

LARGE FLAMES IN ROOTED ACYCLIC DIGRAPHS WITHOUT BACKWARD-INFINITE PATHS

ATTILA JOÓ AND QIUZHENYU TAO

ABSTRACT. An r -rooted digraph is a flame if for each non-root vertex v , there is a set of edge-disjoint directed paths from r to v that covers all ingoing edges of v . The study of flames was initiated by Lovász, who showed that in a finite rooted digraph, the edge-minimal subgraphs that preserve all local edge-connectivities from the root are always flames. It is known that the edge sets of the flame subgraphs of any finite rooted digraph form a greedoid. Szeszlér showed recently that if the digraph is acyclic, then the bases of this greedoid are the bases of a matroid. We show that a suitable formulation of Szeszlér's theorem is valid for infinite digraphs under the additional assumption that there are no backward-infinite directed paths (which assumption is indeed essential). We also prove that the “correct” infinite generalisation of Lovász's theorem also holds for this class of digraphs.

1. INTRODUCTION

An r -rooted digraph is a digraph $D = (V, E)$ (where parallel edges are allowed, but loops are not) with a prescribed root vertex r that has no ingoing edges. Assume that D is finite. We seek a smallest possible set $L \subseteq E$ that preserves all local edge-connectivities from the root, i.e., $\lambda_D(r, v) = \lambda_{D(L)}(r, v)$ for each $v \in V \setminus \{r\}$. Here, $D(L) := (V, L)$ and $\lambda_D(r, v)$ denotes the local edge-connectivity from r to v , i.e., the maximal number of edge-disjoint rv -paths in D . Clearly, for each $v \in V \setminus \{r\}$, such an L contains at least $\lambda_D(r, v)$ ingoing edges of v , which implies the lower bound $|L| \geq \sum_{v \in V \setminus \{r\}} \lambda_D(r, v)$. Lovász showed that, perhaps surprisingly, this lower bound is always sharp (see [8, Theorem 2]). To recall several related results, we now introduce some notations.

By a “path”, we always mean a directed path, and we identify paths with their edge sets. Let $D = (V, E)$ be an r -rooted digraph. For $v \in V \setminus \{r\}$, we denote by $\delta_D(v)$ the set of ingoing edges of v , and we define $\mathcal{G}_D(v)$ to be the collection of sets $I \subseteq \delta_D(v)$, for which there is a set of edge-disjoint rv -paths (i.e. paths from r to v) in D that covers I . Furthermore, let

$$\mathcal{G}(D) := \left\{ \bigcup_{v \in V \setminus \{r\}} I_v : (\forall v \in V \setminus \{r\})(I_v \in \mathcal{G}_D(v)) \right\}.$$

We call $D = (V, E)$ a *flame* if $E \in \mathcal{G}(D)$. It is worth mentioning that if D is finite, then $M_{v,D} := (\delta_D(v), \mathcal{G}_D(v))$ is a gammoid¹. Therefore, $M_D := (E, \mathcal{G}(D))$ is the direct sum of these gammoids and hence a gammoid itself that we call the *edge-connectivity gammoid* of D .

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 05C63 Secondary: 05C40, 05C20.

Key words and phrases. flame, acyclic digraph, Erdős-Menger cut.

¹In fact, gammoids are exactly those matroids that can be represented this way.

Fix a (possibly infinite) r -rooted digraph $D = (V, E)$. A set $F \subseteq E$ is called a flame if the r -rooted spanning subdigraph $D(F) := (V, F)$ is a flame. Note that while every flame is an element of $\mathcal{G}(D)$, the converse does not hold in general.

