

KHOVANOV HOMOLOGY AND LAGRANGIAN COBORDISMS

GAGE MARTIN, INA PETKOVA, AND ZACHARY WINKELER

ABSTRACT. We provide a partial answer to a question of Ekholm, Honda, and Kálmán about the relationship between Khovanov homology and decomposable Lagrangian cobordisms. We also utilize previously defined filtered invariants to give obstructions to decomposable Lagrangian cobordisms from Khovanov homology.

1. INTRODUCTION

Some of the central questions in low-dimensional contact topology concern the classification of Legendrian, as well as transverse, links, and the study of various types of cobordisms between such links. In the simplest case, one studies Legendrian and transverse links in \mathbb{R}^3 with the standard contact structure

$$\xi_{\text{std}} = \ker(\alpha_{\text{std}}), \quad \alpha_{\text{std}} = dz - y \, dx,$$

and Lagrangian cobordisms (between Legendrian links) in the symplectization

$$(\mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}^3, d(e^t \alpha_{\text{std}})).$$

In [Pla05], Plamenevskaya introduced an invariant of transverse links coming from Khovanov homology. Given a transverse link K , this invariant $\psi(K)$ is an element in the Khovanov homology $\text{Kh}(K)$. Soon after, Ng observed that Khovanov homology can be used to study Legendrian links too, namely, to obtain an upper bound for the maximal Thurston-Bennequin number of a link [Ng05]. This bound

$$C = \min\{k \mid \bigoplus_{i-j=k} \text{Kh}^{i,j}(K) \neq 0\}$$

can be visually described via the corresponding line $i - j = C$ in the ji -plane, which we will refer to as “Ng’s line”¹. Broader connections of Khovanov homology to Legendrian knot theory remain elusive, and past results about Lagrangian cobordisms have had to rely on other tools, such as tools from symplectic field theory [Cha15, ST13, CDGG15, CNS16, Pan17] or Floer homology [BS18, BS21, GJ19, BLW22, JPS⁺25].

Given two Legendrian links Λ_-, Λ_+ in $(\mathbb{R}^3, \xi_{\text{std}})$, Ekholm, Honda, and Kálmán observed that an exact Lagrangian cobordism L from Λ_- to Λ_+ ² induces a map (defined up to ± 1)

$$F_{mL}: \text{Kh}(m(\Lambda_-)) \rightarrow \text{Kh}(m(\Lambda_+)),$$

simply by considering the (mirror of the) same cobordism in the smooth category and using functoriality of Khovanov homology. A natural question they then asked is the following:

¹In his paper Ng used i to denote the quantum grading and j to denote the homological grading, which is the reverse of contemporary conventions; we follow Ng’s convention in this paper.

²Note that Ekholm, Honda, and Kálmán call this “an exact Lagrangian cobordism L from Λ_+ to Λ_- ”.

Question 1.1 (cf [EHK16, Question 9.7]). *Given a Legendrian link Λ , what is the relationship among the set of elements $\mathcal{L}_\Lambda := \{F_{mL}(\pm 1)\} \subset \text{Kh}(m(\Lambda))$ obtained by considering all exact Lagrangian fillings L of Λ , Plamenevskaya’s invariant, and Ng’s line? Similarly, what about the set \mathcal{L}'_Λ where one considers only decomposable Lagrangian fillings?*

At the time of Ekholm, Honda, and Kálmán’s paper, given a link bounding a surface, considering surface maps from the ground ring to the Khovanov homology of the link seemed natural; see also [SS22]. However, more recent developments have shown the power of the dual perspective – considering surface maps from the Khovanov homology of a link to the ground ring [HS24]. From this dual perspective, we would instead be asking what elements of $\text{Kh}(\Lambda)$ are sent to ± 1 by the map F_L for a Lagrangian filling L . The maps in both perspectives are simply the smooth cobordism maps established in [Jac04]; the difference is whether one treats a surface in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, 1]$ as a bottom-to-top (as in [EHK16] and [SS22]) or a top-to-bottom (as in [HS24]) cobordism.

In this article, we provide a partial answer to Question 1.1 in the case of decomposable Lagrangian fillings.

