

# AN ALGORITHM TO COMPUTE UPPER BOUNDS OF DIMENSIONS FOR SIEGEL MODULAR FORMS OF PRIME LEVEL AND ARBITRARY NEBENTYPUS

DEBARGHA BANERJEE, DRON AIRON, PRANJAL VISHWAKARMA, AND RONIT DEBNATH

**ABSTRACT.** We describe an algorithmic method to determine the image of restriction maps for Siegel modular forms with *arbitrary* characters and arbitrary weight. A program has been implemented in the mathematical software `Java` to compute the Fourier expansion of the image of these restriction maps for Siegel modular forms of genus two. This approach allows us to compute an upper bound for the space of Siegel modular forms with *non-trivial* character (which has not been previously known) and arbitrary weights (including low weight  $k \leq 4$ ).

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $S_2^k(\Gamma_0^{(2)}(l), \chi)$  denote the space of Siegel modular forms of weight  $k$  and genus  $g = 2$ , with nebentypus character  $\chi$  and prime level  $l$  (cf. §2).

In this article, we are interested in the upper bound of the dimension of these vector spaces for arbitrary weights (including low weight  $k \leq 4$ ). For classical modular forms of arbitrary weight and nebentypus, the dimensions [3, §5] and Fourier coefficients can be explicitly calculated [9]. It is natural to wonder if we can write down the dimension formula and, more importantly, Fourier coefficients for Siegel modular forms of higher genus.

Currently, there is no general formula that expresses the dimensions of these vector spaces in terms of their levels, weights, and characters. More significantly, there is no natural method for determining the explicit Fourier coefficients of Siegel modular forms with arbitrary nebentypus. Nevertheless, recent progress in this direction has been made in the works of Böcherer, Schulze-Pillot, and Das.

A compilation of known dimensions can be found in [13]. Wakatsuki derived a dimension formula for cohomological Siegel modular weight forms  $\geq 5$  and arbitrary vector-valued weights for prime level congruence subgroups with trivial nebentypus (see [15, Theorem 7.4]). The computation of such low-weight cases ( $k < 5$ ) was pioneered by a series of articles by Poor and Yuen [10, 11, 12] for a *prime level* and trivial nebentypus. In this paper, we write an algorithm to determine the bounds of the dimensions of the spaces

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 11F46, Secondary: 11F80, 11F30.

*Key words and phrases.* Siegel Modular forms, Yoshida lifts.

We thank Professor Cris Poor and David Yuen for fruitful email correspondence in the initial stage of the project.

of Siegel modular forms for *prime level congruence subgroups with non-trivial character* and arbitrary weights (including low weight  $k \leq 4$ ).

In [12], the authors describe the images of the restriction maps for Siegel modular forms of genus two for congruence subgroups of the form  $\Gamma_0(p)$  for a prime level  $p$  and weights below 5. In this map, a Siegel modular form of level  $p$  is sent to a collection of classical elliptic modular forms of level  $pl$ , where  $l$  runs over primes distinct from  $p$ . The restriction map is explicitly written for the prime levels  $p \leq 41$  and scalar weight 4, and is subsequently used [12, p. 66] to compute the upper limits for the dimensions of the corresponding Siegel modular form spaces. Since there is no algorithmic method for general  $p$ , the computation of Fourier coefficients associated with these restriction maps becomes very laborious. The corresponding lower bounds for these spaces can be obtained using Jacobi cusp forms and classical elliptic cusp forms of half-integral weight.

We investigate an upper bound of the dimensions of these vector spaces. The broader objective of this work is to establish a *Sturm-type bound* within the framework of Siegel modular forms. Specifically, the aim is to ascertain the minimal number of Fourier coefficients required to determine whether a given Siegel modular form vanishes identically. As the Fourier coefficients of Siegel modular forms are naturally indexed by symmetric matrices, it is appropriate to consider their *dyadic traces* and to formulate corresponding bounds in terms of these traces.

The *objective* of this paper is to develop an *algorithm* that computes the image of the aforementioned restriction map for *arbitrary prime levels* and *general sending matrices*. In particular, we provide an explicit description of the images of the restriction maps for Siegel modular forms with *arbitrary nebentypus*.

The main result of the paper is the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *There exists an algorithm to compute the Fourier coefficients of the elliptic cusp forms that appear in the images of the restriction maps for prime level, arbitrary weight and arbitrary nebentypus. The above algorithm can be applied to determine an explicit upper bound for the dimensions of the space of Siegel modular forms*

$$S_2^k(\Gamma_0^{(2)}(l), \chi),$$

*for arbitrary weights  $k$  (including low weight  $k \leq 4$ ),  $l$  is a prime and  $\chi$  is a non-trivial Dirichlet character.*

We restrict our attention to the genus  $g = 2$  since the computation of dyadic traces (cf. 3) becomes complicated for the genus  $g \geq 3$ . We restrict ourselves to prime levels, as the relevant decomposition for general levels is currently not available (cf. Remark 4.4).

In Section 6, we provide an example that illustrates how to apply the algorithm to obtain an upper bound for a given level, nebentypus, and weight. Our calculations are carried out for the weight  $k = 2$ . A similar method can be used to obtain an upper bound for any weight  $k \leq 4$ .

## 2. NOTATION

Let  $N, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

- For genus  $g \geq 1$  we define the upper half Siegel space to be

$$\mathbb{H}_{2g} := \{\Omega \in \mathbb{C}^{g \times g} \mid \Omega \text{ is symmetric and } \text{Im}(\Omega) > 0\}$$

denotes the upper half-space of Siegel.

- Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with 1. We denote by  $1_g$  and  $0_g$  the identity and zero matrix of the ring  $M_g(R)$  and now consider the matrix

$$J_g = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_g \\ -1_g & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- We denote by  $\text{GSp}_g(R)$  the algebraic group of symplectic similitudes with respect to  $J_g$ . Hence,

$$\text{GSp}_g(R) = \{M \in \text{GL}_{2g}(R) \mid M^t J_g M = \mu(M) J_g\}$$

- For  $g = 1$  we have  $\text{GSp}_2 = \text{GL}_2$ . The map:

$$M \rightarrow \mu(M)$$

defines a character  $\mu : \text{GSp}_g(R) \rightarrow R^*$ . We refer to  $\mu$  as the similarity factor.

- $\Gamma_2 = \text{Sp}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  denotes the Siegel modular group.
- Let  $\mathfrak{X}_2$  be the set of positive definite matrices  $2 \times 2$  with integral values, half-integral.
- For any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , consider the congruence subgroup

$$\Gamma_0^{(2)}(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sp}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid C \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \right\}.$$

- If  $g = 2$ , then the above group  $\text{GSp}_2$  is the symplectic similitude group defined by the set of matrices  $h$  in  $\text{GL}_4$  that satisfy  $h^t J h = c(h) J$  for

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and some  $c(h) \in \mathbb{G}_m$ . We define a map  $c : \text{GSp}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  by letting  $g \in \text{GSp}_2$  map to  $c(h)$ . This is the similitude character, and its kernel is the symplectic group  $\text{Sp}_2$ .

- For  $\Gamma \subseteq \Gamma_2$  of the finite index, the space of Siegel modular forms of weight  $k$  with respect to  $\Gamma$  is denoted by  $M_2^k(\Gamma)$ , and the subspace of cusp forms is denoted by  $S_2^k(\Gamma)$ .
- Let  $\tilde{\chi} : (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  be a Dirichlet character. Let  $\chi$  be a character in  $\Gamma_0^{(2)}(N)$  as defined by Andrianov [1, section 1.3]

$$\chi(M) := \tilde{\chi}(\det(D)), \quad \text{where } M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0^{(2)}(N).$$

Then, the space of Siegel modular forms with character  $\chi$  is defined as

$$S_2^k(\Gamma_0^{(2)}(N), \chi) = \left\{ F \mid F|_M = \chi(M)F \right\}.$$

where  $F|_M$  is weight  $k$  operator for Siegel forms defined as

$$F|_M(Z) = \det(CZ + D)^{-k} F(MZ).$$

- Let  $V_2(\mathbb{Z})$  be the space of symmetric  $2 \times 2$  matrices over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . For  $S \in V_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , define

$$t(S) = \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & S \\ 0_2 & I_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathbb{Z}).$$

- When  $t(V_2(\mathbb{Z})) \subseteq \Gamma$ , we have the Fourier expansion

$$f(\Omega) = \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{X}_2} a(t; f) e(\langle t, \Omega \rangle),$$

for  $f \in S_2^k(\Gamma)$ . Here,  $\langle t, \Omega \rangle = \mathrm{tr}(t\Omega)$ ,  $e(z) = e^{2\pi iz}$ .

- For  $T, u \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ , define  $T[u] := u^t T u$ .

- Consider the following matrices  $E_0 = \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & I_2 \end{pmatrix}$ ;  $E_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_2 \\ -I_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

The remaining notations in the text will be introduced as required.

### 3. THE RESTRICTION MAPS FOR TRIVIAL NEBENTYPUS

In this section following Poor- Yuen [12], we recall how to compute the restriction maps for *Siegel modular forms with trivial nebentypus*, which forms the basis for our subsequent extensions. We then apply their framework to compute new cases using the software Java.