Theorem 1.1 (Joó, [6]). *In any finite r -rooted digraph D , the flames in D form a greedoid.²*

A set $L \subseteq E$ is called *large at v* if $D(L)$ admits a set \mathcal{P}_v of edge-disjoint rv -paths such that \mathcal{P}_v has a transversal that is an rv -cut³ in D . Note that, if $\lambda_D(r, v)$ is finite, then, by Menger's theorem, L being large at v is equivalent to $\lambda_{D(L)}(r, v) = \lambda_D(r, v)$. However, in general, being large at v is a stronger property than merely preserving the local edge-connectivity from r to v . A set $L \subseteq E$ is *large* if it is large at every $v \in V \setminus \{r\}$. Lovász's theorem can be phrased in the following way:

Theorem 1.2 (Lovász, [8, Theorem 2]). *Every finite rooted digraph admits a large flame.*

Observe that if D is finite, then every large L must include a base of the edge-connectivity gammoid M_D . Lovász's theorem states that M_D always admits a large base. Szeszlér showed recently that if the digraph is acyclic, then all the bases of M_D are large:

Theorem 1.3 (Szeszlér, [9, Corollary 1]). *If $D = (V, E)$ is a finite acyclic r -rooted digraph, then every maximal element of $\mathcal{G}(D)$ is large.*

The following example shows that Szeszlér's theorem may fail for infinite digraphs:




FIGURE 1. The horizontal edges together with the edge from r to w form a maximal element B of $\mathcal{G}(D)$. Clearly, B is not large because $\lambda_D(r, v_n) = 1$ but $\lambda_{D(B)}(r, v_n) = 0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We say that an infinite path is *backward-infinite* if it has a final vertex but no starting vertex (like the path consisting of the horizontal edges in Figure 1). The first result of this paper states that such paths are the only additional obstacles corresponding to Szeszlér's theorem:

Theorem 2.11. *If $D = (V, E)$ is an acyclic r -rooted digraph without backward-infinite paths, then every maximal element of $\mathcal{G}(D)$ is large.*

Szeszlér's proof of Theorem 1.3 applies induction on the number of vertices and uses the fact that a finite acyclic digraph has a sink. The latter fails for infinite digraphs, for example, consider a forward-infinite path. Moreover, induction on the number of vertices

²In other words, if F and F' are flames in D with $|F| < |F'|$, then there is an $e \in F' \setminus F$ such that $F \cup \{e\}$ is a flame.

³An rv -cut is an edge set C such that every rv -path in D meets C .

is not applicable if the vertex set is infinite. Therefore, proving Theorem 2.11 requires completely new tools compared to Szegő's approach.

It is possible to define the vertex version of flames and largeness, where internally vertex-disjoint paths are considered instead of edge-disjoint ones. There are several positive results about the existence of large flames in infinite digraphs for the vertex version (see [7, 3, 5, 4]), but none had been established previously for the edge version. The second result of the present paper establishes the existence of large flames in acyclic digraphs without backward-infinite paths:

Theorem 2.13. *In every r -rooted acyclic digraph $D = (V, E)$ that does not contain backward-infinite paths, every flame of D extends to a large flame of D .*

Since the empty set is trivially a flame in $D = (V, E)$, Theorem 2.13 in particular guarantees the existence of a large flame.

2. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

We will use the following theorems:

Theorem 2.1 (Aharoni and Berger, [1]). *If $D = (V, E)$ is a (possibly infinite) digraph and $s, t \in V$ are distinct, then there is a set \mathcal{P} of edge-disjoint st -paths and an st -cut C such that C is a transversal of \mathcal{P} (i.e. C contains exactly one edge from each path $P \in \mathcal{P}$).*

For a set \mathcal{P} of edge-disjoint finite paths, let $\text{in}(\mathcal{P})$ and $\text{ter}(\mathcal{P})$ denote the sets of initial and terminal edges, respectively, of the paths in \mathcal{P} .

Theorem 2.2 (Diestel and Thomassen, [2]). *Assume that $D = (V, E)$ is a (possibly infinite) digraph, $s, t \in V$ are distinct, and \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} are sets of edge-disjoint st -paths in D . Then there exists a set \mathcal{R} of st -paths in D such that $\text{in}(\mathcal{P}) \subseteq \text{in}(\mathcal{R})$ and $\text{ter}(\mathcal{Q}) \subseteq \text{ter}(\mathcal{R})$.*

For a vertex set X , let $\delta_D(X)$ be the set of edges entering X . Fix an r -rooted (possibly infinite) digraph $D = (V, E)$. A set $X \subseteq V \setminus \{r\}$ is v -linked if $v \in X$ and there exists a set $\{P_e : e \in \delta_D(X)\}$ of edge-disjoint paths, where path P_e has e as its initial edge and v as its terminal vertex.

