

Probing cosmic isotropy: Hubble constant and matter density large-angle variations with the Pantheon+SH0ES data

Rahima Mokeddem^a, Maria Lopes, Felipe Avila, Armando Bernui^b, Wiliam S. Hipólito-Ricaldi^{c,d}

^a*Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais Divisao de Astrofísica Av. dos Astronautas, 1758, São José dos Campos, 12227-010, SP, Brazil*

^b*Observatório Nacional Rua General José Cristino, 77, São Cristóvão, Rio de Janeiro, 20921-400, RJ, Brazil*

^c*Departamento de Ciencias Naturais Universidade Federal do Espírito Santo Rodovia BR 101 Norte, km. 60, São Mateus, 29932-540, ES, Brazil*

^d*Núcleo Cosmo-UFES Universidade Federal do Espírito Santo Av. Fernando Ferrari, 540, Vitória, 29075-910, ES, Brazil*

Abstract

In this study we investigate potential large-angle anisotropies in the angular distribution of the cosmological parameters H_0 (the Hubble constant) and Ω_m (the matter density) in the flat- Λ CDM framework, using the Pantheon+SH0ES supernovae catalog. For this we perform a directional analysis by dividing the celestial sphere into a set of directions, and estimate the best-fit cosmological parameters across the sky using a MCMC approach. Our results show a dominant dipolar pattern for both parameters in study, suggesting a preferred axis in the universe expansion and in the distribution of matter. However, we also found that for $z \gtrsim 0.015$, this dipolar behavior is not statistically significant, confirming the expectation—in the Λ CDM scenario—of an isotropic expansion and a uniform angular distribution of matter (both results at 1σ confidence level). Nevertheless, for nearby supernovae, at distances $\lesssim 60$ Mpc or $z \lesssim 0.015$, the peculiar velocities introduce a highly significant dipole in the angular distribution of H_0 . Furthermore, we perform various robustness tests that support our findings, and consistency tests of our methodology.

Keywords: Large-scale structure of Universe, cosmology: observations,

1. Introduction

In the precision cosmology era, where accurate datasets from large and deep astronomical surveys are available, the task of finding the cosmological model that reproduces all these data is indeed challenging (Perivolaropoulos and Skara, 2022; Riess et al., 2022; Di Valentino et al., 2021; Fosalba and Gaztañaga, 2021).

Currently, the flat- Λ CDM model seems to fulfill this objective, despite the fact that according to it, 95% of the components of the universe remain unknown (Planck Collaboration et al., 2020; Peebles and Ratra, 2003; Frieman et al., 2008). In the absence of strong competitors, Λ CDM stays as the concordance cosmological model, although our ignorance regarding the physical nature of the dark sector is an uncomfortable situation (Bull et al., 2016; Verde et al., 2019; Di Valentino et al., 2021; Di Valentino et al., 2025; Luongo et al., 2022).

One interesting remaining task is to test the model consistency by comparing the expected Λ CDM properties, with the corresponding observed phenomenon using updated cosmological data (Linder, 2021). In that sense, the analyses performed in this work allow us to: i) confirm that the flat- Λ CDM remains the concordance model given the current precision of the data regarding its (statistically) homogeneous and isotropic properties; ii) assess the statistical probability that current precision

cosmology has outgrown the Λ CDM paradigm (see, e.g., Kumar Aluri et al. (2023); Krishnan et al. (2021)); iii) identify observational systematics that may be impacting the analyses.

Perhaps the main feature of the flat- Λ CDM model is the competence to determine Ω_m ¹, the parameter that measures the amount of dark plus baryonic matter observed today. One natural expectation regarding Ω_m is that measurements along different sky directions performed with the same cosmic tracer should roughly show equal values of Ω_m , except for fluctuations due to measurement uncertainties, a consequence of the expected isotropic matter distribution (Javanmardi et al., 2015). A similar analysis can be performed considering the Hubble constant H_0 , the expansion rate measured today, another important parameter of the concordance cosmological model. Recent studies have suggested potential anisotropies in H_0 , including a quadrupolar pattern found in Pantheon+ data at the 2σ level (Cowell et al., 2022), indicating deviations from the expected statistical isotropy. In fact, Hu et al. (2024b,a) analyzed cosmic anisotropies using Pantheon+SH0ES sample, reporting variations in the Hubble constant and other parameters.

In addition, Perivolaropoulos and Skara (2022) investigated modern challenges to the flat- Λ CDM model, focusing on possible large-scale anisotropy in cosmological parameters, including H_0 and Ω_m . However, significant deviations of Ω_m or H_0 in different directions are not expected because the flat- Λ CDM model is based on the cosmological principle (Maartens, 2011;

Email addresses: rahima.mokeddem@inpe.br (Rahima Mokeddem), marialopes@on.br, fsavila2@gmail.com, bernui@on.br (Maria Lopes, Felipe Avila, Armando Bernui), wiliam.ricaldi@ufes.br (Wiliam S. Hipólito-Ricaldi)

¹Throughout the work, we will adopt the following definition: $\Omega_m(z=0) \equiv \Omega_{m0} \equiv \Omega_m$.

Appleby and Shafieloo, 2014; Schwarz et al., 2015; Avila et al., 2019; Dias et al., 2023; Kester et al., 2024; Franco et al., 2024, 2025).

Recent literature reports interesting results regarding this problem, employing diverse methodologies to investigate several datasets. For example, Mc Conville and Ó Colgáin (2023) using hemispheres to scan the sky found angular variations, up to 4 km/s/Mpc, in the Hubble constant H_0 . Their analyses, at different redshift intervals, intended to measure the Hubble constant absolute difference, defined as $\Delta H_0 \equiv H_0^N - H_0^S$, where N and S means North and South hemispheres, respectively. In addition to SNe Ia analyses, several independent observational probes have also reported possible anisotropies in the local expansion rate. Using galaxy clusters at distances below ~ 500 Mpc, the works of Migkas et al. (2020, 2021) found statistically significant directional variations in the X-ray luminosity temperature relation, which can be interpreted as variations in H_0 . Owing to their large cluster statistics and extensive sky coverage, these studies provide complementary evidence for deviations from perfect isotropy on intermediate scales. Furthermore, the recent Cosmicflows-4 (CF4) catalog and its upgrades have revealed additional indications of large-scale anisotropy. The dynamical scale of homogeneity appears not to be reached by $\sim 300 h^{-1}$ Mpc (Courtois et al., 2025), and analyses of the same dataset have reported nearly 4σ variations in the inferred Hubble constant (Boubel et al., 2025). These findings are consistent with earlier detections of an anomalous bulk flow within CF4 (Watkins et al., 2023), suggesting that coherent peculiar motions may play a non-negligible role in shaping local measurements of cosmological parameters. Even within the SN Ia literature, directional variations in H_0 have been discussed previously. Notably, Krishnan et al. (2022); Zhai and Percival (2022) found hemispherical differences in H_0 , with the latter incorporating Cepheid-calibrated supernovae and thus probing the lowest rung of the distance ladder. These studies reinforce the importance of exploring the angular dependence of cosmological parameters using the updated Pantheon+SH0ES data, which offers improved calibration and a unified covariance treatment.

Other studies of SNIa, performed directional analyses in thin redshift bins finding that overdense and underdense structures in the Local Universe cause deviations from the expected statistical isotropy (see, e.g., Perivolaropoulos (2023); Tang et al. (2023); Lopes et al. (2024); Sah et al. (2024)). Studies of the angular distribution of the cosmological parameters H_0 and Ω_m , using the Pantheon+SH0ES dataset, were done in an effort to map a local matter underdensity region responsible for a preferred direction of cosmic anisotropy (Hu et al., 2024b,a). Assuming the flat- Λ CDM model, Clocchiatti et al. (2024) carried out an angular analysis focusing on how the Ω_Λ parameter varies with direction. They found an anisotropy that is interpreted as an apparent effect associated with the relativistic frame of reference transformation (Tsagas, 2011).

Complementing these works, recent full-sky analysis of the Pantheon+ SNe sample done in several redshift bins reported that the Λ CDM parameters (H_0, Ω_m) evolve with redshift (Malekjani et al., 2024), suggesting statistical fluctuation,

or unexplored systematics in the data, or a breakdown of the Λ CDM model.

In this work we use the Pantheon+SH0ES catalog to study possible large-angle anisotropies associated to deviations of the cosmological parameters H_0 and Ω_m with respect to the expected values in the flat- Λ CDM model. Throughout this study, we adopted an approach based on the analysis of the distance modulus of SNe located within hemispheres. This allows us to perform a directional analysis over the sky, searching for directions where anomalous Ω_m and H_0 deviations could manifest. Our results show a dipolar pattern for the cosmological parameters in study, i.e., H_0 and Ω_m , suggesting a preferred axis in the universe expansion and in the distribution of matter. For this reason, we also investigate if this dipolar behavior is consistent with what is expected in the flat- Λ CDM model. In fact, the statistical significance of large-angle anisotropies will be quantified by comparison with a large set of simulated isotropized maps, as described below.

This work is structured as follows: Section 2 introduces the Pantheon+SH0ES catalog and outlines its key properties relevant to our analyses. In Section 3, we detail the methodology employed to select the Type Ia supernovae (SNe) for determining H_0 and Ω_m , as well as the construction of isotropic maps and the covariance matrix, which are critical components of our analysis. Our findings are presented in Section 4, followed by a discussion of the conclusions and final remarks in Section 5. Additionally, all robustness tests supporting our main results are provided in the Appendices.

2. Observational Data: The Pantheon+SH0ES Catalog

Supernovae (SNe) events are transient and appear randomly on the sky. Because type Ia supernovae (SNe Ia) are standardizable candles, efforts to calibrate their light-curves results in high quality compilations that are publicly available. In this study we used the Pantheon+ SH0ES catalog ² (Brout et al., 2022; Scolnic et al., 2022), the successor to the original Pantheon compilation of SNe Ia events (Scolnic et al., 2018a). The Pantheon+SH0ES catalog contains 1550 SNe Ia events and 1701 supernovae light-curves; it includes those SNe located in neighboring host galaxies whose distances have been determined using Cepheids. From now on, we will refer to supernovae light-curves simply as SNe.

