

# On the $\mathcal{D}_J^+$ operator on higher-dimensional almost Kähler manifolds <sup>\*</sup>

Qiang Tan, Hongyu Wang, Ken Wang<sup>†</sup>, Zuyi Zhang

*In Memory of Professor Zhengzhong Huang (1916-2012).*

**Abstract:** In this paper, we introduce  $\mathcal{D}_J^+$ , a generalization of the  $\partial\bar{\partial}$  operator on higher-dimensional almost Kähler manifolds. Using  $\mathcal{D}_J^+$ , we investigate the  $\bar{\partial}$ -problem in almost Kähler geometry and study a generalized Monge-Ampère equation on almost Kähler manifolds. Analogous to the Kähler case, we prove the uniqueness and derive  $C^\infty$  *a priori* estimates for solutions of the generalized Monge-Ampère equation on an almost Kähler manifold  $(M, g, \omega, J)$ . We then study the Hermite-Einstein metrics on almost Kähler manifolds, in analogy with the classical Kähler geometry. Finally, we pose several open questions related to almost Kähler geometry.

**AMS Classification (2000):** 53D35; 53C56; 35J96; 32Q60.

**Keywords:** almost Kähler potentials,  $\mathcal{D}_J^+$  operator,  $\bar{\partial}$ -problem in almost Kähler geometry, the generalized Monge-Ampère equation, Hermite-Einstein almost Kähler metrics.

## 1 Introduction

Yau's Theorem for closed Kähler manifolds [65] asserts that one can prescribe the volume form of a Kähler metric within a given Kähler class. This result is fundamental in the theory of Kähler manifolds (cf. Calabi [8, 9]) and has broad applications in both geometry and mathematical physics (cf. Yau [64]).

More precisely, Yau's Theorem can be stated as follows. Let  $(M, g, \omega, J)$  be a closed Kähler manifold of real dimension  $2n$ , where  $\omega$  denotes the Kähler form,  $J$  is an  $\omega$ -compatible complex structure, and  $g(\cdot, \cdot) = \omega(\cdot, J\cdot)$ . Given a smooth volume form  $e^F \omega^n$  on  $M$ , there exists a unique smooth function  $\varphi$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega + \sqrt{-1}\partial_J\bar{\partial}_J\varphi)^n &= e^F \omega^n, \\ \omega + \sqrt{-1}\partial_J\bar{\partial}_J\varphi &> 0, \quad \sup_M \varphi = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

provided  $F$  satisfies the necessary normalization condition

$$\int_M e^F \omega^n = \int_M \omega^n. \tag{1.2}$$

<sup>\*</sup>Supported by NSFC (China) Grants 12171417, 11971112.

<sup>†</sup>E-mail: kanwang22@m.fudan.edu.cn

Equation (1.1) is known as the complex Monge-Ampère equation for Kähler manifolds. The proof involves the continuity method and requires detailed *a priori* estimates for derivatives of  $\varphi$  up to third order (cf. Yau [65]). The uniqueness of the solution  $\varphi$  was later established by Calabi via an elementary argument [9].

An alternative extension of Yau's Theorem arises when  $J$  is a non-integrable almost complex structure. Recall that an almost complex structure  $J$  is said to be tamed by a symplectic form  $\omega$  if the bilinear form  $\omega(\cdot, J\cdot)$  is positive definite. The structure  $J$  is called compatible (or calibrated) with  $\omega$  if the bilinear form is also symmetric, that is, if  $\omega(\cdot, J\cdot) > 0$  and  $\omega(J\cdot, J\cdot) = \omega(\cdot, \cdot)$  (cf. McDuff-Salamon [39]). In the 1990s, Gromov posed the following problem to P. Delanoë [17]. Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a closed symplectic manifold,  $J$  an almost complex structure compatible with  $\omega$ , and  $F \in C^\infty(M)$  satisfying

$$\int_M e^F \omega^n = \int_M \omega^n.$$

Does there exist a smooth function  $\varphi$  on  $M$  such that  $\omega + dJd\varphi$  is a symplectic form taming  $J$  and satisfies

$$(\omega + dJd\varphi)^n = e^F \omega^n? \tag{1.3}$$

However, P. Delanoë [17] showed that when  $n = 2$ , the answer is negative. This result was later extended to all dimensions by Wang and Zhu [58]. A key ingredient in their construction is a smooth function  $\varphi$  such that  $\omega + dJd\varphi$  lies on the boundary of the set of taming symplectic forms (so its  $(1, 1)$  part is semipositive definite but not strictly positive), while nevertheless  $(\omega + dJd\varphi)^n > 0$ . This is possible because the  $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$ -part of  $dJd\varphi$  contributes a strictly positive term. This phenomenon indicates that the difficulty in Gromov's proposal stems from the fact that the 2-form  $dJd\varphi$  is generally not of type  $(1, 1)$  with respect to  $J$ , since  $J$  is not integrable in general. Its  $(1, 1)$  part is given by

$$\sqrt{-1}\partial_J\bar{\partial}_J\varphi = \frac{1}{2}(dJd\varphi)^{(1,1)}$$

which agrees with the standard expression when  $J$  is integrable. This motivates the study of Monge-Ampère equation for non-integrable almost complex structures. Important contributions in this direction include Harvey-Lawson [29], Tosatti-Wang-Weinkove-Yang [54], Chu-Tosatti-Weinkove [13], and the references therein.

To study the Donaldson tameness problem [22], Tan, Wang, Zhou, and Zhu introduced the operator  $\mathcal{D}_J^+$  on tamed closed almost complex four-manifolds  $(M, J)$  in [46]. Using  $\mathcal{D}_J^+$ , they resolved the problem under the condition  $h_J^- = b^+ - 1$ . The operator  $\mathcal{D}_J^+$  can be viewed as a generalization of  $\partial_J\bar{\partial}_J$ . Specifically, when  $J$  is integrable,  $\mathcal{D}_J^+ = 2\sqrt{-1}\partial_J\bar{\partial}_J$ . In [57], Wang, Wang, and Zhu used  $\mathcal{D}_J^+$  to study the Nakai-Moishezon criterion for tamed almost Hermitian 4-manifolds. In [59], Wang, Zhang, Zheng, and Zhu studied the generalized Monge-Ampère equation

$$(\omega + \mathcal{D}_J^+(f))^2 = e^F \omega^2$$

on almost Kähler surfaces and established uniqueness (up to an additive constant) and existence results.

It is well known that in dimension four the operator  $d_J^- d^*$  is self-adjoint and strongly elliptic, and plays a key role in defining  $\mathcal{D}_J^+$ . In higher dimensions, however,  $d_J^- d^*$  is no longer elliptic. Fortunately, a direct calculation, a direct computation shows that its principal symbol coincides with that of  $d_J^- d^*$  is the same as that of  $\partial_J \bar{\partial}_J^* + \bar{\partial}_J \partial_J^*$  on higher dimensional almost Kähler manifolds. Thus, the operator  $d_J^- d^* : D(d_J^- d^*) \rightarrow R(d_J^- d^*)$  is an invertible, formally self-adjoint, and nonnegative. Consequently, for any  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ , we have  $d_J^- Jdf \perp \text{coker}(d_J^- d^*)$ , and there exists a unique  $\sigma(f) \in D(d_J^- d^*)$  such that

$$d_J^- d^* \sigma(f) = d_J^- Jdf.$$

Using  $d_J^- d^*$ , we define the operator  $\mathcal{D}_J^+$  on higher-dimensional almost Kähler manifolds by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_J^+(f) &= dJdf + dd^* \sigma(f) \\ &= dd^*(f\omega) + dd^* \sigma(f) \end{aligned}$$

which satisfies  $d_J^- d^*(f\omega) + d_J^- d^* \sigma(f) = 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{W}_J(f) = d^*(f\omega) + d^* \sigma(f)$ , then

$$\begin{cases} d\mathcal{W}_J(f) = \mathcal{D}_J^+(f) & , \\ d^* \mathcal{W}_J(f) = 0 & , \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

which forms an elliptic system. Notice that  $d_J^- \mathcal{W}_J(f) = 0$ , similar to the classical  $\bar{\partial}$ -problem [30], the  $(\mathcal{W}_J, d_J^-)$ -problem asks whether the equation  $\mathcal{W}_J(f) = A$  admits a solution for any  $A$  satisfying  $d_J^- A = 0$ . Using  $L^2$ -methods [30], calculating the  $L^2$ -norm of  $\mathcal{W}_J^* A$ , and the Riesz Representation Theorem [67], we solve this problem in Section 2 (see Theorem 2.5), which plays a crucial role in the subsequent analysis of the generalized Monge-Ampère equation.

Let  $(M, g, \omega, J)$  be a closed almost Kähler manifold of dimension  $2n$ . We consider a generalized Monge-Ampère equation on  $M$

$$(\omega + \mathcal{D}_J^+(f))^n = e^F \omega^n \quad (1.5)$$

for a real function  $f \in C^\infty(M)$  such that

$$\omega + \mathcal{D}_J^+(f) > 0,$$

where  $F \in C^\infty(M)$  satisfies the normalization condition

$$\int_M \omega^n = \int_M e^F \omega^n. \quad (1.6)$$

We establish uniqueness (up to an additive constant) and a local existence theorem in Section 3 (see Theorem 3.6 and Theorem 3.5).

In Section 4, we give an alternative expression for the operator  $\mathcal{D}_J^+$  using symplectic operators  $\partial_\pm$ . In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_J^+(f) &= dJdf + dd^* \sigma(f) \\ &= dJd(f_t) + da(f_t), \end{aligned}$$

where  $f_t$  is defined by

$$-\frac{1}{n}\Delta_{g_t}f_t = \frac{\omega_t^{n-1} \wedge (\omega_1 - \omega)}{\omega_t^n},$$

$$\omega_t = t\omega_1 + (1-t)\omega, \quad g_t(\cdot, \cdot) = \omega_t(\cdot, J\cdot), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

Moreover,  $a(f_t)$  satisfies the elliptic system

$$\begin{cases} d^{*t}a(f_t) = 0, \\ d_{\bar{J}}a(f_t) = -d_{\bar{J}}Jdf_t, \\ \omega_t^{n-1} \wedge da(f_t) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

Then, in Section 5, we establish a  $C^0$ -estimate for the almost Kähler potential  $f_0$  (see Proposition 5.7).

In Section 6, based on the  $C^0$ -estimates for  $f_0$ , we derive  $C^\infty$  *a priori* estimates for the solution to the generalized Monge-Ampère equation (1.5) on almost Kähler manifolds. Let  $(M, g, \omega, J)$  be a closed almost Kähler manifold, and let  $\omega_1 = \omega + \mathcal{D}_J^+(f)$  be another  $J$ -compatible almost Kähler form on  $M$  satisfying  $\omega_1 \in [\omega]$  and  $\omega_1^n = e^F \omega^n$ . We now state our first main theorem (Theorem 6.4 in Section 6).

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $(M, g, \omega, J)$  be a closed almost Kähler manifold. Let  $F$  be a real function on  $M$  such that*

$$\int_M \omega^n = \int_M e^F \omega^n.$$

*If*

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1 &= \omega + \mathcal{D}_J^+(f) \\ &= \omega + dJd(f_0) + da(f_0), \end{aligned}$$

*is an almost Kähler form with  $[\omega_1] = [\omega]$  that solves the Calabi-Yau equation*

$$\omega_1^n = e^F \omega^n,$$

*then there are  $C^\infty$  a priori bounds on  $f_0$  and  $\omega_1$  depending only on  $M, \omega, J, F$ , and  $\sup_M(\text{tr}_g g_1)$ .*

In Section 7, we discuss the existence of Hermite-Einstein metrics in the almost Kähler setting. Let  $M$  be a compact Kähler manifold with Kähler metric  $\sum g_{i\bar{j}} dz^i \otimes d\bar{z}^j$ . In [8], Calabi conjectured the existence of Kähler-Einstein metric on a Kähler manifold whose first Chern class is negative, zero or positive. A Kähler Einstein metric is a Kähler metric whose Ricci form is proportional to the Kähler form. If  $c_1(M) < 0$ , we can choose a Kähler metric such that  $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \sum g_{i\bar{j}} dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^j$  represents  $-c_1(M)$ . If  $c_1(M) = 0$ , we may choose  $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \sum g_{i\bar{j}} dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^j$  to be an arbitrary Kähler form. If  $c_1(M) > 0$ , we choose  $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \sum g_{i\bar{j}} dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^j$  to represent  $c_1(M)$ . In these cases, the existence of a Kähler-Einstein metric is equivalent to solving

$$\det(g_{i\bar{j}} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j}) \det(g_{i\bar{j}})^{-1} = \exp(cf + F), \quad (1.8)$$

where  $c = 1, 0$  or  $-1$ , and  $F$  is a smooth function defined on  $M$ . If  $c_1(M) < 0$ , a Kähler-Einstein metric exists (cf. Aubin [4], Yau [65]). If  $c_1(M) = 0$ , the existence of Kähler-Einstein metric is equivalent to the solvability of the following complex Monge-Ampère equation

$$\det(g_{i\bar{j}} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j}) \det(g_{i\bar{j}})^{-1} = \exp(F),$$

where  $F$  is a smooth function on  $M$  satisfying Equation (7.2). The case  $c_1(M) > 0$  is related to the Yau-Tian-Donaldson conjecture ([66, 49, 21]), which was proved independently in 2015 by Chen-Donaldson-Sun [12] and Tian [50].

It is natural to consider Hermite-Einstein almost Kähler metrics. An almost Kähler metric  $(g, \omega, J)$  is called Hermite-Einstein (HEAK for short) if the Hermite-Ricci form  $\rho$  is a constant multiple of the symplectic form  $\omega$ , i.e.

$$\rho = \frac{R}{2n} \omega,$$

where  $R$  is the Hermitian scalar curvature, which is constant (cf. [35]). Similar to the discussions in Sections 5 and 6, we obtain the following theorem for HEAK metrics (Theorem 7.3).

