

Second-to-Top Term of \widehat{HFK} of Closed 3-Braids

Zhaojun Chen^{1*}

¹Department of Mathematics,
California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, United States

Oct 15, 2025

Abstract

In this paper, we use the skein exact sequence and other techniques to compute the second-to-top term of \widehat{HFK} of closed 3-braids. We do it case-by-case according to Xu's classification. We also verify the rank inequality conjectured by Sivek.

Key words: Closed 3-Braids, Knot Floer Homology, Skein Relationship, Quasi-Alternating Link.

1 Introduction and Terminologies

Knot Floer homology is an invariant for null-homologous knots K in an oriented three-manifold Y . The invariant was discovered independently by Ozsváth-Szabó [10] and Rasmussen [13]. There are several versions of knot Floer homology. In this paper we focus on the simplest version, which is denoted as \widehat{HFK} and takes the form of a bigraded, finite dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_2$.

We can naturally extend the definition of \widehat{HFK} to oriented, null-homologous links in Y , since oriented, null-homologous links in Y correspond to certain oriented, null-homologous knots in $Y \#^{c-1}(S^2 \times S^1)$ (c is the number of components of the original link). For this paper, we concern with the special case when the ambient manifold Y is S^3 .

Let L be a link (possibly a knot), there is a finite integer $u(L)$ such that $u(L) = \max\{s \in \mathbb{Z} : \widehat{HFK}(L, s) \neq 0\}$. For positive braid links, both the top term and the second-to-top term of their \widehat{HFK} are known. Stallings [15] showed that non-split positive braid links are fibered, and Ozsváth-Szabó [11] showed that a fibered link L has $\widehat{HFK}(L, u(L)) \cong \mathbb{F}$. The second-to-top term is computed in a paper by Zhechi Cheng [3]. Cheng's result is that for a positive braid link L ,

$$\widehat{HFK}(L, u(L) - 1) \cong \mathbb{F}^{p(L) + |L| - s(L)}[-1] \otimes (\mathbb{F}[0] \oplus \mathbb{F}[-1])^{\otimes s(L) - 1}.$$

Here, $|L|$ is number of components of L , $s(L)$ is the number of split factors of L , and $p(L)$ is the number of prime factors of L . Specifically, $p(L)$ is defined by $p(L_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup L_{s(L)}) = p(L_1) + \cdots + p(L_{s(L)})$ and $p(L_i)$ is the largest possible number of components splitting L_i into connected sums and $p(\text{unknot}) = 0$.

In a 2009 paper, Ni computed the top term of \widehat{HFK} of closed 3-braids, which are not necessarily positive [8]. In this paper, we compute the second-to-top term of \widehat{HFK} of closed 3-braids, using the exact triangle and other techniques. Note that we use the same convention for Maslov gradings as in Cheng's paper, which always takes integral values. Our convention differs from the convention in [10], which can take half-integral values, by a $+\frac{|L|-1}{2}$ shift.

Let σ_1, σ_2 be the standard Artin generators of the group of 3-braids B_3 . Let $a_1 = \sigma_1$, $a_2 = \sigma_2$, $a_3 = \sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1}$. B_3 can be presented by $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3 : a_2a_1 = a_3a_2 = a_1a_3 \rangle$.

*Email address: zchen5@caltech.edu.




Figure 1: Generators of B_3

For $w \in B_3$, denote the closure of w by $cl(w)$, and let $UT(w)$ be the reduced word of w obtained as follows: if the subword $a_i a_i$ is contained in w , replace it with a single a_i ; repeat until w does not contain subword of the form $a_i a_i$.

We do the computation case-by-case according to a full classification of closed 3-braids by Xu [16] (see Section 2 for details). The result is summarized in the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. For $w \in B_3$, let L be $cl(w)$. Let $\zeta(w)$ be the absolute value of the coefficient of the second-to-top term of $(t^{-\frac{1}{2}} - t^{\frac{1}{2}})^{|L|-1} \Delta_L(t)$. $\widehat{HFK}(L, u(L) - 1)$ is as follows:

Table 1: Classification of $\widehat{HFK}(L, u(L) - 1)$ for closed 3-braids

Type	Subtype (representative word w)	$\widehat{HFK}(L, u(L) - 1)$
$\alpha^d P$	$d > 1$ or $l(P) = 0$.	$\mathbb{F}^{\zeta(w)}[-1]$.
	$d = 1, l(UT(P)) \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$.	$\mathbb{F}^{\zeta(w)}[-1]$.
	$d = 1, l(UT(P)) \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, k = \lfloor \frac{l(UT(P))}{3} \rfloor$.	$\mathbb{F}[k-1] \oplus \begin{cases} \mathbb{F}^{\zeta(w)+1}[-1], & k \text{ odd}, \\ \mathbb{F}^{\zeta(w)-1}[-1], & k \text{ even}. \end{cases}$
	$d = 1, l(UT(P)) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, k = \frac{l(UT(P))}{3} > 0$.	$\mathbb{F}[k-2] \oplus \begin{cases} \mathbb{F}^{\zeta(w)+1}[-1], & k \text{ even}, \\ \mathbb{F}^{\zeta(w)-1}[-1], & k \text{ odd}. \end{cases}$
NP	General: $l(N), l(P) > 1$, with top term $\widehat{HFK}(L, u(L)) \cong \mathbb{F}[p]$ (p determined by Proposition 3.5).	$\mathbb{F}^{\zeta(w)}[p-1]$.
	$l(N) = 1, k = \lfloor \frac{l(UT(P))}{3} \rfloor > 1$.	$\mathbb{F}[0] \oplus \begin{cases} \mathbb{F}^{\zeta(w)+1}[k-1], & k \text{ even}, \\ \mathbb{F}^{\zeta(w)-1}[k-1], & k \text{ odd}. \end{cases}$
	$l(N) = 1, k = \lfloor \frac{l(UT(P))}{3} \rfloor \leq 1$.	$\mathbb{F}^{\zeta(w)}[k-1]$.
P	$w \sim a_1^{n_1} a_2^{m_1} a_3^{\ell_1}$.	$\mathbb{F}^{\zeta(w)}[-1]$.
	$w \sim a_1^{n_1} a_2^{m_1} a_3^{\ell_1} a_1^{n_2} a_2^{m_2} a_3^{\ell_2}$, each $n_i, m_i, \ell_i \leq 2$.	$\mathbb{F}^{ L }[-1] \oplus \mathbb{F}^{ L +\zeta(w)}[0]$.
	$w \sim a_1^{n_1} a_2^{m_1} a_3^{\ell_1} a_1^{n_2} a_2^{m_2} a_3^{\ell_2}$ with $n_1 > 2$.	$\mathbb{F}^{\zeta(w_+)+(L - L_+)}[-1] \oplus \mathbb{F}^{\zeta(w_-)+(L - L_+)}[0]$. ($w^+ = \alpha^2 a_3^{n_1-3} a_1^{-n_1} w$, $w^- = a_2^{-1} a_1^{2-n_1} w a_1^{n_1-2}$, L_+ is $cl(w_+)$)
	$w \sim a_1^{n_1} \dots a_3^{\ell_k}$ with $k > 2$.	If k even: $\mathbb{F}^{1+\zeta(w_+)+(L - L_+)}[-1] \oplus \mathbb{F}^{1+\zeta(w_-)+(L - L_+)}[k-2]$. If k odd: $\mathbb{F}^{\zeta(w_+)+(L - L_+)-1}[-1] \oplus \mathbb{F}^{\zeta(w_-)+(L - L_+)-1}[k-2]$. ($w^+ = a_2 w$, $w_- = a_2^{-1} w$, L_+ is $cl(w^+)$)
	$w \sim a_1^n$ ($n \geq 0$).	If $n \leq 1$: trivial. If $n > 1$, n odd: $\mathbb{F}[-1] \oplus \mathbb{F}[-2]$. If $n > 1$, n even: $\mathbb{F}^2[-1] \oplus \mathbb{F}^2[-2]$.
Other	$w^{-1} \sim \alpha^d P$, a_1^n or $a_2^{-1} P$.	Use symmetry: $\widehat{HFK}_m(L, u(L) - 1) \cong \widehat{HFK}_{-m+ L -1}(\tilde{L}, 1 - u(\tilde{L})) \cong \widehat{HFK}_{-m+ L -3+2u(\tilde{L})}(\tilde{L}, u(\tilde{L}) - 1)$. (\tilde{L} is $cl(w^{-1})$).

Remark 1.2. We omit certain cases in P category because they are conjugate to other cases covered by the table. The case $l(UT(P)) \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ is conjugate to the case $l(UT(P)) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, and the case $l(UT(P)) \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ is

conjugate to the case $\alpha^d P$. The proof of Proposition 4.11 will show that we have indeed covered all cases in the P category.

For a few boundary cases, we check the \widehat{HFK} on *KnotInfo* [5].

In fact, our results verify the following graded version of the rank inequality conjectured by Sivek (see [1]):

Corollary 1.3. *For a closed 3-braid L with $u(L) > 0$, $\text{rank}(\widehat{HFK}_{m-1}(L, u(L) - 1)) \geq \text{rank}(\widehat{HFK}_m(L, u(L)))$.*

In [9], Ni showed that the above inequality holds if L is a knot and $\widehat{HFK}(L, u(L))$ is supported in a single Maslov grading. For the remaining cases, we need to combine our Theorem 1.1 with the result in [2], which showed that $\text{rank}(\widehat{HFK}(L), u(L) - 1) \geq |L|$ when L is fibered.

If the equality holds, L must be a knot. Examples when the equality holds include $L = cl(\alpha a_1 a_2 a_3 a_1) = 5_1$ and $L = cl(\alpha^d) = T(3, d)$, where $d > 1$, $3 \nmid d$.

2 Preliminaries

The main tool used in Cheng's paper is the exact triangle introduced by Ozsváth and Szabó [12]. This exact triangle describes the relationship between Floer homology of links related by skein relation, which is illustrated in Figure 2.




Figure 2: The skein relation, with L_+ , L_- and L_0 from left to right.

