

AN INVOLUTION FOR A CATALAN-TANGENT NUMBER IDENTITY

DONGSU KIM AND ZHICONG LIN

ABSTRACT. We provide an involution proof of a Catalan-tangent number identity arising from the study of peak algebra that was found by Aliniaefard and Li. In the course, we find a new combinatorial identity for the tangent numbers T_{2n+1} :

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{2n+1}{2k} 2^{2n-2k} T_{2k+1} = (-1)^n T_{2n+1}.$$

Moreover, we derive two different q -analogs of the above identity from the combinatorial perspective.

1. INTRODUCTION

For a positive integer n , let $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. A *set composition* ϕ of $[n]$, denoted by $\phi \models [n]$, is a list of mutually disjoint nonempty subsets $\phi_1/\phi_2/\dots/\phi_\ell$ of $[n]$ whose union is $[n]$. Each ϕ_i is called a *block* of ϕ and the number of blocks of ϕ is denoted by $\ell(\phi)$. A set composition ϕ is said to be *odd* if each block of ϕ consists of odd number of elements. For instance, $\phi = 7/148/9/6/235$ is an odd set composition of $[9]$ with $\ell(\phi) = 5$. Let

$$O(n, k) := |\{\phi \models [n] : \phi \text{ odd}, \ell(\phi) = k\}|.$$

Note that $O(n, k) = 0$ if n and k have different parity. By the compositional formula for the exponential generating functions (see [7, Proposition 5.1.3]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n,k} O(n, k) t^k \frac{x^n}{n!} &= \frac{t \sinh(x)}{1 - t \sinh(x)} \\ &= tx + 2t^2 \frac{x^2}{2!} + (t + 6t^3) \frac{x^3}{3!} + (8t^2 + 24t^4) \frac{x^3}{3!} + (t + 60t^3 + 120t^5) \frac{x^5}{5!} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

The *Catalan numbers*

$$\left(C_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n} \right)_{n \geq 0} = (1, 1, 2, 5, 14, 42, 132, \dots)$$

and the *tangent numbers* $\{T_{2n+1}\}_{n \geq 0}$ defined by the Taylor expansion

$$\tan(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} T_{2n+1} \frac{x^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} = x + 2 \frac{x^3}{3!} + 16 \frac{x^5}{5!} + 272 \frac{x^7}{7!} + 7936 \frac{x^9}{9!} + \dots$$

are well-known combinatorial sequences in enumerative combinatorics.

Date: December 1, 2025.

Key words and phrases. Catalan numbers, Tangent numbers, Odd set compositions, Unimodal permutations, Complete binary trees.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05A05, 05A19.

The following identity, which connects Catalan numbers with tangent numbers via $O(n, k)$, was found by Aliniaefard and Li [1] in their study of non-commutative peak algebra and later proved by Zhao, Lin and Zang [9] using generating functions.

Theorem 1.1. *For $n \geq 0$, we have*

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k O(2n+1, 2k+1) 2^{2n-2k} C_k = (-1)^n T_{2n+1}.$$

For instance, when $n = 2$ identity (1.1) reads

$$1 \cdot 2^4 C_0 - 60 \cdot 2^2 C_1 + 120 \cdot 2^0 C_2 = 16.$$

Although Catalan numbers and tangent numbers have been extensively studied in combinatorics (see [7, Exercise 6.19] and [8]), there seems to be no combinatorial identity relating them other than (1.1). As observed by Aliniaefard and Li [1], combining [1, Corollary 11.5] and Theorem 1.1 results in the following intriguing identity for tangent numbers

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k \binom{2n}{2k+1} 2^{2n-2k} T_{2k+1} = 2^{2n+1}.$$

A generating function proof of Theorem 1.1 and a combinatorial proof of (1.2), together with its surprising arithmetic applications, were given in [9]. However, a combinatorial proof of Theorem 1.1 remains elusive.

The main objective of this paper is to provide a combinatorial involution proof of Theorem 1.1. In the course, we find a new combinatorial identity similar to (1.2):

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{2n+1}{2k} 2^{2n-2k} T_{2k+1} = (-1)^n T_{2n+1}.$$


This identity is also reminiscent of the one proved by Andrews and Gessel [3]:

$$(1.4) \quad T_{2n+1} + \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k \binom{2n+1}{2k} 2^{2k-1} T_{2n-2k+1} = (-1)^n 2^{2n}.$$

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the combinatorial structure of complete binary trees labeled by odd unimodal permutations and then construct a sign-reversing involution on them in Section 3 to prove Theorem 1.1 combinatorially. In Section 4, we prove two different q -analogs of (1.3) using our involution on labeled binary trees and some other involutions on permutation pairs.

