

A Combinatorial Characterization of Constant Mixing Time

Lap Chi Lau*, Raymond Liu†

Abstract

Classical spectral graph theory characterizes graphs with logarithmic mixing time. In this work, we present a combinatorial characterization of graphs with constant mixing time. The combinatorial characterization is based on the small-set bipartite density condition, which is weaker than having near-optimal spectral radius and is stronger than having near-optimal small-set vertex expansion.

*Cheriton School of Computer Science, University of Waterloo. Supported by an NSERC Discovery Grant.

†Cheriton School of Computer Science, University of Waterloo. Supported by an NSERC Discovery Grant.

1 Introduction

We start with a brief review of some background in random walks and spectral graph theory. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a d -regular graph and $n := |V|$. Let P be the random walk matrix of G , with stationary distribution $\pi = \mathbf{1}/n$ as G is regular. The ϵ -mixing time of the random walks is defined as

$$\tau_\epsilon(P) := \min \left\{ t \mid \frac{1}{2} \|P^t p_0 - \pi\|_1 \leq \epsilon \text{ for any initial distribution } p_0 \right\}.$$

Let $1 = \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n \geq -1$ be the eigenvalues of P and $\lambda := \max\{\lambda_2, |\lambda_n|\}$ be the spectral radius of P . The graph G is called a spectral expander if λ is a constant strictly smaller than one. Standard spectral analysis [LPW09] shows that

$$\frac{1}{2} \|P^t p_0 - \pi\|_1 \leq \lambda^t \cdot \|p_0\|_2 \cdot \sqrt{n}. \quad (1.1)$$

This implies that the mixing time of random walks on a spectral expander is $O(\log n)$, and this upper bound is optimal as the diameter of the graph is $\Omega(\log n)$ when d is a constant. Cheeger's inequality states that G is a spectral expander if and only if G is a combinatorial expander (i.e., with constant edge conductance); see Section 2. This gives a combinatorial characterization of graphs with $O(\log n)$ mixing time. The relations between eigenvalues, combinatorial expansion, and mixing time are fundamental results in spectral graph theory.

Inspired by the recent development in constant-hop expander graphs (see [HRG22, HHG25] and the references therein), where the focus is on sending multicommodity flows using paths of *constant* length, we are interested in characterizing graphs with constant mixing time, as these form a nice class of constant-hop expander graphs. For a d -regular graph to have constant diameter, a necessary condition is that $d \geq n^\xi$ for some small constant $\xi > 0$, so we focus on graphs in this moderately-dense regime as in [HRG22, HHG25]. Even in this regime, it is not difficult to construct spectral expanders with $\Omega(\log n)$ diameter, so we need to look for stronger conditions to guarantee constant mixing time. We say a d -regular graph has an *inverse-polynomial spectral radius* if $\lambda \lesssim 1/d^c$ for some constant $c \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$. From (1.1), observe that n^ξ -regular graphs with $\lambda \lesssim 1/d^c$ have constant mixing time $O(1/(c\xi))$. Graphs with inverse-polynomial spectral radius also exhibit stronger combinatorial expansion properties: Tanner's theorem implies that such graphs have vertex expansion $\Omega(d^{2c})$ for sets of size $O(n/d^{2c})$; see Section 2. Graphs in these regimes satisfy interesting properties but were not explored much before.

These lead us to study the relations between inverse-polynomial spectral radius, small-set vertex expansion, and constant mixing time. One natural question is whether an n^ξ -regular graph with near-optimal small-set vertex-expansion has constant mixing time. In Section 4.1, we provide a negative example to this question, which suggests that an even stronger combinatorial condition is required to guarantee constant mixing time.

Another natural question is whether inverse-polynomial spectral radius is necessary to guarantee constant mixing time. To answer this question, we consider a combinatorial characterization of the spectral radius through the expander mixing lemma [AC88]: If a d -regular graph $G = (V, E)$ has

spectral radius λ , then

$$\left| |E(S, T)| - \frac{d|S||T|}{n} \right| \leq \lambda d \sqrt{|S||T|} \quad \text{for any } S, T \subseteq V, \quad (1.2)$$

where $E(S, T) := \{(u, v) \mid u \in S, v \in T, uv \in E\}$ is the set of ordered edges where $u \in S$ and $v \in T$. The converse of the expander mixing lemma by Bilu and Linial [BL06] shows that if (1.2) is satisfied for all disjoint $S, T \subseteq V$, then the graph has spectral radius $O(\lambda \cdot \log(1 + \frac{1}{\lambda}))$. Thus, if (1.2) is satisfied for $\lambda \leq O(1/d^c)$ for some constant $c \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and $d \geq n^\xi$ for some constant $\xi > 0$, then the graph has constant mixing time. This provides a combinatorial sufficient condition for constant mixing time, but we will show that it is not a necessary condition.

1.1 Our Results

We show that only the upper bounds in (1.2) are needed for constant mixing time, simplifying (1.2) to a condition about the bipartite density between two sets.

Definition 1.1 (α -Bipartite Density). *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a d -regular graph. For any $\alpha \in (\sqrt{d}, d]$, we say that G satisfies the α -bipartite density condition if*

$$|E(S, T)| \leq \frac{d|S||T|}{n} + \alpha \sqrt{|S||T|} \quad \text{for all } S, T \subseteq V. \quad (1.3)$$

If (1.3) is only satisfied for sets S, T with $|S|, |T| \leq \delta n$ for some $\delta \leq 1$, then we say that G satisfies the δ -small-set α -bipartite density condition.

