

The spectral radii and extremal graphs of two types of minimal graphs

Liwen Lian, Jinfeng Liu, Mengyuan Niu, Xiumei Wang*

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Zhengzhou University

Zhengzhou 450001, China

Abstract

A connected nontrivial graph G is *matching covered* if every edge of G is contained in some perfect matching of G . A matching covered graph G is *minimal* if $G - e$ is not matching covered for each edge e of G . A graph is said to be *factor-critical* if $G - v$ has a perfect matching for every $v \in V(G)$. A factor-critical graph G is said to be *minimal factor-critical* if $G - e$ is not factor-critical graph for each edge $e \in E(G)$. In this paper, by employing ear decomposition and edge-exchange techniques, the greatest spectral radii of minimal matching covered bipartite graphs and minimal factor-critical graphs are determined, and the corresponding extremal graphs are characterized.

Keywords spectral radius; ear decomposition; matching covered graph; factor-critical graph;

2000 MR Subject Classification 05C50, 05C70, 05C75

1 Introduction

Graphs considered in this paper are connected and simple. For standard graph-theoretical notation and terminology, we refer the readers to [3] and [16]. Let G be a graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. The *order* of G is denoted by n , i.e. $n = |V(G)|$. We write K_n for the *complete graph* of order n . The *complement* \overline{G} of G is the simple graph whose vertex set is $V(G)$ and whose edges are the pairs of nonadjacent vertices of G . The *union* of graphs G and H is the graph $G \cup H$ with vertex set $V(G) \cup V(H)$ and edge set $E(G) \cup E(H)$. If

*Corresponding author: Xiumei Wang. e-mail: wangxiumei@zzu.edu.cn.

G and H are edge-disjoint, $G \cup H$ can be denoted by $G + H$. The *join* $G \vee H$ is the graph obtained from $G \cup H$ by adding edges joining every vertex of G to every vertex of H . We write P_n and C_n for the path and cycle of order n , respectively.

A *matching* of G is a set of pairwise nonadjacent edges. A *perfect matching* of G is a matching which covers all vertices of G . A connected nontrivial graph G is *matching covered* if every edge of G is contained in some perfect matching of G . A matching covered graph G is *minimal* if $G - e$ is not matching covered for each edge e of G . Lovász and Plummer [15] gave an upper bound on the number of edges of a minimal matching covered bipartite graph and characterized extremal graphs. Zhang et al. [25] presented a complete characterization of minimal matching covered graphs which are claw-free. He et al. [9] proved that the minimum degree of a minimal matching covered graph other than K_2 is either 2 or 3. Mallik et al. [17] characterized minimal matching covered bipartite graphs with the number of vertices of degree 2 is $2(m - n + 2)$.

A graph is said to be *factor-critical* if $G - v$ has a perfect matching for every $v \in V(G)$. A factor-critical graph G is said to be *minimal* if $G - e$ is not factor-critical graph for each edge $e \in E(G)$. Favaron [5] and Yu [20] independently defined k -factor-critical graphs for any positive integer k . A graph is said to be *k -factor-critical* if the removal of any set of k vertices results in a graph with a perfect matching. Zhang and Fan [23] established lower bounds on the number of edges of G and the spectral radius of G , respectively, to guarantee that G is k -factor-critical.

Let $A(G)$ be the *adjacency matrix* of a graph G and $\rho(G)$ be its *spectral radius*, which is the largest eigenvalue of $A(G)$. By Perron-Frobenius Theorem [10], every connected graph G of order n has a positive unit eigenvector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T$ corresponding to $\rho(G)$, which is called the *Perron vector* of G .

One of the most well-known problems on spectral graph theory is the following Brualdi-Solheid problem [4].

Problem 1 (Brualdi-Solheid problem): Given a set \mathcal{G} of graphs, find a tight upper bound for the spectral radius of graphs in \mathcal{G} and characterize the extremal graphs.

The problem is well studied in the literature for many classes of graphs, such as graphs with cut vertices [2], cut edges [12], given chromatic number [6], diameter [8], domination number [19], and independence number [13, 11]. Feng et al. [7] characterized the extremal graphs with maximum spectral radius among simple graphs of order n and matching number β . Zhang et al. [22, 24] characterized these graphs among t -connected graphs with matching number at most $\frac{n-k}{2}$ and among connected graphs with minimum degree δ and matching number at most $\frac{n-k}{2}$, where t, k are two positive integers. In this paper, by employing ear decomposition and edge-exchange techniques, the greatest spectral radius of minimal matching covered bipartite graphs and minimal factor-critical graphs are determined, and the extremal graphs are characterized. The main results are as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a minimal matching covered bipartite graph of order n . If $n \leq 4$, then $\rho(G) \leq 2$, the equality holds if and only if $G \cong C_4$; If $n \geq 6$, then $\rho(G) \leq \frac{1+\sqrt{2n-3}}{2}$, the equality holds if and only if $G \cong P_3^*$, where P_3^* is the union of $\frac{n-2}{2}$ paths of length of 3 with the same ends (see Figure 1).*




Figure 1: The graph P_3^* .

Theorem 1.2. *Let G be a minimal factor-critical graph of order n . Then $\rho(G) \leq \rho(K_1 \vee \frac{n-1}{2}K_2)$, the equality holds if and only if $G \cong K_1 \vee \frac{n-1}{2}K_2$.*

2 Preliminaries

We begin with some definitions, which are used in the proof of the main results. For any subset S of $V(G)$, let $N_G(S)$ denote all vertices in $V(G) \setminus S$ which are adjacent to at least one vertex in S . We denote by $G - S$ the subgraph of G obtained from G by deleting the vertices in S together with their incident edges, and we denote by $G[S]$ the subgraph of G induced by S . For a subset E' of $E(G)$, $G - E'$ is the graph obtained from G by deleting edges in E' . Similarly, $G + E'$ represents the graph obtained from G by adding edges in E' . A path with ends u and v is called a (u, v) -path. For a path P and two vertices u, v on P , we denote by $P[u, v]$ the segment of P with ends u and v .

A *chord* of a cycle C in a graph G is an edge in $E(G) \setminus E(C)$ both of whose ends lie on C . A subgraph H of G is said to be *nice* if $G - V(H)$ has a perfect matching. In particular, if H is a cycle, then H is called a *nice cycle* of G . An *ear* of G is an odd path whose ends lie in G but whose internal vertices do not. An ear is *trivial* if it has only one edge, and *nontrivial* otherwise. A *family of parallel ears* is a set of ears that share the same ends and whose internal vertices pairwise distinct. The following gives the definition of the ear decomposition.

Definition 2.1. *An ear decomposition of G is a sequence of subgraphs $(G_0, G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k)$ of G that satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i) G_0 is a subgraph of G ,
- (ii) $G_{i+1} = G_i + P_{i+1}$, where P_{i+1} is an ear of G_i ($0 \leq i \leq k-1$),

(iii) $G_k = G$.

If G has an ear decomposition $(G_0, G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k)$, we write $G = G_0 + P_1 + \dots + P_k$, $G_{i+1} = G_i + P_{i+1}$ ($0 \leq i \leq k-1$), and call $G_0 + P_1 + \dots + P_k$ an ear decomposition of G . In particular, if G_0 is an odd cycle, it is called an odd ear decomposition of G . If G is a bipartite graph, $G_0 = K_2$, and P_{i+1} connects vertices in different parts of G_i , then it is called a bipartite ear decomposition of G . At this time, $G_1 = G_0 + P_1$ is an even cycle.

