

# SOME INEQUALITIES FOR THE WEIGHTED LOG CANONICAL THRESHOLDS

NGUYEN XUAN HONG

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we will study the relations on the weighted log canonical thresholds of plurisubharmonic functions. We prove some inequalities for the weighted log canonical thresholds.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Research on the singularities of the plurisubharmonic functions plays an important role of several complex variables and complex geometry. Let  $\Omega$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  containing the origin 0 and let  $\varphi$  be a plurisubharmonic function in  $\Omega$ . A basic characteristic of singularity of  $\varphi$  at 0 is its Lelong number which is defined by

$$\nu_\varphi(0) := \liminf_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(z)}{\log \|z\|}.$$

One more characteristic was introduced by Demailly and Kollár in [7] as a quantitative way of measuring singularities of a plurisubharmonic function. Let  $f$  be a holomorphic function in  $\Omega$ . Hiep [12] called the number

$$c_0^f(\varphi) := \sup\{c \geq 0 : |f|^2 e^{-2c\varphi} \text{ is integrable near } 0\}$$

is the weighted log canonical threshold of  $\varphi$  with weight  $f$  at 0. The strong openness conjecture of Demailly [5] states that

$$a := c_0^f(\varphi) < +\infty \Rightarrow |f|^2 e^{-2a\varphi} \text{ is not integrable near } 0.$$

The conjecture was proved by [9, 12] (also see [3]).

Now, let  $I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_0$  be a coherent ideal and let  $\{f_j\}_{0 \leq j \leq m}$  be generators of  $I$ . The jumping number of  $\varphi$  is defined by

$$c_0^I(\varphi) := \sup\{c \geq 0 : \sum_{0 \leq j \leq m} |f_j|^2 e^{-2c\varphi} \text{ is integrable near } 0\}.$$

Especially, the number  $c_0^{\mathcal{O}_0}(\varphi)$  is just the complex singularity exponent  $c_0(\varphi)$ . Moreover, when  $\{z_1^{2m}, \dots, z_n^{2m}\}$  are generators of  $I$ , the number  $c_0^I(\varphi)$  is called the weighted log canonical threshold  $c_m(\varphi)$  of  $\varphi$  at 0. More generally, we define

$$c_t(\varphi) := \sup\{c \geq 0 : \|z\|^{2t} e^{-2c\varphi} \text{ is integrable near } 0\}, \quad t > -n.$$

The strong openness conjecture tells us that

$$b := c_t(\varphi) < +\infty \Rightarrow \|z\|^{2t} e^{-2b\varphi} \text{ is not integrable near } 0.$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 4B05; 32S05; 32U05; 32U25.

*Key words and phrases.* Plurisubharmonic functions; Complex singularity exponents; Log canonical thresholds.

This article aims to study the relations on the weighted log canonical thresholds of plurisubharmonic functions. A well-known inequality due to Skoda [22] tells us that

$$\frac{1}{\nu_\varphi(0)} \leq c_0(\varphi) \leq \frac{n}{\nu_\varphi(0)}$$

provided  $\nu_\varphi(0) > 0$ . Thus, there exists an inequality in the relationship between the Lelong number and the complex singularity exponent of plurisubharmonic functions. Our first main theorem is the following, which is a generalization of [22] to the weighted log canonical thresholds.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  containing the origin 0. Assume that  $t > -n$  is a real number and  $\varphi$  is a plurisubharmonic function in  $\Omega$ . Then,  $\nu_\varphi(0) \in (0, +\infty)$  if and only if  $c_t(\varphi) \in (0, +\infty)$ . Moreover,*

$$\frac{1}{\nu_{\varphi + \frac{|t| - t}{c_t(\varphi)} \log \|z\|}(0)} \leq c_t(\varphi) \leq \frac{t + n}{\nu_\varphi(0)}$$

provided that  $\nu_\varphi(0) > 0$ .

The sharpness of Theorem 1.1 is explained as follows. Let  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  be plurisubharmonic functions in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  defined by

$$(1.1) \quad \varphi(z) := \log |z_1| \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(z) := \log \|z\|, \quad \text{where } z = (z_1, \dots, z_n).$$

It is easy to see that

$$\nu_\varphi(0) = \nu_\psi(0) = 1, \quad c_t(\psi) = n + t$$

and

$$c_t(\varphi) = 1 \quad \text{when } t(n - 1) > 0.$$

Therefore,

$$c_t(\varphi) = \frac{1}{\nu_\varphi(0)}, \quad \text{when } t \geq 0, \quad n \geq 2$$

and

$$c_t(\psi) = \frac{t + n}{\nu_\psi(0)}, \quad \forall t > -n.$$

Now, assume that  $1 \leq k \leq n$  is an integer number. A result of Hiep [13] tells us that

$$c_{k-n}(\varphi) = \sup\{c_0(\varphi|_H) : H \text{ are } k\text{-dimensional linear subspaces through } 0\}.$$

Later on, Guan and Zhou [10] showed that

$$c_{k-n+2}(\varphi) - c_{k-n+1}(\varphi) \leq c_{k-n+1}(\varphi) - c_{k-n}(\varphi)$$

provided that  $k \leq n - 2$  and  $\nu_\varphi(0) > 0$ . Our second main theorem is the following.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  containing the origin 0 and let  $\varphi$  be a plurisubharmonic function in  $\Omega$  such that  $\nu_\varphi(0) > 0$ . Then,*

$$0 \leq \frac{c_p(\varphi) - c_q(\varphi)}{p - q} \leq \frac{c_s(\varphi) - c_t(\varphi)}{s - t} \leq \frac{c_t(\varphi)}{t + n} \leq \frac{1}{\nu_\varphi(0)}$$

for any  $p > q \geq s > t > -n$ .

Let  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  be as in (1.1). Then,

$$\frac{c_p(\varphi) - c_q(\varphi)}{p - q} = \frac{c_s(\varphi) - c_t(\varphi)}{s - t} = 0, \quad \forall p > q \geq s > t \geq 0, \quad n \geq 2$$

and

$$\frac{c_p(\psi) - c_q(\psi)}{p - q} = \frac{c_s(\psi) - c_t(\psi)}{s - t} = \frac{c_t(\psi)}{t + n} = \frac{1}{\nu_\psi(0)} = 1.$$

This means that Theorem 1.2 is sharp.

