

A note on the Artstein-Avidan–Milman’s generalized Legendre transforms

Frank Nielsen 

Sony Computer Science Laboratories Inc.
Tokyo, Japan

Abstract

Artstein-Avidan and Milman [Annals of mathematics (2009), (169):661-674] characterized invertible reverse-ordering transforms on the space of lower semi-continuous extended real-valued convex functions as affine deformations of the ordinary Legendre transform. In this work, we first prove that all those generalized Legendre transforms on functions correspond to the ordinary Legendre transform on dually corresponding affine-deformed functions: In short, generalized convex conjugates are ordinary convex conjugates of dually affine-deformed functions. Second, we explain how these generalized Legendre transforms can be derived from the dual Hessian structures of information geometry.

1 Introduction

Let \mathbb{R} denote the field of real numbers and $\overline{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ the extended real line. A m -variate extended function $F : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is proper when its efficient domain $\Theta = \text{dom}(F) := \{\theta : F(\theta) < +\infty\}$ is non-empty, and lower semi-continuous (lsc.) when its epigraph $\text{epi}(F) := \{(\theta, y) : y \geq F(\theta), \theta \in \text{dom}(F)\}$ is closed with respect to the metric topology of \mathbb{R}^m . We denote by Γ_0 (shortcut for $\Gamma_0(\mathbb{R}^m)$) the space of of proper lower semi-continuous extended real-valued convex functions.

Consider the Legendre-Fenchel transform [8] (LFT) $\mathcal{L}F$ of a function F :

$$(\mathcal{L}F)(\eta) := \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^m} \{\langle \theta, \eta \rangle - F(\theta)\}, \quad (1)$$

where $\langle v, v' \rangle := \sum_{i=1}^m v_i v'_i$ denote the Euclidean inner product. The function $F^* = \mathcal{L}F$ is called the convex conjugate function. Some examples of convex conjugates are reported in Appendix §B.

The Moreau-Fenchel-Rockafellar theorem [10] states that when a function $F \in \Gamma_0$, its biconjugate function $(F^*)^*$ coincides with the function F : $F^{**} = F$. That is, the Legendre

transform is an involutive transform on Γ_0 . For general lsc. functions F (possibly non-convex), it is known that the biconjugate function $(F^*)^* := \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}F)$ lower bounds the function F by the largest possible lsc. convex function: $(F^*)^* \leq F$. This lower bound convexification property has been proven useful in machine learning [14].

This study was motivated by the fundamental result of Artstein-Avidan and Milman [4, 5] who proved the following theorem:

Theorem 1 ([5], Theorem 7) *Let \mathcal{T} be an invertible transform such that*

- $F_1 \leq F_2 \Rightarrow \mathcal{T}F_2 \leq \mathcal{T}F_1$ and
- $\mathcal{T}F_1 \leq \mathcal{T}F_2 \Rightarrow F_2 \leq F_1$.

Then \mathcal{T} is a generalized Legendre-Fenchel transform (GLFT), written canonically as:

$$(\mathcal{T}F)(\eta) = \lambda(\mathcal{L}F)(E\eta + f) + \langle \eta, g \rangle + h, \quad (2)$$

where $\lambda > 0$, $E \in \text{GL}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ (general linear group), $f, g \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $h \in \mathbb{R}$.

We note in passing that Fenchel [11, 12] interpreted the graph of the Legendre transform of a m -variate function $F \in \Gamma_0$ as the polarity with respect to the paraboloid surface of \mathbb{R}^{m+1}

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ \left(\theta, y = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i^2 \right) : \theta \in \mathbb{R}^m \right\} \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1},$$

of the graph of F . This geometric polarity connection of the Legendre transform is all the more interesting since Böröczky and Schneider [9] characterized the duality of convex bodies in Euclidean spaces containing the origin in the interior into the same space [4].

