

On dissipation operators of Quantum Optics

A.I. Komech, E.A. Kopylova

To the memory of Mikhail Shubin

Abstract

We consider dissipation operators used in Quantum Optics for the description of quantum spontaneous emission in the context of damped driven Jaynes–Cummings equations. The equations describe quantised one-mode Maxwell field coupled to a two-level molecule.

We nonpositivity of two basic dissipation operators is proved in the framework of the theory of the Hilbert space of the Hilbert–Schmidt operators. We show that one of the operators is symmetric, while the other is not.

MSC classification: 81V80, 81S05, 81S08 37K06, 78A40, 78A60.

Keywords: Quantum Optics; laser; Jaynes–Cummings model; Hamiltonian; density operator; pumping; dissipation; Hilbert space; Hilbert–Schmidt operator; trace.

Contents

1	Jaynes–Cummings equation	1
2	Dissipation operators and main results	2
3	Nonpositivity and symmetry	3
4	Injectivity	3
5	Acknowledgements	4

1 Jaynes–Cummings equation

The Jaynes–Cummings equation (JCE) is basic model of Quantum Optics. The model without damping and pumping was introduced in 1963 by Jaynes and Cummings [6] (the survey can be found in [9]). The damped driven Jaynes–Cummings equations read as [13, (5.107)]:

$$\dot{\rho}(t) = \mathcal{A}(t)\rho(t) := -i[H(t), \rho(t)] + \gamma D\rho(t), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (1.1)$$

Here $\rho(t)$ is the density operator of the coupled field-molecule system, i.e., it is a nonnegative Hermitian operator with the trace one, in the Hilbert space $X = F \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$, where F is the separable Hilbert space endowed with an orthonormal basis $|n\rangle$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$ and the annihilation operator a and its adjoint, creation operator a^\dagger :

$$a|n\rangle = \sqrt{n}|n-1\rangle, \quad a^\dagger|n\rangle = \sqrt{n+1}|n+1\rangle, \quad [a, a^\dagger] = 1. \quad (1.2)$$

The Hamiltonian $H(t)$ is the sum

$$H(t) = H_0 + pV(t), \quad H_0 = H_F + H_A, \quad H_F = \omega_c a^\dagger a, \quad H_A = \frac{1}{2} \omega_a \sigma_3, \quad V(t) = \sigma_1(a + a^\dagger) + A^e(t). \quad (1.3)$$

Here H_0 is the Hamiltonian of the free field and atom without interaction, while $pV(t)$ is the interaction Hamiltonian, $\omega_c > 0$ is the cavity resonance frequency, $\omega_a > 0$ is the molecular frequency, $\gamma > 0$ and D is a dissipation operator, $p \in \mathbb{R}$ is proportional to the molecular dipole moment. By $A^e(t)$ we denote the pumping, σ_1 and σ_3 are the Pauli matrices acting on \mathbb{C}^2 , so $[a, \sigma_k] = [a^\dagger, \sigma_k] = 0$.

2 Dissipation operators and main results

The dissipation operator D in (1.1) describes the quantum spontaneous emission which provides an energy loss to balance the pumping. The dissipation operators must satisfy the following conditions.

We will consider the dissipation operators [13, (5.107)] and [4, (5.6.20)]:

$$D\rho = a\rho a^\dagger - \frac{1}{2}a^\dagger a\rho - \frac{1}{2}\rho a^\dagger a + a^\dagger \rho a - \frac{1}{2}aa^\dagger \rho - \frac{1}{2}\rho aa^\dagger, \quad \Delta\rho = a\rho a^\dagger - \frac{1}{2}a^\dagger a\rho - \frac{1}{2}\rho a^\dagger a. \quad (2.1)$$

Definition 2.1. HS is the Hilbert space of Hermitian Hilbert–Schmidt operators with the inner product [11, Thm VI.22 (c)]

$$\langle \rho_1, \rho_2 \rangle_{\text{HS}} = \text{tr} [\rho_1 \rho_2]. \quad (2.2)$$

Definition 2.2. i) $|n, s_\pm\rangle = |n\rangle \otimes s_\pm$ is the orthonormal basis in X , where $s_\pm \in \mathbb{C}^2$ and $\sigma_3 s_\pm = \lambda(s_\pm) s_\pm$ with $\lambda(s_\pm) = \pm 1$.

ii) X_0 is the space of finite linear combinations of the vectors $|n, s_\pm\rangle$.

iii) $\mathcal{D} \subset \text{HS}$ is the subspace of finite rank Hermitian operators

$$\rho = \sum_{n, n'} \sum_{s, s' = s_\pm} \rho_{n, s; n', s'} |n, s\rangle \otimes \langle n', s'|. \quad (2.3)$$

