

CATEGORIES OF GAMES AND THEIR FRAÏSSÉ THEORY

MATHEUS DUZI¹, PAUL SZEPTYCKI, AND WALTER THOLEN

ABSTRACT. Relying on recent generalizations of the Fraïssé theory to a broader category-theoretic context, we study the class of abstract finite games played between two players and show the existence of an infinitely countable game which is ultrahomogeneous and universal with respect to said class. Certain peculiarities of our game categories which clash with the usual framework found in the literature then lead us to formulate weaker category-theoretic properties which still yield a universal and ultrahomogeneous Fraïssé limit, thus further generalizing the categorical framework for a Fraïssé theory.

Keywords: infinite games, finite games, Fraïssé theory, category theory, model theory, topological dynamics, Ramsey theory.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of the combinatorics of Fraïssé classes, started in [5], has received a great deal of attention, especially from the perspective of the Pestov-Kechris-Todorćević correspondence established in [7]. Our goal in this work is to study Fraïssé classes related to some recently introduced categories of infinite games.

Fraïssé theory is classically set in a model-theoretic context. However, in [9], Fraïssé classes have been studied in a broader categorical framework. In light of the categorical theory of mathematical games introduced and studied in [4], we consider here approaches to developing the Fraïssé theory of games from both a model-theoretic and categorical perspective, with an emphasis on the later (for reasons made apparent below).

In Section 2 we recall the basic concepts of Fraïssé theory in the classical model-theoretic context, introduce the finite objects that we wish to consider (namely, the proper finite games) and describe an example that shows that the model-theoretic approach cannot be applied to our class of finite games.

Section 3 applies the categorical framework developed in [9] and presents a Fraïssé sequence of finite games and its (direct limit, our candidate for the) Fraïssé limit, G_{Flim} .

In Section 4 we present a modification of the model-theoretic framework that addresses the shortcomings of the latter approach, culminating in:

Theorem (Theorem 4.10). *G_{Flim} is the unique (up to isomorphism) game which:*

- *is countable (in the sense that its game tree and payoff set are countable),*
- *contains a copy of every finite game,*
- *is ultrahomogeneous w.r.t. $(\text{emb}, \mathbf{FinGame})$.*

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 91A44, 18A05; Secondary 18A35, 37B05.

¹Supported by FAPESP (2019/16357-1 and 2021/13427-9).

We return in Section 5 to the categorical framework and introduce the notion of *weak finite smallness*, highlighting its key role in ultrahomogeneity of the Fraïssé limit in the classical context, characterizing which games satisfy such property and thus showing that, despite our Fraïssé limit of finite games being ultrahomogeneous (as seen in the previous section), not every finite game is weakly finitely small.

We single out in Section 6 two categorical properties weakening weak finite smallness for all small objects that, together, guarantee ultrahomogeneity of the Fraïssé limit (thus, providing a generalization to the categorical Fraïssé theory).

At last, relying on the characterization shown in Section 5 and on a KPT-correspondence, we show that, provided with a certain topology, the automorphism group of our Fraïssé limit of finite games is not extremely amenable and that the class of finite games which are trivial for one of the two players does not satisfy a Ramsey-type combinatorial property.

Our terminology and notation are quite standard. We denote the empty sequence by $()$. Given a finite sequence t with domain $n \in \omega$ (the empty sequence included, with $n = 0$), we denote by $|t| = n$ the *length* of the sequence t , and, for each $k \leq |t|$, $t \upharpoonright k$ be its initial segment with length k (the *truncation* of t up to its k -th element).

Given two finite sequences $t = (x_i : i \leq n)$ and $s = (y_i : i \leq m)$, we denote by $t^\frown s$ the *concatenation* of t with s , that is,

$$t^\frown s = (x_0, \dots, x_n, y_0, \dots, y_m).$$

Furthermore, we will write $t^\frown x$ instead of $t^\frown(x)$ and we let $(x : i < n)$ denote the x constant sequence of length n .

For two distinct infinite sequences R, R' , let

$$\Delta(R, R') = \min \{ n < \omega : R(n) \neq R'(n) \}.$$

Our terminology and notation from category theory are also standard and can be found in, e.g., [10]. For example, given a category \mathbf{C} with objects x, y , we denote by $\mathbf{C}(x, y)$ the class of all morphisms from x to y . And without any risk of ambiguity in our setting, we write $\mathbf{C} \subseteq \mathbf{D}$ when \mathbf{C} is a full subcategory of \mathbf{D} .

For a functor $F : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$, we denote by $\text{colim } F$ its colimit object (unique up to isomorphism) in \mathbf{D} , if it exists. For a family of objects $(A_i : i \in I)_{i \in I}$ in a category \mathbf{C} , we denote its coproduct by $\coprod_{i \in I} A_i$ (if it exists). For the sake of clarity, we denote the disjoint union of a family of sets $\{X_i : i \in I\}$ as $\bigsqcup_{i \in I} X_i$.

We will often regard the ordinal ω as a category: its objects are the natural numbers and there is a (unique) morphism $n \rightarrow m$ if, and only if, $n \leq m$.

Let us now recall some game-theoretic notions from [4] which we will be using later:

Definition 1.1. For a set M , a set $T \subseteq M^{<\omega} = \bigcup_{n < \omega} M^n$ of finite sequences in M is a *game tree over M* if

- (I) For every $t \in T$ and $k \leq |t|$, one has $t \upharpoonright k \in T$;
- (II) For every $t \in T$, there is an element x such that $t^\frown x \in T$.

We say that T is a *game tree* if T is a game tree over some set M and we write

$$M(T) = \{ x : t^\frown x \in T \text{ for some } t \in T \}.$$

A pair $G = (T, A)$ is an *infinite game* if T is a game tree over a set M and A is a subset of

$$\text{Run}(T) = \{ R \in M^\omega : R \upharpoonright n \in T \text{ for every } n < \omega \}.$$

We write $G \leq G'$ for $G = (T, A)$ and $G' = (T', A')$ when $T \subseteq T'$ and $A = A' \cap \text{Run}(T)$.

We refer to the elements of T as *moments* of the game, to the elements of $\text{Run}(T)$ as *runs* of the game, to the set A in $G = (T, A)$ as the *payoff set* of the game and we say that a run $R \in \text{Run}(T)$ is *won by ALICE* if $R \in A$ (otherwise, we say that such run is won by BOB).

Definition 1.2 (Chronological map). For game trees T_1 and T_2 , a mapping $f: T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ is *chronological* if f preserves length and truncation of moments; that is: if for every $t \in T_1$, $|f(t)| = |t|$ and $f(t \upharpoonright k) = f(t) \upharpoonright k$ for all $k \leq |t|$.

We say that $f: T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ is a *chronological embedding* if it is an injective chronological map.

As pointed out and extensively used in [4], any chronological map $f: T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ extends to a mapping $\bar{f}: \text{Run}(T_1) \rightarrow \text{Run}(T_2)$, uniquely determined by $\bar{f}(R) \upharpoonright n = f(R \upharpoonright n)$ for every $n < \omega$; thus we write

$$\bar{f} = \text{colim } f_n,$$

with $f_n: T_1(n) \rightarrow T_2(n)$ denoting the indicated restriction of f .

Definition 1.3 (Game morphisms). Let $G_1 = (T_1, A_1)$ and $G_2 = (T_2, A_2)$ be games and $f: T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ be chronological. Then

- (A) f is an *A-morphism* if $\bar{f}(R) \in A_2$ for every run $R \in A_1$ (i.e., $\bar{f}(R)$ is won by ALICE in G_2 whenever R is won by ALICE in G_1) and
- (B) f is a *B-morphism* if $\bar{f}(R) \in \text{Run}(T_2) \setminus A_2$ for every run $R \in \text{Run}(T_1) \setminus A_1$ (i.e., $\bar{f}(R)$ is won by BOB in G_2 whenever R is won by BOB in G_1).

We say that $f: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ is a *game embedding* if it is injective and both an A- and a B-morphism, in which case we may write $f: G_1 \hookrightarrow G_2$.

The main categories which will be analyzed throughout this paper are:

- **Gme**:
 - objects are game trees,
 - morphisms are chronological mappings.
- **Game_A**:
 - objects are games,
 - morphisms are A-morphisms.
- **Game_B**:
 - objects are games,
 - morphisms are B-morphisms.
- **Game_{emb}**:
 - objects are games,
 - morphisms are game embeddings.

All of these were introduced and studied in [4] where, for example, it was shown (see Section 9.1.2 in [4]) that the coproduct in **Gme** of a family $(T_j : j \in J)$ of game trees may be given by

$$\coprod_{j \in J} T_j = \{()\} \cup \left(\bigsqcup_{j \in J} (T_j \setminus \{()\}) \right)$$

and the coproduct in **Game_A** of a family $((T_j, A_j) : j \in J)$ of games by $(\coprod_{j \in J} T_j, \bigsqcup_{j \in J} A_j)$.

2. MODEL-THEORETIC APPROACH

Let us first recall the model-theoretic notion of a Fraïssé class (we follow [6] as reference).

Let L be a countable signature and D an L -structure (for the sake of existence of initial objects, we allow empty structures as long as L has no constants). The *age* of D is the class \mathcal{K} of all finitely generated structures which can be embedded into D . We say that D has *countable age* if its age \mathcal{K} is, up to isomorphisms, countable and we say that D is *ultrahomogeneous* if for every finitely generated L -structure A and all embeddings $f, g : A \rightarrow D$ there is an automorphism $h : D \rightarrow D$ such that $h \circ f = g$.

Now let \mathcal{K} be a class of finitely generated L -structures. Then we say that \mathcal{K} has the

- Hereditary property (HP for short) if for all $A \in \mathcal{K}$ and B finitely generated substructure of A , $B \in \mathcal{K}$;
- Joint embedding property (JEP for short) if for all $A, B \in \mathcal{K}$ there is a $C \in \mathcal{K}$ such that both A and B embed into C .
- Amalgamation property (AP for short) if for all $A, B, C \in \mathcal{K}$ and embeddings $f : A \hookrightarrow B$, $g : A \hookrightarrow C$ there is a $D \in \mathcal{K}$ and there are embeddings $U : B \hookrightarrow D$, $v : C \hookrightarrow D$ such that $uf = vg$.

Note that AP implies JEP in the case where the class of all finitely generated L -structures has a minimum.

The main result from [5], states:

“Let L be a countable signature and let \mathcal{K} be a non-empty at most countable set of finitely generated L -structures which has the HP, the JEP and the AP. Then there is an L -structure $\text{Flim}(L)$, unique up to isomorphism, such that

- $\text{Flim}(\mathcal{K})$ *is at most countable,*
- $\text{Flim}(\mathcal{K})$ *has age \mathcal{K} ,*
- $\text{Flim}(\mathcal{K})$ *is ultrahomogeneous.”*

Any class of L -structures that is at most countable (up to isomorphisms), has the HP, the JEP and the AP is called a *Fraïssé class*.

Now let us get back to games. We are particularly interested in the class of *finite* games.

Definition 2.1. Let $G = (T, A)$ be a game. We say that G is *finite* if $|\text{Run}(T)|$ is finite.

The motivation for defining finite games as in 2.1 should be clear: since a game with its set of runs being finite has only finitely many outcomes, each player has only finitely many options to choose from at every turn of the game and, from some inning $n < \omega$ onward, both players

will have a *single* option – so that we might as well consider the game to be over at such inning. In other words, our finite games are, in essence, *actual finite games*.

Moreover, let $\mathbf{CUltMet}_1$ be the category of complete ultrametric spaces with diameter at most 1 whose morphisms are 1-Lipschitz maps and then consider $\mathbf{Sub}(\mathbf{CUltMet}_1)$ as the *functor-structured category* (see Definition 5.40 in [1]) of the forgetful functor $U: \mathbf{CUltMet}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$, i.e., the category whose objects are pairs (X, A) , with X object in $\mathbf{CUltMet}_1$ and $A \subseteq X$, and whose morphisms $f: (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$ are 1-Lipschitz maps $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f[A] \subseteq B$. Then it was shown in [4] that \mathbf{Game}_A is equivalent to a full coreflective subcategory $\mathbf{MetGame}$ of $\mathbf{Sub}(\mathbf{CUltMet}_1)$, and one easily shows that the finite games defined here are precisely those which are mapped to finite ultrametric spaces by the equivalence presented in [4].