2. BINARY TREES LABELED BY ODD UNIMODAL PERMUTATIONS

A *complete binary tree* is a rooted tree in which every internal node has a left child and a right child. It is well known (see [7, Exercise 6.19]) that C_n enumerates the number of complete binary trees with $2n+1$ nodes. A *complete increasing binary tree* on $[2n+1]$ is a labeled complete binary tree such that the labels along every path from the root to a leaf are increasing. Complete increasing binary trees on $[2n+1]$ are known [8] to be counted by T_{2n+1} . In order to interpret the left-hand side of (1.1), we need to generalize complete increasing binary trees to some complete binary trees labeled by odd unimodal permutations which are defined below.

FIGURE 1. Three labeled binary trees on $[9]$.

A word $w = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_k$ with distinct letters is called a *unimodal permutation* if

$$w_1 < w_2 < \cdots < w_m > w_{m+1} > \cdots > w_k$$

for some $1 \leq m \leq k$. If k is odd, then w is said to be an *odd unimodal permutation*. We introduce the following generalization of complete increasing binary trees.

Definition 2.1 (Labeled binary trees). A *labeled (complete) binary tree on $[2n + 1]$* is a complete binary tree whose nodes are labeled by odd unimodal permutations, and the letters of all labels form a set partition of $[2n + 1]$. See Fig. 1 for three labeled binary trees on $[9]$.

Let \mathcal{LB}_{2n+1} be the set of all labeled binary trees on $[2n + 1]$ and let \mathcal{IB}_{2n+1} be the set of all complete increasing binary trees on $[2n + 1]$. It is clear that $\mathcal{IB}_{2n+1} \subseteq \mathcal{LB}_{2n+1}$. For a labeled binary tree $T \in \mathcal{LB}_{2n+1}$, let $h(T)$ be half the number of edges of T . The following lemma shows that the left-hand side of (1.1) is a signed counting of labeled binary trees on $[2n + 1]$.

Lemma 2.2. For $n \geq 0$, we have

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{T \in \mathcal{LB}_{2n+1}} (-1)^{h(T)} = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k O(2n + 1, 2k + 1) 2^{2n-2k} C_k.$$

Proof. Each labeled binary tree in \mathcal{LB}_{2n+1} with $2k$ edges can be constructed by

- choosing a complete binary tree T with $2k + 1$ nodes,
- choosing a set composition ϕ of $[2n + 1]$ with $2k + 1$ blocks,
- forming an odd unimodal permutation using letters in ϕ_i for the label of the i -th node (under the in-order traversal) of T for each $1 \leq i \leq 2k + 1$.

There are C_k choices for T , $O(2n + 1, 2k + 1)$ choices for ϕ , and $2^{2n+1-(2k+1)}$ ways to form the labels of T from ϕ , whence (2.1) follows. \square

In view of Lemma 2.2, it remains to construct a sign-reversing involution on labeled binary trees fixing complete increasing binary trees to finish our combinatorial proof of Theorem 1.1.

3. A SIGN-REVERSING INVOLUTION ON LABELED BINARY TREES FOR THEOREM 1.1

A crucial result of this paper is the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. There exists an involution κ on \mathcal{LB}_{2n+1} such that




FIGURE 2. Examples for cases (I) and (II).

- $\kappa(T) = T$ if and only if $T \in \mathcal{IB}_{2n+1}$;
- and $(-1)^{h(T)} + (-1)^{h(\kappa(T))} = 0$ for any $T \in \mathcal{LB}_{2n+1} \setminus \mathcal{IB}_{2n+1}$.

Combining Lemma 2.2 with Lemma 3.1, we can obtain a combinatorial proof of Theorem 1.1. The rest of this section is devoted to the construction of κ .

Let $T \in \mathcal{LB}_{2n+1}$ be a labeled binary tree. For a node v of T , let T_v be the subtree of T rooted at v . We say that v is *active*, if T_v is not an increasing subtree and either v is a leaf¹ or both branches of T_v are increasing subtrees. For the three labeled binary trees in Fig. 1, the only active node in the first tree is labeled 952, the only active node in the second tree is labeled 578, while the third tree contains two active nodes which are labeled 4 and 5. Given a binary tree, its *right chain* (resp., *left chain*) is any maximal path composed of only right (resp., left) edges.

The construction of κ . Given $T \in \mathcal{LB}_{2n+1}$, if $T \in \mathcal{IB}_{2n+1}$, then define $\kappa(T) = T$. Otherwise, find the first active node v under the in-order traversal. Suppose that the label of v is the odd unimodal permutation $\pi = \pi_1\pi_2 \cdots \pi_{2k+1}$. We define $\kappa(T)$ to be the tree obtained from T by replacing T_v by T_v^* , where T_v^* is constructed according to the following cases.

