = \{y_1, \dots, y_{k_2}\}$ be the neighbors of u_1 in G such that $K_n^{y_j} \subseteq V(D_2)$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, k_2\}$, where $k_1 + k_2 = k$. Since $K_n^{u_1}$ is an independent set, there exists at least one vertex $x_1 \in M_1$ such that $K_n^{x_1} \subseteq V(D_1)$ and one vertex $y_1 \in M_2$ such that $K_n^{y_1} \subseteq V(D_2)$. Therefore, $|[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^{x_1}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| + |[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^{y_1}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| = n(n-1)$.

Subcase 1.1. There is a vertex $x' \in N_G(M_1)$ such that $K_n^{x'} \subseteq V(D_1)$, and there is a vertex $y' \in N_G(M_2)$ such that $K_n^{y'} \subseteq V(D_2)$.

Without loss of generality, assume $x' \in N_G(x_1)$ and $y' \in N_G(y_1)$. Then $K_n^x \cup K_n^{x_1} \subseteq V(D_1)$ and $K_n^y \cup K_n^{y_1} \subseteq V(D_2)$. By Lemma 2.3, we have $|S| \geq n(n-1)\lambda_2(G)$.

Subcase 1.2. Each vertex $x \in N_G(M_1)$ satisfies $K_n^x \cap V(D_2) \neq \emptyset$, or each vertex $y \in N_G(M_2)$ satisfies $K_n^y \cap V(D_1) \neq \emptyset$.

Without loss of generality, assume that $K_n^x \cap V(D_2) \neq \emptyset$ for each vertex $x \in N_G(M_1)$. Consequently, for any vertex $x \in N_G(x_1)$, we have $K_n^x \cap V(D_2) \neq \emptyset$. By $\lambda(K_2 \times K_n) = n-1$, it follows that $|[K_n^{x_1}, K_n^x]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \geq n-1$ for any vertex $x \in N_G(x_1)$.

If for every vertex $x \in N_G(x_1) \setminus \{u_1\}$, it holds that $K_n^x \subseteq V(D_2)$, then $|[K_n^{x_1}, K_n^x]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| = n(n-1)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |S| &\geq |[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^{x_1}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| + |[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^{y_1}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| + \sum_{u \in N_G(u_1) \setminus \{x_1, y_1\}} |[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \\ &\quad + \sum_{x \in N_G(x_1) \setminus \{u_1\}} |[K_n^{x_1}, K_n^x]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \\ &= n(n-1) + (k-2)(n-1) + n(n-1)(k-1) \\ &= (n-1)(nk + k - 2) \\ &> 3k(n-1) - 4. \end{aligned}$$

If there exists a vertex $x' \in N_G(x_1) \setminus \{u_1\}$ such that $K_n^{x'} \cap V(D_1) \neq \emptyset$ and $K_n^{x'} \cap V(D_2) \neq \emptyset$, then $x' \notin N_G(u_1)$. By $\lambda(K_2 \times K_n) = n-1$, it follows that $|[K_n^{x'}, K_n^x]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \geq n-1$ for any vertex $x \in N_G(x')$. Therefore,

$$|S| \geq |[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^{x_1}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| + |[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^{y_1}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| + \sum_{u \in N_G(u_1) \setminus \{x_1, y_1\}} |[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{x \in N_G(x_1) \setminus \{u_1\}} |[K_n^{x_1}, K_n^x]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| + \sum_{x \in N_G(x') \setminus \{x_1\}} |[K_n^{x'}, K_n^x]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \\
& = n(n-1) + (k-2)(n-1) + (k-1)(n-1) + (k-1)(n-1) \\
& = (n-1)(3k+n-4) \\
& > 3k(n-1) - 4.
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2. There is a vertex $u_2 \in N_G(u_1)$ such that $K_n^{u_2} \cap V(D_1) \neq \emptyset$ and $K_n^{u_2} \cap V(D_2) \neq \emptyset$.

Let $A_1 = K_n^{u_1} \cap V(D_1)$, $B_1 = K_n^{u_1} \cap V(D_2)$, $A_2 = K_n^{u_2} \cap V(D_1)$ and $B_2 = K_n^{u_2} \cap V(D_2)$. Then, $|A_1| + |B_1| = |A_2| + |B_2| = n$ and $|A_i| \geq 1$ and $|B_i| \geq 1$ for $i = 1, 2$. Thus, we obtain $2 \leq |B_1| + |B_2| \leq 2n - 2$. By $\lambda(K_2 \times K_n) = n - 1$, we have $|[K_n^{u_2}, K_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \geq n - 1$ for any vertex $u \in N_G(u_2) \setminus \{u_1\}$. By $\lambda_2(K_2 \times K_n) = 2(n - 2)$, we have $|[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^{u_2}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \geq 2(n - 2)$.