Namely, we have the following proposition [12]:

Proposition 2.1. *There is an exact sequence*

$$\cdots \rightarrow \widehat{HFK}_m(L_+, s) \rightarrow \widehat{HFK}_m(L_-, s) \rightarrow \widehat{HFK}_{m-1}(L_0, s) \rightarrow \widehat{HFK}_{m-1}(L_+, s) \rightarrow \cdots$$

if L_0 has more components than L_+ , and if L_0 has fewer components than L_+ there is an exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \widehat{HFK}_m(L_+, s) \rightarrow \widehat{HFK}_m(L_-, s) \rightarrow (\widehat{HFK}(L_0) \otimes J)_{m-1, s} \rightarrow \widehat{HFK}_{m-1}(L_+, s) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Here $J \cong \mathbb{F}[0, 1] \oplus \mathbb{F}^2[-1, 0] \oplus \mathbb{F}[-2, -1]$, where $[i, j]$ stands for Maslov and Alexander grading respectively.

Hereafter we let $H_m(L_0, s) \cong \widehat{HFK}_m(L_0, s)$ if $|L_0| > |L_+|$ and $H_m(L_0, s) \cong (\widehat{HFK}(L_0) \otimes J)_{m, s}$ if $|L_0| < |L_+|$. Also, $\bar{u}(L_0) = u(L_0)$ if $|L_0| > |L_+|$ and $\bar{u}(L_0) = u(L_0) + 1$ if $|L_0| < |L_+|$.

The exact sequence can provide much information about \widehat{HFK} of a knot (or link), if we know what the top Alexander grading $u(L)$ is. In fact, Ni [7] showed that $u(L) = \frac{|L| - \chi(L)}{2}$; when L is a knot this coincides with the genus of L . It is generally not very easy to know the exact value of $\chi(L)$, but for a closed 3-braid $L = cl(w)$, Xu's work [16] tells us that $\chi(L)$ is related to the word length $l(w)$. According to Xu, we have the following classification of closed 3-braids:

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\alpha = a_2 a_1 = a_3 a_2 = a_1 a_3$. Every conjugacy class in B_3 can be represented as a shortest word in a_1, a_2, a_3 which is unique up to symmetries, such that the word has one of the following forms:*

- (i) $\alpha^d P$;
- (ii) $N \alpha^{-d}$;
- (iii) NP .

Here $d \geq 0$, N^{-1} and P are nondecreasing positive words, P or N may be empty. A positive word $a_{\epsilon_1} \cdots a_{\epsilon_n}$ is nondecreasing if for each $1 \leq j < n$, $\epsilon_{j+1} = \epsilon_j$ or $\epsilon_j + 1$, where the subscript for a is understood cyclically.

Xu's results also showed that for a shortest word w as above, Euler characteristic of $cl(w)$ is $3 - l(w)$. This means decreasing the word length might also decrease the genus of the corresponding link. When the shortest possible word length (up to conjugation) of a link in the skein triple is small enough, the skein exact sequence can result in an isomorphism between the second-to-top terms of the other two links in the triple, and the isomorphism shifts the Maslov grading in a fixed way. This isomorphism allows us to reduce a closed 3-braid to a braid whose second-to-top term is known.

Note that Xu's convention for σ_1, σ_2 is different from the one used in our paper. σ_1, σ_2 in [16] should be $\sigma_1^{-1}, \sigma_2^{-1}$ under the convention of this paper. We change Xu's convention in order to use the skein exact triangle more conveniently. Xu's statements still hold under the new convention, because if L is a closed 3-braid, and \bar{L} is the mirror of L obtained by switching over- and under-crossings in a projection for L , then $u(L) = u(\bar{L})$ [10].

An oriented link L is called strongly quasi-positive when L is the closure of a word $\beta \in B_n$ such that β is the product of $\sigma_{i,j} = (\sigma_i \cdots \sigma_{j-2})\sigma_{j-1}(\sigma_i \cdots \sigma_{j-2})^{-1}$ [14]. Note that if $w \in B_3$ is positive in the generators a_1, a_2, a_3 , then $cl(w)$ is strongly quasi-positive.

The following identities will be important in our computation:

$$a_i \alpha = \alpha a_{i+1} \quad (1)$$

$$a_i^{-1} a_j = a_{i+2} a_{j+2}^{-1} \quad (2)$$

$$a_{i+1} a_i a_{i+1} = a_i a_{i+1} a_i \quad (3)$$

$$\alpha a_i^{-1} = a_{i+2}^{-1} \alpha = a_{i+1} \quad (4)$$

$$\alpha^{-1} a_i = a_{i+1} \alpha^{-1} = a_{i+2}^{-1} \quad (5)$$

3 Computing Maslov Grading of the Top Term

In [8], Ni has shown that the top term of \widehat{HFK} of $cl(w)$ has rank 1 except when (i) w is 0; (2) w (or w^{-1}) is of type P , and (up to conjugation) either starts with a_1 and ends with a_3 or is a power of a_1 . However, to compute the second-to-top term, we must not only know the rank of the top term but also know the explicit Maslov grading. To describe the top term explicitly as a graded group, we need the following lemma:

Lemma 3.1. *Consider a skein triple L_0, L_-, L_+ . We have*

- (1) $\widehat{HFK}_m(L_+, u(L_+)) \cong \widehat{HFK}_m(L_-, u(L_-))$ if $u(L_+) = u(L_-) > \bar{u}(L_0)$,
- (2) $\widehat{HFK}_m(L_+, u(L_+)) \cong H_m(L_0, \bar{u}(L_0)) \cong \widehat{HFK}_m(L_0, u(L_0))$ if $u(L_+) = \bar{u}(L_0) > u(L_-)$,
- (3) $\widehat{HFK}_m(L_-, u(L_+)) \cong H_{m-1}(L_0, \bar{u}(L_0)) \cong \widehat{HFK}_{m-1}(L_0, u(L_0))$ if $u(L_-) = \bar{u}(L_0) > u(L_+)$.

Proof. If $u(L_+) = u(L_-) > \bar{u}(L_0)$, then $H(L_0, u(L_+))$ is trivial. From the exact sequences

$$\cdots \rightarrow \widehat{HFK}_m(L_+, s) \rightarrow \widehat{HFK}_m(L_-, s) \rightarrow H_{m-1}(L_0, s) \rightarrow \widehat{HFK}_{m-1}(L_+, s) \rightarrow \cdots$$

we have $\widehat{HFK}_m(L_+, u(L_+)) \cong \widehat{HFK}_m(L_-, u(L_-))$.

Statements (2) and (3) can be proved with similar reasoning. □

Using the above lemma, we have the following propositions about the top term:

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose $w = \alpha^d P$ is a word in Xu's form, $d > 0$, $L = cl(w)$. Then, $\widehat{HFK}(L, u(L)) \cong \mathbb{F}[0]$.*

Proof. We prove by induction on the length of P . When the length $l(P) = 0$, $L = cl(\alpha^d)$ and hence is a torus link; thus $\widehat{HFK}(L, u(L)) \cong \mathbb{F}[0]$.

Assume $\widehat{HFK}(L, u(L)) \cong \mathbb{F}[0]$ whenever $0 \leq l(P) < n$. Then, when $l(P) = n$:

Assume P ends in a_1 . Then $w = a_1 a_3 \alpha^{d-1} P' a_1$. Let $L_+ = L$, $L_0 = cl(a_1 a_3 \alpha^{d-1} P')$, $L_- = cl(a_1 a_3 \alpha^{d-1} P' a_1^{-1})$.

Since $a_1 a_3 \alpha^{d-1} P' a_1^{-1} \sim a_3 \alpha^{d-1} P'$, we see that $u(L_+) = \bar{u}(L_0) > u(L_-)$. Thus, by Lemma 3.1 $\widehat{HFK}(L_+, u(L_+)) \cong \widehat{HFK}(L_0, u(L_0)) \cong \mathbb{F}[0]$. □

Proposition 3.3. *Suppose $a_2^{-1} P$ is a shortest word in Xu's form and $l(P) > 0$, which means P cannot start or end with a_2 . Let $L = cl(a_2^{-1} P)$, and $k = \lfloor \frac{l(UT(P))}{3} \rfloor$. Then, $\widehat{HFK}(L, u(L)) \cong \mathbb{F}[k]$.*

Proof. Assume $P = P_1 a_j^2 P_2$ for some $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, where $P_1 a_j, a_j P_2$ are nondecreasing positive words. Let $L_+ = L$, $L_0 = cl(a_2^{-1} P_1 a_j P_2)$, $L_- = cl(a_2^{-1} P_1 P_2)$. By Lemma 3.1, $\widehat{HFK}_m(L_+, u(L_+)) \cong \widehat{HFK}_m(L_0, u(L_0))$.

Hence, we reduce to the case when $P = UT(P)$.

Also, because $a_2^{-1} a_3^n = a_1^n a_2^{-1}$, by conjugation we may assume P starts with a_1 .

If $k = 0$, we only need to consider the case $a_2^{-1} P = a_2^{-1} a_1$. In this case L is the unknot, so $\widehat{HFK}(L, u(L)) \cong \mathbb{F}[0]$.

Thus, the proposition holds if $k = 0$. Suppose $\widehat{HFK}(L, u(L)) \cong \mathbb{F}[k]$ whenever $0 \leq k < K$. Then, when $k = K$:

If $P = P' a_1 a_2 a_3$, let $L_+ = cl(a_2^{-1} P' a_1 a_2 a_3)$, $L_0 = cl(a_2^{-1} P' a_1 a_3)$, $L_- = cl(a_2^{-1} P' a_1 a_2^{-1} a_3)$.

Since $a_2^{-1} P' a_1 a_3 \sim P' a_3$, by Lemma 3.1, $\widehat{HFK}_m(L_+, u(L_+)) \cong \widehat{HFK}_m(L_-, u(L_-))$.

Also, $a_2^{-1}P'a_1a_2^{-1}a_3 = a_2^{-1}P'a_1^2a_2^{-1} \sim a_2^{-2}P'a_1^2$. By Lemma 3.1 and the inductive hypothesis, we have

$$\widehat{HFK}_m(L_-, u(L_-)) \cong \widehat{HFK}_{m-1}(cl(a_2^{-1}P'a_1^2), u(cl(a_2^{-1}P'a_1^2))), \quad \widehat{HFK}(L_-, u(L_-)) \cong \mathbb{F}[k].$$

If $P = P'a_1 = P''a_3a_1$, let $L_+ = cl(a_2^{-1}P'a_1)$, $L_0 = cl(a_2^{-1}P''a_3)$, $L_- = cl(a_2^{-1}P''a_3a_1^{-1})$.

