

# MILNOR NUMBER OF AN INVARIANT SINGULARITY: GENERALIZATION OF CHULKOV'S INEQUALITY

IVAN PROSKURNIN

ABSTRACT. S.P. Chulkov has proven that the Milnor number of a function germ  $f$  with zero 2-jet invariant with respect to a nontrivial action of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is at least  $p - 1$  for prime  $p$ . In this paper we prove a generalization of this inequality for actions of arbitrary abelian groups using M. Roberts' results on invariant morsifications for invariants of real group actions.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In [1], M. E. Kazarian has posed a following conjecture<sup>1</sup>:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Consider a germ of function  $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  with an isolated singularity at the origin and a compact Lie group  $G$  of analytic diffeomorphisms  $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  such that  $f(g(x)) = f(x) \forall g \in G \forall x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . If the Taylor series of  $f$  contains no monomials of degree  $\leq 2$  then  $G$  is discrete.*

V.A. Vassiliev has given an elementary proof of this statement based on the geometry of the Newton polytope of a germ with an infinite group of symmetries in [2]. Some time later, S.P. Chulkov has given a proof ([3]) based on the following inequality for the Milnor number of  $f$ :

**Theorem 1.2.** *Consider a germ of function  $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  with an isolated singularity at the origin such that the Taylor series of  $f$  contains no monomials of degree  $\leq 2$ . If  $f$  is invariant with respect to a nontrivial action of a group of prime order  $p$  then  $\mu(f) \geq p - 1$ .*

Kazarian's conjecture follows from this easily, as an infinite compact Lie group contains cyclic subgroups of any order.

A slightly stronger inequality ( $\mu(f) \geq p + 1$ ) can be easily proven in case of a real group action using invariant morsifications as described in [4]. These two inequalities are essentially the only known bounds on the Milnor number in terms of properties of the group action, so it is interesting to obtain a bound for the Milnor number in case of groups of composite order. Chulkov's original proof does not generalize (as far as we know) to groups other than  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

The main theorem of this paper is the generalization of Chulkov's inequality to arbitrary abelian groups. For a group action  $\tau$  on  $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  we will denote the length of shortest orbit of a non-fixed point by  $l(\tau)$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>This is essentially a local version of the Matsumura-Monsky theorem([5]). Actually the proof by Matsumura and Monsky can be easily adapted to this statement. However, Kazarian and others did not know that, and this is a good thing, as otherwise we would not have this interesting problem on Milnor numbers to wrestle with.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $f$  be a germ of analytic function  $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  with an isolated singularity at the origin such that the Taylor series of  $f$  contains no monomials of degree  $\leq 2$ . Let  $\tau$  be a nontrivial action of a finite abelian group  $G$  on  $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  by analytic diffeomorphisms. If  $f$  is invariant with respect to  $\tau$  then  $\mu(f) \geq l(\tau) - 1$ .*

In the case  $G = \mathbb{Z}_p$  this reduces to the original Chulkov's inequality.

## 2. DEFINITIONS AND AUXILIARY STATEMENTS

In a suitable local system of coordinates any finite group action on  $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  can be linearized (see [6]). So we will consider all group actions to be induced by a linear representation of  $G$ . Furthermore, any linear representation of a finite abelian group is diagonalizable, hence further we will consider only actions of the form  $g \circ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow (\chi_1(g)x_1, \dots, \chi_n(g)x_n)$  with  $\chi_i$  being group characters of  $f$ .

A function  $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  is called **equivariant** with respect to group action  $\tau$  on  $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  if there is a character  $\lambda$  of  $G$  such that  $f(\tau(g) \circ x) = \lambda(g)f(x) \forall x \forall g \in G$ .  $\lambda$  is called the **weight** of  $f$ . Invariant functions are equivariant with weight 1, of course.

For a function germ  $f$   $J_f$  will denote the **Jacobian ideal**  $\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \rangle$ .  $Q_f$  is the Jacobian algebra  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/J_f$ .  $Q_f$  always admits a monomial basis, i.e. the basis consisting of monomials

If  $f$  is invariant with respect to an action of a group  $G$ , the Jacobian ideal is invariant and therefore  $G$  also acts on  $Q_f$  by changes of coordinates:  $g \circ h(x) = h(g^{-1}(x))$ . The representation of  $G$  on  $Q_f$  is called **the equivariant Milnor number** of  $f$  and denoted  $\mu_G(f)$ .  $\nu(f)$  is the multiplicity of the trivial representation in  $\mu_G(f)$ , i.e. the dimension of the space of invariant elements of  $Q_f$ . This subspace of invariant elements is denoted  $Q_f^G$ .

