

QUASI-STATIONARITY OF THE DYSON BROWNIAN MOTION WITH COLLISIONS

ARNAUD GUILLIN[†], BORIS NECTOUX[†], AND LIMING WU[†]

ABSTRACT. In this work, we investigate the ergodic behavior of a system of particles, subject to collisions, before it exits a fixed subdomain of its state space. This system is composed of several one-dimensional ordered Brownian particles in interaction with electrostatic repulsions, which is usually referred as the (generalized) Dyson Brownian motion. The starting points of our analysis are the work [E. Cépa and D. Lépinle, 1997 Probab. Theory Relat. Fields] which provides existence and uniqueness of such a system subject to collisions via the theory of multivalued SDEs and a Krein–Rutman type theorem derived in [A. Guillin, B. Nectoux, L. Wu, 2020 J. Eur. Math. Soc.].

AMS 2020 Subject classifications. 37A30, 37A60, 60B10, 60J60, 60J65.

Key words and Phrases. Quasi-stationarity, killed multivalued SDEs, Dyson Brownian motion.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. The model. Let $N \geq 1$ and consider the open connected set $\mathcal{O} := \{x = (x^1, \dots, x^N) \in \mathcal{R} \times \dots \times \mathcal{R}, x^1 < \dots < x^N\}$. We will simply denote $\mathcal{R} \times \dots \times \mathcal{R}$ by \mathcal{R}^N . Note that \mathcal{O} is a nonempty unbounded open convex subset of \mathcal{R}^N . For $x = (x^1, \dots, x^N) \in \mathcal{R}^N$, we consider the confining potential

$$V_c(x) = \sum_{k=1}^N \mathbf{v}(x^k),$$

where $\mathbf{v} : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$. We assume throughout this work that \mathbf{v} is a smooth convex function such that its derivative \mathbf{v}' is globally Lipschitz. We will also need, to construct a suitable Lyapunov function, the following extra assumption on \mathbf{v} . For every $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{|u| \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbf{v}''(u)/2 - \delta |\mathbf{v}'(u)|^2 = -\infty. \quad (1.1)$$

The prototypical exemple of such a function \mathbf{v} is the quadratic potential $u \in \mathcal{R} \mapsto a_{\mathbf{v}}|u|^2$. Note that V_c is smooth, convex, and its gradient is globally Lipschitz as well. Let us also consider the following interaction potential defined by, for $\gamma > 0$,

$$V_I(x) = \begin{cases} -\gamma \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \ln(x^j - x^i) & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{O} \\ +\infty & \text{if } x \notin \mathcal{O}. \end{cases}$$

This proper lower semi-continuous convex function satisfies

$$\text{dom}(V_I) := \{x \in \mathcal{R}^N, V_I(x) < +\infty\} = \mathcal{O}.$$

Its subdifferential ∂V_I is a simple-valued maximal monotone operator, with

$$\text{dom}(\nabla V_I) = \text{dom}(V_I) = \mathcal{O}.$$

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ be a filtered probability space, where the filtration satisfies the usual condition (namely it is complete and right-continuous), and let $(B_t, t \geq 0)$ be standard \mathcal{R}^N Brownian motion. From the theory of multivalued SDEs established in [4] (see also [5, 50, 38]), for all $x \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}$, there exists a unique strong continuous solution $((X_t, K_t), t \geq 0)$ of

$$dX_t = -\nabla V_c(X_t)dt - dK_t + dB_t, \quad (1.2)$$

such that:

- (1) The process $(K_t, t \geq 0)$ has a finite variation and $K_0 = 0$.
- (2) The process $(X_t, t \geq 0)$ lies in $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ for all $t \geq 0$.
- (3) For every continuous process (α, β) such that for all $s \geq 0$, $(\alpha_s, \beta_s) \in \text{Gr}(\partial V_I)$ (the graph of ∂V_I), the measure $\langle X_s - \alpha_s, dK_s - \beta_s ds \rangle$ is a.s. non negative on \mathcal{R}_+ .

We denote by $((X_t(x), K_t(x)), t \geq 0)$ this unique solution and we write $X_t(x) = (x_t^1(x), \dots, x_t^N(x))$. Note that $t \mapsto dK_t(x)$ may *a priori* not be necessarily absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure on \mathcal{R} . One of the main contributions of [8] is to prove that it is actually the case and that there is no boundary term. More precisely the following result is proved there and it is the starting point of our work.

Theorem 1 ([8]). *For for all $x \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}$, the following assertions hold true:*

- i. $\mathbb{P}_x[\{s \geq 0, X_s \in \partial \mathcal{O}\} \text{ has zero Lebesgue measure}] = 1$.
- ii. *For all $t \geq 0$ and $1 \leq i < j \leq N$, a.s.*

$$\int_0^t \frac{ds}{x_s^j(x) - x_s^i(x)} < +\infty. \quad (1.3)$$

- iii. *A.s. $dK_t(x) = \nabla V_I(X_t(x))dt$.*

Note that Item **iii** indeed shows that there is no boundary term in the process $(K_t, t \geq 0)$. When $\gamma \in (0, 1/2)$, collisions occur a.s. and never occur when $\gamma \geq 1/2$ (see Lemma 2). Item **i** thus implies that time collisions are very rare in the sense of Lebesgue measure. In particular, since the trajectories of the process are continuous, the set of collision times $\{s \geq 0, X_s \in \partial \mathcal{O}\}$ is a.s. never dense in any subset of \mathcal{R}_+ of non zero Lebesgue measure. Item **ii** in Theorem 1 shows that $t \geq 0 \mapsto \nabla V_I(X_t(x))$ is locally integrable. If a collision occurs in finite time, this is thus done in an *integrable way*, i.e. in a way that preserves the integrability conditions (1.3). As initially observed in [20], the process (1.2) appears in a natural way in the study of the eigenvalues of a randomly-diffusing symmetric matrix, see [45, 47] and references therein.

1.2. Purpose of this work and motivation. The purpose of this work is to study, when collisions occur a.s. (which, we recall, is the case if and only if $\gamma \in (0, 1/2)$, see Lemma 2), the long time behavior of the process (1.2) when conditioned not to exit an open subregion \mathcal{U} of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$. This behavior is strongly linked with

the existence and uniqueness of the so-called quasi-stationary distribution of the process (1.2) inside \mathcal{U} , see Definition 1 below.

The main result of this work is Theorem 6 below which describes the long time behavior of the killed (outside \mathcal{U}) process $(X_t, t \geq 0)$, see also the intermediate results Theorems 2, 3, 4, and 5 which provide information on the regularity of both the killed and the non-killed processes. We emphasize that we have no regularity assumption on the boundary of \mathcal{U} , which can be bounded or not.

To prove Theorem 6, we rely on [26, Theorem 2.2] and more precisely, we check that all the assumptions of this theorem are valid. The long time behavior of the killed process when the collisions never occur, i.e. when $\gamma > 1/2$, can be treated as in [27, Section 4.2] (see also [25]) since in such a setting, the process $(X_t, t \geq 0)$ lies a.s. in \mathcal{O}^1 , see indeed Section 4.2 below. This is not the case we will focus on here. As already mentioned above, we will rather consider in this work the case when collisions occur a.s. combined with the situation where (which is the case of interest here):

$$\mathcal{U} \cap \partial\mathcal{O} \neq \emptyset.$$

In particular, to use [26, Theorem 2.2], we will have to study the regularity properties (such as the strong Feller property and the topological irreducibility) of the non-killed and the killed (outside \mathcal{U}) semigroups, see respectively (2.1) and (3.2), when the process starts at a point $x \in \partial\mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{U}$, i.e. when initially, at least two particles share the same position (namely starting with a collision) - see more precisely Theorems 2, 3, 4 and 5. Compared to the framework [27] where collisions never happen, the main difficulty of the analysis here lies in the fact that the drift ∇V_c , though integrable in time (see Item **ii** above), is infinite on $\partial\mathcal{O}$. This prevents from using (at least directly) standard techniques for solutions of stochastic differential equations such as for instance the elliptic regularity theory, the Malliavin calculus, the Stroock-Varadhan support theorem, or Gaussian upper bounds. Moreover, compared to our previous works, we cannot rely on all the tools we developed in [26, 27, 25]. We will thus need a little finesse in some places and argue differently.

