

Regge symmetry of $6j$ -symbols of the Lorentz group

Elena Apresyan^{1*} and Gor Sarkissian^{1,2 †}

¹Alikhanian National Laboratory,
Alikhanian Br. 2, 0036 Yerevan, Armenia

² Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR,
Dubna, Moscow region, 141980 Russia

Abstract

In this paper we derive new symmetry and new expression for $6j$ -symbols of the unitary principal series representations of the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ group. This allowed us to derive for them the analogue of the Regge symmetry.

*elena-apresyan@mail.ru

†gor.sargsyan@yerphi.am

1 Introduction

T. Regge has found 65 years ago [13], that the $su(2)$ $6j$ -symbols $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} j_1 & j_2 \\ j_3 & j_4 \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \begin{smallmatrix} j_{12} \\ j_{34} \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$ besides obvious invariance under any permutations of the columns and simultaneous interchange of upper and lower arguments in any two columns, additionally possess less trivial discrete symmetry

$$\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} j_1 & j_2 \\ j_3 & j_4 \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \begin{smallmatrix} j_{12} \\ j_{23} \end{smallmatrix} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} S-j_1 & S-j_2 \\ S-j_3 & S-j_4 \end{smallmatrix} \middle| \begin{smallmatrix} j_{12} \\ j_{23} \end{smallmatrix} \right\},$$

where $S = \frac{1}{2}(j_1 + j_2 + j_3 + j_4)$. In other groundbreaking work [12], Ponzano and Regge expanding on work of Wigner [19], conjectured remarkable asymptotic formula relating the value of the $6j$ -symbols in the limit of the large spins to the volume of the associated Euclidean tetrahedron. This conjecture was proved in [15]. Combining these two facts one arrives to the invariance of the tetrahedron volume under the Regge's transformation. It is worth to emphasize that this property of the tetrahedron volume was unknown before the Regge's works. Geometric proof can be found in [1].

It turns out that the Regge symmetry persists when we consider the $6j$ -symbols of the various generalization of $su(2)$ algebra. First it was checked for the $6j$ -symbols of finite-dimensional representations of quantum algebra $U_q(su(2))$ [8, 9]. Recently it was established in [2], that $6j$ -symbols for the unitary principal series representations of the Faddeev modular double constructed in [11], enjoy the Regge symmetry as well.

In this paper we study generalization of the Regge transformation for the $6j$ -symbols of unitary principal series representation of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ group constructed in [4, 7].

Symmetries of the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ $6j$ -symbols were studied in papers [5, 16]. Here we derive one more symmetry which in fact is composition of that symmetries. But we prefer to derive it here by a limiting procedure from the corresponding symmetry property of the above mentioned $6j$ -symbols of the Faddeev modular double, found in [18], and re-derived and presented in [2] in much more simple and convenient for the application way. Using this symmetry property we derive new expression for the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ $6j$ -symbols which allows us to deduce the corresponding Regge symmetry.

2 $6j$ -symbols for Lorentz group

The unitary principal series representations of the Lorentz group $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ are labelled by pairs (σ, N) where $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $N \in \mathbb{Z}$. The group element

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha\delta - \beta\gamma = 1$$

is represented by operator $T_a(g)$ acting on the space of the square-integrable single-valued functions $\Phi(z, \bar{z})$ on \mathbb{C} in the following way [6]:

$$[T_a(g)\Phi](z, \bar{z}) = (\beta z + \delta)^{a-1}(\bar{\beta}\bar{z} + \bar{\delta})^{\bar{a}-1}\Phi\left(\frac{\alpha z + \gamma}{\beta z + \delta}, \frac{\bar{\alpha}\bar{z} + \bar{\gamma}}{\bar{\beta}\bar{z} + \bar{\delta}}\right).$$

For the unitary principal series representations one has

$$a = \frac{N}{2} + i\sigma, \quad \bar{a} = -\frac{N}{2} + i\sigma, \quad N \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \sigma \in \mathbb{R}.$$

To write down the 6j-symbols for the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ group we need the complex gamma function [6]:

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}(x, n) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+ix}{2})}{\Gamma(1 + \frac{n-ix}{2})}, \quad (1)$$

where $x \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Define also so called complex hypergeometric function [5]:

$$\mathcal{J}_{cr}(\underline{s}, \underline{n}; \underline{t}, \underline{m}) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{N \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{a=1}^4 \mathbf{\Gamma}(s_a - y, n_a - N) \mathbf{\Gamma}(t_a + y, m_a + N) dy, \quad (2)$$

where the parameters n_a, m_a, s_a and t_a satisfy the balancing condition:

$$\sum_{a=1}^4 (n_a + m_a) = 0, \quad \sum_{a=1}^4 (s_a + t_a) = -4i. \quad (3)$$