A group action on  $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  is called **real** if it admits an invariant quadratic form of rank  $n$ .

The next two theorems are taken from [4].

**Theorem 2.1.** *If  $f$  is invariant with respect to a real action of a finite group  $G$  then there is a  $G$ -invariant deformation  $f_\lambda$  of  $f$  with only nondegenerate critical points.*

The set  $C$  of critical points of any invariant deformation  $f_\lambda$  is  $G$ -invariant. The action of  $G$  on  $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  induces a representation  $\theta$  of  $G$  on the space of  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued functions on  $C$  ( $\theta(g) \circ h(x) = h(g^{-1}(x))$ ).

**Theorem 2.2.** *If the deformation  $f_\lambda$  has only nondegenerate critical points then the representations  $\theta$  and  $\mu_G(f)$  are isomorphic.*

The last statement mean in particular that  $\nu(f)$  is equal to the number of orbits of critical points in a invariant Morse approximation of  $f$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *If the main theorem is true for group action with no fixed points outside of origin, it is true for all group actions.*

*Proof.* Consider the action  $\tau : g \circ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow (x_1, \dots, x_k, \chi_{k+1}(g)x_{k+1}, \dots, \chi_n(g)x_n), \chi_{k+1}, \dots, \chi_n \neq 1$  and an invariant function  $f$  with a zero 2-jet and an isolated critical point at the origin.

For each  $i$  the Taylor series of a function with an isolated critical point contains either a monomial  $x_i^m$  or a monomial of the form  $x_i^m x_j$ , otherwise the set  $x_j = 0, j \neq i$

consists of critical points. Ergo, we can assume that the Taylor series of  $f$  contains monomials in  $x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n$ , i.e. the “non-fixed” variables, as if for  $i > k$   $f$  only has monomials of the form  $x_i^{m_i} x_j$ ,  $j \leq k$  we can introduce an equivariant local change of coordinates  $\tilde{x}_j = x_j - x_i^{ord(\chi_i)}$  which will produce monomials in  $x_i$ . The function  $\tilde{f} = f + x_1^2 + \dots + x_k^2$  can be reduced to the form  $x_1^2 + \dots + x_k^2 + f|_{\{x_1=\dots=x_k=0\}}$  by an application of the (equivariant version of) splitting lemma. Then  $\mu(f) \geq \mu(\tilde{f})$  and  $\mu(\tilde{f}) = \mu(f|_{\{x_1=\dots=x_k=0\}})$ .  $G$  acts on  $\{x_1 = \dots = x_k = 0\}$  with no fixed points outside of origin, so if the inequality holds in this case, it holds in general.  $\square$

Since  $\nu(f)$  is equal to the number of orbits of critical points of an invariant Morse approximation, in the case of a real representation  $\tau$  with no fixed points outside of origin we obtain the inequality  $\mu(f) \geq (\nu(f) - 1)l(\tau) + 1$ . We will refer to this formula as **Roberts’ inequality**.

### 3. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM

For a group action  $\tau : g \circ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \longrightarrow (\chi_1(g)x_1, \dots, \chi_n(g)x_n)$  we will denote  $\tau_{\mathbb{R}}$  the action on  $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$  defined as  $g \circ (x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n) \longrightarrow (\chi_1(g)x_1, \dots, \chi_n(g)x_n, \bar{\chi}_1(g)y_1, \dots, \bar{\chi}_n(g)y_n)$ .

$\tau_{\mathbb{R}}$  is always a real action with the invariant quadratic form  $\sum_i x_i y_i$ . If  $\tau$  has no fixed points outside of origin then neither does  $\tau_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

For a function  $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$   $f \oplus f$  is the function  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) + f(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ .

It is easy to see that if  $f$  has an isolated singularity at the origin then so does  $f \oplus f$ , and  $\mu(f \oplus f) = (\mu(f))^2$ . Furthermore, if  $f$  is invariant with respect to an action  $\tau$  then  $f \oplus f$  is invariant with respect to the action  $\tau_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *If  $p_1, \dots, p_{\mu}$  form a monomial basis for the Jacobian algebra of  $f$  then  $p_i(x)p_j(y)$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq \mu$  form a monomial basis in the Jacobian algebra of  $f \oplus f$ .*

*Proof.* Start with an arbitrary monomial basis  $q_1(x, y), \dots, q_{\mu^2}(x, y)$  for  $Q_{f \oplus f}$ . Each  $q_i$  factors as a product of monomials in  $x$  and  $y$ :  $q_i = q_{i1}(x)q_{i2}(y)$ . For each  $i$   $q_{i1} \notin J_f$ , as otherwise  $q_i \in J_{f \oplus f}$ . Hence we have a decomposition  $q_{i1} = \sum_j c_{ij} p_j(x)$ . Similarly, each  $q_{i2}$  is a linear combination of  $p_j(y)$ . Therefore  $p_i(x)p_j(y)$  form a system of generators for  $Q_{f \oplus f}$ . As there are exactly  $\mu^2$  of them, they form a basis.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.**  $\nu(f \oplus f) \geq \mu(f)$ .

