

# ABSOLUTELY SUMMING HANKEL OPERATORS ON BERGMAN SPACES

ZHIJIE FAN, BO HE, XIAOFENG WANG, AND ZHICHENG ZENG

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we initiate the study of absolute summability for big and little Hankel operators  $H_f^\beta, h_f^\beta : A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{B}_n) \rightarrow L^q(\mathbb{B}_n, dv_\beta)$ , acting between weighted Bergman and weighted Lebesgue spaces on the unit ball, for possibly different integrability exponents  $p$  and  $q$ . We characterize those symbols  $f$  for which the big Hankel operator  $H_f^\beta$  is  $r$ -summing, and those for which the little Hankel operator  $h_f^\beta$  is  $r$ -summing. Our approach relies on a deep revisit of the absolute summability of the associated Carleson embedding operators from  $A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{B}_n)$  to  $L^q(\mathbb{B}_n, dv_\beta)$ , from which we obtain characterizations of absolutely summing big and little Hankel operators that appear to be new even in the diagonal case  $p = q$ .

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	5
3. A general principle in the necessity direction	8
4. Absolutely summing Carleson measures	12
5. Absolutely summing Hankel operators	18
6. Absolutely summing little Hankel operators	25
References	32

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathbb{C}^n$  denote the  $n$ -dimensional complex Euclidean space. For  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$  and  $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , we define

$$\langle z, w \rangle := \sum_{j=1}^n z_j \overline{w_j} \quad \text{and} \quad |z| := \sqrt{\langle z, z \rangle}.$$

The open unit ball in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is

$$\mathbb{B}_n := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z| < 1\}.$$

We denote by  $dv$  the (Lebesgue) volume measure on  $\mathbb{B}_n$ , normalized so that  $v(\mathbb{B}_n) = 1$ . For  $\alpha > -1$ , the weighted Lebesgue measure  $dv_\alpha$  is defined by

$$dv_\alpha(z) := c_\alpha (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha dv(z),$$

---

*Date:* December 1, 2025.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47B35, 47B10, 30H20.

*Key words and phrases.* Big Hankel operators, Little Hankel operators,  $r$ -summing operators, Bergman spaces, Carleson embeddings.

where

$$(1.1) \quad c_\alpha := \frac{\Gamma(n+1+\alpha)}{n! \Gamma(\alpha+1)}$$

is chosen so that  $v_\alpha(\mathbb{B}_n) = 1$ , and  $\Gamma$  denotes the Gamma function.

Given  $\alpha > -1$  and  $0 < p < \infty$ , for  $f \in L^p(dv_\alpha) := L^p(\mathbb{B}_n, dv_\alpha)$  we write

$$\|f\|_{p,\alpha} := \left( \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(z)|^p dv_\alpha(z) \right)^{1/p}.$$

The weighted Bergman space  $A_\alpha^p$  consists of all holomorphic functions  $f$  in  $L^p(dv_\alpha)$ . In the particular case  $p = 2$ , the space  $L^2(dv_\alpha)$  is a Hilbert space, and we denote its inner product by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\alpha$ . It is well known that  $A_\alpha^2$  is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space. The associated reproducing (Bergman) kernel of  $A_\alpha^2$  is given explicitly by

$$K^\alpha(z, w) = \frac{1}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}}.$$

Let  $P_\alpha$  denote the orthogonal projection from  $L^2(dv_\alpha)$  onto  $A_\alpha^2$ . Then  $P_\alpha$  is an integral operator with kernel  $K^\alpha(z, w)$ . That is,

$$P_\alpha(f)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} f(w) K^\alpha(z, w) dv_\alpha(w), \quad f \in L^2(dv_\alpha).$$

For each fixed  $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$ , the function  $w \mapsto K^\alpha(z, w)$  is bounded on  $\mathbb{B}_n$ , so the integral above also makes sense for every  $f \in L^1(dv_\alpha)$  and  $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$ .

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\alpha > -1$ . For every  $f \in L^1(dv_\alpha)$ , the (big) Hankel operator with symbol  $f$ , initially defined on bounded holomorphic functions on  $\mathbb{B}_n$ , is given by

$$H_f^\alpha(g)(z) := (I - P_\alpha)(fg)(z),$$

where  $I$  denotes the identity operator.

Let  $\overline{A_\alpha^2}$  be the space of conjugate analytic functions in  $L^2(dv_\alpha)$ . Clearly,

$$\overline{A_\alpha^2} = \{\bar{f} : f \in A_\alpha^2\}$$

is a closed subspace in  $L^2(dv_\alpha)$ . Let  $\overline{P_\alpha}$  be the orthogonal projection from  $L^2(dv_\alpha)$  onto  $\overline{A_\alpha^2}$ , which is given by

$$\overline{P_\alpha}(f)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{f(w)}{(1 - \langle w, z \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}} dv_\alpha(w), \quad f \in L^2(dv_\alpha).$$

Using this integral representation,  $\overline{P_\alpha}$  can be extended to an operator on  $L^1(dv_\alpha)$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $\alpha > -1$ . For every  $f \in L^1(dv_\alpha)$ , the (little) Hankel operator with symbol  $f$ , initially defined on bounded holomorphic functions on  $\mathbb{B}_n$ , is given by

$$h_f^\alpha(g) := \overline{P_\alpha}(fg).$$

An operator  $T: X \rightarrow Y$  is absolutely summing (also called 1-summing) if and only if  $T$  maps every unconditionally summable sequence  $\{x_k\}$  in  $X$  to an absolutely summable sequence  $\{Tx_k\}$  in  $Y$ . More generally, we have the following definition.

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $1 \leq s \leq r < \infty$  and let  $T: X \rightarrow Y$  be a linear operator between Banach spaces. We say that  $T$  is  $(r, s)$ -summing if there exists a constant  $C \geq 0$  such that, for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and every choice of  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$ , we have

$$\left( \sum_{k=1}^m \|Tx_k\|_Y^r \right)^{1/r} \leq C \sup_{\varphi \in B_{X^*}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^m |\varphi(x_k)|^s \right)^{1/s} = C \sup_{\|a\|_{\ell^{s'}} \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^m a_k x_k \right\|_X,$$

where  $X^*$  denotes the dual space of  $X$  and  $B_{X^*}$  is its unit ball. The least such constant  $C$  is denoted by  $\pi_{r,s}(T)$ . In particular,  $T$  is called  $r$ -summing if it is  $(r, r)$ -summing, in which case we write  $\pi_r(T) := \pi_{r,r}(T)$ .

The origins of the theory of absolutely summing (or  $r$ -summing) operators can be traced back to Grothendieck's pioneering work in the 1950s. In his study of nuclear spaces, he formulated the basic properties of these operators (see [20, 21]), and in his seminal work [20] he showed that every bounded linear operator from  $\ell^1$  to  $\ell^2$  is absolutely summing, that is

$$\Pi_1(\ell^1, \ell^2) = \mathcal{L}(\ell^1, \ell^2).$$

A major advancement in the theory came in the 1960s from Pietsch, who explicitly defined the class of absolutely  $r$ -summing operators for all  $1 \leq r < \infty$  in [42], thereby significantly generalising Grothendieck's original concept and leading to the establishment of many foundational properties of such operators. He subsequently developed the theory of  $r$ -summing operators by introducing key tools such as the Pietsch domination and factorization theorem, which are essential in our analysis (See [43, 44] for more information). S. Kwapien extended this theory to  $\mathcal{L}_p$ -spaces in [33], proving that every bounded linear operator from an  $\mathcal{L}_1$ -space to an  $\mathcal{L}_p$ -space is  $(r, 1)$ -summing, where  $1/r = 1 - |1/p - 1/2|$ , and in particular that

$$\Pi_1(X, Y) = \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$$

whenever  $X$  is an  $\mathcal{L}_1$ -space and  $Y$  is an  $\mathcal{L}_2$ -space.

On Hilbert spaces, the class of absolutely  $r$ -summing operators coincides, for every  $r \geq 1$ , with the class of Hilbert–Schmidt operators. More precisely, if  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are Hilbert spaces and  $1 \leq r < \infty$ , then

$$\Pi_r(H_1, H_2) = S_2(H_1, H_2),$$

and the  $r$ -summing norm  $\pi_r(T)$  is equivalent to the Hilbert–Schmidt norm  $\|T\|_2$  on this ideal. Thus, absolutely summing operators provide a natural extension of Schatten class operators from Hilbert spaces to general Banach spaces. In fact, we observe a strong similarity between the characterization of the  $r$ -absolute summability of Hankel operators on  $A^p$  and the Schatten  $r$ -class characterization on  $A^2$ . Nevertheless, the exact relationship between these two theories is more nuanced, and we have not yet established a definitive deeper connection.

More recently, the study of absolutely summing operators on various spaces of analytic functions has attracted substantial attention. A significant breakthrough in this direction was obtained by Lefèvre and Rodríguez-Piazza [34], who obtained the first complete characterization of absolutely summing Carleson embeddings on the Hardy spaces  $H^p(\mathbb{D})$ , thereby laying the groundwork

for much of the subsequent development. Building on this, further extensions were obtained for the classical Bergman spaces  $A^p(\mathbb{D})$  in [22], for Bergman spaces over half-plane [7] and for weighted Fock spaces  $F_{\alpha,\omega}^p$  with  $A_\infty$ -type weights in [8], which broaden the scope of the theory to a wider range of analytic function spaces. Along a related line of research, substantial progress has also been achieved for absolutely summing weighted composition operators on Bloch spaces [16], for absolutely summing weighted composition operators on Bergman spaces [12], for Volterra operators on Bergman and Bloch spaces [32], and for Toeplitz operators on Bergman spaces and Fock spaces [27, 35].

The big and little Hankel operators play an important role in several areas of mathematics, notably in functional analysis, complex analysis, operator theory, and certain parts of control theory. In particular, they constitute one of the most important classes of operators in the study of bounded and compact operators on Hilbert spaces and are intimately related to many classical problems in analysis (for further background and applications, see the monograph of V. Peller [41]). A fundamental problem in the study of Hankel operators is the characterization of their boundedness, compactness, Schatten- $p$  property and asymptotic behavior of singular values (see e.g. [1–6, 9, 10, 13–15, 17, 24–26, 28–31, 36, 38, 39, 45–56, 58] and the references therein). In particular, Schatten- $p$  membership and the asymptotic behavior of singular values provide quantitative refinements of the notion of compactness for operators on Hilbert spaces. By contrast, for Hankel operators acting between Banach spaces, a quantitative theory for measuring their compactness is still largely missing. In particular, to the best of our knowledge, there is as yet no systematic development of a theory of absolutely summing Hankel operators between Banach spaces, not even in the model case of the Hankel operator  $H_f : A_\alpha^p \rightarrow L_\alpha^p$ , which is somewhat surprising in view of the fact that absolutely summing operators provide the natural Banach-space analogue of the Schatten classes for quantifying compactness of operators. To fill in this gap, the present paper aims to initiate the study of absolute summing Hankel operators on Bergman spaces and is concerned with the following basic question:

**Question:** *Let  $p, q, r \geq 1$  and  $f \in L^q(dv_\beta)$ . For which symbols  $f$  are the big Hankel operator  $H_f^\beta : A_\alpha^p \rightarrow L^q(dv_\beta)$  and the little Hankel operator  $h_f^\beta : A_\alpha^p \rightarrow L^q(dv_\beta)$  absolutely  $r$ -summing?*

We resolve this question for a wide range of parameters  $(p, q, r)$ . The precise formulations of our main results are given in Theorems 5.3 and 6.1. The remaining case appears to be substantially more delicate. One reason is that, even for diagonal operators

$$\mathcal{M}_\beta : \ell^p \rightarrow \ell^q, \quad \mathcal{M}_\beta(\{a_k\}) = \{\beta_k a_k\},$$

a complete characterization of their absolutely  $r$ -summing properties does not seem to be available in the literature (see [19] for a related discussion). Nevertheless, to the best of our knowledge, even in the special case  $p = q$ , the present work provides the first systematic study of absolutely summing Hankel operators on analytic function spaces. A key feature of our approach is that it reduces the absolutely summing properties of Hankel operators to those of Carleson embedding operators between Bergman spaces and  $L^q$ -spaces. Building on the remarkable work of Lef  vre and Rodr  guez-Piazza on Hardy spaces [34] and its extension to Bergman spaces in [22], we revisit

absolutely summing Carleson embeddings  $J_\mu : A_\alpha^p \rightarrow L^q(d\mu)$  and show that their  $r$ -summing norms can be expressed in terms of geometric lattice conditions on  $\mu$ . A central new ingredient is a general necessary principle which asserts that, for an operator  $T : A_\alpha^p \rightarrow L^q(d\mu)$  to be  $r$ -summing, its action on a suitable testing family  $h_{p,a_k}$  associated with a separated lattice must satisfy sharp  $\ell^s$ -type estimates. This principle applies in particular to, but is not restricted to, Hankel operators, so it is also of independent interest for operators on Bergman spaces.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we collect some basic properties of Bergman spaces and absolutely summing operators from the literature. In Section 3, we establish a general necessary principle showing that the  $r$ -summing (or  $(r, s)$ -summing) property of the operator  $T : A_\alpha^p \rightarrow L^q(d\mu)$  implies suitable  $\ell^s$ -type estimates for the testing sequence  $\{T(h_{p,a_k})\}$  associated with a separated sequence  $\{a_k\}$ . In Section 4, we characterize those measures  $\mu$  for which the Carleson embedding operator  $J_\mu : A_\alpha^p \rightarrow L^q(d\mu)$  is  $r$ -summing. Sections 5 and 6 are devoted to the characterization of absolutely summing big and little Hankel operators, respectively.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Notation and standard estimates.** We let  $d$  be the Bergman metric on  $\mathbb{B}_n$  (see [57] for the definition). For  $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$  and  $r > 0$ , we denote by  $B(z, r)$  the Bergman metric ball centered at  $z$  with radius  $r$ , that is

$$B(z, r) = \{w \in \mathbb{B}_n : d(z, w) < r\}.$$

It is well known that (see e.g. [57, Lemma 1.24]) for any fixed  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$(2.1) \quad v_\alpha(B(z, \delta)) \simeq (1 - |z|^2)^{n+1+\alpha},$$

and for any  $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$  and  $w \in B(z, \delta)$ , we have

$$(2.2) \quad |1 - \langle z, w \rangle| \simeq 1 - |w|^2 \simeq 1 - |z|^2,$$

where the implicit constants are independent of  $z$  and  $w$ . By (2.1) and (2.2), we have the following local volume comparability: for  $w \in \mathbb{B}_n$  and  $z \in B(w, \delta)$ ,

$$(2.3) \quad v_\alpha(B(z, \delta)) \simeq (1 - |z|^2)^{n+1+\alpha} \simeq (1 - |w|^2)^{n+1+\alpha} \simeq v_\alpha(B(w, 2\delta)).$$

**Definition 2.1.** Given  $\delta > 0$ , we say that a sequence  $\{a_j\}$  in  $\mathbb{B}_n$  is a  $\delta$ -lattice in the Bergman metric if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1)  $\{B(a_j, \delta)\}$  is a covering of  $\mathbb{B}_n$ ;
- (2)  $B(a_i, \delta/4) \cap B(a_j, \delta/4) = \emptyset$  whenever  $i \neq j$ .

We refer to [57, Theorem 2.23] for the existence of  $\delta$ -lattice. A sequence  $\{a_j\}$  in  $\mathbb{B}_n$  is called separated if  $\inf_{i \neq j} d(a_i, a_j) > 0$ . Note that in particular any  $\delta$ -lattice is separated by the triangle inequality. It is well known that if  $\{a_j\}$  is a  $\delta$ -lattice, then we have the following finite multiplicity property: for any fixed finite constant  $c > 0$ ,

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_j \chi_{B(a_j, c\delta)}(z) \leq N, \quad z \in \mathbb{B}_n,$$

where  $N$  depends on  $c$  and  $\delta$  but is independent of  $z$ . In general, (2.4) also holds for any separated sequence once  $c$  and  $\delta$  are fixed. For a proof of (2.4), we refer to the proof of [57, Theorem 2,23 (3)].

Throughout the whole paper, we denote by  $H(\Omega)$  the space of all holomorphic functions on a domain  $\Omega$  of the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ . We have the following local mean value inequality on Bergman balls.

**Lemma 2.2.** [57, Lemma 2.24] *Suppose  $\delta > 0$ ,  $p > 0$ , and  $\alpha > -1$ . Then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$|f(z)|^p \leq \frac{C}{v_\alpha(B(z, \delta))} \int_{B(z, \delta)} |f(w)|^p dv_\alpha(w)$$

for all  $f \in H(\mathbb{B}_n)$  and all  $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$ .