Definition 2.2. Let G be a matching covered bipartite graph with a bipartite ear decomposition $G = C + P_1 + \dots + P_k + \mathcal{P}_{k+1} + \mathcal{P}_{k+2}$, where \mathcal{P}_{k+i} ($i = 1, 2$) is a family of parallel ears (it may contain only one ear), and at least one end of \mathcal{P}_{k+i} lies on the ear P_k . If the ends of \mathcal{P}_{k+1} and \mathcal{P}_{k+2} on P_k appear in order (as shown in Figure 2), then we call \mathcal{P}_{k+1} and \mathcal{P}_{k+2} are compatible.




Figure 2: P_k , \mathcal{P}_{k+1} and \mathcal{P}_{k+2}

Next, we introduce some lemmas essential to the proof.

Lemma 2.3 ([15]). A bipartite graph is matching covered if and only if it has a bipartite ear decomposition.

Lemma 2.4 ([15]). Any matching covered nice subgraph of a minimal matching covered graph is minimal, this is equivalent to saying that if a minimal matching covered bipartite graph G has a bipartite ear decomposition $C + P_1 + P_2 + \dots + P_k$, then $G_i = C + P_1 + P_2 + \dots + P_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq k$) is also minimal matching covered.

Lemma 2.5 ([15]). If G is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph and is not a 4-cycle, then it contains no 4-cycle.

Lemma 2.6 ([15]). Let G be a matching covered bipartite graph. Then G is minimal if and only if no nice cycle has a chord.

Let $A = (a_{ij})$ and $B = (b_{ij})$ be two $n \times n$ matrices. Define $A \leq B$ if $a_{ij} \leq b_{ij}$ for all i and j , and define $A < B$ if $A \leq B$ and $A \neq B$.

Lemma 2.7 ([1]). Let $A = (a_{ij})$ and $B = (b_{ij})$ be two $n \times n$ matrices with the spectral radii $\rho(A)$ and $\rho(B)$, respectively. If $0 \leq A \leq B$, then $\rho(A) \leq \rho(B)$. Furthermore, if B is irreducible and $0 \leq A < B$, then $\rho(A) < \rho(B)$.

It is known that the adjacency matrix of a connected graph is irreducible. Let $E_G(T, S)$ denote the set of edges in graph G with one end in T and the other end in S .

Lemma 2.8 ([21]). *Let G be a connected graph of order n , and \mathbf{x} be the Perron vector of G . Let S_1, S_2 and T be three nonempty disjoint subsets of $V(G)$, and $E_G(T, S_1) = \emptyset$, $|E_G(T, S_2)| = |T||S_2|$. If $\sum_{u \in S_1} x_u \geq \sum_{v \in S_2} x_v$, then $\rho(G + E_{\overline{G}}(T, S_1) - E_G(T, S_2)) > \rho(G)$.*

An *automorphism* of a graph is an isomorphism of the graph to itself. We say that u and v are *equivalent* in G , if there exists an automorphism $p : G \rightarrow G$ such that $p(u) = v$. Vertex equivalence implies the following property of eigenvectors to $\rho(G)$.

Lemma 2.9 ([18]). *Let G be a connected graph of order n and let \mathbf{x} be the Perron vector of $A(G)$. If u and v are equivalent vertices in G , then $x_u = x_v$.*

Lemma 2.10. *The spectral radius of P_3^* with order n (see Figure 1) is the largest root of the equation $\rho^2 - \rho - \frac{n-2}{2} = 0$.*

Proof. Let $\rho = \rho(P_3^*)$ and \mathbf{x} be the Perron vector of P_3^* , denote the two vertices with degree $\frac{n-2}{2}$ in P_3^* by u_1 and u_2 , and denote the vertices with degree 2 by u_3, u_4, \dots, u_n . For any vertex u_i in P_3^* , we can obtain that

$$\rho x_{u_i} = (A(P_3^*)\mathbf{x})_{u_i} = \sum_{u_j \in N_{P_3^*}(u_i)} x_{u_j}, 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

By Lemma 2.9, we have $x_{u_1} = x_{u_2}$, $x_{u_3} = \dots = x_{u_n}$, and

$$\rho x_{u_1} = \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)x_{u_3}, \quad \rho x_{u_3} = x_{u_1} + x_{u_3}.$$

Combining the above two equations, we have $\rho^2 - \rho - \frac{n-2}{2} = 0$. The conclusion is proved. \square

Lemma 2.11. *Let G be a minimal matching covered bipartite graph with a bipartite ear decomposition $C + P_1 + P_2 + \dots + P_k$. Let $G' = G + P_{k+1}$, where P_{k+1} is a nontrivial ear of G . If the two ends of P_{k+1} are two nonadjacent vertices and in different parts of P_k , then G' is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph.*

Proof. According to Lemma 2.3, G' is a matching covered bipartite graph. Let C' be any nice cycle of G' , and M' be a perfect matching in $G' - V(C')$. In order to prove that G' is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph, by Lemma 2.6, it suffices to prove that C' has no chords. Set $M = M' \cap E(G)$. Suppose that the two ends of P_{k+1} are u and v .

If $E(C') \cap E(P_{k+1}) = \emptyset$, then C' is in G . If $M' \cap E(P_{k+1})$ does not cover u and v , then M is a perfect matching of $G - V(C')$, and C' is also a nice cycle of G . If $M' \cap E(P_{k+1})$ covers u and v , then $P_k[u, v]$ is not contained in C' . So $M \triangle E(P_k[u, v])$ is a perfect matching of $G - V(C')$, and C' is also a nice cycle of G . Since G is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph, C' has no chords by Lemma 2.6.

If $E(C') \cap E(P_{k+1}) \neq \emptyset$, then P_{k+1} is contained in C' . Note that the degree of the internal vertices of P_k is 2 in G . If the cycle C' is the union of the path $P_k[u, v]$ and the ear P_{k+1} , then C' contains no chords. Otherwise, if C' has a chord, then this chord is uv that is in G , and u and v are the ends of P_k . If uv is one of the ears P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{k-1} , then G has a trivial ear, which contradicts the fact that G is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph. If uv is on one of the ears P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{k-1} , say P_i , then the ear decomposition of G can be adjusted to $C + P_1 + \dots + P_{i-1} + (P_i - uv + P_k) + P_{i+1} + \dots + P_{k-1} + uv$. At this time, uv is a trivial ear of G , which contradicts that G is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph.

If $E(C') \cap E(P_k[u, v]) = \emptyset$, replace the path P_{k+1} in C' with the path $P_k[u, v]$, and denote the resulting cycle by C'' . Then $M \setminus (M' \cap E(P_k[u, v]))$ is a perfect matching of $G - V(C'')$. Therefore, C'' is a nice cycle of G . Since G is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph, the graph C'' has no chords by Lemma 2.6. Thus C' has no chords in G' .

To sum up, the nice cycles of G' have no chords. The proof is complete. \square

Lemma 2.12. *Let G be a minimal matching covered bipartite graph with a bipartite ear decomposition $C + P_1 + \dots + P_k + P_{k+1} + \dots + P_{k+r}$, where P_{k+i} has at least one end on P_k , $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$. If $G' = G + P_{k+r+1}$, where P_{k+r+1} is a nontrivial ear of G , its two ends are two nonadjacent vertices in different parts of P_k , and P_{k+r+1} is compatible with P_{k+i} ($1 \leq i \leq r$), then G' is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.3, G' is a matching covered bipartite graph. According to Lemma 2.6, to prove that G' is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph, it suffices to show that any nice cycle of G' has no chords. Let C' be a nice cycle of G' . Now we prove that C' has no chords. Since C' is a nice cycle of G' , $G' - V(C')$ has a perfect matching M' . Let $M = M' \cap E(G)$. We denote the two ends of P_{k+r+1} by u and v .

