

SIGN-CHANGING BUBBLING SOLUTIONS FOR AN EXPONENTIAL NONLINEARITY IN  $\mathbb{R}^2$ 

YIBIN ZHANG

ABSTRACT. Very differently from those perturbative techniques of Deng-Musso in [26], we use the assumption of a  $C^1$ -stable critical point to construct positive or sign-changing solutions with arbitrary  $m$  isolated bubbles to the boundary value problem  $-\Delta u = \lambda u|u|^{p-2}e^{|u|^p}$  under homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition in a bounded, smooth planar domain  $\Omega$ , when  $0 < p < 2$  and  $\lambda > 0$  is a small but free parameter. We build a vanishing identity of first order and an identity of second order to prove that for any  $0 < p < 1$  the delicate energy expansion of these bubbling solutions always converges to  $4\pi m$  from below, but for any  $1 < p < 2$  the energy always converges to  $4\pi m$  from above, where the latter case sharply recurs a result of De Marchis-Malchiodi-Martinazzi-Thizy in [32] involving concentration and compactness properties at any critical energy level  $4\pi m$  only for positive bubbling solutions. A sufficient condition on the intersection between the nodal line of these sign-changing solutions and the boundary of the domain is founded. Moreover, for  $\lambda$  small enough, we prove that when  $\Omega$  is an arbitrary bounded domain, this problem has not only at least two pairs of bubbling solutions which change sign exactly once and whose nodal lines intersect the boundary, but also a bubbling solution which changes sign exactly twice or three times; when  $\Omega$  has an axial symmetry, this problem has a bubbling solution which alternately changes sign arbitrarily many times along the axis of symmetry through the domain.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This paper deals with the existence and asymptotic profile when the positive but free parameter  $\lambda$  tends to 0 of positive or sign-changing bubbling solutions in the distributional sense for the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda u|u|^{p-2}e^{|u|^p} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $0 < p < 2$  and  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is a  $C^2$ , bounded and connected domain. This problem is related, but not equivalent, to a *mean-field type equation*, namely the corresponding Euler-Lagrange equation with the seemingly same form as in (1.1) for the functional involving Moser-Trudinger critical and subcritical nonlinearities (see [1, 32, 38, 52])

$$I_{p,\beta}(u) = \frac{2-p}{2} \left( \frac{p\|u\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2}{2\beta} \right)^{\frac{p}{2-p}} - \log \int_{\Omega} (e^{|u|^p} - 1) dx, \quad u \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad (1.2)$$

for any real number  $\beta > 0$ , whereas  $\lambda > 0$  is not a free parameter but a Lagrange multiplier defined by the relation of the energy

$$\frac{\lambda p}{2} \left( \int_{\Omega} (e^{|u|^p} - 1) dx \right)^{\frac{2-p}{p}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^p e^{|u|^p} dx \right)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}} = \beta. \quad (1.3)$$

For the precise statement, it is useful to recall some well-known definitions. Let  $G(x, y)$  denotes the Green's function of the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_x G(x, y) = \delta_y(x), & x \in \Omega, \\ G(x, y) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

and  $H(x, y)$  its regular part defined uniquely as

$$H(x, y) = G(x, y) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{|x - y|}. \quad (1.5)$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35B25; Secondary 35B38, 35J25.

*Key words and phrases.* Sign-changing bubbling solutions; Liouville type equation; Lyapunov-Schmidt finite-dimensional reduction method.

In this way, for any  $y \in \Omega$ ,  $H(\cdot, y) \in C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C^\infty(\Omega)$  and  $G(\cdot, y) \in C_{loc}^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{y\}) \cap C_{loc}^\infty(\Omega \setminus \{y\})$  for any  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Moreover, the Robin function  $y \mapsto H(y, y) \in C^1(\Omega)$  (see [40]). For any integer  $m \geq 1$ , we introduce the classical Kirchhoff-Routh path function  $\varphi_m : \mathcal{F}_m(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of the form

$$\varphi_m(\xi) = \varphi_m(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) = \sum_{i=1}^m H(\xi_i, \xi_i) + \sum_{i,k=1, i \neq k}^m a_i a_k G(\xi_i, \xi_k), \quad (1.6)$$

where  $a_i \in \{-1, 1\}$  and

$$\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathcal{F}_m(\Omega) := \{\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \Omega^m : \xi_i \neq \xi_j \text{ if } i \neq j\}.$$

Additionally, for any  $0 < p < 2$ , let  $\gamma$  and  $\varepsilon$  be two positive parameters defined uniquely by the relations

$$p\lambda\gamma^{2(p-1)}\varepsilon^2 e^{\gamma^p} = 1, \quad (1.7)$$

and

$$p\gamma^p = -4 \log \varepsilon. \quad (1.8)$$

Here, we take  $\gamma$  and  $\varepsilon$  such that  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$  if and only if  $\gamma \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Moreover,  $\lambda = \varepsilon^2$  and  $\lambda^2 e^\gamma = 1$  if  $p = 1$ .

Clearly, for  $p = 1$ , by using the maximum principle we can write problem (1.1) in terms of positive solutions as

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \varepsilon^2 e^u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.9)$$

where  $\varepsilon > 0$  is a small parameter. This is called *Liouville equation* after [44], which occurs in various context such as the prescribed Gaussian curvature problem in conformal geometry [5], the mean-field limit of vortices in two dimensional turbulent Euler flows [17, 18], and several other fields of applied mathematics [6, 15, 19]. The asymptotic behavior of family of blow-up solutions of equation (1.9) has been founded in [12, 45, 49, 50]. Namely if  $u_\varepsilon$  is a family of positive solutions of equation (1.9) satisfying

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \int_{\Omega} e^{u_\varepsilon} dx = \beta < +\infty,$$

then, up to subsequences,  $\beta = 4\pi m$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and  $u_\varepsilon$  makes  $m$  different blow-up points  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m \in \Omega$  such that, as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$u_\varepsilon = 8\pi \sum_{i=1}^m G(x, \xi_i) + o(1) \quad \text{local uniformly in } \bar{\Omega} \setminus \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\},$$

and

$$\varepsilon^2 e^{u_\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup 8\pi \sum_{i=1}^m \delta_{\xi_i} \quad \text{weakly in the sense of measure in } \bar{\Omega}.$$

Moreover, the location of these bubbling points  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)$  can be characterized as a critical point of the functional

$$\sum_{i=1}^m H(\xi_i, \xi_i) + \sum_{i,k=1, i \neq k}^m G(\xi_i, \xi_k). \quad (1.10)$$

Reciprocally, the existence of positive solutions for equation (1.9) with exactly the asymptotic profile above has been addressed in [7, 16, 20, 35, 53]. In particular, in the spirit of some perturbative and reductional techniques, the construction of positive solutions with arbitrary  $m$  distinct blow-up points is achieved respectively under the three different assumptions: for any  $m \geq 1$  if the functional defined in (1.10) has a non-degenerate critical point in  $\mathcal{F}_m(\Omega)$  ([7]), for any  $m \geq 1$  if  $\Omega$  is not simply connected ([20]), and for any  $m \in \{1, \dots, h\}$  provided that  $\Omega$  is an  $h$ -dumbbell with thin handles ([35]).

For  $p = 2$ , problem (1.1) becomes

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda u e^{u^2} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.11)$$

where  $\lambda > 0$  is a small but free parameter. This problem is related, but not equivalent, to the corresponding Euler-Lagrange equation just as in (1.11) for the classical Moser-Trudinger functional

$$F(u) = \int_{\Omega} (e^{u^2} - 1) dx, \quad \forall u \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad (1.12)$$

under the constraint of the Dirichlet energy

$$\|u\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 = \beta, \quad (1.13)$$

for any  $\beta > 0$ , because for the latter equation,  $\lambda > 0$  is not a free parameter but a Lagrange multiplier defined by

$$\lambda = \frac{2\beta}{\langle DF(u), u \rangle} = \frac{\beta}{\int_{\Omega} u^2 e^{u^2} dx}. \quad (1.14)$$

Indeed, problem (1.11) is the Euler-Lagrange equation for the free energy functional

$$J_{\lambda}^2(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\Omega} e^{u^2}, \quad \forall u \in H_0^1(\Omega). \quad (1.15)$$

Due to the critical Moser-Trudinger inequality, the semilinear Moser-Trudinger functional  $J_{\lambda}^2$  is well defined and smooth on  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  and its positive critical points are smooth solutions of problem (1.11). The classification of asymptotic behavior of energy bounded family of positive solutions of (1.11) has been described in [2, 3, 4, 33, 34]. Especially by the technique of the pointwise exhaustion of concentration points, it is proved in [33, 34] that if  $u_{\varepsilon}$  is a family of positive solutions of problem (1.11) with  $\lambda = \lambda_{\varepsilon}$  satisfying the free or Dirichlet energy bound

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} J_{\lambda_{\varepsilon}}^2(u_{\varepsilon}) = \frac{1}{2}\beta < +\infty \quad \text{or} \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 = \beta < +\infty,$$

then, up to subsequences,  $\lambda_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \lambda_0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  for some  $\lambda_0 \geq 0$ , and if  $\lambda_0 > 0$ , then there exists a positive solution  $u_0 \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$  of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u_0 = \lambda_0 u_0 e^{u_0^2} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_0 = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

such that  $u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u_0$  in  $C^2(\overline{\Omega})$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , while if  $\lambda_0 = 0$ , then  $u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$  weakly in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  and  $\beta = 4\pi m$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , and  $u_{\varepsilon}$  makes  $m$  different blow-up points  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m \in \Omega$  and  $m$  sequences  $(\xi_{i,\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon}$  of points in  $\Omega$  such that for each  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ,  $\nabla u_{\varepsilon}(\xi_{i,\varepsilon}) = 0$ ,  $\gamma_{i,\varepsilon} := u_{\varepsilon}(\xi_{i,\varepsilon}) \rightarrow +\infty$ ,  $\xi_{i,\varepsilon} \rightarrow \xi_i$  and  $u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$  in  $C_{loc}^2(\overline{\Omega} \setminus \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\})$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , and there exists  $\theta_i > 0$  such that, as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$\sqrt{\lambda_{\varepsilon}} \gamma_{i,\varepsilon} \rightarrow \frac{2}{\theta_i}, \quad (1.16)$$

and

$$\gamma_{i,\varepsilon} [u_{\varepsilon}(\xi_{i,\varepsilon} + \mu_{i,\varepsilon} y) - \gamma_{i,\varepsilon}] \rightarrow \log \frac{1}{1 + |y|^2} \quad \text{in } C_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad (1.17)$$

where

$$\mu_{i,\varepsilon} = \left( \frac{4}{\lambda_{\varepsilon} \gamma_{i,\varepsilon}^2 e^{\gamma_{i,\varepsilon}^2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow 0. \quad (1.18)$$

Moreover, the precise characterization of these concentration points  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)$  and positive numbers  $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m)$  can be seen as a critical point of the functional

$$2\pi \sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i^2 H(\xi_i, \xi_i) + 2\pi \sum_{i,k=1, i \neq k}^m \theta_i \theta_k G(\xi_i, \xi_k) + \sum_{i=1}^m (\theta_i^2 - \theta_i^2 \log \theta_i). \quad (1.19)$$

Conversely, the existence of positive solutions of problem (1.11) has been obtained by using variational ([2, 23]) or perturbative ([21]) method. In particular, positive solutions  $u_{\lambda}$  of problem (1.11) with arbitrary  $m$  distinct blow-up points and the energy quantization property  $\|u_{\lambda}\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 \rightarrow 4m\pi$  or  $J_{\lambda}^2(u_{\lambda}) \rightarrow 2m\pi$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$  are constructed in [21] under the assumption that the functional defined in (1.19) has a stable critical point situation. Furthermore, if  $\Omega$  is not simple connected, at least one of

such solutions with  $m = 2$  always exists. While if  $\Omega$  has  $d \geq 1$  holes, additional  $d + 1$  bubbling solutions with  $m = 1$  always exist. Also, similar results to [33] and [21] on compact Riemann surfaces have been achieved in [54] and [39], respectively.

Recently for  $p \in [1, 2]$ , motivated by these quantization results of the possible blow-up energy levels in [33, 34, 54], De Marchis-Malchiodi-Martinazzi-Thizy have obtained a precise quantization of energy levels of positive blow-up solutions on a closed Riemann surface, and have proven compactness at each critical energy level  $\beta = 4\pi m$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$  for  $p \in (1, 2]$  by exhibiting that delicate energy expansions of blowing-up sequences of positive solutions always converge to  $4\pi m$  from above (see Sections 4-5 in [32]). Furthermore, following closely arguments of the pointwise exhaustion of concentration points in [32, 33, 34], it is stated in [46] that if  $u_\varepsilon$  is a sequence of the pointwise blow-up of positive solutions of equation (1.1) with  $\lambda = \lambda_\varepsilon > 0$  and  $p = p_\varepsilon \in [1, 2]$  satisfying the energy bound

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \beta_\varepsilon := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\lambda_\varepsilon p_\varepsilon}{2} \left( \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_\varepsilon^{p_\varepsilon}} - 1) dx \right)^{\frac{2-p_\varepsilon}{p_\varepsilon}} \left( \int_{\Omega} u_\varepsilon^{p_\varepsilon} e^{u_\varepsilon^{p_\varepsilon}} dx \right)^{\frac{2(p_\varepsilon-1)}{p_\varepsilon}} = \beta < +\infty, \quad (1.20)$$

then, up to a subsequence,  $\lambda_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , and  $\beta = 4\pi m$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , and  $u_\varepsilon$  makes  $m$  different blow-up points  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m \in \Omega$  and  $m$  sequences  $(\xi_{i,\varepsilon})_\varepsilon$  of points in  $\Omega$  such that for each  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ,  $\nabla u_\varepsilon(\xi_{i,\varepsilon}) = 0$ ,  $\gamma_{i,\varepsilon} := u_\varepsilon(\xi_{i,\varepsilon}) \rightarrow +\infty$ ,  $\xi_{i,\varepsilon} \rightarrow \xi_i$  and  $u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u_0$  in  $C_{loc}^1(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\})$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , and as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2} p_\varepsilon \gamma_{i,\varepsilon}^{p_\varepsilon-1} [u_\varepsilon(\xi_{i,\varepsilon} + \mu_{i,\varepsilon} y) - \gamma_{i,\varepsilon}] \rightarrow \log \frac{1}{1 + |y|^2} \quad \text{in } C_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad (1.21)$$

where

$$\mu_{i,\varepsilon} = \left( \frac{8}{\lambda_\varepsilon p_\varepsilon \gamma_{i,\varepsilon}^{2(p_\varepsilon-1)} e^{\gamma_{i,\varepsilon}^{p_\varepsilon}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow 0. \quad (1.22)$$

Differently from the description (1.16) of those bubble heights  $\gamma_{i,\varepsilon}$  under  $p = 2$ , it is easily known from Page 1225 of [32] that if  $p \in [1, 2)$ , all the bubble heights have the same growth rate, namely

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\gamma_{j,\varepsilon}}{\gamma_{i,\varepsilon}} = 1 \quad \text{for all } i, j = 1, \dots, m, \quad i \neq j. \quad (1.23)$$

Reciprocally for  $p \in (0, 2)$ , the existence of the pointwise blow-up of positive solutions for equation (1.1) with only some parts of asymptotic properties above has been proven by Deng-Musso in [26]. Roughly speaking, by applying purely those techniques and arguments on the Lyapunov-Schmidt finite-dimensional reduction method from [20] they build positive solutions of equation (1.1) with arbitrary  $m$  distinct blow-up points under the assumption that the functional defined in (1.10) has a topologically non-trivial critical level in an open set compactly contained in the configuration space  $\mathcal{F}_m(\Omega)$ . Hence if  $\Omega$  is not simply connected, just like [20, 36] they construct positive solutions of equation (1.1) with arbitrary  $m$  isolated bubbles.

One of our goals is to construct positive or sign-changing bubbling solutions of equation (1.1) not only for  $p \in (0, 2)$  but also partly for  $p = 2$ , by trying to apply the *standard* finite-dimensional Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction method as in del Pino-Kowalczyk-Musso [20] and Esposito-Musso-Pistoia [36, 37]. Based on the above asymptotic properties (1.21)-(1.23) of positive solutions of equation (1.1) under  $p \in [1, 2)$ , just like [26] we build the approximate form of positive or sign-changing solutions of equation (1.1) which not only have the approximation of up to third order, but also have the key ingredients  $\omega_{\mu_i}^j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$  (see (2.5), (2.13) and (2.14)). Combining relations (1.7)-(1.8) with (1.22)-(1.23), we set each bubble height  $\gamma_{i,\varepsilon} = \gamma$  and take the parameter  $\mu_{i,\varepsilon} = \varepsilon \mu_i$ , where the scaling parameter  $\varepsilon$  is defined in (1.8) and the concentration parameter  $\mu_i$  is chosen by system (2.19) such that we can obtain a good approximation for the solution of equation (1.1) near each blow-up point  $\xi_i$ . Thanks to some ODE theory in [15, 36, 47], we get the precise  $C^1$ -expansion (2.10) of  $\omega_{\mu_i}^j$ . Hence for the scaling equation (2.22) (or (2.25)), by applying a  $L^\infty$ -norm  $\|\cdot\|_*$  involving the new weighted function (2.27) we estimate its error term  $E_{\xi'}^j$  as  $O(1/|\log \varepsilon|^4)$  and obtain the delicate expansion (3.2) for its potential  $W_{\xi'}$  near each scaling point  $\xi'_i$  which is absolutely different from the original expansion of  $W_{\xi'}$  in Page 2274 of [26] because expansion (3.2) of  $W_{\xi'}$  does not only depend on  $e^{\omega_{\mu_i}^j}$ , but also explicitly relates to the term  $\omega_{\mu_i}^1$ . This hints that when we perform a finite-dimensional variational reduction in which the main ingredient is an analysis of bounded invertibility of the linearized operator  $\mathcal{L}$  in a suitable  $L^\infty$ -weighted space, we should try our best to avoid adopting any perturbative and reductional techniques from [26] again. Indeed, if we carefully check the validity of the last equality in Page 2286 of [26], we hardly yield the same result so that we never conclude bounded invertibility of  $\mathcal{L}$ . Meanwhile if we rigidly check the validity of the  $C^1$ -expansion of the

finite-dimensional restriction  $F_\lambda(\xi)$  in Pages 2294 of [26], we find that it is irrational to derive the claimed lemma only from some very rough estimates at the price of losing the key ingredient  $\omega_{\mu_i}^1$ . Regrettably, all these big and obvious gaps has also appeared in several other open literatures of Deng-Musso regarding the same nonlinearity  $\lambda u^{p-1}e^{u^p}$  with  $p \in (0, 2)$  and  $\lambda > 0$  free but small enough (see [24, 25, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31]). Whereas observing that expansion (3.2) of potential  $W_{\xi'}$  has almost the same structure as that of the corresponding potential in Page 43 of [36], along those technical approach in [36] we successfully carry out an invertible analysis of the linearized operator  $\mathcal{L}$  and compute the precise  $C^1$ -expansion of the reduction function  $F_\lambda(\xi)$  involving the small  $C^1$ -perturbation of the Kirchoff-Routh path function  $\varphi_m(\xi)$  under a suitable equivalent form. With the help of the assumption of a  $C^1$ -stable critical point of  $\varphi_m(\xi)$ , in this article we can recover the main results for positive solutions in [7, 20, 26, 35] and construct sign-changing solutions of equation (1.1) with arbitrary  $m$  isolated bubbles.