1.3. Notation. The set $\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$ is the Borel σ -algebra of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$, and $b\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$ is the space of all bounded and Borel measurable functions $f : \overline{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ equipped with the sup-norm

$$\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}} |f(x)|.$$

The set $\mathcal{C}_b(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$ denotes the space of bounded continuous real-valued functions over $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$. Given an initial distribution ν on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$, we write $\mathbb{P}_\nu(\cdot) = \int_{\overline{\mathcal{O}}} \mathbb{P}_x(\cdot) \nu(dx)$. The indicator function of a measurable set \mathcal{A} is denoted by $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}$. For $T > 0$, the space $\mathcal{C}([0, T], \overline{\mathcal{O}})$ is the space of continuous functions $g : [0, T] \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{O}}$, which is equipped with the supremum norm. For $p \geq 1$ and $k \geq 1$, $L^p(\mathcal{R}^N, dz)$ stands for the space of functions $g : \mathcal{R}^N \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^k$ such that $\|g\|_{L^p} = \int_{\mathcal{R}^N} |g|^p(z) dz$ is finite (note that we do not refer to the index k in this notation). The set of probability measures over

¹The energy of the system is, when $\gamma \geq 1/2$, a Lyapunov function which prevents from collisions.

a subset \mathcal{U} of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{U})$. The infinitesimal generator of the process $(X_t, t \geq 0)$ is denoted by \mathcal{L} , i.e.

$$\mathcal{L} = \Delta/2 - \nabla V_c \cdot \nabla - \nabla V_I \cdot \nabla.$$

We end this section by recalling the notion of quasi-stationary distribution [16, 36, 13] which is the central object to analyse the long time behavior of conditioned processes. Such an object is at the heart of the study of biological processes [16, 36, 49] or in the study of metastable dynamics [18, 19, 33].

Definition 1. *A measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{U})$ is a quasi-stationary distribution for the process $(X_t, t \geq 0)$ (see (1.2)) inside $\mathcal{U} \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}}$ if $\mathbb{P}_\mu[X_t \in \mathcal{A} | t < \sigma_{\mathcal{U}}] = \mu(\mathcal{A})$, $\forall t \geq 0$ and $\forall \mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{U})$.*

1.4. Related results. The process (1.2) as well as the asymptotic behavior of its empirical measure in the limit $N \rightarrow +\infty$ have been investigated in [45] in the absence of collision (i.e. when $\gamma > 1/2$) and, in the collision case, in [8, 9, 10] using the theory of multivalued stochastic differential equations [4, 5] (see also [50, 38]). We also refer to [17] for the study of the radial Dunkl process and its first hitting time to the boundary Weyl chamber.

The law of large numbers and the propagation of chaos for its empirical measures have been derived in [35, 24]. The ergodic behavior of (1.2) has been studied in [7, 42], see also [43, 41] for large deviations principles in the small noise regime and the regularity of the invariant measures for solutions to multivalued SDEs.

The process (1.2) is elliptic in the sense that the Brownian noise acts in every direction of \mathcal{R}^N . Existence and uniqueness of a quasi-stationary distribution for elliptic diffusions over a bounded subdomain \mathcal{D} of \mathcal{R}^d having sufficiently smooth coefficients over $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$, is now well-known, see e.g. [40, 23, 14, 11, 31] and references therein. The quasi-stationarity of elliptic and hypoelliptic processes in the singular potential case and without collision has been investigated in [27, 25], and in the non singular case in [26, 34, 2, 12]. We also mention [22] for existence and uniqueness of the quasi-stationary distribution for the stochastic Fisher-Kolmogorov-Petrovsky-Piscunov on the circle. Finally, more materials on quasi-stationary distributions can be found in [16, 36].

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

2.1. Collision time. Let us first notice that by uniqueness of the strong solution, by standard considerations, $(X_t, t \geq 0)$ satisfies the Markov property, see e.g. [7] or [44, Section 1 in Chapter IX]. We denote its semigroup by

$$P_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}_x[f(X_t)], \text{ for } f \in b\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}), x \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}, \quad (2.1)$$

which is usually called the non-killed semigroup. The following lemma shows among other things that P_t has the Feller property for all $t \geq 0$. In particular, in view of the proof of [32, Theorem 6.17], $(X_t, t \geq 0)$ satisfies the strong Markov property.

Lemma 1. *Let $x, y \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}$ and $T > 0$. Then,*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_t(x) - X_t(y)|^2 \right] \leq |x - y|^2.$$

Proof. Using [5, Proposition 4.1] (see also [4]) together with the convexity of V_c , it holds:

$$\begin{aligned} d|X_t(x) - X_t(y)|^2 &= -2(X_t(x) - X_t(y)) \cdot (\nabla V_c(X_t(x)) - \nabla V_c(X_t(y))) dt \\ &\quad - 2(X_t(x) - X_t(y)) \cdot (dK_t(x) - dK_t(y)) \\ &\leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the desired result. \square

Let us now introduce the first (positive) collision time:

$$\sigma_{\text{col}} := \inf\{t > 0, x_t^j = x_t^i \text{ for some } i < j\}.$$

Note that σ_{col} is also the first positive time the process $(X_t, t \geq 0)$ hits the boundary $\partial\mathcal{O}$ of \mathcal{O} (or equivalently, exits \mathcal{O}). Depending on the parameter $\gamma > 0$, collisions between the N particles either always occur or never occur.

Lemma 2. *If $\gamma \geq 1/2$, then $\mathbb{P}_{x_0}[\sigma_{\text{col}} = +\infty] = 1$ for all $x_0 \in \mathcal{O}$. If $\gamma \in (0, 1/2)$, $\mathbb{P}_{x_0}[\sigma_{\text{col}} < +\infty] = 1$ for all $x_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}$.*

Proof. The case when $\gamma \geq 1/2$ has already been treated in [45] using the energy $x \in \mathcal{O} \mapsto H(x) := V_c(x) + V_I(x)$ as a Lyapunov function which prevents from collisions. Assume now that $\gamma \in (0, 1/2)$. Let us prove that $\mathbb{P}_x[\sigma_{\text{col}} < +\infty] = 1$. Such a result have been proved in a very similar setting in [9] using Legendre process in the non confining case. We propose here another proof based on a standard argument involving Bessel processes. To this end introduce the first positive collision time between the ℓ -th particle and the $(\ell + 1)$ -th particle ($\ell \in \{1, \dots, N - 1\}$):

$$\sigma_{\ell, \ell+1} := \inf\{t > 0, x_t^\ell = x_t^{\ell+1}\}, \quad \ell \in \{1, \dots, N - 1\}.$$

Clearly, we have a.s. that

$$\sigma_{\text{col}} \leq \sigma_{\ell, \ell+1}.$$

In the following, we omit to write the dependency of the involved processes in the initial conditions $x_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}$. Set $\wp_t := |x_t^{\ell+1} - x_t^\ell|^2$ the squared distance between the ℓ -th particle and the $(\ell + 1)$ -th particle, $\ell \in \{1, \dots, N - 1\}$. Note that by Item **iii** in Theorem 1, for all $t > 0$, a.s. $\int_0^t |\nabla V_I(X_s(x))| ds < +\infty$, and we can thus use Itô formula, cf. e.g. [30, Theorem 4.3.10], to deduce that:

$$\begin{aligned} \wp_t &= \wp_0 + 2t - 2 \int_0^t (x_s^{\ell+1} - x_s^\ell)(\partial_{x^{\ell+1}} V_c(X_s) - \partial_{x^\ell} V_c(X_s)) ds \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^t \sqrt{\wp_s} d(B_s^{\ell+1} - B_s^\ell) \\ &\quad + 2\gamma \int_0^t \left[\sum_{j=1, j \neq \ell}^N \frac{x_s^{\ell+1} - x_s^\ell}{x_s^{\ell+1} - x_s^j} + \sum_{k=1, k \neq \ell+1}^N \frac{x_s^\ell - x_s^{\ell+1}}{x_s^\ell - x_s^k} \right] ds. \end{aligned}$$

Set for $x = (x^1, \dots, x^N) \in \mathcal{O}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{b}(x) &= -2(x_t^{\ell+1} - x_t^\ell)(\partial_{x^{\ell+1}} V_c(x) - \partial_{x^\ell} V_c(x)) \\ &\quad + 2\gamma \left[\sum_{j=1, j \neq \ell}^N \frac{x^{\ell+1} - x^\ell}{x^{\ell+1} - x^j} + \sum_{k=1, k \neq \ell+1}^N \frac{x^\ell - x^{\ell+1}}{x^\ell - x^k} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since \mathbf{v} is convex, we have for all $x = (x^1, \dots, x^N) \in \mathcal{O}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{b}(x) &\leq 4\gamma + 2\gamma \sum_{j \notin \{\ell, \ell+1\}} \left[\frac{x^{\ell+1} - x^\ell}{x^{\ell+1} - x^j} + \frac{x^\ell - x^{\ell+1}}{x^\ell - x^j} \right] \\ &\leq 4\gamma + 2\gamma \sum_{j < \ell \text{ or } j > \ell+1} (x^{\ell+1} - x^\ell) \frac{x^{\ell+1} - x^\ell}{(x^{\ell+1} - x^j)(x^j - x^\ell)} \\ &\leq 4\gamma - 2\gamma \sum_{j < \ell \text{ or } j > \ell+1} \frac{|x^{\ell+1} - x^\ell|^2}{(x^{\ell+1} - x^j)(x^\ell - x^j)} \\ &\leq 4\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

where, to deduce the last inequality, we have used that the particules are ordered. By Item **i** in Theorem 1, we have a.s. for all $t \geq 0$, $\int_0^t \mathbf{b}(X_s) ds = \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{X_s \in \mathcal{O}} \mathbf{b}(X_s) ds$. Hence, a.s. we have for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\wp_t \leq \wp_0 + 2(2\gamma + 1)t + 2 \int_0^t \sqrt{2\wp_s} dw_s.$$