**2.2. Test functions.** We introduce a modified test function that serves as a substitute for the reproducing kernel and is crucial for analyzing the endpoint case  $p = 1$ .

Fix  $b > n + 1 + \alpha$  when  $p = 1$  and  $b = n + 1 + \alpha$  when  $p > 1$ . For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , we define the modified reproducing kernel  $H_{p,z}$  by

$$(2.5) \quad H_{p,z}(w) := \frac{1}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^b}, \quad z, w \in \mathbb{B}_n.$$

For any  $1 < p < \infty$ , we have  $H_{p,z} = K_z^\alpha$ , where we use the notation  $K_z^\alpha(w) := K^\alpha(w, z)$  for simplicity. Moreover, it follows from [57, Theorem 1.12], (2.1) and (2.2) that for any  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,

$$(2.6) \quad \|H_{p,z}\|_{p,\alpha} \simeq (1 - |z|^2)^{(-pb+n+1+\alpha)/p}, \quad z \in \mathbb{B}_n.$$

Next, for any  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , we define the  $L^p(dv_\alpha)$ -normalized function of  $H_{p,z}$  by

$$h_{p,z}(w) := \frac{H_{p,z}(w)}{\|H_{p,z}\|_{p,\alpha}}, \quad z, w \in \mathbb{B}_n.$$

By (2.6) and (2.2), for any fixed  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$(2.7) \quad |h_{p,z}(w)| \simeq \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{(pb-n-1-\alpha)/p}}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^b} \simeq (1 - |z|^2)^{-(n+1+\alpha)/p}, \quad w \in B(z, \delta).$$

**2.3. Absolutely summing operators.** In this subsection, we collect several elementary properties from [11] about  $r$ -summing operators. These properties play crucial roles in our analysis.

- **Ideal property.** Let  $r \geq 1$  and let  $X, Y$  be Banach spaces. The class  $\Pi_r(X, Y)$  is an operator ideal: if  $T \in \Pi_r(X, Y)$  and  $S : X_0 \rightarrow X$ ,  $U : Y \rightarrow Y_0$  are bounded linear operators, then  $UTS \in \Pi_r(X_0, Y_0)$  and

$$(2.8) \quad \pi_r(UTS) \leq \|U\| \pi_r(T) \|S\|.$$

See [11, p.37].

- **Inclusion and monotonicity.** Let  $X, Y$  be Banach spaces and  $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$ . Then

$$\Pi_p(X, Y) \subset \Pi_q(X, Y).$$

Moreover, if  $T \in \Pi_p(X, Y)$ , then for every  $q \geq p$ ,

$$(2.9) \quad \pi_q(T) \leq \pi_p(T).$$

See [11, p.39].

• **Cotype property.**

Let  $\{r_k\}_{k \geq 1}$  be the standard Rademacher system on  $[0, 1]$ , defined by

$$r_1(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq \text{Frac}(t) < \frac{1}{2}, \\ -1, & \frac{1}{2} \leq \text{Frac}(t) < 1, \end{cases} \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

where  $\text{Frac}(t) := t - [t]$  denotes the fractional part, and set

$$(2.10) \quad r_{k+1}(t) = r_1(2^k t) \quad \text{for } k \geq 1.$$

The Khintchine inequality, closely tied to the Rademacher functions, states that for every  $0 < \ell < \infty$  there exist constants  $A_\ell, B_\ell > 0$  depending only on  $\ell$  such that, for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and complex numbers  $b_1, \dots, b_m$ ,

$$(2.11) \quad A_\ell \left( \sum_{k=1}^m |b_k|^2 \right)^{\ell/2} \leq \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=1}^m b_k r_k(t) \right|^\ell dt \leq B_\ell \left( \sum_{k=1}^m |b_k|^2 \right)^{\ell/2}.$$

See [11, p.10] for details.

Let  $\Pi_{r,s}(X, Y)$  be the class of all  $(r, s)$ -summing operators from  $X$  to  $Y$ , and let  $\pi_{r,s}(T)$  denote the  $(r, s)$ -summing norm of  $T \in \Pi_{r,s}(X, Y)$ . A well-known result shows that  $(\Pi_{r,s}, \pi_{r,s})$  forms a Banach space. Moreover, it is injective whenever  $X$  and  $Y$  are Banach spaces (see [11, Prop. 10.2]). Note that  $\Pi_{r,s}(X, Y) = \{0\}$  for all  $X$  and  $Y$  when  $r < s$ . Thus, we always assume  $r \geq s$ . It is easy to see that  $\Pi_{r,s}(X, Y)$  is a linear subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ , the space of all bounded linear operators from  $X$  to  $Y$ . Also, for all  $\|T\| \leq \pi_{r,s}(T)$ , we have  $T \in \Pi_{r,s}(X, Y)$ .

**Definition 2.3.** *We say that a Banach space  $X$  has cotype  $q$  if there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that, for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and every finite family  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$ ,*

$$\left( \sum_{j=1}^m \|x_j\|^q \right)^{1/q} \leq C \left( \int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m r_j(t) x_j \right\|^2 dt \right)^{1/2},$$

where  $(r_j)_{j \geq 1}$  are the Rademacher functions defined in (2.10).

Next, we collect two standard facts about cotype and absolutely  $r$ -summing operators that will be used frequently.

- [11, Corollary 11.7] For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , the Lebesgue space  $L^p(\Omega, d\mu)$  has cotype  $\max\{p, 2\}$ ;
- [11, Corollary 11.16] For Banach spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , if both  $X$  and  $Y$  have cotype 2, then

$$(2.12) \quad \Pi_r(X, Y) = \Pi_1(X, Y)$$

together with the equivalence  $\pi_r(T) \simeq \pi_1(T)$  for every  $1 \leq r < \infty$ .

We will also frequently use the following standard characterization of  $r$ -summing operators, which provides an equivalent description.

**Lemma 2.4.** [11] *Let  $X, Y$  be Banach spaces and  $r \geq 1$ . A bounded operator  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  is  $r$ -summing if and only if there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that, for every  $X$ -valued random variable  $F$  on any measure space  $(\Omega, \mu)$ ,*

$$\left( \int_{\Omega} \|T \circ F\|_Y^r d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq C \sup_{\xi \in B_{X^*}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\xi \circ F|^r d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}.$$

Moreover, the least such constant  $C$  is  $\pi_r(T)$ .

The following lemma states that  $r$ -summing implies almost summing.

**Lemma 2.5.** [11, Proposition. 12.5] *Let  $1 \leq r < \infty$  and let  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  be an  $r$ -summing operator between Banach spaces. Then there exists a constant  $C_r > 0$  such that for every finite family  $(x_k)_{k=1}^m \subset X$ ,*

$$\left( \int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{k=1}^m r_k(t) T(x_k) \right\|_Y^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \leq C_r \pi_r(T) \sup_{\varphi \in B_{X^*}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^m |\varphi(x_k)|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

**Definition 2.6.** *For  $p \geq 1$  and a Banach space  $X$ , a linear map  $T : X \rightarrow L^p(d\mu)$  is called order bounded when  $T(B_X)$  is an order bounded subset of  $L^p(d\mu)$ . Equivalently, there exists  $h \in L^p(d\mu)$  with  $h \geq 0$  such that  $|Tf| \leq h$   $\mu$ -almost everywhere for every  $f \in B_X$ .*

Order bounded operators are tightly linked to absolutely  $r$ -summing operators and will be equally central to our analysis. The next lemma makes the link precise and follows from Propositions 5.5 and 5.18 in [11].

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $p \geq 1$ , and let  $(\Omega, \Sigma, m)$  be a measure space. If  $T : X \rightarrow L^p(\Omega, dm)$  is order bounded, then  $T$  is  $p$ -summing and*

$$\pi_p(T) \leq \left\| \sup_{f \in B_X} |Tf| \right\|_{L^p(\Omega, dm)}.$$

### 3. A GENERAL PRINCIPLE IN THE NECESSITY DIRECTION

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $1 \leq p, q \leq 2$ ,  $r \geq 1$ ,  $\alpha > -1$  and  $\delta > 0$ . Let  $\{a_k\}$  be a separated sequence. Let  $\mu$  be a positive Borel measure on  $\mathbb{B}_n$ . Let  $T : A_{\alpha}^p \rightarrow L^q(d\mu)$  be a linear operator. Let  $\kappa := 2p/(2p - 2q + pq)$  for  $1 \leq p \leq 2$  and  $1 \leq q < 2$  with the endpoint convention  $\kappa := \infty$  when  $(p, q) = (1, 2)$ , and*

$$b_k = \left( \int_{B(a_k, \delta)} |T(h_{p, a_k})(z)|^q d\mu(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

*If  $T : A_{\alpha}^p \rightarrow L^q(d\mu)$  is  $r$ -summing, then the sequence  $\{b_k\}$  belongs to  $\ell^{\kappa q}$ . Moreover,*

$$\|\{b_k\}\|_{\ell^{\kappa q}} \lesssim \pi_r(T).$$

*Proof.* For every finite index set  $F$  and coefficients  $\{c_k\}_{k \in F} \subset \mathbb{C}$ , set

$$F_t = \sum_{k \in F} c_k r_k(t) h_{p, a_k},$$

where  $r_k(t)$  are the Rademacher functions on  $[0, 1]$ .



By Fubini's theorem and Khintchine's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{k \in F} c_k r_k(t) T(h_{p,a_k}) \right\|_{L^q(d\mu)}^q dt &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left( \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k \in F} c_k r_k(t) T(h_{p,a_k})(z) \right|^q dt \right) d\mu(z) \\
 &\simeq \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left( \sum_{k \in F} |c_k|^2 |T(h_{p,a_k})(z)|^2 \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} d\mu(z) \\
 &= \left\| \left( \sum_{k \in F} |c_k T(h_{p,a_k})|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^q(d\mu)}^q.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.1}$$

On the one hand, applying the finite multiplicity property (2.4), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{RHS of (3.1)} &\gtrsim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{B(a_j, \delta)} \left( \sum_{k \in F} |c_k|^2 |T(h_{p,a_k})(z)|^2 \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} d\mu(z) \\
 &\geq \sum_{j \in F} |c_j|^q \int_{B(a_j, \delta)} |T(h_{p,a_j})(z)|^q d\mu(z) \\
 &= \sum_{j \in F} |c_j|^q b_j^q.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.2}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.5,

$$\left( \int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{k \in F} c_k r_k(t) T(h_{p,a_k}) \right\|_{L^q(d\mu)}^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \lesssim \pi_r(T) \sup_{\varphi \in B_{(A_\alpha^p)^*}} \left( \sum_{k \in F} |\varphi(c_k h_{p,a_k})|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

In view of this and (3.1), applying Hölder's inequality, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left\| \left( \sum_{k \in F} |c_k T(h_{p,a_k})|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^q(d\mu)} &\simeq \left( \int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{k \in F} c_k r_k(t) T(h_{p,a_k}) \right\|_{L^q(d\mu)}^q dt \right)^{1/q} \\
 &\lesssim \pi_r(T) \sup_{\varphi \in B_{(A_\alpha^p)^*}} \left( \sum_{k \in F} |\varphi(c_k h_{p,a_k})|^2 \right)^{1/2}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.3}$$

**Case 1.** If  $p = 1$ , then we apply the inequality  $\|h_{p,w}\|_{A_\alpha^p} = 1$  to see that

$$\sup_{\varphi \in B_{(A_\alpha^p)^*}} \left( \sum_{k \in F} |\varphi(c_k h_{p,a_k})|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \sup_{\varphi \in B_{(A_\alpha^p)^*}} \left( \sum_{k \in F} \|\varphi\|_{(A_\alpha^p)^*}^2 |c_k|^2 \|h_{p,a_k}\|_{A_\alpha^p}^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \left( \sum_{k \in F} |c_k|^2 \right)^{1/2}.
 \tag{3.4}$$

**Case 2.** If  $1 < p < 2$ , then  $(A_\alpha^p)^* \simeq A_\alpha^{p'}$  [57, Theorem 2.12]. For  $g \in B_{A_\alpha^{p'}}$ , we apply the reproducing formula, (2.3) and Lemma 2.2 to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{k \in F} |\langle g, h_{p,a_k} \rangle_\alpha|^{p'} &\simeq \sum_{k=1}^m |g(a_k)|^{p'} (1 - |a_k|^2)^{n+1+\alpha} \\
 &\lesssim \sum_{k \in F} \frac{(1 - |a_k|^2)^{n+1+\alpha}}{v_\alpha(B(a_k, \delta))} \int_{B(a_k, \delta)} |g(z)|^{p'} dv_\alpha(z) \\
 &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |g(z)|^{p'} dv_\alpha(z) \leq 1.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.5}$$

This, together with Hölder's inequality, implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sup_{\varphi \in B_{(A_\alpha^p)^*}} \left( \sum_{k \in F} |\varphi(c_k h_{p,a_k})|^2 \right)^{1/2} &= \sup_{g \in B_{A_\alpha^{p'}}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^m |c_k \langle g, h_{p,a_k} \rangle_\alpha|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
 &\leq \left( \sum_{k \in F} |c_k|^{\frac{2p}{2-p}} \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2p}} \sup_{g \in B_{A_\alpha^{p'}}} \left( \sum_{k \in F} |\langle g, h_{p,a_k} \rangle_\alpha|^{p'} \right)^{1/p'} \\
 &\lesssim \left( \sum_{k \in F} |c_k|^{\frac{2p}{2-p}} \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2p}}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.6}$$

Combining the inequalities (3.1)–(3.4) and (3.6), we deduce that for  $1 \leq p < 2$ ,

$$\sum_{k \in F} |c_k|^q b_k^q \lesssim \pi_r(T)^q \cdot \left( \sum_{k \in F} |c_k|^{\frac{2p}{2-p}} \right)^{\frac{q(2-p)}{2p}},$$

where the implicit constants in the above estimates are independent of  $F$ . Let  $s := 2p/(q(2-p))$  and  $s' := 2p/(2p-2q+pq)$  such that  $\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{s'} = 1$ . It follows that for  $\{c_k\} \in \ell^{\frac{2p}{2-p}}$ , we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |c_k|^q b_k^q \lesssim \pi_r(T)^q \cdot \| \{ |c_k|^q \} \|_{\ell^s}.$$

Taking the supremum over all  $\{c_k\}$  with  $\| \{ |c_k|^q \} \|_{\ell^s} \leq 1$  and using the duality between  $\ell^s$  and  $\ell^{s'}$ , we obtain

$$\| \{ b_k \} \|_{\ell^{s'q}} \lesssim \pi_r(T).$$

This completes the proof for the case  $1 \leq p < 2$ .