Using these definitions the 6j-symbols for $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ group can be written as [4, 5, 7]:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \begin{matrix} \sigma_1, N_1 & \sigma_2, N_2 \\ \sigma_3, N_3 & \sigma_4, N_4 \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} \rho_1, M_1 \\ \rho_2, M_2 \end{matrix} \right\} = \frac{\pi^2}{4} \frac{\mathbf{\Gamma}(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2 + \rho_2 - i, A_1) \mathbf{\Gamma}(\sigma_2 - \sigma_3 + \rho_1 - i, A_2)}{\mathbf{\Gamma}(-\sigma_3 - \sigma_4 + \rho_2 - i, A_3) \mathbf{\Gamma}(\sigma_1 + \sigma_4 + \rho_1 - i, A_4)} \\ & \times (-1)^{M_2 - N_2 + N_4} \mathcal{J}_{cr}(\underline{R}, \underline{S}; \underline{U}, \underline{T}), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where the function $\mathcal{J}_{cr}(\underline{R}, \underline{S}; \underline{U}, \underline{T})$ is defined by formula (2), and

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= -\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - \rho_2 - i, & U_1 &= -\rho_1 - \sigma_2 + \sigma_4 + \rho_2, & S_1 &= (-N_1 + N_2 - M_2)/2, \\ R_2 &= \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - \rho_2 - i, & U_2 &= \rho_1 - \sigma_2 + \sigma_4 + \rho_2, & S_2 &= (N_1 + N_2 - M_2)/2, \\ R_3 &= -\sigma_3 - \sigma_4 - \rho_2 - i, & U_3 &= 0, & S_3 &= -(N_3 + N_4 + M_2)/2, \\ R_4 &= \sigma_3 - \sigma_4 - \rho_2 - i, & U_4 &= 2\rho_2, & S_4 &= (N_3 - N_4 - M_2)/2, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$$T_1 = (-M_1 - N_2 + N_4 + M_2)/2, \quad T_2 = (M_1 - N_2 + N_4 + M_2)/2, \quad T_3 = 0, \quad T_4 = M_2, \quad (6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \frac{N_1 - N_2 + M_2}{2}, & A_2 &= \frac{N_2 - N_3 + M_1}{2}, \\ A_3 &= \frac{-N_3 - N_4 + M_2}{2}, & A_4 &= \frac{N_1 + N_4 + M_1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the parameters R_a, U_a, S_a, T_a as they are defined in (5) and (6) indeed satisfy the relation (3):

$$\sum_{a=1}^4 (R_a + U_a) = -4i \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{a=1}^4 (S_a + T_a) = 0. \quad (7)$$

The discrete parameters N_k , $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and M_1, M_2 are constrained [10] to take only the values bringing to integer values of S_k, T_k, A_k , $k=1,2,3,4$. In fact it is easy to see that it is enough to guarantee that A_k are integer and the rest of parameters will be integer automatically.

Define the following hyperbolic hypergeometric function [2, 11, 14]

$$J_h(\underline{\mu}, \underline{\nu}) = \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \prod_{a=1}^4 \gamma^{(2)}(\mu_a - z; \omega_1, \omega_2) \gamma^{(2)}(\nu_a + z; \omega_1, \omega_2) \frac{dz}{i\sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2}}, \quad (8)$$

where the parameters μ_a, ν_a satisfy the balancing condition:

$$\sum_{a=1}^4 (\nu_a + \mu_a) = 2(\omega_1 + \omega_2) \equiv 2Q, \quad (9)$$

and $\gamma^{(2)}(x; \omega_1, \omega_2)$ is hyperbolic gamma function, also sometimes called quantum dilogarithm. For definition of the hyperbolic gamma function $\gamma^{(2)}(x; \omega_1, \omega_2)$ see appendix A.

It was shown in [2, 18] that the function (8) satisfies the identity:

$$J_h(\underline{\mu}, \underline{\nu}) = \prod_{j,k=1}^2 \gamma^{(2)}(\nu_j + \mu_{k+2}; \omega_1, \omega_2) \prod_{j,k=1}^2 \gamma^{(2)}(\nu_{j+2} + \mu_k; \omega_1, \omega_2) J_h(\underline{\tilde{\mu}}, \underline{\tilde{\nu}}), \quad (10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}_1 &= G - \nu_1, & \tilde{\mu}_2 &= G - \nu_2, & \tilde{\mu}_3 &= Q - \nu_3, & \tilde{\mu}_4 &= Q - \nu_4, \\ \tilde{\nu}_1 &= -\mu_1, & \tilde{\nu}_2 &= -\mu_2, & \tilde{\nu}_3 &= Q - G - \mu_3, & \tilde{\nu}_4 &= Q - G - \mu_4, \end{aligned}$$

and $G = \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \mu_1 + \mu_2$.