*Proof.* Consider a monomial basis  $p_i(x)p_j(y)$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq \mu$  of  $Q_{f \oplus f}$  as described in the previous theorem. Each  $p_i(x)$  is an equivariant function with respect to the action  $\tau$  with some weight  $\lambda$ . As  $G$  acts by conjugate characters on  $y$ ,  $p_i(y)$  is equivariant with the inverse weight  $\bar{\lambda}$ . Hence  $\forall 1 \leq i \leq \mu$   $p_i(x)p_i(y) \in Q_{f \oplus f}^G$ .  $\square$

Now we can conclude the proof of the main theorem. As  $\tau_{\mathbb{R}}$  is a real representation, we can use Roberts’ inequality for the Milnor number of  $f \oplus f$ :

$$\mu(f \oplus f) \geq (\nu(f \oplus f) - 1)l(\tau_{\mathbb{R}}) + 1.$$

As we now know  $\mu(f \oplus f) = \mu^2(f)$ ,  $\nu(f \oplus f) \geq \mu(f)$  and, obviously,  $l(\tau_{\mathbb{R}}) = l(\tau)$ . Substituting all of these into Roberts’ inequality gives us the inequality

$$\mu^2(f) \geq (\mu(f) - 1)l(\tau) + 1.$$

Solving this quadratic inequality for  $\mu(f)$ , we obtain  $\mu(f) = 1$  (which is impossible given that the 2-jet of  $f$  is zero) or  $\mu(f) \geq l(\tau) - 1$ .

#### 4. TIGHTNESS OF THE BOUND

The next step is to produce examples showing the tightness of this bound. The trivial example is the 1-dimensional representation: if a character  $\chi$  of group  $G$  has order  $k$  and  $G$  acts on  $\mathbb{C}$  via this character, the invariant function  $x^k$  has the lowest possible Milnor number  $k - 1$ . However, this is unsatisfactory, as we would ideally like to prove that a) the bound is tight in arbitrarily large dimensions; b) the Milnor number is bounded by the length of the shortest orbit when there are orbits of different lengths. To show this, we present the following example.

Let  $n$  be an odd positive integer,  $g$  a generator of a group  $\mathbb{Z}_{2^n+1}$ ,  $h$  a generator of  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ ,  $\epsilon, \sigma$  – primitive roots of unity of order  $2^n + 1, 3$ , respectively.

$\mathbb{Z}_{2^n+1} \times \mathbb{Z}_3$  acts on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  in the following manner:  $g \circ (x_1, \dots, x_n) = (\epsilon^{(-2)}x_1, \epsilon^{(4)}x_2, \dots, \epsilon^{(-2)^{n-1}}x_{n-1}, \epsilon x_n)$ ,  $h \circ (x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sigma(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .

The polynomial  $x_1^2x_2 + x_2^2x_3 + \dots + x_{n-1}^2x_n + x_n^2x_1$  is invariant and has Milnor number  $2^n$ , the length of the shortest orbit (e.g. of the point  $(0, 0, \dots, 0, 1)$ ) is  $2^n + 1$ , however the longest orbit (e.g. of  $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ ) has length  $3(2^n + 1)$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. E. Kazarian, “Characteristic classes of Lagrangian and Legendre singularities”, Russian Math. Surveys, 50:4 (1995), 701–726.
- [2] V. A. Vassiliev, “On a problem by M. Kazarian”, Funct. Anal. Appl., 33:3 (1999), 220–221.
- [3] S. P. Chulkov, “On the Milnor Number of an Equivariant Singularity”, Math. Notes, 71:6 (2002), 871–874.
- [4] M. Roberts, “Equivariant Milnor Numbers and Invariant Morse Approximations”, J.L.M.S., 31 (1985), 487–500.
- [5] H. Matsumura, P. Monsky, “On the automorphisms of hypersurfaces”, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 3:3 (1963), 347–361.
- [6] S. Bochner, “Compact groups of differentiable transformations”, Ann. of Math., 46:3 (1945), 372–381.