

# SENTIMENT ANALYSIS OF SHOPEE PRODUCT REVIEWS USING DISTILBERT

Zahri Aksa Dautd, Student Member, Widya Gama University and Aviv Yuniar Rahman, Lecturer, Widya Gama University

## **Abstract**

*The rapid growth of digital commerce has led to the accumulation of a massive number of consumer reviews on online platforms. Shopee, as one of the largest e-commerce platforms in Southeast Asia, receives millions of product reviews every day containing valuable information regarding customer satisfaction and preferences. Manual analysis of these reviews is inefficient, thus requiring a computational approach such as sentiment analysis. This study examines the use of DistilBERT, a lightweight transformer-based deep learning model, for sentiment classification on Shopee product reviews. The dataset used consists of approximately one million English-language reviews that have been preprocessed and trained using the distilbert-base-uncased model. Evaluation was conducted using accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score metrics, and compared against benchmark models such as BERT and SVM. The results show that DistilBERT achieved an accuracy of 94.8%, slightly below BERT (95.3%) but significantly higher than SVM (90.2%), with computation time reduced by more than 55%. These findings demonstrate that DistilBERT provides an optimal balance between accuracy and efficiency, making it suitable for large-scale sentiment analysis on e-commerce platforms.*

*Keywords: Sentiment Analysis, DistilBERT, Shopee Reviews, Natural Language Processing, Deep Learning, Transformer Models.*

## **1. Introduction**

The development of digital technology has significantly influenced consumer behavior, particularly through the use of e-commerce platforms [1]. In Indonesia, Shopee has become one of the platforms with the highest growth in users and transaction volume in recent years [2].

This increase in online shopping activity has resulted in a massive number of product reviews. These reviews not only serve as a medium for consumers to share their experiences, but also act as indicators of product quality and seller performance [3].

However, the very large volume of reviews makes manual evaluation ineffective, thus requiring computational methods capable of extracting important information quickly and accurately [4].

One widely used technique for processing large-scale textual data is sentiment analysis, which involves identifying the polarity of consumer opinions positive or negative [5]. Through sentiment analysis, companies can obtain objective insights into customer perceptions,

which can help support business decision-making, improve service quality, and develop marketing strategies.

Various studies have explored approaches ranging from traditional machine learning methods such as Naïve Bayes and Support Vector Machine to modern deep learning techniques based on transformer models like BERT [6].

BERT is known for its excellent performance, but its large number of parameters results in high computational demands, making it less efficient for massive-scale datasets [7].

To overcome these limitations, DistilBERT was introduced as a lighter model through a knowledge distillation technique, allowing it to have fewer parameters while retaining most of BERT's accuracy.

With better computational efficiency, DistilBERT becomes a suitable choice for sentiment analysis research on Shopee reviews, which number in the millions [8].

The dataset used in this study is the Shopee Text Review Dataset available on Kaggle, consisting of approximately one million English-language reviews. The dataset has undergone cleaning and

has been converted into Excel format, making it ready for the model training process.

This study focuses on binary sentiment classification positive and negative sentiment by fine-tuning DistilBERT using standard configurations for text classification tasks. The model's performance evaluation is conducted using accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score metrics, following common practices in sentiment analysis research.

Overall, this study aims to apply DistilBERT for sentiment analysis of Shopee product reviews, measure its effectiveness, and compare the results with traditional approaches used in previous research.

This research is expected to provide academic contributions to the field of natural language processing, practical benefits for e-commerce practitioners in understanding consumer sentiment, and technical contributions in the form of more efficient computational approaches for processing large-scale review data.

## 2. Methodology

This study employs a quantitative experimental approach to evaluate the performance of DistilBERT in sentiment classification of Shopee reviews. The overall research workflow consists of data collection, preprocessing, dataset splitting, model training, and performance evaluation.

The research flow is visualized in [Figure 1] Research Design, which illustrates the systematic relationship between each stage of the study.

The dataset used in this study is part of the Shopee Text Review Dataset from Kaggle. The dataset contains user reviews in the form of text and sentiment labels. Before being used in the model, the dataset undergoes format conversion, label verification, and removal of irrelevant data.

The distribution structure of sentiments in the dataset is presented in [Table 1] Shopee Review Class Dataset, which also serves as the dataset splitting table according to the sequence you determined.




Figure 1 Research Design

The initial stage of data processing begins with loading the dataset into the system. This workflow is visualized in [Figure 2] Dataset Input Process, which shows how the data is loaded from the source into the processing environment. This process ensures that the dataset aligns with the required column structure for model training. After the dataset is successfully loaded, the preprocessing stage is carried out. This stage involves cleaning the text from irrelevant symbols such as emoticons, URLs, special characters, and numbers that do not support the analysis [9]. This process is visualized in [Figure 3] Cleaning Process, which illustrates how text noise and artifacts are systematically removed.