If $E(C') \cap E(P_{k+r+1}) = \emptyset$, then C' is in G . If $M' \cap E(P_{k+r+1})$ does not cover u and v , then M is a perfect matching of $G - V(C')$, and thus C' is a nice cycle of G . If $M' \cap E(P_{k+r+1})$ covers u and v . Since P_{k+r+1} is compatible with P_{k+i} ($1 \leq i \leq r$), the internal vertices of $P_k[u, v]$ all have degree 2 in G' . Hence, $M \triangle E(P_k[u, v])$ is a perfect matching of $G - V(C')$, and thus C' is a nice cycle of G . Since G is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph, the cycle C' has no chords by Lemma 2.6.

If $E(C') \cap E(P_{k+r+1}) \neq \emptyset$, then $E(P_{k+r+1}) \subseteq E(C')$. If C' is the union of the path $P_k[u, v]$ and P_{k+r+1} , then C' has no chords. If $E(C') \cap E(P_k[u, v]) = \emptyset$, replace P_{k+r+1} in C' with $P_k[u, v]$, and denote the resulting cycle by C'' . Let M_1 be a perfect matching of P_k , then $M \setminus (M_1 \cap E(P_k[u, v]))$ is a perfect matching of $G - V(C'')$. Therefore, C'' is a nice cycle of G . Since G is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph, the cycle C'' has no chords by Lemma 2.6. Therefore, the nice cycle C' also has no chords in G' .

To sum up, any nice cycle in G' has no chords. Therefore, G' is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph. The proof is complete. \square

3 Minimal matching covered bipartite graphs

In this section, we give the upper bound of the spectral radius of minimal matching covered bipartite graphs and characterize the extremal graphs. Let G be a minimal matching covered bipartite graph with a bipartite ear decomposition $C + P_1 + P_2 + \cdots + P_k$. We define the grade of ears in this ear decomposition. The ear C is called a 0 -grade ear. The ear P_1 is called a 1 -grade ear. For ear P_i ($i \geq 2$), if one end of P_i is an internal vertex of a k -grade ear and the other end is an internal vertex of a l -grade ear, where $k \leq l$, then P_i is called a $(l+1)$ -grade ear. The *grade number* of the graph G is the highest level of all ears among all possible ear decompositions of G . Given an ear decomposition of the graph G , denote the set of all i -grade ears in G by \mathcal{P}^i . Then the ear decomposition of G with grade number m can be expressed as $G = C + \mathcal{P}^1 + \mathcal{P}^2 + \cdots + \mathcal{P}^m$. Let P^{m-1} be an ear in \mathcal{P}^{m-1} . If P is an m -grade ear and has an end that is the internal vertex of P^{m-1} , then P is called an m -grade ear on P^{m-1} . For the convenience of subsequent discussion, we introduce the following notation: let x and y be two vertices of P^{m-1} , and the set of all m -grade ears with both ends on $P^{m-1}[x, y]$ is denoted by $\mathcal{P}^m[x, y]$.

In the sequel of this section, suppose that G is a graph with the greatest spectral radius among all minimal matching covered bipartite graphs, and the grade number of G is m . Let G^* be the graph obtained from G by removing all the internal vertices of the m -grade ears on P^{m-1} . Let G_1^* be the graph obtained from G^* by removing the internal vertices of the ear P^{m-1} . By Lemma 2.4, G^* and G_1^* are minimal matching covered bipartite graphs. First, we study the compatibility of m -grade ears on P^{m-1} and give the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let u and u' be two ends of P^{m-1} , \mathcal{P}_1^m and \mathcal{P}_2^m be any two families of parallel m -grade ears on P^{m-1} . Denote the ends of ears in \mathcal{P}_1^m by v, v' , and the ends of ears in \mathcal{P}_2^m by w, w' .*

- (i) *If $|\{v, v'\} \cap \{w, w'\}| \neq \emptyset$, then $\{v, v'\} = \{w, w'\}$;*
- (ii) *If $|\{v, v'\} \cap \{w, w'\}| = \emptyset$, then the ears in \mathcal{P}_1^m and \mathcal{P}_2^m are compatible.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{P}_1^m = \{P_{11}^m, P_{12}^m, \dots, P_{1l}^m\}$, $\mathcal{P}_2^m = \{P_{21}^m, P_{22}^m, \dots, P_{2l'}^m\}$, $l \geq 1$, $l' \geq 1$. Assume, without loss of generality, that v and w are internal vertices of P^{m-1} (v', w' may or may not be on P^{m-1}).

(i) Suppose that $|\{v, v'\} \cap \{w, w'\}| \neq \emptyset$. Assume, without loss of generality, that $v = w$, $v' \neq w'$, and $x_{v'} \geq x_{w'}$. Denote the neighbors of w' on ears of \mathcal{P}_2^m by $w'_1, w'_2, \dots, w'_{l'}$. Let $G' = G - \sum_{i=1}^{l'} w'w'_i + \sum_{i=1}^{l'} v'w'_i$. By Lemma 2.3, G' is a matching covered bipartite graph. Remove the internal vertices of ears of \mathcal{P}_2^m from G to obtain a graph G'' . By Lemma 2.4, G'' is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph. The graph G' is the union of G'' and parallel ears $\cup_{i=1}^{l'} (P_{2i}^m[w, w'_i] + w'_i v')$. Then G' is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph by Lemma 2.11. By Lemma 2.8, $\rho(G') > \rho(G)$, which contradicts the choice of G .

(ii) Suppose that $|\{v, v'\} \cap \{w, w'\}| = \emptyset$. Then the ends of ears in \mathcal{P}_1^m and \mathcal{P}_2^m are different. Recall that u and u' are two ends of P^{m-1} . Now we prove ears in \mathcal{P}_1^m and \mathcal{P}_2^m are compatible by contradiction. Suppose, without loss of generality, that at least one of the ends of ears in \mathcal{P}_2^m is an internal vertex of $P^{m-1}[v, v']$, where v is closer to u than v' . Then, adjust $P^{m-1} + \mathcal{P}_1^m + \mathcal{P}_2^m$ in the ear decomposition of G to

$$(P^{m-1}[u, v] + P_{11}^m + P^{m-1}[v', u']) + \sum_{i=2}^l P_{1i}^m + P^{m-1}[v, v'] + \mathcal{P}_2^m,$$

while keeping the other ears unchanged. We obtain a new ear decomposition of G . In this new ear decomposition, $P^{m-1}[u, v] + P_{11}^m + P^{m-1}[v', u']$ is an $(m-1)$ -grade ear, $P^{m-1}[v, v']$ and P_{1i}^m ($2 \leq i \leq l$) are m -grade ears, \mathcal{P}_2^m is a set of $(m+1)$ -grade ears. This contradicts the assumption that the grade number of G is m . The proof is complete. \square

Next, based on the distribution of the ends of ears on P^{m-1} , where $m \geq 2$, we characterize minimal matching covered bipartite graphs with a greater spectral radius than G .