We note that the α -bipartite density condition is weaker than having spectral radius α/d by (1.2), but it is stronger than having near-optimal small-set vertex expansion (see Section 4.1).

Our main results are that this condition implies fast mixing time.

Theorem 1.2 (Upper Bounding Mixing Time by Bipartite Density). *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a d -regular graph with $d = n^\xi$ for some constant $\xi > 0$. If G satisfies the α -bipartite density condition for $\alpha \lesssim d/(\log d)^2$, then*

$$\tau_{1/n}(P) \lesssim \left(\frac{\log n}{\log(d/\alpha)} \right)^2.$$

In particular, if $\alpha = d^{1-c}$ for some constant $c \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$, this implies constant mixing time such that

$$\tau_{1/n}(P) \lesssim \frac{1}{c^2 \xi^2}.$$

The standard definition of mixing time is $\tau_{1/3}(P)$, and a well-known fact [LPW09] is that $\tau_{1/n}(P) \lesssim \tau_{1/3}(P) \cdot \log n$. In Theorem 1.2, we bound $\tau_{1/n}(P)$ directly without losing the logarithmic factor, matching the constant mixing time result for graphs with inverse-polynomial spectral radius.

Furthermore, we show an improved upper bound on standard mixing time using only the small-set bipartite density condition.

Theorem 1.3 (Upper Bounding Mixing Time by Small-Set Bipartite Density). *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a d -regular graph with $d = n^\xi$ for some constant $\xi > 0$. If G satisfies the δ -small-set α -bipartite density condition for some $\alpha \lesssim d/(\log d)^2$ and $\delta \gtrsim \alpha/d$, then*

$$\tau_{1/3}(P) \lesssim \frac{\log n}{\log(d/\alpha)}.$$

In particular, if $\alpha = d^{1-c}$ for some constant $c \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and (1.3) holds for all sets $S, T \subseteq V$ with $|S|, |T| \lesssim n/d^c$, then this implies constant standard mixing time such that

$$\tau_{1/3}(P) \lesssim \frac{1}{c\xi}.$$

We remark that constant standard mixing time implies the graph is a constant-hop expander graph. It is our hope that the small-set bipartite density condition can lead to a simpler cut-matching game for constructing constant-hop expanders.

We also establish a lower bound on the mixing time using δ -small-set α -bipartite density. The following theorem states that the existence of a dense bipartite structure between two small sets implies slow mixing time.

Theorem 1.4 (Lower Bounding Mixing Time by Bipartite Density). *Let G be a d -regular graph. If there exist $S, T \subseteq V$ such that*

$$|E(S, T)| \geq \frac{d|S||T|}{n} + \alpha\sqrt{|S||T|} \quad \text{and} \quad |S|, |T| \leq \delta n,$$

then

$$\tau_{1/n}(P) \gtrsim \frac{\log(1/\delta)}{\log(d/\alpha)}.$$

In particular, if there are two small sets with high bipartite density such that $|S|, |T| \leq n^{1-\epsilon}$ for some constant ϵ and $\alpha = \Omega(d/(\text{poly } \log d))$, then the graph has non-constant mixing time such that $\tau_{1/n}(P) \gtrsim (\log n)/\log \log d$.

To summarize, we can view the δ -small-set α -bipartite density condition as a loose characterization of constant mixing time: If all sets of large enough size have low bipartite density, then the graph has constant mixing time; if some sets of small enough size have high bipartite density, then the graph has non-constant mixing time.

We think the proof approach in [Theorem 1.2](#) and [Theorem 1.3](#) is also interesting that it provides a clean and direct way to upper bound the mixing time using a combinatorial condition, without going through a spectral argument as usual.

2 Preliminaries

We write $f \lesssim g$ if $f = O(g)$, $f \gtrsim g$ if $f = \Omega(g)$, and $f \asymp g$ if $f = \Theta(g)$.

We assume the given graph $G = (V, E)$ is a d -regular graph throughout this paper, with $n := |V|$ vertices and $m := |E|$ edges. Let A be the adjacency matrix of G , and let $P := A/d$ be the normalized adjacency matrix, which is also the transition matrix of random walks, as G is d -regular.

Let $1 = \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n \geq -1$ be the eigenvalues of P . We call $\lambda := \max\{\lambda_2, |\lambda_n|\}$ the spectral radius of G . A well-known result by Alon and Boppana [Nil91] establishes that $\lambda \geq 2\sqrt{d-1}/d$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. A graph is called Ramanujan [LPS88] if $\lambda \leq 2\sqrt{d-1}/d$.

Variation Distance and Mixing Time

The stationary distribution π of the transition matrix $P = A/d$ is the uniform distribution $\vec{1}/n$. The variation distance between any two probability distributions p, q is defined as $d_{\text{TV}}(p, q) = \frac{1}{2} \|p - q\|_1$. The variation distance at step t of the random walk is defined as

$$d_{\text{TV}}(t) = \max_p d_{\text{TV}}(P^t p, \pi),$$

where the maximum is over all initial probability distributions p on V . Given $\varepsilon > 0$, the ε -mixing time of the random walk is defined as

$$\tau_\varepsilon(P) = \min\{t \mid d_{\text{TV}}(t) \leq \varepsilon\}.$$

Standard spectral analysis in (1.1) yields $d_{\text{TV}}(P^t p, \pi) \lesssim \lambda^t \cdot \|p\|_2 \cdot \sqrt{n}$. It follows that the mixing time is upper bounded by $O(\log(n)/(1 - \lambda))$, and so when G is a spectral expander, the mixing time is bounded by $O(\log n)$.