In the above inequality, $w_t := (B_t^{\ell+1} - B_t^\ell)/\sqrt{2}$ is standard real Brownian motion. By the comparison theorem of Ikeda and Watanabe for one-dimensional Itô processes [28], it holds a.s.

$$0 \leq \wp_t \leq \mathcal{B}_t, \text{ for all } t \geq 0, \quad (2.2)$$

where $(\mathcal{B}_t, t \geq 0)$ solves the equation

$$d\mathcal{B}_t = 2(2\gamma + 1)dt + 2\sqrt{2\mathcal{B}_t} dw_t, \quad y_0 = \wp_0 = |x_0^{\ell+1} - x_0^\ell|^2 \geq 0.$$

The process $(\mathcal{B}_{t/2}, t \geq 0)$ is thus a squared Bessel process of dimension $2\gamma + 1 \in (1, 2)$, see e.g. [44, Section 1 in Chapter XI]. It is well known that the Lebesgue measure of the set $\{t \geq 0, \mathcal{B}_{t/2} = 0\}$ is zero and $(\mathcal{B}_{t/2}, t \geq 0)$ is reflected infinitely often at the point 0, see [44, Section 11]. Consequently, this implies that $\mathbb{P}[\exists t > 0, \mathcal{B}_t = 0] = 1$. In conclusion $\mathbb{P}_{x_0}[\sigma_{\ell, \ell+1} < +\infty] = 1$. This concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

Let us mention that it is proved in [10] that multiple collisions can not occur at any positive time. In all the rest of this work, we will assume that $\gamma \in (0, 1/2)$ and thus we will work in the case where a.s. collisions occur (see Lemma 2).

2.2. On the non-killed semigroup. In this section, we provide results on the non-killed semigroup we will need to prove Theorem 6 below. We start with the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *For all $t > 0$ and $x \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}$, $X_t(x)$ has a density w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure dz over \mathcal{R}^N .*

Classical arguments usually employed to prove such a theorem, such as e.g. those based on the Malliavin calculus or those which rely on the elliptic regularity theory, are difficult to apply directly on the process $(X_t, t \geq 0)$ since ∇V_I is not Lipschitz over $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$. Note that Theorem 2 implies that collision times are random except possibly at time 0 (indeed, $\mathbb{P}_x[\sigma_{\text{col}} = t] \leq \mathbb{P}_x[X_t \in \partial\mathcal{O}] = 0, t > 0$).

Proof. The proof is divided into several steps.

Step 1. Preliminary analysis. Let us recall some results we will need from [4, 7]. Set for $n \geq 1$ and $y \in \mathcal{R}^N$, $\mathbf{c}_n(y) := -\psi_n(y) - \nabla V_c(y)$, where ψ_n is the smooth convex and globally Lipschitz vector field defined in [7, Eq. (2.20)]. Denote by $(X_t^n(x), t \geq 0)$ the solution on \mathcal{R}^N to

$$dX_t^n(x) = \mathbf{c}_n(X_t^n)dt + dB_t, \quad X_0 = x.$$

It is proved in [7, Section 2.4] that for all $T > 0$ and $x \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}$, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, $(X_t^n(x), t \in [0, T])$ converges in distribution to $(X_t(x), t \in [0, T])$ in $\mathcal{C}([0, T], \mathcal{R}^N)$. Following the computations led in the proof of [6, Proposition 5.5], for all $T > 0$ and all compact subset K of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\forall n \geq 1, x \in K, t \in [0, T], \quad \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_x[|\mathbf{c}_n(X_s^n)|]ds \leq C. \quad (2.3)$$

Step 1. For $x \in \mathcal{R}^N$ and $t > 0$, let us denote by $f_n^x(t, z)$ the density of $X_t^n(x)$, namely $\mathbb{P}_x[X_t^n(x) \in A] = \int_A f_n^x(t, z)dz$, $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{R}^N)$ (note by parabolic elliptic regularity or by Malliavin calculus [37], $f_n^x(t, z)$ indeed exists and is a smooth function of $(t, x, y) \in \mathcal{R}_+^* \times \mathcal{R}^N \times \mathcal{R}^N$). In what follows K is a fixed compact subset of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ and $T > 0$. Note that (2.3) rewrites

$$\sup_{n \geq 1, t \in [0, T], x \in K} \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{R}^N} |\mathbf{c}_n(z)| f_n^x(s, z) dz ds < +\infty. \quad (2.4)$$

Since \mathbf{c}_n is smooth, for each $n \geq 1$ and $x \in \mathcal{R}^N$, the function $(t, y) \in \mathcal{R}_+^* \times \mathcal{R}^N \mapsto f_n^x(t, y)$ is a smooth solution of the following parabolic equation over \mathcal{R}^N :

$$\partial_t f_n^x = \frac{1}{2} \Delta f_n^x - \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{c}_n f_n^x).$$

Let us introduce the heat kernel \mathcal{G} defined by $\mathcal{G}(t, y) = t^{-d/2} h(t^{-1/2} y)$, $t > 0$, $y \in \mathcal{R}^N$, where $h(w) = (2\pi)^{-N/2} \exp(-|w|^2/2)$, $w \in \mathcal{R}^N$. In what follows \star denotes the convolution operator in the space variable. Direct computations shows that for all $t > 0$,

$$\|\mathcal{G}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^p} = t^{\frac{N}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-1)} \|h\|_{L^p} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla \mathcal{G}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^p} = t^{\frac{N}{2p}-\frac{N+1}{2}} \|\nabla h\|_{L^p}.$$

Now let $\phi_m \in [0, 1]$ be a family of smooth functions indexed by $m \geq 1$ such that $\phi_m(z) = 1$ if $|z| \leq m$ and $\phi_m(z) = 0$ if $|z| > m+1$, and

$$\sup_{m \geq 1} \sup_{z \in \mathcal{R}^N} (|\nabla \phi_m| + |\Delta \phi_m|)(z) < +\infty. \quad (2.5)$$

Set $\mathcal{G}_2(t, y) := \mathcal{G}(t/2, y)$. By Duhamel's formula and after several integrations by parts, we have for $0 < \epsilon < t$,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_m f_n^x(t, z) &= \int_\epsilon^t [f_n^x(s, \cdot)(\Delta \phi_m/2 + \mathbf{c}_n \cdot \nabla \phi_m)(\cdot)] \star [\mathcal{G}_2(t-s, \cdot)](z) ds \\ &\quad + \int_\epsilon^t [f_n^x(s, \cdot)(\nabla \phi_m + \mathbf{c}_n \phi_m)(\cdot)] \star [\nabla \mathcal{G}_2(t-s, \cdot)](z) ds \\ &\quad + [\phi_m(\cdot) f_n^x(\epsilon, \cdot)] \star [\mathcal{G}_2(t-\epsilon, \cdot)](z), \quad z \in \mathcal{R}^N. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Young's convolution inequality, we have for $\epsilon < t \leq T$ and $p \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\phi_m(\cdot)f_n^x(t, \cdot)\|_{L^p} \\ & \leq C_p \|h\|_{L^p} \int_{\epsilon}^t \|f_n^x(s, \cdot)(\Delta\phi_m + \mathbf{c}_n \cdot \nabla\phi_m)(\cdot)\|_{L^1} (t-s)^{\frac{N}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-1)} ds \\ & \quad + C_p \|\nabla h\|_{L^p} \int_{\epsilon}^t \|f_n^x(s, \cdot)(2\nabla\phi_m + \mathbf{c}_n\phi_m)(\cdot)\|_{L^1} (t-s)^{\frac{N}{2p}-\frac{N+1}{2}} ds \\ & \quad + C_p \|\phi_m(\cdot)f_n^x(\epsilon, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \|h\|_{L^p} (t-\epsilon)^{\frac{N}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_p > 0$ depends only on p . Recall that $f_n^x \geq 0$ and $\|f_n^x(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1} = 1$ for all $s > 0$. Using (2.4) and (2.5), for all $\epsilon, T > 0$ with $2\epsilon < T$ and all compact subset K of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$, there exists $C > 0$ such that for all $n \geq 1$, $t \in [2\epsilon, T]$, $x \in K$, and $m \geq 1$,

$$\int_{\mathcal{R}^N} |\phi_m(z)|^p |f_n^x(t, z)|^p dz \leq C.$$

Letting $m \rightarrow +\infty$ and using Beppo Levi's theorem, we deduce that for such $\epsilon > 0$, $T > 0$, and K , it holds:

$$\sup_{n \geq 1, t \in [2\epsilon, T], x \in K} \|f_n^x(t, \cdot)\|_{L^p} < +\infty. \quad (2.6)$$