Lemma 3.2. *If the ends of all m -grade ears on P^{m-1} are on P^{m-1} , then there exists a minimal matching covered bipartite graph G' satisfying $\rho(G') > \rho(G)$.*

Proof. Suppose $P_1^m, P_2^m, \dots, P_l^m$ are ears of a family of m -grade parallel ears with ends v and v' on P^{m-1} . It is possible that $l = 1$. Suppose, without loss of generality, among the ends of all m -grade ears on P^{m-1} , the distance between v' and u' is the shortest. Denote the neighbors of v on the ears $P_1^m, P_2^m, \dots, P_l^m$ by v_1, v_2, \dots, v_l , respectively, and the neighbors of v' on the ears $P_1^m, P_2^m, \dots, P_l^m$ by v'_1, v'_2, \dots, v'_l , respectively. Based on whether u' is equal to v' , we discuss the following two cases.

Case 1. $u' = v'$. Since $P_1^m, P_2^m, \dots, P_l^m$ are m -grade ears, we have $u \neq v$.

If $x_u \geq x_v$, let $G' = G - \sum_{i=1}^l vv_i + \sum_{i=1}^l uv_i$. By Lemma 2.8, $\rho(G') > \rho(G)$. Now we prove that G' is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph. Let G'_1 be the graph obtained from G' by removing the internal vertices of ears in $\mathcal{P}^m[u, v]$. By Lemma 2.11, G'_1 is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph. By Lemma 3.1, the families of m -grade parallel ears in $\mathcal{P}^m[u, v]$ are pairwise compatible. Hence by Lemmas 2.11 and 2.12, $G' = G'_1 + \mathcal{P}^m[u, v]$ is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph.

If $x_u < x_v$, let \mathcal{U} be the set of neighbors of u on P^{m-1} and on the ears in $\mathcal{P}^m[u, u']$, one end of which is u , and let

$$G' = G - \sum_{w \in N_G(u) \setminus \mathcal{U}} uw + \sum_{w \in N_G(u) \setminus \mathcal{U}} wv + uu'.$$

Then $\rho(G') > \rho(G)$ by Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8. In G' , remove the internal vertices of ears in $\mathcal{P}^m[u, v]$, the internal vertices of P_i^m for $1 \leq i \leq l$, the internal vertices of $P^{m-1}[u', v]$, and the internal vertices of $P^{m-1}[v, u] + uu'$, denote the resulting graph by G'_1 . It is obvious that

$G_1^* \cong G'_1$. Recall that G_1^* is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph, so is G'_1 . By Lemma 2.4, $G_1^* + P^{m-1}$ is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph. Since G is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph and the length of $P^{m-1}[u', v]$ and $P^{m-1}[v, u] + uu'$ are at least 3, $G'_2 = G'_1 + P^{m-1}[u', v] + (P^{m-1}[v, u] + uu') + \sum_{i=1}^l P_i^m$ is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph by Lemma 2.11. By Lemma 3.1, the families of m -grade parallel ears in $\mathcal{P}^m[u, v]$ are pairwise compatible. Combining Lemma 2.11 with Lemma 2.12, we have $G' = G'_2 + \mathcal{P}^m[u, v]$ is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph.

Case 2. $u' \neq v'$. If $u = v$, it can be reduced to Case 1. It suffices to consider the case where $u \neq v$. At this time, both v and v' are internal vertices of P^{m-1} . Let \mathcal{U} be the set of neighbors of u on the ear P^{m-1} and on the m -grade ears on P^{m-1} with u as an end. Let u'' be the neighbor of u' on P^{m-1} (u'' may be the same vertex as v'). We shall discuss the following four subcases based on the relative values of x_u and x_v , as well as $x_{u'}$ and $x_{v'}$.

If $x_u > x_v$ and $x_{u'} > x_{v'}$, let

$$G' = G - \sum_{i=1}^l vv_i + \sum_{i=1}^l uv_i - \sum_{i=1}^l v'v'_i + \sum_{i=1}^l u'v'_i.$$

Then $\rho(G') > \rho(G)$ by Lemma 2.8. Recall that G^* is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph. In G' , remove the internal vertices of ears in $\mathcal{P}^m[u, v]$, the internal vertices of $u'v'_i + P_i^m[v'_i, v_i] + v_iu$ for $2 \leq i \leq l$, and the internal vertices of P^{m-1} , denote the resulting graph by G'_1 . Then G'_1 is the graph obtained from G^* by replacing the ear P^{m-1} with $u'v'_1 + P_1^m[v'_1, v_1] + v_1u$. Hence, G'_1 is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph. Thus $G'_2 = G'_1 + P^{m-1} + \sum_{i=2}^l (u'v'_i + P_i^m[v'_i, v_i] + v_iu)$ is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph by Lemma 2.11. Lemma 3.1 implies that the m -grade parallel ears in $\mathcal{P}^m[u, v]$ are pairwise compatible. By Lemmas 2.11 and 2.12, $G' = G'_2 + \mathcal{P}^m[u, v]$ is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph.

If $x_u > x_v$ and $x_{u'} \leq x_{v'}$, let v'' be the neighbor of v on $P^{m-1}[v, v']$, and

$$G' = G - \sum_{w \in N_G(u') \setminus \{u''\}} u'w + \sum_{w \in N_G(u') \setminus \{u''\}} v'w - \sum_{i=1}^l vv_i + \sum_{i=1}^l uv_i - vv'' + uv'' + vu'.$$

Then $\rho(G') > \rho(G)$ by Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8. Next, we prove that G' is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph. In G' , remove the internal vertices of the ears in $\mathcal{P}^m[u, v]$, the internal vertices of $P^{m-1}[v', v''] + v''u$, the internal vertices of $P^{m-1}[u, v] + vu' + P^{m-1}[u', v']$, and the internal vertices of $P_i^m[v', v_i] + v_iu$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$, denote the resulting graph by G'_1 . Clearly, $G'_1 \cong G_1^*$, where the vertices u and u' in G_1^* correspond to the vertices u and v' in G'_1 , respectively. Recall that G_1^* is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph, so is G'_1 . Since G is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph, the length of $P^{m-1}[v, v']$ is at least 3. Thus the length of $P^{m-1}[v', v''] + v''u$ is at least 3. Recall that $G^* = G_1^* + P^{m-1}$ is a minimal matching

covered bipartite graph. Therefore, $G'_2 = G'_1 + (P^{m-1}[v', v''] + v''u)$ is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph. It follows from Lemma 2.11 that

$$G'_3 = G'_2 + (P^{m-1}[u, v] + vu' + P^{m-1}[u', v']) + \sum_{i=1}^l (P_i^m[v', v_i] + v_i u)$$

is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph. According to Lemma 2.11, the m -grade parallel ears in $\mathcal{P}^m[u, v]$ are pairwise compatible. Combining Lemma 2.11 with Lemma 2.12, we have $G' = G'_3 + \mathcal{P}^m[u, v]$ is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph.

If $x_u \leq x_v$ and $x_{u'} > x_{v'}$, exchange the labels of u and u' , as well as v and v' . The proof is the same as the case $x_u > x_v$ and $x_{u'} \leq x_{v'}$.

