

$C(K)$ -SPACES WITH FEW OPERATORS RELATIVE TO POSETS

ANTONIO ACUAVIVA

ABSTRACT. Extending a method developed by Koszmider and Laustsen for constructing $C(K)$ -spaces we produce families of $C(K)$ -spaces with few operators relative to a partially ordered set \mathcal{P} . Using these spaces, we construct new $C(K)$ -spaces whose closed operator ideals can be completely classified. Additionally, we use these spaces to resolve some questions regarding automatic continuity.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

The question of which kinds of operators must exist on a Banach space has a long and deep history. In the separable case, we find the seminal works of Gowers and Maurey [18]. They constructed a space in which every operator is a strictly singular perturbation of a scalar multiple of the identity, providing a negative solution to the unconditional basic sequence problem. These ideas have had a profound impact on Banach space theory, yielding counterexamples to numerous open problems; see [32] for a comprehensive survey of these developments. This cycle of ideas culminated in the landmark resolution of the “scalar-plus-compact” problem by Argyros and Haydon [6], which has since inspired numerous new research directions.

However, the first construction of a Banach space with “few operators” was not achieved in the separable setting. Shelah [38] constructed a non-separable Banach space on which every operator is a separable perturbation of a scalar multiple of the identity. Shelah’s original construction relied on an additional set-theoretical axiom, \diamond , but this was later removed in the work of Shelah and Steprāns [39]. This line of research was further developed by Argyros and Tolia [7], who constructed a dual space with this property, and by Wark [41, 42], who produced a reflexive and a uniformly convex space with the aforementioned property.

All the previously mentioned Banach spaces have very complex definitions; in contrast, spaces of continuous functions over compact Hausdorff spaces $C(K)$ are among the simplest Banach spaces one can define. In this direction, in 2005, Koszmider [29], assuming the Continuum Hypothesis (CH), constructed a scattered locally compact Hausdorff space $K_{\mathcal{A}}$ such that the corresponding space $C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}})$ admits as few operators as possible, in the sense that every operator $T : C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}}) \rightarrow C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}})$ is of the form $T = \lambda \text{Id} + S$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ (the scalar field, either \mathbb{R} or

Date: December 1, 2025.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 47L10, 47L20, 46H10, 46E15 (primary); 46H40, 46B26, 47B01, 54D80 (secondary).

Key words and phrases. Banach space of continuous functions, almost disjoint family, closed operator ideals, $C(K)$ -spaces, scattered spaces.

\mathbb{C}) and S factors through c_0 . The dependence on (CH) was later removed in the work of Koszmider and Laustsen [30]. Both arguments rely on the construction of a locally compact Hausdorff space induced by a carefully chosen almost disjoint family.

Let $[\mathbb{N}]^\omega$ denote the set of all infinite subsets of the natural numbers. A family $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$ is called *almost disjoint* if for every distinct $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, the intersection $A \cap B$ is finite. An almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$ induces a locally compact Hausdorff space $K_{\mathcal{A}}$, usually referred to as a Ψ -space, *Isbell–Mrówka space*, *AU-compactum*, or *Mrówka space*; see Definition 3.1 for details.

These spaces were first introduced into Banach space theory by Johnson and Lindenstrauss, who used a renorming of $C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}})$ -spaces to construct counterexamples concerning weakly compactly generated Banach spaces [24].

Mrówka spaces have been used to construct several examples of exotic Banach spaces in recent years. Notably, Plebanek and Salguero-Alarcón constructed an almost disjoint family \mathcal{A} such that $C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}})$ provides a counterexample to the complemented subspace problem for $C(K)$ -spaces [34]. Remarkably, de Hevia, Martínez-Cervantes, Salguero-Alarcón, and Tradacete have subsequently shown that this space also serves as a counterexample to the complemented subspace problem for Banach lattices [21]. We have recently extended these results by showing that a similar construction shows that the class of Banach lattices is not primary [2].

As previously mentioned, these spaces were also used by Koszmider and Laustsen [30] to build a Banach space of continuous functions with as few operators as possible. It is within this context that our work is situated. We build on their ideas to construct new $C(K)$ -spaces with interesting properties. In particular, these spaces allow us to resolve some of the questions left open in [30]. The following theorem summarises our main results in this direction.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose \mathcal{P} is a partially ordered set of cardinality at most continuum. Then there exist a collection $(\mathcal{A}_t)_{t \in \mathcal{P}}$ of uncountable, almost disjoint families $\mathcal{A}_t \subseteq [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$ and a collection of isometric algebra homomorphisms $\iota_{s,t} : C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}_s}) \rightarrow C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}_t})$ for $s, t \in \mathcal{P}$ with $s \leq t$ such that:*

- (a) $\iota_{s,s}$ is the identity on $C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}_s})$, and $\iota_{s,t}\iota_{r,s} = \iota_{r,t}$ for all $r \leq s \leq t$.
- (b) Let $T : C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}_s}) \rightarrow C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}_t})$ be an operator for some $s, t \in \mathcal{P}$. Then
 - if $s \leq t$, then $T = \lambda \iota_{s,t} + S$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ and S is an operator that factors through c_0 ,
 - if $s \not\leq t$, then T factors through c_0 .

This theorem has many interesting consequences. For example, suppose we let \mathcal{P} be a set of cardinality continuum with the trivial order, that is, $s \leq t$ if and only if $s = t$. In that case, it gives continuum many non-isomorphic $C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}})$ -spaces with few operators, thereby yielding a large collection of non-isomorphic $C(K)$ -spaces for which the complemented subspaces and the closed operator ideals are completely classified, see [29, Lemma 4] and [25, Theorem 5.5] respectively.

The true significance of the above theorem is that we can use the spaces $C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}})$ as building blocks to construct a plethora of $C(K)$ -spaces displaying a rich variety of complemented subspaces and lattices of closed operator ideals. From this point onward, any reference to an operator ideal, or to lattices of operator ideals, will be understood to refer exclusively to closed operator ideals.

We shall focus on the closed operator ideals. Analysing the closed ideals of $\mathcal{B}(C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}}))$ is intimately connected to identifying which unital Banach algebras can be isomorphic to the quotient algebra

$$\mathcal{B}(C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}}))/\mathcal{X}(C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}}))$$

for some almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$, where \mathcal{X} denotes the ideal of operators with separable range. The following proposition, whose proof is essentially the same as that of [1, Theorem 1.6], makes this connection explicit.

Proposition 1.2. *Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$ be an almost disjoint family. The ideal $\mathcal{K}(C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}}))$ of compact operators is the smallest non-zero closed ideal of $\mathcal{B}(C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}}))$ and if $\varphi : \mathcal{B}(C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}})) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}}))/\mathcal{X}(C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}}))$ denotes the quotient map, then*

$$\mathcal{J} \mapsto \varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{J})$$

is a lattice isomorphism between the lattice of closed ideals of $\mathcal{B}(C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}}))/\mathcal{X}(C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}}))$ and the closed ideals of $\mathcal{B}(C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}}))$ strictly larger than $\mathcal{K}(C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}}))$.

Remark 1.3. Let \mathfrak{J} denote the lattice of closed ideals of $\mathcal{B}(C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}}))/\mathcal{X}(C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}}))$, then the previous proposition can be succinctly described by saying that the lattice of closed ideals of $\mathcal{B}(C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}}))$ is given by

$$\{0\} \subsetneq \mathcal{K}(C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}})) \subsetneq \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{J}).$$

It follows that the problem of understanding closed ideals of $\mathcal{B}(C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}}))$ reduces to understanding the closed ideals of the quotient algebra $\mathcal{B}(C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}}))/\mathcal{X}(C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}}))$. Consequently, we focus on determining which unital Banach algebras can be realised as such a quotient.

In order to state our findings concisely, we will require some terminology.

- Let $(\mathcal{C}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of normed algebras. The *normed direct product* of the sequence $(\mathcal{C}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is defined as

$$\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}_n := \left\{ a = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} : a_n \in \mathcal{C}_n, \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|a_n\| < \infty \right\},$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|a\| := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|a_n\|,$$

and with addition and multiplication defined component-wise.

- Set

$$\mathfrak{A} = \{0\} \cup \{\mathbb{M}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{\mathbf{IA}(\mathcal{P}) : |\mathcal{P}| < \infty\} \cup \{\mathbf{IA}(\mathcal{P}, c_0) : \mathcal{P} \text{ countable}\} \cup \{\mathcal{B}(c_0)\},$$

where \mathbb{M}_n denotes the algebra of $n \times n$ matrices, equipped with the norm it inherits under the identification with $\mathcal{B}(\ell_\infty^n)$, $\mathbf{IA}(\mathcal{P})$ is the incidence algebra induced by a finite poset \mathcal{P} , and $\mathbf{IA}(\mathcal{P}, c_0)$ is the c_0 -incidence algebra induced by a countable poset \mathcal{P} (see Definitions 5.4 and 5.9 for details of this terminology).

Theorem 1.4. *Let $(\mathcal{C}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$. Then there exists an almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$ and a short exact sequence*

$$\{0\} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}(C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}})) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}})) \xrightarrow{\theta} \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}_n \longrightarrow \{0\}$$

which splits strongly, in the sense that θ admits a continuous right inverse algebra homomorphism ρ . Furthermore, $\|\theta\| = 1$ and ρ is an isometry.

The previous theorem provides a partial answer to [30, Question 46]. In particular, since we can obtain the finite product of matrix algebras, Wedderburn's structure theorem [11, Theorem 1.5.9] automatically gives the following.

Corollary 1.5. *Let \mathcal{C} be a finite-dimensional, semi-simple complex algebra. Then there exists an almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$ such that $\mathcal{B}(C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}}))/\mathcal{X}(C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}}))$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{C} .*

Employing the fact that we can do countable products, we can obtain the following result.

Corollary 1.6. *There exists an almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$ such that the quotient algebra $\mathcal{B}(C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}}))/\mathcal{X}(C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}}))$ is isometrically isomorphic to ℓ_∞ with the pointwise product.*

In particular, under (CH), $\mathcal{B}(C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}}))$ admits a discontinuous homomorphism into a Banach algebra.

Proof. Theorem 1.4 gives the first part because $\ell_\infty = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{K}$. For the second part note that ℓ_∞ is isometrically algebra isomorphic to $C(\beta\mathbb{N})$. Therefore, if we assume (CH), a famous result of Dales [10] and Esterle [16] gives a discontinuous homomorphism from ℓ_∞ into a Banach algebra. The composition of this homomorphism with the quotient map gives the result. \square

Under (CH), this answers a question raised by the author [1, Question 1.2] and a question of Koszmider and Laustsen [30, Question 47].

Remark 1.7. Following the arguments in [12], we believe that it should be possible to construct an almost disjoint family \mathcal{A} such that every derivation from $\mathcal{B}(C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}}))$ is continuous, while, assuming (CH), the algebra $\mathcal{B}(C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}}))$ admits a discontinuous homomorphism into a Banach algebra. The proof of this result would be substantially longer, and we are not aware of any relevant application that would justify its inclusion here.

We want to highlight that there are extremely few $C(K)$ -spaces for which the lattice of closed operator ideals has been fully classified. In fact, even the number of such ideals is known only for the spaces listed below. To the best of our knowledge, these are:

- $c_0(\Gamma)$ for any set Γ ; in this case, the lattice of closed operator ideals was classified by Gohberg, Markus and Feldman [17] in the case $\Gamma = \mathbb{N}$ and extended to uncountable sets by Daws [13]. This lattice is well-ordered and consists of the ideals of κ -compact operators. An alternative description of this ideal lattice was also given by Johnson, Kania and Schechtman [23, Theorem 1.5].
- $C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}})$ where $K_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the space constructed by Koszmider and Laustsen [30] so that $C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}})$ admits few operators. In this case, Kania and Kochanek [25, Theorem 5.5], showed that the lattice of closed ideals is

$$\{0\} \subsetneq \mathcal{K}(C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}})) \subsetneq \mathcal{X}(C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}})) \subsetneq \mathcal{B}(C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}})).$$

A similar conclusion holds for $C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}})^n \cong C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}} \times \{1, \dots, n\})$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

- $C_0(\omega \times K_{\mathcal{A}})$ where again $K_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the space built by Koszmider and Laustsen. We recently showed that the lattice of closed operator ideals consists of five linearly ordered ideals [3, Theorem 1.6].

