

GEOMETRIC REALIZATIONS OF RINGEL-HALL ALGEBRAS OF CONTINUOUS QUIVERS OF TYPE A

MINGHUI ZHAO

ABSTRACT. Lusztig introduced the geometric realizations of quantum groups associated to finite quivers and defined their canonical bases. Sala and Schiffmann introduced the Ringel-Hall algebra of line and realized it as the direct limit of Ringel-Hall algebras of finite quivers of type A . In this paper, we shall give geometric realizations of Ringel-Hall algebras of continuous quivers of type A via the geometric realizations of Lusztig by using the method of approximation given by Sala and Schiffmann.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. The Ringel-Hall algebra of the category of representations of a finite quiver $Q = (Q_0, Q_1)$ was introduced by Ringel in [18]. The composition subalgebra of the Ringel-Hall algebra is isomorphic to the negative part \mathbf{U}^- of the quantum group associated to the quiver Q ([18, 8, 26]).

Inspired by the work of Ringel, Lusztig gave a geometric realization of \mathbf{U}^- in [13, 14]. Fix a Q_0 -graded vector space $\mathbb{V} = \bigoplus_{x \in Q_0} \mathbb{V}_x$ with dimension vector ν and denote by $E_{\mathbb{V}}$ the variety consisting of representations of the quiver Q . There is a $G_{\mathbb{V}} = \prod_{x \in Q_0} GL(\mathbb{V}_x)$ action on $E_{\mathbb{V}}$. Denote by $\mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbb{V}}}^b(E_{\mathbb{V}})$ the $G_{\mathbb{V}}$ -equivariant bounded derived category of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ -constructible mixed complexes on $E_{\mathbb{V}}$. Let $K(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{V}})$ be the Grothendieck group of an additive subcategory $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{V}}$ of $\mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbb{V}}}^b(E_{\mathbb{V}})$. Lusztig proved that $\bigoplus_{\nu} K(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{V}})$ is isomorphic to the integral form of \mathbf{U}^- . By this isomorphism, the isomorphism classes of simple perverse sheaves in $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{V}}$ provide a basis of the \mathbf{U}^- ([13, 14, 16]). Xiao, Xu and Zhao generalized the geometric construction to the generic form of the whole Ringel-Hall algebra in [27].

Lusztig also gave constructions of Ringel-Hall algebras via functions in [15]. Denoted by $\mathcal{F}_{G_{\mathbb{V}}^F}(E_{\mathbb{V}}^F)$ the set of $G_{\mathbb{V}}^F$ -invariant finitely supported \mathbb{C} -valued functions on $E_{\mathbb{V}}^F$, where $G_{\mathbb{V}}^F$ and $E_{\mathbb{V}}^F$ are closed points in $G_{\mathbb{V}}$ and $E_{\mathbb{V}}$ fixed by Frobenius morphisms. Let $\mathcal{F}_Q = \bigoplus_{\nu} \mathcal{F}_{G_{\mathbb{V}}^F}(E_{\mathbb{V}}^F)$. Lusztig showed that \mathcal{F}_Q is isomorphic to the Ringel-Hall algebra of Q . According to the sheaf-function correspondence ([12]), there is a homomorphism of algebras $\chi^F : K_Q \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_Q$.

Date: December 1, 2025.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16G20, 17B37.

Key words and phrases. Geometric realizations, Ringel-Hall algebras, Continuous quivers, Canonical bases.

1.2. Representation theory of continuous quivers of type A is important in persistent homology and widely used in topological data analysis ([17]). In [7, 5], Crawley-Boevey and Botnan gave a classification of indecomposable representations of \mathbb{R} . In [4], Botnan gave a classification of indecomposable representations of infinite zigzag. Igusa, Rock and Todorov introduced general continuous quivers of type A and classified indecomposable representations ([11, 19, 10, 20]). Hanson and Rock introduced continuous quivers of type \tilde{A} and gave a classification of indecomposable representations ([9]). Rock and Zhu studied Nakayama representations of this quiver in [21]. In [1, 2, 22, 23], Appel, Sala and Schiffmann introduced continuum quivers, continuum Kac–Moody algebras and continuum quantum groups associated to continuum quivers.

In [22, 23], Sala and Schiffmann showed that the continuum quantum group associated to the circle can be realized as the direct limit of a direct system of quantum groups of type \tilde{A} . This result also holds for quantum group of the line (a continuous quiver of type A). In [22, 23], Sala and Schiffmann introduced the Ringel–Hall algebras associated to the circle and the line. They also studied relations between Ringel–Hall algebras and continuum quantum groups.

1.3. In this paper, we shall give a geometric realization of the Ringel–Hall algebra of a continuous quiver of type A by using the method of approximation in [22, 23] and the geometric realizations of quantum groups of type A in [13, 14, 16].

Let $A_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a continuous quiver of type A and \mathcal{I} a partition of \mathbb{R} . We define a finite quiver $Q_{\mathcal{I}}$ of type A associated to $A_{\mathbb{R}}$ and \mathcal{I} . Similarly to the method of approximation in [22, 23], we introduce a $\mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$ -algebra $K_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$ as a direct limit of a direct system $(K_{Q_{\mathcal{I}}}, \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2})$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$ be the Ringel–Hall algebra associated to $A_{\mathbb{R}}$. According to the sheaf-function correspondence, there is a homomorphism of \mathbb{Z} -algebras $\chi_{A_{\mathbb{R}}} : K_{A_{\mathbb{R}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$. The algebra $K_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$ can be viewed as a geometric version of $\mathcal{F}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$. In this paper, we also define a canonical basis of a completion $\bar{K}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$ of $K_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$.

1.4. In Section 2, we shall recall the definitions of Ringel–Hall algebras of finite quivers and their geometric realizations. In Section 3, the concepts of continuous quivers of type A and their representations are recalled. In this section, we also define a finite quiver $Q_{\mathcal{I}}$ of type A associated to a continuous quivers $A_{\mathbb{R}}$ and a partition \mathcal{I} . In Section 4, we recall the Ringel–Hall algebras $\mathcal{F}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$ associated to a continuous quiver $A_{\mathbb{R}}$ of type A . Then the geometric version $K_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$ of $\mathcal{F}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$ is defined. The completion $\bar{K}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$ of $K_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$ and its canonical basis are defined in Section 5.

2. RINGEL–HALL ALGEBRAS AND CANONICAL BASES

In this section, we shall recall the definitions of Ringel–Hall algebras of finite quivers ([18, 24]) and their geometric realizations ([13, 14, 16, 25]).

2.1. A quiver $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, s, t)$ is consisting of a set Q_0 of vertices, a set Q_1 of arrows and two maps $s, t : Q_1 \rightarrow Q_0$ such that $s(h)$ is the source of h and $t(h)$ is the target of h for any $h \in Q_1$.

Let K be a fixed field. A representation $V = (V(x), V(h))$ of Q over K is consisting of a K -vector space $V(x)$ for any $x \in Q_0$ and a K -linear map $V(h) : V(s(h)) \rightarrow V(t(h))$ for any $h \in Q_1$.

The collection of linear maps $f_x : V(x) \rightarrow W(x)$ for all $x \in Q_0$ is called a map from V to W , if $f_{t(h)}V(h) = W(h)f_{s(h)}$ for all $h \in Q_1$.

Denote by $\text{Rep}_K(Q)$ the category of K -linear representations of Q and by $\text{rep}_K(Q)$ the subcategory of finitely dimensional representations.

A function $\nu : Q_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is called the dimension vector of a representation $V = (V(x), V(h))$, if $\dim V(x) = \nu(x)$ for all $x \in Q_0$. In this case, we denote $\underline{\dim} V = \nu$.

Fix a dimension vector ν and a Q_0 -graded K -vector space $\mathbb{V} = \bigoplus_{x \in Q_0} \mathbb{V}_x$ such that $\dim \mathbb{V}_x = \nu(x)$. In this case, we also denote $\underline{\dim} \mathbb{V} = \nu$. Let

$$E_{\mathbb{V}} = E_{Q, \mathbb{V}} = \prod_{h \in Q_1} \text{Hom}_K(\mathbb{V}_{s(h)}, \mathbb{V}_{t(h)}).$$

The group $G_{\mathbb{V}} = \prod_{x \in Q_0} GL_K(\mathbb{V}_x)$ acts on $E_{\mathbb{V}}$ by $g \cdot \phi = g\phi g^{-1}$ for any $g \in G_{\mathbb{V}}$ and $\phi \in E_{\mathbb{V}}$.

Proposition 2.1 ([6]). *There is an one to one correspondence between the $G_{\mathbb{V}}$ -orbits in $E_{\mathbb{V}}$ and the isomorphism classes of finitely dimensional representations of Q .*

For any representation V , let \mathcal{O}_V be the orbit corresponding to $[V]$.

2.2. In this section, we follow the notations in [12, 3].

Let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field with q elements and K the algebraic closure of \mathbb{F}_q . Let G be an algebraic group over K and X a scheme of finite type over K together with a G -action. Assume that X and G have \mathbb{F}_q -structures and let $F_X : X \rightarrow X$ and $F_G : G \rightarrow G$ be the Frobenius morphisms. Let G^F and X^F be the sets of closed points of G and X fixed by the Frobenius morphisms.

Denote by $\mathcal{D}_G^b(X)$ the G -equivariant bounded derived category of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ -constructible mixed complexes on X . Let $K_G(X)$ be the Grothendieck group of $\mathcal{D}_G^b(X)$. Denoted by $\mathcal{F}_{G^F}(X^F)$ the set of G^F -invariant finitely supported \mathbb{C} -valued functions on X^F . According to the sheaf-function correspondence ([12]), there is a map

$$\chi^F : K_G(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{G^F}(X^F)$$

sending \mathcal{L} to $\chi_{\mathcal{L}}^F$.

2.3. Let $Q = (Q_0, Q_1)$ be a quiver. Fix a dimension vector ν and a Q_0 -graded K -vector space \mathbb{V} such that $\underline{\dim} \mathbb{V} = \nu$. Note that \mathbb{V} , $E_{\mathbb{V}}$ and $G_{\mathbb{V}}$ have \mathbb{F}_q -structures. Let $F_{\mathbb{V}} : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$, $F_{E_{\mathbb{V}}} : E_{\mathbb{V}} \rightarrow E_{\mathbb{V}}$ and $F_{G_{\mathbb{V}}} : G_{\mathbb{V}} \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{V}}$ be the corresponding Frobenius morphisms. Let \mathbb{V}^F , $E_{\mathbb{V}}^F$ and $G_{\mathbb{V}}^F$ be the sets of closed points of \mathbb{V} , $E_{\mathbb{V}}$ and $G_{\mathbb{V}}$ fixed by the Frobenius morphisms, respectively.

For any ν, ν', ν'' such that $\nu = \nu' + \nu''$, fix Q_0 -graded K -vector spaces $\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{V}', \mathbb{V}''$ with dimension vectors ν, ν', ν'' , respectively.