**Case 3.** The case  $p = 2$  is simpler. Indeed, when  $p = 2$  we have  $s' = 1$ , so the target exponent is  $qs' = q$ . We take  $c_k \equiv 1$  for all  $k$ . Therefore, by (3.5) we have

$$\sup_{\varphi \in B_{(A_\alpha^2)^*}} \left( \sum_{k \in F} |\varphi(c_k h_{2,a_k})|^2 \right)^{1/2} = \sup_{g \in B_{A_\alpha^2}} \left( \sum_{k \in F} |c_k \langle g, h_{2,a_k} \rangle_\alpha|^2 \right)^{1/2} \lesssim 1.
 \tag{3.7}$$

Combining the inequalities (3.1)–(3.3) and (3.7), we deduce that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k^q \lesssim \pi_r(T)^q.$$

This completes the proof for the case  $p = 2$ . □

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $p > 1, q \geq 1, p' \leq s \leq r < \infty, \alpha > -1$  and  $\delta > 0$ . Let  $\{a_k\}$  be a separated sequence. Let  $\mu$  be a positive Borel measure on  $\mathbb{B}_n$ . Let  $T : A_\alpha^p \rightarrow L^q(d\mu)$  be a  $(r, s)$ -summing operator. Set*

$$b_k = \left( \int_{B(a_k, \delta)} |T(h_{p,a_k})(z)|^q d\mu(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Then

$$\| \{ b_k \} \|_{\ell^r} \lesssim \pi_{r,s}(T).$$

*Proof.* Since  $T : A_\alpha^p \rightarrow L^q(d\mu)$  is  $(r, s)$ -summing and  $(A_\alpha^p)^* \simeq A_\alpha^{p'}$  (see [57, Theorem 2.12]), for every finite index set  $F$  we have

$$(3.8) \quad \left( \sum_{k \in F} \|T(h_{p,a_k})\|_{L^q(d\mu)}^r \right)^{1/r} \leq \pi_{r,s}(T) \cdot \sup_{\|g\|_{A_\alpha^{p'}} \leq 1} \left( \sum_{k \in F} |\langle g, h_{p,a_k} \rangle_\alpha|^s \right)^{1/s}.$$

For the left-hand side, we have

$$(3.9) \quad \|T(h_{p,a_k})\|_{L^q(d\mu)} \geq \left( \int_{B(a_k, \delta)} |T(h_{p,a_k})(w)|^q d\mu(w) \right)^{1/q} = b_k.$$

For the right-hand side, it follows from the inclusion  $\ell^{p'} \subset \ell^s$  for  $p' \leq s$  and the inequality (3.5) that

$$(3.10) \quad \left( \sum_{k \in F} |\langle g, h_{p,a_k} \rangle_\alpha|^s \right)^{1/s} \leq \left( \sum_{k \in F} |\langle g, h_{p,a_k} \rangle_\alpha|^{p'} \right)^{1/p'} \lesssim 1.$$

Combining the inequalities (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10), we deduce that

$$\left( \sum_{k \in F} b_k^r \right)^{1/r} \leq \pi_{r,s}(T) \cdot \sup_{\|g\|_{A_\alpha^{p'}} \leq 1} \left( \sum_{k \in F} |\langle g, h_{p,a_k} \rangle_\alpha|^{p'} \right)^{1/p'} \lesssim \pi_{r,s}(T).$$

Letting  $F \uparrow \mathbb{N}$  completes the proof of Proposition 3.2.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $p > 1, q \geq 1, r \geq 1, \alpha > -1$  and  $\delta > 0$ . Let  $\{a_k\}$  be a separated sequence. Let  $\mu$  be a positive Borel measure on  $\mathbb{B}_n$ . Let  $T : A_\alpha^p \rightarrow L^q(d\mu)$  be an  $r$ -summing operator. Set*

$$b_k = \left( \int_{B(a_k, \delta)} |T(h_{p,a_k})(z)|^q d\mu(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

*The following conclusions hold:*

(1) *If  $p > 1, q \geq 1, 1 \leq r \leq p'$ , then*

$$\|\{b_k\}\|_{\ell^{p'}} \lesssim \pi_r(T);$$

(2) *If  $p > 1, q \geq 1, p' \leq r$ , then*

$$\|\{b_k\}\|_{\ell^r} \lesssim \pi_r(T);$$

(3) *If  $p \geq 2, q \geq 2$ , then*

$$\|\{b_k\}\|_{\ell^q} \lesssim \pi_r(T);$$

(4) *If  $p \geq 2, 1 \leq q \leq 2$ , then*

$$\|\{b_k\}\|_{\ell^2} \lesssim \pi_r(T).$$

*Proof.* (1) Since  $1 \leq r \leq p'$  and  $T : A_\alpha^p \rightarrow L^q(d\mu)$  is  $r$ -summing, we see that  $T : A_\alpha^p \rightarrow L^q(d\mu)$  is also  $p'$ -summing. Applying Proposition 3.2 with  $(r, s) = (p', p')$  yields the first statement.  
 (2) Applying Proposition 3.2 with  $r = s$  yields the second statement.  
 (3) Since the cotype of  $L^q(d\mu)$  is  $q \geq 2$ , it follows from [11, Theorem 11.13] that  $T : A_\alpha^p \rightarrow L^q(d\mu)$  is  $(q, 2)$ -summing. Applying Proposition 3.2 with  $(r, s) = (q, 2)$  yields the third statement.

(4) Since the cotype of  $L^q(d\mu)$  is 2, it follows from [11, Theorem 11.13] that  $T : A_\alpha^p \rightarrow L^q(d\mu)$  is 2-summing. Applying Proposition 3.2 with  $(r, s) = (2, 2)$  yields the fourth statement.

This completes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. ABSOLUTELY SUMMING CARLESON MEASURES

##### 4.1. Block-diagonal Lemmas for summing operators.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $\{X_k\}_{k \geq 1}$  be a sequence of Banach spaces and let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . The  $\ell^p$ -direct sum of  $\{X_k\}_{k \geq 1}$  is the vector space

$$\bigoplus_{k \geq 1}^{\ell^p} X_k := \left\{ \{x_k\}_{k \geq 1} : x_k \in X_k, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|x_k\|_{X_k}^p < \infty \right\},$$

with coordinatewise addition and scalar multiplication, equipped with the norm

$$\|\{x_k\}_{k \geq 1}\|_{\ell^p} := \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|x_k\|_{X_k}^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $p, q \geq 1$ . Let  $\{X_k\}_{k \geq 1}$  and  $\{Y_k\}_{k \geq 1}$  be sequences of Banach spaces. Let  $T_k : X_k \rightarrow Y_k$  be a 1-summing operator. Let  $\kappa := 2p/(2p - 2q + pq)$  for  $1 \leq q < 2$ , and

$$s(p, q) := \begin{cases} \kappa q, & 1 \leq q < 2, \\ p', & q \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

If  $\{\pi_1(T_k)\}_{k \geq 1} \in \ell^{s(p, q)}$ , then the block-diagonal operator

$$T : \{x_k\}_{k \geq 1} \in \bigoplus_{k \geq 1}^{\ell^p} X_k \longrightarrow \{T_k(x_k)\}_{k \geq 1} \in \bigoplus_{k \geq 1}^{\ell^q} Y_k$$

is 1-summing and satisfies

$$\pi_1(T) \lesssim \|\{\pi_1(T_k)\}\|_{\ell^{s(p, q)}}.$$

*Proof.* Write  $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$  and set  $\beta_k := \pi_1(T_k)$ ,  $\beta = (\beta_k)_k$ . For each  $k$ , set

$$S_k := \begin{cases} \beta_k^{-1} T_k, & \beta_k > 0, \\ 0, & \beta_k = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\pi_1(S_k) \leq 1$  for all  $k$ . By the known case  $(p, q) = (1, 2)$  established in [22, Proposition 9.1], the block-diagonal operator

$$S : \{x_k\} \in \bigoplus_{k \geq 1}^{\ell^1} X_k \longrightarrow \{S_k(x_k)\} \in \bigoplus_{k \geq 1}^{\ell^2} Y_k$$

is 1-summing with  $\pi_1(S) \lesssim 1$ .

For  $b = \{b_k\} \in \ell^{p'}$ ,  $c = \{c_k\} \in \ell^{\frac{2q}{2-q}}$  when  $1 \leq q < 2$ , and  $c \equiv 1$  when  $q \geq 2$ , define the diagonal multipliers

$$D_b : \{x_k\} \in \bigoplus_{k \geq 1}^{\ell^p} X_k \longrightarrow \{b_k x_k\} \in \bigoplus_{k \geq 1}^{\ell^1} X_k,$$

and

$$E_c : \{y_k\} \in \bigoplus_{k \geq 1}^{\ell^2} Y_k \longrightarrow \{c_k y_k\} \in \bigoplus_{k \geq 1}^{\ell^q} Y_k.$$

By Hölder's inequality, these multipliers are bounded and satisfy  $\|D_b\| \leq \|b\|_{\ell^{p'}}$ ,  $\|E_c\| \leq \|c\|_{\ell^{\frac{2q}{2-q}}}$  when  $1 \leq q < 2$ . Moreover, it is clear that  $E_c \equiv \text{Id}$  when  $q \geq 2$ . For any choice of  $b = \{b_k\}$  and  $c = \{c_k\}$  with  $b_k c_k = \beta_k$ , the operator  $T$  admits the factorization  $T = E_c S D_b$ . Hence, by the ideal property of 1-summing operators,

$$\pi_1(T) \leq \|E_c\| \pi_1(S) \|D_b\| \lesssim \|E_c\| \|D_b\|.$$

It remains to choose appropriate  $b$  and  $c$ .

**Case 1.** If  $1 \leq q < 2$ , then we set  $b_k := \beta_k^{\frac{\kappa q}{p'}}$  and  $c_k := \beta_k^{\frac{\kappa q(2-q)}{2q}}$ . It follows that  $b_k c_k = \beta_k$ . Since

$$\frac{1}{\kappa q} = \frac{1}{p'} + \frac{2-q}{2q},$$

we have  $\pi_1(T) \lesssim \|b\|_{\ell^{p'}} \|c\|_{\ell^{\frac{2q}{2-q}}} = \|\beta\|_{\ell^{\kappa q}}$ .

**Case 2.** If  $q \geq 2$ , then we set  $b_k := \beta_k$  and  $c_k := 1$ . It follows that  $\|D_b\| = \|\beta\|_{\ell^{p'}}$  and  $\|E_c\| = 1$ . Hence, we have  $\pi_1(T) \lesssim \|\beta\|_{\ell^{p'}}$ . This finishes the proof of Lemma 4.2.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $p \geq 1$  and  $1 \leq r \leq q$ . Let  $\{X_k\}_{k \geq 1}$  and  $\{Y_k\}_{k \geq 1}$  be sequences of Banach spaces. Let  $T_k : X_k \longrightarrow Y_k$  be a  $r$ -summing operator. If  $\{\pi_r(T_k)\}_{k \geq 1} \in \ell^r$ , then the block-diagonal operator*

$$T : \{x_k\}_{k \geq 1} \in \bigoplus_{k \geq 1} \ell^p X_k \longrightarrow \{T_k(x_k)\}_{k \geq 1} \in \bigoplus_{k \geq 1} \ell^q Y_k$$

*is  $r$ -summing and satisfies*

$$\pi_r(T) \lesssim \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \pi_r(T_k)^r \right)^{1/r}.$$

*Proof.* Fix  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and vectors  $x^{(j)} = (x_{j,k})_{k \geq 1} \in X := \bigoplus_{\ell^p} X_k$  for  $j = 1, \dots, m$ . Since  $r \leq q$ ,

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \|T x^{(j)}\|_Y^r = \sum_{j=1}^m \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|T_k x_{j,k}\|_{Y_k}^q \right)^{r/q} \leq \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|T_k x_{j,k}\|_{Y_k}^r.$$

Since  $T_k$  is  $r$ -summing,

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \|T_k x_{j,k}\|_{Y_k}^r \leq \pi_r(T_k)^r \sup_{\{a_j\} \in B_{\ell^{p'}}} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m a_j x_{j,k} \right\|_{X_k}^r.$$

Summing over  $k$  and using

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^m a_j x^{(j)} \right\|_X = \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m a_j x_{j,k} \right\|_{X_k}^p \right)^{1/p} \geq \sup_k \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m a_j x_{j,k} \right\|_{X_k},$$

we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \|T x^{(j)}\|_Y^r \leq \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \pi_r(T_k)^r \right) \sup_{\{a_j\} \in B_{\ell^{p'}}} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m a_j x^{(j)} \right\|_X^r.$$

Taking the supremum over all finite families  $\{x^{(j)}\}$  shows  $\pi_r(T) \leq (\sum_k \pi_r(T_k)^r)^{1/r}$ . This finishes the proof of Lemma 4.3.  $\square$

## 4.2. Sufficiency.

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $p, q \geq 1$ , let  $\delta > 0$ , and let  $\{a_k\}$  be a  $\delta$ -lattice in  $\mathbb{B}_n$ . Let  $\mu$  be a positive Borel measure on  $\mathbb{B}_n$ . For each  $k$ , set  $dv_k := v_\alpha(B(a_k, 2\delta))^{-1} dv_\alpha$  and define*

$$T_{p,q}^{(k)}(f) := \frac{f|_{B(a_k, \delta)}}{v_\alpha(B(a_k, 2\delta))^{1/p}}.$$

*Then  $T_{p,q}^{(k)}$  is bounded from  $A^1(B(a_k, 2\delta), dv_k)$  to  $L^q(B(a_k, \delta), d\mu)$  if and only if*

$$(1 - |a_k|^2)^{-(n+1+\alpha)q/p} \mu(B(a_k, \delta)) < \infty.$$

*Moreover,*

$$\|T_{p,q}^{(k)}\| \lesssim (1 - |a_k|^2)^{-(n+1+\alpha)/p} \mu(B(a_k, \delta))^{1/q}.$$

*Proof. (Sufficiency)* Fix  $k$  and let  $f \in A^1(B(a_k, 2\delta), dv_k)$ . By Lemma 2.2, for each  $z \in B(a_k, \delta)$ ,

$$|f(z)| \lesssim \frac{1}{v_\alpha(B(z, \delta))} \int_{B(z, \delta)} |f(w)| dv_\alpha(w).$$

Since  $B(z, \delta) \subset B(a_k, 2\delta)$  and by (2.3), we obtain

$$(4.1) \quad \sup_{z \in B(a_k, \delta)} |f(z)| \lesssim \frac{1}{v_\alpha(B(a_k, 2\delta))} \int_{B(a_k, 2\delta)} |f(w)| dv_\alpha(w) = \|f\|_{L^1(B(a_k, 2\delta), dv_k)}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{p,q}^{(k)}(f)\|_{L^q(B(a_k, \delta), d\mu)} &= v_\alpha(B(a_k, 2\delta))^{-1/p} \left( \int_{B(a_k, \delta)} |f(z)|^q d\mu(z) \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq v_\alpha(B(a_k, 2\delta))^{-1/p} \mu(B(a_k, \delta))^{1/q} \sup_{z \in B(a_k, \delta)} |f(z)| \\ &\lesssim v_\alpha(B(a_k, 2\delta))^{-1/p} \mu(B(a_k, \delta))^{1/q} \|f\|_{L^1(B(a_k, 2\delta), dv_k)} \\ &\lesssim (1 - |a_k|^2)^{-(n+1+\alpha)/p} \mu(B(a_k, \delta))^{1/q} \|f\|_{L^1(B(a_k, 2\delta), dv_k)}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves boundedness under the stated condition and gives the required upper bound on  $\|T_{p,q}^{(k)}\|$ .

*(Necessity)* Take  $f \equiv 1$  on  $B(a_k, 2\delta)$ . It follows that  $\|f\|_{L^1(B(a_k, 2\delta), dv_k)} = 1$ , and  $T_{p,q}^{(k)}f = v_\alpha(B(a_k, 2\delta))^{-1/p}$  on  $B(a_k, \delta)$ . Combining with (2.1), we deduce that

$$\|T_{p,q}^{(k)}\| \geq \|T_{p,q}^{(k)}(f)\|_{L^q(B(a_k, \delta), d\mu)} = v_\alpha(B(a_k, 2\delta))^{-1/p} \mu(B(a_k, \delta))^{1/q} \simeq (1 - |a_k|^2)^{-(n+1+\alpha)/p} \mu(B(a_k, \delta))^{1/q}.$$

Therefore, the boundedness of  $T_{p,q}^{(k)}$  implies the finiteness of  $(1 - |a_k|^2)^{-(n+1+\alpha)q/p} \mu(B(a_k, \delta))$ . This completes the proof of necessity.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $p, q \geq 1$ . Let  $\delta > 0$  and  $\{a_k\}$  be a  $\delta$ -lattice. Let  $\mu$  be a positive Borel measure on  $\mathbb{B}_n$ . Assume that for the fixed index  $k$ ,*

$$(1 - |a_k|^2)^{-(n+1+\alpha)q/p} \mu(B(a_k, \delta)) < \infty.$$

*Define  $S_k(f) := f|_{B(a_k, \delta)}$ . Then  $S_k$  is 1-summing from  $A^p(B(a_k, 3\delta), dv_\alpha)$  to  $L^q(B(a_k, \delta), d\mu)$  with*

$$\pi_1(S_k) \lesssim (1 - |a_k|^2)^{-(n+1+\alpha)/p} \mu(B(a_k, \delta))^{1/q}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $dv_k = v_\alpha(B(a_k, 2\delta))^{-1} dv_\alpha$  be the normalized volume measure on  $B(a_k, 2\delta)$ . Let

$$P_1(f) := v_\alpha(B(a_k, 2\delta))^{1/p} f|_{B(a_k, 2\delta)}, \quad \text{for } f \in A^p(B(a_k, 3\delta), dv_\alpha),$$

$$P_2(f) := T_{p,q}^{(k)}(f), \quad \text{for } f \in A^1(B(a_k, 2\delta), dv_k).$$

Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^p(B(a_k, 3\delta), dv_\alpha) & \xrightarrow{S_k} & L^q(B(a_k, \delta), d\mu) \\ P_1 \downarrow & & \uparrow P_2 \\ H^\infty(B(a_k, 2\delta)) & \xrightarrow{j_k} & A^1(B(a_k, 2\delta), dv_k) \end{array}$$

where  $j_k$  is the canonical inclusion, and its operator norm is bounded by 1 since  $dv_k$  is a probability measure. By Lemma 4.4, the operator norm of  $P_2$  is dominated by  $(1 - |a_k|^2)^{-(n+1+\alpha)/p} \mu(B(a_k, \delta))^{1/q}$ . By Lemma 2.2 on  $B(z, \delta)$ , the inclusion  $B(z, \delta) \subset B(a_k, 3\delta)$  for  $z \in B(a_k, 2\delta)$ , and the local volume comparability (2.3), we obtain for every  $f \in A^p(B(a_k, 3\delta), dv_\alpha)$ ,

$$\sup_{z \in B(a_k, 2\delta)} |f(z)| \lesssim \sup_{z \in B(a_k, 2\delta)} \left( \frac{1}{v_\alpha(B(z, \delta))} \int_{B(a_k, 3\delta)} |f(w)|^p dv_\alpha(w) \right)^{1/p} \lesssim v_\alpha(B(a_k, 2\delta))^{-1/p} \|f\|_{L^p(B(a_k, 3\delta), dv_\alpha)}.$$

Hence,  $P_1$  is bounded and  $\|P_1\| \lesssim 1$ .

