

ON INJECTIVE ENDOMORPHISMS OF THE MONOID $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ WITH A THREE-ELEMENT FAMILY \mathcal{F}^3 OF INDUCTIVE NON-EMPTY SUBSETS OF ω

OLEG GUTIK AND MARKO SERIVKA

ABSTRACT. We describe injective endomorphisms of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ with a three-element family \mathcal{F}^3 of inductive non-empty subsets of ω . In particular we find endomorphisms ϖ_3 and λ of $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ such that for every injective endomorphism ε of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ there exists an injective endomorphism $\iota \in \langle \lambda, \varpi_3 \rangle$ such that $\varepsilon = \alpha_{[k]} \circ \iota$ for some positive integer k , where $\alpha_{[k]}$ is an injective monoid endomorphism of $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$.

1. Introduction, motivation and main definitions

We shall follow the terminology of the monographs [1, 2, 16]. By ω we denote the set of all non-negative integers and by \mathbb{N} the set of all positive integers. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a map, then by $(x)f$ we denote the image of $x \in X$ under f .

Let $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ be the family of all subsets of ω . For any $F \in \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ and any integer n we put $n + F = \{n + k : k \in F\}$ if $F \neq \emptyset$ and $n + \emptyset = \emptyset$. A subfamily $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ is called ω -closed if $F_1 \cap (-n + F_2) \in \mathcal{F}$ for all $n \in \omega$ and $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{F}$. For any $a \in \omega$ we denote $[a] = \{x \in \omega : x \geq a\}$.

A subset A of ω is said to be *inductive*, if $i \in A$ implies $i + 1 \in A$. Obvious, that \emptyset is an inductive subset of ω .

Remark 1 ([5]). (1) By Lemma 6 from [4] non-empty subset $F \subseteq \omega$ is inductive in ω if and only if $(-1 + F) \cap F = F$.
 (2) Since the set ω with the usual order is well-ordered, for any non-empty inductive subset F in ω there exists non-negative integer $n_F \in \omega$ such that $[n_F] = F$.
 (3) Statement (2) implies that the intersection of an arbitrary finite family of non-empty inductive subsets in ω is a non-empty inductive subset of ω .

For an arbitrary semigroup S any homomorphism $\alpha: S \rightarrow S$ is called an *endomorphism* of S . If the semigroup has the identity element 1_S then the endomorphism α of S such that $(1_S)\alpha = 1_S$ is said to be a *monoid endomorphism* of S . A bijective endomorphism of S is called an *automorphism*.

A semigroup S is called *inverse* if for any element $x \in S$ there exists a unique $x^{-1} \in S$ such that $xx^{-1}x = x$ and $x^{-1}xx^{-1} = x^{-1}$. The element x^{-1} is called the *inverse* of $x \in S$. If S is an inverse semigroup, then the function $\text{inv}: S \rightarrow S$ which assigns to every element x of S its inverse element x^{-1} is called the *inversion*.

If S is a semigroup, then we shall denote the subset of all idempotents in S by $E(S)$. If S is an inverse semigroup, then $E(S)$ is closed under multiplication and we shall refer to $E(S)$ as a *band* (or the *band* of S). Then the semigroup operation on S determines the following partial order \preceq on $E(S)$:

$$e \preceq f \text{ if and only if } ef = fe = e.$$

This order is called the *natural partial order* on $E(S)$.

If S is an inverse semigroup then the semigroup operation on S determines the following partial order \preceq on S : $s \preceq t$ if and only if there exists $e \in E(S)$ such that $s = te$. This order is called the *natural partial order* on S [20].

Date: December 1, 2025.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 20M18, 20F29, 20M10.

Key words and phrases. Bicyclic monoid, inverse semigroup, bicyclic extension, endomorphism, semigroup of endomorphisms, inductive set, semidirect product.

The *bicyclic monoid* $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$ is the semigroup with the identity 1 generated by two elements p and q subjected only to the condition $pq = 1$. The semigroup operation on $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$ is determined as follows:

$$q^k p^l \cdot q^m p^n = q^{k+m-\min\{l,m\}} p^{l+n-\min\{l,m\}}.$$

It is well known that the bicyclic monoid $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$ is a bisimple (and hence simple) combinatorial E -unitary inverse semigroup and every non-trivial congruence on $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$ is a group congruence [1].

On the set $\mathbf{B}_\omega = \omega \times \omega$ we define the semigroup operation “ \cdot ” in the following way

$$(1) \quad (i_1, j_1) \cdot (i_2, j_2) = \begin{cases} (i_1 - j_1 + i_2, j_2), & \text{if } j_1 \leq i_2; \\ (i_1, j_1 - i_2 + j_2), & \text{if } j_1 \geq i_2. \end{cases}$$

It is well known that the bicyclic monoid $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$ is isomorphic to the semigroup \mathbf{B}_ω by the mapping $\mathfrak{h}: \mathcal{C}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_\omega, q^k p^l \mapsto (k, l)$ (see: [1, Section 1.12] or [18, Exercise IV.1.11(ii)]).

Next we shall describe the construction which is introduced in [4].

Let \mathcal{F} be an ω -closed subfamily of $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$. On the set $\mathbf{B}_\omega \times \mathcal{F}$ we define the semigroup operation “ \cdot ” in the following way

$$(2) \quad (i_1, j_1, F_1) \cdot (i_2, j_2, F_2) = \begin{cases} (i_1 - j_1 + i_2, j_2, (j_1 - i_2 + F_1) \cap F_2), & \text{if } j_1 \leq i_2; \\ (i_1, j_1 - i_2 + j_2, F_1 \cap (i_2 - j_1 + F_2)), & \text{if } j_1 \geq i_2. \end{cases}$$

In [4] is proved that if the family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ is ω -closed then $(\mathbf{B}_\omega \times \mathcal{F}, \cdot)$ is a semigroup. Moreover, if an ω -closed family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ contains the empty set \emptyset then the set $\mathbf{I} = \{(i, j, \emptyset): i, j \in \omega\}$ is an ideal of the semigroup $(\mathbf{B}_\omega \times \mathcal{F}, \cdot)$. For any ω -closed family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ the following semigroup

$$\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F} = \begin{cases} (\mathbf{B}_\omega \times \mathcal{F}, \cdot) / \mathbf{I}, & \text{if } \emptyset \in \mathcal{F}; \\ (\mathbf{B}_\omega \times \mathcal{F}, \cdot), & \text{if } \emptyset \notin \mathcal{F} \end{cases}$$

is defined in [4]. The semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$ generalizes the bicyclic monoid and the countable semigroup of matrix units. In [4] it is proven that $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$ is a combinatorial inverse semigroup and Green’s relations, the natural partial order on $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$ and its set of idempotents are described. Also, in [4] the criteria when the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$ is simple, 0-simple, bisimple, 0-bisimple, or it has the identity, are given. In particular in [4] it is proven that the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$ is isomorphic to the semigroup of $\omega \times \omega$ -matrix units if and only if \mathcal{F} consists of a singleton set and the empty set, and $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$ is isomorphic to the bicyclic monoid if and only if \mathcal{F} consists of a non-empty inductive subset of ω .