Finally, it is necessary to point out that for equation (1.1) with  $p = 2$ , namely for equation (1.11) by adopting our fundamental approximate form (2.5) of positive or sign-changing solutions and these technical arguments of the finite-dimensional variational reduction in this article we also have enough space to recover the main result for positive solutions in del Pino-Musso-Ruf [21] and construct its sign-changing solutions with arbitrary  $m$  isolated bubbles for which the initial novelty of the technique lies in the new choices of each bubble height  $\gamma_i$ , scaling parameter  $\varepsilon_i$  and concentration parameter  $\mu_i$  based on the difference of the growth rate of bubble heights between Moser-Trudinger nonlinear critical case (1.16) and subcritical case (1.23). Roughly speaking, by (1.16) we first set each bubble height  $\gamma_i = 2/(\theta_i\sqrt{\lambda})$ , where  $\theta_i > 0$  represents the different bubble weight. Then similar to (1.7) we take each scaling parameter  $\varepsilon_i$  by relation  $2\lambda\gamma_i^2\varepsilon_i^2e^{\gamma_i^2} = 1$ . In order to obtain a good approximation for the solution of equation (1.1) under  $p = 2$  near each blow-up point  $\xi_i$ , similar to system (2.19) we adjust each concentration parameter  $\mu_i$  through the following nonlinear system

$$\begin{aligned} \log(8\mu_i^2) &= 2\log\frac{8}{\theta_i^2} + \left[1 - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{j=1}^3\frac{D_{\mu_i}^j}{(2\gamma_i^2)^j}\right]8\pi H(\xi_i, \xi_i) + \left[\sum_{j=1}^3\frac{D_{\mu_i}^j}{(2\gamma_i^2)^j}\right]\log(\varepsilon_i\mu_i) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1, k \neq i}^m\frac{\theta_k}{\theta_i}\left[1 - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{j=1}^3\frac{D_{\mu_k}^j}{(2\gamma_k^2)^j}\right]8\pi a_i a_k G(\xi_i, \xi_k), \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, m, \end{aligned}$$

with  $a_i \in \{-1, 1\}$  and  $D_{\mu_i}^j$  defined in (2.11)-(2.12). As a consequence, it directly checks that for  $p = 2$ , the corresponding potential of equation (1.1) at each approximate solution will have almost the same structure with expansion (3.2) of  $W_{\xi'}$ . But for equation (1.1) with  $p = 2$ , restrained by the too long pages of this present article we have to leave the detailed discussion for the construction of its positive or sign-changing bubbling solutions in the next manuscript.

In this paper, our first result concerns the existence of positive or sign-changing solutions of equation (1.1) under  $p \in (0, 2)$  that blow up at  $m$  distinct points. Moreover, we compute the delicate energy expansion of second order for these bubbling solutions near the critical level  $4\pi m$  and prove that for any  $p \in (0, 1)$ , the energy always converges to  $4\pi m$  from below, but for any  $p \in (1, 2)$ , the energy always converges to  $4\pi m$  from above. For the latter case we sharply recur the result of De Marchis-Malchiodi-Martinazzi-Thizy in Page 1237 of [32] regarding concentration and compactness properties at any critical energy level  $4\pi m$  only for positive bubbling solutions. In addition, we obtain the pointwise  $C^1$ -limiting behavior of these solutions uniformly away from each bubbling point and hence find a sufficient condition on the intersection between the nodal line of the sign-changing bubbling solutions and the boundary of the domain.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $0 < p < 2$  and  $m$  be an integer with  $m \geq 1$ . Assume that  $\lambda > 0$  is a small but free parameter and  $\xi^* = (\xi_1^*, \dots, \xi_m^*)$  is a  $C^1$ -stable critical point for the function  $\varphi_m : \mathcal{F}_m(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  in the sense of Definition 6.1. Then, there exists  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that for any  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$ , problem (1.1) has a pair of solutions  $\pm u_\lambda$  such that, as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ ,*

$$p\gamma^{p-1}u_\lambda(x) \rightarrow 8\pi \sum_{i=1}^m a_i G(x, \xi_i^*) \quad \text{in } C_{loc}^1(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{\xi_1^*, \dots, \xi_m^*\}), \quad (1.24)$$

and

$$p\gamma^{p-1}\lambda u_\lambda|u_\lambda|^{p-2}e^{|u_\lambda|^p} \rightharpoonup 8\pi \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \delta_{\xi_i^*} \quad \text{weakly in the sense of measure in } \bar{\Omega}, \quad (1.25)$$

and

$$\beta_\lambda = \frac{\lambda p}{2} \left( \int_\Omega (e^{|u_\lambda|^p} - 1) dx \right)^{\frac{2-p}{p}} \left( \int_\Omega |u_\lambda|^p e^{|u_\lambda|^p} dx \right)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}} = 4\pi m + O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^2}\right), \quad (1.26)$$

but for any  $0 < p < 1$ ,

$$\beta_\lambda \leq 4\pi \left\{ m + \frac{4(p-1)}{p^2 \gamma^{2p}} \left[ 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|}\right) \right] \right\} < 4\pi m, \quad (1.27)$$

and for any  $1 < p < 2$ ,

$$\beta_\lambda \geq 4\pi m \left\{ 1 + \frac{4(p-1)}{p^2 \gamma^{2p}} \left[ 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|}\right) \right] \right\} > 4\pi m, \quad (1.28)$$

where  $a_i \in \{-1, 1\}$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\varepsilon$  are defined in (1.7)-(1.8). More precisely,

$$\begin{aligned} u_\lambda(x) &= \frac{1}{p\gamma^{p-1}} \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \left\{ \log \frac{1}{((\varepsilon\mu_i^\varepsilon)^2 + |x - \xi_i^\varepsilon|^2)^2} + 8\pi H(x, \xi_i^\varepsilon) + \sum_{j=1}^3 \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^j \frac{1}{\gamma^{jp}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left[ \omega_{\mu_i^\varepsilon}^j \left(\frac{x - \xi_i^\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\right) + D_{\mu_i^\varepsilon}^j \log(\varepsilon\mu_i^\varepsilon) - 2\pi D_{\mu_i^\varepsilon}^j H(x, \xi_i^\varepsilon) \right] + O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^3}\right) \right\} \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (1.29)$$

uniformly in  $C(\bar{\Omega}) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ , where  $\omega_{\mu_i^\varepsilon}^j$  and  $D_{\mu_i^\varepsilon}^j$  are defined in (2.6) and (2.11), respectively,  $\mu_i^\varepsilon$  satisfies  $1/C \leq \mu_i^\varepsilon \leq C$  for some  $C > 0$ , and  $\xi^\varepsilon = (\xi_1^\varepsilon, \dots, \xi_m^\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{F}_m(\Omega)$  converges along a subsequence towards  $\xi^*$ . In addition, if

$$a_1 + \dots + a_m = 0, \quad (1.30)$$

then, for any  $\lambda > 0$  small enough,

$$\overline{\{x \in \Omega : u_\lambda(x) = 0\}} \cap \partial\Omega \neq \emptyset. \quad (1.31)$$

When the free parameter  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ , according to Theorem 1.1 we can construct a family of positive or sign-changing *weak* solutions  $u_\lambda$  of problem (1.1) whose arbitrary  $m$  bubbling points locate near a  $C^1$ -stable critical point of the path function  $\varphi_m(\xi)$  and whose energy  $\beta_\lambda \rightarrow 4m\pi$  for  $p = 1$ ,  $\beta_\lambda \uparrow 4m\pi$  for  $p \in (0, 1)$ , but  $\beta_\lambda \downarrow 4m\pi$  for  $p \in (1, 2)$ . Observe that critical points  $u_\beta$  of the aforementioned functional  $I_{p,\beta}$  defined in (1.2) exactly solve (1.1), but  $\lambda > 0$  is a Lagrange multiplier constrained by the energy relation (1.3) of the parameter  $\beta$ . So, Theorem 1.1 hints that if the free parameter  $\beta \rightarrow 4m\pi$  for  $p = 1$ ,  $\beta \uparrow 4m\pi$  for  $p \in (0, 1)$ , but  $\beta \downarrow 4m\pi$  for  $p \in (1, 2)$ , and the path function  $\varphi_m(\xi)$  has a  $C^1$ -stable critical point  $\xi^* = (\xi_1^*, \dots, \xi_m^*)$  in  $\mathcal{F}_m(\Omega)$ , then, the functional  $I_{p,\beta}$  will have a family of positive or sign-changing critical points  $u_\beta$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  blowing up at each point  $\xi_i^*$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$  and  $\lambda = \lambda_\beta \rightarrow 0+$ . In this direction, it has been testified partly for the existence of positive bubbling critical points of  $I_{p,\beta}$ , which can be found in [35] for  $p = 1$  and in [32, 46] for  $p \in (1, 2)$ . As for every  $\beta > 0$  and  $p = 2$ , the classical Moser-Trudinger functional (1.12) with the Dirichlet energy constraint (1.13) always has a positive critical point, which is proven respectively in [46] if  $\Omega$  is non-simply connected and in [32] if the domain  $\Omega$  is replaced by a closed Riemann surface. Also, it is proven in [22] that the Moser-Trudinger functional (1.12) always has a positive 1-bubble critical point whose Dirichlet energy  $\beta \downarrow 4\pi$  if the smooth domain  $\Omega$  is bounded, a positive 2-bubble critical point whose Dirichlet energy  $\beta \downarrow 8\pi$  if  $\Omega$  is non-simply connected, a positive  $m$ -bubble critical point whose Dirichlet energy  $\beta \downarrow 4m\pi$  if  $\Omega$  is an annulus. Additionally, when  $\Omega$  is a sufficiently symmetric domain, it is proven in [48] that for any integer  $m \geq 1$  and any  $\beta \geq 4m\pi$ , the Moser-Trudinger functional (1.12) has a family of sign-changing critical points  $u_\varepsilon$  with  $m$  positive spherical bubbles clustered at  $0 \in \Omega$  such that  $\|u_\varepsilon\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 \rightarrow \beta$  and  $u_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup u_0$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , where  $u_0$  is a sign-changing critical point of the Moser-Trudinger functional (1.12) under the constraint of the Dirichlet energy at  $\beta - 4m\pi$  if  $\beta > 4m\pi$ , but  $u_0 = 0$  if  $\beta = 4m\pi$ .

The proof of expansions (1.26)-(1.28) of critical energy of bubbling solutions is based on two key identities (6.9) and (6.11) of first order and second order. When  $p \in (0, 2)$ , the expansion (1.26) of second order of energy  $\beta_\lambda$  of positive or sign-changing  $m$ -bubble solutions arises from the delicate Taylor expansion and the vanishing identity (6.9) of first order. For  $m = 1$ , by using the identity (6.11) of second order we can compute

$$\beta_\lambda = 4\pi \left\{ 1 + \frac{4(p-1)}{p^2 \gamma^{2p}} \left[ 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|}\right) \right] \right\}. \quad (1.32)$$

For general  $m \geq 1$ , by applying Hölder's inequality for vectors in  $\mathbb{R}_+^m$  and using identities (6.9) and (6.11) again we conclude (1.27)-(1.28) and hence observe that  $\beta_\lambda \uparrow 4\pi m$  for  $p \in (0, 1)$ , but  $\beta_\lambda \downarrow 4\pi m$  for  $p \in (1, 2)$ . Additionally, noticing that from Corollaries A.8 and B.6 the identities (6.9) and (6.11) still hold for  $p = 2$ , along the analogous proof in (1.26) and (1.28) it is possible for the aforementioned construction of positive or sign-changing  $m$ -bubble solutions  $u_\lambda$  of equation (1.1) under  $p = 2$  to prove that the Dirichlet energy

$$\beta_\lambda = \lambda \int_{\Omega} u_\lambda^2 e^{u_\lambda^2} dx = \|u_\lambda\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 \geq 4\pi \left\{ m + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\gamma_i^4} [1 + o(1)] \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_\lambda \downarrow 4\pi m, \quad (1.33)$$

and hence sharply recur the corresponding inequality in Page 1237 of [32], in particular for  $m = 1$  and  $p = 2$ ,

$$\beta_\lambda = \lambda \int_{\Omega} u_\lambda^2 e^{u_\lambda^2} dx = 4\pi + \frac{4\pi}{\gamma_1^4} + o\left(\frac{1}{\gamma_1^4}\right), \quad (1.34)$$

where  $\gamma_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , are the aforementioned bubble heights defined by (1.16). Here, it easily checks that the second  $4\pi$  appearing in (1.34) can be derived from the identity (6.11) of second order under  $p = 2$  and hence obtain its solid interpretation of mathematical structure for 1-bubble solution of equation (1.11). This, together with asymptotic analysis of 1-bubble positive solutions of equation (1.11) in [32, 47], implies that the identity (6.11) of second order under  $p = 2$  gives an explicit geometric meaning to the term  $4\pi/\gamma_1^4$  in (1.34) and hence positively answers the **Open Problem 3** raised by Mancini-Martinazzi in Page 13 of [47].

If  $\Omega$  is  $C^2$ , the asymptotic behavior (1.24) of solutions  $u_\lambda$  uniformly away from each bubbling point can be derived from an *a priori*  $C^{1,\alpha}$  estimate in Lemma 6.2 and the Ascoli-Arzelá Theorem. Furthermore, we prove that if condition (1.30) holds, namely the total numbers of changing signs of bubbling solutions  $u_\lambda$  are even, then, the nodal line of these solutions always intersects the boundary of  $\Omega$ . Moreover, we find that as for the construction of nodal bubbling solutions with the intersection property between the nodal line of these solutions and the boundary of  $\Omega$ , condition (1.30) is not only suitable for the Liouville type equation (1.1) under  $p \in (0, 2)$ , but also for a two-dimensional sinh-Poisson equation in [9] and a two-dimensional Lane-Emden equation with large exponent in [37]. Additionally, motivated by [9] with respect to the construction of sign-changing bubbling solutions on a nonsmooth domain, it follows that if  $\Omega$  is a convex polygon with a finite number of corner points  $\{\varsigma_1, \dots, \varsigma_n\} \subset \partial\Omega$  and inner angles  $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n\}$ ,  $\theta_i \in (0, \pi)$ , then, by using Grisvard's elliptic regularity theory in nonsmooth domains [41] and Adams's standard Sobolev space theory [1] we believe that the pointwise  $C^1$ -limiting behavior (1.24) still holds and hence Theorem 1.1 can be also proven to be true in this nonsmooth domain although we apply the finite-dimensional Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction method in the scheme of a  $L^\infty$ -space involving the new weighted function (2.27) instead of the Hilbert space  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  just as [9, 35].