Consider the following diagram

$$(1) \quad E_{\mathbb{V}'} \times E_{\mathbb{V}''} \xleftarrow{p_1} E' \xrightarrow{p_2} E'' \xrightarrow{p_3} E_{\mathbb{V}},$$

where

- (1) $E'' = \{(\phi, \mathbb{W})\}$, where $\phi \in E_{\mathbb{V}}$ and \mathbb{W} is a ϕ -stable (i.e. $\phi_h(\mathbb{W}_{s(h)}) \subset \mathbb{W}_{t(h)}$ for any $h \in Q_1$) subspace of \mathbb{V} with dimension vector ν'' ;
- (2) $E' = \{(\phi, \mathbb{W}, R'', R')\}$, where $(\phi, \mathbb{W}) \in E''$, $R'' : \mathbb{V}'' \simeq \mathbb{W}$ and $R' : \mathbb{V}' \simeq \mathbb{V}/\mathbb{W}$;
- (3) $p_1(\phi, \mathbb{W}, R'', R') = (\phi', \phi'')$, where ϕ' and ϕ'' are induced by the following commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{V}'_{s(h)} & \xrightarrow{\phi'_h} & \mathbb{V}'_{t(h)} \\ \downarrow R'_{s(h)} & & \downarrow R'_{t(h)} \\ (\mathbb{V}/\mathbb{W})_{s(h)} & \xrightarrow{\phi_h} & (\mathbb{V}/\mathbb{W})_{t(h)} \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{V}''_{s(h)} & \xrightarrow{\phi''_h} & \mathbb{V}''_{t(h)} \\ \downarrow R''_{s(h)} & & \downarrow R''_{t(h)} \\ \mathbb{W}_{s(h)} & \xrightarrow{\phi_h} & \mathbb{W}_{t(h)} \end{array}$$

for all $h \in Q_1$;

$$(4) \quad p_2(\phi, \mathbb{W}, R'', R') = (\phi, \mathbb{W});$$

$$(5) \quad p_3(\phi, \mathbb{W}) = \phi.$$

The group $G_{\mathbb{V}}$ acts on E'' by $g.(\phi, \mathbb{W}) = (g\phi g^{-1}, g\mathbb{W})$ for any $g \in G_{\mathbb{V}}$. The groups $G_{\mathbb{V}'} \times G_{\mathbb{V}''}$ and $G_{\mathbb{V}}$ act on E' by $(g_1, g_2).(\phi, \mathbb{W}, R'', R') = (\phi, \mathbb{W}, g_2 R'', g_1 R')$ and $g.(\phi, \mathbb{W}, R'', R') = (g\phi g^{-1}, g\mathbb{W}, R'' g^{-1}, R' g^{-1})$ for any $(g_1, g_2) \in G_{\mathbb{V}'} \times G_{\mathbb{V}''}$ and $g \in G_{\mathbb{V}}$. The map p_1 is $G_{\mathbb{V}'} \times G_{\mathbb{V}''} \times G_{\mathbb{V}}$ -equivariant ($G_{\mathbb{V}}$ acts on $E_{\mathbb{V}'} \times E_{\mathbb{V}''}$ trivially) and p_2 is a principal $G_{\mathbb{V}'} \times G_{\mathbb{V}''}$ -bundle.

Since p_2 is a principal $G_{\mathbb{V}'} \times G_{\mathbb{V}''}$ -bundle, there is an equivalence

$$p_2^* : \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbb{V}}}^b(E'') \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbb{V}} \times G_{\mathbb{V}'} \times G_{\mathbb{V}''}}^b(E').$$

The inverse of p_2^* is denoted by

$$p_{2\flat} : \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbb{V}} \times G_{\mathbb{V}'} \times G_{\mathbb{V}''}}^b(E') \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbb{V}}}^b(E'').$$

Then we can define the induction functor

$$\begin{aligned} * : \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbb{V}'}}^b(E_{\mathbb{V}'}) \times \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbb{V}''}}^b(E_{\mathbb{V}''}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbb{V}}}^b(E_{\mathbb{V}}) \\ (\mathcal{L}', \mathcal{L}'') &\mapsto \mathcal{L}' * \mathcal{L}'' = p_{3\flat} p_{2\flat} p_1^*(\mathcal{L}' \boxtimes \mathcal{L}''). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$K_Q = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}Q_0} K_{G_{\mathbb{V}}}(E_{\mathbb{V}}),$$

which is a $\mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$ -module by $v^{\pm 1}[\mathcal{L}] = [\mathcal{L}[\pm 1](\pm \frac{1}{2})]$, where $[n]$ is the shift functor and $(\frac{n}{2})$ is the Tate twist.

Proposition 2.2 ([13, 14, 16]). *The induction functors for various ν, ν', ν'' induce an associative multiplication structure*

$$* : K_Q \times K_Q \rightarrow K_Q$$

sending $(\mathcal{L}', \mathcal{L}'')$ to $\mathcal{L}' * \mathcal{L}''$.

2.4. Similarly to (1), consider the following diagram over \mathbb{F}_q

$$E_{\mathbb{V}'}^F \times E_{\mathbb{V}''}^F \xleftarrow{p_1} E'^F \xrightarrow{p_2} E''^F \xrightarrow{p_3} E_{\mathbb{V}}^F.$$

Since p_2 is a principal $G_{\mathbb{V}'}^F \times G_{\mathbb{V}''}^F$ -bundle, there is bijection

$$p_2^* : \mathcal{F}_{G_{\mathbb{V}}^F}(E''^F) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{G_{\mathbb{V}'}^F \times G_{\mathbb{V}''}^F \times G_{\mathbb{V}}^F}(E'^F).$$

At the same time, $|p_3^{-1}(\phi)| < \infty$. Then we can define the induction map

$$\begin{aligned} * : \mathcal{F}_{G_{\mathbb{V}'}^F}(E_{\mathbb{V}'}^F) \times \mathcal{F}_{G_{\mathbb{V}''}^F}(E_{\mathbb{V}''}^F) &\rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{G_{\mathbb{V}}^F}(E_{\mathbb{V}}^F) \\ (f', f'') &\mapsto f' * f'' = p_{3!}(p_2^*)^{-1} p_1^*(f' \otimes f''). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\mathcal{F}_Q = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}Q_0} \mathcal{F}_{G_{\mathbb{V}}^F}(E_{\mathbb{V}}^F).$$

Proposition 2.3 ([15]). *The induction maps for various ν, ν', ν'' induce an associative multiplication structure*

$$* : \mathcal{F}_Q \times \mathcal{F}_Q \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_Q$$

sending (f', f'') to $f' * f''$.

Proposition 2.4 ([25, 27]). *The map $\chi_Q^F : K_Q \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_Q$ is a homomorphism of \mathbb{Z} -algebras.*

The embedding $\mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ sending v to \sqrt{q} induces a $\mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$ -module structure on \mathbb{C} . The \mathbb{Z} -linear homomorphism χ_Q^F induces an epimorphism of \mathbb{C} -algebras

$$\chi_Q^F : K_Q \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_Q.$$

2.5. Assume that Q is a quiver of finite type in this section. Let $B_{\mathbb{V}}$ be the set of isomorphism classes $[\mathcal{L}[n](\frac{n}{2})]$, where \mathcal{L} is a simple perverse sheaf in $\mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbb{V}}}^b(E_{\mathbb{V}})$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For any finitely dimensional representation V of Q , let \mathcal{O}_V be the orbit in $E_{\mathbb{V}}$ corresponding to V and $j_V : \mathcal{O}_V \rightarrow E_{\mathbb{V}}$ the natural embedding. Let

$$IC_{\mathcal{O}_V} = (j_V)_! 1_{\mathcal{O}_V},$$

where $1_{\mathcal{O}_V}$ is the constant sheaf on \mathcal{O}_V .

Theorem 2.5 ([13, 14, 16]). *For any Q_0 -graded K -vector space \mathbb{V} such that $\underline{\dim} \mathbb{V} = \nu$, it holds that*

$$B_{\mathbb{V}} = \{[IC_{\mathcal{O}_V}[n](\frac{n}{2})] | \underline{\dim} V = \nu, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

and the set $B_{\mathbb{V}}$ is a \mathbb{Z} -basis of $K_{G_{\mathbb{V}}}(E_{\mathbb{V}})$.

Let

$$B_Q = \bigcup_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}Q_0} B_{\mathbb{V}},$$

which is a \mathbb{Z} -basis of K_Q and called the canonical basis of K_Q .

3. CONTINUOUS QUIVERS OF TYPE A AND THEIR REPRESENTATIONS

In this section, we shall recall the definitions of continuous quivers of type A . We follow the notations in [11].

3.1. A continuous quiver $A_{\mathbb{R}} = (\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{S}, \prec)$ of type A is consisting of

- (1) the set \mathbb{R} of real numbers;
- (2) a discrete subset $\mathbf{S} = \{S_i | i = I\}$ of \mathbb{R} , where the index set I is one of the following four sets

$$\{i \in \mathbb{Z} | m \leq i \leq n\}, \{i \in \mathbb{Z} | i \leq n\}, \{i \in \mathbb{Z} | i \geq m\} \text{ and } \mathbb{Z};$$

- (3) a partial order \prec such that $\prec = <$ or $>$ in every intervals

$$[S_i, S_{i+1}], (-\infty, S_m] \text{ and } [S_n, +\infty).$$

A representation $V = (V(x), V(x, y))$ of $A_{\mathbb{R}}$ over K is consisting of

- (1) a K -vector space $V(x)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (2) a K -linear map $V(x, y) : V(x) \rightarrow V(y)$ for any $x \preccurlyeq y$ such that

$$V(y, z)V(x, y) = V(x, z)$$

for any $x \preccurlyeq y \preccurlyeq z$.

The collection of linear maps $f_x : V(x) \rightarrow W(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is called a map from V to W , if the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V(x) & \xrightarrow{V(x,y)} & V(y) \\ \downarrow f_x & & \downarrow f_y \\ W(x) & \xrightarrow{W(x,y)} & W(y). \end{array}$$

Denote by $\text{Rep}_K(A_{\mathbb{R}})$ the category of K -linear representations of $A_{\mathbb{R}}$, and by $\text{Rep}_K^{pwf}(A_{\mathbb{R}})$ the subcategory of pointwise finitely dimensional representations. Denote by $\text{rep}_K(A_{\mathbb{R}})$ the subcategory of finitely generated representations of $A_{\mathbb{R}}$ defined in [11]. The category $\text{rep}_K(A_{\mathbb{R}})$ is the category of tame representations of $A_{\mathbb{R}}$ in [23].

Let $\nu : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ be a function and $V = (V(x), V(x, y))$ be a representation of $A_{\mathbb{R}}$. If $\dim V(x) = \nu(x)$, then ν is called the dimension function of V and we denote $\underline{\dim} V = \nu$.

3.2. Fix a dimension function ν and a \mathbb{R} -graded K -vector space $\mathbf{V} = \bigoplus_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbf{V}_x$ such that $\dim \mathbf{V}_x = \nu(x)$. In this case, we also denote $\underline{\dim} \mathbf{V} = \nu$. Let

$$\tilde{E}_{\mathbf{V}} = \tilde{E}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathbf{V}} = \prod_{x \preccurlyeq y} \text{Hom}_K(\mathbf{V}_x, \mathbf{V}_y)$$

and

$$E'_{\mathbf{V}} = E'_{A_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathbf{V}} = \{\phi = (\phi_{xy})_{x \preccurlyeq y} \in \tilde{E}_{\mathbf{V}} \mid \phi_{xz} = \phi_{yz}\phi_{xy}\}.$$

Let $E_{\mathbf{V}} = E_{A_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathbf{V}}$ be the subset of $E'_{\mathbf{V}}$ consisting of ϕ such that $V = (\mathbf{V}, \phi)$ is a finitely generated representation in $\text{rep}_K(A_{\mathbb{R}})$.