Group congruences on the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$ and its homomorphic retracts in the case when an ω -closed family \mathcal{F} consists of inductive non-empty subsets of ω are studied in [5]. It is proven that a congruence \mathfrak{C} on $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$ is a group congruence if and only if its restriction on a subsemigroup of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$, which is isomorphic to the bicyclic semigroup, is not the identity relation. Also in [5], all non-trivial homomorphic retracts and isomorphisms of the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$ are described. In [6] it is proven that an injective endomorphism ε of the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$ is the identity transformation if and only if ε has three distinct fixed points, which is equivalent to existence non-idempotent element $(i, j, [p]) \in \mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$ such that $(i, j, [p])\varepsilon = (i, j, [p])$.

In [3, 17] the algebraic structure of the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$ is established in the case when ω -closed family \mathcal{F} consists of atomic subsets of ω . The structure of the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_n}$, for the family \mathcal{F}_n which is generated by the initial interval $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ of ω , is studied in [7]. The semigroup of endomorphisms of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_n}$ is described in [8, 19].

In [13] it is proven that the semigroup $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega)$ of the endomorphisms of the bicyclic semigroup \mathbf{B}_ω is isomorphic to the semidirect products $(\omega, +) \rtimes_\varphi (\omega, *)$, where $+$ and $*$ are the usual addition and the usual multiplication on the set of non-negative integers ω , respectively.

In the paper [9] injective endomorphisms of the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$ with the two-elements family \mathcal{F} of inductive non-empty subsets of ω are studied. Also, in [9] the authors describe the elements of the semigroup $\mathbf{End}_*^1(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$ of all injective monoid endomorphisms of the monoid $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$, and show that Green’s relations \mathcal{R} , \mathcal{L} , \mathcal{H} , \mathcal{D} , and \mathcal{J} on $\mathbf{End}_*^1(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$ coincide with the relation of equality. In [10, 12] the semigroup $\mathbf{End}^1(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$ of all monoid endomorphisms of the monoid $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$ is studied.

In [15] we study the semigroup $\overline{\text{End}}(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^2})$ of all endomorphisms of the bicyclic extension $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^2}$ with the two-element family \mathcal{F}^2 of inductive non-empty subsets of ω . The submonoid $\langle \varpi \rangle^1$ of $\overline{\text{End}}(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^2})$ with the property that every element of the semigroup $\overline{\text{End}}(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^2})$ has the unique representation as the product of the monoid endomorphism of $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^2}$ and the element of $\langle \varpi \rangle^1$ is constructed.

Later we assume that \mathcal{F}^3 is a family of inductive non-empty subsets of ω which consists of three sets. By Proposition 1 of [5] for any ω -closed family \mathcal{F} of inductive subsets in $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ there exists an ω -closed family \mathcal{F}^* of inductive subsets in $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ such that $[0] \in \mathcal{F}^*$ and the semigroups $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ and $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^*}$ are isomorphic. Hence without loss of generality we may assume that the family \mathcal{F} contains the set $[0]$, i.e., $\mathcal{F}^3 = \{[0], [1], [2]\}$.

In [14] we describe injective monoid endomorphisms of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$. Here we shown that for every injective monoid endomorphism ε of $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ there exists a positive integer k such that $\varepsilon = \alpha_{[k]}$ and the mapping $\alpha_{[k]}: B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3} \rightarrow B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ is defined by the formula

$$(i, j, [p])\alpha_{[k]} = \begin{cases} (ki, kj, [p]), & \text{if } p \in \{0, 1\}; \\ (k(i+1) - 1, k(j+1) - 1, [2]), & \text{if } p = 2, \end{cases}$$

for all $i, j \in \omega$. Also we prove that the monoid $\text{End}_{\text{inj}}^1(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3})$ of all injective monoid endomorphisms of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ is isomorphic to the multiplicative semigroup of positive integers.

In this paper we describe injective endomorphisms of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$. In particular we find endomorphisms ϖ_3 and λ of $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ such that for every injective endomorphism ε of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ there exists an injective endomorphism $\iota \in \langle \lambda, \varpi_3 \rangle$ such that $\varepsilon = \alpha_{[k]} \circ \iota$ for some positive integer k .

2. On some properties of injective endomorphisms of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^n}$

For any positive integer n we denote $\mathcal{F}^n = \{[0], \dots, [n-1]\}$.

Proposition 1. *For any positive integer n the following statements hold:*

(i) *the map $\lambda: B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^n} \rightarrow B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^n}$ defined by the formula*

$$(i, j, [p])\lambda = (i+1, j+1, [p]), \quad i, j \in \omega, p \in \{0, \dots, n-1\},$$

is an injective endomorphism of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^n}$;

(ii) *the map $\varpi_n: B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^n} \rightarrow B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^n}$ defined by the formula*

$$(i, j, [p])\varpi_n = (i+p, j+p, [n-1-p]), \quad i, j \in \omega, p \in \{0, \dots, n-1\},$$

is an injective endomorphism of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^n}$;

(iii) $\varpi_n^2 = \lambda^{n-1}$;

(iv) $\varpi_n \lambda = \lambda \varpi_n$.

Proof. The proofs of statements (i) and (ii) are same as Proposition 6 and Lemma 3 of [11], respectively.

(iii) For arbitrary $i, j \in \omega$ and $p \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (i, j, [p])\varpi_n^2 &= (i+p, j+p, [n-1-p])\varpi_n = \\ &= (i+p+n-1-p, j+p+n-1-p, [n-1-(n-1-p)]) = \\ &= (i+n-1, j+n-1, [p]), \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\varpi_n^2 = \lambda^{n-1}$.

(iv) For arbitrary $i, j \in \omega$ and $p \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ we get that

$$\begin{aligned} (i, j, [p])\varpi_n \lambda &= (i+p, j+p, [n-1-p])\lambda = \\ &= (i+p+1, j+p+1, [n-1-p]) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (i, j, [p])\lambda\varpi_n &= (i+1, j+1, [p])\varpi_n = \\ &= (i+1+p, j+1+p, [n-1-p]), \end{aligned}$$

which implies the equality $\varpi_n\lambda = \lambda\varpi_n$. \square

In [4] it was proven that the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ is isomorphic to the bicyclic semigroup if and only if $\mathcal{F} = [p]$ for some $p \in \omega$.