Our strategy involves passing to the category of transverse links and showing that decomposable Lagrangian cobordisms can be deformed to a certain type of “transverse” cobordisms between transverse links; this creates the opportunity to utilize a large amount of previous work on Khovanov homology. This previous work lets us completely understand the cobordism maps on Khovanov homology induced by decomposable Lagrangian cobordisms:

Theorem 1.1. *Let Λ_- and Λ_+ be two Legendrian links with positive transverse pushoffs K_- and K_+ , and suppose there is a decomposable Lagrangian cobordism L from Λ_- to Λ_+ . Then the induced cobordism map $F_L : \text{Kh}(\Lambda_+) \rightarrow \text{Kh}(\Lambda_-)$ sends $\psi(K_+)$ to $\pm\psi(K_-)$.*

Specializing to the case where Λ_- is empty, and identifying $\text{Kh}(\emptyset) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, we obtain the following:

Corollary 1.2. *Let $\Lambda \subset (\mathbb{R}^3, \xi_{\text{std}})$ be a Legendrian link with a decomposable Lagrangian filling L , and let K be the positive transverse pushoff for Λ . We have*

$$F_L(\psi(K)) = \pm 1.$$

Thinking of fillings as bottom-to-top cobordisms instead, we obtain the following:

Theorem 1.3. *If Λ admits a decomposable Lagrangian filling, then the set \mathcal{L}_Λ is supported in bidegree $(-tb(\Lambda), 0)$, and $(-tb(\Lambda), 0)$ lies on Ng’s line for Λ .*

As an immediate consequence, we obtain a purely topological obstruction to decomposable Lagrangian fillings:

Corollary 1.4. *If Λ is a Legendrian knot of topological knot type K that admits a decomposable Lagrangian filling, then*

$$\overline{tb}(K) = \min\{k \mid \bigoplus_{i-j=k} \text{Kh}^{i,j}(K) \neq 0\}.$$

The behavior of the cobordism map asserted in Theorem 1.1 immediately implies that Plamenevskaya’s invariant obstructs the existence of decomposable Lagrangian cobordisms:

Corollary 1.5. *Let Λ_- and Λ_+ be two Legendrian links with positive transverse pushoffs K_- and K_+ . If $\psi(K_-) \neq 0$ and $\psi(K_+) = 0$, then there is no decomposable Lagrangian cobordism from Λ_- to Λ_+ .*

Given the relative computability of Khovanov homology, [Corollary 1.5](#) might initially spark hope in a fast tool for obstructing decomposable Lagrangian cobordisms. Unfortunately, this obstruction can only provide useful information when ψ is not determined solely by smooth invariants and the classical transverse invariant, the self-linking number:

Theorem 1.6. *Let Λ_- and Λ_+ be two Legendrian links, and suppose that the positive transverse pushoff K_- of Λ_- is in the collection of transverse knots K where the conditions “ $\psi(K) \neq 0$ ” and “ $s(K) = \text{sl}(K) + 1$ ” are both true or both false. Then [Corollary 1.5](#) will not provide a stronger obstruction to the existence of a decomposable Lagrangian cobordism from Λ_- to Λ_+ than the combination of the classical Legendrian invariants and the s -invariant.*

The question of whether there is a transverse knot K with $s(K) > \text{sl}(K) + 1$ and $\psi(K) \neq 0$ has been a long-standing question at the intersection of Khovanov homology and contact topology. With the previous theorem in mind, it is only possible to get an interesting obstruction from [Corollary 1.5](#) using such a K .

Lipshitz, Ng, and Sarkar [[LNS15](#)] introduced a generalization of the Plamenevskaya invariant $\psi(K)$ using filtrations on the Khovanov homology of K . Inspired by results from knot Floer homology [[JPS+25](#)] and applying similar algebraic techniques we show:

Theorem 1.7. *The filtered transverse invariants in Khovanov homology are functorial under decomposable Lagrangian cobordisms and provide an obstruction to the existence of such cobordisms.*

A more precise statement of this result appears in [Theorem 3.1](#) and [Corollary 3.2](#).

Acknowledgments. We thank John Baldwin and Kyle Hayden for helpful conversations. We also thank the anonymous referee for helpful comments. GM was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-2202841. IP was partially supported by NSF CAREER Grant DMS-2145090.