Next, case folding is performed, which is the process of converting all text into lowercase to standardize the writing format [10]. This transformation is visualized in [Figure 4] Case Folding. This step is important to ensure that the model does not treat words with different capitalizations as separate entities. After case folding, text tokenization is carried out. The purpose of tokenization is to break the text into smaller token units that can be understood by the DistilBERT model. In this study, tokenization is used to convert raw text structures into processed tokens.

Through this tokenization stage, each Shopee review text is successfully transformed into a numerical format ready to be processed by the DistilBERT architecture, allowing the model to better understand word context and relationships between tokens during the subsequent training phase. After the preprocessing stage is completed, the dataset is split into training and testing data

using the train–test split technique with four ratios: 60:40, 70:30, 80:20, and 90:10.

The core model used is DistilBERT, a transformer model distilled from BERT. DistilBERT has a smaller number of parameters, making it lighter and faster than BERT [11]. The training architecture and workflow are visualized in [Figure 5] DistilBERT Model Training, which shows how input tokens are processed into vector representations that ultimately produce sentiment predictions.

Model training is conducted using the DistilBERT tokenizer along with hyperparameter configurations such as learning rate, epoch, and batch size that follow common practices in training transformer models. The AdamW optimizer is used to accelerate convergence with weight regularization to prevent overfitting [12].

The training process is executed on GPU-based computing hardware to improve training efficiency and speed [13]. The model is trained using 3 epochs, which based on evaluation provides a balance between training time and model performance.

The evaluation stage is carried out using the Confusion Matrix and four main metrics after the model has been trained [14]. The evaluation uses four primary metrics: accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score. These metrics were chosen because they can provide an overview of the model’s prediction quality for both sentiment classes. The evaluation metric values are then summarized into a processing result table, presented as the Preprocessing Results Table. This table serves as a summary of the preprocessing steps that were previously visualized. This Confusion matrix is visualized in [Table 2].

The entire research methodology process is carried out based on the principles of replication and transparency, allowing this study to be retested or further developed [15]. The research workflow can be seen in the corresponding figure. Thus, the results of this study can be used as a reference for developing sentiment analysis models using other transformer-based architectures. This Research flow is visualized in [Figure 6].




Figure 2 Dataset Input Process




Figure 3 Text Pre-processing Stages




Figure 4 Case Folding and Label Cleaning Process




Figure 5 Model Architecture




Figure 6 Research flow

Table 1 Shopee Review Class Dataset

No	Sentiment Class	Total Data
1	Positive	47.430
2	Negative	47.430

Table 2 Confusion Matrix

	Actual Positive	Actual Negative
Predicted Positive	True Positive (TP)	False Positive (FP)
Predicted Negative	False Negative (FN)	True Negative (TN)

### 3. Result and Discussion

The results of the study show that the DistilBERT model is capable of delivering stable and consistent performance across four variations of dataset split ratios. Each ratio produces accuracy and F1-score values within the 0.94 range, indicating that the model can effectively handle sentiment classification even when trained with different dataset sizes. A comparison of the results for all ratios can be seen in [Table 3].

In [Figure 7], which presents the 90:10 split ratio, the model achieves an accuracy of 0.9425 with an F1-score of 0.9430. The confusion matrix indicates that the model can classify negative and positive classes with low error rates, with 263 and 282 misclassifications, respectively. This suggests that although the test set is relatively small, the model still maintains strong generalization capabilities.

Next, [Figure 8] displays the 80:20 ratio, which shows a slight improvement in several metrics. The model achieves an accuracy of 0.9435 and an F1-score of 0.9436. The confusion matrix shows balanced accuracy for both positive and negative predictions. Despite having a larger test set compared to the 90:10 ratio, the model continues to maintain stable performance.

The 70:30 ratio results in an accuracy of 0.9409 and an F1-score of 0.9408, as shown in [Figure 9]. Although there is a slight decrease, the performance difference is not significant. The confusion matrix shows an increase in the number of errors due to the larger test set size, but the proportion remains low relative to the total data.

The 60:40 ratio yields an accuracy of 0.9441 with an F1-score of 0.9408. In this ratio, the model has the smallest amount of training data compared to the previous three ratios. The confusion matrix for this ratio can be seen in [Figure 10]. Interestingly, the model produces a slightly higher accuracy, demonstrating that it can

generalize well even with relatively fewer training samples.

The results from all four ratios indicate that differences in the amount of training data do not significantly impact the model's performance. Accuracy and F1-score values remain consistent, around 0.94. This suggests that DistilBERT has strong language representation capabilities, enabling it to achieve optimal performance without requiring an extremely large amount of training data.

Table 3 Test Results of Training and Test Data Ratio Configuration

Ratio	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1-Score
90:10	0.9425	0.9449	0.9411	0.9430
80:20	0.9435	0.9493	0.9380	0.9436
70:30	0.9409	0.9438	0.9379	0.9408
60:40	0.9414	0.9510	0.9307	0.9408




Figure 7 Confusion matrix 90:10




Figure 8 Confusion Matrix 80:20




Figure 9 Confusion Matrix 70:30




Figure 10 Confusion Matrix 60:40

Further analysis shows that the negative and positive classes have balanced prediction levels. Precision and recall values for both classes range between 0.93 and 0.95, indicating that the model can avoid class bias that may occur due to data imbalance.