For any non-negative integers $s < t \leq n$ we denote $\mathcal{F}^{[s,t]} = \{[s], \dots, [t]\}$ and

$$\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^{[s,t]}} = \{(i, j, [p]) : i, j \in \omega, p = s, \dots, t\}.$$

Proposition 2. *Let s and t be non-negative integers such that $s < t \leq n$. Then the subsemigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^{[s,t]}}$ of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^n}$ is isomorphic to the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^m}$, $m \leq n$, if and only if $m = t - s + 1$.*

Proof. (\Leftarrow) Suppose that $m = t - s + 1$. We define the map $\mathfrak{I} : \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^{[s,t]}} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^m}$ by the formula

$$(i, j, [p+s])\mathfrak{I} = (i, j, [p]), \quad i, j \in \omega, p \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}.$$

For arbitrary $i_1, i_2, j_1, j_2 \in \omega$ and $p_1, p_2 \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} ((i_1, j_1, [p_1+s]) \cdot (i_2, j_2, [p_2+s]))\mathfrak{I} &= \begin{cases} (i_1 - j_1 + i_2, j_2, (j_1 - i_2 + [p_1+s]) \cap [p_2+s])\mathfrak{I}, & \text{if } j_1 < i_2; \\ (i_1, j_2, [p_1+s] \cap [p_2+s])\mathfrak{I}, & \text{if } j_1 = i_2; \\ (i_1, j_1 - i_2 + j_2, [p_1+s] \cap (i_2 - j_2 + [p_2+s]))\mathfrak{I}, & \text{if } j_1 > i_2; \end{cases} = \\ &= \begin{cases} (i_1 - j_1 + i_2, j_2, (j_1 - i_2 + [p_1]) \cap [p_2])\mathfrak{I}, & \text{if } j_1 < i_2; \\ (i_1, j_2, [p_1] \cap [p_2])\mathfrak{I}, & \text{if } j_1 = i_2; \\ (i_1, j_1 - i_2 + j_2, [p_1] \cap (i_2 - j_2 + [p_2]))\mathfrak{I}, & \text{if } j_1 > i_2; \end{cases} = \\ &= (i_1, j_1, [p_1]) \cdot (i_2, j_2, [p_2]) = \\ &= (i_1, j_1, [p_1+s])\mathfrak{I} \cdot (i_2, j_2, [p_2+s])\mathfrak{I}, \end{aligned}$$

because $[l+s] \cap [q+s] = ([l] \cap [q]) + s$ for all $l, q, s \in \omega$.

By Theorem 2(iv) of [4] the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^{[s,t]}}$ has $t - s + 1$ \mathcal{D} -classes and $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^m}$ has m \mathcal{D} -classes. If the semigroups $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^{[s,t]}}$ and $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^m}$ are isomorphic, then $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^{[s,t]}}$ and $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^m}$ have the same many \mathcal{D} -classes, and hence $m = t - s + 1$. \square

For any positive integer m and $p \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ we define

$$\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^n}(m, m, [p]) = (m, m, [p])\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^n}(m, m, [p]) = (m, m, [p])\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^n} \cap \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^n}(m, m, [p]).$$

Lemma 1. *Let m be an arbitrary positive integer. Then $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^n}(m, m, [0]) = (\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^n})^\lambda{}^m$.*

Proof. For an arbitrary positive integer m , any $i, j \in \omega$ and $p \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (m, m, [0]) \cdot (i, j, [p]) \cdot (m, m, [0]) &= \begin{cases} (i, j, (m-i+[0]) \cap [p]) \cdot (m, m, [0]), & \text{if } m < i; \\ (m, j, [0] \cap [p]) \cdot (m, m, [0]), & \text{if } m = i; \\ (m, m-i+j, [0] \cap (i-m+[p])) \cdot (m, m, [0]), & \text{if } m > i \end{cases} = \\ &= \begin{cases} (i, j, [p]) \cdot (m, m, [0]), & \text{if } m < i; \\ (m, j, [p]) \cdot (m, m, [0]), & \text{if } m = i; \\ (m, m-i+j, [0]) \cdot (m, m, [0]), & \text{if } m > i \text{ and } i-m+p \leq 0; \\ (m, m-i+j, (i-m+[p])) \cdot (m, m, [0]), & \text{if } m > i \text{ and } i-m+p > 0 \end{cases} = \\ &= \begin{cases} (i, j, [p]) \cdot (m, m, [0]), & \text{if } m \leq i; \\ (m, m-i+j, [0]) \cdot (m, m, [0]), & \text{if } m > i \text{ and } i-m+p \leq 0; \\ (m, m-i+j, (i-m+[p])) \cdot (m, m, [0]), & \text{if } m > i \text{ and } i-m+p > 0 \end{cases} = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (i-j+m, m, (j-m+[p]) \cap [0]), & \text{if } m \leq i \text{ and } j < m; \\ (i, j, [p] \cap [0]), & \text{if } m \leq i \text{ and } j = m; \\ (i, j-m+m, [p] \cap (m-j+[0])), & \text{if } m \leq i \text{ and } j > m; \\ (m-m+i-j+m, m, (j-i+[0] \cap [0])), & \text{if } m > i, i+p \leq m \text{ and } m-i+j < m; \\ (m, m, [0] \cap [0]), & \text{if } m > i, i+p \leq m \text{ and } m-i+j = m; \\ (m, m-i+j, [0] \cap (i-j+[0])), & \text{if } m > i, i+p \leq m \text{ and } m-i+j > m; \\ (m-m+i-j+m, m, (j-m+[p]) \cap [0]), & \text{if } m > i, i+p > m \text{ and } m-i+j < m; \\ (m, m, (i-m+[p]) \cap [0]), & \text{if } m > i, i+p > m \text{ and } m-i+j = m; \\ (m, m-i+j, (i-m+[p]) \cap (i-j+[0])), & \text{if } m > i, i+p > m \text{ and } m-i+j > m \end{array} \right. = \\
&= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (i-j+m, m, (j-m+[p]) \cap [0]), & \text{if } m \leq i \text{ and } j < m; \\ (i, j, [p]), & \text{if } m \leq i \text{ and } j = m; \\ (i, j, [p]), & \text{if } m \leq i \text{ and } j > m; \\ (m+i-j, m, [0]), & \text{if } m > i, i+p \leq m \text{ and } j < i; \\ (m, m, [0]), & \text{if } m > i, i+p \leq m \text{ and } j = i; \\ (m, m-i+j, [0]), & \text{if } m > i, i+p \leq m \text{ and } j > i; \\ (m+i-j, m, j-m+[p]), & \text{if } m > i, i+p > m \text{ and } j < i; \\ (m, m, i-m+[p]), & \text{if } m > i, i+p > m \text{ and } j = i; \\ (m, m-i+j, (i-m+[p])), & \text{if } m > i, i+p > m \text{ and } j > i, \end{array} \right. =
\end{aligned}$$

and hence we get that $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^n}(m, m, [0]) \subseteq (B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^n})\lambda^m$. Since for any $i, j \geq m$, $i, j \in \omega$ and $p \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ we have that

$$(m, m, [0]) \cdot (i, j, [p]) \cdot (m, m, [0]) = (i, j, [p]) \cdot (m, m, [0]) = (i, j, [p]),$$

we conclude that $(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^n})\lambda^m \subseteq B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^n}(m, m, [0])$. This implies the requested equality. \square

Proposition 1(i) and Lemma 1 imply the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *For any positive integer m the semigroups $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^n}$ and $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^n}(m, m, [0])$ are isomorphic.*

We observe that the isomorphism of the semigroups $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^n}$ and $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^n}(m, m, [0])$ is defined as the corestriction of the endomorphism $\lambda^m: B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^n} \rightarrow B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^n}$ onto its image $(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^n})\lambda^m$.