Step 3. We conclude the proof of Theorem 2. Fix $p > 1$, $x \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}$, and $t > 0$. Thanks to (2.6), we can consider a subsequence $n' = n'(t, x)$ and a function $f^x(t, \cdot)$ such that $f_{n'}^x(t, \cdot) \rightarrow f^x(t, \cdot)$ weakly in $L^p(\mathcal{R}^N, dz)$ as $n' \rightarrow +\infty$. Hence, for all $\phi : \mathcal{R}^N \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ continuous and with compact support, it holds in the limit $n' \rightarrow +\infty$:

$$\int_{\mathcal{R}^N} \phi(z) f_{n'}^x(t, z) dz \rightarrow \int_{\mathcal{R}^N} \phi(z) f^x(t, z) dz. \quad (2.7)$$

Thus, since $X_t^n(x) \rightarrow X_t(x)$ in distribution (see the first step above), one has for such functions ϕ ,

$$\mathbb{E}_x[\phi(X_t)] = \int_{\mathcal{R}^N} \phi(z) f^x(t, z) dz. \quad (2.8)$$

Note that the previous considerations imply that for all $t > 0$ and $x \in \mathcal{R}^N$, $f^x(t, z) \geq 0$ dz -a.e., $\int_{\mathcal{R}^N} f^x(t, z) dz = 1$ and (2.8) holds for $\phi \in f \in b\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{R}^N)$. The proof of this claim is standard but we write it for sake of completeness. For all bounded Borel set \mathcal{A} , there exists $(\phi_n)_n \subset C_c^0(\mathcal{R}^N)$, $\phi_n \geq 0$, s.t. $\phi_n \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}$ in $L^{p'}(\mathcal{R}^N, dz)$ ($p' = p/(p-1)$). By (2.8), it holds $0 \leq \int_{\mathcal{R}^N} \phi_n(z) f^x(t, z) dz \rightarrow \int_{\mathcal{R}^N} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}(z) f^x(t, z) dz$. Hence, $\int_{\mathcal{R}^N} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}(z) f^x(t, z) dz \geq 0$. Now, set $\mathcal{B} = \{f^x(t, \cdot) < 0\}$ and $\mathcal{A}_R := \mathcal{B} \cap \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{R}^N}(0, R)$, for $R > 0$. Then, $\int_{\mathcal{R}^N} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}_R}(z) f^x(t, z) dz = 0$. Thus, \mathcal{A}_R has Lebesgue measure 0. Letting $R \rightarrow +\infty$, one gets $f^x(t, z) \geq 0$ (dz -a.e.). Moreover, since $f_{n'}^x(t, \cdot) dz \rightarrow f^x(t, \cdot) dz$ vaguely, $\int_{\mathcal{R}^N} f^x(t, z) dz \leq 1$ (see e.g. [29, Chapter 4]). Thus, $f^x(t, \cdot)$ is integrable. Finally, we have,

$$1 = \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E}_x[\phi_m(X_t)] = \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{|z| \leq m} f^x(t, z) dz + o_m(1) \Rightarrow \int_{\mathcal{R}^N} f^x(t, z) dz = 1.$$

By [21, Proposition 4.4 in Chapter 3], the probability measures $f^x(t, z) dz$ and $P_t(x, dz)$ are equal. The proof of Theorem 2 is complete. Note that actually the whole sequence $(f_{n'}^x(t, \cdot))_{n \geq 1}$ converges in $L^p(\mathcal{R}^N, dz)$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, by uniqueness of its limit point. \square

The following result has been proved in [42] using a coupling method combined with a change of probability measure (we also refer to [7] when the compact state space case, using a Bismut type formula as in [39]). We will give another independent proof of this fact, based on the analysis led in the proof of Theorem 2 above.

Theorem 3. *Let $t > 0$. Then, P_t has the strong Feller property, i.e. $P_t f$ is continuous on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ for any $f \in b\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$.*

Remark 1. *One powerful tool to prove the strong Feller property of a solution to a SDE is to use a Girsanov formula. Let us mention that it is immediate to see that when $N = 2$, there is no hope to have a Girsanov formula relating the law of $(X_t, t \geq 0)$ and the law of a standard Brownian motion over \mathcal{R}^2 , when $X_0 \in \partial\mathcal{O}$.*

Proof. Let $s > 0$ and $x \in K$ where K is a compact subset of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$. Consider $0 < \epsilon < s/2$ and $T \geq s$. Because $f_n^x(s, \cdot) \rightarrow f^x(s, \cdot)$ weakly in $L^2(\mathcal{R}^N, dz)$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ (see (2.7)), one has that, using also the bound (2.6):

$$\|f^x(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \leq \liminf_{n'} \|f_n^x(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \leq \sup_{n \geq 1, t \in [2\epsilon, T], x \in K} \|f_n^x(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} < +\infty.$$

Hence, one gets that for all $0 < \epsilon < T$:

$$C^* := \sup_{s \in [2\epsilon, T], x \in K} \|f^x(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2} < +\infty. \quad (2.9)$$

Let $\delta > 0$. Equation (2.9) and Theorem 2 imply that for all $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$ such that $\int_{\mathcal{A}} dz \leq \delta$ and $x \in K$,

$$P_s(x, \mathcal{A}) = \int_{\mathcal{A}} f^x(s, y) dy \leq \left[\int_{\mathcal{R}^N} |f^x(s, y)|^2 dy \right]^{1/2} \delta^{1/2} \leq C^* \delta^{1/2},$$

where $P_s(x, \mathcal{A}) := P_s \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}(x)$. Thus, it follows that the family of probability measures $(P_s(x, dz))_{x \in \mathcal{R}^d}$ is locally uniformly absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure over $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$, namely for all compact subset K of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$,

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}), \int_{\mathcal{A}} dz \leq \delta} \sup_{x \in K} P_s(x, \mathcal{A}) = 0.$$

The proof of the theorem is complete using [46, Item (b) in Theorem 2.1] (recall that P_t has the Feller property). \square

Note that $\mathbf{v} \geq 0$ and hence $V_c \geq 0$.

Proposition 1. *Assume (1.1). Set for $\alpha > 0$, $W = e^{\alpha V_c} \geq 1$. Then, if $1 - \alpha/2 > 0$, $\mathcal{L}W(x)/W(x) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow +\infty$ ($x \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}$).*

Proof. We have for $x \in \mathcal{O}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathcal{L}W(x)}{W(x)} &= \alpha \mathcal{L}V_c(x) + \alpha^2 |\nabla V_c(x)|^2 / 2 \\ &= \alpha \Delta V_c(x) / 2 - \alpha(1 - \alpha/2) |V_c(x)|^2 - \alpha \nabla V_I(x) \cdot \nabla V_c(x). \end{aligned}$$

For $x \in \mathcal{O}$, recall that $-\partial_{x_i} V_I(x) = \gamma \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N \frac{1}{x^i - x^j}$. Then, for $x \in \mathcal{O}$, it holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathcal{L}W(x)}{W(x)} &= \alpha \sum_{i=1}^N [\mathbf{v}''(x^i)/2 - (1 - \alpha/2)|\mathbf{v}'(x_i)|^2] + \gamma\alpha \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N \frac{\mathbf{v}'(x^i)}{x^i - x^j} \\ &= \alpha \sum_{i=1}^N [\mathbf{v}''(x_i)/2 - (1 - \alpha/2)|\mathbf{v}'(x_i)|^2] + \gamma\alpha \sum_{i < j} \left[\frac{\mathbf{v}'(x^i)}{x^i - x^j} + \frac{\mathbf{v}'(x^j)}{x^j - x^i} \right] \\ &= \alpha \sum_{i=1}^N [\mathbf{v}''(x_i)/2 - (1 - \alpha/2)|\mathbf{v}'(x_i)|^2] + \gamma\alpha \sum_{i < j} \frac{\mathbf{v}'(x^j) - \mathbf{v}'(x^i)}{x^j - x^i}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that since \mathbf{v}' is smooth and Lipschitz, the function

$$J : (u_1, u_2) \in \{(a, b) \in \mathcal{R}^2, a < b\} \mapsto \frac{\mathbf{v}'(u_2) - \mathbf{v}'(u_1)}{u_2 - u_1}$$

is bounded (say by $C_{\mathbf{v}} > 0$) and has a continuous (bounded) extension over $\{(a, b) \in \mathcal{R}^2, a \leq b\}$, which is still denoted by J . Then, for all $x \in \mathcal{O}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathcal{L}W(x)}{W(x)} &= \alpha \sum_{i=1}^N [\mathbf{v}''(x_i)/2 - (1 - \alpha/2)|\mathbf{v}'(x_i)|^2] + \gamma\alpha \sum_{i < j} J(x^j, x^i) \\ &\leq \alpha \sum_{i=1}^N [\mathbf{v}''(x_i)/2 - (1 - \alpha/2)|\mathbf{v}'(x_i)|^2] + C_{\mathbf{v}}\gamma\alpha N^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to (1.1), when $x \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}$ and $|x| \rightarrow +\infty$, $\mathcal{L}W(x)/W(x) \rightarrow -\infty$. The proof of the proposition is complete. \square