For any natural number  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $S_1^k(\Gamma_0(N))$  be the space of all classical elliptic cusp forms [3] of weight  $k$  for the congruence subgroup  $\Gamma_0(N)$ .

Define the set of reduced matrices as follows:

$$\mathfrak{X}_2^{\mathrm{red}} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{X}_2 \mid 0 \leq 2b \leq a \leq c \right\}.$$

We denote the symmetric matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{X}_2^{\mathrm{red}}$  by  $[a^b c]$ . For such a matrix  $[a^b c]$ , define the dyadic trace

$$w([a^b c]) := \frac{1}{2}(a + c - |b|).$$

Let  $p$  be a prime. For  $F \in S_2^k(\Gamma_0^{(2)}(p))$ , consider the set of matrices  $[a^b c]$  satisfying

$$w([a^b c]) < \frac{1}{6}(1 + p)k.$$

We write  $v \in [t]$  if there exists a matrix  $m \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $v = mtm^{-1}$ . Following [12], consider the quantity,

$$v(j, s, t) = \#\{v \in [t] \mid \langle v, s \rangle = j\}.$$

where  $\langle A, B \rangle = \mathrm{trace}(AB^t)$ , which is the usual inner product on the space.

Following Poor-Yuen [11], we define the map  $\phi_s : \mathbb{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_2$  by  $\phi_s(\tau) = s\tau$ , where  $s$  is a symmetric positive definite  $2 \times 2$  matrix. Let  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  be a prime. For  $f \in S_2^k(\Gamma_0^{(2)}(p))$ , the above map induces the restriction map  $\phi_s^*(f) \in S_1^{2k}(\Gamma_0(pl))$ , whose Fourier expansion is given by

$$(\phi_s^* f)(\tau) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{X}_2: \langle t, s \rangle = j} a_0(t) \right) q^j = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{X}_2^{\text{red}}} v(j, s, t) a_0(t) \right) q^j.$$

For  $c \equiv 0 \pmod{pl}$  and  $l = \det(s)$ , we have

$$(\phi_s^*(f))|_{2k} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} (\tau) = f|_k \left( \begin{pmatrix} aI_2 & bs \\ cs^{-1} & dI_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) (s\tau) = f|_k(s\tau) = \phi_s^*(f)(\tau).$$

This is well defined for  $l = \det(s)$ , and therefore, since  $pl \mid c$ , we also have  $cs^{-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .

**3.1. Upper Bound of the Dyadic Traces of the Set of Determining Coefficients.** We study restriction maps

$$\phi_s^* : S_2^k(\Gamma_0^{(2)}(p)) \longrightarrow S_1^k(\Gamma_0(pl))$$

for  $l \nmid p$ . For  $p = 43$  (a prime level for which the upper bound of the dimension is not known), we take  $l \in \{1, 2, 3, 5\}$ . For each choice of  $l$ , we select a  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $s$  such that  $\det(s) = l$ . For example,

$$s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } l = 1, \quad s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } l = 2, \quad s = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } l = 3, \quad s = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } l = 5.$$

Let  $w([t])$  denote the dyadic trace of the matrix  $[t]$ .

**Definition 3.1** (Set of Determining Coefficients). For a Siegel modular form  $F$ , let  $a_i(F)$  denote the  $i$  Fourier coefficients of  $F$ . For two Siegel modular forms of a given level  $N$  and weight  $k$ , the set of determining coefficients  $S$  is defined as the set of coefficients such that if  $F, G \in S_2^k(\Gamma_0^{(2)}(N), \chi)$  satisfies  $a_i(F) = a_i(G)$  for all  $i \in S$ , then  $F = G$ .

Our starting point is [12, Theorem 3.1]. In that work, it is shown that for a Siegel modular form  $F \in S_2^k(\Gamma_0^{(2)}(p))$  of level  $N = p$ , the set of determining coefficients consists of matrices  $[t]$  with dyadic traces that satisfy

$$w([t]) < \frac{1}{6}(1+p)k.$$

That is, equality of the coefficients in this set implies equality of the respective forms as a whole. Klein generalized this result to the prime powers [8, Page 103].

**Lemma 3.2.** *The set of determining coefficients for the space  $S_2^k(\Gamma_0^{(2)}(p^i))$  consists of matrices  $[t]$  with dyadic traces that satisfy:*

- $w([t]) < \frac{1}{6}(1+p)k$  for  $i = 1$ ,
- $w([t]) < \frac{3}{2} + p^i \left( \frac{2k}{2\sqrt{3}\pi} - \frac{3}{2p^i} \right) \prod_{j=1}^i \left( 1 + \frac{1}{p^j} \right)$  for  $i > 1$ .

*Proof.* The result follows directly from [12, Theorem 3.1] and [8, Page 103].  $\square$

**3.2. Program for the expansion.** In this subsection, we describe two programs written in Java that facilitate the computation of the expansion of a Siegel modular form under the restriction map. First, we present a program that computes the number of *determining coefficients* for a given Siegel modular form, followed by illustrative computations. Subsequently, we provide a program that gives as an output the *image under the restriction map* of a Siegel modular form. These images are expressed in terms of *elliptic cusp forms*.

**3.2.1. A Java Program to Compute the Set of Determining Coefficients.** We first write a program using the Java programming language. This program is executed in the environment described in [7] to compute the index matrices appearing in the Fourier expansion of the restriction maps. For a given level  $N$ , we set  $t = N$ , and the corresponding index matrices can be computed by pasting the program into a Java compiler and running it accordingly.

```
public class matrices{
    public static void main(String args[]) {
        int a,b,c;
        int count=0;
        for(a=2;a<=t;a+=2){
            for(b=0;b<=t;b++){
                for(c=2;c<=t;c+=2){
                    if((2*b<=a)&&(a<=c)&&(a+c-b<=28)){
                        System.out.println(a+"■"+b+"■"+c);
                        count++;
                    }
                }
            }
        }
        System.out.println(count);
    }
}
```

- **Example:** *A new prime level case* We compute the set of determining coefficients for prime  $p = 43$  since the index matrices are already constructed for  $p \leq 41$  by Poor-Yuen [12]. Running the above program as described in the previous section, we get the set of determining coefficients for  $p = 43$  and  $k = 2$  is given by the following list of 234 positive definite matrices:

$$[2^0 2], [2^0 4], [2^0 6], [2^0 8], [2^0 10], [2^0 22], [2^0 14], [2^0 26], [2^0 28], [2^0 2],$$

$[2^1 6], [2^1 8], [2^1 10], [2^1 12], [2^1 14], [2^1 16], [2^1 18], [2^1 20], [2^1 22], [2^1 24],$   
 $[2^1 26], [4^0 4], [4^0 6], [4^0 8], [4^0 10], [4^0 12], [4^0 14], [4^0 16], [4^0 18], [4^0 20],$   
 $[4^0 22], [4^0 24], [4^1 4], [4^1 6], [4^1 8], [4^1 10], [4^1 12], [4^1 14], [4^1 16], [4^1 18],$   
 $[4^1 20], [4^1 22], [4^1 24], [4^2 4], [4^2 6], [4^2 8], [4^2 10], [4^2 12], [4^2 14], [4^2 16],$   
 $[4^2 18], [4^2 20], [4^2 22], [4^2 24], [4^2 26], [6^0 6], [6^0 8], [6^0 10], [6^0 12], [6^0 14],$   
 $[6^0 16], [6^0 18], [6^0 20], [6^0 22], [6^1 6], [6^1 8], [6^1 10], [6^1 12], [6^1 14], [6^1 16],$   
 $[6^1 18], [6^1 20], [6^1 22], [6^2 6], [6^2 8], [6^2 10], [6^2 12], [6^2 14], [6^2 16], [6^2 18],$   
 $[6^2 20], [6^2 22], [6^2 24], [6^3 6], [6^3 8], [6^3 10], [6^3 12], [6^3 14], [6^3 16], [6^3 18],$   
 $[6^3 20], [6^3 22], [6^3 24], [8^0 8], [8^0 10], [8^0 12], [8^0 14], [8^0 16], [8^0 18], [8^0 20],$   
 $[8^1 8], [8^1 10], [8^1 12], [8^1 14], [8^1 16], [8^1 18], [8^1 20], [8^2 8], [8^2 10], [8^2 12],$   
 $[8^2 14], [8^2 16], [8^2 18], [8^2 20], [8^2 22], [8^3 8], [8^3 10], [8^3 12], [8^3 14], [8^3 16],$   
 $[8^3 18], [8^3 20], [8^3 22], [8^4 8], [8^4 10], [8^4 12], [8^4 14], [8^4 16], [8^4 18], [8^4 20],$   
 $[8^4 22], [8^4 24], [10^0 10], [10^0 12], [10^0 14], [10^0 16], [10^0 18], [10^1 10], [10^1 12],$   
 $[10^1 14], [10^1 16], [10^1 18], [10^2 10], [10^2 12], [10^2 14], [10^2 16], [10^2 18],$   
 $[10^2 20], [10^3 10], [10^3 12], [10^3 14], [10^3 16], [10^3 18], [10^3 20], [10^4 10], [10^4 12],$   
 $[10^4 14], [10^4 16], [10^4 18], [10^4 20], [10^4 22], [10^5 10], [10^5 12], [10^5 14], [10^5 16],$   
 $[10^5 18], [10^5 20], [10^5 22], [12^0 12], [12^0 14], [12^0 16], [12^1 12], [12^1 14],$   
 $[12^1 16], [12^2 12], [12^2 14], [12^2 16], [12^2 18], [12^3 12], [12^3 14],$   
 $[12^3 16], [12^3 18], [12^4 12], [12^4 14], [12^4 16], [12^4 18], [12^4 20], [12^5 12], [12^5 14],$   
 $[12^5 16], [12^5 18], [12^5 20], [12^6 12], [12^6 14], [12^6 16], [12^6 18], [12^6 20], [12^6 22],$   
 $[14^0 14], [14^1 14], [14^2 14], [14^2 16], [14^3 14], [14^3 16], [14^4 14], [14^4 16],$   
 $[14^4 18], [14^5 14], [14^5 16], [14^5 18], [14^6 14], [14^6 16], [14^6 18], [14^6 20], [14^7 14],$   
 $[14^7 16], [14^7 18], [14^7 20], [16^4 16], [16^5 16], [16^6 16], [16^6 18], [16^7 16], [16^7 18],$   
 $[16^8 16], [16^8 18], [16^8 20], [18^8 18], [18^9 18].$