Lemma 2.3. *If X is v -linked, $w \in X$, and Y is w -linked, then $X \cup Y$ is v -linked.*

Proof. Let $\{P_e : e \in \delta_D(X)\}$ and $\{Q_f : f \in \delta_D(Y)\}$ witness that X is v -linked and Y is w -linked, respectively. We construct a path system $\{R_g : g \in \delta_D(X \cup Y)\}$ to witness that $X \cup Y$ is also v -linked. For any $g \in \delta_D(X \cup Y) \cap \delta_D(X)$, set $R_g := P_g$. If $g \in \delta_D(X \cup Y) \setminus \delta_D(X)$, then $g \in \delta_D(Y)$. Since the path Q_g terminates at $w \in X$, it must enter X . Let e be the first edge of Q_g in $\delta_D(X)$, and let Q'_g be the initial segment of Q_g up to e . We then define $R_g := Q'_g \cup P_e$. It is routine to check that $\{R_g : g \in \delta_D(X \cup Y)\}$ is as desired. \square

Lemma 2.4. *If κ is an infinite cardinal and $\langle X_\alpha : \kappa \rangle$ is an \subseteq -increasing continuous sequence of v -linked sets, then $\bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} X_\alpha$ is v -linked.*

Proof. Set $X_\kappa := \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} X_\alpha$. For each $\alpha \leq \kappa$, we construct by transfinite recursion a path system $\mathcal{P}^\alpha = \{P_e^\alpha : e \in \delta_D(X_\alpha)\}$ witnessing that X_α is v -linked. We maintain the additional property that for every $\beta < \alpha$, each $P_e^\alpha \in \mathcal{P}^\alpha$ has exactly one edge f in $\delta_D(X_\beta)$, and the terminal segment of P_e^α starting from f is P_f^β .

The set X_0 is v -linked by definition, and we let \mathcal{P}^0 be an arbitrary witness to this. Assume that \mathcal{P}^β is already defined for $\beta < \alpha$, where $0 < \alpha \leq \kappa$. Assume first that $\alpha = \beta + 1$ is a successor ordinal. Let $\mathcal{Q}^\alpha = \{Q_f^\alpha : f \in \delta_D(X_\alpha)\}$ be a path system witnessing that X_α is v -linked. For each $f \in \delta_D(X_\alpha)$, define P_f^α as the union of the initial segment of Q_f^α up to its first edge e that enters $\delta(X_\beta)$ and the path P_e^β . Clearly, $\mathcal{P}^\alpha := \{P_f^\alpha : f \in \delta_D(X_\alpha)\}$ shows that X_α is v -linked and maintains the desired property. Now assume that α is a limit ordinal. The sequence $\langle X_\alpha : \kappa \rangle$ is continuous by assumption, therefore $X_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} X_\beta$. Then for each $e \in \delta_D(X_\alpha)$, there is a smallest $\beta < \alpha$ with $e \in \delta_D(X_\beta)$. We must take $P_e^\alpha := P_e^\beta$. It is routine to check that $\mathcal{P}^\alpha := \{P_e^\alpha : e \in \delta_D(X_\alpha)\}$ is suitable. Finally, \mathcal{P}^κ witnesses that X_κ is v -linked. \square

Corollary 2.5. *For every $v \in V \setminus \{r\}$, there exists a \subseteq -largest v -linked set $X_{v,D}$ in D . Furthermore, for every $w \in X_{v,D}$, we have $X_{w,D} \subseteq X_{v,D}$.*

Proof. Lemma 2.4 ensures that the union $X_{v,D}$ of all v -linked sets is v -linked, and thus $X_{v,D}$ is the \subseteq -largest v -linked set. Now, for any $w \in X_{v,D}$, the set $X_{v,D} \cup X_{w,D}$ is v -linked by Lemma 2.3. By the maximality of $X_{v,D}$, it follows that $X_{w,D} \subseteq X_{v,D}$. \square

Proposition 2.6. *For a v -linked set X and $I \in \mathcal{G}_D(v)$, there exists a path system \mathcal{R} that covers I while also witnessing that X is v -linked.*