3. ON ENDOMORPHISMS OF THE SEMIGROUP $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$

In this section for any $p \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ we denote $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^p} = \{(i, j, [p]): i, j \in \omega\}$. Also we denote $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}_{0,1} = B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3} \cup B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^1}$, $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}_{0,2} = B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3} \cup B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^2}$, and $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}_{1,2} = B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^1} \cup B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^2}$.

Lemma 2. *Let ε be an injective endomorphism of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$. Then the following statements hold:*

- (i) $(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3})_\varepsilon \not\subseteq B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}_{0,1}$;
- (ii) $(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3})_\varepsilon \not\subseteq B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}_{1,2}$;
- (iii) $(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3})_\varepsilon \not\subseteq B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}_{0,2}$;
- (iv) $(0, 0, [0])_\varepsilon \notin B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^1}$.

Proof. (i) Suppose to the contrary that there exists an injective endomorphism of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ such that $(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3})_\varepsilon \subseteq B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}_{0,1}$. If $(0, 0, [0])_\varepsilon \in B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}_{0,1}$, then by Lemma 2 of [4] we have that $(0, 0, [0])_\varepsilon = (s, s, [0])$ for some $s \in \omega$. By Corollary 1 there exists an isomorphism $\mathcal{J}: B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}(s, s, [0]) \rightarrow B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$. Then the composition $\varepsilon \circ \mathcal{J}$ is an injective monoid endomorphism of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ such that $(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3})_{(\varepsilon \circ \mathcal{J})} \subseteq B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}_{0,1} \subset B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$, which contradicts Theorem 5 of [14]. Hence $(0, 0, [0])_\varepsilon \notin B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}_{0,1}$.

If $(0, 0, [0])\varepsilon \in \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$, then by Lemma 2 of [4] there exists $s \in \omega$ such that $(0, 0, [0])\varepsilon = (s, s, [1])$. We consider the map $\varpi_2: \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_{0,1}^3} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_{0,1}^3} \subset \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ defined by the formula

$$(i, j, [p])\varpi_2 = \begin{cases} (i, j, [1]), & \text{if } p = 0; \\ (i + 1, j + 1, [0]), & \text{if } p = 1. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 1 of [15] the map ϖ_2 is an injective endomorphism of the subsemigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_{0,1}^3}$ of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$, because by Corollary 1 the semigroups $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_{0,1}^3}$ and $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^2}$ are isomorphic. Then the composition $\varepsilon \circ \varpi_2: \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_{0,1}^3} \subset \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ is an injective endomorphism of the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ such that $(0, 0, [0])\varepsilon \in \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_0^3}$, which contradicts the above part of the proof of this statement. The obtained contradiction implies statement (i).

(ii) Suppose to the contrary that there exists an injective endomorphism of the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ such that $(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3})\varepsilon \subseteq \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_{1,2}^3}$. By Corollary 1 there exists an isomorphism $\mathfrak{J}: \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_{1,2}^3} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_{0,1}^3}$. Then the composition $\varepsilon \circ \mathfrak{J}$ is an injective endomorphism of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ such that $(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3})(\varepsilon \circ \mathfrak{J}) \subseteq \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_{1,2}^3}$, which contradicts statement (i). The obtained contradiction implies statement (ii).

(iii) Suppose the contrary: there exists an injective endomorphism of the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ such that $(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3})\varepsilon \subseteq \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_{0,2}^3}$. If $(0, 0, [0])\varepsilon \in \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_0^3}$, then by Lemma 2 of [4] we get that $(0, 0, [0])\varepsilon = (s, s, [0])$ for some $s \in \omega$. Since $(0, 0, [0])$ is the identity element of the monoid $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$, $(0, 0, [0])\varepsilon$ is the identity element of the subsemigroup $(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3})\varepsilon$ of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$. This implies that $(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3})\varepsilon$ is a subsemigroup of the homomorphic image $(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3})\lambda^s$. By Corollary 1 there exists an isomorphism $\mathfrak{J}: (\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_{1,2}^3})\lambda^s \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$. This implies that the composition $\varepsilon \circ \mathfrak{J}$ is an injective monoid endomorphism of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$. Then by Theorem 5 of [14] there exists a positive integer k such that $\alpha_{[k]} = \varepsilon \circ \mathfrak{J}$. Then $\varepsilon = \alpha_{[k]} \circ \mathfrak{J}^{-1}$ and $(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3})\varepsilon \not\subseteq \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_{0,2}^3}$.

If $(0, 0, [0])\varepsilon \in \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_2^3}$, then by Lemma 2 of [4] there exists $s \in \omega$ such that $(0, 0, [0])\varepsilon = (s, s, [0])$. Then the composition $\varepsilon \circ \varphi_3$ is an injective endomorphism of the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ such that $(0, 0, [0])\varepsilon \circ \varphi_3 \in \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_0^3}$. This contradicts the above part of proof of this statement. The obtained contradiction implies statement (iii).

(iv) Suppose to the contrary that there exists an injective endomorphism ε of the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ such that $(0, 0, [0])\varepsilon \in \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_1^3}$. By statements (i) and (ii) neither the condition $(0, 0, [1])\varepsilon \in \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_1^3}$ nor the condition $(0, 0, [2])\varepsilon \in \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_1^3}$ holds.

If $(0, 0, [1])\varepsilon \in \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_2^3}$, then by statement (ii) we have that $(0, 0, [2])\varepsilon \in \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_0^3}$. By Lemma 2 of [4] we obtain that $(0, 0, [0])\varepsilon = (s, s, [1])$ for some $s \in \omega$. Proposition 1 and Corollary 1 imply that the corestriction of the mapping $\lambda^s: \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ onto the image $(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3})\lambda^s$ is an isomorphism of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ onto $(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3})\lambda^s$, and we denote this isomorphism by \mathfrak{J} . Then the composition $\varepsilon \circ \mathfrak{J}^{-1}$ is a monoid endomorphism of the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$. Hence without loss of generality later we may assume that $(0, 0, [0])\varepsilon = (0, 0, [1])$.

