

GRAPHICAL CONFIGURATION SPACES, CONTRACTADS AND FORMALITY

ANTON KHOROSHKIN AND DENIS LYSKOV

ABSTRACT. Given a finite simple connected graph Γ , the *graphical configuration space* $\text{Conf}_\Gamma(X)$ is the space of collections of points in X indexed by the vertices of Γ , where points corresponding to adjacent vertices must be distinct. When $X = \mathbb{R}^d$ and the points are replaced by small disks, the resulting spaces for all possible graphs fit together into an algebraic structure that extends the little disks operad, called *the little disks contractad* \mathcal{D}_d .

In this paper, we investigate the homotopical and algebraic properties of the little disks contractad \mathcal{D}_d . We construct and study Fulton–MacPherson compactifications of graphical configuration spaces, which provide a convenient model for \mathcal{D}_d within the class of compact manifolds with boundary. Using these and wonderful compactifications, we prove that \mathcal{D}_d is formal in the category of (Hopf) contractads for $d = 1$, $d = 2$, and for chordal graphs for any d . We also identify the first obstructions to coformality in the case of cyclic graphs. In addition, we give a combinatorial description of the cell structure of \mathcal{D}_2 and present applications to the study of graphical configuration spaces $\text{Conf}_\Gamma(X)$ using the language of twisted algebras.

CONTENTS

0. Introduction	2
0.1. Motivation: from operads to contractads	2
0.2. Outline of the main results	2
0.3. Structure of the paper	3
1. Little disks contractads	4
1.1. Contractads	4
1.2. Commutative and Lie contractads, Suspensions	5
1.3. Little disks contractad \mathcal{D}_d	5
1.4. Framed disks and Batalin-Vilkovski contractad	7
2. Cohomology of graphical configurations and Lie contractad	8
2.1. Twisted algebras over contractads	8
2.2. Compactly supported sheaf cohomology of graphical configuration spaces	9
2.3. Applications	10
3. Projective and Spherical compactifications of graphical configuration spaces	12
3.1. Wonderful contractad and Modular compactifications	12
3.2. Fulton-MacPherson contractad	15
3.3. Fulton-MacPherson contractad is E_d -contractad	16
3.4. Compactifications for manifolds and right modules	16
3.5. One-dimensional case and poset associahedra	18
4. Chordal graphs and cycles	19
4.1. Chordal graphs	19
4.2. Formality in Chordal case	21
4.3. Cyclic graphs	24
5. Logarithmic geometry and Formality	27
5.1. Psi-classes and Normal bundles	27
5.2. Logarithmic geometric models	29
5.3. Kato-Nakayama realisation	31
5.4. Log forms and Formality	33
6. Combinatorial model	33
6.1. Posets and contractads	33
6.2. Acyclic directions contractad	34
6.3. Two-dimensional case	35
References	38

0. INTRODUCTION

0.1. Motivation: from operads to contractads. The little disks operad \mathcal{E}_d is one of the classical bridges between topology and algebra. Its space of n -ary operations consists of rectilinear embeddings of n disjoint unit disks into a single unit disk. Originally introduced to describe iterated loop spaces ([BV68], [May72], see also [Seg73]), it later became central in many areas of mathematics. For instance, algebraic models of the little disks operad \mathcal{E}_2 and its formality play a key role in Tamarkin’s proof of Kontsevich’s formality theorem for Poisson manifolds ([Tam98]). Since then, numerous proofs of the formality of the little disks operad \mathcal{E}_d have been established ([Kon99], [LV14], [MS03], [SW11], [Fre17], [Vai21]), along with various compatible cell structures. The little disks operad has also been instrumental in the geometry of configuration spaces and embedding calculus (see, e.g., [CIW24], [Idr19], [TW18]).

The notion of a *contractad* was introduced in [Lys24]. The term *contractad* combines “*contraction*” and “*operad*”, reflecting the fact that it generalizes operads by replacing composition with graph contractions. Here, the indexing set of *operations* is the collection of finite simple connected graphs, while *compositions* are determined by contracting connected subgraphs (see §1.1). This framework naturally generalizes the little disks operad by relaxing the intersection condition for subdisks. Specifically, $\mathcal{D}_d(\Gamma)$ denotes the space of embeddings of small disks indexed by the vertices of a connected graph Γ , with the requirement that disks corresponding to adjacent vertices do not intersect. The corresponding generalized configuration space $\text{Conf}_\Gamma(X)$ consists of points in X indexed by V_Γ , with the condition that points corresponding to adjacent vertices are distinct. We call the generalized configuration space $\text{Conf}_\Gamma(X)$ *graphical*, as it assembles into a graphical collection. These natural objects have been extensively studied and appear in the literature under various names: graph or graphic [GZ83, BS12, OS80, EH07], partial [BMPP17], and chromatic configuration spaces [Zak22, Kal24].

In this paper, we extend several classical results from the little disks operad \mathcal{E}_d to the little disks contractad \mathcal{D}_d . In particular, we construct Fulton–MacPherson compactifications for all d , and in dimensions $d = 1$ and $d = 2$ we prove the formality of \mathcal{D}_d and describe its compatible cell structure. We also analyze the case of long cycles, showing the existence of nontrivial Massey products, which illustrate the intrinsic complexity of the little disks contractad. We emphasize that the formality problem for higher dimensions ($d > 2$), as well as the description of the rational homotopy type of $\text{Conf}_\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for general Γ and $d \geq 2$, remain open questions.

0.2. Outline of the main results. Our first main result builds on ideas from [Pet16], showing that the language of twisted Lie algebras is well suited for studying the cohomology of configuration spaces on reasonably “good” topological spaces. We extend the notion of a twisted algebra over an operad to the setting of contractads and establish the following

Theorem A. (Theorem 2.7) *Let X be a paracompact and locally compact Hausdorff space and let \mathcal{A}_X^c be a rational cdga model for the compactly supported cochains on X . Then there is an isomorphism of twisted gcCom -coalgebras*

$$H_{CE}^\bullet(\underline{\mathcal{A}}_X^c \otimes_{\mathbf{H}} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{gclie}) \cong H_c^\bullet(\text{Conf}(X); \mathbb{Q}),$$

where \mathbf{gclie} is the Lie contractad considered as a free twisted \mathbf{gclie} -algebra and $\mathbf{S} \mathbf{gclie}$ is its twisted suspension $\mathbf{S} \mathbf{gclie}(\Gamma) \cong \text{Res}_{\text{Aut}(\Gamma)}^{\Sigma_{V_\Gamma}} \text{sgn} \otimes \mathbf{gclie}(\Gamma)[-|V_\Gamma|]$.

As an immediate consequence of this Theorem, we have that, for a compact Hausdorff space X , its rational compactly supported cohomology of graphical configuration spaces $H_c^\bullet(\text{Conf}_\Gamma(X); \mathbb{Q})$ are rational homotopy invariants.

Next, we generalize the Fulton–MacPherson compactification to graphical configuration spaces and provide a model of the (framed) little disks contractad \mathcal{D}_d (respectively, $\text{f}\mathcal{D}_d$) in the category of compact topological spaces with corners (Theorems 3.12 and 3.13, Proposition 3.15).

Lower dimensions $d = 1$ and $d = 2$ exhibit special features. For $d = 1$, we identify the spaces of operations with poset associahedra introduced by Galashin [Gal21]:

Theorem B. (Theorem 3.17) *For a graph Γ , there is a bijection between connected components of $\text{FM}_1(\Gamma)$ and the set of acyclic orientations of the graph Γ . Moreover, the connected component indexed by acyclic orientation α is isomorphic to the corresponding Galashin’s poset polytope $\mathcal{K}_\alpha(\Gamma)$ and the face poset decompositions of these polytopes are compatible with the contractad structure.*

In dimension $d = 2$, we describe in detail the little disks contractad \mathcal{D}_2 for a special family of graphs. In particular, whenever the graph Γ is chordal, the space $\text{Conf}_\Gamma(\mathbb{C})$ is a $K(\pi, 1)$ -space whose fundamental group is a generalization of the pure braid group (see [CF21] and §4.1). On the other hand, the rational homotopy type of $\text{Conf}_\Gamma(\mathbb{C})$ might be extremely complicated even for simplest nonchordal graphs such as cycles, as we show in the following

Theorem C. (Theorem 4.33) *For a cycle graph C_n with $n \geq 5$, the Lie algebra of rational homotopy groups of the configuration space $\text{Conf}_{C_n}(\mathbb{C})$ defined via Whitehead products admits the following presentation:*

$$\pi_{\bullet+1}(\text{Conf}_{C_n}(\mathbb{C})) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \text{Lie} \left\langle \begin{array}{c} y_1^0, \dots, y_n^0 \\ u^{n-3} \end{array} \mid \begin{array}{l} [y_i, y_j] = 0, \\ \sum_{i=1}^n [y_i, u] = 0 \end{array} \right\rangle$$

Moreover, the L_∞ -algebra contains a nontrivial higher Lie bracket

$$l_{n-1}(y_2, \dots, y_n) = -l_{n-1}(y_1, y_3, \dots, y_n) = \dots = (-1)^n l_{n-1}(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) = u.$$

For higher dimensions ($d > 2$), we do not yet have a satisfactory algebraic model of the little disks contractad \mathcal{D}_d . Nevertheless, we are able to treat the case of chordal graphs and prove the following:

Theorem D. (Theorem 4.22) *For $d \geq 1$, the little d -disks contractad \mathcal{D}_d is formal and coformal over \mathbb{R} in the class of chordal graphs.*

Moreover, in dimension $d = 2$, one can compare two distinct compactifications of Fulton–MacPherson – either as a complex or as a real algebraic variety. The compactification via complex geometry, also known as the *wonderful compactification* after [DCP95], changes the topology but provides additional structure from algebraic geometry. In particular, we gain a detailed description of the line bundles and their Chern classes (called ψ -classes) indexed by vertex points. We explain the interaction of ψ -classes with the contractad structure. As a corollary, we show that the contractads \mathcal{D}_2 are well defined in the category of log-spaces of [Vai21, DPP24], leading to the following:

Theorem E. (Theorem 5.18) *The little disks contractads \mathcal{D}_2 and its framed analogue $\text{f}\mathcal{D}_2$ are formal over \mathbb{C} .*

Finally, we consider the acyclic direction contractad AD_d (see §6.2). This is a contractad in the category of posets that generalizes the combinatorial model of the little disks operad in posets introduced in [Ber97]. Its components are the posets of acyclic edge-weighted directions of the underlying graphs with a partial order arising from comparing weights of directed edges. We prove that AD_1 coincides with associative contractad gcAss , and that AD_2 provides a model for \mathcal{D}_2 in the following sense:

Theorem F. (Corollary 6.7) *A geometric realisation $|\text{AD}_2|$ of the poset contractad AD_2 is a E_2 -contractad. In other words, we have a zig-zag of weak homotopy equivalence of topological contractads relating $|\text{AD}_2|$ and \mathcal{D}_2*

$$|\text{AD}_2| \xleftarrow{\sim} * \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}_2.$$

For $d > 2$, a similar statement holds if we restrict ourselves to chordal graphs. For arbitrary graphs, this is an open problem.

0.3. Structure of the paper. The paper is organised as follows:

In §1 we remind the definitions of the main objects: contractads in §1.1, Lie and commutative contractads in §1.2, the Little Disks contractad (and its framed version) in §1.3 and §1.4, respectively.

In §2 we define twisted algebras over a contractad and apply them to the cohomology of graphical configuration spaces.


In Section §3 we describe compactifications of graphical configuration spaces, extending Fulton–MacPherson compactifications to contractads. These constructions clarify the link with the wonderful contractad and yield a topological action of the Little Disks contractad on the union of graphical configuration spaces. In §3.5 we show that in dimension 1 the connected components of the sets $\text{FM}_1(\Gamma)$ are isomorphic to the poset associahedra of [Gal21].

Section §4 studies the rational homotopy type of graphical configuration spaces for two graph families. §4.1–§4.2 cover chordal graphs, where the description is parallel to the case of operads, while §4.3 shows the existence of nonzero Massey products for cycles.

In §5 we prove the formality of the Little Disks contractad \mathcal{D}_2 via logarithmic geometry, generalizing [Vai21, DPP24]. The proof relies on the description of ψ -classes of the wonderful contractad given in §5.1.

Section §6 extends the known cell structure of the Little Disks operad \mathcal{E}_2 to the contractad \mathcal{D}_2 , making it compatible with compositions.

It is worth noting that Sections §1 and §3 introduce definitions and constructions that are used throughout the paper. In contrast, Sections §2, §4, §5, and §6 are largely self-contained, each focusing on different aspects of configuration spaces and the Little Disks contractad. Their interrelations are summarized in the following diagram:



Funding. The study of the second author has been funded within the framework of the HSE University Basic Research Program. Sections 2, 5, 6 were obtained under support of the grant RSF 24-21-00341 of Russian Science Foundation.

1. LITTLE DISKS CONTRACTADS

In this section, we recall the basics of contractads and describe the (framed) little disks contractads.

1.1. Contractads. In this subsection, we briefly recall the notion of contractads, see [Lys24, Sec 1].

Consider the *groupoid of connected graphs* \mathbf{CGr} whose objects are non-empty undirected connected simple¹ graphs $\Gamma = (V_\Gamma, E_\Gamma)$ and whose morphisms are isomorphisms of graphs. We use the following notations for concrete type of graphs:

- the path graph P_n on the vertex set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ with edges $\{(i, i+1) | 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}$,
- the cycle graph C_n on the vertex set $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ with edges $\{(i, i+1) | i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}\}$,
- the complete graph K_n on the vertex set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and the edges $\{(i, j) | i \neq j\}$,
- the stellar graph St_n on the vertex set $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ with edges $\{(0, i) | 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. The vertex "0" adjacent to all vertices is called the "core".
- For a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k)$, we consider the complete multipartite graph K_λ . This graph consists of blocks of vertices of sizes $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$, such that two vertices are adjacent if and only if they belong to different blocks.

A *tube* of a graph Γ is a non-empty subset G of vertices such that the induced subgraph $\Gamma|_G$ is connected. If the tube consists of one vertex, we call it trivial. A *partition of a graph* Γ is a partition of the vertex set whose blocks are tubes. We denote by $\Pi_{\mathbf{gr}}(\Gamma)$ the set of partitions of the graph Γ . We use notation $I \vdash \Gamma$ for partitions. For a partition I of graph Γ , the contracted graph, denoted Γ/I , is the graph obtained from Γ by contracting each block of I to a single vertex. In a contracted graph Γ/I , multiple edges between two vertices are treated as a single edge. Therefore, a contracted graph can also be regarded as a simple graph.




Figure 1. Examples of partitions of graphs and associated contractions.

A *graphical collection* with values in a symmetric monoidal category \mathcal{C} is a contravariant functor

$$\mathcal{O}: \mathbf{CGr}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}.$$

The category of graphical collections forms a monoidal category with respect to *contracted* product

$$(1.1) \quad (\mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{Q})(\Gamma) := \bigoplus_{I \vdash \Gamma} \mathcal{P}(\Gamma/I) \otimes \bigotimes_{G \in I} \mathcal{Q}(\Gamma|_G),$$

¹by simple we mean that a graph does not contain loops and double edges

where the sum ranges over all partitions of Γ . This operation is associative, and the graphical collection $\mathbb{1}$ concentrated in the one-vertex graph P_1 , with $\mathbb{1}(P_1) = 1_{\mathcal{C}}$, is the unit for this operation.

A *contractad* is a monoid in this monoidal category, i.e., it is a graphical collection \mathcal{P} with a collection of maps

$$\gamma_I^\Gamma: \mathcal{P}(\Gamma/I) \otimes \bigotimes_{G \in I} \mathcal{P}(\Gamma|_G) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Gamma),$$

ranging over all graphs and their partitions, and a unit $\eta: 1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(P_1)$, satisfying certain coherence relations.

An equivalent way to present contractads is via *infinitesimal compositions* that are analogous to *partial compositions* $\circ_i: \mathcal{O}(n) \otimes \mathcal{O}(m) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n+m-1)$ for operads. For a tube G , we denote by Γ/G the contraction of Γ by the partition with the only non-trivial block G . When \mathcal{P} is a contractad, we define $\circ_G^\Gamma: \mathcal{P}(\Gamma/G) \otimes \mathcal{P}(\Gamma|_G) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Gamma)$ by

$$\mathcal{P}(\Gamma/G) \otimes \mathcal{P}(\Gamma|_G) \cong \mathcal{P}(\Gamma/G) \otimes \mathcal{P}(\Gamma|_G) \otimes \bigotimes_{v \notin G} 1_{\mathcal{C}} \xrightarrow{\text{Id} \otimes \eta^{\otimes}} \mathcal{P}(\Gamma/G) \otimes \mathcal{P}(\Gamma|_G) \otimes \bigotimes_{v \notin G} \mathcal{P}(\Gamma|_{\{v\}}) \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathcal{P}(\Gamma).$$

The infinitesimal compositions \circ_G^Γ completely recover the contractad structure.

1.2. Commutative and Lie contractads, Suspensions. The simplest example of a contractad is the commutative contractad \mathbf{gcCom} in the category of sets. The contractad has the components $\mathbf{gcCom}(\Gamma) = \{*\}$ with the obvious infinitesimal compositions $\circ_G^\Gamma: \mathbf{gcCom}(\Gamma/G) \times \mathbf{gcCom}(\Gamma|_G) \rightarrow \mathbf{gcCom}(\Gamma)$ of the form $(*,*) \mapsto *$. The corresponding contractad in the category of vector spaces is generated by a symmetric generator m in component P_2 , satisfying the relations

$$(1.2) \quad \text{In } P_3: \quad m \circ_{\{1,2\}}^{P_3} m = m \circ_{\{2,3\}}^{P_3} m,$$

$$(1.3) \quad \text{In } K_3: \quad m \circ_{\{1,2\}}^{K_3} m = m \circ_{\{2,3\}}^{K_3} m.$$

From the presentation above, we see the similarity of this contractad with the commutative operad \mathbf{Com} .

The Koszul dual contractad, called the Lie contractad and denoted \mathbf{gcLie} , is the contractad generated by an anti-symmetric generator b in component P_2 , satisfying the relations

$$(1.4) \quad \text{In } P_3: \quad b \circ_{\{1,2\}}^{P_3} b = b \circ_{\{2,3\}}^{P_3} b,$$

$$(1.5) \quad \text{In } K_3: \quad b \circ_{\{1,2\}}^{K_3} b + (b \circ_{\{1,2\}}^{K_3} b)^{(123)} + (b \circ_{\{1,2\}}^{K_3} b)^{(321)} = 0.$$

For a dg contractad \mathcal{P} , we define its suspension \mathcal{SP} by

$$\mathcal{SP}(\Gamma) := \mathcal{P}(\Gamma)[n-1] \otimes \text{sgn}_\Gamma,$$

where sgn_Γ is the restriction of the alternating representation: $\text{sgn}_\Gamma = \text{Res}_{\text{Aut}(\Gamma)}^{\Sigma_{V_\Gamma}} \text{sgn}_{V_\Gamma}$. In a similar way, we define the desuspension \mathcal{S}^{-1} and, more generally, iterative suspension \mathcal{S}^n , for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

1.3. Little disks contractad \mathcal{D}_d . The little d -disks contractad \mathcal{D}_d is a topological contractad, generalising the little n -disks operad. Each component of this contractad $\mathcal{D}_d(\Gamma)$ consists of configurations of n -dimensional disks in the unit disk labeled by the vertex set of a graph, such that interiors of disks corresponding to adjacent vertices do not intersect. In other words, an element of $\mathcal{D}_d(\Gamma)$ is a family of rectilinear² embeddings $\{f_v: \mathbb{D}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^d, v \in V_\Gamma\}$ satisfying the edge-non-intersecting condition:

$$(v \ w) \in E(\Gamma) \Rightarrow \text{Im}(f_v) \cap \text{Im}(f_w) = \emptyset.$$

The contractad structure is given by the insertion of an outer disk of one configuration in the interior of a subdisk of another configuration, as in the example in Figure 3.

There is a connection between little disks contractad and, so-called, *graphical configuration spaces*. For a topological space X and graph Γ , we define the graphical configuration space by

$$\text{Conf}_\Gamma(X) = \{(x_v) \in X^{V_\Gamma} | x_v \neq x_w \text{ for } (v, w) \in E_\Gamma\}.$$

²We only allow dilations and translations




Figure 2. Example of configurations in $\mathcal{D}_2(\mathbb{C}_4)$.




Figure 3. Disks composition in \mathcal{D}_2

There is the centering map from the component $\mathcal{D}_d(\Gamma)$ of little disks contractad to the graphical configuration space,

$$(1.6) \quad r: \mathcal{D}_d(\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Conf}_\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad (r_v \mathbb{D}^d + x_v)_{v \in V_\Gamma} \mapsto (x_v)_{v \in V_\Gamma},$$

that maps configurations of disks to configurations of their centers. These maps are component-wise homotopy equivalences.

If we replace each component of the contractad \mathcal{D}_d with its rational homology groups, we obtain a contractad $H_\bullet(\mathcal{D}_d)$ in the category of graded vector spaces. For $d = 1$, the space $\mathcal{D}_1(\Gamma)$ is a disjoint union of contractible spaces and the homology contractad $H_\bullet(\mathcal{D}_d)$ is called an associative contractad (see [Lys24] and Section 3.5 for details). For $d \geq 2$, the homology contractad $H_\bullet(\mathcal{D}_d)$ is the quadratic Koszul contractad obtained from the contractads gcCom and $\mathcal{S}^{1-d} \text{gcLie}$ by rewriting rules

$$\begin{aligned} c_d \circ_{\{1,2\}}^{\text{P}_3} m &= m \circ_{\{2,3\}}^{\text{P}_3} c_d, \\ c_d \circ_{\{1,2\}}^{\text{K}_3} m &= m \circ_{\{2,3\}}^{\text{K}_3} c_d + (m \circ_{\{1,2\}}^{\text{K}_3} c_d)^{(23)}, \end{aligned}$$

where m, c_d are the generators of gcCom and $\mathcal{S}^{1-d} \text{gcLie}$ respectively, and, moreover, we have the isomorphism $H_\bullet(\mathcal{D}_d) \cong \text{gcCom} \circ \mathcal{S}^{1-d} \text{gcLie}$ of graphical collections [Lys24]. Note that m corresponds to the class of point, while c_d corresponds to the fundamental class of sphere $S^{d-1} \simeq \text{Conf}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cong \mathcal{D}_d(\mathbb{P}_2)$. The Hopf structure is given on generators by the rule

$$\Delta(m) = m \otimes m, \quad \Delta(c_d) = c_d \otimes m + m \otimes c_d.$$

In the case $d = 2$, the resulting contractad is called the Gerstenhaber contractad and is denoted by gcGerst due to the operad notation [Ger63].

On the other hand, passing to rational cohomology, we obtain a Hopf cocontractad $\text{OS}_d := H^\bullet(\mathcal{D}_d)$. I.e., the cocontractad in the category of commutative algebras over \mathbb{Q} . Each component is the generalised Orlik-Solomon algebra $\text{OS}_d(\Gamma)$. This algebra is generated by elements ω_e of degree $(d-1)$ for every edge e in Γ , satisfying the relations

$$\omega_e^2 = 0,$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{(d-1)(i-1)} \omega_{e_1} \omega_{e_2} \dots \hat{\omega}_{e_i} \dots \omega_{e_n} = 0, \text{ whenever edges } \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\} \subset E_\Gamma \text{ assemble a cycle.}$$

The cocontractad structure is given by homomorphisms of algebras:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_G^\Gamma: \text{OS}_d(\Gamma) &\rightarrow \text{OS}_d(\Gamma/G) \otimes \text{OS}_d(\Gamma|_G) \\ \omega_e &\mapsto \begin{cases} \omega_{e'} \otimes 1, & \text{if } e \notin G \\ 1 \otimes \omega_e, & \text{if } e \subset G \end{cases}, \end{aligned}$$

where e' is the image of e under contraction $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma/G$.