- (I) If v is a leaf, then $k \geq 1$. Let T_v^* be the tree with root $\pi_2 \cdots \pi_{2k}$ whose left child is π_1 and right child is π_{2k+1} . See Fig. 2 for an example.
- (II) If v has left (resp., right) child labeled by a (resp., b) and both a, b are leaves such that $a\pi b$ is unimodal, then let T_v^* be the tree with a unique node labeled by $a\pi b$. Note that this case is the inverse of case (I); see Fig. 2.
- (III) Cases other than (I) and (II). Let a_0 be the label of the left child of v , and b_0 the label of the right child of v . Find the right (resp., left) chain starting from a_0 (resp., b_0), whose labels are a_0, a_1, \dots, a_s (resp., b_0, b_1, \dots, b_t) from top to bottom. Introduce the *left indicator* ind_l as:

$$\text{ind}_l = \begin{cases} +\infty, & \text{if } k = 0 \text{ and } s = 0; \\ a_0, & \text{if } k = 0 \text{ and } s > 0; \\ \min\{a_0, \pi_1\}, & \text{if } k > 0 \text{ and } (a_s > \pi_1 \text{ and } \pi_1 < \pi_2); \\ +\infty, & \text{if } k > 0 \text{ and } s = 0 \text{ and } (a_0 < \pi_1 \text{ or } \pi_1 > \pi_2); \\ a_0, & \text{if } k > 0 \text{ and } s > 0 \text{ and } (a_s < \pi_1 \text{ or } \pi_1 > \pi_2). \end{cases}$$

¹This happens when the label of v is an odd unimodal permutation of size greater than one.




FIGURE 3. Examples for subcases (a-1) and (a-2).

Symmetrically, we define the *right indicator* ind_r as:

$$\text{ind}_r = \begin{cases} +\infty, & \text{if } k = 0 \text{ and } t = 0; \\ b_0, & \text{if } k = 0 \text{ and } t > 0; \\ \min\{b_0, \pi_{2k+1}\}, & \text{if } k > 0 \text{ and } (b_t > \pi_{2k+1} \text{ and } \pi_{2k+1} < \pi_{2k}); \\ +\infty, & \text{if } k > 0 \text{ and } t = 0 \text{ and } (b_0 < \pi_{2k+1} \text{ or } \pi_{2k+1} > \pi_{2k}); \\ b_0, & \text{if } k > 0 \text{ and } t > 0 \text{ and } (b_t < \pi_{2k+1} \text{ or } \pi_{2k+1} > \pi_{2k}). \end{cases}$$

Note that $\text{ind}_l = \text{ind}_r = +\infty$ could not happen.² We need to distinguish the following two cases.

(a) $\text{ind}_l < \text{ind}_r$. We further distinguish two subcases.

(a-1) If $k > 0$ and $(a_s > \pi_1 \text{ and } \pi_1 < \pi_2)$, then find the smallest index i , $0 \leq i \leq s$, such that $a_i > \pi_1$. Suppose that u is the node labeled by a_i . In this case, let T_v^* be obtained from T_v by first changing v 's label to $\pi_3\pi_4 \cdots \pi_{2k+1}$ and then replacing the subtree T_u with the new subtree \widetilde{T}_u , where \widetilde{T}_u is the rooted binary tree with root labeled by π_1 and the left branch of the root is T_u , while the right branch of the root is the leaf labeled by π_2 . See Fig. 3 for an example.

(a-2) Otherwise, suppose that u is the node labeled by a_{s-1} . In this case, let T_v^* be obtained from T_v by first changing v 's label to $a_{s-1}a_s\pi$ and then replacing the subtree T_u with the subtree $T_{u'}$, where u' is the left child of u . Note that this case is the inverse of case (a-1); see Fig. 3.

(b) $\text{ind}_l > \text{ind}_r$. This case is symmetric to case (a) and we further consider two subcases.

(b-1) If $k > 0$ and $(b_t > \pi_{2k+1} \text{ and } \pi_{2k+1} < \pi_{2k})$, then find the smallest index j , $0 \leq j \leq t$, such that $b_j > \pi_{2k+1}$. Suppose that u is the node labeled by b_j . In this case, let T_v^* be obtained from T_v by first changing v 's label to $\pi_1\pi_2 \cdots \pi_{2k-1}$ and then replacing the subtree T_u with the new subtree \widetilde{T}_u , where \widetilde{T}_u is the rooted binary tree with root labeled by π_{2k+1} and the right branch of the root is T_u , while the left branch of the root is the leaf labeled by π_{2k} .

²Refer to Case (II).