In this work, we shall first prove that a generalized convex conjugate $\mathcal{T}F$ obtained by Eq. 2 can always be expressed as the ordinary convex conjugate of a corresponding affine-deformed function. Namely, we shall show that

$$(\mathcal{T}F)(\eta) = \mathcal{L}(\lambda F(A\theta + b) + \langle \theta, c \rangle + d),$$

where $A \in \text{GL}(\mathbb{R}^m)$, $b, c \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $d \in \mathbb{R}$ are defined according to E, f, g, h (details reported in Theorem 2). This equivalence result allows us to interpret the origin of the generalized Legendre transforms from the viewpoint of information geometry [2, 7], and to untangle the various degrees of freedom used when defining generalized Legendre transforms in §3.

2 Generalized Legendre transforms as ordinary Legendre transforms

Consider a parameter

$$P = (\lambda, A, b, c, d) \in \mathbb{P} := \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \text{GL}(\mathbb{R}^m) \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R},$$

and deform a function $F(\theta)$ by carrying affine transformations on both the parameter argument and its output as follows:

$$F_P(\theta) := \lambda F(A\theta + b) + \langle \theta, c \rangle + d. \quad (3)$$

Those affine deformations preserve convexity:

Property 1 (Convexity-preserving affine deformations) *Let $F \in \Gamma_0$. Then F_P belongs to Γ_0 for all $P \in \mathbb{P}$.*

Proof: Let us check the convexity of F_P :

$$\alpha F_P(\theta_1) + (1 - \alpha) F_P(\theta_2) = \lambda(\alpha F(\bar{\theta}_1) + (1 - \alpha) F(\bar{\theta}_2)) + \langle \alpha\theta_1 + (1 - \alpha)\theta_2, c \rangle + d,$$

where $\bar{\theta}_1 := A\theta_1 + b$ and $\bar{\theta}_2 := A\theta_2 + b$. Since F is convex, we have $\alpha F(\bar{\theta}_1) + (1 - \alpha) F(\bar{\theta}_2) \leq F(\alpha\bar{\theta}_1 + (1 - \alpha)\bar{\theta}_2)$. Let $\bar{\theta}'_\alpha := \alpha\bar{\theta}_1 + (1 - \alpha)\bar{\theta}_2 = A\bar{\theta}'_\alpha + b$ with $\bar{\theta}'_\alpha := \alpha\theta_1 + (1 - \alpha)\theta_2$. We get

$$\alpha F_P(\theta_1) + (1 - \alpha) F_P(\theta_2) \leq \underbrace{\lambda F(A\bar{\theta}'_\alpha + b) + \langle \bar{\theta}'_\alpha, c \rangle + d}_{=F_P(\alpha\theta_1 + (1 - \alpha)\theta_2)},$$

hence proving that F_P is convex. Since the lower semi-continuous property is ensured (i.e., epigraph of F_P is closed), we conclude that $F_P \in \Gamma_0$. \square

Next, let us express the convex conjugate of a function F_P according to the ordinary convex conjugate $F^* = \mathcal{L}F$:

Proposition 1 (LFT of an affine-deformed function) *The Legendre transform of F_P with $P = (\lambda, A, b, c, d) \in \mathbb{P}$ is*

$$(F_P)^* = (F^*)_{P^\diamond}$$

where

$$P^\diamond := \left(\lambda, \frac{1}{\lambda} A^{-1}, -\frac{1}{\lambda} A^{-1} c, -A^{-1} b, \langle b, A^{-1} c \rangle - d \right) \in \mathbb{P}. \quad (4)$$

That is, we have $\mathcal{L}(F_P) = (\mathcal{L}F)_{P^\diamond}$.