1.4. Framed disks and Batalin-Vilkovski contractad. Similarly to the operad case [KMS13, Bel14], for a topological group G and a contractad \mathcal{P} in G -spaces, we define the semidirect product contractad $\mathcal{P} \rtimes G$ by the formula:

$$\mathcal{P} \rtimes G(\Gamma) = \mathcal{P}(\Gamma) \times G^{\times V_\Gamma}$$

with the composition maps:

$$\gamma_{\mathcal{P} \rtimes G}: (\mathcal{P} \rtimes G)(\Gamma/I) \times (\mathcal{P} \rtimes G)(\Gamma|_{G_1}) \times \dots \times (\mathcal{P} \rtimes G)(\Gamma|_{G_k}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{P} \rtimes G)(\Gamma)$$

given by

$$\gamma_{\mathcal{P} \rtimes G}((\alpha, h); (\beta_1, g_1), \dots, (\beta_k, g_k)) = (\gamma_{\mathcal{P}}(\alpha, h_{I_1}\beta_1, \dots, h_{I_k}\beta_k), h_{I_1}g_1, \dots, h_{I_k}g_k)$$

where $h = (h_1, \dots, h_k)$, $g_j = (g_j^v)_{v \in G_j}$, and where h_j acts on g_j via diagonal action of H on H^{G_j} . In the same way, we define the semiderict product contractad $\mathcal{O} \rtimes \mathcal{H}$ for a cocommutative Hopf algebra \mathcal{H} and a contractad \mathcal{O} in \mathcal{H} -modules. Note that, for a topological G -contractad, passing to homology, we obtain the isomorphism of contractads

$$(1.7) \quad H_\bullet(\mathcal{P} \rtimes G) \cong H_\bullet(\mathcal{P}) \rtimes H_\bullet(G),$$

The action of the special orthogonal group $\text{SO}(d)$ on the unit disk \mathbb{D}^d , induces the action of $\text{SO}(d)$ on the little d -disks contractad \mathcal{D}_d .

Definition 1.8. *The framed little d -disks contractad $\text{f}\mathcal{D}_d$ is the semidirect product contractad $\mathcal{D}_d \rtimes \text{SO}(d)$.*

We will be mostly interested in the 2-dimensional case $\text{f}\mathcal{D}_2 = \mathcal{D}_2 \rtimes \text{SO}(2)$.

Definition 1.9. *The Batalin-Vilkovski contractad gcBV is the homology contractad $H_\bullet(\text{f}\mathcal{D}_2)$.*

Thanks to isomorphism (1.7), we have the isomorphism of contractads $\text{gcBV} = H_\bullet(\mathcal{D}_2 \rtimes S^1) \cong H_\bullet(\mathcal{D}_2) \rtimes H_\bullet(S^1)$. The Hopf algebra $H_\bullet(S^1)$ is exactly Grassman algebra $k[\Delta]$ with generator Δ of degree 1 and quadratic relation $\Delta^2 = 0$, with coproduct map $\Delta \mapsto \Delta \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \Delta$. Note that the action of this algebra on a contractad is equivalent to a datum of a dg contractad with respect to the differential Δ of order 1. The action of Δ on $H_\bullet(\mathcal{D}_2) \cong \text{gcGerst}$ is given on generators by the rule: $\Delta(m) = c_2$, $\Delta(c_2) = 0$.

Proposition 1.10. *The contractad gcBV is obtained from the Gerstenhaber contractad gcGerst and unary operation Δ of degree 1, satisfying the relations:*

$$(1.11) \quad \Delta \circ \Delta = 0$$

$$(1.12) \quad \Delta \circ m - m \circ_{\{1\}}^{\text{P}_2} \Delta - m \circ_{\{2\}}^{\text{P}_2} \Delta = c_2$$

$$(1.13) \quad \Delta \circ c_2 + c_2 \circ_{\{1\}}^{\text{P}_2} \Delta + c_2 \circ_{\{2\}}^{\text{P}_2} \Delta = 0$$

Proof. As we have mentioned above, we have the isomorphism of contractads

$$\text{gcBV} \cong \text{gcGerst} \rtimes k[\Delta].$$

Hence, this contractad is generated by m , b , and Δ . Next, we need to check the relations (1.12), (1.13). By the definition of a semidirect product, we deduce the desired relations:

$$\Delta \circ m - m \circ_1 \Delta - m \circ_2 \Delta = \Delta(m) = c_2$$

$$\Delta \circ c_2 + c_2 \circ_1 \Delta + c_2 \circ_2 \Delta = \Delta(c_2) = 0.$$

Therefore, there is a surjective map of contractads $\phi: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \text{gcBV}$, if when denote by \mathcal{P} the contractad defined by the above mentioned presentation. Finally, we note that these relations (1.11)–(1.13) define a rewriting rule according to [KL24, Sec. 1.3.3]. Therefore, there is an epimorphism $\text{gcBV} = \text{gcGerst} \rtimes k[\Delta] \cong \text{gcGerst} \circ k[\Delta] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$. So the map ϕ is an isomorphism, which concludes the proof. \square

2. COHOMOLOGY OF GRAPHICAL CONFIGURATIONS AND LIE CONTRACTAD

There are several recent works [Pet20, Knu23, Knu17, GP21] that describe the (co)homology of certain types of configuration spaces as the homology of appropriate Lie algebras. In this section, we formulate similar statements in the case of graphical configuration spaces, mimicking the strategy of Petersen [Pet20]. In the graphical case, we need to replace Lie algebras with left modules over the Lie contractad \mathbf{gcLie} .

2.1. Twisted algebras over contractads. Similarly to the operad case, we define twisted algebras over contractads as left modules

Definition 2.1. *A twisted \mathcal{P} -algebra is a graphical collection \mathcal{A} endowed with a product map*

$$\gamma_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

that is compatible with the contractad product map $\gamma_{\mathcal{P}}$. Equivalently, \mathcal{A} is a left \mathcal{P} -module with respect to the contracted product \circ .

We omit the definitions and constructions of coalgebras over (co)contractads, free twisted (co)algebras and differential graded twisted (co)algebras.

Example 2.2 (twisted \mathbf{gcCom} -algebras). For the commutative contractad \mathbf{gcCom} , a twisted algebra is equivalent to a datum of a graphical collection \mathcal{A} with a collection of multiplications

$$m: \mathcal{A}(\Gamma|_{G_1}) \otimes \mathcal{A}(\Gamma|_{G_2}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$$

for each pair of graph Γ and its 2-partition $\{G_1, G_2\}$. This multiplication is commutative $m(x_1, x_2) = m(x_2, x_1)$ and associative: for a 3-partition $\{G_1, G_2, G_3\}$ of Γ with $G_1 \cup G_2$ and $G_2 \cup G_3$ are tubes, we have $m(x_1, m(x_2, x_3)) = m(m(x_1, x_2), x_3)$. We consider the following examples of \mathbf{gcCom} -algebras

- (i) For a space X , the inclusions $\mathrm{Conf}_{\Gamma}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Conf}_{\Gamma|_{G_1}}(X) \times \mathrm{Conf}_{\Gamma|_{G_2}}(X)$ defines the structure of a twisted \mathbf{gcCom} -coalgebra on the configurations $\cup_{\Gamma} \mathrm{Conf}_{\Gamma}(X)$ and passing to cohomology, we obtain the twisted \mathbf{gcCom} -algebra structure on $\cup_{\Gamma} H^{\bullet}(\mathrm{Conf}_{\Gamma}(X))$.
- (ii) An usual commutative algebra A defines a constant twisted \mathbf{gcCom} -algebra \underline{A} with components $\underline{A}(\Gamma) := A$ for every graph and the multiplication obtained from that of A .
- (iii) The free commutative algebra $\mathbf{gcCom}(\mathcal{V})$ generated by a graphical collection \mathcal{V} has the components

$$\mathbf{gcCom}(\mathcal{V})(\Gamma) = \oplus_{I \vdash \Gamma} \otimes_{G \in I} \mathcal{V}(\Gamma|_G).$$

with the obvious multiplication given by concatenation.

Example 2.3 (twisted \mathbf{gCLie} -algebras). Similarly, the twisted \mathbf{gCLie} -algebra is a graphical collection \mathbf{g} with an antisymmetric product $b: \mathbf{g}(\Gamma|_{G_1}) \otimes \mathbf{g}(\Gamma|_{G_2}) \rightarrow \mathbf{g}(\Gamma)$, $b(x_2, x_1) = -b(x_1, x_2)$, satisfying the generalised Jacobi identity:

$$\epsilon_{12}b(b(x_1, x_2), x_3) + \epsilon_{31}b(b(x_3, x_1), x_2) + \epsilon_{23}b(b(x_2, x_3), x_1) = 0,$$

where $\epsilon_{ij} = 1$ if $G_i \cup G_j$ is a tube, and 0 otherwise.

Similarly to the classical case, given a twisted \mathbf{gcCom} -algebra \mathcal{A} and a twisted \mathbf{gCLie} -algebra \mathbf{g} , their Hadamard product $\mathcal{A} \underset{\mathbf{H}}{\otimes} \mathbf{g}$ is again a twisted \mathbf{gCLie} -algebra with the bracket

$$[a_1 \otimes x_1, a_2 \otimes x_2] := a_1 a_2 \otimes [x_1, x_2].$$

For a twisted \mathcal{P} -algebra \mathcal{A} , we define its suspension algebra $\mathbf{S}\mathcal{A}$, by the rule

$$\mathbf{S}\mathcal{A}(\Gamma) = \mathcal{A}(\Gamma)[-n] \otimes \mathrm{sgn}_{\Gamma}, \text{ where } n = |V_{\Gamma}|,$$

with the algebra structure

$$\gamma_{\mathbf{S}\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{P} \circ (\mathbf{S}\mathcal{A}) \cong \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{S(\gamma_{\mathcal{A}})} \mathbf{S}\mathcal{A}.$$

Recall that a Koszul contractad is a quadratic contractad \mathcal{P} whose Koszul complex $\mathcal{P}^i \circ^{\kappa} \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$ is quasi-isomorphic to the unit $\mathbb{1}$, see [Lys24, Sec. 3] for details. For a Koszul contractad \mathcal{P} and a twisted \mathcal{P} -algebra, we define a quasi-free twisted \mathcal{P}^i -coalgebra $C^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{A})$ by the rule

$$C^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{A}) := (\mathcal{P}^i \circ^{\kappa} \mathcal{P}) \circ_{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{P}^i(\mathcal{A}).$$

Since \mathcal{P} is Koszul, the homology of the free \mathcal{P} -algebra are

$$H^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})) \cong \mathcal{V}$$

Example 2.4 (Chevalley-Eilenberg complex). Due to the applications, we replace homological gradings with cohomological ones. Recall that the Lie contractad \mathbf{gclie} is Koszul and its Koszul dual is the commutative contractad \mathbf{gcom} . For a twisted (cohomologically graded) dg \mathbf{gclie} -algebra \mathfrak{g}^\bullet with a differential of degree +1, we define the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex as the shifted complex

$$C_{\mathbf{CE}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}) := C^{\mathbf{gclie}}(\mathfrak{g})[1] = \mathcal{S}^{-1} \mathbf{gcom}^\vee(\mathfrak{g})[1] \cong \mathbf{gcom}^\vee(\mathfrak{g}[1])$$

By the construction, this complex forms a dg twisted \mathbf{gcom} -coalgebra. The differential $d_{\mathbf{CE}} = \delta + d_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the sum of two differentials: $d_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is induced from the differential of \mathfrak{g} and δ is given by the formula

$$\delta(s^{-1}x_{G_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes s^{-1}x_{G_k}) = \sum \pm \epsilon_{ij} s^{-1}[x_{G_i}, x_{G_j}] \otimes s^{-1}x_{G_1} \otimes \cdots \widehat{s^{-1}x_{G_i}} \cdots \widehat{s^{-1}x_{G_j}} \cdots \otimes s^{-1}x_{G_k},$$

where signs are determined by Koszul sign rules and ϵ_{ij} from Example 2.3. Since \mathbf{gclie} is a Koszul contractad, we have the isomorphism

$$H_{\mathbf{CE}}^\bullet(\mathbf{gclie}(\mathcal{V})) \cong \mathcal{V}[1].$$

2.2. Compactly supported sheaf cohomology of graphical configuration spaces. In this subsection, we generalise the results of [Pet20, Sec. 8] to the graphical case. Let X be a paracompact and locally compact Hausdorff space, and \mathcal{F} a bounded below complex of sheaves of R -modules on X , where R is a ring of finite global dimension.

We consider the dg graphical collection $C_c^\bullet(\text{Conf}(X); \mathcal{F}^{\boxtimes})$ with components $C_c^\bullet(\text{Conf}(X); \mathcal{F}^{\boxtimes})(\Gamma) := C_c^\bullet(\text{Conf}_\Gamma(X); \mathcal{F}^{\boxtimes V_\Gamma})$ are chain complexes of compactly supported cochains on graphical configuration spaces. This graphical collection forms a twisted dg \mathbf{gcom} -coalgebra with respect to the extension by zero

$$C_c^\bullet(\text{Conf}_\Gamma(X); \mathcal{F}^{\boxtimes V_\Gamma}) \rightarrow C_c^\bullet(\text{Conf}_{\Gamma|_G}(X); \mathcal{F}^{\boxtimes V_G}) \otimes C_c^\bullet(\text{Conf}_{\Gamma|_H}(X); \mathcal{F}^{\boxtimes V_H})$$

associated with the open inclusion $\text{Conf}_\Gamma(X) \subset \text{Conf}_{\Gamma|_G}(X) \times \text{Conf}_{\Gamma|_H}(X)$. We show how to compute the corresponding cohomology coalgebra $H_c^\bullet(\text{Conf}(X); \mathcal{F}^{\boxtimes})$.

Recall that \mathcal{F} is functorially quasi-isomorphic to a bounded below complex of flat and flabby sheaves [Pet20, Lem. 3.4]. We define the twisted \mathbf{gcom} -algebra of derived compactly supported global section $\mathbb{R}\Gamma^\otimes(X; \mathcal{F})$ by the rule

$$\mathbb{R}\Gamma_c^\otimes(X; \mathcal{F})(\Gamma) := \Gamma_c(X; \mathcal{L}^{\otimes V_\Gamma}),$$

where \mathcal{L} is a functorial flabby flat resolution of \mathcal{F} , with the algebra structure induced from

$$\mathcal{L}^{\otimes V_G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes V_H} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\otimes V_{G \cup H}}.$$

This twisted algebra computes the cohomology of derived tensor products

$$H_c^\bullet(\mathbb{R}\Gamma_c^\otimes(X; \mathcal{F})(\Gamma)) \cong H_c^\bullet(X; \otimes_{v \in V_\Gamma}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathcal{F}).$$

Theorem 2.5. *Let X be a paracompact and locally compact Hausdorff space, and \mathcal{F} a bounded below complex of sheaves of R -modules on X , where R is a ring of finite global dimension. Then we have an isomorphism*

$$H_{\mathbf{CE}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}\Gamma_c^\otimes(X, \mathcal{F}[-1]) \otimes_{\mathbf{H}} \mathbf{gclie})(\Gamma) \cong H_c^\bullet(\text{Conf}_\Gamma(X), \mathcal{F}^{\boxtimes V_\Gamma}),$$

where \mathbf{gclie} is considered as a free twisted \mathbf{gclie} -algebra. Moreover, the isomorphism holds on the level of twisted \mathbf{gcom} -coalgebras.

Proof. The proof repeats the ones of [Pet20, Cor. 8.6]. Consider the lattice of graph partitions $\Pi_{\text{gr}}(\Gamma)$ with respect to refinement order. For a twisted dg \mathbf{gcom} -algebra \mathcal{A}^\bullet over R , we define the functor $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}: \Pi_{\text{gr}}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Ch}_R$, by the rule $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}(I) := \otimes_{G \in I} \mathcal{A}(\Gamma|_G)$. Respectively, for a partition I' obtained from I by merging some blocks, i.e. $I' \geq I$, we consider a chain map $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}(I') \rightarrow \Phi_{\mathcal{A}}(I)$ induced from algebra structure $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma|_{G_i}) \otimes \mathcal{A}(\Gamma|_{G_j}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\Gamma|_{G_i \cup G_j})$. We consider a double complex $\text{CF}(\Gamma, \mathcal{A})$ by

$$\text{CF}(\Gamma, \mathcal{A}) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \subseteq \Pi_{\text{gr}}(\Gamma) - \text{chain}} \Phi_{\mathcal{A}}(\max \lambda),$$

with the "vertical" differential induced from the differential in $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}(I)$, and the "horizontal" differential is an alternating sum over all possible ways of adding an extra element to the chain.

From the general sheaf theory there is a quasi-isomorphism

$$C_c^\bullet(\text{Conf}_\Gamma(X); \mathcal{F}^{\boxtimes V_\Gamma}) \cong \mathbb{R}\Gamma_c(X^{V_\Gamma}; j_{!} j^* \mathcal{F}^{\boxtimes V_\Gamma}),$$

where $j: \text{Conf}_\Gamma(X) \rightarrow X^{V_\Gamma}$. For a graph partition I of Γ , we consider a subset

$$X(I) := \{(x_v) \in X^{V_\Gamma} \mid \text{for } (v, w) \in E_\Gamma : x_v = x_w \Leftrightarrow x_v \text{ and } x_w \text{ in the same block of } I\}.$$

This subsets defines a locally open stratification of X^{V_Γ} with only open strata $X(\{\{v\}\}_{v \in V_\Gamma}) = \text{Conf}_\Gamma(X)$ and the poset of this stratification is a lattice of graph partitions with respect to refinement order. Thanks to [Pet17], we have the decomposition

$$j_! j^* \mathcal{F}^{\boxtimes V_\Gamma} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \subseteq \Pi_{\text{gr}}(\Gamma) - \text{chain}} (\iota_{\max(\lambda)})_* (\iota_{\max(\lambda)})^* \mathcal{F}^{\boxtimes V_\Gamma},$$

where $\iota_I: X(I) \rightarrow X^{V_\Gamma}$. If \mathcal{L} is the flat and flabby resolution of \mathcal{F} , then, thanks to decomposition above, we have a quasi-isomorphism $j_! j^* \mathcal{F}^{\boxtimes V_\Gamma} \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \subseteq \Pi_{\text{gr}}(\Gamma) - \text{chain}} (\iota_{\max(\lambda)})_* (\iota_{\max(\lambda)})^* \mathcal{L}^{\boxtimes V_\Gamma}$. The later sheaf is the complex of soft sheaves, so this resolution can be used to compute the cohomology of $j_! j^* \mathcal{F}$. Moreover, this complex of sheaves is isomorphic to the double complex $\text{CF}(\Gamma, \mathbb{R} \Gamma_c^\otimes(X, \mathcal{F}))$. All in all, we obtain the quasi-isomorphism of complexes

$$C_c^\bullet(\text{Conf}_\Gamma(X); \mathcal{F}^{\boxtimes V_\Gamma}) \simeq \text{CF}(\Gamma, \mathbb{R} \Gamma_c^\otimes(X, \mathcal{F}))$$

To complete the proof, we need the following lemma

Lemma 2.6. *For a twisted dg gcCom-algebra \mathcal{A} , we have a quasi-isomorphism of complexes*

$$\text{CF}(\Gamma, \mathcal{A}) \simeq C_{\text{CE}}^\bullet(S \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\text{H}} \text{gcLie})(\Gamma).$$

Proof. We give a sketch of the proof since it completely repeats the one of [Pet20, Thm 8.4]. For a graph Γ , let $\tilde{C}(\Pi_{\text{gr}}(\Gamma))$ be the reduced order complexes of $\Pi_{\text{gr}}(\Gamma)$ (the augmented complex of chains with minimal and maximal elements). Thanks to [Lys24, Thm 3.2.1], we have the quasi-isomorphism $\text{B}(\text{gcCom}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{C}(\Pi_{\text{gr}})$ (with respect to certain homological regrading of $\tilde{C}(\Pi_{\text{gr}})$), where $\text{B}(\text{gcCom})$ is the Bar construction of the commutative cocontractad, see [Lys24, Sec. 3] for details. Since gcCom is a Koszul contractad with Koszul dual gcLie , we have the quasi-isomorphism $\text{gcCom} = \mathcal{S}^{-1} \text{gcLie}^\vee \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{B}(\text{gcCom})$.

By the construction, the dg graphical collection $\text{CF}(-, \mathcal{A})$ has the form $\text{CF}(-, \mathcal{A}) = \text{gcCom} \circ (\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\text{H}} \tilde{C}(\Pi_{\text{gr}})^\vee)$, where $\tilde{C}(\Pi_{\text{gr}})^\vee$ is the linear dual dg graphical collection (with cohomological grading). Comparing differentials and combining quasi-isomorphisms, we obtain a quasi-isomorphism

$$\text{gcCom} \circ (\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\text{H}} \tilde{C}(\Pi_{\text{gr}})^\vee) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{gcCom} \circ (\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\text{H}} \text{B}(\text{gcCom})^\vee) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{gcCom} \circ (\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\text{H}} \mathcal{S}^{-1} \text{gcLie}) = C_{\text{CE}}^\bullet(S \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\text{H}} \text{gcLie})(\Gamma),$$

where the all dg graphical collections are considered with cohomological gradings instead of homological ones. \square

All in all, we have

$$C_c^\bullet(\text{Conf}_\Gamma(X); \mathcal{F}^{\boxtimes V_\Gamma}) \simeq C_{\text{CE}}^\bullet(S \mathbb{R} \Gamma_c^\otimes(X, \mathcal{F}) \otimes \text{gcLie})(\Gamma) = C_{\text{CE}}^\bullet(\mathbb{R} \Gamma_c^\otimes(X, \mathcal{F}[-1]) \otimes \text{gcLie})(\Gamma)$$

\square

2.3. Applications. So, we are ready to state the main results of this section

Theorem 2.7. *Let X be a paracompact and locally compact Hausdorff space, then we have an isomorphism of twisted gcCom-coalgebras*

$$H_{\text{CE}}^\bullet(\underline{\mathcal{A}}_X^c \otimes_{\text{H}} S \text{gcLie}) \cong H_c^\bullet(\text{Conf}(X); \mathbb{Q}),$$

where \mathcal{A}_X^c is a cdga model for the compactly supported cochains on X .

Proof. For the constant sheaf \mathbb{Q} , the twisted gcCom-algebra $\mathbb{R} \Gamma_c^\otimes(X; \mathbb{Q})$ is equivalent to a constant twisted gcCom-algebra $\underline{\mathcal{A}}_X^c$ associated with a model \mathcal{A}_X^c for compactly supported cochains $C_c^\bullet(X)$ [Pet20, Cor 3.13]. \square

In the special case $X = \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

Corollary 2.8. *The compactly supported cohomology of the graphical configuration spaces $\text{Conf}_\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ forms a free twisted gcCom-coalgebra*

$$H_c^\bullet(\text{Conf}(\mathbb{R}^d); \mathbb{Q}) \cong \text{gcCom}^\vee(S \text{gcLie}[1-d]).$$

Proof. For \mathbb{R}^d , its cdga model is the one-diminisonal algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}^d}^c = \mathbb{Q}\langle x^d \rangle$ with zero multiplication, so the differential in $C_{\text{CE}}^\bullet(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}^d}^c \otimes \text{SgcLie}) = \text{gcCom}^\vee(\text{SgcLie}[1-d])$ is trivial. \square

For the first non-trivial example, the wedge of g copies of circles $\bigvee^g S^1$, the complete description of cohomology $H_c^\bullet(\text{Conf}(\bigvee^g S^1))$ is a complicated task even in the case of ordinary configuration spaces; see [GH24] for details. But for particular families of graphs, we can explicitly compute the twisted Chevalley-Eilenberg homology from Theorem 2.7.

Example 2.9 (Paths and wedge of spheres). Let us restrict ourselves to the family of paths P_n and the corresponding configuration spaces

$$\text{Conf}_{P_n}(X) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^{\times n} \mid x_i \neq x_{i+1} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n-1\}$$

Recall that, for the path P_n , its partition poset coincides with the poset of partitions of $[n]$ into intervals: $\Pi_{\text{gr}}(P_n) = \Pi_n^{\text{ord}}$. Also, for the paths, the components of gclie are one-dimensional. So, for a cdga algebra \mathcal{A}^\bullet , the complex $C_{\text{CE}}^\bullet(\mathcal{A} \otimes \text{SgcLie})(P_n)$ has the form

$$C_{\text{CE}}^\bullet(\mathcal{A} \otimes \text{SgcLie})(P_n) = \bigoplus_{I_1 \oplus I_2 \oplus \dots \oplus I_k = [n]} \mathcal{A}^{\otimes k}[k-n]$$

with the vertical differential coming from A and the horisntal differential given by

$$d(x_{I_1} \otimes x_{I_2} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{I_k}) = \sum (-1)^{j-1} x_{I_1} \otimes \dots \otimes (x_{I_j} \cdot x_{I_{j+1}})_{I_j \oplus I_{j+1}} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{I_k}$$

Consider the wedge of d -spheres $\bigvee^g S^d$. Since $\bigvee^g S^d$ is formal and compact, we can take $\mathcal{A}_{\bigvee^g S^d}^c = H^\bullet(\bigvee^g S^d) = \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_g \rangle$, where x_i are of degree d with zero multiplication.