A standard fact in Markov chain [LPW09] shows that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$d_{\text{TV}}(kt) \leq (2d_{\text{TV}}(t))^k. \tag{2.1}$$

In particular, any graph with mixing time $\tau_{1/3}(P) \lesssim \log n$ implies $\tau_{1/n}(P) \lesssim (\log n)^2$.

Edge Conductance

Given an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ and $S, T \subseteq V$, define

$$E(S, T) := \{(u, v) \mid u \in S, v \in T, uv \in E\},$$

where an edge with $u, v \in S \cap T$ is counted twice, as both (u, v) and (v, u) are in $E(S, T)$. The edge boundary of S is defined as $\delta(S) := E(S, V \setminus S)$.

The second eigenvalue λ_2 is closely related to the edge conductance of the graph, defined as

$$\phi(G) = \min_{S \subseteq V: |S| \leq n/2} \frac{|\delta(S)|}{d|S|}.$$

Cheeger's inequality [Che70, AM85, Alo86] states that

$$\frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda_2) \leq \phi(G) \leq \sqrt{2(1 - \lambda_2)}. \quad (2.2)$$

The edge conductance characterizes the mixing time of constant degree graphs:

$$\frac{1}{\phi(G)} \lesssim \tau_{1/3}(W) \lesssim \frac{\log n}{\phi(G)^2},$$

where $W = \frac{1}{2}(I + P)$ is the lazy random walk. We only consider non-lazy random walk P in this paper. Since for any initial distribution of the form $p = \chi_v$, where χ_v is the indicator vector of a vertex $v \in V$, a staying probability of $\frac{1}{2}$ automatically implies $\tau_{1/n}(P)$ is non-constant.

Graphs with Inverse-Polynomial Spectral Radius

A graph G exhibits stronger probabilistic and combinatorial properties when it has an inverse-polynomial spectral radius such that $\lambda \lesssim 1/d^c$ for some constant $c \in (0, 1/2]$.

For random walks, it follows from (1.1) that if $d = n^\xi$ for some constant $\xi > 0$, then the mixing time of a graph with inverse-polynomial spectral radius is a constant, upper bounded by $O(1/(c\xi))$.

A graph with inverse-polynomial spectral radius also has large small-set vertex expansion. Define the vertex expansion of a set $S \subseteq V$ as

$$\psi(S) := \frac{|\partial(S)|}{|S|} \quad \text{where } \partial(S) := \{v \notin S \mid \exists u \in S \text{ with } uv \in E\}.$$

Tanner [Tan84] proved that

$$\psi(S) \geq \left(\frac{|S|}{n} (1 - \lambda^2) + \lambda^2 \right)^{-1} - 1. \quad (2.3)$$

In particular, when G is Ramanujan, it has near-optimal small-set vertex expansion such that $\psi(S) = \Omega(d)$ for sets of size up to $\Omega(n/d)$.

We remark that the small-set vertex expansion condition can also be derived from the α -bipartite density condition with $\alpha = \lambda d$ (instead of the spectral radius λ).

3 Upper Bounds on Mixing Time

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3.

We show that for a graph that satisfies the small-set bipartite density condition, the variation distance to the stationary distribution after $O(1/(c\xi))$ steps of random walks is essentially upper bounded by how close δ is to 1.

Theorem 3.1 (Upper Bounding Variation Distance by Small-Set Bipartite Density). *Let G be a d -regular graph with $d = n^\xi$ for some constant $\xi > 0$. If G satisfies the δ -small-set α -bipartite density condition for some $\alpha \lesssim d/(\log d)^2$, then*

$$d_{\text{TV}}(t) \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \sqrt{1 - \delta} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{c\xi/8}}\right) \quad \text{for } t \geq \frac{4}{c\xi} + 1.$$

where $c := 1 - \log_d \alpha$ such that $\alpha = d^{1-c}$.

Assuming [Theorem 3.1](#), the proofs of [Theorem 1.2](#) and [Theorem 1.3](#) follow easily.

Proof of [Theorem 1.3](#). Since $c = 1 - \log_d \alpha$ and $n = d^{1/\xi}$, it follows that

$$c\xi = \xi - \xi \cdot \frac{\log \alpha}{\log d} = \xi - \frac{\log \alpha}{\log n} \implies \frac{1}{n^{c\xi}} = \frac{1}{n^{(\xi - \log_n \alpha)}} = \frac{\alpha}{d}. \quad (3.1)$$

By [\(3.1\)](#) and [Theorem 3.1](#), for $t \geq 4/c\xi + 1$,

$$d_{\text{TV}}(t) \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \sqrt{1 - \delta} + O\left(\left(\frac{\alpha}{d}\right)^{\frac{1}{8}}\right) \leq \frac{1}{4} + O\left((\log d)^{-\frac{1}{4}}\right) \leq \frac{1}{3},$$

where the second inequality follows by the assumption that $\alpha \lesssim d/(\log d)^2$, and the last inequality holds for sufficiently large d . This implies that

$$\tau_{1/3}(P) \lesssim \frac{1}{c\xi} = \frac{\log d}{\xi \log(d/\alpha)} = \frac{\log n}{\log(d/\alpha)}$$

□

To prove [Theorem 1.2](#), we apply the standard fact in [\(2.1\)](#).