If $x_u \leq x_v$ and $x_{u'} \leq x_{v'}$, let

$$G' = G - \sum_{w \in N_G(u) \setminus \mathcal{U}} uw + \sum_{w \in N_G(u) \setminus \mathcal{U}} vw - \sum_{s \in N_G(u') \setminus \{u''\}} u's + \sum_{s \in N_G(u') \setminus \{u''\}} v's + uu'.$$

Then $\rho(G') > \rho(G)$ by Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8. We will prove that G' is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph in the sequel. In G' , remove the internal vertices of ears in $\mathcal{P}^m[u, v]$, the internal vertices of P_i^m for $1 \leq i \leq l$, the internal vertices of $P^{m-1}[v, v']$, and the internal vertices of $P^{m-1}[v, u] + uu' + P^{m-1}[u', v']$, denote the resulting graph by G'_1 . Clearly, $G'_1 \cong G_1^*$, where the vertices u and u' in G_1^* correspond to v and v' in G'_1 , respectively. Recall that $G^* = G_1^* + P^{m-1}$ is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph. Since G is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph, the length of $P^{m-1}[v, v']$ is at least 3. So $G'_2 = G'_1 + P^{m-1}[v, v']$ is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph. Hence by Lemma 2.11, we have

$$G'_3 = G'_2 + (P^{m-1}[v, u] + uu' + P^{m-1}[v', u']) + \sum_{i=1}^l P_i^m$$

is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph. According to Lemma 3.1, the m -grade parallel ears in $\mathcal{P}^m[u, v]$ are pairwise compatible. By Lemmas 2.11 and 2.12, $G' = G'_3 + \mathcal{P}^m[u, v]$ is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph. The proof is complete. \square

Lemma 3.3. *If there is exactly one family of m -grade parallel ears on P^{m-1} such that one end is an internal vertex of P^{m-1} and the other end is not on P^{m-1} , then there exists a minimal matching covered bipartite graph G' satisfying $\rho(G') > \rho(G)$.*

Proof. Let $P_1^m, P_2^m, \dots, P_l^m$ be the members of the family of m -grade parallel ears on P^{m-1} with ends v and v' , where v is on P^{m-1} and v' is not on P^{m-1} . Recall that u and u' are the ends of P^{m-1} . Assume, without loss of generality, that u and v are in one part of G , then u' and v' are in other part. Since G is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph, v and u' are nonadjacent by Lemma 2.4. Denote the neighbor of u' on P^{m-1} by u'' , and the neighbors of v' on $P_1^m, P_2^m, \dots, P_l^m$ by v'_1, \dots, v'_l , respectively. We consider the following two cases according to the relative values of $x_{u'}$ and $x_{v'}$.

Case 1. $x_{u'} \geq x_{v'}$. Let $G' = G - \sum_{i=1}^l v'v'_i + \sum_{i=1}^l u'v'_i$. Then $\rho(G') > \rho(G)$ by Lemma 2.8. Now we prove that G' is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph. The ear decomposition of G' is obtained from the ear decomposition of G by adjusting $P^{m-1} + \sum_{i=1}^l P_i^m$ to $P^{m-1} + \sum_{i=1}^l (P_i^m[v, v'_i] + v'_i u')$. In the ear decomposition of G' , adjust $P^{m-1} + \mathcal{P}^m[u, u'] + \sum_{i=1}^l (P_i^m[v, v'_i] + v'_i u')$ to $(P^{m-1}[u, v] + P_1^m[v, v'_1] + v'_1 u') + \sum_{i=2}^l (P_i^m[v, v'_i] + v'_i u') + P^{m-1}[v, u'] + \mathcal{P}^m[u, u']$. Recall that G^* is obtained from G by removing all internal vertices of the ear P_i^m for $1 \leq i \leq l$ and all internal vertices of ears in $\mathcal{P}^m[u, u']$ and G^* is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph. In G' , remove all internal vertices of the m -grade ears in $\mathcal{P}^m[u, u']$, all internal vertices of $P^{m-1}[v, u']$, and the internal vertices of $P_i^m[v, v'_i] + v'_i u'$ for $2 \leq i \leq l$, denote the resulting graph by G'_1 . Note that G'_1 can be obtained from G^* by replacing P^{m-1} with $P^{m-1}[u, v] + P^m[v, v'_1] + v'_1 u'$. Then G'_1 is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph. By Lemma 3.1, the ears in $\mathcal{P}^m[u, v]$ and $P^{m-1}[v, u']$ are compatible. According to Lemmas 2.11 and 2.12, $G'_2 = G'_1 + P^{m-1}[v, u'] + \mathcal{P}^m[u, v]$ is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph. Hence by Lemma 2.11, $G'_3 = G'_2 + \sum_{i=2}^l (P_i^m[v, v'_i] + v'_i u')$ is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph. Since the m -grade parallel ears on $P^{m-1}[v, u']$ are pairwise compatible, $G' = G'_3 + \mathcal{P}^m[v, u']$ is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph.

Case 2. $x_{u'} < x_{v'}$. If there are ears with u' as an end in $\mathcal{P}^m[v, u']$, then these ears have the same ends by Lemma 3.1. Let \mathcal{U}' be the set of neighbors of u' on these ears. It is possible that $\mathcal{U}' = \emptyset$. Let

$$G' = G - \sum_{w \in \mathcal{U}'} u'w + \sum_{w \in \mathcal{U}'} v'w - u'u'' + v'u''.$$

Then by Lemma 2.8, $\rho(G') > \rho(G)$. Next, we prove that G' is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph. Adjust $P^{m-1} + \sum_{i=1}^l P_i^m$ in the ear decomposition of G to $(P^{m-1}[u, v] + P_1^m) + \sum_{i=2}^l P_i^m + P^{m-1}[v, u']$. Then in the new ear decomposition of G , $P^{m-1}[v, u']$ is an ear. Remove the internal vertices of ears in $\mathcal{P}^m[v, u']$ and the internal vertices of $P^{m-1}[v, u']$ from G , and denote the resulting graph by G'' . By Lemma 2.4, G'' is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph. Since the ears in $\mathcal{P}^m[u, v]$ are compatible with the ear $P^{m-1}[v, u'] + u''v'$, by Lemma 2.12, $G'_1 = G'' + (P^{m-1}[v, u'] + u''v')$ is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph. By Lemma 3.1, the m -grade parallel ears on $P^{m-1}[v, u']$ are compatible. Combining Lemma 2.11 with Lemma 2.12, we have $G' = G'_1 + \mathcal{P}^m[v, u']$ is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph. The proof is complete. \square

Lemma 3.4. *If there are exactly two families of m -grade parallel ears on P^{m-1} such that each has an end being an internal vertex of P^{m-1} and the other is not on P^{m-1} , then there exists a minimal matching covered bipartite graph G' satisfying $\rho(G') > \rho(G)$.*

Proof. Suppose there are two families of m -grade parallel ears on P^{m-1} , say,

$$\mathcal{P}_1^m = \{P_{11}^m, P_{12}^m, \dots, P_{1l}^m\}, \mathcal{P}_2^m = \{P_{21}^m, P_{22}^m, \dots, P_{2l'}^m\}, \text{ where } l \geq 1, l' \geq 1.$$

Let the ends of the ears in \mathcal{P}_1^m be w and w' , and the ends of the ears in \mathcal{P}_2^m be v and v' , where w and v are on the ear P^{m-1} , w' and v' are not on the ear P^{m-1} . Then for any other m -grade ears on P^{m-1} (if they exist), both ends are on P^{m-1} . Assume, without loss of generality, that the distance from w to u is less than the distance from v to u . Note that G is bipartite. The structures of P^{m-1} , \mathcal{P}_1^m and \mathcal{P}_2^m can be divided into four different cases according to the parts of G that u, u', v, v', w, w' belong to, see Figure 3. Since P^{m-1} is $(m-1)$ -grade, at least one of the ends u and u' is an inner vertex of an $(m-2)$ -grade ear, say u .