Proof: Let $\Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma_0$ be defined as the class of differentiable strictly convex Legendre-type functions [19] (see Appendix A). In general, given a function $F(\theta) \in \Gamma_1$, we proceed as follows to calculate its convex conjugate: First, we find the inverse function $(\nabla F)^{-1}$ of its gradient ∇F to obtain the gradient of the convex conjugate: $\nabla F^* = (\nabla F)^{-1}$. Then we have

$$F^*(\eta) = \langle \theta, \eta \rangle - F(\theta) = \langle (\nabla F)^{-1}(\eta), \eta \rangle - F((\nabla F)^{-1}(\eta)).$$

For parameter $P = (\lambda, A, b, c, d)$, consider the strictly convex and differentiable function $F_P(\theta) = \lambda F(A\theta + b) + \langle c, \theta \rangle + d$, for invertible matrix $A \in \text{GL}(d, \mathbb{R})$, vectors $b, c \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and scalars $d \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. The gradient of F_P is

$$\eta = \nabla F_P(\theta) = \lambda A^\top \nabla F(A\theta + b) + c.$$

Denote by $G = F^*$ and $G_P = (F_P)^*$ be the Legendre convex conjugates of F and F_P , respectively. By solving the equation $\nabla F_P(\theta) = \eta$, we get the reciprocal gradient $\theta(\eta) = \nabla G_P(\eta)$:

$$\nabla G_P(\eta) = A^{-1} \nabla G \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} A^{-\top} (\eta - c) \right) - b.$$

Therefore the Legendre convex conjugate is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} G_P(\eta) &= \langle \eta, \nabla G_P(\eta) \rangle - F_P(\nabla G_P(\eta)), \\ &= \lambda' G(A'\eta + b') + \langle c', \eta \rangle + d', \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda' &= \lambda, \\ A' &= \frac{1}{\lambda} A^{-1}, \\ b' &= -\frac{1}{\lambda} A^{-1} c, \\ c' &= -A^{-1} b, \\ d' &= \langle b, A^{-1} c \rangle - d. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it follows that we have $P^\diamond = (\lambda, \frac{1}{\lambda} A^{-1}, -\frac{1}{\lambda} A^{-1} c, -A^{-1} b, \langle b, A^{-1} c \rangle - d) \in \mathbb{P}$. \square

Let us check that the (diamond) \diamond -operator on affine deformation parameters is an involution:

Proposition 2 (\diamond -involution) *The parameter transformation P^\diamond is an involution: $(P^\diamond)^\diamond = P$.*

Proof: Let $P = (\lambda, A, b, c, d)$ and $P^\diamond = (\lambda', A', b', c', d')$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda' &= \lambda, \\ A' &= \frac{1}{\lambda} A^{-1}, \\ b' &= -\frac{1}{\lambda} A^{-1} c, \\ c' &= -A^{-1} b, \\ d' &= \langle b, A^{-1} c \rangle - d. \end{aligned}$$

We check that $P^{\diamond\diamond} = (\lambda'', A'', b'', c'', d'') = (\lambda, A, b, c, d) = P$ component-wise as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda'' &= \lambda' & &= \lambda, \\
A'' &= \frac{1}{\lambda} A'^{-1} & &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} A^{-1} \right)^{-1} & &= A, \\
b'' &= -\frac{1}{\lambda} A'^{-1} c' & &= -\frac{1}{\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} A^{-1} \right)^{-1} (-A^{-1} b) & &= b, \\
c'' &= -A'^{-1} b' & &= -\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} A^{-1} \right)^{-1} \left(-\frac{1}{\lambda} A^{-1} c \right) & &= c, \\
d'' &= \langle b', A'^{-1} c' \rangle - d' & &= \left\langle -\frac{1}{\lambda} A^{-1} c, \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} A^{-1} \right)^{-1} (-A^{-1} b) \right\rangle - \langle b, A^{-1} c \rangle + d & &= d.
\end{aligned}$$

□

The \diamond -involution confirms that the Legendre-Fenchel transform is an involution:

$$((F_P)^*)^* = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}F_P) = \mathcal{L}F_{P^\diamond} = F_{(P^\diamond)^\diamond} = F_P.$$

Let us now define the following notation to express the generalized Legendre convex conjugates:

Definition 1 (Generalized Legendre-Fenchel convex conjugates [5]) *Let $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,E,f,g,h}$ denote a generalized Legendre-Fenchel transform:*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,E,f,g,h} F := \mathcal{L}_P F := \lambda(\mathcal{L}F)(E\eta + f) + \langle \eta, g \rangle + h$$

for the parameter $P = (\lambda, E, f, g, h) \in \mathbb{P}$.