Proof of [Theorem 1.2](#). Here we assume α -bipartite density so $\delta = 1$. For $t \geq 4/c\xi + 1$, as in [\(3.1\)](#),

$$d_{\text{TV}}(t) \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \sqrt{1 - \delta} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{c\xi/8}}\right) = O\left(\frac{\alpha}{d}\right)^{\frac{1}{8}} := \beta,$$

By [\(2.1\)](#), for $k \geq (\log \frac{1}{n})/\log(2\beta)$,

$$d_{\text{TV}}(kt) \leq (2 \cdot d_{\text{TV}}(t))^k \leq (2\beta)^k \leq \frac{1}{n}.$$

Since $c = 1 - \log_d \alpha = \log(d/\alpha)/\log d$, we conclude that

$$\tau_{1/n}(P) \lesssim \frac{\log n}{\log(d/\alpha)} \cdot \left(\frac{4}{c\xi} + 1\right) \lesssim \frac{\log n}{c\xi \log(d/\alpha)} = \frac{\log d}{\log(d/\alpha)} \cdot \frac{\log n}{\xi \log(d/\alpha)} = \left(\frac{\log n}{\log(d/\alpha)}\right)^2.$$

□

3.1 Bounding Mixing Time via 2-Norm

The main idea is to measure the mixing progress by the ℓ_2 -norm of the random walk distribution. For any distribution p , $\|p\|_2^2$ is lower bounded by that of the stationary distribution, which is $1/n$. The following proposition measures the progress of $\|p\|_2^2$ approaching C_δ/n , where C_δ is a constant depending on how close δ is to 1.

Proposition 3.2 (2-Norm Decrease). *Let G be a d -regular graph with $d = n^\xi$ for some constant $\xi > 0$. If G satisfies the δ -small set α -bipartite density condition with $\alpha \log d / (\xi d) \leq 1$ and $\delta \geq \frac{\sqrt{5}-2}{2} \cdot \alpha/d$, then*

$$\|Pp\|_2^2 \leq \frac{C_\delta}{n} + O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\alpha \log d}{\xi d}}\right) \cdot \|p\|_2^2$$

for any probability distribution p , where $C_\delta = \delta + 5(1 - \delta)/4$.

Proof. Let p be an arbitrary probability distribution and Pp be the distribution after one step of random walks. Assume $p(1) \geq \dots \geq p(n)$ without loss of generality, and let σ be a permutation of $[n]$ such that $Pp(\sigma(1)) \geq Pp(\sigma(2)) \geq \dots \geq Pp(\sigma(n))$. Let $T = [\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(k)]$ be the largest k entries in Pp for some $k \in [n]$, and let $d_T(i) = |\{j \in T \mid ij \in E\}|$ denotes the number of vertices in T adjacent to some vertex $i \in V$. Note that

$$\sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} Pp(\sigma(j)) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} \sum_{i: (i, \sigma(j)) \in E} \frac{p(i)}{d} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p(i)}{d} \cdot d_T(i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p(i)}{d} \cdot d'_T(i), \quad (3.2)$$

where $d'_T(1) \geq \dots \geq d'_T(n)$ is a permutation of $d_T(1), \dots, d_T(n)$ sorted from largest to smallest, with the last inequality follows from rearrangement inequality.

Consider first the case that $|T| = k \leq \delta n$. For any $r \in \{1, \dots, \delta n\}$, it follows from the δ -small-set α -bipartite density condition and an averaging argument that

$$\sum_{i \leq r} d'_T(i) \leq \frac{dr|T|}{n} + \alpha\sqrt{r|T|} \implies d'_T(r) \leq \frac{d|T|}{n} + \alpha\sqrt{\frac{|T|}{r}}. \quad (3.3)$$

And, for any $r \geq \delta n$, it follows from the sortedness of $d'_T(i)$ that

$$d'_T(r) \leq d'_T(\delta n) \leq \frac{d|T|}{n} + \alpha\sqrt{\frac{|T|}{\delta n}}. \quad (3.4)$$

Denote $\bar{\alpha} := \alpha/d$. Combining (3.3), (3.4) with (3.2), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} Pp(\sigma(j)) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p(i)}{d} \cdot d'_T(i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\delta n} \frac{p(i)}{d} \cdot \left(\frac{d|T|}{n} + \alpha\sqrt{\frac{|T|}{i}} \right) + \sum_{i=\delta n+1}^n \frac{p(i)}{d} \cdot \left(\frac{d|T|}{n} + \alpha\sqrt{\frac{|T|}{\delta n}} \right) \\ &= \frac{|T|}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n p(i) + \bar{\alpha}\sqrt{|T|} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{\delta n} \frac{p(i)}{\sqrt{i}} + \frac{\bar{\alpha}\sqrt{|T|}}{\sqrt{\delta n}} \cdot \sum_{i=\delta n+1}^n p(i) \\ &\leq \frac{|T|}{n} + \frac{\bar{\alpha}\sqrt{|T|}}{\sqrt{\delta n}} + \bar{\alpha}\sqrt{|T|} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p(i)}{\sqrt{i}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from $\sum_{i=1}^n p(i) = 1$. Recall that $Pp(\sigma(1)) \geq \dots \geq Pp(\sigma(n))$ and $|T| = k$. Hence, by an averaging argument,

$$Pp(\sigma(k)) \leq \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \cdot \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\sqrt{\delta n}} \right) + \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\sqrt{k}} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p(i)}{\sqrt{i}}. \quad (3.5)$$

For the case that $k > \delta n$, we simply use the sortedness of Pp to obtain that

$$Pp(\sigma(k)) \leq Pp(\sigma(\delta n)) \leq \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\delta n}\right) + \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\sqrt{\delta n}} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p(i)}{\sqrt{i}}. \quad (3.6)$$