Remarks that the construction of positive or sign-changing bubbling solutions of problem (1.1) strongly depends on the assumption that the Kirchhoff-Routh path function  $\varphi_m(\xi)$  has a  $C^1$ -stable critical point  $\xi^* = (\xi_1^*, \dots, \xi_m^*)$  in  $\mathcal{F}_m(\Omega)$ . If  $a_i = 1$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , from [20, 35] it follows that whether  $\Omega$  is non-simply connected or an  $h$ -dumbbell with thin handles and  $h \geq m$ , such a stable critical point always exists and hence Theorem 1.1 implies that problem (1.1) admits a positive solution blowing up at each point  $\xi_i^*$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . While if  $a_i a_j = -1$  for some  $i$  and  $j$ , we observe that such a stable critical point also always exists in the following three cases:

- (C1) if  $m = 2$ ,  $a_1 = 1$  and  $a_2 = -1$  (see [9, 10, 37]);
- (C2) if  $m = 3$  or  $m = 4$  and  $a_i = (-1)^{i+1}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$  (see [10]);
- (C3) if  $\Omega$  is symmetric with respect a line through it, then for all  $m \geq 1$  and  $a_i = (-1)^{i+1}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$  (see [11]);

hence by Theorem 1.1 we get the existence of a sign-changing solution of (1.1) blowing up at each point  $\xi_i^*$ . More exactly, let

$$\mathcal{C}_2(\Omega) := \mathcal{F}_2(\Omega)/(\xi_1, \xi_2) \sim (\xi_2, \xi_1) = \{(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \Omega \times \Omega : \xi_1 \neq \xi_2\}/(\xi_1, \xi_2) \sim (\xi_2, \xi_1)$$

be the quotient manifold of  $\mathcal{F}_2(\Omega)$  modulo the equivalence  $(\xi_1, \xi_2) \sim (\xi_2, \xi_1)$  and define  $\text{cat}(\mathcal{C}_2(\Omega))$  as the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of  $\mathcal{C}_2(\Omega)$ . Here  $\text{cat}(\mathcal{C}_2(\Omega)) \geq 2$  (see [8]). Then we have the following theorems.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Fix  $m = 2$ . Then for any  $p \in (0, 2)$ , there exists  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that for any  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$ ,*

- (i) *problem (1.1) has at least  $k := \text{cat}(\mathcal{C}_2(\Omega))$  pairs of sign-changing solutions  $\pm u_\lambda^i$  with  $i = 1, \dots, k$  such that as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ ,*

$$p\gamma^{p-1}\lambda u_\lambda^i |u_\lambda^i|^{p-2} e^{|u_\lambda^i|^p} \rightharpoonup 8\pi(\delta_{\xi_1^i} - \delta_{\xi_2^i}) \quad \text{weakly in the sense of measure in } \bar{\Omega},$$

- where the blow-up point  $\xi^i = (\xi_1^i, \xi_2^i) \in \mathcal{F}_2(\Omega)$  is a critical point of  $\varphi_2$  with  $a_1 = 1$  and  $a_2 = -1$ ;
- (ii) the set  $\Omega \setminus \{x \in \Omega : u_\lambda^i(x) = 0\}$  has exactly two connected components;
- (iii)  $\{x \in \Omega : u_\lambda^i(x) = 0\} \cap \partial\Omega \neq \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** Fix  $m = 3$  or  $m = 4$ . Then for any  $p \in (0, 2)$ , there exists  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that for any  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$ , problem (1.1) has a pair of sign-changing solutions  $\pm u_\lambda$  such that as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$p\gamma^{p-1}\lambda u_\lambda |u_\lambda|^{p-2} e^{|u_\lambda|^p} \rightharpoonup 8\pi \sum_{i=1}^m (-1)^{i+1} \delta_{\xi_i^*} \quad \text{weakly in the sense of measure in } \bar{\Omega},$$

where the blow-up point  $\xi^* = (\xi_1^*, \dots, \xi_m^*) \in \mathcal{F}_m(\Omega)$  is a critical point of  $\varphi_m$  with  $a_i = (-1)^{i+1}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ .

**Theorem 1.4.** Assume that  $\Omega \cap (\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\Omega$  is symmetric with respect to the reflection at  $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$ . Then for any  $p \in (0, 2)$  and any fixed integer  $m \geq 1$ , there exists  $\lambda_0 = \lambda_0(m) > 0$  such that for any  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$ , problem (1.1) has a pair of sign-changing solutions  $\pm u_\lambda$  such that as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$p\gamma^{p-1}\lambda u_\lambda |u_\lambda|^{p-2} e^{|u_\lambda|^p} \rightharpoonup 8\pi \sum_{i=1}^m (-1)^{i+1} \delta_{\xi_i^*} \quad \text{weakly in the sense of measure in } \bar{\Omega},$$

where the blow-up point  $\xi^* = (\xi_1^*, \dots, \xi_m^*) \in \mathcal{F}_m(\Omega)$  is a critical point of  $\varphi_m$  with  $a_i = (-1)^{i+1}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , and it satisfies  $\xi_i^* = (t_i, 0)$ ,  $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_m$ .

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we describe a good approximation for the solution of problem (1.1) and estimate the error. Then we rewrite problem (1.1) in terms of a linearized operator  $\mathcal{L}$  for which a solvability theory is performed through solving a linearized problem in Section 3. In Section 4 we solve a nonlinear projected problem. Then we reduce (1.1) to solve a finite system  $c_{ij} = 0$  and compute the  $C^1$ -expansion of the reduction function  $F_\lambda$ , as we will see in Section 5. Section 6 gives the proof of Theorems 1.1-1.4. In the last two sections, we give the proof of the vanishing identity (6.9) of first order and the identity (6.11) of second order, respectively.

Notation: In this paper the letters  $C$  and  $D$  will always denote some universal positive constants independent of  $\lambda$  and  $\varepsilon$ , which could be changed from one line to another. The symbol  $o(t)$  (respectively  $O(t)$ ) will denote a quantity for which  $\frac{o(t)}{|t|}$  tends to zero (respectively,  $\frac{O(t)}{|t|}$  stays bounded) as parameter  $t$  goes to zero. Moreover, we will use the notation  $o(1)$  (respectively  $O(1)$ ) to stand for a quantity which tends to zero (respectively, which remains uniformly bounded) as  $\lambda$  and  $\varepsilon$  tend to zero.

## 2. AN APPROXIMATION FOR THE SOLUTION

The basic cells to obtain an approximate solution of problem (1.1) are given by the four-parameter family of functions

$$\omega_{\varepsilon, \mu, \xi}(z) = \log \frac{8\mu^2}{(\varepsilon^2\mu^2 + |z - \xi|^2)^2}, \quad \varepsilon > 0, \quad \mu > 0, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (2.1)$$

which exactly solve

$$-\Delta\omega = \varepsilon^2 e^\omega \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varepsilon^2 e^\omega = 8\pi.$$

Set

$$\omega_\mu(z) = \omega_{1, \mu, (0,0)}(|z|) \equiv \log \frac{8\mu^2}{(\mu^2 + |z|^2)^2}. \quad (2.2)$$

The configuration space for  $m$  concentration points  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)$  we try to look for is the following

$$\mathcal{O}_d := \{ \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \Omega^m : |\xi_i - \xi_j| \geq 4d, \quad \text{dist}(\xi_i, \partial\Omega) \geq 4d, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, m, \quad i \neq j \}, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $d > 0$  is a small but fixed number. Let us fix  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathcal{O}_d$ . For numbers  $\mu_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , yet to be chosen, but we always consider

$$d \leq \mu_i \leq 1/d, \quad i = 1, \dots, m. \quad (2.4)$$

Let

$$U_i(x) = \frac{1}{p\gamma^{p-1}} \left[ \omega_{\varepsilon, \mu_i, \xi_i}(x) + \sum_{j=1}^3 \left( \frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{1}{\gamma^{jp}} \omega_{\mu_i}^j \left( \frac{x - \xi_i}{\varepsilon} \right) \right], \quad i = 1, \dots, m. \quad (2.5)$$

Here,  $\omega_{\mu_i}^j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$ , are radial solutions of

$$\Delta \omega_{\mu_i}^j + e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(|z|)} \omega_{\mu_i}^j = e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(|z|)} f_{\mu_i}^j \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (2.6)$$

with

$$f_{\mu_i}^1 = - \left[ \omega_{\mu_i} + \frac{1}{2} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \right], \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$f_{\mu_i}^2 = - \left\{ \left[ \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{p-2}{2(p-1)} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \right] + \omega_{\mu_i} \left[ \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \right] + \omega_{\mu_i} \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{p-2}{6(p-1)} (\omega_{\mu_i})^3 + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \right]^2 \right\}, \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mu_i}^3 = & - \left\{ \left[ \omega_{\mu_i}^2 + \frac{p-2}{p-1} \omega_{\mu_i} \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{(p-2)(p-3)}{6(p-1)^2} (\omega_{\mu_i})^3 \right] + \left[ \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{p-2}{2(p-1)} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \right] \left[ \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{(\omega_{\mu_i})^2}{2} \right] + \omega_{\mu_i} \left[ \omega_{\mu_i} \omega_{\mu_i}^1 \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \omega_{\mu_i}^2 + \frac{p-2}{6(p-1)} (\omega_{\mu_i})^3 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \right)^2 \right] + \omega_{\mu_i} \omega_{\mu_i}^2 + \frac{p-2}{2(p-1)} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{(p-2)(p-3)}{24(p-1)^2} (\omega_{\mu_i})^4 \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{2} (\omega_{\mu_i}^1)^2 + \left[ \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \right] \left[ \omega_{\mu_i}^2 + \omega_{\mu_i} \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{p-2}{6(p-1)} (\omega_{\mu_i})^3 \right] + \frac{1}{6} \left[ \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \right]^3 \right\}, \quad \text{for } p \neq 1, \quad (2.9) \end{aligned}$$

having asymptotic (see [15, 36, 47])

$$\begin{cases} \omega_{\mu_i}^j(z) = \frac{D_{\mu_i}^j}{2} \log \left( 1 + \frac{|z|^2}{\mu_i^2} \right) + O \left( \frac{\mu_i}{\mu_i + |z|} \right) & \text{as } |z| \rightarrow +\infty, \\ \nabla \omega_{\mu_i}^j(z) = D_{\mu_i}^j \cdot \frac{z}{\mu_i^2 + |z|^2} + O \left( \frac{\mu_i}{\mu_i^2 + |z|^2} \right) & \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{R}^2, \end{cases} \quad (2.10)$$

for  $j = 1, 2, 3$ , where

$$D_{\mu_i}^j = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Delta [\omega_{\mu_i}^j(\mu_i y)] dy \quad \text{and} \quad D_{\mu_i}^j = 8 \int_0^{+\infty} t \frac{t^2 - 1}{(t^2 + 1)^3} f_{\mu_i}^j(\mu_i t) dt, \quad (2.11)$$

in particular,  $D_{\mu_i}^2$  is explicitly computed by (8.8) and

$$D_{\mu_i}^1 = 4 \log 8 - 8 - 8 \log \mu_i. \quad (2.12)$$

We now approximate the solution of problem (1.1) by

$$U_{\xi}(x) := \sum_{i=1}^m a_i P U_i(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i [U_i(x) + H_i(x)], \quad (2.13)$$

where  $a_i \in \{-1, 1\}$  and  $H_i$  is a correction term defined as the solution of

$$-\Delta H_i = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad H_i = -U_i \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \quad (2.14)$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *For any  $i = 1, \dots, m$  and for any  $\varepsilon$  small enough,*

$$H_i(x) = \frac{1}{p\gamma^{p-1}} \left\{ \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^3 \left( \frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{D_{\mu_i}^j}{\gamma^{jp}} \right] 8\pi H(x, \xi_i) - \log(8\mu_i^2) + \left[ \sum_{j=1}^3 \left( \frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{D_{\mu_i}^j}{\gamma^{jp}} \right] \log(\varepsilon \mu_i) + O \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{|\log \varepsilon|} \right) \right\}, \quad (2.15)$$

where the convergence holds in  $C^1(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C^\infty(\Omega)$  uniformly for any  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathcal{O}_d$  and for any  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)$  satisfying assumption (2.4).

*Proof.* Since  $\text{dist}(\xi_i, \partial\Omega) \geq 4d$  for any  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , by (2.1), (2.5) and (2.10) we readily get

$$H_i(x) = \frac{1}{p\gamma^{p-1}} \left\{ \left[ 2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^3 \left( \frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{D^j_{\mu_i}}{\gamma^{jp}} \right] \log(\varepsilon^2 \mu_i^2 + |x - \xi_i|^2) - \log(8\mu_i^2) + \left[ \sum_{j=1}^3 \left( \frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{D^j_{\mu_i}}{\gamma^{jp}} \right] \log(\varepsilon \mu_i) + \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} O\left( \frac{\varepsilon \mu_i}{\varepsilon \mu_i + |x - \xi_i|} \right) \right\}$$

in  $C^1(\partial\Omega)$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Consider the harmonic function

$$Z_i(x) = p\gamma^{p-1} H_i(x) - \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^3 \left( \frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{D^j_{\mu_i}}{\gamma^{jp}} \right] 8\pi H(x, \xi_i) + \log(8\mu_i^2) - \left[ \sum_{j=1}^3 \left( \frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{D^j_{\mu_i}}{\gamma^{jp}} \right] \log(\varepsilon \mu_i).$$

From (1.4)-(1.5) we have clearly that for any  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathcal{O}_d$  and for any  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)$  satisfying assumption (2.4),

$$Z_i(x) = O\left( \frac{\varepsilon}{|\log \varepsilon|} \right) \quad \text{uniformly in } C^1(\partial\Omega). \quad (2.16)$$

According to the maximum principle and the Green's representation formula for harmonic function we derive the  $C^\infty(\Omega)$ -convergence in expansion (2.15). By Theorem 6.13 in [40] we deduce the  $C(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C^\infty(\Omega)$ -convergence in (2.15). Furthermore, using the boundary gradient estimate in Proposition 2.20 of [43], by (2.16) we obtain the  $C^1(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C^\infty(\Omega)$ -convergence in (2.15).  $\square$

From Lemma 2.1, uniformly far away from each point  $\xi_i$ , namely  $|x - \xi_i| \geq d$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , one has

$$U_\xi(x) = \frac{1}{p\gamma^{p-1}} \sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^3 \left( \frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{D^j_{\mu_i}}{\gamma^{jp}} \right] 8\pi a_i G(x, \xi_i) + O(\varepsilon) \right\}. \quad (2.17)$$

But for  $|x - \xi_i| < d$  with some  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ ,

$$PU_i(x) = \frac{1}{p\gamma^{p-1}} \left\{ p\gamma^p + \omega_{\mu_i} \left( \frac{x - \xi_i}{\varepsilon} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^3 \left( \frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{1}{\gamma^{jp}} \omega_{\mu_i}^j \left( \frac{x - \xi_i}{\varepsilon} \right) + \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^3 \left( \frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{D^j_{\mu_i}}{\gamma^{jp}} \right] 8\pi H(\xi_i, \xi_i) - \log(8\mu_i^2) + \left[ \sum_{j=1}^3 \left( \frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{D^j_{\mu_i}}{\gamma^{jp}} \right] \log(\varepsilon \mu_i) + O(|x - \xi_i| + \varepsilon) \right\},$$

and for any  $k \neq i$ ,

$$PU_k(x) = \frac{1}{p\gamma^{p-1}} \left\{ \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^3 \left( \frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{D^j_{\mu_k}}{\gamma^{jp}} \right] 8\pi G(\xi_i, \xi_k) + O(|x - \xi_i| + \varepsilon) \right\}.$$

Hence for  $|x - \xi_i| < d$ ,

$$U_\xi(x) = \frac{a_i}{p\gamma^{p-1}} \left[ p\gamma^p + \omega_{\mu_i} \left( \frac{x - \xi_i}{\varepsilon} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^3 \left( \frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{1}{\gamma^{jp}} \omega_{\mu_i}^j \left( \frac{x - \xi_i}{\varepsilon} \right) + O(|x - \xi_i| + \varepsilon) \right] \quad (2.18)$$

will be a good approximation for the solution of problem (1.1) near the point  $\xi_i$  provided that for each  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , the concentration parameter  $\mu_i$  satisfies the nonlinear system

$$\begin{aligned} \log(8\mu_i^2) &= \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^3 \left( \frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{D_{\mu_i}^j}{\gamma^{jp}} \right] 8\pi H(\xi_i, \xi_i) + \left[ \sum_{j=1}^3 \left( \frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{D_{\mu_i}^j}{\gamma^{jp}} \right] \log(\varepsilon\mu_i) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1, k \neq i}^m \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^3 \left( \frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{D_{\mu_k}^j}{\gamma^{jp}} \right] 8\pi a_i a_k G(\xi_i, \xi_k). \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

From (1.8), (2.11), (2.12) and the Implicit Function Theorem it follows that for any sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$  and any points  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathcal{O}_d$ , system (2.19) has a unique solution  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)$  satisfying (2.4). Moreover, for any  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ,

$$\log(8\mu_i^2) = \left\{ \frac{2(p-1)}{2-p} (1 - \log 8) + \frac{8\pi}{2-p} \left[ H(\xi_i, \xi_i) + \sum_{k=1, k \neq i}^m a_i a_k G(\xi_i, \xi_k) \right] \right\} \left[ 1 + O\left( \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|} \right) \right]. \quad (2.20)$$

We make the change of variables

$$v(y) = p\gamma^{p-1}u(\varepsilon y) - p\gamma^p, \quad \forall y \in \Omega_\varepsilon := \varepsilon^{-1}\Omega. \quad (2.21)$$

From the definitions of  $\varepsilon$  and  $\gamma$  in relations (1.7)-(1.8) we can rewrite equation (1.1) in the following form

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v = f(v) & \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon, \\ v = -p\gamma^p & \text{on } \partial\Omega_\varepsilon, \end{cases} \quad (2.22)$$

where

$$f(v) = \left( 1 + \frac{v}{p\gamma^p} \right) \left| 1 + \frac{v}{p\gamma^p} \right|^{p-2} e^{\gamma^p (|1 + \frac{v}{p\gamma^p}|^p - 1)}. \quad (2.23)$$

For equation (2.22) we write  $\xi'_i = \xi_i/\varepsilon$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$  and define its corresponding approximate solution as

$$V_{\xi'}(y) = p\gamma^{p-1}U_\xi(\varepsilon y) - p\gamma^p, \quad (2.24)$$

with  $\xi' = (\xi'_1, \dots, \xi'_m)$  and  $U_\xi$  defined in (2.13). What remains of this paper is to look for solutions of problem (2.22) in the form  $v = V_{\xi'} + \phi$ , where  $\phi$  will represent a higher order correction. In terms of  $\phi$ , problem (2.22) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}(\phi) = -[E_{\xi'} + N(\phi)] & \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon, \\ \phi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_\varepsilon, \end{cases} \quad (2.25)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}(\phi) := -\Delta\phi - W_{\xi'}\phi \quad \text{with} \quad W_{\xi'} := f'(V_{\xi'}),$$

and

$$E_{\xi'} := -\Delta V_{\xi'} - f(V_{\xi'}), \quad N(\phi) := -[f(V_{\xi'} + \phi) - f(V_{\xi'}) - f'(V_{\xi'})\phi]. \quad (2.26)$$

A key step in solving (2.25), or equivalently (1.1), is that of a solvability theory for the linear operator  $\mathcal{L}$  under the configuration space  $\mathcal{O}_d$  of concentration points  $\xi_i$ . In developing this theory, we will take into account the invariance, under translations and dilations, of the problem  $\Delta e^v + e^v = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We will perform the solvability theory for the linear operator  $\mathcal{L}$  in a new weighted  $L^\infty$  space, following [20, 36]. For any  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathcal{O}_d$  and  $h \in L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)$ , let us introduce a  $L^\infty$ -norm  $\|h\|_* := \sup_{y \in \overline{\Omega_\varepsilon}} |\mathbf{H}_{\xi'}(y)h(y)|$  involving the new weighted function

$$\mathbf{H}_{\xi'}(y) = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\mu_i^\sigma}{(\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi'_i|^2)^{(2+\sigma)/2}} \right]^{-1}, \quad (2.27)$$

where  $\sigma$  is small but fixed, independent of  $\varepsilon$ , such that  $0 < \sigma < \min\{(2-p)/p, 1/2\}$ . With respect to the  $\|\cdot\|_*$ -norm, the error term  $E_{\xi'}$  defined in (2.26) can be estimated as follows.