For better inequalities, we need to add that  $\varphi$  belongs to the Cegrell class (see [4]). Assume that  $\Omega$  is a bounded hyperconvex domain. Let  $\mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$  be the family of bounded negative plurisubharmonic functions  $\varphi$  in  $\Omega$  such that  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  with  $\{\varphi < -\varepsilon\} \subset \Omega$  and satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} (dd^c \varphi)^n < +\infty.$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  the family of negative plurisubharmonic functions  $\varphi$  in  $\Omega$  such that for each open set  $\omega \Subset \Omega$ , there exists a decreasing sequence  $\{\varphi_j\} \subset \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$  that converges pointwise to  $\varphi$  on  $\omega$  and satisfies

$$\sup_{j \geq 1} \int_{\Omega} (dd^c \varphi_j)^n < +\infty.$$

In particular, all negative plurisubharmonic functions that are bounded outside a compact subset of  $\Omega$  belong to  $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ . Our third main theorem is as follows, which is a extremal cases for the weighted log canonical thresholds.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded hyperconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  containing the origin 0. Assume that  $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  such that*

$$\nu_{\varphi|_l}(0) = \nu_{\varphi}(0) > 0$$

*for all complex line  $l$  through 0 and*

$$\varphi \geq a \log \|z\| - b \text{ on } \Omega$$

*for some  $a, b > 0$ . Then,*

$$c_t(\varphi) = \frac{t + n}{\nu_{\varphi}(0)}, \quad \forall t > -n.$$

When  $n = 1$  and  $\varphi$  is a subharmonic function in  $\Omega$  such that  $\nu_{\varphi}(0) > 0$ , there exists a subharmonic function  $\psi$  in  $\Omega$  satisfies  $\int_{\{0\}} dd^c \psi = 0$  and

$$\varphi = \psi + \nu_{\varphi}(0) \log \|z\| \text{ near } 0.$$

This implies that

$$c_t(\varphi) = c_t(\nu_{\varphi}(0) \log \|z\|) = \frac{t + 1}{\nu_{\varphi}(0)}.$$

Thus, conclusion of Theorem 1.3 holds for all subharmonic functions  $\varphi$  in the cases  $n = 1$ .

When  $n > 1$ , the problem becomes much more complicated. Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  and define

$$e_j(\varphi) := \int_{\{0\}} (dd^c \varphi)^j \wedge (dd^c \log \|z\|)^{n-j}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq n.$$

Observe that

$$e_0(\varphi) = 1 \text{ and } e_0(\varphi) = \nu_\varphi(0).$$

Now, assume that  $e_n(\varphi) > 0$ . A result in [2] asserts that

$$(1.2) \quad c_0(\varphi) \geq n e_n(\varphi)^{-1/n}.$$

Later on, Demailly and Hiep [6] studied a lower bound for the complex singularity exponent. They showed that

$$c_0(\varphi) \geq \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{e_j(\varphi)}{e_{j+1}(\varphi)}.$$

Next, Hiep [14] stated the following

$$c_0(\varphi) - c_{-1}(\varphi) \geq \frac{(n-1)^{n-1}}{c_{-1}(\varphi)^{n-1} e_n(\varphi)}.$$

Hong [17] proved that

$$c_{t+s}(\varphi) - c_t(\varphi) \geq s \frac{(n-1)^{n-1}}{c_t(\varphi)^{n-1} e_n(\varphi)}, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq s \leq \frac{c_t(\varphi)^n e_n(\varphi)}{(n-1)^n}.$$

Recently, Hiep [15] has given the following

$$c_{1-n}(\varphi) \prod_{j=2}^k [c_{j-n}(\varphi) - c_{j-1-n}(\varphi)] \geq \left[ \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} e_j(\varphi) \right]^{-1}, \quad \forall k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Our fourth main theorem is as follows.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $n > 1$  be an integer number and let  $\Omega$  be a bounded hyperconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  containing the origin 0. Assume that  $t > -n$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  with  $\nu_\varphi(0) > 0$ . Then,  $e_n(\varphi) \in (0, +\infty)$  and*

$$c_{t+s}(\varphi) - c_t(\varphi) \geq \frac{s(n-1)^{n-1} \nu_\varphi(0)^{n-1}}{(|t| + n)^{n-1} e_n(\varphi)}$$

for all  $0 < s \leq \left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)^n$ .

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.2. Theorem 1.3 is proved in Section 4. Section 5 is devoted to prove Theorem 1.4.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  containing the origin 0. Assume that  $t > -n$  is a real number and  $\varphi$  is a plurisubharmonic function in  $\Omega$ . Then, the following hold.*

- (i)  $a := c_t(\varphi) > 0$ ;
- (ii) for every  $c \in (0, a)$ , there exists  $\delta \in (0, t + n)$  such that  $c_{t-\delta}(\varphi) > c + \delta$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let  $r \in (-n, t)$  be a negative real number and let  $\psi_j$  be a plurisubharmonic function defined by

$$\psi_j(z) = \frac{\varphi(z)}{j} - r \log \|z\|, \quad z \in \Omega, \quad j \geq 1.$$

Since  $\psi_j \rightarrow -r \log \|z\| := \psi$  a.e. in  $\Omega$  as  $j \rightarrow +\infty$ , Theorem 1.1 in [13] shows that

$$\liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} c_0(\psi_j) \geq c_0(\psi) = \frac{n}{-r} > 1.$$

Therefore, there exists  $j_0 \geq 1$  such that  $c_0(\psi_{j_0}) > 1$  which implies

$$a \geq c_r(\varphi) \geq \frac{1}{j_0} > 0.$$

(ii) Let  $m > t$  be an integer number and define

$$\psi(z) := c\varphi(z) + (m - t) \log \|z\|, \quad z \in \Omega.$$

Since  $0 < c < a$ , there exists  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  such that  $B(0, 2\varepsilon) \Subset \Omega$  and

$$\int_{B(0, 2\varepsilon)} \|z\|^{2t} e^{-2c\varphi} dV_{2n} < +\infty.$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \int_{B(0, 2\varepsilon)} |z_k|^{2m} e^{-2\psi} dV_{2n} < +\infty.$$

By the strong openness conjecture, we can find  $\gamma > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \int_{B(0, \varepsilon)} |z_k|^{2m} e^{-2(1+\gamma)\psi} dV_{2n} < +\infty$$

which implies

$$\int_{B(0, \varepsilon)} \|z\|^{2t-2\gamma(m-t)} e^{-2(1+\gamma)c\varphi} dV_{2n} < +\infty.$$