3. MAIN RESULTS ON THE KILLED PROCESS

For all nonempty open subset \mathcal{U} of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$, we set

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{U}} := \inf\{t \geq 0, X_t \notin \mathcal{U}\},$$

which is the first exit time from \mathcal{U} for the process $(X_t, t \geq 0)$. In all this work

$$\mathcal{U} \text{ is an nonempty open subset of } \overline{\mathcal{O}}, \quad (3.1)$$

i.e. there exists an open subset \mathcal{U}_* of \mathcal{R}^N such that $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_* \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}}$ and $\mathcal{U}_* \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}} \neq \emptyset$. Consider the killed (outside \mathcal{U}) semigroup $(P_t^{\mathcal{U}}, t \geq 0)$ defined by:

$$P_t^{\mathcal{U}} f(x) = \mathbb{E}_x[f(X_t) \mathbf{1}_{t < \sigma_{\mathcal{U}}}], f \in b\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{U}), x \in \mathcal{U} \text{ and } t \geq 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Its associated killed renormalized semigroup is denoted by

$$\nu Q_t^{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{A}) := \frac{\nu P_t^{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{A})}{\nu P_t^{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{U})} = \mathbb{P}_{\nu}[X_t \in \mathcal{A} | t < \sigma_{\mathcal{U}}],$$

for $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{U})$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_b(\mathcal{U})$.

Theorem 4. Assume (3.1) and $\gamma \in (0, 1/2)$. Let $t > 0$. Then, $P_t^{\mathcal{U}}$ has the strong Feller property.

In the following $\mathbf{B}_{\bar{\mathcal{O}}}(x, r) := \{y \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}, |y - x| < r\}$ is the open ball in $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$ of radius $r > 0$ centered at $x \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}$. Note that $\mathbf{B}_{\bar{\mathcal{O}}}(x, r) = \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{D}^N}(x, r) \cap \bar{\mathcal{O}}$. In view of the proof of [15, Theorem 2.2], it is enough, to deduce Theorem 4, to show the following lemma.

Lemma 3. *For all compact subset K of $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$ and $T > 0$, it holds:*

$$\sup_{x \in K} \mathbb{E}_x \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |K_t(x)| \right] < +\infty \text{ and } \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_{x \in K} \mathbb{P}_x [\sigma_{\mathbf{B}_{\bar{\mathcal{O}}}(x, r)} \leq s] = 0.$$

Proof. Let K be a compact subset of $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$.

Step 1. Let us prove that for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\sup_{x \in K} \mathbb{E}_x \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |K_t(x)| \right] < +\infty. \quad (3.3)$$

Let us first prove that for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\sup_{x \in K} \mathbb{E}_x \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_t(x)|^2 \right] < +\infty. \quad (3.4)$$

Let a_0 be a point in the interior of the domain of the maximal monotone operator ∂V_I , namely $a_0 \in \mathcal{O}$, and let $\gamma_0 > 0$ be such that $\bar{B}(a_0, \gamma_0) \subset \mathcal{O}$. Let $\mu_0 := \sup\{|y|, y \in \partial V_I(z) \text{ where } z \in \bar{B}(a_0, \gamma_0)\} = \sup\{|\nabla V_I(z)|, z \in \bar{B}(a_0, \gamma_0)\} < +\infty$. From [5, Proposition 4.4] and its proof (see also [4]), for all $x \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}$ and all $t \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t (X_s(x) - a_0) \cdot dK_s(x) &\geq \gamma_0 V_0^t(K(x)) - \mu_0 \int_0^t |X_s(x) - a_0| ds \\ &\quad - \gamma_0 \mu_0 t, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where $V_0^t(K(x))$ is the total variation of $t \mapsto K_t(x)$ on $[0, t]$. Let $T > 0$ be fixed. In the following, we simply denote $\sigma_{\mathbf{B}_{\bar{\mathcal{O}}}(a_0, n)} = \inf\{t \geq 0, |X_t - a_0| \geq n\}$ by σ_n . The sequence $(\sigma_n)_n$ increases to $+\infty$. Since K is compact, there exists n_K , for all $n \geq n_K$ and $y \in K$, $|y - a_0| < n$. Hence, using Itô formula and (3.5), we get for $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$, $n \geq n_K$, and $x \in K$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} |X_{s \wedge \sigma_n}(x) - a_0|^2 &= \frac{1}{2} |x - a_0|^2 - \int_0^{s \wedge \sigma_n} (X_u(x) - a_0) \cdot \nabla V_c(X_u(x)) du \\ &\quad - \int_0^{s \wedge \sigma_n} (X_u(x) - a_0) \cdot dB_u - \int_0^{s \wedge \sigma_n} (X_u(x) - a_0) \cdot dK_u(x) \\ &\quad + \frac{s \wedge \sigma_n}{2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} |x - a_0|^2 + \int_0^{s \wedge \sigma_n} (X_u(x) - a_0) \cdot dB_u \\ &\quad - \gamma_0 V_0^{s \wedge \sigma_n}(K(x)) + (\mu_0 + |\nabla V_c(a_0)|) \int_0^{s \wedge \sigma_n} |X_u(x) - a_0| du \\ &\quad + \gamma_0 \mu_0 T + \frac{T}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where we have used the convexity of V_c . Therefore, since $x \in K$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} |X_{s \wedge \sigma_n}(x) - a_0|^2 &\leq C \left[1 + \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \left| \int_0^{s \wedge \sigma_n} (X_u(x) - a_0) \cdot dB_u \right| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^{t \wedge \sigma_n} \sup_{s \in [0, u]} |X_s(x) - a_0| du \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant which is independent of $x \in K$, $n \geq 1$, and $(s, t) \in [0, T]^2$, and which, in the following, can change from one occurrence to another. Taking expectation and using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the fact that $\sqrt{z} \leq z + 1$ for $z \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_x \left[\sup_{s \in [0, t]} |X_{s \wedge \sigma_n}(x) - a_0|^2 \right] &\leq C \left(1 + \mathbb{E}_x \left[\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \left| \int_0^{s \wedge \sigma_n} (X_u(x) - a_0) \cdot dB_u \right|^2 \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_x \left[\sup_{s \in [0, u]} |X_s(x) - a_0|^2 \right] du \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the Burkholder–Davis–Gundy inequalities for the stochastic integral, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_x \left[\sup_{s \in [0, t]} |X_{s \wedge \sigma_n}(x) - a_0|^2 \right] &\leq C \left(1 + \mathbb{E}_x \left[\int_0^{t \wedge \sigma_n} |X_u(x) - a_0|^2 du \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_x \left[\sup_{s \in [0, u]} |X_{s \wedge \sigma_n}(x) - a_0|^2 \right] du \right) \\ &\leq C \left(1 + \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_x [|X_{u \wedge \sigma_n}(x) - a_0|^2] du \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_x \left[\sup_{s \in [0, u]} |X_{s \wedge \sigma_n}(x) - a_0|^2 \right] du \right). \end{aligned}$$

By Gronwall's inequality [32, Lemma 8.4] and since $t \mapsto \mathbb{E}_x [\sup_{s \in [0, t]} |X_{s \wedge \sigma_n}(x) - a_0|^2]$ is bounded (by n^2), we deduce that

$$\mathbb{E}_x \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_{t \wedge \sigma_n}(x) - a_0|^2 \right] \leq C, \quad \forall x \in K, n \geq 1.$$

Then Eq. (3.4) follows letting $n \rightarrow +\infty$ and applying Beppo Levi's theorem. We now prove (3.3). By (3.6), for all $0 \leq t \leq T$, $n \geq n_K$, and $x \in K$, $\frac{1}{2}|X_t(x) - a_0|^2 \leq C + \int_0^t (X_u(x) - a_0) \cdot dB_u - \gamma_0 V_0^t(K(x)) + C \int_0^t |X_u(x) - a_0| du$. Hence,

$$V_0^t(K(x)) \leq C \left[1 + \int_0^t (X_u(x) - a_0) \cdot dB_u + \int_0^t |X_u(x) - a_0| du \right].$$

Taking expectation and using (3.4) (note that $\int_0^t (X_u(x) - a_0) \cdot dB_u$ is a martingale, by (3.4)), we thus have that $\mathbb{E}_x [\sup_{t \in [0, T]} V_0^t(K(x))] \leq C$ for all $x \in K$. This implies (3.3) and proves the first inequality in Lemma 3.