We have $a_2^{-1}P''a_3a_1^{-1} \sim P''a_3\alpha^{-1} = P''a_1^{-1}$, so $u(L_-) < u(L_+) = \bar{u}(L_0)$. By Lemma 3.1, $\widehat{HFK}_m(L_+, u(L_+)) \cong \widehat{HFK}_m(L_0, u(L_0))$, which means $\widehat{HFK}(L_+, u(L_+)) \cong \mathbb{F}[k]$ by discussion of the case when P ends with a_3 .

All in all, $\widehat{HFK}(L, u(L)) \cong \mathbb{F}[k]$. We have proved the proposition by induction. \square

Proposition 3.4. Suppose $L = cl(P)$, P starts with a_1 and ends with a_3 , $k = \frac{l(UT(P))}{3} > 0$. Then, $\widehat{HFK}(L, u(L)) \cong \mathbb{F}[0] \oplus \mathbb{F}[k-1]$.

Proof. Let $L_0 = L$, $L_+ = cl(a_2P)$, $L_- = cl(a_2^{-1}P)$. By analyzing word length, we have $u(L_+) = u(L_-) = \bar{u}(L_0)$. Hence, there is an exact triangle among the top terms of $\widehat{HFK}(L_+, u)$, $H(L_0, u)$, $\widehat{HFK}(L_-, u)$.

Set $u = u(L_+)$. By Propositions 3.2 and 3.3 we know that $\widehat{HFK}(L_+, u) \cong \mathbb{F}[0]$ and $\widehat{HFK}(L_-, u) \cong \mathbb{F}[k]$.

Consider the exact triangle:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & H(L_0, u) & \\ [-1] \nearrow & & \searrow [+0] \\ \widehat{HFK}(L_-, u) & \xleftarrow{[+0]} & \widehat{HFK}(L_+, u) \end{array}$$

The $[-1]$ and $[+0]$ labels mean that the map shifts the Maslov gradings by -1 and $+0$ respectively.

From the exact triangle, we see that $\widehat{HFK}(L, u(L)) \cong H(L_0, u) \cong \mathbb{F}[0] \oplus \mathbb{F}[k-1]$. \square

Proposition 3.5. Suppose $w = NP$ is a shortest word, $l(N) > 0$, $l(P) > 0$, $L = cl(w)$. Suppose P ends with a_1 . Then, $\widehat{HFK}(L, u(L)) = \mathbb{F}[\lfloor \frac{l(UT(P))-2s}{3} \rfloor + l(N) - 1]$, where

$$s = \begin{cases} \lfloor \frac{l(UT(N))-1}{2} \rfloor & \text{if } N \text{ starts with } a_3^{-1}, \\ \lfloor \frac{l(UT(N))}{2} \rfloor & \text{if } N \text{ starts with } a_2^{-1}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Assume $N = N'a_j^{-2}N''$ for some $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, where $a_jN'^{-1}, N''^{-1}a_j$ are nondecreasing positive words. Let $L_- = L$, $L_0 = cl(N'a_j^{-1}N''P)$, $L_+ = cl(N'N''P)$.

Since $u(L_+) < u(L_-) = \bar{u}(L_0)$, we have $\widehat{HFK}_m(L_-, u(L_-)) \cong \widehat{HFK}_{m-1}(L_0, u(L_0))$ by Lemma 3.1. This means Proposition 3.5 holds for L_- if and only if it holds for L_0 .

Hence, we reduce to the case when $N = UT(N)$.

The case when $l(N) = 1$ is as in Proposition 3.3. Assume the proposition holds whenever $N = UT(N)$ and $1 \leq l(N) < K$. Then, when $N = UT(N)$ and $l(N) = K$:

Assume $P = P'a_1$.

If $N = a_3^{-1}a_2^{-1}N^*$, let $L_- = L$, $L_0 = cl(a_2^{-1}N^*P)$, $L_+ = cl(a_3a_2^{-1}N^*P)$.

We have $a_3a_2^{-1}N^*P \sim \alpha a_2^{-1}N^*P' = a_3N^*P'$. Hence, $u(L_+) < u(L_-) = \bar{u}(L_0)$, and $\widehat{HFK}_m(L_-, u(L_-)) \cong \widehat{HFK}_{m-1}(L_0, u(L_0))$ by Lemma 3.1. By inductive hypothesis, $\widehat{HFK}(L_0, u(L_0)) \cong \mathbb{F}[\lfloor \frac{l(UT(P))-2s}{3} \rfloor + l(N) - 2]$, where $s = \lfloor \frac{l(a_2^{-1}N^*)}{2} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{l(N)-1}{2} \rfloor$. Thus $\widehat{HFK}(L_-, u(L_-)) \cong \mathbb{F}[\lfloor \frac{l(UT(P))-2s}{3} \rfloor + l(N) - 1]$, which is consistent with our proposition.

If $N = a_2^{-1}a_1^{-1}N^*$, let $L_- = L$, $L_0 = cl(a_1^{-1}N^*P)$, $L_+ = cl(a_2a_1^{-1}N^*P)$.

We have $a_2a_1^{-1}N^*P \sim N^*P'$. Hence, $\bar{u}(L_0) < u(L_-) = u(L_+)$, and $\widehat{HFK}_m(L_-, u(L_-)) \cong \widehat{HFK}_m(L_+, u(L_+))$ by Lemma 3.1. Since $a_2a_1^{-1}N^*P \sim a_1^{-1}N^*Pa_2$ and B_3 has rotation symmetry, we have $\widehat{HFK}(L_+, u(L_+)) \cong \mathbb{F}[\lfloor \frac{l(UT(P))+1-2s}{3} \rfloor + l(N) - 2]$ by inductive hypothesis, where $s = \lfloor \frac{l(a_1^{-1}N^*)-1}{2} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{l(N)}{2} \rfloor - 1$. Let $s' = s + 1$, then $\widehat{HFK}(L_-, u(L_-)) \cong \mathbb{F}[\lfloor \frac{l(UT(P))+1-2s'+2}{3} \rfloor + l(N) - 2] \cong \mathbb{F}[\lfloor \frac{l(UT(P))-2s'}{3} \rfloor + l(N) - 1]$, which is consistent with our proposition.

Thus, we have proved the proposition by induction. \square

4 Computing Maslov Grading of the Second-to-top Term

4.1 Preliminary Lemmas

In this section, we analyze at which Maslov gradings are the second-to-top terms non-trivial. We need the following lemmas:

Lemma 4.1. *Consider a skein triple L_0, L_-, L_+ . We have*

- (1) $\widehat{HFK}_m(L_+, u(L_+) - 1) \cong \widehat{HFK}_m(L_-, u(L_-) - 1)$ if $u(L_+) = u(L_-) > \bar{u}(L_0) + 1$,
- (2) $\widehat{HFK}_m(L_+, u(L_+) - 1) \cong H_m(L_0, \bar{u}(L_0) - 1)$ if $u(L_+) = \bar{u}(L_0) > u(L_-) + 1$,
- (3) $\widehat{HFK}_m(L_-, u(L_+) - 1) \cong H_{m-1}(L_0, \bar{u}(L_0) - 1)$ if $u(L_-) = \bar{u}(L_0) > u(L_+) + 1$.

Proof. If $u(L_+) = u(L_-) > \bar{u}(L_0) + 1$, then $H(L_0, u(L_+) - 1)$ is trivial. From the exact sequences

$$\cdots \rightarrow \widehat{HFK}_m(L_+, s) \rightarrow \widehat{HFK}_m(L_-, s) \rightarrow H_{m-1}(L_0, s) \rightarrow \widehat{HFK}_{m-1}(L_+, s) \rightarrow \cdots$$

we have $\widehat{HFK}_m(L_+, u(L_+) - 1) \cong \widehat{HFK}_m(L_-, u(L_-) - 1)$.

Statements (2) and (3) can be proved with similar reasoning. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Consider a skein triple L_0, L_-, L_+ . If L_- is fibered and strongly quasi-positive, then when $m \neq -1$, $\widehat{HFK}_m(L_+, u(L_+) - 1) \cong H_m(L_0, \bar{u}(L_0) - 1)$.*

Proof. Set $u = u(L_+)$. Consider the exact sequences

$$\cdots \widehat{HFK}_m(L_+, u - 1) \xrightarrow{F_m} \widehat{HFK}_m(L_-, u - 1) \xrightarrow{G_m} H_{m-1}(L_0, u - 1) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Since L_- is strongly quasi-positive, $\tau(L_-) = u(L_-) = u - 1$ [4], and $\widehat{HFK}(L_-, u - 1) \cong \mathbb{F}[0]$ by Section 3. Hence, by the reasoning in Corollary 3.4 of Cheng's paper [3], G_0 is injective and hence F_0 is 0 by exactness. Thus, we have the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow H_0(L_0, u - 1) \rightarrow \widehat{HFK}_0(L_+, u - 1) \rightarrow 0$, which means $\widehat{HFK}_0(L_+, u - 1) \cong H_0(L_0, u - 1)$. The exact sequences also yield that $\widehat{HFK}_m(L_+, u - 1) \cong H_m(L_0, u - 1)$ when $m \neq -1, 0$.

Hence, $\widehat{HFK}_m(L_+, u(L_+) - 1) \cong H_m(L_0, \bar{u}(L_0) - 1)$ when $m \neq -1$. \square

Now, we could do case-by-case discussion according to Xu's classification.

