

WEIGHT ASPECT ASYMPTOTIC AND SIMULTANEOUS NON-VANISHING FOR RANKIN-SELBERG L -FUNCTIONS

ARITRA GHOSH

ABSTRACT. In this article we show simultaneous non-vanishing of two Rankin-Selberg L -functions by proving an asymptotic result in weight aspect. The main input of this paper is to remove the t -integral dependence from the result of Blomer-Harcos (see [4]) and getting a square root exponent for the error term.

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|----|
| 1. Introduction | 1 |
| Notation | 3 |
| Acknowledgement | 3 |
| 2. Sketch of the proof | 3 |
| 3. Preliminaries | 5 |
| 3.1. Voronoi summation formula | 5 |
| 3.2. $GL(2)$ holomorphic cusp forms | 5 |
| 3.3. Rankin-Selberg L -function | 6 |
| 4. Proof of theorem 1 | 7 |
| 4.1. Diagonal terms | 7 |
| 4.2. Off-diagonal terms | 9 |
| 5. Estimation of R_1 | 10 |
| 6. Estimation of R_2 | 11 |
| 6.1. Simplification of R_2 | 12 |
| 6.2. Further estimation | 14 |
| 7. Shifted convolution sum estimation | 14 |
| 7.1. Method of the proof of the Theorem 2 | 15 |
| 7.2. Preliminaries | 15 |
| 7.3. An application of the Circle-Method | 16 |
| 7.4. Applications of Voronoi summation formula | 17 |
| 7.5. Simplification of the integral | 18 |
| 7.6. Further estimates | 19 |
| References | 19 |

1. INTRODUCTION

An interesting and central problem of number theory is to prove vanishing or non-vanishing properties of an automorphic L -function which also encode a deep arithmetic property, seen for many cases. For example, one can see that the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture relates the order of vanishing of the Hasse-Weil L -function at the central point to the rank of an elliptic curve.

If π is taken as a unitary cuspidal automorphic representation of $GL(n)$ with $s \in \mathbb{C}$ then the non-vanishing of $L(s, \pi)$ twists by a Dirichlet character χ had been studied many mathematicians (see [1], [21], [28], [29], [36]). Specially the value of L -function at the central point $s = \frac{1}{2}$ are the subject of intensive studies in various aspects. Also, positivity of the

Dirichlet L -functions with real characters at $s = \frac{1}{2}$ would give remarkable lower bounds for the class number of imaginary quadratic fields. Also one can see that, a good positive lower bound for the central values of Hecke L -functions would deny the existence of Landau-Siegel zero. For other non-vanishing results one can see [5], [8], [9], [11], [13], [16], [22], [24], [25], [27], [30], [31], [32], [34], [35], [38]. In the current development of the generalized Ramanujan conjecture (see [29]), the non-vanishing of certain Rankin-Selberg L -functions plays a crucial role.

Let H_k denote the set of holomorphic Hecke cusp forms g of weight k for $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, where $g(z)$ has the normalized (i.e., $\lambda_g(1) = 1$) Fourier expansion given below:

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_g(n) n^{\frac{k-1}{2}} e^{2\pi i n z}.$$

For a cusp form g , we take

$$\omega_g := \frac{\Gamma(k-1)}{(4\pi)^{k-1} \|g\|^2},$$

where

$$\|g\|^2 := \int_{\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{H}} |g(z)|^2 y^{k-2} dx dy,$$

is the usual Petersson norm. For the Hecke eigenform g , it is known by the work of Hoffstein-Lockhart and Iwaniec [15, 17] that

$$\omega_g \asymp k^{-1+\epsilon}.$$

Let f, h be two distinct fixed automorphic forms for $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and p be an odd prime number. Then the L -function associated with $f \otimes g$ is given by

$$L(s, f \otimes g) = \zeta_p(2s) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_f(n) \lambda_g(n)}{n^s}, \quad \zeta_p(s) = \prod_{q \neq p} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^s}\right)^{-1},$$

for $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 1$, which can be analytically extended to the whole complex plane \mathbb{C} and satisfies a functional equation relating s and $1-s$ and for the last product in the previous line, q runs over all primes except p . Though for us every form has full level.

Here in this article we consider the sum

$$\mathcal{I}(K) := \sum_{k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}} u\left(\frac{k-1}{K}\right) \sum_{g \in H_k} \omega_g^{-1} L\left(\frac{1}{2}, f \otimes g\right) L\left(\frac{1}{2}, g \otimes h\right).$$

Our main theorem is the following asymptotic result:

Theorem 1. *Let f, h be two distinct fixed automorphic forms for $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Let $u \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(0, \infty)$. Then for K large, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}(K) &:= \sum_{k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}} u\left(\frac{k-1}{K}\right) \sum_{g \in H_k} \omega_g^{-1} L\left(\frac{1}{2}, f \otimes g\right) L\left(\frac{1}{2}, g \otimes h\right) \\ &= KP(\log K) + O(K^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

where $P(\log x)$ is a polynomial in $\log x$, depending on f, h only. $P(\log x)$ is a degree 2 polynomial if $h \neq \bar{f}$, at least one of f, h is a cusp form; $P(\log x)$ is a degree 3 polynomial if $h = \bar{f}$, f is a cusp form; $P(\log x)$ is a degree 4 polynomial if $h = E_r$, with $r \neq 0$; $P(\log x)$ is a degree 6 polynomial if $h = E_0$. The constant in front of the leading term of $P(\log x)$ is

given by

$$\begin{cases} \frac{L(1, f \otimes h)}{2\zeta(2)}, & \text{if } h \neq \bar{f}, \text{ atleast one of } f, h \text{ is cuspidal,} \\ \frac{L(1, \text{Ad}^2 f)}{\zeta(2)}, & \text{if } h = \bar{f}, f \text{ is cuspidal,} \\ \frac{|\zeta(1+2ir)|^2}{\zeta(2)}, & \text{if } h = E_r, r \neq 0, \\ \frac{1}{3\zeta(2)}, & \text{if } h = E_0. \end{cases}$$

As an immediate corollary we get the following corollary:

Corollary 1. *If we take $\Pi = f \boxplus h$, a $\text{GL}(4)$ form, then for each k , large enough, there exists $g \in H_k$ with $K \leq k \leq 2K$ (see [6]) such that,*

$$L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \Pi \otimes g\right) \neq 0.$$

Remark 1. *For the case where $h = \bar{f}$, Blomer and Harcos (see [4, Theorem 1]) proved an asymptotic formula after applying an additional averaging over the critical line. Note that in that work, they considered an average of $|L(1/2 + it, f \otimes g)|^2$ over t and g . In this article our main aim is to remove the average over t and getting a asymptotic result of same strength.*

Remark 2. *The main ingredients of this paper is to use the approximate functional equation and the $\text{GL}(2)$ Voronoi summation formula to reduce the original problem to a $\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2)$ shifted convolution sum problem and then appeal to some available bounds for those shifted sums.*

Theorem 2. *Let f, g be two automorphic forms for the full modular group with normalized Fourier coefficients $\lambda_f(n), \lambda_g(n)$ respectively. Let $0 < h \ll N$ and W be smooth nice weight function supported on $[1/2, 3]$ with $W(x) = 1$ for $x \in [1, 2]$ and $W^j(x) \ll_j 1$. If atleast one of f or g is a cusp form, we have*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(n) \lambda_g(n+h) W\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) \ll_{\epsilon} N^{3/4}.$$

Remark 3. *This is a weaker bound than the square root bound got in [2] and [3]. But in those papers, they considered only cusp forms. But in our article, we are also considering non-cusp forms also.*

Remark 4. *If both of f, g are not cusp forms then we will have a main term in the Theorem 2, coming from the Voronoi summation formula.*

Notation. In this article, by $A \ll B$ we mean $|A| \leq C|B|$ for some absolute constant $C > 0$, depending only on g, ϵ and notation ' $X \asymp Y$ ' will mean that $Yp^{-\epsilon} \leq X \leq Yp^{\epsilon}$.

Acknowledgement. The author thanks Gergely Harcos, Ritabrata Munshi and Rizwanur Khan for their helpful comments and suggestions. The author is also grateful to the Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics, Budapest, for the excellent research environment, as part of the work was done when the author was a young research scholar at the Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics. Also the author thanks to EPFL for the excellent research environment, and the author is supported by the project "10003145 - Equidistribution in Number Theory".