Step 2. We now prove the second inequality in Lemma 3. Let $\Theta : \mathcal{R}^N \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth function such that $\Theta = 0$ on $\mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{R}^N}(0, \delta/2)$ and $\Theta = 1$ on $\mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{R}^N}^c(0, \delta)$. Set $\Theta_x(z) = \Theta(z - x)$. Note that for all $x, z \in \mathcal{R}^N$, $|\nabla \Theta_x|(z) \leq \sup_{\mathcal{R}^N} |\nabla \Theta|$ and

$|\Delta\Theta_x|(z) \leq \sup_{\mathcal{R}^N} |\Delta\Theta|$. Note that for all $x \in K$, by Itô formula, $(M_t^{\Theta_x}(x), t \geq 0)$ is a martingale, where

$$M_t^{\Theta_x}(x) := \Theta_x(X_t(x)) - \Theta_x(x) - \int_0^t \mathcal{L}\Theta_x(X_s(x))ds.$$

Let K_δ be the closed δ -neighborhood of K (K_δ is a compact subset of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$). Since in addition $\Theta_x(x) = 0$, we have using the optional stopping theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_x[\Theta_x(X_{t \wedge \sigma_{B(x,\delta)}})] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E}_x \left[\int_0^{t \wedge \sigma_{B(x,\delta)}} \mathcal{L}\Theta_x(X_s(x))ds \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E}_x \left[\int_0^{t \wedge \sigma_{B(x,\delta)}} (|K_s(x) \cdot \nabla\Theta_x(X_s)| + |\nabla V_c(X_s) \cdot \nabla\Theta_x(X_s)|) ds \right] \\ & \quad + t \sup_{\mathcal{R}^N} |\Delta\Theta|. \end{aligned}$$

When $x \in K$ and $s < \sigma_{B(x,\delta)}$, $X_s(x) \in K_\delta$. Consequently, for all $x \in K$, we have that

$$\sup_{x \in K} \mathbb{E}_x \left[\int_0^{t \wedge \sigma_{B(x,\delta)}} |\nabla V_c(X_s) \cdot \nabla\Theta_x(X_s)| ds \right] \leq t \sup_{K_\delta} |\nabla V_c| \sup_{\mathcal{R}^N} |\nabla\Theta|.$$

Using (3.3), we then deduce that for all $x \in K$,

$$\mathbb{E}_x[\Theta_x(X_{t \wedge \sigma_{B(x,\delta)}})] \leq tC_K,$$

where $C_K > 0$ is a constant independent of $x \in K$ and $t \geq 0$. Note also that $|X_{\sigma_{B(x,\delta)}}(x) - x| = \delta$. Hence, for all $x \in K$, $\Theta_x(X_{\sigma_{B(x,\delta)}}(x)) = 1$ and

$$\mathbb{P}_x[\sigma_{B(x,\delta)} \leq t] = \mathbb{E}_x[\mathbf{1}_{\sigma_{B(x,\delta)} \leq t} \Theta_x(X_{\sigma_{B(x,\delta)}})] \leq tC_K.$$

This ends the proof of the lemma. \square

Theorem 5. Assume (3.1), $\gamma \in (0, 1/2)$, and that $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{U}$ is connected. Let $t > 0$. Then, for all $t > 0$, $P_t^{\mathcal{U}}$ is topologically irreducible, i.e. for all $t > 0$, $x, y \in \mathcal{U}$, and all $r > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}_x[X_t \in \mathbf{B}_{\overline{\mathcal{O}}}(y, r), t < \sigma_{\mathcal{U}}] > 0.$$

Notice that choosing $\mathcal{U} = \overline{\mathcal{O}}$ shows that the non-killed semigroup \underline{P}_t is topologically irreducible. Note also that V_I is not locally Lipschitz over $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ (and even worse, it is infinite on $\partial\mathcal{O}$) which prevents from using, at least directly, the standard arguments to show the irreducibility of semigroups of solutions to stochastic differential equations which are usually based on the Stroock-Varadhan support theorem [48, 1].

Proof. To prove Theorem 5, we need to investigate the probability for the process not to exit \mathcal{U} before reaching a fixed deterministic ball. As $r > 0$, it is enough to consider the case when

$$x \in \mathcal{U} \text{ and } y \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{O}.$$

The proof is divided into two steps.

Step 1. The case when

$$x, y \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{O}. \tag{3.7}$$

Since $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{U}$ is a connected open subset of \mathbb{R}^N (and thus it is path connected), we can consider an open and connected subset \mathcal{V} of \mathbb{R}^N containing x and y , and such that $\overline{\mathcal{V}} \subset \mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{U}$. We recall that

$$H(z) = V_c(z) + V_I(z), \quad z \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}.$$

Using standard techniques (see e.g. [3, 25]) and since H is smooth over $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$ (because $\overline{\mathcal{V}} \subset \mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{U}$), we have the following Girsanov formula: for all $z \in \mathcal{V}$, $T \geq 0$, and all $F \in b\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C}([0, T], \mathcal{V}))$,

$$\mathbb{E}_z[F(X_{[0, T]}) \mathbf{1}_{t < \sigma_{\mathcal{V}}}] = \mathbb{E}_z[F(B_{[0, T]}) m_t^B \mathbf{1}_{t < \sigma_{\mathcal{V}}^B}],$$

where $\sigma_{\mathcal{V}}^B(x) := \inf\{t > 0, B_t \notin \mathcal{V}\}$ is the first exit time of the process $(B_t(x) = x + B_t, t \geq 0)$ from \mathcal{V} , where we recall that $B_t = (B_t^1, \dots, B_t^N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ is a standard Brownian motion, and

$$m_t^B(z) = \exp \left[- \int_0^t \nabla H(B_s(z)) \cdot dB_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |\nabla H(B_s(z))|^2 ds \right].$$

Note that $m_t^B(z) \mathbf{1}_{t < \sigma_{\mathcal{V}}^B}(z)$ is a.s. finite. In particular, we have for all $z \in \mathcal{V}$, $t \geq 0$, and all $f \in b\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V})$,

$$\mathbb{E}_z[f(X_t) \mathbf{1}_{t < \sigma_{\mathcal{V}}}] = \mathbb{E}_x[f(B_t) m_t^B \mathbf{1}_{t < \sigma_{\mathcal{V}}^B}]. \quad (3.8)$$

For any $r > 0$, it is well known that for all $x \in \mathcal{V}$ and $t > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}_x[B_t \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{V}}(y, r), t < \sigma_{\mathcal{V}}^B] > 0.$$

Indeed, this can be shown using the knowledge of the support of the law of the trajectories of a standard Brownian motion. Then, using (3.8) with $f = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{V}}(y, r)}$ ($r > 0$), we deduce that for all $t > 0$, $x, y \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{O}$, and all $r > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}_x[X_t \in \mathbf{B}_{\overline{\mathcal{O}}}(y, r), t < \sigma_{\mathcal{U}}] \geq \mathbb{P}_x[X_t \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{V}}(y, r), t < \sigma_{\mathcal{V}}] > 0. \quad (3.9)$$

This proves Theorem 5 in this case, namely when (3.7) holds.

Step 2. We are left to prove Theorem 5 when $\mathcal{U} \cap \partial\mathcal{O} \neq \emptyset$ and

$$x \in \mathcal{U} \cap \partial\mathcal{O} \text{ and } y \in \mathcal{O}, \quad (3.10)$$

namely when x belongs to the boundary of \mathcal{O} (i.e. when the process starts with a collision).

Step 2a. Let us prove that for all $T_F > 0$, there exists $T = T_x \in [0, T_F]$,

$$\mathbb{P}_x[X_T \in \mathcal{O}, T < \sigma_{\mathcal{U}}] > 0. \quad (3.11)$$

If it is not the case then there exists $T_F > 0$, for all $t \in [0, T_F]$, $\mathbb{P}_x[X_t \in \partial\mathcal{O} \text{ or } \sigma_{\mathcal{U}} \leq t] = 1$, and so:

$$\mathbb{P}_x \left[\bigcap_{t \in [0, T_F] \cap \mathcal{Q}} \{X_t \in \partial\mathcal{O} \text{ or } \sigma_{\mathcal{U}} \leq t\} \right] = 1,$$

where \mathcal{Q} stands for the set of rational numbers. This rewrites

$$\mathbb{P}_x \left[\forall t \in [0, T_F] \cap \mathcal{Q}, X_t \in \partial\mathcal{O} \text{ or } \sigma_{\mathcal{U}} \leq t \right] = 1,$$

i.e. there exists $\Omega_x \subset \Omega$ with $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_x) = 1$ such that for all $\omega \in \Omega_x$ and all $t \in [0, T_F] \cap \mathcal{Q}$, either $X_t(\omega) \in \partial\mathcal{O}$ or $\{X_u(\omega), u \in [0, t]\} \not\subset \mathcal{U}$. In what follows, Ω_x denotes a set of probability 1 which can change from one occurrence to another.

When $X_0 = x$, we have that a.s. $\sup_{s \in [0, u]} |X_s - x| \rightarrow 0$ as $u \rightarrow 0^+$. Therefore, since $x \in \mathcal{U}$, we deduce that when $X_0 = x$, for all $\omega \in \Omega_x$, there exists $\epsilon(\omega) \in (0, T_F)$, such that $\{X_u(\omega), u \in [0, \epsilon(\omega)]\} \subset \mathcal{U}$. Hence, for all $\omega \in \Omega_x$ and all $t \in [0, \epsilon(\omega)] \cap \mathcal{Q}$, $X_t(\omega) \in \partial\mathcal{O}$. By continuity of the trajectories of the process $(X_t, t \geq 0)$ and because $\partial\mathcal{O}$ is closed, we deduce that for all $\omega \in \Omega_x$, it holds

$$\{X_u(\omega), u \in [0, \epsilon(\omega)]\} \subset \partial\mathcal{O}.$$

This contradicts Item **i** in Theorem 1 above. The proof of (3.11) is thus complete.