4.2 Case 1: Suppose $w = \alpha^d P$ is a word in Xu's form, $d > 0$, $L = cl(w)$.

If $l(P) = 0$, w is a positive word and the second-to-top term is as in Cheng's paper, which means it is supported at Maslov grading -1 because torus link is non-split [3]. If $d > 1, l(P) > 0$, suppose P starts with a_1 . Then, $w = \alpha^{d-1} a_2 a_1^2 P'$. Let $L_+ = L$, $L_0 = cl(\alpha^{d-1} a_2 a_1 P')$, $L_- = cl(\alpha^{d-1} a_2 P')$. $u(L_-) = u(L_+) - 1 = \bar{u}(L_0) - 1$, and L_- is fibered and strongly quasi-positive, with top term $\mathbb{F}[0]$. By Lemma 4.2, $\widehat{HFK}_m(L_+, u(L_+) - 1) \cong H_m(L_0, \bar{u}(L_0) - 1)$ for $m \neq -1$. We have thus shown by induction that if $d > 1$,

$$\widehat{HFK}(L, u(L) - 1) \cong \mathbb{F}^t[-1] \text{ for some } t \geq 0 \quad (6)$$

Proposition 4.3. *Suppose that $d = 1$, $l(P) > 0$, $k = \lfloor \frac{l(UT(P))}{3} \rfloor \geq 0$:*

$$\widehat{HFK}(L, u(L) - 1) \cong \mathbb{F}^t[-1] \text{ for some } t \geq 0, \quad \text{if } l(UT(P)) \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \quad (7a)$$

$$\widehat{HFK}(L, u(L) - 1) \cong \mathbb{F}[k - 1] \oplus \mathbb{F}^t[-1] \text{ for some } t \geq 0, \quad \text{if } l(UT(P)) \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, \quad (7b)$$

$$\widehat{HFK}(L, u(L) - 1) \cong \mathbb{F}[k - 2] \oplus \mathbb{F}^t[-1] \text{ for some } t \geq 0, \quad \text{if } l(UT(P)) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}. \quad (7c)$$

Proof. If $P = a_1^n$ or $a_1^n a_2^m$, $n, m > 0$, then $w = \alpha P = a_2 a_1 P$ is a positive braid word. Also, w is fibered and hence non-split. Thus, $\widehat{HFK}(L, u(L) - 1)$ is supported at Maslov grading -1 by Cheng's formula. By analyzing word length we see that $u(L) > 0$, so L is not the unknot. Then, from Cheng's formula we see that the second-to-top term of $\widehat{HFK}(L, u(L) - 1)$ has rank $p(L) + |L| - s(L) \geq p(L) > 0$.

If $P = a_1^n a_2^m a_3^l = a_1^n a_2^m a_2 a_1^l a_2^{-1}$, then $\alpha P = a_2 a_1^{n+1} a_2^m a_2 a_1^l a_2^{-1} \sim a_1^{n+1} a_2^m a_2 a_1^l$ is a positive word. Since P is fibered, P is non-split. Hence, $\widehat{HFK}(L, u(L) - 1)$ is supported at Maslov grading -1 . Also, by analyzing the word length we could see that $u(L) > 0$, which means L is not the unknot and hence $\widehat{HFK}(L, u(L) - 1)$ has rank > 0 .

When $l(UT(P)) > 3$:

If $P = P_1 a_j^2 P_2$ for some $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, with $P_1 a_j, a_j P_2$ nondecreasing positive words, consider the skein triple $L_+ = L, L_0 = cl(\alpha P_1 a_j P_2), L_- = cl(\alpha P_1 P_2)$.

We have $u(L_-) = u(L_+) - 1 = \bar{u}(L_0) - 1$, and L_- is strongly quasi-positive. If $P_1 P_2$ is a nondecreasing positive word, then L_- is fibered. If $P_1 P_2$ is not a nondecreasing positive word, then $P_1 = P'_1 a_{j-1}$ and $P_2 = a_{j+1} P'_2$, with $P'_1 a_{j-1}, a_{j+1} P'_2$ nondecreasing positive. By Lemma 2.7 of [8], L_- is fibered if and only if $cl(\alpha P'_1 a_{j-1}^2 a_{j+1}^2 P'_2)$ is fibered. By (1), $P'_1 a_{j-1}^2 a_{j+1}^2 P'_2 = P'_1 a_{j-1} \alpha a_{j+1} P'_2 = \alpha P'_3 a_j a_{j+1} P'_2$, with $P'_3 a_j$ nondecreasing positive. This means $cl(\alpha P'_1 a_{j-1}^2 a_{j+1}^2 P'_2)$ is fibered and hence L_- is fibered. Hence, by Lemma 4.2, $\widehat{HFK}_m(L_+, u(L_+) - 1) \cong H_m(L_0, \bar{u}(L_0) - 1)$ when $m \neq -1$.

Thus, we reduce to the case $P = UT(P)$. During this process we only alters the Maslov grading -1 part of the second-to-top term of $\widehat{HFK}(L)$.

If $l(UT(P)) \equiv 1 \pmod 3$, let $P = a_1 a_2 a_3 P' = a_1 a_2 a_3 P'' a_1$. By (3), we have $w = a_3 a_1 a_2 a_1 a_3 P' = a_3 a_1 a_2 a_2 a_1 P'$.

Now, let $L_+ = L, L_0 = cl(a_3 a_1 a_2 a_1 P'), L_- = cl(a_3 a_1 a_1 P')$.

By (3), we have $a_3 a_1 a_2 a_1 P' \sim a_1 a_3 a_1 a_2 a_1 P'' = \alpha^2 a_2 P''$. Also, $a_3 a_1 a_1 P' \sim \alpha a_1^2 P''$. Hence, $u(L_-) = u(L_+) - 1 = \bar{u}(L_0) - 1$. By (6), $H(L_0, \bar{u}(L_0) - 1)$ is supported at Maslov grading -1. Moreover, L_- is fibered by Proposition 3.2, and L_- is strongly quasi-positive. By Lemma 4.2, $\widehat{HFK}(L, u(L) - 1)$ is supported at Maslov grading -1.

If $l(UT(P)) \equiv 2 \pmod 3$, let $P = P' a_1 a_2$. Let $L_+ = L, L_0 = cl(\alpha P' a_1), L_- = cl(\alpha P' a_1 a_2^{-1})$.

We have $\alpha P' a_1 a_2^{-1} \sim a_1 P' a_1 \sim a_1^2 P'$, and $a_1^2 P'$ ends with a_3 .

Thus, $u(L_-) = u(L_+) - 1 = \bar{u}(L_0) - 1$. By Proposition 3.4, $\widehat{HFK}(L_-, u(L_-))$ is $\mathbb{F}[0] \oplus \mathbb{F}[k-1]$. By (7a), the second-to-top term of $\widehat{HFK}(L_0)$ is supported at Maslov grading -1.

Now, take $u = u(L_+)$ and consider the exact sequences

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_m(L_0, u-1) \rightarrow \widehat{HFK}_m(L_+, u-1) \xrightarrow{F_m} \widehat{HFK}_m(L_-, u-1) \xrightarrow{G_m} H_{m-1}(L_0, u-1) \rightarrow \cdots$$

If $k = 1$, let $L'_+ = cl(\alpha a_1^2 a_2 a_3 a_1 a_2), L'_0 = L_+, L'_- = cl(\alpha a_2 a_3 a_1 a_2)$. By Lemma 4.2, $H_m(L'_0, \bar{u}(L'_0) - 1) \cong \widehat{HFK}_m(L'_+, u(L'_+) - 1)$ when $m \neq -1$. In fact, L'_+ is just 10_{161} and *KnotInfo* [5] shows that $\widehat{HFK}(L'_+, u(L'_+) - 1) \cong \mathbb{F}[0] \oplus \mathbb{F}[-1]$. All in all, $\widehat{HFK}(L_+, u-1) \cong \mathbb{F}[0] \oplus \mathbb{F}^t[-1]$ for some $t \geq 0$.

If $k > 1$, since $H_{k-1}(L_0, u-1) \cong H_{k-2}(L_0, u-1) \cong 0$ by (7a), we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \widehat{HFK}_{k-1}(L_+, u-1) \xrightarrow{F_{k-1}} \widehat{HFK}_{k-1}(L_-, u-1) \xrightarrow{G_{k-1}} 0,$$

which means $\widehat{HFK}_{k-1}(L_+, u-1) \cong \widehat{HFK}_{k-1}(L_-, u-1) \cong \mathbb{F}$.

If $k > 2$, since $\widehat{HFK}_{k-2}(L_-, u-1) \cong 0$, we have $0 \rightarrow \widehat{HFK}_{k-2}(L_+, u-1) \rightarrow 0$, so $\widehat{HFK}_{k-2}(L_+, u-1) \cong 0$. Also, $H_0(L_0, u-1) \cong 0$ by (7a) and G_{k-1} is 0. Yet L_- is strongly quasi-positive, which means $\tau(L_-) = u-1$, so some element in $\widehat{HFK}(L_-, u-1)$ is mapped to the top generator of $\widehat{HF}(\#^{|L|-1} S^1 \times S^2)$ under map induced by inclusion $\iota : \widehat{CFK}(L_-, u-1) \rightarrow \widehat{CF}(\#^{|L|-1} S^1 \times S^2)$. Following the reasoning in Corollary 3.4 of [3], this means the map $G : \widehat{HFK}(L_-, u-1) \rightarrow H(L_0, u-1)$ is nontrivial, and hence either G_{k-1} or G_0 is nontrivial. That is, G_0 is nontrivial and therefore injective ($\widehat{HFK}_0(L_-, u-1)$ is 1-dimensional), and hence F_0 is 0, which gives rise to the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \widehat{HFK}_0(L_+, u-1) \rightarrow 0$. Hence, $\widehat{HFK}_0(L_+, u-1) \cong 0$. Also, the exact sequences show that $\widehat{HFK}_m(L_+, u-1) \cong H_m(L_0, u-1)$ when $m \neq 0, -1, k-2, k-1$. Thus, $\widehat{HFK}(L_+, u-1)$ is $\mathbb{F}[k-1] \oplus \mathbb{F}^t[-1]$ for some $t \geq 0$.

If $k = 2$, we have $\widehat{HFK}_1(L_+, u-1) \cong \mathbb{F}$ as above. F_0 is trivial by the same argument in the last paragraph, which gives rise to exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \widehat{HFK}_0(L_+, u-1) \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, $\widehat{HFK}_0(L_+, u-1)$ is trivial. Also, the exact sequences show that $\widehat{HFK}_m(L_+, u-1) \cong H_m(L_0, u-1)$ when $m \neq 0, -1, 1$. Thus, $\widehat{HFK}(L_+, u-1)$ is $\mathbb{F}[1] \oplus \mathbb{F}^t[-1]$ for some $t \geq 0$.

If $l(UT(P)) \equiv 0 \pmod 3$, let $P = a_1 a_2 a_3 P' = a_1 a_2 a_3 P'' a_3$. Let $L_+ = L, L_0 = cl(a_2 a_1 a_2 a_3 P'), L_- = cl(a_2 a_2 a_3 P')$.

