

Heavy-quark mass relation from a standard-model boson operator representation in terms of fermions

Jaime Besprosvany and Rebeca Sánchez

Instituto de Física, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México,
Apartado Postal 20-364, 01000, Ciudad de México, México

Abstract

The standard-model can be equivalently represented with its fields in a spin-extended basis, departing from fermion degrees of freedom. The common Higgs operator connects the electroweak and Yukawa sectors, restricting the top and bottom quark masses[Phys. Rev. D **99**, 073001, 2019]. Using second quantization, within the heavy-particle sector, electroweak vectors, the Higgs field, and symmetry operators are expanded in terms of bilinear combinations of top and bottom quark operators, considering discrete degrees of freedom and chirality. This is interpreted as either a basis choice or as a description of composite models. The vacuum expectation value is calculated quantum mechanically, which relates to the common mass-generating scalar operator and it reproduces the vector and quark-doublet masses. This also links the corresponding scalar-vector and Yukawa vertices, and restricts the t- and b-quark masses in a hierarchy relation.

I Introduction

The standard model[1] (SM) is pivotal in obtaining information about elementary particles, yet theoretical puzzles remain as the connection between the electroweak, Higgs and Yukawa sectors, and the origin of the electroweak breaking. New connections may lead to understanding of SM dimension and dimensionless variables that are fixed phenomenologically today.

The SM's properties provide hints to address these puzzles. Quantum numbers of SM spin-1/2 and vector particles are related, as these belong to the fundamental and the adjoint representations, respectively, of the gauge and Lorentz symmetry groups; to implement such symmetries, the vector bosons and the Higgs scalar have charge and spin of specific pairs of SM fermions.

One productive approach to obtain new information on the SM relies on these patterns. Ref. [2] rewrites the SM Lagrangian equivalently in terms of a matrix basis, for all particles (scalar, vector, spin 1/2). It derives a mass relation (classically) for the quark masses, under a weak SM assumption of a common scalar operator between the electroweak sectors, using a discrete equivalent basis induced by a SM extension; spin and gauge degrees of freedom are separated, and the bosons' degrees of freedom are formally composite of the fermions. This suggests the possibility of a SM spin-1/2 basis and associated quantum numbers, under the weak assumption of truncation that can be tested.

On the other hand, SM extensions with a bottom-up approach, generalizing SM aspects, have led to restrictions on these parameters, beyond the insight of an encompassing theory. For example, grand-unified theories[3] assume a common group for the interactions, requiring a unique coupling constant at the unification scale, constraining the SM couplings.

The discrete composite SM quantum numbers suggest such a description of the

elementary particles, and hint at generalization directions. Compositeness is a central tenet behind many physical systems, in which features are explained in terms of simpler elements. It means a system's configurations are divided into two classes, elementary and composite, where the latter's degrees of freedom are constructed in terms of the former's, and observables manifest these relations. In the case of the quark model[4], hadron masses are explained in terms of constituent-quark masses. In superconductivity, Cooper pairs[5], produced by a residual phonon interaction between two electrons, conform the relevant free-streaming variable.

Thus, in the BCS theory of superconductivity[6] the Cooper-pair energy gap depends on interactions. In an application of this theory to quantum field theory and elementary particles[7], a four-fermion interaction produces fermion and composite-boson masses, linking their values.

Based on this type of interaction, applying dynamic mass generation, models were researched[8] that produce a Higgs-scalar condensate[9], composed of top and antitop quarks. These models comprise, among others, an extension to vector particles[10], applying interaction resummation[11], with consideration of a chiral condensate[12].

In finding the appropriate description of a composite system, the focus is on effective degrees of freedom, concentrating the relevant information. In quantum field theory, fundamental variables as coupling constants and masses run, depending on the energy scale, as renormalization equations take into account interactions.

Once these properties are integrated, a composite configuration has a simple description in terms of the elementary ones. Compositeness may be present at a fundamental level or partially, depending on whether it involves all or selected number of the relevant degrees of freedom. SM compositeness features may prove useful to address the SM problem that the fermion sector remains disconnected from the boson elements, and so the masses that they generate, which arise from independent Lagrangian terms.

In this paper, we derive a mass relation for the heavy quarks in terms of electroweak parameters, and a hierarchy constraint, relying on SM compositeness, using the common mass-generating Higgs component, in a quantization framework. Concentrating on SM heavy particles, boson degrees of freedom can be expressed in terms of the fermions', considering spin and isospin. From the electroweak and Yukawa Lagrangian components, the vector Z and W masses are reproduced. The material is organized thus: in Section II, mass-generating operators are extracted from the electroweak Lagrangian. Section III relates the paper scheme to composite top quark anti quark Higgs condensate models. In Section IV, such operators are written in a second-quantized fermion basis; these are used to calculate particle masses and derive the quark hierarchy constraint, in Section V. Conclusions are drawn in Section VI.

II Vector-scalar and fermion-scalar mass components

The SM assumes a Higgs doublet

$$\mathbf{H}(x) = \exp[i\boldsymbol{\theta}(x) \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}/(2v)] \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ h(x) \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{v} \right], \quad (1)$$

parameterized in terms of $\boldsymbol{\theta}(x)$ phase fields, with Pauli-matrix generators $\boldsymbol{\tau}$, and the vacuum expectation value (vev) $\mathbf{v} = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$; through the Higgs mechanism, an expansion around the scalar potential minimum produces $h(x)$ as the remaining only physical field, which minimizes the potential. In the unitary gauge, the $\boldsymbol{\theta}(x)$ are absorbed into the vectors' longitudinal components, and the exponential dependence is eliminated.

Next, we write the scalar-vector (SV) Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{SV} = \mathbf{H}^\dagger(x) \left[\frac{1}{2} g \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{W}^\mu(x) + \frac{1}{2} g' B^\mu(x) \right] \left[\frac{1}{2} g \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{W}_\mu(x) + \frac{1}{2} g' B_\mu(x) \right] \mathbf{H}(x), \quad (2)$$

where $W_\mu^i(x)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, are weak vector components, $B_\mu(x)$ is the hypercharge vector term, and g, g' are the respective coupling constants. In the Higgs mecha-

nism, $\mathbf{H}(x)$ acquires a vev $\langle \mathbf{H}(x) \rangle = \mathbf{v}$, producing the SM mass-generating constant component, contained in \mathcal{L}_{SV} :

$$\mathcal{L}_{MV} = \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{v}^\dagger [g\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{W}^\mu(x) + g'B^\mu(x)] [g\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{W}_\mu(x) + g'B_\mu(x)] \mathbf{v},$$