Step 2b. End of the proof of Theorem 5. Let $t > 0$. Consider $T \in [0, t/2]$ as in (3.11) and set $t = T + u$, $u > 0$. By the Markov property of the process $(X_t(x), t \geq 0)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}_x[X_t \in \mathcal{B}_{\bar{\mathcal{O}}}(y, r), t < \sigma_{\mathcal{U}}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_x \left[\mathbf{1}_{T < \sigma_{\mathcal{U}}} \mathbb{P}_{X_T}[X_u \in \mathcal{B}_{\bar{\mathcal{O}}}(y, r), u < \sigma_{\mathcal{U}}] \right] \\ &\geq \mathbb{E}_x \left[\mathbf{1}_{T < \sigma_{\mathcal{U}}, X_T \in \mathcal{O}} \mathbb{P}_{X_T}[X_u \in \mathcal{B}_{\bar{\mathcal{O}}}(y, r), u < \sigma_{\mathcal{U}}] \right]. \end{aligned}$$

If the last quantity in the previous inequality vanishes, then a.s. we have:

$$\mathbf{1}_{T < \sigma_{\mathcal{U}}, X_T \in \mathcal{O}} \mathbb{P}_{X_T}[X_u \in \mathcal{B}_{\bar{\mathcal{O}}}(y, r), u < \sigma_{\mathcal{U}}] = 0.$$

Using (3.11), there exists $\Omega_{x,T} \subset \Omega$, with $\mathbb{P}_x[\Omega_{x,T}] > 0$ such that for all $\omega \in \Omega_{x,T}$, $T < \sigma_{\mathcal{U}}(\omega)$ and $X_T(\omega) \in \mathcal{O}$, and therefore, it holds:

$$\mathbb{P}_{X_T(\omega)}[X_u \in \mathcal{B}_{\bar{\mathcal{O}}}(y, r), u < \sigma_{\mathcal{U}}] = 0.$$

Since $X_T(\omega) \in \mathcal{O}$, this contradicts (3.9). Hence, $\mathbb{P}_x[X_t \in \mathcal{B}_{\bar{\mathcal{O}}}(y, r), t < \sigma_{\mathcal{U}}] > 0$, which is the desired result. The proof of the theorem is complete. \square

Note that the proof of the previous theorem implies that if $\bar{\mathcal{O}} \setminus \mathcal{U}$ contains a nonempty open ball in \mathbb{R}^N , for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$, $\mathbb{P}_x[\sigma_{\mathcal{U}} < +\infty] > 0$.

4. MAIN RESULT AND EXTENSION

4.1. Main result in the collision case. Let W be as in Proposition 1 with $1 - \alpha/2 > 0$. Before stating the main result of this work, we define for $q > 0$, $b\mathcal{B}_{W^q}(\mathcal{U})$ as the set of real valued measurable functions f over \mathcal{U} such that f/W^q is bounded. We also define $\mathcal{C}_{bW^q}(\mathcal{U}) := \{f \in b\mathcal{B}_{W^q}(\mathcal{U}), f \text{ is continuous}\}$. The spectral radius of bounded linear operator P over $b\mathcal{B}_{W^q}(\mathcal{U})$ is denoted by $r_{sp}(P|_{b\mathcal{B}_{W^q}(\mathcal{U})})$. Using [26, Theorem 2.2] (and its note) together with Lemma 1, Proposition 1, and Theorems 3, 4, and 5, we deduce the following result on the behavior of the process $(X_t, t \geq 0)$ conditioned not to exit a nonempty open subset \mathcal{U} of the Polish space $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$.

Theorem 6. Assume (1.1), $\gamma \in (0, 1/2)$, $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{U}$ is connected, and $\bar{\mathcal{O}} \setminus \mathcal{U}$ contains a nonempty open ball in \mathbb{R}^N . Let $p \in (1, +\infty)$. Then, there exists a unique quasi-stationary distribution ρ^* for $(Q_t^{\mathcal{U}}, t \geq 0)$ in $\mathcal{P}_{W^{1/p}}(\mathcal{U})$ and moreover:

A. For all $t > 0$, $P_t^{\mathcal{U}} : b\mathcal{B}_{W^{1/p}}(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow b\mathcal{B}_{W^{1/p}}(\mathcal{U})$ is compact and there exists

$$\lambda > 0$$

such that $\mathbf{r}_{sp}(P_t^{\mathcal{U}}|_{b\mathcal{B}_{W^{1/p}}(\mathcal{U})}) = e^{-\lambda t}$, $\forall t > 0$. Furthermore, $\rho^* P_t^{\mathcal{U}} = e^{-\lambda t} \rho^*$, for all $t \geq 0$, and $\rho^*(O) > 0$ for all nonempty open subsets O of \mathcal{U} . In addition, there is a unique function $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{bW^{1/p}}(\mathcal{U})$ such that $\rho^*(\varphi) = 1$ and $P_t^{\mathcal{U}} \varphi = e^{-\lambda t} \varphi$ on \mathcal{U} , $\forall t \geq 0$. Moreover, $\varphi > 0$ everywhere on \mathcal{U} .

B. There exist $c_1 > 0$, and $c_2 \geq 1$, s.t. for all $t > 0$ and all $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_{W^{1/p}}(\mathcal{U})$:

$$\sup_{\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{U})} |\nu Q_t^{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{A}) - \rho^*(\mathcal{A})| \leq c_2 e^{-c_1 t} \frac{\nu(W^{1/p})}{\nu(\varphi)}.$$

C. For all $x \in \mathcal{U}$, $\mathbb{P}_x[\sigma_{\mathcal{U}} < +\infty] = 1$.

The positive real number λ is the so-called the principal eigenvalue of $P_t^{\mathcal{U}}$ over $b\mathcal{B}_{W^{1/p}}(\mathcal{U})$. It can be easily shown that both λ and ρ^* do not depend on $p \in (1, +\infty)$.

4.2. Non collision case: extension of Theorem 3 when $\gamma > 1/2$. Assume for simplicity that $\mathbf{v}(u) = u^2/2$. Since H is lower bounded, we assume that $H \geq 1$. When $\gamma \geq 1/2$, since $\mathcal{L}H \leq CH$ over \mathcal{O} (see the proof of [45, Lemma 1]), it holds a.s. for all $x \in \mathcal{O}$, $X_t(x) \in \mathcal{O}$ for all $t \geq 0$. When $\gamma \geq 1/2$, the assertions of Lemma 1 and Theorem 3 are valid on the state space \mathcal{O} , providing $X_0(x) = x \in \mathcal{O}$. The assertions of Theorems 4 and 5 are also still valid when $\gamma \geq 1/2$ and when \mathcal{U} is a subdomain of \mathcal{O} . All these claims can be proved using e.g. the method developed in [25]. When $\gamma > 1/2$ and setting $U = \exp(aH)$, it holds following the computations led in [45, Lemma 1]:

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}U(x)}{U(x)} = -\alpha |\nabla H(x)|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \Delta H(x) + \frac{\alpha^2}{2} |\nabla H(x)|^2 \rightarrow -\infty \text{ as } x \rightarrow \partial\mathcal{O} \cup \{\infty\},$$

providing $\alpha > 0$ is such that $\gamma(1 - \alpha/2) > 1/2$. Hence, for the process (1.2), all the assertions of Theorem 6 are still valid for a subdomain \mathcal{U} of \mathcal{O} when $\gamma > 1/2$ with the Lyapunov function U . When $\gamma = 1/2$, the construction of a Lyapunov function U such that $\mathcal{L}U/U$ is inf-compact over \mathcal{O} is left for a futur work.

Acknowledgement. A. Guillin is supported by the ANR-23-CE-40003, Conviviality, and has benefited from a government grant managed by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche under the France 2030 investment plan ANR-23-EXMA-0001. B.N. is supported by the grant IA20Nectoux from the Projet I-SITE Clermont CAP 20-25 and by the ANR-19-CE40-0010, Analyse Quantitative de Processus Méta-stables (QuAMProcs).

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Ben Arous, M. Gradinaru, and M. Ledoux. Hölder norms and the support theorem for diffusions. In *Annales de l'IHP Probabilités et statistiques*, volume 30, pages 415–436, 1994.
- [2] M. Benaïm, N. Champagnat, W. Oçafrain, and D. Villemonais. Degenerate processes killed at the boundary of a domain. *Preprint arXiv:2103.08534*, 2021.
- [3] P. Cattiaux, P. Collet, A. Lambert, S. Martínez, S. Méléard, and J. San Martín. Quasi-Stationary Distributions and Diffusion Models in Population Dynamics. *The Annals of Probability*, 37(5):1926–1969, 2009.
- [4] E. Cipa. *Équations différentielles stochastiques multivoques*. PhD thesis, Orléans, 1994.