Figure 3: the local structures of P^{m-1} , \mathcal{P}_1^m and \mathcal{P}_2^m

If the structures of P^{m-1} , \mathcal{P}_1^m and \mathcal{P}_2^m are as shown in Figure 3(1) and 3(2), then u and w' belong to different parts of G as well as w and u' . Adjust $P^{m-1} + \mathcal{P}_1^m + \mathcal{P}_2^m$ in the original ear decomposition of G to $(P^{m-1}[u, w] + P_{11}^m) + \mathcal{P}_1^m \setminus \{P_{11}^m\} + P^{m-1}[w, u'] + \mathcal{P}_2^m$. In the new ear decomposition of G , the grade of ear $P^{m-1}[u, w] + P_{11}^m$ is at least $m-1$, and the grade of ear $P^{m-1}[w, u']$ is at least m . Therefore, the grade of ears in \mathcal{P}_2^m is at least $m+1$. This contradicts the fact that the grade of G is m . Therefore, the case shown in Figure 3(1) and 3(2) do not exist.

If the structures of P^{m-1} , \mathcal{P}_1^m and \mathcal{P}_2^m are as shown in Figure 3(4), adjust $P^{m-1} + \mathcal{P}_1^m + \mathcal{P}_2^m$ in the original ear decomposition of G to $(P^{m-1}[u', v] + P_{21}^m) + \mathcal{P}_2^m \setminus \{P_{21}^m\} + P^{m-1}[u, v] + \mathcal{P}_1^m$. In the new decomposition of G , among all the ears with ends on $P^{m-1}[u, v]$, there is exactly one family of parallel ears \mathcal{P}_1^m satisfying one end is an internal vertex of $P^{m-1}[u, v]$ and the other end is not on $P^{m-1}[u, v]$. By Lemma 3.3, there exists a minimal matching covered bipartite graph G' with greater spectral radius than that of G .

If the structures of P^{m-1} , \mathcal{P}_1^m and \mathcal{P}_2^m are as shown in Figure 3(3), then u' and v' are in one part of G , and u and w' are in the other part. Adjust $P^{m-1} + \mathcal{P}_1^m + \mathcal{P}_2^m$ in the ear decomposition of G to $(P^{m-1}[u, v] + P_{21}^m) + \mathcal{P}_2^m \setminus \{P_{21}^m\} + \mathcal{P}_1^m + P^{m-1}[v, u']$. In the new ear decomposition of G , $P^{m-1}[u, v] + P_{21}^m$ is an ear of $(m-1)$ -grade, and $P^{m-1}[v, u']$ is an ear of m -grade. Since the grade of G is m , there are no ears on $P^{m-1}[v, u']$. Since G is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph, u' and v are nonadjacent. Denote the neighbor of u' on the

ear P^{m-1} by u'' , and the set of neighbors of v' on \mathcal{P}_2^m by $\{v'_1, v'_2, \dots, v'_{l'}\}$. We will discuss the following two cases according to the relative values between $x_{u'}$ and $x_{v'}$.

If $x_{u'} \geq x_{v'}$, let $G' = G - \sum_{i=1}^{l'} v'v'_i + \sum_{i=1}^{l'} u'v'_i$. Then $\rho(G') > \rho(G)$ from Lemma 2.8. Now we prove that G' is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph. Remove the internal vertices of ears in \mathcal{P}_2^m from G , and denote the resulting graph by G'' . By Lemma 2.4, G'' is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph. Note that $\cup_{i=1}^{l'} P_{2i}^m[v, v'_i] + v'_i u'$ is compatible with \mathcal{P}_1^m , and the families of parallel ears of $\mathcal{P}^m[u, v]$. By Lemma 2.12, $G' = G'' + \sum_{i=1}^{l'} (P_{2i}^m[v, v'_i] + v'_i u')$ is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph.

If $x_{u'} < x_{v'}$, let $G' = G - u''u' + u''v'$. Then $\rho(G') > \rho(G)$. Next, we prove that G' is also a minimal matching covered bipartite graph. Recall that $P^{m-1}[v, u']$ is the last ear in the new ear decomposition of G described above. Remove the internal vertices of $P^{m-1}[v, u']$ from G , and denote the resulting graph by G'' . By Lemma 2.4, G'' is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph. Since $P^{m-1}[v, u''] + u''v'$ is compatible with \mathcal{P}_1^m , and the families of parallel ears in $\mathcal{P}^m[u, v]$, by Lemma 2.12, $G' = G'' + P^{m-1}[v, u''] + u''v'$ is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph. The proof is complete. \square

Now, we are in a position to present the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let G be the graph with the greatest spectral radius among minimal matching covered bipartite graphs of order n . If $n = 2$, then $G \cong K_2$ and $\rho(G) = 1 \leq 2$. If $n = 4$, then $G \cong C_4$ and $\rho(G) = 2$. If $n = 6$, then the nice cycle of G has no chords since G is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph. Then one can check that $G \cong C_6$ and $\rho(G) = 2$. We now consider the case when $n \geq 8$ in the sequence.

First, we prove that G is not a cycle. By contradiction and suppose that G is a cycle, and denote the vertices on the cycle by v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n in order. Let $G' = G - v_1v_2 + v_1v_4 + v_2v_{n-1}$. Then G' is the union of a cycle of length 6 and an ear $v_4v_5 \cdots v_{n-1}$ of length at least 3. By Lemma 2.3, G' is a matching covered bipartite graph. It can be check that each cycle in G' has no chords. Hence by Lemma 2.6, G' is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph. Let \mathbf{x} be the Perron vector of G . By Lemma 2.9, $x_{v_1} = x_{v_2} = \cdots = x_{v_n}$. According to Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8, $\rho(G') > \rho(G' - v_2v_{n-1}) > \rho(G)$, which contradicts the choice of G . Therefore, G is not a cycle.

By Lemma 2.3, G has bipartite ear decompositions. Suppose that the grade of G is m . Then the ear decomposition of G with grade m can be expressed as $G = C + \mathcal{P}^1 + \mathcal{P}^2 + \cdots + \mathcal{P}^m$, where \mathcal{P}^i is the set of all i -grade ears of G , $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Claim 1. $m = 1$.

Suppose that $m \geq 2$. Let P^{m-1} be an $(m-1)$ -grade ear of G with ends u and u' . Let k be the number of families of m -grade parallel ears such that for each family, one end of ears in it is an internal vertex of P^{m-1} and the other end is not on P^{m-1} . We will prove by induction

on k that there exists a minimal matching covered bipartite graph G' satisfying $\rho(G') > \rho(G)$, that is a contradiction to the choice of G .