2. SKETCH OF THE PROOF

Here we will consider the case when f, h are holomorphic cusp forms as the proof will be same for the Maaß cusp forms. Our sum is roughly

$$S := \sum_{k \sim K} \sum_{g \in H_k} \omega_g^{-1} L\left(\frac{1}{2}, f \otimes g\right) L\left(\frac{1}{2}, g \otimes h\right).$$

Using the Convexity result at this stage we will get the trivial bound, so at this stage we have a loss of size $K \times K = K^2$ for the S -sum.

After using the approximate functional equation, roughly our sum looks like

$$S = \sum_{k \sim K} \sum_{g \in H_k} \omega_g^{-1} \sum_{m \sim K^2} \lambda_f(m) \lambda_g(m) \sum_{n \sim K^2} \lambda_h(n) \lambda_g(n).$$

Now we consider the sum

$$\sum_{g \in H_k} \omega_g^{-1} \lambda_g(m) \lambda_g(n).$$

Applying the Petersson trace formula the above sum becomes

$$\delta_{n,m} + \sum_{c \ll K^2} i^k S(n, m; c) J_{k-1} \left(\frac{4\pi \sqrt{nm}}{c} \right),$$

where $\delta_{n,m}$ is the Kronecker delta symbol. Hence the S sum becomes

$$\begin{aligned} S &\approx \sum_{k \sim K} \sum_{n \sim K^2} \lambda_f(n) \lambda_h(n) \\ &+ \sum_{k \sim K} \sum_{m \sim K^2} \lambda_f(m) \lambda_g(m) \sum_{n \sim K^2} \lambda_h(n) \lambda_g(n) \sum_{c \ll K^2} i^k S(n, m; c) J_{k-1} \left(\frac{4\pi \sqrt{nm}}{c} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now the first term (which is the diagonal term, getting after $n = m$), we note that the smooth sum

$$\sum_{n \sim K^2} \lambda_f(n) \lambda_h(n)$$

is very small so the diagonal term is of size K .

For the off-diagonal term, i.e., for $n \neq m$, we have,

$$\sum_{k \sim K} \sum_{m \sim K^2} \lambda_f(m) \lambda_g(m) \sum_{n \sim K^2} \lambda_h(n) \lambda_g(n) \sum_{c \ll K^2} i^k S(n, m; c) J_{k-1} \left(\frac{4\pi \sqrt{nm}}{c} \right),$$

From the sum over k , we have $c \times K^2 \ll \sqrt{mn} \asymp K^2$, i.e., $c \sim K^\epsilon$. Here after applying the Petersson trace formula we save $\frac{\sqrt{K^2}}{\sqrt{c}} = K$ for the off-diagonal sum. So we need to save $\frac{K^2}{K} = K$ and a little more. At this stage the sum will look like

$$\sum_{n, m \sim K^2} \lambda_f(n) \lambda_h(m) e(2\sqrt{mn}).$$

Now first consider the n -sum which is

$$\sum_{n \sim K^2} \lambda_f(n) e(2\sqrt{mn}).$$

Using the GL(2) Voronoi summation formula the above sum reduces to

$$\sum_{n \sim K^2} \lambda_f(n) e(2\sqrt{mn}) \rightsquigarrow K^2 \sum_{n \sim K^2} \lambda_f(n) \int e(2\sqrt{K^2 m y}) J_{K-1}(4\pi \sqrt{n K^2 y}).$$

Using the decomposition of the Bessel function the above sum reduces to

$$\sum_{n \sim K^2} \lambda_f(n) e(2\sqrt{mn}) \rightsquigarrow K \sum_{\substack{n \sim K^2 \\ |n-m| \ll K^\epsilon}} \lambda_f(n).$$

Hence we save K here. So at this stage we save $K \times K = K^2$. Hence we are at the boundary and any savings will work. Now taking $n - m = d$ we note that we have to deal with the sum

$$\sum_{d \sim K^\epsilon} \sum_{n \sim K^2} \lambda_f(n) \lambda_h(n + d).$$

Using the cancellation for the shifted convolution (see the Theorem 2) we will save \sqrt{K} . Hence the off-diagonal sum will be of size \sqrt{K} and we will get our result.

3. PRELIMINARIES

3.1. Voronoi summation formula. Here first we will recall the generalize Voronoi summation formula (see [4]) for the Hecke eigenvalues $\lambda_f(n)$ twisted by a finite order additive character.

Proposition 3.1.1. *Let a and c be coprime positive integers, and let $F : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a smooth function of compact support. Then*

$$c \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(n) e\left(n \frac{a}{c}\right) F(n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(n) \sum_{\pm} e\left(\mp n \frac{\bar{a}}{c}\right) \int_0^{\infty} F(x) J_f\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{nx}}{c}\right) dx,$$

where

$$J_f^+(x) := 2\pi i^{k_f} J_{k_f-1}(x), \quad J_f^-(x) = 0,$$

if f is a holomorphic cusp form of level 1 and weight k_f ;

$$J_f^+(x) := \frac{-\pi}{\cosh(\pi r)} (Y_{2ir}(x) + Y_{-2ir}(x)), \quad J_f^-(x) := \epsilon_f 4 \cosh(\pi r) K_{2ir}(x),$$

if f is a Maaß cusp form of level 1, weight 0, Laplacian eigenvalue $\frac{1}{4} + r^2$ and sign $\epsilon_f \in \{\pm 1\}$. For $f = E_r$ ($r \in \mathbb{R}$) the same formula holds with J_f^{\pm} as in the Maaß case (with $\epsilon_f = 1$), except that on the right-hand side the following polar term has to be added:

$$\sum_{\pm} \zeta(1 \pm 2ir) \int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{x}{c^2}\right)^{\pm ir} F(x) dx, \quad \text{for } r \neq 0,$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} \left(\log\left(\frac{x}{c^2}\right) + 2\gamma\right) F(x) dx, \quad \text{for } r = 0.$$

3.1.1. Bounds for the Bessel functions. For $x \gg 1$, we have

$$J_{k-1}(2\pi x) = W_k(x) \frac{e(x)}{\sqrt{x}} + \overline{W}_k(x) \frac{e(-x)}{\sqrt{x}},$$

for some function W_k satisfying $x^j (\partial^j W_k)(x) \ll_{j,k} 1$.

3.1.2. The Ramanujan bound on average. Here we note the following Ramanujan bound on average (see [18]):

$$\sum_{n \leq N} |\lambda_f(n)|^2 \ll N^{1+\epsilon}.$$

3.2. GL(2) holomorphic cusp forms. We will use the following well-known ([19]) Petersson trace formula

Proposition 3.2.1.

$$\sum_{g \in H_k} \omega_g^{-1} \lambda_g(m) \lambda_g(n) = \delta_{m,n} + 2\pi i^{-k} \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} \frac{S(m, n; c)}{c} J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mn}}{c}\right),$$

where $\omega_g^{-1} = \frac{(4\pi)^{k-1}}{\Gamma(k-1)} \|g\|^2$, $\delta_{m,n}$ equals to 1 if $m = n$ and 0 otherwise, $S(m, n; c)$ is the Kloosterman sum defined below, and J_{k-1} is the J -Bessel function.

The Kloosterman sum is defined as :

$$S(m, n; c) = \sum_{d\bar{d} \equiv 1 \pmod{c}} e\left(\frac{md + n\bar{d}}{c}\right).$$

Weil proved that

$$|S(m, n; c)| \leq (m, n, c)^{1/2} c^{1/2} \tau(c),$$

where τ is the divisor function. Then clearly one can see that

$$\sum_{n \leq x} |S(m, n; c)| \ll xc^{1/2+\epsilon}.$$

3.3. Rankin-Selberg L -function. For $f \in H_\ell$, $g \in H_k$, $h \in H_m$, we will consider the Rankin-Selberg L -functions $L(s, f \otimes g)$ and $L(s, g \otimes h)$ where

$$L(s, f \otimes g) = \zeta(2s) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_f(m) \lambda_g(m)}{m^s} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{f \otimes g}(m)}{m^s},$$

where

$$\lambda_{f \otimes g}(m) = \sum_{ab^2=m} \lambda_f(a) \lambda_g(a).$$

This becomes an entire function and satisfies the following functional equation

$$\Lambda(s, f \otimes g) = \Lambda(1-s, f \otimes g)$$

where

$$\Lambda(s, f \otimes g) = \frac{1}{(4\pi^2)^s} \Gamma\left(s + \frac{k+\ell}{2} - 1\right) \Gamma\left(s + \frac{k-\ell}{2}\right) L(s, f \otimes g).$$

Then we have the following Approximate Functional equation :