Let $L_1(k) := \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \cdot \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\sqrt{\delta n}}$ and $H_1(k) := \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\sqrt{k}} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p(i)}{\sqrt{i}}$ denote the two terms in (3.5). Similarly, let $L_2 := \frac{1}{n} + \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\delta n}$ and $H_2 := \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\sqrt{\delta n}} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p(i)}{\sqrt{i}}$ denote the two terms in (3.6). It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Pp\|_2^2 &= \sum_{k=1}^{\delta n} Pp(\sigma(k))^2 + \sum_{k=\delta n+1}^n Pp(\sigma(k))^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\delta n} \left(L_1(k)^2 + 2L_1(k)H_1(k) + H_1(k)^2 \right) + \sum_{k=\delta n+1}^n \left(L_2^2 + 2L_2H_2 + H_2^2 \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\delta n} L_1(k)^2 + \sum_{k=\delta n+1}^n L_2^2 + \sum_{k=1}^n \left(2L_2H_2 + H_2^2 \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\delta n} L_1(k)^2 + (1-\delta)n \cdot L_2^2 + n \cdot (2L_2H_2 + H_2^2), \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality follows since $L_1(k) \leq L_2$ and $H_1(k) \leq H_2$ for all $k \leq \delta n$.

Let $\gamma := \bar{\alpha}/\delta$. It remains to analyze the three terms. For the first term,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\delta n} L_1(k)^2 &= \sum_{k=1}^{\delta n} \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \cdot \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\sqrt{\delta n}} \right)^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\delta n} \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{2\bar{\alpha}}{n\sqrt{\delta n}} \sum_{k=1}^{\delta n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} + \frac{\bar{\alpha}^2}{\delta n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \cdot \left(\delta + O(\bar{\alpha} + \bar{\alpha}\gamma \log n) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \cdot \left(\delta + O\left(\frac{\bar{\alpha} \log d}{\xi}\right) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where the second inequality uses $\sum_{k=1}^{\delta n} 1/\sqrt{k} = O(\sqrt{\delta n})$ and $\sum_{k=1}^n 1/k = O(\log n)$, and the last inequality follows by our assumptions that $\gamma \leq O(1)$ and $n = d^{1/\xi}$.

For the second term, using the assumption that $\gamma \leq \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} - 1$,

$$(1-\delta)n \cdot L_2^2 = (1-\delta)n \cdot \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\delta n} \right)^2 = (1-\delta) \cdot \frac{(1+\gamma)^2}{n} \leq (1-\delta) \cdot \frac{5}{4n}. \quad (3.8)$$

For the last term, applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
2L_2H_2 + H_2^2 &= \frac{2(1+\gamma)}{n} \cdot \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\sqrt{\delta n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p(i)}{\sqrt{i}} + \frac{\bar{\alpha}^2}{\delta n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p(i)}{\sqrt{i}} \right)^2 \\
&\leq \frac{2\bar{\alpha}(1+\gamma)}{n\sqrt{\delta n}} \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i}} \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n p(i)^2} + \frac{\bar{\alpha}^2}{\delta n} \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i} \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p(i)^2 \right) \\
&\lesssim \frac{2\bar{\alpha}(1+\gamma)}{n\sqrt{\delta n}} \cdot \sqrt{\log n} \cdot \|p\|_2 + \frac{\bar{\alpha}^2}{\delta n} \cdot \log n \cdot \|p\|_2^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $n = d^{1/\xi}$ and $\|p\|_2 \geq 1/\sqrt{n}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
n \cdot (2L_2H_2 + H_2^2) &\lesssim \frac{2\bar{\alpha}(1+\gamma)}{\sqrt{\delta}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\log d}{\xi}} \cdot \|p\|_2^2 + \frac{\bar{\alpha}^2}{\delta} \cdot \frac{\log d}{\xi} \cdot \|p\|_2^2 \\
&\lesssim \sqrt{\gamma}(1+\gamma) \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\bar{\alpha} \log d}{\xi}} + \gamma \cdot \frac{\bar{\alpha} \log d}{\xi} \cdot \|p\|_2^2 \quad (\text{by } \gamma = \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\delta}) \\
&\lesssim \sqrt{\frac{\bar{\alpha} \log d}{\xi}} \cdot \|p\|_2^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality uses the assumptions that $\gamma \leq O(1)$ and $(\bar{\alpha} \log d)/\xi \leq 1$.

Combining the three terms and using $1/n \leq \|p\|_2^2$ and $(\bar{\alpha} \log d)/\xi \leq 1$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Pp\|_2^2 &\leq \frac{1}{n} \cdot \left(\delta + O\left(\frac{\bar{\alpha} \log d}{\xi}\right) \right) + (1-\delta) \cdot \frac{5}{4n} + O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\bar{\alpha} \log d}{\xi}}\right) \cdot \|p\|_2^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \cdot \left(\delta + (1-\delta) \cdot \frac{5}{4} \right) + O\left(\frac{\bar{\alpha} \log d}{\xi}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{n} + O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\bar{\alpha} \log d}{\xi}}\right) \cdot \|p\|_2^2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{n} \cdot \left(\delta + (1-\delta) \cdot \frac{5}{4} \right) + O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\bar{\alpha} \log d}{\xi}}\right) \cdot \|p\|_2^2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

3.2 Proof of Theorem 3.1

Proposition 3.2 allows us to derive the number of steps needed for the squared 2-norm of any initial distribution to drop to around C_δ/n .