The redshift range of these SNe is $0.001 \leq z_{\text{CMB}} \leq 2.261$ (where CMB stands for the Cosmic Microwave Background frame of reference; in what follows we use $z \equiv z_{\text{CMB}}$), with distribution shown in Figure 1 and its sky footprint is displayed in Figure 2. The comprehensive collection of precise data obtained through spectroscopy includes the distance covariance matrix (Scolnic et al., 2022), which contains all the correlations from SNe duplications and the distance measurements due to several systematic uncertainties.

To investigate the large-scale features in the parameters Ω_m and H_0 , we consider three samples with redshift intervals

²<https://github.com/PantheonPlusSH0ES/DataRelease>




Figure 1: Histogram of the redshift distribution of the Pantheon+SH0ES supernovae catalog, in the CMB redshift frame.




Figure 2: Mollweide projection in galactic coordinates of the Pantheon+SH0ES supernovae events, represented as colored dots, on the celestial sphere. The colors of the SNe events represent the redshift of the galaxy host. The solid red line represents the celestial equator.

$[z_{\min}, z_{\max}]$, where $z_{\max} = 2.261$ is fixed, and $z_{\min}$ takes the values 0.01, 0.015, and 0.02. The number of SNe in each redshift interval is: 1588, 1524, and 1426, respectively.

In what follows we present the results for the case $z_{\min} = 0.015$, leaving the other two cases for the Appendix section.

The methodology applied in our study uses the following SNe data to obtain the cosmological parameters: the SN sky position (**RA**, **DEC**), the CMB redshift **z_{CMB}**, the standardized distance modulus **MU_SH0ES**, the standardized **m_b** magnitude **m_b_corr**, and the distance covariance matrix **Pantheon+SH0ES_STAT_SYS.cov**. As suggested in the literature, we used the CMB reference frame because it is the suitable frame to investigate the large-angle variations of the cosmological parameters in study.

3. Methodology

In this section we describe the methodology used for the directional analyses to estimate the large-angle variations of the cosmological parameters H_0 and Ω_m within the flat- Λ CDM model. Our methodology consists of three main steps. Firstly, we divide the sky into a set of hemispheres covering the entire celestial sphere. Then, we perform Monte Carlo Markov Chain (MCMC) analyses using the MCMC ensemble sampler **emcee** (Foreman-Mackey et al., 2013, 2019) in each hemisphere to estimate H_0 and Ω_m . Finally, the statistical significance of our results is evaluated by comparing them with the results ob-

tained from similar analyses applied to a large set of simulated data, in which the SNe distance modulus data were randomized. Below, we present a detailed discussion of each one of these steps.

3.1. Directional analysis of SNe data

Consider the J -th spherical cap, $\mathcal{C}_\gamma^J$, with center in (θ_J, ϕ_J) and radius γ , and define a scalar function to associate a non negative real value to the corresponding cap, that is

$$P^J : \mathcal{C}_\gamma^J \subset \mathcal{S}^2 \mapsto \mathbb{R}^+, \quad (1)$$

for $J = 1, \dots, N_{\text{caps}}$, where P^J denotes one cosmological parameter in study, H_0^J or Ω_m^J , obtained from the statistical analysis of the SNe (described below) located in the J -th spherical cap $\mathcal{C}_\gamma^J$. We shall adopt hemispheres, i.e. $\gamma = 90^\circ$, and denote the J -th hemisphere just as $\mathcal{C}^J$. The set of N_{caps} values, $\{P^J\}$ for $J = 1, \dots, N_{\text{caps}}$, is then assembled together into two full-sky maps, hereafter the H_0 -map and the Ω_m -map, for the corresponding parameter in analysis. Let n_J be the number of SNe, listed in the Pantheon+SH0ES catalog, present in the J -th hemisphere. In this work, we used $N_{\text{caps}} = 48$ and thus our analyses were performed in 48 hemispheres. As a robustness test, in the Appendix Appendix A we show the case with $N_{\text{caps}} = 192$, where similar results were obtained.

3.2. H_0 and Ω_m using MCMC

To estimate the H_0^J or Ω_m^J parameters in each hemisphere, we use the MCMC method. The MCMC is a stochastic algorithm designed to sample from the posterior distribution, which is proportional to the product of the likelihood function and the prior probabilities (see e.g. Lewis and Bridle (2002); Gelman et al. (2013))

$$\mathcal{P}(\theta|D) \propto \mathcal{L}(D|\theta)\mathcal{P}(\theta), \quad (2)$$

where D is the dataset and θ is the set of parameters. The MCMC method is appropriately applied to the data collected in each of the 48 hemispheres. For each hemisphere, we select the SNe Ia present and analyze their observed apparent magnitudes, m_b , which are related to the cosmological distance modulus μ by $m_b = \mu + M_B$, where M_B is the absolute magnitude of SNe. We then fit these observational data points using the cosmological distance modulus, which is a function of the luminosity distance d_L (Riess et al., 1998),

$$\mu(z) = 5 \log_{10} \left(\frac{d_L(z)}{\text{Mpc}} \right) + 25, \quad (3)$$

where d_L depends on the assumed cosmological model

$$d_L(z) = c (1+z) \int_0^z \frac{dz'}{H(z')}, \quad (4)$$

where

$$H(z) = H_0 \sqrt{\Omega_m(1+z)^3 + \Omega_\Lambda}, \quad (5)$$

with H_0 denoting the Hubble constant. Clearly, for the flat- Λ CDM model one has $\Omega_\Lambda = 1 - \Omega_m$, where Ω_m and Ω_Λ are

the matter density and dark energy density parameters, respectively. The observed apparent magnitudes, m_b^{obs} , are compared to their theoretical counterparts, m_b^{theo} , derived from the Λ CDM model. The likelihood function used is defined as

$$\mathcal{L}(D|\theta) \propto \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\chi^2(D|\theta)\right], \quad (6)$$

and the χ^2 is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^2(D|\theta) &= \sum_{i,j} \left[m_b^{\text{obs}}(z_i) - m_b^{\text{theo}}(z_i; \theta) \right] \times C_{ij}^{-1} \\ &\times \left[m_b^{\text{obs}}(z_j) - m_b^{\text{theo}}(z_j; \theta) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $\theta = \{H_0, \Omega_m, M_B\}$, and C denotes the covariance matrix.

In our all-sky analysis we used the full *Pantheon+SH0ES* covariance matrix C with dimension $N \times N$ (the Pantheon+Shoes catalog contains $N = 1701$ light-curve SNe analyses). This matrix incorporates both statistical and systematic uncertainties for all SNe, including the correlations introduced by calibration and by the Cepheid-host SNe used in the SH0ES distance-ladder calibration (Brout et al., 2022). This unified statistical + systematics covariance data guarantees that cosmological fits consistently propagate all measurement errors and their correlations, thereby enabling a direct and coherent joint estimation of H_0 and other cosmological parameters.

For each subset of SNe, defined by hemispheres or redshift bins, we consider a subset $h \subset \{1, 2, 3 \dots N\}$. The corresponding submatrix C_h of the full covariance matrix C is then obtained by

$$(C_h)_{ij} = C_{i_h j_h}, \quad i_h, j_h \in h \quad (8)$$

where i_h and j_h denote the indices of the supernovae in the subset h . This construction ensures that both diagonal elements (individual statistical uncertainties) and off-diagonal elements (correlated systematic contributions) are consistently preserved. The restricted cosmological likelihood for each subset is then evaluated by assuming a multivariate Gaussian distribution, guaranteeing that parameter estimation properly accounts for both statistical noise and correlated systematics (Scolnic et al., 2022).

It is worth mentioning that the dependency between H_0 and M_B requires the inclusion of M_B in the set of parameters for proper quantification (see, e.g., Benisty et al. (2023)). In the Pantheon+SH0ES dataset, M_B is constrained by 77 SNe in Cepheid-host galaxies, which are included in our hemispherical divisions according to their sky positions. Thus, M_B is included as a third parameter in our analysis, but we do not assemble a sky map with the set of values $\{M_B^J\}$.

Once the MCMC for each of the 48 hemispheres is complete, the resultant set of values $\{H_0^J\}$ and $\{\Omega_m^J\}$ in the sky directions are used to assemble the respective sky maps, namely the H_0 - and the Ω_m -maps (see Sec. 3.1).

After that, the directional features of these maps can be analyzed in the harmonic space representation. Indeed, our analysis of the angular power spectrum of these maps quantifies the angular distribution of the H_0 and Ω_m parameters, revealing, in particular, potential dipolar anisotropies, suggestive of preferred directions.

3.3. Simulating Isotropic H_0 and Ω_m maps

The final, and equally important, step of our approach is to evaluate the statistical significance of the multipole features of the H_0 - and Ω_m -maps, allowing us to assess the presence of anomalous deviations from statistical isotropy. As mentioned above, we focused our analyses on the sample with $z_{\min} = 0.015$, which consists of 1524 SNe. The evaluation is carried out by comparing the corresponding angular power spectra of the data maps, namely the H_0 - and Ω_m -maps, with the angular power spectra computed from two sets of 1000 simulated maps, $\{H_0^{\text{ISO}}\}$ -maps and the $\{\Omega_m^{\text{ISO}}\}$ -maps, produced following the isotropization procedure described below. This comparison allows us to evaluate the statistical significance of the angular characteristics of the data maps.

To produce each simulated map, we first generate an isotropized distance modulus dataset. This is obtained in a two steps procedure applied to the Pantheon+SH0ES distance modulus dataset, $\{\mu_i(z)\}$, $i = 1, \dots, 1524$, that is,

$$\mu_i \xrightarrow{\text{rand.}} \mu_i^{\text{ran}} \xrightarrow{\text{Gaus.}} \mu_i^{\text{ran+Gau}}, \quad (9)$$

preserving the number of SNe in each hemisphere, $\{n_J\}$, for $J = 1, \dots, 48$.

The randomized set $\{\mu_i^{\text{ran}}\}$ is produced by randomizing the distance moduli data of the SNe sample, $\{\mu_i\}$, but maintaining their angular positions –and any other information regarding them– fixed. In this way, this procedure eliminates any correlation between the sky direction of the SN event and its original distance modulus, maintaining the original angular distribution of the SNe sample.