**Proposition 2.2.** *There exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for any  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathcal{O}_d$  and for any  $\varepsilon$  small enough,*

$$\|E_{\xi'}\|_* \leq \frac{C}{\gamma^{4p}} = O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^4}\right). \quad (2.28)$$

*Proof.* Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta V_{\xi'}(y) &= -p\gamma^{p-1}\varepsilon^2 \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \Delta(U_i + H_i)(\varepsilon y) = -p\gamma^{p-1}\varepsilon^2 \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \Delta U_i(\varepsilon y) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m a_i e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} \left[ 1 + \sum_{j=1}^3 \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^j \frac{1}{\gamma^{jp}} (\omega_{\mu_i}^j - f_{\mu_i}^j) \right] (y - \xi'_i). \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

From (2.2), (2.4) and (2.10) we get that if  $|y - \xi'_i| \geq d/\varepsilon$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ,

$$\omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i) = 4 \log \varepsilon + O(1), \quad \omega_{\mu_i}^j(y - \xi'_i) = -D_{\mu_i}^j \log \varepsilon + O(1), \quad j = 1, 2, 3,$$

and then, by (2.7)-(2.9),

$$|-\Delta V_{\xi'}(y)| = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} \right] O(|\log \varepsilon|^3). \quad (2.30)$$

On the other hand, in the same region, by (2.17) and (2.24) we obtain

$$\left| 1 + \frac{V_{\xi'}(y)}{p\gamma^p} \right| = \left| \frac{p\gamma^{p-1}U_{\xi}(\varepsilon y)}{p\gamma^p} \right| = O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|}\right), \quad (2.31)$$

then

$$|f(V_{\xi'})| = \left| 1 + \frac{V_{\xi'}}{p\gamma^p} \right|^{p-1} e^{\gamma^p \left( \left| 1 + \frac{V_{\xi'}}{p\gamma^p} \right|^p - 1 \right)} = \frac{O(\varepsilon^{\frac{2+p}{p}})}{|\log \varepsilon|^{p-1}} \exp \left[ -\frac{2-p}{p} |\log \varepsilon| + O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^{p-1}}\right) \right], \quad (2.32)$$

which, together with (2.27) and (2.30), implies that for any  $0 < p < 2$ ,

$$|\mathbf{H}_{\xi'}(y)E_{\xi'}(y)| \leq C \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{|\log \varepsilon|^3}{(\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi'_i|)^{(2-\sigma)/2}} + \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{2-p}{p}-\sigma}}{|\log \varepsilon|^{p-1}} \exp \left[ -\frac{2-p}{p} |\log \varepsilon| + O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^{p-1}}\right) \right] \right\} = o\left(\frac{1}{\gamma^{4p}}\right). \quad (2.33)$$

Let us fix an index  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  and the region  $|y - \xi'_i| \leq d/\varepsilon^\theta$  with any  $\theta < 1$  but close enough to 1. From (2.10), (2.18), (2.24) and the Taylor expansion we have that in the ball  $|y - \xi'_i| < \mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^\tau$  with  $\tau \geq 10$  sufficiently large but fixed,

$$\begin{aligned} \left( 1 + \frac{V_{\xi'}}{p\gamma^p} \right) \left| 1 + \frac{V_{\xi'}}{p\gamma^p} \right|^{p-2} &= a_i \left\{ 1 + \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} \underbrace{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)}_{A_1} + \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^2 \frac{1}{\gamma^{2p}} \underbrace{\left[ \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{p-2}{2(p-1)} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \right]}_{A_2} (y - \xi'_i) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^3 \frac{1}{\gamma^{3p}} \underbrace{\left[ \omega_{\mu_i}^2 + \frac{p-2}{p-1} \omega_{\mu_i} \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{(p-2)(p-3)}{6(p-1)^2} (\omega_{\mu_i})^3 \right]}_{A_3} (y - \xi'_i) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + O\left(\frac{\log^4(\mu_i + |y - \xi'_i|)}{\gamma^{4p}}\right) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma^p \left( \left| 1 + \frac{V_{\xi'}'}{p\gamma^p} \right|^p - 1 \right) &= \underbrace{\omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi_i')}_{A_1} + \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} \underbrace{\left[ \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \right]}_{B_1} (y - \xi_i') \\
&+ \left( \frac{p-1}{p} \right)^2 \frac{1}{\gamma^{2p}} \underbrace{\left[ \omega_{\mu_i}^2 + \omega_{\mu_i} \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{p-2}{6(p-1)} (\omega_{\mu_i})^3 \right]}_{B_2} (y - \xi_i') \\
&+ \left( \frac{p-1}{p} \right)^3 \frac{1}{\gamma^{3p}} \underbrace{\left[ \omega_{\mu_i}^3 + \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{\mu_i}^1)^2 + \omega_{\mu_i} \omega_{\mu_i}^2 + \frac{p-2}{2(p-1)} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{(p-2)(p-3)}{24(p-1)^2} (\omega_{\mu_i})^4 \right]}_{B_3} (y - \xi_i') \\
&+ O \left( \frac{\log^5(\mu_i + |y - \xi_i'|)}{\gamma^{4p}} \right). \tag{2.34}
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{\gamma^p \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{V_{\xi'}'}{p\gamma^p} \right)^p - 1 \right]} &= e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi_i')} \left\{ 1 + \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} B_1 + \left( \frac{p-1}{p} \right)^2 \frac{1}{\gamma^{2p}} \left[ B_2 + \frac{1}{2}(B_1)^2 \right] \right. \\
&\left. + \left( \frac{p-1}{p} \right)^3 \frac{1}{\gamma^{3p}} \left[ B_3 + B_1 B_2 + \frac{1}{6}(B_1)^3 \right] + O \left( \frac{\log^5(\mu_i + |y - \xi_i'|)}{\gamma^{4p}} \right) \right\}. \tag{2.35}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus by the definition of  $f(\cdot)$  in (2.23) and the definitions of  $f_{\mu_i}^j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$  in (2.7)-(2.9),

$$\begin{aligned}
f(V_{\xi'}) &= a_i e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi_i')} \left\{ 1 + \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} \underbrace{(A_1 + B_1)}_{=(\omega_{\mu_i}^1 - f_{\mu_i}^1)(y - \xi_i')} + \left( \frac{p-1}{p} \right)^2 \frac{1}{\gamma^{2p}} \underbrace{\left[ A_2 + A_1 B_1 + B_2 + \frac{1}{2}(B_1)^2 \right]}_{=(\omega_{\mu_i}^2 - f_{\mu_i}^2)(y - \xi_i')} \right. \\
&+ \left( \frac{p-1}{p} \right)^3 \frac{1}{\gamma^{3p}} \underbrace{\left[ A_3 + A_2 B_1 + A_1 \left( B_2 + \frac{1}{2}(B_1)^2 \right) + B_3 + B_1 B_2 + \frac{1}{6}(B_1)^3 \right]}_{=(\omega_{\mu_i}^3 - f_{\mu_i}^3)(y - \xi_i')} \\
&\left. + O \left( \frac{\log^8(\mu_i + |y - \xi_i'|)}{\gamma^{4p}} \right) \right\}. \tag{2.36}
\end{aligned}$$

From (2.29) and (2.36) we obtain that in the region  $|y - \xi_i'| < \mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^\tau$ ,

$$E_{\xi'} = -\Delta V_{\xi'} - f(V_{\xi'}) = a_i e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi_i')} O \left( \frac{\log^8(\mu_i + |y - \xi_i'|)}{\gamma^{4p}} \right),$$

then by (2.27),

$$|\mathbf{H}_{\xi'}(y) E_{\xi'}(y)| \leq \frac{C}{\gamma^{4p}} \frac{\log^8(\mu_i + |y - \xi_i'|)}{(\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi_i'|)^{(2-\sigma)/2}} = O \left( \frac{1}{\gamma^{4p}} \right). \tag{2.37}$$

In the remaining region  $\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^\tau \leq |y - \xi_i'| \leq d/\varepsilon^\theta$  with any  $\theta < 1$  but close enough to 1 and any  $\tau \geq 10$  sufficiently large but fixed, by (2.7)-(2.10) and (2.29) we get that there exists a constant  $D > 0$ , independent of every  $\theta < 1$ , such that

$$|-\Delta V_{\xi'}(y)| \leq D |\log \varepsilon|^3 e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi_i')}. \tag{2.38}$$

In this region, by (2.4), (2.10), (2.18) and (2.24) we can compute

$$1 + \frac{V_{\xi'}}{p\gamma^p} = a_i + \frac{a_i}{p\gamma^p} \left\{ \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^3 \left( \frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{D_{\mu_i}^j}{\gamma^{jp}} \right] \omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i) + \left[ \sum_{j=1}^3 \left( \frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{D_{\mu_i}^j}{\gamma^{jp}} \right] \frac{1}{4} \log \left( \frac{8}{\mu_i^2} \right) + O \left( \frac{\mu_i}{\mu_i + |y - \xi'_i|} \right) \right\},$$

then

$$1 - \theta + \frac{1}{4|\log \varepsilon|} \left[ \log \left( \frac{8\mu_i^2}{d^4} \right) + \frac{(p-1)\theta D_{\mu_i}^1}{4} \right] + O \left( \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^2} \right) \leq \left| 1 + \frac{V_{\xi'}}{p\gamma^p} \right| \leq 1 - \tau \frac{\log |\log \varepsilon|}{|\log \varepsilon|} + O \left( \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|} \right), \quad (2.39)$$

which, together with the Taylor expansion, implies that there exists a constant  $D > 0$ , independent of every  $\theta < 1$ , such that

$$\left| 1 + \frac{V_{\xi'}}{p\gamma^p} \right|^{p-1} \leq D \left( 1 + \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^{p-1}} \right), \quad (2.40)$$

and

$$e^{\gamma^p \left( \left| 1 + \frac{V_{\xi'}}{p\gamma^p} \right|^p - 1 \right)} \leq D e \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^3 \left( \frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{D_{\mu_i}^j}{\gamma^{jp}} \right] \omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i) = O \left( e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i)} \right). \quad (2.41)$$

Hence in the region  $\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^\tau \leq |y - \xi'_i| \leq d/\varepsilon^\theta$ , by (2.27), (2.38), (2.40) and (2.41),

$$|\mathbf{H}_{\xi'}(y) E_{\xi'}(y)| \leq C \left( |\log \varepsilon|^3 + \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^{p-1}} \right) \frac{1}{(\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi'_i|^2)^{(2-\sigma)/2}} = o \left( \frac{1}{\gamma^{4p}} \right),$$

which, together with (2.33) and (2.37), establishes the validity of estimate (2.28).  $\square$

### 3. ANALYSIS OF THE LINEARIZED OPERATOR

In this section we give the solvability theory for the linear operator  $\mathcal{L}$ , uniformly on  $\xi \in \mathcal{O}_d$ , under a  $L^\infty$ -norm involving the weighted function (2.27). Recall that  $\mathcal{L}(\phi) = -\Delta\phi - W_{\xi'}\phi$  with  $W_{\xi'} = f'(V_{\xi'})$ . As in Proposition 2.2, we have for  $W_{\xi'}$  and  $f''(V_{\xi'})$  the following expansions.

**Proposition 3.1.** *There exists a constant  $D_0 > 0$  such that for any  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathcal{O}_d$  and for any  $\varepsilon$  small enough,*

$$|W_{\xi'}(y)| \leq D_0 \sum_{i=1}^m e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i)} \quad \text{and} \quad |f''(V_{\xi'})| \leq D_0 \sum_{i=1}^m e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i)}, \quad (3.1)$$

uniformly in each region  $\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^\tau \leq |y - \xi'_i| \leq d/\varepsilon^\theta$  with any  $\theta < 1$  but close enough to 1 and any  $\tau \geq 10$  sufficiently large but fixed. Moreover, if  $|y - \xi'_i| < \mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^\tau$ ,

$$W_{\xi'}(y) = \frac{8\mu_i^2}{(\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi'_i|^2)^2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} \left[ 1 + \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{\mu_i})^2 + 2\omega_{\mu_i} \right] (y - \xi'_i) + O \left( \frac{\log^4(\mu_i + |y - \xi'_i|)}{\gamma^{2p}} \right) \right\}. \quad (3.2)$$

In addition,

$$\|W_{\xi'}\|_* \leq C \quad \text{and} \quad \|f''(V_{\xi'})\|_* \leq C. \quad (3.3)$$

*Proof.* For the sake of simplicity, we prove the estimates for the potential  $W_{\xi'}$  only. From (2.23) we can get

$$W_{\xi'} = \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} \left| 1 + \frac{V_{\xi'}}{p\gamma^p} \right|^{p-2} e^{\gamma^p \left( \left| 1 + \frac{V_{\xi'}}{p\gamma^p} \right|^p - 1 \right)} + \left| 1 + \frac{V_{\xi'}}{p\gamma^p} \right|^{2(p-1)} e^{\gamma^p \left( \left| 1 + \frac{V_{\xi'}}{p\gamma^p} \right|^p - 1 \right)} := I + J.$$

If  $|y - \xi'_i| < \mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^\tau$  for some  $i = 1, \dots, m$  and  $\tau \geq 10$  sufficiently large but fixed, by (2.35) and the Taylor expansion we get

$$\begin{aligned} I &= e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} \left[ \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \right] (y - \xi'_i) + O \left( \frac{\log^4(\mu_i + |y - \xi'_i|)}{\gamma^{2p}} \right) \right\} \\ &\quad \times \frac{p-2}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} \left[ \frac{p-1}{p-2} + \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} \omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i) + O \left( \frac{\log^2(\mu_i + |y - \xi'_i|)}{\gamma^{2p}} \right) \right], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$J = e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} \left[ \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \right] (y - \xi'_i) + O\left(\frac{\log^4(\mu_i + |y - \xi'_i|)}{\gamma^{2p}}\right) \right\} \\ \times \left[ 1 + \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{2}{\gamma^p} \omega_{\mu_i} (y - \xi'_i) + O\left(\frac{\log^2(\mu_i + |y - \xi'_i|)}{\gamma^{2p}}\right) \right],$$

and hence

$$W_{\xi'_i}(y) = e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} \left[ 1 + \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{\mu_i})^2 + 2\omega_{\mu_i} \right] (y - \xi'_i) + O\left(\frac{\log^4(\mu_i + |y - \xi'_i|)}{\gamma^{2p}}\right) \right\}. \quad (3.4)$$

But if  $\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^\tau \leq |y - \xi'_i| \leq d/\varepsilon^\theta$  with any  $\theta < 1$  but close enough to 1, by (2.39) we find

$$\left| 1 + \frac{V_{\xi'_i}(y)}{p\gamma^p} \right|^{p-2} = O(1) \quad \text{and} \quad \left| 1 + \frac{V_{\xi'_i}(y)}{p\gamma^p} \right|^{2(p-1)} = O(1),$$

and by (2.41),

$$|W_{\xi'_i}(y)| \leq C e^{\gamma^p \left( \left| 1 + \frac{V_{\xi'_i}(y)}{p\gamma^p} \right|^p - 1 \right)} = O\left(e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)}\right). \quad (3.5)$$

Finally, if  $|y - \xi'_i| \geq d/\varepsilon$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , by (2.31) we give

$$|W_{\xi'_i}(y)| = \left( \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^{p-1}} + \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^{2(p-1)}} \right) O(\varepsilon^{\frac{2+p}{p}}) \exp \left[ -\frac{2-p}{p} |\log \varepsilon| + O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^{p-1}}\right) \right]. \quad (3.6)$$

From (3.4)-(3.6) and the definition of  $\|\cdot\|_*$  involving the weighted function (2.27), we easily prove the first estimate in (3.3).  $\square$

Set

$$Z_0(z) = \frac{|z|^2 - 1}{|z|^2 + 1}, \quad Z_j(z) = \frac{4z_j}{|z|^2 + 1}, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (3.7)$$

It is well known that any bounded solution of

$$\Delta \phi + \frac{8}{(1+|z|^2)^2} \phi = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \quad (3.8)$$

is a linear combination of  $Z_j$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2$  (see [7]). Given  $h \in C(\overline{\Omega_\varepsilon})$  and points  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathcal{O}_d$ , we will solve the following linear projected problem of finding a function  $\phi \in H^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}(\phi) = -\Delta \phi - W_{\xi'_i} \phi = h + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^2 c_{ij} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} Z_{ij} & \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon, \\ \phi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_\varepsilon, \\ \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} Z_{ij} \phi = 0 & \forall i = 1, \dots, m, \quad j = 1, 2, \end{cases} \quad (3.9)$$

for some coefficients  $c_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$  and  $j = 1, 2$ . Here and in the sequel, for any  $i = 1, \dots, m$  and  $j = 0, 1, 2$ , we define

$$Z_{ij}(y) := Z_j \left( \frac{y - \xi'_i}{\mu_i} \right) = \begin{cases} \frac{|y - \xi'_i|^2 - \mu_i^2}{|y - \xi'_i|^2 + \mu_i^2} & \text{if } j = 0, \\ \frac{4\mu_i(y - \xi'_i)_j}{|y - \xi'_i|^2 + \mu_i^2} & \text{if } j = 1, 2. \end{cases} \quad (3.10)$$

**Proposition 3.2.** *There exist constants  $C > 0$  and  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for any  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ , any points  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathcal{O}_d$  and any  $h \in C(\overline{\Omega_\varepsilon})$ , problem (3.9) admits a unique solution  $\phi = \mathcal{T}(h) \in H^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)$  for some coefficients  $c_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , which defines a linear operator of  $h$  and satisfies the estimate*

$$\|\mathcal{T}(h)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq C |\log \varepsilon| \|h\|_*. \quad (3.11)$$

*Proof.* The proof of this result will be split into six steps which we state and prove next.