If $k = 0, 1, 2$, then there exists a minimal matching covered bipartite graph G' satisfying $\rho(G') > \rho(G)$ by Lemmas 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4. Hence we only need to consider the case when $k \geq 3$. By Lemma 3.1, these k families of parallel ears are pairwise compatible. Denote the family of parallel ears whose ends are closest to u by \mathcal{P}_1^m , and the family of parallel ears whose ends are closest to u' by \mathcal{P}_2^m . Let

$$\mathcal{P}_1^m = \{P_{11}^m, P_{12}^m, \dots, P_{1l}^m\}, \mathcal{P}_2^m = \{P_{21}^m, P_{22}^m, \dots, P_{2l'}^m\}, l \geq 1, l' \geq 1.$$

Let the ends of ears in \mathcal{P}_1^m be w and w' , and those in \mathcal{P}_2^m be v and v' . Depending on the parts u, u', w, w', v, v' are in, there are four cases of the local structures of P^{m-1} , ears in \mathcal{P}_1^m and \mathcal{P}_2^m in G , as shown in Figure 3.

If the local structures of P^{m-1} , ears in \mathcal{P}_1^m and \mathcal{P}_2^m in G are as shown in Figure 3(1). Similar to the argument in the proof of Lemma 3.4, we can adjust the ear decomposition of G so that the grade of new ear decomposition is greater than m . This contradicts the fact that the grade of G is m .

If the local structures of P^{m-1} , ears in \mathcal{P}_1^m and \mathcal{P}_2^m in G are as shown in Figure 3(2) and Figure 3(4). By symmetry, it suffices to consider the case in Figure 3(2). We adjust the ear decomposition of G : replacing $P^{m-1} + \mathcal{P}_1^m + \mathcal{P}_2^m$ with $P^{m-1}[u, w] + P_{11}^m + \mathcal{P}_1^m \setminus \{P_{11}^m\} + P^{m-1}[w, u'] + \mathcal{P}_2^m$. In the new decomposition of G , there are $k - 1$ families of parallel ears on $P^{m-1}[w, u']$ that have one end being an internal vertex of $P^{m-1}[w, u']$ and the other end not on $P^{m-1}[w, u']$, and from the choice of G , we know that the grade of $P^{m-1}[w, u']$ is at most $m - 1$. According to the inductive hypothesis, there is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph G' satisfying $\rho(G') > \rho(G)$.

If the local structures of P^{m-1} , ears in \mathcal{P}_1^m and \mathcal{P}_2^m in G are as shown in Figure 3(3). Note that there are $k - 2$ families of m -grade parallel ears on $P^{m-1}[w, v]$ that has one end being an internal vertex of $P^{m-1}[w, v]$ and the other end not on $P^{m-1}[w, v]$. Among these $k - 2$ families of m -grade parallel ears, arbitrarily select one family and denote it by \mathcal{P}_3^m . Let $\mathcal{P}_3^m = \{P_{31}^m, P_{32}^m, \dots, P_{3p}^m\}$, where $p \geq 1$. Denote the ends of ears in \mathcal{P}_3^m by y and y' . Assume, without loss of generality, that y is an internal vertex of $P^{m-1}[w, v]$. If u and y are in different parts of G , then u' and y are in the same part. By symmetry, it suffices to consider the case where u and y are in the same part. Adjust $P^{m-1} + \mathcal{P}_1^m + \mathcal{P}_2^m + \mathcal{P}_3^m$ in the ear decomposition of G to $(P^{m-1}[u, y] + P_{31}^m) + \mathcal{P}_3^m \setminus \{P_{31}^m\} + \mathcal{P}_1^m + P^{m-1}[y, u'] + \mathcal{P}_2^m$. Then there are fewer than k families of parallel ears on $P^{m-1}[y, u']$ that has one end being an internal vertex of $P^{m-1}[y, u']$ and the other end not on $P^{m-1}[y, u']$. Since the grade of G is m , we know that the grade of $P^{m-1}[y, u']$ is at most $m - 1$. According to the inductive hypothesis, there is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph G' satisfying $\rho(G') > \rho(G)$, a contradiction. The proof of Claim 1 is complete.

By Claim 1, the ear decomposition of G is $C + \mathcal{P}^1$. If there are two families of parallel ears

\mathcal{P}_1^1 and \mathcal{P}_2^1 with different ends in \mathcal{P}^1 , by Lemma 3.1, \mathcal{P}_1^1 and \mathcal{P}_2^1 are compatible. Adjusting the ear decomposition $C + \mathcal{P}^1$, we can see that the grade of G is at least 2, a contradiction. Thus \mathcal{P}^1 is a family of parallel ears. We denote the ends of the ears in \mathcal{P}^1 by u and u' . Since G is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph, every nice cycle of G has no chords. Hence u and u' are nonadjacent in G .

Claim 2. The length of every cycle in G is 6.

Suppose there exists a cycle C' in G whose length is greater than 6. Let $C' + P_1 + P_2 + \cdots + P_k$ be an ear decomposition of G starting from C' . Since the length of C' is greater than 6, there exists a (u, u') -path of length greater than 3 on cycle C' , denoted by $P_{uu'}$. Denote the neighbors of u and u' on path $P_{uu'}$ by v and v' , respectively, and the neighbors of u and u' on ear P_i as u_i and u'_i , respectively, where $1 \leq i \leq k$. Except for u and u' , denote the neighbors of v and v' on path $P_{uu'}$ by w and w' , respectively. By Lemma 2.9, we have $x_u = x_{u'}$, $x_v = x_{v'}$.

If $x_v > x_u$, then $x_{v'} > x_{u'}$. Let

$$G' = G - \sum_{i=1}^k uu_i + \sum_{i=1}^k vu_i - \sum_{i=1}^k u'u'_i + \sum_{i=1}^k v'u'_i.$$

One can check that every nice cycle of G' has no chords, thus G' is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph by Lemma 2.6. It follows from Lemma 2.8 that $\rho(G') > \rho(G)$, a contradiction to the choice of G .

If $x_v \leq x_u$, then $x_{v'} \leq x_{u'}$. Let $G' = G - uv + vw - wv' + w'u' + vv'$. It can be checked that every nice cycle of G' has no chords. Then G' is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph by Lemma 2.6. By Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8, we have $\rho(G') > \rho(G)$, a contradiction. Therefore, the length of cycles in G is at most 6. Since G is a minimal matching covered bipartite graph, the length of cycles in G is greater than 4 by Lemma 2.5. Thus the length of every cycle in G is 6. Claim 2 is proved.

For the ear decomposition $C + \mathcal{P}^1$ of G , we have C is a 6-cycle and the ears in \mathcal{P}^1 all have length 3 by Claim 1. It follows that $G \cong P_3^*$. Hence $\rho(G) = \frac{1+\sqrt{2n-3}}{2}$ by Lemma 2.10. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete. \square

4 Minimal factor-critical graphs

In this section, we utilize the ear decomposition of factor-critical graphs to determine the upper bound for the spectral radius of minimal factor-critical graphs and characterize extremal graphs. First, we introduce some definitions and lemmas, which will lay the foundation for subsequent proofs.

Definition 4.1. If B is a maximal connected subgraph of a graph G and has no cut vertices, then B is called a block of G . In particular, B is called an end block of G if B contains only one cut vertex of G .

A graph is said to have an odd-ear decomposition if it can be constructed by starting from an odd cycle and successively adding ears (paths of odd length). Referencing exercises 16.3.11 and 16.3.12 in [3], we can obtain a characterization of factor-critical graphs without cut vertices in terms of odd-ear decompositions.

Lemma 4.2 ([14]). *A graph is a factor-critical graph without cut vertices if and only if it has an odd-ear decomposition.*

For factor-critical graphs with cut vertices, the following characterization is provided.

Lemma 4.3 ([16]). *A graph is factor-critical if and only if it is connected and each of its block is factor-critical.*

There is also a characterization for minimal factor-critical graphs similar to Lemma 4.3.