**Theorem 1.2.** *Suppose that  $(M, g, \omega, J)$  is a closed almost Kähler manifold with  $c_1(M, J) < 0$ . If*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\omega} &= \omega + \mathcal{D}_J^+(f) \\ &= \omega + dJd(f_0) + da(f_0), \end{aligned}$$

*defines a HEAK metric and satisfies*

$$\tilde{\omega}^n = e^{f_0 + F} \omega^n$$

*for some  $F \in C^\infty(M)$ , then there are  $C^\infty$  a priori bounds on  $f'_0$  and  $\tilde{\omega}$  depending only on  $M, \omega, J, F$ , and  $\sup_M(\text{tr}_g \tilde{g})$ .*

Finally, as in the Kähler case [23], we pose several existence questions on almost Kähler manifolds for four different types of special almost Kähler metrics on compact manifolds, working within a fixed symplectic class.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $M$  be an almost complex manifold with an almost complex structure  $J$ . For any  $x \in M$ ,  $T_x M \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$  which is the complexification of  $T_x M$  can be decomposed as

$$T_x M \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = T_x^{1,0} M + T_x^{0,1} M, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $T_x^{1,0} M$  and  $T_x^{0,1} M$  are the eigenspaces of  $J$  corresponding to the eigenvalues  $\sqrt{-1}$  and  $-\sqrt{-1}$ , respectively. A complex tangent vector is of type  $(1, 0)$  (resp.  $(0, 1)$ ) if it belongs to  $T_x^{1,0} M$  (resp.  $T_x^{0,1} M$ ). Let  $TM \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$  be the complexification of the tangent bundle. Similarly, let  $T^*M \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$  be the complexification of the cotangent bundle  $T^*M$ . The almost complex structure  $J$  acts on  $T^*M \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$  by

$$\forall \alpha \in T^*M \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}, \quad J\alpha(\cdot) = -\alpha(J\cdot).$$

Hence  $T^*M \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$  decomposes into the  $\pm\sqrt{-1}$ -eigenspaces as

$$T^*M \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = \Lambda_J^{1,0} \oplus \Lambda_J^{0,1}. \quad (2.2)$$

We define  $\Lambda_J^{p,q} := \Lambda^p \Lambda_J^{1,0} \otimes \Lambda^q \Lambda_J^{0,1}$ , and let  $\Omega_J^{p,q}(M)$  denote the space of smooth sections of  $\Lambda_J^{p,q}$ . The exterior derivative acts by

$$d\Omega_J^{p,q} \subset \Omega_J^{p-1,q+2} + \Omega_J^{p+1,q} + \Omega_J^{p,q+1} + \Omega_J^{p+2,q-1}. \quad (2.3)$$

Therefore  $d$  decomposes as

$$d = A_J \oplus \partial_J \oplus \bar{\partial}_J \oplus \bar{A}_J, \quad (2.4)$$

where the components have bidegrees

$$|A_J| = (-1, 2), \quad |\partial_J| = (1, 0), \quad |\bar{\partial}_J| = (0, 1), \quad |\bar{A}_J| = (2, -1).$$

The operators  $\partial_J$  and  $\bar{\partial}_J$  are of the first-order, while  $A_J$  and  $\bar{A}_J$  are of order zero (see [40]).

Now suppose that  $(M, g, \omega, J)$  is a closed almost Kähler manifold of dimension  $2n$ . Let  $\Omega_{\mathbb{R}}^2(M)$  denote the space of real smooth 2-forms on  $M$ , i.e., the real  $C^\infty$  sections of the bundle  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}^2(M)$ . The almost complex structure  $J$  acts on  $\Omega_{\mathbb{R}}^2(M)$  as an involution via

$$\alpha \longmapsto \alpha(J\cdot, J\cdot), \quad \alpha \in \Omega_{\mathbb{R}}^2(M). \quad (2.5)$$

This induces a decomposition of 2-forms into  $J$ -invariant and  $J$ -anti-invariant parts (see [22]):

$$\Omega_{\mathbb{R}}^2 = \Omega_J^+ \oplus \Omega_J^-, \quad \alpha = \alpha_J^+ + \alpha_J^-$$

and the corresponding decomposition of vector bundles:

$$\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}^2 = \Lambda_J^+ \oplus \Lambda_J^-. \quad (2.6)$$

We define the following operators:

$$\begin{aligned} d_J^+ &= P_J^+ d : \Omega_{\mathbb{R}}^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_J^+, \\ d_J^- &= P_J^- d : \Omega_{\mathbb{R}}^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_J^-, \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

where  $P_J^\pm : \Omega_{\mathbb{R}}^2 \longrightarrow \Omega_J^\pm$ . A differential  $k$ -form  $B_k$  with  $k \leq n$  is called *primitive* if  $L_\omega^{n-k+1} B_k = 0$ , equivalently  $\Lambda_\omega B_k = 0$  (see [55, 63]). Here  $L_\omega$  is the Lefschetz operator, defined by

$$L_\omega(A_k) = \omega \wedge A_k$$

for  $A_k \in \Omega_{\mathbb{R}}^k(M)$ . The dual Lefschetz operator is defined by  $\Lambda_\omega : \Omega_{\mathbb{R}}^k(M) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{R}}^{k-2}(M)$ , and it is a contraction map associated with the symplectic form  $\omega$ . We define the space of primitive  $k$ -forms as  $\Omega_0^k(M)$ . Specifically,

$$\Omega_0^2(M) = \{\alpha \in \Omega_{\mathbb{R}}^2(M) \mid \omega^{n-1} \wedge \alpha = 0\}.$$

Thus,

$$\Omega_0^2(M) = \Omega_J^-(M) \oplus \Omega_{J,0}^+(M)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{\mathbb{R}}^2(M) &= \Omega_1^2(M) \oplus \Omega_0^2(M) \\ &= \Omega_1^2(M) \oplus \Omega_J^-(M) \oplus \Omega_{J,0}^+(M),\end{aligned}\tag{2.8}$$

where  $\Omega_{J,0}^+(M)$  is the space of the primitive  $J$ -invariant 2-forms and

$$\Omega_1^2(M) := \{f\omega \mid f \in C^\infty(M)\}.$$

Let

$$d_J^- d^* : \Omega_J^-(M) \longrightarrow \Omega_J^-(M),$$

where  $d^* = -*g d*_g$  and  $*g$  is the Hodge star operator with respect to the metric  $g$ . For any  $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega_J^-(M)$ , it is straightforward to check that

$$\langle d_J^- d^* \alpha, \beta \rangle_g = \langle dd^* \alpha, \beta \rangle_g = \langle \alpha, dd^* \beta \rangle_g = \langle \alpha, d_J^- d^* \beta \rangle_g.$$

Hence,  $d_J^- d^*$  is a self-adjoint operator. If  $\alpha \in \ker(d_J^- d^*) \subset \Omega_J^-(M)$ ,

$$0 = \langle d_J^- d^* \alpha, \alpha \rangle_g = \langle d^* \alpha, d^* \alpha \rangle_g.$$

Thus,

$$\ker(d_J^- d^*) = \text{coker}(d_J^- d^*) = \{\alpha \in \Omega_J^-(M) \mid d^* \alpha = 0\}.$$

By Weil's identity [55, 61],

$$*_g \alpha = \frac{1}{(n-2)!} \omega^{n-2} \wedge \alpha.$$

So

$$d *_g \alpha = \frac{1}{(n-2)!} \omega^{n-2} \wedge d\alpha = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\ker(d_J^- d^*) = \text{coker}(d_J^- d^*) &= \{\alpha \in \Omega_J^-(M) \mid d^* \alpha = 0\} \\ &= \{\alpha \in \Omega_J^-(M) \mid \omega^{n-2} \wedge d\alpha = 0\}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.9}$$

If  $n = 2$ , then  $d^* \alpha = 0$  implies  $d\alpha = 0$ , so  $\alpha$  is a  $J$ -anti-invariant harmonic 2-form in  $\Omega_J^-(M)$  (cf. [34]). Therefore,  $\ker(d_J^- d^*) = \mathcal{H}_J^- = \mathcal{H}^2 \cap \Omega_J^-$ . If  $n \geq 3$ , it is clear that  $\mathcal{H}_J^- \subsetneq \ker(d_J^- d^*)$ .

Since  $C^\infty(M)$  is dense in  $L_2^2(M)$ , so we can extend  $d_J^- d^*$  to a closed, densely defined operator (see [30]),

$$d_J^- d^* : \Lambda_J^- \otimes L_2^2(M) \longrightarrow \Lambda_J^- \otimes L^2(M).$$

In the sense of distributions, it is straightforward to see that

$$\ker(d_J^- d^*) = \{\alpha \in \Lambda_J^- \otimes L_2^2(M) \mid d_J^- d^* \alpha = 0\}$$

is closed. Indeed, let  $\{\alpha_i\} \subset \ker(d_J^- d^*)$  be a sequence converging in  $L_2^2$  to some  $\alpha \in \Lambda_J^- \otimes L_2^2(M)$ . Then  $\{d_J^- d^* \alpha_i\} = \{0\}$  is a constant sequence that converges to 0. Thus,  $d_J^- d^* \alpha = 0$ , and  $\alpha \in \ker(d_J^- d^*)$ , since  $d_J^- d^*$  is a closed operator. Let

$$D(d_J^- d^*) = \Lambda_J^- \otimes L_2^2(M) \setminus \ker(d_J^- d^*)$$

and

$$R(d_J^- d^*) = \Lambda_J^- \otimes L^2(M) \setminus \text{coker}(d_J^- d^*).$$

Then,

$$d_J^- d^* : D(d_J^- d^*) \longrightarrow R(d_J^- d^*)$$

is invertible. For any  $f \in L_2^2(M)$ , by direct calculation and Proposition 1.13.1 in [28], we have

$$Jdf = d^*(f\omega), \quad d_J^- Jdf = d_J^- d^*(f\omega), \quad d_J^+ Jdf = 2\sqrt{-1}\partial_J \bar{\partial}_J f.$$

Note that

$$d_J^- Jdf = d_J^- d^*(f\omega) \perp \text{coker}(d_J^- d^*),$$

so there exists a unique  $\sigma(f) \in D(d_J^- d^*)$  such that

$$d_J^- d^*(f\omega + \sigma(f)) = 0.$$

We present the following theorem, which was proved by Lejmi in the 4-dimensional case [34].

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $(M, g, \omega, J)$  be a closed almost Kähler manifold of dimension  $2n$ . The operator  $d_J^- d^* : D(d_J^- d^*) \longrightarrow R(d_J^- d^*)$  is invertible, formally self-adjoint, and nonnegative. In particular, for any  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ , we have  $d_J^- Jdf \perp \text{coker}(d_J^- d^*)$  and there exists a unique  $\sigma(f) \in D(d_J^- d^*)$  such that*

$$d_J^- d^* \sigma(f) = d_J^- Jdf.$$

**Remark 2.2.** *Suppose that  $(M, g, \omega, J)$  is a closed almost Kähler manifold of dimension  $2n$ . Consider the second-order linear differential operator*

$$\begin{aligned} P_J : \Omega_0^2(M) &\rightarrow \Omega_0^2(M) \\ \psi &\mapsto \Delta_g \psi - \frac{1}{n} g(\Delta_g \psi, \omega) \omega, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Delta_g$  denotes the Riemannian Laplacian associated with the almost Kähler metric  $g$  (with the convention  $\langle \omega, \omega \rangle_g = n$ ). The operator  $P_J$  is self-adjoint and strongly elliptic, and its kernel consists of the primitive  $g$ -harmonic 2-forms (see Lejmi [34], Tan-Wang-Zhou [44]).

When  $n = 2$ , Lejmi demonstrated that  $P_J$  preserves the decomposition

$$\Omega_0^2 = \Omega_{J,0}^+ \oplus \Omega_J^-.$$

and furthermore, for  $\psi \in \Omega_{J,0}^+$  and  $\psi \in \Omega_J^-$ , we have  $P_J|_{\Omega_{J,0}^+}(\psi) = \Delta_g \psi$  and  $P_J|_{\Omega_J^-}(\psi) = 2d_J^- d^* \psi$ . Lejmi also noted that  $P_J|_{\Omega_J^-}$  is a self-adjoint, strongly elliptic operator acting from  $\Omega_J^-$  to  $\Omega_J^-$  on a closed almost Kähler 4-manifold. In the general case, when  $n > 2$ , the restriction

$$P_J|_{\Omega_J^-} : \Omega_J^- \longrightarrow \Omega_0^2$$

is elliptic, as its symbol is injective, but not invertible [52].



As established in Tan-Wang-Zhou-Zhu [46] and Wang-Wang-Zhu [57], by applying Theorem 2.1, we define the operator  $\mathcal{D}_J^+$  on higher-dimensional almost Kähler manifolds (see Wang-Zhang-Zheng-Zhu [59, Remark 1.4]).

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $\mathcal{D}_J^+$  be the operator defined as follow:

$$\mathcal{D}_J^+ : L_2^2(M) \longrightarrow \Lambda_J^+ \otimes L^2(M),$$

with

$$\mathcal{D}_J^+(f) = dJdf + dd^*\sigma(f) = dd^*(f\omega) + dd^*\sigma(f),$$

where  $\sigma(f) \in \Omega_J^-(M)$  and satisfies the condition

$$d_J^- d^*(f\omega) + d_J^- d^*\sigma(f) = 0.$$

Let  $\mathcal{W}_J : L_2^2(M) \longrightarrow \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}^1 \otimes L_1^2(M)$  be defined by

$$\mathcal{W}_J(f) = d^*(f\omega + \sigma(f)).$$

Then

$$d\mathcal{W}_J(f) = \mathcal{D}_J^+(f) \text{ and } d_J^- \mathcal{W}_J(f) = 0.$$

The function  $f$  is called an almost Kähler potential with respect to the almost Kähler metric  $g$ .

**Remark 2.4.** If  $J$  is integrable, i.e.,  $N_J = 0$ , then

$$\mathcal{D}_J^+(f) = 2\sqrt{-1}\partial_J\bar{\partial}_J f.$$

Thus, in the integrable case,  $\mathcal{D}_J^+$  can be viewed as a generalization of the  $\partial\bar{\partial}$  operator.