Now consider the limit:

$$\sqrt{\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2}} = i + \delta, \quad \delta \rightarrow 0. \quad (11)$$

In this limit one has as well

$$\omega_1 = i\sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2}(1 - i\delta), \quad \omega_2 = i\sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2}(-1 - i\delta), \quad Q = \omega_1 + \omega_2 = -2i\delta(i\sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2}), \quad \sqrt{\frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1}} = -i + \delta. \quad (12)$$

It was conjectured in [3] and rigorously proved in [16], that in the limit (11) the following asymptotic estimate holds uniformly on compacta:

$$\gamma^{(2)}(i\sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2}(n + x\delta); \omega_1, \omega_2) \underset{\delta \rightarrow 0^+}{=} e^{\frac{\pi i}{2} n^2} (4\pi\delta)^{ix-1} \Gamma(x, n), \quad (13)$$

where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $x \in \mathbb{C}$.

We apply the limit (11) to identity (10) taking the parameters μ_a and ν_a in the following parametrization

$$\mu_a = i\sqrt{\omega_1\omega_2}(n_a + s_a\delta), \quad \nu_a = i\sqrt{\omega_1\omega_2}(m_a + t_a\delta), \quad a = 1, 2, 3, 4, \quad (14)$$

where $s_a, t_a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n_a, m_a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Denote the integration variables in the left and right hand side integrals in (10) by z_1 and z_2 correspondingly. We parameterize them in the same manner:

$$z_1 = i\sqrt{\omega_1\omega_2}(L_1 + y_1\delta), \quad z_2 = i\sqrt{\omega_1\omega_2}(L_2 + y_2\delta), \quad (15)$$

where $L_1, L_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. The balancing condition (9) together with the relations (12) imply that the parameters n_a, m_a, s_a and t_a satisfy the relation (3).

Taking into account that in this parametrization

$$G = i\sqrt{\omega_1\omega_2}(K + Y\delta),$$

where

$$K = n_1 + n_2 + m_1 + m_2, \quad Y = s_1 + s_2 + t_1 + t_2,$$

we obtain the following transformation property of the complex hypergeometric function:

$$\mathcal{J}_{cr}(\underline{s}, \underline{n}; \underline{t}, \underline{m}) = e^{\pi i K} \prod_{j,k=1}^2 \Gamma(t_j + s_{k+2}, m_j + n_{k+2}) \prod_{j,k=1}^2 \Gamma(t_{j+2} + s_k, m_{j+2} + n_k) \mathcal{J}_{cr}(\tilde{\underline{s}}, \tilde{\underline{n}}; \tilde{\underline{t}}, \tilde{\underline{m}}). \quad (16)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{s}_1 &= Y - t_1, \tilde{n}_1 = K - m_1, \tilde{s}_2 = Y - t_2, \tilde{n}_2 = K - m_2, \tilde{s}_3 = -2i - t_3, \tilde{n}_3 = -m_3, \\ \tilde{s}_4 &= -2i - t_4, \tilde{n}_4 = -m_4, \tilde{t}_1 = -s_1, \tilde{m}_1 = -n_1, \tilde{t}_2 = -s_2, \tilde{m}_2 = -n_2, \\ \tilde{t}_3 &= -2i - Y - s_3, \tilde{m}_3 = -K - n_3, \tilde{t}_4 = -2i - Y - s_4, \tilde{m}_4 = -K - n_4, . \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

The function $\mathcal{J}_{cr}(\underline{s}, \underline{n}; \underline{t}, \underline{m})$ here is defined in (2). It is easy to see that new parameters satisfy the balancing condition (3) as well:

$$\sum_{a=1}^4 (\tilde{n}_a + \tilde{m}_a) = 0, \quad \sum_{a=1}^4 (\tilde{s}_a + \tilde{t}_a) = -4i. \quad (18)$$

Note that in this limit contour integral in (8) becomes the combination of sum and integral in (2). This phenomenon was observed already in [3]. It is explained there from the physical considerations, namely in this limit the integration variables z_1 and z_2 acquire additional discrete “degrees of freedom” L_1, L_2 , and the corresponding integrals, being from the physical point of view partition functions, should be summed up by all the state parameters. Rigorously this

phenomenon was justified in [16]. For readers convenience, the corresponding arguments are outlined in appendix B. Another important point here that thanks to the balancing conditions (3) and (18), parameter δ drops in the final limiting identity (16). Details of calculation can be found in appendix C.