Lemma 4.4 ([16]). *A graph is a minimal factor-critical graph if and only if each of its block is a minimal factor-critical graph.*

Lemma 4.5 ([16]). *In every ear decomposition of a minimal factor-critical graph, all ears are nontrivial.*

Now we are in a position to present the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let G be a minimal factor-critical graph of order n with the greatest spectral radius. Let \mathbf{x} be the Perron vector of G . We start by proving two claims.

Claim 1. Every block of G is an odd cycle.

Suppose that a block B of G is not an odd cycle. By Lemmas 4.2 and 4.5, B has an odd-ear decomposition $P_0 + P_1 + \cdots + P_r$, where P_0 is an odd cycle, and for each i , $0 \leq i \leq r-1$, P_{i+1} is an ear of $P_0 + \cdots + P_i$ of length at least 3. Let the two ends of P_r be u and v , and the neighbors of u and v on P_r be u' and v' , respectively. Assume, without loss of generality, that $x_u \geq x_v$. Let $B' = B - vv' + uv'$. Then $P_0 + P_1 + \cdots + P_{r-1} + (P_r[u, v'] + uv')$ is an ear decomposition of B' . Write $B'' = P_0 + P_1 + \cdots + P_{r-1}$. Clearly, B'' and the odd cycle $P_r[u, v'] + uv'$ are two blocks of B' . By Lemma 4.4, both B' and B'' are minimal factor-critical graphs. Note that $G' = G - vv' + uv'$. Since the odd cycle $P_r[u, v'] + uv'$ is a minimal factor-critical graph, by Lemma 4.4, G' is a minimal factor-critical graph. By Lemma 2.8, $\rho(G') > \rho(G)$, which contradicts the choice of G . Claim 1 is proved.

Claim 2. Every block of G is C_3 .

Let C be a block of G . By Claim 1, C is an odd cycle. Assume that the length of C is k and $k \geq 5$. Label the vertices on the cycle C as v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k in order. Similar to the analysis in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we know G is not a cycle, then there are cut vertices in G . Let v_1 be a cut vertex of G . Compare the values of x_{v_2} and x_{v_4} . If $x_{v_2} < x_{v_4}$, let $G' = G - v_1v_2 + v_1v_4 + v_2v_4$. If $x_{v_2} \geq x_{v_4}$, let $G' = G - v_4v_5 + v_5v_2 + v_4v_2$. Note that every block of G' is an odd cycle, which is factor-critical. By Lemma 4.3, G' is a factor-critical

graph. Since odd cycles are minimal factor-critical graphs, by Lemma 4.4, G' is a minimal factor-critical graph. By Lemmas 2.8 and 2.7, $\rho(G') > \rho(G)$, a contradiction to the choice of G . Claim 2 is proved.

From Claim 2, we know that every block of G is C_3 . If G has only one cut vertex, then the conclusion holds. Suppose that G has at least two cut vertices. Let B_1 and B_2 be two end blocks of G , and w_1 and w_2 be the cut vertices of G in B_1 and B_2 , respectively. Assume that w_1 and w_2 is a pair of cut vertices with the maximum distance in G . Assume, without loss of generality, that $x_{w_2} \geq x_{w_1}$. Let w and w' be the neighbors of w_1 in B_1 . Let $G' = G - w_1w - w_1w' + w_2w + w_2w'$. By Lemma 4.4, G' is a minimal factor-critical graph. By Lemma 2.8, $\rho(G') > \rho(G)$, a contradiction. Therefore, G has only one cut vertex, and so $G \cong K_1 \vee \frac{n-1}{2}K_2$. Theorem 1.2 is proved. \square

Acknowledgement

The authors are supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (12571381, 12201574, 12371361) and Natural Science Foundation of Henan Province (252300420303).

References

- [1] A. Berman, R.J. Plemmons, Nonnegative matrices in the mathematical sciences, Academic Press, New York-London 1979.
- [2] A. Berman, X.D. Zhang, On the spectral radius of graphs with cut vertices, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 83 (2001) 233-240.
- [3] J.A. Bondy, U.S.R. Murty, Graph Theory, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.
- [4] R.A. Brualdi, E.S. Solheid, On the spectral radius of complementary acyclic matrices of zeros and ones, SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Methods 7 (2) (1986) 265-272.
- [5] O. Favaron, On k -factor-critical graphs, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory 16 (1996) 41-51.
- [6] L.H. Feng, Q. Li, X.D. Zhang, Spectral radii of graphs with given chromatic number, Appl. Math. Lett. 20 (2007) 158-162.
- [7] L.H. Feng, G.H. Yu, X.D. Zhang, Spectral radius of graphs with given matching number, Linear Algebra Appl. 422 (2007) 133-138.
- [8] P. Hansen, D. Stevanović, On bags and bugs, Discrete Appl. Math. 156 (2008) 986-997.
- [9] X.L. He, F.L. Lu, J.X. Xue, Wheel-like bricks and minimal matching covered graphs. <http://arxiv.org/abs/2412.16465>.

- [10] R.A. Horn, C.R. Johnson, Matrix Analysis, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1985.
- [11] C.Y. Ji, M. Lu, On the spectral radius of trees with given independence number, Linear Algebra Appl. 488 (2016) 102-108.
- [12] H.Q. Liu, M. Lu, F. Tian, On the spectral radius of graphs with cut edges, Linear Algebra Appl. 389 (2004) 139-145.
- [13] Z.Z. Lou, J.M. Guo, The spectral radius of graphs with given independence number, Discrete Math. 345 (2022) 112778.
- [14] L. Lovász, A note on factor-critical graphs, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. 7 (1972) 279-280.
- [15] L. Lovász, M.D. Plummer, On minimal elementary bipartite graph, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B 23 (1977) 127-138.
- [16] L. Lovász, M.D. Plummer, Matching Theory, in: Annals of Discrete Math., Vol. 29, Elsevier, Science, 1986.
- [17] A.K. Mallik, A.A. Diwan, N. Kothari, Extremal minimal bipartite matching covered graphs. <http://arxiv.org/abs/2404.06445>.
- [18] V. Nikiforov, Merging the A - and Q -spectral theories, Appl. Anal. Discrete Math. 11 (2017) 81-107.
- [19] D. Stevanović, M. Aouchiche, P. Hansen, On the spectral radius of graphs with a given domination number, Linear Algebra Appl. 428 (2008) 1854-1864.
- [20] Q.L. Yu, Characterizations of various matching extensions in graphs, Australas. J. Combin. 7 (1993) 55-64.
- [21] M.Q. Zhai, H.Q. Lin, Spectral extrema of graphs: Forbidden hexagon, Discrete Math. 343 (2020) 112028.
- [22] W.Q. Zhang, The maximum spectral radius of t -connected graphs with bounded matching number, Discrete Math. 345 (2022) 112775.
- [23] Q.B. Zhang, D.D. Fan, Perfect integer k -matching, k -factor-critical, and the spectral radius of graphs, Linear Algebra Appl. 701 (2024) 97-111.
- [24] W.Q. Zhang, J.F. Wang, W.F. Wang, The matchings and spectral radius of graphs involving minimum degree, Linear Algebra Appl. 668 (2023) 149-160.
- [25] Y.P. Zhang, X.M. Wang, J.J. Yuan, C.T. Ng, T.C.E. Cheng, Claw-free minimal matching covered graphs, Discrete Appl. Math. 370 (2025) 11-21.