which provides masses to the vectors. The fields' quadratic form is resolved in

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{MV} = \frac{1}{g^2 + g'^2} \mathbf{v}^\dagger \left[(g^2 I^3 - \frac{1}{2} g'^2 Y) Z^\mu(x) \right] \left[(g^2 I^3 - \frac{1}{2} g'^2 Y) Z_\mu(x) \right] \mathbf{v} + \\ \mathbf{v}^\dagger \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} g I^- W^{-\mu}(x) \right] \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} g I^+ W^{+\mu}(x) \right] \mathbf{v} = \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} Z_\mu(x) Z^\mu(x) M_Z^2 + W^-_\mu(x) W^{+\mu}(x) M_W^2, \quad (4)$$

where the weak isospin generators are $\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\tau} = \mathbf{I}$, with $I^\pm = I^1 \pm iI^2$, and Y is the hypercharge operator. This form is expressed in terms of the charged $W^\pm_\mu(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[W_\mu^1(x) \mp iW_\mu^2(x)]$, and neutral components that decouple in the above quadratic form:

$$Z_\mu(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} [-gW_\mu^3(x) + g'B_\mu(x)] \quad (5)$$

$$A_\mu(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} [g'W_\mu^3(x) + gB_\mu(x)], \quad (6)$$

where the photon field $A_\mu(x)$ remains massless: $gg'(I^3 + \frac{1}{2}Y)A_\mu(x)\mathbf{v} = 0$, whereas the obtained masses for the Z and W^\pm particles are

$$M_Z^2 = \frac{2}{g^2 + g'^2} \mathbf{v}^\dagger (g^2 I^3 - \frac{1}{2} g'^2 Y) (g^2 I^3 - \frac{1}{2} g'^2 Y) \mathbf{v} = (g^2 + g'^2) v^2 / 4 \quad (7)$$

$$M_W^2 = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}^\dagger I^- I^+ \mathbf{v} = g^2 v^2 / 4. \quad (8)$$

We focus on the heavy-quark chiral-(t,b) field components with left-handed doublet

$$\Psi_L(x) = P_L \begin{pmatrix} \psi_t(x) \\ \psi_b(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (9)$$

and right-handed singlets $\psi_{tR}(x) = P_R\psi_t(x)$, $\psi_{bR}(x) = P_R\psi_b(x)$, with the projectors $P_R = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \gamma_5)$, $P_L = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \gamma_5)$. The scalar-fermion (Yukawa) interaction component is

$$\mathcal{L}_{SF} = \chi_t \bar{\Psi}_L^\dagger(x) \tilde{\mathbf{H}}(x) \psi_{tR}(x) + \chi_b \bar{\Psi}_L^\dagger(x) \mathbf{H}(x) \psi_{bR}(x) + \text{H.c.}, \quad (10)$$

where χ_t, χ_b are Yukawa constants, and

$$\tilde{\mathbf{H}}(x) = i\tau^2 \mathbf{H}^*(x). \quad (11)$$

The extracted mass-generating term from \mathcal{L}_{SF} , after the Higgs mechanism, is

$$\mathcal{L}_{fM} = \chi_t \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\psi}_{tL}(x) \psi_{tR}(x) + \chi_b \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\psi}_{bL}(x) \psi_{bR}(x) + \text{H.c.} \quad (12)$$

As suggested by the Lagrangian components in Eqs. 7, 8, 12, the fields may be written in terms of a fermion basis, also indicated by the fermion-vector interaction term. Recalling the SM quantum-number compositeness property, from gauge invariance, bosons are written in terms of fermions, as some vector-field zero components constitute conserved terms. We concentrate on relevant discrete degrees of freedom: spin and isospin, in their chiral components, contributing to the masses, as implied by Eqs. 7, 8, 12. The vector field has the form[13] $A_\mu = g_{\mu\nu} A^\nu = \frac{1}{4} \text{tr} \gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu A^\nu$ in a spinor basis. The SM Lagrangian is reformulated in a spin-isospin basis, in a similar procedure to the spin-extended model[2]. The vector-scalar component leading to the vectors' masses is, from Eq. 3,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{SV} = & \mathbf{H}^\dagger(x) \left[\frac{1}{2} g \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{W}^\mu(x) + \frac{1}{2} g' B^\mu(x) \right] \left[\frac{1}{2} g \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{W}_\mu(x) + \frac{1}{2} g' B_\mu(x) \right] \mathbf{H}(x) = \\ & \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \mathbf{H}^\dagger(x) \gamma_0 \left[\frac{1}{2} g \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{W}^\nu(x) + \frac{1}{2} g' B^\nu(x) \right] \gamma_\nu \left[\frac{1}{2} g \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{W}^\mu(x) + \frac{1}{2} g' B^\mu(x) \right] \gamma_\mu \gamma_0 \mathbf{H}(x), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where the trace here is only over 4×4 gamma-matrix indices, and the equality uses

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4}\text{tr}\gamma_\mu\gamma_\nu = \frac{1}{4}\text{tr}\gamma_0 P_L \gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu P_L \gamma_0 + \frac{1}{4}\text{tr}\gamma_0 P_R \gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu P_R \gamma_0, \quad (14)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}\gamma_0 P_L \gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu P_L \gamma_0. \quad (15)$$

The inclusion of the P_R , P_L projections shows the freedom in the representation choice, where the independence of symmetry-operator spaces (spin, isospin) is manifest, and the tensor product of spaces applies for its generators. Eqs. 14, 15 signal a new basis that separates Lorentz and gauge degrees of freedom, satisfying the Coleman-Mandula theorem[14], similarly but independently of a proposed SM extension, in Ref. [2]. With hindsight, the scalar doublet $\mathbf{H}(x)$, is written in the spin basis (using γ_0) while a full quantum version in second quantization is provided in Section IV.

Such a formulation introduces an equivalent basis with creation and annihilation operators incorporating spin and scalar (weak isospin, flavor) components as relevant degrees of freedom, and their vev. Next, we consider SM limits and composite extensions for which the expansion in this paper is relevant.

III Standard-model limits and its composite extensions

To face SM puzzles, as the origin of the electroweak breaking or the connection of the electroweak and Yukawa sectors, relevant limiting-environments are described for this work; in turn, its calculation leading to the SM vector masses complements these methods.

Heavy-quark sector behavior

The large-mass limit of SM heavy particles was shown[15] to conserve the SU(2) symmetry. Using path integrals, quantum corrections to classical solutions are obtained, as the Higgs electroweak and Yukawa Lagrangians maintain their forms. Unlike Ref. [15], initial conditions may be set for the quark components with arbitrary chiral quarks u_L, u_R, b_L, b_R .

Chiral perturbation theory

This theory[16] matches the paper’s fermion chiral operator relevant degrees of freedom; the choice in Eq. 25 manifests the chiral symmetry is broken, as quarks are particles with well-defined parity.

Effective theory

The old Fermi theory gives an elementary description of the SM particles and interactions in the low-energy limit, through an effective theory, in terms of fermions. This is a parameter-reducing approach, connecting, e. g., bilinear scalar and vector components. So, interactions may be added using only fermions, with various applications.