Therefore,

$$c_{t-\delta}(\varphi) > c + \delta, \quad \forall 0 < \delta \leq \min\{\gamma(m-t), \gamma c\}.$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  containing the origin 0. Assume that  $t > -n$  is a real number and  $\varphi$  is a plurisubharmonic function in  $\Omega$  such that*

$$a := c_t(\varphi) < +\infty.$$

*Then,*

$$a = c_s \left( \varphi + \frac{s-t}{a} \log \|z\| \right), \quad \forall s \geq t.$$

*Proof.* Lemma 2.1 states that  $a > 0$ , and hence,  $a \in (0, +\infty)$ . Define

$$\psi(z) := \varphi(z) + \frac{s-t}{a} \log \|z\|, \quad z \in \Omega.$$

Observe that for every real number  $x$ ,

$$(2.1) \quad \|z\|^{2s} e^{-2x\psi} = \|z\|^{2t + \frac{2(a-x)(s-t)}{a}} e^{-2x\varphi} \quad \text{on } \Omega \setminus \{0\}.$$

Let  $c$  be a real number such that  $0 < c < a$ . Since

$$0 \leq \|z\|^{\frac{2(a-c)(s-t)}{a}} \leq 1, \quad \forall \|z\| \leq 1,$$

we reduce by (2.1) that  $c_s(\psi) \geq c$ , and therefore,

$$c_s(\psi) \geq a.$$

Now, assume that  $c_s(\psi) > a$ . Lemma 2.1 states that there exists  $\delta \in (0, s + n)$  such that

$$c_{s-\delta}(\psi) > a + \delta.$$

Let  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  be such that  $B(0, 2\varepsilon) \Subset \Omega$  and

$$\int_{B(0, \varepsilon)} \|z\|^{2s-2\delta} e^{-2(a+\delta)\psi} dV_{2n} < +\infty.$$

Since  $s > t$ , we infer by (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(0, \varepsilon)} \|z\|^{2t} e^{-2(a+\delta)\varphi} dV_{2n} &\leq \int_{B(0, \varepsilon)} \|z\|^{2s + \frac{2\delta(s-t)}{a}} e^{-2(a+\delta)\psi} dV_{2n} \\ &\leq \int_{B(0, \varepsilon)} \|z\|^{2s-2\delta} e^{-2(a+\delta)\psi} dV_{2n} < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$a = c_t(\varphi) \geq a + \delta > a.$$

This is impossible. Thus,  $a = c_s(\psi)$ . This proves the proposition.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Define  $a := \nu_\varphi(0)$  and  $b := c_t(\varphi)$ . Firstly, we claim that

$$(2.2) \quad a = 0 \Rightarrow b = +\infty.$$

Let  $p, q > 1$  be such that  $tp > -n$  and

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1.$$

Assume that  $c > 0$ . A well-known inequality due to Skoda [22] tells us that

$$c_0(\varphi) = +\infty.$$

Hence, there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  be such that  $B(0, \varepsilon) \Subset \Omega$  and

$$\int_{B(0, \varepsilon)} e^{-2cq\varphi} dV_{2n} < +\infty.$$

Using the Hölder inequality,

$$\int_{B(0, \varepsilon)} \|z\|^{2t} e^{-2c\varphi} dV_{2n} \leq \left( \int_{B(0, \varepsilon)} \|z\|^{2pt} dV_{2n} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_{B(0, \varepsilon)} e^{-2cq\varphi} dV_{2n} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < +\infty$$

which implies  $c_t(\varphi) \geq c$ , and therefore,  $b = +\infty$ . This proves the claim.

Next, we claim that

$$(2.3) \quad a > 0 \Rightarrow b \leq \frac{t+n}{a}.$$

Let  $c$  be a real number such that

$$c > \frac{t+n}{a}.$$

Choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $B(0, \varepsilon) \Subset \Omega$  and

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{1}{\sigma_{2n-1}} \int_{\{\|z\|=1\}} \varphi(rz) d\sigma(z) \leq \frac{t+n}{c} \log r, \quad \forall r \in (0, \varepsilon),$$

where  $d\sigma$  is the area measure on the unit sphere on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $\sigma_{2n-1}$  is its area. Using Jensen's convexity inequality, we deduce by (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sigma_{2n-1}} \int_{\{\|z\|=1\}} \|rz\|^{2t} e^{-2c\varphi(rz)} d\sigma(z) &= \frac{r^{2t}}{\sigma_{2n-1}} \int_{\{\|z\|=1\}} e^{-2c\varphi(rz)} d\sigma(z) \\ &\geq r^{2t} e^{\frac{-2c}{\sigma_{2n-1}} \int_{\{\|z\|=1\}} \varphi(rz) d\sigma(z)} \geq r^{-2n} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $0 < r < \varepsilon$ . It follows that

$$\int_{\{\|z\|<\delta\}} \|z\|^{2t} e^{-2c\varphi} dV_{2n} \geq \sigma_{n-1} \int_0^\delta r^{-1} dr = +\infty, \quad \forall \delta \in (0, \varepsilon),$$

which implies

$$b = c_t(\varphi) \leq \frac{t+n}{a}.$$

This proves the claim, and hence, we deduce by (2.2) and (2.3) that

$$a \in (0, +\infty) \Leftrightarrow b \in (0, +\infty).$$

On the other hand, Lemma 2.2 states that

$$a = c_{|t|} \left( \varphi + \frac{|t|-t}{a} \log \|z\| \right).$$

Hence, we deduce by [22] that

$$\frac{1}{\nu_{\varphi + \frac{|t|-t}{c_t(\varphi)} \log \|z\|}(0)} \leq c_0 \left( \varphi + \frac{|t|-t}{c_t(\varphi)} \log \|z\| \right) \leq a.$$

Combining this with (2.3) we conclude that

$$\frac{1}{\nu_{\varphi + \frac{|t|-t}{c_t(\varphi)} \log \|z\|}(0)} \leq a \leq \frac{t+n}{\nu_\varphi(0)}.$$

The proof is complete. □

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  containing the origin 0 and let  $\varphi$  be a plurisubharmonic function in  $\Omega$  such that  $\nu_\varphi(0) > 0$ . Assume that  $s > t > -n$  are real numbers such that*

$$a := c_t(\varphi) < c_s(\varphi).$$

*Then, for every  $a < \gamma < c_s(\varphi)$ , the function*

$$\varphi_\gamma := \max \left\{ \varphi, \frac{s-t}{\gamma-a} \log \|z\| \right\}$$