Denote the space of harmonic 2-forms by  $\mathcal{H}_{dR}^2$  (cf. [11]). Let

$$\mathcal{H}_J^- = \mathcal{H}_{dR}^2 \cap \Omega_J^-, \quad h_J^- = \dim \mathcal{H}_J^-,$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_{J,0}^+ = \mathcal{H}_{dR}^2 \cap \Omega_{J,0}^+, \quad h_{J,0}^+ = \dim \mathcal{H}_{J,0}^+.$$

Here,  $\mathcal{H}_J^-$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{J,0}^+$  are the harmonic representations of their respective real de Rham cohomology groups on the manifold  $M$  (see Draghici-Li-Zhang [25] for  $n = 2$ ). As in Theorem 4.3 for the complex de Rham cohomology groups in [14], we have the inequality

$$h_J^- + h_{J,0}^+ \leq b^2 - 1.$$

If  $h_J^- + h_{J,0}^+ = b^2 - 1$ , then

$$\mathcal{H}_{dR}^2 = \text{Span}\{\omega\} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{J,0}^+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_J^-.$$

Note that if  $n = 2$ , then  $\mathcal{H}_{dR}^{2,0} = \{0\} = \mathcal{H}_{dR}^{0,2}$  (cf. [14, Lemma 5.6]). As in the case of almost Kähler 4-manifolds (see Tan-Wang-Zhang-Zhu [43] and Tan-Wang-Zhou [45]), we define

$$\mathcal{H}_{J,0}^\perp = \mathbb{R} \cdot \omega \oplus \{\alpha_f = f\omega + d_J^-(v_f + \bar{v}_f) \in \mathcal{H}_{dR}^2 \mid f \in C^\infty(M), v_f \in \Omega_J^{0,1}(M)\}.$$

Then,  $h_{J,0}^\perp = \dim \mathcal{H}_{J,0}^\perp \geq 1$ , and we have

$$\mathcal{H}_{J,0}^\perp \oplus \mathcal{H}_{J,0}^+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_J^- \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{dR}^2.$$

It is straightforward to see that

$$\ker \mathcal{W}_J = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{f_2, \dots, f_{h_{J,0}^\perp-1} \mid \alpha_{f_i} \in \mathcal{H}_{J,0}^\perp\}.$$

If  $n = 2$ , then  $\dim \mathcal{H}_{J,0}^\perp = b^+ - \dim \mathcal{H}_J^-, 0 \leq \dim \mathcal{H}_J^- \leq b^+ - 1$ . In this case,

$$\mathcal{H}_{J,0}^\perp \oplus \mathcal{H}_{J,0}^+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_J^- = \mathcal{H}_{dR}^2,$$

where  $b^+$  is the self-dual second Betti number of  $M$  (cf. Tan-Wang-Zhou [45]).

If  $n \geq 3$ , for  $\alpha_f \in \mathcal{H}_{J,0}^\perp$ , we have  $d^* \alpha_f = 0$  and

$$d\alpha_f = \omega \wedge df + dd_J^-(v_f + \bar{v}_f) = 0.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= d *_g \alpha_f \\ &= d *_g [f\omega + d_J^-(v_f + \bar{v}_f)] \\ &= d[\frac{1}{(n-1)!}f\omega^{n-1} + \frac{1}{(n-2)!}\omega^{n-2} \wedge d_J^-(v_f + \bar{v}_f)] \\ &= \frac{1}{(n-1)!}\omega^{n-1} \wedge df + \frac{1}{(n-2)!}\omega^{n-2} \wedge dd_J^-(v_f + \bar{v}_f) \\ &= \frac{1}{(n-1)!}\omega^{n-1} \wedge df - \frac{1}{(n-2)!}\omega^{n-2} \wedge \omega \wedge df \\ &= (\frac{1}{(n-1)!} - \frac{1}{(n-2)!})\omega^{n-1} \wedge df. \end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 2.7 in Yan [63], the operator  $L_\omega^{n-1} : \Omega_{\mathbb{R}}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{R}}^{2n-1}$  is an isomorphism. Therefore,  $df = 0$  and  $f = c$ . Consequently,  $d_J^-(v_f + \bar{v}_f) \in \mathcal{H}_{dR}^2$ , and further,  $d_J^-(v_f + \bar{v}_f) \in \mathcal{H}_J^-$ . Thus, we conclude that  $d_J^-(v_f + \bar{v}_f) = 0$ , and therefore,  $\mathcal{H}_{J,0}^\perp = \mathbb{R} \cdot \omega$ . Hence,  $\ker \mathcal{W}_J = \mathbb{R}$ .

Notice that  $d_J^- \mathcal{W}_J(f) = 0$ . In analogy with the classical  $\bar{\partial}$ -problem in complex analysis [30], the  $(\mathcal{W}_J, d_J^-)$ -problem asks whether  $\mathcal{W}_J(f) = A$  admits a solution for every  $A$  satisfying  $d_J^- A = 0$ . If we work in the Hilbert space setting and consider the complex

$$L_2^2(M) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{W}_J} \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}^1 \otimes L_1^2(M) \xrightarrow{d_J^-} \Lambda_J^- \otimes L^2(M), \quad (2.10)$$

then the above problem is equivalent to whether the kernel of  $d_J^-$  coincides with the image of  $\mathcal{W}_J$ . Recall the definition of  $\mathcal{W}_J$ : for  $f \in L_2^2(M)$ ,  $\sigma(f) \in D(d_J^- d^*)$  be such that

$$\mathcal{W}_J(f) = d^*(f\omega + \sigma(f))$$

so that  $d_J^- \mathcal{W}_J(f) = 0$ ,  $d^* \mathcal{W}_J(f) = 0$  and

$$d\mathcal{W}_J(f) = d_J^+ \mathcal{W}_J(f) \in \Lambda_J^+ \otimes L^2(M).$$

Without loss of generality, assume that  $A \in \Omega_{\mathbb{R}}^1(M)$ ,  $d^*A = 0$  and  $d_J^-A = 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \mathcal{W}_J(f), A \rangle_g &= \int_M A \wedge *_g d^*(f\omega + \sigma(f)) \\
&= - \int_M A \wedge d(f \frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} + \sigma(f) \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-2}}{(n-2)!}) \\
&= - \int_M dA \wedge (f \frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} + \sigma(f) \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-2}}{(n-2)!}) \\
&= - \int_M d_J^+ A \wedge f \frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \\
&= \langle f, \mathcal{W}_J^* A \rangle_g.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the formal  $L^2$ -adjoint operator of  $\mathcal{W}_J$  is

$$\mathcal{W}_J^* A = \frac{-n\omega^{n-1} \wedge d_J^+ A}{\omega^n} = -(\Lambda_\omega d_J^+ A). \quad (2.11)$$

As in the case of closed almost Kähler 4-manifolds, by using  $L^2$ -method [30], calculating the  $L^2$ -norm of  $\mathcal{W}_J^* A$  and applying Riesz Representation Theorem [67], it is easy to get the following theorem (cf. [46, Appendix A.3] or [57, Section 6]):

**Theorem 2.5.** *Suppose that  $(M, g, \omega, J)$  is a closed almost Kähler manifold of dimension  $2n$ . Then the  $(\mathcal{W}_J, d_J^-)$ -problem is solvable.*

Suppose  $\psi \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}^{1,1} \otimes L^2(M)$  is  $d$ -exact, hence

$$\psi = d(u_\psi + \bar{u}_\psi) = d_J^+(u_\psi + \bar{u}_\psi), \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad d_J^-(u_\psi + \bar{u}_\psi) = 0, \quad (2.12)$$

for some  $u_\psi \in \Lambda_J^{0,1} \otimes L_1^2(M)$ . By Theorem 2.5, there exists  $f \in L_2^2(M)$  such that  $\mathcal{W}_J(f) = u_\psi + \bar{u}_\psi$ . Hence,

$$\psi = d(u_\psi + \bar{u}_\psi) = d\mathcal{W}_J(f) = \mathcal{D}_J^+(f).$$

We summarize the above discussion in the following corollary:

**Corollary 2.6.** *Suppose that  $\psi \in \Lambda_J^+ \otimes L^2(M)$  is  $d$ -exact, that is, there is  $u_\psi \in \Lambda_J^{0,1} \otimes L_1^2(M)$  such that  $\psi = d(u_\psi + \bar{u}_\psi)$ . Then  $\psi$  is  $\mathcal{D}_J^+$ -exact, that is, there exists  $f_\psi \in L_2^2(M)$  such that  $\psi = \mathcal{D}_J^+(f_\psi)$ .*

With the above corollary, we obtain the following proposition (cf. Tan-Wang-Zhou-Zhu [46]):

**Proposition 2.7.** *Suppose that  $(M, g, \omega, J)$  is a closed almost Kähler manifold of dimension  $2n$ . Then  $\mathcal{D}_J^+ : L_2^2(M) \rightarrow \Lambda_J^+ \otimes L^2(M)$  has closed range.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{f_i\}$  be a sequence of real functions on  $M$  in  $L_2^2(M)$ . By Definition 2.3,  $\{\mathcal{W}_J(f_i)\}$  is a sequence of real 1-forms on  $M^{2n}$  with coefficients in  $L_1^2$  such that

$$\psi_i = d\mathcal{W}_J(f_i) = \mathcal{D}_J^+(f_i) \in \Lambda_J^+ \otimes L^2(M)$$

is converging in  $L^2$  to some  $\psi \in \Lambda_J^+ \otimes L^2(M)$ . It is clear that  $d^*\mathcal{W}_J(f_i) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{W}_J(f_i)$  is perpendicular to the harmonic 1-forms. It is well known that  $d + d^*$  is an

elliptic operator (cf. Donaldson-Kronheimer [24]). Hence there exists a constant  $C$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{W}_J(f_i)\|_{L^2_1(M)}^2 &\leq C(\|d\mathcal{W}_J(f_i)\|_{L^2(M)}^2 + \|d^*\mathcal{W}_J(f_i)\|_{L^2(M)}^2) \\ &= C\|d\mathcal{W}_J(f_i)\|_{L^2(M)}^2 < Const.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\{\mathcal{W}_J(f_i)\}$  is bounded in  $L^2_1$ , so after passing to a subsequence we may assume that  $\mathcal{W}_J(f_i)$  converges weakly in  $L^2_1(M)$  to some  $\mathcal{W} \in \Lambda^1_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes L^2_1(M)$ . Since  $d\mathcal{W}_J(f_i) \in \Lambda^+_J \otimes L^2(M)$ , it follows that

$$d\mathcal{W}_J = \psi \in \Lambda^+_J \otimes L^2(M).$$

By Lemma 2.6, there exists  $f \in L^2_2(M)$  such that  $\mathcal{D}^+_J(f) = d\mathcal{W}_J(f) = \psi$ . This completes the proof of Proposition 2.7.  $\square$

### 3 Generalized Monge-Ampère Equation on Almost Kähler Manifolds

Suppose that  $(M, g, \omega, J)$  is a closed almost Kähler manifold of dimension  $2n$ . Denote

$$C^\infty(M, J) := \{f \in C^\infty(M) \setminus \ker \mathcal{W}_J\}.$$

Define

$$\mathcal{D}^+_J : C^\infty(M, J) \longrightarrow \Omega^+_J(M),$$

by

$$\mathcal{D}^+_J(f) = d\mathcal{W}_J(f) = dJdf + dd^*\sigma(f)$$

satisfying  $d^-_J \mathcal{W}_J(f) = 0$ , where  $\mathcal{W}_J(f) = Jdf + d^*\sigma(f)$  and  $\sigma(f) \in \Omega^-_J(M)$ . It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{D}^+_J$  is a linear operator. Moreover,  $d^*\mathcal{W}_J(f) = 0$  since  $Jdf = d^*(f\omega)$ .

It is well known that Monge-Ampère equations form an important class of fully nonlinear PDEs and are deeply related to many areas of analysis and geometry (see [26, 64]). The solvability of the Monge-Ampère equation has been studied extensively. There are many existence, uniqueness and regularity results of the Monge-Ampère equation under different conditions. We refer the reader to Tosatti-Weinkove [53], Chu-Tosatti-Weinkove [13], Demailly-Pali [16], Plís [41], Zhang-Zhang [68] and other references. As done in almost Kähler surface in Wang-Zhang-Zheng-Zhu [59], we can similarly define a generalized Monge-Ampère equation on  $(M, g, \omega, J)$ ,

$$(\omega + \mathcal{D}^+_J(f))^n = e^F \omega^n \tag{3.1}$$

for a real function  $f \in C^\infty(M, J)$  such that

$$\omega + \mathcal{D}^+_J(f) > 0,$$

where  $F \in C^\infty(M)$  satisfies

$$\int_M \omega^n = \int_M e^F \omega^n. \tag{3.2}$$

If  $J$  is integrable,  $\mathcal{D}_J^+(f) = 2\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}_J f$ . Then Equation (3.1) reduces to the classical Monge-Ampère equation (cf. [8, 65]) If  $n = 2$ , We refer to Wang-Zhang-Zheng-Zhu [59] for the case  $n = 2$ .

By Definition 2.3, the generalized Monge-Ampère equation (3.1) is equivalent to the following Calabi-Yau equation for 1-forms:

$$(\omega + da)^n = e^F \omega^n, \quad (3.3)$$

where  $a \in \Omega_{\mathbb{R}}^1(M)$ ,  $d^*a = 0$  and  $d_J^- a = 0$ . In fact, we may take  $a = \mathcal{W}_J(f)$ ,  $f \in C^\infty(M, J)$ . For Calabi-Yau equations for differential forms, see Weinkove [62], Tosatti-Weinkove-Yau [52], Fu-Wang-Wu [26], Delanoë [17], Wang-Zhu [58] and so on.

We now consider the local theory of the Calabi-Yau equation on almost Kähler  $2n$ -manifolds (cf. [17, 58]).

**Definition 3.1.** *Suppose that  $(M, g, \omega, J)$  is a closed almost Kähler manifold of dimension  $2n$ . The sets  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $A_+$  and  $B_+$  are defined as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} A &:= \{u \in \Omega_{\mathbb{R}}^1(M) \mid d_J^- u = 0, d^*u = 0\}; \\ A_+ &:= \{u \in A \mid \omega(u) = \omega + du > 0\}; \\ B &:= \{f \in C^\infty(M) \mid \int_M f \omega^n = \int_M \omega^n\}; \\ B_+ &:= \{f \in B \mid f > 0 \text{ on } M\}. \end{aligned}$$

We define an operator  $\mathcal{F}$  from  $A$  to  $B$  as follows:

$$u \mapsto \mathcal{F}(u),$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}(u)\omega^n = (\omega(u))^n, \quad \omega(u) = \omega + du. \quad (3.4)$$

Restricting the operator  $\mathcal{F}$  to  $A_+$ , to get  $\mathcal{F}(A_+) \subset B_+$ . Thus, the existence of a solution to Equation (3.1) is equivalent to the surjectivity the restricted operator

$$\mathcal{F}|_{A_+} : A_+ \rightarrow B_+. \quad (3.5)$$

Moreover, we have the following result (cf. [17, Proposition 5] or [58, Proposition 2.4]):

**Proposition 3.2.** *Suppose that  $(M, g, \omega, J)$  is a closed almost Kähler manifold of dimension  $2n$ .*

- (1) *If  $u \in A_+$ , then  $\mathcal{F}(u) > 0$ ;*
- (2) *define*

$$B_\varepsilon(0) := \{u \in A \mid \|u\|_{C^1} \leq \varepsilon\},$$

*where  $C^1$  is  $C^1$ -norm introduced by the metric  $g$ ; if  $\varepsilon \ll 1$ , then  $B_\varepsilon(0) \subset A_+$ .*

Suppose that  $u_0 \in A_+$ . By Proposition 3.2, there exists a small neighborhood  $U(u_0)$  in  $A$  such that  $U(u_0) \subset A_+$ . Moreover, if  $u_1 \in A$ ,  $\varepsilon \ll 1$ , then  $\mathcal{F}(u_0 + \varepsilon u_1) > 0$ .