Proof. Take a path system \mathcal{P} witnessing that X is v -linked and a path system \mathcal{Q} witnessing $I \in \mathcal{G}_D(v)$. Apply Theorem 2.2 to \mathcal{P} and the terminal segments of the paths in \mathcal{Q} from their last edge in $\delta_D(X)$ in the digraph that we obtain from D by identifying the vertices in $V \setminus X$. \square

A set $X \subseteq V \setminus \{r\}$ is *fillable* in D if there is a set \mathcal{P} of edge-disjoint rX -paths with $\text{ter}(\mathcal{P}) = \delta_D(X)$.

Observation 2.7. *If X is fillable and v -linked, then there is a set \mathcal{P} of edge-disjoint rv -paths such that the rv -cut $C := \delta_D(X)$ is a transversal of \mathcal{P} .*

Proof. Take a path system witnessing that X is fillable, and extend these paths forward to reach v via a path system witnessing that X is v -linked. \square

Lemma 2.8. *For every $v \in V \setminus \{r\}$, the set $X_{v,D}$ is fillable. Moreover, if D' is obtained from D by adding a new edge e that enters $X_{v,D}$, then $X_{v,D}$ is fillable with respect to D' as well.*

Proof. Apply Theorem 2.1 to the digraph D_t obtained from D by contracting the vertex set $X_{v,D}$ to a single vertex t , with $s := r$ and t . Let \mathcal{P} be the resulting path system and let C be an st -cut in D_t that forms a transversal of \mathcal{P} . Define X as the set of vertices in V that are not reachable from r in $(V, E \setminus C)$. Clearly, $X \supseteq X_{v,D}$ and $\delta_D(X) = C$. We claim that X is v -linked in D . Indeed, follow the terminal segments of the paths in \mathcal{P} from

C until they first enter $X_{v,D}$, and then extend them using the fact that $X_{v,D}$ is v -linked. The resulting path system witnesses that X is v -linked. By the maximality of $X_{v,D}$, it follows that $X = X_{v,D}$. Then the initial segments of the paths in \mathcal{P} up to C provide a path system that witnesses the fillability of $X_{v,D}$.

We now turn to the proof of the “moreover” part. Let \mathcal{P} be a path system witnessing that $X_{v,D}$ is fillable in D . Consider the digraph D'' obtained from D' by reversing the orientation of all edges in $\bigcup \mathcal{P}$. It suffices to show that there is a path P from r to $X_{v,D}$ in D'' , since taking the symmetric difference of $\bigcup \mathcal{P}$ and P leads to a path system witnessing that $X_{v,D}$ is fillable with respect to D' . Suppose for a contradiction that no such path exists. Let X be the set of vertices in V that are not reachable from r after the deletion of C from D'' . Then $X \supsetneq X_{v,D}$, because X must contain the tail of e . Since X has no ingoing edges in D'' , we conclude that $\delta_D(X)$ is a transversal of \mathcal{P} . However, the terminal segments of the paths in \mathcal{P} from $\delta_D(X)$ combined with the v -likeness of $X_{v,D}$ shows that X is v -linked in D , which is a contradiction because $X \supsetneq X_{v,D}$. \square

Lemma 2.9. *A set $L \subseteq E$ is large if and only if for every $v \in V \setminus \{r\}$, the set $X_{v,D(L)}$ contains the tails of all the edges in $\delta_{D(E \setminus L)}(v)$.*

Proof. Let $L \subseteq E$ be large and let $v \in V \setminus \{r\}$. By definition, $D(L)$ admits a set \mathcal{P} of edge-disjoint rv -paths with a transversal C that is an rv -cut in D . Let X be the set of vertices not reachable from r in $(V, E \setminus C)$. The terminal segments of the paths in \mathcal{P} from C witness that X is v -linked in $D(L)$, so $X_{v,D(L)} \supseteq X$. Suppose, for a contradiction, that there is an edge $e \in \delta_{D(E \setminus L)}(v)$ that has its tail u not in X . Note that $e \notin C$ since $C \subseteq L$. By the definition of X , there exists an rv -path P_u in $(V, E \setminus C)$. Extending P_u by e then results in an rv -path in $(V, E \setminus C)$, contradicting the fact that C is an rv -cut. This shows that the tail of every edge in $\delta_{D(E \setminus L)}(v)$ is in X , and hence, by $X \subseteq X_{v,D(L)}$, in $X_{v,D(L)}$ as well.