For a signature L , let \mathbf{S}_L be the category whose objects are L -structures and morphisms are L -embeddings. Let \mathbf{Game}_{emb} be the category whose objects are games and morphisms are game embeddings. We will now show that the classical model-theoretic approach to studying the Fraïssé Theory of our category of finite games is not possible:

Proposition 2.2. *There is no signature L and injective functor $F: \mathbf{Game}_{emb} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_L$ satisfying all the conditions below:*

- *for every chain of games $(G_n : n < \omega)$, $F(\bigcup_{n < \omega} G_n) = \bigcup_{n < \omega} F(G_n)$,*
- *if G is a finite game, then $F(G)$ is a finitely generated L -structures.*

Proof. Consider first the following example:

Example 2.3. *Let*

$$c_{00}(\omega) = \{ R \in \omega^\omega : R \text{ is eventually } 0 \} = \{ R_n : n < \omega \}.$$

Then, for each $n < \omega$, consider

$$\begin{aligned} T_n &= \{ R_i \upharpoonright m : m \in \omega, i \leq n \}, \\ A_n &= \{ R_i : i \leq n \}, \\ \text{and } G_n &= (T_n, A_n). \end{aligned}$$

If we let $G_{\text{Flim}} = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} G_n = (\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \omega^n, c_{00}(\omega))$, then the constant $(1 : n < \omega) \in \text{Run}(\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \omega^n) = \omega^\omega$ is a run in which BOB wins. Hence, $G = (T, \emptyset) \leq G_{\text{Flim}}$, with $T = \{ (1 : i < n) : n < \omega \}$. However, G does not embed into any G_n , as ALICE wins in every run of G_n for every $n < \omega$.

That this example concludes the proof, can be seen by recalling that if L is a signature, $\{ A_n : n < \omega \}$ is a chain of L -structures and X is a finitely generated substructure of $\bigcup_{n < \omega} A_n$, then there is an $n < \omega$ such that X is a finitely generated substructure of A_n . □

As mentioned above, the main conclusion from Proposition 2.2 is that a direct application of the classical model-theoretic approach to studying the Fraïssé Theory of our category of finite games is not possible. Of course, denoting $(\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \omega^n, c_{00}(\omega))$ by G_{Flim} is suggestive: this will be an analogous Fraïssé limit that we will obtain later on, so we still have some options left.

3. CATEGORY-THEORETIC APPROACH

In [9], Kubiś presents a categorical framework for the study of Fraïssé classes and their limits which we now apply to our categories of games.

The use of the term “*limit*” in “*Fraïssé limit*”, within the model-theoretic context, is due to the fact that said object can be obtained by taking the colimit of a cleverly constructed tower

$$D_0 \hookrightarrow D_1 \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow D_n \hookrightarrow \dots$$

It is then based on the construction of said tower that the notion of “*Fraïssé limit*” is generalized to a broader categorical context in [9] – to better suit the work done in later sections, we shall apply it within the following general setting: while working within an ambient category \mathbf{C} , we select a class \mathcal{M} of \mathbf{C} -morphisms (meant to play the role of “embedding-like” morphisms, although the framework provided in [9] can be used in broader contexts, such as that of Projective Fraïssé Theory) and a full subcategory \mathbf{F} of \mathbf{C} (meant to play the role of selecting the “finite-like” objects which we are interested in). We will also assume (unless stated otherwise) that \mathbf{C} is closed under colimits of ω -sequences in $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$ (which denotes the category whose objects coincide with \mathbf{F} and whose morphisms coincide with those in \mathbf{F} which are also in \mathcal{M}). In this case, it should be clear that the construction of the aforementioned tower should take place in $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$:

Definition 3.1. A sequence $F: \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is called a *Fraïssé sequence* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (U) for every object x in \mathbf{F} there is an $n < \omega$ such that $\mathcal{M}(x, F(n)) \neq \emptyset$,
- (A) for every $n < \omega$ and for every morphism $f: F(n) \rightarrow x$ in $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$ there is an $m \geq n$ and a morphism $g: x \rightarrow F(m)$ in $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$ such that $F_n^m = gf$ (where F_n^m denotes the morphism $F(n \leq m)$).

Thus, our goal here is to show that, even though Proposition 2.2 tells us that we cannot explore any meaningful *Fraïssé Theory* of finite games through the lens of model theory, we can do so through the aforementioned category-theoretic approach.

But first, let us recall a few generalizations of the basic concepts seen in Section 2.

Definition 3.2. We say that $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$ has the *joint embedding property* (JEP for short) if for all pairs of objects A, B in \mathbf{F} there are morphisms $a: A \rightarrow C$ and $b: B \rightarrow C$ in $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$.

We say $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$ satisfies the *amalgamation property* (AP for short) if for all $f: A \rightarrow B$ and $f': A \rightarrow B'$ in $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$ there are $g: B \rightarrow C$ and $g': B' \rightarrow C$ in $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$ such that $g' \circ f' = g \circ f$.

It goes without saying that JEP holds if $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$ has binary coproducts, with coproduct injections lying in \mathcal{M} ; and that the condition on the coproduct injections is necessary for JEP if the class \mathcal{M} is left cancellable (that is, if $f \circ i \in \mathcal{M}$ always implies $i \in \mathcal{M}$). Likewise, AP may be verified using a pushout of the given span of morphisms in \mathcal{M} , provided that the pushout injections again belong to \mathcal{M} , which is a necessary condition under the right cancellation property of \mathcal{M} . Furthermore, the AP in $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is equivalent to the JEP holding in all of the comma categories $(A/\mathbf{F})_{\mathcal{M}}$.

Now, returning to our game-theoretic context: let us denote the class of all game embeddings in \mathbf{Game}_A by emb . Furthermore, let $\mathbf{FinGame} \subset \mathbf{Game}_A$ be the (full) subcategory of \mathbf{Game}_A comprised of finite games.

Since $\mathbf{FinGame}_{\text{emb}}$ is closed by coproducts and pushouts (for these constructions in \mathbf{Game}_A , we refer to Section 9 in [4]), it follows that $\mathbf{FinGame}_{\text{emb}}$ satisfies the JEP and the AP.

We also need the following variation of the well known concept of *injective object* (see Section 6 of [8]).

Definition 3.3. Let \mathcal{M} be a class of morphisms in a category \mathbf{C} . We say that an object X in \mathbf{C} is injective with respect to \mathcal{M} if every $f: A \rightarrow X$ in \mathbf{C} can be extended through any $g: A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{M} .

Theorem 3.4. The game G_{Flim} defined in Example 2.3 is injective in $\mathbf{Game}_{\text{emb}}$ w.r.t. the class of all morphisms in $\mathbf{FinGame}_{\text{emb}}$.

Proof. Item (a) in the following lemma is essentially the proof, but we will need item (b) later, in Theorem 3.7:

Lemma 3.5. Let $G \leq G'$ be finite games and $f: G \hookrightarrow G_{\text{Flim}}$. Then there is an embedding $\tilde{f}: G' \hookrightarrow G_{\text{Flim}}$ such that:

- (a) \tilde{f} extends f ;
- (b) for all $k < n < \omega$ such that there is a $t \smallfrown x \in T' \setminus T$ with $\tilde{f}(t \smallfrown x) = \tilde{f}(t) \smallfrown n$ there is a y such that $t \smallfrown y \in T'$ and $\tilde{f}(t \smallfrown y) = \tilde{f}(t) \smallfrown k$.

Proof. Suppose $(T, A) = G \leq G' = (T', A')$ are finite and $f: G \hookrightarrow G_{\text{Flim}}$ is an embedding.

Then we recursively define $\tilde{f}: T' \rightarrow \bigcup_{n < \omega} \omega^n$ as follows.

- Obviously, $\tilde{f}(()) = ()$.
- Suppose \tilde{f} is defined up to $T'(n)$ and let $t \in T'(n)$. Then there are only finitely many elements x such that $t \smallfrown x \in T'$, so let

$$F = \{x_0, \dots, x_m\} = \{x : t \smallfrown x \in T'\} \text{ and } \\ H = \{n < \omega : f(t \smallfrown x_i) = f(t) \smallfrown n, i \leq m, t \smallfrown x_i \in T\}.$$

In this case, we recursively define $\tilde{f}(t \smallfrown x_i)$ for each $i \leq m$ as

- $\tilde{f}(t \smallfrown x_i) = f(t \smallfrown x_i)$ if $t \smallfrown x_i \in T$,
- $\tilde{f}(t \smallfrown x_i) = \tilde{f}(t) \smallfrown 0$ if $t \smallfrown x_i \notin T$, $t \notin T$ and there is a unique $R \in A'$ extending $t \smallfrown x_i$,
- $\tilde{f}(t \smallfrown x_i) = \tilde{f}(t) \smallfrown 1$ if $t \smallfrown x_i \notin T$, $t \notin T$ and there is a unique $R \in \text{Run}(T') \setminus A'$ extending $t \smallfrown x_i$,
- $\tilde{f}(t \smallfrown x_i) = \tilde{f}(t) \smallfrown k$ otherwise, where $k = \min(\omega \setminus (H \cup H_i))$ with

$$H_i = \{n < \omega : f(t \smallfrown x_j) = f(t) \smallfrown n, j \leq i\}.$$

Then \tilde{f} is clearly injective, chronological and extends f . Now, given $R \in \text{Run}(T') \setminus \text{Run}(T)$, note that there is an $n < \omega$ such that R is the unique branch of T' extending $R \upharpoonright n$, so that, for

every $m \in \omega$, $\tilde{f}(R \upharpoonright n + m + 1) = \tilde{f}(R \upharpoonright n + 1) \wedge (i : j < m)$, with

$$i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } R \in A, \\ 1 & \text{if } R \notin A. \end{cases}$$

Hence, $\tilde{f}(R) \in c_{00}(\omega) \iff R \in A$, which shows that \tilde{f} is an embedding. \square

\square

Corollary 3.6. *The class of finite games in \mathbf{Game}_A is countable (up to isomorphisms).*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 3.5, since it shows that every finite game can be embedded in G_{Flim} and there are only countably many finite subgames of G_{Flim} . \square

These results are important because we can obtain from them:

Theorem 3.7. *There is a Fraïssé sequence $F: \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{FinGame}_{\text{emb}}$ and its colimit in \mathbf{Game}_A is G_{Flim} .*

Proof. The existence of the Fraïssé sequence F is a consequence of Theorem 3.7 in [9], but we nevertheless present a direct proof in what follows.

Let \mathcal{K} be the countable set of all finite games (up to isomorphisms), as seen in Corollary 3.6, and then

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{G, G' \in \mathcal{K}} \mathbf{FinGame}_{\text{emb}}(G', G) = \{f_k : k \in \omega\}$$

(note that \mathcal{F} is countable because $\mathbf{FinGame}_{\text{emb}}(G', G)$ is finite for all finite games G, G').

We recursively define F . Let $F(0) = \text{dom}(f_0)$ and then suppose $F(k)$ is defined for all $k \leq n$, as well as F_k^l for all $k \leq l \leq n$. In this case, using $f_n: G' \rightarrow G$:

- (i) If $G' = F(k)$ for some $k \leq n$, let $F(n) \xrightarrow{F_n^{n+1}} F(n+1)$ be such that the following diagram is a pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(k) & \xrightarrow{F_k^n} & F(n) \\ f_n \downarrow & & \downarrow F(n \leq n+1) \\ G & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_n} & F(n+1). \end{array}$$

- (ii) Otherwise, let $F(n) \xrightarrow{i} F(n) \sqcup G' \xleftarrow{i'} G'$ be the coproduct diagram and then $F(n+1)$ be such that the following diagram is a pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G' & \xrightarrow{i'} & F(n) \sqcup G' \\ f_n \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ G & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_n} & F(n+1). \end{array}$$

Finally, let $F_n^{n+1} = gi$.

It should be clear that F satisfies condition (U) of Definition 3.1, so suppose $f: F(k) \hookrightarrow G$ is some embedding between finite games and $k \in \omega$. Then we may assume that $f = f_n$ for some $n < \omega$.

If $k \leq n$, then we got case (i) at the n th stage of our recursion, so $\tilde{f}_n: G \hookrightarrow F(n+1)$ attests condition (A).

Otherwise, we are in case (ii) at the n th stage of our recursion with $G' = F(k)$, so $h = \tilde{f}_n f_n \in \mathcal{M}(F(k), F(n+1))$. On the other hand, $F_n^k \in \mathcal{M}(F(n+1), F(k))$, thus $\bar{h}: \text{Run}(F(k)) \rightarrow \text{Run}(F(n+1))$ must be a surjective isometry (because both $\text{Run}(F(k))$ and $\text{Run}(F(n+1))$ are finite) and therefore h is an isomorphism. Hence, f_n must also be an isomorphism and $f_n^{-1}: G \hookrightarrow F(k)$ attests condition (A), which concludes the proof that F is a Fraïssé sequence.