3.2.2. *A Java program to compute the restriction map.* We now compute the image of the restriction map. As the computation is tedious to perform using an online Java compiler, we execute the program again in the terminal using Java [6].

In the code, one may freely choose the inputs corresponding to the sending matrix  $s$  and other parameters. Recall that the sending matrix is involved in the restriction map as defined in § 3.1. For example, for the matrix

$$s = \begin{pmatrix} s_1 & s_2 \\ s_2 & s_4 \end{pmatrix},$$

we may take  $s_1 = 1, s_2 = 0$  (since the off-diagonal elements are identical), and  $s_4 = 2$ . The variable  $j$  denotes the power up to which the Fourier expansion is computed.

In the program below, we set  $0 \leq i \leq 29$ , as there are 10 matrices and each matrix contributes 3 entries in the iteration. Note also that the limits chosen for the variables  $m, n, o, p$  (ranging from  $-8$  to  $+8$ ) are sufficient for the levels considered in this paper (43 and 9). However, for higher levels, these bounds would need to be increased accordingly.

```

public class participating {
    public static void main(String args[]) {
        double arr [] = { 2.0, 1.0, 2.0, 2.0, 0.0, 2.0, 2.0, 1.0, 4.0, 2.0, 0.0, 4.0, 4.0,
            2.0, 4.0, 2.0, 1.0, 6.0, 4.0, 1.0, 4.0, 4.0, 0.0, 4.0, 4.0, 2.0, 6.0, 2.0, 0.0, 6.0 };
    }
}

```

```

double s1=1;
double s2=0;
double s4=1;
int i,j,a,b,d;
int m,n,o,p;
    for(j=1;j<=8;j++){
for(i=0;i<=29;i+=3){
        int coeff=0;
        for(a=2;a<=10;a+=2){
            for(b=-10;b<=10;b++){
                for(d=-10;d<=10;d+=2){
                    int count=0;
                    for(m=-8;m<=8;m++){
                        for(n=-8;n<=8;n++){
                            for(o=-8;o<=8;o++){
                                for(p=-8;p<=8;p++){
                                    if((m*p-n*o==1)|| (m*p-n*o==-1)){
                                        if (a*d-b*b>0){
                                            if((a*s1*0.5+b*s2+s4*d*0.5==j)&&(m*m*a+2*m*n*b+n*n*d==arr[i])&&(m*
                                            count+=1;
                                            coeff=coeff+count;
                                            break;
                                        }
                                    }
                                }
                            }
                        }
                    }
                    if(count>0)
                        break;
                }
            }
        }
        if(count>0)
            break;
    }
}
if(count>0)
break;
}
}
if(count>0)
break;
}
}
}
if(coeff>0)
System.out.println(coeff+"■"+arr[i]+"■"+arr[i+1]+"■"+arr[i+2]+"■q^"+j);
}

```



}  
 }  
 }

#### 4. THE RESTRICTION MAPS FOR NON-TRIVIAL NEBENTYPUS

Until now, we have discussed the space of Siegel modular forms with a trivial character. In this section, we develop *restriction map* for the space of Siegel modular forms with *non-trivial* character. Let  $f \in S_2^k(\Gamma_0^{(2)}(N), \chi)$  be a Siegel cusp form of genus two, weight  $k$ , level  $N$  and nebentypus character  $\chi$  and  $l$  be a prime.

**Lemma 4.1.** *For a cusp form  $f \in S_2^k(\Gamma_0^{(2)}(N), \chi)$  and  $d \in (\mathbf{Z}/l'N\mathbf{Z})^\times$ , we have*

$$\langle d \rangle \phi_s^*(f) = \chi(dI_2) \phi_s^*(f),$$

where  $l' = \det(s)$ .

*Proof.* Define

$$\phi_s : \mathbb{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_2, \quad \phi_s(\tau) = s\tau,$$

where  $s$  is a positive-definite matrix and  $l' = \det(s)$ . This induces a map on the corresponding Siegel cusp forms

$$\phi_s^* : S_2^k(\Gamma_1^{(2)}(N)) \rightarrow S_1^{2k}(\Gamma_1(Nl')).$$

The diamond operator

$$\langle d \rangle : S_1^{2k}(\Gamma_1(Nl')) \rightarrow S_1^{2k}(\Gamma_1(Nl'))$$

acts by

$$\langle d \rangle f = f|[\alpha]_{2k},$$

where

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & \delta \end{pmatrix}, \quad \delta \equiv d \pmod{Nl'}.$$

Now for  $f \in S_2^k(\Gamma_1^{(2)}(N))$ , we have

$$\langle d \rangle \phi_s^* f = \phi_s^* f|[\alpha]_{2k}, \quad \alpha \in \Gamma_0(Nl').$$

By the definition of the diamond operator,

$$\phi_s^* f|[\alpha]_{2k}(\tau) = (c\tau + \delta)^{-2k} \phi_s^* f(\alpha\tau).$$

Using [11, Proposition 2.1], we get

$$\phi_s^* f(\alpha\tau) = f\left(\frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+\delta}\right) = f((a\tau s + bs)(cs^{-1}\tau s + \delta I_2)^{-1}).$$

That is,

$$\phi_s^* f(\alpha\tau) = f\left(\begin{pmatrix} aI_2 & bs \\ cs^{-1} & \delta I_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \tau s\right).$$

Therefore,

$$\phi_s^* f(\alpha\tau) = \det(cs^{-1}\tau s + \delta I_2)^k f|_k \begin{pmatrix} aI_2 & bs \\ cs^{-1} & \delta I_2 \end{pmatrix} (\tau s).$$

So,

$$(c\tau + \delta)^{-2k} \phi_s^* f(\alpha\tau) = (c\tau + \delta)^{-2k} \det(cs^{-1}\tau s + \delta I_2)^k f|_k \begin{pmatrix} aI_2 & bs \\ cs^{-1} & \delta I_2 \end{pmatrix} (\tau s).$$

This simplifies to

$$f|_k \begin{pmatrix} aI_2 & bs \\ cs^{-1} & \delta I_2 \end{pmatrix} (\tau s).$$

Since  $f \in S_2^k(\Gamma_0^{(2)}(N), \chi)$ , we know

$$f|_k M = \chi(M)f, \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}, \quad \chi(M) = \chi(\det D).$$

Thus,

$$f|_k \begin{pmatrix} aI_2 & bs \\ cs^{-1} & \delta I_2 \end{pmatrix} (\tau s) = \chi(\det \delta) f(\tau s) = \chi(\det \delta) \phi_s^* f(\tau),$$

since  $cs^{-1} \equiv 0_2 \pmod{N}$  and

$$\begin{pmatrix} aI_2 & bs \\ cs^{-1} & \delta I_2 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} aI_2 & * \\ 0 & a^{-1}I_2 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N}.$$

□

By the above lemma, when we restrict the map induced by the restriction map  $\phi_s^*$  to the eigenspace  $S_2^k(\Gamma_0^{(2)}(l), \chi)$ , we obtain the following:

$$\phi_s^* : S_2^k(\Gamma_0^{(2)}(l), \chi) \longrightarrow S_1^{2k}(\Gamma_0(l'), \chi'),$$

where  $\tilde{\chi}$  is a character over  $\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}$ , and

$$\chi(M) := \tilde{\chi}(\det D),$$

while  $\chi'$  denotes the extension of  $\tilde{\chi}$  to  $\mathbb{Z}/l'l'\mathbb{Z}$ .