Nambu–Jona-Lasinio models

These interactions are constructed from four fermions[7], which come from various origins, as in the low-energy SM description, in which they manifest a vector-boson exchange.

In the description of SM heavy-particles, such an interaction term, satisfying the SM symmetries, is chosen in terms of the heaviest fermions’ fields, top and bottom

quarks:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{old}}^\Lambda = \mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}}^0 + G(\bar{\Psi}_L^{ia} t_R^a)(\bar{t}_R^b \Psi_L^{ib}), \quad (16)$$

where G is a coupling, i is an electroweak index, a, b are color indexes, $\mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}}^0 = \bar{\Psi} \not{\partial} \Psi$, and we use the Ψ doublet in Eq. 9 with an explicit color index, adapting the t, b notation.

Top-quark condensate models

The heavy-particle SM sector suggests common dynamics as an explanation to electroweak symmetry breaking, as this property implies these particles are linked. SM extensions comprise various schemes and methods that maintain the SM electroweak structure, providing connections among SM variables, and we list some involving compositeness. In one such extension, this entitles the introduction of a four-fermion attractive interaction of Eq. 16, generating, through a Nambu–Jona-Lasinio mechanism[7], massive quarks, and a composite Higgs particle, whose main component is a top-antitop pair, producing a condensate in various models[21].

The original scalar doublet is represented by

$$\tilde{\Phi} \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{b}^a(1 + \gamma_5)t^a \\ -\bar{t}^a(1 + \gamma_5)t^a \end{pmatrix} = i\tau_2 \tilde{\Phi}^* \quad (17)$$

$$\tilde{\Phi} \propto i\tau_2 \Phi^* = \bar{t}_R^b \Psi_L^b \quad (18)$$

An alternative formulation with the same physical contents is given by considering from Eq. 16:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{new}}^\Lambda = \mathcal{L}_{\text{old}}^\Lambda - (M_0 \tilde{\Phi}^{i\dagger} + \sqrt{G} \bar{\Psi}_L^{ia} t_R^a)(M_0 \tilde{\Phi}^i + \sqrt{G} \bar{t}_R^b \Psi_L^{ib}) \quad (19)$$

$$= \bar{\Psi} \not{\partial} \Psi - \sqrt{G} M_0 (\tilde{\Phi}^{i\dagger} \bar{t}_R^b \Psi_L^{ib} + \bar{\Psi}_L^{ia} t_R^a \tilde{\Phi}^i) - M_0^2 \tilde{\Phi}^{i\dagger} \tilde{\Phi}^i, \quad (20)$$

where M_0 is a mass parameter, and we introduce the auxiliary fields

$$\tilde{\Phi} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{G}^{(1)} + i\mathcal{G}^{(3)} \\ \mathcal{H} + i\mathcal{G}^{(0)} \end{pmatrix} = i\tau_2 \Phi^*. \quad (21)$$

Three conform Goldstone bosons that eventually are absorbed by vector bosons. leaving the physical Higgs. The equations of motion from Eq. 19 imply Eqs. 17, 18, defining their constants.

This composite structure reproduces the SM as a minimum-energy solutions. The Higgs mechanism implies a scalar composite scalar encompassing a quark condensate of a composite Higgs, manifesting vev of the scalar real field [8] $\langle \mathcal{H}(x) \rangle = v$

A quark condensate[9] is based on previous work[8, 10] that connected the NJL theory to the renormalization group, and improved its predictions. In theory, within an energy scale $\Lambda \sim 10^8$ MeVs, the renormalization group reveals that top quark condensation is fundamentally based upon the ‘infrared fixed point’ for the top quark Higgs-Yukawa coupling[17, 18]. The ‘infrared’ fixed point originally predicted that the top quark would be heavy, contrary to the prevailing view of the early 1980s. Such a point implies that it is strongly coupled to the Higgs boson at very high energies, corresponding to the Landau pole of the Higgs-Yukawa coupling. At this high scale a bound-state Higgs is formed in the ‘infrared’, as the coupling thus relaxes to its measured value of order unity. The SM renormalization group fixed point prediction is about 220 GeV, as the observed top mass is roughly 20% lower than this prediction. The simplest top condensation models are now ruled out by the LHC discovery of the Higgs boson at a mass scale of 125 GeV. However, extended versions of the theory, introducing more particles, can be consistent with the observed top quark and Higgs boson masses.

Either results are too restrictive, not in agreement with experiment or open to new processes, and parameters, losing predictability. Still, they provide a framework in which the below results can be formulated. Other approaches include the direct Bether-Salpeter equation, introducing QCD corrections, technicolor, and extended

Higgs doublets.

The Higgs mechanism[19] implies massive-field configurations for vectors and fermions as the scalar field is expanded around the minimum energy state. Massive quarks are Dirac particles, but their original chiral components can be used as a basis. Such massless components maintain their quantum numbers but acquire mass. In a mode expansion over momentum degrees of freedom, we need only look at the mass components.

The pervasive original SM electroweak Yukawa masses framed in these composite extensions, described the Higgs conditions from the Higgs field, are a framework for the which the free-particle quantized description below is relevant.

Extensions and basis in a Lagrangian formulation

Beyond the SM (BSM) theories can be tested, viewed as approximations to the SM, through a sytematic perturbative expansion, involving the SM Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{SM} = \mathcal{L}_{BSM} + \mathcal{L}_{SM} - \mathcal{L}_{BSM} \quad (22)$$

contains the SM Lagrangian and \mathcal{L}_{BSM} with through successive corrections, $\mathcal{L}_{SM} - \mathcal{L}_{BSM}$.

A second interpretation of the expansion is of a different new basis that can be tested, as it is obtained from the old one by

$$|N\rangle = e^{i(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{N}} - \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{O}})}|O\rangle, \quad (23)$$

providing a solution. We conjecture a Lagrangian that assumes an additive operator organization, thus, a unitary transformation perturbation expansion can be constructed through Hermitian operators $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{N}} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{O}} - \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{N}}$, suggesting an expansion in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{O}} - \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{N}}$ corrections. To the extent the original SM is maintained, gauge-invariance theorems, under the Higgs mechanism, based on a lattice description[20], hold.

IV Second-quantized field expansion

We use the 8-element massive basis for the t quark (and the b), conformed of a tensor product of the spaces isospin, momentum, spin, $q_{ks} = a_q a_k a_s$. We concentrate on the top and bottom quarks $q = t, b$, the up and down spin polarization, $i = \uparrow, \downarrow$, as these constitute the most massive fermions, with masses of the order of the SM massive bosons. As we deal with a QCD-scalar SM sector, any quark color is understood. The momentum (or space) degrees of freedom are factored out; for definiteness, among the new components, we choose the lowest-energy fermion operator, the massive mode, with $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0$, as any mode is representative, given the Lorentz and gauge invariance. Such objects satisfy the anticommutation relations

$$\{q_i^\dagger, q_j'\} = \delta_{ij} \delta_{qq'}, \quad \{q_i, q_j'\} = \{q_i^\dagger, q_j'^\dagger\} = 0, \quad (24)$$

with Kronecker deltas understood for defined indices. Quarks q_i^\dagger have the same quantum numbers as antiquark operators \bar{q}_i , with antiquarks given by \bar{q}_i^\dagger . Action on the vacuum is $q_i|0\rangle = 0$, $\bar{q}_i|0\rangle = 0$. The normalization is set for the massive states, $\langle 0|q_i q_i^\dagger|0\rangle = \langle 0|\bar{q}_i \bar{q}_i^\dagger|0\rangle = 1$.