Lemma 3.3.1. *Let $G(u) = e^{u^2}$. Then we have*

$$(1) \quad L\left(\frac{1}{2}, f \otimes g\right) = 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{f \otimes g}(m)}{m^{1/2}} U(m, k),$$

where

$$(2) \quad U(y, k) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(3)} y^{-u} G(u) \frac{\gamma(\frac{1}{2} + u, k)}{\gamma(\frac{1}{2}, k)} \frac{du}{u},$$

and

$$(3) \quad \gamma(s, k) = (2\pi)^{-2s} \Gamma\left(s + \frac{k+\ell}{2} - 1\right) \Gamma\left(s + \frac{k-\ell}{2}\right).$$

Proof. For the proof, see [20, Theorem 5.3]. □

Lemma 3.3.2. (1) *The derivatives of $U(y, k)$ with respect to y satisfy*

$$y^a \frac{\partial^a}{\partial y^a} U(y, k) \ll \left(1 + \frac{y}{k^2}\right)^{-A},$$

where the implied constants depends only on a and A . (2) *For $1 \leq y \ll k^{2+\epsilon}$, as $k \mapsto \infty$, we have*

$$U(y, k) = U_1\left(\frac{y}{k^2}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{k} U_1^*\left(\frac{y}{k^2}\right)\right),$$

where

$$U_1(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(3)} x^{-u} G_2(u) \frac{du}{u}, \quad U_1^*(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(3)} x^{-u} G_3(u) \frac{du}{u},$$

and $G_2(u)$, $G_3(u)$ are holomorphic functions with exponential decay as $|\mathcal{I}u| \mapsto \infty$.

Proof. (1) See [20, Proposition 5.4].

(2) By Stirling's formula we have,

$$\begin{aligned} G(u) \frac{\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + u, k\right)}{\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, k\right)} &= G(u) \left(\frac{k^2}{16\pi^2}\right)^u \left(1 + O\left(\frac{p(u)}{k}\right)\right) \\ &= k^{2u} G_2(u) + O\left(\frac{1}{k} k^{2u} G_3(u)\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $p(u)$ is a polynomial in u with $G_2(u) = \frac{G(u)}{(16\pi^2)^u}$ and $G_3(u) = \frac{G(u)p(u)}{(16\pi^2)^u}$. Hence inserting these into (2), we get our result. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

We have, by the approximate functional equation and the Petersson trace formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{g \in H_k} \omega_g^{-1} L\left(\frac{1}{2}, f \otimes g\right) L\left(\frac{1}{2}, g \otimes h\right) \\ &= 4 \sum_{g \in H_k} \omega_g^{-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{f \otimes g}(m)}{m^{1/2}} U(m, k) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{g \otimes h}(n)}{n^{1/2}} V(n, k) \\ &= 4 \sum_{g \in H_k} \omega_g^{-1} \sum_{a_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{b_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_f(a_1) \lambda_g(a_1)}{(a_1 b_1^2)^{1/2}} U(a_1 b_1^2, k) \sum_{a_2=1}^{\infty} \sum_{b_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_g(a_2) \lambda_h(a_2)}{(a_2 b_2^2)^{1/2}} V(a_2 b_2^2, k) \\ &= 4 \sum_{a_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{b_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{b_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_f(a_1) \lambda_h(a_1)}{a_1 b_1 b_2} U(a_1 b_1^2, k) V(a_1 b_2^2, k) + \\ &8\pi \sum_{a_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{a_2=1}^{\infty} \sum_{b_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{b_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_f(a_1) \lambda_h(a_2)}{(a_1 a_2 b_1^2 b_2^2)^{1/2}} U(a_1 b_1^2, k) V(a_2 b_2^2, k) \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} i^k \frac{S(a_1, a_2; c)}{c} J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi \sqrt{a_1 a_2}}{c}\right) \\ &:= 4D_k + 8\pi E_k. \end{aligned}$$

4.1. Diagonal terms. First we need to deal with the diagonal terms (i.e., when $a_1 = a_2$) which contributes in the main term :

$$D := 4 \sum_{k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}} u \left(\frac{k-1}{K}\right) D_k.$$

Lemma 4.1.1. *We have*

$$(4) \quad D_k = P(\log k) + O(k^{-1}).$$

and

$$(5) \quad D = 2KP(\log K) + O(K^\epsilon).$$

where $P(\log x)$ is a polynomial in $\log x$, depending on f, h only. $P(\log x)$ is a degree 2 polynomial if $f \neq \bar{h}$, at least one of f, h is a cusp form; $P(\log x)$ is a degree 3 polynomial if $f = \bar{h}$, f is a cusp form; $P(\log x)$ is a degree 4 polynomial if $h = E_r$, with $r \neq 0$; $P(\log x)$ is a degree 6 polynomial if $h = E_0$. The constant in front of the leading term of $P(\log x)$ is given by (6).

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
D_k &= \sum_{a_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{b_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{b_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_f(a_1)\lambda_h(a_1)}{a_1 b_1 b_2} U(a_1 b_1^2, k) V(a_1 b_2^2, k) \\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \iint_{(3)} \\
&\times \sum_{a_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_f(a_1)\lambda_h(a_1)}{a_1^{1+v+w}} \sum_{b_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b_1^{1+2v}} \sum_{b_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b_2^{1+2w}} \frac{\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}+v, k\right)}{\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, k\right)} \frac{\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}+w, k\right)}{\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, k\right)} \frac{G(v)}{v} \frac{G(w)}{w} dv dw \\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \iint_{(3)} \\
&\times \frac{L(1+v+w, f \otimes h)}{\zeta(2+2v+2w)} \zeta(1+2v) \zeta(1+2w) \frac{\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}+v, k\right)}{\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, k\right)} \frac{\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}+w, k\right)}{\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, k\right)} \frac{G(v)}{v} \frac{G(w)}{w} dv dw.
\end{aligned}$$

First moving the line of integration to $\mathcal{R}(v) = -2$, we note that we get a double pole at $v = 0$. Then by the Residue theorem we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
D_k &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(3)} \frac{L'(1+w, f \otimes h)}{2\zeta(2+2w)} \zeta(1+2w) \frac{\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}+w, k\right)}{\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, k\right)} \frac{G(w)}{w} dw \\
&- \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(3)} \frac{L(1+w, f \otimes h)}{2(\zeta(2+2w))^2} \zeta(1+2w) \frac{\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}+w, k\right)}{\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, k\right)} \frac{G(w)}{w} dw \\
&+ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(3)} \frac{\gamma L(1+w, f \otimes h)}{\zeta(2+2w)} \zeta(1+2w) \frac{\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}+w, k\right)}{\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, k\right)} \frac{G(w)}{w} dw \\
&+ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(3)} \frac{L(1+w, f \otimes h)}{2\zeta(2+2w)} \zeta(1+2w) \frac{\gamma'\left(\frac{1}{2}+w, k\right)}{\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, k\right)} \frac{G(w)}{w} dw \\
&+ \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{(-2)} \int_{(3)} \\
&\times \frac{L(1+v+w, f \otimes h)}{\zeta(2+2v+2w)} \zeta(1+2v) \zeta(1+2w) \frac{\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}+v, k\right)}{\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, k\right)} \frac{\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}+w, k\right)}{\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, k\right)} \frac{G(v)}{v} \frac{G(w)}{w} dv dw,
\end{aligned}$$

where γ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant. Now we will move the line of integration to $\mathcal{R}(w) = -\frac{1}{2}$ so that in that process we will get a pole at $w = 0$ of order e which depends on h : if h is a cusp form and $h \neq \bar{f}$, then $e = 2$; if $h = \bar{f}$, then $e = 3$; if $h = E_r$ with $r \neq 0$, then $e = 4$; while for $h = E_0$ we have $e = 6$.

Then we can note that the residue of the pole is given by the linear combination of $L^{(j)}(1, f \otimes h)$, $\frac{\gamma^{(j)}\left(\frac{1}{2}, k\right)}{\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, k\right)}$ and other constants, not depending on f, h and also integrals on the lines $\mathcal{R}(v) = -2$, $\mathcal{R}(w) = -\frac{1}{2}$ where $j \leq e$. By Stirling's formula, we have

$$\frac{\gamma'\left(\frac{1}{2}, k\right)}{\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, k\right)} = 2 \log k - 4 \log 2 - 2 \log \pi + O(k^{-1}),$$

$$\frac{\gamma^{(j)}\left(\frac{1}{2}, k\right)}{\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, k\right)} = (\log k^2)^j + O((\log k^2)^{j-1}),$$

$$\frac{\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}+v, k\right)}{\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, k\right)} \ll k^{-2},$$

for $\mathcal{R}(v) = -2$, and

$$\frac{\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + w, k\right)}{\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, k\right)} \ll k^{-1},$$

for $\mathcal{R}(w) = -\frac{1}{2}$.