Then, each of these values is modified by adding a random value drawn from a Gaussian distribution with mean μ_i^{ran} and standard deviation equal to its measured uncertainty σ_{μ_i} , resulting in the isotropized dataset $\{\mu_i^{\text{ran+Gau}}\}$. The realization providing the set of triplets $\{(\alpha_i, \delta_i, \mu_i^{\text{ran+Gau}})\}$, $i = 1, \dots, 1524$, form one simulated catalog. After applying our directional analysis and χ^2 best-fitting procedures, this catalog produces a pair of maps: H_0^{ISO} -map and Ω_m^{ISO} -map. To efficiently fit these cosmological parameters in each hemisphere, we employed the **Core Cosmology Library (CCL)**³ (Chisari et al., 2019), assuming a flat- Λ CDM cosmology⁴. Finally, we repeat this procedure to obtain two sets of 1000 maps each: the $\{H_0^{\text{ISO}}\}$ -maps and the $\{\Omega_m^{\text{ISO}}\}$ -maps, from which the angular power spectra of the ensembles $\{H_0^{\text{ISO}}\}$ and $\{\Omega_m^{\text{ISO}}\}$ can be computed.

4. Results and Discussions

We applied the methodology outlined in Sec. 3 to the subsets described in Sec. 2. The results of these analyses, for 48 hemispheres and $z_{\min} = 0.015$, are presented in this section. Nevertheless, in the Appendix A we present the analysis for 192 hemispheres, and also considering other $z_{\min}$ cases to evaluate the robustness of our findings.

³<https://github.com/LSSTDESC/CCL>

⁴The fit for the distance modulus use the following Planck 2018 parameters




Figure 3: The H_0 -map (upper map) and the Ω_m -map (lower map), shown in Galactic coordinates, resulting from our directional analysis of the redshift bin with $z_{\min} = 0.015$, considering 48 hemispheres. Grid lines mark Galactic longitudes and latitudes in 30° intervals.

The results of the directional analysis of H_0 and Ω_m across the sky, i.e., the H_0 -map and the Ω_m -map, are presented in Figure 3 (and their corresponding uncertainties in Figure 4) and Tables 1 and 2 in Galactic coordinates. These maps, centered on the Milky Way, illustrate the angular variations of these parameters and were constructed using the HEALPix framework (Górski et al., 2005) for efficient spherical projection. One observes the existence of a net dipolar pattern in both maps, where the variation between the maximum and minimum values are $\sim 1.2\%$ in the H_0 -map and $\sim 26.6\%$ in the Ω_m -map. This can be seen in Figure 5, where histograms for the best fits of all free parameters and their corresponding 1σ errors in all 48 hemispheres are included. In all cases, the dashed lines indicate the median. The values of the medians for H_0 and Ω_m are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. These results can be compared to an MCMC analysis considering the full-sky data of the complete Pantheon+SH0ES catalog where one obtains: $H_0 = 73.40 \pm 1.02$, $\Omega_m = 0.33 \pm 0.02$, and $M_B = -19.25 \pm 0.03$. On the other hand, an analysis of the full-sky analysis but considering the redshift interval with $z_{\min} = 0.015$ gives: $H_0 = 74.02 \pm 3.24$, $\Omega_m = 0.33 \pm 0.02$, and $M_B = -19.23 \pm 0.09$. We observe that the full-sky results agree well, at 1σ confidence level (CL), with the directional analysis outcomes shown in Tables 1 and 2, but the full-sky average has a slightly larger impact on the matter density value.

In the full-sky analysis above, for $z_{\min} = 0.015$, we note that the uncertainties in M_B increase compared to complete redshift

sample. This happens because the very low-redshift (and precise) Cepheid calibrators are removed by this redshift cut. However, note that in our directional analyses the calibrated SNe are always included whenever present in a given hemisphere, so the calibration is consistently propagated; the broader error bars simply reflect the reduced statistical weight of the calibrators after the cut.

It is also worth noting that part of the apparent H_0 variations reported in the literature may in fact reflect differences in M_B driven by the Cepheid-calibrated SNe at very low redshift, where they are more susceptible to peculiar velocity effects. Applying a cut at $z_{\min} = 0.015$ reduces their impact while still allowing for a robust test of preferred directions of H_0 at larger scales.

The complete evaluation of the statistical significance of the H_0 - and Ω_m -maps angular features is done by analyzing their angular power spectra and performing a comparison with the spectra obtained from the $\{H_0^{\text{ISO}}\}$ -maps and the $\{\Omega_m^{\text{ISO}}\}$ -maps, respectively.




Figure 4: The standard deviation maps: σ_{H_0} -map and σ_{Ω_m} -map in Galactic coordinates. These analyses resulted from the study of the bin redshift with $z_{\min} = 0.015$, and considering 48 hemispheres.

$z_{\min}$	H_0^{monopole}	$[H_0^{\text{dip-min}}, H_0^{\text{dip-max}}]$	$l(^{\circ})$	$b(^{\circ})$
0.010	73.175	$[-1.147, 1.147]$	303.08	53.46
0.015	74.020	$[-0.346, 0.346]$	296.96	26.06
0.020	74.107	$[-0.302, 0.302]$	299.06	24.46

Table 1: Statistical features of the H_0 -maps for the three cases of $z_{\min}$ in analysis: Monopole, and dipole limits plus the dipole directions, in galactic coordinates, of the H_0 -maps and their corresponding dipole maps shown in Figure C.13 in Appendix C.

In fact, in Figure 6 we present two plots with the angular power spectra of the data maps (solid lines), already shown in

(Planck Collaboration et al., 2020): $\Omega_b = 0.0494$ (baryonic matter density fraction), $\sigma_8 = 0.8120$ (matter density perturbation variance at 8 Mpc/h scale), and $n_s = 0.9649$ (scalar spectral index).

$z_{\min}$	$\Omega_m^{\text{monopole}}$	$[\Omega_m^{\text{dip-min}}, \Omega_m^{\text{dip-max}}]$	l ($^\circ$)	b ($^\circ$)
0.010	0.3486	$[-0.0367, 0.0367]$	105.60	-31.70
0.015	0.3495	$[-0.0354, 0.0354]$	104.08	-31.20
0.020	0.3430	$[-0.0321, 0.0321]$	107.26	-29.93

Table 2: Statistical features of the Ω_m -maps for the three cases of $z_{\min}$ in analysis: Monopole, and dipole limits plus the dipole directions, in galactic coordinates, of the Ω_m -maps and their corresponding dipole maps shown in Figure C.14 in Appendix Appendix C.




Figure 5: Statistics of the information contained in the maps displayed in Figure 3. In the first row, we display the distributions of the pixel values for the H_0 -map and σ_{H_0} -map, obtaining the medians 73.99 and 3.48, and standard deviations 0.24 and 0.13 for the H_0 - and σ_{H_0} -maps, respectively. In the second row, we show the distributions of the pixel values for the Ω_m -map and σ_{Ω_m} -map, obtaining the medians 0.354 and 0.025, and standard deviations 0.025 and 0.003 for the Ω_m - and σ_{Ω_m} -maps, respectively. Instead, in the third row we present the statistics of the M_B -map and σ_{M_B} -map, with median values $M_B = -19.22$ with standard deviation 0.007 and $\sigma_{M_B} = 0.10$ and standard deviation 0.004; one notices that the dispersion of values of this parameter is, indeed, very small.

Figure 3, along with the median power spectrum (dashed lines) and the 1σ and 2σ regions (colored regions) for 1000 $\{H_0^{\text{ISO}}\}$ -maps and 1000 $\{\Omega_m^{\text{ISO}}\}$ -maps, respectively. From this analysis, one concludes that the dipolar behavior in the H_0 - and Ω_m -maps exhibits no significant anisotropy at large scales. Actually, one observes that the power spectrum of the data maps lies within the 1σ region in both cases, namely the H_0 -map and for the Ω_m -map, reinforcing the expectation of an isotropic expansion and matter distribution in the universe. In this sense, the absence of a significant directional preference in both the H_0 - and Ω_m -maps suggests that our results are consistent with the flat- Λ CDM concordance model in describing the angular distribution of matter density across the universe. These results agree with previous studies that investigated possible preferred directions of cosmological parameters using SNeIa data and other cosmic probes (see, e.g., Hu et al. (2020); Mc Conville and Ó Colgáin (2023); Tang et al. (2023); Wang et al. (2023); Wu and Xia (2025)).




Figure 6: The plots illustrate the angular power spectra of the H_0 and Ω_m maps alongside their corresponding ISO-maps. The shaded regions represent the 1σ and 2σ confidence intervals obtained from the ensemble of 1000 ISO-maps. The comparison reveals how the observed maps deviate from statistical isotropy, providing insights into possible directional dependencies in H_0 and Ω_m . These analyses correspond to the SNe sample with $z_{\min} \gtrsim 0.015$.

To put our findings into context, it is important to compare the measured dipolar preferred directions with previous determinations of large-scale anisotropic signals. The CMB dipole was determined by Planck (Aghanim et al., 2020) as $(l, b) = (264.021^\circ \pm 0.011^\circ, 48.253^\circ \pm 0.005^\circ)$. Local peculiar velocity studies, such as Qin et al. (2019), report a bulk flow on $< 50h^{-1}$ Mpc scales toward $(l, b) \sim (300^\circ, 23^\circ)$. On larger scales, Watkins et al. (2023) find a bulk flow extending to $\sim 200h^{-1}$ Mpc toward $(l, b) \sim (298^\circ, -8^\circ)$. On much larger scales, it has been suggested a coherent motion often re-

ferred to as *dark flow*. For instance, Kashlinsky et al. (2011) used the kinematic Sunyaev–Zel’dovich effect to measure a flow in the direction $\sim (283^\circ, 20^\circ)$. Moreover, Mariano and Perivolaropoulos (2012), analyzing the Keck+VLT quasar absorber and Union2 SNe Ia samples, reported a dipole direction at $(l, b) = (309^\circ, -15^\circ)$, while Migkas et al. (2021), studying the X-ray luminosity–temperature relation of galaxy clusters, found a significant anisotropy with a preferred dipole direction at $(l, b) = (280^\circ, -15^\circ)$. Our results, $(l, b) = (303.1^\circ, 53.5^\circ)$ at $z \sim 0.01$, lie in the same broad Galactic longitude range as most previous determinations but at a higher Galactic latitude, indicating longitudinal consistency but latitude-dependent variations. However, these comparisons must be treated with caution, as preferred directions depend strongly on redshift and sky coverage analyzed. For example, at $z = 0.01$ which corresponds to $\sim 40, h^{-1}$ Mpc, i.e. the very local universe, where cosmic variance and peculiar velocities dominate the analyses (Tully et al., 2023).