2. DECOMPOSABLE LAGRANGIAN COBORDISMS AND PLAMENEVSKAYA’S INVARIANT

The crucial observation needed to understand the interaction between Khovanov homology and decomposable Lagrangian cobordisms is the following:

Proposition 2.1. *Let Λ_- and Λ_+ be two Legendrian links with positive transverse pushoffs K_- and K_+ , and suppose there is a decomposable Lagrangian cobordism L from Λ_- to Λ_+ . Then there is an ascending cobordism with positive critical points T from K_- to K_+ such that L and T are isotopic as smooth cobordisms.*

A non-precise statement of this observation is that any decomposable Lagrangian cobordism between Legendrian links can be deformed to a “transverse” cobordism between transverse links.

Proof. We may reduce to the case of an elementary Lagrangian cobordism, as the theorem follows for a general decomposable Lagrangian cobordism simply by breaking this cobordism into elementary pieces.




FIGURE 1. Left: An elementary Lagrangian cobordism for a 1-handle attachment (a pinch) from Λ_- to Λ_+ . Right: The corresponding ascending cobordism (a band attachment followed by an isotopy) between the positive transverse pushoffs of Λ_- and Λ_+ .

If the elementary cobordism L from Λ_- to Λ_+ is a Legendrian isotopy, then the positive transverse pushoffs K_- and K_+ are transversely isotopic, and this transverse isotopy induces an ascending cobordism with positive critical points (in fact with no critical points).

If L is a birth (i.e. a minimum cobordism), then there is a corresponding cobordism from K_- to K_+ , obtained by simply deforming L near the boundary. This cobordism is an ascending cobordism with a positive critical point.

Finally, if L is a pinch (i.e. a saddle), then consider the pushoffs K_- and K_+ in a neighborhood of the saddle. We can construct the cobordism from K_- to K_+ by attaching a band with a right-handed half-twist to K_- in that neighborhood; see Figure 1. This cobordism is an ascending cobordism with a positive critical point. \square

Having moved from the setting of Legendrians and decomposable Lagrangians to a transverse setting, we are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Hayden–Sundberg showed that Plamenevskaya’s invariant is functorial under ascending cobordisms with positive critical points [HS24, Theorem 1.3]. Combining Proposition 2.1 with [HS24, Theorem 1.3] completes the proof. \square

Corollary 1.2 follows immediately, by observing that the top-to-bottom cobordism map for a birth from the crossingless unknot to the empty set sends Plamenevskaya’s cycle to ± 1 .

Corollary 1.5 follows immediately, too. In other words, ψ obstructs the existence of decomposable Lagrangian cobordisms.

We next discuss the effectiveness of this obstruction. Specifically, we discuss how the effectiveness of ψ compares with the effectiveness of Rasmussen’s s -invariant [Ras04] and the

self-linking number sl combined. Before we prove [Theorem 1.6](#), we recall what is known about the relationship among s , sl , and ψ . For any transverse knot K , Plamenevskaya shows that $\text{sl}(K) \leq s(K) - 1$ [[Pla05](#), Proposition 4]. Further, Baldwin and Plamenevskaya show that if this is an equality, then $\psi(K) \neq 0$ [[BP10](#), Theorem 1.2]. They also show that the converse holds for some knots, but the question of whether there is a knot K with $s(K) > \text{sl}(K) + 1$ and $\psi(K) \neq 0$ is still open.

Let Λ_- and Λ_+ be two Legendrian knots with positive transverse pushoffs K_- and K_+ . Suppose $\psi(K_\pm) \neq 0$ if and only if $s(K_\pm) = \text{sl}(K_\pm) + 1$. Then [Corollary 1.5](#) will not provide a stronger obstruction to the existence of a decomposable Lagrangian cobordism from Λ_- to Λ_+ than a combination of classical Legendrian invariants and the s -invariant.