*satisfies*

$$c_t(\varphi_\gamma) = a.$$

*Proof.* Theorem 1.1 tells us that  $a \in (0, +\infty)$ . Let  $r \in (0, 1)$  be such that  $B(0, r) \Subset \Omega$  and

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{B(0, r)} \|z\|^{2s} e^{-2\gamma\varphi} dV_{2n} < +\infty.$$

For  $\varepsilon \in (0, r)$ , we have

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{B(0, \varepsilon)} \|z\|^{2t} (e^{-2a\varphi} - e^{-2a\varphi_\gamma}) dV_{2n} &\leq \int_{B(0, \varepsilon) \cap \{\varphi < \frac{s-t}{\gamma-a} \log \|z\|\}} \|z\|^{2t} e^{-2a\varphi} dV_{2n} \\ &= \int_{B(0, \varepsilon) \cap \{(\gamma-a)\varphi < (s-t) \log \|z\|\}} \|z\|^{2t} e^{2(\gamma-a)\varphi - 2\gamma\varphi} dV_{2n} \\ &\leq \int_{B(0, \varepsilon)} \|z\|^{2t} e^{2(s-t) \log \|z\| - 2\gamma\varphi} dV_{2n} \\ &\leq \int_{B(0, r)} \|z\|^{2s} e^{-2\gamma\varphi} dV_{2n} < +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from inequality (3.1). Since  $\|z\|^{2t} e^{-2a\varphi}$  is not integrable near 0, it follows from (3.2) that  $\|z\|^{2t} e^{-2a\varphi_\gamma}$  is not integrable near 0, which implies

$$c_t(\varphi_\gamma) \leq a.$$

As  $\varphi \leq \varphi_\gamma$ , it follows that

$$a = c_t(\varphi) \leq c_t(\varphi_\gamma) \leq a.$$

Hence, we conclude that  $c_t(\varphi_\gamma) = a$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  containing the origin 0 and let  $\varphi$  be a plurisubharmonic function in  $\Omega$ . Assume that  $s > t > -n$  be real numbers. Then,*

$$c_s(\max\{\varphi, a \log \|z\|\}) \geq c_t(\varphi) + \frac{s-t}{a}$$

*holds for any  $a > 0$ .*

*Proof.* When  $b := c_t(\varphi) = +\infty$ , the statement is obvious. Now, assume that  $b \in (0, +\infty)$ . We define

$$x := 1 + \frac{ab}{s-t}.$$

Let  $\varepsilon \in (1 - \frac{1}{x}, 1)$ . Since  $\varepsilon b < b = c_t(\varphi)$ , there is  $r \in (0, 1)$  such that  $B(0, r) \Subset \Omega$  and

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{B(0, r)} \|z\|^{2t} e^{-2\varepsilon b\varphi} dV_{2n} < +\infty.$$

By Hölder inequality,

$$\|z\|^{2\varepsilon(s-t)} e^{2\varepsilon b\varphi} \leq \frac{1}{x} \|z\|^{2\varepsilon(s-t)x} + \frac{x-1}{x} e^{2\varepsilon \frac{bx}{x-1}\varphi},$$

which implies

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{e^{2\varepsilon \frac{bx}{x-1}\varphi} + \|z\|^{2\varepsilon(s-t)x}} &\leq \frac{1}{\frac{x-1}{x} e^{2\varepsilon \frac{bx}{x-1}\varphi} + \frac{1}{x} \|z\|^{2\varepsilon(s-t)x}} \\ &\leq e^{-2\varepsilon b\varphi} \|z\|^{-2\varepsilon(s-t)}. \end{aligned}$$



Note that for any  $\varepsilon, a$  and  $b$ , there exists positive constants  $A, B$  such that

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} e^{2\varepsilon \frac{bx}{x-1} \max\{\varphi, a \log \|z\|\}} &\geq A \left( e^{2\varphi} + \|z\|^{2a} \right)^{\varepsilon \frac{bx}{x-1}} \\ &\geq B \left( e^{2\varepsilon \frac{bx}{x-1} \varphi} + \|z\|^{2(s-t)\varepsilon x} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then, for  $0 < \|z\| < 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|z\|^{-2(s-t)} e^{-2\varepsilon b\varphi} &\geq \|z\|^{-2\varepsilon(s-t)} e^{-2\varepsilon b\varphi} \\ &\geq B^{-1} e^{-2\varepsilon \frac{bx}{x-1} \max\{\varphi, a \log \|z\|\}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from inequalities (3.4) and (3.5). Hence, we conclude by the inequality (3.3) that

$$\int_{B(0,r)} \|z\|^{2s} e^{-2\varepsilon \frac{bx}{x-1} \max\{\varphi, a \log \|z\|\}} dV_{2n} \leq B \int_{B(0,r)} \|z\|^{2t} e^{-2\varepsilon b\varphi} dV_{2n} < +\infty,$$

and therefore,

$$c_s(\max\{\varphi, a \log \|z\|\}) \geq \frac{bx}{x-1} = c_t(\varphi) + \frac{s-t}{a}.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded hyperconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  containing the origin 0 and let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  be such that  $\nu_\varphi(0) > 0$ . Assume that  $t > -n$  are real numbers and define*

$$\varphi_t(z) := \varphi(z) + \frac{|t| - t}{a} \log \|z\|, \quad z \in \Omega.$$

Then,

$$e_n(\varphi_t) := \int_{\{0\}} (dd^c \varphi_t)^n > 0$$

and

$$c_t(\varphi) < c_s(\varphi), \quad \forall s > t.$$

*Proof.* Lemma 4.4 in [1] tells us that

$$0 < \nu_\varphi(0) \leq \left( \int_{\{0\}} (dd^c \varphi)^n \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \left( \int_{\{0\}} (dd^c \log \|z\|)^n \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}},$$

which implies

$$e_n(\varphi) := \int_{\{0\}} (dd^c \varphi)^n > 0.$$

Define

$$a := c_t(\varphi) \quad \text{and} \quad b := c_s(\varphi).$$

As  $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ , it follows that  $\varphi_t \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega \cap B(0, 1))$  and satisfies

$$0 < e_n(\varphi) \leq e_n(\varphi_t) := \int_{\{0\}} (dd^c \varphi_t)^n.$$

Lemma 2.2 tells us that  $0 < a \leq b < +\infty$  and

$$(3.6) \quad a = c_{|t|}(\varphi_t) \quad \text{and} \quad b = c_{s+\frac{b(|t|-t)}{a}}(\varphi_t).$$