We have $a_2 a_2 a_3 P' \sim \alpha a_2 a_3 P''$. Thus, L_- is fibered and strongly quasi-positive, and $u(L_-) = u(L_+) - 1 = \bar{u}(L_0) - 1$. By Lemma 4.2 and (7b), $\widehat{HFK}(L, u(L) - 1) \cong \mathbb{F}[k-2] \oplus \mathbb{F}^t[-1]$ for some $t \geq 0$ because $\widehat{HFK}(L_0, u(L_0) - 1) \cong \mathbb{F}[k-2] \oplus \mathbb{F}^{t'}[-1]$ for some $t' \geq 0$.

We have thus proved the proposition. \square

4.3 Case 2: Suppose $w = NP$, $l(N) > 1$, $l(P) > 1$, $L = cl(w)$.

We say that L has property $(*)$ if $\widehat{HFK}(L, u(L))$ is $\mathbb{F}[p]$ and $\widehat{HFK}(L, u(L) - 1)$ is supported at Maslov grading $p-1$.

Proposition 4.4. *If $|l(UT(N)) - l(UT(P))| = 0$, then L is homologically δ -thin and hence has property $(*)$.*

Proof. First, assume N starts with a_2^{-1} and P ends with a_1 .

When $l(UT(N)) = l(UT(P)) = 1$, $NP = \sigma_2^{-p}\sigma_1^q$ ($p, q > 0$), which is alternating and hence it is homologically δ -thin [6].

Assume whenever $1 \leq l(UT(N)) = l(UT(P)) < K$ and N starts with a_2^{-1} and P ends with a_1 , we have $NP = \sigma_2^{-p_1}\sigma_1^{q_1} \dots \sigma_2^{-p_k}\sigma_1^{q_k}$ or $\sigma_1^{q_0}\sigma_2^{-p_1}\sigma_1^{q_1} \dots \sigma_2^{-p_k}\sigma_1^{q_k}$. Here, each $p_i, q_i > 0$. Then, when $l(UT(N)) = l(UT(P)) = K$:

We may suppose that $N = a_2^{-p}N' = a_2^{-p}a_1^{-1}N''$ and $P = P'a_1^q = P''a_3a_1^q$, $p, q > 0$. Conjugating by α^2 , $N'P'$ becomes a word starting with a_2^{-1} and ending with a_1 . By inductive hypothesis, $\alpha^2N'P'\alpha^{-2} = \sigma_2^{-p_1}\sigma_1^{q_1} \dots \sigma_2^{-p_k}\sigma_1^{q_k}$ or $\sigma_1^{q_0}\sigma_2^{-p_1}\sigma_1^{q_1} \dots \sigma_2^{-p_k}\sigma_1^{q_k}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} N'P' &= \alpha^3N'P'\alpha^{-3} \\ &= \alpha\sigma_2^{-p_1}\sigma_1^{q_1} \dots \sigma_2^{-p_k}\sigma_1^{q_k}\alpha^{-1} \text{ or } \alpha\sigma_1^{q_0}\sigma_2^{-p_1}\sigma_1^{q_1} \dots \sigma_2^{-p_k}\sigma_1^{q_k}\alpha^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} NP &= a_2^{-p}\alpha\sigma_2^{-p_1}\sigma_1^{q_1} \dots \sigma_2^{-p_k}\sigma_1^{q_k}\alpha^{-1}a_1^q \\ &= \sigma_2^{-p+1}\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-p_1}\sigma_1^{q_1} \dots \sigma_2^{-p_k}\sigma_1^{q_k-1}\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1^q \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} NP &= a_2^{-p}\alpha\sigma_1^{q_0}\sigma_2^{-p_1}\sigma_1^{q_1} \dots \sigma_2^{-p_k}\sigma_1^{q_k}\alpha^{-1}a_1^q \\ &= \sigma_2^{-p+1}\sigma_1^{q_0+1}\sigma_2^{-p_1}\sigma_1^{q_1} \dots \sigma_2^{-p_k}\sigma_1^{q_k-1}\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1^q. \end{aligned}$$

We thus show by induction that if N starts with a_2^{-1} and P ends with a_1 , $l(UT(N)) = l(UT(P))$, then $NP = \sigma_2^{-p_1}\sigma_1^{q_1} \dots \sigma_2^{-p_k}\sigma_1^{q_k}$ or $\sigma_1^{q_0}\sigma_2^{-p_1}\sigma_1^{q_1} \dots \sigma_2^{-p_k}\sigma_1^{q_k}$. This means L is alternating and hence homologically δ -thin.

The case when N starts with a_2^{-1} and P ends with a_3 follows by mirror symmetry [10].

Hence L has property (*) if $l(UT(P)) - l(UT(N)) = 0$. □

Now, we may assume that L has property (*) whenever $l(P), l(N) \geq 2$ and $0 \leq |l(UT(N)) - l(UT(P))| < K$.

We have the following lemmas:

Lemma 4.5. *Consider a skein triple L_0, L_-, L_+ . If $u(L_+) = u(L_-) > \bar{u}(L_0) + 1$, then L_+ has property (*) if and only if L_- has property (*). Analogous statements hold if $u(L_+) = \bar{u}(L_0) > u(L_-) + 1$ or $u(L_-) = \bar{u}(L_0) > u(L_+) + 1$.*

Proof. The lemma is immediate from Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 4.1. □

Lemma 4.6. *Consider a skein triple L_0, L_-, L_+ . If $u(L_-) = u(L_+) - 1 = \bar{u}(L_0) - 1$ and L_-, L_+, L_0 all have top term $\mathbb{F}[p]$, then L_0 has property (*) if L_+ has property (*).*

Proof. The lemma follows from the exact triangle below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & H(L_0, \bar{u}(L_0) - 1) & \\ [-1] \nearrow & & \searrow [+0] \\ \widehat{HFK}(L_-, u(L_-)) & \xleftarrow{[+0]} & \widehat{HFK}(L_+, u(L_+) - 1) \end{array}$$

□

Now we want to show that L has property (*) if $|l(UT(N)) - l(UT(P))| = K$.

Proposition 4.7. *If $l(UT(P)) - l(UT(N)) = K$, N starts with a_2^{-1} and P ends with a_1 , then L has property (*).*

Proof. Suppose $N = a_2^{-1}N' = a_2^{-2}N''$ or $a_2^{-1}a_1^{-1}N''$. If $P = P'a_1 = P''a_1^2$, let $L_- = cl(a_3^{-1}NP)$, $L_0 = L$, $L_+ = cl(a_3NP)$.

By (4), we have $a_3NP \sim a_1^2a_3NP'' = a_3N''P''$ or $a_2N''P''$, so $u(L_+) + 1 < u(L_-) = \bar{u}(L_0)$. Also, by inductive hypothesis, L_- has property (*). Thus, by Lemma 4.5, L_0 has property (*).

If $P = P'a_1 = P''a_3a_1$, let $L_+ = cl(NPa_1)$, $L_0 = L$, $L_- = cl(NP')$. By Proposition 3.5, $\widehat{HFK}(L_+, u(L_+)) \cong \widehat{HFK}(L_0, u(L_0)) \cong \mathbb{F}[p]$ for some p . Also, let $L'_+ = L_0$, $L'_0 = L_-$, $L'_- = cl(NP'a_1^{-1})$. Since $NP'a_1^{-1} \sim \alpha^{-1}N'P' \sim a_1^{-1}N'P''$, we have $u(L'_-) < u(L'_+) = \bar{u}(L'_0)$. By Lemma 3.1, $\widehat{HFK}(L'_0, u(L'_0)) \cong \mathbb{F}[p]$. By discussion of the case $P = P''a_1^2$, we see that L_+ has property (*). Hence, L_0 has property (*) by Lemma 4.6. □

Now, suppose $l(UT(N)) > l(UT(P))$.

Proposition 4.8. *If $l(UT(N)) - l(UT(P)) = K$, N starts with a_2^{-1} and ends with a_i^{-1} , and P starts with a_{i+2} and ends with a_1 , then L has property (*).*

Proof. If $N = a_2^{-1}N'a_i^{-2}$, $P = a_{i+2}P'a_1$, let $L_0 = L$, $L_+ = cl(Na_{i+1}P)$, $L_- = cl(Na_{i+1}^{-1}P)$.

By (5), we have

$$Na_{i+1}^{-1}P = \begin{cases} a_2^{-1}N'a_{i+1}^{-1}P''a_1 & \text{if } P' = a_{i+2}P'' \\ a_2^{-1}N'a_{i+2}^{-1}P''a_1 & \text{if } P' = a_iP'' \end{cases}.$$

By analyzing word length we see that $u(L_-) < u(L_+) - 1 = \bar{u}(L_0) - 1$. By Lemma 4.5 and the inductive hypothesis, L_0 has property (*).

If $N = a_2^{-1}N'a_{i+1}^{-1}a_i^{-1}$, $P = a_{i+2}P'a_1$, we first consider the case when $P' = a_{i+2}P''$.

Let $L_- = L$, $L_0 = cl(a_2^{-1}N'a_{i+1}^{-1}P)$, $L_+ = cl(a_2^{-1}N'a_{i+1}^{-1}a_iP)$.

By (4), we have

$$a_2^{-1}N'a_{i+1}^{-1}a_iP = \begin{cases} a_2^{-1}N''a_iP''a_1 & \text{if } N' = N''a_{i+1}^{-1} \\ a_2^{-1}N''a_{i+1}P''a_1 & \text{if } N' = N''a_{i+2}^{-1} \end{cases}.$$

Then, we could see that $u(L_+) < u(L_-) - 1 = \bar{u}(L_0) - 1$. By Lemma 4.5 and the inductive hypothesis, L_- has property (*).

If $P' = a_iP''$, let $L_0 = L$, $L_+ = cl(Na_{i+2}P)$, $L_- = cl(Na_{i+2}^{-1}P)$.

Since $Na_{i+2}^{-1}P = NP'a_1 = a_2^{-1}N'a_{i+1}^{-1}P''a_1$, we see that $u(L_-) < u(L_+) - 1 = \bar{u}(L_0) - 1$. By Lemma 4.5 and discussion of the case $P' = a_{i+2}P''$, L_0 has property (*). \square

Now, in order to show that L has property (*) if $|l(UT(N)) - l(UT(P))| = K$, N starts with a_2^{-1} and P ends with a_1 , it remains to consider the case when $l(UT(N)) > l(UT(P))$, N ends with a_i^{-1} and P starts with a_{i+1} .