Proof of [Theorem 1.6](#). Suppose that we wish to obstruct the existence of a decomposable Lagrangian cobordism with Euler characteristic E from Λ_- to Λ_+ . Suppose further that the classical Legendrian invariants tb and r alone do not obstruct the existence of such a cobordism. In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} r(\Lambda_-) &= r(\Lambda_+), \\ tb(\Lambda_-) &= tb(\Lambda_+) + E. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the positive transverse pushoffs K_- and K_+ of Λ_- and Λ_+ . We have

$$\text{sl}(K_+) = tb(\Lambda_+) - r(\Lambda_+) = tb(\Lambda_-) - E - r(\Lambda_-) = \text{sl}(K_-) - E.$$

Now suppose $\psi(K_-) \neq 0$ and $\psi(K_+) = 0$. The fact that $\psi(K_+) = 0$ guarantees that $\text{sl}(K_+) < s(K_+) - 1$, so

$$(2.2) \quad \text{sl}(K_-) - E < s(K_+) - 1.$$

Now, if K_- is a transverse knot where $\psi(K_-) \neq 0$ if and only if $\text{sl}(K_-) = s(K_-) - 1$, then $\psi(K_-) \neq 0$ implies

$$(2.3) \quad \text{sl}(K_-) = s(K_-) - 1,$$

and [Equation 2.2](#) and [Equation 2.3](#) combined imply that

$$s(K_-) - E < s(K_+).$$

This difference between $s(K_-)$ and $s(K_+)$ obstructs the existence of a connected smooth cobordism with Euler characteristic E from K_- to K_+ . Since decomposable Lagrangian cobordisms between knots are automatically connected, the Rasmussen s invariant, in combination with tb and r , already obstructs the existence of a decomposable Lagrangian cobordism from Λ_- to Λ_+ . \square

The third ingredient of Ekholm, Honda, and Kálmán's question involves what we refer to as "Ng's line" of a knot K . Before we prove [Theorem 1.3](#), we recall the definition of this line and reconcile notational conventions from [[Ng05](#)] and [[EHK16](#)].

In [[Ng05](#)], Ng observed an interesting relationship between Khovanov homology and the Thurston-Bennequin number. Specifically, if $\overline{tb}(K)$ denotes the maximal Thurston-Bennequin number over all Legendrian links in the smooth link type of K , then Ng proved that

$$\overline{tb}(K) \leq \min\{k \mid \bigoplus_{i-j=k} \text{Kh}^{i,j}(K) \neq 0\};$$

here, i is the quantum grading and j is the homological grading. Denote the right hand side of Ng's inequality by C . Geometrically, one can think of the equation $i - j = C$ as the highest line of slope one on the ji -plane such that Khovanov homology is supported on and above that line; this is what became colloquially known as “Ng's line for K .”

In [EHK16, Example 9.6], Ekholm, Honda, and Kálmán observed that when Λ is the right-handed trefoil, the elements of $\mathcal{L}_\Lambda := \{F_{mL}(\pm 1)\} \subset \text{Kh}(m(\Lambda))$ are supported on the dual of Ng's line for Λ , under the mirroring duality $\text{Kh}^{i,j}(\Lambda) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \cong \text{Kh}^{-i,-j}(m(\Lambda)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ from [Kho00, Corollary 11]. We caution the reader that this isomorphism is used implicitly throughout [EHK16], and that the grading conventions in [EHK16] are opposite to those in [Ng05]. Specifically, when Ekholm, Honda, and Kálmán discuss the line $j - i = C$ where $C = \max\{k \mid \bigoplus_{j-i=k} \text{Kh}^{i,j}(m(K)) \neq 0\}$ in [EHK16], they use i to denote the homological grading and j to denote the quantum grading. Further, when they refer to this line as “Ng's line”, they mean neither Ng's line for $m(K)$, nor Ng's line for K , but rather the image of Ng's line for K under the plane rotation $(x, y) \mapsto (-x, -y)$.

Returning to the classical invariants, we can see that the observation in [EHK16, Example 9.6] is not a coincidence, but always the case for knots with decomposable Lagrangian fillings.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let L be a decomposable Lagrangian filling for Λ , and let K denote the positive transverse pushoff of Λ .