It is interesting to note that all the previously known examples have a linearly ordered lattice and thus a unique maximal ideal. Applying Theorem 1.4, together with Proposition 1.2, allows us to produce multiple $C(K)$ -spaces where the lattice of closed operators ideals behave very differently, using the fact that the ideal structure of incidence algebras is essentially known [15, Section 3].

In particular, we can obtain ideal lattices that are not linearly ordered and with many maximal ideals. In fact, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the $n \times n$ upper triangular matrices have precisely n maximal ideals, and thus we can find an almost disjoint family \mathcal{A} such that $\mathcal{B}(C(K_{\mathcal{A}}))$ has n maximal ideals. Furthermore, Corollary 1.6 shows that we can obtain ℓ_{∞} as a quotient algebra, and thus we can obtain 2^c maximal ideals, each having codimension one.

From now on, for any ordinal α , we write $C(\alpha)$ for $C([0, \alpha])$, that is, for the space of continuous functions on $[0, \alpha]$.

Remark 1.8. It is relatively straightforward to construct examples of $C(K)$ -spaces in which the operator ideal lattice is not linearly ordered, as well as examples with more than one maximal ideal. For instance, using the fact that the space of operators on $C([0, 1])$ and $C(\omega_1)$ have a unique maximal ideal, it can be verified that the space of operators on $C([0, 1]) \oplus C(\omega_1)$ has precisely two maximal ideals. Unfortunately, a complete classification of the closed operator ideals on spaces of this form appears to be out of reach.

We would like to emphasise that, to obtain spaces of operators on $C(K)$ -spaces with more than one maximal ideal, one must consider non-separable $C(K)$ -spaces. Before we can prove so, we need to introduce the following terminology. For Banach spaces X and Y we define the set

$$\mathcal{M}_Y(X) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(X) : I_Y \text{ does not factor through } T\} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(X).$$

We observe that in the case $X = Y$ we recover the sets introduced by Dosev and Johnson [14], precisely $\mathcal{M}_X(X) = \mathcal{M}_X$. We can now make the following observation, which appears to have gone unnoticed in the literature.

Proposition 1.9. *For every ordinal α , the algebra of operators on $C(\alpha)$ has a unique maximal ideal. In particular, if $C(\alpha) \not\sim C(\xi \cdot n)$ for any uncountable regular cardinal ξ and $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$ then the set $\mathcal{M}_{C(\alpha)}(C(\alpha))$ is the unique maximal of $\mathcal{B}(C(\alpha))$.*

Proof. First, assume that $C(\alpha)$ is not isomorphic to $C_0(\xi \cdot n)$ for any uncountable regular cardinal ξ and $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$. In this case, an inspection of the proof of the primariness of $C(\alpha)$ by Benyamini and Alspach [5] shows that if $T, S \in \mathcal{B}(C(\alpha))$ are such that $T + S = I_{C(\alpha)}$, then one of T or S factors the identity on $C(\alpha)$ (in the case that α is countable, this can also be seen from Wolfe's proof of primariness [43]).

Indeed, for Cases I and II in the proof of [5, Theorem 1], we can still invoke [5, Proposition 2] for any operator T , not necessarily a projection. The assumption $T + S = I_{C(\alpha)}$ is precisely what we need for arguing that we can assume X is $(c, 1/10)$ -preserved with $c \geq 1/2$ by either T or S . After this, we can invoke

[5, Lemma 1.2(b) and (c)] to conclude that the operator, either T or S , fixes a complemented copy of $C_0(\alpha)$ and thus it factors the identity $I_{C(\alpha)}$.

Cases III and IV follow in the same way, noting that the fact that P_Y and P_Z are projections is never actually used; the only essential point is that $P_Y + P_Z = I_{C(\alpha)}$, which ensures that the operators $(c, 1/20)$ -preserve the spaces X_δ for some $c \geq 1/2$.

Equivalently, the preceding argument shows that for every operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(C(\alpha))$, we have

$$T \notin \mathcal{M}_{C(\alpha)}(C(\alpha)) \quad \text{or} \quad I_{C(\alpha)} - T \notin \mathcal{M}_{C(\alpha)}(C(\alpha)).$$

It then follows from [14, Proposition 5.1] that $\mathcal{M}_{C(\alpha)}(C(\alpha))$ is the unique maximal ideal in $\mathcal{B}(C(\alpha))$.

Suppose now that $C(\alpha) \sim C(\xi \cdot n) \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^n C(\xi)$ for some uncountable regular cardinal ξ and integer $n \geq 2$. By the previous argument, $\mathcal{B}(C(\xi))$ admits a unique maximal ideal. Elementary arguments using the matrix representation of operators on direct sums then yield the uniqueness of a maximal ideal in this case.

By the classification theorem for spaces of continuous functions on ordinals—originally proved independently by Gul’ko [19] and Kislyakov [28], and stated in the specific form used here in [5]—these are all the possible cases up to isomorphism. \square

Remark 1.10. The case $C(\alpha) \sim C(\omega_1)$, or more generally $C(\alpha) \sim C(\omega_\eta)$ where η is any ordinal such that ω_η is a regular cardinal was already shown by Kania and Laustsen [26], while the case $C(\alpha) \sim C(\beta)$ for β a countable epsilon number was shown by Philip A. H. Brooker (unpublished).

Corollary 1.11. *Let K be a compact metric space. Then $\mathcal{B}(C(K))$ admits a unique maximal ideal.*

Proof. If K is countable, the classification theorem of Bessaga and Pełczyński [8] gives $C(K) \sim C(\alpha)$ for some countable ordinal α . The result now follows from Proposition 1.9.

If K is uncountable, Milutin’s theorem [33] gives $C(K) \sim C([0, 1])$. That $\mathcal{B}(C[0, 1])$ has a unique maximal ideal follows by combining results of Pełczyński [35, Theorem 1] and Rosenthal [36, Theorem 1] (see, for example, [9, Example 3.5]). \square

Given a natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$, one may ask whether there exists a $C(K)$ -space for which $\mathcal{B}(C(K))$ has exactly n non-trivial closed ideals. We will show that this cannot be achieved in the separable setting.

Observe that whenever $\mathcal{M}_Y(Y)$ is an ideal (that is, when it is closed under addition), then so is $\mathcal{M}_Y(X)$ for any Banach space X . In particular, by Proposition 1.9, for any Banach space X and any countable ordinal α , $\mathcal{M}_{C(\alpha)}(X)$ is an operator ideal.

Proposition 1.12. *The algebra of operators on $C(\omega^{\omega^2})$ has at least four non-trivial closed ideals. Consequently, there exists some $n \in \{2, 3\}$ such that the space of operators on any separable $C(K)$ -space never has exactly n non-trivial closed ideals.*

Proof. For the first part, we exhibit four different closed ideals in $\mathcal{B}(C(\omega^{\omega^2}))$. Recall that, for each ordinal α , \mathcal{SZ}_α , the collection of operators having Szlenk index at most ω^α , forms an operator ideal in the sense of Pietsch [9, Theorem 2.2].

We claim that the inclusions

$$\mathcal{K}(C(\omega^{\omega^2})) \subsetneq \mathcal{SL}_1(C(\omega^{\omega^2})) \subsetneq \mathcal{SL}_2(C(\omega^{\omega^2})) \subsetneq \mathcal{M}_{C(\omega^{\omega^2})}(C(\omega^{\omega^2}))$$

are all strict, and thus define four distinct non-trivial ideals in $\mathcal{B}(C(\omega^{\omega^2}))$. Among these, the only non-trivial fact is that

$$\mathcal{M}_{C(\omega^{\omega^2})}(C(\omega^{\omega^2})) \not\subseteq \mathcal{SL}_2(C(\omega^{\omega^2})).$$

For this, observe that Alspach [4] has shown the existence of a surjective operator $T : C(\omega^{\omega^2}) \rightarrow C(\omega^{\omega^2})$ not preserving any copy of $C(\omega^{\omega^2})$. In particular, $T \in \mathcal{M}_{C(\omega^{\omega^2})}(C(\omega^{\omega^2}))$; while since T is onto and the Szlenk index of $C(\omega^{\omega^2})$ is ω^3 , then $T \notin \mathcal{SL}_2(C(\omega^{\omega^2}))$, which proves the result. The second part is immediate, once the first has been established. \square

In contrast, in the non-separable setting, as previously mention, a result of Daws [13] shows that, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the algebra $\mathcal{B}(c_0(\mathbb{N}_{n-1}))$ admits exactly n non-trivial closed ideals. This motivates us to pose the following question.

Question 1.13. For which $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 1$, does there exist an almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$ such that the operator algebra $\mathcal{B}(C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}}))$ contains exactly n non-trivial closed ideals?

We note that, by Theorem 1.4, a significant subset of the natural numbers can be realised as the number of non-trivial closed ideals of algebras of the form $\mathcal{B}(C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}}))$. We conjecture that, in fact, every natural number arises in this way.

2. ORGANISATION AND NOTATION

All normed spaces and algebras are over the scalar field \mathbb{K} , either the real or complex numbers, and we adhere to standard notational conventions. For a compact Hausdorff space Ω , we denote by $C(\Omega)$ the space of continuous functions $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$. Similarly, for a locally compact Hausdorff space K , we denote by $C_0(K)$ the space of continuous functions on K that *vanish at infinity*, that is, continuous functions $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ such that the set $\{k \in K : |f(k)| \geq \varepsilon\}$ is compact for each $\varepsilon > 0$.

The term *operator* will refer to a bounded linear map between normed spaces. For two Banach spaces X and Y , we denote by $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ the space of operators between X and Y . In the case $X = Y$, we simply write $\mathcal{B}(X)$. When referring to ideals, we will always mean *two-sided closed* ideals.

Furthermore, whenever we consider the finite direct sum of n Banach spaces, it is understood implicitly that the sum is equipped with the ℓ_∞^n norm. Finally, given a sequence $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a set $A \in [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$, we write $\lim_{n \in A} a_n$ meaning $\lim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} a_{n_k}$ where $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the increasing enumeration of A . More specialised notation will be introduced as and when needed.

We give a brief overview of the structure of this paper. In Section 3, we introduce the notation and preliminary results needed for the proof of Theorem 1.1. In Subsection 3.1 we begin by recalling the definition of the topological spaces $K_{\mathcal{A}}$ induced by an almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$, as well as some basic properties concerning separability. We then explore how to combine different $C(K_{\mathcal{A}})$ -spaces to construct new spaces of this form, and explain how the inclusion $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B} \subseteq [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$

of almost disjoint families affects the relationship between the spaces $C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}})$ and $C_0(K_{\mathcal{B}})$.

In Subsection 3.2, we describe how infinite matrices can be interpreted as acting between the spaces $C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}})$ and $C_0(K_{\mathcal{B}})$. To do this, we adapt several definitions and results originally developed by Koszmider and Laustsen [30] to the setting in which the domain and codomain differ. Readers already familiar with their work may wish to skip or only briefly skim this subsection, referring back to it as and when needed.

Lastly, Subsection 3.3 explains how to represent an operator $T : C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}}) \rightarrow C_0(K_{\mathcal{B}})$ as an $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ matrix, and how this representation relates to the matrix theory discussed earlier. Readers familiar with the work of Koszmider and Laustsen will recognise most of the results presented here as natural extensions of ideas already developed in [30]. Section 4 presents the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Finally, Section 5 introduces the concepts of incidence algebras and c_0 -incidence algebras, and explains how these algebras can be realised as the quotient by the ideal of separable operators. Section 6 contains the proof of Theorem 1.4.

3. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

3.1. Structure and combination of $C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}})$ -spaces. We briefly review the structure of $C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}})$ -spaces and examine possible ways to combine them. We begin by recalling how an almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$ induces a scattered locally compact Hausdorff space $K_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Definition 3.1. Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$ be an almost disjoint family. We define the topological space $K_{\mathcal{A}}$ consisting of distinct points $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{y_A : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$, where x_n is isolated for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and the sets

$$U(A, F) = \{x_n : n \in A \setminus F\} \cup \{y_A\}$$

for finite $F \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ form a neighbourhood basis at each point y_A for $A \in \mathcal{A}$. We will write $U(A)$ for $U(A, \emptyset)$.

We refer the reader to [20] and [22] for a deeper discussion about these topological spaces. We note that the space $K_{\mathcal{A}}$ has finite Cantor–Bendixson rank. Specifically, if K' denotes the Cantor–Bendixson derivative of a topological space K , we have

$$K'_{\mathcal{A}} = \{y_A : A \in \mathcal{A}\}, \quad K''_{\mathcal{A}} = \emptyset \text{ and } K_{\mathcal{A}} \setminus K'_{\mathcal{A}} = \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

We introduce a few concepts related to separability in $C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}})$.

Definition 3.2 ([30, Definition 2]). Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$ be an almost disjoint family. For $f \in C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}})$ and $\mathcal{X} \subseteq C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}})$, we define

$$s(f) = \{A \in \mathcal{A} : f(y_A) \neq 0\}, \quad s(\mathcal{X}) = \bigcup \{s(f) : f \in \mathcal{X}\}.$$

Lemma 3.3 ([30, Lemma 21]). *Suppose that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$ is an almost disjoint family. A closed subspace \mathcal{X} of $C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}})$ is separable if and only if $s(\mathcal{X})$ is countable.*

We will also need the following lemma, whose proof can be found in [29, Lemma 3] or [24, Example 2c].

Lemma 3.4 ([30, Lemma 22]). *Suppose that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$ is an almost disjoint family and $\mathcal{X} \subseteq C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}})$ is separable subspace. Then there exists a closed subspace $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}})$ such that $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{Y} \sim c_0$.*

Our next result shows how spaces induced by almost disjoint families combine. In particular, it explains how a c_0 -sum of $C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}})$ -spaces can be seen as another $C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}})$ -space.

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose that $(\mathcal{A}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of almost disjoint families $\mathcal{A}_n \subseteq [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$. Then there exists an almost disjoint family \mathcal{B} such that $K_{\mathcal{B}}$ is homeomorphic to the topological sum $\coprod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_{\mathcal{A}_n}$. In particular, we have*

$$C_0(K_{\mathcal{B}}) \cong C_0 \left(\coprod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_{\mathcal{A}_n} \right) \cong \left(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}_n}) \right)_{c_0}.$$

Proof. Partition \mathbb{N} into countably many disjoint infinite subsets $\mathbb{N} = \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C_n$, $C_n \in [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$, and enumerate $C_n = \{c_{n,m} : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $A \in \mathcal{A}_n$ define $B(n, A) = \{c_{n,m} : m \in A\}$. It is straightforward to check that the family $\mathcal{B} = \{B(n, A) : n \in \mathbb{N}, A \in \mathcal{A}_n\}$ works. \square

We finally explain how the inclusion of almost disjoint families affects the relation between the induced $C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}})$ -spaces. In particular, if \mathcal{A} is a subset of an almost disjoint family \mathcal{B} , it will allow us to isometrically identify $C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}})$ as a subalgebra of $C_0(K_{\mathcal{B}})$ in a canonical way.

This turns out to be a consequence of a more general “folklore” result, communicated to us by Bence Horváth and Niels Laustsen, who have kindly allowed us to include the details here. Recall that if Ω_1, Ω_2 are compact Hausdorff spaces, then any continuous map $\theta : \Omega_1 \rightarrow \Omega_2$ induces a norm one unital algebra homomorphism $\theta^\circ : C(\Omega_2) \rightarrow C(\Omega_1)$ by $\theta^\circ(f) = f \circ \theta$.

For a locally compact Hausdorff space K , we define $\alpha K = K \cup \{\infty_K\}$ to be the one-point compactification of K . It is convenient to use the following alternative description of the Banach algebra of continuous functions on K which vanish at infinity,

$$C_0(K) = \{f|_K : f \in C(\alpha K), f(\infty_K) = 0\}.$$

In the case where $\Omega_1 = \alpha K$ and $\Omega_2 = \alpha L$ are the one-point compactifications of some locally compact Hausdorff spaces K and L and $\theta(\infty_K) = \infty_L$, θ° maps $C_0(L)$ into $C_0(K)$, so θ° restricts to a map between these algebras, denoted θ_0° .

Lemma 3.6. *Let L be an open subset of a locally compact Hausdorff space K . Then the map $\tau : \alpha K \rightarrow \alpha L$ given by*

$$\tau(k) = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } k \in L \\ \infty_L & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is a continuous surjection, and hence the induced map $\tau_0^\circ : C_0(L) \rightarrow C_0(K)$ is an isometric algebra homomorphism.

Proof. It is clear that τ is surjective. We check the continuity of τ directly from the definition of the topologies of αL and αK . First, suppose that $G \subseteq L$ is open. Then we have $\tau^{-1}(G) = G$, which is an open subset of K because $L \subseteq K$ is open, and therefore $\tau^{-1}(G)$ is open in αK . Second, suppose that $G = \alpha L \setminus C$, where $C \subseteq L$ is compact. Then we have $\tau^{-1}(G) = \alpha K \setminus C$, which is open in αK because compactness is independent of the superspace, so C is compact as a subspace of K . \square

Remark 3.7. Lemma 3.6 does not imply that αL is a retract of αK . The reason is that αL may not be a topological subspace of αK because the map $\alpha L \rightarrow \alpha K$ which is the identity on L and maps ∞_L to ∞_K may be discontinuous at ∞_L . This explains why we distinguish the points at infinity by adding the subscripts K and L to them.

Using the previous result, we can give the promised canonical inclusion of the spaces $C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}}) \hookrightarrow C_0(K_{\mathcal{B}})$ for almost disjoint families $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B} \subseteq [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B} \subseteq [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$ be almost disjoint families. Then $K_{\mathcal{A}}$ is an open subset of $K_{\mathcal{B}}$.*

Proof. It is clear that if we identify $K_{\mathcal{A}}$ as a topological subspace of $K_{\mathcal{B}}$ via the natural set inclusion, then the subspace topology that $K_{\mathcal{A}}$ inherits from $K_{\mathcal{B}}$ coincides with the topology given by the almost disjoint family \mathcal{A} . Moreover, since $K_{\mathcal{B}} \setminus K_{\mathcal{A}} = \{y_B : B \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{A}\}$ is closed, $K_{\mathcal{A}}$ is an open subset of $K_{\mathcal{B}}$. \square

Remark 3.9. By Lemmas 3.6 and 3.8 the induced map $\tau_0^\circ : C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}}) \rightarrow C_0(K_{\mathcal{B}})$ is an isometric algebra homomorphism. We refer to it as the canonical embedding and denote it with the symbol ι . Suppose that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B} \subseteq [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$ are almost disjoint families. To avoid confusion, we will denote the isolated points of $K_{\mathcal{B}}$ by $\{z_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$; that is $K_{\mathcal{A}} = \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{y_A : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ and $K_{\mathcal{B}} = \{z_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{y_B : B \in \mathcal{B}\}$. Then $\iota : C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}}) \rightarrow C_0(K_{\mathcal{B}})$ can be explicitly described, for any $f \in C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}})$, by

$$(\iota f)(z_n) = f(x_n), \quad (\iota f)(y_A) = \begin{cases} f(y_A), & \text{if } A \in \mathcal{A}, \\ 0, & \text{if } A \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{A}. \end{cases}$$

Further, observe that if we have nested almost disjoint families $\mathcal{A}_r \subseteq \mathcal{A}_s \subseteq \mathcal{A}_t \subseteq [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$ then the corresponding canonical embeddings satisfy $\iota_{s,t} \iota_{r,s} = \iota_{r,t}$.

Corollary 3.10. *Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$ be an almost disjoint family and $B \in [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$ such that $B \notin \mathcal{A}$ and $\{B\} \cup \mathcal{A}$ is an almost disjoint family. Then for any $f \in C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}})$ we have $\lim_{k \in B} f(x_k) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $\iota : C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}}) \rightarrow C_0(K_{\mathcal{A} \cup \{B\}})$ be the canonical embedding and let $f \in C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}})$. It follows that

$$0 = (\iota f)(y_B) = \lim_{k \in B} (\iota f)(z_k) = \lim_{k \in B} f(x_k). \quad \square$$

Before we move on from topological considerations, we would like to highlight an important point. While continuous functions $\theta : \Omega_1 \rightarrow \Omega_2$ between compact Hausdorff spaces induce norm one operators $\theta^\circ : C(\Omega_2) \rightarrow C(\Omega_1)$, the same is not true for continuous functions $\theta : K \rightarrow L$ between locally compact Hausdorff spaces. That is, if one tries to define an induced operator $\theta^\circ : C_0(L) \rightarrow C_0(K)$ by $\theta^\circ(f) = f \circ \theta$, then one runs into the issue that while $f \circ \theta : K \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is a continuous function, it need not vanish at infinity.

In fact, Theorem 1.1 gives an example of locally compact spaces $K_{\mathcal{A}} \subsetneq K_{\mathcal{B}}$ so that whenever $\theta : K_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow K_{\mathcal{B}}$ is continuous and θ° maps functions that vanish at infinity to functions that vanish at infinity, then θ has countable range. Details of how this works are similar to those in [30, Proposition 36].

3.2. An overview of matrices acting on almost disjoint families. We give a concise account of how matrices act on $C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}})$, in a sense that will be made precise below. We limit ourselves to the essential concepts needed to make this paper self-contained, slightly modifying the definitions and results from Koszmider and Laustsen [30] to handle operators between different $C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}})$ -spaces. For a more detailed discussion, we refer the reader to their work.

Definition 3.11. Let $M = (m_{k,n})_{k,n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a matrix with entries in \mathbb{K} . We define

- $\mathbb{M} = \{M : \|M\| < \infty\}$ where $\|M\| = \sup\{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |m_{k,n}| : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$.
- For $f \in \ell_\infty$ and $M \in \mathbb{M}$ we define $Mf \in \ell_\infty$ by $Mf(k) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} m_{k,n} f(n)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
- We let I denote the $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ identity matrix and for $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ we denote by $1_A \in \ell_\infty$ the indicator function of the set A .

We now define the natural analogues of admission, rejection, and undermining in the context of different domain and codomain, see [30, Definition 14].

Definition 3.12. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subseteq [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$ are almost disjoint families, $C, D \in [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$, and $M \in \mathbb{M}$. We say that:

- $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ *admits* M if $\lim_{k \in B} (M1_A)(k) = 0$ for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $B \in \mathcal{B}$. If $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$, we will say that \mathcal{A} admits M .
- (C, D) *rejects* M if $\lim_{k \in D} (M1_C)(k)$ does not exist. If $C = D$, we will say that C rejects M .
- C *undermines* M if there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $((M1_{\{n\}})(k))_{k \in C}$ does not converge to 0.

Similarly, we recall the concept of compact matrices and introduce the corresponding definition for acceptance, see [30, Definition 15].

Definition 3.13. Suppose that $M \in \mathbb{M}$ and that $A, B \in [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$.