- (b-2) Otherwise, suppose that u is the node labeled by b_{t-1} . In this case, let T_v^* be obtained from T_v by first changing v 's label to $\pi b_t b_{t-1}$ and then replacing the subtree T_u with the subtree $T_{u'}$, where u' is the right child of u . Note that this case is the inverse of case (b-1).

Now we are ready for the proof of Lemma 3.1.

Proof of Lemma 3.1. It is clear from the construction that κ is sign-reversing and fixing all complete increasing binary trees. Given $T \in \mathcal{LB}_{2n+1} \setminus \mathcal{IB}_{2n+1}$, it is plain to see that $\kappa^2(T) = T$ when v is in cases (I) or (II). For v in case (III), to see that κ is an involution, all we need to show is that $\text{ind}_l(T_v) < \text{ind}_r(T_v)$ implies $\text{ind}_l(T_v^*) < \text{ind}_r(T_v^*)$ due to the symmetry of labeled binary trees. This is obviously true when the label of v is an odd unimodal permutation of size at least five. Suppose that the label of v is of size 1 or 3 and m is the smallest letter in all the labels of T_v . Then $m = \min\{\pi_1, a_0, \pi_{2k+1}, b_0\}$. We consider the following two cases.

- v is in case (a-1):
The label of v in T_v is $\pi = \pi_1 \pi_2 \pi_3$. If $m = \min\{\pi_1, a_0\}$ then $\text{ind}_l(T_v^*) = m < \text{ind}_r(T_v^*)$; otherwise, i.e., if $m = \min\{\pi_3, b_0\}$ then either $m = b_0$ or $m = \pi_3$, but the latter implies $\text{ind}_r(T_v) = m$, which is a contradiction. Hence we have $m = b_0$, and so $\text{ind}_r(T_v) = +\infty$. This implies that the node labeled by b_0 is a leaf in T_v , which forces $\text{ind}_r(T_v^*) = +\infty$. This proves that $\text{ind}_l(T_v^*) < \text{ind}_r(T_v^*)$.
- v is in case (a-2):
If the label of v in T_v is $\pi = \pi_1 \pi_2 \pi_3$, then we have $\text{ind}_l(T_v^*) = \text{ind}_l(T_v) < \text{ind}_r(T_v) = \text{ind}_r(T_v^*)$. If the label of v in T_v is $\pi = \pi_1$, then $m = \min\{\pi_1, a_0\} = a_0$ since $m = \pi_1$ implies that T_v is an increasing binary tree, which is a contradiction. Now $a_0 = m$ implies that $\text{ind}_l(T_v^*) = m < \text{ind}_r(T_v^*)$.

We have proved that $\text{ind}_l(T_v) < \text{ind}_r(T_v)$ implies $\text{ind}_l(T_v^*) < \text{ind}_r(T_v^*)$ in all cases. Thus, κ is an involution, which completes the proof of the lemma. \square

4. TWO q -ANALOGS OF (1.3)

In this section, we derive two q -analogs of (1.3) from the combinatorial perspective.

Before proceeding, we introduce some necessary definitions and notations on q -series. Given a nonnegative integer n , the q -shifted factorial is defined by $(t; q)_n := \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (1 - tq^i)$. In particular,

$$(-q; q)_n = (1 + q)(1 + q^2) \cdots (1 + q^n)$$

is a natural q -analog of 2^n . Recall that the q -binomial coefficients $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ are defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} := \frac{(q; q)_n}{(q; q)_{n-k} (q; q)_k} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq n.$$

The q -sine $\sin_q(x)$ and q -cosine $\cos_q(x)$ are defined by

$$\sin_q(x) := \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \frac{x^{2n+1}}{(q; q)_{2n+1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \cos_q(x) := \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \frac{x^{2n}}{(q; q)_{2n}}.$$

The classical q -tangent numbers (see [3–5]) $T_{2n+1}(q)$ then occur in the expansion of $\tan_q(x)$:

$$\tan_q(x) := \frac{\sin_q(x)}{\cos_q(x)} = \sum_{n \geq 0} T_{2n+1}(q) \frac{x^{2n+1}}{(q; q)_{2n+1}}.$$

For convenience sake, we list the first few values of $T_{2n+1}(q)$:

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(q) &= 1, \\ T_3(q) &= (1+q)q, \\ T_5(q) &= (1+q)^2(1+q^2)^2q^2, \\ T_7(q) &= (1+q)^2(1+q^2)(1+q^3)q^3(1+q+3q^2+2q^3+3q^4+2q^5+3q^6+q^7+q^8). \end{aligned}$$