Now let us apply (16) to the integral $\mathcal{J}_{cr}(\underline{R}, \underline{S}; \underline{U}, \underline{T})$ in the expression (4) setting:

$$s_1 = R_3, \quad s_2 = R_1, \quad s_3 = R_4, \quad s_4 = R_2, \quad (19)$$

$$t_1 = U_3, \quad t_2 = U_2, \quad t_3 = U_1, \quad t_4 = U_4, \quad (20)$$

$$n_1 = S_3, \quad n_2 = S_1, \quad n_3 = S_4, \quad n_4 = S_2, \quad (21)$$

$$m_1 = T_3, \quad m_2 = T_2, \quad m_3 = T_1, \quad m_4 = T_4. \quad (22)$$

With this setting Y and K take the form

$$Y = -\sigma_3 - \sigma_1 + \rho_1 - \rho_2 - 2i, \quad (23)$$

$$K = (-N_3 - N_1 + M_1 - M_2)/2. \quad (24)$$

Inserting (19)-(24) in (16) and afterwards shifting $y_2 \rightarrow y_2 - U_1 - 2i$ and $L_2 \rightarrow L_2 - T_1$ (remember that $T_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$) we receive

$$\mathcal{J}_{cr}(\underline{R}, \underline{S}; \underline{U}, \underline{T}) = e^{\pi i K} \Omega_1(\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\rho}, \underline{N}, \underline{M}) \mathcal{J}_{cr}(\tilde{\underline{R}}, \tilde{\underline{S}}; \tilde{\underline{U}}, \tilde{\underline{T}}), \quad (25)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}_1 &= \sigma_4 - \sigma_2 - \sigma_1 - \sigma_3, & \tilde{U}_1 &= \sigma_3 + \sigma_2 + \rho_1 - i, & \tilde{S}_1 &= (N_4 - N_2 - N_1 - N_3)/2, \\ \tilde{R}_2 &= -\rho_2 - \sigma_1 - \sigma_3 - \rho_1, & \tilde{U}_2 &= \sigma_1 - \sigma_4 + \rho_1 - i, & \tilde{S}_2 &= (-M_2 - N_1 - N_3 - M_1)/2, \\ \tilde{R}_3 &= 0, & \tilde{U}_3 &= \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \rho_2 - i, & \tilde{S}_3 &= 0, \\ \tilde{R}_4 &= \sigma_4 - \rho_2 - \rho_1 - \sigma_2, & \tilde{U}_4 &= \sigma_3 - \sigma_4 + \rho_2 - i, & \tilde{S}_4 &= (N_4 - M_2 - M_1 - N_2)/2, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

$$\tilde{T}_1 = (N_3 + N_2 + M_1)/2, \quad \tilde{T}_2 = (N_1 - N_4 + M_1)/2, \quad \tilde{T}_3 = (N_1 + N_2 + M_2)/2, \quad \tilde{T}_4 = (N_3 - N_4 + M_2)/2, \quad (27)$$

and

$$\Omega_1(\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\rho}, \underline{N}, \underline{M}) = \quad (28)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Gamma(-\sigma_3 - \sigma_4 + \rho_2 - i, (-N_3 - N_4 + M_2)/2) \Gamma(-\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \rho_2 - i, (-N_1 + N_2 + M_2)/2) \\ &\Gamma(-\rho_1 - \sigma_2 - \sigma_3 - i, -(M_1 - N_2 - N_3)/2) \Gamma(-\rho_1 + \sigma_4 - \sigma_1 - i, (-M_1 + N_4 - N_1)/2) \\ &\Gamma(\sigma_3 - \sigma_2 + \rho_1 - i, (N_3 - N_2 + M_1)/2) \Gamma(\sigma_3 - \sigma_4 - \rho_2 - i, (N_3 - N_4 - M_2)/2) \\ &\Gamma(\sigma_4 + \sigma_1 + \rho_1 - i, (N_4 + N_1 + M_1)/2) \Gamma(\sigma_2 + \sigma_1 - \rho_2 - i, (N_2 + N_1 - M_2)/2). \end{aligned}$$

Inserting (25) in (4) we get new expression for $6j$ -symbols of the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ group:

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} \sigma_1, N_1 & \sigma_2, N_2 \\ \sigma_3, N_3 & \sigma_4, N_4 \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} \rho_1, M_1 \\ \rho_2, M_2 \end{matrix} \right\} = (-1)^{M_2 - N_2 + N_4} e^{\pi i K} \frac{\pi^2}{4} \Omega_2(\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\rho}, \underline{N}, \underline{M}) \mathcal{J}_{cr}(\tilde{R}, \tilde{S}; \tilde{U}, \tilde{T}), \quad (29)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_2(\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\rho}, \underline{N}, \underline{M}) = & \quad (30) \\ & \Gamma(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2 + \rho_2 - i, (N_1 - N_2 + M_2)/2) \Gamma(-\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \rho_2 - i, (-N_1 + N_2 + M_2)/2) \\ & \Gamma(-\rho_1 - \sigma_2 - \sigma_3 - i, -(M_1 - N_2 - N_3)/2) \Gamma(-\rho_1 + \sigma_4 - \sigma_1 - i, (-M_1 + N_4 - N_1)/2) \\ & \Gamma(\sigma_3 - \sigma_2 + \rho_1 - i, (N_3 - N_2 + M_1)/2) \Gamma(\sigma_3 - \sigma_4 - \rho_2 - i, (N_3 - N_4 - M_2)/2) \\ & \Gamma(\sigma_2 - \sigma_3 + \rho_1 - i, (N_2 - N_3 + M_1)/2) \Gamma(\sigma_2 + \sigma_1 - \rho_2 - i, (N_2 + N_1 - M_2)/2). \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S} &= \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3 - \sigma_4), \\ \mathcal{N} &= \frac{1}{2}(N_1 + N_2 + N_3 - N_4). \end{aligned}$$

Since A_1 and A_3 are integer, the equality $A_1 - A_3 = \frac{1}{2}(N_1 - N_2 + N_3 + N_4)$ implies that $N_1 - N_2 + N_3 + N_4$ is even and \mathcal{N} is integer, and we are allowed to define the following analogue of the Regge transformation:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &\rightarrow \mathcal{S} - \sigma_1, & \sigma_2 &\rightarrow \mathcal{S} - \sigma_2, & \sigma_3 &\rightarrow \mathcal{S} - \sigma_3, & \sigma_4 &\rightarrow -(\mathcal{S} + \sigma_4), \\ N_1 &\rightarrow \mathcal{N} - N_1, & N_2 &\rightarrow \mathcal{N} - N_2, & N_3 &\rightarrow \mathcal{N} - N_3, & N_4 &\rightarrow -(\mathcal{N} + N_4). \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

It is easy to check that the transformation (31) leaves intact the $\Omega_2(\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\rho}, \underline{N}, \underline{M})$ and $\mathcal{J}_{cr}(\tilde{R}, \tilde{S}; \tilde{U}, \tilde{T})$ just reshuffling the entering there terms and it remains only to check the transformation of the sign prefactor. In particular one has that under the transformation (31):

$$N_1 + N_3 \rightarrow N_2 - N_4 \quad \text{and} \quad -N_2 + N_4 \rightarrow -N_1 - N_3. \quad (32)$$

Since $-N_2 + N_4 + N_1 + N_3$ is even, the first factor is invariant under (31), and the second factor changes by sign $(-1)^{(N_1 + N_3 - N_2 + N_4)/2}$. Therefore we obtain that under (31) the $6j$ -symbols (4) may acquire only a sign:

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} \mathcal{S} - \sigma_1, \mathcal{N} - N_1 & \mathcal{S} - \sigma_2, \mathcal{N} - N_2 \\ \mathcal{S} - \sigma_3, \mathcal{N} - N_3 & -(\mathcal{S} + \sigma_4), -(\mathcal{N} + N_4) \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} \rho_1, M_1 \\ \rho_2, M_2 \end{matrix} \right\} = (-1)^{(N_1 + N_3 - N_2 + N_4)/2} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \sigma_1, N_1 & \sigma_2, N_2 \\ \sigma_3, N_3 & \sigma_4, N_4 \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} \rho_1, M_1 \\ \rho_2, M_2 \end{matrix} \right\}.$$

Thus we have established that the $6j$ -symbols for the unitary principal series representations of the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ group are invariant under the action of the generalization of the Regge transformation (31) up to the possible sign factor.

3 Conclusion

In this paper we obtain new symmetry transformation (16) of the complex hypergeometric function (2), which is essential part of the $6j$ -symbols for the unitary principal series representations of the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ group. This allowed us to obtain new expression (29) for them. This expression makes obvious presence of the Regge symmetry (31). At the next step we are planning using the expression (29) to study asymptotic form of $6j$ -symbols for the large spins. We hope that it will uncover complex non-compact counterpart of the Ponzano-Regge asymptotic formulae.