Subcase 2.1. There is a vertex $u_3 \in N_G(u_1) \setminus \{u_2\}$ such that $K_n^{u_3} \cap V(D_1) \neq \emptyset$ and $K_n^{u_3} \cap V(D_2) \neq \emptyset$.

By $\lambda(K_2 \times K_n) = n - 1$, we have $|[K_n^{u_3}, K_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \geq n - 1$ for any vertex $u \in N_G(u_3) \setminus \{u_1\}$. We obtain $|[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^{u_3}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \geq 2(n - 2)$ by $\lambda_2(K_2 \times K_n) = 2(n - 2)$.

If $u_3 \notin N_G(u_2)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
|S| & \geq |[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^{u_2}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| + |[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^{u_3}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| + \sum_{u \in N_G(u_1) \setminus \{u_2, u_3\}} |[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \\
& + \sum_{u \in N_G(u_2) \setminus \{u_1\}} |[K_n^{u_2}, K_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| + \sum_{u \in N_G(u_3) \setminus \{u_1\}} |[K_n^{u_3}, K_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \\
& \geq 2(n-2) + 2(n-2) + (k-2)(n-1) + (k-1)(n-1) + (k-1)(n-1) \\
& = (n-1)(2+2+k-2+k-1+k-1) - 4 \\
& = 3k(n-1) - 4.
\end{aligned}$$

If $u_3 \in N_G(u_2)$, then $|[K_n^{u_2}, K_n^{u_3}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \geq 2(n-2)$ by $\lambda_2(K_2 \times K_n) = 2(n-2)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
|S| & \geq |[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^{u_2}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| + |[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^{u_3}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| + |[K_n^{u_2}, K_n^{u_3}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \\
& + \sum_{u \in N_G(u_1) \setminus \{u_2, u_3\}} |[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| + \sum_{u \in N_G(u_2) \setminus \{u_1, u_3\}} |[K_n^{u_2}, K_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \\
& + \sum_{u \in N_G(u_3) \setminus \{u_1, u_2\}} |[K_n^{u_3}, K_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \\
& \geq 2(n-2) + 2(n-2) + 2(n-2) + (k-2)(n-1) + (k-2)(n-1) + (k-2)(n-1) \\
& = (n-1)(2+2+2+k-2+k-2+k-2) - 6 \\
& = 3k(n-1) - 6.
\end{aligned}$$

Subcase 2.2. For each vertex $u \in N_G(u_1) \setminus \{u_2\}$, either $K_n^u \subseteq V(D_1)$ or $K_n^u \subseteq V(D_2)$.

If there exists a vertex $u'_3 \in N_G(u_2) \setminus \{u_1\}$ such that $C_n^{u'_3} \cap V(D_1) \neq \emptyset$ and $C_n^{u'_3} \cap V(D_2) \neq \emptyset$, then, analogously to Subcase 2.1, we obtain either $|S| \geq 3n(k-1) - 4$ or $|S| \geq 3n(k-1) - 6$. So we assume that, for each $u \in N_G(u_2) \setminus \{u_1\}$, either $K_n^u \subseteq V(D_1)$ or $K_n^u \subseteq V(D_2)$.

Subcase 2.2.1. Any vertex $u \in (N_G(u_1) \cup N_G(u_2)) \setminus \{u_1, u_2\}$ satisfies $K_n^u \subseteq V(D_1)$, or any vertex $u \in (N_G(u_1) \cup N_G(u_2)) \setminus \{u_1, u_2\}$ satisfies $K_n^u \subseteq V(D_2)$.

Without loss of generality, assume that for any vertex $u \in (N_G(u_1) \cup N_G(u_2)) \setminus \{u_1, u_2\}$ such that $K_n^u \subseteq V(D_1)$. Then $V(D_2) \subseteq K_n^{u_1} \cup K_n^{u_2}$ and thus $|B_1| + |B_2| \geq 3$. By $|A_1| + |A_2| \geq 2$, it follows that $3 \leq |B_1| + |B_2| \leq 2n - 2$.