In the next proposition, we observe that the upper bound for the set of *determining coefficients* obtained in Lemma 3.2, is independent of the nebentypus character  $\chi$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** *The upper bound of the dyadic traces of the set of determining coefficients for the space  $S_2^k(\Gamma_0^{(2)}(N), \chi)$  is the same as that for the space  $S_2^k(\Gamma_0^{(2)}(N))$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $F, G \in S_2^k(\Gamma_0^{(2)}(N), \chi)$ , and consider the Siegel modular form  $H = F - G$ . The Fourier coefficients of  $H$  vanish for all matrices  $t$  with dyadic traces  $w(t) < M$  for some natural number  $M$ .

If  $\chi$  is a Dirichlet character of order  $r$ , then  $H^r \in S_2^{kr}(\Gamma_0^{(2)}(N))$ , and  $a_0(t) \equiv 0$  for all  $t$  with  $w(t) < Mr$ . Hence  $H^r \equiv 0$ , since all relevant coefficients vanish for the corresponding bound and therefore  $H \equiv 0$ . Under these conditions, we conclude that  $F = G$ .

□

Let  $W_l$  be the Atkin-Lehner operator at the prime  $l$ . Note that the Atkin-Lehner operator has a decomposition

$$W_l = \begin{pmatrix} lx & y \\ ll'z & lw \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ l'z & lw \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ l'z & lw \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , with  $l \nmid l'$ . Let  $E_2$  be as in the notation § 2.

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $f \in S_2^k(\Gamma_0^{(2)}(l), \chi)$  and  $s$  be a positive-definite matrix with  $l'$  its determinant. Then*

$$W_l \phi_s^* f(\tau) = l^k \chi(l'z^2) \phi_{ls}^*(f|_{E_2})(\tau).$$

*Proof.* Let

$$\phi_s^* : S_2^k(\Gamma_0^{(2)}(l), \chi) \longrightarrow S_1^{2k}(\Gamma_0(ll'), \bar{\chi}).$$

By definition,

$$W_l \phi_s^* f(\tau) = \phi_s^* f|W_l(\tau) = \phi_s^* f \left| \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ l'z & lw \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} l & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right| (\tau).$$

Now, by the definition of the weight- $k$  operator for  $\gamma \in GL_2(\mathbb{Q})$ , we have

$$\phi_s^* f|W_l(\tau) = \phi_s^* f \left| \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ l'z & lw \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} l & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right| (\tau) = l^k \phi_s^* f \left| \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ l'z & lw \end{bmatrix} \right| (l\tau).$$

Using [12, Proposition 4.1], we can write

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{bmatrix} xI_2 & ys \\ l'zs^{-1} & lwI_2 \end{bmatrix} = ME_2 \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & A^* \end{bmatrix}.$$

where  $M \in \Gamma_0^{(2)}(l)$ ,

$$E_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_2 \\ -I_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & A^* \end{bmatrix} \in Sp_4(\mathbb{Z}).$$

A direct computation with  $B = lI_2$  gives

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} -lxI_2 + ysA & -xA^* \\ lwA - ll'zs^{-1} & -l'zs^{-1}A^* \end{bmatrix},$$

and hence  $M \in \Gamma_0^{(2)}(l)$ .

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} l^k \phi_s^* f \left| \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ l'z & lw \end{bmatrix} (l\tau) \right. &= l^k f \left| \begin{bmatrix} xI_2 & ys \\ l'zs^{-1} & lwI_2 \end{bmatrix} (sl\tau) \right. \\ &= l^k f \left| ME_2 \begin{bmatrix} A & lI_2 \\ 0 & A^* \end{bmatrix} (sl\tau) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Using Proposition 4.1,  $f|_M = \chi(M)f$ . Thus,

$$l^k \chi(M) f \left| E_2 \begin{bmatrix} A & lI_2 \\ 0 & A^* \end{bmatrix} (sl\tau) \right. = l^k \chi(M) f \left| E_2 (Asl\tau A^T + lA^T) \right|.$$

Without loss of generality, set  $A = I_2$ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} (4.2) \quad W_l \phi_s^* f(\tau) &= l^k \chi(l'z^2) (f|_{E_2})(ls\tau + lI_2) \\ &= l^k \chi(l'z^2) \left( f \left| E_2 \begin{bmatrix} I_2 & lI_2 \\ 0_2 & I_2 \end{bmatrix} \right. \right) (ls\tau) \\ &= l^k \chi(l'z^2) \left( f \left| \begin{bmatrix} I_2 & 0 \\ -lI_2 & I_2 \end{bmatrix} E_2 \right. \right) (ls\tau) \\ &= l^k \chi(l'z^2) (f|_{E_2})(ls\tau) \\ &= l^k \chi(l'z^2) \phi_{ls}^* (f|_{E_2})(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Remark 4.4.** We restrict ourselves to prime levels because the decomposition of  $W_l$  used in Equation 4.1 is not known for general levels. This equation in turn depends on [4, Proposition 3.4]. For general level, there will be more cusps and we need to understand Fourier expansion at more cusps.

**Proposition 4.5.** Let  $f \in S_2^k(\Gamma_0^{(2)}(l), \chi)$  be a Siegel modular form of level  $l$ . Let  $l'$  be a natural number such that  $l' = \det(s)$ . Then the elliptic modular form  $\phi_s^*(f)$  is

$$\phi_s^*(f)|_{W_{l'}} = \chi(\det((1 - \hat{l})s^{-1}))\phi_s^* f;$$

where  $\hat{l}$  is obtained using  $\hat{l}l \equiv 1 \pmod{l'}$ .

*Proof.* We first observe the decomposition

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ c & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{w'}{c} & -\hat{c} \\ \frac{c}{l'l'} & 1 - \hat{c}c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \hat{c} \\ 0 & \frac{w'}{c} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \frac{c}{l'l'},$$

where the matrix

$$W_{\tilde{c}} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{w'}{c} & -\hat{c} \\ \frac{c}{l'l'} & 1 - \hat{c}c \end{bmatrix}$$

is the Atkin-Lehner involution with  $\tilde{c} = \frac{w'}{c}$ .

For  $g \in M_1^{2k}(\Gamma_0(l'l))$ , we have

$$g \Big|_{2k} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ c & 1 \end{bmatrix} (\tau) = \left( g \Big|_{2k} W_{\tilde{c}} \right) \Big| \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \hat{c} \\ 0 & \tilde{c} \end{bmatrix} (\tau) = \tilde{c}^{-k} (g \Big|_{2k} W_{\tilde{c}}) \left( \frac{\tau + \hat{c}}{\tilde{c}} \right).$$

Taking  $c = l$ , we obtain

$$\phi_s^* f \Big| \begin{bmatrix} 1 & l \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} (\tau) = (l')^{-k} \left( \phi_s^* f \Big|_{2k} W_{l'} \right) \left( \frac{\tau}{l'} \right).$$

Using [12, Proposition 4.2], we also have

$$\begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ ls^{-1} & I \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} s & -\hat{l}I \\ lI & (1 - l\hat{l})s^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s^{-1} & \hat{l}I \\ 0 & s \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} s & -\hat{l}I \\ lI & (1 - l\hat{l})s^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \in \Gamma_0^2(l), \quad l\hat{l} \equiv 1 \pmod{l'}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} f \Big| \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ ls^{-1} & I \end{bmatrix} (s\tau) &= f \Big| \begin{bmatrix} s & -\hat{l}I \\ lI & (1 - l\hat{l})s^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s^{-1} & \hat{l}I \\ 0 & s \end{bmatrix} (s\tau) \\ &= \chi((1 - l\hat{l})s^{-1}) f \Big| \begin{bmatrix} s^{-1} & \hat{l}I \\ 0 & s \end{bmatrix} (s\tau) \\ &= \chi(\det((1 - l\hat{l})s^{-1})) \det(s^{-1})^k f(s^{-1}s\tau + \hat{l}I_2)(s^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Now, replacing  $\tau$  by  $l'\tau$  and taking  $A = s^{-1}$  in Proposition 4.5 of [12], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi_s^* f \Big|_{2k} W_{l'}) (\tau) &= (l')^k \chi(\det((1 - l\hat{l})s^{-1})) \det(s^{-1})^k \phi_{l's^{-1}}^* f(\tau) \\ (4.3) \quad &= \chi(\det((1 - l\hat{l})s^{-1})) \phi_{l's^{-1}}^* f(\tau) \\ &= \chi(\det((1 - l\hat{l})s^{-1})) \phi_s^* f(\tau), \end{aligned}$$

since  $\det(s^{-1}) = (l')^{-1}$  and  $l's^{-1}$  is properly equivalent to  $s$ . □

## 5. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Using the results established in the previous section, we now present an algorithm to compute the Fourier coefficients of the elliptic cusp forms that appear in the image of the restriction maps. This enables us to determine an upper bound for the dimension of the space of Siegel modular forms of level  $l$  with non-trivial nebentypus,  $l, l'$  are distinct primes. This algorithm corresponds to the statement of the main theorem.