By solvability of  $(\mathcal{W}_J, d_J^-)$ -problem (see Theorem 2.5), for any  $\phi \in A$ , there exists  $f_\phi \in C^\infty(M, J)$  such that  $\mathcal{W}_J(f_\phi) = \phi$ . For any  $u \in A_+$ , since  $A_+$  is an open subset of  $A$ , it is easy to see that the tangent space at  $u$ ,  $T_u A_+$ , is  $A$ . For  $\phi \in T_u A_+$ , define  $L(u)(\phi)$  by

$$L(u)(\phi) = \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{F}(u + t\phi)|_{t=0}.$$

A direct computation gives

$$L(u)(\phi) = \frac{n\omega(u)^{n-1} \wedge \mathcal{D}_J^+(f_\phi)}{\omega^n}.$$

It is straightforward to check that  $L(u)$  is a linear elliptic operator on  $A$  (cf. [17, 58]). Moreover,  $\ker L(u) = \{0\}$ . Indeed, for  $u \in A_+$ ,

$$\omega(u) = \omega + du = \omega + \mathcal{D}_J^+(f_u) > 0,$$

that is, a symplectic form compatible with  $J$  on  $M^{2n}$ , where  $\mathcal{W}_J(f_u) = u$ . Let  $g_u(\cdot, \cdot) = \omega(u)(\cdot, J\cdot)$ . Then  $(\omega(u), J, g_u)$  is an almost Kähler structure on  $M$ . By the primitive decomposition,

$$\Omega_J^+(M) = \Omega_1^2(u)(M) \oplus \Omega_{J,0}^+(u)(M),$$

where

$$\Omega_1^2(u) := \{f\omega(u) \mid f \in C^\infty(M)\},$$

and

$$\Omega_{J,0}^+(u)(M) = \{\alpha \in \Omega_J^+(M) \mid \omega(u)^{n-1} \wedge \alpha = 0\}.$$

If  $L(u)(\phi) = 0$ , then  $\mathcal{D}_J^+(f_\phi)$  is a primitive 2-form on  $M$ . By Weil's identity [55],

$$*_{g_u} \mathcal{D}_J^+(f_\phi) = -\mathcal{D}_J^+(f_\phi) \wedge \frac{\omega(u)^{n-2}}{(n-2)!}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{D}_J^+(f_\phi), \mathcal{D}_J^+(f_\phi) \rangle_{g_u} &= \int_M \mathcal{D}_J^+(f_\phi) \wedge *_{g_u} \mathcal{D}_J^+(f_\phi) \\ &= - \int_M \mathcal{D}_J^+(f_\phi) \wedge \mathcal{D}_J^+(f_\phi) \wedge \frac{\omega(u)^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\mathcal{D}_J^+(f_\phi) = d\mathcal{W}_J(f_\phi) = 0$ . On the other hand, we have  $d^*\mathcal{W}_J(f_\phi) = 0$ . So  $\mathcal{W}_J(f_\phi)$  is a harmonic 1-form [11]. By the definition of  $\mathcal{W}_J(f_\phi)$ , we know that  $\mathcal{W}_J(f_\phi)$  is a  $d^*$ -exact form. Therefore, by Hodge decomposition,  $\mathcal{W}_J(f_\phi) = 0$ , that is,  $\phi = 0$ . Hence, we have proved the following lemma (cf. [17, Proposition 1] or [58, Lemma 2.5]):

**Lemma 3.3.** *Suppose that  $(M, g, \omega, J)$  is a closed almost Kähler manifold of dimension  $2n$ . Then the restricted operator*

$$\mathcal{F} : A_+ \longrightarrow B_+$$

*is of elliptic type on  $A_+$ . Moreover, the tangent map,  $d\mathcal{F}(u) = L(u)$ , of  $\mathcal{F}$  at  $u \in A_+$  is a linear elliptic system on  $A$  and  $\ker L(u) = \{0\}$ .*

Obviously,  $A_+ \subset A$  is a convex open set. Suppose that

$$\mathcal{F}(u_0) = \mathcal{F}(u_1)$$

for  $u_0, u_1 \in A_+$ . Let  $u_t = tu_1 + (1-t)u_0$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ . So

$$\int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} [\mathcal{F}(u_t)] dt = 0.$$

Then

$$L(u_0)(u_1 - u_0)\omega^n = \int_0^1 n\omega(u_t)^{n-1} dt \wedge \mathcal{D}_J^+(f_{u_1} - f_{u_0}) = 0.$$

Therefore  $\mathcal{F} : A_+ \rightarrow B_+$  is an injectivity map. By standard nonlinear analysis (cf. Aubin [5]), we obtain the following result (cf. [17, Theorem 2] or [58, Proposition 2.6]):

**Theorem 3.4.** *Suppose that  $(M, g, \omega, J)$  is a closed almost Kähler manifold of dimension  $2n$ . Then the restricted operator*

$$\mathcal{F} : A_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(A_+) \subset B_+$$

*is a diffeomorphism.*

Let  $F \in C^\infty(M)$  satisfy  $e^F \in \mathcal{F}(A_+) \subset B_+$ , and

$$\int_M \omega^n = \int_M e^F \omega^n.$$

By the above theorem, there exists  $u \in A_+$  such that  $\mathcal{F}(u) = e^F$  and  $\mathcal{F}(u)\omega^n = \omega(u)^n$ . Then

$$e^F \omega^n = \omega(u)^n = (\omega + du)^n = (\omega + d\mathcal{W}_J(f_u))^n = (\omega + \mathcal{D}_J^+(f_u))^n.$$

Hence, with Theorem 3.4, we have the following local existence result for the solution of the generalized Monge-Ampère equation on the closed almost Kähler manifold  $(M, g, \omega, J)$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** *Suppose that  $(M, g, \omega, J)$  is a closed almost Kähler manifold. Let  $F \in C^\infty(M)$  satisfy*

$$\int_M e^F \omega^n = \int_M \omega^n,$$

*and*

$$e^F \in \mathcal{F}(A_+) \subset B_+.$$

*Then, there exists a smooth function  $f \in C^\infty(M, J)$  such that*

$$(\omega + \mathcal{D}_J^+(f))^n = e^F \omega^n.$$

The remainder of this section is devoted to studying a uniqueness theorem for solutions of the generalized Monge-Ampère equation on a closed almost Kähler manifold  $(M, g, \omega, J)$ . If there are two solutions  $f_0, f_1$  of equation (3.1), then

$$(\omega + \mathcal{D}_J^+(f_0))^n = (\omega + \mathcal{D}_J^+(f_1))^n = e^F \omega^n.$$

Let  $f_t = tf_1 + (1-t)f_0$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}_J^+(f_t) &= d\mathcal{W}_J(f_t) \\ &= td\mathcal{W}_J(f_1) + (1-t)d\mathcal{W}_J(f_0) \\ &= td\phi_1 + (1-t)d\phi_0 \\ &= d\phi_t,\end{aligned}$$

where  $\phi_0 = \mathcal{W}_J(f_0)$ ,  $\phi_1 = \mathcal{W}_J(f_1)$  and  $\phi_t = t\phi_1 + (1-t)\phi_0$  are all in  $A_+$ . So by the definition of operator  $L$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt}(\omega + \mathcal{D}_J^+(f_t))^n dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt}(\omega + d\phi_t)^n dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt}(\omega(\phi_t))^n dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt}(\mathcal{F}(\phi_t))^n dt \\ &= L(\phi_0)(\phi_1 - \phi_0)\omega^n.\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.3, we know that  $\ker L(u) = \{0\}$  for  $u \in A_+$ . Hence,  $\phi_1 = \phi_0$  and  $\mathcal{W}_J(f_1) = \mathcal{W}_J(f_0)$ . So we obtain a uniqueness theorem for the generalized Monge-Ampère equation up to  $\ker \mathcal{W}_J$  (cf. Calabi [8], Wang-Zhang-Zheng-Zhu [59] and Weinkove [62]).

**Theorem 3.6.** *The generalized Monge-Ampère equation (3.1) on a almost Kähler  $2n$ -manifold has at most one solution up to  $\ker \mathcal{W}_J$ .*

## 4 An alternative expression of $\mathcal{D}_J^+$ operator

Suppose that  $(M, g, \omega, J)$  is a closed almost Kähler manifold of dimension  $2n$ . By the definition of  $\mathcal{D}_J^+$ , for any  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ ,

$$\mathcal{D}_J^+(f) = dJdf + dd^*\sigma(f),$$

where  $d^* = - *_g d *_g$ ,  $\sigma(f) \in \Omega_J^-(M)$  and

$$d_J^- Jdf + d_J^- d^* \sigma(f) = 0.$$

If  $\omega_1 = \omega + \mathcal{D}_J^+(f) > 0$ , then  $\omega_1$  is a  $J$ -compatible symplectic form. Let

$$\omega_t = t\omega_1 + (1-t)\omega, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

Then  $\omega_t$  is a smooth family of  $J$ -compatible symplectic forms in the same symplectic class  $[\omega]$  and  $g_t(\cdot, \cdot) = \omega_t(\cdot, J\cdot)$  is a family of almost Kähler metrics. It is easy to see that  $(\omega, J, g) = (\omega_0, J, g_0)$ . By direct calculation and Proposition 1.13.1 in [28], we have  $d^{*t}(f\omega_t) = Jdf$ , where  $d^{*t} = - *_g d *_g$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}_J^+(f) &= dJdf + dd^*\sigma(f) \\ &= dd^{*t}(f\omega_t) + da'_t(f),\end{aligned}\tag{4.6}$$



where  $a'_t(f) = d^* \sigma(f) + d\varphi_t$  satisfying

$$d^{*t} a'_t(f) = 0, \quad da'_t(f) = dd^* \sigma(f),$$

and  $\varphi_t \in C^\infty(M)$ . Hence,  $Jdf + a'_t(f)$  is  $d^{*t}$  closed.

Define

$$\Omega_1^{2,t}(M) = \{f\omega_t \mid f \in C^\infty(M)\}.$$

Recall the decomposition, we have

$$\Omega^2(M) = \Omega_1^{2,t}(M) \oplus \Omega_J^-(M) \oplus \Omega_{J,0}^{+,t}(M)$$

where

$$\Omega_{J,0}^{+,t}(M) = \{\beta \in \Omega_J^+(M) \mid \omega_t^{n-1} \wedge \beta = 0\}.$$

A differential  $k$ -form  $B_k$  with  $k \leq n$  on  $M^{2n}$  is called  $\omega_t$ -primitive if it satisfies  $\omega_t^{n-k+1} \wedge B_k = 0$  [61, 55]. Let  $B_k$  with  $k < n$ , then  $dB_k = B_{k+1}^0 + \omega_t \wedge B_{k-1}^1$  [55, Lemma 2.4]. For  $\omega_t$ -primitive  $k$ -forms,

$$*_{g_t} \frac{1}{r!} \omega_t^r \wedge B_k = (-1)^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}} \frac{1}{(n-k-r)!} \omega_t^{n-k-r} \mathcal{J}(B_k), \quad (4.7)$$

where

$$\mathcal{J} = \sum_{p,q} (\sqrt{-1})^{p-q} \prod_{p,q}$$

projects a  $k$ -form on its  $(p, q)$  parts times the multiplicative factor  $(\sqrt{-1})^{p-q}$  [61, 55].

By (4.7), it follows that for any  $\alpha \in \Omega_J^-(M)$  and  $\beta \in \Omega_{J,0}^{+,t}(M)$ , we have

$$*_{g_t} \alpha = \frac{\omega_t^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} \wedge \alpha, \quad *_{g_t} \beta = -\frac{\omega_t^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} \wedge \beta.$$

Define a smooth function  $f_t \in C^\infty(M)$  as follows:

$$-\frac{1}{n} \Delta_{g_t} f_t = \frac{\omega_t^{n-1} \wedge (\omega_1 - \omega)}{\omega_t^n}, \quad (4.8)$$

where  $\Delta_{g_t}$  is the Laplacian of the Levi-Civita connection with respect to the almost Kähler metric  $g_t$ . In general,  $f \neq f_0$ . Using the result of Tosatti-Weinkove-Yau [52, Lemma 2.5], we can easily obtain

$$-\omega_t^{n-1} \wedge dJdf_t = \frac{1}{n} \Delta_{g_t} f_t \cdot \omega_t^n.$$

On the other hand, by a pair  $(r, k)$  corresponding to the space

$$\mathcal{L}^{r,k}(t) = \left\{ A \in \Omega^{2r+k}(M) \mid A = \frac{1}{r!} L_{\omega_t}^r B_k \text{ with } \Lambda_{\omega_t} B_k = 0 \right\}. \quad (4.9)$$

We have the result [56] that  $d$  acting on  $\mathcal{L}^{r,k}$  leads to most two terms

$$d : \mathcal{L}^{r,k}(t) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}^{r,k+1}(t) \oplus \mathcal{L}^{r+1,k-1}(t). \quad (4.10)$$

Indeed we can define the decomposition of  $d$  into linear differential operators  $(\partial_{+,t}, \partial_{-,t})$  with respect to  $\omega_t$  by writing

$$d = \partial_{+,t} + L_{\omega_t} \partial_{-,t}.$$

By Lemma 2.5 in [56], we find that on a symplectic manifold  $(M, \omega_t)$ , the symplectic differential operator  $(\partial_{+,t}, \partial_{-,t})$  satisfies the following:

- (i)  $(\partial_{+,t})^2 = (\partial_{-,t})^2 = 0$ ;
- (ii)  $L_{\omega_t}(\partial_{+,t}\partial_{-,t}) = -L_{\omega_t}(\partial_{-,t}\partial_{+,t})$ ;
- (iii)  $[\partial_{+,t}, L_{\omega_t}] = [L_{\omega_t}\partial_{-,t}, L_{\omega_t}] = 0$ .