Every density operator $\rho \in \text{HS}$ is defined uniquely by its matrix entries

$$\rho_{n, s; n', s'} = \langle n, s | \rho | n', s' \rangle, \quad (2.4)$$

which are Hermitian 2×2 matrices. The Hilbert–Schmidt norm, corresponding to the inner product (2.2), can be written as

$$\|\rho\|_{\text{HS}}^2 = \text{tr} [\rho^2] = \sum_{n, n'=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s, s' = s_\pm} |\rho_{n, s; n', s'}|^2 < \infty. \quad (2.5)$$

The operator D is a modification of the version Δ . The version Δ has been introduced in [1]–[3], and used in [4, (5.6.20)] and [5, (18)], [10], [12, (4)]. The version is symmetric in the following two aspects: for $\rho \in \mathcal{D}$, $\Delta\rho$ is the selfadjoint operator with zero trace that is necessary for the dynamics of $\rho(t)$ in selfadjoint operators with constant trace. The modification D restores the third symmetry with respect to the interchange of a and a^\dagger .

The main result of present paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3. i) Both dissipation operators D and Δ are defined on the domain $\mathcal{D} \subset \text{HS}$ and nonpositive:

$$\langle \rho, D\rho \rangle_{\text{HS}} \leq 0, \quad \langle \rho, \Delta\rho \rangle_{\text{HS}} \leq 0, \quad \rho \in \mathcal{D}. \quad (2.6)$$

ii) The operator $D : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is symmetric:

$$\langle \rho_1, D\rho_2 \rangle_{\text{HS}} = \langle D\rho_1, \rho_2 \rangle_{\text{HS}}, \quad \rho_1, \rho_2 \in \mathcal{D}, \quad (2.7)$$

while Δ is not symmetric.

iii) Both operators $D, \Delta : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ are injective.

Corollary 2.4. The dissipation operator D admits the selfadjoint Friedrichs extension.

3 Nonpositivity and symmetry

Here we prove Theorem 2.3 i) and ii). First, let us prove the nonpositivity of Δ . For $\rho \in \mathcal{D}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \rho, \Delta \rho \rangle_{\text{HS}} &= \text{tr}(\rho \Delta \rho) = \text{tr}\left(\rho(a\rho a^\dagger - \frac{1}{2}a^\dagger a \rho - \frac{1}{2}\rho a^\dagger a)\right) \\ &= \text{tr}(\rho a \rho a^\dagger - \rho a^\dagger a \rho) = \text{tr}(\rho a \rho a^\dagger - a^\dagger a \rho^2).\end{aligned}\quad (3.1)$$

Now we use the fact that ρ is a finite rank Hermitian operator (2.3). Then (1.2) implies that the operators $\rho a \rho a^\dagger$ and $a^\dagger a \rho^2$ have only finite number of nonzero entries (2.4), so their traces are well-defined. Moreover, ρ admits a finite spectral resolution in the orthonormal basis of its eigenvectors $e_i \in X_\infty$:

$$\rho = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \rho_i e_i \otimes e_i. \quad (3.2)$$

In this basis, the entries $\rho_{ij} = \rho_i \delta_{ij}$, and the entries $a_{jk} = \langle e_j, a e_k \rangle$ and $a_{kl}^\dagger = \langle e_k, a^\dagger e_l \rangle$ of the operators a and a^\dagger are well-defined. Hence, (3.1) implies, with summation in repeated indices,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \rho, \Delta \rho \rangle_{\text{HS}} &= \rho_i \delta_{ij} a_{jk} \rho_k \delta_{kl} a_{li}^\dagger - a_{kl}^\dagger a_{lj} \rho_j^2 \delta_{jk} = \rho_i a_{ik} \rho_k a_{ki}^\dagger - a_{kl}^\dagger a_{lk} \rho_k^2 \\ &= \rho_i a_{ik} \rho_k a_{ki}^\dagger - a_{ki}^\dagger a_{ik} \rho_k^2 = a_{ik} a_{ki}^\dagger (\rho_i \rho_k - \rho_k^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(a_{ik} a_{ki}^\dagger (\rho_i \rho_k - \rho_k^2) + a_{ki} a_{ik}^\dagger (\rho_k \rho_i - \rho_i^2) \right) = -\frac{1}{2} |a_{ik}|^2 (\rho_i - \rho_k)^2 \leq 0\end{aligned}\quad (3.3)$$

since $a_{ik}^\dagger = \bar{a}_{ki}$. Now, the nonpositivity is proved for Δ .