The group $G_{\mathbf{V}} = \prod_{x \in \mathbb{R}} GL_K(\mathbf{V}_x)$ acts on $\tilde{E}_{\mathbf{V}}$ by $g \cdot \phi = g\phi g^{-1}$ for any $g \in G_{\mathbf{V}}$ and $\phi \in \tilde{E}_{\mathbf{V}}$. Note that $G_{\mathbf{V}}(E'_{\mathbf{V}}) \subset E'_{\mathbf{V}}$ and $G_{\mathbf{V}}(E_{\mathbf{V}}) \subset E_{\mathbf{V}}$. Hence there are $G_{\mathbf{V}}$ -actions on $E'_{\mathbf{V}}$ and $E_{\mathbf{V}}$.

Similarly to Proposition 2.1, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *There is an one to one correspondence between the $G_{\mathbf{V}}$ -orbits in $E_{\mathbf{V}}$ and the isomorphism classes of finitely generated representations of $A_{\mathbb{R}}$.*

For any finitely generated representation V of $A_{\mathbb{R}}$, let \mathcal{O}_V be the orbit in $E_{\mathbf{V}}$ corresponding to V .

3.3. Let $A_{\mathbb{R}} = (\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{S}, \prec)$ be a continuous quiver of type A .

A sequence $\mathcal{I} = (I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n)$ is called a partition of \mathbb{R} , if

- (1) $I_1 = (-\infty, a_1]$, $I_i = |a_{i-1}, a_i|$ ($1 < i < n$), $I_n = |a_{n-1}, +\infty)$ such that $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_{n-1}$, where the notation $|$ is $[$, $($, $]$ or $)$;
- (2) $\mathbb{R} = \bigcup_i I_i$ and $I_i \cap I_{i+1} = \emptyset$ ($1 \leq i < n$).

For any partition \mathcal{I} of \mathbb{R} , let $E_{\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{I}}$ be the subset of $E_{\mathbf{V}}$ consisting of ϕ such that ϕ_{xy} is an isomorphism for any $x \preccurlyeq y$ in some $I \in \mathcal{I}$. In [11], it is proved that

$$E_{\mathbf{V}} = \bigcup_{\mathcal{I}} E_{\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{I}}.$$

Denote by $\tau_{\mathcal{I}}$ the embedding of $E_{\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{I}}$ in $E_{\mathbf{V}}$.

Fix $\phi \in E_{\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{I}}$, let $\phi_{yx} = \phi_{xy}^{-1}$ for $x \prec y$ in some $I \in \mathcal{I}$. For any $x < y$ in some $I \in \mathcal{I}$, there exist $x_0 = x < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_s = y$ such that $x_i \prec x_{i+1}$ or $x_{i+1} \prec x_i$. Let

$$\phi_{xy} = \phi_{x_{s-1}x_s} \cdots \phi_{x_1x_2} \phi_{x_0x_1}$$

and $\phi_{yx} = \phi_{xy}^{-1}$.

Let \mathcal{I}_1 and \mathcal{I}_2 be two partitions of \mathbb{R} . The partition \mathcal{I}_2 is called a refinement of \mathcal{I}_1 if, for any $I \in \mathcal{I}_2$, there exists an interval $J \in \mathcal{I}_1$ such that I is a subset of J .

Since $E_{\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{I}_1}$ is a subset of $E_{\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{I}_2}$, there is a natural embedding

$$\tau_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2} : E_{\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{I}_1} \rightarrow E_{\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{I}_2}.$$

3.4. Let $\mathcal{I} = (I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n)$ be a partition of \mathbb{R} . Denote by $I_i \prec I_{i+1}$ in \mathcal{I} , if

- (1) $a_i \prec a_i + \epsilon$, when $I_i = [a_{i-1}, a_i]$, $I_{i+1} = (a_i, a_{i+1}]$,
- (2) $a_i - \epsilon \prec a_i$, when $I_i = [a_{i-1}, a_i)$, $I_{i+1} = [a_i, a_{i+1}]$,

and by $I_{i+1} \prec I_i$ in \mathcal{I} , if

- (1) $a_i + \epsilon \prec a_i$, when $I_i = [a_{i-1}, a_i]$, $I_{i+1} = (a_i, a_{i+1}]$,
- (2) $a_i \prec a_i - \epsilon$, when $I_i = [a_{i-1}, a_i)$, $I_{i+1} = [a_i, a_{i+1}]$,

where ϵ is a real number small enough.

Consider a finite quiver $Q_{\mathcal{I}} = (Q_{\mathcal{I},0}, Q_{\mathcal{I},1})$ of type A associated to the continuous quiver $A_{\mathbb{R}}$ and the partition \mathcal{I} of \mathbb{R} , where

- (1) $Q_{\mathcal{I},0} = \{I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n\}$ is the set of vertices;
- (2) $Q_{\mathcal{I},1} = \{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{n-1}\}$, where

$$h_i = \begin{cases} I_i \rightarrow I_{i+1}, & I_i \prec I_{i+1}; \\ I_i \leftarrow I_{i+1}, & I_{i+1} \prec I_i. \end{cases}$$

For any finite dimensional \mathbb{R} -graded K -vector space $\mathbf{V} = \bigoplus_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbf{V}_x$, let $\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}}$ be the $Q_{\mathcal{I},0}$ -graded K -vector space with $(\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}})_{I_i} = \mathbf{V}_{b_i}$, where

$$b_i = \begin{cases} a_1 - 1, & i = 1; \\ \frac{a_i + a_{i-1}}{2}, & 1 < i < n; \\ a_{n-1} + 1, & i = n. \end{cases}$$

Define a map

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{I}} : E_{\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{I}} \rightarrow E_{Q_{\mathcal{I}}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}}},$$

by

- (1) $\sigma_{\mathcal{I}}(\phi)_{I_i \rightarrow I_{i+1}} = \phi_{a_i + \epsilon b_{i+1}} \phi_{a_i a_i + \epsilon} \phi_{b_i a_i}$, when $I_i = [a_{i-1}, a_i]$, $I_{i+1} = (a_i, a_{i+1}]$ and $a_i \prec a_i + \epsilon$;
- (2) $\sigma_{\mathcal{I}}(\phi)_{I_i \leftarrow I_{i+1}} = \phi_{a_i b_i} \phi_{a_i + \epsilon a_i} \phi_{b_{i+1} a_i + \epsilon}$, when $I_i = [a_{i-1}, a_i]$, $I_{i+1} = (a_i, a_{i+1}]$ and $a_i + \epsilon \prec a_i$;
- (3) $\sigma_{\mathcal{I}}(\phi)_{I_i \rightarrow I_{i+1}} = \phi_{a_i b_{i+1}} \phi_{a_i - \epsilon a_i} \phi_{b_i a_i - \epsilon}$, when $I_i = [a_{i-1}, a_i)$, $I_{i+1} = [a_i, a_{i+1}]$ and $a_i - \epsilon \prec a_i$;
- (4) $\sigma_{\mathcal{I}}(\phi)_{I_i \leftarrow I_{i+1}} = \phi_{a_i - \epsilon b_i} \phi_{a_i a_i - \epsilon} \phi_{b_{i+1} a_i}$, when $I_i = [a_{i-1}, a_i)$, $I_{i+1} = [a_i, a_{i+1}]$ and $a_i \prec a_i - \epsilon$.

Proposition 3.2. *The map $\sigma_{\mathcal{I}}$ induces an one to one correspondence between the $G_{\mathbf{V}}$ -orbits in $E_{\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{I}}$ and the $G_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}}}$ -orbits in $E_{Q_{\mathcal{I}}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}}}$.*

Proof. Let $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in E_{\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{I}}$. Since $(\mathbf{V}, \phi_1) \cong (\mathbf{V}, \phi_2)$ if and only if $(\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}}, \sigma_{\mathcal{I}}(\phi_1)) \cong (\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}}, \sigma_{\mathcal{I}}(\phi_2))$, we get the desired result. \square

4. GEOMETRIC REALIZATION OF RINGEL-HALL ALGEBRA OF $A_{\mathbb{R}}$

4.1. In this section, we shall recall the definitions of Ringel-Hall algebras of $A_{\mathbb{R}}$ introduced in [22, 23].

Let $A_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a continuous quiver. Fix a dimension function ν and a \mathbb{R} -graded \mathbb{F}_q -vector space \mathbf{V} such that $\underline{\dim} \mathbf{V} = \nu$. Denote by

$$\mathcal{F}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}})$$

the set of $G_{\mathbf{V}}$ -invariant finitely supported \mathbb{C} -valued functions on $E_{\mathbf{V}}$. Let

$$\mathcal{F}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}} = \bigoplus_{\nu} \mathcal{F}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}}).$$

For dimension functions ν, ν', ν'' such that $\nu = \nu' + \nu''$, fix \mathbb{R} -graded \mathbb{F}_q -vector spaces $\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{V}', \mathbf{V}''$ with $\underline{\dim} \mathbf{V} = \nu$, $\underline{\dim} \mathbf{V}' = \nu'$ and $\underline{\dim} \mathbf{V}'' = \nu''$.

Consider the following diagram

$$\tilde{E}_{\mathbf{V}'} \times \tilde{E}_{\mathbf{V}''} \xleftarrow{q_1} \tilde{E}' \xrightarrow{q_2} \tilde{E}'' \xrightarrow{q_3} \tilde{E}_{\mathbf{V}},$$

where

- (1) $\tilde{E}'' = \{(\phi, \mathbf{W})\}$, where $\phi \in \tilde{E}_{\mathbf{V}}$ and \mathbf{W} is a ϕ -stable subspace of \mathbf{V} with dimension function ν'' ;
- (2) $\tilde{E}' = \{(\phi, \mathbf{W}, R'', R')\}$, where $(\phi, \mathbf{W}) \in \tilde{E}''$, $R'' : \mathbf{V}'' \simeq \mathbf{W}$ and $R' : \mathbf{V}' \simeq \mathbf{V}/\mathbf{W}$;
- (3) $q_1(\phi, \mathbf{W}, R'', R') = (\phi', \phi'')$, where $\phi' = (\phi'_{xy})$ and $\phi'' = (\phi''_{xy})$ are induced by the following commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{V}'_x & \xrightarrow{\phi'_{xy}} & \mathbf{V}'_y \\ \downarrow R'_x & & \downarrow R'_y \\ (\mathbf{V}/\mathbf{W})_x & \xrightarrow{\phi_{xy}} & (\mathbf{V}/\mathbf{W})_y \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{V}''_x & \xrightarrow{\phi''_{xy}} & \mathbf{V}''_y \\ \downarrow R''_x & & \downarrow R''_y \\ \mathbf{W}_x & \xrightarrow{\phi_{xy}} & \mathbf{W}_y \end{array}$$

for all $x \prec y$;

- (4) $q_2(\phi, \mathbf{W}, R'', R') = (\phi, \mathbf{W})$;
- (5) $q_3(\phi, \mathbf{W}) = \phi$.