Hence after shifting the line of integration to $\mathcal{R}(w) = -\frac{1}{2}$, the leading term will come from the term

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(3)} \frac{L(1+w, f \otimes h)}{2\zeta(2+2w)} \zeta(1+2w) \frac{\gamma'\left(\frac{1}{2} + w, k\right)}{\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}, k\right)} \frac{G(w)}{w} dw.$$

The constant in front of the leading term equals

(6)

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow 0} \frac{(2^{e-2} w^{e-2} L(1+w, f \otimes h))}{4\zeta(2) (e-2)!} = \begin{cases} \frac{L(1, f \otimes h)}{4\zeta(2)}, & \text{if } h \neq \bar{f}, \text{ at least one of } f, h \text{ is cuspidal,} \\ \frac{L(1, \text{Ad}^2 f)}{2\zeta(2)}, & \text{if } h = \bar{f}, f \text{ is cuspidal,} \\ \frac{|\zeta(1+2ir)|^2}{2\zeta(2)}, & \text{if } h = E_r, r \neq 0, \\ \frac{1}{6\zeta(2)}, & \text{if } h = E_0. \end{cases}$$

Hence using the reasoning given above and the estimates done above, we note that , we get (4).

By the Poisson summation formula, we have,

$$(7) \quad 4 \sum_{k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}} u\left(\frac{k-1}{K}\right) = 2K \int_0^\infty u(\xi) d\xi + O(K^{-A}),$$

for any $A > 0$. So the main term will be of size K . Now by writing $\log k = \log\left(\frac{k-1}{K}K\right) + O(k^{-1})$ and using (7), we get (5). \square

Remark 5. We note that $L(1, f \otimes h)$ is never zero. In general, Shahidi in [37] proved that a $\text{GL}(m) \times \text{GL}(n)$ Rankin-Selberg L -function never vanishes on the line $\text{Re}(s) = 1$. Moreover, Thorner and Harcos in [14] proved that such an L -function does not vanish for

$$\text{Re}(s) > 1 - \frac{c}{(1 + |\text{Im}(s)|)^\varepsilon},$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, and c is a constant depending on ε and the L -function at hand.

4.2. Off-diagonal terms. Now we want to show that the off-diagonal terms (i.e., when $a_1 \neq a_2$) only contribute to the error term. Let

$$\begin{aligned} E &:= \sum_{2|k} u\left(\frac{k-1}{K}\right) E_k \\ &= \sum_{a_1=1}^\infty \sum_{a_2=1}^\infty \sum_{b_1=1}^\infty \sum_{b_2=1}^\infty \frac{\lambda_f(a_1) \lambda_h(a_2)}{(a_1 a_2 b_1^2 b_2^2)^{1/2}} \sum_{c=1}^\infty \frac{S(a_1, a_2; c)}{c} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{2|k} i^k u\left(\frac{k-1}{K}\right) U(a_1 b_1^2, k) V(a_2 b_2^2, k) J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi \sqrt{a_1 a_2}}{c}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.2.1. We have

$$E \ll \frac{1}{K}.$$

Proof. At first we take a smooth dyadic subdivision, so that we need to estimate

$$E_{N,M} := \sum_{a_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{a_2=1}^{\infty} \sum_{b_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{b_2=1}^{\infty} w\left(\frac{a_1 b_1^2}{N}\right) H\left(\frac{a_2 b_2^2}{M}\right) \frac{\lambda_f(a_1) \lambda_h(a_2)}{(a_1 a_2 b_1^2 b_2^2)^{1/2}} \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} \frac{S(a_1, a_2; c)}{c} \\ \times \sum_{2|k} i^k u\left(\frac{k-1}{K}\right) U(a_1 b_1^2, k) V(a_2 b_2^2, k) J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi \sqrt{a_1 a_2}}{c}\right)$$

for $N \ll K^{2+\epsilon}$ and $M \ll K^{2+\epsilon}$, where w, h are fixed smooth functions with support contained in $[1/2, 3]$ and $w(x) = h(x) = 1$ whenever $x \in [1, 2]$.

Let

$$R_1 := \sum_{a_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{a_2=1}^{\infty} \sum_{b_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{b_2=1}^{\infty} w\left(\frac{a_1 b_1^2}{N}\right) H\left(\frac{a_2 b_2^2}{M}\right) \frac{\lambda_f(a_1) \lambda_h(a_2)}{(a_1 a_2 b_1^2 b_2^2)^{1/2}} \sum_{c \geq 16\pi \frac{\sqrt{NM}}{b_1 b_2}} \frac{S(a_1, a_2; c)}{c} \\ \times \sum_{2|k} i^k u\left(\frac{k-1}{K}\right) U(a_1 b_1^2, k) V(a_2 b_2^2, k) J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi \sqrt{a_1 a_2}}{c}\right),$$

and

$$R_2 := \sum_{a_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{a_2=1}^{\infty} \sum_{b_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{b_2=1}^{\infty} w\left(\frac{a_1 b_1^2}{N}\right) H\left(\frac{a_2 b_2^2}{M}\right) \frac{\lambda_f(a_1) \lambda_h(a_2)}{(a_1 a_2 b_1^2 b_2^2)^{1/2}} \sum_{c < 16\pi \frac{\sqrt{NM}}{b_1 b_2}} \frac{S(a_1, a_2; c)}{c} \\ \times \sum_{2|k} i^k u\left(\frac{k-1}{K}\right) U(a_1 b_1^2, k) V(a_2 b_2^2, k) J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi \sqrt{a_1 a_2}}{c}\right).$$

So we have $E_{N,M} = R_1 + R_2$. Now our aim is to show that R_1 is negligible and $R_2 \ll K^{1/2+\epsilon}$ which we will show in the following sections. □

5. ESTIMATION OF R_1

For $c \geq 16\pi \frac{\sqrt{NM}}{b_1 b_2}$, $\frac{N}{b_1^2} \leq a_1 \leq \frac{2N}{b_1^2}$ and $\frac{M}{b_2^2} \leq a_2 \leq \frac{2M}{b_2^2}$, we have

$$x = \frac{4\pi \sqrt{a_1 a_2}}{c} \leq \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{a_1 a_2}{NM}\right)^{1/2} < e^{-2}.$$

So we have $J_{k-1}(x) \ll x^{k-1}$ for $0 < x < 1$. Now by the Weil's bound for Kloosterman sums we have

$$S(a_1, a_2; c) \ll c^{1/2+\epsilon} (a_1, a_2, c)^{1/2},$$

and by the Ramanujan bound on average,

$$\sum_{n \leq x} |\lambda_f(n)|^2 \ll x.$$

Using these informations, we have,

(8)

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_1 &\ll \sum_{a_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{a_2=1}^{\infty} \sum_{b_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{b_2=1}^{\infty} \left| w \left(\frac{a_1 b_1^2}{N} \right) \right| \left| H \left(\frac{a_2 b_2^2}{M} \right) \right| \frac{|\lambda_f(a_1)|}{(a_1 b_1^2)^{1/2}} \frac{|\lambda_h(a_2)|}{(a_2 b_2^2)^{1/2}} \\
 &\times \sum_{c \geq 16\pi \frac{\sqrt{NM}}{b_1 b_2}} c^{-1/2+\epsilon} (a_1, a_2, c)^{1/2} \times \sum_{2|k} \left| u \left(\frac{k-1}{K} \right) \right| U(a_1 b_1^2, k) V(a_2 b_2^2, k) J_{k-1} \left(\frac{4\pi \sqrt{a_1 a_2}}{c} \right) \\
 &\ll \sum_{N \leq a_1 b_1^2 \leq 2N} \frac{|\lambda_f(a_1)|}{(a_1 b_1^2)^{1/2}} \sum_{N \leq a_2 b_2^2 \leq 2N} \frac{|\lambda_h(a_2)|}{(a_2 b_2^2)^{1/2}} \sum_{c \geq 16\pi \frac{\sqrt{NM}}{b_1 b_2}} \left(\frac{4\pi \sqrt{a_1 a_2}}{c} \right)^2 \sum_{2|k, k \sim K} e^{-(k-3)} \\
 &\ll K^{-A},
 \end{aligned}$$

for any $A > 0$.