- For $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $M_j = (m'_{k,n})_{k,n \in \mathbb{N}}$ where $m'_{k,n} = 0$ if $n \leq j$ and $m'_{k,n} = m_{k,n}$ otherwise.
- We say that M is a *compact matrix* if $\lim_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \|M_j\| = 0$.
- We define $M_B^A = (m'_{k,n})_{k,n \in \mathbb{N}}$, where $m'_{k,n} = m_{k,n}$ if $(k, n) \in B \times A$, and $m'_{k,n} = 0$ otherwise.
- We say that (A, B) *accepts* M if M_B^A is a compact matrix.
- Finally, for families $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subseteq [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$ we say that $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ *accepts* M if $(A \cup A', B \cup B')$ accepts M for every $A, A' \in \mathcal{A}$, $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}$. If $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$, we say that \mathcal{A} accepts M .

Remark 3.14. We note that our formulation of \mathcal{A} accepting M is formally stronger than that of Koszmider and Laustsen. However, using Monotonicity [30, Lemma 28], the reader can readily verify that the two formulations are in fact equivalent.

Lastly, we discuss the concepts of Admission and Monotonicity, see [30, Lemma 28]. The proof is essentially the same as in the case of operators whose domain and codomain coincide. We include it for the reader's convenience.

Lemma 3.15. Let $M \in \mathbb{M}$ and $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subseteq [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$. Suppose that $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ accepts M .

- (1) (Admission) If no $B \in \mathcal{B}$ undermines M , then $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ admits M .
- (2) (Monotonicity) Suppose that $C \in [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$ is contained in a finite union of elements of \mathcal{A} and $D \in [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$ in a finite union of elements of \mathcal{B} . Then (C, D) accepts M .

Proof. (Admission) Fix $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $B \in \mathcal{B}$. For every $f \in \ell_\infty$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$(M_B^A - (M_B^A)_j)f = \sum_{n \leq j} f(n)M_B^A 1_{\{n\}},$$

which belongs to c_0 since the hypothesis that B does not undermine M implies that $\lim_{k \in B} (M 1_{\{n\}})(k) = 0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Also

$$M_B^A f = (M_B^A - (M_B^A)_j)f + (M_B^A)_j f,$$

where $\|(M_B^A)_j f\|_\infty \leq \|(M_B^A)_j\| \|f\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ by the compactness of M_B^A . It follows that $M_B^A f$ can be approximated by elements of c_0 . Therefore $M_B^A f \in c_0$, since c_0 is closed in ℓ_∞ . Taking $f = 1_A$ we have

$$0 = \lim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (M_B^A 1_A)(k) = \lim_{k \in B} (M 1_A)(k).$$

Since A and B were arbitrary, the result follows.

(Monotonicity) Let $A_1, \dots, A_p \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B_1, \dots, B_q \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $C \subseteq A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_p$ and $D \subseteq B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_q$.

Since $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ accepts M , the matrices $M_{B_l}^{A_i}$ are compact for every $1 \leq i \leq p, 1 \leq l \leq q$ and thus we have

$$\lim_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\sup_{k \in B_l} \sum_{n \in A_i, n > j} |m_{k,n}| \right) = 0.$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\sup_{k \in D} \sum_{n \in C, n > j} |m_{k,n}| \right) = 0,$$

so that M_D^C is compact. \square

3.3. Operators and their matrix representation. We explore how operators $T : C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}}) \rightarrow C_0(K_{\mathcal{B}})$ can be related to the matrix theory described in Subsection 3.2. We introduce the following analogue of [30, Definition 18].

Definition 3.16. Let K, L be scattered, locally compact Hausdorff spaces and $T : C_0(K) \rightarrow C_0(L)$ be an operator. Then the *reduced matrix* of T is the matrix $M_T^r = (m_{z,x})_{z \in L \setminus L', x \in K \setminus K'}$ given by $m_{z,x} = T^* \delta_z(\{x\})$ for $x \in K \setminus K', z \in L \setminus L'$, where recall that $K \setminus K'$ and $L \setminus L'$ denote the set of isolated points of K and L respectively.

Just as a continuous function is determined by its values on a dense set, an operator is characterised by its reduced matrix, in a sense that will be made precise in the following lemma, which is the counterpart of [30, Lemma 19] allowing for different domains and codomains.

Lemma 3.17. *Let K, L be infinite, scattered, locally compact Hausdorff spaces, and let $F = K \setminus K'$ and $G = L \setminus L'$ be the sets of isolated points. Suppose $T : C_0(K) \rightarrow C_0(L)$ is an operator. Then K' contains a set E of cardinality not bigger than the cardinality of G such that*

$$T(f)|_G = M_T^r(f|_F)$$

whenever $f \in C_0(K)$ and $f(y) = 0$ for every $y \in E$.

The previous lemma allows us to analyse T by focusing our attention on its reduced matrix M_T^r .

Lemma 3.18. *Suppose that $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subseteq [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$ are almost disjoint families and that $T : C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}}) \rightarrow C_0(K_{\mathcal{B}})$ is an operator.*

- (a) *There exists $\mathcal{A}' \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ countable such that for all $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{A}'$ and every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$T1_{U(A)}(z_k) = (M_T^r 1_A)(k).$$

Consequently, no $(A, B) \in (\mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{A}') \times \mathcal{B}$ rejects M_T^r .

- (b) *If there exist countable subsets $\mathcal{A}'' \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}'' \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ such that $(\mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{A}'', \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{B}'')$ admits M_T^r , then T factors through c_0 .*

Proof. (a) Since $G = K_{\mathcal{B}} \setminus K'_{\mathcal{B}} = \{z_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is countable, by Lemma 3.17 applied to $K = K_{\mathcal{A}}$, $L = K_{\mathcal{B}}$ there is a countable set $E \subseteq K' = \{y_A : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ such that $T(f)|_G = M_T^r(f|_E)$ whenever $f \in C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}})$ satisfies $f(y) = 0$ for every $y \in E$. Letting $\mathcal{A}' = \{A \in \mathcal{A} : y_A \in E\}$ the result follows.

It follows that for any $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{A}'$ and any $B \in \mathcal{B}$ we have

$$T(1_{U(A)})(y_B) = \lim_{k \in B} T(1_{U(A)})(z_k) = \lim_{k \in B} (M_T^r 1_A)(k),$$

and thus (A, B) does not reject M_T^r .

- (b) The hypothesis that $(\mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{A}'', \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{B}'')$ admits M_T^r implies that

$$0 = \lim_{k \in B} (M_T^r 1_A)(k) = \lim_{k \in B} (T1_{U(A)})(z_k) = T1_{U(A)}(y_B)$$

for every $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus (\mathcal{A}' \cup \mathcal{A}'')$ and $B \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{B}''$. Since the characteristic functions $1_{\{x_n\}}$ and $1_{U(A)}$ span a dense set of $C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}})$ it follows that

$$s(T[C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}})]) \subseteq \mathcal{B}'' \cup \left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} s(T1_{\{x_n\}}) \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}' \cup \mathcal{A}''} s(T1_{U(A)}) \right).$$

For each $f \in C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}})$ the set $s(Tf)$ is countable, thus it follows that $s(T[C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}})])$ is countable. By Lemma 3.3 $T[C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}})]$ is separable and therefore Lemma 3.4 gives that T factors through c_0 . \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

For the proof, we will need the following two key results from the construction of Koszmider and Laustsen.

Lemma 4.1 ([30, Lemma 30]). *There is an uncountable, almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$ such that the set*

$$\{1_A : A \in \mathcal{A}\} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$$

is closed with respect to the product topology.

Lemma 4.2 (A dichotomy for acceptance and rejection [30, Lemma 35]). *Suppose that $M \in \mathbb{M}$ and $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$ is an uncountable, almost disjoint family such that $\{1_A : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is a Borel subset of $2^{\mathbb{N}}$. Then one of the following holds:*

- (a) *either $\mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{A}'$ accepts $M - \lambda I$ for some countable $\mathcal{A}' \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$,*
 (b) *or there are pairwise disjoint sets $\{A_\xi, A'_\xi\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ and an infinite subset $B_\xi \subseteq A_\xi \cup A'_\xi$ such that B_ξ rejects M for every $\xi < \mathfrak{c}$.*

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We follow closely the proof of [30, Theorem 2], doing modifications when necessary.

- (1) Using Lemma 4.1, we fix an uncountable almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$ such that $\{1_A : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is a Borel subset of $2^\mathbb{N}$.
- (2) Let \mathbb{M}' be the set of all matrices $M \in \mathbb{M}$ such that there is no countable $\mathcal{A}_M \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ and no $\lambda_M \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $\mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{A}_M$ accepts $M - \lambda_M I$.
If $\mathbb{M}' = \emptyset$, define $\mathcal{B} = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A}$. Move directly to step (4). Otherwise let $\{(M_\xi, \varepsilon_\xi) : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ be an enumeration of $\mathbb{M}' \times \{0, 1\}$ with each element repeated continuum many times. Observe that for \mathcal{A} and for each M_ξ we are in alternative (b) of the dichotomy for acceptance and rejection, Lemma 4.2.
- (3) By transfinite recursion on $\xi < \mathfrak{c}$, construct $A_\xi, A'_\xi \in \mathcal{A}$, $B_\xi \in [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$ such that:
 - (a) $\{A_\eta, A'_\eta\} \cap \{A_\xi, A'_\xi\} = \emptyset$ for all $\eta < \xi$,
 - (b) $B_\xi \subseteq A_\xi \cup A'_\xi$,
 - (c) B_ξ rejects M_ξ .

Let $\mathcal{B} = \{B_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}, \varepsilon_\xi = 0\}$ and $\mathcal{C} = \{B_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}, \varepsilon_\xi = 1\}$. Observe that since \mathcal{A} is an almost disjoint family, so is $\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{C}$.

- (4) Consider a partition $\mathcal{C} = \bigsqcup_{\alpha < \mathfrak{c}} \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ with $|\mathcal{C}_\alpha| = \mathfrak{c}$ for each $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ and an injection $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}$, $t \mapsto \alpha_t$, which we can do since $|\mathcal{P}| \leq \mathfrak{c}$. For each $t \in \mathcal{P}$, define

$$\mathcal{B}_t = \mathcal{B} \cup \left(\bigcup_{s \leq t} \mathcal{C}_{\alpha_s} \right).$$

Observe that, for each $t \in \mathcal{P}$, $\mathcal{B}_t \subseteq [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$ is an almost disjoint family because $\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{C}$ is. Furthermore, for each $s, t \in \mathcal{P}$, $s \leq t$ implies that $\mathcal{B}_s \subseteq \mathcal{B}_t$. On the other hand if $s \not\leq t$, then $|\mathcal{B}_s \setminus \mathcal{B}_t| = \mathfrak{c}$ since $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha_s} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_s \setminus \mathcal{B}_t$.

- (5) We check that the collection $(\mathcal{A}_t)_{t \in \mathcal{P}} = (\mathcal{B}_t)_{t \in \mathcal{P}}$ satisfies the theorem. Thus, fix $s, t \in \mathcal{P}$ and an operator $T : C_0(K_{\mathcal{B}_s}) \rightarrow C_0(K_{\mathcal{B}_t})$.

We claim that the sets $\mathcal{B}'_t = \{B \in \mathcal{B}_t : B \text{ undermines } M_T^r\}$ and $\mathcal{B}'_s = \{B \in \mathcal{B}_s : B \text{ undermines } M_T^r\}$ are countable. For this, it is enough to show that for each fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the sets

$$V(n) = \{B \in \mathcal{B}_s : ((M_T^r 1_{\{n\}})(k))_{k \in B} \text{ does not converge to } 0\}$$

and

$$W(n) = \{B \in \mathcal{B}_t : ((M_T^r 1_{\{n\}})(k))_{k \in B} \text{ does not converge to } 0\}$$

are countable. Observe that $(M_T^r 1_{\{n\}})(k) = T 1_{\{x_n\}}(z_k)$ and thus for $B \in \mathcal{B}_t$ we get

$$\lim_{k \in B} (M_T^r 1_{\{n\}})(k) = \lim_{k \in B} T 1_{\{x_n\}}(z_k) = T 1_{\{x_n\}}(y_B).$$

Since $T 1_{\{x_n\}} \in C_0(K_{\mathcal{B}_t})$, it follows that $W(n)$ is countable.