A permutation $\pi = \pi_1\pi_2 \cdots \pi_n$ of $[n]$ is *down-up* (or *alternating*) if

$$\pi_1 > \pi_2 < \pi_3 > \pi_4 < \cdots.$$

If the above inequalities are reversed, then π is said to be *up-down*. Let \mathcal{A}_n be the set of all down-up permutations of $[n]$. A result attributed to André [2] asserts that

$$(4.1) \quad 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} |\mathcal{A}_n| \frac{x^n}{n!} = \sec(x) + \tan(x).$$

Thus, $T_{2n+1} = |\mathcal{A}_{2n+1}|$. It is a classical result (see [8]) that $T_{2n+1}(q)$ has the combinatorial interpretation

$$(4.2) \quad T_{2n+1}(q) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{2n+1}} q^{\text{inv}(\pi)},$$

where $\text{inv}(\pi) := |\{(i, j) \in [n]^2 : i < j, \pi_i > \pi_j\}|$ is the *inversion number* of a permutation π . The following is our first q -analog of (1.3).

Theorem 4.1. *For $n \geq 0$, we have*

$$(4.3) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} 2n+1 \\ 2k \end{bmatrix} (-q; q)_{2n-2k} \tilde{T}_{2k+1}(q) = (-1)^n T_{2n+1}(q),$$

where $\tilde{T}_1(q) = 1$ and

$$(4.4) \quad \tilde{T}_{2k+1}(q) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \begin{bmatrix} 2k \\ 2i+1 \end{bmatrix} T_{2i+1}(q) T_{2k-2i-1}(q) \quad (k \geq 1).$$

Remark 4.2. Foata [4] proved the following quadratic recursion for tangent numbers:

$$T_{2n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{2n}{2k+1} T_{2k+1} T_{2n-2k-1} \quad (n \geq 1),$$

from which we have $\tilde{T}_{2n+1}(1) = T_{2n+1}$. Thus, identity (4.3) is indeed a q -analog of (1.3).

We need some preparations before we can prove Theorem 4.1. Let \mathcal{U}_n be the set of all unimodal permutations of $[n]$. For instance, $\mathcal{U}_3 = \{123, 132, 231, 321\}$. The following lemma, which enumerates unimodal permutations by inversion numbers, was proved in [9].

Lemma 4.3. *For $n \geq 1$, we have*

$$(4.5) \quad \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{U}_n} q^{\text{inv}(\pi)} = (-q; q)_{n-1}.$$

For a labeled tree $T \in \mathcal{LB}_{2n+1}$ with $2k+1$ nodes, consider the word concatenation $w(T) = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_{2k+1}$, where α_i is the labeling of the unimodal permutation of the i -th node (under the in-order traversal) of T . For instance, if T is the first tree in Fig. 1, then $w(T) = 467381952$. It is clear that $w(T)$ is a permutation of $[2n+1]$. The *inversion number* of T , denoted by $\text{inv}(T)$, is thus defined as

$$\text{inv}(T) := \text{inv}(w(T)).$$

The following interpretation of signed q -tangent numbers is a consequence of Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 4.4. *For $n \geq 0$, we have*

$$(4.6) \quad \sum_{T \in \mathcal{LB}_{2n+1}} (-1)^{\text{h}(T)} q^{\text{inv}(T)} = (-1)^n T_{2n+1}(q).$$

Proof. It is plain to check that our involution κ has the following feature:

$$\text{inv}(T) = \text{inv}(\kappa(T)) \quad \text{for any } T \in \mathcal{LB}_{2n+1},$$

that is, it preserves the inversion numbers of labeled trees. The result then follows from Lemma 3.1, interpretation (4.2) and the known fact that $T \mapsto w(T)$ establishes a one-to-one correspondence between \mathcal{LB}_{2n+1} and \mathcal{A}_{2n+1} preserving inversion numbers. \square

We are ready for the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Recall the well-known combinatorial interpretation for the q -binomial coefficients:

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})} q^{\text{inv}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})},$$

summed over all set compositions $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ of $[n]$ such that $|\mathcal{A}| = k$, and

$$\text{inv}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) := |\{(a, b) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} : a > b\}|.$$

A labeled binary tree $T \in \mathcal{LB}_{2n+1}$ can be decomposed as (T_g, r, T_d) , where r is the root and T_g and T_d (possibly empty) are left branch and right branch of r , respectively. It follows from this decomposition, Lemma 4.3, the interpretation (4.6) of q -tangent numbers and the interpretation (4.7) of q -binomial coefficients that

$$(-1)^n T_{2n+1}(q) = (-q; q)_{2n} + \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} 2n+1 \\ 2k \end{bmatrix} (-q; q)_{2n-2k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \begin{bmatrix} 2k \\ 2i+1 \end{bmatrix} T_{2i+1}(q) T_{2k-2i-1}(q),$$

where $(-q; q)_{2n}$ counts single-node labeled binary trees on $[2n+1]$ by inversion numbers. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