6. ESTIMATION OF R_2

Lemma 6.0.1. *For a fixed function $g(\xi) \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(0, \infty)$, we have*

$$\sum_{2|k} i^k g(k-1) J_{k-1}(x) = F(x),$$

where

$$F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{g}(t) \sin(x \cos(2\pi t)) dt,$$

and

$$\hat{g}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(\xi) e(t\xi) d\xi,$$

the Fourier transform of g .

Proof. See [19, P. 85 – 87]. □

Now here we note that

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{2i} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{g}(t) e \left(\frac{x}{2\pi} \cos(2\pi t) \right) dt - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{g}(t) e \left(-\frac{x}{2\pi} \cos(2\pi t) \right) dt \right),$$

so without loss of generality we write

$$F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{g}(t) e \left(\pm \frac{x}{2\pi} \cos(2\pi t) \right) dt.$$

Now let

$$g(\xi) = u \left(\frac{\xi}{K} \right) U(a_1 b_1^2, \xi + 1) V(a_2 b_2^2, \xi + 1),$$

and

$$g_0(\xi) = u(\xi) U(a_1 b_1^2, (K\xi + 1)^2) V(a_2 b_2^2, (K\xi + 1)^2).$$

Then from the lemma 3.3.2 we need to consider the contribution only from

$$u(\xi) U_1 \left(\frac{a_1 b_1^2}{(K\xi + 1)^2} \right) V_1 \left(\frac{a_2 b_2^2}{(K\xi + 1)^2} \right),$$

so by abuse of notation we can write

$$(9) \quad g_0(\xi) = u(\xi) U_1 \left(\frac{a_1 b_1^2}{(K\xi + 1)^2} \right) V_1 \left(\frac{a_2 b_2^2}{(K\xi + 1)^2} \right).$$

Then by changing the variables we can see that $\hat{g}(t) = K\hat{g}_0(Kt)$ so that we have

$$(10) \quad F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{g}_0(t) e\left(\pm \frac{x}{2\pi} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi t}{K}\right)\right) dt.$$

6.1. Simplification of R_2 . For the estimation of R_2 , we need the Voronoi summation formula 3.1.1. Now consider the sum

$$\begin{aligned} R_2 &= \sum_{a_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{a_2=1}^{\infty} \sum_{b_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{b_2=1}^{\infty} w\left(\frac{a_1 b_1^2}{N}\right) H\left(\frac{a_2 b_2^2}{M}\right) \frac{\lambda_f(a_1) \lambda_h(a_2)}{(a_1 a_2 b_1^2 b_2^2)^{1/2}} U(a_1 b_1^2, k) V(a_2 b_2^2, k) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{c < 16\pi \frac{\sqrt{NM}}{b_1 b_2}} \frac{S(a_1, a_2; c)}{c} F\left(\frac{4\pi \sqrt{a_1 a_2}}{c}\right). \end{aligned}$$

First consider the function given in (10):

$$F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{g}_0(t) e\left(\pm \frac{x}{2\pi} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi t}{K}\right)\right) dt.$$

Then here as the contribution of $|t| \geq K^\epsilon$ is negligible, we need to consider the case when $|t| \leq K^\epsilon$. Then expanding $\cos\left(\frac{2\pi t}{K}\right)$ into the Taylor series and then using $\hat{g}_0(x) = g_0(-x)$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{g}_0(t) e\left(\frac{x}{2\pi} - \frac{\pi x t^2}{K^2}\right) dt + O\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{g}_0(t)| \frac{|x|}{K^4} |t|^4 dt\right) \\ &= e\left(\frac{x}{2\pi}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{g}_0(t) e\left(-\frac{\pi x t^2}{K^2}\right) dt + O\left(\frac{|x|}{K^4}\right), \end{aligned}$$

as we have

$$\widehat{g_0^{(a)}}(t) = (-2\pi i t)^a \hat{g}_0(t).$$

Then by the Weil bound for the Kloosterman sum and the Ramanujan bound on average, the above O-term contributes at most

$$\frac{NM}{K^4} \ll K^\epsilon,$$

so without loss of generality, by abuse of notation we have

$$\begin{aligned} (11) \quad F(x) &= e\left(\frac{x}{2\pi}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{g}_0(t) e\left(-\frac{\pi x t^2}{K^2}\right) dt \\ &= \frac{K}{\sqrt{x}} e\left(\frac{x}{2\pi}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_0(-t) e\left(-\frac{\pi K^2 t^2}{x}\right) dt \\ &= \frac{K}{\sqrt{x}} e\left(\frac{x}{2\pi}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_0(t) e\left(-\frac{\pi K^2 t^2}{x}\right) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where g_0 is given by (9) and for the second line we have used the Parseval's identity. Now by repeated integration by parts, we note that the above integral becomes negligibly small unless $|x| \sim K^2$. Now for $|x| = \frac{4\pi \sqrt{a_1 a_2}}{c}$, we see that $c \sim \frac{4\pi \sqrt{a_1 a_2}}{K^2} \sim K^\epsilon$. Now as $|x| \sim K^2$ so without loss of generality we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_0(t) e\left(-\frac{\pi K^2 t^2}{x}\right) dt = A,$$

for some constant $A > 0$. Then the sum R_2 reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_2 &= \sum_{a_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{a_2=1}^{\infty} \sum_{b_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{b_2=1}^{\infty} w\left(\frac{a_1 b_1^2}{N}\right) H\left(\frac{a_2 b_2^2}{M}\right) \frac{\lambda_f(a_1) \lambda_h(a_2)}{(a_1 a_2 b_1^2 b_2^2)^{1/2}} \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{c \sim K^\epsilon} \frac{S(a_1, a_2; c)}{c} \frac{K \sqrt{c}}{(a_1 a_2)^{1/4}} e\left(\frac{2\sqrt{a_1 a_2}}{c}\right) \\
 &= K \sum_{b_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{b_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b_1 b_2} \sum_{c \sim K^\epsilon} \frac{1}{\sqrt{c}} \sum_{\beta \pmod{c}}^* \sum_{a_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_h(a_2)}{a_2^{3/4}} e\left(\frac{a_2 \beta}{c}\right) H\left(\frac{a_2 b_2^2}{M}\right) \\
 &\quad \times \left(\sum_{a_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_f(a_1)}{a_1^{3/4}} e\left(\frac{a_1 \bar{\beta}}{c}\right) e\left(\frac{2\sqrt{a_1 a_2}}{c}\right) w\left(\frac{a_1 b_1^2}{N}\right) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now consider the last sum

$$\sum_{a_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_f(a_1)}{a_1^{3/4}} e\left(\frac{a_1 \bar{\beta}}{c}\right) e\left(\frac{2\sqrt{a_1 a_2}}{c}\right) w\left(\frac{a_1 b_1^2}{N}\right).$$

Applying the Voronoi summation formula 3.1.1 the above sum reduces to the following sum:

$$\frac{2\pi i^k}{c} \sum_{a_1=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(a_1) e\left(\frac{-a_1 \beta}{c}\right) \mathcal{I}_1,$$

where the integral \mathcal{I}_1 is given by

$$\mathcal{I}_1 = \int_0^\infty e\left(\frac{2\sqrt{x a_2}}{c}\right) \frac{1}{x^{3/4}} w\left(\frac{x b_1^2}{N}\right) J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{x a_1}}{c}\right) dx.$$

Changing the variables $\frac{x b_1^2}{N} \mapsto x$ we have

$$\mathcal{I}_1 = \frac{N^{1/4}}{\sqrt{b_1}} \int_0^\infty e\left(\frac{2\sqrt{N x a_2}}{c b_1}\right) \frac{1}{x^{3/4}} w(x) J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{x N a_1}}{c b_1}\right) dx.$$

Using the decomposition,

$$J_{k-1}(2\pi x) = \frac{W(x)}{\sqrt{x}} e(x) + \frac{\bar{W}(x)}{\sqrt{x}} e(-x),$$

where $W(x)$ is a nice function, the integral becomes

$$\mathcal{I}_1 = \frac{\sqrt{c}}{a_1^{1/4}} \int_0^\infty e\left(\frac{2\sqrt{N x a_2}}{c b_1}\right) w(x) \frac{W(x)}{x} e\left(\mp \frac{2\sqrt{x N a_1}}{b_1 c}\right) dx.$$