**Step 1:** The operator  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies the maximum principle in  $\tilde{\Omega}_\varepsilon := \Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^m B(\xi'_i, R\mu_i)$  for  $R$  large but independent of  $\varepsilon$ . Specifically,

$$\text{if } \mathcal{L}(\psi) = -\Delta\psi - W_{\xi'}\psi \geq 0 \text{ in } \tilde{\Omega}_\varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \psi \geq 0 \text{ on } \partial\tilde{\Omega}_\varepsilon, \quad \text{then } \psi \geq 0 \text{ in } \tilde{\Omega}_\varepsilon.$$

To prove this, it is sufficient to give a positive function  $Z$  in  $\tilde{\Omega}_\varepsilon$  such that  $\mathcal{L}(Z) > 0$ . Indeed, let

$$Z(y) = \sum_{i=1}^m \left[ 2 - \frac{\mu_i^\sigma}{(a|y - \xi'_i|)^\sigma} \right], \quad a > 0.$$

Clearly, if  $|y - \xi'_i| \geq R\mu_i$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, m$  and  $R > 1/a$ , then  $m < Z(y) < 2m$ . Moreover, in each region  $R\mu_i \leq |y - \xi'_i| \leq d/\varepsilon^\theta$  with any  $\theta < 1$  but close enough to 1, by (2.3), (3.1) and (3.2) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(Z) &= -\Delta Z - W_{\xi'}Z \geq \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\sigma^2 \mu_i^\sigma}{a^\sigma |y - \xi'_i|^{2+\sigma}} - 2mD_0 \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{8\mu_i^2}{(\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi'_i|^2)^2} \geq \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\sigma^2 \mu_i^\sigma}{a^\sigma |y - \xi'_i|^{2+\sigma}} - 2mD_0 \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{8\mu_i^2}{|y - \mu_i|^4} \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\sigma^2 \mu_i^\sigma}{a^\sigma |y - \xi'_i|^{2+\sigma}} \left[ 1 - \frac{2mD_0 a^\sigma}{\sigma^2} \frac{8}{R^{2-\sigma}} \right] > 0 \end{aligned}$$

provided  $R > (16mD_0 a^\sigma / \sigma^2)^{1/(2-\sigma)}$ , where  $D_0$  is the constant in Proposition 3.1. As in the remaining region  $|y - \xi'_i| \geq d/\varepsilon$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , by (3.6) we have that there exist positive constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  such that for any  $\varepsilon$  small enough,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(Z) &= -\Delta Z - W_{\xi'}Z \geq \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\sigma^2 \mu_i^\sigma}{a^\sigma |y - \xi'_i|^{2+\sigma}} - 2mC_1 \left( \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{4}{p}}}{|\log \varepsilon|^{p-1}} + \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{4}{p}}}{|\log \varepsilon|^{2(p-1)}} \right) \exp \left[ O \left( \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^{p-1}} \right) \right] \\ &\geq \varepsilon^{2+\sigma} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\sigma^2 \mu_i^\sigma}{a^\sigma C_2^{2+\sigma}} - 2mC_1 \left( \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{2-p-\sigma}{p}}}{|\log \varepsilon|^{p-1}} + \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{2-p-\sigma}{p}}}{|\log \varepsilon|^{2(p-1)}} \right) \exp \left[ -\frac{2-p}{p} |\log \varepsilon| + O \left( \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^{p-1}} \right) \right] \right\} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{2+\sigma} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\sigma^2 \mu_i^\sigma}{a^\sigma C_2^{2+\sigma}} \right] > 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

because of  $0 < p < 2$  and  $0 < \sigma < \min\{(2-p)/p, 1/2\}$ . The function  $Z(x)$  is what we are looking for.

**Step 2:** Let  $R$  be as before. We define the ‘‘inner norm’’ of  $\phi$  as

$$\|\phi\|_{**} = \sup_{y \in \bigcup_{i=1}^m \overline{B(\xi'_i, R\mu_i)}} |\phi(y)| \tag{3.13}$$

and claim that there is a constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $\varepsilon$  such that if  $\mathcal{L}(\phi) = h$  in  $\Omega_\varepsilon$ ,  $\phi = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega_\varepsilon$ , then

$$\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq C (\|\phi\|_{**} + \|h\|_*) \tag{3.14}$$

for any  $h \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}_\varepsilon)$ . We will establish this estimate with the help of suitable barriers. Let  $M$  be a large number such that  $\Omega_\varepsilon \subset B(\xi'_i, M/\varepsilon)$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Consider the solution  $\psi_i$  of the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\psi_i = \frac{2\mu_i^\sigma}{|y - \xi'_i|^{2+\sigma}} & \text{in } R\mu_i < |y - \xi'_i| < \frac{M}{\varepsilon}, \\ \psi_i(y) = 0 & \text{on } |y - \xi'_i| = R\mu_i \quad \text{and} \quad |y - \xi'_i| = \frac{M}{\varepsilon}. \end{cases}$$

Namely, the function  $\psi_i(y)$  is the positive function given by

$$\psi_i(y) = -\frac{2}{\sigma^2} \left( \frac{\mu_i^\sigma}{|y - \xi'_i|^\sigma} - \frac{1}{R^\sigma} \right) + \frac{2}{\sigma^2} \left( \frac{(\varepsilon\mu_i)^\sigma}{M^\sigma} - \frac{1}{R^\sigma} \right) \frac{1}{\log \frac{M}{R\varepsilon\mu_i}} \log \left| \frac{y - \xi'_i}{R\mu_i} \right|.$$

Clearly, the function  $\psi_i$  is uniformly bounded from above by the constant  $2/(\sigma^2 R^\sigma)$ . We take the barrier

$$\tilde{\phi}(y) = \|\phi\|_{**} Z(y) + \|h\|_* \sum_{i=1}^m \psi_i(y),$$

where  $Z$  was defined in the previous step. First of all, observe that by the definition of  $Z$ , choosing  $R$  larger if necessary

$$\tilde{\phi}(y) \geq \|\phi\|_{**} Z(y) \geq m\|\phi\|_{**} \geq |\phi(y)| \quad \text{for } |y - \xi'_i| = R\mu_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

and by the positivity of  $Z(y)$  and  $\psi_i(y)$ ,

$$\tilde{\phi}(y) \geq 0 = |\phi(y)| \quad \text{for } y \in \partial\Omega_\varepsilon.$$

From the definition of  $\|\cdot\|_*$  involving the weighted function (2.27) we know that

$$\|h\|_* \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\mu_i^\sigma}{|y - \xi'_i|^{2+\sigma}} \right] \geq \|h\|_* \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\mu_i^\sigma}{(\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi'_i|^2)^{(2+\sigma)/2}} \right] \geq |h(y)|, \quad (3.15)$$

then, if  $R\mu_i \leq |y - \xi'_i| \leq d/\varepsilon^\theta$  with any  $\theta < 1$  but close enough to 1, by the expansions of  $W_{\xi'}$  in (3.1)-(3.2) we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} L(\tilde{\phi}) &\geq \|h\|_* \sum_{i=1}^m \mathcal{L}(\psi_i)(y) = \|h\|_* \sum_{i=1}^m \left[ \frac{2\mu_i^\sigma}{|y - \xi'_i|^{2+\sigma}} - W_{\xi'}(y)\psi_i(y) \right] \\ &\geq \|h\|_* \sum_{i=1}^m \left[ \frac{2\mu_i^\sigma}{|y - \xi'_i|^{2+\sigma}} - \frac{2mD_0}{\sigma^2 R^\sigma} \frac{8\mu_i^2}{(\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi'_i|^2)^2} \right] \\ &\geq \|h\|_* \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\mu_i^\sigma}{|y - \xi'_i|^{2+\sigma}} \right] \geq |h(y)| \geq |\mathcal{L}(\phi)(y)| \end{aligned}$$

provided  $R > \sqrt{16mD_0}/\sigma$  and  $\varepsilon$  small enough, while if  $|y - \xi'_i| \geq d/\varepsilon$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , similar to (3.12), by (3.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} L(\tilde{\phi}) &\geq \|h\|_* \sum_{i=1}^m \mathcal{L}(\psi_i)(y) = \|h\|_* \sum_{i=1}^m \left[ \frac{2\mu_i^\sigma}{|y - \xi'_i|^{2+\sigma}} - W_{\xi'}(y)\psi_i(y) \right] \\ &\geq \|h\|_* \sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ \frac{2\mu_i^\sigma}{|y - \xi'_i|^{2+\sigma}} - \frac{2C_1}{\sigma^2 R^\sigma} \left( \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{4}{p}}}{|\log \varepsilon|^{p-1}} + \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{4}{p}}}{|\log \varepsilon|^{2(p-1)}} \right) \exp \left[ O \left( \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^{p-1}} \right) \right] \right\} \\ &\geq \|h\|_* \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\mu_i^\sigma}{|y - \xi'_i|^{2+\sigma}} \right] \geq |h(y)| \geq |\mathcal{L}(\phi)(y)|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the maximum principle in Step 1, we obtain

$$|\phi(y)| \leq \tilde{\phi}(y) \quad \text{for } y \in \tilde{\Omega}_\varepsilon.$$

Since  $Z(y) \leq 2m$  and  $\psi_i(y) \leq 2/(\sigma^2 R^\sigma)$  in  $\tilde{\Omega}_\varepsilon$ , we arrive at

$$\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq C(\|\phi\|_{**} + \|h\|_*).$$

**Step 3:** We prove uniform a priori estimates for solutions  $\phi$  of problem  $\mathcal{L}(\phi) = h$  in  $\Omega_\varepsilon$ ,  $\phi = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega_\varepsilon$ , where  $h \in C^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}_\varepsilon)$  and in addition  $\phi$  satisfies the orthogonality conditions:

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} e^{\omega\mu_i(y-\xi'_i)} Z_{ij} \phi = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, m, \quad j = 0, 1, 2. \quad (3.16)$$

Namely, we prove that there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that for any points  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathcal{O}_d$  and  $h \in C^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}_\varepsilon)$ ,

$$\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq C\|h\|_*,$$

for  $\varepsilon$  small enough. By contradiction, assume the existence of sequences  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ , points  $\xi^n = (\xi_1^n, \dots, \xi_m^n) \in \mathcal{O}_d$ , parameters  $\mu^n = (\mu_1^n, \dots, \mu_m^n)$  functions  $h_n$ ,  $W_{(\xi^n)'}$  and associated solutions  $\phi_n$  such that

$$\|\phi_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_{\varepsilon_n})} = 1 \quad \text{but} \quad \|h_n\|_* \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (3.17)$$

Let us set  $\widehat{\phi}_i^n(z) = \phi_n(\mu_i^n z + (\xi_i^n)')$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . By (3.14) and the expansion of  $W_{(\xi^n)'}$  in (3.2), elliptic regularity theory readily implies that for each  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ,  $\widehat{\phi}_i^n$  converges uniformly over compact subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to a bounded solution  $\widehat{\phi}_i^\infty$  of equation (3.8) and hence  $\widehat{\phi}_i^\infty$  must be a linear combination of the functions  $Z_j$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2$ . Moreover, in view of  $\|\widehat{\phi}_i^n\|_\infty \leq 1$ , the corresponding orthogonality condition of (3.16) over  $\widehat{\phi}_i^n$  passes to the limit and by Lebesgue's theorem, it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{8}{(1+|z|^2)^2} Z_j(z) \widehat{\phi}_i^\infty dy = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1, 2.$$

So,  $\widehat{\phi}_i^\infty \equiv 0$  for any  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . By definition (3.13) we conclude  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\phi_n\|_{**} = 0$ . But (3.14) and (3.17) tell us  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\phi_n\|_{**} > 0$ , which is a contradiction.

**Step 4:** We prove that for any solution  $\phi$  of problem  $\mathcal{L}(\phi) = h$  in  $\Omega_\varepsilon$ ,  $\phi = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega_\varepsilon$ , which in addition satisfies the orthogonality conditions:

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} e^{\omega\mu_i(y-\xi_i')} Z_{ij} \phi = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, m, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (3.18)$$

there exists a positive constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq C |\log \varepsilon| \|h\|_*,$$

for  $h \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega_\varepsilon})$ . Proceeding by contradiction as in Step 3, we can suppose further that

$$\|\phi_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_{\varepsilon_n})} = 1 \quad \text{but} \quad |\log \varepsilon_n| \|h_n\|_* \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (3.19)$$

but we loss the condition  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{8}{(1+|z|^2)^2} Z_0(z) \widehat{\phi}_i^\infty = 0$  in the limit. Therefore,

$$\widehat{\phi}_i^n \rightarrow \widehat{\phi}_i^\infty = C_i \frac{|z|^2 - 1}{|z|^2 + 1} \quad \text{in } C_{loc}^0(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad (3.20)$$

with some constants  $C_i$ . To give a contradiction, we have to show that  $C_i = 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , which will be achieved by the stronger assumption of  $h_n$  in (3.19).

For this aim, we use functions  $Z_{i0}$  to build suitable test functions. Let us consider radial solutions  $\omega$  and  $\theta$  respectively of

$$\Delta\omega + \frac{8}{(1+|z|^2)^2}\omega = \frac{8}{(1+|z|^2)^2}Z_0(z) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta\theta + \frac{8}{(1+|z|^2)^2}\theta = \frac{8}{(1+|z|^2)^2} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2,$$

having asymptotic (see [15])

$$\omega(z) = \frac{2}{3} \log(1+|z|^2) + O\left(\frac{1}{1+|z|}\right), \quad \theta(z) = O\left(\frac{1}{1+|z|}\right) \quad \text{as } |z| \rightarrow +\infty,$$

and

$$\nabla\omega(z) = \frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{z}{1+|z|^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{1+|z|^2}\right), \quad \nabla\theta(z) = O\left(\frac{1}{1+|z|^2}\right) \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

because

$$8 \int_0^{+\infty} r \frac{(r^2-1)^2}{(r^2+1)^4} dr = \frac{4}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad 8 \int_0^{+\infty} r \frac{r^2-1}{(r^2+1)^3} dr = 0.$$

Obviously, we can take the following function as an explicit solution

$$\theta(z) = 1 - Z_0(z) = \frac{2}{|z|^2 + 1} \quad \text{such that} \quad \theta(z) = O\left(\frac{1}{1+|z|^2}\right) \quad \text{as } |z| \rightarrow +\infty.$$

For the sake of simplicity, from now on we omit the dependence on  $n$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , we define

$$u_i(y) = \omega\left(\frac{y-\xi_i'}{\mu_i}\right) + \frac{4 \log(\varepsilon\mu_i)}{3} Z_{i0}(y) + \frac{8\pi}{3} H(\xi_i, \xi_i) \theta\left(\frac{y-\xi_i'}{\mu_i}\right) \quad (3.21)$$

and denote its projection  $Pu_i = u_i + \widetilde{H}_i$  on the space  $H_0^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)$ , where  $\widetilde{H}_i$  is a correction term defined as the solution of

$$-\Delta \widetilde{H}_i = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon, \quad \widetilde{H}_i = -u_i \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega_\varepsilon.$$

Observe that

$$\tilde{H}_i(y) = -\frac{4}{3} \log |\varepsilon y - \xi_i| + O(\varepsilon) \quad \text{in } C^1(\partial\Omega_\varepsilon).$$

Similar to the argument in the proof of Lemma 2.1, we can easily derive that

$$Pu_i = u_i - \frac{8\pi}{3} H(\varepsilon y, \xi_i) + O(\varepsilon) \quad \text{uniformly in } C^1(\bar{\Omega}_\varepsilon) \cap C^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon) \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.22)$$

Moreover, the test function  $Pu_i$  solves

$$\Delta Pu_i + W_{\xi'} Pu_i = e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} Z_{i0} + \left( W_{\xi'} - e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} \right) Pu_i + E_i \quad \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon, \quad (3.23)$$

where

$$E_i(y) = \left( Pu_i - u_i + \frac{8\pi}{3} H(\xi_i, \xi_i) \right) e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)}. \quad (3.24)$$

Multiply (3.23) by  $\phi$  and integrate by parts to obtain

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} Z_{i0} \phi + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left( W_{\xi'} - e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} \right) Pu_i \phi = - \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} Pu_i h - \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} E_i \phi. \quad (3.25)$$

We analyze each term of (3.25). First of all, by (3.20) and Lebesgue's theorem we get

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} Z_{i0} \phi \rightarrow C_i \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{8(|z|^2 - 1)^2}{(|z|^2 + 1)^4} dz = \frac{8\pi}{3} C_i. \quad (3.26)$$