For any 1-form  $b$ , we have

$$db = \partial_{+,t}b + \omega_t \wedge \partial_{-,t}b, \quad (4.11)$$

where  $\partial_{+,t}b \in \Omega_J^-(M) \oplus \Omega_{J,0}^{+,t}(M)$  and  $\partial_{-,t}b \in C^\infty(M)$ . By (4.8), we have

$$\frac{\omega_t^{n-1} \wedge d(Jdf + a'_t(f))}{\omega_t^n} = \frac{\omega_t^{n-1} \wedge (\omega_1 - \omega)}{\omega_t^n} = -\frac{1}{n} \Delta_{g_t} f_t.$$

By (4.11), we have

$$\omega_1 - \omega = d(Jdf + a'_t(f)) = \partial_{+,t}(Jdf + a'_t(f)) + \omega_t \wedge \partial_{-,t}(Jdf + a'_t(f)).$$

Then, we will get

$$\partial_{-,t}(Jdf + a'_t(f)) = -\frac{1}{n} \Delta_{g_t} f_t. \quad (4.12)$$

Similarly,

$$dJdf_t = \partial_{+,t}Jdf_t + \omega_t \wedge \partial_{-,t}Jdf_t.$$

Moreover,

$$P_J^+(\partial_{+,t}Jdf_t) \in \Omega_{J,0}^{+,t}(M), \quad \partial_{-,t}Jdf_t = -\frac{1}{n} \Delta_{g_t} f_t. \quad (4.13)$$

By (4.12) and (4.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1 - \omega - dJdf_t &= d(Jdf + a'_t(f)) - dJdf_t \\ &= \partial_{+,t}(Jdf + a'_t(f)) - \partial_{+,t}Jdf_t, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$P_J^-(\omega_1 - \omega - dJdf_t) = -d_J^- Jdf_t.$$

Let  $a(f_t) = Jdf + a'_t(f) - Jdf_t$ . Then

$$\omega_1 - \omega = dJdf_t + da(f_t) = \mathcal{D}_{J,t}^+(f_t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1,$$

where

$$\begin{cases} d^{*t}a(f_t) = 0, \\ d_J^- a(f_t) = -d_J^- Jdf_t, \\ \omega_t^{n-1} \wedge da(f_t) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence, one can find a linear map

$$\begin{aligned} L(\omega, t) : C^\infty(M, J) &\rightarrow C^\infty(M, J) \\ f &\mapsto f_t, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

which is injective.

**Proposition 4.1.** *For any  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ , if  $\omega_1 = \omega + \mathcal{D}_J^+(f) > 0$ , one can define a family of  $J$ -compatible symplectic forms  $\omega_t = t\omega_1 + (1-t)\omega$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$  and smooth functions  $f_t \in C^\infty(M, J)$  by the following equations*

$$-\frac{1}{n}\Delta_{g_t}f_t = \frac{\omega_t^{n-1} \wedge (\omega_1 - \omega)}{\omega_t^n}.$$

Then

$$\omega_1 - \omega = dJdf_t + da(f_t) = \mathcal{D}_{J,t}^+(f_t), \quad (4.14)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} d^{*t}a(f_t) = 0, \\ d_J^- a(f_t) = -d_J^- Jdf_t, \\ \omega_t^{n-1} \wedge da(f_t) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.15)$$

Thus,  $L(\omega, t) : C^\infty(M, J) \rightarrow L(\omega, t)(C^\infty(M, J))$  is an isomorphism.

**Remark 4.2.** 1) By Theorem 2.1, we can find  $\sigma(f_t) \in \Omega_J^-(M)$  satisfying

$$d_J^- Jdf_t + d_J^- d^{*t}\sigma(f_t) = 0.$$

But in general,  $a(f_t) \neq d^{*t}\sigma(f_t)$ . In fact, we have

$$d_J^-(a(f_t) - d^{*t}\sigma(f_t)) = 0.$$

By Theorem 2.5, one can find  $f'_t \in C^\infty(M)$  such that

$$a(f_t) - d^{*t}\sigma(f_t) = \mathcal{W}_J(f'_t) = Jdf'_t + d^{*t}\sigma(f'_t).$$

Thus,  $a(f_t) = d^{*t}\sigma(f_t) + Jdf'_t + d^{*t}\sigma(f'_t)$ .

2) Formula (4.15) is similar to (5.4) in [52]. The kernel of (4.15) consists of the harmonic 1-forms. If  $a_t(f)$  is in the kernel of (4.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|da(f_t)\|_{L^2(g_t)}^2 &= \int_M da(f_t) \wedge *_t da(f_t) \\ &= -\frac{1}{(n-2)!} \int_M da(f_t) \wedge da(f_t) \wedge \omega_t^{n-2} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $d^{*t}a(f_t) = 0$ , we see that  $a(f_t)$  is harmonic with respect to  $g_t$ .

3) For  $n = 2$ , one can use self-dual equation to prove Proposition 4.1 (see [62, 59]).

## 5 The $C^0$ a priori estimate for Almost Kähler Potentials

Let  $(M, g, \omega, J)$  be a closed almost Kähler manifold and  $\omega_1$  another  $J$ -compatible almost Kähler form on  $M^{2n}$  satisfying  $\omega_1 = \omega + \mathcal{D}_J^+(f) \in [\omega]$  and  $\omega_1^n = e^F \omega^n$ . By the definition of  $\mathcal{D}_J^+$ , for any  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ ,

$$\mathcal{D}_J^+(f) = dJdf + dd^*\sigma(f),$$

where  $d^* = - *_g d *_g$ ,  $\sigma(f) \in \Omega_J^-(M)$  and

$$d_{\bar{J}} dJdf + d_{\bar{J}} d^* \sigma(f) = 0.$$

Define a smooth function  $f_0 \in C^\infty(M)$  by

$$-\frac{1}{n} \Delta_g f_0 = \frac{\omega^{n-1} \wedge (\omega_1 - \omega)}{\omega^n}, \quad (5.1)$$

where  $\Delta_g$  is the Laplacian of the Levi-Civita connection with respect to the almost Kähler metric  $g$ . In general,  $f_0 \neq f$ . Using the result of Tosatti-Weinkove-Yau [52, Lemma 2.5], we obtain

$$-\omega^{n-1} \wedge dJdf_0 = \frac{1}{n} \Delta_g f_0 \cdot \omega^n.$$

Then, by Proposition 4.1, there exists  $a(f_0) \in \Omega^1(M)$  such that

$$\mathcal{D}_J^+(f) = dJdf_0 + da(f_0),$$

and

$$\begin{cases} d^* a(f_0) = 0 \\ d_{\bar{J}} Jdf_0 + d_{\bar{J}} a(f_0) = 0 \\ \omega^{n-1} \wedge da(f_0) = 0 \end{cases}, \quad (5.2)$$

where  $d^* = - *_g d *_g$  and  $*_g$  is the Hodge star operator with respect to the metric  $g$ . The function  $f_0$  is also called an almost Kähler potential with respect to the metric  $g$ . In general,  $a(f_0) \neq d^* \sigma(f)$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1 - \omega &= \mathcal{D}_J^+(f) \\ &= dJdf + dd^* \sigma(f) \\ &= dJdf_0 + da(f_0) \\ &= dd^*(f_0 \omega) + da(f_0) \\ &= \mathcal{D}_{J,0}^+(f_0). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\mathcal{W}_J(f) = d^*(f\omega) + d^* \sigma(f)$  can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{W}_J(f) = d^*(f_0 \omega) + a(f_0).$$

Then

$$\begin{cases} d\mathcal{W}_J(f) = \mathcal{D}_J^+(f) = \omega_1 - \omega \\ d^* \mathcal{W}_J(f) = 0 \end{cases}. \quad (5.3)$$

By elementary linear algebra and simultaneous diagonalization (see McDuff-Salamon [39]), for any  $p \in M$ , it is possible to find complex coordinates  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  on  $M$  near  $p$  such that:

**Lemma 5.1.**  $g(p) = 2(|dz^1|^2 + \dots + |dz^n|^2);$   
 $g_1(p) = 2(a_1|dz^1|^2 + \dots + a_n|dz^n|^2);$   
 $\omega(p) = \sqrt{-1}(dz^1 \wedge d\bar{z}^1 + \dots + dz^n \wedge d\bar{z}^n);$   
 $\omega_1(p) = \sqrt{-1}(a_1 dz^1 \wedge d\bar{z}^1 + \dots + a_n dz^n \wedge d\bar{z}^n),$  where  $0 < a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_n$ .

Using an orthonormal coordinate system [11] and Tosatti-Weinkove-Yau [52, Lemma 2.5],

$$\Delta_g^c f_0(p) = \sqrt{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n (dJdf_0)^{(1,1)}(p)(v_j, \bar{v}_j), \quad (5.4)$$

where  $v_j = \partial/\partial z_j$  at  $p$  and  $\Delta_g^c$  is the complex Laplacian of the Hermitian canonical connection with respect to the almost Kähler metric  $g$  at  $p$ . Also by Tosatti-Weinkove-Yau [52, Lemma 2.6], since  $(M, g, \omega, J)$  is a closed almost Kähler manifold of dimension  $2n$ , then

$$\Delta_g f_0 = \Delta_g^c f_0, \quad (5.5)$$

where  $\Delta_g$  is the Laplacian of the Levi-Civita connection with respect to the almost Kähler metric  $g$ . Thus, we can relate  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  to  $e^F$  and  $\Delta_g f_0 = \Delta_g^c f_0$ . If  $\omega_1^n = e^F \omega^n$  (that is Equation (3.1)), we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.2.** *For any  $p \in M$ , there exist complex coordinates  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  near  $p$  such that:*

$$\prod_{j=1}^n a_j = e^{F(p)}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 f_0}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_j}(p) = a_j - 1, \quad \frac{\partial^2 f_0}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j}(p) = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j$$

and

$$\Delta_g f_0(p) = n - \sum_{j=1}^n a_j.$$

**Remark 5.3.** *The function  $f_0$  is similar to the real function  $\varphi$  defined in Tosatti-Weinkove-Yau [52] which is called the almost Kähler potential with respect to the almost Kähler forms  $\omega$ .*

By the previous lemmas, it is easy to obtain the following lemma:

**Lemma 5.4.** *At  $p \in M$ , we have*

$$|d\mathcal{W}_J(f)(p)|_g^2 = |\mathcal{D}_J^+(f)(p)|_g^2 = 2 \sum_{j=1}^n (a_j - 1)^2, \quad |g_1(p)|_g^2 = 2 \sum_{j=1}^n a_j^2$$

and

$$|g_1^{-1}(p)|_g^2 = 2 \sum_{j=1}^n a_j^{-2}.$$

By the first and last equations in Lemma 5.2 and the fact that the geometric mean  $(a_1 \cdots a_n)^{\frac{1}{n}}$  is less than or equal to the arithmetic mean  $\frac{1}{n}(a_1 + \cdots + a_n)$ , it is easy to obtain the following inequality:

$$\Delta_g f_0(p) \leq n - ne^{\frac{F(p)}{n}} < n. \quad (5.6)$$

Since  $\Delta_g f_0(p) = n - \sum_{j=1}^n a_j$  by Lemma 5.2 and  $a_j$  are positive, from Lemma 5.4 one can show that at  $p$ ,

$$|d\mathcal{W}_J(f)|_g^2 = |\partial_J \bar{\partial}_J f_0|_g^2 \leq 2n + 2(n - \Delta_g f_0)^2 \quad (5.7)$$

and

$$2ne^{\frac{2F}{n}} \leq |g_1|_g^2 \leq 2(n - \Delta_g f_0)^2. \quad (5.8)$$

Since  $\Pi_{j=1}^n a_j = e^{F(p)}$  by Lemma 5.2, we see that

$$a_j^{-1} = e^{-F(p)} \Pi_{1 \leq k \leq n, j \neq k}^n a_k.$$

Notice that the inequality

$$a_k \leq n - (\Delta_g f_0)(p)$$

derived from the third equation in Lemma 5.2, we find that

$$a_j^{-2} \leq e^{-2F(p)} (n - (\Delta_g f_0)(p))^{2n-2}. \quad (5.9)$$

**Lemma 5.5.** *Let  $f_0$  solve the equation equivalent to the generalized Monge-Ampère equation (3.1), that is*

$$(\omega + \mathcal{D}_J^+(f))^n = (\omega + dJdf_0 + da(f_0))^n = e^F \omega^n,$$

and assume

$$\omega + \mathcal{D}_J^+(f) = \omega + dJdf_0 + da(f_0) > 0, \quad \sup_M f_0 = 0.$$

Then

$$\int_M |d|f_0|^{\frac{p}{2}}|_g^2 dvol_g \leq C \frac{np^2}{4(p-1)} \int_M |f_0|^{p-1} dvol_g,$$

where  $C = \sup_M |1 - e^{\frac{F}{n}}|$ .