We also calculate the uncertainties associated with the parameters H_0 and Ω_m obtained from the best-fit values, and assemble them as full-sky maps, termed the σ_{H_0} - and σ_{Ω_m} -maps, displayed in Figure 4. We are interested in studying the impact of these uncertainties on the observed dipolar pattern of the H_0 - and Ω_m -maps. For this reason, we perform a consistency test as follows: we added the ISO-maps (see Section 3.3) to the maps of parameter uncertainties (see Figure 4), the σ_{H_0/Ω_m} -maps, in a shuffled manner, i.e., $\text{ISO}/\sigma\text{-map}^i = \text{ISO-map}^i + \sigma\text{-map}^i_{\text{shuffle}}$, for $i = 1, \dots, 1000$. This approach preserves the statistical distribution of the uncertainties while removing possible directional correlations. From the set of 1000 simulated ISO/ σ -maps, we calculated the angular power spectra for each realization, along with their median and the 1σ and 2σ regions, and compared them with the observed data, in Figure 7. We conclude that the uncertainties in the parameters H_0 and Ω_m do not introduce significant changes in the angular power spectrum or its statistical significance, reinforcing the robustness of our results.

Complementing this analysis, we also investigate the possibility that the dipolar pattern could be an effect related to the number of SNe in the hemispheres, $\{n_l\}$, that is, the Number of SNe-map (N-map), shown in Figure 8. We consider this possibility due to the correlation between the H_0 - and Ω_m -maps and the N-map, as revealed by the Pearson correlation coefficient. The Pearson coefficient quantifies the strength of linear correlations between two variables, with values in the range $[-1, 1]$. For clarity, we classify correlations according to the absolute value of this coefficient:

- $0.0 \leq |C| < 0.2$: **very weak or no correlation;**
- $0.2 \leq |C| < 0.4$: **weak correlation;**
- $0.4 \leq |C| < 0.6$: **moderate correlation;**
- $0.6 \leq |C| < 0.8$: **strong correlation;**
- $0.8 \leq |C| \leq 1.0$: **very strong correlation.**

In our case, $\text{Corr}(N\text{-map}, H_0\text{-map}) = -0.799$ ($|C| \sim 0.8$) and $\text{Corr}(N\text{-map}, \Omega_m\text{-map}) = 0.703$ ($|C| \sim 0.7$), which correspond




Figure 7: The angular power spectra of the H_0 and Ω_m maps compared with the power spectra of 1000 ISO/ σ -maps, that is to the original set of ISO-maps we have randomly added the observational uncertainties calculated in our best-fit procedure and shown in the σ_{H_0} - and σ_{Ω_m} -maps in Figure 4. The shaded regions represent the 1σ and 2σ confidence intervals.

to strong anti-correlation and strong correlation, respectively, between the analyzed maps. For this reason we investigate in detail, in Appendix B, the impact of the number of SNe on our directional analysis of H_0 .

Lastly, and equally important, the correlation between the H_0 -map and the Ω_m -map is $\text{Corr}(H_0\text{-map}, \Omega_m\text{-map}) = -0.914$, which is expected because these parameters are inversely proportional, as observed in equation (5) in the flat- Λ CDM model.




Figure 8: Number of SNe-map, or N-map, considering 48 hemispheres, for the case $z_{\min} = 0.015$, in Galactic coordinates. It provides the number of SNe analyzed in each of the 48 hemispheres defined in our directional analyses of the H_0 and Ω_m parameters.

Recently, Perivolaropoulos (2023) uses hemispherical analyses to study deviations of isotropy for the absolute magnitude in the Pantheon+SH0ES sample, finding consistency with simulated Monte Carlo catalogs for different redshift bins. However, for redshift bins of data with distances below 40 Mpc, a

sharp change in anisotropy is detected. As we observe in the third group histogram of Figure 5, the results of our directional analysis of M_B for $z_{\min} = 0.015$ (~ 60 Mpc) show, indeed, a tiny dispersion of values across the sky, of $(0.007/19.22) \times 100 \approx 0.04\%$ around the median value, compatible with the result obtained by Perivolaropoulos (2023).

While both H_0 and Ω_m can show apparent anisotropies in supernovae samples, the underlying systematics differ. For H_0 , peculiar velocities remain the dominant concern at low redshift, whereas Ω_m is more vulnerable to residual differences among the small compilations –from diverse surveys– that compose the data organized in the Pantheon+SHOES sample. As highlighted in earlier works (see, e.g., Scolnic et al., 2018b; Brout et al., 2022; Malekjani et al., 2024), certain systematics can cause redshift-dependent trends, which, translated into variations in cosmological parameters, could eventually appear as directional variations in the sky. This caution is important since Ω_m is a parameter dependent on the cosmological model.

Our results, summarized in Table 2, indicate that the monopole values, as well as the dipole range values and the directions obtained are very stable in the three cases analyzed, i.e., $z_{\min} = 0.01, 0.015, 0.02$. In this sense, we interpret the directional variations of Ω_m as generated by the distribution of matter, projected onto the sky, from the three-dimensional volumes for each redshift bin in analysis.

In addition to the directional analysis discussed in this section, in Appendices Appendix A and Appendix C, we present the results of various robustness and consistency tests, investigating higher angular resolution maps (with 192 hemispheres) and samples of SNe with $z_{\min} = 0.01$ and 0.02 . In Figures C.15 and C.16 (see Appendix Appendix C) we present the results corresponding to redshifts cases $z_{\min} = 0.01$ and $z_{\min} = 0.02$, respectively. In the former case, we observe a large dipole component for the H_0 -map, at more than 2σ CL, suggesting a violation of isotropy in the angular distribution of the H_0 values. However, this high dipole component diminishes to value within 1σ CL in the analyses of the samples with $z_{\min} = 0.015$ and $z_{\min} = 0.02$, as observed in the Figures 6 and C.16, respectively. This suggests that, for $z_{\min} = 0.01$, the observed anisotropy can be attributed primarily to local effects, which progressively diminish as $z_{\min}$ increases and isotropy is recovered for $z_{\min} \gtrsim 0.015$. Although this behavior manifests in both cosmological parameters, H_0 and Ω_m , the influence of peculiar velocities directly impacts the estimation of H_0 .

5. Conclusions and Final Remarks

In this study, we investigated the angular distribution of the Hubble constant (H_0) and the matter density (Ω_m) across the sky using the Pantheon+SHOES Type Ia supernovae catalog. Through a hemispherical analyses described in section 3.1, we constructed maps with directional information of these cosmological parameters and analyzed their statistical significance within the framework of the flat- Λ CDM model and in the CMB frame. We analyzed the sample of SNe with redshift $z \in [0.015, 2.261]$, i.e., $z_{\min} = 0.015$. Our results show the

existence of dominant dipoles in the H_0 -map and Ω_m -map, although both consistent with statistical isotropy within 1σ CL for $z_{\min} \gtrsim 0.015$ (i.e., ~ 60 Mpc). However, for nearby SNe –at distances $\lesssim 60$ Mpc– our consistency analyses in Appendix Appendix C show that peculiar velocities introduce a highly significant dipole in the angular distribution of H_0 .

In fact, in Appendix Appendix C, we study the H_0 - and the Ω_m -maps for SNe samples with other $z_{\min}$ values, obtaining the corresponding dipole directions (shown in the maps displayed in Figures C.13 and C.14), their statistical significance analyses (displayed in Figures C.15 and C.16), and complementary information given in tables 1 and 2. This directional analysis of the H_0 - and the Ω_m -maps for the different cases of $z_{\min}$ illustrates the impact of low- z data on the statistical significance of the dipolar pattern of the parameters maps, an effect likely caused by large peculiar velocities in the Local Universe (Avila et al., 2023; Lopes et al., 2024; Sorrenti et al., 2024b,a; Gavas et al., 2025; Courtois et al., 2023, 2025; Kalbounieh et al., 2023).

For the Ω_m -map analysis, one observes that the lack of significant anisotropy observed in Figure 6, contrasts with some studies, such as Javanmardi et al. (2015), who reported isotropy violation in matter density parameter using alternative datasets (although, caution is needed for comparisons involving different data sets). Our results, instead, confirm the isotropic distribution of matter at cosmological scales, adding evidence to support flat- Λ CDM as the concordance model of cosmology in reproducing features of the observed universe (see, e.g., Appleby and Shafieloo (2014); Marques et al. (2018); Avila et al. (2022); Lopes et al. (2025)). While small fluctuations in the distribution of matter are observed, they are consistent with statistical isotropy and do not indicate any significant departure from the predictions of the standard Λ CDM model. Finally, for the intrinsic magnitude of the SNe, M_B , we do not find any significant anisotropies for distances larger than ~ 60 Mpc, consistent with Perivolaropoulos (2023).

It is worth noting that part of the apparent H_0 variations reported in the literature may in fact reflect differences in M_B driven by the Cepheid-calibrated SNe at very low redshift, where they are more susceptible to peculiar velocity effects. Applying a cut at $z_{\min} = 0.01, 0.015, 0.02$, reduces their impact while still allowing for a robust test of preferred directions of H_0 at larger scales.

Additionally, we also studied the impact on our results coming from uncertainties in H_0 and Ω_m (shown in figure 7), the number of SNIa in each region of the sky (in Appendix Appendix B), and different samples of SNe (in Appendix Appendix C). Our results, in all cases, are quite robust.

In conclusion, our findings are broadly consistent with the Λ CDM framework, with the observed H_0 dipole pattern likely originating from local effects that diminish at higher redshifts. The robustness tests, including isotropic realizations and statistical analyses across hemispheres, further validate our results. Future studies with higher-resolution datasets and alternative cosmological tracers will be essential to disentangle local contributions from genuine cosmological anisotropies and refine our understanding of the universe’s large-scale structure. Ultimately, we confirm that, based on our analyses and at the cur-

rent precision of the Pantheon+SH0ES dataset, the cosmological principle is valid.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the use of data from Pantheon+SH0ES. We also acknowledge the use of the CHE cluster, managed and funded by the COSMO/CBPF/MCTI, with financial support from FINEP and FAPERJ, operating at Javier Magnin Computing Center/CBPF, and the CDPAS high-performance computing cluster at the Observatório Nacional Data Center (CPDON). Additionally, we acknowledge the use of the healpy/HEALPix package (Górski et al., 2005) for processing and analyzing data. FA thanks to FAPERJ, Processo SEI-260003/001221/2025, for the financial support. ML and AB acknowledges to CAPES and CNPq, for their corresponding fellowships. WSHR thanks CNPq and FAPES for their partial financial support. RM acknowledges the financial support from CNPq under the fellowship Processo 302370/2024-2.