4.1. Permutation pairs and another q -analog of (1.3). In view of (4.1), the number $|\mathcal{A}_{2n}| := S_{2n}$ is known as a *secant number*. Consider the q -secant number

$$S_{2n}(q) := \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{A}'_{2n}} q^{\text{inv}(\pi)},$$

where \mathcal{A}'_n denotes the set of all up-down permutations of $[n]$. For convenience, set $S_0(q) = 1$. It was known (see [5]) that

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} S_{2n}(q) \frac{x^{2n}}{(q; q)_{2n}} = \frac{1}{\cos_q(x)}.$$

The following is our second q -analog of (1.3).

Theorem 4.5. *For $n \geq 0$, we have*

$$(4.8) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} 2n+1 \\ 2k \end{bmatrix} (-q; q)_{2n-2k} T_{2k+1}(q) = (-1)^n \hat{T}_{2n+1}(q),$$

where

$$(4.9) \quad (-1)^n \hat{T}_{2n+1}(q) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} 2n+1 \\ 2k \end{bmatrix} q^{2k} S_{2k}(q).$$

Equivalently,

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} 2n+1 \\ 2k \end{bmatrix} ((-q; q)_{2n-2k} T_{2k+1}(q) - q^{2k} S_{2k}(q)) = 0.$$

To see that identity (4.8) is a q -analog of (1.3), we prove the following identity connecting q -tangent numbers with q -secant numbers, which seems first appears in the work of Huber and Yee [6].

Theorem 4.6. *For $n \geq 0$, we have*

$$(4.10) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} 2n+1 \\ 2k \end{bmatrix} S_{2k}(q) = (-1)^n T_{2n+1}(q).$$

The following combinatorial object is crucial in our proofs of the above two theorems.

Definition 4.7. A *permutation pair on $[n]$* is a pair (π, σ) of words (possibly empty) such that the word concatenation $\pi \cdot \sigma$ forms a permutation of $[n]$. For instance, $(2571, 86493)$ and $(\emptyset, 257186493)$ are two different permutation pairs on $[9]$. For a permutation pair (π, σ) , introduce the *sign* and the *inversion number* of (π, σ) as

$$\text{sgn}(\pi, \sigma) := (-1)^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{inv}(\pi, \sigma) := \text{inv}(\pi \cdot \sigma),$$

where k is the size of σ .

First we prove Theorem 4.6.

Proof of Theorem 4.6. Let us consider the set \mathcal{IP}_{2n+1} of all permutation pairs (π, σ) on $[2n+1]$ such that π is increasing and σ is up-down of even size. By the interpretation (4.7) of q -binomial coefficients, we have

$$(4.11) \quad \sum_{(\pi, \sigma) \in \mathcal{IP}_{2n+1}} \text{sgn}(\pi, \sigma) q^{\text{inv}(\pi, \sigma)} = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} 2n+1 \\ 2k \end{bmatrix} S_{2k}(q).$$

On the other hand, for $(\pi, \sigma) \in \mathcal{IP}_{2n+1}$ with $\pi = \pi_1 \pi_2 \cdots \pi_{2n+1-2k}$ and $\sigma = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{2k}$ for some $k \geq 0$, we introduce the mapping

$$f(\pi, \sigma) = \begin{cases} (\pi \sigma_1 \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \cdots \sigma_{2k}), & \text{if } \pi_{2n+1-2k} < \sigma_1; \\ (\pi_1 \cdots \pi_{2n-1-2k}, \pi_{2n-2k} \pi_{2n+1-2k} \sigma), & \text{if } \pi_{2n+1-2k} > \sigma_1 \text{ and } k < n; \\ (\pi, \sigma), & \text{if } \pi_{2n+1-2k} > \sigma_1 \text{ and } k = n. \end{cases}$$

Let \mathcal{IP}_{2n+1}^* be the set of permutation pairs in \mathcal{IP}_{2n+1} that satisfy the condition in the third case above. Then, the mapping f is an involution on \mathcal{IP}_{2n+1} preserving inversion numbers, fixing elements in \mathcal{IP}_{2n+1}^* and sign-reversing on $\mathcal{IP}_{2n+1} \setminus \mathcal{IP}_{2n+1}^*$. Thus,

$$\sum_{(\pi, \sigma) \in \mathcal{IP}_{2n+1}} \text{sgn}(\pi, \sigma) q^{\text{inv}(\pi, \sigma)} = \sum_{(\pi, \sigma) \in \mathcal{IP}_{2n+1}^*} \text{sgn}(\pi, \sigma) q^{\text{inv}(\pi, \sigma)} = (-1)^n T_{2n+1}(q),$$

which completes the proof in view of (4.11). \square

In similar spirit, we can also prove Theorem 4.5.