For the other direction, suppose that for every vertex $v \in V \setminus \{r\}$, the set $X_{v,D(L)}$ contains the tail of every edge in $\delta_{D(E \setminus L)}(v)$. Fix $v \in V \setminus \{r\}$ and let $X := X_{v,D(L)}$. By definition, X is v -linked in $D(L)$, and by Lemma 2.8, X is also fillable in $D(L)$. Observation 2.7 shows that there is a set \mathcal{P} of edge-disjoint rv -paths in $D(L)$ such that $C := \delta_{D(L)}(X)$ is a transversal of \mathcal{P} . We claim that $\delta_{D(L)}(X) = \delta_D(X)$, and therefore C is also an rv -cut in D and hence \mathcal{P} witnesses the largeness of L at v . Suppose for a contradiction that there exists an edge $e \in \delta_D(X) \setminus \delta_{D(L)}(X)$. Let u and w be the tail and head of e , respectively. Since $e \in \delta_{D(E \setminus L)}(w)$, the set $X_{w,D(L)}$ contains u by assumption. Corollary 2.5 ensures that $X_{w,D(L)} \subseteq X$, therefore $u \in X$ as well. But this contradicts $e \in \delta_D(X)$. \square

Let $\text{tail}(e)$ and $\text{head}(e)$ denote the tail and head of an edge e respectively.

Observation 2.10. *If $D = (V, E)$ is an acyclic digraph without backward-infinite paths, then there is a well-order $<$ of V such that $\text{tail}(e) < \text{head}(e)$ for every $e \in E$.*

Proof. Any digraph that contains neither directed cycles nor backward-infinite paths always has a source vertex. To construct the suitable vertex ordering, apply transfinite recursion: at each step, delete a source from the current digraph. This process terminates when all vertices are removed, and the order of deletion defines the desired ordering. \square

Theorem 2.11. *If $D = (V, E)$ is an acyclic r -rooted digraph without backward-infinite paths, then every maximal element of $\mathcal{G}(D)$ is large.*

Proof. By Observation 2.10, we can fix a well-order $<$ on V in which $\text{tail}(e) < \text{head}(e)$ for every $e \in E$. Let L be a maximal element of $\mathcal{G}(D)$. Suppose for a contradiction that L is not large. It follows from Lemma 2.9, that there is a $<$ -smallest vertex $v \in V \setminus \{r\}$ for which there is an edge $e \in \delta_{D(E \setminus L)}(v)$ such that $\text{tail}(e) \notin X_{v, D(L)}$. Lemma 2.8 provides for $X := X_{v, D(L)}$ a set \mathcal{P} of edge-disjoint rX -paths in $D(L \cup \{e\})$ such that $\text{ter}(\mathcal{P}) = \delta_{D(L \cup \{e\})}(X)$. Let \mathcal{Q} be a path system witnessing $\delta_{D(L)}(v) \in \mathcal{G}_D(v)$.

Claim 2.12. *If $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ and f is the last edge of Q that is in $\delta_D(X)$, then $f \in L$.*

Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that $f \in E \setminus L$, and let $w := \text{head}(f)$. The path Q witnesses that v is reachable by a directed path from w , thus we must have $w < v$. By the $<$ -minimal choice of v , we know that $\text{tail}(f) \in X_{w, D(L)}$. Corollary 2.5 ensures that $X_{w, D(L)} \subseteq X$. By combining these we conclude that $\text{tail}(f) \in X$, which contradicts $f \in \delta_D(X)$. \square