If $3 \leq |B_1| + |B_2| \leq 2n - 3$, then $|[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^{u_2}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \geq 3n - 7$ by Lemma 2.5. And we have $\sum_{u \in N_G(u_1) \setminus \{u_2\}} |[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| + \sum_{u \in N_G(u_2) \setminus \{u_1\}} |[K_n^{u_2}, K_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| = |B_1|(k-1)(n-1) + |B_2|(k-1)(n-1) \geq 3(k-1)(n-1)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |S| &\geq |[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^{u_2}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| + \sum_{u \in N_G(u_1) \setminus \{u_2\}} |[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| + \sum_{u \in N_G(u_2) \setminus \{u_1\}} |[K_n^{u_2}, K_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \\ &\geq 3n - 7 + 3(k-1)(n-1) \\ &= (n-1)(3 + 3k - 3) - 4 \\ &= 3k(n-1) - 4. \end{aligned}$$

When $|B_1| + |B_2| = 2n - 2$, we have $\sum_{u \in N_G(u_1) \setminus \{u_2\}} |[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| + \sum_{u \in N_G(u_2) \setminus \{u_1\}} |[K_n^{u_2}, K_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| = |B_1|(k-1)(n-1) + |B_2|(k-1)(n-1) = (2n-2)(k-1)(n-1)$. Since $n \geq 5$, we have

$$\sum_{u \in N_G(u_1) \setminus \{u_2\}} |[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| + \sum_{u \in N_G(u_2) \setminus \{u_1\}} |[K_n^{u_2}, K_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| > 4(k-1)(n-1).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |S| &\geq |[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^{u_2}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| + \sum_{u \in N_G(u_1) \setminus \{u_2\}} |[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| + \sum_{u \in N_G(u_2) \setminus \{u_1\}} |[K_n^{u_2}, K_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \\ &> 2(n-2) + 4(k-1)(n-1) \\ &= (n-1)(4k-2) - 2 \\ &> 3k(n-1) - 4. \end{aligned}$$

Subcase 2.2.2. For every vertex $u \in N_G(u_1) \setminus \{u_2\}$, it holds that $K_n^u \subseteq V(D_1)$, and for every vertex $u \in N_G(u_2) \setminus \{u_1\}$, it holds that $K_n^u \subseteq V(D_2)$. Or, for every vertex $u \in N_G(u_1) \setminus \{u_2\}$, it holds that $K_n^u \subseteq V(D_2)$, and for every vertex $u \in N_G(u_2) \setminus \{u_1\}$, it holds that $K_n^u \subseteq V(D_1)$.

Without loss of generality, assume that for every vertex $u \in N_G(u_1) \setminus \{u_2\}$, it holds that $K_n^u \subseteq V(D_1)$, and for every vertex $u \in N_G(u_2) \setminus \{u_1\}$, it holds that $K_n^u \subseteq V(D_2)$.

Let $M_3 = \{z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}\}$ be the set of neighbors of u_1 in G except for u_2 and let $M_4 = \{w_1, \dots, w_{k-1}\}$ be the set of neighbors of u_2 in G except for u_1 . Since $k \geq 2$, there exists at least one vertex $z_1 \in M_3$ such that $K_n^{z_1} \subseteq V(D_1)$, and one vertex $w_1 \in M_4$ such that $K_n^{w_1} \subseteq V(D_2)$.

If there exists a vertex $z' \in N_G(z_1)$ such that $K_n^{z'} \subseteq V(D_1)$, and there exists a vertex $w' \in N_G(w_1)$ such that $K_n^{w'} \subseteq V(D_2)$. By Lemma 2.3, we have $|S| \geq n(n-1)\lambda_2(G)$. So we suppose that $K_n^z \cap V(D_2) \neq \emptyset$ for every vertex $z \in N_G(z_1)$, or $K_n^w \cap V(D_1) \neq \emptyset$ for every vertex $w \in N_G(w_1)$. Without loss of generality, assume that for every vertex $z \in N_G(z_1)$, it holds that $K_n^z \cap V(D_2) \neq \emptyset$.

If every vertex $z \in N_G(z_1) \setminus \{u_1\}$ satisfies $K_n^z \subseteq V(D_2)$, then $|[K_n^z, K_n^{z_1}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| = n(n-1)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
|S| &\geq |[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^{u_2}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| + \sum_{u \in N_G(u_1) \setminus \{u_2\}} |[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \\
&+ \sum_{u \in N_G(u_2) \setminus \{u_1\}} |[K_n^{u_2}, K_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| + \sum_{z \in N_G(z_1) \setminus \{u_1\}} |[K_n^z, K_n^{z_1}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \\
&\geq 2(n-2) + (k-1)(n-1) + (k-1)(n-1) + n(n-1)(k-1) \\
&= (n-1)(2k+nk-n) - 2 \\
&\geq (n-1)(2k+5k-5) - 2 \\
&> 3k(n-1) - 4.
\end{aligned}$$