Proof of Theorem 4.5. Let us consider the set \mathcal{UP}_{2n+2} of all permutation pairs (π, σ) on $[2n+2]$ such that

- π is unimodal;
- σ is down-up of odd size and contains the letter $2n+2$.

By the interpretation (4.7) of q -binomial coefficients and Lemma 4.3, we have

$$(4.12) \quad \sum_{(\pi, \sigma) \in \mathcal{UP}_{2n+2}} \text{sgn}(\pi, \sigma) q^{\text{inv}(\pi, \sigma)} = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} 2n+1 \\ 2k \end{bmatrix} (-q; q)_{2n-2k} T_{2k+1}(q).$$

On the other hand, for $(\pi, \sigma) \in \mathcal{UP}_{2n+2}$ with $\pi = \pi_1 \pi_2 \cdots \pi_l$ and $\sigma = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_k$ for some odd positive integer k satisfying $k+l=2n+2$, we introduce the mapping

$$g(\pi, \sigma) = \begin{cases} (\pi \sigma_1 \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \cdots \sigma_k), & \text{if } \pi_l > \sigma_1 \text{ or } \pi_l < \sigma_1 \neq 2n+2 \text{ with } \pi \text{ increasing;} \\ (\pi_1 \cdots \pi_{l-2}, \pi_{l-1} \pi_l \sigma) & \text{if } \pi_l < \sigma_1 \text{ and } \pi_{l-1} > \pi_l; \\ (\pi, \sigma), & \text{if } \sigma_1 = 2n+2 \text{ and } \pi \text{ is increasing.} \end{cases}$$

Let \mathcal{UP}_{2n+2}^* be the set of all permutation pairs in \mathcal{UP}_{2n+2} that satisfy the condition in the third case above. Then, the mapping g is an involution on \mathcal{UP}_{2n+2} preserving inversion numbers, fixing elements in \mathcal{UP}_{2n+2}^* and sign-reversing on $\mathcal{UP}_{2n+2} \setminus \mathcal{UP}_{2n+2}^*$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(\pi, \sigma) \in \mathcal{UP}_{2n+2}} \text{sgn}(\pi, \sigma) q^{\text{inv}(\pi, \sigma)} &= \sum_{(\pi, \sigma) \in \mathcal{UP}_{2n+2}^*} \text{sgn}(\pi, \sigma) q^{\text{inv}(\pi, \sigma)} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} 2n+1 \\ 2k \end{bmatrix} q^{2k} S_{2k}(q). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof in view of (4.12). \square

Finally, we emphasize that our approach via permutation pairs also works for Huber and Yee's identities [6] for some different q -secant and q -tangent numbers involving inversions and half descents. For simplicity, we only illustrate the ideas for one of their identity concerning the q -secant numbers

$$S_{2n}^o(q) := \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{2n}} q^{\text{inv}(\pi) + \text{des}(\pi_o)} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1,$$

where $\text{des}(\pi_o) := |\{i \in [n-1] : \pi_{2i-1} > \pi_{2i+1}\}|$, called *half descents* of π with odd indices.

Theorem 4.8 (Huber and Yee [6, Theorem 3.1]). *Let $S_0^o(q) := q^{-1}$. Then*

$$(4.13) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ 2k \end{bmatrix} (-1)^k q^{(n-k)^2} S_{2k}^o(q) = 0.$$

Proof. Let us consider the set \mathcal{CP}_{2n} of all permutation pairs (π, σ) on $[2n]$ such that

- $\pi = \pi_1 \pi_2 \cdots \pi_{2k}$ is up-down with $k \geq 0$;
- and $\sigma = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{2l}$ is *compressed up-down* with $k + l = n$, that is,

$$\sigma_1 < \sigma_3 < \cdots < \sigma_{2l-1} < \sigma_{2l} < \sigma_{2l-2} < \cdots < \sigma_4 < \sigma_2.$$

Note that $\text{inv}(\sigma) = l^2 - l$. Define the weight of (π, σ) as

$$\text{wt}(\pi, \sigma) := \text{inv}(\pi, \sigma) + \text{des}(\pi_o) - \chi(k=0) + l.$$

By the interpretation (4.7) of q -binomial coefficients, we have

$$(4.14) \quad \sum_{(\pi, \sigma) \in \mathcal{CP}_{2n}} \text{sgn}(\pi, \sigma) q^{\text{wt}(\pi, \sigma)} = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q^{(n-k)^2} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ 2k \end{bmatrix} S_{2k}^o(q).$$

It remains to construct a weight preserving sign-reversing involution on \mathcal{CP}_{2n} . Given $(\pi, \sigma) \in \mathcal{CP}_{2n}$ with $\pi = \pi_1 \pi_2 \cdots \pi_{2k}$ and $\sigma = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{2l}$, we construct the mapping $h : (\pi, \sigma) \mapsto h(\pi, \sigma)$ according to the following four cases.