We denote by $C(g, n)$ the complex $C_{\text{CE}}^\bullet(H^\bullet(\bigvee_{i=1}^g S^1) \otimes \text{SgcLie})$. For a multindex $\alpha \in [g]^k$, let $C^\alpha(g, n)$ be the subcomplex generated by monomials that contain factors $x_{\alpha_1}, \dots, x_{\alpha_k}$ from left to right. We have the decomposition $C(g, n) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^n \bigoplus_{|\alpha|=k} C^\alpha(g, n)$ and the complex $C^\alpha(g, n)$ is isomorphic to $C^{(1^k)}(1; n)$. Note that we have $C_{\text{CE}}^\bullet(H^\bullet(S^d) \otimes \text{SgcLie}) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^n C^{(1^k)}(1; n)$.

The projection $\text{Conf}_{P_n}(S^d) \rightarrow \text{Conf}_{P_{n-1}}(S^d)$ associated with the inclusion $P_{n-1} \subset P_n$ is a fibration with the fiber $S^d \setminus \text{pt} \cong \mathbb{R}^d$. Hence, we have a homotopy equivalence $\text{Conf}_{P_n}(S^d) \simeq S^d$, so, by Poincare duality for c.s. cohomology, the non-zero cohomology $H_c^\bullet(\text{Conf}_{P_n}(S^d))$ are concentrated in degrees dn and $d(n-1)$ and are one-dimensional. In particular, the homology of $C^{(1^k)}(1; n)$ are non-zero only in the case $k = d(n-1), dn$ and in this case the homology are one-dimensional and concentrated in the degree dk . All in all, we have

$$H_c^i(\text{Conf}_{P_n}(\bigvee_{i=1}^g S^d)) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q}^{\oplus g^n}, & \text{if } i = dn \\ \mathbb{Q}^{\oplus g^{n-1}}, & \text{if } i = d(n-1) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let us also mention another immediate consequence of Theorem 2.7

Corollary 2.10 (Euler characteristic and chromatic polynomials).

- For a paracompact and locally compact Hausdorff space X , we have

$$\chi_c(\text{Conf}_\Gamma(X)) = \mathfrak{X}_\Gamma(\chi_c(X)),$$

where χ_c is the compactly supported Euler characteristic and $\mathfrak{X}_\Gamma(q)$ is a chromatic polynomial of Γ .

- In particular, if M is an orientable d -dimensional manifold, we recognize the results [EH07, KT]

$$\chi(\text{Conf}_\Gamma(M)) = (-1)^{d|\text{Vr}|} \mathfrak{X}_\Gamma((-1)^d \chi(M))$$

Proof. Recall from [KL24, Sec 2] that a graphical function is a function on the class of connected graphs that is constant on isomorphism classes. For a dg graphical collection \mathcal{O} we consider the Euler graphical function $\chi(\mathcal{O})$ by the rule $\chi(\mathcal{O})(\Gamma) := \chi(\mathcal{O}(\Gamma))$. We have the $*$ -product of graphical function given by the formula

$$(\psi * \phi)(\Gamma) = \sum_{I \vdash \Gamma} \psi(\Gamma/I) \prod_{G \in I} \phi(\Gamma|_G),$$

that preserves the \circ -product: $\chi(\mathcal{O} \circ \mathcal{Q}) = \chi(\mathcal{O}) * \chi(\mathcal{Q})$. We use the notations $\mu := \chi(\mathcal{S}^{-1} \text{gcLie})$ and $\mathbb{1}_q$ for the graphical function $\mathbb{1}_q(\Gamma) = q^{|V_\Gamma|-1}$ and $\mathbb{1} := \mathbb{1}_1$. Thanks to Theorem 2.7, we have

$$\chi_c(\text{Conf}(X)) := \chi(\text{gcCom} \circ (\underline{\mathcal{A}}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{S}^{-1} \text{gcLie})) = \mathbb{1} * (\chi(\underline{\mathcal{A}}_X) \cdot \mu) = \mathbb{1} * (\chi_c(X) \cdot \mu)$$

Thanks to [KL24, Lem 2.3.4], we have $\mathbb{1} * (q \cdot \mu) = \mathfrak{X}(q)$, where $\mathfrak{X}(q)$ is the graphical function encoding chromatic polynomials. The second statement follows from the first one and Poincaré duality. \square

In the case X is a manifold, we can compute integer homology of its configuration spaces using the Chevalley-Eilenberg homology

Theorem 2.11. *Let M^d be a manifold of dimension d (possibly with boundary) and Or_M the orientation sheaf. Then*

$$H_{\bullet}^{\text{CE}}(\mathbb{R} \Gamma_c^{\otimes}(M, \text{Or}_M[d-1]) \otimes \text{gcLie}) \cong H_{\bullet}(\text{Conf}(M); \mathbb{Z})$$

Proof. For a space X , we have $H_{\bullet}(X; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_c^{\bullet}(X; \mathbb{D}\mathbb{Z})$, where $\mathbb{D}\mathbb{Z}$ is the dualising complex. For a manifold M , the dualising complex $\mathbb{D}\mathbb{Z}$ is the shifted orientation sheaf $\text{Or}_M[d]$. \square

3. PROJECTIVE AND SPHERICAL COMPACTIFICATIONS OF GRAPHICAL CONFIGURATION SPACES

Recall that the ordinary configuration spaces $\text{Conf}_k(M^d)$ of manifolds admit Fulton–MacPherson–Axelrod–Singer compactifications $\text{FM}_M(k)$, introduced in [AS92], that are manifolds with corners. When $M = \mathbb{R}^d$, the collection of these compactifications $\text{FM}_d := \{\text{FM}_{\mathbb{R}^d}(k)\}$ forms an operad that is weakly homotopy equivalent to the little disks operad \mathcal{D}_d [Sal01]. For a parallelizable manifold M^d , the Fulton–MacPherson compactifications form a right module FM_M over FM_d . A similar statement holds for an arbitrary manifold if we consider the framed versions of FM compactifications. We refer to [Sin04] for the geometric description and to [LV14, Mar96, CW23] for the operad structure description. In this section, we extend these results to the graphical case using the contractad language.

3.1. Wonderful contractad and Modular compactifications. Before proceeding with the construction of the real compactifications of $\text{Conf}_{\Gamma}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we briefly revisit the algebraic-geometric counterpart, the Wonderful contractad $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)$. This contractad serves as a graphical analogue to the Deligne–Mumford operad of stable rational curves $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n+1}$. We refer to [KL24, Sec. 3] and [Lys24, Sec. 2.6] for detailed treatment.

3.1.1. Graphical compactifications. For a graph Γ , consider the complex arrangement of hyperplanes $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma) = \{H_{(v,w)} := \{x_v = x_w\} \mid (v,w) \in E_{\Gamma}\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{V_{\Gamma}}$ in $W_{\Gamma} = \mathbb{C}^{V_{\Gamma}} / \langle \sum_{v \in V_{\Gamma}} x_v \rangle$. For a non-trivial tube $G \subset V_{\Gamma}$, we consider the subspace $H_G := \bigcap_{e \in E_{\Gamma|G}} H_e$.

We consider the inclusion of the projective complement of the graphical arrangement $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma) := \text{Conf}_{\Gamma}(\mathbb{C}) / \text{Aff}_1(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{P}(W_{\Gamma}) \setminus \bigcup_{e \in E_{\Gamma}} \mathbb{P}(H_e)$ to the product of projective spaces

$$\rho: \mathcal{M}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \prod_G \mathbb{P}(W_{\Gamma}/H_G),$$

where $\rho = \prod_G \rho_G$ is the product of projections $\rho_G: \mathcal{M}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(W_{\Gamma}/H_G)$.

The graphical compactification, denoted $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)$, is the closure of the image of the embedding ρ . For the graph P_1 , we let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(P_1) = \text{pt}$. This compactification is a smooth projective $(|V_{\Gamma}| - 1)$ -dimensional complex variety. The contractad structure on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is given by closed inclusions of smooth varieties:

$$(3.1) \quad \iota: \overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma/G) \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma|_G) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma),$$

defined as follows. Consider the linear map $j: \mathbb{k}^{V_{\Gamma}/G} \rightarrow \mathbb{k}^{V_{\Gamma}}$ defined by the rule: $i(x_v) = x_v$ for $v \notin G$, and $i(x_{\{G\}}) = \sum_{v \in G} x_v$. For each tube K with $K \not\subset G$, this map defines an inclusion of the quotient spaces $j_G^K: W_{\Gamma/G}/H_{K/G} \hookrightarrow W_{\Gamma}/H_K$. Next, consider the coordinate inclusion $\mathbb{k}^{V_G} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{k}^{V_{\Gamma}}$. For each tube $K \subset G$, this map induces an isomorphism $i_G^K: W_{\Gamma|G}/H_K \xrightarrow{\cong} W_{\Gamma}/H_K$. So, we define the inclusion ι by determining $\rho_K \circ \iota$ for each tube K

$$(3.2) \quad \rho_K \circ \iota = \begin{cases} \mathbb{P}(i_G^K) \circ \rho_K \circ \pi_{\Gamma|G}, & \text{for } K \subset G, \\ \mathbb{P}(j_G^K) \circ \rho_{K/G} \circ \pi_{\Gamma/G}, & \text{for } K \not\subset G, \end{cases}$$

where $\pi_{\Gamma|G}$ and $\pi_{\Gamma/G}$ are projections on the corresponding factors. The image of this map, denoted D_G , forms an irreducible divisor. The complement $\partial \overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma) := \overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma) \setminus \mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$ is a divisor with normal crossings with irreducible components D_G indexed by tubes $G \subset \Gamma$.

3.1.2. Modular compactifications. For a complete multipartite graph, the graphical compactification parametrizes the genus zero stable pointed curves with certain conditions on marked points. We refer to [KL24, Sec. 3.2] for details.

For a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k)$, we consider the complete multipartite graph K_λ . This graph consists of blocks of vertices of sizes $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$, such that two vertices are adjacent if and only if they belong to different blocks. This class of graphs is closed under contractions and restrictions. Moreover, for a non-trivial contraction K_λ/G , the contracted vertex $\{G\}$ is adjacent to all remaining vertices.




Figure 4. List of complete multipartite graphs on 4 vertices.

For a complete multipartite graph K_λ , a K_λ -pointed stable curve is a pointed \mathbb{C} -curve $(\Sigma, x_\infty, \{x_v\}_{v \in V_\Gamma})$ of genus zero with only singularities are double points, satisfying the following conditions:

- The marked point x_∞ is separated from all other marked points.
- For adjacent vertices $v, w \in V_{K_\lambda}$, the marked points are separated $x_v \neq x_w$.
- Each irreducible component $C \subset \Sigma$ not containing x_∞ with a single node contains a pair of marked points $x_v, x_w \in C$ labeled by adjacent vertices $(v, w) \in E(K_\lambda)$.


The moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0, K_\lambda}$ of K_λ -pointed stable curves is isomorphic to the compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(K_\lambda)$ [KL24, Thm. 3.2.12]. The moduli subspace of smooth curves $\mathcal{M}_{0, K_\lambda}$ coincides with the open moduli space $\mathcal{M}(K_\lambda)$. Moreover, under this isomorphism, contractad maps are indeed gluing maps. Specifically, the gluing map

$$\circ_G^{K_\lambda} : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0, K_\lambda} / G \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0, K_\lambda} / G \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0, K_\lambda},$$


is obtained by gluing the special point x_∞ of the $K_\lambda|_G$ -pointed stable curve Σ to the marked point $x'_{\{G\}}$ of the $K_\lambda|_G$ -pointed stable curve Σ' . This procedure is well defined since both x_∞ and $x'_{\{G\}}$ are separated from the remaining marked points.

We list particular examples of these moduli spaces

Example 3.3. (i) In the case $K_\lambda = K_n$ is complete graph, we recover the standard $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0, K_n} \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0, n+1}$ Deligne-Mumford moduli space of $(n+1)$ -marked stable curves. The restriction of contractad maps to these moduli spaces recovers the standard operad structure.



- (ii) For stellar graph $K_{(1,n)} = \text{St}_n$, we recover the Losev-Manin moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{0,n}$ [LM00]. This moduli space parametrizes chains of projective lines with two poles labeled by ∞ and 0 , along with n marked points that may coincide.




- (iii) More generally, for a complete multipartite graph $K_{(1^n, m)}$, the moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0, K_{(1^n, m)}}$ is the extended Losev-Manin moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{0; n, m}$ of stable curves with n white and m black marked points [LM04].

3.1.3. Rooted trees and Stratification. Similarly, to the operad case, the Wonderful contractad admits a stratification by rooted trees. However, in contrast to the operad case, we need to restrict ourselves to admissible rooted trees [Lys24, Sec. 1.3].

A *rooted tree* is a connected directed tree T in which each vertex has at least one input edge and exactly one output edge. This tree should have exactly one external outgoing edge, output. The endpoint of this edge is called the *root*. The endpoints of incoming external edges that are not vertices are called *leaves*. A tree with a single vertex is called a *corolla*. For a rooted tree T and edge e , let T_e be the subtree with the root at e and T^e be the subtree obtained from T by cutting T_e .

For a graph Γ , a Γ -*admissible rooted tree* is a rooted tree T with leaves labeled by the vertex set V_Γ of the given graph such that, for each edge e of the tree, the leaves of the subtree T_e form a tube of Γ . Below, we list examples and a counterexample of C_4 -admissible trees.



Note that the rightmost tree is not admissible since vertices 2 and 4 do not form a tube. We denote by \mathbf{Tree} the corresponding graphical collection of rooted trees. Similarly to the operads, this collection assembles a contractad with respect to graftings of rooted trees.

For a Γ -admissible tree T and a vertex $v \in \text{Vert}(T)$, we define the *input graph* $\text{In}(v)$ as follows: its vertices are input edges e_1, \dots, e_k of v and two vertices e_i, e_j are adjacent if the corresponding union of leaf sets $L_{e_i} \cup L_{e_j}$ forms a tube in Γ , where L_{e_i} is the set of leaves of the subtree T_{e_i} . Similarly to operads, for a graphical collection \mathcal{E} , the free contractad $\mathbb{T}(\mathcal{E})$ generated by \mathcal{E} has an explicit combinatorial description: its elements are admissible rooted trees decorated by elements of \mathcal{E}


$$\mathbb{T}(\mathcal{E})(\Gamma) = \bigoplus_{T \in \mathbf{Tree}(\Gamma)} \mathcal{E}((T)), \text{ where } \mathcal{E}((T)) := \bigotimes_{v \in \text{Vert}(T)} \mathcal{E}(\text{In}(v)).$$

In particular, \mathbf{Tree} is a free contractad generated by corollas. We also consider the free subcontractad of *stable* rooted trees $\mathbf{Tree}_{\text{st}} \subset \mathbf{Tree}$ consisting of rooted trees whose all internal vertices have at least 2 input edges.

The Wonderful contractad $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ admits a contractad stratification by rooted trees. The component-wise inclusion $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma) \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)$ produces a morphism of contractads $\mathbb{T}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}$, where $\mathbb{T}(\mathcal{M})$ is the free contractad in the category of sets on \mathcal{M}^3 . This morphism is an isomorphism of set-contractads. Moreover, for a stable Γ -admissible tree T , the image of $\mathcal{M}((T))$ in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)$ is a locally open subset, whose closure is the intersection of canonical divisors $\bigcap_{v \in \text{Vert}(T)} D_{\text{Leav}(v)}$. All in all, there is a locally open stratification of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)$

$$(3.4) \quad \overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma) = \bigsqcup_{T \in \mathbf{Tree}_{\text{st}}(\Gamma)} \mathcal{M}((T)), \quad \mathcal{M}((T)) \cong \prod_{v \in \text{Vert}(T)} \mathcal{M}(\text{In}(v)).$$

Example 3.5. A stable rational $(n+1)$ -pointed curve Σ determines a dual rooted tree T_Σ whose vertices are components of the subvariety of smooth points of Σ , edges are double points, and leaves are marked points $[n] \cup \{\infty\}$, ∞ corresponds to root.



For a complete multipartite graph K_λ , the strata element $\mathcal{M}_{0, K_\lambda}((T))$ consists of K_λ -pointed stable curves with a dual tree T .

³we use convention $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{P}_1) = \emptyset$

3.2. Fulton-MacPherson contractad. Suppose we replace the complex vector spaces in the construction of the wonderful contractad with the real ones. In that case, we obtain the so-called Fulton-MacPherson compactification for the configuration spaces $\text{Conf}_\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ based on the spherical compactifications [Gai03].

Let $\text{Dil}(\mathbb{R}^d) := \mathbb{R}^d \rtimes \mathbb{R}_{>0} \subset \text{Aff}_d(\mathbb{R})$ be the subgroup of dilations of \mathbb{R}^d (compositions of translations and homotheties). The factor space $\text{Conf}_\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)/\text{Dil}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is identified with the subspace of normalised configurations $\text{NConf}_\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \text{Conf}_\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ consisting of configurations with zero barycenter and unit radius

$$b(x) = \frac{1}{|V_\Gamma|} \cdot \sum_{v \in V_\Gamma} x_v = 0, \quad r(x) = \max_{v \in V_\Gamma} (\|x_v\|) = 1.$$

For a vector space V , let $\mathbb{S}(V) := V/\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ be its spherisation. For a graph Γ , let $W_\Gamma^d = (\mathbb{R}^d)^{V_\Gamma}/\mathbb{R}^d$, where \mathbb{R}^d is a diagonal subspace. For an edge $e \in E_\Gamma$, we consider the subspace $H_e = \{x_v = x_w\}$, and, for a tube G , the subspace $H_G = \bigcap_{e \subset G} H_e$. We consider the inclusion of $\text{NConf}_\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in the product of spheres

$$\rho: \text{NConf}_\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^d) \cong \text{Conf}_\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)/\text{Dil}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \prod_G \mathbb{S}(W_\Gamma/H_G),$$

where $\rho = \prod_G \rho_G$ is the product of projections $\rho_G: \text{Conf}_\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)/\text{Dil}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}(W_\Gamma/H_G)$. We define the Fulton-MacPherson compactification as the closure of ρ and denote it by $\text{FM}_d(\Gamma)$. Similarly to the projective case (3.2), we define the contractad structure

$$\circ_G^\Gamma: \text{FM}_d(\Gamma/G) \times \text{FM}_d(\Gamma|_G) \rightarrow \text{FM}_d(\Gamma)$$

by examining the projections

$$(3.6) \quad \rho_K \circ_G^\Gamma = \begin{cases} \mathbb{S}(i_G^K) \rho_K \text{pr}_{\Gamma|_G}, & \text{for } K \subset G, \\ \mathbb{S}(j_G^K) \rho_K \text{pr}_{\Gamma/G}, & \text{for } K \not\subset G, \end{cases}$$

where the maps i_G^K and j_G^K are defined in the same way as in (3.2).

Note that the Fulton-MacPherson compactification $\text{FM}_d(\Gamma)$ is the special case of a spherical wonderful compactification introduced by Gaiiffi in [Gai03] associated with a graphical building set $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma) := \{H_G|G\text{-tube}\}$. From his results, we immediately get

Theorem 3.7. [Gai03] *$\text{FM}_d(\Gamma)$ is a compact manifold with corners of dimension $d(|V_\Gamma| - 1) - 1$. Moreover, the boundary $\partial \text{FM}_d(\Gamma)$ is the union of submanifolds $D_G := \circ_G^\Gamma(\text{FM}_d(\Gamma/G) \times \text{FM}_d(\Gamma|_G))$ of codimension 1. The intersection of submanifolds $D_\mathcal{S} := \bigcap_{G \in \mathcal{S}} D_G$ is non-empty and transversal if and only if \mathcal{S} is a nested set.*

The component-wise inclusion $\text{NConf}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \text{FM}_d$ produces an isomorphism of set-contractads $\mathbb{T}(\text{NConf}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \rightarrow \text{FM}_d$. For a rooted tree $T \in \text{Tree}_{\text{st}}(\Gamma)$, we denote by $\text{FM}_d((T))$ the corresponding strata element. The closure of $\text{FM}_d((T))$ is the intersection of canonical divisors $\overline{\text{FM}_d((T))} = D_{\mathcal{S}(T)} = \bigcap_{v \in \text{Vert}(T)} D_{\text{Leav}(v)}$.

Remark 3.8. Similarly to [Sal01], we can define the Fulton-MacPherson compactifications equivalently by considering the closure of the image

$$\text{NConf}_\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \prod_G \text{Bl}_\Delta^\mathbb{R}(\mathbb{R}^d)^G,$$

where $\text{Bl}_\Delta^\mathbb{R}(\mathbb{R}^d)^G$ is the real blow-up of $(\mathbb{R}^d)^G$ along the small diagonal.

Recall that initially, the ordinary FM compactifications were constructed as the closures of the images [AS92]

$$\text{Conf}_n(\mathbb{R}^d)/\text{Dil}(\mathbb{R}^d) \xrightarrow{s_{ij}, d_{ijk}} \prod_{(i,j)} S^{d-1} \times \prod_{(i,j,k)} [0, +\infty],$$

$$\text{here} \quad s_{ij}(x) = \frac{x_i - x_j}{|x_i - x_j|}, \quad d_{ijk}(x) = \frac{|x_i - x_j|}{|x_i - x_k|}.$$

These compactifications are equivalent to the spherical ones [Gai03]. However, for an arbitrary Γ , there is no analogue of such construction in terms of angles s_{ij} and relative distances d_{ijk} .

For a graph Γ , the action of the special orthogonal $\mathrm{SO}(d)$ on the spheres $\mathbb{S}(W_\Gamma^d/H_e^d) \cong S^{d-1}$ associated with edges, induces the action on the space $\prod_G \mathbb{S}(W_\Gamma/H_G)$, that restricts to $\mathrm{FM}_d(\Gamma)$. This action is compatible with the contractad structure, so FM_d forms a contractad in the category of $\mathrm{SO}(d)$ -spaces.

Definition 3.9. *The framed Fulton MacPherson contractad fFM_d is the semidirect product contractad $\mathrm{FM}_d \rtimes \mathrm{SO}(d)$.*

3.3. Fulton-MacPherson contractad is E_d -contractad. An equivalence of topological contractads \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} is a morphism of contractads $\phi: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ that is a component-wise weak homotopy equivalence. Two contractads \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} are said to be equivalent if they are related by a zigzag of equivalences.

Definition 3.10. *A (framed) E_d -contractad is a contractad equivalent to the (framed) little d -disks contractad \mathcal{D}_d .*

Similarly to the operad case, we define the Boardman-Vogt resolution [BV73] for contractads as follows. For a topological contractad \mathcal{P} , its Boardman-Vogt resolution is the contractad $\mathrm{W}\mathcal{P}$ obtained from the free contractad $\mathbb{T}(\mathcal{P})$ by in addition

- labeling the inner edges of any admissible rooted tree by real numbers $l \in [0, 1]$,
- identifying rooted trees one of whose edges have length 0 with the rooted tree with that edge contracted and with the corresponding contractad-element labels composed,
- equipping the set of labeled trees with the corresponding quotient of the product topology, to make it into a topological space,
- contractad structure is given by grafting of trees and letting the new edges be of length 1.

There is an evident inclusion $\mathbb{T}(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \mathrm{W}\mathcal{P}$ obtained by regarding each edge of a tree as being of length 1, and there is an evident factorization of $\mathbb{T}(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ through $\mathrm{W}\mathcal{P}$.