Our result is that we can interpret those generalized Legendre-Fenchel transforms of Eq. 2 as the ordinary Legendre transform on corresponding affine-deformed convex functions:

Theorem 2 *For any $F \in \Gamma_0$, we have $\mathcal{L}_P(F) := (F^*)_P = \mathcal{L}(F_{P^\diamond})$.*

Proof: By definition, $\mathcal{L}_P F := (\mathcal{L}F)_P$. Since $P = (P^\diamond)^\diamond$ (Proposition 2), we have $(\mathcal{L}F)_P = (\mathcal{L}F)_{(P^\diamond)^\diamond}$, and by using Proposition 1, we get $(\mathcal{L}F)_{(P^\diamond)^\diamond} = \mathcal{L}(F_{P^\diamond})$. To summarize, we have:

$$\mathcal{L}_P(F) = (\mathcal{L}F)_P = (\mathcal{L}F)_{(P^\diamond)^\diamond} = \mathcal{L}(F_{P^\diamond}).$$

□

That is, in plain words, the Artstein-Avidan-Milman's generalized Legendre transforms [4, 5] are ordinary Legendre transforms on affine-deformed functions evaluated on affine deformed arguments.

Next, we describe an information-geometric interpretation of Theorem 2 which casts light on the origin and meanings of the degrees of freedom used to define generalized Legendre transforms.

3 An information-geometric interpretation of generalized Legendre transforms

We can interpret the fact that generalized Legendre convex conjugates are affine-deformed convex conjugates from the lens of information geometry [2]. Consider a smooth strictly convex function $F : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ of the space Γ_2 of twice-differentiable lsc. Legendre-type convex functions (with $\Gamma_2 \subset \Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma_0$). This function $F(\theta)$ defines a dually flat m -dimensional space [2] (M, g, ∇, ∇^*) which is a global chart manifold $M = \{p\}$ of points p equipped with dual Hessian structures [20] (g, ∇) and (g, ∇^*) . The primal Hessian structure is induced by a potential function ψ on M with a torsion-free flat affine connection ∇ such that $\psi(p) = F(\theta(p))$ where $(M, \theta(\cdot))$ is the primal ∇ -affine coordinate system. The dual Hessian structure is induced by a dual potential function ϕ on M with a dual torsion-free flat affine connection ∇^* such that $\phi(p) = F^*(\eta(p))$ where $(M, \eta(\cdot))$ is the dual ∇^* -affine coordinate system. The Riemannian metric g can be expressed in the dual coordinate charts as $g(\theta) = \nabla^2 F(\theta)$ or $g(\eta) = \nabla^2 F^*(\eta)$ where F^* is the convex conjugate. These two potential functions ψ and ϕ living on the manifold M satisfy the Fenchel-Young inequality:

$$\psi(\theta(p)) + \phi(\eta(q)) \geq \sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i(p) \eta_i(q), \quad (5)$$

with equality if and only if $p = q$ on M . The metric tensor g can be expressed as $g = \nabla d\psi$ or equivalently as $g = \nabla^* d\phi$ where d denotes the exterior derivative and ψ and ϕ are 0-forms.

Now, the ∇ -affine coordinate system θ is defined up to an affine transformation: That is, if $\theta(\cdot)$ is a ∇ -coordinate system so is the coordinate system $\bar{\theta}(\cdot) = A\theta(\cdot) + b$. However, once parameters A and b are fixed, it fully determines the dual ∇^* -coordinate system $\eta(\cdot)$.

The potential function ϕ is also reconstructed by solving differential equations modulo an affine term $\langle c, \theta \rangle + d$ (e.g., see proofs relying on Poincaré lemma in [3, 20, 2, 15]). Fixing the degrees of freedom in the reconstruction of ψ will also fix the corresponding affine term in F^* which expresses ψ . The dual potential functions ψ and ϕ on the manifold M are related by the fiberwise Legendre transform [13] in geometric mechanics.