Lemma 3.3. *Let G be a d -regular graph with $d = n^\xi$ for some constant $\xi > 0$. If G satisfies the δ -small-set α -bipartite density condition for some $\alpha = d^{1-c} \leq \xi^2 d / (\log d)^2$ and $\delta \gtrsim \alpha/d$, then*

$$\|P^t p_0\|_2^2 \leq \frac{C_\delta}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{1+c\xi/4}}\right) \quad \text{for any initial distribution } p_0 \text{ and any } t \geq \frac{4}{c\xi} + 1.$$

Proof. Let $1/\beta := O(\sqrt{\alpha \log d / (\xi d)})$ denote the drop rate in [Proposition 3.2](#). For any probability distribution p , if $\|p\|_2^2 \lesssim C_\delta \beta / n$, then by [Proposition 3.2](#),

$$\|Pp\|_2^2 \leq \frac{C_\delta}{n} + \frac{1}{\beta} \cdot \|p\|_2^2 \leq \frac{C_\delta}{n} + \frac{1}{\beta} \cdot O\left(\frac{C_\delta \beta}{n}\right) \leq O\left(\frac{C_\delta}{n}\right).$$

This implies that after the next step of random walks,

$$\|P^2p\|_2^2 \leq \frac{C_\delta}{n} + \frac{1}{\beta} \cdot \|Pp\|_2^2 \leq \frac{C_\delta}{n} + \frac{1}{\beta} \cdot O\left(\frac{C_\delta}{n}\right) = \frac{C_\delta}{n} + O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\alpha \log d}{\xi d}} \cdot \frac{C_\delta}{n}\right) = \frac{C_\delta}{n} + O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log d}{\xi d^c}} \cdot \frac{1}{n}\right).$$

Note that our assumption $\alpha = d^{1-c} \leq \xi^2 d / (\log d)^2$ implies that $d^{\frac{c}{2}} \geq (\log d) / \xi$, and thus

$$\|P^2p\|_2^2 \leq \frac{C_\delta}{n} + O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log d}{\xi d^c}} \cdot \frac{1}{n}\right) \leq \frac{C_\delta}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{d^{c/4}} \cdot \frac{1}{n}\right) = \frac{C_\delta}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{c\xi/4+1}}\right),$$

where the last equality follows by the assumption $d = n^\xi$. Hence, for distribution p that is already close to stationary distribution (i.e., $\|p\|^2 \lesssim C_\delta \beta / n$), its squared 2-norm drops to $C_\delta / n + O(1/n^{c\xi/4+1})$ in two steps.

On the other hand, if $\|p\|^2 \geq C_\delta \beta / n$, there is a large drop rate such that

$$\|Pp\|_2^2 \leq \frac{C_\delta}{n} + \frac{1}{\beta} \cdot \|p\|_2^2 \leq \frac{2}{\beta} \cdot \|p\|_2^2.$$

This implies that for any initial distribution p_0 , $\|P^t p_0\|_2^2 \lesssim C_\delta \beta / n$ for $t \geq 4/c\xi - 1$ because

$$\|P^t p_0\|_2^2 \leq \left(\frac{2}{\beta}\right)^t \cdot \|p_0\|_2^2 \leq \left(\frac{2}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{4}{c\xi}-1} = \frac{\beta}{2} \cdot O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log d}{\xi d^c}}\right)^{\frac{4}{c\xi}} \lesssim \frac{\beta}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{d^{c/4}}\right)^{\frac{4}{c\xi}} \leq \frac{\beta}{n} \leq \frac{C_\delta \beta}{n},$$

where the third last inequality uses $d^{\frac{c}{2}} \geq (\log d) / \xi$ that we derived above.

To summarize, $\|P^t p_0\|_2^2 \lesssim C_\delta \beta / n$ after $4/c\xi - 1$ steps of random walks, and the lemma follows after two more steps of random walks using the calculation in the first paragraph. \square

We are ready to prove [Theorem 3.1](#).

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let p be an arbitrary probability distribution. Note that an upper bound on $\|p\|_2^2$ implies an upper bound on $d_{TV}(p, \pi)$ by Cauchy-Schwarz:

$$d_{TV}(p, \pi) = \frac{1}{2} \|p - \pi\|_1 \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} \|p - \pi\|_2 = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} \sqrt{\|p\|_2^2 - 2\langle p, \pi \rangle + \|\pi\|_2^2} = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} \sqrt{\|p\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{n}}. \quad (3.9)$$

By [Lemma 3.3](#), for any initial distribution p_0 and any $t \geq 4/c\xi + 1$,

$$\|P^t p_0\|_2^2 \leq \frac{C_\delta}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{1+c\xi/4}}\right).$$

Combining this with (3.9) and the fact that $\sqrt{a+b} \leq \sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b}$, we conclude that

$$d_{TV}(P^t p_0, \pi) \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} \sqrt{\frac{C_\delta - 1}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{1+c\xi/4}}\right)} \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} \left(\sqrt{\frac{C_\delta - 1}{n}} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{1/2+c\xi/8}}\right) \right) = \frac{\sqrt{1-\delta}}{4} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{c\xi/8}}\right)$$

where the last equality follows since $C_\delta - 1 = 5(1-\delta)/4 - (1-\delta) = (1-\delta)/4$. \square

4 Lower Bounds on Mixing Time

We prove [Theorem 1.4](#) in this section. The proof relies on the following lemma on variation distance.