*Proof.* We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M |d|f_0|^{\frac{p}{2}}|_g^2 dvol_g &= - \int_M \Lambda_\omega(d|f_0|^{\frac{p}{2}} \wedge Jd|f_0|^{\frac{p}{2}}) dvol_g \\ &= - \int_M \Lambda_\omega(d|f_0|^{\frac{p}{2}} \wedge Jd|f_0|^{\frac{p}{2}}) \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \\ &= - \frac{1}{n!} \int_M nd|f_0|^{\frac{p}{2}} \wedge Jd|f_0|^{\frac{p}{2}} \wedge \omega^{n-1} \\ &= - \frac{p^2}{4 \times (n-1)!} \int_M |f_0|^{p-2} d|f_0| \wedge Jd|f_0| \wedge \omega^{n-1} \\ &= - \frac{p^2}{4 \times (n-1)!} \frac{1}{p-1} \int_M d|f_0|^{p-1} \wedge Jd|f_0| \wedge \omega^{n-1} \\ &= \frac{p^2}{4 \times (n-1)!} \frac{1}{p-1} \int_M |f_0|^{p-1} \wedge dJd|f_0| \wedge \omega^{n-1} \\ &= - \frac{p^2}{4 \times n!} \frac{1}{p-1} \int_M |f_0|^{p-1} \Delta_g |f_0| \cdot \omega^n \\ &= - \frac{p^2}{4 \times n!} \frac{1}{p-1} \int_M |f_0|^{p-1} \Delta_g (-f_0) \cdot \omega^n \\ &\leq \frac{\sup_M |1 - e^{\frac{F}{n}}| np^2}{4(p-1)} \int_M |f_0|^{p-1} \frac{\omega^n}{n!}. \end{aligned}$$

□

By the Sobolev inequality, we obtain

$$\|f_0\|_{L^{p\beta}(g)} \leq \left(\frac{Cnp^2}{4(p-1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \|f_0\|_{L^{p-1}(g)},$$

for  $\beta = \frac{n}{n-1}$ . Replacing  $p$  by  $p\beta$ , iterating, and then setting  $p = 2$  we obtain

$$\|f_0\|_{C^0(g)} \leq C\|f_0\|_{L^1(g)}. \quad (5.10)$$

Since any almost Kähler metric is Gauduchon, it is nature to extend Proposition 2.3 in [13] to the almost Kähler setting. Hence, we have the following key lemma

**Lemma 5.6.** (cf. [13, Proposition 2.3]) *Let  $(M, g, \omega, J)$  be a closed almost Kähler manifold. Then there is a constant  $C > 0$ , depending only on  $M, \omega$  and  $J$ , such that every smooth function  $f_0$  on  $M$  satisfying*

$$\omega + \mathcal{D}_J^+(f) = \omega + dJdf_0 + da(f_0) > 0, \quad \sup_M f_0 = 0, \quad (5.11)$$

also satisfies

$$\int_M (-f_0)\omega^n < C. \quad (5.12)$$

*Proof.* Notice that  $\Delta_g$  is the canonical Laplacian of  $\omega$ , which is a second-order elliptic operator whose kernel consists only of constants. Standard linear PDE theory (cf. [2, Appendix A]) shows that there exists a Green function  $G$  for  $\Delta_g$  which satisfies  $G(x, y) \geq -C$  and  $\|G(x, \cdot)\|_{L^1(M, g)} \leq C$  for a constant  $C > 0$ , and

$$f_0(x) = \frac{1}{\int_M \omega^n} \int_M f_0 \omega^n + \int_M \Delta_g f_0(y) G(x, y) \omega^n(y) \quad (5.13)$$

for all smooth functions  $f_0$  and all  $x \in M$ . On the other hand, by the third equation in (5.2),

$$\int_M \Delta_g f_0 \omega^n = -n \int_M \omega^{n-1} \wedge (dJdf_0 + da(f_0)) = 0.$$

Therefore, we may add a uniform constant to  $G(x, y)$  to make it nonnegative, while preserving the same Green formula.

If  $f_0$  satisfies (5.11), that is,  $\mathcal{D}_J^+(f) > -\omega$ , then by (5.1), we have

$$\Delta_g f_0 < n.$$

Let  $x_0 \in M$  be a point such that  $f_0(x_0) = 0$ . By Green formula (5.13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M (-f_0)\omega^n &= \int_M \omega^n \cdot \int_M \Delta_g f_0(y) G(x_0, y) \omega^n(y) \\ &< n \int_M \omega^n \cdot \int_M G(x_0, y) \omega^n(y) \\ &< C. \end{aligned}$$

□

Hence,  $\|f_0\|_{L^1(g)}$  is bounded and we have  $\|f_0\|_{C^0(g)} \leq C$ , where  $C$  is a constant depending only on  $M, \omega, J$ , and  $F$ . Let's formalize this as the following proposition.

**Proposition 5.7.** *Let  $f_0$  solve the equation equivalent to the generalized Monge-Ampère equation (3.1), that is*

$$(\omega + \mathcal{D}_J^+(f))^n = (\omega + dJdf_0 + da(f_0))^n = e^F \omega^n,$$

*and satisfies*

$$\omega + \mathcal{D}_J^+(f) = \omega + dJdf_0 + da(f_0) > 0, \quad \sup_M f_0 = 0.$$

*Then there exists a constant  $C$  depending only on  $M, \omega, J$  and  $F$ , such that*

$$\|f_0\|_{C^0(g)} \leq C.$$

**Remark 5.8.** *Let  $\tilde{f}_0$  be the normalization of  $f_0$ , that is,*

$$\tilde{f}_0 = f_0 - \frac{\int_{M^{2n}} f_0 d\text{vol}_g}{\text{vol}_g(M)}.$$

*Then one can also obtain the  $C^0$ -estimate for  $\tilde{f}_0$  using the method of Delanoë [18], namely,*

$$\|\tilde{f}_0\|_{C^0(g)} \leq C,$$

*where  $C$  depends only on  $M, \omega, J$  and  $F$ .*

## 6 The $C^\infty$ a priori estimate for the solution of Generalized Monge-Ampère Equation

This section is devoted to establishing an existence theorem for the generalized Monge-Ampère equation on almost Kähler manifolds (cf. [13, 52, 59]). Recall that  $f_0$  is defined by

$$-\frac{1}{n}\Delta_g f_0 = \frac{\omega^{n-1} \wedge (\omega_1 - \omega)}{\omega^n}$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\Delta_g f_0 = n - \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}_g g_1. \quad (6.1)$$

If we suppose that

$$0 < \text{tr}_g g_1 \leq K, \quad (6.2)$$

then there is a  $C^0$ -estimate of  $\Delta_g f_0$ . Then by (5.7), (5.8) and (5.9), we have

**Proposition 6.1.**

$$\|n(e^{\frac{F}{n}} - 1)\|_{C^0(g)} \leq \|dJdf_0 + da_0(f)\|_{C^0(g)} \leq c_1, \quad \|ne^{\frac{F}{n}}\|_{C^0(g)} \leq \|g_1\|_{C^0(g)} \leq c_2$$

*and*

$$\|ne^{\frac{-F}{n}}\|_{C^0(g)} \leq \|g_1^{-1}\|_{C^0(g)} \leq c_3,$$

*where  $c_1, c_2$  and  $c_3$  are constants depending only on  $M, \omega, J, F$  and  $K$ . Hence, the almost Kähler metric  $c^{-1}g \leq g_1 \leq cg$ , where  $c$  is a positive constant depending only on  $M^{2n}, \omega, J, F$  and  $K$ . If  $F = 0$ , then  $a_1 = \dots = a_n = 0$  and  $g_1 = g$ .*



We introduce some notation. Let  $\theta^i$  and  $\tilde{\theta}^i$  be local unitary coframes for  $g$  and  $g_1$ , respectively. Define local matrices  $(a_j^i)$  and  $(b_j^i)$  by

$$\tilde{\theta}^i = a_j^i \theta^j, \quad (6.3)$$

$$\theta^j = b_i^j \tilde{\theta}^i, \quad (6.4)$$

such that  $a_j^i b_i^k = \delta_j^k$ . Define a function  $u$  by

$$u = a_j^i \overline{a_j^i} = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}_g g_1.$$

Next we consider the first order estimate for  $g_1$ , which can be viewed as a generalization of the third-order estimate for almost Kähler potential [65] (see also [54]). Define

$$S = \frac{1}{4} |\nabla_g^c g_1|_{g_1}^2,$$

where  $\nabla_g^c$  is the canonical Hermitian connection associated to  $(g, J, \omega)$ . Then, through a series of complex calculations, Tosatti-Weinkove-Yau proved the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.2.** *(cf. Lemma 4.5 in [52]) Let  $g_1(\cdot, \cdot) = \omega_1(\cdot, J\cdot)$  be a solution of the generalized Monge-Ampère equation (3.1), and suppose that there exists a constant  $K$  such that*

$$\sup_M (\text{tr}_g g_1) \leq K.$$

*Then there exist constants  $C_1, C_2$  depending only on  $g, J, F$  and  $K$  such that*

$$\Delta_{g_1} S \geq -C_1 S - C_2. \quad (6.5)$$

For the detailed derivation of Lemma 6.2, we refer to Lemma 4.2, Lemma 4.3, Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.5 in [52]. Then, using the maximum principle to  $S + C'u$ , Tosatti-Weinkove-Yau deduced that there exists a constant  $C_0$ , depending only on  $g, J, F$ , and  $K$  such that

$$S \leq C_0.$$

By Proposition 6.1,  $g$  and  $g_1$  are equivalent, we obtain the following estimate.

**Proposition 6.3.** *(cf. Theorem 4.1 in [52]) Let  $g_1(\cdot, \cdot) = \omega_1(\cdot, J\cdot)$  be a solution of the generalized Monge-Ampère equation (3.1). Then there exists a constant  $C_0$  depending only on  $M, \omega, J, F$ , and  $K$ , such that*

$$|\nabla_g^c g_1|_g^2 \leq C_0.$$

Combining Proposition 5.7 and Proposition 6.3, we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.4.** *Let  $(M, J)$  be a closed almost complex manifold with a  $J$ -compatible symplectic form  $\omega$ . Let  $F$  be a real function on  $M$  satisfy*

$$\int_M \omega^n = \int_M e^F \omega^n.$$

*Then if*

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1 &= \omega + \mathcal{D}_J^+(f) \\ &= \omega + dJd(f_0) + da(f_0), \end{aligned}$$

is an almost Kähler form with  $[\omega_1] = [\omega]$  and solving the Calabi-Yau equation

$$\omega_1^n = e^F \omega^n,$$

there are  $C^\infty$  a priori bounds on  $f_0$  and  $\omega_1$  depending only on  $M, \omega, J, F$  and  $\sup_M(\text{tr}_g g_1)$ .

*Proof.* (sketch) From Proposition 5.7 and Proposition 6.3 we have the estimate

$$\|g_1\|_{C^1(g)} \leq C,$$

where  $C$  depends on  $M^{2n}, \omega, J, F$  and  $K$ . It remains to prove the higher order estimates. Our approach is along the lines used by Tosatti-Weinkove-Yau to prove Theorem 1.3 in [52]. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1 - \omega &= \mathcal{D}_J^+(f) \\ &= dJdf_0 + da(f_0), \end{aligned}$$

and  $a(f_0)$  is defined only up to the addition of a harmonic 1-form. From the definition of  $f_0$  it follows that

$$\begin{cases} \omega^{n-1} \wedge da(f_0) = 0, \\ d_J^- Jdf_0 + d_J^- a(f_0) = 0, \\ d^* a(f_0) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (6.6)$$

which is an elliptic system. Note that the kernel of (6.6) consists of the harmonic 1-forms. Then if  $a(f_0)$  is in the kernel of (6.6), we have  $\|da(f_0)\|_{L^2(g_1)}^2 \leq C$  after integrating by parts. Since  $d^* a(f_0) = 0$ , we see that  $a(f_0)$  is harmonic with respect to  $g_1$  (cf. Remark 4.2).

Fix any  $0 < \beta < 1$ . Since  $g_1$  is uniformly bounded in  $C^\beta$ , by Proposition 5.7, we may apply Schauder estimates to (6.1) to obtain  $\|f_0\|_{C^{2+\beta}(g_1)} \leq C$ . Then by Proposition 6.1, we also have  $\|f_0\|_{C^{2+\beta}(g)} \leq C$ . Hence the right-hand side of (6.6) is bounded in  $C^{1+\beta}(g)$  (also in  $C^{1+\beta}(g_1)$ ), and the coefficients of the the system are bounded in  $C^\beta(g_1)$  bound. Assuming that  $a(f_0)$  is orthogonal to the harmonic 1-forms, the elliptic estimates applied to (6.6) give  $C^{2+\beta}(g_1)$  (also  $C^{2+\beta}(g)$ ) bounds on  $a(f_0)$ . Differentiating the Calabi-Yau equation in the direction  $\partial/\partial x^i$  gives an equation of the form

$$\Delta_g(\partial_i f_0) + \{\text{lower-order terms}\} = 2\partial_i F + g_1^{pq} \partial_i g_{1pq}, \quad (6.7)$$

where the lower-order terms involve at most two derivatives of  $f_0$  or  $a(f_0)$ , and so are bounded in  $C^\beta$ . Applying Schauder estimates to (6.7) gives  $\|f_0\|_{C^{3+\beta}(g_1)} \leq C$ . Using (6.1) again and the ellipticity of the subsystem

$$\begin{cases} d_J^- a(f_0) = -d_J^- Jdf_0 \\ d^* a(f_0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

we obtain  $\|a(f_0)\|_{C^{3+\beta}(g_1)} \leq C$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{D}_J^+(f) = dJdf_0 + da(f_0)$  has  $C^{3+\beta}(g_1)$  bound. A standard bootstrapping argument using (6.7) and (6.6) gives the required higher-order estimates. This completes the proof of Theorem 6.4.  $\square$

**Remark 6.5.** *Our theorem above is similar to Theorem 1.3 in [52]. It should be noted that there is a slight difference between the function  $f_0$  in our context and the potential  $\varphi$  in Tosatti-Weinkove-Yau[52]. More precisely, the function  $\varphi$  in [52] corresponds to  $f_1$  in our notation. By Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 4.1 in [52], the upper bound of  $\text{tr}_g g_1$  is controlled by Tian's  $\alpha$ -integral [47],*

$$I_\alpha(f_1) = \int_M e^{-\alpha f_1} d\text{vol}_g,$$

where  $\alpha$  is a positive constant.

## 7 Hermite-Einstein Metrics on Almost Kähler Manifolds

In this section, we discuss the existence of Hermite-Einstein metrics for almost Kähler setting.

In [8], Calabi conjectured the existence of Kähler-Einstein metric on a Kähler manifold according to the sign of its first Chern class. A Kähler-Einstein metric is a Kähler metric whose Ricci form is proportional to the Kähler form. If  $c_1(M) < 0$ , one may choose a Kähler form  $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \sum g_{i\bar{j}} dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^j$  representing  $-c_1(M)$ . If  $c_1(M) = 0$ , we choose  $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \sum g_{i\bar{j}} dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^j$  to be any Kähler form. If  $c_1(M) > 0$ , we choose  $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \sum g_{i\bar{j}} dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^j$  representing  $c_1(M)$ . In these cases, the existence of a Kähler-Einstein metric is equivalent to solving the following equation

$$\det(g_{i\bar{j}} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j}) \det(g_{i\bar{j}})^{-1} = \exp(cf + F), \quad (7.1)$$

where  $c = 1, 0$  or  $-1$  and  $F$  is a smooth function defined on  $M$ . If  $c_1(M) < 0$ , there exists a Kähler-Einstein metric (cf. Aubin [4], Yau [65]). If  $c_1(M) = 0$ , the existence of Kähler-Einstein metric is equivalent to the existence of the solution of the following complex Monge-Ampère equation

$$\det(g_{i\bar{j}} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j}) \det(g_{i\bar{j}})^{-1} = \exp(F),$$

where  $F$  is a smooth function defined on  $M$  satisfying

$$\int_M \exp F d\text{vol}_g = \text{vol}_g(M). \quad (7.2)$$

For the  $c_1(M) > 0$  case, which is called Yau-Tian-Donaldson conjecture ([66, 49, 21]). In [51], Tian and Yau proved that for each  $n$  between 3 and 8, there is a compact complex surface  $M$  diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# n\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2}$  such that  $c_1(M) > 0$  admits a Kähler-Einstein metric. In [48], Tian showed that any compact complex surface with  $c_1(M) > 0$  admits a Kähler-Einstein metric provided that  $\text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(M))$  is reductive. In [49], Tian obtained a new obstruction involving geometric invariant theory (see also Donaldson [21]). In 2015, Chen-Donaldson-Sun [12] and Tian [50] independently proved the Yau-Tian-Donaldson conjecture.