Thus the information geometry of dually flat spaces allows one to explain the decoupling of the interactions of the dual set of parameters (A, b, c, d) with (E, f, g, h) . Last, the scalar parameter $\lambda > 0$ is the degree of freedom obtained from the fact that if (M, g, ∇, ∇^*) is a dually flat space so is $(M, \lambda g, \nabla, \nabla^*)$.

The Fenchel-Young inequality induced by the dual potential functions of Eq. 5 define a canonical divergence on a dually flat space that we term the dually flat Hessian divergence:

$$D_{g, \nabla}(p : q) = \psi(\theta(p)) + \phi(\eta(q)) - \sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i(p) \eta_i(q) \geq 0.$$

We have the following reference duality [16]: $D_{g, \nabla}(q : p) = D_{g, \nabla^*}(p : q)$.

The dually flat Hessian divergence can be expressed using the dual coordinate systems

as a corresponding Fenchel-Young divergence defined by

$$Y_{F,F^*}(\theta : \eta') := F(\theta) + F^*(\eta') - \sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i \eta'_i.$$

A Fenchel-Young divergence can also be expressed equivalently as dual Bregman divergences B_F or B_{F^*} :

$$Y_{F,F^*}(\theta : \eta') = B_F(\theta : \theta') = B_{F^*}(\eta' : \eta),$$

where $\theta' = \nabla F^*(\eta')$ and $\eta = \nabla F(\theta)$ with

$$B_F(\theta : \theta') = F(\theta) - F(\theta') - \langle \theta - \theta', \nabla F(\theta') \rangle.$$

Thus the dually flat Hessian divergence can be expressed as dual Fenchel-Young divergences (using the fact that $F^{**} = F$) in the mixed θ/η coordinate systems as

$$D_{g,\nabla}(p : q) = Y_{F,F^*}(\theta(p) : \eta(q)) = Y_{F^*,F}(\eta(q) : \theta(p)), \quad (6)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\lambda} Y_{F_P, F_{P^\diamond}^*}(\bar{\theta}(p) : \bar{\eta}(q)) = \frac{1}{\lambda} Y_{F_{P^\diamond}^*, F_P}(\bar{\eta}(q) : \bar{\theta}(p)), \forall P \in \mathbb{P}, \quad (7)$$

This follows from the fact that $\psi(p) = F_P(\bar{\theta}(p)) = F(\theta(p))$ and $\phi(q) = F_{P^\diamond}^*(\bar{\eta}(q)) = F^*(\eta(q))$ for any $P \in \mathbb{P}$.

Thus we can define an equivalence relation \sim between functions of Γ_2 : $F \sim \tilde{F}$ if and only if $\tilde{F} = F_P$ for some $P \in \mathbb{P}$. Furthermore, a distance invariant under the Legendre transform [6] can be defined on the moduli space Γ_2/\sim of dually flat spaces.

Last, we may consider some curvilinear dual coordinate systems instead of the mutually orthogonal dually affine coordinate systems: Let us transform the affine θ -coordinate system into some arbitrary curvilinear coordinate system $\tilde{\theta}$. The dual affine η -coordinate system transforms correspondingly into the curvilinear coordinate system $\tilde{\eta}$. The underlying geometric structures have been called the (u, v) -structures by Amari [18, 2] or the (ρ, τ) -structures by Zhang [16]. The dual Bregman divergences or equivalently the dual Fenchel-Young divergences of the underlying dually flat space need to be called by undeforming arguments [17, 1] $\tilde{\theta}$ and/or $\tilde{\eta}$. Since the inverse transform $\tilde{\theta} \rightarrow \theta$ can be interpreted as a representation function, the Bregman divergences called by undeforming $\tilde{\theta}$ arguments have been called representational Bregman divergences in [17].

4 Conclusion

To summarize, we first proved that the generalized Legendre transforms obtained from the reverse-ordering involutive transform axiomatization of [4, 5] can be interpreted as the ordinary Legendre transform on corresponding affine-deformed functions. Second, we explained how these generalized Legendre transforms are merely different expressions of the well-known geometric Legendre transforms on dually flat spaces (Hessian manifolds with global charts).