Since by Corollary 1 the subsemigroups $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_{0,1}^3}$ and $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_{1,2}^3}$ of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ are isomorphic under the mapping $\mathfrak{J}: (i, j, [p]) \mapsto i, j, [p + 1]$, $i, j \in \omega$, $p \in \{0, 1\}$, Theorem 1 of [9] implies that there exist a positive integer k and $p \in \{0, 1\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (i, j, [0])\varepsilon &= (ki, kj, [0]); \\ (i, j, [1])\varepsilon &= (p + ki, p + kj, [1]), \end{aligned}$$

for all $i, j \in \omega$.

By Lemma 5 of [4] we have that

$$(0, 0, [2]) \preceq (0, 0, [1]) \preceq (0, 0, [0]) \quad \text{and} \quad (2, 2, [0]) \preceq (1, 1, [1]) \preceq (0, 0, [2]).$$

By Proposition 1.4.21(6) from [16] we get that

$$(0, 0, [2])\varepsilon \preceq (0, 0, [1])\varepsilon = (p, p, [2]) \preceq (0, 0, [0])\varepsilon = (0, 0, [1])$$

and

$$(2, 2, [0])\varepsilon = (2k, 2k, [1]) \preceq (1, 1, [1])\varepsilon(k + p, k + p, [2]) \preceq (0, 0, [2])\varepsilon.$$

Since $(0, 0, [2])\varepsilon \preceq (0, 0, [1])\varepsilon$ Lemma 2 of [4] implies that there exists a positive integer x such that $(0, 0, [2])\varepsilon = (x, x, [0])$. By the equality

$$(1, 1, [0]) \cdot (0, 0, [2]) = (1, 1, [1])$$

we have that

$$(1, 1, [0])\varepsilon \cdot (0, 0, [2])\varepsilon = (1, 1, [1])\varepsilon.$$

Hence we get the equality

$$(k, k, [1]) \cdot (x, x, [0]) = (k + p, k + p, [2]),$$

which contradicts the semigroup operation on $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$. The obtained contradiction implies that the conditions $(0, 0, [0])\varepsilon \in B_{\omega^1}^{\mathcal{F}^3}$, $(0, 0, [1])\varepsilon \in B_{\omega^2}^{\mathcal{F}^3}$, and $(0, 0, [2])\varepsilon \in B_{\omega^0}^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ do not hold.

Suppose there exists an injective endomorphism ε of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ such that $(0, 0, [0])\varepsilon \in B_{\omega^1}^{\mathcal{F}^3}$, $(0, 0, [1])\varepsilon \in B_{\omega^0}^{\mathcal{F}^3}$, and $(0, 0, [2])\varepsilon \in B_{\omega^2}^{\mathcal{F}^3}$. By Proposition 1(ii) ϖ_3 is an injective endomorphism of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ and hence the composition $\varepsilon \circ \varpi_3$ is an injective endomorphism of $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$, as well. Then we get that $(0, 0, [0])(\varepsilon \circ \varpi_3) \in B_{\omega^1}^{\mathcal{F}^3}$, $(0, 0, [1])(\varepsilon \circ \varpi_3) \in B_{\omega^2}^{\mathcal{F}^3}$, and $(0, 0, [2])(\varepsilon \circ \varpi_3) \in B_{\omega^0}^{\mathcal{F}^3}$, which contradicts the above part of the proof.

Therefore we obtain that $(0, 0, [0])\varepsilon \notin B_{\omega^1}^{\mathcal{F}^3}$. □

If S is a semigroup and $a, b \in S$, then by $\langle a, b \rangle$ we denote the subsemigroup of S which is generated by elements a and b .

Theorem 1. *For every injective endomorphism ε of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ there exists an injective endomorphism $\iota \in \langle \lambda, \varpi_3 \rangle$ such that $\varepsilon = \alpha_{[k]} \circ \iota$ for some positive integer k .*

Proof. Lemma 2 implies that for every endomorphism of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ only one of the following conditions holds:

- (1) $(0, 0, [p])\varepsilon \in B_{\omega^p}^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ for all $p \in \{0, 1, 2\}$;
- (2) $(0, 0, [p])\varepsilon \in B_{\omega^{2-p}}^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ for all $p \in \{0, 1, 2\}$.

Suppose condition (1) holds. By Proposition 3 [4] for any $p \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ the subsemigroup $B_{\omega^p}^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ of $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ is isomorphic to the bicyclic semigroup. Hence by Proposition 4 of [5] we have that $(i, j, [p])\varepsilon \in B_{\omega^p}^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ for all $i, j \in \omega$ and $p \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. Since $(0, 0, [0])$ is an idempotent of $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ Lemma 2 of [4] implies that there exists $s \in \omega$ such that $(0, 0, [0])\varepsilon = (s, s, [0])$. This implies that $(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3})\varepsilon \subseteq (B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3})\lambda^s$. By Proposition 1 and Corollary 1 the mapping $\lambda^s: B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3} \rightarrow (B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3})\lambda^s$ is an isomorphism, and hence we get that the composition $\varepsilon \circ (\lambda^s)^{-1}$ is an injective monoid endomorphism of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$. This implies that there exists a positive integer k such that $\varepsilon \circ (\lambda^s)^{-1} = \alpha_{[k]}$. The injectivity of the mapping λ^s implies that $\varepsilon = \alpha_{[k]} \circ \lambda^s$.

Suppose condition (2) holds. Then $\varepsilon \circ \varpi_3$ is an injective endomorphism of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ which satisfies condition (1). By the previous part of the proof there exists a positive integer k such that $\varepsilon \circ \varpi_3 = \alpha_{[k]} \circ \lambda^s$. We remark that the above equality holds if and only if $\varepsilon \circ \varpi_3 \circ \varpi_3 = \alpha_{[k]} \circ \lambda^s \circ \varpi_3$, because ε , ϖ_3 , $\alpha_{[k]}$, and λ^s are injective maps. By Proposition 1(iii) we get that

$$(3) \quad \varepsilon \circ \lambda^{k-1} = \varepsilon \circ \varpi_3 \circ \varpi_3 = \alpha_{[k]} \circ \lambda^s \circ \varpi_3.$$