It is natural to consider Hermite-Einstein almost Kähler metrics (HEAK for short). Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a closed symplectic manifold of dimension  $2n$ . An almost

complex structure  $J$  is said to be compatible with  $\omega$  if the tensor  $g(\cdot, \cdot) = \omega(\cdot, J\cdot)$  defines a Riemannian metric on  $M$ . Then  $(g, \omega, J)$  is called an almost Kähler structure on  $M$ . The canonical Hermitian connection  $\nabla_g^c$  (also called the second canonical connection) on  $TM \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$  is defined by

$$\nabla_{gX}^c Y = \nabla_{gX} Y - \frac{1}{2} J(\nabla_{gX} J)Y,$$

where  $\nabla_g$  is the Levi-Civita connection of  $g$  and  $X, Y$  are vector fields on  $M$ . Denote by  $\Psi$  the curvature of  $\nabla_g^c$  (as in Section 6). Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} (\Psi_i^j)^{1,1} &= R_{ikl}^j \theta^k \wedge \bar{\theta}^l; \\ (\Psi_i^j)^{2,0} &= K_{jkl}^i \theta^k \wedge \theta^l; \\ (\Psi_i^j)^{0,2} &= K_{jkl}^i \bar{\theta}^k \wedge \bar{\theta}^l. \end{aligned}$$

For details, see [46, C.4] or [52]. Then, the Hermite-Ricci form  $\rho$  is defined by taking the trace of  $\Psi_{X,Y}$  viewed as an anti-Hermitian linear operator

$$\rho(X, Y) = -\text{tr}(J \circ \Psi_{X,Y}). \quad (7.3)$$

Hence,  $\rho$  is a closed (real) 2-form representing  $2\pi c_1(M)$  in de Rham cohomology. For an almost Kähler structure  $(g, \omega, J)$  on  $M$ ,  $\rho$  can be written as

$$\rho = \rho^+ + \rho^-,$$

where  $\rho^+$  is  $J$ -invariant part of  $\rho$ ,  $\rho^-$  is  $J$ -anti-invariant part of  $\rho$ . In general,  $\rho^- \neq 0$  if  $J$  is not integrable. If  $\tilde{\omega}$  is another symplectic form compatible with the same almost-complex structure  $J$  and satisfies  $\tilde{\omega}^n = e^F \omega^n$  for some real-valued function  $F$ , then

$$\tilde{\rho} = \rho - \frac{1}{2} dJdF, \quad (7.4)$$

where  $\tilde{\rho}$  is the Hermite-Ricci form of  $(\tilde{g}, \tilde{\omega}, J)$ . We define the Hermitian scalar curvature  $R$  of an almost Kähler metric  $(g, \omega, J)$  as the trace of  $\rho$  with respect to  $\omega$ ,

$$R\omega^n = 2n(\rho \wedge \omega^{n-1}), \quad (7.5)$$

equivalently

$$R = 2\Lambda_{\omega}\rho.$$

**Definition 7.1.** An almost Kähler metric  $(g, \omega, J)$  is called *Hermite-Einstein (HEAK for short)* if the Hermite-Ricci form  $\rho$  is a (constant) multiple of the symplectic form  $\omega$ , i.e.

$$\rho = \frac{R}{2n}\omega,$$

so that the Hermitian scalar curvature  $R$  is constant (cf. [35]).

Suppose that  $(\tilde{g}, \tilde{\omega}, J)$  is another almost Kähler structure with  $\tilde{\omega} = \omega + \mathcal{D}_J^+(f)$  for  $f \in C^\infty(M, J)$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} M(f) &= |\tilde{g} \circ g^{-1}| \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} 1 + \mathcal{D}_J^+(f)_{1\bar{1}} & \mathcal{D}_J^+(f)_{1\bar{2}} & \cdots & \mathcal{D}_J^+(f)_{1\bar{n}} \\ \mathcal{D}_J^+(f)_{2\bar{1}} & 1 + \mathcal{D}_J^+(f)_{2\bar{2}} & \cdots & \mathcal{D}_J^+(f)_{2\bar{n}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \mathcal{D}_J^+(f)_{n\bar{1}} & \mathcal{D}_J^+(f)_{n\bar{2}} & \cdots & 1 + \mathcal{D}_J^+(f)_{n\bar{n}} \end{vmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.6)$$

Here, by Proposition 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}_J^+(f) &= dJdf + dd^*\sigma(f) \\ &= dJdf_0 + da(f_0),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$-\frac{1}{n}\Delta_g f_0 = \frac{\omega^{n-1} \wedge (\tilde{\omega} - \omega)}{\omega^n},$$

and

$$\begin{cases} d^*a(f_0) = 0 \\ d_J^- Jdf_0 + d_J^- a(f_0) = 0 \\ \omega^{n-1} \wedge da(f_0) = 0 \end{cases}, \quad (7.7)$$

Accordingly, we may replace  $M(f)$  by  $M(f_0)$ . Furthermore, if  $\tilde{g}$  is HEAK, that is,  $\tilde{\rho} = \lambda\tilde{\omega}$ , then there exists an  $F \in C^\infty(M)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}\rho &= \lambda\omega + d\alpha \\ &= \lambda\omega + dJdF + da(F).\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda dJdf_0 &= \tilde{\rho} - \rho + dJdF + da(F) - \lambda da(f_0) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}(dJd \log M(f_0))^{(1,1)} + dJdF + da(F) - \lambda da(f_0).\end{aligned} \quad (7.8)$$

Then (7.8) implies that  $-\lambda f_0 = \frac{1}{2} \log M(f_0) - F + c$  since

$$\lambda dJdf_0 \wedge \omega^{n-1} = (-\frac{1}{2}dJd \log M(f_0) + dJdF) \wedge \omega^{n-1}$$

and

$$-\lambda \Delta_g f_0 = \frac{1}{2} \Delta_g \log M(f_0) - \Delta_g F.$$

Therefore the existence of HEAK metrics on almost Kähler manifold  $(M, g, \omega, J)$  is equivalent to solving

$$\begin{cases} \log M(f_0) = f_0 + F, & \text{if } c_1(M, J) < 0; \\ \log M(f_0) = F + c, & \text{if } c_1(M, J) = 0; \\ \log M(f_0) = -f_0 + F, & \text{if } c_1(M, J) > 0. \end{cases} \quad (7.9)$$

If  $c_1(M, J) = 0$ , that is the Calabi conjecture for almost Kähler case which is still open since the solution of

$$(\omega + dJdf_0 + da(f_0))^n = e^F \omega^n$$

depends on the  $C^0$  estimate of  $\text{tr}_g \tilde{g}$ . If  $c_1(M, J) > 0$ , it becomes an almost Kähler version of the Yau-Tian-Donaldson conjecture.

If  $c_1(M, J) < 0$ , the existence of a HEAK metric is equivalent to solving the generalized Monge-Ampère equation

$$M(f_0) = e^{f_0 + F}. \quad (7.10)$$

Consider the map from  $C^2$  to  $C^0$  defined by

$$\Gamma : f_0 \longrightarrow f_0 + F - \log M(f_0). \quad (7.11)$$

$\Gamma$  is continuously differentiable. Let  $d\Gamma_{f_0}$  denote its differential at  $f_0$ :

$$d\Gamma_{f_0}(\psi) = \psi + \Delta_{\tilde{g}}\psi. \quad (7.12)$$

Hence, Equation (7.10) is elliptic at  $f_0$ .

**Proposition 7.2.** *Equation (7.10) has at most one solution, possibly up to  $\ker \mathcal{W}_J$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from the maximum principle ([5, Theorem 3.74]). Let  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  be two solutions of (7.10). According to the mean value Theorem [5, Theorem 3.6], there exists a function  $\theta$  ( $0 < \theta < 1$ ) such that  $\psi = f_2 - f_1$  satisfies

$$\Delta_{g_\gamma}\psi + \psi = 0 \text{ with } \gamma = f_1 + \theta(f_2 - f_1), \quad (7.13)$$

where  $g_\gamma(\cdot, \cdot) = \omega_\gamma(\cdot, J\cdot)$ ,  $\omega_\gamma = \omega + \mathcal{D}_J^+(\gamma)$ . Equation (7.13) has no solution except zero.  $\square$

Write  $f_0$  as  $f_0 = f'_0 + c$  such that  $\sup_M f'_0 = 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_J^+(f) &= \omega + dJd(f_0) + da(f_0) \\ &= \omega + dJd(f'_0) + da(f'_0). \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 7.3.** *Suppose that  $(M, g, \omega, J)$  is a closed almost Kähler manifold with  $c_1(M, J) < 0$ . Then if*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\omega} &= \omega + \mathcal{D}_J^+(f) \\ &= \omega + dJd(f_0) + da(f_0), \end{aligned}$$

*is a HEAK metric solving*

$$\tilde{\omega}^n = e^{f_0 + F} \omega^n$$

*for some  $F \in C^\infty(M)$ , there are  $C^\infty$  a priori bounds on  $f'_0$  and  $\tilde{\omega}$  depending only on  $M, \omega, J, F$  and  $\sup_M(\text{tr}_g \tilde{g})$ .*

*Proof.* Using the argument in Section 5, we have

$$\Delta_g f'_0 = \Delta_g f_0 \leq n - ne^{\frac{f_0 + F}{n}} < n,$$

which yields a  $C^0$ -bound for  $f'_0$ . Recall that  $f_0$  is defined by

$$-\frac{1}{n}\Delta_g f_0 = \frac{\omega^{n-1} \wedge (\tilde{\omega} - \omega)}{\omega^n}$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\Delta_g f_0 = n - \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}_g \tilde{g}.$$

We also have

$$\Delta_g f'_0 = n - \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}_g \tilde{g}.$$

If we suppose that

$$0 < \text{tr}_g \tilde{g} \leq K, \quad (7.14)$$

we obtain a  $C^0$ -bound for  $\Delta_g f'_0$ . The remaining higher-order estimates follow as in Section 6, yielding the desired  $C^\infty$  a priori bounds.  $\square$

## 8 Further Remarks and Questions

If  $\omega$  is a Kähler form, let  $K_\omega$  be the space of  $\omega$ -compatible complex structures, and let  $AK_\omega$  be the space of  $\omega$ -compatible almost complex structures. It is easy to see that  $K_\omega \subsetneq AK_\omega$ , where  $AK_\omega$  can be viewed as a contractible Fréchet manifold equipped with a formal Kähler structure. Suppose that  $(g, \omega, J)$  is an almost Kähler metric on closed manifold  $M$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}(\omega, J)$  be the almost Kähler potential space, that is,

$$\mathcal{H}(\omega, J) := \{f \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}) \mid \omega + \mathcal{D}_J^+(f) > 0\}. \quad (8.1)$$

This is an analogue of the Kähler potential space, and  $\mathcal{H}(\omega, J)$  admits a natural Riemann metric of non-positive sectional curvature in the same sense [20]. In the Kähler case this structure was discovered by Mabuchi [38] and rediscovered by Semmes [42] and Donaldson [20]. The real numbers act on  $\mathcal{H}(\omega, J)$  by addition of constants, and we define  $\mathcal{M}_{[\omega]} = \mathcal{H}(\omega, J)/\mathbb{R}$ , which may be viewed as the space of almost Kähler metrics in the fixed symplectic class  $[\omega]$ . By the method of Mabuchi [38], we can show that in fact  $\mathcal{M}_{[\omega]}$  is isometric to the Riemann product  $\mathcal{H}_0 \times \mathbb{R}$ . Both Mabuchi and Donaldson emphasized that understanding geodesics in these spaces is important for the study of the space of almost Kähler metrics. They raised the natural question of whether any two points in  $\mathcal{H}(\omega, J)$  (or  $\mathcal{M}_{[\omega]}$ ) can be connected by a smooth geodesic. Lempert and Vivas gave a negative answer in [36]. Chen proved that the space is at least convex via  $C^{1,1}$  geodesics [10]. Darvas and Lempert showed that the regularity obtained by Chen cannot be improved [15]. Since  $K_\omega \subsetneq AK_\omega$  and  $\mathcal{H}(\omega, J)$  is the almost Kähler potential space, it is natural to investigate almost Kähler geometry using the operator  $\mathcal{D}_J^+$  and the generalized Monge-Ampère equation. In the almost Kähler setting, geodesics in  $\mathcal{H}(\omega, J)$  are related to a generalized Monge-Ampère equation (cf. [42]) as follows. Let  $S = \{s \in \mathbb{C} \mid 0 < \text{Im}s < 1\}$ , and let  $\tilde{\omega}$  the pullback of  $\omega$  by the projection  $\tilde{S} \times M \rightarrow M$ . Given a smooth curve  $[0, 1] \ni t \mapsto v_t \in \mathcal{H}(\omega, J)$ , define a smooth function  $u(s, x) = v_{\text{Im}s}(x)$ ,  $(s, x) \in \tilde{S} \times M$ . Then  $t \mapsto v_t$  is a geodesic if and only if  $u$  satisfies

$$(\omega + \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{J}}^+(u))^{n+1} = 0, \quad (8.2)$$

where  $\tilde{J} = (J_{st}, J)$  is an almost complex structure on  $\mathbb{C} \times M$ , and  $\tilde{J}$  is the standard complex structure. In order to develop almost Kähler geometry further, we need to extend Futaki invariant [27], Mabuchi functional [37, 38], Tian  $\alpha$ -integral [47], and Ding functional [19].

As in the Kähler case [23], on almost Kähler manifolds, we can consider existence questions for several classes of special almost Kähler metrics within a fixed symplectic class.