Hence from (10) and by abuse of notation clubbing the weight functions together, the above integral becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{I}_1 &= \frac{\sqrt{c}}{a_1^{1/4}} \int_0^\infty e\left(\frac{2\sqrt{N x a_2}}{c b_1} \mp \frac{2\sqrt{x N a_1}}{b_1 c}\right) W(x) dx \\
 &:= \frac{\sqrt{c}}{a_1^{1/4}} \mathcal{I}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now by repeated integration by parts we note that the above integral becomes negligibly small unless $a_1 \asymp a_2 \ll \frac{K^{2+\epsilon}}{b_2^2}$. Also by repeated integration by parts we see that the above integral becomes negligibly small unless

$$\begin{aligned}
|\sqrt{a_2} - \sqrt{a_1}| &\ll \frac{cb_1}{\sqrt{N}} K^\epsilon \\
\iff |a_2 - a_1| &\ll K^\epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

6.2. Further estimation. Then the R_2 sum becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
R_2 &= K \sum_{b_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{b_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b_1 b_2} \sum_{c \sim K^\epsilon} \sum_{a_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_h(a_2)}{a_2^{3/4}} H\left(\frac{a_2 b_2^2}{M}\right) \\
&\quad \times \sum_{\substack{a_1 \ll \frac{K^{2+\epsilon}}{b_2^2} \\ |a_1 - a_2| \ll K^\epsilon}} \frac{\lambda_f(a_1)}{a_1^{1/4}} \mathcal{I} C_c(a_2 - a_1) \\
&= K \sum_{b_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{b_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b_1 b_2} \sum_{c \sim K^\epsilon} \frac{\lambda_h(a_2)}{a_2^{3/4}} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{|q| \ll K^\epsilon} C_c(q) \sum_{a_1 \ll \frac{K^{2+\epsilon}}{b_2^2}} \frac{\lambda_f(a_1) \lambda_h(a_1 + q)}{a_1^{1/4} (a_1 + q)^{3/4}} H\left(\frac{(a_1 + q) b_2^2}{M}\right) \mathcal{I}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_c(q) = \sum_{d|(c,q)} \mu\left(\frac{c}{d}\right) d,$$

is the Ramanujan sum. Now for $a_1 = a_2$, i.e., for $q = 0$ we will proceed similarly as done in the Section 4.1. So we will consider the off-diagonal sum, i.e., for $q = a_2 - a_1 \neq 0$. Now taking a smooth dyadic subdivision of the a_1 -sum, we get the following

$$R_2 \ll K \sum_{c \sim K^\epsilon} \sum_{0 < |q| \ll K^\epsilon} |C_c(q)| \left(\sup_{M' \ll K^2} \frac{1}{M'} \left| \sum_{a_1=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(a_1) \lambda_h(a_1 + q) W\left(\frac{a_1}{M'}\right) \right| \right).$$

where W is some nice smooth function. Using the shifted convolution sum result (see the Theorem 2) we get that

$$(12) \quad R_2 \ll K \sum_{c \sim K^\epsilon} \sum_{0 < |q| \ll K^\epsilon} |C_c(q)| \left(\sup_{M' \ll K^2} \frac{1}{M'} \times M'^{3/4} \right) \ll K^{1/2+\epsilon}.$$

This with (8) gives the lemma 4.2.1 and hence we get our Theorem 1.

7. SHIFTED CONVOLUTION SUM ESTIMATION

Now we will prove the Theorem 2. Let us consider the shifted convolution sum

$$(13) \quad S = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(n) \lambda_g(n+h) W\left(\frac{n}{N}\right).$$

7.1. Method of the proof of the Theorem 2. We take the path of the delta method to bound the sum S in (13). Our approach is inspired by the approach of Munshi ([33]). At first, we separate the oscillations $\lambda_f(n)$ and $\lambda_g(n+h)$ using the delta symbol. Our next step is to dualize these sums. To do that we apply the Voronoi summation formula (see 3.1.1). Now we use the Weil's bound for Kloosterman sum and the Ramanujan bound on average, to get the Theorem 2.

Here for simplification, we consider that both of the forms f, g are cusp forms. Otherwise without loss of generality) if f is the only cusp form then after using the Voronoi summation formula (see 3.1.1) to g we will have a main term but for f , after applying the Voronoi summation formula (see 3.1.1) we get a savings of size $\frac{N}{Q} = \sqrt{N}$ so the size of the main term will be dominated by the bound of our Theorem 2.

7.2. Preliminaries.

7.2.1. Oscillatory integrals. Let \mathcal{F} be an index set and $X = X_T : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$ be a function of $T \in \mathcal{F}$. A family of $\{\omega_T\}_{T \in \mathcal{F}}$ of smooth functions supported on a product of dyadic intervals in $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^d$ is called X -inert if for each $j = (j_1, \dots, j_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$ we have

$$\sup_{T \in \mathcal{F}} \sup_{(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^d} X_T^{-j_1 - \dots - j_d} \left| x_1^{j_1} \dots x_d^{j_d} \omega_T^{(j_1, \dots, j_d)}(x_1, \dots, x_d) \right| \ll_{j_1, \dots, j_d} 1.$$

We study the integral of the form

$$I = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \omega(t_1, \dots, t_d) e(h(t_1, \dots, t_d)) dt_1.$$

We have the following stationary phase lemma.

Lemma 7.2.1. *Suppose $\omega = \omega_T$ is a family of X -inert in $t_1 \asymp Z$ and $t_i \asymp X_i$ for $i \geq 2$. Suppose that on support of ω , the function h satisfies*

$$h^{(a_1, \dots, a_d)}(t_1, \dots, t_d) \ll \frac{Y}{Z^{a_1}} \frac{1}{X_2^{a_2} \dots X_d^{a_d}},$$

for all $a_1, \dots, a_d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

- (i) *If $h'(t_1, \dots, t_d) \gg Y/Z$ for all $t \in \text{supp } \omega$. Suppose $Y/X \geq 1$. Then $I \ll Z(Y/X)^{-A}$ for A arbitrarily large.*
- (ii) *If there exists $t_0 \in \text{supp } \omega$ such that $h'(t_0, \dots, t_d) = 0$ and $h''(t_1, \dots, t_d) \gg Y/Z^2$ for all $(t_1, \dots, t_d) \in \text{supp } \omega$. Suppose $X/Y^2 \geq 1$. Then*

$$I = \frac{Z e^{ih(t_0, \dots, t_d)}}{\sqrt{Y}} W_T(t_2, \dots, t_d) + O\left(Z \left(\frac{Y}{X^2}\right)^{-A}\right),$$

for any $A > 0$ and for some X -inert family of functions W_T supported on $t_0 \asymp Z$ and $t_i \asymp X_i$ for $i \geq 2$. The functions W_T depends on A .

Proof. See [7]. □

The proof of the above integral is based on the following one dimensional version, which we also require in a case where we need explicit expression for the weight function $W_T(t_2, \dots, t_d)$.

Lemma 7.2.2. *We consider the integral*

$$I_1 := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \omega(t) e(h(t)) dt,$$

where ω is a V -inert function supported on the interval $[a, b]$. Let $0 < \delta < 1/10$, $Q > 0$, $Z := Y + b - a + 1$, and assume that

$$Y \geq Z^{3\delta}, \quad b - a \geq V \geq \frac{QZ^{\frac{\delta}{2}}}{\sqrt{Y}}.$$

Suppose that there exist a unique $t_0 \in (a, b)$ such that $h'(t_0) = 0$, and furthermore

$$h''(t) \gg \frac{Y}{Q^2}, \quad h^{(j)} \ll \frac{Y}{Q^j} \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, 3, \dots.$$

Then we have the following asymptotic expansion for the integral

$$(14) \quad I_1 = \frac{e(h(t_0))}{\sqrt{h''(t_0)}} \sum_{r \leq 3\delta^{-1}A} p_r(t_0) + O_{\delta,A}(Z^{-A}), \quad \text{where}$$

$$(15) \quad p_r(t_0) = \frac{e^{i\pi/4}}{r!} \left(\frac{i}{4\pi h''(t_0)} \right)^r G^{(2r)}(t_0), \quad \text{with}$$

$$(16) \quad G(t) := \omega(t)e(H(t)), \quad \text{where } H(t) = h(t) - h(t_0) - \frac{1}{2}h''(t_0)(t - t_0)^2.$$

Proof. See [7, Section 8]. □

7.3. An application of the Circle-Method. We separate the oscillations of $\lambda_f(n)$ and $\lambda_g(n)$ in the sum S by using the delta symbol to arrive at

$$T = \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(n) \lambda_g(m) W\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) V\left(\frac{m}{N}\right) \delta(n + h - m),$$

where V is a bump function supported on the interval $[-1, 1]$ and satisfies $V(0) = 1$. Let $\delta : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ be defined by

$$\delta(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then for $n \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [-2M, 2M]$, we have

$$(17) \quad \delta(n) = \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{a \bmod q}^* e\left(\frac{na}{q}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(q, u) e\left(\frac{nu}{qQ}\right) du,$$

where $Q = 2M^{1/2}$. The function g satisfies the following property (see (20.158) and (20.159) of [20], and [10])

$$(18) \quad g(q, u) = 1 + h(q, u), \quad \text{with } h(q, u) = O\left(\frac{Q}{q} \left(\frac{q}{Q} + |u|\right)^A\right),$$

$$g(q, u) \ll |u|^{-A},$$

for any $A > 1$.