The group $G_{\mathbf{V}}$ acts on \tilde{E}'' by $g.(\phi, \mathbf{W}) = (g\phi g^{-1}, g\mathbf{W})$ for any $g \in G_{\mathbf{V}}$. The groups $G_{\mathbf{V}'} \times G_{\mathbf{V}''}$ and $G_{\mathbf{V}}$ act on \tilde{E}' by $(g_1, g_2).(\phi, \mathbf{W}, R'', R') = (\phi, \mathbf{W}, g_2 R'', g_1 R')$ and $g.(\phi, \mathbf{W}, R'', R') = (g\phi g^{-1}, g\mathbf{W}, R'' g^{-1}, R' g^{-1})$ for any $(g_1, g_2) \in G_{\mathbf{V}'} \times G_{\mathbf{V}''}$ and $g \in G_{\mathbf{V}}$.

Since $\phi \in E_{\mathbf{V}}$ implies that $\phi' \in E_{\mathbf{V}'}$ and $\phi'' \in E_{\mathbf{V}''}$, we have the following diagram

$$E_{\mathbf{V}'} \times E_{\mathbf{V}''} \xleftarrow{q_1} E' \xrightarrow{q_2} E'' \xrightarrow{q_3} E_{\mathbf{V}},$$

where

- (1) $E'' = \{(\phi, \mathbf{W}) \in \tilde{E}'' | \phi \in E_{\mathbf{V}}\}$;
- (2) $E' = \{(\phi, \mathbf{W}, R'', R') \in \tilde{E}' | (\phi, \mathbf{W}) \in E''\}$;
- (3) the restrictions of q_1 and q_2 on E' are still denoted by q_1 and q_2 , respectively;
- (4) the restriction of q_3 on E'' is still denoted by q_3 .

Since $G_{\mathbf{V}}(E'') = E''$, there is a $G_{\mathbf{V}}$ -action on E'' . Similarly, there are $G_{\mathbf{V}'} \times G_{\mathbf{V}''}$ and $G_{\mathbf{V}}$ -actions on E' . The map q_1 induces a map

$$q_1^* : \mathcal{F}_{G_{\mathbf{V}'} \times G_{\mathbf{V}''}}(E_{\mathbf{V}'} \times E_{\mathbf{V}''}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{G_{\mathbf{V}} \times G_{\mathbf{V}'} \times G_{\mathbf{V}''}}(E').$$

Since the map q_2 is a principal $G_{\mathbf{V}'} \times G_{\mathbf{V}''}$ -bundle, it induces a bijection

$$q_2^* : \mathcal{F}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}(E'') \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{G_{\mathbf{V}} \times G_{\mathbf{V}'} \times G_{\mathbf{V}''}}(E').$$

Since $p_3^{-1}(\phi)$ is a finite set for any $\phi \in E_{\mathbf{V}}$, it induces a map

$$p_{3!} : \mathcal{F}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}(E'') \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}}).$$

Then we can define the induction map

$$\begin{aligned} * : \mathcal{F}_{G_{\mathbf{V}'}}(E_{\mathbf{V}'}) \times \mathcal{F}_{G_{\mathbf{V}''}}(E_{\mathbf{V}''}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}}) \\ (f', f'') &\mapsto f' * f'' = p_{3!}(p_2^*)^{-1}p_1^*(f' \otimes f''). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.1 ([22, 23]). *The induction maps for various dimension functions ν , ν' , ν'' induce an associative multiplication structure*

$$* : \mathcal{F}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}} \times \mathcal{F}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$$

sending (f', f'') to $f' * f''$.

The \mathbb{C} -algebra $(\mathcal{F}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}, *)$ is called the Ringel-Hall algebra of $A_{\mathbb{R}}$.

For any partition \mathcal{I} of \mathbb{R} , let

$$\mathcal{F}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{I}})$$

be the set of $G_{\mathbf{V}}$ -invariant finitely supported \mathbb{C} -valued functions on $E_{\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{I}}$ and

$$\mathcal{F}_{A_{\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{I}}} = \bigoplus_{\nu} \mathcal{F}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{I}}).$$

Lemma 4.2. *The subset $\mathcal{F}_{A_{\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{I}}}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$.*

Proof. Note that $p_1(\phi, \mathbf{W}, R'', R') = (\phi', \phi'')$ and $(\phi', \phi'') \in E_{\mathbf{V}', \mathcal{I}} \times E_{\mathbf{V}'', \mathcal{I}}$ implies that $\phi \in E_{\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{I}}$. Hence, for any $f \in \mathcal{F}_{G_{\mathbf{V}'}}(E_{\mathbf{V}', \mathcal{I}})$ and $g \in \mathcal{F}_{G_{\mathbf{V}''}}(E_{\mathbf{V}'', \mathcal{I}})$, we have $f * g \in \mathcal{F}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{I}})$. □

Let \mathcal{I}_1 and \mathcal{I}_2 be two partitions of \mathbb{R} such that \mathcal{I}_2 is a refinement of \mathcal{I}_1 . The embedding

$$\tau_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2} : E_{\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{I}_1} \rightarrow E_{\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{I}_2}$$

induces a map

$$(\tau_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2})! : \mathcal{F}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{I}_1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{I}_2}).$$

Corollary 4.3. *The algebra $\mathcal{F}_{A_{\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{I}_1}}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}_{A_{\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{I}_2}}$ and $(\tau_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2})!$ is an embedding of algebras.*

4.2. Let $Q_{\mathcal{I}} = (Q_{\mathcal{I}, 0}, Q_{\mathcal{I}, 1})$ be the finite quiver associated to the continuous quiver $A_{\mathbb{R}}$ and a partition \mathcal{I} and $\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}}$ be the $Q_{\mathcal{I}, 0}$ -graded vector space induced by \mathbf{V} .

The map

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{I}} : E_{\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{I}} \rightarrow E_{Q_{\mathcal{I}}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}}}$$

induces a map

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{I}}^* : \mathcal{F}_{G_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}}}}(E_{Q_{\mathcal{I}}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{I}}).$$

Lemma 4.4. *The map $\sigma_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ is an isomorphism of algebras.*

Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} E_{\mathbf{V}', \mathcal{I}} \times E_{\mathbf{V}'', \mathcal{I}} & \xleftarrow{q_1} & E'_1 & \xrightarrow{q_2} & E''_1 & \xrightarrow{q_3} & E_{\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{I}} \\ \downarrow \sigma_{\mathcal{I}} \times \sigma_{\mathcal{I}} & & \downarrow \gamma_1 & & \downarrow \delta_1 & & \downarrow id \\ E_{\mathbf{V}'_{\mathcal{I}}} \times E_{\mathbf{V}''_{\mathcal{I}}} & \xleftarrow{\alpha_1} & X & \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} & Y & \xrightarrow{\alpha_3} & E_{\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{I}} \\ \downarrow id \times id & & \downarrow \gamma_2 & & \downarrow \delta_2 & & \downarrow \sigma_{\mathcal{I}} \\ E_{\mathbf{V}'_{\mathcal{I}}} \times E_{\mathbf{V}''_{\mathcal{I}}} & \xleftarrow{p_1} & E'_2 & \xrightarrow{p_2} & E''_2 & \xrightarrow{p_3} & E_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}}} \end{array}$$

Here,

- (1) $E''_1 = \{(\phi, \mathbf{W})\}$, where $\phi \in E_{\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{I}}$ and \mathbf{W} is a ϕ -stable subspace of \mathbf{V} with dimension function ν'' ;
- (2) $E'_1 = \{(\phi, \mathbf{W}, R'', R')\}$, where $(\phi, \mathbf{W}) \in E''_1$, $R'' : \mathbf{V}'' \simeq \mathbf{W}$ and $R' : \mathbf{V}' \simeq \mathbf{V}/\mathbf{W}$;
- (3) $E''_2 = \{(\phi, \mathbb{W})\}$, where $\phi \in E_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}}}$ and \mathbb{W} is a ϕ -stable subspace of $\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}}$ with dimension vector $\nu''_{\mathcal{I}}$;
- (4) $E'_2 = \{(\phi, \mathbb{W}, R'', R')\}$, where $(\phi, \mathbb{W}) \in E''_2$, $R'' : \mathbf{V}''_{\mathcal{I}} \simeq \mathbb{W}$ and $R' : \mathbf{V}'_{\mathcal{I}} \simeq \mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}}/\mathbb{W}$;
- (5) $Y = \{(\phi, \mathbb{W})\}$, where $\phi \in E_{\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{I}}$ and \mathbb{W} is an $\sigma_{\mathcal{I}}(\phi)$ -stable subspace of $\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}}$ with dimension function $\nu''_{\mathcal{I}}$;
- (6) $X = \{(\phi, \mathbb{W}, R'', R')\}$, where $(\phi, \mathbb{W}) \in Y$, $R'' : \mathbf{V}''_{\mathcal{I}} \simeq \mathbb{W}$ and $R' : \mathbf{V}'_{\mathcal{I}} \simeq \mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}}/\mathbb{W}$;
- (7) $\delta_1(\phi, \mathbf{W}) = (\phi, \mathbf{W}_{\mathcal{I}})$, which is a bijection;
- (8) $\gamma_1(\phi, \mathbf{W}, R'', R') = (\phi, \mathbf{W}_{\mathcal{I}}, R''_{\mathcal{I}}, R'_{\mathcal{I}})$, where $R''_{\mathcal{I}} : \mathbf{V}''_{\mathcal{I}} \simeq \mathbf{W}_{\mathcal{I}}$ and $R'_{\mathcal{I}} : \mathbf{V}'_{\mathcal{I}} \simeq \mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}}/\mathbf{W}_{\mathcal{I}}$ are induced by R'' and R' ;
- (9) $\delta_2(\phi, \mathbb{W}) = (\sigma_{\mathcal{I}}(\phi), \mathbb{W})$;

$$(10) \quad \gamma_2(\phi, \mathbb{W}, R'', R') = (\sigma_{\mathcal{I}}(\phi), \mathbb{W}, R'', R');$$

$$(11) \quad \alpha_1 = p_1 \gamma_2;$$

$$(12) \quad \alpha_2(\phi, \mathbb{W}, R'', R') = (\phi, \mathbb{W});$$

$$(13) \quad \alpha_3(\phi, \mathbb{W}) = \phi.$$

Now, for any $f \in \mathcal{F}_{G_{\mathbf{V}'_{\mathcal{I}}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}'_{\mathcal{I}}})$ and $g \in \mathcal{F}_{G_{\mathbf{V}''_{\mathcal{I}}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}''_{\mathcal{I}}})$, let $A = \sigma_{\mathcal{I}}^*(f * g)$. By definition, we have

$$A = \sigma_{\mathcal{I}}^* p_{3!} (p_2^*)^{-1} p_1^* (f \otimes g).$$

Since the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\alpha_3} & E_{\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{I}} \\ \downarrow \delta_2 & & \downarrow \sigma_{\mathcal{I}} \\ E''_2 & \xrightarrow{p_3} & E_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}}} \end{array}$$

is a fiber product, we have

$$A = \alpha_{3!} \delta_2^* (p_2^*)^{-1} p_1^* (f \otimes g).$$

Then,

$$A = \alpha_{3!} (\alpha_2^*)^{-1} \gamma_2^* p_1^* (f \otimes g) = \alpha_{3!} (\alpha_2^*)^{-1} \alpha_1^* (f \otimes g).$$

Since δ_1 is a bijection, we have $\delta_1^* = (\delta_{1!})^{-1}$ and

$$A = q_{3!} \delta_1^* (\alpha_2^*)^{-1} \alpha_1^* (f \otimes g).$$

Then,

$$A = q_{3!} (q_2^*)^{-1} \gamma_1^* \alpha_1^* (f \otimes g) = q_{3!} (q_2^*)^{-1} q_1^* (\sigma_{\mathcal{I}}^*(f) \otimes \sigma_{\mathcal{I}}^*(g)) = \sigma_{\mathcal{I}}^*(f) * \sigma_{\mathcal{I}}^*(g).$$

Hence, the map $\sigma_{\mathcal{I}}^*$ is an isomorphism of algebras. \square

Let $\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}}$ be a $Q_{\mathcal{I},0}$ -graded K -vector space such that $\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}}^F = \mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}}$. Then, we have $E_{\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}}}^F = E_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}}}$ and $G_{\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}}}^F = G_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}}}$. Consider the trace map

$$\chi_{\mathcal{I}} : K_{G_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}}}}^b(E_{\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{G_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{I}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}})$$

sending $[\mathcal{L}]$ to $\sigma_{\mathcal{I}}^*(\chi_{\mathcal{L}}^F)$.