For each path $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$, let f_Q be the last edge of Q in $\delta_D(X)$, and let Q' be the union of the terminal segment of Q starting with f_Q and the unique path in \mathcal{P} whose last edge is f_Q . By denoting P_e the unique path in \mathcal{P} with terminal edge e , the set $\mathcal{R} := \{Q' : Q \in \mathcal{Q}\} \cup \{P_e\}$ consists of pairwise edge-disjoint paths in D witnessing $\delta_{D(L)}(v) \cup \{e\} \in \mathcal{G}_D(v)$. Therefore $L \cup \{e\} \in \mathcal{G}(D)$, which contradicts the maximality of L . \square

We turn to the proof of the second main result of the paper:

Theorem 2.13. *In every r -rooted acyclic digraph $D = (V, E)$ that does not contain backward-infinite paths, every flame of D extends to a large flame of D .*

Proof. By Observation 2.10, we can fix a well-order $<$ on V such that $\text{tail}(e) < \text{head}(e)$ for each $e \in E$. Let $\{v_\alpha : \alpha < \alpha^*\}$ be the enumeration of $V \setminus \{r\}$ according to $<$. Let F be a flame in D . We apply transfinite recursion on α^* . At step $\alpha < \alpha^*$, we delete some ingoing edges of v_α that are not in F . Let $L_\alpha \subseteq E$ be what we have left at the beginning of step α . Let \mathcal{P}_α be a system of edge-disjoint paths that covers $\delta_{D(F)}(v_\alpha)$ and witnesses that $X_\alpha := X_{v_\alpha, D(L_\alpha)}$ is v_α -linked in $D(L_\alpha)$ (such a \mathcal{P}_α exists by Proposition 2.6). We delete those ingoing edges of v_α that are not used by \mathcal{P}_α .

Observation 2.14. *The tail of each deleted edge is in X_α .*

Proof. By the definition of v_α -linked, every edge in $D(L_\alpha)$ entering X_α must be the initial edge of a path in \mathcal{P}_α . Consequently, no ingoing edge of v_α that enters X_α has been deleted. \square

Observation 2.15. *There is a set \mathcal{Q}_α of edge-disjoint rv -paths in $D(L_\alpha)$ such that \mathcal{P}_α consists of terminal segments of the paths in \mathcal{Q}_α . In other words, there are backward-continuations of the paths in \mathcal{P}_α resulting in a set \mathcal{Q}_α of edge-disjoint rv -paths in $D(L_\alpha)$.*

Proof. It follows directly from the first part of Lemma 2.8. \square

Observation 2.16. *No path in \mathcal{Q}_α uses an ingoing edge of any vertex v_β with $\beta > \alpha$.*

Proof. Each path in \mathcal{Q}_α goes from r to v_α . By the definition of $<$, the set $\{r\} \cup \{v_\gamma : \gamma \leq \alpha\}$ has no ingoing edges in D . Therefore, paths in \mathcal{Q}_α do not leave the set $\{r\} \cup \{v_\gamma : \gamma \leq \alpha\}$. \square

We show that $L := \bigcap_{\alpha < \alpha^*} L_\alpha$ is a large flame. Observation 2.16 ensures that for every $\alpha < \alpha^*$, \mathcal{Q}_α is a path system in $D(L)$ (not just in $D(L_\alpha)$). Furthermore, it is clear from the construction that $\delta_{D(L_{\alpha+1})}(v_\alpha) = \delta_{D(L)}(v_\alpha) = \text{ter}(\mathcal{Q}_\alpha)$ for each $\alpha < \alpha^*$. Therefore, L is a flame.

We turn to the proof of the largeness of L . The set $X_\alpha := X_{v_\alpha, D(L_\alpha)}$ is v_α -linked in $D(L)$, since it is v_α -linked in $D(L_\alpha)$ witnessed by \mathcal{P}_α and Observation 2.16 guarantees $\bigcup \mathcal{P}_\alpha \subseteq L$. Hence, $X_{v_\alpha, D(L)} \supseteq X_\alpha$ by the definition of $X_{v_\alpha, D(L)}$. Moreover, Observation 2.14 states that X_α contains the tail of every edge in $\delta_{D(E \setminus L)}(v_\alpha)$. Combining these, we conclude that $X_{v_\alpha, D(L)}$ also contains the tail of every edge in $\delta_{D(E \setminus L)}(v_\alpha)$. Lemma 2.9 therefore guarantees that L is large. \square