We now show that G_{Flim} is the colimit of F by recursively constructing the initial cocone

$$\{ f_n: F(n) \hookrightarrow G_{\text{Flim}} : n < \omega \}.$$

- First, let $f_0: F(0) \hookrightarrow G_{\text{Flim}}$ be the extension of $(\emptyset, \emptyset) \hookrightarrow G_{\text{Flim}}$, as obtained in Lemma 3.5.
- Suppose $f_n: F(n) \hookrightarrow G_{\text{Flim}}$ is defined and let $f_{n+1}: F(n+1) \hookrightarrow G_{\text{Flim}}$ be again the extension of $f_n: F(n) \hookrightarrow G_{\text{Flim}}$ as obtained in Lemma 3.5.

It is clear that $\{ f_n: F(n) \hookrightarrow G_{\text{Flim}} : n < \omega \}$ is then a cocone which commutes with the morphisms in the sequence F . Moreover, by property (b) stated in Lemma 3.5, for every $t \in G_{\text{Flim}}$ there is an $n < \omega$ and an s in the decision tree of $F(n)$ such that $f_n(s) = t$. Thus, it follows from the fact that all f_n s are embeddings that such cocone is the colimit of F (see Lemma 6.11). \square

We end this section by noting that the colimit in \mathbf{Game}_B of the Fraïssé sequence F of Theorem 3.7 is not G_{Flim} . In fact, it can be shown that it is $(\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \omega^n, \omega^\omega \setminus c_{00}(\omega))$, instead. However, since \mathbf{Game}_B is isomorphic to \mathbf{Game}_A , we will focus exclusively on the latter for the rest of this paper.

4. ULTRAHOMOGENEITY BY AN ANALOGOUS APPROACH

We saw in Section 3 how one constructs the analogue to the tower of “finite-like” objects which gives rise to the Fraïssé limit in the model theoretical context, so that the category \mathbf{F}_M is usually comprised of these so called “finite-like” objects – we shall now tackle the matter of computing the actual direct limit of such Fraïssé sequences in order to obtain a so-called “Fraïssé limit” in a more general categorical context.

We start by noting that, even though we build the tower only with classes of “finite-like” structures in the model theoretical context, the Fraïssé limit (taken as the direct limit of such special towers) of these classes is most often not a finite structure itself – thus, it is only natural to assume that we will not obtain Fraïssé limits of a category \mathbf{F}_M with a Fraïssé sequence F within \mathbf{F}_M , in most cases. This is why we started Section 3 by considering F and M within an ambient category C .

One may wonder whether we should consider the ambient C as comprising only of the morphisms in the desired class M . However, such approach will often run into problems with the colimit-taking process:

Example 4.1. Let \mathbf{Met} be the category of metric spaces, whose morphisms non-expanding maps, \mathbf{FinMet} be its full subcategory of finite metric spaces, and \mathbf{mon} be the class of injective non-expanding maps (i.e., its class of monomorphisms). Then it can be easily demonstrated that there are sequences in $\mathbf{FinMet}_{\mathbf{mon}}$ which do not have any colimit cocone in $\mathbf{Met}_{\mathbf{mon}}$ – however, every sequence in $\mathbf{FinMet}_{\mathbf{mon}}$ does have colimit cocone in \mathbf{Met} .

Therefore, in order to incorporate such a crucial detail to our theory, we will normally be working in the following setting:

Definition 4.2. Suppose \mathbf{F} is a full subcategory of \mathbf{C} and \mathcal{M} is a class of morphisms in \mathbf{C} such that every sequence in $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$ has a colimit in \mathbf{C} . We then say that an object F is a *Fraïssé limit of the pair $(\mathbf{F}, \mathcal{M})$ in \mathbf{C}* if there is a colimit cocone $(f_n: F_n \rightarrow F)_{n < \omega}$ in \mathbf{C} of a Fraïssé sequence $F: \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$ in $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$ (here, we note that our chosen terminology extends the model-theoretic terminology by explicitly determining the morphisms which are being considered).

Nevertheless, even within the above setting, other problems arise: there is no guarantee that the resulting Fraïssé limit has the desired properties of the classical Fraïssé limit. Namely:

Definition 4.3. Suppose \mathbf{F} is a full subcategory of \mathbf{C} and \mathcal{M} is a class of morphisms in \mathbf{C} .

We say that an object U in \mathbf{C} is *ultrahomogeneous w.r.t. $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{F})$* , if for every object A in \mathbf{F} and morphisms $f, g: A \rightarrow U$ in \mathcal{M} there is an automorphism $u: U \rightarrow U$ in \mathbf{C} such that $u \circ f = g$.

We should point out that the concept of ultrahomogeneity as described in Definition 4.3 will be found in the literature only with $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{M}} = \mathbf{C}$ – we consider the possibility of a strictly smaller class \mathcal{M} in \mathbf{C} based on the fact that we are only interested to check ultrahomogeneity for embeddings $f, g: A \hookrightarrow U$ and, while it is usually the case that in the model theoretic approach every morphism is an embedding, we are interested in exploring more general category theoretic contexts in which this does not hold. In order to see this, we first explicitly compute the colimits of sequences in \mathbf{Game}_A .

Let $S: \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{Game}_A$ be a sequence with $S_n = (T_n, A_n)$ and consider \sim as the equivalence relation over $\coprod_{n < \omega} T_n$ with $t \in T_k$ and $s \in T_m$ being such that $t \sim s$ if, and only if, there exists $N \geq k, m$ such that $S_k^N(t) = S_m^N(s)$.

Define $G_S = (T_S, A_S)$ as

$$T_S = \{()\} \cup \left\{ ([t \upharpoonright i] : 0 < i \leq |t|) : t \in \coprod_{n < \omega} T_n \right\},$$

$$\text{and } A_S = \left\{ ([R \upharpoonright i] : i \in \omega \setminus \{0\}) : R \in \bigsqcup_{n < \omega} A_n \right\},$$

where $[s]$ is the \sim -equivalence class of $s \in \coprod_{n < \omega} T_n$.

For each $n < \omega$, let $q_n: T_n \rightarrow T_S$ be such that

$$(1) \quad q_n(t) = \begin{cases} ([t \upharpoonright i] : 0 < i \leq n), & \text{if } t \neq () \\ (), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then it is clear that each q_n is an A-morphism. Moreover, $q_k(t) = q_m(s)$ if, and only if $t \sim s$. In this case:

Lemma 4.4. *Let $S: \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{Game}_A$ be a sequence with $Sn = (T_n, A_n)$. Then*

$$(q_n: Sn \rightarrow G_S)_{n < \omega}$$

is the colimit cocone of S .

Proof. Suppose $(f_n: Sn \rightarrow G)_{n < \omega}$ commutes with the sequence S . We use the universal property of the coproduct to find the unique $f: \coprod_{n < \omega} Sn \rightarrow G$ commuting with the compositions of f_n with the inclusion of Sn into $\coprod_{n < \omega} Sn$. Then it follows from the fact that $f^{-1}(\{f(t)\}) \supseteq [t]$ for every $t \in \coprod_{n < \omega} Sn$ and that f is an A-morphism that $\tilde{f}: G_S \rightarrow G$ set as

$$\tilde{f}([t \upharpoonright i] : 0 < i \leq |t|) = f(t)$$

is a well defined A-morphism.

It is then easy to check that \tilde{f} is the unique A-morphism which makes the following diagram commute for every $n < \omega$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Sn & \xrightarrow{q_n} & G_S \\ & \searrow f_n & \downarrow \tilde{f} \\ & & G. \end{array}$$

□

Of course, the procedure taken to compute the colimit of the sequence in Lemma 4.4 is simply the process of taking some coequalizer after the coproduct. The benefit of explicitly showing the equivalence relation for the coequalizer is to help us show:

Proposition 4.5. *A sequence $S: \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{FinGame}_{\text{emb}}$ has a colimit in $\mathbf{Game}_{\text{emb}}$ (as a category in its own right) if, and only if, the cocone $(q_n: Sn \rightarrow G_S)_{n < \omega}$ of Lemma 4.4 is such that for every $R \in \text{Run}(G_S)$ there are an $n < \omega$ and an $R' \in \text{Run}(Sn)$ such that $\overline{q_n}(R') = R$.*

Proof. Suppose not, in which case we denote $Sn = (T_n, A_n)$ and then fix an $R \in \text{Run}(T_S)$ which is not in the image of any $\overline{q_n}$ and a cocone $(g_n: Sn \rightarrow G)_{n < \omega}$ in $\mathbf{Game}_{\text{emb}}$ with $G = (T, A)$.

Since T_S with $(q_n: T_n \rightarrow T_S)_{n < \omega}$ is the colimit cocone in \mathbf{Gme} of T (with $Tn = T_n$), the cocone of maps $(g_n: Sn \rightarrow G)_{n < \omega}$ factors through $(q_n: T_n \rightarrow T_S)_{n < \omega}$ and some $f: T_S \rightarrow T$.

Then, since $R = \bigcup_{n < \omega} q_{k_n}(t_n)$ for some $(k_n < \omega : n < \omega)$ and $(t_n \in T_{k_n} : n < \omega)$, any chronological map $h: T \rightarrow T_S$ such that

$$h \circ (g_n: T_n \rightarrow T)_{n < \omega} = (q_n: T_n \rightarrow T_S)_{n < \omega}$$

must be such that $\overline{h}(\overline{f}(R)) = R$.

If $\overline{f}(R) \in A$ and we consider $G' = (T_S, A_S \setminus \{R\})$, then $(q_n: Sn \rightarrow G')_{n < \omega}$ cannot factor through $(g_n: Sn \rightarrow G)_{n < \omega}$ (because $\overline{h}(\overline{f}(R)) = R \notin A_S \setminus \{R\}$ despite $\overline{f}(R) \in A$, so the desired h cannot be an A-morphism), thus $(g_n: Sn \rightarrow G)_{n < \omega}$ is not a colimit cocone.

On the other hand, if $\bar{f}(R) \notin A$ and we consider $G' = (T_S, A_S \cup \{R\})$, then any A-morphism $h: G \rightarrow G'$ such that

$$h \circ (g_n: T_n \rightarrow T)_{n < \omega} = (q_n: T_n \rightarrow T_S)_{n < \omega}$$

cannot be a B-morphism (since, in this case, $\bar{h}(\bar{f}(R)) = R \in A_S \cup \{R\}$ despite $\bar{f}(R) \notin A$, so h cannot be an A-morphism), thus $(g_n: S_n \rightarrow G)_{n < \omega}$ is not a colimit cocone in this case either.

The proof for the other implication is straightforward. \square

So, since the constructed Fraïssé sequence in **FinGame**_{emb} is one of many such examples in which its colimit “has branches that do not appear in the sequence itself”, its colimit does not lie in **Game**_{emb}.

Nevertheless, we will show that G_{Flim} is ultrahomogeneous w.r.t. (emb, **FinGame**). To this end, we shall first work on some adaptations of results in the model theoretical framework:

Lemma 4.6. *Suppose $G_1 = (T_1, A_1)$ and $G_2 = (T_2, A_2)$ are such that T_1, T_2, A_1 and A_2 are at most countable, that G_2 is injective in **Game**_{emb} w.r.t. embeddings in **FinGame**. If $G \leq G_1$ is finite and $f: G \hookrightarrow G_2$, then f extends to an $\tilde{f}: G_1 \hookrightarrow G_2$.*

Proof. Suppose $G = (T, A) \leq G_1$ and $f: G \hookrightarrow G_2$ (G being finite). Since G_1 is countable, let $(G_1^n = (T_1^n, A_1^n) : n < \omega)$ be an *exhaustion* for G_1 , meaning that it is an increasing chain of subgames of G_1 with $T_1 = \bigcup_{n < \omega} T_1^n$ and $A_1 = A_1^n$.

By recursion, suppose we have defined an embedding $f_n: G_1^n \cup G \hookrightarrow G_2$ extending f . Since $G_1^n \cup G \leq G_1^{n+1} \cup G' \leq G_1$, we can use the **FinGame**_{emb}-injectivity of G_2 in order to find an embedding $f_{n+1}: G_1^{n+1} \cup G \hookrightarrow G_2$ extending f_n .

We claim that $\tilde{f} = \bigcup_{n < \omega} f_n: T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ is an embedding, which concludes the proof (because each f_n extends f).