### 1) Extremal almost Kähler metrics

As in the Kähler setting, extremal almost Kähler metrics are critical points of the Calabi functional

$$(\omega, J) \mapsto \int_M R(J)^2 \frac{\omega^n}{n!}, \quad (8.3)$$

where  $R(J)$  is the Hermitian scalar curvature with respect the almost Kähler metric. It is interesting to study uniqueness of extremal almost Kähler metrics. For Kähler case, see [6]. One may also ask about the relation between extremal Kähler metrics

and extremal almost Kähler metrics. In the toric case, the existence of an extremal Kähler metric is conjecturally equivalent to the existence of non-integrable extremal almost Kähler metric [21] (see also [3]).

**2)** Constant Hermitian scalar curvature almost Kähler metrics (CHSC for short).

These are almost Kähler metrics whose Hermitian scalar curvature  $R$  is constant. Such metrics are certainly extremal. Recently, Keller and Lejmi study  $L^2$ -norm of the Hermitian scalar curvature [33].

**3)** As in Kähler geometry, it is interesting to consider the generalized Calabi conjecture for almost Kähler manifolds. From Section 6 to Section 7, this question is equivalent to solving the generalized Monge-Ampère equation

$$(\omega + \mathcal{D}_J^+(f))^n = e^F \omega^n, \quad \int_M e^F \omega^n = \int_M \omega^n, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}(\omega, J)$$

on almost Kähler manifolds.

**4)** Hermite-Einstein almost Kähler metrics with  $c_1 > 0$

This is an important question, viewed as a generalized Yau-Tian-Donaldson conjecture for symplectic Fano manifolds. For the Kähler Fano case, see Chen-Donaldson-Sun[12], Tian [50], and others.

**5)** The generalized almost Kähler-Ricci solitons (GeAKRS for short)

For Kähler-Ricci solitons, there is a substantial literature; see [69, 60] and references therein. Let  $Ham(M, \omega)$  be the group of Hamiltonian symplectomorphisms of  $(M, \omega)$ . Inoue [32] fixed a compact subgroup  $G$  of  $Ham(M, \omega)$  and considered the subgroup  $Ham^G(M, \omega)$  of Hamiltonian symplectomorphisms commuting with  $G$ . Let  $AK_\omega^G$  be the space of  $G$ -invariant almost complex structures compatible with  $\omega$ . He proved that the action of  $Ham^G(M, \omega)$  on  $AK_\omega^G$  admits a moment map given by

$$R_\xi^G(J) = R^G(J) - n - 2\Delta_g f_\xi + 2f_\xi - 2|f_\xi|_g^2, \quad (8.4)$$

where  $f_\xi$  is a Hamiltonian potential of  $\xi$  which is a fixed element in the center of the Lie algebra of  $G$ , on a compact symplectic Fano manifold. Inoue showed that the zeros of this moment map correspond to Kähler-Ricci solitons (cf. [32, Proposition 3.2]). If an almost Kähler metric  $(g, \omega, J)$  satisfies the condition  $R_\xi^G(J) = 0$ , we call it generalized almost-Kähler-Ricci soliton (GeAKRS for short). For the study of GeAKRS, see [1].

**Acknowledgements.** The third author is very grateful to his advisor Z. Lü for his support; the authors thank Haisheng Liu for some helpful discussions.

## References

- [1] M. Albanese, G. Barbaro and M. Lejmi, *Generalized almost-Kähler-Ricci solitons*, Differential Geom. Appl., **97** (2024), Paper No. 102193, 27 pp.
- [2] S. Alesker and E. Shelukhin, *On a uniform estimate for the quaternionic Calabi problem*, Israel J. Math., **197** (2013), 309-327.
- [3] V. Apostolov, D.M.J. Calderbank, P. Gauduchon and C.W. Tønnesen-Friedman, *Extremal Kähler metrics on projective bundles over a curve*, Adv. Math., **227** (2011), 2385-2424.



- [4] T. Aubin, *Équations du type Monge-Ampère sur les variétés kählériennes compactes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B, **283** (1976), A119-A121.
- [5] T. Aubin, *Some nonlinear problems in Riemannian geometry*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [6] R.J. Berman, and B. Berndtsson, *Convexity of the K-energy on the space of Kähler metrics and uniqueness of extremal metrics*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **30** (2017), 1165-1196.
- [7] J.L. Brylinski, *A differential complex for Poisson manifolds*, J. Differential Geom., **28** (1988), 93-114.
- [8] E. Calabi, *The space of Kähler metrics*, in Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Amsterdam, 1954, vol. 2, pp. 206-207. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1956.
- [9] E. Calabi, *On Kähler manifolds with vanishing canonical class*, Algebraic geometry and topology. A symposium in honor of S. Lefschetz, pp. 78-89. Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1957.
- [10] X.X. Chen, *The space of Kähler metrics*, J. Differential Geom., **56** (2000), 189-234.
- [11] I. Chavel, *Riemannian geometry: a modern introduction*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 98. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [12] X.X. Chen, S.K. Donaldson and S. Sun, *Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano manifolds. I-III*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **28** (2015), 183-197, 199-234, 235-278.
- [13] J.C. Chu, V. Tosatti and B. Weinkove, *The Monge-Ampère equation for non-integrable almost complex structures*, J. Eur. Math. Soc., **21** (2019), 1949-1984.
- [14] J. Cirici and S.O. Wilson, *Topology and geometric aspects of almost Kähler manifolds via harmonic theory*, Selecta Math., **26** (2020), paper No. 35, 27 pp.
- [15] T. Darvas and L. Lempert, *Weak geodesics in the space of Kähler metrics*, Math. Res. Lett., **19** (2012), 1127-1135.
- [16] J.-P. Demailly and N. Pali, *Degenerate complex Monge-Ampère equations over compact Kähler manifolds*, Intern. J. Math., **21** (2010), 357-405.
- [17] P. Delanoë, *Sur l'analogie presque-complexe de l'équation de Calabi-Yau*, Osaka J. Math., **33** (1996), 829-846.
- [18] P. Delanoë, *An  $L^\infty$  a priori estimate for the Calabi-Yau operator on compact almost-Kähler manifolds*, Gen. Math., **5** (1997), 145-149.
- [19] W.Y. Ding, *Remarks on the existence problem of positive Kähler-Einstein metrics*, Math. Ann., **282** (1988), 463-471.
- [20] S. K. Donaldson, *Symmetric spaces, Kähler geometry and Hamiltonian dynamics*, Northern California Symplectic Geometry Seminar 13-33, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, 196, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.

- [21] S. K. Donaldson, *Scalar curvature and stability of toric varieties*, J. Differential Geom., **62** (2002), 289-349.
- [22] S.K. Donaldson, *Two forms on four manifolds and elliptic equations*, Nankai Tracts Math., **11**, Inspired by S. S. Chern, 153-172, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, N.J., 2006.
- [23] S.K. Donaldson, *Kähler geometry on toric manifolds, and some other manifolds with large symmetry*, Handbook of geometric analysis. No. 1, 29-75, Adv. Lect. Math., **7**, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2008.
- [24] S. K. Donaldson and P. B. Kronheimer, *The Geometry of Four-Manifolds*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford Science Publications, New York, 1990.
- [25] T. Draghici, T.-J. Li and W. Zhang, *Symplectic forms and cohomology decomposition of almost complex four-manifolds*, Int. Math. Res. Not., (2010), no. 1, 1-17.
- [26] J.X. Fu, Z.Z. Wang and D.M. Wu, *Form-type Calabi-Yau equations*, Math. Res. Lett., **17** (2010), 887-903.
- [27] A. Futaki, *An obstruction to the existence of Einstein Kähler metrics*, Invent. Math., **73** (1983), 437-443.
- [28] P. Gauduchon, *Calabi's extremal Kaehler metrics: An elementary introduction*, book in preparation, 2011.
- [29] F.R. Harvey and H.B. Lawson, *Potential theory on almost complex manifolds*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), **65** (2015), 171-210.
- [30] L. Hörmander, *An introduction to complex analysis in several variables*, third edition (revised), D. Van Nostrand Co., Inc., Princeton, N.J.-Toronto, Ont.-London, 1990.
- [31] Liding Huang and Jiaogen Zhang, *Fully nonlinear elliptic equations with gradient terms on compact almost Hermitian manifolds*, Math. Z., **303** (2023), Paper No. 36, 24 pp.
- [32] E. Inoue, *The moduli space of Fano manifolds with Kähler-Ricci solitons*, Adv. Math., **357** (2019), 106841.
- [33] J. Keller and M. Lejmi, *On the lower bounds of the  $L^2$ -norm of the Hermitian scalar curvature*, J. Symplectic Geom., **18** (2020), 537-558.
- [34] M. Lejmi, *Stability under deformations of extremal almost-Kähler metrics in dimension 4*, Math. Res. Lett., **17** (2010), 601-612.
- [35] M. Lejmi, *Stability under deformations of Hermite-Einstein almost Kähler metrics*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, **64** (2014), 2251-2263.
- [36] L. Lempert and L. Vivas, *Geodesics in the space of Kähler metrics*, Duke Math. J., **162** (2013), 1369-1381.

- [37] T. Mabuchi, *K-energy maps integrating Futaki invariants*, Tohoku Math. J., **38** (1986), 575-93.
- [38] T. Mabuchi, *Some symplectic geometry on compact Kähler manifolds. I*, Osaka J. Math., **24** (1987), 227-252.
- [39] D. McDuff and D. Salamon, *Introduction to Symplectic Topology*, Third Edition, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press, 2017.
- [40] A. Nijenhuis and W. B. Woolf, *Some integration problems in almost-complex and complex manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2), **77** (1963), 424-489.
- [41] S. Plis, *The Monge-Ampère equation on almost complex manifolds*, Math. Z., **276** (2014), 969-983.
- [42] S. Semmes, *Complex Monge-Ampère and symplectic manifolds*, Amer. J. Math., **114** (1992), 495-550.
- [43] Q. Tan, H.Y. Wang, Y. Zhang and P. Zhu, *On cohomology of almost complex 4-manifolds*, J. Geom. Anal., **25** (2015), 1431-1443.
- [44] Q. Tan, H.Y. Wang and J.R. Zhou, *Primitive cohomology of degree two on compact symplectic manifolds*, Manuscripta Math., **148** (2015), 535-556.
- [45] Q. Tan, H.Y. Wang and J.R. Zhou, *A note on the deformations of almost complex structures on closed four-manifolds*, J. Geom. Anal., **27** (2017), 2700-2724.
- [46] Q. Tan, H.Y. Wang, J.R. Zhou and P. Zhu, *On tamed almost complex four manifolds*, Peking Mathematical Journal, **5(1)** (2022), 37-152.
- [47] G. Tian, *On Kähler-Einstein metrics on certain Kähler manifolds with  $C_1(M) > 0$* , Invent. Math., **89** (1987), 225-246.
- [48] G. Tian, *On Calabi's conjecture for complex surfaces with positive first Chern class*, Invent. Math., **101** (1990), 101-172.
- [49] G. Tian, *Kähler-Einstein metrics with positive scalar curvature*, Invent. Math., **130** (1997), 1-37.
- [50] G. Tian, *K-stability and Kähler-Einstein metrics*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **68** (2015), 1085-1156.
- [51] G. Tian and S.-T. Yau, *Kähler-Einstein metrics on complex surfaces with  $C_1 > 0$* , Comm. Math. Phys., **112** (1987), 175-203.
- [52] V. Tosatti, B. Weinkove and S.-T. Yau, *Taming symplectic forms and the Calabi-Yau equation*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc., **97** (2008), 401-424.
- [53] V. Tosatti and B. Weinkove, *The complex Monge-Ampère equation on compact Hermitian manifolds*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **23** (2010), 1187-1195.
- [54] V. Tosatti, Y. Wang, B. Weinkove and X. Yang,  *$C^{2,\alpha}$  estimates for nonlinear elliptic equations in complex and almost complex geometry*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, **54** (2015), 431-453.

- [55] L.S. Tseng and S.-T. Yau, *Cohomology and Hodge theory on symplectic manifolds: I*, J. Differential Geom., **91** (2012), 383-416.
- [56] L.S. Tseng and S.-T. Yau, *Cohomology and Hodge theory on symplectic manifolds: II*, J. Differential Geom., **91** (2012), 417-443.
- [57] H.Y. Wang, K. Wang and P. Zhu, *On closed almost complex four manifolds*, arXiv:2305.09213v2, (2023).
- [58] H. Y. Wang and P. Zhu, *On a generalized Calabi-Yau equation*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, **60** (2010), 1595-1615.
- [59] K. Wang, Z. Zhang, T. Zheng and P. Zhu, *Generalized Monge Ampère equation on almost Kähler surface*, arXiv:2412.18361.
- [60] X.-J. Wang and X. Zhu, *Kähler-Ricci solitons on toric manifolds with positive first Chern class*, Adv. Math., **188** (2004), 87-103.
- [61] A. Weil, *Introduction à l'Étude des Variété Kähleriennes*. Publications de l'Institut de Mathématique de l'Université de Nancago VI, Hermann, Paris (1958).
- [62] B. Weinkove, *The Calabi-Yau equation on almost Kähler four-manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom., **76** (2007), 317-349.
- [63] D. Yan, *Hodge structure on symplectic manifolds*, Adv. Math., **120** (1996), 143-154.
- [64] S.-T. Yau, *Calabi's conjecture and some new results in algebraic geometry*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., **74** (1977), 1798-1799.
- [65] S.-T. Yau, *On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation, I*, Comm. Pure App. Math., **31** (1978), 339-411.
- [66] S.-T. Yau, *Open problems in geometry*, Differential geometry: partial differential equations on manifolds, 1-28, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **54**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (1993).
- [67] K. Yosida, *Functional Analysis*, sixth edition, Springer-Verleg, 1980.
- [68] X. Zhang and X. W. Zhang, *Regularity estimates of solutions to complex Monge-Ampère equations on Hermitian manifolds*, J. Funct. Anal., **260** (2011), 2004-2026.
- [69] X. Zhu, *Kähler-Ricci soliton typed equations on compact complex manifolds with  $C_1(M) > 0$* , J. Geom. Anal., **10** (2000), 759-774.

Qiang Tan

School of Mathematical Sciences, Jiangsu University, Zhenjiang, Jiangsu 212013, China

e-mail: tanqiang@ujs.edu.cn

Hongyu Wang

School of Mathematical Sciences, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, Jiangsu 225002,  
China

e-mail: hywang@yzu.edu.cn

Ken Wang

School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai, 100433, China

e-mail: kanwang22@m.fudan.edu.cn

Zuyi Zhang

Beijing International Center for Mathematical Research, Peking University, Beijing,  
100871, China

e-mail: zhangzuyi1993@pku.edu.cn