Figure 1 summarizes the various classes of convex functions used in this paper with some of their key properties used to define information-geometric structures. In particular, the class of functions Γ_3 are thrice-differentiable Legendre-type lsc. convex functions (with $\Gamma_3 \subset \Gamma_2 \subset \Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma_0$) which allows one to construct α -geometry [2] from the Amari-Chentsov cubic tensor [7].

References

- [1] Shun-ichi Amari. α -Divergence is unique, belonging to both f -divergence and Bregman divergence classes. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 55(11):4925–4931, 2009.
- [2] Shun-ichi Amari. *Information geometry and its applications*, volume 194. Springer, 2016.
- [3] Shun-ichi Amari and Hiroshi Nagaoka. *Methods of information geometry*, volume 191. American Mathematical Soc., 2000.
- [4] Shiri Artstein-Avidan and Vitali Milman. A characterization of the concept of duality. *Electronic Research Announcements*, 14:42–59, 2007.
- [5] Shiri Artstein-Avidan and Vitali Milman. The concept of duality in convex analysis, and the characterization of the Legendre transform. *Annals of mathematics*, 169:661–674, 2009.
- [6] Hédý Attouch and Roger J-B Wets. Isometries for the Legendre-Fenchel transform. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 296(1):33–60, 1986.
- [7] Nihat Ay, Jürgen Jost, Hông Vân Lê, and Lorenz Schwachhöfer. *Information geometry*, volume 64. Springer, 2017.
- [8] Heinz H. Bauschke and Yves Lucet. What is... a Fenchel conjugate? *Notices of the AMS*, 59(1):44–46, 2012.
- [9] Károly J Böröczky and Rolf Schneider. A characterization of the duality mapping for convex bodies. *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, 18(3):657–667, 2008.
- [10] Rafael Correa, Abderrahim Hantoute, and Marco A López. *Fundamentals of convex analysis and optimization*. Springer, 2023.
- [11] Werner Fenchel. On conjugate convex functions. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 1(1):73–77, 1949.
- [12] Werner Fenchel. On conjugate convex functions. In *Traces and Emergence of Nonlinear Programming*, pages 125–129. Springer, 2013.




Figure 1: The ordinary Legendre transform on various classes of functions: Relationships with Fenchel-Young and Bregman divergences, dually flat Hessian divergence, and α -geometry in information geometry.

- [13] Melvin Leok and Jun Zhang. Connecting information geometry and geometric mechanics. *Entropy*, 19(10):518, 2017.
- [14] Thomas Möllenhoff and Mohammad Emtiyaz Khan. SAM as an Optimal Relaxation of Bayes. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2023, Kigali, Rwanda, May 1-5, 2023*. OpenReview.net, 2023.
- [15] Pablo A Morales, Jan Korbel, and Fernando E Rosas. Geometric structures induced by deformations of the Legendre transform. *Entropy*, 25(4):678, 2023.
- [16] Jan Naudts and Jun Zhang. Legendre duality: From thermodynamics to information geometry. *Information Geometry*, 7(Suppl 1):623–649, 2024.
- [17] Frank Nielsen and Richard Nock. The dual Voronoi diagrams with respect to representational Bregman divergences. In *Sixth International Symposium on Voronoi Diagrams (ISVD)*, pages 71–78. IEEE, 2009.
- [18] Richard Nock, Frank Nielsen, and Shun-ichi Amari. On conformal divergences and their population minimizers. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 62(1):527–538, 2015.
- [19] Ralph Tyrrell Rockafellar. Conjugates and Legendre transforms of convex functions. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 19:200–205, 1967.
- [20] Hirohiko Shima. *The geometry of Hessian structures*. World Scientific, 2007.