Indeed, \tilde{f} is injective, because each f_n is injective. Moreover, because $\{G_1^n : n < \omega\}$ is an exhaustion of G_1 , one has $R \in A_1$ if, and only if, R is a run won by ALICE in some G_1^n . But R is a run won by ALICE in G_1^n if, and only if, $\tilde{f}_n(R) \in A_2$ (because f_n is an embedding). Hence, because \tilde{f} extends f_n for every $n < \omega$, it follows that $R \in A_1$ if, and only if, $\tilde{f}(R) \in A_2$, from which we conclude that \tilde{f} is an embedding. \square

Lemma 4.7. *Suppose $G_1 = (T_1, A_1)$ and $G_2 = (T_2, A_2)$ are both injective in **Game**_{emb} w.r.t. embeddings in **FinGame**, with T_1, T_2, A_1 and A_2 at most countable. If $G \leq G_1$ is finite and $f: G \hookrightarrow G_2$. Then f extends to an isomorphism $\tilde{f}: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$.*

Proof. Let $G' \leq G_1$ and $f: G' \hookrightarrow G_2$ (G' being finite).

Let $\{G_1^n : n < \omega\}$ be an exhaustion for G_1 and $\{G_2^n : n < \omega\}$ be an exhaustion for G_2 .

Since G_2 is injective w.r.t. **FinGame**_{emb}'s morphisms, there is an embedding $G_1^0 \cup f_0: G' \hookrightarrow G_2$ extending f . Note that f_0 is an isomorphism between $G_1^0 \cup G'$ and $f_0[G_1^0 \cup G']$. We may then use **FinGame**_{emb}-injectivity of G_1 to get an embedding $g_0: G_2^0 \cup f_0[G' \cup G_1^0] \hookrightarrow G_1$ extending f_0^{-1} .

Recursively, suppose embeddings $f_n: \tilde{G}_1 \hookrightarrow G_2$ and $g_n: \tilde{G}_2 \hookrightarrow G_1$ have been defined in such a way that $\tilde{G}_1 \leq G_1$, $\tilde{G}_2 \leq G_2$, $f_n[\tilde{G}_1] \leq \tilde{G}_2$ and g_n extends f_n^{-1} over $f_n[\tilde{G}_1]$.

Then we use **FinGame**_{emb}-injectivity of G_2 to obtain an embedding $f_{n+1}: G_1^{m+1} \cup g_n[\tilde{G}_2] \hookrightarrow G_2$ which extends g_n^{-1} (and, therefore, also extends f_n) and then we use **FinGame**_{emb}-injectivity of G_1 again to obtain an embedding $g_{n+1}: G_2^{n+1} \cup f_{n+1}[G_1^{m+1} \cup g_n[\tilde{G}_2]] \hookrightarrow G_1$ which extends f_{n+1}^{-1} (and, therefore, also extends g_n).

We claim that $\tilde{f} = \bigcup_{n < \omega} f_n: T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ is an isomorphism, which concludes the proof (because each f_n extends f).

Indeed, \tilde{f} is injective, because each f_n is injective. Moreover, we constructed $\{f_n: n < \omega\}$ in such a way that the image of f_{n+1} contains G_2^n , so \tilde{f} is surjective (because $\{G_2^n: n < \omega\}$ is an exhaustion of G_2).

Finally, let $R \in \text{Run}(T_1)$. Then, because $\{G_1^n: n < \omega\}$ is an exhaustion of G , $R \in A_1$ if, and only if, R is a run won by ALICE in some G_1^n . But R is a run won by ALICE in G_1^n if, and only if, $\overline{f_n}(R) \in A_2$ (because f_n is an embedding). Hence, because \tilde{f} extends f_n for every $n < \omega$, it follows that $R \in A_1$ if, and only if, $\tilde{f}(R) \in A_2$, from which we conclude that \tilde{f} is an isomorphism. \square

Corollary 4.8. *A game $G = (T, A)$ is ultrahomogeneous with respect to $(\text{emb}, \mathbf{FinGame})$ if, and only if, it is injective in **Game**_{emb} w.r.t. embeddings in **FinGame**.*

Proof. Just apply Lemmas 4.6 and 4.7 with $G_1 = G_2 = G$. \square

Now, going back to our candidate for *Fraïssé limit*:

Corollary 4.9. *G_{Flim} is ultrahomogeneous w.r.t. $(\text{emb}, \mathbf{FinGame})$.*

Proof. We showed in Theorem 3.4 that G_{Flim} is injective w.r.t. **FinGame**_{emb}'s morphisms and, in view of Corollary 4.8, this suffices to show that G_{Flim} is ultrahomogeneous w.r.t. $(\text{emb}, \mathbf{FinGame})$. \square

Summarizing the above results we obtain a result analogous to the one which is true in the model theoretical approach:

Theorem 4.10. *G_{Flim} is the unique (up to isomorphism) game which:*

- *is countable (in the sense that its game tree and payoff set are countable),*
- *contains a copy of every finite game*
- *is ultrahomogeneous w.r.t. $(\text{emb}, \mathbf{FinGame})$.*

Proof. That G_{Flim} satisfies all the above follows from Proposition 2.2, Lemma 3.5 and Corollary 4.9.

Now, suppose G satisfies the above. Then G is injective w.r.t. **FinGame**_{emb}'s morphisms, so we get the desired result by applying Lemma 4.7. \square

5. WEAKLY FINITELY SMALL GAMES

The proofs seen in the literature for the fact that the colimit in **C** of a Fraïssé sequence $F: \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is ultrahomogeneous with respect to $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{F})$ relies heavily in the fact that objects in **F** are somewhat \mathcal{M} -small in **C**. To be precise:

Definition 5.1. Suppose \mathbf{F} is a full subcategory of \mathbf{C} and \mathcal{M} is a class of \mathbf{C} -morphisms. We say that an object X in \mathbf{C} is *weakly finitely small* with respect to $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{F})$ if for every sequence $S: \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$ with colimit cocone $q_n: Sn \rightarrow \text{colim } S$ in \mathbf{C} and morphism $f: X \rightarrow \text{colim } S$ in \mathcal{M} there is an $n < \omega$ and an $\tilde{f}: X \rightarrow Sn$ in $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$ such that $q_n \circ \tilde{f} = f$.

When $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}} = \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{M}} = \mathbf{C}$, we simply say that X is weakly finitely small in \mathbf{C} .

We should point out that the above definition is a generalization of the concept of *finite smallness* which can be seen in, e.g., [12] and, more recently, [2], which states that an object X in \mathbf{C} is finitely small if the Hom functor $\mathbf{C}(X, -): \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ preserves colimits of sequences of monomorphisms. Definition 5.1 is then a weakening of said property in the case which $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}} = \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{M}} = \mathbf{C}$ because we just ask that $\bigsqcup_{n < \omega} \mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, X_n) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{M}}(X, X_{\infty})$ is surjective.

As it was hinted:

Theorem 5.2. Suppose \mathbf{C} , \mathbf{F} and \mathcal{M} are such that every object in \mathbf{F} is weakly finitely small w.r.t. $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{F})$ and $F: \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a Fraïssé sequence in $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$ with colimit F in \mathbf{C} . Then F is ultrahomogeneous w.r.t. $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{F})$.

Proof. Fix a colimit cocone $(f_n: Fn \rightarrow F)_{n \in \omega}$ in \mathbf{C} and let $g, h: A \rightarrow F$ in \mathcal{M} be given with A in \mathbf{F} . Since A is weakly finitely small w.r.t. $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{F})$, there must be $n_g, n_h \in \omega$ together with $\tilde{g}: A \rightarrow Fn_g$ and $\tilde{h}: A \rightarrow Fn_h$ in $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$ such that $g = f_{n_g}$ and $h = f_{n_h}$. Without loss of generality, let us assume that $n_g = n_h = N$.

Consider the sequences $S: \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$ such that

- $S_0 = S'_0 = A$ and

$$S_n = S'_n = F(N + n - 1)$$

for every $n \geq 1$.

- $S_0^1 = \tilde{g}: A \rightarrow FN$, $S'_0^1 = \tilde{h}: A \rightarrow FN$ and

$$S_n^{n+1} = S'_n^{n+1} = F_{N+n-1}^{N+n}: F(N + n - 1) \rightarrow F(N + n)$$

for every $n \geq 1$.

Then the cocones $(g_n: Sn \rightarrow F)_{n \in \omega}$ and $(h_n: S'_n \rightarrow F)_{n \in \omega}$ in \mathbf{C} with $g_0 = g: A \rightarrow F$, $h_0 = h: A \rightarrow F$ and

$$g_n = h_n = f_{N+n-1}: F(N + n - 1) \rightarrow F$$

for every $n \geq 1$, are colimit cocones in \mathbf{C} (since, from $n = 1$ onward, they are equal to a final segment of the fixed colimit cocone of F in \mathbf{C}). In this case, the universal property of $(g_n: Sn \rightarrow F)_{n \in \omega}$ and $(h_n: S'_n \rightarrow F)_{n \in \omega}$ applied to one another provides us with the desired isomorphism $\varphi: F \rightarrow F$ in \mathbf{C} . \square

But, in view of Example 2.3, not every finite game is weakly finitely small w.r.t. $(\text{emb}, \mathbf{FinGame})$. It is then only natural to ask which are these weakly finitely small games w.r.t. $(\text{emb}, \mathbf{FinGame})$ and what kind of Fraïssé theory emerges from them.

Instead of starting by tackling this matter, though, we will first focus on the (harder) problem of which games are weakly finitely small in \mathbf{Game}_A , so that the answer relating to $(\text{emb}, \mathbf{FinGame})$ will follow from it (see Theorem 5.8).

Our goal now is to show:

Theorem 5.3. *A game $G = (T, A)$ is weakly finitely small in \mathbf{Game}_A if, and only if, G is a finite game that is trivial for ALICE, that is, $A = \text{Run}(T)$.*

In order to do this, given a non-empty game tree T and $t \in T$, let

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{t} &= \{ R \in \text{Run}(T) : R \upharpoonright |t| = t \}, \\ T(t) &= \{ s \in T : s \subseteq t \text{ or } t \subseteq s \}.\end{aligned}$$

If $\text{Run}(T)$ is infinite, one can recursively find a family $\{t_n \in T : n \in \omega\}$ such that $\{\bar{t}_n : n \in \omega\}$ partitions $\text{Run}(T)$ with $\bar{t}_n \neq \emptyset$ for every $n \in \omega$. In this case:

Proposition 5.4. *If $G = (T, A)$ is such that $\text{Run}(T)$ is infinite, then G is not weakly finitely small in \mathbf{Game}_A .*

Proof. Fix a family $\{t_n \in T : n \in \omega\}$ such that $\{\bar{t}_n : n \in \omega\}$ partitions $\text{Run}(T)$ with $\bar{t}_n \neq \emptyset$ for every $n \in \omega$. We let $S : \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{Game}_A$ be such that

- $S_n = (T_n, A_n)$ with $T_n = \bigcup_{k \leq n} T(t_k)$ and $A_n = A \cap \text{Run}(T_n)$;
- $S_n^m : G_n \hookrightarrow G_m$ is the inclusion map.

Then $(i_n : S_n \hookrightarrow G)_{n \in \omega}$, where $i_n : S_n \rightarrow G$ is also the inclusion map, is clearly the colimit cocone of S in \mathbf{Game}_A .

Now consider $\text{id}_G : G \rightarrow G$. Then there can be no $n \in \omega$ and $f : G \rightarrow S_n$ such that $i_n \circ f = \text{id}_G$, since $\text{id}_G[T] = T$, but $i_n[T_n] = \bigcup_{k \leq n} T(t_k)$ and $T \setminus \bigcup_{k \leq n} T(t_k) \neq \emptyset$ because $\bar{t}_{n+1} \neq \emptyset$ for every $n \in \omega$.

Hence, G is not weakly finitely small in \mathbf{Game}_A . \square

For a non-empty game tree T and $t \in T$, let

$$[t] = \{ s \in T : t \subsetneq s \}.$$

Proposition 5.5. *If $G = (T, A)$ is such that $\text{Run}(T) \setminus A \neq \emptyset$, then G is not weakly finitely small in \mathbf{Game}_A .*

Proof. Fix $R \in \text{Run}(T) \setminus A$ and b such that $b \notin M(T)$. Then we let $S : \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{Game}_A$ be such that

- $S_n = (T_n, A_n)$, where

$$\begin{aligned}T_n &= T \setminus [R \upharpoonright n] \cup \{ R \upharpoonright k^\wedge(b : i \leq m) : k \leq n, m \in \omega \} \\ A_n &= A \cap \text{Run}(T_n).\end{aligned}$$

- $S_n^m : T_n \hookrightarrow T_m$ is the inclusion map.