Acknowledgements. The work of Elena Apresyan was supported by Armenian SCS grants 21AG-1C024 and 24FP-1F039. We would like also to thank V. P. Spiridonov for many useful discussions.

A Hyperbolic gamma function

The function $\gamma^{(2)}(y; \omega_1, \omega_2)$ has the integral representation [17]

$$\gamma^{(2)}(y; \omega_1, \omega_2) = \exp \left(- \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\sinh(2y - \omega_1 - \omega_2)x}{2 \sinh(\omega_1 x) \sinh(\omega_2 x)} - \frac{2y - \omega_1 - \omega_2}{2\omega_1 \omega_2 x} \right) \frac{dx}{x} \right), \quad (33)$$

and obeys the equations:

$$\frac{\gamma^{(2)}(y + \omega_1; \omega_1, \omega_2)}{\gamma^{(2)}(y; \omega_1, \omega_2)} = 2 \sin \frac{\pi y}{\omega_2}, \quad \frac{\gamma^{(2)}(y + \omega_2; \omega_1, \omega_2)}{\gamma^{(2)}(y; \omega_1, \omega_2)} = 2 \sin \frac{\pi y}{\omega_1}. \quad (34)$$

B Limit of integrals

Here we show that in the limit (11) the integral of a product of hyperbolic gamma functions yields the infinite sum of integrals of the limit of the integrand [16].

Consider the integral:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{i\infty} \Delta(z) \frac{dz}{i\sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2}} = \int_{-\infty}^{i\infty} \Delta(\sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2} x) \frac{dx}{i}, \quad x = \frac{z}{\sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2}},$$

where $\Delta(z)$ is a product of $\gamma^{(2)}(u; \omega_1, \omega_2)$. We can rewrite it as an infinite sum

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{i\infty} \Delta(\sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2} x) \frac{dx}{i} &= \sum_{N \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{i(N-1/2)}^{i(N+1/2)} \Delta(\sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2} x) \frac{dx}{i} \\ &= \sum_{N \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{N-1/2}^{N+1/2} \Delta(i\sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2} x) dx = \sum_{N \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \Delta(i\sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2} (N + x)) dx. \end{aligned}$$

At the second step we changed the variable $x \rightarrow ix$ and at the last step performed shift $x \rightarrow x + N$. Now we parametrise $x = y\delta$, $\delta > 0$, and take the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$:

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sum_{N \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \Delta(i\sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2}(N + x)) dx = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sum_{N \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{-1/2\delta}^{1/2\delta} \delta \Delta(i\sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2}(N + y\delta)) dy. \quad (35)$$

The sum over N is infinite, for $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$ the integration contour becomes real axis $(-\infty, \infty)$. Using the uniform convergence of the limit (13) we interchange the limit $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0}$ with the summation and integration and end up with

$$\sum_{N \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \delta \Delta(i\sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2}(N + y\delta))] dy. \quad (36)$$

C Details of calculation of identity (16)

Here we give the details of calculation of identity (16). In this section we use notation $\gamma^{(2)}(y; \omega) \equiv \gamma^{(2)}(y; \omega_1, \omega_2)$. At the beginning let us present the asymptotic forms in the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0$ for the hyperbolic gamma functions used in formula (10):