We claim that $V(n) \subseteq W(n)$, and thus $V(n)$ is also countable. Let $B \in V(n)$, we will argue that $B \in \mathcal{B}_t$ and thus $B \in W(n)$. Indeed, notice that whenever $B \in \mathcal{B}_s$ and $B \notin \mathcal{B}_t$ then $\{B\} \cup \mathcal{B}_t$ is an almost disjoint family. Since $T 1_{\{x_n\}} \in C_0(K_{\mathcal{B}_t})$, Corollary 3.10 gives

$$0 = \lim_{k \in B} T 1_{\{x_n\}}(z_k) = \lim_{k \in B} (M_T^r 1_{\{n\}})(k),$$

so $B \notin V(n)$.

Observe that for each $M \in \mathbb{M}'$, there exist uncountably many $B \in \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_s \cap \mathcal{B}_t$ such that B rejects M . Thus, by Lemma 3.18 (a) we conclude that $M_T^r \notin \mathbb{M}'$. From the choices made in (2), it follows that we can find $\mathcal{A}_{M_T^r} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ countable and $\lambda_{M_T^r} \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $\mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{A}_{M_T^r}$ accepts $M_T^r - \lambda_{M_T^r} I$. For notational convenience, we will write \mathcal{A}_T for $\mathcal{A}_{M_T^r}$ and λ_T for $\lambda_{M_T^r}$.

Since all but countably many elements of \mathcal{B}_s and \mathcal{B}_t are in the union of finitely many elements of $\mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{A}_T$, the Monotonicity of Lemma 3.15 implies that we can find countable subsets $\mathcal{B}_s'' \subseteq \mathcal{B}_s$, $\mathcal{B}_t'' \subseteq \mathcal{B}_t$ such that both the family $\mathcal{B}_s \setminus (\mathcal{B}_s' \cup \mathcal{B}_s'')$ and the pair $(\mathcal{B}_s \setminus (\mathcal{B}_s' \cup \mathcal{B}_s''), \mathcal{B}_t \setminus (\mathcal{B}_t' \cup \mathcal{B}_t''))$ accept $M_T^r - \lambda_T I$.

Moreover, since no element of $(\mathcal{B}_s \setminus \mathcal{B}_s') \cup (\mathcal{B}_t \setminus \mathcal{B}_t')$ undermines M_T^r , they also do not undermine $M_T^r - \lambda_T I$. In particular, by Admission of Lemma 3.15, it follows that both the family $\mathcal{B}_s \setminus (\mathcal{B}_s' \cup \mathcal{B}_s'')$ and the pair $(\mathcal{B}_s \setminus (\mathcal{B}_s' \cup \mathcal{B}_s''), \mathcal{B}_t \setminus (\mathcal{B}_t' \cup \mathcal{B}_t''))$ admit $M_T^r - \lambda_T I$.

To finish the proof, we distinguish two cases: $s \leq t$ and $s \not\leq t$.

- (a) First, assume that $s \leq t$. By construction $\mathcal{B}_s \subseteq \mathcal{B}_t$ and thus, as in Remark 3.9, we have a canonical embedding $\iota_{s,t} : C_0(K_{\mathcal{B}_s}) \rightarrow C_0(K_{\mathcal{B}_t})$, and naturally $M_{\iota_{s,t}}^r = I$. It follows that the operator $S = T - \lambda_T \iota_{s,t}$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3.18 (b) and therefore factors through c_0 .
- (b) Assume that $s \not\leq t$. According to Lemma 3.18 (a) we can find a countable subset $\mathcal{B}_s''' \subseteq \mathcal{B}_s$ such that for any $B \in \mathcal{B}_s \setminus \mathcal{B}_s'''$ we have $T(1_{U(B)})(z_k) = (M_T^r 1_B)(k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Observe that \mathcal{B}_s' , \mathcal{B}_s'' and \mathcal{B}_s''' are countable, while the set $\mathcal{B}_s \setminus \mathcal{B}_t$ is uncountable. Therefore, we can find $B \in \mathcal{B}_s \setminus (\mathcal{B}_t \cup \mathcal{B}_s' \cup \mathcal{B}_s'' \cup \mathcal{B}_s''')$. Since $M_T^r - \lambda_T I$ admits $\mathcal{B}_s \setminus (\mathcal{B}_s' \cup \mathcal{B}_s'')$, we have

$$0 = \lim_{k \in B} ((M_T^r - \lambda_T I) 1_B)(k),$$

or equivalently

$$\lambda_T = \lim_{k \in B} (M_T^r 1_B)(k).$$

Since $B \notin \mathcal{B}_s'''$, it follows that

$$\lim_{k \in B} (T 1_{U(B)})(z_k) = \lim_{k \in B} (M_T^r 1_B)(k) = \lambda_T.$$

Since $B \notin \mathcal{B}_t$, $\{B\} \cup \mathcal{B}_t$ is an almost disjoint family and $T 1_{U(B)} \in C_0(K_{\mathcal{B}_t})$ an application of Corollary 3.10 gives

$$0 = \lim_{k \in B} (T 1_{U(B)})(z_k) = \lambda_T.$$

Finally, since $(\mathcal{B}_s \setminus (\mathcal{B}_s' \cup \mathcal{B}_s''), \mathcal{B}_t \setminus (\mathcal{B}_t' \cup \mathcal{B}_t''))$ admits $M_T^r - \lambda_T I = M_T^r$, it follows from Lemma 3.18 (b) that T factors through c_0 . This finishes the proof. \square

5. QUOTIENT ALGEBRAS AND OPERATOR IDEALS

We explore some consequences of Theorem 1.1 for the construction of $C(K)$ -spaces whose closed operators ideals can be classified. For convenience, we present our result in slightly more general terms. We will need the following definition.

Definition 5.1. Let \mathcal{P} be a partially ordered set. We say that a family $(X_t)_{t \in \mathcal{P}}$ of Banach spaces *has few operators relative to \mathcal{P}* if the following are satisfied for $s, t \in \mathcal{P}$:

- (a) X_t is not separable.
- (b) If $s \leq t$ then there exists an isometric embedding $\iota_{s,t} : X_s \rightarrow X_t$ so that every operator $T : X_s \rightarrow X_t$ is of the form $T = \lambda \iota_{s,t} + S$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ and S has separable range. Furthermore, for every $r \leq s \leq t$ we have $\iota_{s,t} \iota_{r,s} = \iota_{r,t}$.
- (c) If $s \not\leq t$, then every operator $T : X_s \rightarrow X_t$ has separable range.

Remark 5.2. We think of the spaces $(X_t)_{t \in \mathcal{P}}$ as being nested relative to the poset \mathcal{P} . Accordingly, whenever we write $X_s \subseteq X_t$ for $s \leq t$, we implicitly identify X_s with its image $\iota_{s,t}(X_s) \subseteq X_t$.

Remark 5.3. In case (c), that is, whenever $s \not\leq t$, we adopt the convention of defining $\iota_{s,t} = 0$ to maintain consistency in the notation.

According to Theorem 1.1, for any poset \mathcal{P} of size at most the continuum, we can always find a family of non-separable $C(K)$ -spaces which has few operators relative to \mathcal{P} . We recall that a poset \mathcal{P} is said to be *locally finite* if for any $s, t \in \mathcal{P}$ with $s \leq t$, the interval $[s, t] = \{r \in \mathcal{P} : s \leq r \leq t\}$ is finite.

Definition 5.4. Let (\mathcal{P}, \leq) be a locally finite partially ordered set. The *incidence algebra* of \mathcal{P} is the algebra

$$\mathbf{IA}(\mathcal{P}) = \{f : \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{K} : f(s, t) = 0 \text{ for } s, t \in \mathcal{P} \text{ with } s \not\leq t\},$$

with the sum of functions and scalar multiplication defined pointwise. The product $h = f \star g$ is defined via convolution

$$h(s, t) = \sum_{s \leq r \leq t} f(s, r)g(r, t).$$

Remark 5.5. For technical reasons, we will work with the incidence algebra on the poset with the opposite order \mathcal{P}^{op} . Observe that this algebra can be explicitly described in terms of the original order as

$$\mathbf{IA}(\mathcal{P}^{\text{op}}) = \{f : \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{K} : f(s, t) = 0 \text{ for } s, t \in \mathcal{P} \text{ with } t \not\leq s\},$$

and the product becomes

$$(f \star g)(s, t) = \sum_{t \leq r \leq s} f(s, r)g(r, t).$$

Incidence algebras were originally introduced by Rota [37] to provide a general framework for inversion-type formulas and have had found multiple applications since then. Of special interest in our case is the fact that there is a procedure to determine the (closed) ideals of incidence algebras; see [15, Section 3]. Examples of incidence algebras include, among others, the algebra of $n \times n$ upper-triangular matrices \mathbb{T}_n , which is induced by the linearly ordered set of n elements.

We would like to point out that incidence algebras naturally carry a topology, given by pointwise convergence of functions. For \mathcal{P} finite, this topology coincides

with the one induced by any norm. In this case, we will regard elements in $\mathbf{IA}(\mathcal{P})$ as operators on $(\mathbb{K}^{\mathcal{P}}, \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ and equip $\mathbf{IA}(\mathcal{P})$ with the operator norm. Specifically, $f \in \mathbf{IA}(\mathcal{P})$ acts on an element $v \in \mathbb{K}^{\mathcal{P}}$ by

$$(f \cdot v)(s) = \sum_{t \in \mathcal{P}} f(s, t)v(t).$$

5.1. Finite-dimensional incidence algebras. We shall now show how to create incidence algebras of finite posets as quotients of algebras of operators $\mathcal{B}(X)$ on a Banach space X . We have the following elementary observation.

Proposition 5.6. *Suppose that \mathcal{P} is a finite partially ordered set, $(X_t)_{t \in \mathcal{P}}$ is a family that has few operators relative to \mathcal{P} and $Y = \bigoplus_{t \in \mathcal{P}} X_t$. Then*

$$\{0\} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}(Y) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(Y) \xrightarrow{\theta} \mathbf{IA}(\mathcal{P}^{\text{op}}) \longrightarrow \{0\}$$

is a strongly split exact sequence, the right inverse ρ of θ is an isometry and $\|\theta\| = 1$.