Let $i_n : S_n \hookrightarrow (T', A)$ be the inclusion map for each n , where

$$T' = T \setminus \bigcup \{ R \upharpoonright k^\wedge(b : i \leq m) : k, m \in \omega \}.$$

Then the sequence of maps $(i_n)_{n \in \omega}$ is clearly the colimit cocone in \mathbf{Game}_A of S .

Now consider the inclusion map $i : G \hookrightarrow G'$. Then there can be no $n \in \omega$ with $f : G \rightarrow S_n$ such that $i_n \circ f = i$, since $R \in \bar{i}[\text{Run}(T)]$, but $R \notin \bar{i}_n[\text{Run}(T_n)]$ for every $n \in \omega$. Hence, G is not weakly finitely small in \mathbf{Game}_A . \square

To finish the proof of Theorem 5.3, it remains to show that every finite game which is trivial for ALICE is weakly finitely small in **Game_A**. To this end, we need the following technical lemma.

Lemma 5.6. *Let $S: \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{Game}_A$ be a sequence with $Sn = (T_n, A_n)$, colimit cocone $\{q_k: Sk \rightarrow G_S : k \in \omega\}$. Suppose $x \in A_k$ and $R \in A_S$ are such that $\bar{q}_k(x) \neq R$.*

Then there are $M \geq k$ and $y \in A_M$ such that $\bar{q}_M(y) = R$ and

$$\Delta(y, \overline{S_k^M}(x)) = \Delta(R, \bar{q}_k(x)).$$

Proof. By the definition of A_S , there are $m \geq k$ and $R' \in A_m$ such that $\bar{q}_m(R') = R$. Let $S = \overline{S_k^m}(x)$ and then $l = \Delta(R, \bar{q}_m(S)) - 1$. Then, by definition of $\Delta(R, \bar{q}_m(S))$, $R \upharpoonright l = \bar{q}_m(S) \upharpoonright l$.

In this case, because q_m is chronological,

$$\Delta(R', S) \leq \Delta(\bar{q}_m(R'), \bar{q}_m(S)) = \Delta(R, \bar{q}_k(x)).$$

But $q_m(R' \upharpoonright l) = R \upharpoonright l = \bar{q}_k(x) \upharpoonright l = \bar{q}_m(S)$, so $R' \upharpoonright l \sim S \upharpoonright l$ and thus there is an $M \geq m$ such that $S(m \leq M)(R' \upharpoonright l) = S_m^M(S \upharpoonright l) = S_k^M(x \upharpoonright l)$.

Finally, by letting $y = \overline{S_m^M}(R')$, we get that

$$\Delta(y, \overline{S_k^M}(x)) \geq l + 1 = \Delta(R, \bar{q}_k(x)),$$

so that the conclusion follows from the fact that $q_M: SM \rightarrow G_S$ is chronological. \square

At last:

Proof of Theorem 5.3: Let $S: \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{Game}_A$ be a sequence with $Sn = (T_n, A_n)$. Consider its colimit cocone $\{q_k: Sk \rightarrow G_S : k \in \omega\}$ as in Lemma 4.4.

Now, in order to show that G is weakly finitely small, we can assume that $G \leq G_S$ and it suffices to show that the inclusion $i: G \hookrightarrow G_S$ factors through some q_M . So enumerate $\text{Run}(T) = \{R_i : i \leq N\} \subset A_S$.

For each $i \leq N$ we will recursively find $m_i \in \omega$ and $\{S_j^i : j \leq i\}$ such that $\overline{q_{m_i}}(S_j^i) = R_j$ and $\Delta(S_{j_1}^i, S_{j_2}^i) = \Delta(R_{j_1}, R_{j_2})$. For $i = 0$ we may simply use the definition of A_S to find an S_0^0 in some A_{m_0} with $\overline{q_{m_0}}(S_0^0) = R_0$.

Suppose the work is done for $i < N$. Then we may use Lemma 5.6 to find $k_0 \geq m_i$ and $y_0 \in A_{k_0}$ such that $\bar{q}_{k_0}(y_0) = R_{i+1}$ and

$$\Delta(y_0, \overline{S(m_i \leq k_0)}(R_0^i)) = \Delta(R_{i+1}, R_0).$$

We then may use Lemma 5.6 again to find $k_1 \geq k_0$ and $y_1 \in A_{k_1}$ such that $\bar{q}_{k_1}(y_1) = R_{i+1}$ and

$$\Delta(y_1, \overline{S(k_0 \leq k_1)}(R_1^i)) = \Delta(R_{i+1}, R_1).$$

Note that

$$\Delta(y_1, \overline{S(m_i \leq k_1)}(R_0^i)) = \Delta(R_{i+1}, R_0).$$

By repeating this process i times we then get the desired $m_i \in \omega$ and $\{S_j^i : j \leq i\}$.

Let $M = m_N$. It is then easy to check that the mapping $\bar{f}: \text{Run}(T) \rightarrow A_S$ such that

$$\bar{f}(R_i) = S_i^N$$

defines an A -morphism $f: G \rightarrow SM$ such that $q_M f$ is the identity over G , which concludes the proof.

The proof is then concluded with Propositions 5.4 and 5.5. \square

As previously mentioned, we are particularly interested in determining which are the weakly finitely small games w.r.t. $(\text{emb}, \mathbf{FinGame})$. However, note that we, purposefully, only used game embeddings in the proof of Proposition 5.5, so the same proof works to show that

Proposition 5.7. *If $G = (T, A)$ is such that $\text{Run}(T) \setminus A \neq \emptyset$, then G is not weakly finitely small w.r.t. $(\text{emb}, \mathbf{FinGame})$.*

Finally:

Theorem 5.8. *A game $G = (T, A)$ is weakly finitely small in $\mathbf{Game}_{\text{emb}}$ w.r.t. $(\text{emb}, \mathbf{FinGame})$ if, and only if, G is a finite game that is trivial for ALICE.*

Proof. It remains to show that finite games which are trivial for ALICE are weakly finitely small w.r.t. $(\text{emb}, \mathbf{FinGame})$.

So let $G = (T, A)$ be a finite game which is trivial for ALICE and suppose $S: \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{FinGame}_{\text{emb}}$ is given with colimit cocone $(q_n: Sn \rightarrow G_S)$ in \mathbf{Game}_A and an embedding $f: G \hookrightarrow G_S$.

By Theorem 5.3, G is weakly finitely small in \mathbf{Game}_A , so there must be an $n \in \omega$ and $g: G \rightarrow Sn$ in \mathbf{Game}_A such that $q_n \circ g = f$. In this case, since f is a game embedding, so must g . Thus, g attests that G is weakly finitely small w.r.t. $(\text{emb}, \mathbf{FinGame})$ for S , $(q_n: Sn \rightarrow G_S)$ and $f: G \hookrightarrow G_S$. \square

Consider the functor $\text{Free}: \mathbf{Gme} \rightarrow \mathbf{Game}_A$ such that $\text{Free}T = (T, \text{Run}(T))$ and

$$\text{Free}\left(T \xrightarrow{f} T'\right) = (T, \text{Run}(T)) \xrightarrow{f} (T', \text{Run}(T')).$$

Then Free is clearly an isomorphism over its image in \mathbf{Game}_A , which comprises of the full subcategory of \mathbf{Game}_A whose objects are games that are trivial for ALICE. Thus, if \mathbf{FinGme} is the full subcategory of \mathbf{Gme} comprised of trees with finitely many runs, then $\text{Free}[\mathbf{FinGme}]$ is *exactly* the full subcategory of \mathbf{Game}_A comprised of finite games which are trivial for ALICE, i.e. (in view of Theorem 5.3), the full subcategory of \mathbf{Game}_A comprised of those games which are weakly finitely small in \mathbf{Game}_A .

Thus, even though $\text{Free}: \mathbf{FinGme} \rightarrow \text{Free}[\mathbf{FinGme}]$ is an isomorphism, the following result highlights the crucial role of the ambient category \mathbf{C} in Definition 5.1:

Proposition 5.9. *A decision tree T is weakly finitely small in \mathbf{Gme} if, and only if, $T = \emptyset$.*

Proof. As $T = \emptyset$ is the initial object in \mathbf{Gme} , it is clear that it is weakly finitely small in \mathbf{Gme} .

The rest of the proof will be pretty much the same as the proof of Proposition 5.5:

Fix $R \in \text{Run}(T)$ and b such that $b \notin M(T)$. Then we let $S: \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{Gme}$ be such that

- $Sn = T_n$, where

$$T_n = T \setminus [R \upharpoonright n] \cup \{ R \upharpoonright k^\wedge(b : i \leq m) : k \leq n, m \in \omega \}.$$

- $S_n^m: T_n \hookrightarrow T_m$ is the inclusion map.

Then $(i_n \hookrightarrow Sn \rightarrow T')_{n \in \omega}$ with

$$T' = T \setminus \cup \{ R \upharpoonright k^\wedge (b : i \leq m) : k, m \in \omega \}$$

and each i_n being the inclusion map is clearly the colimit cocone in **Gme** of **S**.

Now consider the inclusion map $i : T \hookrightarrow T'$. Then there can be no $n \in \omega$ with $f : G \rightarrow Sn$ such that $i_n \circ f = i$, since $R \in \bar{i}[\text{Run}(T)]$, but $R \notin \bar{i}_n[\text{Run}(T_n)]$ for every $n \in \omega$. Hence, T is not weakly finitely small in **Gme**. \square

At last:

Theorem 5.10. *There is a Fraïssé sequence $F_A : \omega \rightarrow \text{Free}[\mathbf{FinGame}]_{\text{emb}}$. Moreover, the colimit in **Game_A** of F_A is G_{Flim} .*

Proof. Let $G_n = (T_n, A_n)$ be as in Example 2.3 and then define $F_A : \omega \rightarrow \text{Free}[\mathbf{FinGame}]_{\text{emb}}$ as:

- On objects, $F_A n = G_n$.
- On morphisms, let $F_{A_n}^m$ be the inclusion of G_n into G_m (recall that $G_n \leq G_m$).

Then it is clear that $(i_n : G_n \hookrightarrow G_{\text{Flim}})$ is the colimit cocone in **Game_A** of F_A , where each $i_n : G_n \hookrightarrow G_{\text{Flim}}$ is the inclusion map.

Now let us show that F_A is a Fraïssé sequence.

In order to show condition (a) of Definition 3.1, let G be a finite game that is trivial for ALICE. Then, because G is finite, it follows from Lemma 3.5 that there is an embedding $f : G \hookrightarrow G_{\text{Flim}}$. So condition (a) follows from the fact that G is weakly finitely small in **Game_A** and that G_{Flim} is the colimit in **Game_A** of F_A (we use here once again the fact that if $f = g \circ h$ is an embedding, then h must be an embedding).

Finally, striving to show that condition (b) of Definition 3.1 holds, suppose that G is a finite game that is trivial for ALICE and $f : G_n \hookrightarrow G$ is an embedding. Then, because G_{Flim} is injective in **Game_{emb}** w.r.t. **FinGame_{emb}**'s morphisms, there exists $\tilde{f} : G \hookrightarrow G_{\text{Flim}}$ extending i_n through f . In this case, it follows again from the fact that G is weakly finitely small in **Game_A** that there is an $m \geq n$ and $g : G \hookrightarrow G_m$ such that $\tilde{f} = i_m \circ g$. But $i_n = i_m \circ F_{A_n}^m$ and

$$i_n = \tilde{f} \circ f = i_m \circ g \circ f,$$

thus monicity of i_m tells us that $F_{A_n}^m = g \circ f$, which concludes the proof. \square

6. ULTRAHOMOGENEITY REVISITED THROUGH THE LENS OF CATEGORY THEORY

In view of Example 2.3 and Theorems 5.2 and 5.8, it is surprising that the Fraïssé limit of **FinGame_{emb}** in **Game_A** is ultrahomogeneous w.r.t. $(\text{emb}, \mathbf{FinGame})$. We pinpoint in this section general (and strictly weaker) categorical assumptions which hold in our setting and also guarantee ultrahomogeneity of the limit of Fraïssé sequences.

In what follows, given a pair $\mathbf{F} \subseteq \mathbf{C}$ with an object X in \mathbf{C} , we denote by $(\mathbf{F}/X)_{\mathbf{C}}$ the comma category (I/F) with $I : \mathbf{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ being the inclusion and $F : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ being such that $F* = X$ (where $\mathbf{1}$ denotes the trivial category with object $*$). In other words, $(\mathbf{F}/X)_{\mathbf{C}}$ denotes the category in which:

- objects are morphisms $x : A \rightarrow X$ in \mathbf{C} with A in \mathbf{F}

- a morphism from $x_A: A \rightarrow X$ to $x_B: B \rightarrow X$ is a morphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ in \mathbf{F} such that $x_B \circ f = x_A$.