For the second term in the left-hand side of (3.25), we decompose

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left( W_{\xi'} - e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} \right) Pu_i \phi = \left[ \sum_{k=1}^m \left( \int_{B_{\mu_k|\log \varepsilon|^\tau}(\xi'_k)} + \int_{B_{d/\varepsilon}(\xi'_k) \setminus B_{\mu_k|\log \varepsilon|^\tau}(\xi'_k)} \right) + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^m B_{d/\varepsilon}(\xi'_k)} \right] \left( W_{\xi'} - e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} \right) Pu_i \phi.$$

Using (3.21), (3.22) and the expansion of  $W_{\xi'}$  in (3.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{\mu_i|\log \varepsilon|^\tau}(\xi'_i)} \left( W_{\xi'} - e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} \right) Pu_i \phi \\ &= \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} \frac{4 \log(\varepsilon \mu_i)}{3} \int_{B_{\mu_i|\log \varepsilon|^\tau}(0)} \frac{8\mu_i^2}{(\mu_i^2 + |z|^2)^2} Z_0 \left( \frac{z}{\mu_i} \right) \hat{\phi}_i \left( \frac{z}{\mu_i} \right) \left[ 1 + \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{\mu_i})^2 + 2\omega_{\mu_i} \right] (z) dz + O\left( \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|} \right) \\ &= -\frac{(p-1)C_i}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{8\mu_i^2}{(\mu_i^2 + |z|^2)^2} \left[ Z_0 \left( \frac{z}{\mu_i} \right) \right]^2 \left[ 1 + \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{\mu_i})^2 + 2\omega_{\mu_i} \right] (z) dz + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

since Lebesgue's theorem and (3.20) give

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{\mu_i|\log \varepsilon|^\tau}(0)} \frac{8\mu_i^2}{(\mu_i^2 + |z|^2)^2} Z_0 \left( \frac{z}{\mu_i} \right) \hat{\phi}_i \left( \frac{z}{\mu_i} \right) \left[ 1 + \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{\mu_i})^2 + 2\omega_{\mu_i} \right] (z) dz \\ &= C_i \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{8\mu_i^2}{(\mu_i^2 + |z|^2)^2} \left[ Z_0 \left( \frac{z}{\mu_i} \right) \right]^2 \left[ 1 + \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{\mu_i})^2 + 2\omega_{\mu_i} \right] (z) dz + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to relation (7.8) in Lemma A.2

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{8\mu_i^2}{(\mu_i^2 + |z|^2)^2} \left[ Z_0 \left( \frac{z}{\mu_i} \right) \right]^2 \left[ 1 + \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{\mu_i})^2 + 2\omega_{\mu_i} \right] (z) dz = 8\pi,$$

we find

$$\int_{B_{\mu_i|\log \varepsilon|^\tau}(\xi'_i)} \left( W_{\xi'} - e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} \right) Pu_i \phi = -\frac{8\pi}{3} (p-1) C_i + o(1).$$

Notice that (3.21)-(3.22) imply that, as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$Pu_i = O(|\log \varepsilon|) \quad \text{in } C^1(B_{d/\varepsilon}(\xi'_i) \setminus B_{\mu_i|\log \varepsilon|^\tau}(\xi'_i)), \quad \text{but } Pu_i = -\frac{8\pi}{3} G(\varepsilon y, \xi_i) + O(\varepsilon) \quad \text{in } C^1(\bar{\Omega}_\varepsilon \setminus B_{d/\varepsilon}(\xi'_i)).$$

Then by the estimate of  $W_{\xi'}$  in (3.5),

$$\left| \int_{B_{d/\varepsilon}(\xi'_i) \setminus B_{\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^\tau(\xi'_i)}} \left( W_{\xi'} - e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} \right) P u_i \phi \right| \leq C |\log \varepsilon| \int_{B_{d/\varepsilon}(\xi'_i) \setminus B_{\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^\tau(\xi'_i)}} \frac{8\mu_i^2}{(\mu_i^2 + |y - \xi'_i|^2)^2} dy = o(1),$$

and for all  $k \neq i$ ,

$$\left| \int_{B_{d/\varepsilon}(\xi'_k) \setminus B_{\mu_k |\log \varepsilon|^\tau(\xi'_k)}} \left( W_{\xi'} - e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} \right) P u_i \phi \right| \leq C \int_{B_{d/\varepsilon}(\xi'_k) \setminus B_{\mu_k |\log \varepsilon|^\tau(\xi'_k)}} \frac{8\mu_k^2}{(\mu_k^2 + |y - \xi'_k|^2)^2} dy = o(1).$$

Moreover, by the expansion of  $W_{\xi'}$  in (3.2), we have that for all  $k \neq i$ ,

$$\int_{B_{\mu_k |\log \varepsilon|^\tau(\xi'_k)}} \left( W_{\xi'} - e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} \right) P u_i \phi = -\frac{8\pi}{3} G(\xi_k, \xi_i) \int_{B_{\mu_k |\log \varepsilon|^\tau(\xi'_k)}} \frac{8\mu_k^2}{(\mu_k^2 + |y - \xi'_k|^2)^2} \phi dy + O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|}\right) = o(1),$$

since Lebesgue's theorem and (3.20) deduce

$$\int_{B_{\mu_k |\log \varepsilon|^\tau(\xi'_k)}} \frac{8\mu_k^2}{(\mu_k^2 + |y - \xi'_k|^2)^2} \phi(y) dy = \int_{B_{|\log \varepsilon|^\tau(0)}} \frac{8}{(1 + |z|^2)^2} \widehat{\phi}_k(z) dz \rightarrow C_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{8}{(1 + |z|^2)^2} \frac{|z|^2 - 1}{|z|^2 + 1} dz = 0.$$

In addition, by the estimate of  $W_{\xi'}$  in (3.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^m B_{d/\varepsilon}(\xi'_k)} \left( W_{\xi'} - e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} \right) P u_i \phi \\ &= \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^m B_{d/\varepsilon}(\xi'_k)} \left\{ \left( \frac{O(\varepsilon^{(2+p)/p})}{|\log \varepsilon|^{p-1}} + \frac{O(\varepsilon^{(2+p)/p})}{|\log \varepsilon|^{2(p-1)}} \right) \exp \left[ -\frac{2-p}{p} |\log \varepsilon| + O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^{p-1}}\right) \right] + O(\varepsilon^4) \right\} dy = o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left( W_{\xi'} - e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} \right) P u_i \phi = -\frac{8\pi}{3} (p-1) C_i + o(1). \quad (3.27)$$

As for the right-hand side of (3.25), we have that by (3.15) and (3.22),

$$\left| \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} P u_i h \right| = O\left( \|h\|_* \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\mu_k^\sigma}{(\mu_k^2 + |y - \xi'_k|^2)^{(2+\sigma)/2}} \right] |u_i| dy \right) + O(\|h\|_*) = O(|\log \varepsilon| \|h\|_*), \quad (3.28)$$

since  $|u_i| = O(|\log \varepsilon|)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and

$$\int_{B_{\mu_k |\log \varepsilon|^\tau(\xi'_k)}} \frac{\mu_k^\sigma}{(\mu_k^2 + |y - \xi'_k|^2)^{(2+\sigma)/2}} |u_i| dy \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{(1 + |z|^2)^{(2+\sigma)/2}} |u_i(\xi'_k + \mu_k z)| dz = O(|\log \varepsilon|).$$

By (3.22) and (3.24) we deduce

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} E_i \phi = O\left( \varepsilon \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} (|y - \xi'_i| + 1) dy \right) = O(\varepsilon). \quad (3.29)$$

Finally, substituting (3.26)-(3.29) into (3.25) and taking into account the assumption condition (3.19), we conclude

$$\frac{8\pi}{3} (2-p) C_i = o(1) \quad \text{for any } i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Necessarily,  $C_i = 0$  by contradiction and the claim is proved.

**Step 5:** We establish the validity of the a priori estimate

$$\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq C |\log \varepsilon| \|h\|_* \quad (3.30)$$

for solutions of problem (3.9) and  $h \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega_\varepsilon})$ . Step 4 gives

$$\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq C |\log \varepsilon| \left( \|h\|_* + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^2 |c_{ij}| \|e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} Z_{ij}\|_* \right) \leq C |\log \varepsilon| \left( \|h\|_* + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^2 |c_{ij}| \right).$$

As before, proceeding by contradiction as in Step 3, we can suppose further that

$$\|\phi_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_{\varepsilon_n})} = 1, \quad |\log \varepsilon_n| \|h_n\|_* \rightarrow 0, \quad |\log \varepsilon_n| \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^2 |c_{ij}^n| \geq \delta > 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (3.31)$$

We omit the dependence on  $n$ . It suffices to estimate the size of the coefficients  $c_{ij}$ . To this end, we first define  $PZ_{ij}$  as the projection on  $H_0^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)$  of  $Z_{ij}$ , precisely

$$-\Delta PZ_{ij} = -\Delta Z_{ij} = e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} Z_{ij} \quad \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon, \quad PZ_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega_\varepsilon. \quad (3.32)$$

Then for  $i = 1, \dots, m$  and  $j = 1, 2$ ,

$$PZ_{ij} = Z_{ij} + 8\pi\varepsilon\mu_i\partial_{(\xi_i)_j}H(\varepsilon y, \xi_i) + O(\varepsilon^3) \quad \text{in } C^1(\bar{\Omega}_\varepsilon), \quad (3.33)$$

and

$$PZ_{ij} = 8\pi\varepsilon\mu_i\partial_{(\xi_i)_j}G(\varepsilon y, \xi_i) + O(\varepsilon^3) \quad \text{in } C^1(\bar{\Omega}_\varepsilon \setminus B_{d/\varepsilon}(\xi'_i)). \quad (3.34)$$

Let us claim that the following ‘‘orthogonality’’ relations hold: for any  $i, k = 1, \dots, m$  and  $j, l = 1, 2$ ,

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} Z_{ij} PZ_{kl} = \left( 64 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|z|^2}{(1+|z|^2)^4} \right) \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} + O(\varepsilon^2), \quad (3.35)$$

uniformly for  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathcal{O}_d$ , where  $\delta_{ik}$  and  $\delta_{jl}$  denote the Kronecker’s symbols. Indeed, by (3.33)-(3.34) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} Z_{ij} PZ_{il} &= \int_{B_{d/\varepsilon}(\xi'_i)} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} Z_{ij} \left[ Z_{il} + 8\pi\varepsilon\mu_i\partial_{(\xi_i)_i}H(\xi_i, \xi_i) + O(\varepsilon^2|y-\xi'_i| + \varepsilon^3) \right] dy + O(\varepsilon^4) \\ &= \int_{B_{d/(\varepsilon\mu_i)}(0)} \frac{8}{(1+|z|^2)^2} \frac{4z_j}{1+|z|^2} \left[ \frac{4z_l}{1+|z|^2} + O(\varepsilon^2|z|) \right] dz + O(\varepsilon^3) \\ &= \left( 64 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|z|^2}{(1+|z|^2)^4} \right) \delta_{jl} + O(\varepsilon^2), \end{aligned}$$

but for  $i \neq k$ ,

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} Z_{ij} PZ_{kl} = \int_{B_{d/\varepsilon}(\xi'_i)} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} Z_{ij} \left[ 8\pi\varepsilon\mu_k\partial_{(\xi_k)_l}G(\xi_i, \xi_k) + O(\varepsilon^2|y-\xi'_i| + \varepsilon^3) \right] dy + O(\varepsilon^3) = O(\varepsilon^2).$$

Next, testing (3.9) against  $PZ_{ij}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$  and  $j = 1, 2$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^2 c_{kl} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} e^{\omega_{\mu_k}(y-\xi'_k)} Z_{kl} PZ_{ij} + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} h PZ_{ij} = \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} Z_{ij} \phi - \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} W_{\xi'} \phi PZ_{ij}. \quad (3.36)$$

From (3.15) and (3.33) we have

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} h PZ_{ij} \right| = O(\|h\|_*),$$

then by (3.35), the left-hand side of (3.36) takes the form

$$\text{L.H.S of (3.36)} = Dc_{ij} + O\left(\varepsilon^2 \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^2 |c_{kl}|\right) + O(\|h\|_*) \quad (3.37)$$

with  $D = 64 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|z|^2}{(1+|z|^2)^4}$ . On the other hand, by the estimates of  $W_{\xi'}$  in (3.1)-(3.3) the right-hand side of (3.36) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \text{R.H.S of (3.36)} &= \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} Z_{ij} \phi - \int_{B_{\mu_i} |\log \varepsilon|^\tau(\xi'_i)} W_{\xi'} \phi P Z_{ij} + O\left(\frac{\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)}}{|\log \varepsilon|^2}\right) \\ &= - \int_{B_{\mu_i} |\log \varepsilon|^\tau(\xi'_i)} \left(W_\xi - e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)}\right) \phi P Z_{ij} + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} (Z_{ij} - P Z_{ij}) \phi + O\left(\frac{\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)}}{|\log \varepsilon|^2}\right) \\ &= -\frac{p-1}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} \int_{B_{|\log \varepsilon|^\tau(0)}} \frac{32z_j}{(1+|z|^2)^3} \widehat{\phi}_i(z) \left[1 + \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{\mu_i})^2 + 2\omega_{\mu_i}\right] (\mu_i |z|) dz + O\left(\frac{\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)}}{|\log \varepsilon|^2}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

because of (3.33)-(3.34), where  $\widehat{\phi}_i(z) = \phi(\mu_i z + \xi'_i)$ . Substituting estimates (3.37)-(3.38) into (3.36), we obtain

$$Dc_{ij} + O\left(\varepsilon^2 \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^2 |c_{kl}|\right) = O\left(\|h\|_* + \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|} \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)}\right).$$

Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^2 |c_{kl}| = O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|} \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)}\right) + O(\|h\|_*). \quad (3.39)$$

From the first two assumptions in (3.31) we give  $\sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^2 |c_{kl}| = o(1)$ . As in Step 4, we conclude that for each  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ,

$$\widehat{\phi}_i \rightarrow C_i \frac{|z|^2 - 1}{|z|^2 + 1} \quad \text{uniformly in } C_{loc}^0(\mathbb{R}^2),$$

with some constants  $C_i$ . In view of the oddness of the function  $\frac{32z_j}{(1+|z|^2)^3}$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , by (7.9) and Lebesgue's theorem we find

$$\int_{B_{|\log \varepsilon|^\tau(0)}} \frac{32z_j}{(1+|z|^2)^3} \widehat{\phi}_i(z) \left[1 + \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{\mu_i})^2 + 2\omega_{\mu_i}\right] (\mu_i |z|) dz \rightarrow 0.$$

Substituting estimates (3.37)-(3.38) into (3.36) again, we have a better estimate

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^2 |c_{kl}| = o\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|}\right) + O(\|h\|_*),$$

which is impossible because of the last assumption in (3.31).

**Step 6:** We prove the solvability of problem (3.9). For this purpose, we introduce the subspace of  $H_0^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)$  defined by

$$K_{\xi'} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^2 c_{ij} P Z_{ij} : c_{ij} \in \mathbb{R} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, 2 \right\},$$

and its orthogonal space

$$K_{\xi'}^\perp = \left\{ \phi \in H_0^1(\Omega_\varepsilon) : \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} Z_{ij} \phi = 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, 2 \right\}.$$

Let  $\Pi_{\xi'} : H_0^1(\Omega_\varepsilon) \rightarrow K_{\xi'}$  and  $\Pi_{\xi'}^\perp = Id - \Pi_{\xi'} : H_0^1(\Omega_\varepsilon) \rightarrow K_{\xi'}^\perp$  be the corresponding orthogonal projections such that

$$\Pi_{\xi'} \phi = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^2 c_{ij} P Z_{ij},$$

where the coefficients  $c_{ij}$  are uniquely determined by the system

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} Z_{kl} \left( \phi - \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^2 c_{ij} P Z_{ij} \right) = 0 \quad \text{for any } k = 1, \dots, m, l = 1, 2$$

because of (3.35). Problem (3.9), expressed in a weak form, is equivalent to finding  $\phi \in K_{\xi}^{\perp}$  such that

$$\langle \phi, \psi \rangle_{H_0^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)} = \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} (W_{\xi'} \phi + h) \psi \quad \text{for all } \psi \in K_{\xi'}^{\perp}.$$

With the aid of Riesz's representation theorem, this equation can be rewritten in  $K_{\xi}^{\perp}$  in the operator form

$$(Id - K)\phi = \tilde{h},$$

where  $\tilde{h} = \Pi_{\xi'}^{\perp}(-\Delta)^{-1}h$  and  $K(\phi) = \Pi_{\xi'}^{\perp}(-\Delta)^{-1}(W_{\xi'}\phi)$  is a linear compact operator in  $K_{\xi'}^{\perp}$ . Fredholm's alternative guarantees unique solvability of this problem for any  $\tilde{h} \in K_{\xi}^{\perp}$  provided that the homogeneous equation  $\phi = K(\phi)$  has only the trivial solution in  $K_{\xi}^{\perp}$ , which in turn follows from the a priori estimate (3.30) in Step 5. Finally, by elliptic regularity theory the solution constructed in this way belongs to  $H^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)$ . Moreover, by density of  $C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega_\varepsilon})$  in  $(C(\overline{\Omega_\varepsilon}), \|\cdot\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)})$ , we can approximate  $h \in C(\overline{\Omega_\varepsilon})$  by smooth functions and, by (3.30) and elliptic regularity theory, we obtain the validity of (3.30) also for  $h \in C(\overline{\Omega_\varepsilon})$  (not only for  $h \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega_\varepsilon})$ ).  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.** The operator  $\mathcal{T}$  is differentiable with respect to the variables  $\xi' = (\xi'_1, \dots, \xi'_m)$ . Indeed, similar to those used in [20], if we fix  $h \in C(\overline{\Omega_\varepsilon})$  with  $\|h\|_* < \infty$  and set  $\phi = T(h)$ , then by formally computing the derivative of  $\phi$  with respect to  $\xi' = (\xi'_1, \dots, \xi'_m)$  and using the delicate estimate  $\|\partial_{(\xi'_i)_j} W_{\xi'}\|_* = O(1)$  we obtain the a priori estimate

$$\|\partial_{(\xi'_i)_j} \mathcal{T}(h)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq C |\log \varepsilon|^2 \|h\|_*, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, 2.$$

**Remark 3.4.** Given  $h \in C(\overline{\Omega_\varepsilon})$  with  $\|h\|_* < \infty$ , let  $\phi$  be the unique solution of problem (3.9) given by Proposition 3.2. Multiplying (3.9) by  $\phi$  and integrating by parts, we get

$$\|\phi\|_{H_0^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)}^2 = \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} W_{\xi'} \phi^2 + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} h \phi.$$

By Proposition 3.1 we find

$$\|\phi\|_{H_0^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq C(\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)} + \|h\|_*).$$

#### 4. THE NONLINEAR PROJECTED PROBLEM

Consider the nonlinear projected problem: for any points  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathcal{O}_d$ , we find a function  $\phi$  such that

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta(V_{\xi'} + \phi) = f(V_{\xi'} + \phi) + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^2 c_{ij} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} Z_{ij} & \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon, \\ \phi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_\varepsilon, \\ \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} Z_{ij} \phi = 0 & \forall i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, 2, \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

for some coefficients  $c_{ij}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$  and  $j = 1, 2$ , where the function  $f(\cdot)$  is given by (2.23). The following result holds.