To see that  $j_k$  is 1-summing, let  $(g_i)_{i=1}^m \subset H^\infty(B(a_k, 2\delta))$ . Since  $v_k(B(a_k, 2\delta)) = 1$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \|j_k g_i\|_{A^1(B(a_k, 2\delta), dv_k)} = \int_{B(a_k, 2\delta)} \sum_{i=1}^m |g_i(z)| dv_k(z) \leq \sup_{z \in B(a_k, 2\delta)} \sum_{i=1}^m |g_i(z)| \leq \sup_{\varphi \in B_{H^\infty(B(a_k, 2\delta))^*}} \sum_{i=1}^m |\varphi(g_i)|,$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact that for each  $z \in B(a_k, 2\delta)$ , the point evaluation functional  $\delta_z(g) := g(z)$  satisfies  $\|\delta_z\| = 1$ . Hence,  $j_k$  is 1-summing with  $\pi_1(j_k) \leq 1$ .

Note that  $S_k$  admits the factorization  $S_k = T_{p,q}^{(k)} \circ j_k \circ P_1$ . Hence, by the ideal property of 1-summing operators,

$$\pi_1(S_k) \lesssim \|P_1\| \pi_1(j_k) \|T_{p,q}^{(k)}\| \lesssim (1 - |a_k|^2)^{-(n+1+\alpha)/p} \mu(B(a_k, \delta))^{1/q}.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.5.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.6.** *Let  $\alpha > -1$ ,  $\delta > 0$ ,  $p, q \geq 1$  and  $r \geq 1$ . Let  $\{a_k\}$  be a  $\delta$ -lattice in  $\mathbb{B}_n$  and let  $\mu$  be a positive Borel measure on  $\mathbb{B}_n$ . Let  $\kappa := 2p/(2p - 2q + pq)$  for  $1 \leq q < 2$ . Then  $J_\mu : A_\alpha^p \rightarrow L^q(d\mu)$  is  $r$ -summing if, according to the range of  $(p, q, r)$ , the corresponding condition below holds:*

(1)  $1 \leq q < 2$  and  $\{(1 - |a_k|^2)^{-\frac{n+1+\alpha}{p}} \mu(B(a_k, \delta))^{1/q}\} \in \ell^{\kappa q}$ . In this case,

$$\pi_r(J_\mu) \leq \pi_1(J_\mu) \lesssim \left\| \{(1 - |a_k|^2)^{-\frac{n+1+\alpha}{p}} \mu(B(a_k, \delta))^{1/q}\} \right\|_{\ell^{\kappa q}};$$

(2)  $q \geq 2$  and  $\{(1 - |a_k|^2)^{-\frac{n+1+\alpha}{p}} \mu(B(a_k, \delta))^{1/q}\} \in \ell^{p'}$ . In this case,

$$\pi_r(J_\mu) \leq \pi_1(J_\mu) \lesssim \left\| \{(1 - |a_k|^2)^{-\frac{n+1+\alpha}{p}} \mu(B(a_k, \delta))^{1/q}\} \right\|_{\ell^{p'}};$$

(3)  $1 \leq r \leq q$  and  $\{(1 - |a_k|^2)^{-\frac{n+1+\alpha}{p}} \mu(B(a_k, \delta))^{1/q}\} \in \ell^r$ . In this case,

$$\pi_r(J_\mu) \lesssim \left\| \{(1 - |a_k|^2)^{-\frac{n+1+\alpha}{p}} \mu(B(a_k, \delta))^{1/q}\} \right\|_{\ell^r};$$

(4)  $1 \leq q \leq r$  and  $\{(1 - |a_k|^2)^{-\frac{n+1+\alpha}{p}} \mu(B(a_k, \delta))^{1/q}\} \in \ell^q$ . In this case,

$$\pi_r(J_\mu) \leq \pi_q(J_\mu) \lesssim \left\| \{(1 - |a_k|^2)^{-\frac{n+1+\alpha}{p}} \mu(B(a_k, \delta))^{1/q}\} \right\|_{\ell^q}.$$

*Proof.* By the finite overlap property (2.4), for  $p \geq 1$ , the localization map

$$(4.2) \quad M_1 : f \in A_\alpha^p \mapsto \{f|_{B(a_k, 3\delta)}\}_k \in \bigoplus_{\ell^p} A^p(B(a_k, 3\delta), dv_\alpha)$$

is bounded. Next, define a partition adapted to the lattice: set  $E_1 := B(a_1, \delta)$  and, for  $j \geq 2$ ,

$$E_j := B(a_j, \delta) \setminus \bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq j-1} E_k.$$

Then the sets  $\{E_j\}_{j \geq 1}$  are pairwise disjoint, cover  $\mathbb{B}_n$ , and satisfy  $E_j \subset B(a_j, \delta)$  for all  $j$ . It follows that the gluing operator

$$M_2 : \bigoplus_{\ell^q} L^q(B(a_k, \delta), d\mu) \longrightarrow L^q(d\mu), \quad (M_2\{g_k\})(z) := g_j(z) \text{ for } z \in E_j,$$

is bounded, since

$$\|M_2\{g_k\}\|_{L^q(d\mu)}^q = \sum_j \int_{E_j} |g_j(z)|^q d\mu(z) \leq \sum_j \|g_j\|_{L^q(B(a_j, \delta), d\mu)}^q.$$

By Lemma 4.5, for each  $k$ , the restriction

$$S_k : A^p(B(a_k, 3\delta), dv_\alpha) \longrightarrow L^q(B(a_k, \delta), d\mu), \quad S_k(f) = f|_{B(a_k, \delta)},$$

is 1-summing with  $\pi_1(S_k) \lesssim \beta_k$ . Let  $S$  be the block-diagonal operator defined by

$$S : \{x_k\} \in \bigoplus_{\ell^p} A^p(B(a_k, 3\delta), dv_\alpha) \longrightarrow \{S_k(x_k)\}.$$

Note that  $J_\mu$  admits the factorization

$$J_\mu = M_2 \circ S \circ M_1.$$

Denote by  $\beta := \{\beta_k\}$  with  $\beta_k := (1 - |a_k|^2)^{-\frac{n+1+\alpha}{p}} \mu(B(a_k, \delta))^{1/q}$ . We now divide the argument into four cases.

**Case 1.** If  $1 \leq q < 2$  and  $\beta \in \ell^{\kappa q}$ , then by Lemma 4.2,  $\pi_1(S) \lesssim \|\{\pi_1(S_k)\}\|_{\ell^{\kappa q}} \lesssim \|\beta\|_{\ell^{\kappa q}}$ . Together with the ideal property of absolutely summing operators, we have

$$\pi_1(J_\mu) \lesssim \|M_1\| \pi_1(S) \|M_2\| \lesssim \|\beta\|_{\ell^{\kappa q}}.$$

**Case 2.** If  $q \geq 2$  and  $\beta \in \ell^{p'}$ , then by Lemma 4.2,  $\pi_1(S) \lesssim \|\{\pi_1(S_k)\}\|_{\ell^{p'}} \lesssim \|\beta\|_{\ell^{p'}}$ . Together with the ideal property of absolutely summing operators, we have

$$\pi_1(J_\mu) \lesssim \|M_1\| \pi_1(S) \|M_2\| \lesssim \|\beta\|_{\ell^{p'}}.$$

**Case 3.** If  $1 \leq r \leq q$  and  $\beta \in \ell^r$ , then by monotonicity,  $\pi_r(S_k) \leq \pi_1(S_k) \lesssim \beta_k$ . Together with Lemma 4.3 and the ideal property of absolutely summing operators, we have

$$\pi_r(J_\mu) \lesssim \|M_2\| \pi_r(S) \|M_1\| \lesssim \left( \sum_k \pi_r(S_k)^r \right)^{1/r} \lesssim \|\beta\|_{\ell^r}.$$



**Case 4.** If  $1 \leq q \leq r$  and  $\beta \in \ell^q$ , then by monotonicity,  $\pi_q(S_k) \leq \pi_1(S_k) \lesssim \beta_k$ . Together with Lemma 4.3 (where we take  $r = q$ ) and the ideal property of absolutely summing operators, we have

$$\pi_r(J_\mu) \leq \pi_q(J_\mu) \leq \|M_2\| \pi_q(S) \|M_1\| \lesssim \left( \sum_k \pi_q(S_k)^q \right)^{1/q} \lesssim \|\beta\|_{\ell^q}.$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 4.6.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.7.** *Let  $q \geq 2, r \geq 1, \alpha > -1$ . Let  $\mu$  be a positive Borel measure on  $\mathbb{B}_n$ . Then  $J_\mu : A_\alpha^1 \rightarrow L^q(d\mu)$  is  $r$ -summing if and only if  $J_\mu : A_\alpha^1 \rightarrow L^q(d\mu)$  is bounded.*

*Proof.* Let  $\delta > 0$  and  $\{a_k\}$  be a  $\delta$ -lattice. On the one hand, it follow from [23, Theorems 3.1] that  $J_\mu : A_\alpha^1 \rightarrow L^q(d\mu)$  is bounded if and only if  $\{(1 - |a_k|^2)^{-(n+1+\alpha)} \mu(B(a_k, \delta))^{1/q}\}$  is bounded. On the other hand, by Proposition 4.6,  $J_\mu : A_\alpha^1 \rightarrow L^q(d\mu)$  is  $r$ -summing if and only if  $\{(1 - |a_k|^2)^{-(n+1+\alpha)} \mu(B(a_k, \delta))^{1/q}\}$  is bounded. The proof is complete.  $\square$

#### 4.3. Necessity.

**Proposition 4.8.** *Let  $p, q, r \geq 1, \alpha > -1$  and  $\delta > 0$ . Let  $\{a_k\}$  be a separated sequence. Let  $\mu$  be a positive Borel measure on  $\mathbb{B}_n$ . Let  $\kappa := 2p/(2p - 2q + pq)$  for  $1 \leq p \leq 2$  and  $1 \leq q < 2$  with the endpoint convention  $\kappa := \infty$  when  $(p, q) = (1, 2)$ . Assume that  $J_\mu : A_\alpha^p \rightarrow L^q(d\mu)$  is  $r$ -summing. Then the following statements hold:*

(1) *If  $1 \leq p, q \leq 2$ , then*

$$\left\| \left\{ (1 - |a_k|^2)^{-\frac{n+1+\alpha}{p}} \mu(B(a_k, \delta))^{1/q} \right\} \right\|_{\ell^{\kappa q}} \lesssim \pi_r(J_\mu);$$

(2) *If  $p > 1, q \geq 1, 1 \leq r \leq p'$ , then*

$$\left\| \left\{ (1 - |a_k|^2)^{-\frac{n+1+\alpha}{p}} \mu(B(a_k, \delta))^{1/q} \right\} \right\|_{\ell^{p'}} \lesssim \pi_r(J_\mu);$$

(3) *If  $p > 1, q \geq 1, p' \leq r < \infty$ , then*

$$\left\| \left\{ (1 - |a_k|^2)^{-\frac{n+1+\alpha}{p}} \mu(B(a_k, \delta))^{1/q} \right\} \right\|_{\ell^r} \lesssim \pi_r(J_\mu);$$

(4) *If  $p \geq 2, q \geq 2$ , then*

$$\left\| \left\{ (1 - |a_k|^2)^{-\frac{n+1+\alpha}{p}} \mu(B(a_k, \delta))^{1/q} \right\} \right\|_{\ell^q} \lesssim \pi_r(J_\mu).$$

*Proof.* For each  $k$ , by (2.7),

$$\int_{B(a_k, \delta)} |J_\mu(h_{p, a_k})(z)|^q d\mu(z) = \int_{B(a_k, \delta)} |h_{p, a_k}(z)|^q d\mu(z) \simeq (1 - |a_k|^2)^{-\frac{(n+1+\alpha)q}{p}} \mu(B(a_k, \delta)).$$

By taking  $T = J_\mu$ , we see that (1) follows from Proposition 3.1, and (2)–(4) follow from Corollary 3.3.  $\square$

## 5. ABSOLUTELY SUMMING HANKEL OPERATORS

**Definition 5.1.** For any  $\delta > 0, q \geq 1$  and  $f \in L^q_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{B}_n)$ , we define the IDA function  $G_{q,\delta}(f)$  as

$$G_{q,\delta}(f)(z) := \inf_{h \in H(B(z,\delta))} \left\{ \frac{1}{v(B(z,\delta))} \int_{B(z,\delta)} |f(w) - h(w)|^q dv(w) \right\}^{1/q}.$$

**Definition 5.2.** For any  $\delta > 0, q \geq 1$  and  $f \in L^q_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{B}_n)$ , we define the local  $L^q$ -mean

$$M_{q,\delta}(f)(z) := \left( \frac{1}{v(B(z,\delta))} \int_{B(z,\delta)} |f(w)|^q dv(w) \right)^{1/q}.$$

Denote by  $d\lambda$  the invariant measure on  $\mathbb{B}_n$ . Specifically,

$$d\lambda(z) := \frac{dv(z)}{(1 - |z|^2)^{n+1}}.$$

The main result in this section is the following.

**Theorem 5.3.** Let  $p \geq 1, q \geq 1, r \geq 1, \alpha, \beta > -1$ , and  $f \in L^q(dv_\beta)$ . Let  $\delta > 0$  and  $\{a_k\}$  be a  $\delta/2$ -lattice. Let  $\kappa := 2p/(2p - 2q + pq)$  for  $1 \leq p \leq 2$  and  $1 < q \leq 2$  with the endpoint convention  $\kappa := \infty$  when  $(p, q) = (1, 2)$ . Set

$$\gamma = \frac{n+1+\beta}{q} - \frac{n+1+\alpha}{p}.$$

Then  $H_f^\beta : A_\alpha^p \rightarrow L^q(dv_\beta)$  is  $r$ -summing if and only if, according to the range of  $(p, q, r)$ , the corresponding condition below holds:

- (1) For  $1 \leq p \leq 2, 1 < q \leq 2$ , and  $r \geq 1$ ,  $\{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma G_{q,4\delta}(f)(a_k)\} \in \ell^{\kappa q}$  (equivalently,  $(1 - |\cdot|^2)^\gamma G_{q,\delta}(f) \in L^{\kappa q}(\mathbb{B}_n, d\lambda)$ ). In this case,

$$\pi_r(H_f^\beta) \simeq \left\| \{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma G_{q,4\delta}(f)(a_k)\} \right\|_{\ell^{\kappa q}} \simeq \left\| (1 - |\cdot|^2)^\gamma G_{q,\delta}(f) \right\|_{L^{\kappa q}(\mathbb{B}_n, d\lambda)};$$

- (2) For  $p \geq 1, q \geq 2$ , and  $1 \leq r \leq p'$ ,  $\{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma G_{q,4\delta}(f)(a_k)\} \in \ell^{p'}$  (equivalently,  $(1 - |\cdot|^2)^\gamma G_{q,\delta}(f) \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{B}_n, d\lambda)$ ). In this case,

$$\pi_r(H_f^\beta) \simeq \left\| \{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma G_{q,4\delta}(f)(a_k)\} \right\|_{\ell^{p'}} \simeq \left\| (1 - |\cdot|^2)^\gamma G_{q,\delta}(f) \right\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{B}_n, d\lambda)};$$

- (3) For  $p > 1, q > 1$ , and  $p' \leq r \leq \max\{p', q\}$ ,  $\{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma G_{q,4\delta}(f)(a_k)\} \in \ell^r$  (equivalently,  $(1 - |\cdot|^2)^\gamma G_{q,\delta}(f) \in L^r(\mathbb{B}_n, d\lambda)$ ). In this case,

$$\pi_r(H_f^\beta) \simeq \left\| \{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma G_{q,4\delta}(f)(a_k)\} \right\|_{\ell^r} \simeq \left\| (1 - |\cdot|^2)^\gamma G_{q,\delta}(f) \right\|_{L^r(\mathbb{B}_n, d\lambda)};$$

- (4) For  $p \geq 2, q \geq 2$ , and  $r \geq \max\{p', q\}$ ,  $\{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma G_{q,4\delta}(f)(a_k)\} \in \ell^q$  (equivalently,  $(1 - |\cdot|^2)^\gamma G_{q,\delta}(f) \in L^q(\mathbb{B}_n, d\lambda)$ ). In this case,

$$\pi_r(H_f^\beta) \simeq \left\| \{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma G_{q,4\delta}(f)(a_k)\} \right\|_{\ell^q} \simeq \left\| (1 - |\cdot|^2)^\gamma G_{q,\delta}(f) \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{B}_n, d\lambda)}.$$