Proposition 6.1. *Let \mathbf{F} be a subcategory of \mathbf{C} and suppose every object in \mathbf{F} is weakly finitely small in \mathbf{C} . If X is any object in \mathbf{C} which is the colimit of some sequence in \mathbf{F} , then the comma category $(\mathbf{F}/X)_{\mathbf{C}}$ has the JEP.*

Proof. Suppose $S: \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{F}$ is a sequence with colimit cocone $(x_n: S_n \rightarrow X)_{n < \omega}$ and let $f: A \rightarrow X$ and $g: B \rightarrow X$ be given with objects A, B in \mathbf{F} . Since A and B are weakly finitely small, there are $n_A, n_B < \omega$, $f': A \rightarrow S_{n_A}$ and $g': B \rightarrow S_{n_B}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n_A} \circ f' &= f \\ x_{n_B} \circ g' &= g. \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $n = n_A = n_B$. Hence, $f': A \rightarrow S_n$, $g': B \rightarrow S_n$ and $x_n: S_n \rightarrow X$ attest directedness of $(\mathbf{F}/X)_{\mathbf{C}}$ for $f: A \rightarrow X$ and $g: B \rightarrow X$. \square

We are particularly interested in fixing a class \mathcal{M} of monomorphisms in \mathbf{C} , since:

Proposition 6.2. *Suppose \mathcal{M} is a class of monomorphisms in \mathbf{C} and $(\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}/X)_{\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{M}}}$ has the JEP. Then $(\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}/X)_{\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{M}}}$ has the AP.*

Proof. Suppose $c_A: C \rightarrow A$, $c_B: C \rightarrow B$, $f: A \rightarrow X$ and $g: B \rightarrow X$ are morphisms in \mathcal{M} such that $c = f \circ c_A = g \circ c_B: C \rightarrow X$, with objects A, B, C in \mathbf{F} . Let $f': A \rightarrow D$, $g': B \rightarrow D$ and $x: D \rightarrow X$ be the morphisms attesting the JEP of $(\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}/X)_{\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{M}}}$ for f and g . Then $f': A \rightarrow D$, $g': B \rightarrow D$ and $x: D \rightarrow X$ also attest the AP of $(\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}/X)_{\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{M}}}$ for $c_A: C \rightarrow A$, $c_B: C \rightarrow B$, $f: A \rightarrow X$ and $g: B \rightarrow X$, since monicity of x guarantees that

$$x_n \circ f' \circ c_A = x_n \circ g' \circ c_B = c$$

entails $f' \circ c_A = g' \circ c_B$. \square

In our game categories:

Proposition 6.3. *For every game G , $(\mathbf{FinGame}_{\text{emb}}/G)_{\mathbf{Game}_A}$ and $(\mathbf{FinGame}_{\text{emb}}/G)_{\mathbf{Game}_{\text{emb}}}$ has the JEP. Furthermore, $(\mathbf{FinGame}_{\text{emb}}/G)_{\mathbf{Game}_{\text{emb}}}$ has the AP.*

Proof. We give a proof for the JEP in $(\mathbf{FinGame}_{\text{emb}}/G)_{\mathbf{Game}_{\text{emb}}}$, as the proof for the JEP in $(\mathbf{FinGame}_{\text{emb}}/G)_{\mathbf{Game}_A}$ is analogous. Suppose embeddings $f: G_1 \hookrightarrow G$ and $g: G_2 \hookrightarrow G$ are given, with $G_1 = (T_1, A_1)$, $G_2 = (T_2, A_2)$ and $G = (T, A)$. Let $G' = (f[T_1] \cup g[T_2], A \cap \text{Run}(f[T_1] \cup g[T_2])) \leq G$ and $i: G' \hookrightarrow G$ be its inclusion map. Letting f' and g' be the morphisms f and g obtained by restricting the codomain to G' , we then can conclude that f' , g' and $i: G' \hookrightarrow G$ attest the JEP in $(\mathbf{FinGame}_{\text{emb}}/G)_{\mathbf{Game}_{\text{emb}}}$ for f and g .

The amalgamation property in $(\mathbf{FinGame}_{\text{emb}}/G)_{\mathbf{Game}_{\text{emb}}}$ follows from the fact that game embeddings are mono in \mathbf{Game}_A , combined with Proposition 6.2. \square

The following concepts will also play an important role in guaranteeing ultrahomogeneity of the Fraïssé limit.

Definition 6.4. Suppose \mathbf{F} is a full subcategory of \mathbf{C} and \mathcal{M} is a class of \mathbf{C} -morphisms.

We say that the pair $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{F})$ has the *tight squeeze property* in \mathbf{C} if, for all $S, S': \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$ with cocone $\gamma = (\gamma_n: Sn \rightarrow X)_{n < \omega}$ and natural transformation $\theta: S' \rightarrow S$ in \mathcal{M} :

- (i) if $\gamma \circ \theta$ is a colimit cocone in \mathbf{C} , then so is γ ;
- (ii) if S' is a Fraïssé sequence in $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$, then so is S .

We will later investigate the concepts in Definition 6.4 with the pair $(\text{emb}, \mathbf{FinGame})$ in \mathbf{Game}_A , but we first motivate it with


Theorem 6.5. Suppose the pair $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{F})$ has the tight squeeze property in \mathbf{C} , morphisms in \mathcal{M} are all mono, $(f_n: Fn \rightarrow F_{\lim})_{n < \omega}$ in \mathcal{M} is a colimit cocone in \mathbf{C} of the Fraïssé sequence F in $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$ and $(\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}/F)_{\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{M}}}$ has the JEP. Then F_{\lim} is ultrahomogeneous w.r.t. $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{F})$.

In order to prove the above result, we need the following technical lemmas.

Lemma 6.6. Suppose \mathbf{F} is a full subcategory of \mathbf{C} and \mathcal{M} is a class of \mathbf{C} -morphisms, let $S: \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$ be a sequence with $(x_n: Sn \rightarrow X)_{n < \omega}$ in \mathcal{M} being a colimit cocone in \mathbf{C} and suppose that the morphisms in \mathcal{M} are all mono and $(\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}/X)_{\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{M}}}$ has the JEP. Then for every $f: A \rightarrow X$ there is a sequence $\tilde{S}: \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$, a cocone $(x'_n: \tilde{S}n \rightarrow X)_{n < \omega}$ in \mathcal{M} , a natural transformation $\theta: S \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ and $\tilde{f}: A \rightarrow \tilde{S}0$ in $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$ such that $\tilde{x}_0 \circ \tilde{f} = f$ and


$$(x_n: Sn \rightarrow X)_{n < \omega} = (x'_n: \tilde{S}n \rightarrow X)_{n < \omega} \circ \theta.$$

Proof. We define the desired sequence $\tilde{S}: \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$, cocone $(x'_n: \tilde{S}n \rightarrow X)_{n < \omega}$ and natural transformation $\theta: S \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ recursively and simultaneously. We start by applying directedness of $(\mathbf{C}/X)_{\mathbf{D}}$ to $f: A \rightarrow X$ and $x_0: S0 \rightarrow X$ in order to find $\tilde{x}_0: \tilde{S}0 \rightarrow X$, $\tilde{f}: A \rightarrow \tilde{S}0$ and $\theta_0: S0 \rightarrow \tilde{S}0$ such that $\tilde{x}_0 \circ \tilde{f} = f$ and $\tilde{x}_0 \circ \theta_0 = x_0$ (as illustrated below).



Suppose \tilde{S} is defined up to $k < \omega$, as well as θ and $(\tilde{x}_n: \tilde{S}n \rightarrow X)_{n \leq k}$. In view of Proposition 6.2, we may apply the amalgamation property in $(\mathbf{C}/X)_{\mathbf{D}}$ to $\theta_k: Sk \rightarrow \tilde{S}k$, $S_k^{k+1}: Sk \rightarrow S(k+1)$, $x_{k+1}: S(k+1) \rightarrow X$ and $\tilde{x}_k: \tilde{S}k \rightarrow X$ in order to find $\theta_{k+1}: S(k+1) \rightarrow \tilde{S}(k+1)$, $\tilde{S}_k^{k+1}: \tilde{S}k \rightarrow \tilde{S}(k+1)$, and $\tilde{x}_{k+1}: \tilde{S}(k+1) \rightarrow X$ such that $\tilde{S}_k^{k+1} \circ \theta_k = \theta_{k+1} \circ S_k^{k+1}$, $x_{k+1} = \tilde{x}_{k+1} \circ \theta_{k+1}$ and

$\tilde{x}_k = \tilde{x}_{k+1} \circ \tilde{S}_k^{k+1}$ (as illustrated below).



Hence the construction is complete. \square

Now suppose $S, R: \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$ are two Fraïssé sequences in $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$ and $f: R_0 \rightarrow S_{n_0}$ is in $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$. Then, using condition (b) of 3.1 for R , there must be an $n_1 > n_0$ and an $f_1: S_{n_0} \rightarrow R_{n_1}$ such that $f_1 \circ f = R_0^{n_1}$. We can now apply condition (b) of 3.1 for S , thus obtaining $n_2 > n_1$ and an $f_2: R_{n_1} \rightarrow S_{n_2}$ such that $f_2 \circ f_1 = S_{n_0}^{n_2}$. By proceeding in this manner we construct a so called *back-and-forth* between R and S which starts with the given $f: R_0 \rightarrow S_{n_0}$. Formally:

Definition 6.7. Given an order embedding $\varphi: \omega \rightarrow \omega$, we denote by $\varphi_{\text{even}}, \varphi_{\text{odd}}, \varphi_{+1}: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ the order embeddings such that

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_{\text{even}}(n) &= \varphi(2n), \\ \varphi_{\text{odd}}(n) &= \varphi(2n+1), \\ \varphi_{+1}(n) &= \varphi(n+1).\end{aligned}$$

We say that $S': \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is a subsequence of $S: \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ if there is an order embedding $\varphi: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ such that $S' = S \circ \varphi$. In this case, we write $S'k = S_{n_k}$, where $n_k = \varphi(k)$.

A *back-and-forth* between two sequences $R, S: \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ in a category \mathbf{C} is an order embedding $\varphi: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ together with a pair of natural transformations

$$\begin{aligned}\theta: R \circ \varphi_{\text{even}} &\rightarrow S \circ \varphi_{\text{odd}} \\ \eta: S \circ \varphi_{\text{odd}} &\rightarrow R \circ (\varphi_{\text{even}})_{+1}\end{aligned}$$

such that the following diagrams commute for each $k < \omega$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} R: & \cdots & \longrightarrow & R_{\varphi(2k)} & \xrightarrow[R_{\varphi(2k)}]{R_{\varphi(2k+2)}} & R_{\varphi(2k+2)} & \longrightarrow \cdots \\ & & & \searrow \theta_k & & \nearrow \eta_k & \searrow \theta_{k+1} \\ S: & \cdots & \longrightarrow & S_{\varphi(2k+1)} & \xrightarrow[S_{\varphi(2k+1)}]{S_{\varphi(2k+3)}} & S_{\varphi(2k+3)} & \longrightarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

This concept is particularly relevant for us because:

Lemma 6.8. *Suppose \mathbf{F} is a full subcategory of \mathbf{C} and \mathcal{M} is a class of \mathbf{C} -morphisms, let $R, S: \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$ be sequences with $(r_n: Rn \rightarrow X)_{n < \omega}$ and $(s_n: Sn \rightarrow X)_{n < \omega}$ in \mathcal{M} being colimit cocones in \mathbf{C} .*

If there is a back-and-forth between R and S , then there is an isomorphism $f: X \rightarrow X$ which commutes with the cocones $(r_n: Rn \rightarrow X)_{n < \omega}$ and $(s_n: Sn \rightarrow X)_{n < \omega}$, together with the back-and-forth.

Proof. Let $\varphi: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ be the order embedding which, together with the natural transformations $\theta: R \circ \varphi_{\text{even}} \rightarrow S \circ \varphi_{\text{odd}}$ and $\eta: S \circ \varphi_{\text{odd}} \rightarrow R \circ \varphi_{\text{even}+1}$, attests the back-and-forth between R and S . Note that $(r_{\varphi(2n)}: R\varphi(2n) \rightarrow X)_{n < \omega}$ and $(s_{\varphi(2n+1)}: S\varphi(2n+1) \rightarrow X)_{n < \omega}$ are colimit cocones as well (see, e.g., Theorem 1 in page 217 of [10]).