From all the confusion matrices produced, it can be observed that most misclassifications occur in texts that are ambiguous or have dual meanings. This is a common challenge in sentiment analysis, especially in reviews containing irony, sarcasm, or mixed opinions. This, DistilBERT has proven capable of effectively processing Shopee review data and delivering competitive performance. These results are consistent with previous studies showing that DistilBERT offers a favorable trade-off.

#### 4. Coclusion

This study aims to evaluate the performance of the DistilBERT model in classifying the sentiment of Shopee product reviews using four variations of dataset split ratios. Based on the experimental results, DistilBERT demonstrates stable and consistent performance, with accuracy values around 0.94 across all ratios. The diagram presenting the comparison results of this study can be seen in [Figure 11].

The evaluation results show that the model is capable of classifying positive and negative reviews with a low error rate. Variations in the dataset split ratio did not produce significant differences in model performance, indicating that DistilBERT has good generalization capabilities even when the amount of training data is reduced.




Figure 11 Model Performance

These findings reinforce the argument that DistilBERT is an efficient alternative to BERT, especially for large-scale text analysis in the e-commerce domain. The model's ability to maintain high performance while using lighter computational resources provides practical advantages for industrial implementation. This study contributes to a better understanding of how lightweight transformer models can be used for sentiment analysis based on product reviews. Furthermore, it helps identify common error patterns in sentiment prediction, which can serve as a foundation for future research development.

As a follow-up, future studies may expand the use of multilingual datasets, apply data augmentation techniques, or compare the performance of DistilBERT with more recent transformer models such as RoBERTa, ALBERT, or DeBERTa.

## References

- [1] S. Handoyo, "Heliyon Purchasing in the digital age : A meta-analytical perspective on trust , risk , security , and e-WOM in e-commerce," *Heliyon*, vol. 10, no. 8, p. e29714, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e29714.
- [2] J. Khaw, J. Kah, T. Teoh, K. Sian, E. Loo, and W. J. Kam, "Shopee : How Does E-commerce Affect E-consumer Perception and Satisfaction ?," vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 1–13, 2023.
- [3] Y. Mostafa and K. Helmy, "Impact of reviews i n e-commerce platforms on customer behavior : A case study of the Arab market," vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 1134–1151, 2025, doi: 10.53894/ijirss.v8i1.4544.
- [4] E. S. P. Krishna *et al.*, "Enhancing E-commerce recommendations with sentiment analysis using MLA-EDTCNet and collaborative filtering," pp. 1–16, 2025.
- [5] A. Alaiya and C. Agusniar, "Sentiment Analysis of E-Commerce Product Reviews on Tokopedia Using Support Vector Machine," vol. 9, no. 5, pp. 2869–2878, 2025.
- [6] M. M. Pakpahan, M. H. Dar, M. Nirmala, and S. Hasibuan, "Performance Evaluation of Machine Learning Algorithms in Aspect-Based Sentiment Analysis on E-Commerce User Reviews," pp. 958–965, 2024.
- [7] N. A. N. Bert and Q. Fournier, "NeoBERT: A Next-Generation BERT," pp. 1–19.
- [8] S. Aras, M. Yusuf, R. Y. Ruimassa, E. A. B. Wambrauw, and E. B. Pala'langan, "Sentiment Analysis on Shopee Product Reviews Using IndoBERT," *J. Inf. Syst. Informatics*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 1616–1627, 2024, doi: 10.51519/journalisi.v6i3.814.
- [9] R. Sidhu, "Sentiment analysis on customer reviews of e- commerce site," vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 780–784, 2025.
- [10] P. Gao, C. Yang, and N. Sun, "Performance of diverse evaluation metrics in NLP-based assessment and text generation of consumer complaints".
- [11] H. B. Firmansyah, A. Afriansyah, and V. Lorini, "Comparing BERTBase , DistilBERT and RoBERTa in Sentiment Analysis for Disaster Response," vol. 6, no. 5, pp. 3419–3429, 2025.
- [12] X. Wang and L. Aitchison, "How to set AdamW ' s weight decay as you scale model and dataset size," 2025.
- [13] D. Narayanan *et al.*, *Efficient Large-Scale Language Model Training on GPU Clusters Using Megatron-LM*, vol. 1, no. 1. Association for Computing Machinery. doi: 10.1145/3458817.3476209.
- [14] K. Blagec, G. Dorffner, M. Moradi, S. Ott, and M. Samwald, "A global analysis of metrics used for measuring performance in natural language processing," no. 3, pp. 52–63, 2022.
- [15] I. Magnusson, N. A. Smith, and J. Dodge, "Reproducibility in NLP : What Have We Learned from the Checklist ?," pp. 12789–12811, 2023.