Proof. For each $t \in \mathcal{P}$, let $\pi_t : Y \rightarrow X_t$ be the canonical projection onto X_t and $j_t : X_t \rightarrow Y$ be the canonical embedding into Y . Any operator $T : Y \rightarrow Y$ can be expressed as

$$T = \sum_{s, t \in \mathcal{P}} j_s T_{s, t} \pi_t, \quad \text{where } T_{s, t} = \pi_s T j_t : X_t \rightarrow X_s.$$

Since the family $(X_t)_{t \in \mathcal{P}}$ has few operators relative to \mathcal{P} , we have a unique decomposition $T_{s, t} = \lambda_{s, t}^T \iota_{t, s} + S_{s, t}^T$ where $S_{s, t}^T$ has separable range and $\lambda_{s, t}^T = 0$ whenever $t \not\leq s$. Define $S^T = \sum_{s, t \in \mathcal{P}} j_s S_{s, t}^T \pi_t$, which is an operator with separable range. We get

$$T = \sum_{s, t \in \mathcal{P}, t \leq s} j_s (\lambda_{s, t}^T \iota_{t, s}) \pi_t + \sum_{s, t \in \mathcal{P}} j_s S_{s, t}^T \pi_t = \sum_{s, t \in \mathcal{P}, t \leq s} j_s (\lambda_{s, t}^T \iota_{t, s}) \pi_t + S^T.$$

Therefore, we can define the map $\theta : \mathcal{B}(T) \rightarrow \mathbf{IA}(\mathcal{P}^{\text{op}})$ by $\theta(T)(s, t) = \lambda_{s, t}^T$. It is clear that θ is linear and $\ker \theta = \mathcal{X}(Y)$, we need to check that θ is multiplicative. Let $T, U \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$, we have

$$TU = \left(\sum_{s, q \in \mathcal{P}, q \leq s} j_s (\lambda_{s, q}^T \iota_{q, s}) \pi_q + S^T \right) \left(\sum_{r, t \in \mathcal{P}, t \leq r} j_r (\lambda_{r, t}^U \iota_{t, r}) \pi_t + S^U \right),$$

so that if we define the separable range operator $S^{T, U}$ by

$$S^{T, U} = S^T \left(\sum_{r, t \in \mathcal{P}, t \leq r} j_r (\lambda_{r, t}^U \iota_{t, r}) \pi_t \right) + \left(\sum_{s, q \in \mathcal{P}, q \leq s} j_s (\lambda_{s, q}^T \iota_{q, s}) \pi_q \right) S^U + S^T S^U,$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
TU &= \left(\sum_{s,q \in \mathcal{P}, q \leq s} \mathcal{I}_s(\lambda_{s,q}^T \iota_{q,s}) \pi_q \right) \left(\sum_{r,t \in \mathcal{P}, t \leq r} \mathcal{I}_r(\lambda_{r,t}^U \iota_{t,r}) \pi_t \right) + S^{T,U} \\
&= \left(\sum_{s,t \in \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{I}_s \left(\sum_{t \leq r \leq s} \lambda_{s,q}^T \lambda_{r,t}^U \right) \iota_{t,s} \pi_t \right) + S^{T,U} \\
&= \left(\sum_{s,t \in \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{I}_s(\theta(T) \star \theta(U))(s,t) \iota_{t,s} \pi_t \right) + S^{T,U},
\end{aligned}$$

and thus $\theta(TU) = \theta(T) \star \theta(U)$.

It is clear that the map

$$\rho : \mathbf{IA}(\mathcal{P}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(Y), \quad \rho(f) = \sum_{s,t \in \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{I}_s f(s,t) \iota_{t,s} \pi_t,$$

defines an algebra homomorphism which is a right inverse to θ . Since the finite direct sum $Y = \bigoplus_{t \in \mathcal{P}} X_t$ is taken with respect to the $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ -norm, elementary arguments—using the nested structure of $(X_t)_{t \in \mathcal{P}}$ according to the poset \mathcal{P} and the finiteness of \mathcal{P} —show that ρ is an isometry and that $\|\theta\| = 1$. \square

The choice of the ideal of separable-range operators is not necessary, since we are only dealing with finite sums. The same argument applies, for instance, if we consider the ideal of compact operators. This was implicitly done, in the case of a linearly ordered set with two elements, by Kania and Laustsen [27] to obtain the upper-triangular 2×2 matrices as a Calkin algebra. This naturally leads to the following question.

Question 5.7. Given a finite partially ordered set \mathcal{P} , is the incidence algebra $\mathbf{IA}(\mathcal{P})$ a Calkin algebra?

To answer this question, arguing as in Proposition 5.6, it would be enough to build, for each finite partially ordered set \mathcal{P} , a family of Argyros-Haydon-like spaces admitting very few operators relative to \mathcal{P} in the sense of Definition 5.1 (where we change separable range for compact operators whenever appropriate).

5.2. c_0 -Incidence algebras. We aim to expand the previous result to the case of infinite incidence algebras. For countable partially ordered sets, the definition of incidence algebra requires local finiteness of the poset \mathcal{P} so that the convolution product is well-defined. Unfortunately, even under the assumption of local finiteness of \mathcal{P} , the quotient $\mathcal{B}(X)/\mathcal{K}(X)$ will never be one of these algebras. We have the following stronger statement.

Proposition 5.8. *Let (\mathcal{P}, \leq) be a locally finite partially ordered set. Then the incidence algebra $\mathbf{IA}(\mathcal{P})$ is normable if and only if \mathcal{P} is finite.*

Proof. If \mathcal{P} is finite, then $\mathbf{IA}(\mathcal{P})$ is a subalgebra of a finite-dimensional matrix algebra, so any norm on the latter gives a norm on $\mathbf{IA}(\mathcal{P})$.

Suppose now that \mathcal{P} is infinite and let $\phi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ be an injection. Define the functions $f : \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ and $g_n : \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by

$$f(s,t) = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } s = t = \phi(n) \text{ for some } n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad g_n(s,t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s = t = \phi(n), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Clearly $f, g_n \in \mathbf{IA}(\mathcal{P})$, while $f \star g_n = ng_n$. Now the conclusion follows from [11, Proposition 2.1.3]. Indeed, suppose that $\|\cdot\|$ is a submultiplicative norm on $\mathbf{IA}(\mathcal{P})$, then

$$n \|g_n\| = \|ng_n\| = \|f \star g_n\| \leq \|f\| \|g_n\|,$$

that is, $n \leq \|f\|$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which gives the desired contradiction. \square

This section aims to introduce an alternative to incidence algebras that is better suited to our context and can be realised as quotients of algebras of operators.

In the remainder of this section, we fix a countably infinite partially ordered set (\mathcal{P}, \leq) . We will denote by $(e_t)_{t \in \mathcal{P}}$ the usual basis of $c_0(\mathcal{P})$ and $(e_t^*)_{t \in \mathcal{P}}$ the biorthogonal functionals.

Definition 5.9. The c_0 -incidence algebra $\mathbf{IA}(\mathcal{P}, c_0)$ is the subspace of $\mathcal{B}(c_0(\mathcal{P}))$ given by operators T satisfying $e_s^* T e_t = 0$ whenever $s \not\leq t$ for $s, t \in \mathcal{P}$.

Remark 5.10. We observe that, in principle, one can define E -incidence algebras similarly for any Banach space E with an unconditional basis. However, since such algebras are not well-suited to our purposes, we will not pursue this direction.

We begin by verifying that the above definition yields a Banach algebra.

Proposition 5.11. $\mathbf{IA}(\mathcal{P}, c_0)$ is a closed subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(c_0(\mathcal{P}))$.

Proof. From the definition, it is immediate that $\mathbf{IA}(\mathcal{P}, c_0)$ is a closed subspace. Thus, we only need to verify that it is closed under multiplication. For each $r \in \mathcal{P}$, let $e_r^* \otimes e_r$ denote the rank-one projection given by $(e_r^* \otimes e_r)(x) = e_r^*(x)e_r$ for $x \in c_0(\mathcal{P})$. Observe that $I = \sum_{r \in \mathcal{P}} e_r^* \otimes e_r$, with convergence in the strong operator topology. Let $S, T \in \mathbf{IA}(\mathcal{P}, c_0)$, and choose s, t such that $s \not\leq t$. Then

$$e_s^* S T e_t = e_s^* S \left(\sum_{r \in \mathcal{P}} e_r \otimes e_r^* \right) T e_t = \sum_{r \in \mathcal{P}} (e_s^* S e_r) (e_r^* T e_t) = 0,$$

since whenever $s \not\leq r$ we have $e_s^* S e_r = 0$, while when $s \leq r$ then $r \not\leq t$ since $s \not\leq t$, so that $e_r^* T e_t = 0$. \square

To each operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(c_0(\mathcal{P}))$ we can associate the infinite matrix $(\lambda_{s,t})_{s,t \in \mathcal{P}}$ by $\lambda_{s,t} = e_s^* T e_t$. We call this matrix the *scalar matrix* of T . Under this identification, $\mathbf{IA}(\mathcal{P}, c_0)$ is the subalgebra of operators on $c_0(\mathcal{P})$ whose matrix representation has zeros according to the order of \mathcal{P} .

Example 5.12. Let $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{N}$ with the usual order \leq . Then $\mathbf{IA}(\mathcal{P}, c_0)$ is the subalgebra of operators on c_0 that have upper-triangular matrix representation. Similarly, if we take $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{N}$ with the opposite order relation \geq , we get the algebra of operators that have lower-triangular matrix representation.

Our goal is to give an analogue of Proposition 5.6 for c_0 -incidence algebras. For technical reasons, it is useful to assume the existence of a superspace X_∞ that contains all members of the family, as well as a minimal space X_0 that is contained in all of them, so that $X_0 \subseteq X_t \subseteq X_\infty$ for all $t \in \mathcal{P}$. These considerations motivate the following definition.

Definition 5.13. We define the *bounded extension* of \mathcal{P} to be the poset (\mathcal{P}^*, \leq) where we adjoin two formal extra elements $\mathcal{P}^* = \mathcal{P} \cup \{0, \infty\}$ and declare $s \leq t$ whenever $t = \infty$, or $s = 0$ or $s, t \in \mathcal{P}$ and $s \leq t$.

Definition 5.14. Let $\Lambda = (\lambda_{s,t})_{s,t \in \mathcal{P}}$ be an infinite scalar matrix. We say that Λ has ℓ_1 -bounded rows if

$$\sup_{s \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{t \in \mathcal{P}} |\lambda_{s,t}| < \infty.$$

We say that Λ has c_0 -columns if for every $t \in \mathcal{P}$ we have $(\lambda_{s,t})_{s \in \mathcal{P}} \in c_0(\mathcal{P})$.

We recall the following well-known characterization of when an infinite scalar matrix $(\lambda_{s,t})_{s,t \in \mathcal{P}}$ defines an operator in $\mathcal{B}(c_0(\mathcal{P}))$, see for example [40, Section IV.6, Theorem 6.3].

Proposition 5.15. (a) Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(c_0(\mathcal{P}))$. Then $(\lambda_{s,t})_{s,t \in \mathcal{P}}$, the scalar matrix of T , has ℓ_1 -bounded rows and c_0 -columns. Moreover,

$$\|T\| = \sup_{s \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{t \in \mathcal{P}} |\lambda_{s,t}|.$$

(b) Let $\Lambda = (\lambda_{s,t})_{s,t \in \mathcal{P}}$ be an infinite scalar matrix having ℓ_1 -bounded rows and c_0 -columns. Then there exists an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(c_0(\mathcal{P}))$ with Λ as its scalar matrix.

If $(X_t)_{t \in \mathcal{P}}$ is a family of Banach spaces, we define

$$Y = \left(\bigoplus_{t \in \mathcal{P}} X_t \right)_{c_0} = \{(x_t)_{t \in \mathcal{P}} : x_t \in X_t \text{ for } t \in \mathcal{P} \text{ and } (\|x_t\|)_{t \in \mathcal{P}} \in c_0(\mathcal{P})\}.$$

Observe that any operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$ can be identified with an infinite matrix of operators $(T_{s,t})_{s,t \in \mathcal{P}}$ given by $T_{s,t} = \pi_s T j_t \in \mathcal{B}(X_t, X_s)$, where π_s is the canonical projection of Y onto X_s and j_t the canonical inclusion of X_t into Y .

Remark 5.16. As in the case of incidence algebras, for technical reasons, it will be convenient to work with the c_0 -incidence algebra in the opposite order. In terms of the original order, this means that the subalgebra of operators is defined by the condition $e_s^* T e_t = 0$ whenever $t \not\leq s$ for $s, t \in \mathcal{P}$. Note that, since the underlying set is unchanged, we have $c_0(\mathcal{P}) = c_0(\mathcal{P}^{\text{op}})$.