Suppose $(0, 0, [0])\varepsilon = (x, x, [2])$ for some $x \in \omega$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (0, 0, [0])(\varepsilon \circ \lambda^{k-1}) &= (x, x, [2])\lambda^{k-1} = \\ &= (x + k - 1, x + k - 1, [2]) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (0, 0, [0])(\alpha_{[k]} \circ \lambda^s \circ \varpi_3) &= (0, 0, [0])(\lambda^s \circ \varpi_3) = \\ &= (s, s, [0])\varpi_3 = \\ &= (s, s, [2]). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $k - 1 \leq s$. Then equality (3), Proposition 1, and the injectivity of above maps imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &= \alpha_{[k]} \circ \lambda^s \circ \varpi_3 \circ (\lambda^{k-1})^{-1} = \alpha_{[k]} \circ \varpi_3 \circ \lambda^s \circ (\lambda^{k-1})^{-1} = \\ &= \alpha_{[k]} \circ \varpi_3 \circ \lambda^{s-k+1} = \\ &= \alpha_{[k]} \circ \lambda^{s-k+1} \circ \varpi_3. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Lemma 3. *Let X be a non-empty set and \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} be transformations of the set X . Then for an arbitrary injective transformation \mathbf{h} of X the equality $\mathbf{a} \circ \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{b} \circ \mathbf{h}$ implies that $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b}$.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there exist transformation \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} of the set X such that $\mathbf{a} \circ \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{b} \circ \mathbf{h}$ and $\mathbf{a} \neq \mathbf{b}$. Then there exists $x \in X$ such that $(x)\mathbf{a} \neq (x)\mathbf{b}$. Since \mathbf{h} is an injective transformation of X , we get that $(x)(\mathbf{a} \circ \mathbf{h}) \neq (x)(\mathbf{b} \circ \mathbf{h})$, which contradicts the assumption. The obtained contradiction implies the statement of the lemma. \square

By $\mathbf{End}_{\text{inj}}(\mathbf{B}_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}^3})$ we denote the semigroup of all injective endomorphisms of the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}^3}$.

Proposition 3. *Let k be an arbitrary positive integer. Then in $\mathbf{End}_{\text{inj}}(\mathbf{B}_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}^3})$ the following equalities hold:*

- (i) $\lambda \circ \alpha_{[k]} = \alpha_{[k]} \circ \lambda^k$;
- (ii) $\varpi_3 \circ \alpha_{[k]} \circ \varpi_3 = \alpha_{[k]} \circ \lambda^{k+1}$;
- (iii) $\varpi_3 \circ \alpha_{[k]} = \alpha_{[k]} \circ \varpi_3 \circ \lambda^{k-1}$.

Proof. (i) For any $i, j \in \omega$ and $p \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (i, j, [p])(\lambda \circ \alpha_{[k]}) &= (i + 1, j + 1, [p])\alpha_{[k]} = \\ &= \begin{cases} (k(i + 1), k(j + 1), [p])\lambda, & \text{if } p \in \{0, 1\}; \\ (k(i + 2) - 1, k(j + 2) - 1, [2])\lambda, & \text{if } p = 2 \end{cases} = \\ &= \begin{cases} (ki + k, kj + k, [p]), & \text{if } p \in \{0, 1\}; \\ (k(i + 1) - 1 + k, k(j + 1) - 1 + k, [2]), & \text{if } p = 2 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (i, j, [p])(\alpha_{[k]} \circ \lambda^k) &= \begin{cases} (ki, kj, [p])\lambda^k, & \text{if } p \in \{0, 1\}; \\ (k(i + 1) - 1, k(j + 1) - 1, [2])\lambda^k, & \text{if } p = 2 \end{cases} = \\ &= \begin{cases} (ki + k, kj + k, [p]), & \text{if } p \in \{0, 1\}; \\ (k(i + 1) - 1 + k, k(j + 1) - 1 + k, [2]), & \text{if } p = 2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

This implies that in $\mathbf{End}_{\text{inj}}(\mathbf{B}_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}^3})$ the equality $\lambda \circ \alpha_{[k]} = \alpha_{[k]} \circ \lambda^k$ holds.

(ii) For any $i, j \in \omega$ and $p \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (i, j, [p])(\varpi_3 \circ \alpha_{[k]} \circ \varpi_3) &= (i + p, j + p, [2 - p])(\alpha_{[k]} \circ \varpi_3) = \\ &= \begin{cases} (i, j, [2])(\alpha_{[k]} \circ \varpi_3), & \text{if } p = 0; \\ (i + 1, j + 1, [1])(\alpha_{[k]} \circ \varpi_3), & \text{if } p = 1; \\ (i + 2, j + 2, [0])(\alpha_{[k]} \circ \varpi_3), & \text{if } p = 2 \end{cases} = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \begin{cases} (k(i+1)-1, k(j+1)-1, [2])\varpi_3, & \text{if } p=0; \\ (k(i+1), k(j+1), [1])\varpi_3, & \text{if } p=1; \\ (k(i+2), k(j+2), [0])\varpi_3, & \text{if } p=2 \end{cases} = \\
&= \begin{cases} (k(i+1)-1+2, k(j+1)-1+2, [2-2]), & \text{if } p=0; \\ (k(i+1)+1, k(j+1)+1, [2-1]), & \text{if } p=1; \\ (k(i+2), k(j+2), [2-0]), & \text{if } p=2 \end{cases} = \\
&= \begin{cases} (ki+k+1, kj+k+1, [0]), & \text{if } p=0; \\ (ki+k+1, kj+k+1, [1]), & \text{if } p=1; \\ (k(i+1)+k, k(j+1)+k, [2]), & \text{if } p=2 \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(i, j, [p])(\alpha_{[k]} \circ \lambda^{k+1}) &= \begin{cases} (ki, kj, [p])\lambda^{k+1}, & \text{if } p \in \{0, 1\}; \\ (k(i+1)-1, k(j+1)-1, [2])\lambda^{k+1}, & \text{if } p=2 \end{cases} = \\
&= \begin{cases} (ki+k+1, kj+k+1, [p]), & \text{if } p \in \{0, 1\}; \\ (k(i+1)-1+k+1, k(j+1)-1+k+1, [2]), & \text{if } p=2 \end{cases} = \\
&= \begin{cases} (ki+k+1, kj+k+1, [p]), & \text{if } p \in \{0, 1\}; \\ (k(i+1)+k, k(j+1)+k, [2]), & \text{if } p=2 \end{cases},
\end{aligned}$$

and hence $\varpi_3 \circ \alpha_{[k]} \circ \varpi_3 = \alpha_{[k]} \circ \lambda^{k+1}$ in $\mathbf{End}_{\text{inj}}(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3})$.