Moreover, for  $p = 1$  and  $q \geq 2$ , the operator  $H_f^\beta : A_\alpha^1 \rightarrow L^q(dv_\beta)$  is  $r$ -summing if and only if it is bounded. In this case,

$$\pi_r(H_f^\beta) \simeq \|H_f^\beta\|.$$

### 5.1. Sufficiency.

**Lemma 5.4.** *Let  $\gamma, s, q > 0$  and  $f \in L^q_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{B}_n)$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *For every  $\delta > 0$  and  $\delta$ -lattice  $\{a_k\}$ ,  $\{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma G_{q,2\delta}(f)(a_k)\} \in \ell^s$ ;*
- (2) *For every  $\delta > 0$ ,  $(1 - |z|^2)^\gamma G_{q,\delta}(f)(z) \in L^s(\mathbb{B}_n, d\lambda)$ .*

Moreover, for every  $\delta > 0$  and  $\delta$ -lattice  $\{a_k\}$ , we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^{s\gamma} G_{q,\delta}(f)(z)^s d\lambda(z) \simeq \sum_k (1 - |a_k|^2)^{s\gamma} G_{q,2\delta}(f)(a_k)^s.$$

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Let  $\{a_k\}$  be a  $\delta$ -lattice. Then the balls  $\{B(a_k, \delta)\}$  cover  $\mathbb{B}_n$  with finite overlap, and  $\lambda(B(a_k, \delta)) \simeq 1$ , where the implicit constant depends only on  $n$  and  $\delta$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^{s\gamma} G_{q,\delta}(f)(z)^s d\lambda(z) &\lesssim \sum_k \int_{B(a_k, \delta)} (1 - |z|^2)^{s\gamma} G_{q,\delta}(f)(z)^s d\lambda(z) \\ &\leq \sum_k \lambda(B(a_k, \delta)) \sup_{w \in B(a_k, \delta)} (1 - |w|^2)^{s\gamma} G_{q,\delta}(f)(w)^s \\ &\simeq \sum_k \sup_{w \in B(a_k, \delta)} (1 - |w|^2)^{s\gamma} G_{q,\delta}(f)(w)^s. \end{aligned}$$

If  $w \in B(a_k, \delta)$ , then  $B(w, \delta) \subset B(a_k, 2\delta)$  and  $v(B(w, \delta)) \simeq v(B(a_k, 2\delta))$ . It follows that

$$G_{q,\delta}(f)(w) \lesssim G_{q,2\delta}(f)(a_k) \quad \text{and} \quad (1 - |w|^2)^\gamma \simeq (1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma.$$

Hence,

$$\sup_{w \in B(a_k, \delta)} (1 - |w|^2)^{s\gamma} G_{q,\delta}(f)(w)^s \lesssim (1 - |a_k|^2)^{s\gamma} G_{q,2\delta}(f)(a_k)^s.$$

By hypothesis (1), we conclude that

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^{s\gamma} G_{q,\delta}(f)(z)^s d\lambda(z) \lesssim \sum_k (1 - |a_k|^2)^{s\gamma} G_{q,2\delta}(f)(a_k)^s < \infty.$$

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Let  $a_k$  be an arbitrary separated sequence. If  $z \in B(a_k, \delta/2)$ , then  $B(a_k, \delta/2) \subset B(z, \delta)$  and  $v(B(a_k, \delta/2)) \simeq v(B(z, \delta))$ . Consequently, for every  $z \in B(a_k, \delta/2)$ ,

$$G_{q,\delta/2}(f)(a_k) \lesssim G_{q,\delta}(f)(z).$$

Using the estimate  $\lambda(B(a_k, \delta/2)) \simeq 1$  together with (2.2) and (2.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k (1 - |a_k|^2)^{s\gamma} G_{q,\delta/2}(f)(a_k)^s &\leq \sum_k \int_{B(a_k, \delta)} (1 - |z|^2)^{s\gamma} G_{q,\delta}(f)(z)^s d\lambda(z) \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^{s\gamma} G_{q,\delta}(f)(z)^s d\lambda(z). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that (2) implies (1), completing the proof of Lemma 5.4.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.5.** *Let  $p \geq 1, q > 1, r \geq 1, \alpha, \beta > -1$ , and  $f \in L^q(d\nu_\beta)$ . Let  $\delta > 0$  and  $\{a_k\}$  be a  $\delta/2$ -lattice. Let  $\kappa := 2p/(2p - 2q + pq)$  for  $1 \leq p \leq 2$  and  $1 \leq q < 2$ . Let*

$$\gamma = \frac{n+1+\beta}{q} - \frac{n+1+\alpha}{p}.$$

Then  $H_f^\beta : A_\alpha^p \rightarrow L^q(d\nu_\beta)$  is  $r$ -summing if, according to the range of  $(p, q, r)$ , the corresponding condition below holds:

(1)  $1 < q < 2$  and  $\{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma G_{q,4\delta}(f)(a_k)\} \in \ell^{\kappa q}$ . In this case,

$$\pi_r(H_f^\beta) \leq \pi_1(H_f^\beta) \lesssim \left\| \{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma G_{q,4\delta}(f)(a_k)\} \right\|_{\ell^{\kappa q}};$$

(2)  $q \geq 2$  and  $\{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma G_{q,4\delta}(f)(a_k)\} \in \ell^{p'}$ . In this case,

$$\pi_r(H_f^\beta) \leq \pi_1(H_f^\beta) \lesssim \left\| \{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma G_{q,4\delta}(f)(a_k)\} \right\|_{\ell^{p'}};$$

(3)  $1 \leq r \leq q$  and  $\{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma G_{q,4\delta}(f)(a_k)\} \in \ell^r$ . In this case,

$$\pi_r(H_f^\beta) \lesssim \left\| \{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma G_{q,4\delta}(f)(a_k)\} \right\|_{\ell^r};$$

(4)  $1 < q \leq r$  and  $\{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma G_{q,4\delta}(f)(a_k)\} \in \ell^q$ . In this case,

$$\pi_r(H_f^\beta) \leq \pi_q(H_f^\beta) \lesssim \left\| \{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma G_{q,4\delta}(f)(a_k)\} \right\|_{\ell^q}.$$

*Proof.* We set  $s = \kappa q$ ,  $p'$ ,  $r$ , and  $q$  in cases (1)–(4), respectively. For any  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{B}_n)$ , define

$$D_\rho(f)(z) := |\rho(z)\bar{\partial}f(z)| + |\rho(z)|^{\frac{1}{2}}|\bar{\partial}f(z) \wedge \bar{\partial}\rho(z)|,$$

where  $\rho(z) := 1 - |z|^2$ . Fix a  $\delta/2$ -lattice  $\{a_k\}$ . By [18, (3.14) and (3.15)], there exists a decomposition  $f = f_1 + f_2$  such that  $f_1 \in C^1(\mathbb{B}_n)$ , and for all  $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$ ,

$$(5.1) \quad D_\rho(f_1)(z) \lesssim \sup_{w \in B(z, 2\delta)} G_{q,\delta}(f)(w),$$

and

$$(5.2) \quad M_{q,\delta}(f_2)(z) \lesssim \sum_{k \in J_z} G_{q,\delta}(f)(a_k),$$

where

$$J_z := \{k : z \in B(a_k, \delta)\}.$$

Taking the  $L^q$ -average over  $B(a_k, \delta)$  on both sides of (5.1) and using  $B(z, 2\delta) \subset B(a_k, 3\delta)$  for  $z \in B(a_k, \delta)$ , we obtain

$$M_{q,\delta}(D_\rho f_1)(a_k) \lesssim \sup_{w \in B(a_k, 3\delta)} G_{q,\delta}(f)(w).$$

Moreover, if  $w \in B(a_k, 3\delta)$ , then  $B(w, \delta) \subset B(a_k, 4\delta)$  and  $v(B(w, \delta)) \simeq v(B(a_k, 4\delta))$  by (2.3) with  $\alpha = 0$ , which implies that

$$\sup_{w \in B(a_k, 3\delta)} G_{q,\delta}(f)(w) \lesssim G_{q,4\delta}(f)(a_k).$$

Therefore,

$$(5.3) \quad M_{q,\delta}(D_\rho f_1)(a_k) \lesssim G_{q,4\delta}(f)(a_k).$$

Next, for  $k \in J_z$ ,  $B(a_k, \delta) \subset B(z, 2\delta)$ , and by (2.3) with  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $v(B(a_k, \delta)) \simeq v(B(z, 2\delta))$ . Hence,

$$G_{q,\delta}(f)(a_k) \lesssim G_{q,2\delta}(f)(z).$$

Combining this with (5.2) and the finite overlap property (2.4) yields

$$(5.4) \quad M_{q,\delta}(f_2)(z) \lesssim G_{q,2\delta}(f)(z) \lesssim G_{q,4\delta}(f)(z).$$

Taking  $z = a_k$  in (5.4) and using (5.3), we see that

$$(5.5) \quad M_{q,\delta}(D_\rho f_1)(a_k) + M_{q,\delta}(f_2)(a_k) \lesssim G_{q,4\delta}(f)(a_k).$$

Set  $d\mu := |f_2|^q dv_\beta$  and  $dv := |D_\rho f_1|^q dv_\beta$ . We apply (2.1), (2.2) and (5.5) to deduce that

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} (1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma G_{q,4\delta}(f)(a_k) &\gtrsim (1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma M_{q,\delta}(D_\rho f_1)(a_k) \\ &= (1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma \left( \frac{1}{v(B(a_k, \delta))} \int_{B(a_k, \delta)} |D_\rho f_1(w)|^q dv(w) \right)^{1/q} \\ &\simeq (1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma (1 - |a_k|^2)^{-(n+1+\beta)/q} \left( \int_{B(a_k, \delta)} |D_\rho f_1(w)|^q dv_\beta(w) \right)^{1/q} \\ &= (1 - |a_k|^2)^{-(n+1+\alpha)/p} v(B(a_k, \delta))^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$(5.7) \quad (1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma G_{q,4\delta}(f)(a_k) \gtrsim (1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma M_{q,\delta}(f_2)(a_k) \simeq (1 - |a_k|^2)^{-(n+1+\alpha)/p} \mu(B(a_k, \delta))^{1/q}.$$

It follows from (5.6), (5.7) and Proposition 4.6 that both  $J_\mu$  and  $J_\nu$  are  $r$ -summing with

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} &\pi_r(J_\mu) + \pi_r(J_\nu) \\ &\lesssim \left\| \{(1 - |a_k|^2)^{-(n+1+\alpha)/p} \mu(B(a_k, \delta))^{1/q}\} \right\|_{\ell^s} + \left\| \{(1 - |a_k|^2)^{-(n+1+\alpha)/p} v(B(a_k, \delta))^{1/q}\} \right\|_{\ell^s} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma G_{q,4\delta}(f)(a_k)\} \right\|_{\ell^s}. \end{aligned}$$

By [18, (3.17) and (3.18)], in the range  $1 < p \leq q$  under the hypothesis that  $\{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma G_{q,4\delta}(f)(a_k)\}$  is bounded and [18, (3.31) and (3.33)], in the range  $1 < q < p$  under the hypothesis that  $(1 - |z|^2)^\gamma G_{q,\delta}(f)(z) \in L^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}(\mathbb{B}_n, d\lambda)$ , we have the following estimates:

$$(5.9) \quad \|H_{f_1}^\beta(g)\|_{q,\beta} \lesssim \|J_\nu(g)\|_{L^q(dv)}, \quad \|H_{f_2}^\beta(g)\|_{q,\beta} \lesssim \|J_\mu(g)\|_{L^q(d\mu)},$$

for every  $g \in H^\infty$ . Since  $H^\infty$  is dense in  $A_\alpha^p$  and both sides depend continuously on  $g$ , the estimates extend to all  $g \in A_\alpha^p$ . Moreover, a careful examination of the proofs of [18, (3.17)-(3.18)] and [18, (3.31)-(3.33)] shows that the same bounds remain valid for  $p = 1$  with  $q > 1$ . We now verify the above assumptions are satisfied.

**Case 1.** If  $p \leq q$ , then

$$\left\| \{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma G_{q,4\delta}(f)(a_k)\} \right\|_{\ell^\infty} \leq \left\| \{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma G_{q,4\delta}(f)(a_k)\} \right\|_{\ell^s}.$$

**Case 2.** If  $q < p$ , then

$$\frac{1}{s} = \begin{cases} \frac{2p - 2q + pq}{2pq} = \frac{1}{2} + \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}\right) \geq \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}, & \text{if } 1 < q \leq 2, \\ \frac{1}{p'} = 1 - \frac{1}{p} \geq \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}, & \text{if } q \geq 2, \\ \frac{1}{r} \geq \frac{1}{q} \geq \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}, & \text{if } 1 \leq r \leq q, \\ \frac{1}{q} \geq \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}, & \text{if } 1 < q \leq r. \end{cases}$$

Thus, in all subcases we have  $\frac{1}{s} \geq \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}$  (i.e.  $s \leq \frac{pq}{p-q}$ ). This, together with Lemma 5.4 yields

$$\|(1 - |z|^2)^\gamma G_{q,\delta}(f)(z)\|_{L^s(\mathbb{B}_n, d\lambda)} \simeq \|(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma G_{q,4\delta}(f)(a_k)\|_{\ell^{\frac{pq}{p-q}}} \leq \|(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma G_{q,4\delta}(f)(a_k)\|_{\ell^s}.$$

Combining (5.9) and (5.8) with the definition of  $r$ -summing operators, we conclude that  $H_f^\beta$  is  $r$ -summing with

$$(5.10) \quad \pi_r(H_f^\beta) \leq \pi_r(H_{f_1}^\beta) + \pi_r(H_{f_2}^\beta) \lesssim \pi_r(J_\mu) + \pi_r(J_\nu) \lesssim \left\| \{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma G_{q,4\delta}(f)(a_k)\} \right\|_{\ell^s}.$$

The proof of Theorem 5.5 is complete.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.6.** *Let  $q \geq 2$ ,  $\alpha, \beta > -1$ , and  $f \in L^q(d\nu_\beta)$ . Set*

$$\gamma = \frac{n+1+\beta}{q} - (n+1+\alpha).$$

*Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $H_f^\beta : A_\alpha^1 \rightarrow L^q(d\nu_\beta)$  is bounded;
- (2) For every  $\delta > 0$ ,  $(1 - |z|^2)^\gamma G_{q,\delta}(f)(z)$  is bounded;
- (3)  $H_f^\beta : A_\alpha^1 \rightarrow L^q(d\nu_\beta)$  is  $r$ -summing for every  $r \geq 1$ .

*Moreover, the following norm equivalences hold:*

$$\pi_r(H_f^\beta) \simeq \|H_f^\beta\| \simeq \|(1 - |\cdot|^2)^\gamma G_{q,\delta}(f)\|_{L^\infty}.$$

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). On the one hand, by (2.7), we have  $|h_{1,w}(z)| \simeq (1 - |w|^2)^{-(n+1+\alpha)}$  for  $z \in B(w, \delta)$ . Moreover, since  $h_{1,w}$  has no zeros, the function  $\frac{P_\beta(fh_{1,w})(z)}{h_{1,w}(z)}$  is holomorphic on  $B(w, \delta)$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_f^\beta(h_{1,w})\|_{q,\beta}^q &\geq \int_{B(w,\delta)} |H_f^\beta(h_{1,w})(z)|^q d\nu_\beta(z) \\ &= \int_{B(w,\delta)} |f(z)h_{1,w}(z) - P_\beta(fh_{1,w})(z)|^q d\nu_\beta(z) \\ &= \int_{B(w,\delta)} \left| f(z) - \frac{P_\beta(fh_{1,w})(z)}{h_{1,w}(z)} \right|^q |h_{1,w}(z)|^q d\nu_\beta(z) \\ &\simeq \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^\beta}{(1 - |w|^2)^{(n+1+\alpha)q}} \int_{B(w,\delta)} \left| f(z) - \frac{P_\beta(fh_{1,w})(z)}{h_{1,w}(z)} \right|^q d\nu(z) \\ (5.11) \quad &\gtrsim (1 - |w|^2)^{q\gamma} G_{q,\delta}^q(f)(w), \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used the volume estimate  $v(B(w, \delta)) \simeq (1 - |w|^2)^{n+1}$  (see (2.3)). On the other hand, since  $\|h_{1,w}\|_{1,\alpha} = 1$  and  $H_f^\beta : A_\alpha^1 \rightarrow L^q(d\nu_\beta)$  is bounded, we deduce that

$$\|H_f^\beta(h_{1,w})\|_{q,\beta} \leq \|H_f^\beta\| \cdot \|h_{1,w}\|_{1,\alpha} = \|H_f^\beta\|.$$

Therefore,

$$\|(1 - |w|^2)^\gamma G_{q,\delta}(f)(w)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|H_f^\beta\|.$$

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). This is a special case of Theorem 5.5 (2).