Lemma 5.17. Let $(X_t)_{t \in \mathcal{P}^*}$ be a family of Banach spaces with few operators relative to \mathcal{P}^* , and set $Y = \left(\bigoplus_{t \in \mathcal{P}} X_t \right)_{c_0}$. Then the map $\rho : \mathbf{IA}(\mathcal{P}^{\text{op}}, c_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(Y)$, sending an operator T with scalar matrix $\Lambda = (\lambda_{s,t})_{s,t \in \mathcal{P}}$ to the operator with matrix $(\lambda_{s,t} \iota_{t,s})_{s,t \in \mathcal{P}}$ defines an isometric homomorphism.

Proof. Since T is in $\mathbf{IA}(\mathcal{P}^{\text{op}}, c_0)$, $\lambda_{s,t} \neq 0$ implies that $t \leq s$. Now, whenever $t \leq s$ we have isometries $\iota_{t,s} : X_t \rightarrow X_s$ since $(X_t)_{t \in \mathcal{P}}$ has few operators relative to \mathcal{P} . This implies that the matrix $(\lambda_{s,t} \iota_{t,s})_{s,t \in \mathcal{P}}$ is well-defined. A straightforward computation, using that Λ has ℓ_1 -bounded rows and c_0 -columns, shows that $(\lambda_{s,t} \iota_{t,s})_{s,t \in \mathcal{P}}$ represents an operator $\rho(T) \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$. That ρ is an isometric homomorphism is elementary, and thus we omit the details. \square

We will need the following easy observations.

Lemma 5.18. Let X, Y be Banach spaces and $S_n : X \rightarrow Y$ be an operator with separable range for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $S_n \rightarrow S$ in the strong operator topology. Then S has separable range.

Proof. Let $Z = \overline{\text{span}}\{S_n[X] : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ which is separable. We claim that $S[X] \subseteq Z$, which will finish the proof. Let $y \in S[X]$ and choose $x \in X$ such that $Sx = y$. Since $S_n \rightarrow S$ in the strong operator topology, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n x = y$, and since $S_n x \in Z$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the result follows. \square

Corollary 5.19. *Let $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(Z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be sequences of Banach spaces, $Y = (\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n)_{c_0}$, $W = (\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Z_n)_{c_0}$ and $S = (S_{n,m})_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{B}(Y, W)$. Then S has separable range if and only if $S_{n,m}$ has separable range for each $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. One direction is elementary, so we prove the other one. Define $S_N = \sum_{n,m \leq N} \iota_n S_{n,m} \pi_m$, then $S_N \rightarrow S$ in the strong operator topology. An application of Lemma 5.18 gives the result. \square

We will also need the following elementary algebraic result.

Lemma 5.20. *Let \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} be algebras over \mathbb{K} , and let \mathcal{I} be an ideal of \mathcal{B} . Suppose that $\theta : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a linear map with $\ker \theta = \mathcal{I}$. If θ admits a multiplicative right inverse $\rho : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, then θ is multiplicative.*

Proof. Since ρ is a right inverse to θ , for any $b \in \mathcal{B}$ we have $b - \rho(\theta(b)) \in \ker \theta = \mathcal{I}$, so that every $b \in \mathcal{B}$ can be decomposed as $b = \rho(\theta(b)) + u$ for some $u \in \mathcal{I}$.

Let $b_1, b_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ and write $b_i = \rho(\theta(b_i)) + u_i$ for some $u_i \in \mathcal{I}$, $i = 1, 2$. Expanding the product,

$$b_1 b_2 = \rho(\theta(b_1))\rho(\theta(b_2)) + u_1 \rho(\theta(b_2)) + \rho(\theta(b_1))u_2 + u_1 u_2.$$

Since $\mathcal{I} = \ker \theta$ is an ideal the last three terms belong to $\ker \theta$, hence the linearity of θ implies

$$\theta(b_1 b_2) = \theta(\rho(\theta(b_1))\rho(\theta(b_2))).$$

Finally, since ρ is multiplicative and a right inverse of θ ,

$$\theta(\rho(\theta(b_1))\rho(\theta(b_2))) = \theta(\rho(\theta(b_1)\theta(b_2))) = \theta(b_1)\theta(b_2),$$

which shows that θ is multiplicative. \square

With the preceding preparations complete, we are ready to prove our next lemma.

Lemma 5.21. *Let $(X_t)_{t \in \mathcal{P}^*}$ be a family of Banach spaces with few operators relative to \mathcal{P}^* , and set $Y = (\bigoplus_{t \in \mathcal{P}} X_t)_{c_0}$. Then for any operator $T = (T_{s,t})_{s,t \in \mathcal{P}} \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$, the matrix $\Lambda = (\lambda_{s,t})_{s,t \in \mathcal{P}}$, where $T_{s,t} = \lambda_{s,t} \iota_{t,s} + S_{s,t}$ with $\lambda_{s,t} \in \mathbb{K}$ and $S_{s,t}$ has separable range, corresponds to an operator $\theta(T) \in \mathbf{IA}(\mathcal{P}^{\text{op}}, c_0)$.*

Moreover, the map

$$\theta : \mathcal{B}(Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{IA}(\mathcal{P}^{\text{op}}, c_0), \quad T \mapsto \theta(T)$$

is an algebra homomorphism with $\|\theta\| = 1$ and $\ker \theta = \mathcal{X}(Y)$.

Proof. We start by showing that Λ is the scalar matrix of an operator $\theta(T) \in \mathcal{B}(c_0(\mathcal{P}))$. Once this is done, it is immediate that $\theta(T) \in \mathbf{IA}(\mathcal{P}^{\text{op}}, c_0)$, since the family $(X_t)_{t \in \mathcal{P}}$ has few operators relative to \mathcal{P} .

According to Proposition 5.15 (b), we need to show that Λ has ℓ_1 -bounded rows and c_0 -columns. Let $Z = \overline{\text{span}}\{\iota_{s,\infty} \circ S_{s,t}[X_t] : s, t \in \mathcal{P}\}$, which is a separable closed subspace of X_∞ . Since $X_0 \subseteq X_\infty$ is non-separable, the Hahn-Banach theorem gives $\varphi \in B_{X_\infty}^*$, $y_0 \in B_{X_0}$ such that $\varphi(Z) = 0$ and $\varphi(y_0) = 1/2$. For each $t \in \mathcal{P}$, $X_t \subseteq X_\infty$ so we can naturally consider $\varphi \in B_{X_t}^*$ by restricting φ while $y_0 \in X_t$ since $X_0 \subseteq X_t$. For each $s, t \in \mathcal{P}$, let $\beta_{s,t}$ be a unimodular scalar such that $\beta_{s,t} \lambda_{s,t} = |\lambda_{s,t}|$.

We start by proving that Λ has c_0 -columns. Indeed, let $t \in \mathcal{P}$, and define $\hat{y} = j_t(y_0) \in B_Y$. It follows that

$$\varphi(\pi_s T \hat{y}) = \lambda_{s,t} \varphi(y_0) = \lambda_{s,t}/2$$

and since $T \hat{y} \in Y$, necessarily

$$|\lambda_{s,t}| = 2|\varphi(\pi_s T \hat{y})| \leq 2\|\pi_s T \hat{y}\| \rightarrow 0,$$

that is $\lambda_{s,t} \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$, so Λ has c_0 -columns.

We show now that Λ has ℓ_1 -bounded rows. For any $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ finite and each fixed $s \in \mathcal{P}$ define $\hat{y} \in B_Y$ by $\hat{y} = \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \beta_{s,t} j_t(y_0)$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\| &\geq \|\pi_s T \hat{y}\| = \left\| \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}} T_{s,t} \beta_{s,t} y_0 \right\| \geq \left| \varphi \left(\sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}} T_{s,t} \beta_{s,t} y_0 \right) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \lambda_{s,t} \beta_{s,t} \varphi(y_0) + \varphi(S_{s,t} \beta_{s,t} y_0) \right| = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}} |\lambda_{s,t}|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ is an arbitrary finite set, it follows that

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t \in \mathcal{P}} |\lambda_{s,t}| \leq \|T\|,$$

and since this holds for any $s \in \mathcal{P}$ we have

$$\sup_{s \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{t \in \mathcal{P}} |\lambda_{s,t}| \leq 2\|T\|,$$

which shows that Λ has ℓ_1 -bounded rows, so that $\theta(T) \in \mathbf{IA}(\mathcal{P}, c_0)$, as desired.

Linearity of the map θ defined this way is automatic. From the previous argument we have that the operator $\theta(T)$ represented by Λ satisfies

$$\|\theta(T)\| = \sup_{s \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{t \in \mathcal{P}} |\lambda_{s,t}| \leq 2\|T\|.$$

Furthermore note that, when choosing y_0 and φ , we could have chosen them so $\varphi(y_0) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$, for any prefixed $\varepsilon > 0$. That is $\|\theta(T)\| \leq (1 - \varepsilon)^{-1} \|T\|$, and since ε is arbitrary, this yields $\|\theta(T)\| \leq \|T\|$, in other words $\|\theta\| \leq 1$.

It is easy to see that $\theta \circ \rho$ acts as the identity map on $\mathbf{IA}(\mathcal{P}^{\text{op}}, c_0)$ and thus $\|\theta\| \geq 1$, so in fact $\|\theta\| = 1$. By Corollary 5.19 we have that $\ker \theta = \mathcal{X}(Y)$ and ρ is multiplicative by Lemma 5.17. Therefore, Lemma 5.20 implies that θ is multiplicative and thus a homomorphism. This finishes the proof. \square

We can now give an analogue to Proposition 5.6 for the countable case; the proof is automatic, combining Lemmas 5.17 and 5.21.

Proposition 5.22. *Let $(X_t)_{t \in \mathcal{P}^*}$ be a family of Banach spaces with few operators relative to \mathcal{P}^* , and set $Y = \left(\bigoplus_{t \in \mathcal{P}} X_t\right)_{c_0}$. Then*

$$\{0\} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}(Y) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(Y) \xrightarrow{\theta} \mathbf{IA}(\mathcal{P}^{\text{op}}, c_0) \longrightarrow \{0\},$$

is a strongly split exact, the continuous right inverse ρ is an isometry and $\|\theta\| = 1$.

Remark 5.23. The previous proposition, together with Theorem 1.1, enables the construction of many subalgebras of the infinite-dimensional triangular matrices as quotients of $\mathcal{B}(X)$, thereby providing a partial answer to [31, Open Problems (i)]. It also yields algebras of upper and lower-triangular matrices as quotients of $\mathcal{B}(X)$ for

suitable Banach spaces X , offering alternative solutions to [31, Open Problems (iv)], distinct from the one obtained in [12].

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4.

We are finally ready to give the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let $(\mathcal{C}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$ be a sequence of algebras. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we construct a partially ordered set \mathcal{P}_n , and throughout we assume that the sets $(\mathcal{P}_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ are constructed to be pairwise disjoint.

- (i) If $\mathcal{C}_n = \mathbb{M}_{N_n}$ for some $N_n \in \mathbb{N}$, or $\mathcal{C}_n = \mathcal{B}(c_0)$, we let \mathcal{P}_n be the trivial poset (a singleton).
- (ii) If $\mathcal{C}_n = \mathbf{IA}(\mathcal{Q}_n)$ for some finite partially ordered set \mathcal{Q}_n , or $\mathcal{C}_n = \mathbf{IA}(\mathcal{Q}_n, c_0)$ for some countable partially ordered set \mathcal{Q}_n , we let $\mathcal{P}_n = \mathcal{Q}_n^{\text{op}}$.

Define \mathcal{P} to be the poset whose underlying set is $(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}_n)^*$, with order relation

$$s \leq t \iff \text{there exists } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } s, t \in \mathcal{P}_n \text{ and } s \leq_{\mathcal{P}_n} t.$$

Since the family $(\mathcal{P}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is pairwise disjoint, elements belonging to different \mathcal{P}_n are automatically incomparable.

By Theorem 1.1, we can find almost disjoint families $(\mathcal{A}_p)_{p \in \mathcal{P}}$ such that $(C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}_p}))_{p \in \mathcal{P}}$ has few operators relative to \mathcal{P} .