Theorem 1.1 in [17] states that

$$c_{|t|+\varepsilon}(\varphi_t) \geq c_{|t|}(\varphi_t) + \varepsilon \frac{(n-1)^{n-1}}{c_{|t|}(\varphi_t)^{n-1} e_n(\varphi_t)}, \quad \forall 0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \frac{c_{|t|}(\varphi_t)^n e_n(\varphi_t)}{(n-1)^n}.$$

It follows that

$$c_{|t|+\varepsilon}(\varphi_t) > a, \quad \forall 0 < \varepsilon \leq \frac{a^n e_n(\varphi_t)}{(n-1)^n}$$

which implies by (3.6) that  $b > a$  because

$$s + \frac{b(|t| - t)}{a} > |t|$$

which is what we wanted to prove.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded hyperconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  containing the origin 0 and let  $\varphi$  be a plurisubharmonic function in  $\Omega$ . Then,*

$$c_s(\varphi) \leq \frac{s+n}{t+n} c_t(\varphi), \quad \forall s > t > -n.$$

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we can assume that  $\Omega \subset B(0, 1)$  and  $\varphi < 0$  in  $\Omega$ . If  $\nu_\varphi(0) = 0$  then Theorem 1.1 tells us that

$$c_t(\varphi) = c_s(\varphi) = +\infty,$$

and hence, the statement is obvious. Now, assume that  $\nu_\varphi(0) > 0$ . Define

$$a := c_t(\varphi) \quad \text{and} \quad b := c_s(\varphi)$$

Firstly, we consider the case  $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ . Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.3 tell us that  $0 < a < b < +\infty$  and

$$\begin{aligned} a = c_t(\varphi_\gamma) &\geq c_t\left(\frac{s-t}{\gamma-a} \log \|z\|\right) \\ &= \frac{(t+n)(\gamma-a)}{s-t}, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\gamma \in (a, b)$ . Here,

$$\varphi_\gamma := \max\left\{\varphi, \frac{s-t}{\gamma-a} \log \|z\|\right\}.$$

It follows that

$$a \geq \frac{(t+n)(b-a)}{s-t},$$

i.e.,

$$b \leq \frac{(s+n)a}{t+n}.$$

Now let us consider the general case. Let  $m \geq t$  be a positive integer number and define

$$\psi := \varphi + \frac{m-t}{a} \log \|z\| \quad \text{on } \Omega.$$

Lemma 2.2 states that

$$(3.7) \quad a = c_m(\psi) \quad \text{and} \quad b = c_{s+\frac{b(m-t)}{a}}(\psi).$$

For  $j \geq 1$ , we set

$$\psi_j(z) := \max\{\psi(z), j \log \|z\|\}, \quad z \in \Omega.$$

Using Theorem 2.1 in [20],

$$(3.8) \quad c_m(\psi) \leq c_m(\psi_j) \leq c_m(\psi) + c_m(j \log \|z\|) = a + \frac{m+n}{j}.$$

Since

$$\nu_\psi(0) \geq \nu_\varphi(0) > 0,$$

it follows by (3.8) and Theorem 1.1 that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} c_m(\psi_j) = c_m(\psi) \leq \frac{m+n}{\nu_\psi(0)} < +\infty,$$

which implies that there exists  $j_0 \geq 1$  such that

$$c_m(\psi_j) \leq \frac{m+2n}{\nu_\psi(0)}, \quad \forall j \geq j_0.$$

Again using Theorem 1.1 we get

$$\nu_{\psi_j}(0) > 0, \quad \forall j \geq j_0.$$

Since  $\psi_j \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$  and  $s + \frac{b(m-t)}{a} > m$ , by previous case,

$$c_{s+\frac{b(m-t)}{a}}(\psi) \leq c_{s+\frac{b(m-t)}{a}}(\psi_j) \leq \frac{(s + \frac{b(m-t)}{a} + n)c_m(\psi_j)}{m+n}$$

for all  $j \geq j_0$ . Combining this with (3.7) and (3.8) we arrive

$$b \leq \frac{\left(s + \frac{b(m-t)}{a} + n\right)a}{m+n}.$$

Therefore,

$$b \leq \frac{(s+n)a}{t+n}.$$

This completes the proof of the proposition. □

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Firstly, we claim that

$$\frac{c_r(\varphi) - c_s(\varphi)}{r-s} \leq \frac{c_s(\varphi) - c_t(\varphi)}{s-t}, \quad \forall r > s > t > -n.$$

If  $c_s(\varphi) = c_r(\varphi)$  then the statement is obvious. Now, assume that

$$c_s(\varphi) < c_r(\varphi).$$

Let  $\gamma \in (c_s(\varphi), c_r(\varphi))$ . Lemma 3.1 states that

$$c_s(\varphi) = c_s \left( \max \left\{ \varphi, \frac{r-s}{\gamma - c_s(\varphi)} \log \|z\| \right\} \right).$$

Hence, we deduce by Lemma 3.2 that

$$c_s(\varphi) \geq c_t(\varphi) + \frac{s-t}{\frac{r-s}{\gamma - c_s(\varphi)}}.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{c_r(\varphi) - c_s(\varphi)}{r - s} \leq \frac{c_s(\varphi) - c_t(\varphi)}{s - t}.$$

This proves the claim, and therefore,

$$0 \leq \frac{c_p(\varphi) - c_q(\varphi)}{p - q} \leq \frac{c_q(\varphi) - c_s(\varphi)}{q - s} \leq \frac{c_s(\varphi) - c_t(\varphi)}{s - t}.$$

Using Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 3.4 we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{c_p(\varphi) - c_q(\varphi)}{p - q} \leq \frac{c_s(\varphi) - c_t(\varphi)}{s - t} \\ &\leq \frac{\frac{s+n}{t+n}c_t(\varphi) - c_t(\varphi)}{s - t} = \frac{c_t(\varphi)}{t + n} \leq \frac{1}{\nu_\varphi(0)}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  containing the origin 0 and let  $l$  be a complex line defined by*

$$l = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) : z_k = 0, 2 \leq k \leq n\}.$$