Lemma 3.11. *For a topological operad, the canonical projection $\pi_{\mathcal{P}}: \mathrm{W}\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ is an equivalence of contractads.*

Proof. $\pi_{\mathcal{P}}$ is indeed the retraction with respect to the evident inclusion $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathrm{W}\mathcal{P}$ (it is not a contractad morphism), so it suffices to construct a homotopy $\phi_t: \mathrm{Id} \simeq \pi_{\mathcal{P}}$. The desired homotopy ϕ_t is given by scaling edge lengths by t . \square

Theorem 3.12. *The (framed) Fulton-MacPherson contractad is a (framed) E_d -contractad*

$$\mathrm{FM}_d \simeq \mathcal{D}_d, \quad \mathrm{fFM}_d \simeq \mathrm{f}\mathcal{D}_d.$$

Proof. Thanks to [Gai03], the compactification $\mathrm{FM}_d(\Gamma)$ is obtained from $\mathrm{NConf}_\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by a sequence of real blow-ups along diagonal subspaces. Therefore, the map $\mathrm{Conf}_\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathrm{FM}_d(\Gamma)$ is a homotopy equivalence. Combining with the centering map $\mathcal{D}_d(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Conf}_\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (1.6), we obtain a component-wise homotopy equivalence of graphical collections

$$r: \mathcal{D}_d(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathrm{FM}_d(\Gamma).$$

However, it is not compatible with the contractad compositions. Similarly to [Sal01, Prop. 3.9], we can lift $r: \mathcal{D}_d \rightarrow \mathrm{FM}_d$ to the contractad morphism $\mathcal{R}: \mathrm{W}\mathcal{D}_d \rightarrow \mathrm{FM}_d$. Thanks to Lemma 3.11, since $\mathrm{W}\mathcal{D}_d$ is E_d -contractad and \mathcal{R} is an equivalence, it follows that FM_d is E_d -contractad. The framed case repeats the unframed ones, see [Sal01, Prop 4.11] for instance. \square

3.4. Compactifications for manifolds and right modules. In this section, we construct and study the graphical (framed) Fulton-MacPherson compactifications for a compact manifold using spherical blow-ups.

For a submanifold $Y \subset X$ (with corners), the spherical blow-up is the manifold $\mathrm{Bl}_Y(X)$ with a surjective map $p: \mathrm{Bl}_Y^\mathbb{R}(X) \rightarrow X$ that is a diffeomorphism over the complement $X \setminus Y$ and is the spherical normal bundle $p|_Y: \mathbb{S}(N_X Y) \rightarrow Y$ over Y . Let M be a compact Riemannian manifold. For a set S , let $\mathrm{Bl}_\Delta^\mathbb{R} M^S$ be the spherical blow-up of M^S along a small diagonal $M \cong \Delta(M) \subset M^S$. Recall that, for a small diagonal $\Delta(M) \subset M^S$, its normal bundle is $TM^{\oplus S}/\Delta(TM)$, so the exceptional divisor $\mathcal{E}_\Delta = p^{-1}(\Delta(X))$ is $\mathbb{S}(TM^{\oplus S}/\Delta(TM))$.

For a graph Γ , we consider the inclusion of graphical configuration space

$$\mathrm{Conf}_\Gamma(M) \rightarrow M^{V_\Gamma} \times \prod_{G \subset V_\Gamma - \text{tube}} \mathrm{Bl}_\Delta^\mathbb{R} M^{\times G},$$

and we let $\text{FM}_M(\Gamma)$ be the closure of the image.

Theorem 3.13. *For a compact manifold M , the compactification $\text{FM}_M(\Gamma)$ is a compact manifold with corners of dimension $\dim M \cdot |\Gamma|$.*

We postpone this result without proof, although technically it is quite simple to obtain it using Gaiffi's spherical approach [Gai03] and arguments from the projective case [Li09]. Since $\text{FM}_M(\Gamma)$ is obtained from $\text{NConf}_\Gamma(M)$ by a sequence of real blow-ups along diagonal subspaces, we have the following.

Corollary 3.14. *The inclusion $\text{Conf}_\Gamma(M) \hookrightarrow \text{FM}_M(\Gamma)$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

The boundary $\partial \text{FM}_M(\Gamma) = \text{FM}_M(\Gamma) \setminus \text{Conf}_\Gamma(M)$ is a union of codimension 1 submanifolds D_G indexed by tubes $G \subset V_\Gamma$ (including $G = V_\Gamma$) and each divisor is diffeomorphic to the product $D_G \cong \text{FM}_M(\Gamma/G) \times \text{FM}_d(\Gamma|_G)$, where $n = \dim M$.

Recall that, for a (Riemannian) parallelizable compact manifold, its ordinary (framed) FM compactifications form a right module over the (framed) FM operad [Mar96]. A similar statement holds in the graphical case. For a contractad \mathcal{P} , a right \mathcal{P} -module is a graphical collection \mathcal{M} endowed with a product map

$$\gamma_{\mathcal{M}}: \mathcal{M} \circ \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{M},$$

that is compatible with a contractad structure.

Proposition 3.15. *If M is n -dimensional parallelizable manifold, then FM_M admits a natural structure of a right module over the contractad FM_d .*

Proof. For a parallelizable manifold M , we consider the trivialisation of sphere bundle $\mathbb{S}(TM^{\oplus S}/\Delta(M)) \cong M \times \mathbb{S}(W_S^d)$ with respect to the fixed trivialisation $TM \cong M \times \mathbb{R}^d$. For a tube G , we define the morphism $\circ_G^\Gamma: \text{FM}_M(\Gamma/G) \times \text{FM}_d(\Gamma|_G) \rightarrow \text{FM}_M(\Gamma)$ by specializing $\rho_K \circ_G^\Gamma$, where $\rho_K: \text{FM}_M(\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Bl}_\Delta M^K$, for each tube K . If $K \subset G$, we let $\phi_G^K: M \times \mathbb{S}(W_{\Gamma|_G}/H_K) \hookrightarrow M \times \mathbb{S}(W_\Gamma/H_K) \rightarrow \text{Bl}_\Delta M^K$, where the last map is the inclusion of an exceptional divisor. Otherwise, we consider the inclusion $\psi_G^K: \text{Bl}_\Delta M^{K/G} \hookrightarrow \text{Bl}_\Delta M^K$ induced from the map $\iota: M^{K/G} \rightarrow M^K$ given by $\iota((x_w)_{w \in K/G})_v = x_{v/G}$, and the map $\mathbb{S}(j_G^K)$ on the fibers over the small diagonal. So, we define \circ_G^Γ by

$$\rho_K \circ_G^\Gamma = \begin{cases} \phi_G^K(\pi_{\{G\}} \times \rho_K), & \text{for } K \subset G, \\ \psi_G^K \rho_{K/G}, & \text{for } K \not\subset G, \end{cases},$$

where $\pi_{\{G\}}: \text{FM}_M(\Gamma) \rightarrow M$ is the projection on $\{G\}$ -factor. \square

For a Riemannian manifold, we consider the frame bundle $\text{Fr}_M \rightarrow M$. For a graph Γ , the framed configuration space $\text{Conf}_\Gamma^{\text{fr}}(M)$ and its framed Fulton-MacPherson compactification are the pullbacks of the diagrams below.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Conf}_\Gamma^{\text{fr}}(M) & \dashrightarrow & \text{Fr}_M^{V_\Gamma} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \xi \\ \text{Conf}_\Gamma^{\text{fr}}(M) & \longrightarrow & M^{V_\Gamma} \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{fFM}_M(\Gamma) & \dashrightarrow & \text{Fr}_M^{V_\Gamma} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \xi \\ \text{FM}_M(\Gamma) & \longrightarrow & M^{V_\Gamma} \end{array}$$

Explicitly, $\text{Conf}_\Gamma^{\text{fr}}(M)$ consists of graphical configurations of points with additional choices of framings at marked points. Thanks to Corollary 3.14, the inclusion $\text{Conf}_\Gamma^{\text{fr}}(M) \hookrightarrow \text{fFM}_M(\Gamma)$ is a homotopy equivalence.

Proposition 3.16. *For a Riemannian manifold M of dimension d , fFM_M admits a natural structure of a right module over the contractad fFM_d .*


Proof. The idea of the construction of fFM_d -module structure repeats the ones of Proposition 3.15 with the only modification that we replace the trivialisation with the choice of framings $\text{Fr}_M \times \mathbb{S}(W_S) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}(TM^{\oplus S}/\Delta(TM))$. \square

3.5. One-dimensional case and poset associahedra. Recall that the ordinary Fulton-MacPherson compactifications $\text{FM}_1(k)$ are homeomorphic to the disjoint union of the famous Stasheff polytopes [Sta63] whose faces are labeled by planar rooted trees. We present a straightforward generalisation to the graphical case.

Consider a graphical configuration spaces $\text{Conf}_\Gamma(\mathbb{R})$. This space is a disjoint union of convex areas diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{|V_\Gamma|}$, in particular, it is homotopy equivalent to a finite number of points. An acyclic direction of a graph is an assignment of direction for each edge, such that there are no directed cycles $v_1 \rightarrow v_2 \rightarrow v_3 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow v_n \rightarrow v_1$. Every acyclic direction α of Γ defines a connected component $\text{Conf}_\Gamma^\alpha(\mathbb{R})$ that consists of configurations $(x_v)_{v \in V_\Gamma}$ with $x_v > x_w$ for a directed edge $v \rightarrow w$ in α . All connected components are of such form

$$\text{Conf}_\Gamma(\mathbb{R}) = \coprod_{\alpha \in \text{gcAss}(\Gamma)} \text{Conf}_\Gamma^\alpha(\mathbb{R}),$$

where $\text{gcAss}(\Gamma)$ is a set of acyclic directions. In a similar way, for $\alpha \in \text{gcAss}(\Gamma)$, let $\text{FM}_1^\alpha(\Gamma)$ be the corresponding connected component of $\text{FM}_1(\Gamma)$.

The contractad structure on \mathcal{D}_1 induces the contractad structure on the graphical $\text{gcAss} := \pi_0(\mathcal{D}_1)$. Explicitly, the contractad structure is given by substitution of acyclic directions. As an example, for a graph $\Gamma =$  and tube $G = \{2, 4\}$, we have

$$\begin{array}{c} 1 \xleftarrow{\{2,4\}} 3 \end{array} \circ_G^\Gamma \begin{array}{c} 4 \xrightarrow{\quad} 2 \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \\ \uparrow & \downarrow \\ 4 & 3 \end{array} \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \xleftarrow{\{2,4\}} 3 \end{array} \circ_G^\Gamma \begin{array}{c} 4 \xleftarrow{\quad} 2 \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \\ \downarrow & \uparrow \\ 4 & 3 \end{array} \end{array}$$

For an acyclic direction $\alpha \in \text{gcAss}(\Gamma)$, we define the poset P_α on the vertex set with order given by the transitive closure of the relation $\{v > w \mid v \rightarrow w \text{ in } \alpha\}$. Note that, for every finite poset Q , we have $Q = P_{\text{Hasse}(Q)}$, where $\text{Hasse}(Q)$ is the Hasse diagram of Q considered as an acyclic directed graph. In [Gal21], Galashin constructed the compactification of configuration space associated with a poset. In the case P_α , this configuration space is the quotient space $\text{NConf}_\Gamma^\alpha(\mathbb{R}) \cong \text{Conf}_\Gamma^\alpha(\mathbb{R}) / \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. The Galashin's compactification of this space that we denote $\mathcal{K}_\alpha(\Gamma)$ is a $(|V_\Gamma| - 2)$ -dimensional convex hull polytope called a *poset polytope* or *poset associahedron*.

Let us briefly discuss the combinatorics of these polytopes. For an acyclic direction α of Γ , a tube G is called a *pipe* if, for every directed path with ends in G , the remaining vertices of the path are also in G . Given a pipe G , we have the well-defined acyclic direction α/G of Γ/G such that $\alpha = \alpha/G \circ_G^\Gamma \alpha|_G$. Recall that the faces of the poset polytope $\mathcal{K}_\alpha(\Gamma)$ are products of smaller poset polytopes [Gal21]. Explicitly, a cofaces F_G of $\mathcal{K}_\alpha(\Gamma)$ are indexed by proper nontrivial pipes G and isomorphic to the products $F_G = \mathcal{K}_{\alpha/G}(\Gamma/G) \times \mathcal{K}_{\alpha|_G}(\Gamma|_G)$. The remaining faces are the intersections of cofaces indexed by nested sets \mathcal{S} of pipes $F_\mathcal{S} := \bigcap_{G \in \mathcal{S}} F_G$ [Gal21, Lemma 3.13]. We refer to [Sac23] for an explicit realisation of $\mathcal{K}_\alpha(\Gamma)$.

Theorem 3.17. *For a graph Γ , the connected components $\text{FM}_1^\alpha(\Gamma)$ of FM compactification $\text{FM}_1(\Gamma)$ are poset polytopes $\mathcal{K}_\alpha(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. From the contractad isomorphism $\pi_0(\text{FM}_1) \cong \text{gcAss}$ we have

$$\circ_G^\Gamma(\text{FM}_1^\alpha(\Gamma/G) \times \text{FM}_1^\beta(\Gamma|_G)) \subset \text{FM}_1^{\alpha \circ_G^\Gamma \beta}(\Gamma).$$

Therefore, the rooted trees stratification of FM_1 induces the stratification of its connected components

$$(3.18) \quad \text{FM}_1^\alpha(\Gamma) = \coprod_{T \in \text{Tree}^\alpha(\Gamma)} \text{FM}_1((T)), \quad \text{FM}_1((T)) \cong \prod_{v \in \text{Vert}(T)} \text{NConf}_{\text{In}(v)}^{\alpha_v}(\mathbb{R}),$$

where $\text{Tree}^\alpha(\Gamma)$ is the preimage of α under the evaluation map $\pi_{\text{gcAss}}: \mathbb{T}(\text{gcAss}) \rightarrow \text{gcAss}$. Also, the boundary of $\text{FM}_1^\alpha(\Gamma)$ is the union of the divisors $D_G^\alpha = \circ_G^\Gamma(\text{FM}_1^{\alpha/G}(\Gamma/G) \circ \text{FM}_1^{\alpha|_G}(\Gamma|_G))$ indexed by pipes G . In particular, the closure of a strata element is the intersection of such divisors $\overline{\text{FM}_1((T))} = \bigcap_{e \in \text{Edge}(T)} D_{\text{Leav}(e)}^\alpha$.

For $\alpha \in \text{gcAss}(\Gamma)$, we have a bijection between elements of $\text{Tree}^\alpha(\Gamma)$ and nested sets of pipes with respect to α . Indeed, for a monomial $T \in \text{Tree}^\alpha(\Gamma)$ the set $\{\text{Leav}(e) \mid e \in \text{Edge}(T)\}$ defines a nested set of pipes. The inverse construction is clear. Under this identification, due to [Gal21, Prop. 3.11] and

decomposition (3.18), the interiors of faces of $\mathcal{K}_\alpha(\Gamma)$ are diffeomorphic to the corresponding strata elements of $\text{FM}_1^\alpha(\Gamma)$. Since the faces of $\mathcal{K}_\alpha(\Gamma)$ and the closures of strata of $\text{FM}_1^\alpha(\Gamma)$ satisfy the same inclusion relations, the manifolds $\mathcal{K}_\alpha(\Gamma)$ and $\text{FM}_1^\alpha(\Gamma)$ are diffeomorphic. \square

Example 3.19. Let us consider the examples of the corresponding polytopes for particular types of graphs

- For a chain $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow n$, the corresponding poset polytope is a $(n-2)$ -dimensional Stasheff polytope \mathcal{K}_{n-2} . Hence, $\text{FM}_1(\mathcal{K}_n)$ is a disjoint union of $n!$ copies of polytopes \mathcal{K}_{n-2} .
- More generally, if the Hasse diagram of a poset P_α is a tree, then the polytope \mathcal{K}_α is the graph associahedra associated with the line graph⁴ $\mathcal{L}(P_\alpha)$ of P_α [Sac23, Sec. 2.3.1]. So, for a tree T on n vertices, the component $\text{FM}_1(T)$ is a disjoint union of 2^{n-1} copies of graph associahedra associated with $\mathcal{L}(T)$. In particular
 - For \mathcal{P}_n , $\text{FM}_1(\mathcal{P}_n)$ is disjoint union of 2^{n-1} copies of Stasheff polytopes \mathcal{K}_{n-2} .
 - For St_n , $\text{FM}_1(\text{St}_n)$ is disjoint union of 2^n copies of Permutohedrons \mathcal{W}_{n-1} .




Figure 5. Stasheff polytope \mathcal{K}_3 and Permutohedron \mathcal{W}_3

- For an arbitrary graph Γ , the corresponding polytopes are non-isomorphic in general. For example, for the cycle \mathcal{C}_4 , $\text{FM}_1(\mathcal{C}_4)$ consists of 8 copies of 5-gons, 4 copies of 6-gons, and 2 copies of 8-gons associated with acyclic directions of type $\begin{smallmatrix} \rightarrow & \rightarrow \\ \leftarrow & \leftarrow \end{smallmatrix}$, $\begin{smallmatrix} \rightarrow & \leftarrow \\ \rightarrow & \leftarrow \end{smallmatrix}$ and $\begin{smallmatrix} \rightarrow & \leftarrow \\ \leftarrow & \rightarrow \end{smallmatrix}$ respectively.

Remark 3.20. For a circle S^1 , the ordinary FM compactification $\text{FM}_{S^1}(n)$ is diffeomorphic to $(n-1)!$ copies of $S^1 \times \mathcal{C}_{n-2}$ the product of a circle and $(n-2)$ -dimensional cyclohedron \mathcal{C}_{n-2} [BT94, Sta96]. We suspect that a similar story holds in the graphical case and the corresponding polytopes are the affine versions of poset polytopes introduced by Galashin [Gal21].

4. CHORDAL GRAPHS AND CYCLES

Instead of working with arbitrary graphs, we can consider special families of graphs closed under contractions and induced subgraphs, as in the case of complete multipartite graphs and modular compactifications from Section 3.1.2. In this section, we show that the homotopical properties of graphical configuration spaces vary significantly depending on the type of underlying graph. The main theses of this section are

- Most homotopical properties of the little disks operads and configuration spaces hold in the contractad setting if we restrict ourselves to the class of chordal graphs.*
- The obstructions appear already in cycles \mathcal{C}_n , for $n \geq 4$.*

4.1. Chordal graphs. Let us begin this section by recalling some combinatorial notions that will be used later for graphical configuration spaces:

Definition 4.1.

- A vertex v of a graph is called *simplicial* if its neighborhood $\mathcal{N}(v) = \{w \mid (w, v) \in E_\Gamma\} \cup \{v\}$ forms a clique, i.e., it induces a complete subgraph.
- A graph Γ is called *chordal* if every cycle with four or more vertices contains a chord.
- A *perfect elimination ordering* is a total ordering of the vertices such that $\mathcal{N}(v_i) \cap \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_i\}$ is a clique for all i .

Note that a graph Γ admits a perfect elimination ordering if and only if Γ is chordal [Ros70].

Recall that the ordinary configuration space $\text{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is a classifying space for the pure braid group

$\text{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C}) \simeq K(\text{PB}_n, 1)$. This group is generated by "weave" braids $T_{ij} = \begin{smallmatrix} \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \downarrow & \downarrow \end{smallmatrix}$, for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$,

⁴A line graph $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma)$ is the graph with vertex set E_Γ and e and e' are adjacent if they share a vertex in Γ

satisfying the relations

$$(4.2) \quad [T_{pq}, T_{rs}] = [T_{ps}, T_{qr}] = 1 \text{ for } p < q < r < s$$

$$(4.3) \quad T_{pr}T_{qr}T_{pq} = T_{qr}T_{pq}T_{pr} = T_{pq}T_{pr}T_{qr} \text{ for } p < q < r$$

$$(4.4) \quad T_{rs}T_{pr}(T_{rs})^{-1}T_{qs} = 1 \text{ for } p < q < r < s$$

For a graph Γ , the graphical pure braid group is the fundamental group of the graphical configuration space

$$\text{PB}_\Gamma := \pi_1(\text{Conf}_\Gamma(\mathbb{C})).$$

We refer to [CF21] for a description and basic properties of these groups. For the inclusion $\Gamma \rightarrow K_n$ of a graph Γ into the complete one K_n on the same vertex set, the corresponding inclusion $\text{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{Conf}_\Gamma(\mathbb{C})$ produces a surjective morphism $\text{PB}_n \twoheadrightarrow \text{PB}_\Gamma$ and braids t_{vw} are trivial in the image for non-adjacent v and w . Therefore, PB_Γ is obtained from PB_n by adding relations $T_{ij} = e$ for $(i, j) \notin E_\Gamma$.

Recall that, for a manifold M , the forgetful map $\text{Conf}_n(M) \rightarrow \text{Conf}_{n-1}(M)$ is a fibration with the fiber $M \setminus \{(n-1) \text{ points}\}$ [FN62]. This statement has the following generalization in the graphical case:

Proposition 4.5. *For a manifold M and graph Γ with a simplicial vertex v , the forgetting map*

$$\text{Conf}_\Gamma(M) \rightarrow \text{Conf}_{\Gamma \setminus v}(M)$$

is a fibration with the fiber $M \setminus \{\deg_\Gamma(v) \text{ points}\}$. Moreover, this fibration admits a cross-section.

Proof. Completely analogous to [FN62, Thm. 1]. \square

Remark 4.6. *Note that the simplicial condition is required. For the cycle C_4 and vertex 3, fibers of the forgetting map $\text{Conf}_{C_4}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{Conf}_{P_3}(\mathbb{C})$ are not even homotopy equivalent: over configurations with $x_2 = x_4$ and $x_2 \neq x_4$ the fibers are $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\text{one point}\}$ and $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\text{two points}\}$ respectively.*

Consequently, thanks to Proposition 4.5, for a chordal graph Γ with elimination ordering v_1, \dots, v_n we have a sequence of fibrations with cross sections

$$\text{Conf}_\Gamma(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{C} \setminus \{k_n \text{ points}\}} \text{Conf}_{\Gamma \setminus v_n}(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{C} \setminus \{k_{n-1} \text{ points}\}} \text{Conf}_{\Gamma \setminus \{v_n, v_{n-1}\}}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

where $k_i = |\{j < i \mid (v_i, v_j) \in E_\Gamma\}|$. Thanks to the equivalence $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{n \text{ points}\} \simeq \bigvee_{i=1}^n S^1 = K(F_n, 1)$, we have

Proposition 4.7. *Let Γ be a chordal graph on n vertices, then*

- (i) *The configuration space $\text{Conf}_\Gamma(\mathbb{C})$ is $K(\text{PB}_\Gamma, 1)$ space.*
- (ii) *The pure braid group is isomorphic to the iterated semiproduct of free groups*

$$\text{PB}_\Gamma \cong F_{k_n} \rtimes F_{k_{n-1}} \rtimes \dots \rtimes F_1$$

where $k_i = |\{j < i \mid (v_i, v_j) \in E_\Gamma\}|$ and v_1, \dots, v_n is a perfect elimination ordering.

- (iii) *For $d \geq 3$, the graphocal configuration spaces are simply-connected and one has a splitting of abelian groups*

$$\pi_\bullet(\text{Conf}_\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cong \bigoplus_{i=2}^n \pi_\bullet((S^{d-1})^{\vee k_i})$$

Recall that a formal topological space is a rational $K(\pi, 1)$ space if and only if the cohomology ring $H^\bullet(X; \mathbb{Q})$ is Koszul algebra [Yuz01, Thm 6.33]. In particular, its holonomy Lie algebra is the Koszul dual Lie algebra. In [Sta72], the author proved that the Orlik-Solomon algebra $\text{OS}(\Gamma)$ is Koszul for a chordal Γ , so we have

Proposition 4.8. *For a chordal graph Γ , the configuration space $\text{Conf}_\Gamma(\mathbb{C})$ is a rational $K(\pi, 1)$ -space. The corresponding holonomy Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}(\Gamma)$ is the Lie algebra generated by generators t_{vw} , for edges $(v, w) \in E_\Gamma$, satisfying the relations*

$$\begin{aligned} [t_{vw}, t_{wu}] &= [t_{wu}, t_{uv}] = [t_{uv}, t_{vw}], \text{ for 3-cycles } v, w, u \\ [t_{vw}, t_{vu}] &= 0, \text{ if } (w, u) \notin E_\Gamma \\ [t_{vw}, t_{us}] &= 0, \text{ for pairwise distinct vertices } u, v, w, s. \end{aligned}$$

The aforementioned holonomy Lie algebra admits a natural generalization to higher dimensions $d \geq 3$, where we assign the degree of the generators t_{uv} to be $2 - d$. This generalized version is called the *Drinfeld–Kohno Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{t}_d(\Gamma)$ and describes the rational homotopy type of the graphical configuration space $\text{Conf}_\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)$. One can easily verify the following generalization of the classical statement:

Proposition 4.9. *For any chordal graph Γ and any connected subset of vertices G , the following assignment*

$$t_{uv} \mapsto \begin{cases} t_{uv}, & \text{if } u, v \in V(\Gamma) \setminus G, \\ \sum_{(uw) \in E(\Gamma)} t_{uw}, & \text{if } u \in V(\Gamma) \setminus G \text{ and } v = \{G\} \in V(\Gamma/G), \\ t_{uv}, & \text{if } u, v \in G = V(\Gamma|_G) \end{cases}$$

extends to a well-defined map of Lie algebras

$$\mathfrak{t}_d(\Gamma/G) \oplus \mathfrak{t}_d(\Gamma|_G) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{t}_d(\Gamma).$$

The collection $\mathfrak{t}_d(\Gamma) \mid \Gamma \text{ chordal}$ assembles into a contractad in the category of Lie algebras, which we call the *Drinfeld–Kohno Lie contractad* \mathfrak{t}_d . This contractad provides a Lie–algebraic model for the little discs contractad \mathcal{D}_d for $d \geq 2$ and for chordal graphs.