For  $f \in S_2^2(\Gamma_0^{(2)}(l), \chi)$ , proceed as follows:

- Step 1:** Choose  $s \in V_2(\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $l' = \det(s)$  is prime and relatively prime to  $l$ . Using the restriction map  $\phi_s$ , compute the image  $\phi_s^*(f)$ . The form  $\phi_s^*(f)$  lies in  $S_1^4(\Gamma_0(l'), \chi')$ , where  $l' = \det(s)$ , and its coefficients are determined by those of  $f$ . Using **SageMath**, determine the dimension of  $S_1^4(\Gamma_0(l'), \chi')$ , express  $\phi_s^*(f)$  as a linear combination of the basis elements of this space, and compare the coefficients.
- Step 2:** Compute  $W_{l'}\phi_s^*(f)$  using the results established in the previous section. Observe that  $\phi_s^*(f)$  lies in the kernel of  $W_{l'} - \chi I_2$ , which is zero-dimensional (since the eigenvalues of  $W_{l'}$  are never roots of unity and  $\chi$  is  $l'$ -primary). By equating the coefficients of  $\phi_s^*(f)$  to zero, we obtain a system of homogeneous linear equations.
- Step 3:** Repeat this process for various  $s$  to obtain multiple systems of linear equations, with the variables corresponding to the coefficients of  $f$ .
- Step 4:** Solve the resulting system of equations to identify the dependent and independent variables.

The minimal set of independent variables obtained through the above procedure provides an upper bound for the dimension of the Siegel eigenspace  $S_2^2(\Gamma_0^{(2)}(l), \chi)$ .

## 6. APPLICATION OF THE ALGORITHM TO COMPUTE THE UPPER BOUND.

In this section, we consider two examples: one with a trivial nebentypus and a prime power level, and another with a prime level and non-trivial nebentypus. Using the results obtained in the previous sections, we compute the corresponding Fourier coefficients and the dimensions of the associated spaces.

To the best of our knowledge, the action of Atkin-Lehner operators on the space of Siegel modular forms with character is not implemented in any existing mathematical software. Therefore, to carry out the corresponding computations, we employ the space of modular symbols, since the action of these operators is available in **Sage**.

**6.1. Siegel Modular forms of a prime power level with trivial nebentypus.** The dimensional formulae for the upper bound of the space of Siegel modular forms are known for the weight  $k \geq 5$  or for the prime levels themselves. In this section, we investigate the same for a *prime power* level. We are interested in space  $S_2^2(\Gamma_0^{(2)}(9))$ . For the sake of determining the coefficients for a prime power, we refer to Klien's Thesis [8, Page 103], where we look at  $t \in X_2$  such that

$$w(t) < \frac{3}{2} + 9\left(\frac{4}{2\sqrt{3}\pi} - \frac{3}{2 \cdot 9}\right)\left(1 + \frac{1}{3}\right)\left(1 + \frac{1}{3^2}\right).$$

In other words, we are interested in the set of  $t$  such that  $w(t) < 8.5$ . This bound may not be optimal. In the context of this level, the corresponding set  $X_2$  is:

$$[a_0(2^02)] \cup [a_0(2^04)] \cup [a_0(2^06)] \cup [a_0(2^12)] \cup [a_0(2^14)] \cup [a_0(2^16)] \cup [a_0(4^04)] \\ \cup [a_0(4^14)] \cup [a_0(4^24)] \cup [a_0(4^26)].$$

We choose  $s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ , with  $l = 1, 2$  respectively. For the matrix  $s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , we get the expansion :

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_s^* f(\tau) &= (2a_0(2^12) + a_0(2^02))q^2 + \\ & (4a_0(2^02) + 4a_0(2^14) + 2a_0(2^04))q^3 + \\ & (a_0(2^12) + 2a_0(2^14) + 4a_0(2^04) + 2a_0(4^24) + 4a_0(2^16) + 2a_0(4^14) + a_0(4^04) + 2a_0(2^06))q^4 + \\ & (4a_0(2^14) + 4a_0(2^04) + 4a_0(4^14) + 4a_0(4^26) + 4a_0(2^06))q^5 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

From SAGE, we find that there is a unique cusp form in  $S_1^4(\Gamma_0(9))$ , given by

$$f(q) = q - 8q^4 + 20q^7 - 70q^{13} + 64q^{16} + 56q^{19} + \dots$$

Its leading term is  $q$ . Hence we have  $\phi_s^*(f) = C f$ . Comparing the coefficients shows that  $C = 0$ . Thus  $\phi_s^*(f) \equiv 0$ , which yields the following system of equations :

$$\begin{aligned} (2a_0(2^12) + a_0(2^02)) &= 0 \\ (4a_0(2^02) + 4a_0(2^14) + 2a_0(2^04)) &= 0 \\ (a_0(2^12) + 2a_0(2^14) + 4a_0(2^04) + 2a_0(4^24) + 4a_0(2^16) + 2a_0(4^14) + a_0(4^04) + 2a_0(2^06)) &= 0 \\ (4a_0(2^14) + 4a_0(2^04) + 4a_0(4^14) + 4a_0(4^26) + 4a_0(2^06)) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Next, we choose  $s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ . The corresponding expansion we get in this case is :

$$\begin{aligned} & (2a_0(2^12) + a_0(2^02))q^3 + (2a_0(2^02) + 2a_0(2^14) + a_0(2^04))q^4 + \\ & (2a_0(2^12) + 2a_0(2^02) + 2a_0(2^14) + 3a_0(2^04) + 2a_0(2^16) + a_0(2^06))q^5 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Using the equality  $\phi_s^*(f) = W_2(\phi_s^*(f))$ , we get the relations :

$$\begin{aligned} 2a_0(2^12) + a_0(2^02) &= 0 \\ 2a_0(2^02) + 2a_0(2^14) + a_0(2^04) &= 0 \\ 2a_0(2^12) + 2a_0(2^02) + 2a_0(2^14) + 3a_0(2^04) + 2a_0(2^16) + a_0(2^06) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Solving for the variables using the above equations gives us the dimension of the space  $S_2^2(\Gamma_0^2(9)) \leq 6$ . Hence, this is the first example of an upper bound for the space of Siegel modular forms of a prime power level.

**6.2. Seigel Modular form with nebentypus.** Consider the vector space  $S_2^2(\Gamma_0^{(2)}(13), \chi)$  where  $\chi$  is the character induced by the Dirichlet character  $\tilde{\chi} : (\mathbb{Z}/13\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  where  $\tilde{\chi}(2) = -\zeta_6$  completely determines its values. In the LFMFDB, we are looking at 13.4.c.a.

Choose the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$  of the determinant 2, then  $\phi_s^*(f) \in S_1^4(\Gamma_0^{(1)}(26), \chi)$ . By choosing the matrices  $s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ , the map  $\phi_s^*$  maps the space  $S_2^2(\Gamma_0^{(2)}(13), \chi)$  to the spaces  $S_1^4(\Gamma_0(13), \chi)$ ,  $S_1^4(\Gamma_0(26), \chi)$  and  $S_1^4(\Gamma_0(65), \chi)$ , respectively, with  $l = 1, 2$  and 5.

The set of determining coefficients is  $t$  with  $w(t) < \frac{(1+13)(2)}{6}$  by lemma 3.2.

Hence, the set of determining coefficients explicitly is :

$$\begin{aligned} & a_0[2^0 2], a_0[2^0 4], a_0[2^0 6], a_0[2^1 2], a_0[2^1 4], \\ & a_0[2^1 6], a_0[2^1 8], \\ & a_0[4^0 4], a_0[4^1 4], a_0[4^1 6], a_0[4^2 4], a_0[4^2 6], a_0[6^3 6]. \end{aligned}$$

And the restriction map that follows is

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi_s^* f)\tau &= (a_0(2^0 2) + 2a_0(2^1 2))q^2 \\ &+ (4a_0(2^0 2) + 2a_0(2^0 4) + 4a_0(2^1 4))q^3 \\ &+ (4a_0(2^0 4) + 2a_0(2^0 6) + 4a_0(2^1 2) + 2a_0(2^1 4) + 4a_0(2^1 6) + a_0(4^0 4) + 2a_0(4^1 4) + 2a_0(4^2 4))q^4 \\ &+ (4a_0(2^0 4) + 4a_0(2^0 6) + 4a_0(2^1 4) + 4a_0(2^1 8) + 4a_0(4^1 4) + 4a_0(4^1 6) + 4a_0(4^2 6))q^5 \\ &+ (4a_0(2^0 2) + 4a_0(2^1 4) + 6a_0(2^1 6) + 4a_0(4^0 4) + 4a_0(4^1 6) + 2a_0(4^2 6) + 2a_0(6^3 6))q^6 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

The basis of  $S_1^4(\Gamma_0(13), \chi)$  is of dimension 3 and only two elements will contribute to restriction maps as they start from  $q^2$  given below;