Proposition 4.5. *Considering all dimension vectors, the map*

$$\chi_{\mathcal{I}} : K_{Q_{\mathcal{I}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$$

is a homomorphism of \mathbb{Z} -algebras.

Proof. This result is direct by Lemma 4.4 and 4.2. \square

The homomorphism $\chi_{\mathcal{I}}$ of \mathbb{Z} -algebras induces a homomorphism of \mathbb{C} -algebras

$$\chi_{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathbb{C}} : K_{Q_{\mathcal{I}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}.$$

4.3. Let \mathcal{I}_1 and \mathcal{I}_2 be two partitions of \mathbb{R} such that the partition \mathcal{I}_2 is a refinement of \mathcal{I}_1 . Assume that

$$\mathcal{I}_1 = (I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n)$$

and

$$\mathcal{I}_2 = (I_{11}, I_{12}, \dots, I_{1s_1}, \dots, I_{n1}, I_{n2}, \dots, I_{ns_n})$$

such that $I_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^{n_i} I_{ij}$.

Let \hat{E} be the subset of $E_{\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}_2}}$ consisting of ϕ such that $\phi_{h_{ij}}$ are isomorphisms for all $1 \leq j < n_i$. For any $\phi \in \hat{E}$ and $1 \leq j < n_i$, let $\phi_{ij} = \phi_{h_{ij}}$ if $I_{ij} \prec I_{ij+1}$ and $\phi_{ij} = \phi_{h_{ij}}^{-1}$ if $I_{ij+1} \prec I_{ij}$.

Consider the following correspondence

$$(2) \quad E_{\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}_1}} \xleftarrow{r_1} \hat{E} \xrightarrow{r_2} E_{\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}_2}},$$

where

$$r_1(\phi)_{h_i} = \begin{cases} \phi_{h_{in_i}} \phi_{in_i-1} \dots \phi_{i1}, & s(h_i) = I_i; \\ \phi_{i1}^{-1} \dots \phi_{in_i-1}^{-1} \phi_{h_{in_i}}, & s(h_i) = I_{i+1}; \end{cases}$$

for any $\phi \in \hat{E}$ and r_2 is the natural embedding.

The group $G_{\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}_2}}$ acts on \hat{E} . Note that $G_{\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}_2}} = G_{\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}_1}} \times G_{\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}_2 - \mathcal{I}_1}}$, where

$$G_{\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}_2 - \mathcal{I}_1}} = \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=2}^{n_i} GL_K((\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}_2})_{I_{ij}}).$$

The map r_1 is a principal $G_{\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}_2 - \mathcal{I}_1}}$ -bundle. Hence, there is an equivalence

$$r_1^* : \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}_1}}}^b(E_{\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}_1}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}_2}}}^b(\hat{E}).$$

The map r_2 is $G_{\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}_2}}$ -equivariant, which induces a functor

$$r_{2!} : \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}_2}}}^b(\hat{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}_2}}}^b(E_{\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}_2}}).$$

Then we can define a functor

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2} = r_{2!} r_1^* : \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}_1}}}^b(E_{\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}_1}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}_2}}}^b(E_{\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}_2}}).$$

Consider all dimension vectors, we get a map

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2} : K_{Q_{\mathcal{I}_1}} \rightarrow K_{Q_{\mathcal{I}_2}}.$$

Lemma 4.6. *For any partitions \mathcal{I}_1 and \mathcal{I}_2 of \mathbb{R} such that \mathcal{I}_2 is a refinement of \mathcal{I}_1 , the map $\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2} : K_{Q_{\mathcal{I}_1}} \rightarrow K_{Q_{\mathcal{I}_2}}$ is a homomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$ -algebras.*

Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
E_{\mathbb{V}'_{\mathcal{I}_1}} \times E_{\mathbb{V}''_{\mathcal{I}_1}} & \xleftarrow{p_1} & E'_1 & \xrightarrow{p_2} & E''_1 & \xrightarrow{p_3} & E_{\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}_1}} \\
\uparrow id & & \uparrow \gamma_1 & & \uparrow \delta_1 & & \uparrow r_1 \\
E_{\mathbb{V}'_{\mathcal{I}_1}} \times E_{\mathbb{V}''_{\mathcal{I}_1}} & \xleftarrow{\alpha_1} & X_1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} & Y_1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_3} & \hat{E} \\
\uparrow r_1 \times r_1 & & \uparrow \gamma_2 & & \uparrow \delta_2 & & \uparrow id \\
\hat{E}' \times \hat{E}'' & \xleftarrow{\beta_1} & X_2 & \xrightarrow{\beta_2} & Y_2 & \xrightarrow{\beta_3} & \hat{E} \\
\downarrow r_2 \times r_2 & & \downarrow \gamma_3 & & \downarrow \delta_3 & & \downarrow r_2 \\
E_{\mathbb{V}'_{\mathcal{I}_2}} \times E_{\mathbb{V}''_{\mathcal{I}_2}} & \xleftarrow{p_1} & E'_2 & \xrightarrow{p_2} & E''_2 & \xrightarrow{p_3} & E_{\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}_2}}.
\end{array}$$

Here,

- (1) $E''_1 = \{(\phi, \mathbb{W})\}$, where $\phi \in E_{\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}_1}}$ and \mathbb{W} is a ϕ -stable subspace of $\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}_1}$ with dimension vector $\nu''_{\mathcal{I}_1}$;
- (2) $E'_1 = \{(\phi, \mathbb{W}, R'', R')\}$, where $(\phi, \mathbb{W}) \in E''_1$, $R'' : \mathbb{V}''_{\mathcal{I}_1} \simeq \mathbb{W}$ and $R' : \mathbb{V}'_{\mathcal{I}_1} \simeq \mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}_1}/\mathbb{W}$;
- (3) $E''_2 = \{(\phi, \mathbb{W})\}$, where $\phi \in E_{\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}_2}}$ and \mathbb{W} is a ϕ -stable subspace of $\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}_2}$ with dimension vector $\nu''_{\mathcal{I}_2}$;
- (4) $E'_2 = \{(\phi, \mathbb{W}, R'', R')\}$, where $(\phi, \mathbb{W}) \in E''_2$, $R'' : \mathbb{V}''_{\mathcal{I}_2} \simeq \mathbb{W}$ and $R' : \mathbb{V}'_{\mathcal{I}_2} \simeq \mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}_2}/\mathbb{W}$;
- (5) \hat{E} is the subset of $E_{\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}_2}}$ consisting of ϕ such that $\phi_{h_{ij}}$ are isomorphism for all $1 \leq j < n_i$;
- (6) \hat{E}' is the subset of $E_{\mathbb{V}'_{\mathcal{I}_2}}$ consisting of ϕ such that $\phi_{h_{ij}}$ are isomorphism for all $1 \leq j < n_i$;
- (7) \hat{E}'' is the subset of $E_{\mathbb{V}''_{\mathcal{I}_2}}$ consisting of ϕ such that $\phi_{h_{ij}}$ are isomorphism for all $1 \leq j < n_i$;
- (8) $Y_2 = \{(\phi, \mathbb{W})\}$, where $\phi \in \hat{E}$ and \mathbb{W} is a ϕ -stable subspace of $\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}_2}$ with dimension function $\nu''_{\mathcal{I}_2}$;
- (9) $X_2 = \{(\phi, \mathbb{W}, R'', R')\}$, where $(\phi, \mathbb{W}) \in Y_2$, $R'' : \mathbb{V}''_{\mathcal{I}_2} \simeq \mathbb{W}$ and $R' : \mathbb{V}'_{\mathcal{I}_2} \simeq \mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}_2}/\mathbb{W}$;
- (10) $Y_1 = \{(\phi, \mathbb{W})\}$, where $\phi \in \hat{E}$ and \mathbb{W} is a ϕ -stable subspace of $\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}_1}$ with dimension function $\nu''_{\mathcal{I}_1}$;
- (11) $X_1 = \{(\phi, \mathbb{W}, R'', R')\}$, where $(\phi, \mathbb{W}) \in Y_1$, $R'' : \mathbb{V}''_{\mathcal{I}_1} \simeq \mathbb{W}$ and $R' : \mathbb{V}'_{\mathcal{I}_1} \simeq \mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}_1}/\mathbb{W}$;
- (12) $\delta_1(\phi, \mathbb{W}) = (r_1(\phi), \mathbb{W})$;
- (13) $\gamma_1(\phi, \mathbb{W}, R'', R') = (r_1(\phi), \mathbb{W}, R'', R')$;
- (14) $\delta_2(\phi, \mathbb{W}) = (\phi, \mathbb{W}_{\mathcal{I}_1})$, where $\mathbb{W}_{\mathcal{I}_1}$ is the restriction of \mathbb{W} on \mathcal{I}_1 ;
- (15) $\gamma_2(\phi, \mathbb{W}, R'', R') = (\phi, \mathbb{W}_{\mathcal{I}_1}, R''_{\mathcal{I}_1}, R'_{\mathcal{I}_1})$, where $R''_{\mathcal{I}_1}$ and $R'_{\mathcal{I}_1}$ are the restrictions of R'' and R' on \mathcal{I}_1 ;

- (16) $\delta_3(\phi, \mathbb{W}) = (\phi, \mathbb{W})$;
- (17) $\gamma_3(\phi, \mathbb{W}, R'', R') = (\phi, \mathbb{W}, R'', R')$;
- (18) $\alpha_1 = p_1\gamma_1$;
- (19) $\alpha_2(\phi, \mathbb{W}, R'', R') = (\phi, \mathbb{W})$;
- (20) $\alpha_3(\phi, \mathbb{W}) = \phi$.
- (21) $\beta_1 = p_1|_{X_2}$;
- (22) $\beta_2(\phi, \mathbb{W}, R'', R') = (\phi, \mathbb{W})$;
- (23) $\beta_3(\phi, \mathbb{W}) = \phi$.