4.2. Formality in Chordal case. In [Kon99], Kontsevich proved the formality of the little disks operads. The proof involves constructing the dg Hopf cooperad of graphs \mathbf{Graphs}_d and the chain of quasi-isomorphisms $H^\bullet(\text{FM}_d) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Graphs}_d \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_{PA}^\bullet(\text{FM}_d)$. We refer to [LV14] for detailed treatments. We sketch a generalisation of such construction to the case of contractads restricted to the class of chordal graphs.

Remark 4.10. *There are several difficulties concerning the formulation of a formality for topological operads/contractads. Recall that the functor of semialgebraic forms Ω_{PA} is not comonoidal, that is, we do not have a natural isomorphism $\Omega_{PA}(X \times Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_{PA}(X) \otimes \Omega_{PA}(Y)$. In particular, for a topological contractad \mathcal{O} , its semi-algebraic forms $\Omega_{PA}(\mathcal{O})$ do not form a “strict” cocontractad. But we have Kunneth quasi-isomorphism $\Omega_{PA}(X) \otimes \Omega_{PA}(Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_{PA}(X \times Y)$, that endow $\Omega_{PA}(\mathcal{O})$ with a cocontractad structure “up to homotopy”*

$$\Omega_{PA}(\mathcal{O}(\Gamma)) \xrightarrow{(\circ_{\mathcal{O}}^\Gamma)^*} \Omega_{PA}(\mathcal{O}(\Gamma/G) \times \mathcal{O}(\Gamma|_G)) \xleftarrow{\sim} \Omega_{PA}(\mathcal{O}(\Gamma/G)) \otimes \Omega_{PA}(\mathcal{O}(\Gamma|_G)).$$

We refer to [LV14, Sec 3] for the precise formulation of weak operads/contractads and the formulation of formality. In addition, there are several works [Fre17, Fre18] explaining how to replace weak operads with strict ones.

We briefly recall the construction of Kontsevich graphs operad \mathbf{Graphs}_d , see [LV14, Sec. 6] for a careful treatment. For a set V , an admissible \mathcal{D} diagram is a finite undirected graph with two sorts of vertices, external numbered by V and internal (not numbered), such that: each internal vertex has valence at least 3 and each connected component contains at least one external vertex. Here is an example for $V = [4]$



The cohomological degrees of vertex and edge are $-d$ and $(d-1)$ respectively. We additionally consider the orientation and ordering of edges and internal vertices and let $\mathbf{Graphs}_d(V)$ be the linear span of admissible diagrams modulo the relation $\mathcal{D} = (-1)^d \mathcal{D}'$, if \mathcal{D}' is obtained from \mathcal{D} by inversion of an edge or by transposition in the ordering of internal vertices, and $\mathcal{D} = (-1)^{d+1} \mathcal{D}'$ if \mathcal{D}' is obtained from \mathcal{D} by transposition in the ordering of internal edges. In particular, for even d , diagrams with multiple edges are zero, while, for odd d , diagrams with loops are zero.

The collection $\mathbf{Graphs}_d(m)_{m \geq 0}$ assemble into a dg Hopf cooperad with the cocomposition and differential are combinatorially given by sums of alternating subgraph contractions and edge contractions respectively [LV14, Sec 6.4, 7.1]. The multiplication is given by fusing two graphs at the external vertices. Each component $\mathbf{Graphs}_d(m)$ is a quasi-free cdga generated by the subspace of internally connected graphs, meaning that the graphs are connected if one erases all external vertices. In other words, we have an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{Graphs}_d(m) \cong C_{CE}^\bullet(\text{ICG}_d(m))$$

between dgca of graphs and the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex of the L_∞ algebra of internally connected graphs.

For a graph Γ , we consider the subspace $\mathbf{Graphs}_d(\Gamma) \subset \mathbf{Graphs}_d(V_\Gamma)$ generated by admissible diagrams with the property: If an admissible diagram contains a path $u_0 \rightarrow u_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow u_k$ with ends $v = u_0, w = u_k \in V_\Gamma$ are external and all intermediate vertices are internal, then v, w are adjacent in Γ . In particular, two external vertices can be adjacent in diagram only if they are in the underlying graph. This subspace forms a quasi-free subalgebra and it also generated by internally connected diagrams $\mathbf{Graphs}_d(\Gamma) \cong C_{CE}^\bullet(\mathrm{ICG}_d(\Gamma))$.

Note that, for a tube $G \subset V_\Gamma$, the image of $\mathbf{Graphs}_d(\Gamma)$ is contained in the tensor product $\mathbf{Graphs}_d(\Gamma/G) \otimes \mathbf{Graphs}_d(\Gamma|_G)$ with respect to the operad map $\mathbf{Graphs}_d(V_\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbf{Graphs}_d(V_\Gamma \setminus G \cup \{G\}) \otimes \mathbf{Graphs}_d(G)$. Therefore, the graphical collection \mathbf{Graphs}_d forms a dg Hopf cocontractad.

In the operad case, we have the quasi-isomorphism of dg Hopf cooperads $\mathbb{I}: \mathbf{Graphs}_d \rightarrow \mathbf{OS}_d$, that in each component $\mathbf{Graphs}_d(m) \rightarrow \mathbf{OS}_d(m)$ is induced by $\Omega_{vw} \mapsto \omega_{vw}$, where Ω_{ij} is a diagram without internal vertices and one edge between i and j , and $\mathcal{D} \mapsto 0$ for diagrams with internal vertices. We see that this morphism extends to the contractad setting.

Proposition 4.11. *The morphism*

$$(4.12) \quad \mathbb{I}: \mathbf{Graphs}_d \rightarrow \mathbf{OS}_d$$

is a quasi-isomorphism of dg Hopf cocontractads in the class of chordal graphs.

The idea of the proof of Proposition 4.21 repeats that of [LV14, Thm. 8.1] and is based on the induction argument where the induction is prescribed by the perfect elimination ordering of vertices in a chordal graph. Before the proof, we need the following

Lemma 4.13. *For a graph Γ and a simplicial vertex v , we have*

$$(4.14) \quad \dim \mathbf{OS}_d^{\mathrm{top}}(\Gamma) = \deg(v) \cdot \dim \mathbf{OS}_d^{\mathrm{top}}(\Gamma \setminus v).$$

Proof. We recall the notations and statements from the proof of Corollary 2.10. We have $\dim \mathbf{OS}_d^{\mathrm{top}}(\Gamma) = \dim \mathrm{gclie}(\Gamma) = |\mu(\Gamma)|$. Also, we recall the expression for chromatic polynomials

$$\mathfrak{X}(q) = \mathbb{1} * (q \cdot \mu),$$

In particular, $\mu(\Gamma)$ is the lowest term coefficient of $\mathfrak{X}_\Gamma(q)$. For a simplicial vertex, we have a recurrence

$$\mathfrak{X}_\Gamma(q) = (q - \deg(v)) \mathfrak{X}_{\Gamma \setminus v}(q),$$

that implies the desired Identity (4.14). \square

proof of Proposition 4.21. Since \mathbb{I} induces a surjection in cohomology, in order to prove that it is a quasi-isomorphism, we only need to establish an isomorphism $H^\bullet(\mathbf{Graphs}_d) \cong \mathbf{OS}_d$ of graphical collections. Let $\mathbf{Graphs}_d^{\mathrm{con}} \subset \mathbf{Graphs}_d$ be a subcomplex of connected admissible diagrams. We have an isomorphism of dg graphical collections

$$\mathbf{Graphs}_d \cong \mathrm{gcCom} \circ \mathbf{Graphs}_d^{\mathrm{con}},$$

So, by Kunneth isomorphism, $H^\bullet(\mathbf{Graphs}_d) \cong \mathrm{gcCom} \circ H^\bullet(\mathbf{Graphs}_d^{\mathrm{con}})$. Similarly, we have the decomposition for Orlik-Solomon algebras

$$\mathbf{OS}_d \cong \mathrm{gcCom} \circ \mathcal{S}^{1-d} \mathrm{gclie} \cong \mathrm{gcCom} \circ \mathbf{OS}_d^{\mathrm{top}},$$

where $\mathbf{OS}_d^{\mathrm{top}}$ is the subcontractad concentrated in top cohomological degree, that is equal to $(d-1)(|V_\Gamma|-1)$. So, it remains to show the isomorphism $H^\bullet(\mathbf{Graphs}_d^{\mathrm{con}}) \cong \mathbf{OS}_d^{\mathrm{top}}$ of graded graphical collections. We establish the isomorphism by induction on the number of vertices.

Consider a chordal graph Γ and fix some simplicial vertex $v \in V_\Gamma$. Let $\widetilde{\mathbf{Graphs}}_d^{\mathrm{con}}(\Gamma) \subset \mathbf{Graphs}_d^{\mathrm{con}}(\Gamma)$ be a submodule generated by admissible diagrams with v adjacent to only one vertex and this unique vertex is external. Similarly to [LV14, Lemma 8.5], the inclusion of subcomplexes $\widetilde{\mathbf{Graphs}}_d^{\mathrm{con}}(\Gamma) \subset \mathbf{Graphs}_d^{\mathrm{con}}(\Gamma)$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Note that this subcomplex admits a decomposition

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{Graphs}}_d^{\mathrm{con}}(\Gamma) \cong \bigoplus_{(w,v) \in E_\Gamma} \Omega_{vw} \cdot \mathbf{Graphs}_d^{\mathrm{con}}(\Gamma \setminus v)$$

So, we deduce that

$$(4.15) \quad \dim H^\bullet(\text{Graphs}_d^{\text{con}}(\Gamma)) = \sum_{(w,v) \in E_\Gamma} \dim H^{\bullet-(d-1)}(\text{Graphs}_d^{\text{con}}(\Gamma \setminus v)) = \\ = \deg(v) \cdot \dim H^\bullet(\text{Graphs}_d^{\text{con}}(\Gamma \setminus v)).$$

By induction assumption and equations (4.14) and (4.15), we deduce that the desired morphism (4.12) induces an isomorphism on the homology level. \square

Remark 4.16. *The proof of Proposition 4.11 strongly relies on the chordal assumption. It is a good exercise for the reader to verify that, for cycle C_4 , there is no isomorphism $H^\bullet(\text{Graphs}(C_4)) \cong \text{OS}_d^\bullet(C_4)$.*


In order to describe a generalization of Kontsevich's morphism $\hat{\mathbb{I}} : \text{Graphs}_d \rightarrow \Omega_{PA}(\text{FM}_d)$ to the cocontractad setting on the subclass of chordal graphs, we first outline several technical combinatorial and differential-geometric statements and constructions.

Definition 4.17. *Let Γ be a chordal graph, \mathcal{D} an admissible diagram, and $i \in \text{Int}(\mathcal{D})$ an internal vertex. Define $\text{Clique}(i) \subset V_\Gamma$ to be the set of external vertices connected to i by a path consisting solely of internal vertices.*

Let $\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}$ be the simple connected graph with vertex set given by the union of internal and external vertices of \mathcal{D} , and edges defined as follows:

- *For external vertices v, w of \mathcal{D} , we have $(vw) \in E_{\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}} \Leftrightarrow (vw) \in E_\Gamma$;*
- *For v external and i internal, we have $(vi) \in E_{\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}} \Leftrightarrow v \in \text{Clique}(i)$;*
- *For internal vertices i, j of \mathcal{D} , we have $(ij) \in E_{\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}} \Leftrightarrow \text{Clique}(i) = \text{Clique}(j)$.*

Here is a pictorial illustration of the construction of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}$:



Lemma 4.18. *The assignment $(\Gamma, \mathcal{D}) \mapsto \Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}$ satisfies the following:*

- *Every internal vertex of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}$ is simplicial;*
- *The graph $\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}$ is chordal.*

Proof. For each internal vertex i , the set $\text{Clique}(i)$ forms a clique in Γ , i.e., the induced subgraph is complete. Hence, every internal vertex is simplicial in $\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}$. A perfect elimination ordering of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}$ can therefore be obtained from one of Γ by appending the internal vertices at the end. \square

Lemma 4.19. *For a simplicial vertex v and a chordal graph Γ , the projection $\text{FM}_d(\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{FM}_d(\Gamma \setminus v)$ is an oriented semi-algebraic bundle.*

Proof. Analogously to the classical case [AS92], for a **chordal** graph Γ one may define the Fulton–MacPherson compactifications in terms of angles and relative distances:

$$\text{Conf}_\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^d) / \text{Dil}(\mathbb{R}^d) \xrightarrow{s_{vw}, d_{vwu}} \prod_{(v,w) \in E_\Gamma} S^{n-1} \times \prod_{(v,w,u) \text{ triangle}} [0, +\infty], \\ s_{vw}(x) = \frac{x_v - x_w}{|x_v - x_w|}, \quad d_{vwu}(x) = \frac{|x_v - x_w|}{|x_v - x_u|}.$$

With these compactifications, the proof proceeds exactly as in [LV14, Thm. 5.8]. \square

Corollary 4.20. *For a chordal graph Γ and admissible diagram \mathcal{D} , the projection $\text{FM}_d(\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}) \rightarrow \text{FM}_d(\Gamma)$ is a semi-algebraic bundle.*

Following the framework of semi-algebraic forms (see e.g. [LV14, Sec. 4]), we consider the de Rham complex of semi-algebraic forms on the graphical FM compactifications $\text{FM}_d(\Gamma)$, and fix a top-degree volume form η^{n-1} on $\text{FM}_d(\mathbb{P}_2) \cong S^{d-1}$. Starting from a chordal graph Γ and an admissible diagram $\mathcal{D} \in \text{Graphs}_d(\Gamma)$, we define a semi-algebraic differential form $\eta_{\mathcal{D}} \in \Omega(\text{FM}_d(\Gamma))$ by fiber integration:

$$\eta_{\mathcal{D}} := \int_{\text{FM}_d(\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}) \rightarrow \text{FM}_d(\Gamma)} \bigwedge_{e \in \text{Edge}(\mathcal{D})} \eta_e,$$

where $\eta_e := \pi_e^* \eta$ is the pullback of the fixed volume form along the projection $\pi_e: \mathbf{FM}_d(\Gamma_{\mathcal{D}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{FM}_d(\Gamma)$. For the inclusion $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_{V_\Gamma}$ into the complete graph, the corresponding pullback $p^* \eta_{\mathcal{D}}$ along $p: \mathbf{FM}_d(V_\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbf{FM}_d(\Gamma)$ coincides with the classical Kontsevich configuration integral. This correspondence defines a morphism of weak dg Hopf cocontractads:

$$\hat{\mathbb{I}}: \mathbf{Graphs}_d \rightarrow \Omega_{PA}(\mathbf{FM}_d).$$

Proposition 4.21. *The morphism $\hat{\mathbb{I}}$ is a quasi-isomorphism.*

Proof. For any edge $e \in E_\Gamma$, the image of the diagram Ω_e under $\hat{\mathbb{I}}$ is the closed form representing the class $\omega_e \in \mathbf{OS}_d^{d-1}(\Gamma) \cong H^\bullet(\mathbf{FM}_d(\Gamma))$. Hence, by Proposition 4.11, on cohomology we obtain an isomorphism of cocontractads:

$$H^\bullet(\hat{\mathbb{I}}): H^\bullet(\mathbf{Graphs}_d) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{OS}_d \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} \mathbf{OS}_d \cong H^\bullet(\mathbf{FM}_d).$$

□

Combining Proposition 4.11 and Proposition 4.21, we deduce the following:

Theorem 4.22. *For $d \geq 2$, the little d -disks contractad \mathcal{D}_d is formal and coformal over \mathbb{R} in the class of chordal graphs.*

Proof. For the formality statement, it suffices to combine Proposition 4.11 with Proposition 4.21. For the coformality statement, one should follow the approach outlined in the analogous result of [SW11], where a zigzag of quasi-isomorphisms of operads in the category of L_∞ -algebras is constructed, connecting the Drinfeld–Kohno Lie operad \mathfrak{t}_d with the operad $\mathbf{ICG}_d(\Gamma)$ of internally connected graphs. □

4.3. Cyclic graphs. In this section, we explain why results of previous section does not hold for arbitrary graphs. The main problem is that the forgetting map $\text{Conf}_\Gamma(M) \rightarrow \text{Conf}_{\Gamma \setminus v}(M)$ is not a fibrations for non-simplicial vertices. The simplest examples of non-chordal graphs are cycles C_n with $n \geq 4$ vertices. The corresponding configuration spaces are of the form

$$\text{Conf}_{C_n}(X) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^{\times n} \mid x_i \neq x_{i+1}, \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\}.$$

In contrast with chordal graphs, the pure braid groups for cycles are abelian. More generally, we have

Proposition 4.23. *For a graph with no 3-cycles, the pure braid group is a free abelian group generated by the set of edges*

$$\text{PB}_\Gamma = \pi_1(\text{Conf}_\Gamma(\mathbb{C})) = \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus E_\Gamma}.$$

In particular, we have $\text{PB}_{C_n} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus n}$, for $n \geq 4$.

Proof. For a triple of vertices v, w, u , consider the relation $T_{pr}T_{qr}T_{pq} = T_{pr}T_{qr}T_{pq} = T_{pr}T_{qr}T_{pq}$. Since the graph has no 3-cycles one of the elements in these products would be trivial, so we obtain a commuting relation. □

We refer the reader to [FHT15] for the basics of rational homotopy theory for nilpotent spaces. Recall that a complex graphical configuration space is formal, so the rational homotopy type of $\text{Conf}_{C_n}(\mathbb{C})$ is determined by its minimal Sullivan model $\mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} H^\bullet(\text{Conf}_{C_n}(\mathbb{C})) = \mathbf{OS}^\bullet(C_n)$ of the Orlik–Solomon algebra

$$\mathbf{OS}^\bullet(C_n) = \Lambda^\bullet \left(\{ \omega_{i,i+1} \}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} \omega_{12} \cdots \omega_{i,i+1} \cdots \omega_{n,1} \right. \right).$$

Moreover, for a commutative graded algebra A , we have the isomorphism of A_∞ -algebras

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_A) \cong \text{Ext}_A^\bullet(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}),$$

where \mathfrak{g}_A is a L_∞ -algebra arising from the minimal Sullivan model for A , and $\text{Ext}_A^\bullet(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})$ is the Yoneda algebra. (We refer to [KT23] and references therein for the description of the notion of a universal enveloping of an L_∞ algebra and in which sense it is universal and why.) The description of the rational homotopy groups of the $\text{Conf}_{C_n}(\mathbb{C})$ and the Whitehead Lie bracket is described in Theorem 4.33 below. The proof of this theorem is purely algebraic and is split into the following steps:

- First, we describe the noncommutative Gröbner basis for the commutative algebra $\mathbf{OS}^\bullet(C_n)$ (Lemma 4.24).

- Second, for $n \geq 5$ we describe the minimal noncommutative resolution of this algebra, which is shown to coincide with the Anick resolution (Lemma 4.30).
- Third, we work out the quadratic component of the differential in the minimal resolution and show that the corresponding algebra $\text{Ext}_{\text{OS}^\bullet(\mathbb{C}_n)}^\bullet(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q})$ is quadratic and Koszul.
- Forth, as a corollary, we end up with the description of the Whitehead Lie bracket and the first nontrivial Massey product on the rational homotopy groups of $\text{Conf}_{\mathbb{C}_n}(\mathbb{C})$ for $n \geq 5$ outlined in Theorem 4.33. Unfortunately, we do not know the description of all higher Lie brackets for these spaces.

In order to shorten the notation, we will write simply ω_i instead of the longer notation $\omega_{i,i+1}$.

Lemma 4.24. *The (skew) commutativity relations*

$$\forall i < j \quad \omega_i \omega_j + \underline{\omega_j \omega_i} = 0, \quad \underline{\omega_i^2} = 0$$

together with the relation

$$(4.25) \quad \omega_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \omega_{n-1} - \omega_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \omega_{n-2} \cdot \omega_n + \dots + (-1)^n \underline{\omega_2 \cdot \dots \cdot \omega_n} = 0$$

form a noncommutative Gröbner basis for the Orlik–Solomon algebra $\text{OS}(\mathbb{C}_n)$ with respect to the standard degree-lexicographical ordering on monomials $\omega_1 < \dots < \omega_n$ (with leading monomials underlined).

Proof. This is a straightforward verification that all s -polynomials of all compositions reduce to zero. The only nontrivial compositions occur between Relation (4.25) and the commutativity relations. For example:

$$(4.26) \quad \begin{aligned} & (-1)^{n-1} (4.25) \cdot \omega_i - \omega_2 \dots \omega_{n-1} \cdot (\omega_n \omega_i - \omega_i \omega_n) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-j} \omega_1 \dots \widehat{\omega_j} \dots \omega_n \omega_i - \omega_1 \dots \omega_{n-1} \omega_i \omega_n = \\ & = \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n (-1)^i \omega_1 \dots \omega_i^2 \dots \widehat{\omega_j} \dots \omega_n \pm \omega_1 \dots \omega_n + \omega_2 \dots \omega_{n-1} \omega_i \omega_n \simeq \sum_{j \neq i} \pm \omega_1 \dots \omega_i^2 \dots \widehat{\omega_j} \dots \omega_n = 0 \end{aligned}$$

□

Among the homological applications of noncommutative Gröbner bases, one of the most important is the **Anick resolution** of the trivial module. This construction is based on resolutions for monomial algebras introduced in [Ani86]. We briefly recall the main combinatorial objects involved in the Anick construction (following the excellent exposition in [Ufn95, §3]) associated with an algebra

$$\hat{A} := \mathbb{k}\langle \omega_1, \dots, \omega_n \mid \hat{g}_1, \dots, \hat{g}_m \rangle$$

defined by monomial relations.

Definition 4.27. • *The unique 0-chain is the unit element 1;*

- *The 1-chains are the generators of the algebra \hat{A} , i.e., the words $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$, each of which is equal to its own tail;*
- *An m -chain is a word "f" in the alphabet $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ that can be written as a product "th", where "t" is a tail and "h" is an $(m-1)$ -chain. Moreover, there must exist a unique monomial relation "g" that divides the product of two consecutive tails "tr" (where $h = re$), such that the only possible divisibility is from the left: $tr = gs$. (Note that "t" itself is not divisible by "g").*

As shown in [Ani86], the set of m -chains C_m forms a basis for the m -th term in the minimal free resolution of \mathbb{k} in the category of left \hat{A} -modules:

$$\dots \longrightarrow \hat{A} \otimes C_m \xrightarrow{a \otimes t f \mapsto at \otimes f} \hat{A} \otimes C_{m-1} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow \hat{A} \otimes C_1 \longrightarrow \hat{A} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{k}.$$

Now let

$$A := \mathbb{k}\langle \omega_1, \dots, \omega_n \mid g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle$$

be an algebra with a reduced Gröbner basis g_1, \dots, g_m , and let \hat{g}_i denote the leading monomial of g_i . Then there exists a resolution of A whose basis is indexed by the chains constructed for the associated graded algebra \hat{A} with monomial relations, and whose differential is given by modifying the monomial differential with additional lower-order terms.