Lemma 4.1. *Let G be a d -regular graph. Suppose there exist $S, T \subseteq V$ such that $|E(S, T)| \geq d|S||T|/n + \alpha\sqrt{|S||T|}$ for some α . Then, for any $t \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$d_{\text{TV}}(t) \geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{\alpha}{2d}\right)^{2t} - \frac{\min\{|S|, |T|\}}{2n}.$$

Proof. We argue that if S, T form a dense bipartite structure, then the random walks starting at $U_S := \chi_S/|S|$ (the uniform distribution on S) should bounce back and forth between S, T , causing slow mixing time.

Assume without loss of generality that S, T are minimal set that satisfies $|E(S, T)| \geq d|S||T|/n + \alpha\sqrt{|S||T|}$, and that $|S| = \min\{|S|, |T|\}$. First, we argue that a dense bipartite structure implies a lower bound on the degree for each $v \in S$ and $u \in T$. For any $v \in S$, by minimality,

$$\begin{aligned} |E(S, T)| - d_T(v) &= |E(S \setminus \{v\}, T)| < \frac{d(|S| - 1)|T|}{n} + \alpha\sqrt{(|S| - 1)|T|} \\ &< \frac{d|S||T|}{n} + \alpha\sqrt{|S||T|} - \alpha\sqrt{|T|}(\sqrt{|S|} - \sqrt{|S| - 1}) \\ &\leq |E(S, T)| - \frac{\alpha}{2}\sqrt{\frac{|T|}{|S|}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality uses $\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{x-1} > \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}}$. This implies a lower bound on $d_T(v)$ and similarly on $d_S(u)$ for $u \in T$ such that

$$d_T(v) \geq \frac{\alpha}{2}\sqrt{\frac{|T|}{|S|}} \quad \text{and} \quad d_S(u) \geq \frac{\alpha}{2}\sqrt{\frac{|S|}{|T|}}.$$

Let $d_{\min} := \min\left\{\frac{\alpha}{2}\sqrt{\frac{|T|}{|S|}}, \frac{\alpha}{2}\sqrt{\frac{|S|}{|T|}}\right\}$. Since $d_{\min} \leq d$, it also follows that

$$|S| \geq \frac{\alpha^2}{4d^2}|T| \quad \text{and} \quad |T| \geq \frac{\alpha^2}{4d^2}|S| \quad \implies \quad d_{\min} \geq \frac{\alpha^2}{4d}.$$

Consider the random walk starting at U_S . After one step of random walks, since $d_S(u) \geq d_{\min}$ for all $u \in T$, $PU_S(u) \geq d_{\min}/(d|S|)$. Similarly, for any $v \in S$, after another step of random walks, $P^2U_S(v) \geq d_{\min}^2/(d^2|S|)$. By induction, after the t -th step, for all $v \in S$,

$$P^tU_S(v) \geq \left(\frac{d_{\min}}{d}\right)^t \cdot \frac{1}{|S|} \geq \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{4d^2}\right)^t \cdot \frac{1}{|S|}.$$

It follows from the definition of $d_{\text{TV}}(t)$ that

$$d_{\text{TV}}(t) \geq \frac{1}{2}\|P^tU_S - \pi\|_1 \geq \frac{1}{2}\sum_{v \in S} \left|P^tU_S(v) - \frac{1}{n}\right| \geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot |S| \cdot \left(\left(\frac{\alpha^2}{4d^2}\right)^t \cdot \frac{1}{|S|} - \frac{1}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{\alpha}{2d}\right)^{2t} - \frac{|S|}{2n}.$$

□

Theorem 1.4 follows immediately from Lemma 4.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. It follows from Lemma 4.1 and the assumption $|S|, |T| \leq \delta n$ that for any $t \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$d_{\text{TV}}(t) \geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{\alpha}{2d}\right)^{2t} - \frac{\min\{|S|, |T|\}}{2n} \geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{\alpha}{2d}\right)^{2t} - \frac{\delta}{2}$$

For $d_{\text{TV}}(t)$ to be below $\frac{1}{n}$, we need

$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{\alpha}{2d}\right)^{2t} - \frac{\delta}{2} \leq \frac{1}{n} \implies t \geq \frac{\log(\delta + \frac{2}{n})}{2 \log \alpha / (2d)} \gtrsim \frac{\log \delta}{\log(\alpha/d)} = \frac{\log(1/\delta)}{\log(d/\alpha)}.$$

□

4.1 Expanders and Small-Set Vertex Expanders

Some natural combinatorial conditions to consider for constant mixing time of a graph are its expansion properties, such as edge conductance and small-set vertex expansion.

We discuss why they are not strong enough to attain constant mixing time in this section. The graphs that we construct have a small dense bipartite structure embedded while having near optimal edge conductance or small-set vertex expansion. It follows from Theorem 1.4 that it does not have constant mixing time.

Counterexample for Expanders

Example: Let G be a d -regular graph with $d \geq 4$, where there exist two small disjoint sets $S, T \subseteq V$ such that $|S| = |T| = n/(d+2) \leq n/d$. Each vertex in S has $d/2$ edges into T , and similarly each vertex in T has $d/2$ edges into S . The remaining $d/2$ edges of each $v \in S \cup T$ go to unique neighbours in $V \setminus S \cup T$. The induced subgraph $G[V \setminus S \cup T]$ forms a graph of degree $d-1$ with edge conductance $1/2$.

Claim 4.2. G has edge conductance at least $1/8$.