*Assume that  $t \geq 0$  is an integer number and  $\varphi$  is a plurisubharmonic function in  $\Omega$  such that*

$$\nu_{\varphi|_l}(0) < t + 1$$

*and*

$$\varphi \geq a \log \|z\| - b \text{ on } \Omega$$

*for some  $a, b > 0$ . Then, there exists  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\Delta^n(0, \gamma) \Subset \Omega$  and*

$$\int_{\Delta^n(0, \gamma)} \max\{\gamma|z_1|^{2t} - \|z\|^{2t+2}, 0\} e^{-2\varphi} dV_{2n} < +\infty.$$

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we can assume that  $\varphi$  is negative in  $\Omega$ . Let  $r \in (0, 1)$  be such that  $\Delta^n(0, r) \Subset \Omega$ . Since

$$t + 1 > \nu_{\varphi|_l}(0) = \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi|_l(\lambda)}{\log |\lambda|}$$

it follows that there exist  $d \in (0, 1)$  and a sequence  $\lambda_j \in \Delta(0, \frac{r}{j}) \setminus \{0\}$  such that

$$\frac{\varphi|_l(\lambda_j)}{\log |\lambda_j|} \leq d(t + 1), \quad \forall j \geq 1.$$

The proof is split into two steps.

*Step 1.* We will prove that there is  $\delta \in (0, \frac{r}{2})$  such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \left( |\lambda_j|^{2t+2} \int_{\Delta^{n-1}(0, 2\delta)} e^{-2\varphi(\lambda_j, z')} dV_{2n-2} \right) = 0.$$

By the  $L^2$ -extension theorem of Ohsawa and Takegoshi, we can find holomorphic functions  $f_j$  on  $\Delta^{n-1}(0, r)$  such that  $f_j(0) = 1$  and

$$(4.1) \quad \int_{\Delta^{n-1}(0, r)} |f_j|^2 e^{-2\varphi(\lambda_j, z')} dV_{2n-2} \leq A e^{-2\varphi|_H(\lambda_j)} \leq A |\lambda_j|^{-2d(t+1)},$$

where  $A$  is a positive constant which only depends on  $r$  and  $n$ . Since  $\Re f_j$  are pluriharmonic in  $\Delta^{n-1}(0, r)$ , it follows that

$$u_j := \min\{\Re f_1, \dots, \Re f_j\}$$

are plurisubharmonic in  $\Delta^{n-1}(0, r)$  which implies that

$$u := \inf_{j \geq 1} u_j$$

is plurisubharmonic in  $\Delta^{n-1}(0, r)$  because

$$u(0) = \inf_{j \geq 1} u_j(0) = \inf_{j \geq 1} f_j(0) = 1.$$

Lemma 3.1 in [8] tells us that there exists a negative plurisubharmonic function  $v$  in  $\Delta^{n-1}(0, s)$  such that  $v(0) > -2$  and

$$\Delta^{n-1}(0, s) \cap \{v > -2\} \subset \Delta^{n-1}(0, r) \cap \{u > \frac{1}{2}\}.$$

We deduce by (4.1) that

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & |\lambda_j|^{2t+2} \int_{\Delta^{n-1}(0, s) \cap \{v > -2\}} e^{-2\varphi(\lambda_j, z')} dV_{2n-2} \\ & \leq 4 |\lambda_j|^{2t+2} \int_{\Delta^{n-1}(0, s) \cap \{v > -2\}} |\Re f_j|^2 e^{-2\varphi(\lambda_j, z')} dV_{2n-2} \\ & \leq 4A |\lambda_j|^{2(1-d)(t+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, Proposition 2.2 in [18] states that there exists  $0 < \delta < \frac{\min(s, r)}{2}$  such that

$$\int_{\Delta^{n-1}(0, 2\delta) \cap \{v < -1\}} e^{-2a \log \|z'\| + 2b} dV_{2n-2} < +\infty.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{j \geq 1} \int_{\Delta^{n-1}(0, 2\delta) \cap \{v < -1\}} e^{-2\varphi(\lambda_j, z')} dV_{2n-2} \\ & \leq \sup_{j \geq 1} \int_{\Delta^{n-1}(0, 2\delta) \cap \{v < -1\}} e^{-2a \log \sqrt{|\lambda_j|^2 + \|z'\|^2} + 2b} dV_{2n-2} \\ & \leq \int_{\Delta^{n-1}(0, 2\delta) \cap \{v < -1\}} e^{-2a \log \|z'\| + 2b} dV_{2n-2} < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (4.2) we arrive at

$$(4.3) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \left( |\lambda_j|^{2t+2} \int_{\Delta^{n-1}(0, 2\delta)} e^{-2\varphi(\lambda_j, z')} dV_{2n-2} \right) = 0$$

because  $\lambda_j \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow +\infty$ .

*Step 2.* Define

$$\varepsilon_j := |\lambda_j|^{2t+2} \int_{\Delta^{n-1}(0, 2\delta)} e^{-2\varphi(\lambda_j, z')} dV_{2n-2}.$$

By the  $L^2$ -extension theorem of Ohsawa and Takegoshi [19], we can find holomorphic functions  $g_j$  on  $\Delta^n(0, 2\delta)$  such that  $g_j(\lambda_j, z') = \lambda_j^t$ ,  $\forall z' \in \Delta^{n-1}(0, 2\delta)$  and

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\Delta^n(0, 2\delta)} |g_j|^2 e^{-2\varphi} dV_{2n} &\leq C \int_{\Delta^{n-1}(0, 2\delta)} e^{-2\varphi(\lambda_j, z')} dV_{2n-2} \\ &\leq \frac{C\varepsilon_j}{|\lambda_j|^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C$  is a positive constant which only depends on  $r$  and  $n$ . Let  $x \in \Delta^n(0, \delta)$ . Since  $|g_j|^2$  are plurisubharmonic functions in  $\Delta^n(0, 2\delta)$ , we infer from (4.4) that

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \|g_j\|_{\Delta^n(0, \delta)}^2 &= \sup_{x \in \Delta^n(0, \delta)} |g_j(x)|^2 \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in \Delta^n(0, \delta)} \frac{1}{\pi^n (2\delta - |x_1|)^2 \dots (2\delta - x_n)^2} \int_{\Delta^n(0, 2\delta)} |g_j|^2 dV_{2n} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\pi^n \delta^{2n}} \int_{\Delta^n(0, 2\delta)} |g_j|^2 e^{-2\varphi} dV_{2n} \\ &\leq \frac{D\varepsilon_j}{|\lambda_j|^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $D$  is a positive constant which only depends on  $r$  and  $n$ . Let  $h_j$  be holomorphic functions on  $\Delta^n(0, 2\delta)$  such that

$$g_j(z) = z_1^t + (z_1 - \lambda_j)h_j(z), \quad \forall z \in \Delta^n(0, 2\delta).$$