Lemma 4.28. *The set of m -chains for the algebra $\text{OS}(\mathbb{C}_n)$ is described as follows:*

$$(4.29) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\omega_{i_{01}} \dots \omega_{i_{0k_0}})(\omega_2 \dots \omega_n)(\omega_{i_{11}} \dots \omega_{i_{1k_1}})(\omega_2 \dots \omega_n) \dots (\omega_2 \dots \omega_n)(\omega_{i_{l1}} \dots \omega_{i_{lk_l}})\omega_1^k \\ \text{where } l, k_i, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \text{ and } \forall j = 0, \dots, l: i_{j1} \geq i_{j2} \geq \dots \geq i_{jk_j} \geq 2, \\ \text{and } m = k + \sum_{i=0}^l k_i + 2l. \end{array} \right\}$$

Proof. The possible tails of chains include the variables $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ and the word $\omega_2 \dots \omega_{n-1}$. The structure described above provides an inductive characterization of the set of m -chains. \square

Lemma 4.30. *The Anick resolution for the algebra $\text{OS}(\mathbb{C}_n)$ is minimal when $n \geq 5$.*

Proof. The relations in the algebra $\text{OS}(\mathbb{C}_n)$ are homogeneous, so the differential in the Anick resolution preserves the grading. Let $C_m^{(s)}$ denote the set of m -chains of ω -grading equal to s . According to Lemma 4.28, we have:

$$C_m = C_m^{(m)} \oplus C_m^{(m-2+(n-1))} \oplus C_m^{(m-4+2(n-1))} \oplus \dots = \bigoplus_{l=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \rfloor} C_m^{(m+l(n-3))},$$

where the index l corresponds to the number of factors of the form $(\omega_2 \dots \omega_n)$ in (4.29).

In particular, $C_m^{(s)} \neq 0$ if and only if $s \equiv m \pmod{n-3}$. It follows that for $n \geq 5$, no two consecutive homological degrees contain chains with the same ω -grading. Hence, the Anick resolution is minimal, as the differential preserves the ω -grading. \square

The Anick resolution of an algebra A is a resolution in the category of left A -modules. It is particularly well suited for constructing a basis of the coalgebra $\text{Tor}_\bullet^A(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})$ and its graded linear dual $\text{Ext}_A^\bullet(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k})$. Recently, P. Tamaroff introduced in [Tam18] a generalization of the Anick resolution to free resolutions in the category of algebras, in which Anick chains also index the generators. As a consequence, whenever the Anick resolution is minimal, the corresponding free resolution in Tamaroff's construction is also minimal. Furthermore, the algebra structure on the extension groups can be read off from the quadratic part of the differential in this minimal free resolution. This leads to the following:

Lemma 4.31. *The algebra $\text{Ext}_{\text{OS}(\mathbb{C}_n)}^\bullet(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q})$ is a quadratic Koszul algebra generated by elements y_1, \dots, y_n of degree 0, and an element u of degree $n-3$ (corresponding to the cyclic relation (4.25)), subject to the quadratic relations:*

$$(4.32) \quad \forall i \neq j: [y_i, y_j] = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n [y_i, u] = 0.$$

Proof. As a graded vector space, the algebra $\text{Ext}_{\text{OS}(\mathbb{C}_n)}^\bullet(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q})$ is the graded linear dual of the coalgebra $\text{Tor}_{\text{OS}(\mathbb{C}_n)}^\bullet(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q})$, with a basis indexed by Anick chains. Since the Anick resolution is minimal and respects the ω -grading, the multiplication in Ext-algebra is determined by the quadratic component of the differential. In particular, the generators of the Ext-algebra are exactly those Anick chains that cannot be decomposed as concatenations of two shorter chains (i.e., chains of lengths m_1 and m_2 with $m_1 + m_2 = m$).

From the description (4.29), we conclude that the elements y_i correspond to the dual basis of the set of 1-chains, and the element u is dual to the unique 2-chain $\omega_2 \dots \omega_n$ which is not a concatenation of two 1-chains. These elements generate the Ext-algebra. The skew-commutativity of the elements ω_i in $\text{OS}(\mathbb{C}_n)$ implies commutativity of the dual generators y_i . The relation $\sum_{i=1}^n [y_i, u] = 0$ follows directly from the invariance under the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ (acting by automorphisms on the cyclic graph \mathbb{C}_n), and from the homogeneity of the relation.

We order the generators as follows:

$$y_1 > y_2 > \dots > y_n > u$$

and consider the algebra generated by y_i 's and u subject to the relations in (4.32). It is straightforward to verify that these relations form a quadratic Gröbner basis with respect to the degree-lexicographical order. Moreover, there is a bijection between the set of normal words in this algebra and the set of Anick chains (4.29), where each occurrence of $(\omega_2 \dots \omega_n)$ is replaced by u . Therefore, no additional relations arise in the multiplication, and the algebra $\text{Ext}_{\text{OS}(\mathbb{C}_n)}^\bullet(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q})$ is indeed quadratic and Koszul. \square

Finally, standard techniques from rational homotopy theory (see, e.g., [FHT15]) allow us to conclude the following:

Theorem 4.33. *For a cycle graph C_n with $n \geq 5$, the Lie algebra of rational homotopy groups of the configuration space $\text{Conf}_{C_n}(\mathbb{C})$, defined via Whitehead products, admits the following presentation:*

$$(4.34) \quad \pi_{\bullet+1}(\text{Conf}_{C_n}(\mathbb{C})) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \text{Lie} \left\langle \begin{array}{c} y_1^0, \dots, y_n^0 \\ u^{n-3} \end{array} \mid \begin{array}{l} [y_i, y_j] = 0, \\ \sum_{i=1}^n [y_i, u] = 0 \end{array} \right\rangle$$

Moreover, the minimal L_∞ -algebra contains a nontrivial higher Lie bracket:

$$(4.35) \quad l_{n-1}(y_2, \dots, y_n) = -l_{n-1}(y_1, y_3, \dots, y_n) = \dots = (-1)^n l_{n-1}(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) = u.$$

Proof. As shown above, for $n \geq 5$, the fundamental group of Conf_{C_n} is abelian, and the space is nilpotent. Furthermore, according to [Lys24], graphical configuration space Conf_Γ is formal for all graphs Γ , meaning that the Orlik–Solomon algebra $\text{OS}(\Gamma)$ is quasi-isomorphic to the cohomology of the De Rham complex. As a result, the generators of the minimal free resolution of $\text{OS}(C_n)$ in the category of (skew-)commutative algebras fully determine the rational homotopy L_∞ -algebra $\pi_{\bullet+1}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Concretely, we have $\pi_{\bullet+1}(X) \cong (V^{\bullet+1})^\vee$, and the L_∞ -structure is given by $l_n := d_n^\vee$, where $d_n: V \rightarrow \Lambda^n V$ is the component of the differential of weight n . In particular, the quadratic component d_2^\vee defines the Whitehead product. Moreover, the algebra $\text{Ext}_{\text{OS}(C_n)}^\bullet(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q})$ serves as the universal enveloping algebra of the corresponding Lie algebra of rational homotopy groups. Since its relations (4.32) are given in terms of commutators, the corresponding Lie algebra has the same generators and relations.

To complete the proof, we analyze the differential for the generator u , which arises from the cyclic relation (4.25). This differential captures the first nontrivial higher Massey products, as reflected in the higher bracket l_{n-1} . \square

5. LOGARITHMIC GEOMETRY AND FORMALITY

In [Vai21, DPP24], the authors proved the formality of (framed) little 2-disks operad using the methods of logarithmic geometry and mixed Hodge structures. The crucial part of their proofs is to endow the operad of moduli spaces of stable curves $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n+1}$ with the logarithmic structure such that its Kato–Nakayama realisation is a (framed) E_2 -operad. In this section, we explain how to extend their results to the contractad setting. We briefly recall the basics of logarithmic geometry and construct ψ -classes for graphical compactifications needed for the logarithmic structure.

5.1. Psi-classes and Normal bundles. For the Deligne–Mumford moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n+1}$, there is the collection of line bundles $\mathcal{L}_\infty, \mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n$ whose fibers over a stable curve $[\Sigma]$ are cotangent lines at its marked points, and their first Chern classes, called ψ -classes, play a significant role in the intersection theory. We refer to [Zvo12] for detailed treatments. In this section, we construct their graphical analogues.

5.1.1. h -classes and divisors. The graphical compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)$ was defined in [KL24] and recalled in Section 3.1. The second cohomology group $H^2(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_\mathbb{C}(\Gamma))$ is generated by the classes of canonical divisors $[D_G]$ with only linear relations

$$\sum_{G:e \subset G} [D_G] = \sum_{G':e' \subset G'} [D_{G'}], \text{ for each pair of edges } e, e' \in E_\Gamma.$$

For a tube G , let ξ_G be the pullback of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ along the projection $\rho_G: \overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(W_\Gamma/H_G)$, and we denote by $h_G = c_1(\xi_G)$ its first Chern class. In particular, for an edge e , we have $h_e = 0$ for dimension reasons. In [KL24], it was shown the divisor expression of h -classes

$$h_G = \sum_{K:e \subset K, G \not\subset K} [D_K],$$

where the sum ranges over all proper tubes K that contain fixed edge e and do not contain G . This formula is valid for any edge $e \subset G$.

Proposition 5.1. *We have*

$$[D_G] = - \sum_{H: G \subset H \subset \mathcal{N}(G)} (-1)^{|H|-|G|} h_H,$$

where $\mathcal{N}(G) := \{v | \exists w \in G: (v, w) \in E_\Gamma\}$ is the neighborhood of a tube.

Proof. For a graph Γ , let 2^Γ be the poset of non-empty tubes of Γ ordered by inclusion. By verifying the properties of Möbius functions for posets, we see that the function $\mu_\Gamma: 2^\Gamma \times 2^\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is given by

$$\mu_\Gamma(G, H) := \begin{cases} (-1)^{|H|-|G|}, & \text{if } G \subset H \subset \mathcal{N}(G) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

is the Möbius function for poset 2^Γ . Next, we define formal element $[D_\Gamma]$ given by the linear relations $[D_\Gamma] = -\sum_{e \in H} [D_H]$ that is independent on the choice of edge e . Using this notation, we can rewrite class h_G in the following way

$$h_G = - \sum_{H: G \subset H} [D_H].$$

So, by Möbius inversion formula, we obtain the desired formula

$$[D_G] = - \sum_{G \subset H} \mu_\Gamma(G, H) h_H = - \sum_{H: G \subset H \subset \mathcal{N}(G)} (-1)^{|H|-|G|} h_H.$$

□

The advantage of h -classes is their compatibility with the contractad structure

$$(\circ_G^\Gamma)^* h_H = \begin{cases} 1 \otimes h_H, & \text{if } H \subset G; \\ h_{H/G} \otimes 1, & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

and graph inclusions: for a graph inclusion $\iota: \Omega \rightarrow \Gamma$, we have $\pi_\iota^* h_G = h_{\iota(G)}$, where $\pi_\iota: \overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Omega)$ the induced morphism.

5.1.2. Psi-classes for Modular compactifications. We recall the construction of the moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0, \mathbf{K}_\lambda} \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{K}_\lambda)$ of \mathbf{K}_λ -stable curves from Section 3.1.2. We consider the associated universal curve $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{0, \mathbf{K}_\lambda} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0, \mathbf{K}_\lambda}$. Analogously to [Zvo12], we define the relative cotangent bundle \mathcal{L} over the universal curve, whose restriction to Γ -stable curve $\mathcal{L}|_\Sigma$ is the line bundle of abelian differentials.

Definition 5.2 (Geometric Psi-classes). *For $v \in V_{\mathbf{K}_\lambda} \cup \{\infty\}$, let \mathcal{L}_v be the line bundle over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0, \mathbf{K}_\lambda}$ defined as pullback of \mathcal{L} through section $x_v: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0, \mathbf{K}_\lambda} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{0, \mathbf{K}_\lambda}$. More precisely, the fiber at each point $[\Sigma] \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0, \mathbf{K}_\lambda}$ is the cotangent line to Σ at the marked point x_v . Denote by $\psi_v = c_1(\mathcal{L}_v)$ the first Chern class of this bundle.*

There is the divisor expression of ψ -classes on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0, \mathbf{K}_\lambda}$ for complete multipartite graph \mathbf{K}_λ .

Proposition 5.3 (Presentation of ψ -classes). *For any given edge $e \in E_{\mathbf{K}_\lambda}$ connecting vertices v and w we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_v &= \sum_{G: v \in G, w \notin G} [D_G], \\ \psi_\infty &= h_{\mathbf{K}_\lambda} = \sum_{G \supset e} [D_G]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof repeats the ones of [Zvo12, Prop 2.15]. For a pair of adjacent vertices $w, u \in V_\Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$ (we consider ∞ as an additional vertex adjacent to all others), we define the meromorphic section $\alpha_{w,u}$ of \mathcal{L} as follows. Recall that, for a stable curve Σ of genus zero and two distinct smooth points p, p' , there is a unique meromorphic abelian form α , that has two simple poles at points p, p' with opposite residues 1 and -1 respectively. Also, this form is non-zero only at smooth points of the chain connecting components that contain given points. For a \mathbf{K}_λ -stable curve Σ , we let $\alpha_{v,w}(\Sigma)$ be the corresponding form for $p = x_w, p' = x_u$. The union $\cup_\Sigma \alpha_{w,u}(\Sigma)$ of these forms over each stable curve is the section $\alpha_{w,u}$ of \mathcal{L} over the whole universal curve $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{0, \mathbf{K}_\lambda}$.

Consider a vertex v that is adjacent to both w and u . In particular, for a stable curve, the marked point x_v is not a pole of α_{wu} and x_v is a zero if it is outside the chain connecting the components that contain marked points x_w and x_u . Hence, the composition $\beta_{wu} = \alpha_{wu} \circ x_v$ defines a holomorphic section of \mathcal{L}_v , so ψ_v is presented by the zero divisor of β_{wu} . In the case $u = \infty$, the irreducible components of this divisor are D_G for tubes G with $v \in G, w \notin G$. In the case $v = \infty$, the zero divisor is the union of D_G for tubes containing the edge $e = (w, u)$. □

We consider the following example

Example 5.4 (Psi-classes on Losev-Manin moduli spaces). According to Example 3.3, for the graph $\text{St}_n := K_{(n,1)}$, the compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,\text{St}_n}$ coincides with the Losev-Manin moduli space of stable chains $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{0,n}$. Thanks to Proposition 5.3, the only non-trivial ψ -classes are ψ_0 and ψ_∞ , since all other vertices are leaves. Thanks to Proposition 5.1, we rewrite ψ_0 in terms of h -classes.

$$\psi_0 = \sum_{0 \in G} (-1)^{|G|-1} h_G,$$

where the sum ranges over all tubes containing center 0.

5.1.3. *Graphical Psi-Classes in general.* Example 5.4 suggests how to define ψ -classes for a general graphical compactification. For a graph Γ , let $\text{St}(v)$ be the stellar graph on the vertex set $\mathcal{N}(v)$ with the center v . The graph-inclusion $\text{St}(v) \hookrightarrow \Gamma$ produces a projection

$$\pi_v: \overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}(\text{St}(v)) \cong \overline{\mathcal{L}}_{0,\deg(v)}.$$

Definition 5.5. For a vertex v , the line bundle \mathcal{L}_v over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)$ is the pullback $\pi_v^* \mathcal{L}_0$ along the projection above. In the case $v = \infty$, we let $\mathcal{L}_\infty := \xi_\Gamma$. We let $\psi_\infty = h_\Gamma$ and ψ_v be the corresponding first Chern classes.

Thanks to Example 5.4 and compatibility of h classes with graph inclusions, we have the following h -presentation of ψ -classes

$$(5.6) \quad \psi_v = \sum_{H: v \in H \subset \mathcal{N}(v)} (-1)^{|H|-1} h_H.$$

We refer to Proposition 5.3, to verify that in the case of a complete multipartite graph, both definitions of ψ -classes coincide.

From the examination of pullback bundles along contractad maps, we see

Proposition 5.7 (Compatibility with Operadic structure). *Line bundles \mathcal{L}_v are well-behaved with respect to contractad structure*

$$(\circ_G^\Gamma)^* \mathcal{L}_v \cong \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_v \otimes 1, & \text{if } v \notin G \text{ or } v = \infty \\ 1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_v, & \text{if } v \in G \end{cases}$$

For $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n+1}$, the normal bundles of canonical divisors are expressed in terms of ψ -classes. A similar holds in the graphical case

Proposition 5.8 (Self-intersection formula). *For a divisor $D_G \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma/G) \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma|_G) \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)$, its normal bundle \mathcal{N}_G is isomorphic to*

$$\mathcal{N}_G \cong \mathcal{T}_{\{G\}} \otimes \mathcal{T}_\infty,$$

where $\mathcal{T}_{\{G\}} = \text{pr}_{\Gamma/G}^* \mathcal{L}_{\{G\}}^\vee$ and $\mathcal{T}_\infty = \text{pr}_{\Gamma|_G}^* \mathcal{L}_\infty^\vee$ are the pullbacks of dual line bundles

Proof. Since $H^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)) = 0$, a holomorphic line bundle is uniquely determined by its first Chern class. For a divisor $\iota: Y \subset X$, we have $c_1(\mathcal{N}_{Y \subset X}) = \iota^*[Y]$, so, it suffices to prove the identity $(\circ_G^\Gamma)^*[D_G] = -\psi_{\{G\}} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \psi_\infty$. The desired formula follows from explicit computations

$$\begin{aligned} (\circ_G^\Gamma)^*([D_G]) &= - \sum_{H: G \subset H \subset \mathcal{N}(G)} (-1)^{|H|-|G|} (\circ_G^\Gamma)^*(h_H) = -1 \otimes h_G - \sum_{H: G \subsetneq H \subset \mathcal{N}(G)} (-1)^{|H|-|G|} h_{H/G} \otimes 1 = \\ &= -1 \otimes \psi_\infty - \sum_{K: \{G\} \in K \subset \mathcal{N}_{\Gamma/G}(\{G\})} (-1)^{|K|-1} h_K \otimes 1 = -1 \otimes \psi_\infty - \psi_{\{G\}} \otimes 1. \end{aligned}$$

□

5.2. Logarithmic geometric models.

5.2.1. *Log schemes.* We briefly recall necessary notions from (virtual) logarithmic geometry and refer to [DPP24] for details.

A *log scheme* $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{M}_X)$ is a scheme X endowed with a sheaf of monoids \mathcal{M}_X on X in etale topology and a morphism of sheaf monoids $\alpha_X: \mathcal{M}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ such that the induced morphism $\alpha_X^{-1} \mathcal{O}_X^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^\times$ is an isomorphism. We consider the following examples

- For a scheme X , we could consider the trivial log scheme structure \mathbf{X}^{triv} with $\mathcal{M}_X = \mathcal{O}_X^\times$.
- The log-point is the log scheme $*_{\log} = (\text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}), \mathbf{k}^\times t^\mathbb{N})$, where $\mathbf{k}^\times t^\mathbb{N}$ is a free monoid with α is the evaluation at $t = 0$.
- For a pair (X, D) of a scheme X and a divisor $D \subset X$ with a normal crossing, we consider the divisorial log scheme $\mathcal{M}_{X,D}$ whose sections are regular functions on X that are invertible out of D .
- More generally, for a triple (X, D, \mathcal{E}) of a scheme X , a divisor D , and a vector bundle \mathcal{E} with a splitting into line bundles $\mathcal{E} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}_i$, the *Deligne-Faltings log scheme* is the scheme X with a log-structure $\mathcal{M}_{X,D,\mathcal{E}}$ whose sections are monomial functions on \mathcal{E} , i.e., regular functions on \mathcal{E} given in local coordinates by $g(x) \prod_{i=1, a_i \in \mathbb{N}} t_i^{a_i}$, that are invertible on $\mathcal{E}^\circ|_{X \setminus D}$, there \mathcal{E}° is the associated $(\mathbb{G}_m)^n$ -bundle, with $\alpha: \mathcal{M}_{X,D,\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ given by restriction to zero locus.

An *ordinary* morphism of log schemes $\phi: (X, \mathcal{M}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{M}_Y)$ is a morphism of schemes $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ with a morphism of sheaves $\phi^*: \phi^{-1} \mathcal{M}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X$ compatible with structure morphisms α_X, α_Y . A *virtual* morphism is a morphism of schemes $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ with an extension of $\phi^*: \phi^{-1} \mathcal{O}_Y^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^\times$ to a morphism between group completions of log sheaves $\phi^*: \phi^{-1} \mathcal{M}_Y^{\text{gp}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X^{\text{gp}}$.

Example 5.9. We consider particular examples of ordinary/virtual morphisms needed soon

- (log point) A log point $*_{\log}$ admits a multiplication $*_{\log} \times *_{\log} \rightarrow *_{\log}$ given by $(t^i, t^j) \mapsto t^{i+j}$, that is an ordinary morphism, while the inverse map $*_{\log} \rightarrow *_{\log}, t \mapsto t^{-1}$ and the unit $* \rightarrow *_{\log}$ are only virtual morphisms. So, $*_{\log}$ is a group object in log schemes with virtual morphisms.
- (Monomial maps) For a pair of splitting bundles $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}'$, an ordinary morphism $(X, D, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow (X, D, \mathcal{E}')$ over X is a monomial map $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'$ (maps monomial functions to monomial) which maps X to X' and whose restriction to the complement $X \setminus D$ maps $\mathcal{E}^\circ|_{X \setminus D}$ to $\mathcal{E}'^\circ|_{X \setminus D}$. A virtual morphism is just a monomial map $\mathcal{E}^\circ|_{X \setminus D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'^\circ|_{X \setminus D}$.
- (Pairings) A pairing of line bundles $\langle -, - \rangle: \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ over X that is a non-degenerate over $X \setminus D$, define an ordinary morphism $(X, D, \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2) \rightarrow (X, D, \mathcal{N})$.
- (Inverting) For a line bundle \mathcal{L} over X , inverting of non-zero sections induces a virtual isomorphism $(X, \mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{\cong_{\text{virt}}} (X, \mathcal{L}^\vee)$.
- (Pullback) For a divisor $\iota: D \subset X$, and splitting bundle \mathcal{E} , the pullback log structure on D is $\iota^*(X, \mathcal{E}) = (D, N \oplus \iota^* \mathcal{E})$, where N is the normal bundle.
- (Trivialising) If a line bundle \mathcal{L} is trivial over the complement $X \setminus D$, we have the virtual isomorphism $(X, D, \mathcal{L}) \cong_{\text{virt}} (X, D) \times *_{\log}$.

5.2.2. *Logarithmic little disks.* For a graph Γ , we consider the Deligne-Faltings log schemes

$$\mathfrak{M}^{\text{fr}}(\Gamma) := (\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma), \partial \overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma), \bigoplus_{v \in V_\Gamma \cup \{\infty\}} \mathcal{T}_v), \quad \mathfrak{M}(\Gamma) := (\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma), \partial \overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma), \mathcal{T}_\infty),$$

where $\mathcal{T}_v := \mathcal{L}_v^\vee$. For $\Gamma = P_1$, we put $\mathfrak{M}^{\text{fr}}(P_1) = *_{\log}$ and $\mathfrak{M}(P_1) = *$.