In the case where \mathcal{P}_n is a singleton, we denote by \mathcal{A}_n the almost disjoint family associated to it in the previous construction. If \mathcal{P}_n is a poset with more than one element, its associated almost disjoint families are $(\mathcal{A}_t)_{t \in \mathcal{P}_n}$.

Using this, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we will construct a Banach space Y_n , so that $Y = (\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Y_n)_{c_0}$ will have the desired form; again, we do this construction depending on the form of \mathcal{C}_n .

- (i) If $\mathcal{C}_n = \mathbb{M}_{N_n}$ for some $N_n \in \mathbb{N}$, we let $Y_n = C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}_n})^{N_n}$.
- (ii) If $\mathcal{C}_n = \mathbf{IA}(\mathcal{Q}_n)$ for some finite partially ordered set \mathcal{Q}_n we let $Y_n = \bigoplus_{t \in \mathcal{P}_n} C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}_t})$.
- (iii) If $\mathcal{C}_n = \mathbf{IA}(\mathcal{Q}_n, c_0)$ for some countable partially ordered set \mathcal{Q}_n we let $Y_n = (\bigoplus_{t \in \mathcal{P}_n} C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}_t}))_{c_0}$.
- (iv) If $\mathcal{C}_n = \mathcal{B}(c_0)$, we let

$$Y_n = (C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}_n}) \oplus C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}_n}) \oplus \dots)_{c_0} = c_0(C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}_n})) = C_0(\omega \times K_{\mathcal{A}_n}).$$

It follows that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have a strongly split exact sequence

$$\{0\} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}(Y_n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(Y_n) \xrightarrow{\theta_n} \mathcal{C}_n \longrightarrow \{0\} \quad (1)$$

with $\|\theta_n\| = 1$ and isometric right inverse ρ_n . Indeed, this is immediate for (i); for (ii), it follows from Proposition 5.6; for (iii), it follows from Proposition 5.22; and for (iv), it follows from [3, Remark 1.7] (alternatively, by arguing as in the c_0 -incidence algebra case).

Let $Y = (\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Y_n)_{c_0}$, so that by Lemma 3.5, $Y \cong C_0(K_{\mathcal{A}})$ for some almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\mathbb{N}]^\omega$. We claim that \mathcal{A} satisfies Theorem 1.4.

Recall that any operator $T : Y \rightarrow Y$ can be naturally identified with an infinite matrix of operators $(T_{n,m})_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}}$ where $T_{n,m} \in \mathcal{B}(Y_m, Y_n)$. Elementary arguments show that the map $\rho : \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(Y)$, defined by mapping $a =$

$(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}_n$ to the operator $\rho(a)$ given by the infinite diagonal matrix $(\delta_{n,m} \rho_n(a_n))_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a well-defined isometric homomorphism.

Let $T : Y \rightarrow Y$ with $T = (T_{n,m})_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}}$. By (1), it follows that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $T_{n,n} = \rho_n(\theta_n(T_{n,n})) + S_{n,n}$ where $S_{n,n}$ has separable range. Moreover, from the construction and Corollary 5.19, $T_{n,m}$ has separable range whenever $n \neq m$. Observe that the sequence $(\theta_n(T_{n,n}))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly bounded since both θ_n and $T_{n,n}$ are; thus $(\theta_n(T_{n,n}))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}_n$.

It is clear that the map $\theta : \mathcal{B}(Y) \rightarrow \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}_n$, $T \mapsto (\theta_n(T_{n,n}))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a bounded linear map with $\|\theta\| \leq 1$. Since ρ is a right inverse to θ , it follows that $\|\theta\| = 1$. Moreover, from the previous discussion and Corollary 5.19, we have $\ker \theta = \mathcal{K}(Y)$. By Lemma 5.20, it follows that θ is multiplicative and thus an algebra homomorphism. This gives the desired strongly split exact sequence, which completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgements. This paper forms part of the author's PhD research at Lancaster University, conducted under the supervision of Professor N. J. Laustsen. The author is deeply grateful to Professor Laustsen for his insightful comments and valuable suggestions, which significantly improved the presentation of the manuscript. He also wishes to thank both Professor Laustsen and Dr. Horváth for kindly explaining the ideas behind Lemma 3.6, and for granting permission to include it in this work.

He also acknowledges with thanks the funding from the EPSRC (grant number EP/W524438/1) that has supported his studies.

Data availability. No data was used for the research described in the article.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. ACUAVIVA, Factorizations and minimality of the Calkin Algebra norm for $C(K)$ -spaces, *J. London Math. Soc.* **112** (2025), no. 4, e70321.
- [2] A. ACUAVIVA, The class of Banach lattices is not primary, *arXiv preprint* arXiv:2508.21018 (2025).
- [3] A. ACUAVIVA, Operators on injective tensor products of separable Banach spaces and spaces with few operators, *J. Funct. Anal.* **290** (2026), no. 1, 111203.
- [4] D. ALSPACH, $C(K)$ norming subsets of $C[0, 1]$, *Studia Math.* **70** (1981), no. 1, 27–61.
- [5] D. ALSPACH and Y. BENYAMINI, Primariness of spaces of continuous functions on ordinals, *Israel J. Math.* **27** (1977), 64–92.
- [6] S. A. ARGYROS and R. G. HAYDON, A hereditarily indecomposable \mathcal{L}_∞ -space that solves the scalar-plus-compact problem, *Acta Math.* **206** (2011), 1–54.
- [7] S. A. ARGYROS and A. TOLIAS, Methods in the theory of hereditarily indecomposable Banach spaces, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **170** (2004).
- [8] C. BESSAGA and A. PELCZYŃSKI, Spaces of continuous functions (IV). (On isomorphical classification of spaces of continuous functions), *Studia Math.* **19** (1960), no. 1, 53–62.
- [9] P. A. H. BROOKER, Asplund operators and the Szlenk index, *J. Operator Theory* **68** (2012), 405–442.
- [10] H. G. DALES, A discontinuous homomorphism from $C(X)$, *Amer. J. Math.* **101** (1979), no. 3, 647–734.
- [11] H. G. DALES, *Banach algebras and automatic continuity*, Oxford University Press, 2001.
- [12] H. G. DALES, R. J. LOY, and G. A. WILLIS, Homomorphisms and derivations from $B(E)$, *J. Funct. Anal.* **120** (1994), no. 1, 201–219.
- [13] M. DAWS, Closed ideals in the Banach algebra of operators on classical non-separable spaces, *Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.* **140** (2006), no. 2, 317–332.
- [14] D. DOSEV and W. B. JOHNSON, Commutators on ℓ_∞ , *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **42** (2010), 155–169.

- [15] P. DOUBILET, G. C. ROTA, and R. STANLEY, On the foundations of combinatorial theory. VI. The idea of generating function, in *Sixth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability*, vol. 2, Univ. of California Press (1972), 267–318.
- [16] J. ESTERLE, Injection de semi-groupes divisibles dans des algèbres de convolution et construction d'homomorphismes discontinus de $C(K)$, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **36** (1978), no. 1, 59–85.
- [17] I. A. FELDMAN, I. C. GOHBERG, and A. S. MARKUS, Normally solvable operators and ideals associated with them, *Izv. Moldavsk. Fil. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **10** (no. 76) (1960), 51–69 (Russian).
- [18] W. T. GOWERS and B. MAUREY, The unconditional basic sequence problem, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **6** (1993), 851–874.
- [19] S. P. GUL'KO and A. V. OS'KIN, Isomorphic classification of spaces of continuous functions on totally ordered compact sets, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **9** (1975), no. 1, 56–57.
- [20] F. HERNÁNDEZ-HERNÁNDEZ and M. HRUŠÁK, Topology of Mrówka–Isbell spaces, in *Pseudocompact Topological Spaces: A Survey of Classic and New Results with Open Problems*, Springer, (2018), 253–289.
- [21] D. DE HEVIA, G. MARTÍNEZ-CERVANTES, A. SALGUERO-ALARCÓN, and P. TRADACETE, A negative solution to the complemented subspace problem in Banach lattices, *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.02196* (2025).
- [22] M. HRUSAK, Almost disjoint families and topology, *Recent Progress in General Topology. III*, Paris, France: Atlantis Press, (2014), 601–638.
- [23] W. B. JOHNSON, T. KANIA, and G. SCHECHTMAN, Closed ideals of operators on and complemented subspaces of Banach spaces of functions with countable support, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **144** (2016), no. 10, 4471–4485.
- [24] W. B. JOHNSON and J. LINDENSTRAUSS, Some remarks on weakly compactly generated Banach spaces, *Israel J. Math.* **17** (1974), 219–230.
- [25] T. KANIA and T. KOCHANÉK, The ideal of weakly compactly generated operators acting on a Banach space, *J. Operator Theory* **71** (2014), 455–477.
- [26] T. KANIA and N. J. LAUSTSEN, Uniqueness of the maximal ideal of the Banach algebra of bounded operators on $C([0, \omega_1])$, *J. Funct. Anal.* **262** (2012), no. 11, 4831–4850.
- [27] T. KANIA and N. J. LAUSTSEN, Ideal structure of the algebra of bounded operators acting on a Banach space, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, (2017), 1019–1043.
- [28] S. V. KISLYAKOV, Classification of spaces of continuous functions of ordinals, *Sib. Math. J.* **16** (1975), no. 2, 226–231.
- [29] P. KOSZMIDER, On decompositions of Banach spaces of continuous functions on Mrówka's spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **133** (2005), 2137–2146.
- [30] P. KOSZMIDER and N. J. LAUSTSEN, A Banach space induced by an almost disjoint family, admitting only few operators and decompositions, *Adv. Math.* **381** (2021), 107613.
- [31] R. J. LOY and G. A. WILLIS, Continuity of derivations on $\mathcal{B}(E)$ for certain Banach spaces E , *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **2** (1989), no. 2, 327–346.
- [32] B. MAUREY, Banach spaces with few operators, in *Handbook of the Geometry of Banach Spaces*, Vol. 2, ed. by W. B. JOHNSON and J. LINDENSTRAUSS, Elsevier, 2003, pp. 1247–1297.
- [33] A. A. MILUTIN, Isomorphisms of spaces of continuous functions on compacts of power continuum, *Teoria Funk. Kharkov* **2** (1966), 150–156 (Russian).
- [34] G. PLEBANÉK and A. SALGUERO-ALARCÓN, The complemented subspace problem for $C(K)$ -spaces: A counterexample, *Adv. Math.* **426** (2023), 109103.
- [35] A. PEŁCZYŃSKI, On $C(S)$ -subspaces of separable Banach spaces, *Studia Math.* **31** (1968), 513–522.
- [36] H. P. ROSENTHAL, On factors of $C([0, 1])$ with non-separable dual, *Israel J. Math.* **13** (1972), 361–378.
- [37] G. C. ROTA, On the foundations of combinatorial theory: I. Theory of Möbius functions, in *Classic Papers in Combinatorics* (1964), 332–360.
- [38] S. SHELAH, A Banach space with few operators, *Israel J. Math.* **30** (1978), 181–191.
- [39] S. SHELAH and J. STEPRÁNS, A Banach space on which there are few operators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **104** (1988), 101–105.
- [40] A. E. TAYLOR and D. C. LAY, *Introduction to Functional Analysis*, 2nd ed., John Wiley & Sons, 1980.

- [41] H. M. WARK, A non-separable reflexive Banach space on which there are few operators, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **64** (2001), 675–689.
- [42] H. M. WARK, A non-separable uniformly convex Banach space on which there are few operators, *Studia Math.* **241** (2018), 241–256.
- [43] J. WOLFE, $C(\alpha)$ preserving operators on $C(K)$ spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **273** (1982), 705–719.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FYLDE COLLEGE, LANCASTER UNIVERSITY, LA1 4YF,
UNITED KINGDOM

Email address: `ahacua@gmail.com`