3. OPEN PROBLEMS

We expect that Theorem 2.13 holds without any restriction on the digraph:

Conjecture 3.1. *In every r -rooted digraph D , every flame extends to a large flame. In particular, every r -rooted digraph D admits a large flame.*

For an infinite digraph D , the set $\mathcal{G}(D)$ may fail to have a maximal element. This motivates the question if it is possible to find an infinite generalisation of Theorem 1.3 that does not rely on the existence of such a maximal element. Our candidate is the following:

Conjecture 3.2. *If D is an acyclic r -rooted digraph that does not contain backward-infinite paths, then every $I \in \mathcal{G}(D)$ is included in a flame of D .*

We claim that this is indeed a generalisation of Theorem 1.3. To see this, let D be a finite acyclic r -rooted digraph and let B be a maximal element of $\mathcal{G}(D)$. Since flames are elements of $\mathcal{G}(D)$, no proper superset of B is a flame. Therefore, Conjecture 3.2 implies that B itself is a flame. Since in $D(B)$, every $v \in V \setminus \{r\}$ has $\lambda_D(r, v)$ ingoing edges, B being a flame implies that B is large.

Question 3.3. *Is it true that if D is an acyclic r -rooted digraph without backward-infinite paths, then all the maximal elements of $\mathcal{G}(D)$ are flames?*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was completed during our visit to the Center for Combinatorics at Nankai University. We are grateful for the warm hospitality and the stimulating research environment provided by the host.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ron Aharoni and Eli Berger. “Menger’s theorem for infinite graphs”. In: *Inventiones mathematicae* 176.1 (2009), pp. 1–62. DOI: [10.1007/s00222-008-0157-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-008-0157-3).

- [2] Reinhard Diestel and Carsten Thomassen. “A Cantor-Bernstein theorem for paths in graphs”. In: *The American Mathematical Monthly* 113.2 (2006), pp. 161–166. DOI: [10.2307/27641867](https://doi.org/10.2307/27641867).
- [3] Joshua Erde, J. Pascal Gollin, and Attila Joó. “Enlarging vertex-flames in countable digraphs”. In: *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B* 151 (2021), pp. 263–281. DOI: [10.1016/j.jctb.2021.06.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jctb.2021.06.011).
- [4] Florian Gut and Attila Joó. “Large vertex-flames in uncountable digraphs”. In: *Israel Journal of Mathematics* 259.1 (2024), pp. 401–426. DOI: [10.1007/s11856-023-2489-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11856-023-2489-5).
- [5] Raphael W. Jacobs, Attila Joó, Paul Knappe, Jan Kurkofka, and Ruben Melcher. “The Lovász-Cherkassky theorem for locally finite graphs with ends”. In: *Discrete Mathematics* 346.12 (2023), p. 113586. DOI: [10.1016/j.disc.2023.113586](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2023.113586).
- [6] Attila Joó. “Greedoids from flames”. In: *Journal of Graph Theory* 98.1 (2021), pp. 49–56. DOI: [10.1002/jgt.22681](https://doi.org/10.1002/jgt.22681).
- [7] Attila Joó. “Vertex-flames in countable rooted digraphs preserving an Erdős-Menger separation for each vertex”. In: *Combinatorica* 39.6 (2019), pp. 1317–1333. DOI: [10.1007/s00493-019-3880-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00493-019-3880-z).
- [8] László Lovász. “Connectivity in digraphs”. In: *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B* 15.2 (1973), pp. 174–177. DOI: [10.1016/0095-8956\(73\)90018-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0095-8956(73)90018-X).
- [9] Dávid Szeszlér. “On Some Algorithmic and Structural Results on Flames”. In: *Journal of Graph Theory* (2025). DOI: [10.1002/jgt.23283](https://doi.org/10.1002/jgt.23283).

ATTILA JOÓ, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TECHNION, HAIFA, ISRAEL 32000.

QIUZHENYU TAO, UNIVERSITÄT HAMBURG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BUNDESSTRASSE 55 (GEOMATIKUM), 20146 HAMBURG, GERMANY.

Email address: a.joo@technion.ac.il; qiuzhenyu.tao@uni-hamburg.de