Proposition 5.10. *The contractad structure on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ lifts to the contractad structure on \mathfrak{M}^{fr} in the category of log schemes with ordinary morphisms.*

Proof. Consider the contractad morphism $\circ_G^\Gamma: \overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma/G) \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma|_G) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)$. To lift this morphism to a morphism of log schemes, we need to define an ordinary morphism $\mathfrak{M}^{\text{fr}}(\Gamma/G) \times \mathfrak{M}^{\text{fr}}(\Gamma|_G)$ to the pullback log-structure $(\circ_G^\Gamma)^* \mathfrak{M}^{\text{fr}}$. According to Example 5.9, $(\circ_G^\Gamma)^* \mathfrak{M}^{\text{fr}}$ is also a Deligne-Faltings log scheme on the product $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma/G) \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma|_G)$, with splitting bundle $\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{N}_G \oplus (\circ_G^\Gamma)^*(\mathcal{T}_\infty \oplus \bigoplus_{v \in V_\Gamma} \mathcal{T}_v)$. Thanks to Proposition 5.7 and Proposition 5.8, we have $\mathcal{E}' \cong (\mathcal{T}_{\{G\}}^{\Gamma/G} \otimes \mathcal{T}_\infty^{\Gamma|_G}) \oplus (\mathcal{T}_\infty^{\Gamma/G} \oplus \bigoplus_{v \notin G} \mathcal{T}_v^{\Gamma/G}) \oplus (\bigoplus_{v \notin G} \mathcal{T}_v^{\Gamma|_G})$. Therefore, we are seeking an ordinary monomial map.

$$(\mathcal{T}_{\{G\}}^{\Gamma/G} \oplus \mathcal{T}_\infty^{\Gamma|_G}) \oplus (\mathcal{T}_\infty^{\Gamma/G} \oplus \bigoplus_{v \notin G} \mathcal{T}_v^{\Gamma/G}) \oplus (\bigoplus_{v \notin G} \mathcal{T}_v^{\Gamma|_G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}' = (\mathcal{T}_{\{G\}}^{\Gamma/G} \otimes \mathcal{T}_\infty^{\Gamma|_G}) \oplus (\mathcal{T}_\infty^{\Gamma/G} \oplus \bigoplus_{v \notin G} \mathcal{T}_v^{\Gamma/G}) \oplus (\bigoplus_{v \notin G} \mathcal{T}_v^{\Gamma|_G}).$$

We define it by the quadratic monomial map $\mathcal{T}_{\{G\}}^{\Gamma/G} \oplus \mathcal{T}_{\infty}^{\Gamma|G} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\{G\}}^{\Gamma/G} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{\infty}^{\Gamma|G} \cong \mathcal{N}_G$ and identity maps on the remaining summands. \square

The open part $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$ is identified with the moduli space of $\{\infty\} \cup V_{\Gamma}$ -pointed smooth genus zero curves with edge-non-intersecting conditions

$$\mathcal{M}(\Gamma) \cong \mathbb{P}(\text{Conf}_{\Gamma}(\mathbb{C})/\mathbb{G}_a) \cong \text{Conf}_{\Gamma}(\mathbb{C})/\text{Aff}(\mathbb{C}) = \text{Conf}_{\Gamma}(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\infty\})/(\text{PGL}_2)_{\infty} \cong \text{Conf}_{\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\Gamma}(\mathbb{P}^1)/\text{PGL}_2$$

The restriction of the line bundles $\{\mathcal{T}_v\}_{v \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}\Gamma}$ to $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$ coincide with tangent lines at the corresponding marked points. For a pair of distinct points $p, q \in \mathbb{P}^1$, authors [DPP24, Sec. 8.1] define a canonical parallel transport $s_{p,q}: (T_p \mathbb{P}^1)^{\times} \rightarrow (T_q \mathbb{P}^1)^{\times}$, in the case $p = \infty$, we have $s_{\infty,q}: \lambda \partial_{1/z}|_{\infty} \mapsto \lambda^{-1} \partial_z|_q$. This procedure produces an isomorphism of \mathbb{G}_m -fibrations $s_{\infty,v}: \mathcal{T}_{\infty}^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_v^{\circ}$ over $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$. In particular, it defines a virtual isomorphism of log schemes

$$(5.11) \quad s_{\infty,v}: (\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma), \partial \overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma), \mathcal{T}_{\infty}) \rightarrow (\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma), \partial \overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma), \mathcal{T}_v)$$

This procedure leads to the contractad structure on the unframed version $\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{M}$.

Proposition 5.12. *The contractad structure on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ and parallel transport induces the contractad structure on $\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{M}$ in the category of log schemes with virtual morphisms.*

Proof. Similarly to the framed case, to define a contractad structure, it remains to construct a virtual monomial map

$$\mathcal{T}_{\infty}^{\Gamma/G} \oplus \mathcal{T}_{\infty}^{\Gamma|G} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_G \oplus \mathcal{T}_{\infty}^{\Gamma/G} \cong \mathcal{T}_{\infty}^{\Gamma/G} \oplus (\mathcal{T}_{\infty}^{\Gamma/G} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{\infty}^{\Gamma|G}).$$

We define it by combining identity on $\mathcal{T}_{\infty}^{\Gamma/G}$ and the composition $\mathcal{T}_{\infty}^{\Gamma/G} \oplus \mathcal{T}_{\infty}^{\Gamma|G} \xrightarrow{s_{\infty,\{G\}}} \mathcal{T}_{\{G\}}^{\Gamma/G} \oplus \mathcal{T}_{\infty}^{\Gamma|G} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\{G\}}^{\Gamma/G} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{\infty}^{\Gamma|G}$. \square

The canonical line bundles $\{\mathcal{T}_v\}_{v \in V_{\Gamma} \cup \{\infty\}}$ are trivial over $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$, so we have a virtual decomposition $\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{M}(\Gamma) \cong (\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma), \partial \overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)) \times_{*_{\log}} \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{M}^{\text{fr}}(\Gamma) \cong (\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma), \partial \overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)) \times_{*_{\log}}^{\times V_{\Gamma} \cup \{\infty\}}$. Thanks to Example 5.9, $*_{\log}$ is a group object in log schemes with virtual morphisms, hence $\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{M}$ forms a contractad in the category of $*_{\log}$ -spaces with respect to the decomposition above. We consider the semidirect contractad $\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{M} \rtimes *_{\log}$. Note that parallel transports $s_{\infty,v}$, for each vertex $v \in V_{\Gamma}$, induce an isomorphism of contractads

$$(5.13) \quad \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{M} \rtimes *_{\log} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{M}^{\text{fr}}$$

in log schemes with virtual morphisms. All in all, we recover and generalise the statement [DPP24, Pr. 8.18]

Proposition 5.14. *Parallel transports induce the isomorphism of contractads $\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{M}^{\text{fr}} \cong \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{M} \rtimes *_{\log}$ in the category of log schemes with virtual morphisms.*

5.3. Kato-Nakayama realisation. With a fine saturated log scheme $X = (X, \mathcal{M}_X)$ over \mathbb{C} , we associate a topological space $\text{KN}(X)$, called Kato-Nakayama realisation [KN99]. A point in $\text{KN}(X)$ is a pair (x, λ) , where $x \in X(\mathbb{C})$ is a complex point and monoid map $\lambda_x: \mathcal{M}_{X,x} \rightarrow S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}^{\times}$, such that $\lambda_x(f) = \frac{f(x)}{|f(x)|}$, for $f \in \mathcal{O}_X^{\times}$. It was shown in [DPP24, Prop. 4.2], that Kato-Nakayama realisation defines a strict monoidal functor from the category of fine saturated schemes with virtual morphisms

$$\text{KN}: \text{virtLogSchm}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{fs}} \rightarrow \text{Top}.$$

- For a trivial scheme X^{triv} , we have $\text{KN}(X^{\text{triv}}) = X(\mathbb{C})$
- For a log-point, we have $\text{KN}(*_{\log}) \cong S^1$ as topological groups.
- For a divisorial log scheme (X, D) , the Kato-Nakayama realisation $\text{KN}(X, D) = \text{Bl}_D^{\mathbb{R}}(X(\mathbb{C}))$ is the real oriented blow-up of $X(\mathbb{C})$.
- More generally, for a Deligne-Faltings log scheme $(X, D, \oplus_{i=1}^k \mathcal{L}_i)$, its KN realisation is the product of principal S^1 -fibrations $\mathbb{S}(p^* \mathcal{L}_1) \times_{\text{Bl}_D^{\mathbb{R}}(X(\mathbb{C}))} \mathbb{S}(p^* \mathcal{L}_2) \times_{\text{Bl}_D^{\mathbb{R}}(X(\mathbb{C}))} \cdots \times_{\text{Bl}_D^{\mathbb{R}}(X(\mathbb{C}))} \mathbb{S}(p^* \mathcal{L}_k)$.

Since KN is strict monoidal, it sends log scheme contractads to topological contractads. We consider the corresponding topological contractads

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} := \text{KN}(\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{M}), \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{fr}} := \text{KN}(\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{M}^{\text{fr}})$$

Consider the oriented blow-up $p: \overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{or}}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)$ along the normal divisor $\partial \overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)$. So, the components of the contractads above are products of the principal S^1 -fibrations associated with pullbacks $p^*\mathcal{T}_v$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma) = \mathbb{S}(p^*\mathcal{T}_\infty), \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{fr}}(\Gamma) = \mathbb{S}(p^*\mathcal{T}_\infty) \times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{or}}} \mathbb{S}(p^*\mathcal{T}_{v_1}) \times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{or}}} \cdots \times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{or}}} \mathbb{S}(p^*\mathcal{T}_{v_n})$$

Example 5.15. To make some intuition, we consider the modular case. According to Section 3.1.2, for a complete multipartite graph K_λ , via the isomorphism $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(K_\lambda) \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,K_\lambda}$, the oriented blow-up $\text{Bl}_{\partial \overline{\mathcal{M}}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,K_\lambda})$ consists of equivalence classes of stable K_λ -pointed curves Σ with real rays in the tensor product $T_p\Sigma' \otimes T_p\Sigma''$ at every double point $p \in \Sigma$. In the framed case $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{0,K_\lambda}^{\text{fr}}$, we also add tangent real rays at each marked point x_v , while in the unframed case $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{0,K_\lambda}$ we only add a ray at the marked point x_∞ .




Figure 6. Stable curves in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,K_3}$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{0,K_3}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{0,K_3}^{\text{fr}}$

The contractad structure in the framed case $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{0,K_\lambda}^{\text{fr}}$ is clear. Namely, to compose a framed stable curve $\Sigma_1 \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{0,K_\lambda/G}^{\text{fr}}$ with a framed curve $\Sigma' \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{0,K_\lambda|G}^{\text{fr}}$, one glues Σ and Σ' at the points $x_{\{G\}}$ and x'_∞ and tensors the corresponding tangent rays to obtain a ray in the gluing space $T_{\{G\}}\Sigma \otimes T_\infty\Sigma'$. If we restrict ourselves to the case of complete graphs, we recover the so-called Kimura-Stasheff-Voronov operad [KSV95].

In the unframed case $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{0,K_\lambda}$, all the same, except that we transport a tangent ray at ∞ on Σ to a tangent ray at $\{G\}$ using parallel transports and gluing data given in double points, see [DPP24, Sec. 8.1].

Recall that the Kimura-Stasheff-Voronov operad mentioned above is isomorphic to the framed Fulton-MacPherson contractad [GS12]. A similar result holds in the graphical case.

Theorem 5.16. *The Kato-Nakayama realisation of (framed) log little disks contractad is isomorphic to the (framed) Fulton-MacPherson contractad*

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} = \text{KN}(\mathfrak{FM}) \cong \text{FM}_2, \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{fr}} = \text{KN}(\mathfrak{FM}^{\text{fr}}) \cong \text{fFM}_2.$$

Proof. The proof of the isomorphism $\text{KN}(\mathfrak{FM}) \cong \text{FM}_2$ repeats the ones of [DPP24, Thm. 8.20], so we just sketch the main ideas. The stratification of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)$ transfers to the stratification of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)$ with respect to KN-realisation: $\mathcal{M}((T)) \mapsto \text{KN}(\mathfrak{FM}(\Gamma)|_{\mathcal{M}((T))})$. The corresponding maximal strata of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)$ is isomorphic to the ones of $\text{FM}_2(\Gamma)$

$$\text{KN}(\mathfrak{FM}|_{\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)}) \cong \mathcal{M}(\Gamma) \times S^1 \cong \text{Conf}_\Gamma(\mathbb{C})/\text{Aff}(\mathbb{C}) \times S^1 \cong \text{Conf}_\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^2)/\text{Aff}_0(\mathbb{R}^2) \cong \text{NConf}_\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^2)$$

In particular, on the level of set contractads, we have

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \cong \mathbb{T}(\text{KN}(\mathfrak{FM}|_{\mathcal{M}})) \cong \mathbb{T}(\text{NConf}(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cong \text{FM}_2.$$

So, both $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ and FM_2 are manifolds with corners with diffeomorphic stratification and the same inclusion posets of the closures of strata elements, which implies the isomorphism of topological contractads. In the framed case, the isomorphism follows from the compatibility of KN realisation with semidirect products

$$\text{KN}(\mathfrak{FM}^{\text{fr}}) \stackrel{(5.13)}{=} \text{KN}(\mathfrak{FM} \rtimes *_{\log}) \cong \text{KN}(\mathfrak{FM}) \rtimes \text{KN}(*_{\log}) \cong \text{FM}_2 \rtimes S^1 \cong \text{fFM}_2.$$

□

5.4. Log forms and Formality. For a sufficiently good⁵ scheme $X = (X, \mathcal{M}_X)$, there is a complex of sheaves of log de Rham forms Ω_X^\bullet obtained from the usual forms on the underlying scheme Ω_X^\bullet by adding logarithmic forms $d \log(f)$ for $f \in \mathcal{M}_X^{\text{gr}}$. Its hypercohomology is called de Rham cohomology and is denoted $H_{dR}^\bullet(X) := \mathbb{H}^\bullet(\Omega_X^\bullet, d)$.

The *Betti to de Rham comparison* [KN99] asserts that there is a canonical isomorphism of symmetric monoidal functors $H_{dR}^\bullet(X) \cong H_B^\bullet(X; \mathbb{C})$, where $H_B^\bullet(X; \mathbb{C})$ is the usual singular homology of the Kato-Nakayama realization $\text{KN}(X)$. In [Vai21, DPP24], authors refined this statement to the case of complexes. In [Vai21, Sec. 6.2], Vaintrob showed that the derived global forms $\mathbb{R}\Gamma(X, \Omega_X^\bullet)$ (we consider Thom-Whitney normalization of the Godement resolution for functorial reasons) and complex of singular cochains $C_{\text{Betti}}^\bullet(\text{KN}(X); \mathbb{C})$ are connected by a chain of symmetric lax-monoidal quasi-isomorphisms as dg functors from the category of log schemes with ordinary morphisms. In [DPP24, Sec. 6], the authors explained that this statement remains true on the level of virtual morphisms. In particular, if \mathfrak{D} is a contractad in the category of log schemes with virtual/ordinary morphisms, then we can take $\mathbb{R}\Gamma(\mathfrak{D}; \Omega_{\mathfrak{D}}^\bullet)$ as the complex dg Hopf model for its Kato-Nakayama realization $\mathcal{O} := \text{KN}(\mathfrak{D})$.

For an acyclic⁶ log-scheme X , we could replace derived global forms with usual ones. So, the restrictions of dg functors $\Gamma(-, \Omega_X^\bullet)$ and $C_{\text{sing}}^\bullet(\text{KN}(-), \mathbb{C})$ to the full subcategory of acyclic log schemes (with ordinary/virtual morphisms) are connected by a chain of quasi-isomorphisms [Vai21, Thm. 6]. If we also require the underlying scheme X to be proper, then by the degeneracy of the Hodge-to-de Rham spectral sequence $H^p(X, \Omega_X^q) \Rightarrow H_{dR}^\bullet(X)$ on the first page, the differential in global forms is zero, so $H^\bullet(X) = \Gamma(X, \Omega_X)$. All in all, the restriction of the Betti cochain functor $C_{\text{sing}}^\bullet(\text{KN}(-))$ to proper acyclic schemes is formal as a symmetric monoidal dg functor [Vai21, Thm. 7]. In particular, we obtain the following formality criteria

Theorem 5.17 (Log formality criteria). *Let \mathfrak{D} be a contractad in the category of log schemes with virtual/ordinary morphisms, and $\mathcal{O} := \text{KN}(\mathfrak{D})$ be the corresponding topological contractad. If each component of the log-contractad \mathfrak{D} is a proper acyclic log scheme, then the contractad \mathcal{O} is formal over \mathbb{C} .*

Let us prove the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 5.18. *The contractads fD_2 and \mathcal{D}_2 are formal over \mathbb{C} .*

Proof. Thanks to Theorem 3.12, Fulton-MacPherson contractad FM_2 is E_2 -contractad. Thanks to Theorem 5.16, the Fulton-MacPherson contractad is a Kato-Nakayama realisation of \mathfrak{FM} . So, by Theorem 5.17, it suffices to prove that, for each graph Γ , the log schemes $\mathfrak{FM}(\Gamma)$ are proper and acyclic.

Recall that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)$ is a smooth projective variety, so it remains to verify the acyclic condition. Recall that the line bundle \mathcal{T}_∞ is trivial over $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$, so we have a virtual isomorphism $\mathfrak{FM}(\Gamma) \cong (\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma), \partial \overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma)) \times_{*\log}$ according to Example 5.9. Since log point is proper acyclic, it suffices to show that the divisorial log scheme $(\overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma), \partial \overline{\mathcal{M}}(\Gamma))$ is. Thanks to [KL24, Lem 4.3.3], the mixed Hodge structure of $H^k(\mathcal{M}(\Gamma))$ is pure of weight $2k$, in other words, $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$ is 2-pure. If the complement $X \setminus D$ is 2-pure, then the divisorial log scheme (X, D) is acyclic [Vai21, Lemma 11]. This completes the proof. The framed case directly follows from the unframed ones. \square

6. COMBINATORIAL MODEL

In this section, we construct a conjectural combinatorial model AD_d for the little disks contractad in the category of posets. The model AD_d is a natural generalization of the operad of acyclic directions on complete graphs introduced by Berger [Ber97]. Its components are given by edge-weighted acyclic directions on graphs. We prove that AD_d is a E_d -contractad for $d = 1, 2$ and for chordal graphs. Most of the constructions and proofs follow, *mutatis mutandis*, those of [BM22]. The case $d = 2$, however, requires additional arguments, and it remains unclear whether the statement extends to $d > 2$.

6.1. Posets and contractads. Let us briefly discuss contractads in the category Pos of partially ordered sets (posets). Most of the constructions and homotopy properties of poset contractads repeat those in the operad case [BM22, Sec. 3].

⁵It suffices to consider ideally smooth, fine and saturated log schemes

⁶log schemes X with Ω_X^k are acyclic for all $k \geq 0$

For a poset P , we let $|P|$ be the geometric realization of its nerve $N(P)$. We say that two posets P and Q are *homotopy equivalent* if their geometric realizations are weakly homotopy equivalent $|P| \simeq |Q|$.

For a map $\phi: X \rightarrow P$ from a topological space to poset, we consider a poset $X_P = \{(x, p) | \phi(x) \leq p\}$ with an obvious order. We have the projections of spaces $X \leftarrow |X_P| \rightarrow |P|$. The left projection is always a homotopy equivalence. If for each $p \in P$, the subspace $\phi/p = \{x | \phi(x) \leq p\}$ is a weakly contractible retract of a CW-complex, then projection $X_P \rightarrow P$ induces an equivalence of posets and hence ϕ induces the equivalence $X \simeq |P|$.


A poset contractad is a contractad in the category of partially ordered sets (posets) Pos . By monoidal reasons, the geometric realisation of a poset contractad \mathcal{P} defines a topological contractad $|\mathcal{P}|$. We say that poset contractads are *homotopy equivalent* if their geometric realizations are weakly homotopy equivalent contractads. Similarly, for a morphism $\psi: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ from topological to poset contractad, we can construct the poset contractad \mathcal{R} with the projections $\mathcal{P} \xleftarrow{\sim} |\mathcal{R}| \rightarrow |\mathcal{Q}|$ and the left projection is an equivalence. We say that ψ induces an equivalence $\mathcal{P} \simeq |\mathcal{Q}|$ if the right map $|\mathcal{R}| \rightarrow |\mathcal{Q}|$ is also an equivalence and hence \mathcal{P} and $|\mathcal{Q}|$ are equivalent as topological contractads in the sense of Section 3.3.

6.2. Acyclic directions contractad. A *direction* of a graph is an assignment of an orientation to each edge. Such a direction is called *acyclic* if it contains no directed cycles of the form $v_1 \rightarrow v_2 \rightarrow v_3 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow v_k \rightarrow v_1$. For a graph Γ , we denote by $\text{AD}_d(\Gamma)$ the set of acyclic directions of the underlying graph, where the edges are labeled by the set $[d] = \{1 < 2 < \dots < d\}$.

The sets $\text{AD}_d(\Gamma)$ form a contractad in the category of sets with respect to the composition $\alpha \circ_G^\Gamma \beta$, defined for weighted directions $\alpha \in \text{AD}_d(\Gamma/G)$ and $\beta \in \text{AD}_d(\Gamma|_G)$ by the following rule:

$$\begin{cases} a \xrightarrow{f} a' & \text{if } a, a' \notin G \text{ and } a \xrightarrow{f} a' \text{ in } \alpha, \\ b \xrightarrow{e} b' & \text{if } b, b' \in G \text{ and } b \xrightarrow{e} b' \text{ in } \beta, \\ a \xrightarrow{f} b & \text{if } a \notin G, b \in G \text{ and } a \xrightarrow{f} \{G\} \text{ in } \alpha, \\ b \xrightarrow{f} a & \text{if } a \notin G, b \in G \text{ and } \{G\} \xrightarrow{f} a \text{ in } \alpha. \end{cases}$$

Example 6.1. For the cycle C_4 and tube $G = \{1, 2\}$, we have



Lemma 6.2. *The sets $\text{AD}_d(\Gamma)$ admit a natural partial order:*

$$\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \in \text{AD}_d(\Gamma) \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \forall \text{ edge } v \xrightarrow{e} w \in \alpha_1, \begin{cases} v \xrightarrow{f} w \in \alpha_2 \text{ with } e \leq f, \\ v \xleftarrow{f} w \in \alpha_2 \text{ with } e < f. \end{cases}$$

This order is compatible with the contractad composition maps.

Proof. Direct verification. □

In other words, Lemma 6.2 shows that $\text{AD}_d(\Gamma)$ is a contractad in the category of posets.

For $d = 1$, the set $\text{AD}_1(\Gamma)$ consists of acyclic orientations of Γ , and any two distinct acyclic orientations are incomparable. In particular, we obtain the isomorphism $|\text{AD}_1| = \text{gcAss}$ with the associative contractad.

Next, we describe the relation of these contractads to the little disks contractad. Consider the morphism of (set-theoretic) graphical collections

$$\psi_d: \text{Conf}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \text{AD}_d,$$

which sends a configuration $x \in \text{Conf}_\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to a weighted direction $\psi_d(x)$ defined as follows:

we set $v \xrightarrow{i} w$ if i is the smallest index with $(x_v)_i \neq (x_w)_i$, and in this case $(x_v)_i < (x_w)_i$.

An acyclic direction $\alpha \in \text{AD}_d(\Gamma)$ lies in the image of ψ_d if and only if, for every pair of directed paths with same source and target $x = v_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} v_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} \dots \xrightarrow{f_{k-1}} v_k = y$, $x = w_0 \xrightarrow{f'_0} w_1 \xrightarrow{f'_1} \dots \xrightarrow{f'_{l-1}} w_l = y$ in α , we

have $\min_{i=0,\dots,k-1} f_i = \min_{j=0,\dots,l-1} f'_j$. Define

$$\mathbb{P}_d(\Gamma) := \text{Im}(\psi_d(\text{Conf}_\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^d))) \subset \text{AD}_d(\Gamma)$$

to be the subcollection of such acyclic directions.

Proposition 6.3. *The map $\psi_d: \text{Conf}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_d$ of (set-theoretic) graphical collections induces an equivalence*

$$\text{Conf}(\mathbb{R}^d) \simeq |\mathbb{P}_d|$$

of topological graphical collections.

Proof. It suffices to show that for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{P}_d(\Gamma)$, the subspace

$$\psi/\alpha := \{x \mid \phi(x) \leq \alpha\}$$

is contractible. The proof of contractibility follows the same lines as in [BM22, Thm. 5.8]. \square

Conjecture 6.4. *The map $\psi_d: \text{Conf}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \text{AD}_d$ induces a homotopy equivalence*

$$\text{Conf}_\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^d) \simeq |\text{AD}_d(\Gamma)|$$

of topological graphical collections.

Theorem 6.5. *Conjecture 6.4 holds in the following cases:*

- *when restricted to chordal graphs,*
- *for $d = 1, 2$ and arbitrary graphs Γ .*

Proof. The case of complete graphs K_k was established in [BM22, Thm. 5.10] using induction on k , with the induction step relying on the fibration $\text{Conf}_k(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \text{Conf}_{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In the graphical setting, as discussed in Section 4, this fiber argument only works for simplicial vertices, which restricts the generalization to chordal graphs.

The case $d = 1$ was described in Section 3.5, where $\text{Conf}_\Gamma(\mathbb{R})$ was shown to be a disjoint union of contractible components indexed by acyclic orientations of Γ .