References

- Aghanim, N., et al. (Planck), 2020. Planck 2018 results. I. Overview and the cosmological legacy of Planck. *Astron. Astrophys.* 641, A1. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201833880, arXiv:1807.06205.
- Appleby, S., Shafieloo, A., 2014. Testing isotropy in the local Universe. *JCAP* 2014, 070–070. doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2014/10/070, arXiv:1405.4595.
- Avila, F., Bernui, A., Nunes, R.C., de Carvalho, E., Novaes, C.P., 2022. The homogeneity scale and the growth rate of cosmic structures. *MNRAS* 509, 2994–3003. doi:10.1093/mnras/stab3122, arXiv:2111.08541.
- Avila, F., Novaes, C.P., Bernui, A., de Carvalho, E., Nogueira-Cavalcante, J.P., 2019. The angular scale of homogeneity in the local Universe with the SDSS blue galaxies. *MNRAS* 488, 1481–1487. doi:10.1093/mnras/stz1765, arXiv:1906.10744.
- Avila, F., Oliveira, J., L. S. Dias, M., Bernui, A., 2023. The bulk flow motion and the hubble-lemaitre law in the local universe with the alfalfa survey. *Brazilian Journal of Physics* 53. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13538-023-01259-z>, doi:10.1007/s13538-023-01259-z.
- Benisty, D., Mifsud, J., Levi Said, J., Staicova, D., 2023. On the robustness of the constancy of the Supernova absolute magnitude: Non-parametric reconstruction & Bayesian approaches. *Physics of the Dark Universe* 39, 101160. doi:10.1016/j.dark.2022.101160, arXiv:2202.04677.
- Boubel, P., Colless, M., Said, K., Staveley-Smith, L., 2025. Testing anisotropic hubble expansion. *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* 2025, 066. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2025/03/066>, doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2025/03/066.
- Brout, D., Scolnic, D., Popovic, B., Riess, A.G., Carr, A., Zuntz, J., Kessler, R., Davis, T.M., Hinton, S., Jones, D., Kenworthy, W.D., Peterson, E.R., Said, K., Taylor, G., Ali, N., Armstrong, P., Charvu, P., Dwomoh, A., Meldorf, C., Palmese, A., Qu, H., Rose, B.M., Sanchez, B., Stubbs, C.W., Vincenzi, M., Wood, C.M., Brown, P.J., Chen, R., Chambers, K., Coulter, D.A., Dai, M., Dimitriadis, G., Filippenko, A.V., Foley, R.J., Jha, S.W., Kelsey, L., Kirshner, R.P., Möller, A., Muir, J., Nadathur, S., Pan, Y.C., Rest, A., Rojas-Bravo, C., Sako, M., Siebert, M.R., Smith, M., Stahl, B.E., Wiseman, P., 2022. The Pantheon+ Analysis: Cosmological Constraints. *ApJ* 938, 110. doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ac8e04, arXiv:2202.04077.
- Bull, P., Akrami, Y., Adamek, J., Baker, T., Bellini, E., Beltrán Jiménez, J., Bentivegna, E., Camera, S., Clesse, S., Davis, J.H., Di Dio, E., Enander, J., Heavens, A., Heisenberg, L., Hu, B., Llinares, C., Maartens, R., Mörtzell, E., Nadathur, S., Noller, J., Pasechnik, R., Pawlowski, M.S., Pereira, T.S., Quartin, M., Ricciardone, A., Riemer-Sørensen, S., Rinaldi, M., Sakstein, J., Saltas, I.D., Salzano, V., Sawicki, I., Solomon, A.R., Spolyar, D., Starkman, G.D., Steer, D., Tereno, I., Verde, L., Villaescusa-Navarro, F., von Strauss, M., Winther, H.A., 2016. Beyond Λ cdm: Problems, solutions, and the road ahead. *Physics of the Dark Universe* 12, 56–99. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2212686416300097>, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dark.2016.02.001.
- Chisari, N.E., Alonso, D., Krause, E., Leonard, C.D., Bull, P., Neveu, J., Villarreal, A.S., Singh, S., McClintock, T., Ellison, J., Du, Z., Zuntz, J., Mead, A., Joudaki, S., Lorenz, C.S., Tröster, T., Sanchez, J., Lanusse, F., Ishak, M., Hlozek, R., Blazek, J., Campagne, J.E., Almoubayyed, H., Eifler, T., Kirby, M., Kirkby, D., Plaszczyński, S., Slosar, A., Vrstil, M., Wagoner, E.L., LSST Dark Energy Science Collaboration, 2019. Core Cosmology Library: Precision Cosmological Predictions for LSST. *ApJs* 242, 2. doi:10.3847/1538-4365/ab1658, arXiv:1812.05995.
- Clocchiatti, A., Rodríguez, O., Morales, A.O., Cuevas-Tapia, B., 2024. Global anisotropies of ω_λ . *The Astrophysical Journal* 971, 19.
- Courtois, H.M., Dupuy, A., Guinet, D., Baulieu, G., Rupp, F., Brenas, P., 2023. Gravity in the local Universe: Density and velocity fields using CosmicFlows-4. *A&A* 670, L15. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/202245331, arXiv:2211.16390.
- Courtois, H.M., Mould, J., Hollinger, A.M., Dupuy, A., Zhang, C.P., 2025. In search for the Local Universe dynamical homogeneity scale with CF4++ peculiar velocities. *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:2502.01308doi:10.48550/arXiv.2502.01308, arXiv:2502.01308.
- Cowell, J.A., Dhawan, S., Macpherson, H.J., 2022. Potential signature of a quadrupolar hubble expansion in pan-

theon+ supernovae. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.13569>, arXiv:2212.13569.

Di Valentino, E., Anchordoqui, L.A., Akarsu, O., Ali-Haimoud, Y., Amendola, L., Arendse, N., Asgari, M., Ballardini, M., Basilakos, S., Battistelli, E., Benetti, M., Birrer, S., Bouchet, F.R., Bruni, M., Calabrese, E., Camarena, D., Capozziello, S., Chen, A., Chluba, J., Chudaykin, A., Colgáin, E.Ó., Cyr-Racine, F.Y., de Bernardis, P., de Cruz Pérez, J., Delabrouille, J., Dunkley, J., Escamilla-Rivera, C., Ferté, A., Finelli, F., Freedman, W., Frusciante, N., Giusarma, E., Gómez-Valent, A., Handley, W., Harrison, I., Hart, L., Heavens, A., Hildebrandt, H., Holz, D., Huterer, D., Ivanov, M.M., Joudaki, S., Kamionkowski, M., Karwal, T., Knox, L., Kumar, S., Lamagna, L., Lesgourgues, J., Lucca, M., Marra, V., Masi, S., Matarrese, S., Mazumdar, A., Melchiorri, A., Mena, O., Mersini-Houghton, L., Miranda, V., Moreno-Pulido, C., Mota, D.F., Muir, J., Mukherjee, A., Niedermann, F., Notari, A., Nunes, R.C., Pace, F., Paliathanasis, A., Palmese, A., Pan, S., Paoletti, D., Pettorino, V., Piacentini, F., Poulin, V., Raveri, M., Riess, A.G., Salzano, V., Saridakis, E.N., Sen, A.A., Shafieloo, A., Shajib, A.J., Silk, J., Silvestri, A., Sloth, M.S., Smith, T.L., Solà Peracaula, J., van de Bruck, C., Verde, L., Visinelli, L., Wandelt, B.D., Wang, D., Wang, J.M., Yadav, A.K., Yang, W., 2021. Cosmology intertwined iii. Astroparticle Physics 131, 102604. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.astropartphys.2021.102604>, doi:10.1016/j.astropartphys.2021.102604.

Di Valentino, E., Anchordoqui, L.A., Akarsu, Ö., Ali-Haimoud, Y., Amendola, L., Arendse, N., Asgari, M., Ballardini, M., Basilakos, S., Battistelli, E., Benetti, M., Birrer, S., Bouchet, F.R., Bruni, M., Calabrese, E., Camarena, D., Capozziello, S., Chen, A., Chluba, J., Chudaykin, A., Colgáin, E.Ó., Cyr-Racine, F.Y., de Bernardis, P., de Cruz Pérez, J., Delabrouille, J., Dunkley, J., Escamilla-Rivera, C., Ferté, A., Finelli, F., Freedman, W., Frusciante, N., Giusarma, E., Gómez-Valent, A., Guy, J., Handley, W., Harrison, I., Hart, L., Heavens, A., Hildebrandt, H., Holz, D., Huterer, D., Ivanov, M.M., Joudaki, S., Kamionkowski, M., Karwal, T., Knox, L., Kumar, S., Lamagna, L., Lesgourgues, J., Lucca, M., Marra, V., Masi, S., Matarrese, S., Mazumdar, A., Melchiorri, A., Mena, O., Mersini-Houghton, L., Miranda, V., Moreno-Pulido, C., Mota, D.F., Muir, J., Mukherjee, A., Niedermann, F., Notari, A., Nunes, R.C., Pace, F., Paliathanasis, A., Palmese, A., Pan, S., Paoletti, D., Pettorino, V., Piacentini, F., Poulin, V., Raveri, M., Riess, A.G., Salzano, V., Saridakis, E.N., Sen, A.A., Shafieloo, A., Shajib, A.J., Silk, J., Silvestri, A., Sloth, M.S., Smith, T.L., Solà Peracaula, J., van de Bruck, C., Verde, L., Visinelli, L., Wandelt, B.D., Wang, D., Wang, J.M., Yadav, A.K., Yang, W., 2021. Cosmology Intertwined II: The hubble constant tension. Astroparticle Physics 131, 102605. doi:10.1016/j.astropartphys.2021.102605, arXiv:2008.11284.