Proposition 4.9. *L has property (*) if $|l(UT(N)) - l(UT(P))| = K$, N starts with a_2^{-1} and P ends with a_1 .*

Proof. Suppose $l(UT(N)) > l(UT(P))$, $N = a_2^{-1}N'a_i^{-1}$, $P = a_{i+1}P'a_1$.

If $N' = N''a_i^{-1}$ and $P' = a_{i+1}^2P''$, then $NP = a_2^{-1}N''a_i^{-1}a_{i+2}a_i^{-1}a_{i+1}^2P''a_1$ by (2).

Let $L_- = L$, $L_+ = cl(a_2^{-1}N''a_i^{-1}a_{i+2}a_i^{-1}a_{i+1}^2P''a_1)$, $L_0 = cl(a_2^{-1}N''a_i^{-1}a_{i+2}a_{i+1}^2P''a_1)$.

By (4), we have

$$a_2^{-1}N''a_i^{-1}a_{i+2}a_{i+1}^2P''a_1 = \begin{cases} a_2^{-1}N'''a_{i+2}P''a_1 & \text{if } N'' = N'''a_i^{-1} \\ a_2^{-1}N'''a_iP''a_1 & \text{if } N'' = N'''a_{i+1}^{-1} \end{cases}.$$

By analyzing word length we see that $\bar{u}(L_0) < u(L_-) - 1 = u(L_+) - 1$. By Lemma 4.5 and the inductive hypothesis, L_- has property (*).

If $N' = N''a_{i+1}^{-1}$ and $P' = a_{i+1}^2P''$, let $L_0 = L$, $L_- = cl(Na_i^{-1}P)$, $L_+ = cl(Na_iP)$.

We have $Na_iP = a_2^{-1}N'P = a_2^{-1}N''P'a_1$, so $u(L_+) < u(L_-) - 1 = \bar{u}(L_0) - 1$. By Lemma 4.5 and discussion of the case $N' = N''a_i^{-1}$, L_0 has property (*).

That is, L has property (*) if $P' = a_{i+1}^2P''$.

Now, if $P' = a_{i+1}a_{i+2}P''$, let $L_0 = L$, $L_+ = cl(Na_{i+1}Pa_1)$, $L_- = cl(NP'a_1)$. By analyzing word length we see that $u(L_-) = u(L_+) - 1$, $\bar{u}(L_0) = u(L_+)$. Set $u = u(L_+)$. By Proposition 3.5, we see that $\widehat{HFK}(L_+, u) \cong \widehat{HFK}(L_-, u) \cong \widehat{HFK}(L_0, u(L_0)) \cong \mathbb{F}[p]$ for some p . By our discussion of the case $P' = a_{i+1}^2P''$, we see that L_+ has property (*). Hence, L_0 has property (*) by Lemma 4.6.

That is, L has property (*) if $P' = a_{i+1}a_{i+2}P''$.

If $P' = a_{i+2}P''$, let $L_0 = L$, $L_+ = cl(Na_{i+1}Pa_1)$, $L_- = cl(NP'a_1)$. We see that $u(L_-) = u(L_+) - 1$, $u(L_+) = \bar{u}(L_0)$. Set $u = u(L_+)$. By Lemma 3.1, $\widehat{HFK}(L_+, u) \cong \widehat{HFK}(L_0, u(L_0)) \cong \mathbb{F}[p]$ for some p .

Also, let $L'_+ = L_0$, $L'_0 = L_-$, $L'_- = cl(Na_{i+1}^{-1}P'a_1)$. By (5), $Na_{i+1}^{-1}P'a_1 = a_2^{-1}N'a_{i+1}^{-1}P''a_1$, we see that $u(L'_-) = u(L'_+) - 1 = \bar{u}(L'_0) - 1$. By Lemma 3.1, $\widehat{HFK}(L'_0, u(L'_0)) \cong \widehat{HFK}(L'_-, u) \cong \mathbb{F}[p]$.

By our discussion of the case $P' = a_{i+1}a_{i+2}P''$, we see that L_+ has property (*). Hence, L_0 has property (*) by Lemma 4.6. \square

All in all, we have shown by induction that L has property (*) if N starts with a_2^{-1} and P ends with a_1 . By mirror symmetry, similar property holds when N starts with a_2^{-1} and P ends with a_3 [10].

4.4 Case 3: Suppose $w = NP$, $1 = l(N) \leq l(P)$, $L = cl(w)$.

Without loss of generality suppose $N = a_2^{-1}$. Because we require w to be the shortest, P cannot start or end with a_2 . Since $a_2^{-1}a_3^n = a_1^n a_2^{-1}$, up to conjugation we may suppose P starts with a_1 . Let $k = \lfloor \frac{l(UT(P))}{3} \rfloor$.

If $k = 0$, P is 0 or of the form a_1^n with $n > 0$. If P is 0 then L splits into two unknots and hence the second-to-top term is trivial.

If P is of the form a_1^n with $n > 0$, then, $L = T(2, n)$ is alternating. Hence, L is δ -thin [6]. The top term of $\widehat{HFK}(L)$ is $\mathbb{F}[0]$ by Proposition 3.3, which means the second-to-top term is supported at Maslov grading -1.

Proposition 4.10. *We have*

$$\widehat{HFK}(L, u(L) - 1) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{F}^t[0] \text{ for some } t \geq 0, & \text{if } k = 1, \\ \mathbb{F}[0] \oplus \mathbb{F}^t[k - 1] \text{ for some } t \geq 0, & \text{if } k > 1. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Proof. Let $L_0 = L$, $L_+ = cl(P)$, $L_- = cl(a_2^{-2}P)$.

By conjugation, P would start with a_1 and end with a_3 . By analyzing word length we see that $u(L_+) = u(L_-) - 1 = \bar{u}(L_0) - 1$. Set $u = u(L_-)$. By Propositions 3.4 and 3.5, and the discussion of Case 2, $\widehat{HFK}(L_+, u - 1) \cong \mathbb{F}[0] \oplus \mathbb{F}[k - 1]$, and $\widehat{HFK}(L_-, u - 1)$ is supported at Maslov grading k .

Consider the exact triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & H(L_0, u - 1) & \\ [-1] \nearrow & & \searrow [+0] \\ \widehat{HFK}(L_-, u - 1) & \xleftarrow{[+0]} & \widehat{HFK}(L_+, u - 1) \end{array}$$

The exact triangle reveals that $\widehat{HFK}(L_0, u(L_0) - 1) \cong \mathbb{F}^t[0]$ for some $t \geq 0$ if $k = 1$, and $\widehat{HFK}(L_0, u(L_0) - 1) \cong \mathbb{F}[0] \oplus \mathbb{F}^t[k - 1]$ for some $t \geq 0$ if $k > 1$.

Hence, we have proved the proposition. \square

4.5 Case 4: Suppose $w = P$ is in Xu's form, w starts with a_1 and ends with a_3 , $L = cl(w)$.

Proposition 4.11. *Let $k = \frac{l(UT(P))}{3}$, we have:*

Table 2: Classification of $\widehat{HFK}(L, u(L) - 1)$ in Case 4

Value of k	$\widehat{HFK}(L, u(L) - 1)$
$k \neq 2$	$\mathbb{F}^{rank(\widehat{HFK}(L_1, u(L_1) - 1)) + L - L_1 - 1}[-1] \oplus \mathbb{F}^{rank(\widehat{HFK}(L_2, u(L_2) - 1)) + L - L_2 - 1}[k - 2]$, ($L_1 = cl(a_2 w)$, $L_2 = cl(a_2^{-1} w)$).
$k = 2$	If $w \sim a_1^{n_1} w'$, $a_1 w'$ nondecreasing positive, $n_1 > 3$: $\mathbb{F}^{rank(\widehat{HFK}(L_1, u(L_1) - 1)) + L - L_1 - 1}[-1] \oplus \mathbb{F}^{rank(\widehat{HFK}(L_2, u(L_2) - 1)) + L - L_2 - 1}[0]$, ($L_1 = cl(a_1^{n_1 - 1} a_3 a_1 w')$, $L_2 = cl(a_1^{n_1 - 1} a_3^{-1} a_1 w')$). If w contains no subword of the form a_i^3 : $\mathbb{F}^{ L }[-1] \oplus \mathbb{F}^t[0]$ for some $t \geq 0$.

Proof. Let $L_+ = cl(a_2 P)$, $L_0 = L$, $L_- = cl(a_2^{-1} P)$. We have $u(L_+) = u(L_-) = \bar{u}(L_0)$.

Take $u = u(L_+)$. By (7c) and (8), $\widehat{HFK}(L_+, u - 1) \cong \mathbb{F}[k - 2] \oplus \mathbb{F}^t[-1]$ for some $t \geq 0$, and $\widehat{HFK}(L_-, u - 1)$ is supported at Maslov gradings 0 and $k - 1$. Consider the exact triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & H(L_0, u - 1) & \\ [-1] \nearrow & & \searrow [+0] \\ \widehat{HFK}(L_-, u - 1) & \xleftarrow{[+0]} & \widehat{HFK}(L_+, u - 1) \end{array}$$

From the exact triangle we see that $H(L_0, u - 1)$ is supported at Maslov grading $k - 2$ and -1 . In fact, when $k \neq 2$, the exact triangle shows

$$H_m(L_0, u - 1) \cong \widehat{HFK}_m(L_+, u - 1) \oplus \widehat{HFK}_{m+1}(L_-, u - 1).$$

If $k = 2$, suppose $w = a_1^{n_1} w'$.

If $n_1 > 2$, let $L'_0 = L$, $L'_+ = cl(a_1^{n_1-1} a_3 a_1 w')$, $L'_- = cl(a_1^{n_1-1} a_3^{-1} a_1 w')$.

By (1), we have $a_1^{n_1-1} a_3 a_1 w' = a_1^2 a_3^{n_1-3} w'$, and by (2) we have $a_1^{n_1-1} a_3^{-1} a_1 w' = a_1^{n_1-2} a_2^{-1} a_1^2 w' \sim a_2^{-1} a_1^2 w' a_1^{n_1-2}$.

By analyzing word length, we see that $u(L'_+) = u(L'_-) = \bar{u}(L'_0)$. Set $u' = u(L'_+)$. By (6), we know that $\widehat{HFK}(L'_+, u' - 1)$ is supported at Maslov grading -1 and by (8), $\widehat{HFK}(L'_-, u' - 1) \cong \mathbb{F}[0] \oplus \mathbb{F}^t[1]$ for some $t \geq 0$.