The remaining case $d = 2$ is addressed in detail in Section 6.3 (Corollary 6.11). \square

Theorem 6.6. *For $d \geq 1$, if $\psi_d: \text{Conf}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \text{AD}_d$ induces a homotopy equivalence of graphical collections $\text{Conf}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $|\text{AD}_d|$, then AD_d is a E_d -contractad.*

Proof. The argument follows [BM22, Thm. 9.1]. Briefly, one defines the Boardman–Vogt resolution $W\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ for poset contractads by replacing the interval $[0, 1]$ with the poset interval $[1] = \{0 < 1\}$. Explicitly, $W\mathcal{P}$ is the free contractad $\mathbb{T}(\mathcal{P})$, ordered by contracting edges in rooted trees and comparing \mathcal{P} -decorated vertices accordingly.

We construct the morphism of contractads

$$\text{FM}_d \rightarrow W(\text{AD}_d), \quad \text{FM}_d((T)) \cong \prod_{v \in \text{Vert}(T)} \text{NConf}_{\text{In}(v)}(\mathbb{R}^d) \xrightarrow{\psi} \prod_{v \in \text{Vert}(T)} \text{AD}_d(\text{In}(v)) = W(\text{AD}_d)((T)).$$

By analogy with the operad case, the equivalence $\psi: \text{Conf}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \text{AD}_d$ implies equivalence of contractads $|\text{W}(\text{AD}_d)| \simeq \text{FM}_d$. Since $W(\mathcal{P}) \simeq \mathcal{P}$ for poset operads, and by Theorem 3.12, we obtain the desired equivalence

$$|\text{AD}_d| \simeq |\text{W}(\text{AD}_d)| \simeq \text{FM}_d \simeq \mathcal{D}_d.$$

\square

Corollary 6.7. *For $d = 1, 2$, and for all d in the case of chordal graphs, AD_d is an E_d -contractad.*

6.3. Two-dimensional case. In this section, we prove Conjecture 6.4 for $d = 2$. We work with the extended acyclic directions contractad AD_d^{ext} , defined in the same way as AD_d , except that the acyclicity condition is relaxed to exclude only directed cycles of uniform weight. This construction is a graphical generalisation of the operad introduced in [BFV07]. As in the operadic case, we obtain:

Proposition 6.8. *The inclusion $j: \text{AD}_d \rightarrow \text{AD}_d^{\text{ext}}$ is an equivalence of poset contractads.*

Proof. The proof follows the same pattern as in [BM22, Thm. 4.5]. By Quillen's Theorem A [Qui73], it is enough to show that the geometric realisation of the *overposet*

$$j/g := \{x \in \text{AD}_d(\Gamma) : j(x) \leq g\} = \downarrow g \cap \text{AD}_d(\Gamma)$$

is contractible for each $g \in \text{AD}_d^{\text{ext}}(\Gamma)$.

If $g \in \text{AD}_d(\Gamma)$, the claim is immediate, and hence it holds for all minimal elements of $\text{AD}_d^{\text{ext}}(\Gamma)$. We proceed by induction on the partial order of $\text{AD}_d^{\text{ext}}(\Gamma)$.

Suppose g lies in the complement $\text{AD}_d^{\text{ext}}(\Gamma) \setminus \text{AD}_d(\Gamma)$. For a directed cycle

$$C = v_1 \xrightarrow{w_1} v_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow v_k \xrightarrow{w_k} v_1$$

in g , define

$$\mu(C) := (\mu_d(C), \dots, \mu_1(C)),$$

where $\mu_i(C)$ is the number of edges of weight i in C . Let C_0 be the minimal cycle in g with respect to μ -lexicographic order. Denote by $E_{C_0}^{>1}$ the set of non-unital edges of C_0 . For any nonempty $\mathcal{S} \subset E_{C_0}^{>1}$, define $g_{\mathcal{S}}$ to be the direction obtained from g by replacing each edge $v_i \xrightarrow{k} v_{i+1}$ with $v_i \xleftarrow{k-1} v_{i+1}$ whenever $i \in \mathcal{S}$.

Note that $g_{\mathcal{S}}$ is a uniformly acyclic orientation for any $\mathcal{S} \subset E_{C_0}^{>1}$. Assume the contrary, i.e., that $g_{\mathcal{S}}$ contains a cycle C' of uniform weight k . Suppose first that $C_0 \cap C'$ is a consecutive sequence of edges $\{(v_i, v_{i+1}), (v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}), \dots, (v_j, v_{j+1})\}$. Then g would contain a directed cycle $C'' = C_0 \cup C' \setminus (C_0 \cap C')$ with $\mu(C'') < \mu(C_0)$, contradicting the μ -minimality of C_0 . Alternatively, if $C_0 \cap C'$ contains two edges (v_i, v_{i+1}) and (v_j, v_{j+1}) with no edges of C_0 between them, then combining the corresponding segments of C_0 and C' produces a directed cycle C'' in g with $\mu(C'') < \mu(C_0)$. This again contradicts the minimality of C_0 .

By the induction hypothesis, each $j/g_{\mathcal{S}}$ is contractible. Thus, we obtain a covering

$$j/g = \bigcup_{\emptyset \neq \mathcal{S} \subset \text{Adm}(C_0)} j/g_{\mathcal{S}},$$

where all pieces and their intersections are contractible (and hence nonempty). It follows that j/g itself is contractible. \square

The following Proposition is the main part of the proof.

Proposition 6.9. *The inclusion $\mathbb{P}_2 \rightarrow \text{AD}_2^{\text{ext}}$ is an equivalence of graphical poset collections.*

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 6.8, we show that the inclusion

$$\iota: \mathbb{P}_2(\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{AD}_2(\Gamma)$$

satisfies the condition of Quillen's Theorem A by induction on the partial order of elements. Note that $\min \text{AD}_2 = \text{AD}_1 \subset \mathbb{P}_2$. If $g \in \mathbb{P}_2(\Gamma)$, ι/g is immediately contractible, and hence it holds for all minimal elements of $\text{AD}_2^{\text{ext}}(\Gamma)$. Thanks to the proof of Proposition 6.8, for an element $g \in \text{AD}_2^{\text{ext}}$, we could find a collection of elements g_i from $\downarrow g$ such that ι/g admits a cover by ι/g_i and by the induction assumption all their intersections are non-empty and contractible, hence ι/g is contractible. So, it remains only to check that ι/g is contractible for g in the complement $\text{AD}_2(\Gamma) \setminus \mathbb{P}_2(\Gamma)$.

Because g lies in the complement, it contains a *broken circuit*, i.e., a subcycle B made up from two directed paths

$$B = (P^- : x = v_0^- \xrightarrow{w_0} v_1^- \xrightarrow{w_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{w_{k-2}} v_{k-1}^- \xrightarrow{w_{k-1}} v_k^- = y) \cup (P^+ : x = v_0^+ \xrightarrow{2} v_1^+ \xrightarrow{2} \cdots \xrightarrow{2} v_{l-1}^+ \xrightarrow{2} v_l^+ = y),$$


with $w_i = 1$ for some i . We call the vertices x and y the left and right boundary vertices, respectively. Let B_0 be a minimal broken circuit with respect to μ -lexicographical order.

For $t, s \in \{+, -\}$ and an edge $e \in P^s$ of weight 2, we define the orientation $g_{(e,t)}$ of Γ that is obtained from g by decreasing the weight of e and reversing its direction if signs s and t differ. We consider a simplicial complex $\mathcal{K}(g)$ consisting of subsets of $\mathcal{S} \subset E_{B_0}^2 \times \{+/-\}$, where $E_{B_0}^2$ is the set of weight 2 edges, such that each edge e occurs in \mathcal{S} with at most one sign and \mathcal{S} does not contain $E_{B_0}^2 \times \{-\}$. For a subset $\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{K}(g)$, we define the orientation $g_{\mathcal{S}}$ by repeating the procedure described above for each edge occurring in \mathcal{S} .

Lemma 6.10. *For $\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{K}(g)$, the direction $g_{\mathcal{S}}$ is uniform acyclic.*


Proof. Suppose the converse and we have an uniform cycle C_0 in g_S . Since $g \in \text{AD}_2(\Gamma)$ and by the construction of g_S , each edge in this cycle is of weight 1 and $E_{C_0} \cap E_{B_0} \neq \emptyset$. Since $E_{B_0}^2 \times \{-\} \not\subset \mathcal{S}$, we have $E_{C_0} \not\subset E_{B_0}$. Hence, the directed subgraph in g_S induced by the edges of B_0 cuts the cycle C_0 into directed subpaths, that we call segments, of two types: *internal segments* whose edges are in the intersection $E_{B_0} \cap E_{C_0}$ and *external segments* whose edges are not in E_{B_0} .

Let S be an external segment. Suppose the source and target of S are $s(S) = v_i^\pm \in P^\pm$ and $t(S) = v_j^\pm$ with $j < i$ (including the case $s(S)$ is right boundary vertex)




Then the union of this segment with a directed path in B_0 from $t(S)$ to $s(S)$ in P^\pm forms a directed cycle in g contradicting $g \in \text{AD}_2$.


Suppose the source and target are $s(S) = w_i^+, t(S) = w_j^+$ in P^+ with $j > i$, but $s(S)$ and $t(S)$ are not the boundary vertices at the same time



Then the union of the segment and the directed path from w_i^+ to w_j^+ in P^+ forms a broken circuit B' in g with $\mu_2(B') < \mu_2(B_0)$ contradicting μ -minimality of B_0 . By the same argument, endpoints of an external segment can not be internal vertices from P^- and P^+



Hence, we only have the case $s(S) = w_i^-, t(S) = w_j^-$ are in P^- with $j > i$



Since g is acyclic but g_S contains directed cycle C_0 , there is an edge in $e_0 \in E_{C_0} \cap E_{B_0}$ that is reversed in g_S . Suppose $e_0 \in E_{P^-}$, i.e., $(e_0, +) \in \mathcal{S}$, and let P' be the corresponding internal segment containing reversed e_0 in g_S . From the description of external segments, both endpoints of P' are in P^- , and these endpoints are connected by a unique external segment. Hence, the edges of the external and internal segments form a broken circuit B' in g with $\mu_2(B') = |E_{P'}| < \mu_2(B_0)$ contradicting μ -minimality of B_0 . Otherwise, we have $e_0 \in E_{P^+}$, i.e., $(e_0, -) \in \mathcal{S}$. From the description of external segments and previous case, $E_{P^+} \subset E_{C_0}$, all edges from P^+ are reversed in g_S , i.e., $E_{P^+} \times \{-\} \subset \mathcal{S}$, and the edges in the intersection $E_{C_0} \cap E_{P^-}$ are not reversed in g_S , i.e., $(E_{C_0} \cap E_{P^-}^2) \times \{-\} \subset \mathcal{S}$. Hence, the edges of E_{C_0} forms a broken circuit B' in g with $\mu_2(B') = |E_{C_0} \cap E_{B_0}^2| \leq \mu_2(B_0)$. By μ -minimality, we have $\mu_2(B') = \mu_2(B)$ and hence $E_{B_0}^2 \times \{-\} \subset \mathcal{S}$ contradicting with $S \in \mathcal{K}$. \square

Note that we have a cover of ι/g

$$\iota/g = \bigcup_{(e, \pm) \in E_{B_0}^2 \times \{+, -\}} \iota/g_{(e, \pm)}.$$

Moreover for $\mathcal{S} \subset E_{B_0}^2 \times \{+, -\}$, the intersection $\bigcap_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \iota/g_s$ is non-empty iff $\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{K}(g)$ and in this case $\bigcap_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \iota/g_s = \iota/g_S$. Since $g_S < g$ for all non-empty $\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{K}(g)$, the induction hypothesis implies that each ι/g_S is contractible. Hence ι/g is covered by contractible subspaces whose non-empty intersections are also contractible, so by the Alexandrov Nerve Theorem, ι/g has the homotopy type of $\mathcal{K}(g)$.

Note that the union $\mathcal{K}(g) \cup_{\partial\Delta_-} \Delta_-$, where Δ_- is a simplex with vertex set $E_{\mathbb{B}_0}^2 \times \{-\}$, is homeomorphic to the boundary of the $(k-1)$ -dimensional cross polytope, where $k = |E_{\mathbb{B}_0}^2|$. Since cross polytopes are convex, $\mathcal{K}(g)$ is homeomorphic to the complement of an open disk in the $(k-1)$ -sphere, hence contractible. So, ι/g is contractible. \square

Corollary 6.11. *The inclusion $\iota: \mathbb{P}_2 \rightarrow \text{AD}_2$ is an equivalence of graphical poset collections. Hence, $|\text{AD}_2|$ is E_2 -contractad.*

Proof. By Proposition 6.8, the inclusion $j: \text{AD}_2 \rightarrow \text{AD}_2^{\text{ext}}$ is a homotopy equivalence. Proposition 6.9 further shows that the composition $j \circ \iota: \mathbb{P}_2 \rightarrow \text{AD}_2^{\text{ext}}$ is also a homotopy equivalence. The claim then follows from the 2-out-of-3 property for homotopy equivalences. Thanks to Proposition 6.3, the map $\psi_2: \text{Conf}(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow \text{AD}_2$ induces a homotopy equivalence $\text{Conf}(\mathbb{R}^2) \simeq |\text{AD}_2|$ and hence, by Theorem 6.6, we have an equivalence $|\text{AD}_2| \simeq \mathcal{D}_2$. \square

REFERENCES

- [Ani86] D. J. Anick. On the homology of associative algebras. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 296(2):641–659, 1986.
- [AS92] S. Axelrod and I. M. Singer. Chern-Simons perturbation theory. In *Proceedings of the XXth DGM Conference*, pages 3–45. World Scientific Singapore, 1992.
- [Bel14] O. Bellier. Koszul duality theory for operads over Hopf algebras. *Algebraic & Geometric Topology*, 14(1):1–35, 2014.
- [Ber97] C. Berger. Real configuration spaces and E_n -operads. In *Operads: Proceedings of Renaissance Conferences*, volume 202, page 37. American Mathematical Society, 1997.
- [BFV07] M. Brun, Z. Fiedorowicz, and R. Vogt. On the multiplicative structure of topological Hochschild homology. *Algebraic & Geometric Topology*, 7(4):1633–1650, 2007.
- [BM22] A. Beuckelmann and I. Moerdijk. A small catalogue of E_n -operads. [arXiv:2212.03842](https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.03842), 2022.
- [BMPP17] B. Berceanu, D. A. Măcinic, Ş. Papadima, and C. Popescu. On the geometry and topology of partial configuration spaces of riemann surfaces. *Algebraic & Geometric Topology*, 17(2):1163–1188, 2017.
- [BS12] V. Baranovsky and R. Sazdanovic. Graph homology and graph configuration spaces. *Journal of Homotopy and Related Structures*, 7:223–235, 2012.
- [BT94] R. Bott and C. Taubes. On the self-linking of knots. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 35(10):5247–5287, 1994.
- [BV68] J. M. Boardman and R. M. Vogt. Homotopy-everything h-spaces. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 74(6):1117–1122, 1968.
- [BV73] J. M. Boardman and R. M. Vogt. *Homotopy Invariant Algebraic Structures on Topological Spaces*, volume 347 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1st edition, 1973.
- [CF21] D. C. Cohen and M. J. Falk. On graphic arrangement groups. *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, 126:102130, 2021.
- [CIW24] R. Campos, N. Idrissi, and T. Willwacher. Configuration spaces of surfaces. *Astérisque*, (449):–, 2024.
- [CW23] R. Campos and T. Willwacher. A model for configuration spaces of points. *Algebraic & Geometric Topology*, 23(5):2029–2106, 2023.
- [DCP95] C. De Concini and C. Procesi. Wonderful models of subspace arrangements. *Selecta Mathematica*, 1(3):459–494, 1995.
- [DPP24] C. Dupont, E. Panzer, and B. Pym. Logarithmic morphisms, tangential basepoints, and little disks. [arXiv:2408.13108](https://arxiv.org/abs/2408.13108), 2024.
- [EH07] M. Eastwood and S. Huggett. Euler characteristics and chromatic polynomials. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 28(6):1553–1560, 2007.
- [FHT15] Y. Félix, S. Halperin, and J.-C. Thomas. *Rational Homotopy Theory II*. World Scientific, 2015.
- [FN62] E. Fadell and L. Neuwirth. Configuration spaces. *Mathematica Scandinavica*, 10:111–118, 1962.
- [Fre17] B. Fresse. *Homotopy of Operads and Grothendieck-Teichmüller Groups*. American Mathematical Society, 2017.
- [Fre18] B. Fresse. The extended rational homotopy theory of operads. *Georgian Mathematical Journal*, 25(4):493–512, 2018.
- [Gai03] G. Gaiffi. Models for real subspace arrangements and stratified manifolds. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2003(12):627–656, 2003.
- [Gal21] P. Galashin. p -associahedra. [arXiv:2110.07257](https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.07257), 2021.
- [Ger63] M. Gerstenhaber. The cohomology structure of an associative ring. *Annals of Mathematics*, 78(2):267–288, 1963.
- [GH24] N. Gadish and L. Hainaut. Configuration spaces on a wedge of spheres and hochschild–pirashvili homology. *Annales Henri Lebesgue*, 7:841–902, 2024.
- [GP21] N. Gadish and D. Petersen. Correction to the article a spectral sequence for stratified spaces and configuration spaces of points. *Geometry & Topology*, 25(5):2699–2706, 2021.
- [GS12] J. Giansiracusa and P. Salvatore. Cyclic operad formality for compactified moduli spaces of genus zero surfaces. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 364(11):5881–5911, 2012.

- [GZ83] C. Greene and T. Zaslavsky. On the interpretation of whitney numbers through arrangements of hyperplanes, zonotopes, non-radon partitions, and orientations of graphs. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 280(1):97–126, 1983.
- [Idr19] N. Idrissi. The lambrechts–stanley model of configuration spaces. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 216(1):1–68, 2019.
- [Kal24] S. Kallel. Configuration spaces of points: A user’s guide. [arXiv:2407.11092](https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.11092), 2024.
- [KL24] A. Khoroshkin and D. Lyskov. Hilbert series for contractads and modular compactifications. [arXiv:2406.05909](https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.05909), 2024.
- [KMS13] A. Khoroshkin, N. Markarian, and S. Shadrin. Hypercommutative operad as a homotopy quotient of bv. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 322(3):697–729, 2013.
- [KN99] K. Kato and C. Nakayama. Log Betti cohomology, log étale cohomology, and log de Rham cohomology of log schemes over \mathbb{C} . *Kodai Mathematical Journal*, 22(2):161–186, 1999.
- [Knu17] B. Knudsen. Betti numbers and stability for configuration spaces via factorization homology. *Algebraic & Geometric Topology*, 17(5):3137–3187, 2017.
- [Knu23] B. Knudsen. Projection spaces and twisted Lie algebras. *Compactifications, Configurations, and Cohomology*, 790:95, 2023.
- [Kon99] M. Kontsevich. Operads and motives in deformation quantization. *Letters in Mathematical Physics*, 48:35–72, 1999.
- [KSV95] T. Kimura, J. Stasheff, and A. A. Voronov. On operad structures of moduli spaces and string theory. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 171(1):1–25, 1995.
- [KT] S. Kallel and W. Taamallah. Combinatorial invariants of stratifiable spaces I. Preprint, 2021. Available at: https://www.mims-institut.org/webroot/uploads/papers/MIMS_1669746961.pdf.
- [KT23] A. Khoroshkin and P. Tamaroff. Derived poincaré–birkhoff–witt theorems: With an appendix by vladimir dotsenko. *Letters in Mathematical Physics*, 113(1):15, 2023.
- [Li09] L. Li. Wonderful compactification of an arrangement of subvarieties. *Michigan Mathematical Journal*, 58(2):535–563, 2009.
- [LM00] A. Losev and Y. Manin. New moduli spaces of pointed curves and pencils of flat connections. *Michigan Mathematical Journal*, 48(1):443–472, 2000.
- [LM04] A. Losev and Y. Manin. Extended modular operad. *Frobenius Manifolds: Quantum Cohomology and Singularities*, pages 181–211, 2004.
- [LV14] P. Lambrechts and I. Volić. *Formality of the Little N-Disks Operad*, volume 230. American Mathematical Society, 2014.
- [Lys24] D. Lyskov. A generalization of operads based on subgraph contractions. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2024(14):10732–10775, 2024.
- [Mar96] M. Markl. A compactification of the real configuration space as an operadic completion. [arXiv:9608067](https://arxiv.org/abs/9608067), 1996.
- [May72] J. P. May. *The Geometry of Iterated Loop Spaces*, volume 271 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1st edition, 1972.
- [MS03] J. McClure and J. Smith. Multivariable cochain operations and little n-cubes. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 16(3):681–704, 2003.
- [OS80] P. Orlik and L. Solomon. Combinatorics and topology of complements of hyperplanes. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 56(2):167–189, 1980.
- [Pet16] D. Petersen. Poincaré duality of wonderful compactifications and tautological rings. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2016(17):5187–5201, 2016.
- [Pet17] D. Petersen. A spectral sequence for stratified spaces and configuration spaces of points. *Geometry & Topology*, 21(4):2527–2555, 2017.
- [Pet20] D. Petersen. Cohomology of generalized configuration spaces. *Compositio Mathematica*, 156(2):251–298, 2020.
- [Qui73] D. Quillen. Higher algebraic k-theory: I. In *Higher K-Theories*, pages 85–147, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1973. Springer.
- [Ros70] D. J. Rose. Triangulated graphs and the elimination process. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 32(3):597–609, 1970.
- [Sac23] A. Sack. A realization of poset associahedra. [arXiv:2301.11449](https://arxiv.org/abs/2301.11449), 2023.
- [Sal01] P. Salvatore. Configuration spaces with summable labels. In *Cohomological Methods in Homotopy Theory: Barcelona Conference on Algebraic Topology, Bellaterra, Spain, June 4–10, 1998*, pages 375–395. Springer, 2001.
- [Seg73] G. Segal. Configuration-spaces and iterated loop-spaces. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 21(3):213–221, 1973.
- [Sin04] D. P. Sinha. Manifold-theoretic compactifications of configuration spaces. *Selecta Mathematica*, 10:391–428, 2004.
- [Sta63] J. D. Stasheff. Homotopy associativity of H-spaces. II. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 108(2):293–312, 1963.
- [Sta72] R. P. Stanley. Supersolvable lattices. *Algebra Universalis*, 2(1):197–217, 1972.
- [Sta96] J. Stasheff. From operads to physically inspired theories. In *Operads: Proceedings of Renaissance Conferences*, AMS, 1996.
- [SW11] P. Severa and T. Willwacher. Equivalence of formalities of the little discs operad. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 160(1):175–206, 2011.
- [Tam98] D. E. Tamarkin. Another proof of m. kontsevich formality theorem. [arXiv:980302](https://arxiv.org/abs/980302), 1998.
- [Tam18] P. Tamaroff. Minimal models for monomial algebras. [arXiv:1804.01435](https://arxiv.org/abs/1804.01435), 2018.

- [TW18] V. Turchin and T. Willwacher. Relative (non-) formality of the little cubes operads and the algebraic cerf lemma. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 140(2):277–316, 2018.
- [Ufn95] A. Ufnarovskij. Combinatorial and asymptotic methods in algebra. *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*, 57, Algebra VI:1–196, 1995.
- [Vai21] D. Vaintrob. Formality of little disks and algebraic geometry. [arXiv:2103.15054](#), 2021.
- [Yuz01] S. A. Yuzvinsky. Orlik-Solomon algebras in algebra and topology. *Russian Mathematical Surveys*, 56(2):293, 2001.
- [Zak22] A. Zakharov. Rational homotopy type of complements of submanifold arrangements. [arXiv:2211.05033](#), 2022.
- [Zvo12] D. Zvonkine. An introduction to moduli spaces of curves and their intersection theory. *Handbook of Teichmüller Theory*, 3:667–716, 2012.

ANTON KHOROSHKIN:

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF HAIFA, MOUNT CARMEL, 3103301, HAIFA, ISRAEL

Email address: `khoroshkin@gmail.com`

DENIS LYSKOV:

UNIVERSITÉ PARIS CITÉ AND SORBONNE UNIVERSITÉ, CNRS, IMJ-PRG, F-75013 PARIS, FRANCE

LABORATORY OF ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY, NRU HIGHER SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS, 119048, MOSCOW, RUSSIA

Email address: `ddl2001@yandex.ru`