Since Λ admits an exact Lagrangian filling, we know that $r(\Lambda) = 0$, and so $\text{sl}(K) = \text{tb}(\Lambda) = -\chi(L)$. Thus, the bigrading of $\psi(K)$ is $(-\chi(L), 0)$. From Theorem 1.1, we know that $\psi(K) \neq 0$, so $\psi(K)$ lies on or above Ng's line, i.e. $\text{tb}(\Lambda) = -\chi(L) \geq \min\{i - j \mid \text{Kh}^{i,j}(K) \neq 0\}$. Combining with Ng's inequality, we see that $\text{tb}(\Lambda) \geq \overline{\text{tb}}(K)$, so in fact $\text{tb}(\Lambda) = \overline{\text{tb}}(K) = -\chi(L)$ and thus Ng's line for K is the line $i - j = \overline{\text{tb}}(K)$, and $\psi(K)$ lies on this line.

Now, the map F_{mL} from [Jac04] is homogeneous of bidegree $(\chi(L), 0)$, and we saw that $\chi(L) = -\text{tb}(\Lambda)$, so \mathcal{L}_Λ is a set of non-torsion homogeneous elements in $\text{Kh}^{-\text{tb}(\Lambda), 0}(m(K))$. Thus, the images of these elements under the mirroring duality lie on Ng's line for K . \square

Corollary 1.4 follows immediately, using the above observation that if ψ is nonzero for the positive transverse pushoff of Λ , then Ng's line for K is the line $\overline{\text{tb}}(K) = i - j$.

3. FILTERED INVARIANTS AND DECOMPOSABLE LAGRANGIAN COBORDISMS

In [LNS15], Lipshitz, Ng, and Sarkar introduce a generalization of Plamenevskaya's invariant $\psi(K)$ utilizing Bar-Natan's deformation of Khovanov homology. They note that their construction also works in Lee's deformation $\text{Kh}_{Lee}(K)$ [Lee02], which we will summarize below.

Let $\text{CKh}_{Lee}(K)$ denote the Lee complex associated to a link diagram K , equipped with a homological grading and a filtration by the quantum grading. To each orientation o of K , we can associate a generator $\tilde{\psi}(o) \in \text{CKh}_{Lee}(K)$. Similar to the generator of $\psi(K)$, $\tilde{\psi}(o)$ lives in the resolution in which every crossing is replaced by an oriented smoothing. To each circle C in this oriented smoothing, we associate the sum or difference of the generators v_+ and v_- corresponding to that circle. More precisely, given a circle C , pick a point c on C . Push c to the left of C (relative to the orientation) to get a point c' , then choose an arc from c' to the point at infinity in the exterior of the diagram. If this arc crosses the circles in the oriented

smoothing an even number of times, then we associate to C the sum $v_- + v_+$. Otherwise, we associate to C the difference $v_- - v_+$. The generator $\tilde{\psi}(o)$ is then the tensor product of the factors associated to each circle C .

The filtered Plamenevskaya invariant $\tilde{\psi}(K)$ of a transverse link K is defined to be the generator $\tilde{\psi}(o)$ corresponding to the positive orientation o of K , up to filtered homotopy equivalence. Lipshitz, Ng, and Sarkar further define invariants $\tilde{\psi}_{p,q}(K)$ for $p \leq 0 < q$, which live in various subquotients of the Lee complex. For brevity, given a chain complex \mathcal{C} , let $\text{gr}_{p,q}(\mathcal{C})$ denote the subquotient complex

$$\text{gr}_{p,q}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{F}_{sl(K)+2p} \mathcal{C} / \mathcal{F}_{sl(K)+2q} \mathcal{C}.$$

The filtered invariants $\tilde{\psi}_{p,q}(K)$ live in the complex

$$\tilde{\psi}_{p,q}(K) \in \text{gr}_{p,q}(\text{CKh}_{Lee}^0(K)).$$

These invariants are defined as the images of $\tilde{\psi}(K)$ under the implied quotients. These filtered invariants also recover the original Plamenevskaya invariant. Since the associated graded object of the Lee complex $\text{CKh}_{Lee}(K)$ is the Khovanov complex $\text{CKh}(K)$, the image of $\tilde{\psi}(o)$ in the associated graded complex is the generator of the Plamenevskaya invariant $\psi(K)$.