The exact triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & H(L'_0, u' - 1) & \\ [-1] \nearrow & & \searrow [+0] \\ \widehat{HFK}(L'_-, u' - 1) & \xleftarrow{[+0]} & \widehat{HFK}(L'_+, u' - 1) \end{array}$$

shows that $H_m(L'_0, u' - 1) \cong \widehat{HFK}_{m+1}(L'_-, u' - 1) \oplus \widehat{HFK}_m(L'_+, u' - 1)$.

Let $L(n_1, m_1, l_1, n_2, m_2, l_2) = cl(a_1^{n_1} a_2^{m_1} a_3^{l_1} a_1^{n_2} a_2^{m_2} a_3^{l_2})$. Let $S = \{L(n_1, m_1, l_1, n_2, m_2, l_2) : \text{each } n_i, m_i, l_i \leq 2\}$.

If $n_1 \leq 2$ but some other $n_i, m_i, l_i > 2$, by conjugation it becomes the case when $n_1 > 2$. Thus, we may now assume each $n_i, m_i, l_i \leq 2$.

Assume $w = a_1^2 a_2^{m_1} a_3^{l_1} a_1^{n_2} a_2^{m_2} a_3^{l_2} = a_1^2 w' = a_1^2 a_2 w'' a_3$. Let $L'_+ = L$, $L'_0 = cl(a_1 w')$, $L'_- = cl(w')$.

We have $u(L'_-) = u(L'_+) - 1 = \bar{u}(L'_0) - 1$. Since $w' \sim \alpha w''$, L'_- is strongly quasi-positive and fibered, we have $\widehat{HFK}_m(L'_+, u(L'_+) - 1) \cong H_m(L'_0, \bar{u}(L'_0) - 1)$ for $m \neq -1$ by Lemma 4.2

From the skein triple (L_+, L_-, L_0) we already known that the second-to-top terms of L'_0, L'_+ are supported at Maslov grading 0, -1 . At $m = -1$, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F} \rightarrow H_{-1}(L'_0, \bar{u}(L'_0) - 1) \rightarrow \widehat{HFK}_{-1}(L'_+, u(L'_+) - 1) \rightarrow 0.$$

Then,

$$\text{rank}(\widehat{HFK}_{-1}(L'_+, u(L'_+) - 1)) = \text{rank}(H_{-1}(L'_0, \bar{u}(L'_0) - 1)) - 1 = \text{rank}(\widehat{HFK}_{-1}(L'_0, u(L'_0) - 1)) - 1$$

if L_0 has more components, and

$$\text{rank}(\widehat{HFK}_{-1}(L'_+, u(L'_+) - 1)) = \text{rank}(H_{-1}(L'_0, \bar{u}(L'_0) - 1)) - 1 = \text{rank}(\widehat{HFK}_{-1}(L'_0, u(L'_0) - 1)) + 1$$

if L_0 has fewer components.

Also, by conjugation, if any n_i, m_i, l_i is 2, we may assume $n_1 = 2$. Hence, $\text{rank}(\widehat{HFK}_{-1}(L^*, u(L^*) - 1)) - |L^*|$ is constant for any $L^* \in S$. *KnotInfo* shows that $L(2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2) = 12n_{830}$ has second-to-top term $\mathbb{F}[-1] \oplus \mathbb{F}^3[0]$. This means if $L \in S$, then $\widehat{HFK}(L, u(L) - 1) \cong \mathbb{F}^{|L|}[-1] \oplus \mathbb{F}^t[0]$ for some $t \geq 0$. \square

4.6 Case 5: $w = a_1^n$, $n \geq 0$. $L = cl(w)$.

In this case, $cl(w)$ splits into a copy of $T(n, 2)$ and a copy of the unknot U . For $n > 1$, $T(n, 2)$ has top term $\mathbb{F}[0]$ and its second-to-top term is $\mathbb{F}^2[-1]$ for even n and $\mathbb{F}[-1]$ or odd n . Also, $\widehat{HFK}(U) \cong \mathbb{F}[0, 0]$. Using the formula of \widehat{HFK} for disjoint union [10], we have $\widehat{HFK}(L) \cong \widehat{HFK}(T(n, 2)) \otimes \widehat{HFK}(U) \otimes V$, where $V \cong [0, 0] \oplus [-1, 0]$. Thus,

$$\widehat{HFK}(L, u(L) - 1) \cong \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 0 \text{ or } 1, \\ \mathbb{F}[-1] \oplus \mathbb{F}[-2] & \text{if } n > 1 \text{ and } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \mathbb{F}^2[-1] \oplus \mathbb{F}^2[-2] & \text{if } n > 1 \text{ and } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

5 Proof of Main Theorem and the Rank Conjecture

Combining the formula $\sum_{m,s} (-1)^m \dim \widehat{HFK}_m(L, s) t^s \doteq (t^{-\frac{1}{2}} - t^{\frac{1}{2}})^{|L|-1} \Delta_{cl(w)}(t)$ and the propositions in Section 4, we can now fully determined $\widehat{HFK}(L, u(L) - 1)$ for a closed 3-braid L . This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

Corollary 1.3 also follows from the results in Section 4.

Proof of Corollary 1.3.

Lemma 5.1. *If $w = \alpha P$ is a shortest word in Xu's form, $k = \lfloor \frac{l(UT(P))}{3} \rfloor > 0$, then $\text{rank}(\widehat{HFK}_{-1}(L, u(L) - 1)) \geq |L|$.*

Proof. If $P = P_1 a_j^2 P_2$ for some $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, with $P_1 a_j, a_j P_2$ nondecreasing positive words, consider the skein triple $L_+ = L, L_0 = cl(\alpha P_1 a_j P_2), L_- = cl(\alpha P_1 P_2)$.

As in Section 4.2, we have $u(L_-) = u(L_+) - 1 = \bar{u}(L_0) - 1$, and L_- is strongly quasi-positive and fibered. Thus, we have the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F} \rightarrow H_{-1}(L_0, \bar{u}(L_0) - 1) \rightarrow \widehat{HFK}_{-1}(L_+, u(L_+) - 1) \rightarrow 0$ by Lemma 4.2. Then, $rank(\widehat{HFK}_{-1}(L_+, u(L_+) - 1)) - |L_+| = rank(H_{-1}(L_0, \bar{u}(L_0) - 1)) - 1 - |L_+| = rank(\widehat{HFK}_{-1}(L_0, u(L_0) - 1)) - |L_0|$.

Hence, we reduce to the case $P = UT(P)$.

If $l(UT(P)) \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, we know that $\widehat{HFK}(L, u(L) - 1)$ is supported at Maslov grading -1 , and by [2], we have $rank(\widehat{HFK}(L, u(L) - 1)) \geq |L|$. Hence, $rank(\widehat{HFK}_{-1}(L, u(L) - 1)) = rank(\widehat{HFK}(L, u(L) - 1)) \geq |L|$.

If $l(UT(P)) \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, let $P = P' a_1 a_2$. Let $L_+ = L, L_0 = cl(\alpha P' a_1), L_- = cl(\alpha P' a_1 a_2^{-1}) = cl(a_1^2 P')$.

We have $u(L_-) = u(L_+) - 1 = \bar{u}(L_0) - 1$. By Propositions 3.4 and 4.3, $\widehat{HFK}(L_-, u(L_-))$ is $\mathbb{F}[0] \oplus \mathbb{F}[k - 1]$, $H(L_0, u(L_0) - 1) \cong \mathbb{F}^t[-1]$, and $\widehat{HFK}(L_+, u(L_+) - 1) \cong \mathbb{F}[k - 1] \oplus \mathbb{F}^{t'}[-1]$, where $t, t' \geq 0$.

Now, take $u = u(L_+)$ and consider the exact sequences

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_m(L_0, u - 1) \rightarrow \widehat{HFK}_m(L_+, u - 1) \xrightarrow{F_m} \widehat{HFK}_m(L_-, u - 1) \xrightarrow{G_m} H_{m-1}(L_0, u - 1) \rightarrow \cdots$$

If $k = 1$, let $L'_+ = cl(\alpha a_1^2 a_2 a_3 a_1 a_2)$, $L'_0 = L_+$, $L'_- = cl(\alpha a_2 a_3 a_1 a_2)$. From *KnotInfo* [5], we know that $\widehat{HFK}(L'_+, u(L'_+) - 1) \cong \mathbb{F}[0] \oplus \mathbb{F}[-1]$ and the skein exact triangle shows that $\widehat{HFK}_{-1}(L_+, u - 1) \cong \mathbb{F}^2[-1]$.

If $k > 1$, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F} \rightarrow H_{-1}(L_0, u - 1) \rightarrow \widehat{HFK}_{-1}(L_+, u - 1) \rightarrow 0$$

because G_0 is injective as in Section 4.2. Also, $rank(\widehat{HFK}_{-1}(L_0, u(L_0) - 1)) \geq |L_0|$. Thus,

$$t' = t - 1 = rank(\widehat{HFK}_{-1}(L_0, u(L_0) - 1)) + |L_+| - |L_0| \geq |L_+|.$$

If $l(UT(P)) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, let $P = a_1 a_2 a_3 P' = a_1 a_2 a_3 P'' a_3$. Let $L_+ = L, L_0 = cl(a_2 a_1 a_2 a_3 P')$, $L_- = cl(a_2 a_2 a_3 P')$.

We have $u(L_-) = u(L_+) - 1 = \bar{u}(L_0) - 1$. As in Section 4.2, L_- is fibered and strongly quasi-positive. By Lemma 4.2 we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F} \rightarrow H_{-1}(L_0, \bar{u}(L_0) - 1) \rightarrow \widehat{HFK}_{-1}(L_+, u(L_+) - 1) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $rank(\widehat{HFK}_{-1}(L_0, u(L_0) - 1)) \geq |L_0|$, we have

$$rank(\widehat{HFK}_{-1}(L_+, u(L_+) - 1)) = rank(H_{-1}(L_0, \bar{u}(L_0) - 1)) - 1 = rank(\widehat{HFK}_{-1}(L_0, u(L_0) - 1)) + |L_+| - |L_0| \geq |L_+|.$$

□

Lemma 5.2. If $w = a_2^{-1} P$ is a shortest word in Xu 's form, P starts with a_1 , $k = \lfloor \frac{l(UT(P))}{3} \rfloor > 0$, and $L = cl(w)$, then we have $rank(\widehat{HFK}_{k-1}(L, u(L) - 1)) \geq |L|$. If $k = 1$, $rank(\widehat{HFK}_0(L, u(L) - 1)) \geq |L| + 1$.