Given the chirality's admixture of positive and negative massive frequencies, these operators can be broken into their right and left components and are represented¹ by

$$q_\uparrow^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(q_{R\uparrow}^\dagger + q_{L\uparrow}^\dagger), \quad (25)$$

and the antiquark annihilation operator, the orthogonal combination

$$\bar{q}_\downarrow = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(q_{R\uparrow}^\dagger - q_{L\uparrow}^\dagger), \quad (26)$$

and the hermitian and the spin $\uparrow \leftrightarrow \downarrow$ equations. These relations imply for the quiral L, R quark-basis components, using Eq. 24, the anticommutation relations $\{q_{Qi}^\dagger, q_{Q'j}'\} =$

¹Strictly speaking, the massive $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ quark component is obtained from the off-shell massless operators; their $\mathbf{k}, -\mathbf{k}$ redundancy is eliminated in such a limit. For example, $t_{R\uparrow}^\dagger(\mathbf{k})$ corresponds to $\bar{t}_{L\downarrow}(-\mathbf{k})$.

$\delta_{ij}\delta_{QQ'}\delta_{qq'}$, and $Q, Q' = R, L$, and for anticommutator of creation-creation and annihilation-annihilation operators, zero.

operator	Q	\hat{k}	B	Y	I^3	\bar{I}^2	S_z	\bar{S}^2
$t_{R\uparrow}^\dagger$	2/3	1	1/3	4/3	0	0	1/2	1/2
$t_{R\downarrow}^\dagger$	2/3	-1	1/3	4/3	0	0	-1/2	1/2
$t_{L\uparrow}^\dagger$	2/3	-1	1/3	1/3	1/2	1/2	1/2	1/2
$t_{L\downarrow}^\dagger$	2/3	1	1/3	1/3	1/2	1/2	-1/2	1/2
$b_{R\uparrow}^\dagger$	-1/3	1	1/3	-2/3	0	0	1/2	1/2
$b_{R\downarrow}^\dagger$	-1/3	-1	1/3	-2/3	0	0	-1/2	1/2
$b_{L\uparrow}^\dagger$	-1/3	-1	1/3	1/3	-1/2	1/2	1/2	1/2
$b_{L\downarrow}^\dagger$	-1/3	1	1/3	1/3	-1/2	1/2	-1/2	1/2
W_z^3	0	-1	0	0	0	1	1	1
H_t, H_b^\dagger	0	—	0	1	-1/2	1/2	0	0

Table 1: Eigenvalues for t- and b-quark operators, and their combinations for the neutral-vector W_z^3 , and neutral-scalar H components, defined respectively in Eqs. 34 and 37. The operators are: the electric charge $Q = I^3 + \frac{1}{2}Y$, the momentum direction for the massless fermion basis \hat{k} , the baryon number B , hypercharge Y , weak isospin component I^3 , weak isospin square \bar{I}^2 (I_s within $I_s(I_s + 1)$), spin component along \hat{z} S_z , and total spin \bar{S}^2 (S_s within $S_s(S_s + 1)$).

On Table 1 we describe these fermions written in terms of right-handed (R) and left-handed components (L), with their quantum numbers whose operators are presented next. The relevant SM bosons and conserved quantities are bilinear components that can be written in this basis; we assume they are formulated after the Higgs mechanism. One-body operators are constructed from matrix elements in a generic basis $|i\rangle$, and associated operators a_i , as $Op \rightarrow \sum_{ij} \langle i|Op|j\rangle a_i^\dagger a_j$. We write the operators that define these states and also the SM vertices; some of these operators constitute symmetry generators obtained from conserved charges, and we list relevant ones:

For the scalar isospin and hypercharge, the same separation can be made, where the sequence in Eq. 13 contains the adjoint-representation fields W_μ^i , and the field B_μ . Starting with the latter's associated hypercharge operator, we include a flavor

space for the t, b quark pair:

$$Y_o = \frac{4}{3}P_{t_R} - \frac{2}{3}P_{b_R} + \frac{1}{3}(P_{t_L} + P_{b_L}), \quad (27)$$

where, e.g, $P_{t_L} = \sum_i |t_L i\rangle \langle t_L i|$ a neutral component that sums over the spin elements generated by the γ_μ in Eq. 13. In its second quantized form,

$$Y = \sum_i \left[\frac{4}{3} t_{Ri}^\dagger t_{Ri} - \frac{2}{3} b_{Ri}^\dagger b_{Ri} + \frac{1}{3} (t_{Li}^\dagger t_{Li} + b_{Li}^\dagger b_{Li}) \right]. \quad (28)$$

Other operators are given in such a form. The $SU(2)_L$ generators I^i :

$$I^3 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i (t_{Li}^\dagger t_{Li} - b_{Li}^\dagger b_{Li}), \quad (29)$$

$$I^+ = I^1 + iI^2 = \sum_i b_{Li} t_{Li}^\dagger, \quad (30)$$

$$I^- = I^1 - iI^2 = \sum_i t_{Li} b_{Li}^\dagger, \quad (31)$$

satisfying $[I^3, I^+] = I^+$, $[I^3, I^-] = -I^-$, $[I^+, I^-] = 2I^3$, $[Y, I^{\pm,3}] = 0$; the baryon number

$$B = \frac{1}{3} \sum_i (t_{Ri}^\dagger t_{Ri} + b_{Ri}^\dagger b_{Ri} + t_{Li}^\dagger t_{Li} + b_{Li}^\dagger b_{Li}), \quad (32)$$

and spin component along \hat{z}

$$S_z = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{qQ} (q_{Q\uparrow}^\dagger q_{Q\uparrow} - q_{Q\downarrow}^\dagger q_{Q\downarrow}), \quad (33)$$

satisfying $[S_z, B] = 0$, $[S_z, Y] = 0$, $[B, Y] = 0$, $[S_z, I^{\pm,3}] = 0$, $[Y, I^{\pm,3}] = 0$, $[B, I^{\pm,3}] = 0$.