Di Valentino, E., Levi Said, J., Riess, A., Pollo, A., Poulin, V., Gómez-Valent, A., Weltman, A., Palmese, A., Huang,

C.D., van de Bruck, C., Shekhar Saraf, C., Kuo, C.Y., Uhlemann, C., Grandón, D., Paz, D., Eckert, D., Teixeira, E.M., Saridakis, E.N., Colgáin, E.Ó., Beutler, F., Niedermann, F., Bajardi, F., Barenboim, G., Gubitosi, G., Musella, I., Banik, I., Szapudi, I., Singal, J., Haro Cases, J., Chluba, J., Torrado, J., Mifsud, J., Jedamzik, K., Said, K., Dialektopoulos, K., Herold, L., Perivolaropoulos, L., Zu, L., Galbany, L., Breuval, L., Visinelli, L., Escamilla, L.A., Anchordoqui, L.A., Sheikh-Jabbari, M.M., Lembo, M., Dainotti, M.G., Vincenzi, M., Asgari, M., Gerbino, M., Forconi, M., Cantiello, M., Moresco, M., Benetti, M., Schöneberg, N., Akarsu, Ö., Nunes, R.C., Bernardo, R.C., Chávez, R., Anderson, R.I., Watkins, R., Capozziello, S., Li, S., Vagnozzi, S., Pan, S., Treu, T., Irsic, V., Handley, W., Giarè, W., Murakami, Y., Poudou, A., Heavens, A., Kogut, A., Domi, A., Łukasz Lenart, A., Melchiorri, A., Vadalà, A., Amon, A., Bonilla, A., Reeves, A., Zhuk, A., Bonanno, A., Övgün, A., Pisani, A., Talebian, A., Abebe, A., Aboubrahim, A., González Morán, A.L., Kovács, A., Papatriantafyllou, A., Liddle, A.R., Paliathanasis, A., Borowiec, A., Yadav, A.K., Yadav, A., Sen, A.A., Mini Latha, A.J.W., Davis, A.C., Shajib, A.J., Walters, A., Idicherian Lonappan, A., Chudaykin, A., Capodagli, A., da Silva, A., De Felice, A., Racioppi, A., Soler Oficial, A., Montiel, A., Favale, A., Bernui, A., Velasco, A.C., Heinesen, A., Bakopoulos, A., Chatzistavrakidis, A., Khanpour, B., Sathyaprakash, B.S., Zgirski, B., L’Huillier, B., Famaey, B., Jain, B., Marek, B., Zhang, B., Karmakar, B., Dragovich, B., Thomas, B., Correa, C., Boiza, C.G., Marques, C., Escamilla-Rivera, C., Tzerefos, C., Zhang, C., De Leo, C., Pfeifer, C., Lee, C., Venter, C., Gomes, C., Roque De bom, C., Moreno-Pulido, C., Iosifidis, D., Grin, D., Blixt, D., Scolnic, D., Oriti, D., Dobrycheva, D., Bettoni, D., Benisty, D., Fernández-Arenas, D., Wiltshire, D.L., Sanchez Cid, D., Tamayo, D., Valls-Gabaud, D., Pedrotti, D., Wang, D., Staicova, D., Totolou, D., Rubiera-Garcia, D., Milaković, D., Pesce, D., Sluse, D., Borka, D., Yusofi, E., Giusarma, E., Terlevich, E., Tomasetti, E., Vagenas, E.C., Fazzari, E., Ferreira, E.G.M., Barakovic, E., Dimastrogiovanni, E., Brinch Holm, E., Mottola, E., Özülker, E., Specogna, E., Brocato, E., Jensko, E., Antonette Enriquez, E., Bhatia, E., Bresolin, F., Avila, F., Bouchè, F., Bombacigno, F., Anagnostopoulos, F.K., Pace, F., Sorrenti, F., Lobo, F.S.N., Courbin, F., Hansen, F.K., Sloan, G., Farrugia, G., Lynch, G., Garcia-Arroyo, G., Raimondo, G., Lambiase, G., Anand, G.S., Poulot, G., Leon, G., Kouniatis, G., Nardini, G., Csörnyei, G., Galloni, G., Bargiacchi, G., 2025. The CosmoVerse White Paper: Addressing observational tensions in cosmology with systematics and fundamental physics. arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2504.01669arXiv:2504.01669.

Dias, B.L., Avila, F., Bernui, A., 2023. Probing cosmic homogeneity in the Local Universe. MNRAS 526, 3219–3229. doi:10.1093/mnras/stad2980, arXiv:2310.04594.

Foreman-Mackey, D., Farr, W.M., Sinha, M., 2019. emcee v3: A Python ensemble sampling toolkit for affine-invariant

- MCMC. The Journal of Open Source Software 4, 1864. doi:10.21105/joss.01864.
- Foreman-Mackey, D., Hogg, D.W., Lang, D., Goodman, J., 2013. emcee: The MCMC Hammer. Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific 125, 306–312. doi:10.1086/670067.
- Fosalba, P., Gaztañaga, E., 2021. Explaining cosmological anisotropy: evidence for causal horizons from cmb data. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society 504, 5840–5862. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stab1193>, doi:10.1093/mnras/stab1193.
- Franco, C., Avila, F., Bernui, A., 2024. Probing cosmic isotropy in the Local Universe. MNRAS 527, 7400–7413. doi:10.1093/mnras/stad3616, arXiv:2312.03152.
- Franco, C., Avila, F., Bernui, A., 2025. Probing large-scale structures with the 2-point function and the power spectrum: insights into cosmic clustering evolution. arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2502.02574doi:10.48550/arXiv.2502.02574, arXiv:2502.02574.
- Frieman, J.A., Turner, M.S., Huterer, D., 2008. Dark energy and the accelerating universe. Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics 46, 385–432. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.astro.46.060407.145243>, doi:10.1146/annurev.astro.46.060407.145243.
- Gavas, S., Bagla, J.S., Khandai, N., 2025. Dispersion in the Hubble-Lemaître constant measurements from gravitational clustering. PRD 111, 043516. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.111.043516.
- Gelman, A., Carlin, J.B., Stern, H.S., Dunson, D.B., Vehtari, A., Rubin, D.B., 2013. Bayesian Data Analysis. Chapman and Hall/CRC.
- Górski, K.M., Hivon, E., Banday, A.J., Wandelt, B.D., Hansen, F.K., Reinecke, M., Bartelman, M., 2005. HEALPix - A Framework for high resolution discretization, and fast analysis of data distributed on the sphere. Astrophys. J. 622, 759–771. doi:10.1086/427976, arXiv:astro-ph/0409513.
- Górski, K.M., Hivon, E., Banday, A.J., Wandelt, B.D., Hansen, F.K., Reinecke, M., Bartelmann, M., 2005. HEALPix: A Framework for High-Resolution Discretization and Fast Analysis of Data Distributed on the Sphere. ApJ 622, 759–771. doi:10.1086/427976, arXiv:astro-ph/0409513.
- Hu, J.P., Hu, J., Jia, X.D., Gao, B.Q., Wang, F.Y., 2024a. Testing cosmic anisotropy with Padé approximations and the latest Pantheon+ sample. A&A 689, A215. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/202450342, arXiv:2406.14827.
- Hu, J.P., Wang, Y.Y., Hu, J., Wang, F.Y., 2024b. Testing the cosmological principle with the Pantheon+ sample and the region-fitting method. A&A 681, A88. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/202347121, arXiv:2310.11727.
- Hu, J.P., Wang, Y.Y., Wang, F.Y., 2020. Testing cosmic anisotropy with Pantheon sample and quasars at high redshifts. A&A 643, A93. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/202038541, arXiv:2008.12439.
- Javanmardi, B., Porciani, C., Kroupa, P., Pflamm-Altenburg, J., 2015. Probing the Isotropy of Cosmic Acceleration Traced By Type Ia Supernovae. ApJ 810, 47. doi:10.1088/0004-637X/810/1/47, arXiv:1507.07560.
- Kalbouneh, B., Marinoni, C., Bel, J., 2023. Multipole expansion of the local expansion rate. PRD 107, 023507. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.107.023507, arXiv:2210.11333.
- Kashlinsky, A., Atrio-Barandela, F., Ebeling, H., 2011. Measuring the dark flow with public X-ray cluster data. Astrophys. J. 732, 1. doi:10.1088/0004-637X/732/1/1, arXiv:1012.3214.
- Kester, C.E., Bernui, A., Hipólito-Ricaldi, W.S., 2024. Probing the statistical isotropy of the universe with Planck data of the cosmic microwave background. Astronomy and Astrophysics 683, A176. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/202348160, arXiv:2310.02928.
- Krishnan, C., Mohayaee, R., Colgáin, E.O., Sheikh-Jabbari, M., Yin, L., 2022. Hints of flrw breakdown from supernovae. Physical Review D 105. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.063514>, doi:10.1103/physrevd.105.063514.
- Krishnan, C., Mohayaee, R., Colgáin, E.O., Sheikh-Jabbari, M.M., Yin, L., 2021. Does hubble tension signal a breakdown in flrw cosmology? Classical and Quantum Gravity 38, 184001. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/ac1a81>, doi:10.1088/1361-6382/ac1a81.
- Kumar Aluri, P., Cea, P., Chingangbam, P., Chu, M.C., Clowes, R.G., Hutsemékers, D., Kochappan, J.P., Lopez, A.M., Liu, L., Martens, N.C.M., Martins, C.J.A.P., Migkas, K., Ó Colgáin, E., Pranav, P., Shamir, L., Singal, A.K., Sheikh-Jabbari, M.M., Wagner, J., Wang, S.J., Wiltshire, D.L., Yeung, S., Yin, L., Zhao, W., 2023. Is the observable Universe consistent with the cosmological principle? Classical and Quantum Gravity 40, 094001. doi:10.1088/1361-6382/acbefc, arXiv:2207.05765.
- Lewis, A., Bridle, S., 2002. Cosmological parameters from cmb and other data: A monte carlo approach. Phys. Rev. D 66, 103511. URL: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.66.103511>, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.66.103511.
- Linder, E.V., 2021. What is the Standard Cosmological Model? arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2105.02903doi:10.48550/arXiv.2105.02903, arXiv:2105.02903.
- Lopes, M., Bernui, A., Franco, C., Avila, F., 2024. Bulk Flow Motion Detection in the Local Universe with Pantheon+ Type Ia Supernovae. ApJ 967, 47. doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ad3735, arXiv:2405.11077.