In particular, $\tilde{\psi}_{0,1}(K)$ generates $\psi(K)$. The invariants $\tilde{\psi}_{p,q}(K)$ also interact nicely with the cobordism maps from ascending cobordisms with positive critical points; this is analogous to the situation with the spectral invariants from grid homology [JPS⁺25].

Theorem 3.1. *Let Λ_- and Λ_+ be two Legendrian links with positive transverse pushoffs K_- and K_+ , and suppose there is a decomposable Lagrangian cobordism L from Λ_- to Λ_+ . Then the induced cobordism maps*

$$F_L^{p,q}: \text{gr}_{p,q}(\text{CKh}_{Lee}^0(\Lambda_+)) \rightarrow \text{gr}_{p,q}(\text{CKh}_{Lee}^0(\Lambda_-))$$

send $[\tilde{\psi}_{p,q}(K_+)]$ to $\pm[\tilde{\psi}_{p,q}(K_-)]$.

Just as in the non-filtered case we have the following immediate corollary to Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.2. *Let Λ_- and Λ_+ be two Legendrian links with positive transverse pushoffs K_- and K_+ . If for some $0 \leq p < q$ we have $[\tilde{\psi}_{p,q}(K_-)] \neq 0$ and $[\tilde{\psi}_{p,q}(K_+)] = 0$, then there is no decomposable Lagrangian cobordism L from Λ_- to Λ_+ .*

Unlike Corollary 1.5, there is a possibility that Corollary 3.2 provides an interesting obstruction even if ψ is always determined by the s -invariant and the self-linking number. However, there are not many known computations of $\tilde{\psi}_{p,q}$ at the moment, and no computer program to compute it to the best of our knowledge, so we can not say for sure if Corollary 3.2 can provide new obstructions to decomposable Lagrangian cobordisms.

The proof of Theorem 3.1 relies on algebraic lemmas about maps between filtered complexes from [JPS⁺25] and an brief analysis of maps induced by the elementary cobordisms used to build ascending cobordisms with positive critical points.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We will show that on the chain level the cobordism map associated to an ascending cobordism with positive critical points satisfies the hypotheses of [JPS⁺25,

Lemma 2.4]. With the work from [LNS15] showing the classes are transverse invariants, all that is left to consider are birth and the saddle cobordisms.

If the cobordism L is a birth, then, considering the map from K_+ to K_- , we see a death of a trivial circle. In the definition of $\tilde{\psi}_{p,q}$, every circle in the oriented resolution is labeled by $v_- + v_+$ or $v_- - v_+$, both of which are sent to 1 under the map induced by the cap cobordism. Therefore, $\tilde{\psi}_{p,q}(K_+)$ is mapped to $\tilde{\psi}_{p,q}(K_-)$ on the chain level.

If L is a saddle, then the map induced on Khovanov homology can be realized as an isotopy followed by a positive crossing resolution, as depicted (in reverse) in Figure 1. A generalization of Plamenevskaya’s argument [Pla05] for the behavior of her invariant under the resolution of a positive crossing to a braid applies. Plamenevskaya argues that if both strands of the oriented resolution are labeled with v_- , then under the cobordism map consisting of a split followed by a cap, the image also contains both strands labeled with v_- . It is true more generally that any labeling of the two strands of the oriented resolution with v_+ or v_- will be preserved by this map. Since each strand in $\tilde{\psi}(o)$ is labeled by $v_- + v_+$ or $v_- - v_+$, linearity ensures that $\tilde{\psi}(o)$ is also preserved by this cobordism. Therefore, $\tilde{\psi}_{p,q}(K_+)$ is mapped to $\tilde{\psi}_{p,q}(K_-)$ on the chain level. \square