Composite states may also be constructed that generalize Cooper pairs in superconductivity. In particular, bispinor operators describe bosons, except for combinations of the form $q_{iL}^\dagger q_{iR}^\dagger$ or $q_{iL} q_{iR}$, which cannot form a state, as each term in the pair requires the same creation operator, so that the non-vanishing operator acting on $|0\rangle$ is squared, based on the massive-state normalization of $q_i^\dagger|0\rangle$, $\bar{q}_i^\dagger|0\rangle$.

Similarly, the neutral vector

$$W_z^3 = t_{L\uparrow}^\dagger t_{L\uparrow} - t_{L\downarrow}^\dagger t_{L\downarrow} - b_{L\uparrow}^\dagger b_{L\uparrow} + b_{L\downarrow}^\dagger b_{L\downarrow} \quad (34)$$

has quantum numbers as in Table 1. W_z^3 is derived from terms of the form $\tau^3 W_\mu^3(x)$ in Eq. 13, shares with I^3 weak isospin quantum numbers, and has also spin 1 under the Lorentz group. Regarding the freedom in defining boson operators as I^i and W_z^3 , we note we choose them so as to reproduce the fermion coupling, and in particular, the chirality property. Other components can be constructed, e. g., by applying step operators; these are presented in the Appendix.

The Higgs field is constructed so that gauge invariance is satisfied, with quantum numbers common for the t and b field components:

$$H_{ot} = \sum_i |t_R i\rangle \langle t_L i| \quad (35)$$

with isospin-hypercharge $i^3 = -1/2$, $y = 1$, also manifest in Eq. 11. A similarity transformation can be used to obtain the component:

$$H_{ob} = \sum_i |b_R i\rangle \langle b_L i|, \quad (36)$$

with $i^3 = 1/2$, $y = -1$.

The SM assumes conventionally a classical procedure for the vev; it assumes action on fields is through a multiplicative constant. Here we extend such an approach in that relevant degrees of freedom are considered in the expectation value, departing from the same field arrangement. The main purpose of this calculation is to write SM vertices in this basis, and to relate the vector-boson masses in the scalar-vector (SV) vertex, and fermion masses in the scalar-fermion (SF) Yukawa term. Vector operators are constructed so as to match vertices, fermion chirality and coupling.

Thus, the electroweak vertex operator in Eq. 13 that defines the mass-component

Hamiltonian squared contains the scalar

$$H = \frac{v}{2}[\chi_t(t_{R\uparrow}^\dagger t_{L\uparrow} + t_{R\downarrow}^\dagger t_{L\downarrow}) + \chi_b(b_{L\uparrow}^\dagger b_{R\uparrow} + b_{L\downarrow}^\dagger b_{R\downarrow})] \quad (37)$$

$$= \frac{v}{2}(\chi_t H_t + \chi_b H_b^\dagger), \quad (38)$$

where χ_t, χ_b are parameters, and

$$H_t = t_{R\uparrow}^\dagger t_{L\uparrow} + t_{R\downarrow}^\dagger t_{L\downarrow} \quad (39)$$

$$H_b = b_{R\uparrow}^\dagger b_{L\uparrow} + b_{R\downarrow}^\dagger b_{L\downarrow}, \quad (40)$$

are associated to H_{ot}, H_{ob} in Eqs. 35, 36, respectively. The freedom choice of χ_t, χ_b reflects an extended parameter space in the scalar-vector term implying a symmetry is present, akin to custodial symmetry[2].

V Particle Masses

Using the second-quantized fermion basis, we calculate the SM-vector masses. From Eqs. 25, 26, we find the conditions

$$\langle 0|; H_q H_q^\dagger; |0\rangle = 2 \quad (41)$$

(see Appendix), where we define the antinormal ordering as the operation that anti-commutes creation operators to the right, subtracting the vev component:

$$; a^\dagger b; = -b a^\dagger \quad ; ab^\dagger; = ab^\dagger \quad (42)$$

matching the classical normalization in Ref. [2].

V.1 W^\pm mass

We use the spin-1 quantized component (see appendix)²: $W_1^+ = \frac{1}{2}[W_x^1 + iW_y^1 + i(W_x^2 + iW_y^2)] = 2t_{L\uparrow}^\dagger b_{L\downarrow}$, which reproduces $\tau^+ \sigma^+$, $\tau^+ = \tau^1 + i\tau^2$, $\sigma^+ = \sigma^1 + i\sigma^2$, and

²The same calculation can be done for the opposite polarization $W_{-1}^+ = \frac{1}{2}[W_x^1 - iW_y^1 + i(W_x^2 - iW_y^2)]$.

σ represent the spin-associated Pauli matrices. $[H, W_1^+] = 2(\chi_t H_t^1 - \chi_b H_b^{1\dagger})$, where $H_t^1 = t_{R\uparrow}^\dagger b_{L\downarrow}$, $H_b^{1\dagger} = t_{L\uparrow}^\dagger b_{R\downarrow}$ leading to (see Appendix)

$$\langle 0|; [vH, \frac{1}{2}gW_1^+]^\dagger [vH, \frac{1}{2}gW_1^+]; |0\rangle = (|\chi_t|^2 + |\chi_b|^2 + \chi_t^* \chi_b + \chi_t \chi_b^*) \frac{1}{4} g^2 v^2. \quad (43)$$

Coincidence with the classical result for the W mass in Eq. 7 requires

$$|\chi_t|^2 + |\chi_b|^2 + \chi_t^* \chi_b + \chi_t \chi_b^* = 1. \quad (44)$$

Assuming χ_t real, χ_b and imaginary, the cross term is eliminated, implying the restriction in coincidence with the result with a spin basis[2].

$$|\chi_t|^2 + |\chi_b|^2 = 1. \quad (45)$$

V.2 Z mass

The neutral second-quantization operator in Eqs. 28, 29 have H as eigenoperator:

$[g^2 I^3 - \frac{1}{2}g'^2 Y, H] = -\frac{1}{2}(g^2 + g'^2)H$ so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(g^2 + g'^2)} \langle 0|; [g^2 I^3 - \frac{1}{2}g'^2 Y, vH]^\dagger [g^2 I^3 - \frac{1}{2}g'^2 Y, vH]; |0\rangle = \\ (|\chi_t|^2 + |\chi_b|^2 + \chi_t^* \chi_b + \chi_t \chi_b^*) (g^2 + g'^2) v^2 / 8, \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

with SM consistency requiring condition in Eq. 44.

Eq. 46 is consistent with the expectation value of a normalized configuration composed of a combination of fields with the coupling constant interpreted as normalization[31, 32]; thus, $\frac{1}{2}gI^3|0\rangle$ is the state associated to W_0^3 with normalization $\langle 0|\frac{1}{4}I^3 I^3|0\rangle = N$, $g = 2/\sqrt{N}$, where orthogonality eliminates the cross terms.

In comparison, H_{oq} in Eqs. 35, 36, has normalized expressions[2] $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}H_{oq}$, $\frac{1}{2}(H_{oq} + H_{oq}^\dagger)$, while H_q , in Eqs. 39, 40 are normalized as $\sqrt{2}H_q$, $H_q + H_q^\dagger$.