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= q^2 - \zeta_6 q^4 + (4\zeta_6 - 4)q^5 - 4\zeta_6 q^6 - 2\zeta_6 q^7 + O(q^8), \\ f_2 &= q^3 - 2\zeta_6 q^4 + (3\zeta_6 - 3)q^5 + 2\zeta_6 q^6 - \zeta_6 q^7 + O(q^8). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing coefficients will give the following results:



$$\begin{aligned}
q^2 : \quad & a_0(2^0 2) + 2a_0(2^1 2) = c_1 \quad (\text{matches } f_1 \text{ coefficient } 1), \\
q^3 : \quad & 4a_0(2^0 2) + 2a_0(2^0 4) + 4a_0(2^1 4) = c_2 \quad (\text{matches } f_2 \text{ coefficient } 1), \\
& 4a_0(2^0 4) + 2a_0(2^0 6) + 4a_0(2^1 2) + 2a_0(2^1 4) + 4a_0(2^1 6) \\
& \quad + a_0(4^0 4) + 2a_0(4^1 4) + 2a_0(4^2 4) \\
q^4 : \quad & = c_1(\zeta_6 - 1) + c_2(2\zeta_6 - 2) \\
& = (a_0(2^0 2) + 2a_0(2^1 2))(\zeta_6 - 1) \\
& \quad + (4a_0(2^0 2) + 2a_0(2^0 4) + 4a_0(2^1 4))(2\zeta_6 - 2), \\
& 4a_0(2^0 4) + 4a_0(2^0 6) + 4a_0(2^1 4) + 4a_0(2^1 8) \\
& \quad + 4a_0(4^1 4) + 4a_0(4^1 6) + 4a_0(4^2 6) \\
q^5 : \quad & = c_1(-4\zeta_6) + c_2(-3\zeta_6) \\
& = (a_0(2^0 2) + 2a_0(2^1 2))(-4\zeta_6) \\
& \quad + (4a_0(2^0 2) + 2a_0(2^0 4) + 4a_0(2^1 4))(-3\zeta_6), \\
& 4a_0(2^0 2) + 4a_0(2^1 4) + 6a_0(2^1 6) + 4a_0(4^0 4) + 4a_0(4^1 6) \\
& \quad + 2a_0(4^2 6) + 2a_0(6^3 6) \\
q^6 : \quad & = c_1(4\zeta_6 - 4) + c_2(-2\zeta_6 + 2) \\
& = (a_0(2^0 2) + 2a_0(2^1 2))(4\zeta_6 - 4) \\
& \quad + (4a_0(2^0 2) + 2a_0(2^0 4) + 4a_0(2^1 4))(-2\zeta_6 + 2).
\end{aligned}$$

For the purpose of obtaining the necessary equations for the level 26, we make use of the isomorphism of spaces. This step is essential since we consider the action of the Atkin–Lehner operator on modular symbols as implemented in Sage.

We examine the space of modular symbols

$$\mathfrak{M}_4(\Gamma_0(26), \chi, \text{sign} = 1).$$

This space has dimension 13, consisting of 9 cusp forms and 4 Eisenstein series forms.

We obtain the basis for the cuspidal subspace  $S_1^4(\Gamma_0(26), \chi)$  with character  $\chi$  of order 6 is of dimension 9. This is given by Manin symbols given by code:

```

# SageMathCell code: Cuspidal modular symbols for level 26, weight 4,
# with a Dirichlet character of order 3 over Q(zeta_3).

# Base field: Q(zeta_6)
K = CyclotomicField(6)

# Dirichlet group modulo 26 over K
G = DirichletGroup(26, K)

```

```

# Find a character of exact order 3
chis = [chi for chi in G if chi.order() == 3]
if not chis:
    print("No order-3 character modulo 26 found.")
else:
    chi = chis[0]    # pick one such character
    print("Chosen character:", chi)
    print("Conductor:", chi.conductor())
    print("Order:", chi.order())

# Modular symbols space with nebentypus chi, weight 4
M = ModularSymbols(chi, 4, sign=1, base_ring=K)
S = M.cuspidal_subspace()

print("\nCuspidal modular symbols space:")
print(S)
print("Dimension:", S.dimension())

# Basis
print("\nBasis (Manin symbols):")
for i, b in enumerate(S.basis(), 1):
    print(f"{i}: {b}")

```

whose output is given as

Chosen character:  $\chi: (\mathbb{Z}/26\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_6)^\times$   $\chi(15) = \zeta_6 - 1$ ,  $\text{cond}(\chi) = 13$ ,  
 $\text{ord}(\chi) = 3$ ,  $S = S_4(\Gamma_0(26), \chi)$ ,  $\dim S = 9$ .

Basis of Manin symbols for this cuspidal subspaces:

1.  $[X^2, (1, 4)] - [X^2, (1, 21)]$
2.  $[X^2, (1, 18)] - [X^2, (1, 21)]$
3.  $[X^2, (1, 19)] - [X^2, (1, 21)]$
4.  $[X^2, (2, 1)] - [X^2, (2, 23)]$
5.  $[X^2, (2, 7)] - [X^2, (2, 23)]$
6.  $[X^2, (2, 9)] - [X^2, (2, 23)]$
7.  $[X^2, (2, 13)] - [X^2, (2, 23)]$
8.  $[X^2, (2, 21)] - [X^2, (2, 23)]$
9.  $[X^2, (13, 1)] + (\zeta_3 + 1)[X^2, (13, 2)]$ .

We have an action of the Atkin–Lehner operator  $W_2$  in the space of cuspidal symbols. We use the above basis to obtain the transformation matrix  $A$  that describes the transformation of the cuspidal symbols under the Atkin-Lehner operator  $W_2$ . Note that the space of cuspidal symbols contains two copies of the space of cusp forms [14, Chapter 8].

From the JAVA software, we calculate the restriction map for  $\phi_s^*(f)$  for  $s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $f \in S_2^2(\Gamma_0^{(2)}(13), \chi)$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_s^*(f)(\tau) = & a_0(2^0 2) + 2a_0(2^1 2))q^3 \\ & + (2a_0(2^0 2) + a_0(2^0 4) + 2a(2^1 4))q^4 \\ & + (2a_0(2^0 2) + 3a_0(2^0 4) + a(2^0 6) + 2a(2^1 2) + 2a(2^1 4) + 2a(2^1 6))q^5 \\ & + (2a_0(2^0 6) + 4a_0(2^1 4) + 2a_0(2^1 8) + a_0(4^0 4) + 2a_0(4^1 4) + 2a_0(4^2 4))q^6 \\ & + (2a_0(2^0 2) + 4a_0(2^0 4) + a_0(2^0 6) + 2a_0(2^1 2) + 4a_0(2^1 6) + 2a_0(4^1 4) + 2a_0(4^1 6) + 2a_0(4^2 6))q^7 \\ & + (2a_0(2^0 4) + 2a_0(2^1 4) + 2a_0(4^0 4) + 2a_0(4^1 4) + 4a_0(4^1 6) + 2a_0(4^2 6))q^8 \\ & + (2a_0(2^0 2) + 2a_0(2^0 6) + 2a_0(2^1 4) + 2a_0(2^1 6) + 2a_0(2^1 8) + 2a_0(4^1 4) + 2a_0(4^2 6) + 2a_0(6^3 6))q^9 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Using [12, Page 64], we have the following Fourier expansion:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{13s}^*(f \Big|_{E_2})(\tau) = & (a_2(\frac{2^0 2}{13}) + 2a_2(\frac{2^1 2}{13}))q^3 \\ & + (2a_2(\frac{2^0 2}{13}) + a_2(\frac{2^0 4}{13}) + 2a_2(\frac{2^1 4}{13}))q^4 \\ & + (2a_2(\frac{2^0 2}{13}) + 3a_2(\frac{2^0 4}{13}) + a_2(\frac{2^0 6}{13}) + 2a_2(\frac{2^1 2}{13}) + 2a_2(\frac{2^1 4}{13}) + 2a_2(\frac{2^1 6}{13}))q^5 \\ & + (2a_2(\frac{2^0 6}{13}) + 4a_2(\frac{2^1 4}{13}) + a_2(\frac{4^0 4}{13}) + 2a_2(\frac{4^1 4}{13}))q^6 \\ & + (2a_2(\frac{2^0 2}{13}) + 4a_2(\frac{2^0 4}{13}) + a_2(\frac{2^0 6}{13}) + 2a_2(\frac{2^1 2}{13}) + 4a_2(\frac{2^1 6}{13}) + 2a_2(\frac{4^1 6}{13}) + 2a_2(\frac{4^2 6}{13}))q^7 \\ & + (2a_2(\frac{2^0 4}{13}) + 2a_2(\frac{2^1 4}{13}) + 2a_2(\frac{4^0 4}{13}) + 2a_2(\frac{2^1 2}{13}) + 2a_2(\frac{4^1 4}{13}) + 4a_2(\frac{4^2 6}{13}))q^8 \\ & + (2a_2(\frac{2^0 2}{13}) + 2a_2(\frac{2^0 6}{13}) + 2a_2(\frac{2^1 4}{13}) + 2a_2(\frac{2^1 6}{13}) + 2a_2(\frac{2^1 8}{13}) + 2a_2(\frac{4^1 4}{13}) + 2a_2(\frac{4^2 6}{13}) + 2a_2(\frac{6^3 6}{13}))q^9 \\ & + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Using the Atkin-Lehner operator  $W_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -26 & 12 \end{pmatrix}$  obtained from SAGE on the restriction map above, we have the following restriction.