**Proposition 4.1.** *There exist constants  $C > 0$  and  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for any  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$  and any points  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathcal{O}_d$ , problem (4.1) admits a unique solution  $\phi_{\xi'}$  for some coefficients  $c_{ij}(\xi')$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , such that*

$$\|\phi_{\xi'}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq \frac{C}{|\log \varepsilon|^3}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^2 |c_{ij}(\xi')| \leq \frac{C}{|\log \varepsilon|^4} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\phi_{\xi'}\|_{H_0^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq \frac{C}{|\log \varepsilon|^3}. \quad (4.2)$$

Furthermore, the map  $\xi' \mapsto \phi_{\xi'}$  is a  $C^1$ -function in  $C(\overline{\Omega_\varepsilon})$  and  $H_0^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)$ , precisely for any  $i = 1, \dots, m$  and  $j = 1, 2$ ,

$$\|\partial_{(\xi'_i)_j} \phi_{\xi'}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq \frac{C}{|\log \varepsilon|^2}, \quad (4.3)$$

where  $\xi' := (\xi'_1, \dots, \xi'_m) = (\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\xi_1, \dots, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\xi_m)$ .

*Proof.* Proposition 3.2 and Remarks 3.3-3.4 allow us to apply the Contraction Mapping Theorem and the Implicit Function Theorem to find a unique solution for problem (4.1) satisfying (4.2)-(4.3). Since it is a standard procedure, we omit the details, see Lemmas 4.1-4.2 in [20] for a similar proof. We just mention that  $\|N(\phi)\|_* \leq C\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)}^2$  and  $\|\partial_{(\xi'_i)_j} E_{\xi'}\|_* \leq C|\log \varepsilon|^{-3}$ .  $\square$

## 5. VARIATIONAL REDUCTION

Since problem (4.1) has been solved, we find a solution of problem (2.25) and hence to the original equation (1.1) if we detect some points  $\xi'$  such that

$$c_{ij}(\xi') = 0 \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, m, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (5.1)$$

Recall that  $\lambda$  is assumed to be a free parameter. Let us consider the free functional  $J_\lambda^p$  associated to equation (1.1), namely

$$J_\lambda^p(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_\Omega e^{|u|^p}, \quad \forall u \in H_0^1(\Omega). \quad (5.2)$$

Furthermore, we take its finite-dimensional restriction

$$F_\lambda(\xi) = J_\lambda^p \left( (U_\xi + \tilde{\phi}_\xi)(x) \right) \quad \forall \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathcal{O}_d, \quad (5.3)$$

where

$$(U_\xi + \tilde{\phi}_\xi)(x) = \gamma + \frac{1}{p\gamma^{p-1}} (V_{\xi'} + \phi_{\xi'}) \left( \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad (5.4)$$

with  $V_{\xi'}$  defined in (2.24) and  $\phi_{\xi'}$  the unique solution to problem (4.1) given by Proposition 4.1. Let

$$I_\varepsilon(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla v|^2 dy - \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} e^{\gamma^p [|1 + \frac{v}{p\gamma^p}|^p - 1]} dy, \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega_\varepsilon). \quad (5.5)$$

By (1.7),

$$I_\varepsilon(V_{\xi'} + \phi_{\xi'}) = p^2 \gamma^{2(p-1)} F_\lambda(\xi) \quad \text{and} \quad I_\varepsilon(V_{\xi'} + \phi_{\xi'}) - I_\varepsilon(V_{\xi'}) = p^2 \gamma^{2(p-1)} [F_\lambda(\xi) - J_\lambda(U_\xi)]. \quad (5.6)$$

**Proposition 5.1.** *The function  $F_\lambda : \mathcal{O}_d \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  is of class  $C^1$ . Moreover, for all  $\lambda$  sufficiently small, if  $D_\xi F_\lambda(\xi) = 0$ , then  $\xi' = \xi/\varepsilon$  satisfies (5.1), that is,  $U_\xi + \tilde{\phi}_\xi$  is a solution of equation (1.1).*

*Proof.* The function  $F_\lambda$  is of class  $C^1$  since the map  $\xi' \mapsto \phi_{\xi'}$  is a  $C^1$ -function in  $C(\overline{\Omega_\varepsilon})$  and  $H_0^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)$ . Assume that  $D_\xi F_\lambda(\xi) = 0$ . Since  $\phi_{\xi'}$  solves problem (4.1), by (5.6) we deduce that for any  $k = 1, \dots, m$  and  $l = 1, 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= I'_\varepsilon(V_{\xi'} + \phi_{\xi'}) \partial_{(\xi'_k)_l} (V_{\xi'} + \phi_{\xi'}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^2 c_{ij}(\xi') \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} Z_{ij} \partial_{(\xi'_k)_l} V_{\xi'} - \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^2 c_{ij}(\xi') \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \phi_{\xi'} \partial_{(\xi'_k)_l} \left( e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} Z_{ij} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

because of  $\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} Z_{ij} \phi_{\xi'} = 0$ . Recalling that  $D_{\xi'} V_{\xi'}(y) = p\gamma^{p-1} D_{\xi'} U_\xi(\varepsilon y)$ , by the expression of  $U_\xi$  in (2.13) we obtain

$$\partial_{(\xi'_k)_l} V_{\xi'}(y) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \partial_{(\xi'_k)_l} \left[ \omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i) + \sum_{j=1}^3 \left( \frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{1}{\gamma^{jp}} \omega_{\mu_i}^j(y - \xi'_i) + p\gamma^{p-1} H_i(\varepsilon y) \right]. \quad (5.8)$$

By (2.2) and (3.10),

$$\partial_{(\xi'_k)_l} \omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i) = \frac{1}{\mu_i} \delta_{ki} Z_l \left( \frac{y - \xi'_i}{\mu_i} \right) + \left( \partial_{(\xi'_k)_l} \mu_i \right) \frac{d}{d\mu_i} \omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i), \quad (5.9)$$

and for  $j = 1, 2, 3$ , by (2.10) and (3.10),

$$\partial_{(\xi'_k)_l} \omega_{\mu_i}^j (y - \xi'_i) = -\frac{1}{\mu_i} \delta_{ki} \left[ \frac{D_{\mu_i}^j}{4} Z_l \left( \frac{y - \xi'_i}{\mu_i} \right) + O \left( \frac{\mu_i^2}{|y - \xi'_i|^2 + \mu_i^2} \right) \right] + \left( \partial_{(\xi'_k)_l} \mu_i \right) \frac{d}{d\mu_i} \omega_{\mu_i}^j (y - \xi'_i), \quad (5.10)$$

where  $\delta_{ki}$  denotes the Kronecker's symbol. In addition, differentiating equation (2.14) of  $H_i$ 's with respect to the variable  $(\xi'_k)_l$  and using harmonicity and the maximum principle, we can prove

$$\partial_{(\xi'_k)_l} [p\gamma^{p-1} H_i(\varepsilon y)] = O(\varepsilon). \quad (5.11)$$

Inserting (5.9)-(5.11) into (5.8) and using the fact that  $|\partial_{(\xi'_k)_l} \mu_i| = O(\varepsilon)$  for any  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , we have that

$$\partial_{(\xi'_k)_l} V_{\xi'}(y) = \frac{a_k}{\mu_k} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^3 \left( \frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{D_{\mu_k}^j}{\gamma^{jp}} \right] Z_l \left( \frac{y - \xi'_k}{\mu_k} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^3 \left( \frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{1}{\gamma^{jp}} O \left( \frac{\mu_k}{|y - \xi'_k|^2 + \mu_k^2} \right) + O(\varepsilon). \quad (5.12)$$

Notice that by (2.2) and (3.10) for  $j = 1, 2$ ,

$$\partial_{(\xi'_k)_l} \left( e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i)} Z_{ij} \right) = -4\mu_i e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i)} \left\{ \left[ \frac{\delta_{jl}}{|y - \xi'_i|^2 + \mu_i^2} - 6 \frac{(y - \xi'_i)_j (y - \xi'_i)_l}{(|y - \xi'_i|^2 + \mu_i^2)^2} \right] \delta_{ki} + O(\varepsilon) \right\}. \quad (5.13)$$

Therefore by (5.12), equations (5.7) can be rewritten as, for each  $k = 1, \dots, m$  and  $l = 1, 2$ ,

$$\frac{a_k}{\mu_k} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^2 c_{ij}(\xi') \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i)} Z_{ij} Z_{kl} + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^2 |c_{ij}(\xi')| O \left( \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|} + \|\phi_{\xi'}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \partial_{(\xi'_k)_l} \left( e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i)} Z_{ij} \right) \right| \right) = 0,$$

so that, using (4.2), (5.13) and the argument in expansion (3.35), it follows that

$$\frac{64a_k}{\mu_k} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|z|^2}{(1 + |z|^2)^4} \right) c_{kl}(\xi') + O \left( \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^2 |c_{ij}(\xi')| \right) = 0,$$

and hence  $c_{kl}(\xi') = 0$  for each  $k = 1, \dots, m$  and  $l = 1, 2$ .  $\square$

In order to solve for critical points of  $F_\lambda$ , we need first to obtain its expansion in terms of  $\varphi_m(\xi)$  as  $\varepsilon$  goes to zero.

**Proposition 5.2.** *With the choice (2.19) for the parameters  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)$ , there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for any  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$  and any points  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathcal{O}_d$ , the following expansion uniformly holds*

$$F_\lambda(\xi) = \frac{4\pi}{p^2 \gamma^{2(p-1)}} \left[ m \left( 4|\log \varepsilon| - 4 + 2 \log 8 \right) - 8\pi \varphi_m(\xi) + O \left( \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|} \right) \right], \quad (5.14)$$

where  $\varphi_m(\xi)$  is given by (1.6).

*Proof.* Taking into account  $DI_\varepsilon(V_{\xi'} + \phi_{\xi'})[\phi_{\xi'}] = 0$ , a Taylor expansion and an integration by parts, by (5.6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F_\lambda(\xi) - J_\lambda^p(U_\xi) &= \frac{1}{p^2 \gamma^{2(p-1)}} \int_0^1 D^2 I_\varepsilon(V_{\xi'} + t\phi_{\xi'}) \phi_{\xi'}^2 (1-t) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{p^2 \gamma^{2(p-1)}} \int_0^1 \left\{ \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} [f'(V_{\xi'}) - f'(V_{\xi'} + t\phi_{\xi'})] \phi_{\xi'}^2 - [E_{\xi'} + N(\phi_{\xi'})] \phi_{\xi'} \right\} (1-t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

so we get

$$F_\lambda(\xi) - J_\lambda^p(U_\xi) = \frac{1}{p^2 \gamma^{2(p-1)}} O \left( \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^7} \right), \quad (5.15)$$

in view of  $\|\phi_{\xi'}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq C|\log \varepsilon|^{-3}$ ,  $\|E_{\xi'}\|_* \leq C|\log \varepsilon|^{-4}$  and  $\|N(\phi_{\xi'})\|_* \leq C|\log \varepsilon|^{-6}$  and estimate (3.3). Next we expand

$$J_\lambda^p(U_\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla U_\xi|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_\Omega e^{|U_\xi|^p} := I_A - I_B.$$

From the definition of  $U_\xi$  in (2.13) we get

$$I_A = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta U_\xi) U_\xi dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left( -\sum_{i=1}^m a_i \Delta U_i \right) U_\xi dx = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{B_d(\xi_k)} + \int_{\Omega \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^m B_d(\xi_k)} \right) \left( -\sum_{i=1}^m a_i \Delta U_i \right) U_\xi dx.$$

Moreover, by (2.5)-(2.6),

$$-\sum_{i=1}^m a_i \Delta U_i = \frac{1}{p\gamma^{p-1}\varepsilon^2} \sum_{i=1}^m a_i e^{\omega_{\mu_i} \left( \frac{x-\xi_i}{\varepsilon} \right)} \left[ 1 + \sum_{j=1}^3 \left( \frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{1}{\gamma^{jp}} (\omega_{\mu_i}^j - f_{\mu_i}^j) \left( \frac{x-\xi_i}{\varepsilon} \right) \right].$$

Applying the expansions of  $U_\xi$  in (2.17)-(2.18), we can compute

$$I_A = \frac{1}{2p^2\gamma^{2(p-1)}} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{B_d(\xi_k)} e^{\omega_{\mu_k} \left( \frac{x-\xi_k}{\varepsilon} \right)} \left[ 1 + \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} (\omega_{\mu_k}^1 - f_{\mu_k}^1) \left( \frac{x-\xi_k}{\varepsilon} \right) \right] \times \left[ p\gamma^p + \omega_{\mu_k} \left( \frac{x-\xi_k}{\varepsilon} \right) \right] dx + O \left( \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|} \right) \right\}. \quad (5.16)$$

Changing variables  $\varepsilon\mu_k z = x - \xi_k$  and using the relation  $p\gamma^p = -4\log \varepsilon$ , by (2.2) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{B_d(\xi_k)} e^{\omega_{\mu_k} \left( \frac{x-\xi_k}{\varepsilon} \right)} \left[ p\gamma^p + \omega_{\mu_k} \left( \frac{x-\xi_k}{\varepsilon} \right) \right] dx = \int_{B_{d/(\varepsilon\mu_k)}(0)} \frac{8}{(1+|z|^2)^2} \left[ \log \frac{8}{(1+|z|^2)^2} - \log(\varepsilon^4 \mu_k^2) \right] dz.$$

But

$$\int_{B_{d/(\varepsilon\mu_k)}(0)} \frac{8}{(1+|z|^2)^2} = 8\pi + O(\varepsilon^2),$$

and

$$\int_{B_{d/(\varepsilon\mu_k)}(0)} \frac{8}{(1+|z|^2)^2} \log \frac{1}{(1+|z|^2)^2} = -16\pi + O(\varepsilon).$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{B_d(\xi_k)} e^{\omega_{\mu_k} \left( \frac{x-\xi_k}{\varepsilon} \right)} \left[ p\gamma^p + \omega_{\mu_k} \left( \frac{x-\xi_k}{\varepsilon} \right) \right] dx = 8\pi [\log 8 - \log(\varepsilon^4 \mu_k^2) - 2] + O(\varepsilon). \quad (5.17)$$

Changing variables  $\varepsilon\mu_k z = x - \xi_k$  again, by (2.7), (7.3) and (7.9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{B_d(\xi_k)} e^{\omega_{\mu_k} \left( \frac{x-\xi_k}{\varepsilon} \right)} \left[ \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} (\omega_{\mu_k}^1 - f_{\mu_k}^1) \left( \frac{x-\xi_k}{\varepsilon} \right) \right] \left[ p\gamma^p + \omega_{\mu_k} \left( \frac{x-\xi_k}{\varepsilon} \right) \right] dx \\ &= \int_{B_{d/(\varepsilon\mu_k)}(0)} \frac{8(p-1)}{(1+|z|^2)^2} \left\{ \left[ \frac{1}{2} (v_\infty)^2 - \omega_\infty^0 \right] (z) + (1 - 2\log \mu_k) \left( \frac{1-|z|^2}{|z|^2+1} \log 8 - \frac{2|z|^2}{|z|^2+1} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 2(\log^2 \mu_k - \log \mu_k) \frac{|z|^2-1}{|z|^2+1} \right\} dz + O \left( \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\int_{B_{d/(\varepsilon\mu_k)}(0)} \frac{8|z|^2}{(1+|z|^2)^3} = 4\pi + O(\varepsilon^2) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{B_{d/(\varepsilon\mu_k)}(0)} \frac{8}{(1+|z|^2)^2} = 8\pi + O(\varepsilon^2),$$

we give

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{B_d(\xi_k)} e^{\omega_{\mu_k} \left( \frac{x-\xi_k}{\varepsilon} \right)} \left[ \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} (\omega_{\mu_k}^1 - f_{\mu_k}^1) \left( \frac{x-\xi_k}{\varepsilon} \right) \right] \left[ p\gamma^p + \omega_{\mu_k} \left( \frac{x-\xi_k}{\varepsilon} \right) \right] dx \\ &= 8\pi(p-1) \left\{ \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{8}{(1+|z|^2)^2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (v_\infty)^2 - \omega_\infty^0 \right] (z) dz - 1 + 2\log \mu_k \right\} + O \left( \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