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). This follows from the elementary fact that every  $r$ -summing operator is bounded. Also,  $\|H_f^\beta\| \leq \pi_r(H_f^\beta)$ .

The proof of Proposition 5.6 is complete.  $\square$

## 5.2. Necessity.

**Proposition 5.7.** *Let  $p, q, r \geq 1$ ,  $\alpha, \beta > -1$ ,  $\delta > 0$  and  $f \in L^q(dv_\beta)$ . Let  $\{a_k\}$  be a separated sequence. Assume that  $H_f^\beta : A_\alpha^p \rightarrow L^q(dv_\beta)$  is  $r$ -summing. Let  $\kappa := 2p/(2p - 2q + pq)$  for  $1 \leq p \leq 2$  and  $1 \leq q \leq 2$  with the endpoint convention  $\kappa := \infty$  when  $(p, q) = (1, 2)$ . Let*

$$\gamma = \frac{n+1+\beta}{q} - \frac{n+1+\alpha}{p}.$$

*Then the following statements hold:*

(1) *If  $1 \leq p, q \leq 2$ ,  $r \geq 1$ , then*

$$\| \{ (1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma G_{q,\delta}(f)(a_k) \} \|_{\ell^{\kappa q}} \lesssim \pi_r(H_f^\beta);$$

(2) *If  $p > 1, q \geq 1$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq p'$ , then*

$$\| \{ (1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma G_{q,\delta}(f)(a_k) \} \|_{\ell^{p'}} \lesssim \pi_r(H_f^\beta);$$

(3) *If  $p > 1, q \geq 1$ ,  $r \geq p'$ , then*

$$\| \{ (1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma G_{q,\delta}(f)(a_k) \} \|_{\ell^r} \lesssim \pi_r(H_f^\beta);$$

(4) *If  $p \geq 2, q \geq 2$ , then*

$$\| \{ (1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma G_{q,\delta}(f)(a_k) \} \|_{\ell^q} \lesssim \pi_r(H_f^\beta);$$

(5) *If  $p \geq 2, 1 < q \leq 2$ , then*

$$\| \{ (1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma G_{q,\delta}(f)(a_k) \} \|_{\ell^2} \lesssim \pi_r(H_f^\beta).$$

*Proof.* By (2.7), we have  $|h_{p,a_k}(z)| \simeq (1 - |a_k|^2)^{-(n+1+\alpha)/p}$  for  $z \in B(a_k, \delta)$ . It follows that for  $p, q \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(a_k, \delta)} |H_f^\beta(h_{p,a_k})(z)|^q dv_\beta(z) &= \int_{B(a_k, \delta)} |f(z)h_{p,a_k}(z) - P_\beta(fh_{p,a_k})(z)|^q dv_\beta(z) \\ &= \int_{B(a_k, \delta)} \left| f(z) - \frac{P_\beta(fh_{p,a_k})(z)}{h_{p,a_k}(z)} \right|^q |h_{p,a_k}(z)|^q dv_\beta(z) \\ &\simeq \frac{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\beta}{(1 - |a_k|^2)^{(n+1+\alpha)q/p}} \int_{B(a_k, \delta)} \left| f(z) - \frac{P_\beta(fh_{p,a_k})(z)}{h_{p,a_k}(z)} \right|^q dv(z) \\ (5.12) \quad &\gtrsim (1 - |a_k|^2)^{\gamma q} G_{q,\delta}^q(f)(a_k). \end{aligned}$$

By taking  $T = H_f^\beta$  and  $d\mu = dv_\beta$ , we see that (1) follows from Proposition 3.1 and that for  $p > 1$ , (2)–(5) follow from Corollary 3.3. Moreover, for  $p = 1$ , the statement (2) follows from Proposition 5.6. This completes the proof of Proposition 5.7.  $\square$

**Definition 5.8.** *For any  $\delta > 0, q \geq 1$  and  $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^q(\mathbb{B}_n)$ , define the local  $L^q$ -mean oscillation*

$$MO_{q,\delta}(f)(z) := \left( \frac{1}{v(B(z, \delta))} \int_{B(z, \delta)} \left| f(\xi) - \frac{1}{v(B(z, \delta))} \int_{B(z, \delta)} f(w) dv(w) \right|^q dv(\xi) \right)^{1/q}.$$

**Theorem 5.9.** *Let  $p \geq 1, q > 1, r \geq 1, \alpha, \beta > -1$ , and  $f \in L^q(dv_\beta)$ . Let  $\delta > 0$  and  $\{a_k\}$  be a  $\delta/2$ -lattice. Let  $\kappa := 2p/(2p - 2q + pq)$  for  $1 \leq p \leq 2$  and  $1 < q \leq 2$  with the endpoint convention  $\kappa := \infty$  when  $(p, q) = (1, 2)$ . Set*

$$\gamma = \frac{n+1+\beta}{q} - \frac{n+1+\alpha}{p}.$$

*Then both  $H_f^\beta : A_\alpha^p \rightarrow L^q(dv_\beta)$  and  $H_{\bar{f}}^\beta : A_\alpha^p \rightarrow L^q(dv_\beta)$  are  $r$ -summing if and only if, according to the range of  $(p, q, r)$ , the corresponding condition below holds:*

(1) *For  $1 \leq p \leq 2, 1 < q \leq 2, r \geq 1, (1 - |\cdot|^2)^\gamma MO_{q,\delta}(f) \in L^{\kappa q}(\mathbb{B}_n, d\lambda)$ . In this case,*

$$\pi_r(H_f^\beta) + \pi_r(H_{\bar{f}}^\beta) \simeq \|(1 - |\cdot|^2)^\gamma MO_{q,\delta}(f)\|_{L^{\kappa q}(\mathbb{B}_n, d\lambda)};$$

(2) *For  $p \geq 1, q \geq 2, 1 \leq r \leq p', (1 - |\cdot|^2)^\gamma MO_{q,\delta}(f) \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{B}_n, d\lambda)$ . In this case,*

$$\pi_r(H_f^\beta) + \pi_r(H_{\bar{f}}^\beta) \simeq \|(1 - |\cdot|^2)^\gamma MO_{q,\delta}(f)\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{B}_n, d\lambda)};$$

(3) *For  $p > 1, q > 1, p' \leq r \leq \max\{p', q\}, (1 - |\cdot|^2)^\gamma MO_{q,\delta}(f) \in L^r(\mathbb{B}_n, d\lambda)$ . In this case,*

$$\pi_r(H_f^\beta) + \pi_r(H_{\bar{f}}^\beta) \simeq \|(1 - |\cdot|^2)^\gamma MO_{q,\delta}(f)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{B}_n, d\lambda)};$$

(4) *For  $p \geq 2, q \geq 2, r \geq \max\{p', q\}, (1 - |\cdot|^2)^\gamma MO_{q,\delta}(f) \in L^q(\mathbb{B}_n, d\lambda)$ . In this case,*

$$\pi_r(H_f^\beta) + \pi_r(H_{\bar{f}}^\beta) \simeq \|(1 - |\cdot|^2)^\gamma MO_{q,\delta}(f)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{B}_n, d\lambda)}.$$

*Moreover, for  $p = 1$  and  $q \geq 2$ , both  $H_f^\beta : A_\alpha^p \rightarrow L^q(dv_\beta)$  and  $H_{\bar{f}}^\beta : A_\alpha^p \rightarrow L^q(dv_\beta)$  are  $r$ -summing if and only if they are bounded. In this case,*

$$\pi_r(H_f^\beta) + \pi_r(H_{\bar{f}}^\beta) \simeq \|H_f^\beta\| + \|H_{\bar{f}}^\beta\|.$$

*Proof.* It follows from [18, (4.3) and (4.4)] that for all  $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$ ,

$$MO_{q,\delta}(f)(z) \simeq G_{q,\delta}(f)(z) + G_{q,\delta}(\bar{f})(z).$$

Combining this equivalence with Theorem 5.3 yields all cases.  $\square$

For  $f \in H(\mathbb{B}_n)$  and  $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$ , the complex gradient of  $f$  at  $z$  is defined by

$$\nabla f(z) := \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}(z), \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_n}(z) \right),$$

and the invariant gradient of  $f$  at  $z$  is defined by

$$\widetilde{\nabla} f(z) := \nabla(f \circ \varphi_z)(0),$$

where  $\varphi_z$  is the involutive automorphism of  $\mathbb{B}_n$  interchanging  $z$  and 0. See [57].

**Definition 5.10.** *Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ . The weighted Besov space  $B_p^\gamma$  consists of all  $f \in H(\mathbb{B}_n)$  such that*

$$\|f\|_{B_p^\gamma} := \left( \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |(1 - |z|^2)^\gamma \widetilde{\nabla} f(z)|^p d\lambda(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

*Moreover, the weighted Bloch space  $B_\infty^\gamma$  consists of all  $f \in H(\mathbb{B}_n)$  such that*

$$\|f\|_{B_\infty^\gamma} := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^\gamma |\widetilde{\nabla} f(z)| < \infty.$$



Note that for certain choices of the parameters,  $B_p^\gamma$  (or  $B_\infty^\gamma$ ) may consist only of constant functions. For example, if  $p \leq 2n$  with  $n > 1$ , then  $B_p^0 = \mathbb{C}$  [57, p. 230]. We will not pursue this degeneracy phenomenon in the present paper. See e.g. [37, 40, 57] for further discussion.

**Theorem 5.11.** *Let  $p \geq 1, q > 1, r \geq 1, \alpha, \beta > -1$ , and  $f \in H(\mathbb{B}_n)$ . Let  $\kappa := 2p/(2p - 2q + pq)$  for  $1 \leq p \leq 2$  and  $1 < q \leq 2$  with the endpoint convention  $\kappa := \infty$  when  $(p, q) = (1, 2)$ . Set*

$$\gamma = \frac{n+1+\beta}{q} - \frac{n+1+\alpha}{p}.$$

*Then  $H_{\bar{f}}^\beta : A_\alpha^p \rightarrow L^q(dv_\beta)$  is  $r$ -summing if and only if, according to the range of  $(p, q, r)$ , the corresponding condition below holds:*

(1) *For  $1 \leq p \leq 2, 1 < q \leq 2$  and  $r \geq 1, f \in B_{\kappa q}^\gamma$ . In this case,*

$$\pi_r(H_{\bar{f}}^\beta) \simeq \|f\|_{B_{\kappa q}^\gamma};$$

(2) *For  $p \geq 1, q \geq 2$  and  $1 \leq r \leq p', f \in B_{p'}^\gamma$ . In this case,*

$$\pi_r(H_{\bar{f}}^\beta) \simeq \|f\|_{B_{p'}^\gamma};$$

(3) *For  $p > 1, q > 1$  and  $p' \leq r \leq \max\{p', q\}, f \in B_{r'}^\gamma$ . In this case,*

$$\pi_r(H_{\bar{f}}^\beta) \simeq \|f\|_{B_{r'}^\gamma};$$

(4) *For  $p \geq 2, q \geq 2$  and  $r \geq \max\{p', q\}, f \in B_q^\gamma$ . In this case,*

$$\pi_r(H_{\bar{f}}^\beta) \simeq \|f\|_{B_q^\gamma}.$$

*Moreover, for  $p = 1$  and  $q \geq 2$ , the operator  $H_{\bar{f}}^\beta : A_\alpha^1 \rightarrow L^q(dv_\beta)$  is  $r$ -summing if and only if it is bounded. In this case,*

$$\pi_r(H_{\bar{f}}^\beta) \simeq \|H_{\bar{f}}^\beta\|.$$

*Proof.* For each  $s > 0$ , by [37, Theorem 9], we have  $(1 - |\cdot|^2)^\gamma MO_{q,\delta}(f) \in L^s(\mathbb{B}_n, d\lambda)$  if and only if  $f \in B_s^\gamma$ . Combining this equivalence with Theorem 5.9 yields cases (1)–(4). Moreover, by [40, Proposition 3.6], we have  $(1 - |\cdot|^2)^\gamma MO_{q,\delta}(f) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{B}_n, d\lambda)$  if and only if  $f \in B_\infty^\gamma$ , and hence the last statement follows again from Theorem 5.9. This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 6. ABSOLUTELY SUMMING LITTLE HANKEL OPERATORS

For  $b > 0$  and  $\beta > -1$ , we let  $V_{b,\beta}$  be the integral operator defined by

$$(6.1) \quad V_{b,\beta}(f)(z) := \frac{c_{b+\beta}(1 - |z|^2)^b}{c_\beta} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{f(w)}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\beta+b}} dv_\beta(w), \quad f \in L^1(dv_\beta),$$

where  $c_{b+\beta}$  and  $c_\beta$  are the volume constants defined as in (1.1). In this paper, we fix  $b > n + 1 + \alpha$  when  $p = 1$  and  $b = n + 1 + \alpha$  when  $p > 1$  as in Section 2.2.

The main result in this section is the following.

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $p \geq 1, q \geq 1, r \geq 1, \alpha, \beta > -1$ , and  $f \in \bigcup_{s>1} L^s(dv_\beta)$ . Let  $\delta > 0$  and  $\{a_k\}$  be a  $\delta/2$ -lattice. Let  $\kappa := 2p/(2p - 2q + pq)$  for  $1 \leq p \leq 2$  and  $1 < q \leq 2$  with the endpoint convention  $\kappa := \infty$  when  $(p, q) = (1, 2)$ . Set*

$$\gamma = \frac{n+1+\beta}{q} - \frac{n+1+\alpha}{p}.$$

*Then  $h_{\bar{f}}^\beta : A_\alpha^p \rightarrow L^q(dv_\beta)$  is  $r$ -summing if and only if, according to the range of  $(p, q, r)$ , the corresponding condition below holds:*

- (1) *For  $1 \leq p \leq 2, 1 < q \leq 2$ , and  $r \geq 1$ ,  $\{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma V_{b,\beta}(f)(a_k)\} \in \ell^{\kappa q}$  (equivalently,  $(1 - |\cdot|^2)^\gamma V_{b,\beta}(f) \in L^{\kappa q}(\mathbb{B}_n, d\lambda)$ ). In this case,*

$$\pi_r(h_{\bar{f}}^\beta) \simeq \left\| \{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma V_{b,\beta}(f)(a_k)\} \right\|_{\ell^{\kappa q}} \simeq \left\| (1 - |\cdot|^2)^\gamma V_{b,\beta}(f) \right\|_{L^{\kappa q}(\mathbb{B}_n, d\lambda)};$$

- (2) *For  $p \geq 1, q \geq 2$ , and  $1 \leq r \leq p'$ ,  $\{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma V_{b,\beta}(f)(a_k)\} \in \ell^{p'}$  (equivalently,  $(1 - |\cdot|^2)^\gamma V_{b,\beta}(f) \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{B}_n, d\lambda)$ ). In this case,*

$$\pi_r(h_{\bar{f}}^\beta) \simeq \left\| \{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma V_{b,\beta}(f)(a_k)\} \right\|_{\ell^{p'}} \simeq \left\| (1 - |\cdot|^2)^\gamma V_{b,\beta}(f) \right\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{B}_n, d\lambda)};$$

- (3) *For  $p > 1, q > 1$ , and  $p' \leq r \leq \max\{p', q\}$ ,  $\{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma V_{b,\beta}(f)(a_k)\} \in \ell^r$  (equivalently,  $(1 - |\cdot|^2)^\gamma V_{b,\beta}(f) \in L^r(\mathbb{B}_n, d\lambda)$ ). In this case,*

$$\pi_r(h_{\bar{f}}^\beta) \simeq \left\| \{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma V_{b,\beta}(f)(a_k)\} \right\|_{\ell^r} \simeq \left\| (1 - |\cdot|^2)^\gamma V_{b,\beta}(f) \right\|_{L^r(\mathbb{B}_n, d\lambda)};$$

- (4) *For  $p \geq 2, q \geq 2$ , and  $r \geq \max\{p', q\}$ ,  $\{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma V_{b,\beta}(f)(a_k)\} \in \ell^q$  (equivalently,  $(1 - |\cdot|^2)^\gamma V_{b,\beta}(f) \in L^q(\mathbb{B}_n, d\lambda)$ ). In this case,*

$$\pi_r(h_{\bar{f}}^\beta) \simeq \left\| \{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma V_{b,\beta}(f)(a_k)\} \right\|_{\ell^q} \simeq \left\| (1 - |\cdot|^2)^\gamma V_{b,\beta}(f) \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{B}_n, d\lambda)}.$$

*Moreover, for  $p = 1$  and  $q \geq 2$ , the operator  $h_{\bar{f}}^\beta : A_\alpha^1 \rightarrow L^q(dv_\beta)$  is  $r$ -summing if and only if it is bounded. In this case,*

$$\pi_r(h_{\bar{f}}^\beta) \simeq \|h_{\bar{f}}^\beta\|.$$

**6.1. Sufficiency.** The following proposition was proved in [58, Proposition 8.37] in the case of the unit disk (with  $f \in L^2(dv_\beta)$ ). For completeness we give a proof here.