REFERENCES

- [BLW22] John A. Baldwin, Tye Lidman, and C.-M. Michael Wong, *Lagrangian cobordisms and Legendrian invariants in knot Floer homology*, Michigan Math. J. **71** (2022), no. 1, 145–175. MR 4389674
- [BP10] John A. Baldwin and Olga Plamenevskaya, *Khovanov homology, open books, and tight contact structures*, Advances in Mathematics **224** (2010), no. 6, 2544–2582.
- [BS18] John A. Baldwin and Steven Sivek, *Invariants of Legendrian and transverse knots in monopole knot homology*, J. Symplectic Geom. **16** (2018), no. 4, 959–1000. MR 3917725
- [BS21] ———, *On the equivalence of contact invariants in sutured Floer homology theories*, Geom. Topol. **25** (2021), no. 3, 1087–1164. MR 4268162
- [CDGG15] Baptiste Chantraine, Georgios Dimitroglou Rizell, Paolo Ghiggini, and Roman Golovko, *Floer homology and Lagrangian concordance*, Proceedings of the Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference 2014, Gökova Geometry/Topology Conference (GGT), Gökova, 2015, pp. 76–113. MR 3381440
- [Cha15] Baptiste Chantraine, *Lagrangian concordance is not a symmetric relation*, Quantum Topol. **6** (2015), no. 3, 451–474. MR 3392961
- [CNS16] Christopher Cornwell, Lenhard Ng, and Steven Sivek, *Obstructions to Lagrangian concordance*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **16** (2016), no. 2, 797–824. MR 3493408
- [EHK16] Tobias Ekholm, Ko Honda, and Tamás Kálmán, *Legendrian knots and exact Lagrangian cobordisms*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **18** (2016), no. 11, 2627–2689. MR 3562353
- [GJ19] Marco Golla and András Juhász, *Functoriality of the EH class and the LOSS invariant under Lagrangian concordances*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **19** (2019), no. 7, 3683–3699. MR 4045364
- [HS24] Kyle Hayden and Isaac Sundberg, *Khovanov homology and exotic surfaces in the 4-ball*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal) **2024** (2024), no. 809, 217–246 (en).
- [Jac04] Magnus Jacobsson, *An invariant of link cobordisms from Khovanov homology*, Algebraic & Geometric Topology **4** (2004), no. 2, 1211–1251 pages.
- [JPS⁺25] Mitchell Jubeir, Ina Petkova, Noah Schwartz, Zachary Winkeler, and C.-M. Michael Wong, *Spectral GRID invariants and Lagrangian cobordisms*, Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica **61** (2025), no. 4, 311 – 340.
- [Kho00] Mikhail Khovanov, *A categorification of the Jones polynomial*, Duke Mathematical Journal **101** (2000), no. 3, 359 – 426.
- [Lee02] Eun Soo Lee, *An endomorphism of the Khovanov invariant*, arXiv Mathematics e-prints (2002), math/0210213 pages.

- [LNS15] Robert Lipshitz, Lenhard Ng, and Sucharit Sarkar, *On transverse invariants from Khovanov homology*, Quantum topology **6** (2015), no. 3, 475–513 (eng).
- [Ng05] Lenhard Ng, *A Legendrian Thurston-Bennequin bound from Khovanov homology*, Algebraic & geometric topology **5** (2005), no. 4, 1637–1653 (eng).
- [Pan17] Yu Pan, *The augmentation category map induced by exact Lagrangian cobordisms*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **17** (2017), no. 3, 1813–1870. MR 3677941
- [Pla05] Olga Plamenevskaya, *Transverse knots and Khovanov homology*, Mathematical Research Letters **13** (2005), 571–586.
- [Ras04] Jacob Rasmussen, *Khovanov homology and the slice genus*, Inventiones mathematicae **182** (2004), 419–447.
- [SS22] Isaac Sundberg and Jonah Swann, *Relative Khovanov-Jacobsson classes*, Algebraic & geometric topology **22** (2022), no. 8, 3983–4008 (eng).
- [ST13] Joshua M. Sabloff and Lisa Traynor, *Obstructions to Lagrangian cobordisms between Legendrians via generating families*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **13** (2013), no. 5, 2733–2797. MR 3116302

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HARVARD UNIVERSITY, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138

Email address: gagemartin@math.harvard.edu

URL: <https://sites.google.com/view/gagemartin/home>

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DARTMOUTH COLLEGE, HANOVER, NH 03755

Email address: ina.petkova@dartmouth.edu

URL: <https://math.dartmouth.edu/~ina/>

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLBY COLLEGE, WATERVILLE, ME 04901

Email address: zwinkele@colby.edu

URL: <http://zach-winkeler.github.io>