- Lopes, M., Bernui, A., Hipólito-Ricaldi, W.S., Franco, C., Avila, F., 2025. Dipolar fluence distribution of statistically isotropic FERMI gamma-ray bursts. *A&A* 694, A77. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/202452181, arXiv:2409.01480.
- Luongo, O., Muccino, M., Colgáin, E.O., Sheikh-Jabbari, M., Yin, L., 2022. Larger h_0 values in the cmb dipole direction. *Physical Review D* 105. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.103510>, doi:10.1103/physrevd.105.103510.
- Maartens, R., 2011. Is the Universe homogeneous? *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series A* 369, 5115–5137. doi:10.1098/rsta.2011.0289, arXiv:1104.1300.
- Malekjani, M., Mc Conville, R., Ó Colgáin, E., Pourojaghi, S., Sheikh-Jabbari, M.M., 2024. On redshift evolution and negative dark energy density in Pantheon + Supernovae. *European Physical Journal C* 84, 317. doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-024-12667-z, arXiv:2301.12725.
- Mariano, A., Perivolaropoulos, L., 2012. Is there correlation between Fine Structure and Dark Energy Cosmic Dipoles? *Phys. Rev. D* 86, 083517. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.86.083517, arXiv:1206.4055.
- Marques, G.A., Novaes, C.P., Bernui, A., Ferreira, I.S., 2018. Isotropy analyses of the Planck convergence map. *MNRAS* 473, 165–172. doi:10.1093/mnras/stx2240, arXiv:1708.09793.
- Mc Conville, R., Ó Colgáin, E., 2023. Anisotropic distance ladder in pantheon+ supernovae. *Physical Review D* 108, 123533.
- Migkas, K., Pacaud, F., Schellenberger, G., Erler, J., Nguyen-Dang, N.T., Reiprich, T.H., Ramos-Ceja, M.E., Lovisari, L., 2021. Cosmological implications of the anisotropy of ten galaxy cluster scaling relations. *Astron. Astrophys.* 649, A151. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/202140296, arXiv:2103.13904.
- Migkas, K., Schellenberger, G., Reiprich, T.H., Pacaud, F., Ramos-Ceja, M.E., Lovisari, L., 2020. Probing cosmic isotropy with a new x-ray galaxy cluster sample through the x - t -scaling relation. *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 636, A15. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201936602>, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201936602.
- Peebles, P.J., Ratra, B., 2003. The cosmological constant and dark energy. *Reviews of Modern Physics* 75, 559–606. doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.75.559, arXiv:astro-ph/0207347.
- Perivolaropoulos, L., 2023. Isotropy properties of the absolute luminosity magnitudes of snia in the pantheon+ and sh0es samples. *Physical Review D* 108, 063509.
- Perivolaropoulos, L., Skara, F., 2022. Challenges for λ cdm: An update. *New Astronomy Reviews* 95, 101659.
- Planck Collaboration, Aghanim, N., Akrami, Y., Ashdown, M., Aumont, J., Baccigalupi, C., Ballardini, M., Banday, A.J., Barreiro, R.B., Bartolo, N., Basak, S., Battye, R., Benabed, K., Bernard, J.P., Bersanelli, M., Bielewicz, P., Bock, J.J., Bond, J.R., Borrill, J., Bouchet, F.R., Boulanger, F., Bucher, M., Burigana, C., Butler, R.C., Calabrese, E., Cardoso, J.F., Carron, J., Challinor, A., Chiang, H.C., Chluba, J., Colombo, L.P.L., Combet, C., Contreras, D., Crill, B.P., Cuttaia, F., de Bernardis, P., de Zotti, G., Delabrouille, J., Delouis, J.M., Di Valentino, E., Diego, J.M., Doré, O., Douspis, M., Ducout, A., Dupac, X., Dusini, S., Efstathiou, G., Elsner, F., Enßlin, T.A., Eriksen, H.K., Fantaye, Y., Farhang, M., Fergusson, J., Fernandez-Cobos, R., Finelli, F., Forastieri, F., Frailis, M., Fraisse, A.A., Franceschi, E., Frolov, A., Galeotta, S., Galli, S., Ganga, K., Génova-Santos, R.T., Gerbino, M., Ghosh, T., González-Nuevo, J., Górski, K.M., Gratton, S., Gruppuso, A., Gudmundsson, J.E., Hamann, J., Handley, W., Hansen, F.K., Herranz, D., Hildebrandt, S.R., Hivon, E., Huang, Z., Jaffe, A.H., Jones, W.C., Karacik, A., Keihänen, E., Keskitalo, R., Kiiveri, K., Kim, J., Kisner, T.S., Knox, L., Krachmalnicoff, N., Kunz, M., Kurki-Suonio, H., Lagache, G., Lamarre, J.M., Lasenby, A., Lattanzi, M., Lawrence, C.R., Le Jeune, M., Lemos, P., Lesgourgues, J., Levrier, F., Lewis, A., Liguori, M., Lilje, P.B., Lilley, M., Lindholm, V., López-Caniego, M., Lubin, P.M., Ma, Y.Z., Macías-Pérez, J.F., Maggio, G., Maino, D., Mandolesi, N., Mangilli, A., Marcos-Caballero, A., Maris, M., Martin, P.G., Martinelli, M., Martínez-González, E., Matarrese, S., Mauri, N., McEwen, J.D., Meinhold, P.R., Melchiorri, A., Mennella, A., Migliaccio, M., Millea, M., Mitra, S., Miville-Deschênes, M.A., Molinari, D., Montier, L., Morgante, G., Moss, A., Natoli, P., Nørgaard-Nielsen, H.U., Pagano, L., Paoletti, D., Partridge, B., Patanchon, G., Peiris, H.V., Perrotta, F., Pettorino, V., Piacentini, F., Polastri, L., Polenta, G., Puget, J.L., Rachen, J.P., Reinecke, M., Remazeilles, M., Renzi, A., Rocha, G., Rosset, C., Roudier, G., Rubiño-Martín, J.A., Ruiz-Granados, B., Salvati, L., Sandri, M., Savelainen, M., Scott, D., Shellard, E.P.S., Sirignano, C., Sirri, G., Spencer, L.D., Sunyaev, R., Suur-Uski, A.S., Tauber, J.A., Tavagnacco, D., Tenti, M., Toffolatti, L., Tomasi, M., Trombetti, T., Valenziano, L., Valiviita, J., Van Tent, B., Vibert, L., Vielva, P., Villa, F., Vittorio, N., Wandelt, B.D., Wehus, I.K., White, M., White, S.D.M., Zacchei, A., Zonca, A., 2020. Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters. *A&A* 641, A6. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201833910, arXiv:1807.06209.
- Qin, F., Howlett, C., Staveley-Smith, L., Hong, T., 2019. Bulk flow and shear in the local Universe: 2MTF and COSMICFLOWS-3. *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* 482, 1920–1930. doi:10.1093/mnras/sty2826, arXiv:1811.00822.
- Riess, A.G., Filippenko, A.V., Challis, P., Clocchiatti, A., Diercks, A., Garnavich, P.M., Gilliland, R.L., Hogan, C.J., Jha,