**Proposition 6.2.** *Let  $\beta > -1$  and  $f \in \bigcup_{s>1} L^s(dv_\beta)$ . Then*

$$h_{\bar{f}}^\beta(g) = h_{\overline{P_\beta f}}^\beta(g), \quad \text{for all } g \in H^\infty.$$

*Proof.* Since  $f \in \bigcup_{s>1} L^s(dv_\beta)$ , there exists  $s > 1$  such that  $f \in L^s(dv_\beta)$ . For each  $g \in H^\infty$  we have  $\bar{f}g \in L^s(dv_\beta)$ , and hence

$$h_{\bar{f}}^\beta(g) = \overline{P_\beta}(\bar{f}g) \in \overline{A_\beta^s},$$

since  $\overline{P_\beta} : L^s(dv_\beta) \rightarrow \overline{A_\beta^s}$  is bounded. By [57, Theorem 2.12], the dual space  $(\overline{A_\beta^s})^*$  can be identified with  $\overline{A_\beta^{s'}}$  via the pairing

$$\langle u, h \rangle_\beta := \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} u(z) \overline{h(z)} dv_\beta(z).$$

Take  $h$  to be an arbitrary element in  $\overline{A_{\beta}^{s'}}$ . Using Fubini's theorem, the reproducing formula  $P_{\beta}(\bar{h}) = \bar{h}$  and  $P_{\beta}(\bar{h}g) = \bar{h}g$  and then Fubini's theorem, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle h_f^{\beta}(g), h \rangle_{\beta} &= \langle \overline{P_{\beta}(\bar{f}g)}, h \rangle_{\beta} = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{\overline{f(w)}g(w)}{(1 - \langle w, z \rangle)^{n+1+\beta}} dv_{\beta}(w) \overline{h(z)} dv_{\beta}(z) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{\overline{h(z)}}{(1 - \langle w, z \rangle)^{n+1+\beta}} dv_{\beta}(z) \overline{f(w)}g(w) dv_{\beta}(w) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \overline{h(w)} \overline{f(w)}g(w) dv_{\beta}(w) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{\overline{h(\xi)}g(\xi)}{(1 - \langle w, \xi \rangle)^{n+1+\beta}} dv_{\beta}(\xi) \overline{f(w)} dv_{\beta}(w) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{\overline{f(w)}}{(1 - \langle w, \xi \rangle)^{n+1+\beta}} dv_{\beta}(w) \overline{h(\xi)}g(\xi) dv_{\beta}(\xi) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \overline{P_{\beta}(\bar{f})}(\xi)g(\xi) \overline{h(\xi)} dv_{\beta}(\xi).
\end{aligned}$$

Using the reproducing formula  $P_{\beta}(\bar{h}) = \bar{h}$  and then Fubini's theorem yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \overline{P_{\beta}(\bar{f})}(\xi)g(\xi) \overline{h(\xi)} dv_{\beta}(\xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \overline{P_{\beta}(\bar{f})}(\xi)g(\xi) \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{\overline{h(z)}}{(1 - \langle \xi, z \rangle)^{n+1+\beta}} dv_{\beta}(z) dv_{\beta}(\xi) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{\overline{P_{\beta}(\bar{f})}(\xi)g(\xi)}{(1 - \langle \xi, z \rangle)^{n+1+\beta}} dv_{\beta}(\xi) \overline{h(z)} dv_{\beta}(z) \\
&= \langle \overline{P_{\beta}(\bar{f})}g, h \rangle_{\beta} = \langle h_{P_{\beta}f}^{\beta}(g), h \rangle_{\beta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\langle h_f^{\beta}(g), h \rangle_{\beta} = \langle h_{P_{\beta}f}^{\beta}(g), h \rangle_{\beta}.$$

Since the above equalities hold for all  $h \in \overline{A_{\beta}^{s'}}$ , a duality argument yields that  $h_f^{\beta}(g) = h_{P_{\beta}f}^{\beta}(g)$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

The following proposition was proved in [58, Lemma 5.14] in the setting of the unit disk. For completeness, we give a proof here.

**Proposition 6.3.** *Let  $\beta > -1$  and  $f \in L^1(dv_{\beta})$ . Then  $P_{\beta}V_{b,\beta}(f) = P_{\beta}(f)$ .*

*Proof.* By Fubini's theorem and the reproducing formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{\beta}V_{b,\beta}(f)(\xi) &= \frac{c_{b+\beta}}{c_{\beta}} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^b}{(1 - \langle \xi, z \rangle)^{n+1+\beta}} dv_{\beta}(z) \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{f(w)}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\beta+b}} dv_{\beta}(w) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} f(w) dv_{\beta}(w) \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{1}{(1 - \langle \xi, z \rangle)^{n+1+\beta}} \cdot \frac{dv_{\beta+b}(z)}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\beta+b}} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{f(w)}{(1 - \langle \xi, w \rangle)^{n+1+\beta}} dv_{\beta}(w) \\
&= P_{\beta}(f)(\xi).
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.4.** *Let  $\beta > -1$ ,  $s > 0$  and  $f \in L^1(dv_\beta)$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *For any separated sequence  $\{a_k\}$ ,  $(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma |V_{b,\beta}(f)(a_k)| \in \ell^s$ ;*
- (2) *For any separated sequence  $\{a_k\}$  and any  $\delta > 0$ ,*

$$\left\{ (1 - |a_k|^2)^{-(n+1+\alpha)/p} \left( \int_{B(a_k, \delta)} |V_{b,\beta}(f)(z)|^q dv_\beta(z) \right)^{1/q} \right\} \in \ell^s;$$

- (3)  $(1 - |z|^2)^\gamma |V_{b,\beta}(f)(z)| \in L^s(d\lambda)$ .

Moreover, for every  $\delta > 0$  and  $\delta$ -lattice  $\{a_k\}$ , we have

$$\|(1 - |\cdot|^2)^\gamma V_{b,\beta}(f)\|_{L^s(\mathbb{B}_n, d\lambda)} \simeq \|(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma V_{b,\beta}(f)(a_k)\|_{\ell^s}.$$

*Proof.* (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). By Lemma 2.2 and (2.1), we see that for every  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |V_{b,\beta}(f)(a_k)|^q &\leq \frac{1}{v_\beta(B(a_k, \delta))} \int_{B(a_k, \delta)} |V_{b,\beta}(f)(z)|^q dv_\beta(z) \\ &\simeq (1 - |a_k|^2)^{-(n+1+\beta)} \int_{B(a_k, \delta)} |V_{b,\beta}(f)(z)|^q dv_\beta(z). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the  $q$ -th root of the preceding inequality, multiplying both sides by  $(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma$ , and using the relation  $\gamma - \frac{n+1+\beta}{q} = -\frac{n+1+\alpha}{p}$ , we obtain

$$(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma |V_{b,\beta}(f)(a_k)| \lesssim (1 - |a_k|^2)^{-(n+1+\alpha)/p} \left( \int_{B(a_k, \delta)} |V_{b,\beta}(f)(z)|^q dv_\beta(z) \right)^{1/q}.$$

This implies the required assertion.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). By Lemma 2.2 and (2.1), we see that for every  $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |V_{b,\beta}(f)(z)|^q &\leq \left( \frac{1}{v_\beta(B(z, \delta))} \int_{B(z, \delta)} |V_{b,\beta}(f)(\xi)|^s dv_\beta(\xi) \right)^{q/s} \\ &\simeq (1 - |z|^2)^{-(n+1+\beta)q/s} \left( \int_{B(z, \delta)} |V_{b,\beta}(f)(\xi)|^s dv_\beta(\xi) \right)^{q/s}. \end{aligned}$$

For every  $z \in B(a_k, \delta)$ , we have  $B(z, \delta) \subseteq B(a_k, 2\delta)$ . This implies that for  $z \in B(a_k, \delta)$ ,

$$|V_{b,\beta}(f)(z)|^q \lesssim (1 - |a_k|^2)^{-(n+1+\beta)q/s} \left( \int_{B(a_k, 2\delta)} |V_{b,\beta}(f)(\xi)|^s dv_\beta(\xi) \right)^{q/s}.$$

This, together with (2.1) and (2.2), implies

$$\begin{aligned} (6.2) \quad &(1 - |a_k|^2)^{-(n+1+\alpha)s/p} \left( \int_{B(a_k, \delta)} |V_{b,\beta}(f)(z)|^q dv_\beta(z) \right)^{s/q} \\ &\lesssim (1 - |a_k|^2)^{s\gamma} \cdot (1 - |a_k|^2)^{-(n+1+\beta)} \int_{B(a_k, 2\delta)} |V_{b,\beta}(f)(\xi)|^s dv_\beta(\xi) \\ &\simeq \int_{B(a_k, 2\delta)} (1 - |\xi|^2)^{s\gamma} |V_{b,\beta}(f)(\xi)|^s d\lambda(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\{a_k\}$  is separated, it follows from (6.2) and the finite multiplicity property (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6.3) \quad & \sum_k (1 - |a_k|^2)^{-(n+1+\alpha)s/p} \left( \int_{B(a_k, \delta)} |V_{b, \beta}(f)(z)|^q dv_\beta(z) \right)^{s/q} \\
 & \lesssim \sum_k \int_{B(a_k, 2\delta)} (1 - |\xi|^2)^{s\gamma} |V_{b, \beta}(f)(\xi)|^s d\lambda(\xi) \\
 & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |\xi|^2)^{s\gamma} |V_{b, \beta}(f)(\xi)|^s d\lambda(\xi).
 \end{aligned}$$

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (3). Let  $\{a_k\}$  be a  $\delta$ -lattice in  $\mathbb{B}_n$ . Then we have

$$(6.4) \quad \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |\xi|^2)^{s\gamma} |V_{b, \beta}(f)(\xi)|^s d\lambda(\xi) \leq \sum_k \int_{B(a_k, \delta)} (1 - |\xi|^2)^{s\gamma} |V_{b, \beta}(f)(\xi)|^s d\lambda(\xi).$$

Next, choose a point  $\xi_k$  in each closed ball  $\overline{B(a_k, \delta)}$  such that  $(1 - |\xi|^2)^{s\gamma} |V_{b, \beta}(f)(\xi)|^s$  is maximized. By the finite multiplicity property, we see that  $\{\xi_k\}$  is a finite union of separated sequences. We may assume that

$$\{\xi_k\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \{\xi_{k,i}\}$$

with  $\{\xi_{k,i}\}$  a separated sequence for each  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . By (2.1) and (2.2), we have  $1 - |\xi|^2 \simeq 1 - |\xi_k|^2$  for  $\xi \in B(a_k, \delta)$  and  $\lambda(B(a_k, \delta)) \simeq 1$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_k \int_{B(a_k, \delta)} (1 - |\xi|^2)^{s\gamma} |V_{b, \beta}(f)(\xi)|^s d\lambda(\xi) & \leq \sum_k (1 - |\xi_k|^2)^{s\gamma} |V_{b, \beta}(f)(\xi_k)|^s \lambda(B(a_k, \delta)) \\
 & \simeq \sum_k (1 - |\xi_k|^2)^{s\gamma} |V_{b, \beta}(f)(\xi_k)|^s \\
 & = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_k (1 - |\xi_{k,i}|^2)^{s\gamma} |V_{b, \beta}(f)(\xi_{k,i})|^s.
 \end{aligned}$$

This, together with (6.4), implies that

$$(6.5) \quad \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |\xi|^2)^{s\gamma} |V_{b, \beta}(f)(\xi)|^s d\lambda(\xi) \lesssim \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_k (1 - |\xi_{k,i}|^2)^{s\gamma} |V_{b, \beta}(f)(\xi_{k,i})|^s.$$

From (1) and the fact that  $\{\xi_{k,i}\}$  is separated, we know that the function  $(1 - |z|^2)^\gamma |V_{b, \beta}(f)(z)|$  belongs to  $L^s(d\lambda)$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.5.** Let  $p \geq 1, q > 1, r \geq 1, \alpha, \beta > -1$  and  $f \in \bigcup_{s>1} L^s(dv_\beta)$ . Let  $\delta > 0$  and  $\{a_k\}$  be a  $\delta$ -lattice. Let  $\kappa := 2p/(2p - 2q + pq)$  for  $1 \leq p \leq 2$  and  $1 \leq q < 2$ . Set

$$\gamma = \frac{n+1+\beta}{q} - \frac{n+1+\alpha}{p}.$$

Then  $h_{\bar{f}}^\beta : A_\alpha^p \rightarrow L^q(dv_\beta)$  is  $r$ -summing if, according to the range of  $(p, q, r)$ , the corresponding condition below holds:

(1)  $1 < q < 2$  and  $\{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma V_{b, \beta}(f)(a_k)\} \in \ell^{\kappa q}$ . In this case,

$$\pi_r(h_{\bar{f}}^\beta) \leq \pi_1(h_{\bar{f}}^\beta) \lesssim \left\| \{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma V_{b, \beta}(f)(a_k)\} \right\|_{\ell^{\kappa q}};$$

(2)  $q \geq 2$  and  $\{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma V_{b,\beta}(f)(a_k)\} \in \ell^{p'}$ . In this case,

$$\pi_r(h_{\bar{f}}^\beta) \leq \pi_1(h_{\bar{f}}^\beta) \lesssim \left\| \{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma V_{b,\beta}(f)(a_k)\} \right\|_{\ell^{p'}};$$

(3)  $1 \leq r \leq q$  and  $\{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma V_{b,\beta}(f)(a_k)\} \in \ell^r$ . In this case,

$$\pi_r(h_{\bar{f}}^\beta) \lesssim \left\| \{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma V_{b,\beta}(f)(a_k)\} \right\|_{\ell^r};$$

(4)  $q \leq r$  and  $\{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma V_{b,\beta}(f)(a_k)\} \in \ell^q$ . In this case,

$$\pi_r(h_{\bar{f}}^\beta) \leq \pi_q(h_{\bar{f}}^\beta) \lesssim \left\| \{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma V_{b,\beta}(f)(a_k)\} \right\|_{\ell^q}.$$

*Proof.* Set  $d\mu(z) = |V_{b,\beta}(f)(z)|^q dv_\beta(z)$ . Then by Lemma 6.4 and Proposition 4.6, we see that  $J_\mu : A_\alpha^p \rightarrow L^p(d\mu)$  is  $r$ -summing.

On the other hand, combining Propositions 6.2 and 6.3 yields that

$$(6.6) \quad h_{\bar{f}}^\beta(g) = h_{\bar{P}_\beta f}^\beta(g) = h_{\bar{P}_\beta V_{b,\beta} f}^\beta(g) = h_{\bar{V}_{b,\beta} f}^\beta(g), \quad g \in H^\infty.$$

By the boundedness of  $\bar{P}_\beta : L^q(dv_\beta) \rightarrow \bar{A}_\beta^q$  and the formula (6.6), we have

$$\|h_{\bar{f}}^\beta(g)\|_{q,\beta} = \|h_{\bar{V}_{b,\beta} f}^\beta(g)\|_{q,\beta} = \|\bar{P}_\beta(\overline{V_{b,\beta}(f)g})\|_{q,\beta} \lesssim \|\overline{V_{b,\beta}(f)g}\|_{q,\beta} = \|J_\mu(g)\|_{L^p(d\mu)}.$$

From this and the definition of  $r$ -summing operators, we see that  $h_{\bar{f}}^\beta$  is  $r$ -summing and

$$\pi_r(h_{\bar{f}}^\beta) \lesssim \pi_r(J_\mu).$$

The norm estimate follows from this, Proposition 4.6 and (6.3). The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Proposition 6.6.** Let  $q \geq 2, \alpha, \beta > -1$ , and  $f \in \bigcup_{s>1} L^s(dv_\beta)$ . Set

$$\gamma = \frac{n+1+\beta}{q} - (n+1+\alpha).$$

Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $h_{\bar{f}}^\beta : A_\alpha^1 \rightarrow L^q(dv_\beta)$  is bounded;
- (2) The function  $(1 - |z|^2)^\gamma V_{b,\beta}(f)(z)$  is bounded;
- (3)  $h_{\bar{f}}^\beta : A_\alpha^1 \rightarrow L^q(dv_\beta)$  is  $r$ -summing for every  $r \geq 1$ .