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{(2)}(\mu_a - z_1; \omega) &\rightarrow e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}(n_a - L_1)^2} (4\pi\delta)^{i(s_a - y_1) - 1} \Gamma(s_a - y_1, n_a - L_1) \quad a = 1, 2, 3, 4, \\ \gamma^{(2)}(\nu_a + z_1; \omega) &\rightarrow e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}(m_a + L_1)^2} (4\pi\delta)^{i(t_a + y_1) - 1} \Gamma(t_a + y_1, m_a + L_1) \quad a = 1, 2, 3, 4, \\ \gamma^{(2)}(\nu_j + \mu_{k+2}; \omega) &\rightarrow e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}(m_j + n_{k+2})^2} (4\pi\delta)^{i(t_j + s_{k+2}) - 1} \Gamma(t_j + s_{k+2}, m_j + n_{k+2}) \quad j, k = 1, 2, \\ \gamma^{(2)}(\nu_{j+2} + \mu_k; \omega) &\rightarrow e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}(m_{j+2} + n_k)^2} (4\pi\delta)^{i(t_{j+2} + s_k) - 1} \Gamma(t_{j+2} + s_k, m_{j+2} + n_k) \quad j, k = 1, 2, \\ \gamma^{(2)}(G - \nu_1 - z_2; \omega) &\rightarrow e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}(K - m_1 - L_2)^2} (4\pi\delta)^{i(Y - t_1 - y_2) - 1} \Gamma(Y - t_1 - y_2, K - m_1 - L_2), \\ \gamma^{(2)}(G - \nu_2 - z_2; \omega) &\rightarrow e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}(K - m_2 - L_2)^2} (4\pi\delta)^{i(Y - t_2 - y_2) - 1} \Gamma(Y - t_2 - y_2, K - m_2 - L_2), \\ \gamma^{(2)}(Q - \nu_3 - z_2; \omega) &\rightarrow e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}(-m_3 - L_2)^2} (4\pi\delta)^{i(-2i - t_3 - y_2) - 1} \Gamma(-2i - t_3 - y_2, -m_3 - L_2), \\ \gamma^{(2)}(Q - \nu_4 - z_2; \omega) &\rightarrow e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}(-m_4 - L_2)^2} (4\pi\delta)^{i(-2i - t_4 - y_2) - 1} \Gamma(-2i - t_4 - y_2, -m_4 - L_2), \\ \gamma^{(2)}(-\mu_1 + z_2; \omega) &\rightarrow e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}(-n_1 + L_2)^2} (4\pi\delta)^{i(-s_1 + y_2) - 1} \Gamma(-s_1 + y_2, -n_1 + L_2), \\ \gamma^{(2)}(-\mu_2 + z_2; \omega) &\rightarrow e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}(-n_2 + L_2)^2} (4\pi\delta)^{i(-s_2 + y_2) - 1} \Gamma(-s_2 + y_2, -n_2 + L_2), \\ \gamma^{(2)}(Q - G - \mu_3 + z_2; \omega) &\rightarrow e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}(-K - n_3 + L_2)^2} (4\pi\delta)^{i(-2i - Y - s_3 + y_2) - 1} \Gamma(-2i - Y - s_3 + y_2, -K - n_3 + L_2), \\ \gamma^{(2)}(Q - G - \mu_4 + z_2; \omega) &\rightarrow e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}(-K - n_4 + L_2)^2} (4\pi\delta)^{i(-2i - Y - s_4 + y_2) - 1} \Gamma(-2i - Y - s_4 + y_2, -K - n_4 + L_2). \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Using first two lines in (37) and formula (36), we obtain :

$$\begin{aligned} J_h(\mu, \nu) &\rightarrow e^{\frac{\pi i}{2} \sum_{a=1}^4 (n_a^2 + m_a^2)} (4\pi\delta)^{i \sum_{a=1}^4 (t_a + s_a) - 8} (4\pi\delta) \mathcal{J}_{cr}(\underline{s}, \underline{n}; \underline{t}, \underline{m}) \\ &= e^{\frac{\pi i}{2} \sum_{a=1}^4 (n_a^2 + m_a^2)} (4\pi\delta)^{-3} \mathcal{J}_{cr}(\underline{s}, \underline{n}; \underline{t}, \underline{m}) \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

The terms with L_1 in the phase factors drop being multiple of $e^{2\pi i}$ due to the discrete part of the balancing condition (3). At the second step we used also the balancing condition (3) for

the continuous part. Similarly one obtains using the last eight lines in (37), formula (36) and the balancing condition (18):

$$\begin{aligned} J_h(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}) &\rightarrow e^{\frac{\pi i}{2} \sum_{a=1}^4 (\tilde{n}_a^2 + \tilde{m}_a^2)} (4\pi\delta)^{i \sum_{a=1}^4 (\tilde{t}_a + \tilde{s}_a) - 8} (4\pi\delta) \mathcal{J}_{cr}(\tilde{\underline{s}}, \tilde{\underline{n}}; \tilde{\underline{t}}, \tilde{\underline{m}}) \\ &= e^{\frac{\pi i}{2} \sum_{a=1}^4 (\tilde{n}_a^2 + \tilde{m}_a^2)} (4\pi\delta)^{-3} \mathcal{J}_{cr}(\tilde{\underline{s}}, \tilde{\underline{n}}; \tilde{\underline{t}}, \tilde{\underline{m}}) \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