Now, for any $\mathcal{L}_1 \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbb{V}'_{\mathcal{I}_1}}}^b(E_{\mathbb{V}'_{\mathcal{I}_1}})$ and $\mathcal{L}_2 \in \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbb{V}''_{\mathcal{I}_1}}}^b(E_{\mathbb{V}''_{\mathcal{I}_1}})$, let

$$A = \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}(\mathcal{L}_1 * \mathcal{L}_2).$$

By definition, we have

$$A \cong r_{2!}r_1^*p_{3!}p_{2b}p_1^*(\mathcal{L}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_2).$$

Since the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_3} & \hat{E} \\ \downarrow \delta_1 & & \downarrow r_1 \\ E_1'' & \xrightarrow{p_3} & E_{\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}_1}} \end{array}$$

is a fiber product, we have

$$A \cong r_{2!}\alpha_{3!}\delta_1^*p_{2b}p_1^*(\mathcal{L}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_2).$$

Since δ_2 is an isomorphism and $\beta_3 = \alpha_3\delta_2$, we have

$$A \cong r_{2!}\beta_{3!}\delta_2^*\delta_1^*p_{2b}p_1^*(\mathcal{L}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_2).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} A &\cong p_{3!}\delta_{3!}\delta_2^*\delta_1^*p_{2b}p_1^*(\mathcal{L}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_2) \\ &\cong p_{3!}\delta_{3!}\delta_2^*\alpha_{2b}\gamma_1^*p_1^*(\mathcal{L}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_2) \\ &\cong p_{3!}\delta_{3!}\beta_{2b}\gamma_2^*\gamma_1^*p_1^*(\mathcal{L}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_2) \\ &\cong p_{3!}p_{2b}\gamma_{3!}\gamma_2^*\gamma_1^*p_1^*(\mathcal{L}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_2) \\ &\cong p_{3!}p_{2b}\gamma_{3!}\gamma_2^*\alpha_1^*(\mathcal{L}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_2) \\ &\cong p_{3!}p_{2b}\gamma_{3!}\beta_1^*(r_1^*(\mathcal{L}_1) \boxtimes r_1^*(\mathcal{L}_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Since the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_2 & \xrightarrow{\beta_1} & \hat{E}' \times \hat{E}'' \\ \downarrow \gamma_3 & & \downarrow r_2 \times r_2 \\ E_2' & \xrightarrow{p_1} & E_{\mathbb{V}'_{\mathcal{I}_2}} \times E_{\mathbb{V}''_{\mathcal{I}_2}} \end{array}$$

is a fiber product, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A &\cong p_{3!}p_{2b}p_1^*(r_{2!}r_1^*(\mathcal{L}_1) \boxtimes r_{2!}r_1^*(\mathcal{L}_2)) \\ &\cong p_{3!}p_{2b}p_1^*(\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}(\mathcal{L}_1) \boxtimes \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}(\mathcal{L}_2)) \\ &\cong \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}(\mathcal{L}_1) * \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}(\mathcal{L}_2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the map $\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}$ is a homomorphism of algebras. □

Note that

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_2, \mathcal{I}_3} \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2} = \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_3}$$

for partitions \mathcal{I}_1 , \mathcal{I}_2 and \mathcal{I}_3 of \mathbb{R} such that \mathcal{I}_2 is a refinement of \mathcal{I}_1 and \mathcal{I}_3 is a refinement of \mathcal{I}_2 . Hence,

$$(K_{Q_{\mathcal{I}}}, \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2})$$

is a direct system. Let

$$(K_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}, \Phi_{\mathcal{I}})$$

be the direct limit of this direct system, where $K_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$ is an $\mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$ -algebra and

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{I}} : K_{Q_{\mathcal{I}}} \rightarrow K_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$$

is homomorphism of algebras.

Similarly, consider the following correspondence over \mathbb{F}_q

$$(3) \quad E_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}_1}} \xleftarrow{r_1} \hat{E} \xrightarrow{r_2} E_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}_2}},$$

and we can define a map

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}^F = r_{2!}r_1^* : \mathcal{F}_{G_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}_1}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}_1}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{G_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}_2}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}_2}}).$$

Consider all dimension vectors, we get a map

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}^F : \mathcal{F}_{Q_{\mathcal{I}_1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{Q_{\mathcal{I}_2}}.$$

Lemma 4.7. *For any partitions \mathcal{I}_1 and \mathcal{I}_2 of \mathbb{R} such that \mathcal{I}_2 is a refinement of \mathcal{I}_1 , the map $\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}^F$ is a homomorphism of algebras such that the following diagram is commutative*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{Q_{\mathcal{I}_1}} & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}} & K_{Q_{\mathcal{I}_2}} \\ \chi_{Q_{\mathcal{I}_1}}^F \downarrow & & \downarrow \chi_{Q_{\mathcal{I}_2}}^F \\ \mathcal{F}_{Q_{\mathcal{I}_1}} & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}^F} & \mathcal{F}_{Q_{\mathcal{I}_2}}. \end{array}$$

Proof. Similarly to the proof of Lemma 4.6, we can show that $\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}^F$ is a homomorphism of algebras. By the properties of sheaf-function correspondence ([12]), we get this commutative diagram. □

On the relations between $\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}^F$ and $(\tau_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2})_!$, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.8. *For any partitions \mathcal{I}_1 and \mathcal{I}_2 of \mathbb{R} such that \mathcal{I}_2 is a refinement of \mathcal{I}_1 , we have the following commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}_{Q_{\mathcal{I}_1}} & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}^F} & \mathcal{F}_{Q_{\mathcal{I}_2}} \\ \downarrow \sigma_{\mathcal{I}_1}^* & & \downarrow \sigma_{\mathcal{I}_2}^* \\ \mathcal{F}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathcal{I}_1} & \xrightarrow{(\tau_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2})!} & \mathcal{F}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathcal{I}_2}. \end{array}$$

Proof. Let $V = (\mathbf{V}, \phi)$ be a representation of $A_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $\phi \in E_{\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{I}_1}$. Let $V_1 = (\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}_1}, \sigma_{\mathcal{I}_1}(\phi))$ and $V_2 = (\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}_2}, \sigma_{\mathcal{I}_2}(\phi))$. Let $V_3 = (\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}_2}, \psi)$ be a representation of $Q_{\mathcal{I}_2}$ such that $r_1(\psi) = \sigma_{\mathcal{I}_1}(\phi)$.

Then, we have

$$(\sigma_{\mathcal{I}_2}^*)^{-1}(\tau_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2})!(1_{\mathcal{O}_V}) = (\sigma_{\mathcal{I}_2}^*)^{-1}(1_{\mathcal{O}_V}) = 1_{\mathcal{O}_{V_2}}$$

and

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}^F(\sigma_{\mathcal{I}_1}^*)^{-1}(1_{\mathcal{O}_V}) = \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}^F(1_{\mathcal{O}_{V_1}}) = 1_{\mathcal{O}_{V_3}}.$$

Since $V_2 \cong V_3$, we have $1_{\mathcal{O}_{V_2}} = 1_{\mathcal{O}_{V_3}}$ and

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}^F(\sigma_{\mathcal{I}_1}^*)^{-1}(1_{\mathcal{O}_V}) = (\sigma_{\mathcal{I}_2}^*)^{-1}(\tau_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2})!(1_{\mathcal{O}_V}).$$

Hence, we have

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}^F(\sigma_{\mathcal{I}_1}^*)^{-1} = (\sigma_{\mathcal{I}_2}^*)^{-1}(\tau_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2})!$$

and

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{I}_2}^* \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}^F = (\tau_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2})! \sigma_{\mathcal{I}_1}^*.$$

□

Proposition 4.9. *For any partitions \mathcal{I}_1 and \mathcal{I}_2 of \mathbb{R} such that \mathcal{I}_2 is a refinement of \mathcal{I}_1 , we have the following commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{Q_{\mathcal{I}_1}} & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}} & K_{Q_{\mathcal{I}_2}} \\ \searrow \chi_{\mathcal{I}_1} & & \swarrow \chi_{\mathcal{I}_2} \\ & \mathcal{F}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}} & \end{array}$$

Proof. By Corollary 4.3, Lemmas 4.7 and 4.8, we get the desired commutative diagram.

□

Theorem 4.10. *There is a homomorphism of \mathbb{Z} -algebras*

$$\chi_{A_{\mathbb{R}}} : K_{A_{\mathbb{R}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}.$$

Proof. Since $K_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$ is the direct limit of $(K_{Q_{\mathcal{I}}}, \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2})$, there is a homomorphism of \mathbb{Z} -algebras

$$\chi_{A_{\mathbb{R}}} : K_{A_{\mathbb{R}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$$

by Proposition 4.9.

□

The homomorphism $\chi_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$ of \mathbb{Z} -algebras induces a homomorphism of \mathbb{C} -algebras

$$\chi_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}^{\mathbb{C}} : K_{A_{\mathbb{R}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}.$$

Proposition 4.11. *The homomorphism $\chi_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is an epimorphism of \mathbb{C} -algebras.*

Proof. For any $f \in \mathcal{F}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$, we have $f = c_1 1_{Q_{V_1}} + c_2 1_{Q_{V_2}} + \cdots + c_s 1_{Q_{V_s}}$ with finitely generated representations V_i and real numbers c_i ($1 \leq i \leq s$). For any $1 \leq i \leq s$, there is a partition \mathcal{I}_i of \mathbb{R} such that $1_{Q_{V_i}} \in \text{Im}(\chi_{\mathcal{I}_i})$. Then, we can construct a partition \mathcal{I} of \mathbb{R} such that \mathcal{I} is a refinement of all \mathcal{I}_i . Hence, $1_{Q_{V_i}} \in \text{Im}(\chi_{\mathcal{I}})$ and $f \in \text{Im}(\chi_{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathbb{C}})$. By the constructions of $K_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$ and $\chi_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}^{\mathbb{C}}$, we have $f \in \text{Im}(\chi_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}^{\mathbb{C}})$.

Hence, the \mathbb{C} -linear homomorphism $\chi_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is an epimorphism. \square

The algebra $K_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$ is called the geometric version of Ringel-Hall algebra $\mathcal{F}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$ of $A_{\mathbb{R}}$.

5. CANONICAL BASIS FOR A COMPLETION OF $K_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$

5.1. Let $A_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a continuous quiver. Fix a dimension function ν and a \mathbb{R} -graded \mathbb{F}_q -vector space \mathbf{V} such that $\underline{\dim} \mathbf{V} = \nu$. Denote by $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}})$ the set of $G_{\mathbf{V}}$ -invariant \mathbb{C} -valued functions on $E_{\mathbf{V}}$. Let

$$\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}} = \bigoplus_{\nu} \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}}).$$

Then $\mathcal{F}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$ is a subspace of $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$ and the embedding is denoted by

$$\theta_{A_{\mathbb{R}}} : \mathcal{F}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}.$$

Let \mathcal{I}_1 and \mathcal{I}_2 be two partitions of \mathbb{R} such that the partition \mathcal{I}_2 is a refinement of \mathcal{I}_1 . The embedding

$$\tau_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2} : E_{\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{I}_1} \rightarrow E_{\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{I}_2}$$

induces a linear map

$$\tau_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}^* : \mathcal{F}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{I}_2}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{I}_1}).$$

Note that

$$\tau_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}^* \tau_{\mathcal{I}_2, \mathcal{I}_3}^* = \tau_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_3}^*$$

for partitions \mathcal{I}_1 , \mathcal{I}_2 and \mathcal{I}_3 of \mathbb{R} such that \mathcal{I}_2 is a refinement of \mathcal{I}_1 and \mathcal{I}_3 is a refinement of \mathcal{I}_2 . Hence

$$(\mathcal{F}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{I}}), \tau_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}^*)$$

is an inverse system.