Thus, the Higgs component defines also the mass operator

$$H_m = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}[\chi_t(t_{R\uparrow}^\dagger t_{L\uparrow} + t_{R\downarrow}^\dagger t_{L\downarrow}) + \chi_b(b_{L\uparrow}^\dagger b_{R\uparrow} + b_{L\downarrow}^\dagger b_{R\downarrow})], \quad (47)$$

with the normalization set by the property³

$$\langle 0 | \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} H_q | 0 \rangle = -\frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}. \quad (48)$$

H_m reproduces the mass relation: $\langle 0 | [H_m, \frac{g}{2}(W_1^+ + W_1^-)]^\dagger [H_m, \frac{g}{2}(W_1^+ + W_1^-)]; |0\rangle = (|\chi_t|^2 + |\chi_b|^2 + \chi_t^* \chi_b + \chi_t \chi_b^*) \frac{1}{4} g^2 v^2$ (see Appendix).

V.3 Top, bottom quark masses

In turn, H_m is the resulting Yukawa operator that gives mass to fermions

$$: H_m + H_m^\dagger := \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_i [\chi_t(t_i^\dagger t_i + \bar{t}_i^\dagger \bar{t}_i) + \chi_b(b_i^\dagger b_i + \bar{b}_i^\dagger \bar{b}_i)]. \quad (49)$$

H_m reproduces the mass relations

$$[H_m + H_m^\dagger, t_i^\dagger] = \frac{v\chi_t}{\sqrt{2}} t_i^\dagger = m_t t_i^\dagger, \quad (50)$$

$$[H_m + H_m^\dagger, b_i^\dagger] = \frac{v\chi_b}{\sqrt{2}} b_i^\dagger = m_b b_i^\dagger, \quad (51)$$

(and corresponding rules for annihilation operators,) where χ_t, χ_b are interpreted as the t, b Yukawa coefficients.

We shall use the demand of coincidence with standard model to limit $\chi_{t,b}$. Eqs. 50, 51 are compared to the mass-giving for a vector in Eq. 44. Given the assumption of the same underlying operator, we associate generic scalars in Eqs. 37, 47, implying for the fermion masses

$$m_t^2 + m_b^2 = v^2/2, \quad (52)$$

which reproduces the relation in Ref. [2], where the quantum extension encompasses a phase parameter from Eq. 44.

³The phase can be fixed by redefining H_q .

V.4 Composite-scalar vacuum expectation value

The Higgs component H_m in Eq. 47 obtains the vev, using Eq. 48,

$$\langle 0|H_m|0\rangle = -\frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}(\chi_t + \chi_b), \quad (53)$$

assuming for the Yukawa coefficients the assumed polar form $|\chi_t|e^{i\theta}$ and $|\chi_b|$, with θ the relative phase. The demand that $\langle 0|H_m|0\rangle = -\frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}$ leads to

$$\chi_t + e^{i\theta}\chi_b = 1. \quad (54)$$

This implies the quantized contribution

$$\chi = \sqrt{|\chi_b|^2 + |\chi_t|^2 + |\chi_b\chi_t|(e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta})}, \quad (55)$$

satisfies the normalization in Eq. 44; in other words, the classical W, Z masses are consistent with a quantum mechanically constrained vev.

From the classical normalization condition[2],

$$|\chi_t| = \sqrt{1 - |\chi_b|^2} \quad (56)$$

is substituted into χ in Eq. 55, and the latter is plotted in Fig. 1. Interestingly, the correct χ near 1 values constrain $|\chi_b|$ to small values, more likely in the denser θ region, as it approaches $\pi/2$ (a similar condition is obtained for $\pi/2 \leq \theta \leq \pi$), predicting the m_t, m_b mass hierarchy; thus, $|\chi_b| \ll 1$, (or, less likely, $|\chi_b| \sim 1$), so Eq. 44 reproduces the $m_t \sim v/\sqrt{2}$ mass prediction.

VI Conclusions

This paper deals with the low-energy regime in which the scalar Higgs field acquires a vev, generating mass upon itself and the other fields. Boson fields are written in a composite description, with a chiral fundamental-representation fermion basis




Figure 1: χ component in Eq. 55, compared with expected value 1, as obtained in M_Z , M_W , Eqs. 43, 46, constrained by Eq. 54, as function of θ phase and χ_t , favoring, e. g., $0 \leq |\chi_b| \ll 1$, and so $0 \ll |\chi_t| \leq 1$.

producing vectors in the adjoint one, and reproducing the SM⁴. Their associated SM quantum numbers support such connections.

Effectively, we focus on the scalar-vector and scalar-fermion vertex mass components, providing the vector and fermion masses. Within such vertices, the field's spatial component is factored out, so we concentrate on discrete spin-isospin degrees of freedom, relevant in mass generation. A common mass-giving scalar element appears in each vertex that identifies the scalar field in the two expressions. The second-quantized SM W and Z masses in Eqs. 43, 46, respectively, are reproduced under the normalization condition 44, which restricts quark masses, with Eq. 52 as particular case, from shared quantum numbers of scalar generators with χ_b , χ_t parameters; under a hierarchy condition, $m_t \sim v/\sqrt{2}$ is reproduced. The vev normalization condition in Eq. 48 implies Eq. 44; demanding the classical normalization in Eq. 56, one derives general hierarchy condition for m_t and m_b , as shown in Fig. 1. Based on current values of $m_t = 172 - 176$ GeV, extracted kinetically or through pole

⁴By construction, the Higgs particle remains in the weak doublet representation.

methods[22], this relation is satisfied with a .6% accuracy.

In comparison, Ref. [2] constructs an equivalent spin basis, departing from a SM extension, within a classical description; it also digresses on applying the same scheme to the lower-mass quarks, although they are irrelevant at v scales. Consistency with the W, Z masses imposes a normalization for Ref. [2] for the arbitrary Yukawa parameters χ_b, χ_t with the freedom of choice within a symmetry.

Ref. [2] applies a Clifford algebra to describe fermions and boson degrees of freedom within a SM Lagrangian operator and state representation; here, discrete degrees of freedom are separated from such an algebra, and the vev emerges from quantized operators, which supports and complements the Ref. [2] framework.

The fields' fermion expression encompasses two interpretations: a formal one, as a basis reflecting the SM's composite structure or as a physical one, suggesting common dynamics, akin as pair behavior in superconductivity theories[6]. The operators can be also interpreted as a model on its own.

The usual SM description expands classically the scalar around the vev at low energy, extracting the fields' mass. We consider quantum aspects, concentrating on the spin, isospin operators involved, with new information obtained: a mass relation, with a phase connection.