A Legendre-type functions

Rockafellar [19] showed that there exist convex lsc. functions of Γ_0 with corresponding gradient domains not convex. For example, Rockafellar showed that the bivariate function $F(\theta) = F(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\theta_1^2}{\theta_2} + \theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2 \right)$ defined on the upper plane domain $\Theta = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is strictly convex but its gradient domain H is not convex. Thus to rule out these functions, Rockafellar defined Legendre-type functions [19]:

Definition 2 (Legendre-type function [19]) *A convex function $F : \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is of Legendre-type if*

- Θ is a non-empty open effective domain,
- F is strictly convex and differentiable on Θ ,
- F becomes infinitely steep close to boundary points of its effective domain:

$$\forall \theta \in \Theta, \forall \theta' \in \partial\Theta, \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{d}{d\lambda} F(\lambda\theta + (1-\lambda)\theta') = -\infty.$$

When F is of Legendre-type so is its convex conjugate F^* , and moreover the gradients of convex conjugates are reciprocal to each others: $\nabla F^* = (\nabla F)^{-1}$ and $\nabla F = (\nabla F^*)^{-1}$. This property of reciprocal gradients obtained from the LFT of Legendre-type functions is strong since in general the implicit function theorem only guarantees local inversion of multivariate functions but not the existence of global inverse functions.

We shall denote by $\Gamma_1(\Theta) \subset \Gamma_0(\Theta)$ the subset of proper lsc. strictly convex and differentiable functions of Legendre-type defined on the open effective domain Θ . We define the Legendre-Fenchel transform of $\mathcal{L}(\Theta, F) = (H, F^*)$ where H is the gradient domain, and called F^* the convex conjugate of F . We have $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}(\Theta, F) = (\Theta, F)$ for Legendre-type functions $F \in \Gamma_1(\Theta)$. The concept of Legendre-type function is related to the concept of steep exponential families in statistics.

In general, convex conjugate pairs enjoy the following reverse-ordering property:

Property 2 (Reverse-ordering) *Let F_1 and F_2 in $\Gamma_0(\Theta)$. If $F_2 \leq F_1$ then $\mathcal{L}F_2 \geq \mathcal{L}F_1$. If $F_2 \geq F_1$ then $\mathcal{L}F_2 \leq \mathcal{L}F_1$.*

Proof: Assume $F_2 \leq F_1$ and let us prove that $F_2^* = \mathcal{L}F_2 \geq F_1^* = \mathcal{L}F_1$:

$$\begin{aligned} F_1^*(\eta) &= \sup_{\theta} \{\langle \eta, \theta \rangle - F_1(\theta)\}, \\ &= \langle \eta, \nabla F^{-1}(\eta) \rangle - F_1(\nabla F^{-1}(\eta)), \\ &\leq \langle \eta, \nabla F^{-1}(\eta) \rangle - F_2(\nabla F^{-1}(\eta)), \\ &\leq \sup_{\theta} \{\langle \eta, \theta \rangle - F_2(\theta)\} = F_2^*(\eta). \end{aligned}$$

The case $F_2 \geq F_1$ is similar to the case $F_1 \geq F_2$ by exchanging the role of F_1 and F_2 (i.e., $F_2 \leftrightarrow F_1$). \square

B Some examples of convex conjugates

Example 1 *Let $F(\theta) = \langle a, \theta \rangle + b$ be an affine function. Then*

$$F^*(\eta) = \begin{cases} -b & \text{if } \eta = a \\ +\infty & \text{if } \eta \neq a \end{cases}$$

Let

$$1_A(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in A \\ +\infty & \text{if } x \notin A \end{cases}$$

be the indicator function of a set A . Then we can write $F^ = 1_{\{a\}} - b$.*

Example 2 *Let $F(\theta) = |\theta|$ for $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then we have the convex conjugate*

$$F^*(\eta) = 1_{[-1,1]}(\eta) = \begin{cases} 0 & |\eta| \leq 1, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Example 3 Consider $F(\theta) = \exp \theta$ for $\Theta = \mathbb{R}$. We have

$$F^*(\eta) = \begin{cases} \eta \log \eta - \eta, & \text{if } \eta > 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } \eta = 0, \\ +\infty & \text{if } \eta < 0. \end{cases}$$

The convex conjugate is the scalar Shannon entropy function extended to positive reals.