Lemma 3.1. $D = \Delta + \Delta^\dagger$, where Δ^\dagger differs from Δ by swapping a and a^\dagger :

$$\Delta^\dagger \rho = a^\dagger \rho a - \frac{1}{2} \rho a^\dagger a - \frac{1}{2} a^\dagger a \rho, \quad \rho \in \mathcal{D}. \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. For $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in \mathcal{D}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{tr}[\rho_1(\Delta \rho_2)] &= \text{tr}\left(\rho_1(a\rho_2 a^\dagger - \frac{1}{2}a^\dagger a \rho_2 - \frac{1}{2}\rho_2 a^\dagger a)\right) \\ &= \text{tr}\left(\rho_1 a \rho_2 a^\dagger - \frac{1}{2}\rho_1 a^\dagger a \rho_2 - \frac{1}{2}\rho_1 \rho_2 a^\dagger a\right) \\ &= \text{tr}\left(a^\dagger \rho_1 a \rho_2 - \frac{1}{2}\rho_1 a^\dagger a \rho_2 - \frac{1}{2}a^\dagger a \rho_1 \rho_2\right) \\ &= \text{tr}\left((a^\dagger \rho_1 a - \frac{1}{2}\rho_1 a^\dagger a - \frac{1}{2}a^\dagger a \rho_1) \rho_2\right) = \text{tr}[(\Delta^\dagger \rho_1) \rho_2].\end{aligned}$$

Hence, (3.4) is proved. \square

As the corollary, the nonpositivity of Δ^\dagger holds by the same arguments as for Δ just by swapping a and a^\dagger , and hence, $D = \Delta + \Delta^\dagger$ is also nonpositive. Moreover, D is symmetric on \mathcal{D} , while Δ is not.

Remark 3.2. The proof of the nonpositivity essentially depends on the symmetry of ρ .

4 Injectivity

Let us prove Theorem 2.3 iii). The formula (3.3) gives

$$\langle \rho, \Delta \rho \rangle_{\text{HS}} = -\frac{1}{2} |a_{ik}|^2 [\rho_i - \rho_k]^2, \quad \rho \in \mathcal{D}. \quad (4.1)$$

It implies that $\langle \rho, \Delta \rho \rangle = 0$ only if $a_{ik} = 0$ for $\rho_i \neq \rho_k$. Therefore, the eigenspaces of ρ corresponding to the eigenvalues ρ_i are invariant with respect to a and a^\dagger . However, there is only one such eigenspace, the entire Hilbert space X . Accordingly, all eigenvalues ρ_i coincide. Hence, all the eigenvalues are zeros since the zero is in spectrum of any density operator $\rho \in \mathcal{D}$.

Remark 4.1. The last step uses the fact that $\rho \in \mathcal{D}$. Alternatively, we can use the argument that the Hilbert–Schmidt norm (2.5) is infinite if all the eigenvalues ρ_i are nonzero and identical. This argument also works for general $\rho \in \text{HS}$ if the relation (4.1) holds. However, our proof of this relation is correct only for $\rho \in \mathcal{D}$.

5 Acknowledgements

The authors thank S. Kuksin, M.I. Petelin, A. Shnirelman and H. Spohn for longterm fruitful discussions.

References

- [1] G.S. Agarwal, Open quantum Markovian systems and the microreversibility, *Z. Physik* **258** (1973), 409–422.
- [2] G.S. Agarwal, Master equation methods in quantum optics, pp 3–90 in: *Progr. in Optics*, vol. 11, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1973.
- [3] G.S. Agarwal, Quantum statistical theories of spontaneous emission and their relation to other approach, *Springer Tracts in Modern Physics*, vol. 70, Springer, Berlin, 1974.
- [4] S.M. Barnett, P.M. Radmore, *Methods in Theoretical Quantum Optics*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1997.
- [5] S.M. Barnett, J. Jeffers, The damped Jaynes–Cummings model, *Journal of Modern Optics* **54** (2007), no. 13–15, 2033–2048.
- [6] E.T. Jaynes, F.W. Cummings, Comparison of quantum and semiclassical radiation theories with application to the beam maser, *Proc. IEEE* **51** (1963), no. 1, 89–109.
- [7] E. Kopylova, A. Komech, On single-frequency asymptotics for the Maxwell–Bloch equations: pure states, submitted to *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 2025.
www.mat.univie.ac.at/~ek/articles/KKlas-132-ERC_EK.pdf
- [8] E. Kopylova, A. Komech, On averaging of the Maxwell–Bloch equations in the interaction picture: mixed states, preprint, 2025.
www.mat.univie.ac.at/~ek/articles/KKlas-denma-31-ERC_EK.pdf
- [9] J. Larson, T. Mavrogordatos, *The Jaynes–Cummings Model and Its Descendants. Modern research directions*, IOP Publishing, Bristol, UK, 2021.
- [10] G. Lindblad, On the Generators of Quantum Dynamical Semigroups, *Comm. Math. Physics* **48** (1976), 119–130.
- [11] M. Reed, B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics I: Functional Analysis*, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- [12] S. Swain, Z. Ficek, The damped and coherently-driven Jaynes–Cummings model, *Journal of Optics B: Quantum and Semiclassical Optics* **4** (2002), no. 4, 328–336.
- [13] W. Vogel, D.-G. Welsch, *Quantum Optics*, Wiley, New York, 2006.