Proposition 5.1. *It holds that $(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}}), \tau_{\mathcal{I}}^*)$ is the inverse limit of the inverse system $(\mathcal{F}_{G_{\mathbf{V}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{I}}), \tau_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}^*)$.*

Proof. This result is obvious by definitions. \square

5.2. Let \mathcal{I}_1 and \mathcal{I}_2 be two partitions of \mathbb{R} such that the partition \mathcal{I}_2 is a refinement of \mathcal{I}_1 .

By the following correspondence (2) in Section 4.3

$$E_{\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}_1}} \xleftarrow{r_1} \hat{E} \xrightarrow{r_2} E_{\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}_2}},$$

there is a functor

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2} = r_{1\flat} r_2^* : \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}_2}}}^b(E_{\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}_2}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{G_{\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}_1}}}^b(E_{\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{I}_1}}),$$

where $r_{1\flat}$ is the inverse of r_1^* . Consider all dimension vectors, we get a homomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$ -modules

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2} : K_{Q_{\mathcal{I}_2}} \rightarrow K_{Q_{\mathcal{I}_1}}.$$

Note that $\Psi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2} \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2} = id$.

Note that

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2} \Psi_{\mathcal{I}_2, \mathcal{I}_3} = \Psi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_3}$$

for partitions \mathcal{I}_1 , \mathcal{I}_2 and \mathcal{I}_3 of \mathbb{R} such that \mathcal{I}_2 is a refinement of \mathcal{I}_1 and \mathcal{I}_3 is a refinement of \mathcal{I}_2 . Hence,

$$(K_{Q_{\mathcal{I}}}, \Psi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2})$$

is an inverse system. Let

$$(\bar{K}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}, \Psi_{\mathcal{I}})$$

be the inverse limit of this inverse system, where $\bar{K}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$ -module and $\Psi_{\mathcal{I}} : \bar{K}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}} \rightarrow K_{Q_{\mathcal{I}}}$ is a homomorphism.

Similarly, by the following correspondence (3) over \mathbb{F}_q in Section 4.3

$$E_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}_1}} \xleftarrow{r_1} \hat{E} \xrightarrow{r_2} E_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}_2}},$$

we have a linear map

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}^F = (r_1^*)^{-1} r_2^* : \mathcal{F}_{G_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}_2}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}_2}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{G_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}_1}}}(E_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}_1}}).$$

Consider all dimension vectors, we get a linear map

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}^F : \mathcal{F}_{Q_{\mathcal{I}_2}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{Q_{\mathcal{I}_1}}.$$

Lemma 5.2. *For any partitions \mathcal{I}_1 and \mathcal{I}_2 of \mathbb{R} such that \mathcal{I}_2 is a refinement of \mathcal{I}_1 , the following diagram is commutative*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{Q_{\mathcal{I}_2}} & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}} & K_{Q_{\mathcal{I}_1}} \\ \chi_{Q_{\mathcal{I}_2}}^F \downarrow & & \downarrow \chi_{Q_{\mathcal{I}_1}}^F \\ \mathcal{F}_{Q_{\mathcal{I}_2}} & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}^F} & \mathcal{F}_{Q_{\mathcal{I}_1}}. \end{array}$$

Proof. By the properties of sheaf-function correspondence ([12]), we can get this commutative diagram.

□

On the relations between $\Psi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}^F$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}^*$, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 5.3. *For any partitions \mathcal{I}_1 and \mathcal{I}_2 of \mathbb{R} such that \mathcal{I}_2 is a refinement of \mathcal{I}_1 , we have the following commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}_{Q_{\mathcal{I}_2}} & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}^F} & \mathcal{F}_{Q_{\mathcal{I}_1}} \\ \downarrow \sigma_{\mathcal{I}_2}^* & & \downarrow \sigma_{\mathcal{I}_1}^* \\ \mathcal{F}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathcal{I}_2} & \xrightarrow{\tau_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}^*} & \mathcal{F}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathcal{I}_1}. \end{array}$$

Proof. Let $V = (\mathbf{V}, \phi)$ be a representation of $A_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $\phi \in E_{\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{I}_2}$.

If $\phi \notin E_{\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{I}_1}$, then

$$\tau_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}^*(1_{\mathcal{O}_V}) = 0$$

and

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}^F(\sigma_{\mathcal{I}_2}^*)^{-1}(1_{\mathcal{O}_V}) = 0.$$

Hence,

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}^F(\sigma_{\mathcal{I}_2}^*)^{-1}(1_{\mathcal{O}_V}) = (\sigma_{\mathcal{I}_1}^*)^{-1}\tau_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}^*(1_{\mathcal{O}_V}).$$

Assume that $\phi \in E_{\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{I}_1}$. Let $V_1 = (\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}_1}, \sigma_{\mathcal{I}_1}(\phi))$ and $V_2 = (\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}_2}, \sigma_{\mathcal{I}_2}(\phi))$. Note that $\sigma_{\mathcal{I}_2}(\phi) \in \hat{E}$ and let $V_3 = (\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}_1}, r_1\sigma_{\mathcal{I}_2}(\phi))$. Then, we have

$$(\sigma_{\mathcal{I}_1}^*)^{-1}\tau_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}^*(1_{\mathcal{O}_V}) = (\sigma_{\mathcal{I}_1}^*)^{-1}(1_{\mathcal{O}_V}) = 1_{\mathcal{O}_{V_1}}$$

and

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}^F(\sigma_{\mathcal{I}_2}^*)^{-1}(1_{\mathcal{O}_V}) = \Psi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}^F(1_{\mathcal{O}_{V_2}}) = 1_{\mathcal{O}_{V_3}}.$$

Since $V_1 \cong V_3$, we have $1_{\mathcal{O}_{V_1}} = 1_{\mathcal{O}_{V_3}}$ and

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}^F(\sigma_{\mathcal{I}_2}^*)^{-1}(1_{\mathcal{O}_V}) = (\sigma_{\mathcal{I}_1}^*)^{-1}\tau_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}^*(1_{\mathcal{O}_V}).$$

Hence, we have

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}^F(\sigma_{\mathcal{I}_2}^*)^{-1} = (\sigma_{\mathcal{I}_1}^*)^{-1}\tau_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}^*$$

and

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{I}_1}^* \Psi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}^F = \tau_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}^* \sigma_{\mathcal{I}_2}^*.$$

□

Proposition 5.4. *For any partitions \mathcal{I}_1 and \mathcal{I}_2 of \mathbb{R} such that \mathcal{I}_2 is a refinement of \mathcal{I}_1 , we have the following commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{Q_{\mathcal{I}_2}} & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}} & K_{Q_{\mathcal{I}_1}} \\ \chi_{\mathcal{I}_2} \downarrow & & \downarrow \chi_{\mathcal{I}_1} \\ \mathcal{F}_{Q_{\mathcal{I}_2}} & \xrightarrow{\tau_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}^*} & \mathcal{F}_{Q_{\mathcal{I}_1}}. \end{array}$$

Proof. This result is implied by Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3.

□

Theorem 5.5. *There is a \mathbb{Z} -linear map*

$$\bar{\chi}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}} : \bar{K}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$$

such that the following diagram is commutative

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \bar{K}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}} & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{\mathcal{I}}} & K_{Q_{\mathcal{I}}} \\ \bar{\chi}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \chi_{Q_{\mathcal{I}}}^F \\ \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}} & \xrightarrow{(\sigma_{\mathcal{I}}^*)^{-1} \tau_{\mathcal{I}}^*} & \mathcal{F}_{Q_{\mathcal{I}}}. \end{array}$$

Proof. By Proposition 5.1, $(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}, (\sigma_{\mathcal{I}}^*)^{-1} \tau_{\mathcal{I}}^*)$ is the inverse limit of $(\mathcal{F}_{Q_{\mathcal{I}}}, \Psi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}^F)$. At the same time, $(\bar{K}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}, \Psi_{\mathcal{I}})$ is the inverse limit of $(K_{Q_{\mathcal{I}}}, \Psi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2})$. By Proposition 5.4, there is a \mathbb{Z} -linear map

$$\bar{\chi}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}} : \bar{K}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$$

such that the diagram (4) is commutative. \square

Since $\chi_{Q_{\mathcal{I}}}^F : K_{Q_{\mathcal{I}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{Q_{\mathcal{I}}}$ is surjective for all \mathcal{I} , the \mathbb{Z} -linear map $\bar{\chi}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$ induces a surjective \mathbb{C} -linear map

$$\bar{\chi}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}^{\mathbb{C}} : \bar{K}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}.$$

5.3. For any $A \in K_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$ and a partition \mathcal{I} , there exists \mathcal{I}_1 such that $A \in \text{Im}(\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_1})$ and \mathcal{I}_1 is a refinement of \mathcal{I} . Let $A = \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_1}(A_1)$ and define

$$\Theta_{\mathcal{I}}(A) = \Psi_{\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}_1}(A_1).$$

Let \mathcal{I}_2 be another partition such that $A \in \text{Im}(\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_2})$ and \mathcal{I}_2 is a refinement of \mathcal{I} . Assume that $A = \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_2}(A_2)$. Let \mathcal{I}_3 be a partition such that \mathcal{I}_3 is a refinement of \mathcal{I}_1 and \mathcal{I}_2 . Then, $\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_3}(A_1) = \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_2, \mathcal{I}_3}(A_2)$. Since

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}_2}(A_2) = \Psi_{\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}_2} \Psi_{\mathcal{I}_2, \mathcal{I}_3} \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_2, \mathcal{I}_3}(A_2) = \Psi_{\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}_3} \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_2, \mathcal{I}_3}(A_2)$$

and

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}_1}(A_1) = \Psi_{\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}_1} \Psi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_3} \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_3}(A_1) = \Psi_{\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}_3} \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_3}(A_1),$$

we have $\Psi_{\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}_1}(A_1) = \Psi_{\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}_2}(A_2)$. Hence, $\Theta_{\mathcal{I}}(A)$ is independent of the choice of \mathcal{I}_1 and A_1 , and we get a map

$$\Theta_{\mathcal{I}} : K_{A_{\mathbb{R}}} \rightarrow K_{Q_{\mathcal{I}}}.$$

By the definition of $\bar{K}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$, there is a map

$$\Theta_{A_{\mathbb{R}}} : K_{A_{\mathbb{R}}} \rightarrow \bar{K}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}.$$

Lemma 5.6. *For any partitions \mathcal{I} of \mathbb{R} , we have the following commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{A_{\mathbb{R}}} & \xrightarrow{\Theta_{\mathcal{I}}} & K_{Q_{\mathcal{I}}} \\ \chi_{A_{\mathbb{R}}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \chi_{Q_{\mathcal{I}}}^F \\ \mathcal{F}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}} & \xrightarrow{(\sigma_{\mathcal{I}}^*)^{-1} \tau_{\mathcal{I}}^*} & \mathcal{F}_{Q_{\mathcal{I}}}. \end{array}$$

Proof. For any $A \in K_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$, there exists \mathcal{I}_1 such that $A \in \text{Im}(\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_1})$ and \mathcal{I}_1 is a refinement of \mathcal{I} . Let $A = \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_1}(A_1)$. By definition, $\Theta_{\mathcal{I}}(A) = \Psi_{\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}_1}(A_1)$. Then, we have