Example 4 For $p \in [1, +\infty)$, let $F_p(\theta) = \frac{1}{p} \|\theta\|^p$ for $\theta \in \Theta = \mathbb{R}^m$. Then we have $F_p^*(\eta) := F_q(\eta)$ where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. The powers p and q are called a conjugate pair of exponents. Notice that the Fenchel-Young inequality reduces to the Young's inequality in the scalar case:

$$F_p(\theta') + F_q(\eta) \geq \langle \theta', \eta \rangle.$$

Furthermore, by integrating Young's inequality on both sides for $\eta = g(x)$ and $\theta = f(x)$ for $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $f \in L_p$ and $g \in L_q$ (Lebesgue spaces), we recover Hölder's inequality:

$$\frac{1}{p} \|f\|_p + \frac{1}{q} \|g\|_q \geq \|fg\|_1.$$

In fact, we have the self-duality $F = F^*$ only for $F(\theta) = F_2(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \|\theta\|^2$.

When a function $F \in \Gamma_0(\Theta)$ is not Legendre type, the Fenchel-Young inequality may be tight for several pairs (θ', η) instead of a single pair $(\theta = \nabla F^*(\eta), \eta = \nabla F(\theta))$. A subgradient η of $F(\theta)$ at θ_0 satisfies:

$$F(\theta) - F(\theta_0) \geq \langle \eta, \theta - \theta_0 \rangle.$$

The subdifferential $\partial_{\theta_0} F$ of F at θ_0 is the set of subgradients. The subdifferential operator $\partial : \mathbb{R}^m \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}^m$ is multivalued:

$$\partial F(\theta) = \begin{cases} \{\eta : F(\theta) - F(\theta_0) \geq \langle \eta, \theta - \theta_0 \rangle\}, & \text{if } \theta \in \Theta = \text{dom}(F) \\ \emptyset & \text{if } \theta \notin \Theta. \end{cases}$$

The following example illustrates the LFT of a non-Legendre type function and the LFT of a Legendre-type function obtained by restricting the function to a specified domain.

Example 5 Let $F(\theta) := \exp(|\theta|) - |\theta| - 1$ defined on $\Theta = \mathbb{R}$. Then $F^*(\eta) = (1 + |\eta|) \log(1 + |\eta|) - |\eta|$ defined on $H = \mathbb{R}$. These two functions F and F^* are even and convex, and form a conjugate pair (Figure 2). Function $F(\theta)$ is not differentiable at $\theta = 0$, and thus we have:

$$\partial F(\theta) = \begin{cases} \nabla F(\theta) = \exp(\theta) - 1, & \theta > 0, \\ \partial F(0) = [-1, 1], & \theta = 0, \\ \nabla F(\theta) = -\exp(-\theta) + 1, & \theta < 0. \end{cases}$$

Function $F^*(\eta)$ is differentiable everywhere and we have

$$\partial F^*(\eta) = \begin{cases} \nabla F^*(\eta) = \log(1 + \eta), & \eta \geq 0, \\ \nabla F^*(\eta) = -\log(1 - \eta), & \eta \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

For $\theta \neq 0$, the gradients $\nabla F(\theta)$ and $\nabla F^*(\eta)$ are reciprocal to each other. The function $(\mathbb{R}, F(\theta))$ is not Legendre-type since it is not differentiable at $\theta = 0$ but the functions $(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, F(\theta))$ and $(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, F^*(\eta))$ form a Legendre-type pair when restricted to the positive domain $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$: $F, F^* \in \Gamma_1(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$.




Figure 2: A pair $(F(\theta), F^*(\eta))$ of conjugate functions (top) with their subgradients plotted (bottom). Function $F(\theta)$ is not differentiable at $\theta = 0$ and thus admits a subgradient $\partial F(0)$ at $\theta = 0$. Function $F^*(\eta)$ is everywhere differentiable, and when $\theta \neq 0$, we have $\nabla F^* = (\nabla F)^{-1}$ visualized by rotating the xy -axis 90-degrees).