By the maximum principle for plurisubharmonic functions, we deduce by (4.5) that

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\lambda_j h_j\|_{\Delta^n(0, \delta)} &= \|\lambda_j h_j\|_{\partial\Delta(0, \delta) \times \Delta^{n-1}(0, \delta)} \\ &\leq \frac{|\lambda_j|}{\delta - |\lambda_j|} \|g_j\|_{\Delta^n(0, \delta)} + \frac{|\lambda_j|\delta^t}{\delta - |\lambda_j|} \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{D\varepsilon_j}}{\delta - |\lambda_j|} + \frac{|\lambda_j|\delta^t}{\delta - |\lambda_j|}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we can write

$$h_j(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_{j, \alpha} z^\alpha, \quad z \in \Delta^n(0, 2\delta).$$

The Cauchy integral formula gives

$$|\lambda_j a_{j, \alpha}| \leq \frac{\|\lambda_j h_j\|_{\Delta^n(0, \delta)}}{\delta^{|\alpha|}}, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n.$$

Combining this with (4.3) and (4.6) we arrive at

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_j a_{j, \alpha} = 0, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n.$$

According to Lemma 2.1 in [16] there exist  $\gamma_0 \in (0, \delta)$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that

$$\gamma_0 |z_1|^t \leq \sum_{j=1}^m |g_j(z)| + \|z\|^{t+1}, \quad \forall z \in \Delta^n(0, \gamma_0).$$

Choose  $0 < \gamma < \gamma_0$  such that

$$\gamma |z_1|^{2t} \leq \sum_{j=1}^m |g_j(z)|^2 + \|z\|^{2t+2}, \quad \forall z \in \Delta^n(0, \gamma_0),$$

It follows that

$$\max\{\gamma |z_1|^{2t} - \|z\|^{2t+2}, 0\} \leq \sum_{j=1}^m |g_j(z)|^2, \quad \forall z \in \Delta^n(0, \gamma).$$

Hence, we conclude by (4.4) that

$$\int_{\Delta^n(0, \gamma)} \max\{\gamma |z_1|^{2t} - \|z\|^{2t+2}, 0\} e^{-2\varphi} dV_{2n} < +\infty.$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  containing the origin 0. Assume that  $t > -n$  is a real number and  $\varphi$  is a plurisubharmonic function in  $\Omega$  such that*

$$0 < \nu_\varphi(0) = \nu_{\varphi|_l}(0) < t + 1$$

*for all complex line  $l$  through 0 and*

$$\varphi \geq a \log \|z\| - b \text{ on } \Omega$$

*for some  $a, b > 0$ . Then, there exists  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\Delta^n(0, \gamma) \Subset \Omega$  and*

$$\int_{\Delta^n(0, \gamma)} \|z\|^{2t} e^{-2\varphi} dV_{2n} < +\infty.$$

*Proof.* Let  $m \geq t$  be an integer number. Put

$$\psi := \varphi + (m - t) \log \|z\|$$

and

$$l_j = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) : z_k = 0, \forall k \neq j\}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

By the hypotheses we have

$$0 < \nu_\psi(0) = \nu_\varphi(0) + (m - t) = \nu_{\varphi|_{l_j}}(0) + (m - t) = \nu_{\psi|_{l_j}}(0) < m + 1.$$

Lemma 4.1 tells us that there exists  $\gamma_j \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\Delta^n(0, \gamma_j) \Subset \Omega$  and

$$\int_{\Delta^n(0, \gamma_j)} \max\{\gamma_j |z_j|^{2m} - \|z\|^{2m+2}, 0\} e^{-2\psi} dV_{2n} < +\infty.$$

This implies that there exists  $0 < \gamma < \min\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\}$  such that

$$\int_{\Delta^n(0, \gamma)} \|z\|^{2t} e^{-2\varphi} dV_{2n} = \int_{\Delta^n(0, \gamma)} \|z\|^{2m} e^{-2\psi} dV_{2n} < +\infty.$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Theorem 1.1 tells us that  $c_t(\varphi) \in (0, +\infty)$ . Let  $p, q, x$  be real numbers such that

$$p > q \geq t > x > -n.$$

Firstly, we claim that

$$(4.7) \quad c_p(\varphi) \geq \frac{p+1}{\nu_\varphi(0)}.$$

Indeed, let  $0 < c < \frac{p+1}{\nu_\varphi(0)}$ . Since

$$\nu_{c\varphi}(0) = c\nu_\varphi(0) < p+1,$$

Lemma 4.2 states that there exists  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\Delta^n(0, \gamma) \Subset \Omega$  and

$$\int_{\Delta^n(0, \gamma)} \|z\|^{2p} e^{-2c\varphi} dV_{2n} < +\infty.$$

It follows that  $c_p(\varphi) \geq c$  which implies

$$c_p(\varphi) \geq \frac{p+1}{\nu_\varphi(0)}.$$

This proves the claim. Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 state that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\nu_\varphi(0)} &\geq \frac{c_t(\varphi) - c_x(\varphi)}{t - x} \geq \frac{c_p(\varphi) - c_q(\varphi)}{p - q} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p - q} \left( \frac{p+1}{\nu_\varphi(0)} - \frac{q+n}{\nu_\varphi(0)} \right) = \frac{p - q + 1 - n}{(p - q)\nu_\varphi(0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\frac{1}{\nu_\varphi(0)} \geq \frac{c_t(\varphi) - c_x(\varphi)}{t - x} \geq \lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{p - q + 1 - n}{(p - q)\nu_\varphi(0)} = \frac{1}{\nu_\varphi(0)}$$

which implies

$$(4.8) \quad \frac{c_t(\varphi) - c_x(\varphi)}{t - x} = \frac{1}{\nu_\varphi(0)}, \quad \forall x \in (-n, t).$$

On the other hand, by Theorem 1.1 we have

$$0 \leq \liminf_{x \rightarrow -n} c_x(\varphi) \leq \limsup_{x \rightarrow -n} c_x(\varphi) \leq \lim_{x \rightarrow -n} \frac{x + n}{\nu_\varphi(0)} = 0.$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow -n} c_x(\varphi) = 0.$$