If there exists a vertex $z' \in N_G(z_1) \setminus \{u_1\}$ such that $K_n^{z'} \cap V(D_1) \neq \emptyset$ and $K_n^{z'} \cap V(D_2) \neq \emptyset$, then $z' \notin N_G(u_1)$ and $z' \notin N_G(u_2)$. By $\lambda(K_2 \times K_n) = n-1$, it follows that $|[K_n^{z_1}, K_n^z]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \geq n-1$ for any vertex $z \in N_G(z_1) \setminus \{u_1\}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
|S| &\geq |[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^{u_2}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| + \sum_{u \in N_G(u_1) \setminus \{u_2\}} |[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \\
&+ \sum_{u \in N_G(u_2) \setminus \{u_1\}} |[K_n^{u_2}, K_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| + \sum_{z \in N_G(z_1) \setminus \{u_1\}} |[K_n^z, K_n^{z_1}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \\
&+ \sum_{z \in N_G(z') \setminus \{z_1\}} |[K_n^z, K_n^{z'}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \\
&\geq 2(n-2) + (k-1)(n-1) + (k-1)(n-1) + (k-1)(n-1) + (k-1)(n-1) \\
&= (n-1)(4k-2) - 2 \\
&> 3k(n-1) - 4.
\end{aligned}$$

Subcase 2.2.3. There exist two vertices $u_4, u_5 \in N_G(u_1)$ such that $K_n^{u_4} \subseteq V(D_1)$ and $K_n^{u_5} \subseteq V(D_2)$, or there exist two vertices $u'_4, u'_5 \in N_G(u_2)$ such that $K_n^{u'_4} \subseteq V(D_1)$ and $K_n^{u'_5} \subseteq V(D_2)$.

Without loss of generality, assume that there exist two vertices $u_4, u_5 \in N_G(u_1)$ such that $K_n^{u_4} \subseteq V(D_1)$ and $K_n^{u_5} \subseteq V(D_2)$. Thus, we have $|[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^{u_4}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| + |[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^{u_5}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| = n(n-1)$.

If there exists a vertex $u' \in N_G(u_4)$ such that $K_n^{u'} \subseteq V(D_1)$, and there exists a vertex $u'' \in N_G(u_5)$ such that $K_n^{u''} \subseteq V(D_2)$, then by Lemma 2.3, we have $|S| \geq n(n-1)\lambda_2(G)$. So we suppose that $K_n^u \cap V(D_2) \neq \emptyset$ for every vertex $u \in N_G(u_4)$, or $K_n^u \cap V(D_1) \neq \emptyset$ for every vertex $u \in N_G(u_5)$. Without loss of generality, assume that for every vertex $u \in N_G(u_4)$, it holds that $K_n^u \cap V(D_2) \neq \emptyset$. By $\lambda(K_2 \times K_n) = n-1$, it follows that $|[K_n^{u_4}, K_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \geq n-1$ for any vertex $u \in N_G(u_4)$.

When $u_4 \notin N_G(u_2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|S| &\geq |[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^{u_2}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| + |[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^{u_4}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \\
&+ |[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^{u_5}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| + \sum_{u \in N_G(u_1) \setminus \{u_2, u_4, u_5\}} |[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{u \in N_G(u_2) \setminus \{u_1\}} |[K_n^{u_2}, K_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| + \sum_{u \in N_G(u_4) \setminus \{u_1\}} |[K_n^{u_4}, K_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \\
& \geq 2(n-2) + n(n-1) + (k-3)(n-1) + (k-1)(n-1) + (k-1)(n-1) \\
& = (n-1)(3k+n-3) - 2 \\
& > 3k(n-1) - 6.
\end{aligned}$$

When $u_4 \in N_G(u_2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|S| & \geq |[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^{u_2}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| + |[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^{u_4}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \\
& + |[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^{u_5}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| + \sum_{u \in N_G(u_1) \setminus \{u_2, u_4, u_5\}} |[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \\
& + \sum_{u \in N_G(u_2) \setminus \{u_1\}} |[K_n^{u_2}, K_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| + \sum_{u \in N_G(u_4) \setminus \{u_1, u_2\}} |[K_n^{u_4}, K_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap S| \\
& \geq 2(n-2) + n(n-1) + (k-3)(n-1) + (k-1)(n-1) + (k-2)(n-1) \\
& = (n-1)(3k+n-4) - 2 \\
& > 3k(n-1) - 4.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is thus complete. \square