- [5] E. Cépa. Problème de Skorohod multivoque. *The Annals of Probability*, 26(2):500–532, 1998.
- [6] E. Cépa. Equations différentielles stochastiques multivoques. In *Séminaire de Probabilités XXIX*, pages 86–107. Springer, 2006.
- [7] E. Cépa and S. Jacquot. Ergodicité d’inégalités variationnelles stochastiques. *Stochastics*, 63(1-2):41–64, 2007.
- [8] E. Cépa and D. Lépine. Diffusing particles with electrostatic repulsion. *Probability theory and related fields*, 107(4):429–449, 1997.
- [9] E. Cépa and D. Lépine. Brownian particles with electrostatic repulsion on the circle: Dyson’s model for unitary random matrices revisited. *ESAIM: Probability and Statistics*, 5:203–224, 2001.
- [10] E. Cépa and D. Lépine. No multiple collisions for mutually repelling Brownian particles. In *Séminaire de Probabilités XL*, pages 241–246. Springer, 2007.
- [11] N. Champagnat, K. A. Coulibaly-Pasquier, and D. Villemonais. Criteria for exponential convergence to quasi-stationary distributions and applications to multi-dimensional diffusions. In *Séminaire de Probabilités XLIX*, pages 165–182. Springer, 2018.
- [12] N. Champagnat, T. Lelièvre, M. Ramil, J. Reygner, and D. Villemonais. Quasi-stationary distribution for kinetic SDEs with low regularity coefficients. *Preprint arXiv:2410.01042*, October 2024.
- [13] N. Champagnat and D. Villemonais. Lyapunov criteria for uniform convergence of conditional distributions of absorbed Markov processes. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 135:51–74, 2021.
- [14] N. Champagnat and D. Villemonais. General criteria for the study of quasi-stationarity. *Electronic Journal of Probability*, 28:1–84, 2023.
- [15] K.L. Chung and Z. Zhao. *From Brownian Motion to Schrödinger’s Equation*, volume 312. Springer Science & Business Media, 2001.
- [16] P. Collet, S. Martínez, S. Méléard, and J. San Martín. Quasi-stationary distributions for structured birth and death processes with mutations. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 151(1-2):191–231, 2011.
- [17] N. Demni. Radial Dunkl processes: existence, uniqueness and hitting time. *Comptes Rendus. Mathématique*, 347(19-20):1125–1128, 2009.
- [18] G. Di Gesù, T. Lelièvre, D. Le Peutrec, and B. Nectoux. Jump Markov models and transition state theory: the quasi-stationary distribution approach. *Faraday Discussions*, 195:469–495, 2017.
- [19] G. Di Gesù, T. Lelièvre, D. Le Peutrec, and B. Nectoux. Sharp asymptotics of the first exit point density. *Annals of PDE*, 5(2), 2019.
- [20] F. J. Dyson. A Brownian-motion model for the eigenvalues of a random matrix. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 3(6):1191–1198, 1962.
- [21] S. N. Ethier and T.G. Kurtz. *Markov Processes: Characterization and Convergence*. John Wiley & Sons, 1986.
- [22] W.-T. L. Fan and O. Tough. Quasi-stationary behavior of the stochastic FKPP equation on the circle. *Preprint arXiv:2309.10998*, 2023.
- [23] G. Gong, M. Qian, and Z. Zhao. Killed diffusions and their conditioning. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 80(1):151–167, 1988.
- [24] A. Guillin, P. Le Bris, and P. Monmarché. On systems of particles in singular repulsive interaction in dimension one: log and Riesz gas. *Journal de l’École Polytechnique*, 10:867–916, 2023.
- [25] A. Guillin, D. Lu, B. Nectoux, and L. Wu. Generalized Langevin and Nosé-Hoover processes absorbed at the boundary of a metastable domain. *Preprint arXiv:2403.17471*, March 2024.
- [26] A. Guillin, B. Nectoux, and L. Wu. Quasi-stationary distribution for strongly Feller Markov processes by Lyapunov functions and applications to hypoelliptic Hamiltonian systems. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, 26(8):3047–3090, 2022.
- [27] A. Guillin, B. Nectoux, and L. Wu. Quasi-stationary distribution for Hamiltonian dynamics with singular potentials. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 185(3-4):921–959, 2023.

- [28] N. Ikeda and S. Watanabe. A comparison theorem for solutions of stochastic differential equations and its applications. *Osaka Journal of Mathematics*, 14:619–633, 1977.
- [29] O. Kallenberg. *Foundations of Modern Probability*, volume 2. Springer, 1997.
- [30] D. Lamberton and B. Lapeyre. *Introduction to Stochastic Calculus Applied to Finance*. Chapman and Hall/CRC, 1996.
- [31] C. Le Bris, T. Lelièvre, M. Luskin, and D. Perez. A mathematical formalization of the parallel replica dynamics. *Monte Carlo Methods and Applications*, 18(2):119–146, 2012.
- [32] J-F. Le Gall. *Brownian Motion, Martingales, and Stochastic Calculus*. Springer, 2016.
- [33] T. Lelièvre, D. Le Le Peutrec, and B. Nectoux. Eyring-kramers exit rates for the overdamped Langevin dynamics: the case with saddle points on the boundary. *Preprint arXiv:2207.09284*, 2022.
- [34] T. Lelièvre, M. Ramil, and J. Reygner. A probabilistic study of the kinetic Fokker–Planck equation in cylindrical domains. *Journal of Evolution Equations*, 22(2):1–74, 2022.
- [35] S. Li, X-D. Li, and Y-X. Xie. On the law of large numbers for the empirical measure process of generalized Dyson Brownian motion. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 181(4):1277–1305, 2020.
- [36] S. Méléard and D. Villemonais. Quasi-stationary distributions and population processes. *Probability Surveys*, 9:340–410, 2012.
- [37] D. Nualart. *The Malliavin calculus and related topics*, volume 1995. Springer, 2006.
- [38] E. Pardoux and A. Rascanu. *Stochastic differential equations*. Springer, 2014.
- [39] S. Peszat and J. Zabczyk. Strong Feller property and irreducibility for diffusions on Hilbert spaces. *The Annals of Probability*, pages 157–172, 1995.
- [40] R.G. Pinsky. On The Convergence of Diffusion Processes Conditioned to Remain in a Bounded Region for Large Time to Limiting Positive Recurrent Diffusion Processes. *The Annals of Probability*, 13(2):363–378, 1985.
- [41] J. Ren and J. Wu. On regularity of invariant measures of multivalued stochastic differential equations. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 122(1):93–105, 2012.
- [42] J. Ren, J. Wu, and X. Zhang. Exponential ergodicity of non-Lipschitz multivalued stochastic differential equations. *Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques*, 134(4):391–404, 2010.
- [43] J. Ren, S. Xu, and X. Zhang. Large deviations for multivalued stochastic differential equations. *Journal of Theoretical Probability*, 23:1142–1156, 2010.
- [44] D. Revuz and M. Yor. *Continuous martingales and Brownian motion*, volume 293. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [45] L.C.G. Rogers and Z. Shi. Interacting Brownian particles and the Wigner law. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 95:555–570, 1993.
- [46] R. L. Schilling and J. Wang. Strong Feller continuity of Feller processes and semigroups. *Infinite Dimensional Analysis, Quantum Probability and Related Topics*, 15(02):1250010, 2012.
- [47] J. Song, J. Yao, and W. Yuan. Recent advances on eigenvalues of matrix-valued stochastic processes. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, 188:104847, 2022.
- [48] D.W. Stroock and S.R.S. Varadhan. On the support of diffusion processes with applications to the strong maximum principle. In *Proceedings of the Sixth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability (Univ. California, Berkeley, Calif., 1970/1971)*, volume 3, pages 333–359, 1972.
- [49] Aurélien Velleret. Adaptation of a population to a changing environment under the light of quasi-stationarity. *Preprint arXiv:1903.10165*, 2019.
- [50] X. Zhang. Skorohod problem and multivalued stochastic evolution equations in Banach spaces. *Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques*, 131(2):175–217, 2007.

Arnaud Guillin. UNIVERSITÉ CLERMONT AUVERGNE, CNRS, LMBP, F-63000 CLERMONT-FERRAND, FRANCE

Email address: `arnaud.guillin@uca.fr`

Boris Nectoux. UNIVERSITÉ CLERMONT AUVERGNE, CNRS, LMBP, F-63000 CLERMONT-FERRAND, FRANCE

Email address: `boris.nectoux@uca.fr`

Liming Wu. UNIVERSITÉ CLERMONT AUVERGNE, CNRS, LMBP, F-63000 CLERMONT-FERRAND, FRANCE, AND, INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY IN MATHEMATICS, HARBIN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, HARBIN 150001, CHINA

Email address: `Li-Ming.Wu@uca.fr`