Therefore, we deduce by (4.8) that

$$\frac{t + n}{\nu_\varphi(0)} = (t + n) \lim_{x \rightarrow -n} \frac{c_t(\varphi) - c_x(\varphi)}{t - x} = (t + n) \frac{c_t(\varphi)}{t + n} = c_t(\varphi).$$

This proves the theorem. □



## 5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* Lemma 3.3 tells us that  $e_n(\varphi) \in (0, +\infty)$ . Using Theorem 1.1 we deduce by (1.2) that

$$\frac{n}{e_n(\varphi)^{1/n}} \leq c_0(\varphi) \leq c_{|t|}(\varphi) \leq \frac{|t| + n}{\nu_\varphi(0)}.$$

This implies that

$$(5.1) \quad \frac{c_{|t|}(\varphi)^n e_n(\varphi)}{(n-1)^n} \geq \left( \frac{n}{e_n(\varphi)^{1/n}} \right)^n \frac{e_n(\varphi)}{(n-1)^n} = \left( \frac{n}{n-1} \right)^n$$

and

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{(n-1)^{n-1}}{c_{|t|}(\varphi)^{n-1} e_n(\varphi)} &\geq \left( \frac{\nu_\varphi(0)}{|t| + n} \right)^{n-1} \frac{(n-1)^{n-1}}{e_n(\varphi)} \\ &= \left( \frac{(n-1)\nu_\varphi(0)}{(|t| + n)e_n(\varphi)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}} \right)^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, Theorem 1.1 in [17] states that

$$c_{|t|+s}(\varphi_t) \geq c_{|t|}(\varphi) + s \frac{(n-1)^{n-1}}{c_{|t|}(\varphi)^{n-1} e_n(\varphi)}$$

for all

$$0 \leq s \leq \frac{c_{|t|}(\varphi)^n e_n(\varphi)}{(n-1)^n}.$$

Hence, by Theorem 1.2 we have

$$c_{t+s}(\varphi) - c_t(\varphi) \geq c_{|t|+s}(\varphi) - c_{|t|}(\varphi) \geq s \frac{(n-1)^{n-1}}{c_{|t|}(\varphi)^{n-1} e_n(\varphi)}.$$

for all

$$0 \leq s \leq \frac{c_{|t|}(\varphi)^n e_n(\varphi)}{(n-1)^n}.$$

Combining this with (5.1) and (5.2) we conclude that

$$c_{t+s}(\varphi) - c_t(\varphi) \geq s \left( \frac{(n-1)\nu_\varphi(0)}{(|t| + n)e_n(\varphi)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}} \right)^{n-1}.$$

for all

$$0 \leq s \leq \left( \frac{n}{n-1} \right)^n.$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. Åhag, U. Cegrell, R. Czyż and P. H. Hiep, *Monge-Ampère measures on pluripolar sets*, J. Math. Pures Appl., **92** (2009), 613–627.
- [2] P. Åhag, U. Cegrell, S. Kołodziej, P. H. Hiep and A. Zeriahi, *Partial pluricomplex energy and integrability exponents of plurisubharmonic functions*, Adv. Math. **222**, 2036–2058 (2009).
- [3] B. Berndtsson, *The openness conjecture and complex Brunn-Minkowski inequalities*, Complex geometry and dynamics, 29–44, Abel Symp., 10, Springer, Cham, 2015.
- [4] U. Cegrell, *The general definition of the complex Monge-Ampère operator*, Ann. Inst. Fourier., **54** (2004), 159–179.
- [5] J.-P. Demailly, *Multiplier ideal sheaves and analytic methods in algebraic geometry*, In: School on vanishing theorems and effective results in algebraic geometry, 1–148. The Abdus Salam ICTP Publications, Trieste (2001).
- [6] J.-P. Demailly and P. H. Hiep, *A sharp lower bound for the log canonical threshold*, Acta Math. **212** (2014) 1–9.
- [7] J.-P. Demailly and J. Kollár, *Semi-continuity of complex singularity exponents and Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano orbifolds*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **34** (2001) 525–556.
- [8] S. El Marzguioui, J. Wiegnerinck, *The pluri-fine topology is locally connected*, Potential Anal. **25** (2006), no. 3, 283–288.
- [9] Q. Guan and X. Zhou, *A proof of Demailly’s strong openness conjecture*, Ann. Math. **182**, 605–616 (2015).
- [10] Q. Guan and X. Zhou, *Restriction formula and subadditivity property related to multiplier ideal sheaves*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **769** (2020), 1–33.
- [11] V. Guedj and A. Zeriahi, *Degenerate complex Monge-Ampère equations*, EMS Tracts in Mathematics, 26. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2017. xxiv+472 pp.
- [12] P. H. Hiep, *The weighted log canonical threshold*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I **352** (2014) 283–288.
- [13] P. H. Hiep, *Continuity properties of certain weighted log canonical thresholds*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I **355** (2017) 34–39.
- [14] P. H. Hiep, *Log canonical thresholds and Monge-Ampère masses*, Math. Ann., **370** (2018), 555–566.
- [15] P. H. Hiep, *Singularity invariants of plurisubharmonic functions and complex spaces*, arXiv:2304.02238v8.
- [16] N. X. Hong, *A note on the weighted log canonical thresholds of plurisubharmonic functions*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I, **356** (2018), 865–869.
- [17] N. X. Hong, *On the weighted log canonical thresholds of plurisubharmonic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **147**, 5063–5070 (2019).
- [18] N. X. Hong, *Strong openness conjecture and level sets of plurisubharmonic functions*, Analysis Mathematica, **51** (3) (2025), 805–814.
- [19] T. Ohsawa and K. Takegoshi, *On the extension of  $L^2$  holomorphic functions*, Math. Z. **195** (1987) 197–204.
- [20] T. Tung, *Some results on the comparison principle for the weighted log canonical thresholds*, Internat. J. Math. **34** (2023), no. 11, Paper No. 2350066, 12 pp.
- [21] A. Rashkovskii, *Extremal cases for the log canonical threshold*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I **353** (2015), no. 1, 21–24.
- [22] H. Skoda, *Sous-ensembles analytiques d’ordre fini ou infini dans  $\mathbb{C}^n$* , Bull. Soc. Math. France, **100**, 353–408 (1972).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS (SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE), HANOI NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, 136 XUAN THUY STREET, HANOI, VIETNAM

Email address: hongnx@hnue.edu.vn