- (a-1) $\pi_{2k-1} > \sigma_1$ (the case $k = 0$ is included in this case, for convenience's sake). In this case, set $h(\pi, \sigma) = (\pi \sigma_1 \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \cdots \sigma_{2l})$.
- (a-2) $\pi_{2k-1} < \sigma_1$, $\pi_{2k} > \sigma_2$ and either $\pi_{2k-3} > \pi_{2k-1}$ or $k = 1$ (the case $l = 0$ and either $\pi_{2k-3} > \pi_{2k-1}$ or $k = 1$ is included in this case). In this case, set $h(\pi, \sigma) = (\pi_1 \pi_2 \cdots \pi_{2k-2}, \pi_{2k-1} \pi_{2k} \sigma)$.
- (b-1) $\pi_{2k-1} < \sigma_1$, $\pi_{2k} > \sigma_2$ and $\pi_{2k-3} < \pi_{2k-1}$ (the case $l = 0$ and $\pi_{2k-3} < \pi_{2k-1}$ is included in this case). In this case, let (π', σ') be obtained from (π, σ) by switching the letter π_{2k-2} with the greatest letter in $\{\pi_{2k-1}, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{2l}\}$ that is smaller than π_{2k-2} . Thus, $\text{inv}(\pi', \sigma') = \text{inv}(\pi, \sigma) - 1$. Now set $h(\pi, \sigma) = (\pi'_1 \pi'_2 \cdots \pi'_{2k-2}, \pi'_{2k-1} \pi'_{2k} \sigma')$.
- (b-2) $\pi_{2k-1} < \sigma_1$ and $\pi_{2k} < \sigma_2$. In this case, let (π', σ') be obtained from (π, σ) by switching the letter π_{2k} with the smallest letter in σ that is greater than π_{2k} . Thus, $\text{inv}(\pi', \sigma') = \text{inv}(\pi, \sigma) + 1$. Now set $h(\pi, \sigma) = (\pi' \sigma'_1 \sigma'_2, \sigma'_3 \sigma'_4 \cdots \sigma'_{2l})$.

It is routine to check that h is a weight preserving sign-reversing involution on \mathcal{CP}_{2n} . This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The work presented here was initiated while the second author was visiting the first author at KAIST and the Institute for Basic Science (IBS) in Daejeon, South Korea. The authors thank Prof. Sang-il Oum for supporting the first author's research visit to IBS. This work was also supported by the National Science Foundation of China grant 12322115.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Aliniaefard and S.X. Li, Peak algebra in noncommuting variables, [arxiv:2506.12868](#). [2](#)
- [2] D. André, Développement de $\sec x$ and $\tan x$, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, **88** (1879), 965–979. [7](#)
- [3] G.E. Andrews and I. M. Gessel, Divisibility properties of the q -tangent numbers, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **68** (1978), 380–384. [2](#), [7](#)
- [4] D. Foata, Further divisibility properties of the q -tangent numbers, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **81** (1981), 143–148. [7](#)
- [5] D. Foata and G.-N. Han, The q -tangent and q -secant numbers via basic Eulerian polynomials, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **138** (2010), 385–393. [7](#), [9](#)
- [6] T. Huber and A.J. Yee, Combinatorics of generalized q -Euler numbers, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, **117** (2010), 361–388. [9](#), [11](#)
- [7] R.P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics*, Vol. 2, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999. [1](#), [2](#)
- [8] R.P. Stanley, A Survey of Alternating Permutations, in *Combinatorics and Graphs*, R.A. Brualdi et. al. (eds.), Contemp. Math., Vol. 531, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010, pp. 165–196. [2](#), [7](#)
- [9] T. Zhao, Z. Lin and Y. Zang, An identity relating Catalan numbers to tangent numbers with arithmetic applications, European J. Combin., **132** (2026), Article 104283. [2](#), [7](#)

(Dongsu Kim) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, GWANGJU INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, GWANGJU 61005, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Email address: dongsukim@gist.ac.kr, dongsu.kim@kaist.ac.kr

(Zhicong Lin) RESEARCH CENTER FOR MATHEMATICS AND INTERDISCIPLINARY SCIENCES, SHANDONG UNIVERSITY & FRONTIERS SCIENCE CENTER FOR NONLINEAR EXPECTATIONS, MINISTRY OF EDUCATION, QINGDAO 266237, P.R. CHINA

Email address: linz@sdu.edu.cn