If we replace K_n with T_n in Theorem 3.2 and adopt a similar argument, we can obtain the 3-restricted edge-connectivity of $G \times T_n$. Since $\lambda_3(K_2 \times T_n) = 3n - 4$ by Lemma 2.7, we assume $k \geq 2$ in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. *Let G be a k (≥ 2)-regular connected graph with at least four vertices. Then*

$$\lambda_3(G \times T_n) = \begin{cases} \min\{n^2\lambda_2(G), 3nk - 6\}, & \text{if } g(G) = 3; \\ \min\{n^2\lambda_2(G), 3nk - 4\}, & \text{if } g(G) \geq 4, \end{cases}$$

where $n \geq 3$.

If G is maximally restricted edge-connected in Theorem 3.1, then $\lambda_2(G) = \xi(G) = 2(k-1)$. This implies $2n\lambda_2(G) = 4n(k-1)$. Since n is odd and $n \geq 3$, we further derive $2n\lambda_2(G) > 6k-4$. Thus $\lambda_3(G \times C_n) = \xi_3(G \times C_n)$. Similarly, if G is maximally restricted edge-connected in Theorems 3.2 and 3.3, we also have $\lambda_3(G \times K_n) = \xi_3(G \times K_n)$ and $\lambda_3(G \times T_n) = \xi_3(G \times T_n)$. The following three corollaries are thus obtained.

Corollary 3.4. *Let G be a k (≥ 2)-regular graph G and n be an odd integer with $n \geq 3$. If G is maximally restricted edge-connected with $|V(G)| \geq 4$, then $G \times C_n$ is maximally 3-restricted edge-connected.*

Corollary 3.5. *Let G be a k (≥ 2)-regular graph G and $n \geq 5$. If G is maximally restricted edge-connected with $|V(G)| \geq 4$, then $G \times K_n$ is maximally 3-restricted edge-connected.*

Corollary 3.6. *Let G be a k (≥ 2)-regular graph G and $n \geq 3$. If G is maximally restricted edge-connected with $|V(G)| \geq 4$, then $G \times T_n$ is maximally 3-restricted edge-connected.*

4 Concluding Remarks

In this paper, we determine the 3-restricted edge-connectivity for the direct product graphs of a connected regular graph with the cycle, the complete graph and the total graph. Furthermore, we prove that if this regular graph is maximally restricted edge-connected, then the corresponding direct product graphs are maximally 3-restricted edge-connected. Future research may focus on the 3-restricted edge-connectivity for the direct product graphs of a connected general graph with the cycle, the complete graph and the total graph.

References

- [1] M. Bai, Y. Tian, J. Yin, The super restricted edge-connectedness of direct product graphs, *Parallel Processing Letters* 33(03) (2023) 2350008.
- [2] J. A. Bondy, U. S. R. Murty, *Graph Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, 2008.
- [3] B. Brešar, S. Špacapan, On the connectivity of the direct product of graphs, *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics* 41 (2008) 45-56.
- [4] X. L. Cao, Š. Brglez, S. Špacapan, E. Vumar, On edge connectivity of direct products of graphs, *Information Processing Letters* 111(18) (2011) 899-902.
- [5] A. H. Esfahanian, S. L. Hakimi, On computing a conditional edge-connectivity of a graph, *Information Processing Letters* 27(4) (1988) 195-199.
- [6] J. Fàbrega, M. A. Fiol, On the extraconnectivity of graphs, *Discrete Mathematics* 155(1-3) (1996) 49-57.
- [7] S. Guo, X. Hu, W. Yang, S. Zhao, The super edge-connectivity of direct product of a graph and a cycle, *The Journal of Supercomputing* 80(16) (2024) 23367-23383.
- [8] F. Harary, Conditional connectivity, *Networks* 13(3) (1983) 347-357.
- [9] T. Ma, J. Wang, M. Zhang, The restricted edge-connectivity of Kronecker product graphs, *Parallel Processing Letters* 29(03) (2019) 1950012.
- [10] S. Špacapan, A characterization of the edge connectivity of direct products of graphs, *Discrete Mathematics* 313(12) (2013) 1385-1393.
- [11] Y. Wang, Q. Li, Upper bound of the third edge-connectivity of graphs, *Science in China Series A: Mathematics* 48 (2005) 360-371.
- [12] P. M. Weichsel, The Kronecker product of graphs, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 13(1) (1962) 47-52.