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{I}}^* \chi_{Q_{\mathcal{I}}}^F \Theta_{\mathcal{I}}(A) = \sigma_{\mathcal{I}}^* \chi_{Q_{\mathcal{I}}}^F \Psi_{\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}_1}(A_1) = \sigma_{\mathcal{I}}^* \Psi_{\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}_1}^F \chi_{Q_{\mathcal{I}_1}}^F(A_1) = \tau_{\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}_1}^* \sigma_{\mathcal{I}_1}^* \chi_{Q_{\mathcal{I}_1}}^F(A_1)$$

and

$$\tau_{\mathcal{I}}^* \chi_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}(A) = \tau_{\mathcal{I}}^* \chi_{A_{\mathbb{R}}} \Phi_{\mathcal{I}_1}(A_1) = \tau_{\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}_1}^* \tau_{\mathcal{I}_1}^* (\tau_{\mathcal{I}_1})_! \sigma_{\mathcal{I}_1}^* \chi_{Q_{\mathcal{I}_1}}^F(A_1) = \tau_{\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}_1}^* \sigma_{\mathcal{I}_1}^* \chi_{Q_{\mathcal{I}_1}}^F(A_1).$$

Hence, we have

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{I}}^* \chi_{Q_{\mathcal{I}}}^F \Theta_{\mathcal{I}}(A) = \tau_{\mathcal{I}}^* \chi_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}(A)$$

and get the desired result. \square

Proposition 5.7. *The following diagram is commutative*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{A_{\mathbb{R}}} & \xrightarrow{\Theta_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}} & \bar{K}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}} \\ \chi_{A_{\mathbb{R}}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{\chi}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}} \\ \mathcal{F}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}} & \xrightarrow{\theta_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}} & \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}. \end{array}$$

Proof. For any $A \in K_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$, let $A_{\mathcal{I}} = \Theta_{\mathcal{I}}(A) \in K_{Q_{\mathcal{I}}}$. Since $\Psi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2} \Psi_{\mathcal{I}_2} = \Psi_{\mathcal{I}_1}$, we have

$$(5) \quad A_{\mathcal{I}_1} = \Theta_{\mathcal{I}_1}(A) = \Psi_{\mathcal{I}_1} \Theta_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}(A) = \Psi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2} \Psi_{\mathcal{I}_2} \Theta_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}(A) = \Psi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}(A_{\mathcal{I}_2}).$$

Let $f_{\mathcal{I}} = \sigma_{\mathcal{I}}^* \chi_{Q_{\mathcal{I}}}^F(A_{\mathcal{I}})$. By Proposition 5.4, Formula (5) implies that $f_{\mathcal{I}_1} = \tau_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_1}^*(f_{\mathcal{I}_2})$. By Lemma 5.2, there exists $f \in \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$ such that $\tau_{\mathcal{I}}^*(f) = f_{\mathcal{I}}$. Then, we have $\bar{\chi}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}} \Theta_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}(A) = f$ by Theorem 5.5.

Let $g = \theta_{A_{\mathbb{R}}} \chi_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}(A)$. Then

$$\tau_{\mathcal{I}}^*(g) = \tau_{\mathcal{I}}^* \chi_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}(A) = \sigma_{\mathcal{I}}^* \chi_{Q_{\mathcal{I}}}^F \Theta_{\mathcal{I}}(A) = f_{\mathcal{I}} = \tau_{\mathcal{I}}^*(f)$$

by Lemma 5.6.

Hence, we have $f = g$ and get the desired result. \square

Since $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$ is the completion of $\mathcal{F}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$, the set $\bar{K}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$ can be viewed as a completion of the geometric version $K_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$ of Ringel-Hall algebra $\mathcal{F}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$.

5.4. Let $V = (\mathbf{V}, \phi)$ be a finitely generated representation of $A_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let \mathcal{I}_1 and \mathcal{I}_2 be partitions of \mathbb{R} such that \mathcal{I}_2 is a refinement of \mathcal{I}_1 and $\phi \in E_{\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{I}_1}$.

Let $V_{\mathcal{I}_1} = (\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}_1}, \sigma_{\mathcal{I}_1}(\phi))$ and $V_{\mathcal{I}_2} = (\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}_2}, \sigma_{\mathcal{I}_2}(\phi))$. Denote by $\mathcal{O}_{V_{\mathcal{I}_1}}$ the orbit in $E_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}_1}}$ corresponding to $V_{\mathcal{I}_1}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{V_{\mathcal{I}_2}}$ the orbit in $E_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}_2}}$ corresponding to $V_{\mathcal{I}_2}$. Denote by

$$j_{\mathcal{O}_{V_{\mathcal{I}_1}}} : \mathcal{O}_{V_{\mathcal{I}_1}} \rightarrow E_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}_1}}, j_{\mathcal{O}_{V_{\mathcal{I}_2}}} : \mathcal{O}_{V_{\mathcal{I}_2}} \rightarrow E_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}_2}} \text{ and } \hat{j}_{\mathcal{O}_{V_{\mathcal{I}_2}}} : \mathcal{O}_{V_{\mathcal{I}_1}} \rightarrow \hat{E}$$

the natural embeddings.

Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 E_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}_1}} & \xleftarrow{r_1} & \hat{E} & \xrightarrow{r_2} & E_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathcal{I}_2}} \\
 \uparrow j_{\mathcal{O}_{V_{\mathcal{I}_1}}} & & \uparrow \hat{j}_{\mathcal{O}_{V_{\mathcal{I}_2}}} & & \uparrow j_{\mathcal{O}_{V_{\mathcal{I}_2}}} \\
 \mathcal{O}_{V_{\mathcal{I}_1}} & \xleftarrow{r_1} & \mathcal{O}_{V_{\mathcal{I}_2}} & \xrightarrow{id} & \mathcal{O}_{V_{\mathcal{I}_2}}.
 \end{array}$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Psi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}(IC_{\mathcal{O}_{V_{\mathcal{I}_2}}}) &\cong r_{1b} r_2^*(j_{\mathcal{O}_{V_{\mathcal{I}_2}}})_{!*}(1_{\mathcal{O}_{V_{\mathcal{I}_2}}}) \\
 &\cong r_{1b}(\hat{j}_{\mathcal{O}_{V_{\mathcal{I}_2}}})_{!*}(1_{\mathcal{O}_{V_{\mathcal{I}_2}}}) \\
 &\cong (\hat{j}_{\mathcal{O}_{V_{\mathcal{I}_1}}})_{!*}(1_{\mathcal{O}_{V_{\mathcal{I}_1}}}) = IC_{\mathcal{O}_{V_{\mathcal{I}_1}}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it holds that

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2}([IC_{\mathcal{O}_{V_{\mathcal{I}_2}}}]) = [IC_{\mathcal{O}_{V_{\mathcal{I}_1}}}].$$

By the definition of $\bar{K}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$, there exist $[IC_{\mathcal{O}_V}] \in \bar{K}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$ such that

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{I}}([IC_{\mathcal{O}_V}]) = [IC_{\mathcal{O}_{V_{\mathcal{I}}}}]$$

for any \mathcal{I} such that $\phi \in E_{\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{I}}$.

Theorem 5.8. *The set*

$$B_{A_{\mathbb{R}}} = \{[IC_{\mathcal{O}_V}[n](\frac{n}{2})] | V \in \text{rep}_K(A_{\mathbb{R}}), n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

is a \mathbb{Z} -basis of $\bar{K}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$.

Proof. This result is direct by the definition of $\bar{K}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$ and Theorem 2.5. □

The basis $B_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$ is called the canonical basis of $\bar{K}_{A_{\mathbb{R}}}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Appel and F. Sala. Quantization of continuum Kac–Moody algebras. *Pure and Applied Mathematics Quarterly*, 16(3):439–493, 2020.
- [2] A. Appel, F. Sala, and O. Schiffmann. Continuum Kac–Moody algebras. *Moscow Mathematical Journal*, 22(2):177–224, 2022.
- [3] J. Bernstein and V. Lunts. *Equivariant Sheaves and Functors*. Springer, 1994.
- [4] M. B. Botnan. Interval decomposition of infinite zigzag persistence modules. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 145(8):3571–3577, 2017.
- [5] M. B. Botnan and W. Crawley-Boevey. Decomposition of persistence modules. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 148(11):4581–4596, 2020.
- [6] W. Crawley-Boevey. Lectures on representations of quivers, 1992. <https://www.math.uni-bielefeld.de/~wcrawley/quivlecs.pdf>.
- [7] W. Crawley-Boevey. Decomposition of pointwise finite-dimensional persistence modules. *Journal of Algebra and its Applications*, 14(5):1550066, 2015.
- [8] J. A. Green. Hall algebras, hereditary algebras and quantum groups. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 120(1):361–377, 1995.

- [9] E. J. Hanson and J. D. Rock. Decomposition of pointwise finite-dimensional S^1 persistence modules. *Journal of Algebra and its Applications*, 23(03):2450054, 2024.
- [10] K. Igusa, J. D. Rock, and G. Todorov. Continuous quivers of type A (III) embeddings of cluster theories. *Nagoya Mathematical Journal*, 247:653–689, 2022.
- [11] K. Igusa, J. D. Rock, and G. Todorov. Continuous quivers of type A (I) foundations. *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo Series 2*, 72(2):833–868, 2023.
- [12] R. Kiehl and R. Weissauer. *Weil conjectures, perverse sheaves and l’adic Fourier transform*. Springer, 2001.
- [13] G. Lusztig. Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 3(2):447–498, 1990.
- [14] G. Lusztig. Quivers, perverse sheaves, and quantized enveloping algebras. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 4(2):365–421, 1991.
- [15] G. Lusztig. Canonical bases and Hall algebras. In *Representation Theories and Algebraic Geometry*, pages 365–399. Springer, 1998.
- [16] G. Lusztig. *Introduction to quantum groups*. Springer, 2010.
- [17] S. Y. Oudot. *Persistence theory: from quiver representations to data analysis*. American Mathematical Society, 2015.
- [18] C. M. Ringel. Hall algebras and quantum groups. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 101(1):583–591, 1990.
- [19] J. D. Rock. Continuous quivers of type A (II) the Auslander-Reiten space. *arXiv:1910.04140*, 2019.
- [20] J. D. Rock. Continuous quivers of type A (IV) continuous mutation and geometric models of E-clusters. *Algebras and Representation Theory*, 26(5):2255–2288, 2023.
- [21] J. D. Rock and S. Zhu. Continuous Nakayama representations. *Applied Categorical Structures*, 31(5):44, 2023.
- [22] F. Sala and O. Schiffmann. The circle quantum group and the infinite root stack of a curve. *Selecta Mathematica*, 25(5):77, 2019.
- [23] F. Sala and O. Schiffmann. Fock space representation of the circle quantum group. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2021(22):17025–17070, 2021.
- [24] O. Schiffmann. Lectures on Hall algebras. *arXiv:0611617*, 2006.
- [25] O. Schiffmann. Lectures on canonical and crystal bases of Hall algebras. *arXiv:0910.4460*, 2009.
- [26] J. Xiao. Drinfeld double and Ringel-Green theory of Hall algebras. *Journal of Algebra*, 190(1):100–144, 1997.
- [27] J. Xiao, F. Xu, and M. Zhao. Ringel-Hall algebras beyond their quantum groups I: Restriction functor and Green formula. *Algebras and Representation Theory*, 22(5):1299–1329, 2019.

SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, BEIJING FORESTRY UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100083, P. R. CHINA
 Email address: zhaomh@bjfu.edu.cn (M.Zhao)