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\phi^* \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} (f)\right) \Big|_{W_2} (\tau) &= \chi \left( \det \left( (1 - 13\widehat{\ell}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \right) \right) \phi^* \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} (f)(\tau) \\
&= \chi(7) \phi^* \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} (f)(\tau) \\
&= \chi(7) \left( (a_0(2^0 2) + 2a_0(2^1 2))q^3 \right. \\
&\quad + (2a_0(2^0 2) + a_0(2^0 4) + 2a_0(2^1 4))q^4 \\
&\quad + (2a_0(2^0 2) + 3a_0(2^0 4) + a_0(2^0 6) + 2a_0(2^1 2) + 2a_0(2^1 4) + 2a_0(2^1 6))q^5 \\
&\quad + (2a_0(2^0 6) + 4a_0(2^1 4) + a_0(4^0 4) + 2a_0(4^1 4))q^6 \\
&\quad + (2a_0(2^0 2) + 4a_0(2^0 4) + a_0(2^0 6) + 2a_0(2^1 2) + 4a_0(2^1 6) \\
&\quad \quad + 2a_0(4^1 4) + 2a_0(4^1 6) + 2a_0(4^2 6))q^7 \\
&\quad + (2a_0(2^0 4) + 2a_0(2^1 2) + 2a_0(2^1 4) + 2a_0(4^0 4) + 2a_0(4^1 4) + 4a_0(4^2 6))q^8 \\
&\quad + (2a_0(2^0 2) + 2a_0(2^0 6) + 2a_0(2^1 4) + 2a_0(2^1 6) + 2a_0(2^1 8) \\
&\quad \quad + 2a_0(4^1 4) + 2a_0(4^2 6) + 2a_0(6^3 6))q^9 + \dots \Big).
\end{aligned}$$

From SAGE we also obtain the action of  $W_2$  in the cuspidal subspace  $S_1^4(\Gamma_0^{(1)}(26), \chi)$ . Let  $A$  be the transformation matrix for this cuspidal subspace obtained as given by Sage, set

$$s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \{f_i\}_{i=1}^9 \text{ the basis elements.}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_s^*(f) &= \sum_i \lambda_i f_i, \\
\phi_s^*(f) \Big|_{W_2} &= \sum_i \lambda_i f_i \Big|_{W_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Proposition 4.4 we have

$$\chi(7) \phi_s^*(f) = A \sum_i \lambda_i f_i = A \phi_s^*(f).$$

Hence

$$\phi_s^*(f) \in \ker(A - \chi(7)I_2) = 0,$$

which implies  $\phi_s^*(f) = 0$ .

Comparing coefficients, we obtain the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
a_0(2^0 2) + 2a_0(2^1 2) &= 0, \\
2a_0(2^0 2) + a_0(2^0 4) + 2a_0(2^1 4) &= 0, \\
2a_0(2^0 2) + 3a_0(2^0 4) + a_0(2^0 6) + 2a_0(2^1 2) + 2a_0(2^1 4) + 2a_0(2^1 6) &= 0, \\
2a_0(2^0 6) + 4a_0(2^1 4) + 2a_0(2^1 8) + a_0(4^0 4) + 2a_0(4^1 4) + 2a_0(4^2 4) &= 0, \\
2a_0(2^0 2) + 4a_0(2^0 4) + a_0(2^0 6) + 2a_0(2^1 2) + 4a_0(2^1 6) + 2a_0(4^1 4) + 2a_0(4^1 6) + 2a_0(4^2 6) &= 0, \\
2a_0(2^0 4) + 2a_0(2^1 4) + 2a_0(4^0 4) + 2a_0(4^1 4) + 4a_0(4^1 6) + 2a_0(4^2 6) &= 0, \\
2a_0(2^0 2) + 2a_0(2^0 6) + 2a_0(2^1 4) + 2a_0(2^1 6) + 2a_0(2^1 8) + 2a_0(4^1 4) + 2a_0(4^2 6) + 2a_0(6^3 6) &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we see the action of the Atkin-Lehner operator  $W_{13} = \begin{pmatrix} 13 & 1 \\ 156 & 13 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 12 & 13 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 13 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  on  $\phi_s^*(f)$ .

Using Proposition 4.3, we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.1) \quad W_{13}\phi_s^*f(\tau) &= 13^2\chi(2 \cdot 6^2)\phi_{13s}^*(f|_{E_2})(\tau) \\
&= 13^2\chi(7)\phi_{13s}^*(f|_{E_2})(\tau) \\
&= 13^2\chi(7)\left((a_2(\frac{2^0 2}{13}) + 2a_2(\frac{2^1 2}{13}))q^3 \right. \\
&\quad + (2a_2(\frac{2^0 2}{13}) + a_2(\frac{2^0 4}{13}) + 2a_2(\frac{2^1 4}{13}))q^4 \\
&\quad + (2a_2(\frac{2^0 2}{13}) + 3a_2(\frac{2^0 4}{13}) + a_2(\frac{2^0 6}{13}) + 2a_2(\frac{2^1 2}{13}) + 2a_2(\frac{2^1 4}{13}) + 2a_2(\frac{2^1 6}{13}))q^5 \\
&\quad + (2a_2(\frac{2^0 6}{13}) + 4a_2(\frac{2^1 4}{13}) + a_2(\frac{4^0 4}{13}) + 2a_2(\frac{4^1 4}{13}))q^6 \\
&\quad + (2a_2(\frac{2^0 2}{13}) + 4a_2(\frac{2^0 4}{13}) + a_2(\frac{2^0 6}{13}) + 2a_2(\frac{2^1 2}{13}) \\
&\quad + 4a_2(\frac{2^1 6}{13}) + 2a_2(\frac{4^1 4}{13}) + 2a_2(\frac{4^1 6}{13}) + 2a_2(\frac{4^2 6}{13}))q^7 \\
&\quad + (2a_2(\frac{2^0 4}{13}) + 2a_2(\frac{2^1 4}{13}) + 2a_2(\frac{4^0 4}{13}) + 2a_2(\frac{2^1 2}{13}) + 2a_2(\frac{4^1 4}{13}) + 4a_2(\frac{4^2 6}{13}))q^8 \\
&\quad + (2a_2(\frac{2^0 2}{13}) + 2a_2(\frac{2^0 6}{13}) + 2a_2(\frac{2^1 4}{13}) + 2a_2(\frac{2^1 6}{13}) \\
&\quad \left. + 2a_2(\frac{2^1 8}{13}) + 2a_2(\frac{4^1 4}{13}) + 2a_2(\frac{4^2 6}{13}) + 2a_2(\frac{6^3 6}{13}))q^9 + \dots\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Using the Fricke involution, we compute the action of  $W_{26}$  on the restriction  $\phi_s^*f$ . Let

$$W_{26} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{26}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 26 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.2) \quad W_{26}\phi_s^*f(\tau) &= \phi_s^*f|_{W_{26}}(\tau) \\
&= \phi_s^*f\left|\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{26}} \\ \sqrt{26} & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right.(\tau) \\
&= f\left|\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{s}{\sqrt{26}} \\ \sqrt{26}s^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right.(s\tau) \\
&= f\left|_{E_2}\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{26}s^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{s}{\sqrt{26}} \end{pmatrix}\right.(s\tau) \\
&= \left(\det\left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{26}}\right)\right)^2 f|_{E_2}(26\tau I_2 s^{-1}) \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{13}\right)^2 f|_{E_2}(2s^{-1}13\tau I_2) \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{13}\right)^2 \phi_{2s^{-1}}^*(f|_{E_2})(13\tau) \\
&\stackrel{*}{=} \left(\frac{1}{13}\right)^2 \phi_s^*(f|_{E_2})(13\tau) \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{13}\right)^2 \phi_{13s}^*(f|_{E_2})(\tau)
\end{aligned}$$

The  $*$  follows since  $2s^{-1}$  is properly equivalent to  $s$ .