And finally using third and fourth line we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} &\prod_{j,k=1}^2 \gamma^{(2)}(\nu_j + \mu_{k+2}; \omega) \prod_{j,k=1}^2 \gamma^{(2)}(\nu_{j+2} + \mu_k; \omega) \rightarrow e^{\frac{\pi i}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^2 ((m_j + n_{k+2})^2 + (m_{j+2} + n_k)^2)} \\ &\times (4\pi\delta)^{2i \sum_{a=1}^4 (t_a + s_a) - 8} \prod_{j,k=1}^2 \Gamma(t_j + s_{k+2}, m_j + n_{k+2}) \prod_{j,k=1}^2 \Gamma(t_{j+2} + s_k, m_{j+2} + n_k) \quad (40) \\ &= e^{\frac{\pi i}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^2 ((m_j + n_{k+2})^2 + (m_{j+2} + n_k)^2)} \prod_{j,k=1}^2 \Gamma(t_j + s_{k+2}, m_j + n_{k+2}) \prod_{j,k=1}^2 \Gamma(t_{j+2} + s_k, m_{j+2} + n_k) \quad (41) \end{aligned}$$

At the second step we used again the balancing condition (3). Collecting all we see that the factor δ drops everywhere, and simplifying phase factors we derive (16).

References

- [1] A. Akopyan and I. Izmistiev. "The Regge symmetry, confocal conics, and the Schläfli formula," *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society* **51**(5) (2019), 765-775.
- [2] E. Apresyan, G. Sarkissian and V. P. Spiridonov, "A parafermionic hypergeometric function and supersymmetric 6j-symbols," *Nucl. Phys. B* **990** (2023), 116170.
- [3] V. V. Bazhanov, V. V. Mangazeev, and S. M. Sergeev, *Exact solution of the Faddeev-Volkov model*, *Phys. Lett. A* **372** (2008), 1547–1550.
- [4] S. E. Derkachov and V. P. Spiridonov, *The 6j-symbols for the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ group*, *Teor. Mat. Fiz.* **198**:1 (2019), 32–53 (*Theor. Math. Phys.* **198**:1 (2019), 29–47); arXiv:1711.07073 [math-ph].
- [5] S. E. Derkachov, G. A. Sarkissian and V. P. Spiridonov, "Elliptic hypergeometric function and 6j-symbols for the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ group," *Teor. Mat. Fiz.* **213** (2022) no.1, 108-128
- [6] I. M. Gelfand, M. I. Graev, and N. Ya. Vilenkin, *Generalized functions. Vol. 5. Integral geometry and representation theory*, Academic Press, 1966.

- [7] R. S. Ismagilov, *Racah operators for principal series of representations of the group $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$* , Mat. Sbornik **198**:3 (2007), 77–90 (Sb. Math. **198**:3 (2007), 369–381).
- [8] A. N. Kirillov and N. Y. Reshetikhin, “Representations of the algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$, q -orthogonal polynomials and invariants of links,” New Developments in the Theory of Knots, pp. 202-256 (1990).
- [9] A. Klimyk, K. Schmudgen, “Quantum groups and their representations”. Springer 1997.
- [10] M. A. Naimark, *Decomposition of a tensor product of irreducible representations of the proper Lorentz group into irreducible representations*, Tr. Mosk. Mat. Obs. **8** (1959), 121–153 (Am. Math. Soc. Transl., Ser. **2**, Vol. 36 (1964), 101–229).
- [11] B. Ponsot and J. Teschner, *Clebsch-Gordan and Racah-Wigner coefficients for a continuous series of representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}))$* , Commun. Math. Phys. **224** (2001), 613–655.
- [12] G. Ponzano, T. Regge, “Semiclassical limit of Racah coefficients”, p. 1-58, in: Spectroscopic and group theoretical methods in physics, ed. F. Bloch, North-Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam, 1968
- [13] T. Regge, “Symmetry properties of Racah’s coefficients,” Nuovo Cim. **11** (1959), 116-117
- [14] S. N. M. Ruijsenaars, “Systems of Calogero-Moser Type”, in “Proc. of the 1994 Banff Summer School Particles and Fields” Springer, New York (1999), pp. 251–352.
- [15] J. Roberts, “Classical $6j$ -symbols and the tetrahedron,” Geom. Topol. **3** (1999) no.1, 21-66
- [16] G. A. Sarkissian and V. P. Spiridonov, *The endless beta integrals*, SIGMA **16** (2020), 074.
- [17] V. P. Spiridonov, “Elliptic beta integrals and solvable models of statistical mechanics,” Contemp. Math. **563** (2012), 181-211
- [18] J. Teschner and G. Vartanov, “ $6j$ symbols for the modular double, quantum hyperbolic geometry, and supersymmetric gauge theories,” Lett. Math. Phys. **104** (2014), 527-551
- [19] E. Wigner, Group theory, Academic Press (1959).