Proof. Consider the skein triple $L_0 = L, L_+ = cl(P), L_- = cl(a_2^{-2} P)$. We have $u(L_-) = \bar{u}(L_0) = u(L_+) + 1$. Since P must end with a_1 or a_3 , $P \sim P'$ where P' is nondecreasing positive, $l(UT(P')) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.

If $k \geq 2$, by Propositions 3.4, 3.5, 4.9, 4.10, $\widehat{HFK}(L_+, u(L_+)) \cong \mathbb{F}[k - 1] \oplus \mathbb{F}[0]$, $H(L_0, \bar{u}(L_0) - 1) \cong \mathbb{F}^t[k - 1] \oplus \mathbb{F}[0]$, and $\widehat{HFK}(L_-, u(L_-) - 1) \cong \mathbb{F}^{t'}[k]$, where $t, t' \geq 0$.

We have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \widehat{HFK}_k(L_-, u(L_-) - 1) \rightarrow H_{k-1}(L_0, \bar{u}(L_0) - 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{F} \rightarrow 0.$$

We see that

$$t' = t - 1 = rank(\widehat{HFK}_{k-1}(L_0, u(L_0) - 1)) - |L_0| + |L_-|.$$

Moreover, by [2], $t' \geq |L_-|$, so $rank(\widehat{HFK}_{k-1}(L_0, u(L_0) - 1)) \geq |L_0|$ and hence $rank(\widehat{HFK}(L_0, u(L_0) - 1)) \geq |L_0| + 1$.

If $k = 1$, we see that $\widehat{HFK}(L_+, u(L_+)) \cong \mathbb{F}^2[0]$, $H(L_0, \bar{u}(L_0) - 1) \cong \mathbb{F}^t[0]$, and $\widehat{HFK}(L_-, u(L_-) - 1) \cong \mathbb{F}^{t'}[1]$, where $t, t' \geq 0$.

We have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \widehat{HFK}_1(L_-, u(L_-) - 1) \rightarrow H_0(L_0, \bar{u}(L_0) - 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

We see that

$$t' = t - 2 = rank(\widehat{HFK}_0(L_0, u(L_0) - 1)) - 1 - |L_0| + |L_-|.$$

Moreover, by [2], $t' \geq |L_-|$, so $rank(\widehat{HFK}_0(L_0, u(L_0) - 1)) \geq |L_0| + 1$ and hence $rank(\widehat{HFK}(L_0, u(L_0) - 1)) \geq |L_0| + 1$. □

By [2], if L is fibered then its second-to-top term has rank $\geq |L|$. Thus, when L has property $(*)$, the corollary is immediate. If L is fibered and does not have property $(*)$, then either $L = cl(\alpha P)$, with $l(UT(P)) \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ or $L = cl(a_2^{-1}P)$, with $k = \lfloor \frac{l(UT(P))}{3} \rfloor > 1$; by Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2, the corollary holds in the two cases.

Thus, it suffices to consider the case when $L = cl(w)$, $w = P$ and w starts with a_1 and ends with a_3 or $w = a_1^n$. If $w_1 = a_1^n$ the corollary is immediate. If $w = P$, and w starts with a_1 and ends with a_3 , let $k = \frac{l(UT(P))}{3}$.

If $k > 2$, let $L_+ = cl(a_2P)$ and $L_- = cl(a_2^{-1}P)$. By Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2 and Proposition 4.11, we have

$$rank(\widehat{HFK}_{k-2}(L, u(L) - 1)) = rank(\widehat{HFK}(L_-, u(L_-) - 1)) + |L| - |L_-| - 1 \geq |L|$$

and

$$rank(\widehat{HFK}_{-1}(L, u(L) - 1)) = rank(\widehat{HFK}(L_+, u(L_+) - 1)) + |L| - |L_+| - 1 \geq |L|.$$

Also, $\widehat{HFK}_m(L, u(L) - 1) \cong \widehat{HFK}_{m+1}(L, u(L)) \cong 0$ for $m \neq -1, k-2$, and $\widehat{HFK}(L, u(L)) \cong \mathbb{F}[0] \oplus \mathbb{F}[k-1]$. Hence, the corollary holds.

If $k = 1$, then by Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2 and Proposition 4.11,

$$\begin{aligned} rank(\widehat{HFK}_{-1}(L, u(L) - 1)) &= rank(\widehat{HFK}(L_-, u(L_-) - 1)) + rank(\widehat{HFK}(L_+, u(L_+) - 1)) + 2|L| - 2|L_-| - 2 \\ &\geq 2|L| - 1. \end{aligned}$$

If $rank(\widehat{HFK}_{-1}(L, u(L) - 1)) \geq 2$, then the corollary holds. We could have $rank(\widehat{HFK}_{-1}(L, u(L) - 1)) < 2$ only if $|L| = 1$. By Proposition 3.4, $\widehat{HFK}(L, u(L))$ is supported in a single Maslov grading. Thus, when $|L| = 1$, the corollary follows from [9].

If $k = 2$, suppose $L = L(n_1, m_1, l_1, n_2, m_2, l_2)$ and $w = a_1^{n_1}w'$.

If $n_1 > 2$, then, as in Section 4.5, consider the skein triple $L'_0 = L$, $L'_+ = cl(\alpha^2 a_3^{n_1-3}w')$, $L'_- = cl(a_2^{-1}a_1^2w'a_1^{n_1-2})$.

Now, let $P' = w'a_1^{n_1-2} = a_2w''a_1^{n_1-2}$. consider $L''_+ = L'_-$, $L''_0 = cl(a_2^{-1}a_1P')$, $L''_- = cl(w''a_1^{n_1-2})$. We see that $u(L''_-) < u(L''_+) - 1 = \bar{u}(L''_0) - 1$. Thus, by Lemma 4.1,

$$\begin{aligned} rank(\widehat{HFK}_1(L''_+, u(L''_+) - 1)) &= rank(H_1(L''_0, \bar{u}(L''_0) - 1)) \\ &= rank(\widehat{HFK}_1(L''_0, u(L''_0) - 1)) + 1 + |L''_+| - |L''_0| \\ &\geq |L''_+| + 1 \end{aligned}$$

because Lemma 5.2 has shown that $rank(\widehat{HFK}_1(L''_0, u(L''_0) - 1)) \geq |L''_0|$.

That is, by Proposition 4.11,

$$rank(\widehat{HFK}_0(L, u(L) - 1)) = rank(\widehat{HFK}_1(L_-, u(L_-) - 1)) + |L| - |L_-| - 1 \geq |L|$$

and

$$rank(\widehat{HFK}_{-1}(L, u(L) - 1)) = rank(\widehat{HFK}(L_+, u(L_+) - 1)) + |L| - |L_+| \geq |L|.$$

Also, $\widehat{HFK}_m(L, u(L) - 1) \cong \widehat{HFK}_{m+1}(L, u(L)) \cong 0$ for $m \neq -1, 0$, and $\widehat{HFK}(L, u(L)) \cong \mathbb{F}[0] \oplus \mathbb{F}[1]$. Hence, the corollary holds.

If each $n_i, m_i, l_i \leq 2$, then the discussion in Section 4.5 and the fact that $L(2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2)$ has second-to-top term $\mathbb{F}[-1] \oplus \mathbb{F}^3[0]$ reveals that $rank(\widehat{HFK}_0(L, u(L) - 1)) \geq rank(\widehat{HFK}_{-1}(L, u(L) - 1)) = |L|$. \square

6 Acknowledgment

I would like to thank my advisor Professor Yi Ni for his guidance, and I would like to thank Professor Zhechi Cheng for addressing some of my questions about his work.

References

- [1] J. A. Baldwin and D. S. Vela-Vick, A note on the knot Floer homology of fibered knots, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **18** (2018), no. 6, 3669–3690; MR3868231
- [2] F. Binns and S. Dey, Rank bounds in link Floer homology and detection results, *Quantum Topol.* **16** (2025), no. 4, 743–794; MR4958849
- [3] Z. Cheng, Knot Floer homology of positive braids, Preprint, arXiv:2504.13005 [math.GT] (2025).

- [4] M. Hedden and K. Raoux, Knot Floer homology and relative adjunction inequalities, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **29** (2023), no. 1, Paper No. 7, 48 pp.; MR4507976
- [5] C. Livingston and A. H. Moore, KnotInfo: Table of Knot Invariants, knotinfo.org, November 6, 2025.
- [6] C. Manolescu and P. S. Ozsváth, On the Khovanov and knot Floer homologies of quasi-alternating links, in *Proceedings of Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference 2007*, 60–81, Gökova Geometry/Topology Conference (GGT), Gökova, ; MR2509750
- [7] Y. Ni, A note on knot Floer homology of links, *Geom. Topol.* **10** (2006), 695–713; MR2240902
- [8] Y. Ni, Closed 3-braids are nearly fibred, *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* **18** (2009), no. 12, 1637–1649; MR2597240
- [9] Y. Ni, The next-to-top term in knot Floer homology, *Quantum Topol.* **13** (2022), no. 3, 579–591; MR4537314
- [10] P. S. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó, Holomorphic disks and knot invariants, *Adv. Math.* **186** (2004), no. 1, 58–116; MR2065507
- [11] P. S. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó, Heegaard Floer homology and contact structures, *Duke Math. J.* **129** (2005), no. 1, 39–61; MR2153455
- [12] P. S. Ozsváth, Z. Szabó, On the skein exact sequence for knot Floer homology, Preprint, arXiv:0707.1165 [math.GT] (2007).
- [13] J. A. Rasmussen, *Floer homology and knot complements*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2003; MR2704683
- [14] L. Rudolph, Braided surfaces and Seifert ribbons for closed braids, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **58** (1983), no. 1, 1–37; MR0699004
- [15] J. R. Stallings, Constructions of fibred knots and links, in *Algebraic and geometric topology (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Stanford Univ., Stanford, Calif., 1976), Part 2*, pp. 55–60, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXII, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, ; MR0520522
- [16] P. Xu, The genus of closed 3-braids, *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* **1** (1992), no. 3, 303–326; MR1180404