Now we have  $W_2(\phi_s^*f) = \chi(7)\phi_s^*f$  and  $W_{26}(\phi_s^*f) = \left(\frac{1}{13}\right)^4\chi^{-1}(7)W_{13}(\phi_s^*f)$  Working with  $s = I_2$  and  $s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$  we get these 10 equations in 13 unknowns.

$$\begin{aligned}
a_0(2^02) + 2a_0(2^12) &= 0, \\
2a_0(2^02) + a_0(2^04) + 2a_0(2^14) &= 0, \\
2a_0(2^02) + 3a_0(2^04) + a_0(2^06) + 2a_0(2^12) + 2a_0(2^14) + 2a_0(2^16) &= 0, \\
2a_0(2^06) + 4a_0(2^14) + 2a_0(2^18) + a_0(4^04) + 2a_0(4^14) + 2a_0(4^24) &= 0, \\
2a_0(2^02) + 4a_0(2^04) + a_0(2^06) + 2a_0(2^12) + 4a_0(2^16) + 2a_0(4^14) + 2a_0(4^16) + 2a_0(4^26) &= 0, \\
2a_0(2^04) + 2a_0(2^14) + 2a_0(4^04) + 2a_0(4^14) + 4a_0(4^16) + 2a_0(4^26) &= 0, \\
2a_0(2^02) + 2a_0(2^06) + 2a_0(2^14) + 2a_0(2^16) + 2a_0(2^18) + 2a_0(4^14) + 2a_0(4^26) + 2a_0(6^36) &= 0, \\
(-9z + 9)a_0(2^02) + (-2z + 2)a_0(2^04) + 2a_0(2^06) + (-2z + 4)a_0(2^12) + (-6z + 10)a_0(2^14) \\
+ 4a_0(2^16) + a_0(4^04) + 2a_0(4^14) + 2a_0(4^24) &= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

$$16z a_0(2^0 2) + (6z + 4) a_0(2^0 4) + 4a_0(2^0 6) + 8z a_0(2^1 2) + (12z + 4) a_0(2^1 4) + 4a_0(2^1 8) \\ + 4a_0(4^1 4) + 4a_0(4^1 6) + 4a_0(4^2 6) = 0,$$

$$4z a_0(2^0 2) + (4z - 4) a_0(2^0 4) + (-8z + 8) a_0(2^1 2) + (8z - 4) a_0(2^1 4) + 6a_0(2^1 6) \\ + 4a_0(4^0 4) + 4a_0(4^1 6) + 2a_0(4^2 6) + 2a_0(6^3 6) = 0;$$

where  $z = \zeta_6$ , the sixth root of unity.

These 10 equations in 13 unknowns are all independent and therefore give 3 free variables and 10 dependent variables. So  $\dim(S_2^2(\Gamma_0^{(2)}(13), \chi)) \leq 3$ .

Similarly, working with  $s = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$  with  $\det s = 3$ , restriction map  $\phi_s^*(f) \in S_1^4(39, \chi)$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_s^*(f)(\tau) = & (a_0(2^1 2))q^3 \\ & + (2a_0(2^0 2))q^4 \\ & + (3a(2^1 2) + 3a(2^1 4))q^5 \\ & + (6a_0(2^0 4) + a_0(4^2 4))q^6 \\ & + (6a_0(2^1 4) + 3a_0(2^1 6) + 3a_0(4^1 4))q^7 \\ & + (6a_0(2^0 2) + 6a_0(2^0 6) + 3a_0(4^0 4) + 3a_0(4^2 6))q^8 \\ & + (6a_0(2^1 6) + 3a_0(2^1 8) + 3a_0(4^1 4) + 6a_0(4^1 6) + a_0(6^3 6))q^9 \\ & + (2a_0(2^0 4) + a_0(4^2 4))q^{10} \\ & + (2a_0(2^1 2) + a_0(2^1 4) + 2a_0(2^1 8) + 2a_0(4^1 6))q^{11} \\ & + (2a_0(2^0 6) + 2a_0(4^2 6))q^{12} \\ & + (2a(2^1 4) + 2a_0(4^1 4))q^{13} \\ & + (2a_0(2^0 4))q^{14} \\ & + (2a_0(2^1 6) + 2a_0(4^1 6) + a_0(6^3 6))q^{15} \dots \end{aligned}$$

For  $S_1^4(39, \chi)$ , we have the Atkin-Lehner operators as  $W_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ -39 & -12 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $W_{13} = \begin{pmatrix} 13 & 1 \\ 156 & 13 \end{pmatrix}$

and  $W_{39} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{39}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 39 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Taking  $\phi_s^* f$  as before, we obtain the following:

$$W_3(\phi_s^* f) = \chi(9) \phi_s^* f \quad \text{and} \quad W_{39}(\phi_s^* f) = \left(\frac{1}{13}\right)^4 \chi^{-1}(7) W_{13}(\phi_s^* f).$$

However, these identities do not yield any additional relations among the Fourier coefficients. Consequently, the bound obtained above is the minimal bound achievable by our algorithm.

## 7. LOWER BOUND

The Saito–Kurokawa lift provides a method for lifting classical modular forms to Siegel modular forms. However, the Saito–Kurokawa construction has been established only for even weights  $k > 2$ , while our interest lies in the case  $k = 2$ . The Saito–Kurokawa map can be factored as

$$S_1^{k-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_0(4N))^+ \longrightarrow J_{k,1}^{\text{cusp}}(\Gamma_0(N)) \longrightarrow S_2^k(\Gamma_0(N)),$$

for odd square-free  $N$ . The second map in the above composition holds for general  $k$ . Poor and Yuen proved in Lemma 6.1 [12] that the first map will be an isomorphism for  $k = 2$  for odd square-free  $N$ . So, the lower bound will be the dimension of the space  $S_1^{k-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_0(4N))^+$  in the case of a trivial nebentypus. When working with nontrivial Nebentypus characters, such a factorization is not available. However, injective maps can be constructed that yield lower bounds for the dimensions of the corresponding spaces.

From Brown and Keaton [2], there exists an injective linear map

$$S_{2k-2}^1(\Gamma_0(N), \chi^2) \longrightarrow J_{k,1}^{\text{cusp}}(N, \chi),$$

where  $J_{k,1}^{\text{cusp}}(N, \chi)$  denotes the space of Jacobi cusp forms of level  $N$  and  $\chi$  is an even Dirichlet character.

Furthermore, Ibukiyama [5, Theorem 3.2] established an injective map

$$J_{k,1}^{\text{cusp}}(N, \chi) \longrightarrow S_k^2(\Gamma_0^{(2)}(N), \chi).$$

Combining these two injections, we obtain a lift

$$S_{2k-2}^1(\Gamma_0(N), \chi^2) \longrightarrow S_k^2(\Gamma_0^{(2)}(N), \chi).$$

Consequently, the lower bound for the dimension of the Siegel eigenspace  $S_k^2(\Gamma_0^{(2)}(N), \chi)$  is given by

$$\dim S_k^2(\Gamma_0^{(2)}(N), \chi) \geq \dim S_{2k-2}^1(\Gamma_0(N), \chi^2).$$

**7.1. Lower bound for  $S_2^2(\Gamma_0^{(2)}(13), \chi)$ .** Putting the values  $N = 13$ ,  $k = 2$  and  $\chi$  as the character taking  $2 \rightarrow -\zeta_6$  in the above injections, we get the lower bound to be 0.

## 8. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

Building on the restriction map techniques of Poor and Yuen, we have established explicit upper bounds for the dimensions of spaces of Siegel modular forms with non-trivial even nebentypus of weight  $k = 2$  and level  $N = ll'$ , where  $l$  and  $l'$  are distinct primes. The computational framework developed here has been demonstrated through representative examples, providing a clear illustration of the underlying method.

In addition, we have obtained the corresponding lower bounds for these spaces by relating them to classical and Jacobi cusp forms. Together, these results offer a more complete understanding of the dimension theory of Siegel modular forms in low-weight and non-trivial character settings.



## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Andrianov, *Introduction to Siegel Modular Forms and Dirichlet Series*, Universitext, Springer (2009).
- [2] J. Brown and R. Keaton, *Level stripping for Siegel modular forms with reducible Galois representations*, *Journal of Number Theory* **133** (2013), no. 5, 1492–1501.
- [3] F. Diamond and J. Shurman, *A first course in modular forms*, Vol. 228 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, New York (2005), ISBN 0-387-23229-X.
- [4] T. Ibukiyama, *On some alternating sum of dimensions of Siegel cusp forms of general degree and cusp configurations*, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.* **40** (1993), no. 2, 245–283.
- [5] ———, *Saito–Kurokawa liftings of level  $N$  and practical construction of Jacobi forms*, *Kyoto Journal of Mathematics* **52** (2012).
- [6] T. Java compiler, *Java terminal* (2025). [Online; accessed 4 February 2025].
- [7] ———, *Online Java compiler*, <https://www.jdoodle.com/online-java-compiler> (2025). [Online; accessed 4 February 2025].
- [8] M. Klein, *Verschwindungssätze für Hermitesche Modulformen sowie Siegelsche Modulformen zu den Kongruenzuntergruppen  $\Gamma_0^{(n)}(N)$  und  $\Gamma^{(n)}(N)$* , Ph.D. thesis, University of Saarbrücken, Saarbrücken (2004).
- [9] T. LMFDB Collaboration, *The L-functions and modular forms database*, <https://www.lmfdb.org> (2025). [Online; accessed 27 October 2025].
- [10] C. Poor and D. S. Yuen, *Linear dependence among Siegel modular forms*, *Math. Ann.* **318** (2000), no. 2, 205–234.
- [11] ———, *Restriction of Siegel modular forms to modular curves*, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **65** (2002), no. 2, 239–252.
- [12] ———, *Dimensions of cusp forms for  $\Gamma_0(p)$  in degree two and small weights*, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* **77** (2007) 59–80.
- [13] R. Schmidt, *Dimension formulas for spaces of Siegel modular forms of degree 2* (2024).
- [14] W. A. Stein, *Modular Forms, a Computational Approach*, Vol. 79 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I (2007), ISBN 978-0-8218-3960-7.
- [15] S. Wakatsuki, *Dimension formulas for spaces of vector-valued Siegel cusp forms of degree two*, *J. Number Theory* **132** (2012), no. 1, 200–253.

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH, PUNE, INDIA