Proof. Let $W \subseteq V$. Let $W_1 = W \cap (S \cup T)$, and let $W_2 = W \cap (V \setminus S \cup T)$. By construction, $|\delta(W_1)| \geq \frac{d}{2}|W_1|$ and $|\delta(W_2)| \geq \frac{d-1}{2}|W_2|$. Assume $|W_1| \geq |W_2|$. Since $|E(W_1, W_2)| \leq |W_2|$ by construction, it follows that

$$|\delta(W)| \geq |\delta(W_1)| - |W_2| \geq \frac{d}{2} \cdot |W_1| - |W_2| \geq \frac{d}{4} \cdot |W_1| \geq \frac{d}{8} \cdot |W|,$$

where the second last inequality holds for any $d \geq 4$. Assume $|W_2| \geq |W_1|$, then

$$|\delta(W)| \geq |\delta_{V \setminus S \cup T}(W_2)| \geq \frac{d-1}{2} \cdot |W_2| \geq \frac{d}{4} \cdot |W_1| \geq \frac{d}{8} \cdot |W|.$$

We conclude that G has edge conductance at least $1/8$.

□

It remains to see that G has a small dense bipartite structure.

Claim 4.3.

$$|E(S, T)| \geq \frac{d|S||T|}{n} + \frac{d}{4}\sqrt{|S||T|}$$

Proof. Since $|S| = |T| \leq n/d$, we have

$$\frac{d|S||T|}{n} + \frac{d}{4}\sqrt{|S||T|} \leq |S| + \frac{d}{4}|S| \leq \frac{d}{2}|S| = |E(S, T)|$$

where the last inequality follows for any $d \geq 4$. \square

It follows from [Theorem 1.4](#) that G does not have constant mixing time.

Counterexample for Small-Set Vertex Expanders

To see that near-optimal small set vertex expansion also fails to attain constant mixing time, consider the same graph construction, except now the induced subgraph $G[V \setminus S \cup T]$ is small-set vertex expander of size $dn/(d+2)$ and degree $d-1$, where each set of size at most $dn/((d+2)(d-1))$ has vertex expansion at least $d/2$. The small dense bipartite structure (S, T) still exists in G , so G does not have constant mixing time by the same argument above. It suffices to check that G has near optimal small-set vertex expansion.

Claim 4.4. *For every subset W of size at most n/d , its vertex expansion in G is at least $d/8$.*

Proof. Let $W \subseteq V$ with $|W| \leq n/d$, define $N(W) = \{u \in V \mid \exists v \in W \text{ with } uv \in E\}$ as the neighbor set of W . We prove the claim by lower bounding $N(W)$. Let $W_1 = W \cap (S \cup T)$ and $W_2 = W \cap (V \setminus S \cap T)$. By construction, $|N(W_1)| \geq d|W_1|/2$ and $|N(W_2)| \geq d|W_2|/2$. Assume $|W_1| \geq |W_2|$. Since $|N(W_1)| \geq d|W_1|/2$ and $2|W_1| \geq |W_1| + |W_2| = |W|$,

$$|N(W)| \geq |N(W_1)| \geq \frac{d}{4} \cdot 2|W_1| \geq \frac{d}{4} \cdot |W|.$$

The case where $|W_2| \geq |W_1|$ follows similarly.

Therefore, we can lower bound the vertex expansion as

$$|\partial(W)| \geq |N(W)| - |W| \geq \left(\frac{d}{4} - 1\right)|W| \geq \frac{d}{8} \cdot |W|$$

where the last inequality holds for any $d \geq 8$. \square

Acknowledgement

We thank Thatchaphol Saranurak for discussions on length-constrained expanders that inspired the study in this work.

References

- [AC88] N. Alon and F. R. K. Chung. Explicit construction of linear sized tolerant networks. In *Proceedings of the First Japan Conference on Graph Theory and Applications (Hakone, 1986)*, volume 72, pages 15–19, 1988.
- [Alo86] N. Alon. Eigenvalues and expanders. volume 6, pages 83–96. 1986. *Theory of computing* (Singer Island, Fla., 1984).
- [AM85] N. Alon and V. D. Milman. λ_1 , isoperimetric inequalities for graphs, and superconcentrators. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 38(1):73–88, 1985.
- [BL06] Yonatan Bilu and Nathan Linial. Lifts, discrepancy and nearly optimal spectral gap. *Combinatorica*, 26(5):495–519, 2006.
- [Che70] Jeff Cheeger. A lower bound for the smallest eigenvalue of the Laplacian. In *Problems in analysis (Sympos. in honor of Salomon Bochner, Princeton Univ., Princeton, N.J., 1969)*, pages 195–199. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1970.
- [HHG25] Bernhard Haeupler, Jonas Huebotter, and Mohsen Ghaffari. A cut-matching game for constant-hop expanders. In *Proceedings of the 2025 Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA)*, pages 1651–1678. SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 2025.
- [HRG22] Bernhard Haeupler, Harald Räcke, and Mohsen Ghaffari. Hop-constrained expander decompositions, oblivious routing, and distributed universal optimality. In *STOC '22—Proceedings of the 54th Annual ACM SIGACT Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 1325–1338. ACM, New York, [2022] ©2022.
- [LPS88] A. Lubotzky, R. Phillips, and P. Sarnak. Ramanujan graphs. *Combinatorica*, 8(3):261–277, 1988.
- [LPW09] David A. Levin, Yuval Peres, and Elizabeth L. Wilmer. *Markov chains and mixing times*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2009. With a chapter by James G. Propp and David B. Wilson.
- [Nil91] A. Nilli. On the second eigenvalue of a graph. *Discrete Math.*, 91(2):207–210, 1991.
- [Tan84] R. Michael Tanner. Explicit concentrators from generalized N -gons. *SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Methods*, 5(3):287–293, 1984.