(iii) The equality $\varpi_3 \circ \alpha_{[k]} \circ \varpi_3 = \alpha_{[k]} \circ \lambda^{k+1}$ implies that $\varpi_3 \circ \alpha_{[k]} \circ \varpi_3 \circ \varpi_3 = \alpha_{[k]} \circ \lambda^{k+1} \circ \varpi_3$. By Proposition 1(iii) we get that $\varpi_3^2 = \lambda^2$, and hence $\varpi_3 \circ \alpha_{[k]} \circ \lambda^2 = \alpha_{[k]} \circ \lambda^{k+1} \circ \varpi_3$. Since k is a positive integer and λ^2 is an injective endomorphism of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$, Lemma 3, Proposition 1(iv), and the equality $\varpi_3 \circ \alpha_{[k]} \circ \lambda^2 = \alpha_{[k]} \circ \lambda^{k+1} \circ \varpi_3$ imply that $\varpi_3 \circ \alpha_{[k]} = \alpha_{[k]} \circ \varpi_3 \circ \lambda^{k-1}$. \square

Remark 2. By Theorem 5 of [14] for any injective monoid endomorphism ε of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ there exists a positive integer k such that $\varepsilon = \alpha_{[k]}$. Also (see: [14]), we have that $\alpha_{[k_1]} \circ \alpha_{[k_2]} = \alpha_{[k_1 \cdot k_2]}$ in the monoid $\mathbf{End}_{\text{inj}}^1(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3})$ of all injective monoid endomorphisms of $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ for all positive integers k_1 and k_2 .

By $\langle \alpha_{[\bullet]} \rangle$ we denote the subsemigroup of $\mathbf{End}_{\text{inj}}(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3})$ which is generated by endomorphisms $\alpha_{[k]}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$. Theorem 6 of [14] states that the semigroup $\langle \alpha_{[\bullet]} \rangle$ is isomorphic to the multiplicative monoid of positive integers (\mathbb{N}, \cdot) .

Later without loss of generality we may assume that λ^0 is an identity endomorphism of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$. It is obvious that the semigroup $\lambda^+ = \{\lambda^m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is isomorphic to the additive semigroup of positive integers $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ and the monoid $\lambda^* = \{\lambda^m : m \in \omega\}$ is isomorphic to the additive monoid of non-negative integers $(\omega, +)$.

Let S and T be semigroups and $\mathfrak{h} : S \rightarrow \mathbf{End}(T)$ be a homomorphism from S into the semigroup $\mathbf{End}(T)$ of all endomorphisms of T . By \mathfrak{h}_{s_2} we denote the image of s_2 under homomorphism \mathfrak{h} . The Cartesian product $S \times T$ with the following semigroup operation

$$(s_1, t_1) \cdot (s_2, t_2) = (s_1 s_2, (t_1)\mathfrak{h}_{s_2} t_2)$$

is called the *semidirect product* S and T , and it is denoted by $S \ltimes_{\mathfrak{h}} T$.

Theorem 2. In the monoid $\mathbf{End}_{\text{inj}}(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3})$ the following statements hold:

- (i) $(\alpha_{[k_1]} \circ \lambda^{m_1}) \circ (\alpha_{[k_2]} \circ \lambda^{m_2}) = \alpha_{[k_1 \cdot k_2]} \circ \lambda^{k_2 \cdot m_1 + m_2}$ for any $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m_1, m_2 \in \omega$;
- (ii) the submonoid $\langle \langle \alpha_{[\bullet]} \rangle, \lambda^* \rangle$ of $\mathbf{End}_{\text{inj}}(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3})$, which is generated by the sets $\langle \alpha_{[\bullet]} \rangle$ and λ^* , is isomorphic to the semidirect product $(\mathbb{N}, \cdot) \ltimes_{\mathfrak{h}} (\omega, +)$, where the homomorphism $\mathfrak{h} : (\mathbb{N}, \cdot) \rightarrow \mathbf{End}(\omega, +)$ is defined by the formula $(n)\mathfrak{h}_k = kn$;
- (iii) $(\alpha_{[k_1]} \circ \lambda^{m_1} \circ \varpi_3) \circ (\alpha_{[k_2]} \circ \lambda^{m_2}) = \alpha_{[k_1 \cdot k_2]} \circ \lambda^{k_2 \cdot m_1 + k_2 + m_2} \circ \varpi_3$ for any $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m_1, m_2 \in \omega$;
- (iv) $(\alpha_{[k_1]} \circ \lambda^{m_1} \circ \varpi_3) \circ (\alpha_{[k_2]} \circ \lambda^{m_2} \circ \varpi_3) = \alpha_{[k_1 \cdot k_2]} \circ \lambda^{k_2 \cdot m_1 + k_2 + m_2 + 2}$ for any $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m_1, m_2 \in \omega$.

Proof. (i) By Proposition 3(i) for any $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m_1, m_2 \in \omega$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{[k_1]} \circ \lambda^{m_1} \circ \alpha_{[k_2]} \circ \lambda^{m_2} &= \alpha_{[k_1]} \circ \alpha_{[k_2]} \circ \lambda^{k_2 \cdot m_1} \circ \lambda^{m_2} = \\ &= \alpha_{[k_1 \cdot k_2]} \circ \lambda^{k_2 \cdot m_1 + m_2}.\end{aligned}$$

(ii) By Proposition 3(i) every element of the monoid $\langle \langle \alpha_{[\bullet]} \rangle, \lambda^* \rangle$ is presented as a composition $\alpha_{[k]} \circ \lambda^m$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \in \omega$. It is obvious that such a presentation is unique. We define an isomorphism $\mathfrak{J}: \langle \langle \alpha_{[\bullet]} \rangle, \lambda^* \rangle \rightarrow (\mathbb{N}, \cdot) \ltimes_{\mathfrak{h}} (\omega, +)$ by the formula $(\alpha_{[k]} \circ \lambda^m) \mathfrak{J} = (k, m)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \in \omega$. Statement (i) implies that so defined map \mathfrak{J} is an isomorphisms of the semigroups $\langle \langle \alpha_{[\bullet]} \rangle, \lambda^* \rangle$ and $(\mathbb{N}, \cdot) \ltimes_{\mathfrak{h}} (\omega, +)$.

(iii) By Proposition 3 and statement (i) for any $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m_1, m_2 \in \omega$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha_{[k_1]} \circ \lambda^{m_1} \circ \varpi_3) \circ (\alpha_{[k_2]} \circ \lambda^{m_2}) &= \alpha_{[k_1]} \circ \lambda^{m_1} \circ \alpha_{[k_2]} \circ \varpi_3 \circ \lambda^{k_2} \circ \lambda^{m_2} = \\ &= \alpha_{[k_1]} \circ \alpha_{[k_2]} \circ \lambda^{k_2 \cdot m_1} \circ \varpi_3 \circ \lambda^{k_2 + m_2} = \\ &= \alpha_{[k_1 \cdot k_2]} \circ \lambda^{k_2 \cdot m_1 + k_2 + m_2} \circ \varpi_3.\end{aligned}$$

(iv) By statement (ii) and Proposition 1(iii) for any $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m_1, m_2 \in \omega$ we get that