$$(19) \quad u^j \frac{\partial^j}{\partial u^j} g(q, u) \ll \min\left\{\frac{Q}{q}, \frac{1}{|u|}\right\} \log Q$$

for $j \geq 1$. In particular, the second property implies that the effective range of integral in (17) is $[-M^\epsilon, M^\epsilon]$. It also follows that if $q \ll Q^{1-\epsilon}$ and $u \ll Q^{-\epsilon}$, then $g(q, u)$ can be replaced by 1 at a cost of a negligible error term. If $q \gg Q^{1-\epsilon}$, then we get $u^j \frac{\partial^j}{\partial u^j} g(q, u) \ll Q^\epsilon$, for any $j \geq 1$. If $q \ll Q^{1-\epsilon}$ and $Q^{-\epsilon} \ll |u| \ll Q^\epsilon$, then $u^j \frac{\partial^j}{\partial u^j} g(q, u) \ll Q^\epsilon$, for any $j \geq 1$. Hence in all cases, we can view $g(q, u)$ as a nice weight function. Using Parseval's identity and Cauchy inequality, we deduce the following lemma.

Lemma 7.3.1. *Let $g(q, u)$ be as given in equation (17). We have*

$$(20) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|g(q, u)| + |g(q, u)|^2) du \ll Q^\epsilon.$$

Proof. See [10]. □

Now we let $Q = \sqrt{N}$ to write DFI's expression for $\delta(m - n)$ where K will be determined later. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q} \frac{g(q, u)}{qQ} \sum_{a \bmod q}^* e\left(\frac{ah}{q}\right) e\left(\frac{hu}{qQ}\right) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(n) e\left(\frac{an}{q}\right) e\left(\frac{nu}{qQ}\right) W\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda_g(m) e\left(-\frac{am}{q}\right) V\left(\frac{m}{N}\right) e\left(-\frac{mu}{qQ}\right) du, \end{aligned}$$

Trivial estimation at this stage yields N^2 . So our job is to save $N^{1+\cdot}$.

7.4. Applications of Voronoi summation formula. We will apply Voronoi summation formula on the two sums:

For n : Now applying the Voronoi summation formula 3.1.1 to the n -sum, we get

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &:= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(n) e\left(-\frac{an}{q}\right) W\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) e\left(\frac{nu}{qQ}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{q} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(n) e\left(\frac{\bar{a}n}{q}\right) \int_0^\infty W\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) e\left(\frac{-ux}{qQ}\right) J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{nx}}{q}\right) dx \\ &= \frac{N}{q} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(n) e\left(\frac{\bar{a}n}{q}\right) \int_0^\infty W(x) e\left(\frac{-uNx}{qQ}\right) J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{nNx}}{q}\right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Extracting the oscillation from the Bessel function we get that

$$S_1 = \frac{N^{3/4}}{\sqrt{q}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_f(n)}{n^{1/4}} e\left(-\frac{\bar{a}n}{q}\right) \int_0^\infty e\left(\frac{uNx}{qQ} \pm \frac{2\sqrt{nNx}}{q}\right) \frac{W(x)}{x^{1/4}} dx.$$

By applying the Lemma 7.2.1 we see that the integral is negligibly small unless

$$n \asymp \frac{Q^2}{N} = N^\epsilon.$$

For m : Applying the Voronoi summation formula 3.1.1 to the m -sum, we get

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 &:= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda_g(m) e\left(-\frac{am}{q}\right) V\left(\frac{m}{N}\right) e\left(-\frac{mu}{qQ}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{q} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda_g(m) e\left(\frac{\bar{a}m}{q}\right) \int_0^\infty e\left(\frac{uy}{qQ}\right) J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{my}}{q}\right) V\left(\frac{y}{N}\right) dy \\ &= \frac{N^{3/4}}{\sqrt{q}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_g(m)}{m^{1/4}} e\left(\frac{\bar{a}m}{q}\right) \int_0^\infty e\left(\frac{uNy}{qQ} \pm \frac{2\sqrt{mNy}}{q}\right) \frac{V(y)}{y^{1/4}} dy. \end{aligned}$$

By applying the Lemma 7.2.1 we note that the above integral is negligibly small unless

$$(21) \quad m \asymp \max \frac{Q^2}{N} = N^\epsilon.$$

We obtain the following expression of S

$$(22) \quad S = \frac{N^{3/2}}{Q} \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q} \frac{1}{q^2} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N^\epsilon} \frac{\lambda_f(n)}{n^{1/4}} \sum_{1 \leq m \leq N^\epsilon} \frac{\lambda_g(m)}{m^{1/4}} S(h, m - n; q) \mathcal{I}(q, n, m),$$

where $S(h, m - n; q)$ is the Kloosterman sum and $\mathcal{I}(q, n, m)$ is an integral transform which is given by

$$(23) \quad \mathcal{I}(q, n, m) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} e\left(\frac{hu}{qQ}\right) g(u, q) \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty W(x) V(y) e\left(\frac{-uNx}{qQ} \pm \frac{2\sqrt{nNx}}{q}\right) e\left(\frac{uNy}{qQ} \pm \frac{2\sqrt{mNy}}{q}\right) dx dy du.$$

7.5. Simplification of the integral. We now simplify the integral transform given in equation (23). We consider the u integral. We have

$$\mathcal{I}(q, n, m) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty W(x) V(y) e\left(\pm \frac{2\sqrt{mNy}}{q} \pm \frac{2\sqrt{nNx}}{q}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(u, q) e\left(\frac{uN(y - x + h)}{qQ}\right) du dx dy.$$

Using the properties of g function from equations (18) and (19), we obtain that the integral is negligibly small unless

$$|y - x| \ll |y - x + h| \ll N^\epsilon \frac{qQ}{N} \Leftrightarrow |y - x| \ll N^\epsilon \frac{q}{Q}.$$

Let $x - y + h = t$. We obtain that

$$\mathcal{I}(q, n, m) = \int_{|t-h| \ll \frac{q}{Q}} \int_0^\infty V(y) e\left(\pm \frac{2\sqrt{mNy}}{q} \pm \frac{2\sqrt{nN(y+t-h)}}{q}\right) dy dt + O_A(N^{-A}),$$

for any positive constant A . Using the binomial expansion we have

$$\sqrt{y+t-h} = \sqrt{y} \left(1 + \frac{t-h}{y}\right)^{1/2} = \sqrt{y} \left(1 + \frac{t-h}{2y} + O\left(\frac{(t-h)^2}{y^2}\right)\right)$$

Using series expansion, we observe that the phase function $e(\sqrt{nN(t-h)}/q)$ is non-oscillating due to size of t and n , as

$$\frac{\sqrt{nN}}{q} \frac{t-h}{2\sqrt{y}} \ll N^\epsilon \frac{Q}{q} \times \frac{q}{Q} \ll N^\epsilon.$$

Hence we can absorb this into the weight function V . We will consider individual bound with respect to t . The integral transform $\mathcal{I}(q, n, m)$ essentially reduces to

$$\mathcal{I}(q, n, m) = \frac{q}{Q} \int_0^\infty V(y) e\left(\pm \frac{2\sqrt{mNy}}{q} \pm \frac{2\sqrt{nNy}}{q}\right) dy.$$

There are four terms in above integral transform. We will consider one of them. Other cases are exactly same. We obtain that

$$(24) \quad \mathcal{I}(q, n, m) = \frac{q}{Q} \int_0^\infty V(y) e\left(-\frac{2\sqrt{mNy}}{q} + \frac{2\sqrt{nNy}}{q}\right) dy \ll \frac{q}{Q} N^\epsilon.$$

7.6. Further estimates. Hence we have to take care of the diagonal and the off-diagonal parts.

7.6.1. Diagonal part. Consider the case when $m = n$. For this case from (22) we have

$$S_d := \frac{N^{3/2}}{Q} \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q} \frac{1}{q^2} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N^\epsilon} \frac{\lambda_f(n) \lambda_g(n)}{n^{1/2}} C_q(h) \mathcal{I}(q, n, n),$$

where $C_q(h)$ is the Ramanujan sum. Then using (24) we get that

$$(25) \quad S_d \ll \frac{N^{3/2}}{Q} \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q} \frac{1}{q^2} \times \frac{q}{Q} \ll N^{1/2+2\theta}.$$