For each $n \in \omega$, let $r'_{\varphi(2n)} = s_{\varphi(2n+1)} \circ \theta_n: R\varphi(2n) \rightarrow X$. Then, since θ is a natural transformation, $(r'_{\varphi(2n)}: Rn \rightarrow X)_{n < \omega}$ is a cocone. In this case, since $(r_{\varphi(2n)}: Rn \rightarrow X)_{n < \omega}$ is a colimit cocone, there must be a unique $f: X \rightarrow X$ such that $f \circ r_{\varphi(2n)} = r'_{\varphi(2n)} = s_{\varphi(2n+1)} \circ \theta_n$ for every $n < \omega$. Similarly, we can find a unique $g: X \rightarrow X$ such that $g \circ s_{\varphi(2n+1)} = s'_{\varphi(2n+1)} = r_{\varphi(2n+2)} \circ \eta_n$.

We claim that $f \circ g = g \circ f = \text{id}_X$, which concludes the proof. Indeed, let $n < \omega$. Then

$$(g \circ f) \circ r_{\varphi(2n)} = g \circ r'_{\varphi(2n)} = g \circ (s_{\varphi(2n+1)} \circ \theta_n).$$

On the other hand,

$$g \circ s_{\varphi(2n+1)} = s'_{\varphi(2n+1)} = r_{\varphi(2n+2)} \circ \eta_n$$

Thus, since the back-and-forth condition tells us that $\eta_n \circ \theta_n = R_{\varphi(2n)}^{\varphi(2n+2)}$, we obtain that

$$(g \circ f) \circ r_{\varphi(2n)} = r_{\varphi(2n+2)} \circ R_{\varphi(2n)}^{\psi(\varphi(n))} = r_{\varphi(2n)}.$$

In this case, it follows from the universal property of the colimit cocone that $g \circ f = \text{id}_X$. The proof of $f \circ g = \text{id}_X$ is analogous. \square

At last:

Proof of Theorem 6.5: Let $g, h: A \rightarrow F_{\text{lim}}$ be given. Then we use Lemma 6.6 to find another sequence $S: \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ with a cocone $(s_n: Sn \rightarrow F_{\text{lim}})_{n < \omega}$ in \mathcal{M} squeezed between F and F , together with $\tilde{g}: A \rightarrow S_0$ in $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$ such that $g = s_0 \circ \tilde{g}$. The tight squeeze property of $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{F})$ in \mathbf{C} then entails that $(s_n: Sn \rightarrow F_{\text{lim}})_{n < \omega}$ is a colimit cocone in \mathbf{C} and that S is a Fraïssé sequence in $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$ as well.

We now apply Lemma 6.6 again, this time to S , $(s_n: Sn \rightarrow F_{\text{lim}})_{n < \omega}$ and $g: A \rightarrow F_{\text{lim}}$ in order to find yet another Fraïssé sequence $R: \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$ with a cocone $(\tilde{r}_n: Rn \rightarrow F_{\text{lim}})_{n < \omega}$, together with $\tilde{h}: A \rightarrow R_0$ such that $h = r_0 \circ \tilde{h}$, which is squeezed between S and F_{lim} .

Consider the sequence $\tilde{S}: \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$ which is equal to S concatenated with $\tilde{g}: A \rightarrow S_0$ so that $\tilde{S}n + 1 = Sn$ for every $n < \omega$ and \tilde{S} is a Fraïssé sequence (due to the amalgamation property in $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$). Since R is a Fraïssé sequence as well, there is a back-and-forth between \tilde{S} and R given by an order embedding $\varphi: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ together with a pair of natural transformations

$$\begin{aligned} \theta: \tilde{S} \circ \varphi_{\text{even}} &\rightarrow R \circ \varphi_{\text{odd}} \\ \eta: R \circ \varphi_{\text{odd}} &\rightarrow \tilde{S} \circ \varphi_{\text{even}+1} \end{aligned}$$

which starts with $\theta_0 = R_0^1 \circ \tilde{h}$ (in particular, $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $\varphi(1) = 1$), as illustrated in the commuting diagram below.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & \tilde{S}0 = \tilde{S}\varphi(0) & & \tilde{S}\varphi(2) & & & \\
 & \parallel & & \parallel & & & \\
 \text{S:} & A & \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}} & S0 & \xrightarrow{S_0^{\varphi(2)-1}} & S(\varphi(2)-1) & \longrightarrow \dots \\
 & \downarrow \tilde{h} & \searrow \theta_0 & & \nearrow \eta_0 & \searrow \theta_1 & \\
 \text{R:} & R0 & \xrightarrow{R_0^1} & R1 & \xrightarrow{R_{\varphi(1)}^{\varphi(3)}} & R\varphi(3) & \longrightarrow \dots \\
 & & & \parallel & & & \\
 & & & R\varphi(1) & & &
 \end{array}$$

It thus follows from Lemma 6.8 that there is an isomorphism $x: F_{\text{lim}} \rightarrow F_{\text{lim}}$ such that, in particular,

$$h = r_0 \circ \tilde{h} = r_1 \circ R_0^1 \circ \tilde{h} = r_1 \circ \theta_0 = x \circ s_{\varphi(2)-1} \circ \eta_0 \circ \theta_0 = x \circ s_{\varphi(2)-1} \circ S_0^{\varphi(2)-1} \circ \tilde{g} = x \circ g,$$

which concludes the proof. \square

Back to the games, we want to show:

Theorem 6.9. *The pair $(\text{emb}, \mathbf{FinGame})$ has the tight squeeze property in \mathbf{Game}_A .*

In order to do this, let us consider the following common notion from category theory:

Definition 6.10. Suppose \mathbf{C} is a category with arbitrary coproducts and let \mathcal{C} be a class of morphisms in \mathbf{C} . We say that a family $\mathcal{F} = \{A_i \xrightarrow{f_i} X : i \in I\}$ of morphisms in \mathbf{C} is *jointly* \mathcal{C} if the morphism $f: \coprod_{i \in I} A_i \rightarrow X$ given by the universal property of the coproduct inclusions applied to \mathcal{F} is in \mathcal{C} .

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{E} &= \left\{ (T, A) \xrightarrow{f} (T', A') : T' = f[T] \right\} \\
 \mathcal{E}^* &= \left\{ (T, A) \xrightarrow{f} (T', A') : f \in \mathcal{E}, A' = \bar{f}[A] \right\},
 \end{aligned}$$

be families of A-morphisms (the notation is taken from [4]). As seen in Proposition 3.3 of [4], \mathcal{E} is the class of epimorphisms in \mathbf{Game}_A .

Then it follows directly from Lemma 4.4 that

Lemma 6.11. *Let $S: \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{Game}_A$ be a sequence of injective maps. Then a cocone $(g_n: S_n \rightarrow G)_{n < \omega}$ is a colimit in \mathbf{Game}_A if, and only if, it is comprised of injective maps and it is jointly \mathcal{E}^* .*

Proof. Note that the colimit cocone used in Lemma 4.4 has the desired properties when S is a sequence of injective maps. Hence, the result follows from the observation that the composition of injective maps with isomorphisms in \mathbf{Game}_A (which are bijective A and B-morphisms) are

injective maps and that $g \circ \mathcal{F} = \{g \circ f : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is jointly \mathcal{E}^* whenever \mathcal{F} is and g is an isomorphism. \square

Remark 6.12. Four distinct orthogonal factorization systems were presented for \mathbf{Game}_A in [4], with the pair $(\mathcal{E}^*, \mathcal{M})$, where \mathcal{M} denotes the class of injective A -morphisms in \mathbf{Game}_A , among them. In this case, Lemma 6.11 shows that $(\mathcal{E}^*, \mathcal{M})$ is particularly “special” in the sense that the class \mathcal{E}^* also complements \mathcal{M} for the colimit of \mathcal{M} -sequences – it can also be shown that a simple dualization of Definition 6.10 also yields a dualization of Lemma 6.11.

This property is not shared by any of the other three factorization systems of \mathbf{Game}_A presented in [4]. Indeed, consider $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M}_*)$, where \mathcal{M}_* denotes the class of game embeddings, $F_A : \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{FinAGame}_{\text{emb}}$ be the sequence obtained in Theorem 5.10 and fix a colimit cocone $(f_n : F_A n \rightarrow G_{\text{Flim}})_{n < \omega}$ in \mathbf{Game}_A . Let $G = (\bigcup_{n < \omega} \omega^n, \omega^\omega)$. Then $(f_n : F_A n \rightarrow G)_{n < \omega}$ is also a cocone of game embeddings which is jointly \mathcal{E} , despite not existing any A -morphism $f : G \rightarrow G_{\text{Flim}}$ through which $(f_n : F_A n \rightarrow G_{\text{Flim}})_{n < \omega}$ factors.

Proof of Theorem 6.9: Suppose $S : \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{FinGame}_{\text{emb}}$ with cocone $(x_n : S n \rightarrow G)_{n < \omega}$ and natural transformation $\theta : S' \rightarrow S$ in $\mathbf{Game}_{\text{emb}}$ are such that $(x_n \circ \theta_n : S'_n \rightarrow G)_{n < \omega}$ is a colimit cocone in \mathbf{Game}_A .

Since $(x_n : S n \rightarrow G)_{n < \omega}$ is a collection of game embeddings, it suffices to show in view of Lemma 6.11 that it is also jointly \mathcal{E}^* . Suppose $G = (T, A)$, $S n = (T_n, A_n)$ and $S n' = (T'_n, A'_n)$ for each $n < \omega$. Given $t \in T$, since $(x_n \circ \theta_n : S'_n \rightarrow G)_{n < \omega}$ is jointly \mathcal{E}^* (we use the other implication of Lemma 6.11 here) there is an $n \in \omega$ and an $s \in T'_n$ such that $x_n \circ \theta_n(s) = t$. Then $\theta_n(s)$ attests that $(x_n : S n \rightarrow G)_{n < \omega}$ is jointly \mathcal{E} for t . Finally, let $R \in A$. Once again, since $(x_n \circ \theta_n : S'_n \rightarrow G)_{n < \omega}$ is jointly \mathcal{E}^* , there is an $n \in \omega$ and an $R' \in A'_n$ such that $\overline{x_n \circ \theta_n(R')} = \overline{x_n} \circ \overline{\theta_n(R')} = R$. Then $\overline{\theta_n(R')}$ attests that $(x_n : S n \rightarrow G)_{n < \omega}$ is jointly \mathcal{E}^* for R .

Now suppose that, furthermore, S' is a Fraïssé sequence in $\mathbf{FinGame}_{\text{emb}}$. In order to show that S is a Fraïssé sequence as well, it suffices to show that for every $f : S n \rightarrow G_0$ there is a $g : G_0 \rightarrow S m$ for some $m > n$ such that $g \circ f = S_n^m$. We will provide the proof for the case in which the game tree T_0 of G_0 as a single branch which is not on the image of f (the proof of the general case can then be obtained by a simple induction on the number of such branches), so let $R \in \text{Run}(T_0)$ be said branch and $t \in T_0$ be the last moment of R which is in the image of f .

Since S' is a Fraïssé sequence and S is squeezed between S' and the Fraïssé limit G , it follows that there must be an $m > n$ such that $\theta_m : S' m \rightarrow S m$ contains $S_n^m(t)$ in its image and such that there is an $R' \in \text{Run}(S' m)$ extending $\theta_m^{-1}(S_n^m(t))$ with the same winning condition as R (that is, such that ALICE wins in R' if, and only if, ALICE wins in R). Thus, we can let $g : G_0 \rightarrow S m$ be such that $g(s) = S_n^m$ for every s in the image of f and $\overline{g(R)} = \overline{\theta_m(R')}$, which concludes the proof. \square

Thus, we have now provided a categorical proof of the previously shown:

Corollary 6.13. G_{Flim} is ultrahomogeneous w.r.t. $(\text{emb}, \mathbf{FinGame})$ in \mathbf{Game}_A .

And it should be emphasized here that, while the proof provided in Section 4 for the above Corollary may be regarded as “more straightfoward”, it can also be seen as “more accidental”

– since it relies a lot on the particularities of the objects and embeddings of \mathbf{Game}_A . With the categorical proof provided in this section, on the other hand, we are able to reach deeper into the properties of the category \mathbf{Game}_A which can be generalized to other categories in order to guarantee the ultrahomogeneity of their Fraïssé limits.