Thus, this work's quantum approach can enrich SM extensions that connect the t- b-quarks with a scalar Bose-Einstein condensate. Quantization applications provide SM information, as this and other work[23] use compositeness, complementing other methods: through monopoles[24], gravity[25], anomaly cancellation and supergravity[26], gauge invariance[27], and spin[28, 29, 30, 31, 32]. Further links and connections in phenomenological constants within the SM will enhance these beyond-the-SM frameworks.

In conclusion, independently of whether compositeness is formal or physical, the mathematical second-quantized fermion-basis fields' presentation expresses SM prop-

erties, as heavy-particle degrees of freedom can be described in simple elements.

Appendix

γ_5 operator

This pseudoscalar operator is relevant, as the electroweak interactions are chiral. It is written in second-quantized form, in terms of massive fermionic operators (using one mode):

$$\hat{\gamma}_5 = \sum_q (q_{\uparrow}^{\dagger} \bar{q}_{\downarrow}^{\dagger} + \bar{q}_{\downarrow} q_{\uparrow} + q_{\downarrow}^{\dagger} \bar{q}_{\uparrow}^{\dagger} + \bar{q}_{\uparrow} q_{\downarrow}), \quad (\text{A1})$$

with four eigenvalues as

$$q_{R\uparrow}^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(q_{\uparrow}^{\dagger} + \bar{q}_{\downarrow}) \quad (\text{A2})$$

$$q_{L\uparrow}^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(q_{\uparrow}^{\dagger} - \bar{q}_{\downarrow}), \quad (\text{A3})$$

obtained by inverting Eqs. 25, 26, and satisfying canonical anticommutation relations.

In terms of these chiral operators,

$$\hat{\gamma}_5 = \sum_i (q_{Ri}^{\dagger} q_{Ri} - q_{Li}^{\dagger} q_{Li}). \quad (\text{A4})$$

VeVs are obtained for

$$H_i = q_{Ri}^{\dagger} q_{Li}, \quad (\text{A5})$$

written in terms of such chiral fermion operators:

$$\langle H_{\uparrow} + H_{\uparrow}^{\dagger} + H_{\downarrow} + H_{\downarrow}^{\dagger} \rangle = \langle q_{\uparrow}^{\dagger} q_{\uparrow} - \bar{q}_{\downarrow} \bar{q}_{\downarrow}^{\dagger} + q_{\downarrow}^{\dagger} q_{\downarrow} - \bar{q}_{\uparrow} \bar{q}_{\uparrow}^{\dagger} \rangle \quad (\text{A6})$$

$$= \langle q_{\uparrow}^{\dagger} q_{\uparrow} + \bar{q}_{\downarrow}^{\dagger} \bar{q}_{\downarrow} + q_{\downarrow}^{\dagger} q_{\downarrow} + \bar{q}_{\uparrow}^{\dagger} \bar{q}_{\uparrow} - 2 \rangle, \quad (\text{A7})$$

implying Eq. 48. To calculate $\langle H^{\dagger} H \rangle$, H in Eq. 37, its components satisfy, e. g.,

$$\langle ; (q_{L\uparrow}^{\dagger} q_{R\uparrow})^{\dagger} q_{L\uparrow}^{\dagger} q_{R\uparrow} ; \rangle = \langle ; q_{R\uparrow}^{\dagger} q_{L\uparrow} q_{L\uparrow}^{\dagger} q_{R\uparrow} ; \rangle = \langle \bar{q}_{\downarrow} q_{\uparrow} q_{\uparrow}^{\dagger} \bar{q}_{\downarrow}^{\dagger} \rangle = 1, \quad (\text{A8})$$

while for cross terms

$$\langle ; (q_{R\uparrow}^\dagger q_{L\uparrow})^\dagger q_{L\downarrow}^\dagger q_{R\downarrow} ; \rangle = \langle ; q_{L\uparrow}^\dagger q_{R\uparrow} q_{L\downarrow}^\dagger q_{R\downarrow} ; \rangle = 0, \quad (\text{A9})$$

which lead to Eq. 41.

We provide the second quantized W_μ^i (labeled by $\hat{\tau}^i$):

$$W_0^3 = \hat{\tau}^3 = \sum_i (t_{Li}^\dagger t_{Li} - b_{Li}^\dagger b_{Li}), \quad (\text{A10})$$

$$W_0^+ = \hat{\tau}^+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{\tau}^1 + i\hat{\tau}^2) = \sqrt{2} \sum_i b_{Li} t_{Li}^\dagger, \quad (\text{A11})$$

$$W_0^- = \hat{\tau}^- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{\tau}^1 - i\hat{\tau}^2) = \sqrt{2} \sum_i t_{Li} b_{Li}^\dagger, \quad (\text{A12})$$

$$W_x^3 = t_{L\uparrow}^\dagger t_{L\downarrow} + t_{L\downarrow}^\dagger t_{L\uparrow} - b_{L\uparrow}^\dagger b_{L\downarrow} - b_{L\downarrow}^\dagger b_{L\uparrow}, \quad (\text{A13})$$

$$W_x^+ = \sqrt{2}(t_{L\uparrow}^\dagger b_{L\downarrow} + t_{L\downarrow}^\dagger b_{L\uparrow}) \quad (\text{A14})$$

$$W_x^- = \sqrt{2}(b_{L\uparrow}^\dagger t_{L\downarrow} + b_{L\downarrow}^\dagger t_{L\uparrow}), \quad (\text{A15})$$

$$W_y^3 = -i(t_{L\downarrow} t_{L\uparrow}^\dagger - t_{L\uparrow} t_{L\downarrow}^\dagger - b_{L\downarrow} b_{L\uparrow}^\dagger + b_{L\uparrow} b_{L\downarrow}^\dagger), \quad (\text{A16})$$

$$W_y^+ = -i\sqrt{2}(t_{L\uparrow}^\dagger b_{L\downarrow} - t_{L\downarrow}^\dagger b_{L\uparrow}), \quad (\text{A17})$$

$$W_y^- = -i\sqrt{2}(b_{L\uparrow}^\dagger t_{L\downarrow} - b_{L\downarrow}^\dagger t_{L\uparrow}), \quad (\text{A18})$$

$$W_z^3 = t_{L\uparrow}^\dagger t_{L\uparrow} - t_{L\downarrow}^\dagger t_{L\downarrow} - b_{L\uparrow}^\dagger b_{L\uparrow} + b_{L\downarrow}^\dagger b_{L\downarrow}, \quad (\text{A19})$$

$$W_z^+ = \sqrt{2}(t_{L\uparrow}^\dagger b_{L\uparrow} - t_{L\downarrow}^\dagger b_{L\downarrow}), \quad (\text{A20})$$

$$W_z^- = \sqrt{2}(b_{L\uparrow}^\dagger t_{L\uparrow} - b_{L\downarrow}^\dagger t_{L\downarrow}) \quad (\text{A21})$$

The W -mass calculation uses $W_1^+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(W_x^+ + iW_y^+) = 2t_{L\uparrow}^\dagger b_{L\downarrow}$.