7.6.2. Off-diagonal part. Consider the case when $m \neq n$. For this case from (22) we have

$$S_{od} := \frac{N^{3/2}}{Q} \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q} \frac{1}{q^2} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N^\epsilon} \frac{\lambda_f(n)}{n^{1/4}} \sum_{1 \leq m \leq N^\epsilon} \frac{\lambda_g(m)}{m^{1/4}} S(h, m - n; q) \mathcal{I}(q, n, m).$$

Then using (24) we get that

$$(26) \quad S_{od} \ll \frac{N^{3/2}}{Q} \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q} \frac{1}{q^2} \times \frac{q}{Q} \times \sqrt{Q} \ll N^{3/4+2\theta}.$$

Hence from (22), (25) and (26) we get that

$$(27) \quad S \ll N^{3/4}.$$

This will prove the Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Barthel and D. Ramakrishnan, *A nonvanishing result for twists of $GL(n)$* , Duke Math. J. **74** (1994), no. 3, 681-700. <https://doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-94-07425-5>
- [2] V. Blomer, *Shifted Convolution Sums and Subconvexity Bounds for Automorphic L -Functions*. IMRN, Volume 2004, Issue 73, (2004), 3905-3926, <https://doi.org/10.1155/S1073792804142505>.
- [3] V. Blomer and G. Harcos, *The spectral decomposition of shifted convolution sums*. Duke Math. J. 144(2): 321-339 (15 August 2008), <https://doi.org/10.1215/00127094-2008-038>.
- [4] V. Blomer and G. Harcos, *A hybrid asymptotic formula for the second moment of Rankin-Selberg L -functions*. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **105** (2012), no. 3, 473-505. <https://doi.org/10.1112/plms/pdr069>.
- [5] V. Blomer, G. Harcos and P.G. Michel, *Bounds for modular L -functions in the level aspect*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **40** (2007), no.5, 697-740. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ansens.2007.05.003>
- [6] V. Blomer, X. Li, and S. D. Miller, *A Spectral Reciprocity Formula and non-vanishing for L -Functions on $GL(4) \times GL(2)$* , Journal of Number Theory Prime **205** (2019), 1-43. <https://doi.org/10.1112/plms.70061>
- [7] V. Blomer, R. Khan and M. Young, *Distribution of mass of holomorphic cusp forms*. Duke Math. J. 162 (14) 2609 - 2644, November 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1215/00127094-2380967>
- [8] S. Das and R. Khan, *Simultaneous nonvanishing of Dirichlet L -functions and twists of Hecke-Maass L -functions*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. 30(3) (2015), pg. 237-250.

- [9] W. Duke, J. Friedlander and H. Iwaniec, *Bounds for automorphic L -functions. II*, Invent Math 115, 219–239 (1994). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01231759>
- [10] A. Ghosh, *Cancellations in Short Sums related to Hecke-Cusp Forms* (2024). Doctoral Thesis. 478. <https://digitalcommons.isical.ac.in/doctoral-theses/478>
- [11] A. Ghosh, *Level aspect asymptotic formula for Rankin-Selberg L -functions*, (2025 preprint).
- [12] I.S. Gradshteyn and I.M. Ryzhik, *Table of integrals, series, and products*, Translated from the Russian, Sixth edition, Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 2000.
- [13] G. Harcos, P. Michel, *The subconvexity problem for Rankin-Selberg L -functions and equidistribution of Heegner points. II*. Invent. math. **163**, 581–655 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-005-0468-6>
- [14] G. Harcos and J. Thorner, *A new zero-free region for Rankin-Selberg L -functions*, arXiv. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.16889>
- [15] J. Hoffstein and P. Lockhart, *Coefficients of Maass forms and the Siegel zero (with an appendix by D. Goldfeld, J. Hoffstein and D. Lieman)*, Ann. of Math. 140 (1994) 161–181. 16.
- [16] B. Huang, S. Liu, and Z. Xu, *Mollification and non-vanishing of automorphic L -functions on $GL(3)$* , Israel J. Math. **227**(2), 597–622 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11856-018-1749-2>
- [17] H. Iwaniec, *Small eigenvalues of Laplacian for $\Gamma_0(N)$* , Acta Arith. 56 (1990) 65–82.
- [18] H. Iwaniec, *The spectral growth of automorphic L -functions*. J. Reine Angew. Math., 428:139–159 (1992).
- [19] H. Iwaniec, *Topics in Classical Automorphic Forms*, Grad. Stud. Math., vol 17, Amer. Math. Soc., 1997.
- [20] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic Number Theory*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publication 53, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.
- [21] S. Jana and R. Nunes, *Spectral reciprocity for $GL(n)$ and simultaneous non-vanishing of central L -values*, arXiv. [arXiv:2111.02297](https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.02297)
- [22] R. Khan, *Simultaneous non-vanishing of $GL(3) \times GL(2)$ and $GL(2)$ L -functions*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., **152**(3):535–553, 2012.
- [23] E. Kiral, I. Petrow, and M. Young, *Oscillatory integrals with uniformity in parameters*. J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux 31 (2019), no. 1, 145–159. <https://doi.org/10.5802/jtnb.1072>
- [24] E. Kowalski, P. Michel, and J. VanderKam, *Rankin-Selberg L -functions in the level aspect*. Duke Math. J., 114(1):123–191, 2002.
- [25] X. Li, *The Central value of the Rankin-Selberg L -Functions*. GAFA Geom. funct. anal. 18, 1660–1695 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00039-008-0692-5>
- [26] J. Li, X. Li, and Y. Lin, *Simultaneous non-vanishing of twists of $GL(3)$ and Dirichlet L -functions*. 2023.
- [27] S.- C. Liu, *Simultaneous nonvanishing of $GL(2) \times GL(2)$ and $GL(2)$ L -functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **142** (2014), 1953–1964. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-2014-12066-1>
- [28] W. Luo, *Nonvanishing of L -functions for $GL(n, \mathbb{A}_q)$* , Duke Math. J., **128**(2):199–207 (2005).
- [29] W. Luo, Z. Rudnick and P. Sarnak, *On the generalized Ramanujan conjecture for $GL(n)$ Automorphic forms, automorphic representations, and arithmetic* (Fort Worth, TX, 1996). In: Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, vol. 66, Part 2, P. 301–310. American Mathematics Society, Providence, RI, (1999).
- [30] G. Maiti and K. Mallesham, *Simultaneous non-vanishing of central values of $GL(2) \times GL(3)$ and $GL(3)$ L -functions*, J. Number Theory **255**, 62–84 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2023.08.003>
- [31] P. G. Michel, *The subconvexity problem for Rankin-Selberg L -functions and equidistribution of Heegner points*, Ann. of Math. (2) **160** (2004), no. 1, 185–236. <https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2004.160.185>
- [32] P. Michel and J. Vanderkam, *Simultaneous nonvanishing of twists of automorphic L -functions*, Compositio Math. 134 (2002), no. 2, 135–191. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1020544429383>
- [33] R. Munshi, *Subconvexity for $GL(3) \times GL(2)$ L -functions in t -aspect*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **24** (2022), no. 5, 1543–1566; MR4404783.
- [34] R. Munshi and J. Sengupta, *A case of simultaneous non-vanishing of automorphic L -functions*, Acta Arith. **182**(1), 1–11 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.4064/aa8179-9-2017>
- [35] D. Ramakrishnan and J. Rogawski, *Average values of modular L -series via the relative trace formula*, Pure Appl. Math. Q. 1 (2005), no. 4, 701–735. Special Issue: In memory of Armand Borel. <https://doi.org/10.4310/PAMQ.2009.v5.n4.a10>
- [36] D. E. Rohrlich, *Nonvanishing of L -functions for $GL(2)$* , Invent. Math. **97**, 381–403 (1989). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01389047>
- [37] F. Shahidi, *On certain L -functions*. Amer. J. Math. (1981), **103** (2): 297–355.
- [38] Z. Xu, *Simultaneous nonvanishing of automorphic L -functions at the central point*, Manuscripta Math. 134 (2011), no. 3–4, 309–342. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2014.08.005>

ARITRA GHOSH

TAN GROUP, ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FÉDÉRALE DE LAUSANNE (EPFL), MA C3 634, BÂTIMENT MA, STATION 8, 1015 LAUSANNE, SWITZERLAND; EMAIL: ARITRAJP30@GMAIL.COM