We have already explained the importance of considering a proper subclass \mathcal{M} of \mathbf{C} , instead of letting $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{M}} = \mathbf{C}$ in Section 5, but we provide here yet another argument to the significance of the further generality of the proper subclass of morphisms in our context.

Indeed, this is made clear by looking at Theorem 6.9: replacing \mathbf{Game}_A by $\mathbf{Game}_{\text{emb}}$ in it does not work, since the pair $(\text{Morph}(\mathbf{Game}_A), \mathbf{FinGame})$ fails to have the tight squeeze property in \mathbf{Game}_A , as illustrated in the example below.

Example 6.14. *Consider*

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= \{ (0 : k < n) : n < \omega \}, & G_1 &= (T_1, \emptyset) \\ T_2 &= \{ (i : k < n) : i \in \{0, 1\}, n < \omega \}, & G_2 &= (T_2, \emptyset) \end{aligned}$$

Then G_1 and G_2 are finite games. Let $S_1 : \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{FinGame}_{\text{emb}}$ and $S_2 : \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{FinGame}_{\text{emb}}$ be such that $S_1 n = G_1$ and $S_2 n = G_2$ for every $n < \omega$ (with the identity being the connecting maps).

In this case, if we consider the unique chronological $f : T_2 \rightarrow T_1$, then S_2 with the cocone $(f : S_2 n \rightarrow G_1)_{n < \omega}$ in \mathbf{Game}_A is squeezed between S_1 and G_1 . However, $(f : S_2 n \rightarrow G_1)_{n < \omega}$ in \mathbf{Game}_A is clearly not a colimit cocone of S_2 in \mathbf{Game}_A .

7. A BRIEF STUDY OF THE KPT-CORRESPONDENCE OVER FINITE GAMES

The *KPT-correspondence* established in [7] relates properties of the topological automorphisms group of the Fraïssé limit of an L -structure to combinatorial properties of the class of finitely generated substructures. We end this subsection by fully describing $\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{Game}}(G_{\text{Flim}})$ in terms of a known group (we don't specify here \mathbf{Game}_A or \mathbf{Game}_B because the automorphism group is the same in both categories).

Let $S = \{0\} \cup \{1/n : n > 0\}$ and denote by \mathcal{U}_S the class of all finite ultrametric spaces whose distance function's range is contained in S .

Then \mathcal{U}_S is a Fraïssé class in the classical model theoretical sense. In fact, such classes, as well as its Fraïssé limit and its automorphism group, were studied in depth in [11].

Note that $\text{Met}(G_{\text{Flim}}) = ((\omega^\omega, d), c_{00}(\omega))$, where

$$d(R, R') = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Delta(R, R') + 1} & \text{if } R \neq R', \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then it can easily be shown that $\text{Flim}(\mathcal{U}_S)$ is isometric to $c_{00}(\omega)$ with the induced subspace metric, so we may consider $\text{Flim}(\mathcal{U}_S) = c_{00}(\omega)$.

On the other hand, (ω^ω, d) is the completion of the subspace $c_{00}(\omega)$. In this case, any isometry f from $c_{00}(\omega)$ into itself can be uniquely extended to an isometry \tilde{f} from ω^ω into itself. Moreover, note that $f \in \text{Aut}_{\text{Sub}(\mathbf{CUltMet}_1)}((\omega^\omega, d), c_{00}(\omega))$ if, and only if, f is an isometry from ω^ω into itself that restricts to an isometry from $c_{00}(\omega)$ into itself. Hence, in view of Theorem 7.3 in [4]:

Proposition 7.1. *The group $\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{Game}}(G_{\text{Flim}})$ is isomorphic to $\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{UltMet}_1}(\text{Flim}(\mathcal{U}_s))$. Namely, the map*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{Game}}(G_{\text{Flim}}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{UltMet}_1}(\text{Flim}(\mathcal{U}_s)) \\ f & \longmapsto & \bar{f}|_{c_{00}(\omega)} \end{array}$$

is a group isomorphism.

However, the so called KPT-correspondence explores the properties of the *topological* automorphisms group of the Fraïssé limit and we have not yet imbued $\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{Game}}(G_{\text{Flim}})$ with any topology.

In view of Proposition 7.1, we can induce a topology τ_φ over $\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{Game}}(G_{\text{Flim}})$ by the group isomorphism $\varphi: \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{Game}}(G_{\text{Flim}}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{UltMet}_1}(\text{Flim}(\mathcal{U}_s))$, considering that $\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{UltMet}_1}(\text{Flim}(\mathcal{U}_s))$ is taken with the classical topology of pointwise convergence.

Recall that a topological group G is said to be *extremely amenable* if every continuous action $G \curvearrowright X$ over a compact space X has a fixed point. Model-theoretic KPT-correspondence tells us that the automorphisms group of a Fraïssé limit is extremely amenable if, and only if, its Fraïssé class is *Ramsey* (see [7]). As we are not within the model-theoretic context, though, we need to rely on some generalizations from [3]:

Definition 7.2. [Definition 2.1 in [3]] Suppose \mathbf{F} is a full subcategory of \mathbf{C} and \mathcal{M} is a class of \mathbf{C} -morphisms. Let $S: \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$ be a sequence, and $s = (s_n: S_n \rightarrow X)$ a cocone. We say that the pair (S, s) is *matching* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (F1) For every $f: A \rightarrow X$ in \mathcal{M} with A in \mathbf{F} , there are an $n < \omega$ and $f': A \rightarrow S_n$ such that $f = s_n \circ f'$;
- (F2) For all $n < \omega$ and $f, f': A \rightarrow S_n$ in \mathbf{C} such that $s_n \circ f = s_n \circ f'$, there is an $m \geq n$ such that $S_n^m \circ f = S_n^m \circ f'$;
- (BF) Every back-and-forth between S and itself induces an isomorphism $x: X \rightarrow X$ which commutes with the cocone $(s_n: S_n \rightarrow X)$ and the back-and-forth;
- (H) For every $h \in \text{Aut}(X) \setminus \{\text{id}_X\}$ there is an $n < \omega$ such that $h \circ s_n \neq s_n$.

Proposition 7.3. *If $F: \omega \rightarrow \text{Free}[\mathbf{FinGme}]_{\text{emb}}$ is a Fraïssé sequence in $\text{Free}[\mathbf{FinGme}]_{\text{emb}}$ and $f = (f_n: F_n \rightarrow G_{\text{Flim}})$ is its colimit cocone in \mathbf{Game}_A , then (F, f) is matching.*

Proof. Condition (F1) follows from Theorem 5.8, while the fact that each f_n is monic implies (F2).

Lemma 6.8 implies (BF) and (H) is clearly a consequence of Lemma 6.11. \square

Definition 7.4 (Definition 3.1 in [3]). Suppose \mathbf{F} is a full subcategory of \mathbf{C} and \mathcal{M} is a class of \mathbf{C} -morphisms. We say that $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$ has the *weak Ramsey property* if for every object A in \mathbf{F} there is an $a: A \rightarrow A'$ in $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$ such that for all $k < \omega$, B object in \mathbf{F} and finite $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{M}(A, B)$ there is an object C in \mathbf{F} such that for every (“colouring”) $\varphi: \mathcal{M}(A, C) \rightarrow k$ there is a $c: B \rightarrow C$ such that φ is constant (or “monochromatic”) on $c \circ \mathcal{F}$.

In what follows, [3] considers the topology over $\text{Aut}(X)$ for a matching pair (S, s) defined with the neighborhood base of the identity given by

$$\{ \{ g \in \text{Aut}(X) : g \circ s_n = s_n \} : n < \omega \}.$$

It is then easy to check that, over $\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{Game}}(G_{\text{Flim}})$, such topology produces the aforementioned τ_φ . This is relevant for us because:

Theorem 7.5. *[Theorem 3.14 in [3]] Suppose an object F in \mathbf{C} is the colimit in \mathbf{C} of a Fraïssé sequence $F: \omega \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$ in $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$ with colimit cocone $f = (f_n)_{n < \omega}$ such that (F, f) is matching. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a) $\text{Aut}(F)$ is extremely amenable;
- (b) $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$ has the weak Ramsey property.

In this case, as it is a consequence of Theorem 11 in [11] that $\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{UltMet}_1}(\text{Flim}(\mathcal{U}_s))$ is not extremely amenable, we obtain that

Corollary 7.6. *The topological group $(\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{Game}}(G_{\text{Flim}}), \tau_\varphi)$ is not extremely amenable. Furthermore, $\text{Free}[\mathbf{FinGame}]_{\text{emb}}$ does not have the weak Ramsey property.*

Note that, in order to rely on Theorem 7.5, we crucially relied on the weak finite smallness of the games in $\text{Free}[\mathbf{FinGame}]$. The topology τ_p over $\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{Game}}(G_{\text{Flim}})$ induced by the pointwise convergence topology of $\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{UltMet}_1}(\omega^\omega)$ is yet another possibility which seems to be better suited to explore the combinatorics of $\mathbf{FinGame}_{\text{emb}}$ (as its basic open sets can also fix runs which are won by BOB, whereas τ_ϕ 's basic open sets only restricts runs which are won by ALICE). However, since (F1) of Definition 7.2 fails for the Fraïssé sequence in $\mathbf{FinGame}_{\text{emb}}$ and its colimit cocone in \mathbf{Game}_A , we cannot rely on the work done in [3] to relate the combinatorics of $\mathbf{FinGame}_{\text{emb}}$ to the topological dynamics of $\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{Game}}(G_{\text{Flim}})$. The following thus remains open:

Question 7.7. *Does $\mathbf{FinGame}_{\text{emb}}$ have the weak Ramsey property? And does the answer to this question bear any implications to the topological dynamics of $(\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{Game}}(G_{\text{Flim}}), \tau_p)$?*

We expect that further generalizations of the work done in [3] which do not rely on (F1) are needed to answer Question 7.7.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Adámek, H. Herrlich, and G.E. Strecker. *Abstract and Concrete Categories*. John Wiley & Sons, 1990.
- [2] J. Adámek, M. Hušek, J. Rosický, and W. Tholen. Smallness in topology. *Quaestiones Mathematicae*, 46(sup1):13–39, 2023. doi:10.2989/16073606.2023.2247720.
- [3] A. Bartoš, T. Bice, K. Dasilva Barbosa, and W. Kubiś. The weak ramsey property and extreme amenability. *Forum of Mathematics, Sigma*, 12, 2024. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/fms.2024.64>, doi:10.1017/fms.2024.64.
- [4] M. Duzi, P. Szeptycki, and W. Tholen. Infinitely ludic categories, 2024. arXiv:arXiv:2401.03484v1.
- [5] R. Fraïssé. Sur l'extension aux relations de quelques propriétés des ordres. *Ann. Sci. tcole Norm. Sup.*, 71:363–388, 1954.
- [6] W. Hodges. *Model Theory*. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1993.

- [7] A. S. Kechris, V. G. Pestov, and S. Todorcevic. Fraïssé limits, ramsey theory, and topological dynamics of automorphism groups. *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, 15(1):106–189, 2005. [arXiv:0305241](#), doi: 10.1007/s00039-005-0503-1.
- [8] E. Kiss, L. Márki, P. Pröhle, and W. Tholen. Categorical algebraic properties. a compendium on amalgamation, congruence extension, epimorphisms, residual smallness, and injectivity. *Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica*, 18:79–141, 1983.
- [9] W. Kubiś. Fraïssé sequences: Category-theoretic approach to universal homogeneous structures. *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic*, 165(11):1755–1811, 2014. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apal.2014.07.004>, doi:10.1016/j.apal.2014.07.004.
- [10] S. MacLane. *Categories for the Working Mathematician*. Springer New York, NY, 2nd edition, 1978. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4757-4721-8.
- [11] L. Nguyen Van The. Ramsey degrees of finite ultrametric spaces, ultrametric Urysohn spaces and dynamics of their isometry groups. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 30(4):934–945, 2009. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2008.07.007>, doi:10.1016/j.ejc.2008.07.007.
- [12] D. Quillen. *Homotopical Algebra: 43*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer, 1967.

INSTITUTO DE CIÊNCIAS MATEMÁTICAS E DE COMPUTAÇÃO, UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO, AVENIDA TRABALHADOR SÃO-CARLENSE, 400, SÃO CARLOS, SP, 13566-590, BRAZIL

Email address: `matheus.duzi.costa@usp.br`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, YORK UNIVERSITY, TORONTO, ONTARIO, CANADA M3J 1P3

Email address: `szeptyck@yorku.ca`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, YORK UNIVERSITY, TORONTO, ONTARIO, CANADA M3J 1P3

Email address: `tholen@yorku.ca`