The expectation value with H uses: $[H, W_1^+] = 2(\chi_t H_t^1 - \chi_b H_b^{1\dagger})$, with $H_t^1 = t_{R\uparrow}^\dagger b_{L\downarrow}$, $H_b^{1\dagger} = t_{L\uparrow}^\dagger b_{R\downarrow}$. For direct terms, e. g.,

$$\langle; (t_{L\uparrow}^\dagger b_{R\downarrow})^\dagger t_{L\uparrow}^\dagger b_{R\downarrow}; \rangle = \langle; b_{R\downarrow}^\dagger t_{L\uparrow} q_{L\uparrow}^\dagger q_{R\uparrow}; \rangle = 0, \quad (\text{A22})$$

while for cross terms,

$$\langle; (b_{R\downarrow}^\dagger t_{L\uparrow})^\dagger t_{R\uparrow}^\dagger b_{L\downarrow}; \rangle = 1, \quad (\text{A23})$$

which lead to Eq. 43.

References

- [1] S. L. Glashow, *Partial-symmetries of weak interactions*; Nucl. Phys. **22** 579-588 (1961); S. Weinberg, *A Model of Leptons*; Phys. Rev. Lett. **19** 1264-1266 (1967); A. Salam, *Weak and Electromagnetic Interactions*; in *Elementary Particle Theory*, W. Svartholm (Ed.), Almquist and Wiskell, Stockholm, 367-377, 1968.
- [2] J. Besprosvany and R. Romero, *Heavy quarks within the electroweak multiplet*, Phys. Rev. D **99**, 073001 (2019).
- [3] H. Georgi and S. Glashow, *Unity of All Elementary-Particle Forces*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **32** 438-441 (1974).
- [4] M. Gell-Mann and Y. Ne'eman, *The Eightfold Way*, Benjamin, New York, 1964.
- [5] L. N. Cooper, *Bound electron pairs in a degenerate Fermi gas*, Phys. Rev. **104** 1189-1190 (1956).
- [6] J. Bardeen, L. N. Cooper and J. R. Schrieffer, Phys. Rev. **108** 1175-1204 (1957).
- [7] Y. Nambu and G. Jona-Lasinio, *Dynamical Model of Elementary Particles Based on an Analogy with Superconductivity I*, Phys. Rev. **122** 345-358 (1961).
- [8] V.A Miransky, M. Tanabashi, Masaharu, K. Yamawaki *Dynamical electroweak symmetry breaking with large anomalous dimension and t quark condensate* Physics Letters B. 221, (1989). doi:10.1016/0370-2693(89)91494-9
- [9] Bardeen, W. A., C. T. Hill, and M. Lindner, Phys. Rev. D **41** 1647 (1990).
- [10] V.A Miransky, M. Tanabashi, Masaharu, K. Yamawaki *Is the t Quark Responsible for the Mass of W and Z Bosons?*. Modern Physics Letters A. 04 (11). 1043-1053 (1989). doi:10.1142/s0217732389001210.
- [11] D. E. Clague and G. G. Ross, *Dynamical symmetry breaking by a top quark condensate in the standard model*, Nucl. Phys. B **364** 43-66 (1991).
- [12] R. S. Chivukula, M. Golden, and E. H. Simmons, *Critical constraints on chiral hierarchies*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70** 1587 (1993).
- [13] Julius Wess and Jonathan Bagger, *Supersymmetry and Supergravity*, Princeton University Press, 1992.
- [14] S. Coleman and J. Mandula, *All Possible Symmetries of the S Matrix*, Phys. Rev. **159** 1251 (1967).

- [15] H. Steger, E. Flores, and Y.-P. Yao, *Nonlinear Realization of Heavy Fermions and Effective Lagrangean*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59** 359 (1987).
- [16] G. Ecker, *The standard model at low energies*, Czech. J. Phys. **44** 405 1995.
- [17] B. Pendleton, and G. G. Ross, Phys. Lett. B **98**, 291 (1981).
- [18] C. T. Hill, Phys. Rev. D **24**, 691 (1981).
- [19] F. Englert and R. Brout, Phys. Rev. Lett. **13**, 321 (1964); P.W. Higgs, Phys. Lett. **12** 132 (1964).
- [20] J. Fröhlich, G. Morchio, and Strocchi, *Higgs phenomenon without a symmetry breaking order parameter*, Phys. Lett. B **97** 249 (1980).
- [21] G. Cvetič, *Top-quark condensation*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **71** (1999).
- [22] S. Navas et al. (*Particle Data Group*), Phys. Rev. D **110** 030001 (2024).
- [23] J. M. Schwindt and C. Wetterich, *Asymptotically free four-fermion interactions and electroweak symmetry breaking*, Phys. Rev. D **81** 055005 (2010).
- [24] J. Ellis, P.Q. Hung, and N. Mavromatos, *An Electroweak Monopole, Dirac Quantization and the Weak Mixing Angle*, Nucl. Phys. B **969** 115468 (2021).
- [25] T. Radenković and M. Vojinović, *Higher gauge theories based on 3-groups*, Jour. High Ener. Phys. **2019** 222 (2019).
- [26] W. Yin, *Charge quantization and neutrino mass from Planck-scale SUSY*, Phys. Lett. B, **785** 585-590 (2018).
- [27] O. Di Stefano et al., *Resolution of Gauge Ambiguities in Ultrastrong-Coupling Cavity QED*, Nat. Phys. **15**, 803–808 (2019).
- [28] N. S. Mankoc Borstnik and H. B. Nielsen, *How does Clifford algebra show the way to the second quantized fermions with unified spins, charges and families, and with vector and scalar gauge fields beyond the standard model*, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **121** 103890 (2021).
- [29] J. Besprosvany, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **39** (2000) 2797-2836; J. Besprosvany, Nuc. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) **101**, 323-329 (2001); J. Besprosvany and R. Romero, in: AIP Conf. Proc. **1323**, L. Bennet, P. O. Hess, J. M. Torres, K. B. Wolf (Eds.), American Institute of Physics, Melville, New York, 16-27, 2010; J. Besprosvany and R. Romero, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **29** (2014) 1450144-17.

- [30] J. Besprosvany, *ELECTROWEAKLY INTERACTING SCALAR AND GAUGE BOSONS, AND LEPTONS, FROM FIELD EQUATIONS ON SPIN (5+1)-DIMENSIONAL SPACE*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **20** 77-93 (2005).
- [31] J. Besprosvany, *Standard-model coupling constants from compositeness*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **18**, 1877-1885 (2003).
- [32] J. Besprosvany, *Standard-model particles and interactions from field equations on spin 9+1 dimensional space*, Phys. Lett. B **578** 181-186 (2004).

Acknowledgements The authors thank Dr. Jose Wudka for discussions, and support from DGAPA-UNAM through projects IN112916, IN117020, IN112822.