Moreover, the following norm equivalences hold:

$$\pi_r(h_{\bar{f}}^\beta) \simeq \|h_{\bar{f}}^\beta\| \simeq \|(1 - |\cdot|^2)^\gamma V_{b,\beta}(f)\|_{L^\infty}.$$

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). By (2.6), for every  $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |h_{\bar{f}}^\beta(h_{p,z})(z)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{\overline{f(w)} h_{p,z}(w)}{(1 - \langle w, z \rangle)^{n+1+\beta}} dv_\beta(w) \right| \\ &\simeq \left| \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{b-(n+1+\alpha)/p} \overline{f(w)}}{(1 - \langle w, z \rangle)^{n+1+\beta+b}} dv_\beta(w) \right| \\ &\simeq (1 - |z|^2)^{-(n+1+\alpha)/p} |V_{b,\beta}(f)(z)|. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$|h_{\bar{f}}^\beta(h_{p,z})(z)|^q \lesssim \frac{1}{v_\beta(B(z, \delta))} \int_{B(z, \delta)} |h_{\bar{f}}^\beta(h_{p,z})(w)|^q dv_\beta(w).$$

Therefore, by (2.1) we have

$$(6.7) \quad (1 - |z|^2)^\gamma |V_{b,\beta}(f)(z)| \lesssim \left( \int_{B(z,\delta)} |h_{\tilde{f}}^\beta(h_{p,z})(w)|^q dv_\beta(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

This, together with the boundedness of  $h_{\tilde{f}}^\beta$  and  $\|h_{p,z}\|_{p,\alpha} = 1$ , implies that

$$\|(1 - |\cdot|^2)^\gamma V_{b,\beta}(f)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \left( \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |h_{\tilde{f}}^\beta(h_{p,z})(w)|^q dv_\beta(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = \|h_{\tilde{f}}^\beta(h_{p,z})\|_{q,\beta} \leq \|h_{\tilde{f}}^\beta\| \cdot \|h_{p,z}\|_{p,\alpha} = \|h_{\tilde{f}}^\beta\|.$$

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). This is a special case of Theorem 6.5 (2).

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). This follows from the elementary fact that every  $r$ -summing operator is bounded. Also,  $\|h_{\tilde{f}}^\beta\| \leq \pi_r(h_{\tilde{f}}^\beta)$ .

The proof of Proposition 6.6 is complete.  $\square$

## 6.2. Necessity.

**Proposition 6.7.** *Let  $p, q, r \geq 1$ ,  $\alpha, \beta > -1$ ,  $\delta > 0$  and  $f \in L^1(dv_\beta)$ . Let  $\{a_k\}$  be a separated sequence. Assume that  $h_{\tilde{f}}^\beta : A_\alpha^p \rightarrow L^q(dv_\beta)$  is  $r$ -summing. Let  $\kappa := 2p/(2p - 2q + pq)$  for  $1 \leq p \leq 2$  and  $1 \leq q \leq 2$  with the endpoint convention  $\kappa := \infty$  when  $(p, q) = (1, 2)$ . Set*

$$\gamma = \frac{n+1+\beta}{q} - \frac{n+1+\alpha}{p}.$$

*Then the following statements hold:*

(1) *If  $1 \leq p, q \leq 2$ ,  $r \geq 1$ , then*

$$\left\| \{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma V_{b,\beta}(f)(a_k)\} \right\|_{\ell^{\kappa q}} \lesssim \pi_r(h_{\tilde{f}}^\beta);$$

(2) *If  $p > 1, q \geq 1$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq p'$ , then*

$$\left\| \{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma V_{b,\beta}(f)(a_k)\} \right\|_{\ell^{p'}} \lesssim \pi_r(h_{\tilde{f}}^\beta);$$

(3) *If  $p > 1, q \geq 1$ ,  $r \geq p'$ , then*

$$\left\| \{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma V_{b,\beta}(f)(a_k)\} \right\|_{\ell^r} \lesssim \pi_r(h_{\tilde{f}}^\beta);$$

(4) *If  $p \geq 2, q \geq 2$ , then*

$$\left\| \{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma V_{b,\beta}(f)(a_k)\} \right\|_{\ell^q} \lesssim \pi_r(h_{\tilde{f}}^\beta);$$

(5) *If  $p \geq 2, 1 \leq q \leq 2$ , then*

$$\left\| \{(1 - |a_k|^2)^\gamma V_{b,\beta}(f)(a_k)\} \right\|_{\ell^2} \lesssim \pi_r(h_{\tilde{f}}^\beta).$$

*Proof.* By the inequality (6.7), for every  $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$ ,

$$(1 - |z|^2)^\gamma |V_{b,\beta}(f)(z)| \lesssim \left( \int_{B(z,\delta)} |h_{\tilde{f}}^\beta(h_{p,z})(w)|^q dv_\beta(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

By taking  $T = h_{\tilde{f}}^\beta$  and  $d\mu = dv_\beta$ , we see that (1) follows from Proposition 3.1, and (2)–(5) follow from Corollary 3.3. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements:** Z. Fan is supported by the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No. 2023M740799), by the Postdoctoral Fellowship Program of CPSF (No. GZB20230175), and by the Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (No. 2023A1515110879). X. Wang is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12471119 and No. 11971125).

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Arazy, S.D. Fisher, S. Janson, and J. Peetre, *Membership of Hankel operators on the ball in unitary ideals*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **43** (1991), no. 3, 485–508. MR 1113389 4
- [2] J. Arazy, S.D. Fisher, and J. Peetre, *Hankel operators on weighted Bergman spaces*, Amer. J. Math. **110** (1988), no. 6, 989–1053. MR 970119 4
- [3] S. Axler, *The Bergman space, the Bloch space, and commutators of multiplication operators*, Duke Math. J. **53** (1986), no. 2, 315–332. MR 850538 4
- [4] W. Bauer, *Mean oscillation and Hankel operators on the Segal-Bargmann space*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory **52** (2005), no. 1, 1–15. MR 2138695 4
- [5] A. Bonami and L. Luo, *On Hankel operators between Bergman spaces on the unit ball*, Houston J. Math. **31** (2005), no. 3, 815–827. MR 2148810 4
- [6] M. Bourass, O. El-Fallah, I. Marrhich, and H. Naqos, *On singular values of Hankel operators on Bergman spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **283** (2022), no. 3, Paper No. 109521, 41. MR 4413302 4
- [7] J. Chen, C. Dong, and M. Wang, *Absolutely summing Carleson embeddings over the half-plane*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory **19** (2025), no. 4, Paper No. 72, 34. MR 4905107 4
- [8] J. Chen, B. He, and M. Wang, *Absolutely summing Carleson embeddings on weighted Fock spaces with  $a_\infty$ -type weights*, Preprint available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2505.16109>. To appear in J. Operator. Theory, 2025. 4
- [9] R. R. Coifman, R. Rochberg, and G. Weiss, *Factorization theorems for Hardy spaces in several variables*, Ann. of Math. (2) **103** (1976), no. 3, 611–635. MR 412721 4
- [10] B. Coupet, *Décomposition atomique des espaces de Bergman*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **38** (1989), no. 4, 917–941. MR 1029682 4
- [11] J. Diestel, H. Jarchow, and A. Tonge, *Absolutely summing operators*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 43, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. MR 1342297 6, 7, 8, 11, 12
- [12] T. Domenig, *Composition operators belonging to operator ideals*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **237** (1999), no. 1, 327–349. MR 1708177 4
- [13] Y. Duan, J. Rättyä, S. Wang, and F. Wu, *Two weight inequality for Hankel form on weighted Bergman spaces induced by doubling weights*, Adv. Math. **431** (2023), Paper No. 109249, 47. MR 4631347 4
- [14] M. Engliš and R. Rochberg, *The Dixmier trace of Hankel operators on the Bergman space*, J. Funct. Anal. **257** (2009), no. 5, 1445–1479. MR 2541276 4
- [15] Z. Fan, X. Wang, and Z. Zeng, *IDA function and asymptotic behavior of singular values of Hankel operators on weighted Bergman spaces*, Preprint available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.20082>, 2024. 4
- [16] T. Farès and P. Lefèvre, *Absolutely summing weighted composition operators on Bloch spaces*, J. Operator Theory **88** (2022), no. 2, 407–443. MR 4534902 4
- [17] M. Feldman and R. Rochberg, *Singular value estimates for commutators and Hankel operators on the unit ball and the Heisenberg group*, Analysis and partial differential equations, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 122, Dekker, New York, 1990, pp. 121–159. MR 1044786 4
- [18] J. Gao and Z. Hu, *Approximation in weighted Bergman spaces and Hankel operators on strongly pseudoconvex domains*, Math. Z. **297** (2021), no. 3–4, 1483–1505. MR 4229611 20, 21, 24
- [19] D. J. H. Garling, *Diagonal mappings between sequence spaces*, Studia Math. **51** (1974), 129–138. MR 355665 4
- [20] A. Grothendieck, *Résumé de la théorie métrique des produits tensoriels topologiques*, Bol. Soc. Mat. São Paulo **8** (1953), 1–79. MR 94682 3
- [21] A. Grothendieck, *Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (1955), Chapter 1: 196 pp.; Chapter 2: 140. MR 75539 3
- [22] B. He, J. Jreis, P. Lefèvre, and Z. Lou, *Absolutely summing Carleson embeddings on Bergman spaces*, Adv. Math. **439** (2024), Paper No. 109495, 61. MR 4690506 4, 12



- [23] Z. Hu, X. Lv, and K. Zhu, *Carleson measures and balayage for Bergman spaces of strongly pseudoconvex domains*, Math. Nachr. **289** (2016), no. 10, 1237–1254. MR 3520714 17
- [24] Z. Hu and J.A. Virtanen, *Schatten class Hankel operators on the Segal-Bargmann space and the Berger-Coburn phenomenon*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **375** (2022), no. 5, 3733–3753. MR 4402674 4
- [25] Z. Hu and J.A. Virtanen, *IDA and Hankel operators on Fock spaces*, Anal. PDE **16** (2023), no. 9, 2041–2077. MR 4668087 4
- [26] Z. Hu and E. Wang, *Hankel operators between Fock spaces*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory **90** (2018), no. 3, Paper No. 37, 20. MR 3803293 4
- [27] Z. Hu and E. Wang, *Absolutely Summing Toeplitz operators on Fock spaces*, Preprint available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2509.19967>, 2025. 4
- [28] J. Isralowitz, *Schatten  $p$  class Hankel operators on the Segal-Bargmann space  $H^2(\mathbb{C}^n, d\mu)$  for  $0 < p < 1$* , J. Operator Theory **66** (2011), no. 1, 145–160. MR 2806550 4
- [29] J. Isralowitz, *Schatten  $p$  class commutators on the weighted Bergman space  $L_a^2(\mathbb{B}_n, dv_\gamma)$  for  $2n/(n+1+\gamma) < p < \infty$* , Indiana Univ. Math. J. **62** (2013), no. 1, 201–233. MR 3158507 4
- [30] S. Janson, *Hankel operators between weighted Bergman spaces*, Ark. Mat. **26** (1988), no. 2, 205–219. MR 1050105 4
- [31] S. Janson, J. Peetre, and R. Rochberg, *Hankel forms and the Fock space*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **3** (1987), no. 1, 61–138. MR 1008445 4
- [32] J. Jreis and P. Lefèvre, *Some operator ideal properties of Volterra operators on Bergman and Bloch spaces*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **96** (2024), no. 1, Paper No. 1, 29. MR 4676254 4
- [33] S. Kwapiński, *Some remarks on  $(p, q)$ -absolutely summing operators in  $l_p$ -spaces*, Studia Math. **29** (1968), 327–337. MR 231212 3
- [34] P. Lefèvre and L. Rodríguez-Piazza, *Absolutely summing Carleson embeddings on Hardy spaces*, Adv. Math. **340** (2018), 528–587. MR 3886175 3, 4
- [35] M. Li and J. Long, *Summing Carleson measure on radial weighted Bergman spaces*, Preprint available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2509.22005>, 2025. 4
- [36] D.H. Luecking, *Characterizations of certain classes of Hankel operators on the Bergman spaces of the unit disk*, J. Funct. Anal. **110** (1992), no. 2, 247–271. MR 1194989 4
- [37] X. Lv and K. Zhu, *Integrability of mean oscillation with applications to Hankel operators*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **91** (2019), no. 1, Paper No. 5, 23. MR 3905349 25
- [38] J. Pau, *Characterization of Schatten-class Hankel operators on weighted Bergman spaces*, Duke Math. J. **165** (2016), no. 14, 2771–2791. MR 3551773 4
- [39] J. Pau and R. Zhao, *Weak factorization and Hankel forms for weighted Bergman spaces on the unit ball*, Math. Ann. **363** (2015), no. 1-2, 363–383. MR 3394382 4
- [40] J. Pau, R. Zhao, and K. Zhu, *Weighted BMO and Hankel operators between Bergman spaces*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **65** (2016), no. 5, 1639–1673. MR 3571442 25
- [41] V.V. Peller, *Hankel operators and their applications*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003. MR 1949210 4
- [42] A. Pietsch, *Absolut  $p$ -summierende Abbildungen in normierten Räumen*, Studia Math. **28** (1966/67), 333–353. MR 216328 3
- [43] A. Pietsch, *Nuclear locally convex spaces*, german ed., Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas], vol. Band 66, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1972. MR 350360 3
- [44] A. Pietsch, *Operator ideals*, Mathematische Monographien [Mathematical Monographs], vol. 16, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1978. MR 519680 3
- [45] K. Seip and E.H. Youssfi, *Hankel operators on Fock spaces and related Bergman kernel estimates*, J. Geom. Anal. **23** (2013), no. 1, 170–201. MR 3010276 4
- [46] R. Wallstén, *Hankel operators between weighted Bergman spaces in the ball*, Ark. Mat. **28** (1990), no. 1, 183–192. MR 1049650 4
- [47] J. Xia, *Hankel operators in the Bergman space and Schatten  $p$ -classes: the case  $1 < p < 2$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **129** (2001), no. 12, 3559–3567. MR 1860488 4
- [48] J. Xia, *On the Schatten class membership of Hankel operators on the unit ball*, Illinois J. Math. **46** (2002), no. 3, 913–928. MR 1951248 4
- [49] J. Xia, *The Berger-Coburn phenomenon for Hankel operators on the Fock space*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory **17** (2023), no. 3, Paper No. 35, 59. MR 4552558 4

- [50] J. Xia and D. Zheng, *Standard deviation and Schatten class Hankel operators on the Segal-Bargmann space*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **53** (2004), no. 5, 1381–1399. MR 2104282 4
- [51] W. Yang and C. Yuan, *Schatten class little Hankel operators on Bergman spaces in bounded symmetric domains*, J. Funct. Anal. **288** (2025), no. 10, Paper No. 110875, 32. MR 4864874 4
- [52] Z. Zeng, Z. Hu, and X. Wang, *Bounded, compact and Schatten class Hankel operators on Fock-type spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **378** (2025), no. 2, 805–849. MR 4850427 4
- [53] K. Zhu, *Duality and Hankel operators on the Bergman spaces of bounded symmetric domains*, J. Funct. Anal. **81** (1988), no. 2, 260–278. MR 971880 4
- [54] K. Zhu, *Hilbert-Schmidt Hankel operators on the Bergman space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **109** (1990), no. 3, 721–730. MR 1013987 4
- [55] K. Zhu, *Hankel operators on the Bergman space of bounded symmetric domains*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **324** (1991), no. 2, 707–730. MR 1093426 4
- [56] K. Zhu, *Schatten class Hankel operators on the Bergman space of the unit ball*, Amer. J. Math. **113** (1991), no. 1, 147–167. MR 1087805 4
- [57] K. Zhu, *Spaces of holomorphic functions in the unit ball*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 226, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005. MR 2115155 5, 6, 9, 11, 24, 25, 26
- [58] K. Zhu, *Operator theory in function spaces*, second ed., Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 138, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007. MR 2311536 4, 26, 27

ZHIJIE FAN, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SOUTH CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, GUANGZHOU 510631, CHINA  
*Email address:* fanzhj3@mail2.sysu.edu.cn

BO HE, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI, 200433, CHINA  
*Email address:* bhe\_bh@fudan.edu.cn

XIAOFENG WANG, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCE, GUANGZHOU UNIVERSITY, GUANGZHOU 510006, CHINA  
*Email address:* wxf@gzhu.edu.cn

ZHICHENG ZENG, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCE, GUANGZHOU UNIVERSITY, GUANGZHOU 510006, CHINA  
*Email address:* zhichengzeng@e.gzhu.edu.cn