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha_{[k_1]} \circ \lambda^{m_1} \circ \varpi_3) \circ (\alpha_{[k_2]} \circ \lambda^{m_2} \circ \varpi_3) &= \alpha_{[k_1 \cdot k_2]} \circ \lambda^{k_2 \cdot m_1 + k_2 + m_2} \circ \varpi_3^2 = \\ &= \alpha_{[k_1 \cdot k_2]} \circ \lambda^{k_2 \cdot m_1 + k_2 + m_2} \circ \lambda^2 = \\ &= \alpha_{[k_1 \cdot k_2]} \circ \lambda^{k_2 \cdot m_1 + k_2 + m_2 + 2}.\end{aligned}$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The algebraic theory of semigroups*, Vol. I., Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys 7, Providence, R.I., 1961. [1](#), [1](#), [1](#)
- [2] A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The algebraic theory of semigroups*, Vol. II., Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys 7, Providence, R.I., 1967. [1](#)
- [3] O. Gutik and O. Lysetska, *On the semigroup $B_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}}$ which is generated by the family \mathcal{F} of atomic subsets of ω* , Visn. L'viv. Univ., Ser. Mekh.-Mat. **92** (2021) 34–50. DOI: 10.30970/vmm.2021.92.034-050 [1](#)
- [4] O. Gutik and M. Mykhalenych, *On some generalization of the bicyclic monoid*, Visnyk Lviv. Univ. Ser. Mech.-Mat. **90** (2020), 5–19 (in Ukrainian). DOI: 10.30970/vmm.2020.90.005-019 [1](#), [1](#), [1](#), [2](#), [2](#), [3](#), [3](#)
- [5] O. Gutik and M. Mykhalenych, *On group congruences on the semigroup $B_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}}$ and its homomorphic retracts in the case when the family \mathcal{F} consists of inductive non-empty subsets of ω* , Visnyk Lviv. Univ. Ser. Mech.-Mat. **91** (2021), 5–27 (in Ukrainian). DOI: 10.30970/vmm.2021.91.005-027 [1](#), [1](#), [3](#)
- [6] O. Gutik and M. Mykhalenych, *On automorphisms of the semigroup $B_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}}$ in the case when the family \mathcal{F} consists of nonempty inductive subsets of ω* , Visnyk Lviv. Univ. Ser. Mech.-Mat. **93** (2022), 54–65 (in Ukrainian). DOI: 10.30970/vmm.2022.93.054-065 [1](#)
- [7] O. V. Gutik and O. B. Popadiuk, *On the semigroup $B_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}_n}$, which is generated by the family \mathcal{F}_n of finite bounded intervals of ω* , Carpathian Math. Publ. **15** (2023), no. 2, 331–355. DOI: 0.15330/cmp.15.2.331-355 [1](#)
- [8] O. V. Gutik and O. B. Popadiuk, *On the semigroup of injective endomorphisms of the semigroup $B_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}_n}$ which is generated by the family \mathcal{F}_n of initial finite intervals of ω* , J. Math. Sci. **282** (2024), no. 5, 646–667. DOI: 10.1007/s10958-024-07207-9 [1](#)
- [9] O. Gutik and I. Pozdniakova, *On the semigroup of injective monoid endomorphisms of the monoid $B_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}}$ with the two-elements family \mathcal{F} of inductive nonempty subsets of ω* , Visnyk Lviv. Univ. Ser. Mech.-Mat. **94** (2022), 32–55. DOI: 10.30970/vmm.2022.94.032-055 [1](#), [3](#)
- [10] O. Gutik and I. Pozdniakova, *On the semigroup of non-injective monoid endomorphisms of the semigroup $B_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}}$ with the two-element family \mathcal{F} of inductive nonempty subsets of ω* , Visnyk Lviv. Univ. Ser. Mech.-Mat. **95** (2023), 14–27. DOI: 10.30970/vmm.2023.95.014-027 [1](#)
- [11] O. Gutik and I. Pozdniakova, *On the group of automorphisms of the semigroup $B_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathcal{F}}$ with the family \mathcal{F} of inductive nonempty subsets of ω* , Algebra Discrete Math. **35** (2023), no. 1, p. 42–61. DOI: 10.12958/adm2010 [2](#)
- [12] O. Gutik and I. Pozdniakova, *On the semigroup of endomorphisms of the monoid $B_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}}$ with the two-elements family \mathcal{F} of inductive nonempty subsets of ω* , Visnyk Lviv. Univ. Ser. Mech.-Mat. **96** (2024), 5–24. DOI: 10.30970/vmm.2024.96.005-024 [1](#)

- [13] O. Gutik, O. Prokhorenkova, and D. Sekh, *On endomorphisms of the bicyclic semigroup and the extended bicyclic semigroup*, Visn. L'viv. Univ., Ser. Mekh.-Mat. **92** (2021) 5–16 (in Ukrainian). DOI: 10.30970/vmm.2021.92.005-016 [1](#)
- [14] O. Gutik and M. Serivka, *On the semigroup of injective monoid endomorphisms of the monoid $B_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ with a three element family \mathcal{F}^3 of inductive nonempty subsets of ω* , Visn. L'viv. Univ., Ser. Mekh.-Mat. **95** (2023), 28–45. DOI: 10.30970/vmm.2023.95.028-045 [1](#), [3](#), [2](#), [3](#)
- [15] O. V. Gutik and M. V. Serivka, *On the semigroup of endomorphisms of the semigroup $B_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}^2}$ with the two-element family \mathcal{F}^2 of inductive nonempty subsets of ω* , Nauk. Visn. Uzhgorod. Univ., Ser. Mat. Inform. **47** (2025), no. 2, 43–51 (in Ukrainian). DOI: 10.24144/2616-7700.2025.47(2).43-51 [1](#), [3](#)
- [16] M. Lawson, *Inverse semigroups. The theory of partial symmetries*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1998. [1](#), [3](#)
- [17] O. Lysetska, *On feebly compact topologies on the semigroup $B_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}^1}$* , Visnyk Lviv. Univ. Ser. Mech.-Mat. **90** (2020), 48–56. DOI: 10.30970/vmm.2020.90.048-056 [1](#)
- [18] M. Petrich, *Inverse semigroups*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1984. [1](#)
- [19] O. Popadiuk, *On endomorphisms of the inverse semigroup of convex order isomorphisms of the set ω of a bounded rank which are generated by Rees congruences*, Visn. L'viv. Univ., Ser. Mekh.-Mat. **93** (2022), 34–41. DOI: 10.30970/vmm.2022.93.034-041 [1](#)
- [20] V. V. Wagner, *Generalized groups*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **84** (1952), 1119–1122 (in Russian). [1](#)

IVAN FRANKO NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF LVIV, UNIVERSYTETSKA 1, LVIV, 79000, UKRAINE

Email address: oleg.gutik@lnu.edu.ua, marko.serivka@lnu.edu.ua