- S., Kirshner, R.P., Leibundgut, B., Phillips, M.M., Reiss, D., Schmidt, B.P., Schommer, R.A., Smith, R.C., Spyromilio, J., Stubbs, C., Suntzeff, N.B., Tonry, J., 1998. Observational evidence from supernovae for an accelerating universe and a cosmological constant. *The Astronomical Journal* 116, 1009–1038. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/300499>, doi:10.1086/300499.
- Riess, A.G., Yuan, W., Macri, L.M., Scolnic, D., Brout, D., Casertano, S., Jones, D.O., Murakami, Y., Anand, G.S., Breuval, L., Brink, T.G., Filippenko, A.V., Hoffmann, S., Jha, S.W., D’arcy Kenworthy, W., Mackenty, J., Stahl, B.E., Zheng, W., 2022. A Comprehensive Measurement of the Local Value of the Hubble Constant with $1 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ Uncertainty from the Hubble Space Telescope and the SH0ES Team. *ApJ* 934, L7. doi:10.3847/2041-8213/ac5c5b, arXiv:2112.04510.
- Sah, A., Rameez, M., Sarkar, S., Tsagas, C., 2024. Anisotropy in Pantheon+ supernovae. arXiv e-prints , arXiv:2411.10838doi:10.48550/arXiv.2411.10838, arXiv:2411.10838.
- Schwarz, D.J., Bacon, D., Chen, S., Clarkson, C., Huterer, D., Kunz, M., Maartens, R., Raccanelli, A., Rubart, M., Starck, J.L., 2015. Testing foundations of modern cosmology with SKA all-sky surveys, in: *Advancing Astrophysics with the Square Kilometre Array (AASKA14)*, p. 32. doi:10.22323/1.215.0032, arXiv:1501.03820.
- Scolnic, D., Brout, D., Carr, A., Riess, A.G., Davis, T.M., Dwomoh, A., Jones, D.O., Ali, N., Charvu, P., Chen, R., Peterson, E.R., Popovic, B., Rose, B.M., Wood, C.M., Brown, P.J., Chambers, K., Coulter, D.A., Dettman, K.G., Dimitriadis, G., Filippenko, A.V., Foley, R.J., Jha, S.W., Kilpatrick, C.D., Kirshner, R.P., Pan, Y.C., Rest, A., Rojas-Bravo, C., Siebert, M.R., Stahl, B.E., Zheng, W., 2022. The Pantheon+ Analysis: The Full Data Set and Light-curve Release. *ApJ* 938, 113. doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ac8b7a, arXiv:2112.03863.
- Scolnic, D.M., Jones, D.O., Rest, A., Pan, Y.C., Chornock, R., Foley, R.J., Huber, M.E., Kessler, R., Narayan, G., Riess, A.G., Rodney, S., Berger, E., Brout, D.J., Challis, P.J., Drout, M., Finkbeiner, D., Lunnan, R., Kirshner, R.P., Sanders, N.E., Schlafly, E., Smartt, S., Stubbs, C.W., Tonry, J., Wood-Vasey, W.M., Foley, M., Hand, J., Johnson, E., Burgett, W.S., Chambers, K.C., Draper, P.W., Hodapp, K.W., Kaiser, N., Kudritzki, R.P., Magnier, E.A., Metcalfe, N., Bresolin, F., Gall, E., Kotak, R., McCrum, M., Smith, K.W., 2018a. The complete light-curve sample of spectroscopically confirmed SNe ia from pan-STARRS1 and cosmological constraints from the combined pantheon sample. *The Astrophysical Journal* 859, 101. URL: <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/2Faab9bb>, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aab9bb.
- Scolnic, D.M., Jones, D.O., Rest, A., Pan, Y.C., Chornock, R., Foley, R.J., Huber, M.E., Kessler, R., Narayan, G., Riess, A.G., Rodney, S., Berger, E., Brout, D.J., Challis, P.J., Drout, M., Finkbeiner, D., Lunnan, R., Kirshner, R.P., Sanders, N.E., Schlafly, E., Smartt, S., Stubbs, C.W., Tonry, J., Wood-Vasey, W.M., Foley, M., Hand, J., Johnson, E., Burgett, W.S., Chambers, K.C., Draper, P.W., Hodapp, K.W., Kaiser, N., Kudritzki, R.P., Magnier, E.A., Metcalfe, N., Bresolin, F., Gall, E., Kotak, R., McCrum, M., Smith, K.W., 2018b. The complete light-curve sample of spectroscopically confirmed sne ia from pan-starrs1 and cosmological constraints from the combined pantheon sample. *The Astrophysical Journal* 859, 101. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aab9bb>, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aab9bb.
- Sorrenti, F., Durrer, R., Kunz, M., 2024a. A local infall from a cosmographic analysis of Pantheon+. *JCAP* 12, 003. doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2024/12/003.
- Sorrenti, F., Durrer, R., Kunz, M., 2024b. The low multipoles in the Pantheon+SH0ES data. arXiv e-prints , arXiv:2403.17741doi:10.48550/arXiv.2403.17741, arXiv:2403.17741.
- Tang, L., Lin, H.N., Liu, L., Li, X., 2023. Consistency of pantheon+ supernovae with a large-scale isotropic universe. *Chinese Physics C* 47, 125101.
- Tsagas, C.G., 2011. Peculiar motions, accelerated expansion, and the cosmological axis. *PRD* 84, 063503. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.84.063503, arXiv:1107.4045.
- Tully, R.B., Kourkchi, E., Courtois, H.M., Anand, G.S., Blakeslee, J.P., Brout, D., Jaeger, T.d., Dupuy, A., Guinet, D., Howlett, C., Jensen, J.B., Pomarède, D., Rizzi, L., Rubin, D., Said, K., Scolnic, D., Stahl, B.E., 2023. Cosmicflows-4. *The Astrophysical Journal* 944, 94. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac94d8>, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ac94d8.
- Verde, L., Treu, T., Riess, A.G., 2019. Tensions between the early and late universe. *Nature Astronomy* 3, 891–895. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41550-019-0902-0>, doi:10.1038/s41550-019-0902-0.
- Wang, K., Chen, K.P., Le Delliou, M., 2023. Constraints on the local cosmic void from the Pantheon supernovae data. *European Physical Journal C* 83, 859. doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-023-12016-6, arXiv:2304.13945.
- Watkins, R., Allen, T., Bradford, C.J., Ramon, A., Walker, A., Feldman, H.A., Cionitti, R., Al-Shorman, Y., Kourkchi, E., Tully, R.B., 2023. Analysing the large-scale bulk flow using cosmicflows4: increasing tension with the standard cosmological model. *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* 524, 1885–1892. doi:10.1093/mnras/stad1984, arXiv:2302.02028.
- Wu, Y.W., Xia, J.Q., 2025. Probing Cosmic Isotropy with the FAST All Sky H I Survey. *ApJ* 979, 3. doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ad9922, arXiv:2412.00475.

Zhai, Z., Percival, W.J., 2022. Sample variance for supernovae distance measurements and the hubble tension. Physical Review D 106. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.106.103527>, doi:10.1103/physrevd.106.103527.

Appendix A. Directional analysis with higher angular resolution

In this Appendix, we present consistency results by constructing H_0 and Ω_m maps at a higher angular resolution, that is, considering 192 hemispheres, and discussing the case with $z_{\min} = 0.015$ (the same studied in Section 4 using 48 hemispheres). The statistical results of the H_0 - and the Ω_m -maps are shown in the histograms displayed in Figure A.9. While in Figure A.10 we show the H_0 - and the Ω_m -maps

As one can observe, the results obtained in Section 4 remain robust.




Figure A.9: Statistical features of the H_0 - and Ω_m -maps, shown in Figure A.10, with medians 73.88 and 0.354 and standard deviations 0.43 and 0.023, respectively. The analyzed maps contain 192 hemispheres.

Appendix B. Impact of the number of supernovae in our directional analysis

Observing the Figure 2, one clearly notices that the distribution of SNe is not uniform across the sky. This leads us to question whether the calculation of the cosmological parameters done in our analyses could be biased by the different number of SNe in each hemisphere. To investigate this, we calculate the Number-of-SNe map, assembled counting the number of SNe in each hemisphere, $\{n_J\}$, $J = 1, 2, \dots, 48$ and termed the N -map, shown in Figure B.11, where we display these maps for the cases $z_{\min} = 0.01, 0.015, 0.02$. The possible negative correlation, or anti-correlation, between this N -map and the H_0 -map is indeed confirmed with the Pearson coefficient, where we obtain the value: -0.799 . This anti-correlation means that in regions containing a smaller number of SNe, our analyses result in higher values of the parameter H_0 . Our next analyses investigate if this anti-correlation is indeed biasing the dipolar structure of the H_0 -map. These analyses were done for the $z_{\min} = 0.01$ case.




Figure A.10: The H_0 -map (upper map) and Ω_m -map (lower map) produced analyzing 192 hemispheres and considering the case $z_{\min} = 0.015$.

This investigation consists on various robustness tests, based on Monte Carlo analysis, to discover a possible bias in the dipolar direction of the H_0 -map due to the number of SNe in that direction. Specifically, we examine the hemisphere containing the highest number of supernovae, i.e., 1279 SNe, by randomly selecting three samples from it, containing 309, 512, and 700 SNe (note that 309 is the lowest number of SNe obtained in the hemisphere distribution for the case under study, i.e., $z_{\min} = 0.01$, and 192 hemispheres; see the map at the top in Figure B.11). Then we perform a series of Monte Carlo analyses for each sample, that is, we repeat the above choice of SNe samples a number M of times, considering $M = 10, 20, \dots, 1000$, and calculate H_0 in each case.

For each set of M repetitions, we calculate the $\bar{H}_0$ median, and then plot the pair $(\bar{H}_0, M)$ as a blue dot in the plots displayed in the Figure B.12. Our results show that the mean value of H_0 remains consistent across the different subsets, averaging close to the value obtained in our main analysis, that is, $H_0 \approx 73$ km/s/Mpc. The distribution of H_0 values from 1000 Monte Carlo simulations, for each subset size, predominantly falls between 72 and 74, in units km/s/Mpc, as shown in Figure B.12, with 72 being the value obtained in hemispheres with the highest number of SNe and 74 from hemispheres with the lowest number. These findings support the conclusion that the value of H_0 is independent of the number of SNe within the hemisphere, and that the anti-correlation found appears to be coincidental.

Additionally, from the 1279 supernovae, we select samples of 309, 512, and 700 SNe and estimate the three cosmological parameters: H_0 , Ω_m , and M_b . We then repeat the calculation for different sample sizes: 1, 300, 400, 500, 600, 700, 800, 900, 1000, and 1100 SNe. Our results indicate that even for low




Figure B.11: From top to bottom: Number of SNe-maps, or N -maps, considering 192 hemispheres, for the cases $z_{\min} = 0.01$, $z_{\min} = 0.015$, and $z_{\min} = 0.02$.




Figure B.12: Monte Carlo analyses that calculates H_0 in three samples with different number of SNe randomly selected, namely: 309, 512, and 700 SNe (displayed in this order from top to bottom). See Appendix Appendix B for details. The two dashed horizontal lines indicate the minimum, 72.52 km/s/Mpc, and the maximum 74.1 km/s/Mpc, values of H_0 obtained in the directional analysis of the case $z_{\min} = 0.01$ with 192 hemispheres.

numbers of SNe, the estimated parameter values remain largely independent of the number of selected SNe. The observed differences can be attributed to statistical noise, which depends on the sample size.

Appendix C. Robustness test: the other $z_{\min}$ cases

In this appendix, we investigate the large-angle signature for other $z_{\min}$ cases, specifically supernova samples with $z_{\min} = 0.01$ and $z_{\min} = 0.02$. To this end, we examine the dipole behavior of the H_0 - and Ω_m -maps for these samples.

The dipole components of our maps results are shown in Figures C.13 and C.14. The statistics and dipole directions of these maps are provided in tables 1 and 2 for the H_0 -maps and the Ω_m -maps, respectively. In Figures C.15 and C.16, the angular power spectra for the parameter directional maps are shown.

The values observed in the tables and Figures C.13-C.16 show the effect of the low- z data on the dipolar pattern of the parameter maps, likely caused by large peculiar velocities in the local universe (Avila et al., 2023; Lopes et al., 2024; Sorrenti et al., 2024b,a; Gavas et al., 2025; Courtois et al., 2025).




Figure C.13: From top to bottom, the corresponding dipole components of the H_0 -maps obtained for the SNe datasets with $z_{\min} = 0.01$, $z_{\min} = 0.015$, and $z_{\min} = 0.02$, respectively.




Figure C.14: From top to bottom, the corresponding dipole components of the Ω_m -maps obtained for the SNe datasets with $z_{\min} = 0.01$, $z_{\min} = 0.015$, and $z_{\min} = 0.02$, respectively.




Figure C.15: The plots illustrate the angular power spectra of the H_0 and Ω_m maps alongside their corresponding ISO-maps for $z_{min} = 0.01$. The shaded regions represent the 1σ and 2σ confidence intervals obtained from the ensemble of 1000 ISO-maps. The comparison reveals how the observed maps deviate from statistical isotropy, providing insights into possible directional dependencies in H_0 and Ω_m .




Figure C.16: The plots illustrate the angular power spectra of the H_0 and Ω_m maps alongside their corresponding ISO-maps for $z_{min} = 0.02$. The shaded regions represent the 1σ and 2σ confidence intervals obtained from the ensemble of 1000 ISO-maps. The comparison reveals how the observed maps deviate from statistical isotropy, providing insights into possible directional dependencies in H_0 and Ω_m .