Substituting (5.17)-(5.18) into (5.16) and using relation (7.7) in Lemma A.1 as follows:

$$\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{8}{(1+|z|^2)^2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (v_\infty)^2 - \omega_\infty^0 \right] (z) dz = 3 - \log 8,$$

we find

$$I_A = \frac{4\pi}{p^2\gamma^{2(p-1)}} \left\{ 2(p-2) \sum_{k=1}^m \log \mu_k + m \left[ 4|\log \varepsilon| + (p-2)(2 - \log 8) \right] + O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|}\right) \right\}. \quad (5.19)$$

Regarding the expression  $I_B$ , by the definitions of  $\varepsilon$  and  $V_{\xi'}$  in (1.7) and (2.24), respectively, we have

$$I_B = \frac{1}{p^2\gamma^{2(p-1)}} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \int_{B_{\mu_i|\log \varepsilon|^\tau}(\xi'_i)} + \int_{B_{d/\varepsilon}(\xi'_i) \setminus B_{\mu_i|\log \varepsilon|^\tau}(\xi'_i)} \right) + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \cup_{i=1}^m B_{d/\varepsilon}(\xi'_i)} \right] e^{\gamma^p \left( \left| 1 + \frac{V_{\xi'}(y)}{p\gamma^p} \right|^p - 1 \right)} dy.$$

By (1.8) and (2.31),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p^2\gamma^{2(p-1)}} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \cup_{i=1}^m B_{d/\varepsilon}(\xi'_i)} e^{\gamma^p \left( \left| 1 + \frac{V_{\xi'}(y)}{p\gamma^p} \right|^p - 1 \right)} dy &= \frac{1}{p^2\gamma^{2(p-1)}} O(\varepsilon^{\frac{4}{p}-2}) \exp \left[ O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^{p-1}}\right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{p^2\gamma^{2(p-1)}} O(\varepsilon^{\frac{2-p}{p}}) \exp \left[ -\frac{2-p}{p} |\log \varepsilon| + O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^{p-1}}\right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.4) and (2.41),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p^2\gamma^{2(p-1)}} \int_{B_{d/\varepsilon}(\xi'_i) \setminus B_{\mu_i|\log \varepsilon|^\tau}(\xi'_i)} e^{\gamma^p \left( \left| 1 + \frac{V_{\xi'}(y)}{p\gamma^p} \right|^p - 1 \right)} dy &\leq \frac{D}{p^2\gamma^{2(p-1)}} \int_{B_{d/\varepsilon}(\xi'_i) \setminus B_{\mu_i|\log \varepsilon|^\tau}(\xi'_i)} e^{[1+O(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|})]\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} dy \\ &= \frac{1}{p^2\gamma^{2(p-1)}} O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^\tau}\right). \end{aligned}$$

By (2.10) and (2.35),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p^2\gamma^{2(p-1)}} \int_{B_{\mu_i|\log \varepsilon|^\tau}(\xi'_i)} e^{\gamma^p \left( \left| 1 + \frac{V_{\xi'}(y)}{p\gamma^p} \right|^p - 1 \right)} dy &= \frac{1}{p^2\gamma^{2(p-1)}} \left( \int_{B_{\mu_i|\log \varepsilon|^\tau}(\xi'_i)} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} dy \right) \left[ 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|}\right) \right] \\ &= \frac{8\pi}{p^2\gamma^{2(p-1)}} \left[ 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|}\right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$I_B = \frac{8m\pi}{p^2\gamma^{2(p-1)}} \left[ 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|}\right) \right]. \quad (5.20)$$

Hence by (5.15), (5.19) and (5.20), we obtain

$$F_\lambda(\xi) = \frac{4\pi}{p^2\gamma^{2(p-1)}} \left\{ 2(p-2) \sum_{i=1}^m \log \mu_i + m \left[ 4|\log \varepsilon| - 2 + (p-2)(2 - \log 8) \right] + O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|}\right) \right\},$$

which, together with the expansion of  $\mu_i$  in (2.20), gives (5.14).  $\square$

Next, we need to prove that the expansion of  $F_\lambda(\xi)$  in terms of  $\varphi_m(\xi)$  holds in a  $C^1$ -sense.

**Proposition 5.3.** *There exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for any  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$  and any points  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathcal{O}_d$ , the following expansion uniformly holds*

$$\nabla_{(\xi_k)_l} F_\lambda(\xi) = -\frac{32\pi^2}{p^2\gamma^{2(p-1)}} \left\{ \nabla_{(\xi_k)_l} \varphi_m(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) + O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|}\right) \right\}, \quad (5.21)$$

where  $k = 1, \dots, m$  and  $l = 1, 2$ .

*Proof.* Observe that for any  $k = 1, \dots, m$  and  $l = 1, 2$ ,

$$\partial_{(\xi_k)_l} F_\lambda(\xi) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon p^2 \gamma^{2(p-1)}} \partial_{(\xi'_k)_l} I_\varepsilon(V_{\xi'} + \phi_{\xi'}) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon p^2 \gamma^{2(p-1)}} \left\{ - \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} [\Delta v_{\xi'} + f(v_{\xi'})] \left( \partial_{(\xi'_k)_l} V_{\xi'} + \partial_{(\xi'_k)_l} \phi_{\xi'} \right) \right\}, \quad (5.22)$$

where  $v_{\xi'} = V_{\xi'} + \phi_{\xi'}$ . Let  $\eta$  be a radial smooth, non-increasing cut-off function such that  $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ ,  $\eta = 1$  for  $|x| \leq d$ , and  $\eta = 0$  for  $|x| \geq 2d$ . Since  $\phi_{\xi'}$  solves problem (4.1), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} [\Delta v_{\xi'} + f(v_{\xi'})] \partial_{(\xi'_k)_l} \phi_{\xi'} &= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^2 c_{ij}(\xi') \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} Z_{ij} \partial_{(\xi'_k)_l} \phi_{\xi'} = - \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^2 c_{ij}(\xi') \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \partial_{(\xi'_k)_l} \left( e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} Z_{ij} \right) \phi_{\xi'} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^2 c_{ij}(\xi') \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \partial_{y_l} \left( e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} Z_{ij} \right) \eta(\varepsilon y - \xi_k) \phi_{\xi'} \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^2 c_{ij}(\xi') \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left[ \partial_{(\xi'_k)_l} \left( e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} Z_{ij} \right) + \eta(\varepsilon y - \xi_k) \partial_{y_l} \left( e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} Z_{ij} \right) \right] \phi_{\xi'}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|\log \varepsilon|^2 \phi_{\xi'}(y) \rightarrow 0$  in  $C(\overline{\Omega_\varepsilon})$ , by elliptic regularity we get

$$|\log \varepsilon|^2 \phi_{\xi'}(y) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{uniformly in } C^1(\overline{\Omega_\varepsilon} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^m B_{d/\varepsilon}(\xi'_i)). \quad (5.23)$$

Note that  $\eta(\varepsilon y - \xi_k) \equiv 0$  near  $\partial\Omega_\varepsilon$ . From an integration by parts of the partial derivative in  $y_l$  and (4.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \partial_{y_l} \left( e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} Z_{ij} \right) \eta(\varepsilon y - \xi_k) \phi_{\xi'} &= \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \partial_{y_l} \left( e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} Z_{ij} \eta(\varepsilon y - \xi_k) \phi_{\xi'} \right) - \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} Z_{ij} \partial_{y_l} (\eta(\varepsilon y - \xi_k) \phi_{\xi'}) \\ &= - \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} Z_{ij} \partial_{y_l} (\eta(\varepsilon y - \xi_k) \phi_{\xi'}) \\ &= - \int_{B_{d/\varepsilon}(\xi'_k)} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} Z_{ij} \partial_{y_l} \phi_{\xi'} + o\left(\frac{\varepsilon^3}{|\log \varepsilon|^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Similar to (5.13), by (2.2) and (3.10) for  $j = 1, 2$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_{(\xi'_k)_l} \left( e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} Z_{ij} \right) + \eta(\varepsilon y - \xi_k) \partial_{y_l} \left( e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} Z_{ij} \right) \\ &= 4\mu_i e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} \left\{ \frac{\eta(\varepsilon y - \xi_k) - \delta_{ik}}{|y - \xi'_i|^2 + \mu_i^2} \delta_{jl} + 6 \frac{(y - \xi'_i)_j (y - \xi'_k)_l}{(|y - \xi'_i|^2 + \mu_i^2)^2} (\delta_{ik} - \eta(\varepsilon y - \xi_k)) + \frac{3}{4\mu_i} Z_{i0} Z_{ij} \partial_{(\xi'_k)_l} \log \mu_i \right\} \\ &= 3e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} Z_{i0} Z_{ij} \partial_{(\xi'_k)_l} \log \mu_i + O(\varepsilon^6), \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (4.2) and the fact that  $|Z_{i0} Z_{ij}| \leq 2$  and  $|\partial_{(\xi'_k)_l} \log \mu_i| = O(\varepsilon)$  for any  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , gives

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^2 c_{ij}(\xi') \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left[ \partial_{(\xi'_k)_l} \left( e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} Z_{ij} \right) + \eta(\varepsilon y - \xi_k) \partial_{y_l} \left( e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} Z_{ij} \right) \right] \phi_{\xi'} \right| = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\log \varepsilon|^7}\right).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} [\Delta v_{\xi'} + f(v_{\xi'})] \partial_{(\xi_k)_l} \phi_{\xi'} &= - \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^2 c_{ij}(\xi') \int_{B_{d/\varepsilon}(\xi'_k)} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} Z_{ij} \partial_{y_l} \phi_{\xi'} + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\log \varepsilon|^7}\right) \\ &= \int_{B_{d/\varepsilon}(\xi'_k)} [\Delta v_{\xi'} + f(v_{\xi'})] \partial_{y_l} \phi_{\xi'} + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\log \varepsilon|^7}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.24)$$

Taking into account  $(D_{\xi'} + D_y)V_{\xi'}(y) = p\gamma^{p-1}(D_{\xi'} + D_y)[U_{\xi}(\varepsilon y)]$ , by the expression of  $U_{\xi}$  in (2.13) we obtain

$$\partial_{(\xi'_k)_l} V_{\xi'} + \partial_{y_l} V_{\xi'} = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \left\{ \left( \partial_{(\xi'_k)_l} + \partial_{y_l} \right) \left[ \omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i) + \sum_{j=1}^3 \left( \frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{1}{\gamma^{jp}} \omega_{\mu_i}^j(y - \xi'_i) + p\gamma^{p-1} H_i(\varepsilon y) \right] \right\}. \quad (5.25)$$

Clearly, by (5.9),

$$\left( \partial_{(\xi'_k)_l} + \partial_{y_l} \right) [\omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i)] = \frac{1}{\mu_i} (\delta_{ki} - 1) Z_l \left( \frac{y - \xi'_i}{\mu_i} \right) + \left( \partial_{(\xi'_k)_l} \mu_i \right) \frac{d}{d\mu_i} \omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i), \quad (5.26)$$

and for  $j = 1, 2, 3$ , by (5.10),

$$\left( \partial_{(\xi'_k)_l} + \partial_{y_l} \right) [\omega_{\mu_i}^j(y - \xi'_i)] = -\frac{1}{\mu_i} (\delta_{ki} - 1) \left[ \frac{D_{\mu_i}^j}{4} Z_l \left( \frac{y - \xi'_i}{\mu_i} \right) + O \left( \frac{\mu_i^2}{|y - \xi'_i|^2 + \mu_i^2} \right) \right] + \left( \partial_{(\xi'_k)_l} \mu_i \right) \frac{d}{d\mu_i} \omega_{\mu_i}^j(y - \xi'_i), \quad (5.27)$$

and by (2.15) and (5.11),

$$\left( \partial_{(\xi'_k)_l} + \partial_{y_l} \right) [p\gamma^{p-1} H_i(\varepsilon y)] = O(\varepsilon). \quad (5.28)$$

Since  $|\partial_{(\xi'_k)_l} \mu_i| = O(\varepsilon)$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , by inserting (5.26)-(5.28) into (5.25) we can derive that for  $|y - \xi'_k| \leq 2d/\varepsilon$ ,

$$\eta(\varepsilon y - \xi_k) \left[ \partial_{(\xi'_k)_l} V_{\xi'} + \partial_{y_l} V_{\xi'} \right] = O(\varepsilon).$$

On the other hand, by (5.12) we have that for  $|y - \xi'_k| \geq d/\varepsilon$ ,

$$[1 - \eta(\varepsilon y - \xi_k)] \partial_{(\xi'_k)_l} V_{\xi'} = O(\varepsilon).$$

So,

$$\partial_{(\xi'_k)_l} V_{\xi'} + \eta(\varepsilon y - \xi_k) \partial_{y_l} V_{\xi'} = [1 - \eta(\varepsilon y - \xi_k)] \partial_{(\xi'_k)_l} V_{\xi'} + \eta(\varepsilon y - \xi_k) \left[ \partial_{(\xi'_k)_l} V_{\xi'} + \partial_{y_l} V_{\xi'} \right] = O(\varepsilon).$$

By (4.2),

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} [\Delta v_{\xi'} + f(v_{\xi'})] \partial_{(\xi'_k)_l} V_{\xi'} &= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^2 c_{ij}(\xi') \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i)} Z_{ij} \left[ \partial_{(\xi'_k)_l} V_{\xi'} + \eta(\varepsilon y - \xi_k) \partial_{y_l} V_{\xi'} \right] \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^2 c_{ij}(\xi') \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i)} Z_{ij} \eta(\varepsilon y - \xi_k) \partial_{y_l} V_{\xi'} \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^2 c_{ij}(\xi') \int_{B_{d/\varepsilon}(\xi'_k)} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i)} Z_{ij} \partial_{y_l} V_{\xi'} + O \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{|\log \varepsilon|^4} \right) \\ &= \int_{B_{d/\varepsilon}(\xi'_k)} [\Delta v_{\xi'} + f(v_{\xi'})] \partial_{y_l} V_{\xi'} + O \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{|\log \varepsilon|^4} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.29)$$

Substituting (5.24) and (5.29) into (5.22), we conclude

$$\partial_{(\xi_k)_l} F_{\lambda}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon p^2 \gamma^{2(p-1)}} \left\{ \int_{B_{d/\varepsilon}(\xi'_k)} [\Delta v_{\xi'} + f(v_{\xi'})] \partial_{y_l} v_{\xi'} + O \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{|\log \varepsilon|^4} \right) \right\}. \quad (5.30)$$

Integrating by parts of the gradient operator  $\nabla$  and the partial derivative  $\partial_{y_l}$  respectively, we obtain the Pohozaev-type identities: for any  $B \subset \Omega_{\varepsilon}$  and for any function  $v$ ,

$$\int_B \Delta v \partial_{y_l} v = \int_{\partial B} \left( \partial_{\nu} v \partial_{y_l} v - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla v|^2 \nu_l \right), \quad \int_B f(v) \partial_{y_l} v = \int_{\partial B} e^{\gamma^p (|1 + \frac{v}{\gamma^p}|^p - 1)} \nu_l, \quad (5.31)$$

where  $\nu_l(y)$  denotes the  $l$ -th component of the outer unit normal vector to  $\partial B$  at  $y \in \partial B$ . Let

$$\psi_k(y) = H(\varepsilon y, \xi_k) + \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^m a_i a_k G(\varepsilon y, \xi_i) \quad \text{for all } k = 1, \dots, m.$$

From (2.17), (2.24), (5.23) and the fact that  $v_{\xi'} = V_{\xi'} + \phi_{\xi'}$ , we can derive that the follows expansions uniformly hold:

$$v_{\xi'}(y) = 8\pi \sum_{i=1}^m a_i G(\varepsilon y, \xi_i) - p\gamma^p + O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|}\right) = 8\pi a_k \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{|\varepsilon y - \xi_k|} + \psi_k(y) \right] - p\gamma^p + O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|}\right), \quad (5.32)$$

but

$$\nabla v_{\xi'}(y) = 8\pi a_k \left[ -\frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi} \frac{\varepsilon y - \xi_k}{|\varepsilon y - \xi_k|^2} + \nabla \psi_k(y) \right] + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\log \varepsilon|}\right), \quad (5.33)$$

in  $C(\bar{\Omega}_\varepsilon \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^m B_{d/\varepsilon}(\xi'_i))$ . Applying (5.31) on  $B = B_{d/\varepsilon}(\xi'_k)$  for each  $k = 1, \dots, m$  and using (5.32)-(5.33), we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{d/\varepsilon}(\xi'_k)} [\Delta v_{\xi'} + f(v_{\xi'})] \partial_{y_l} v_{\xi'} &= \int_{\partial B_{d/\varepsilon}(\xi'_k)} \left[ \partial_\nu v_{\xi'} \partial_{y_l} v_{\xi'} - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla v_{\xi'}|^2 \nu_l + e^{\gamma^p (|1 + \frac{\nu}{p\gamma^p}|^p - 1)} \nu_l \right] \\ &= 64\pi^2 \left[ \int_{\partial B_{d/\varepsilon}(\xi'_k)} \left( -\frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi d} + \partial_\nu \psi_k \right) \left( -\frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi d} \nu_l + \partial_{y_l} \psi_k \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial B_{d/\varepsilon}(\xi'_k)} \left| -\frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi d} \nu + \nabla \psi_k \right|^2 \nu_l \right] + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\log \varepsilon|}\right) \\ &= 64\pi^2 \int_{\partial B_{d/\varepsilon}(\xi'_k)} \left[ -\frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi d} \partial_{y_l} \psi_k + \left( \partial_\nu \psi_k \partial_{y_l} \psi_k - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \psi_k|^2 \nu_l \right) \right] + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\log \varepsilon|}\right) \\ &= -64\pi^2 \partial_{y_l} \psi_k(\xi'_k) + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\log \varepsilon|}\right), \end{aligned}$$

because  $\psi_k$  is a harmonic function on the ball  $B_{d/\varepsilon}(\xi'_k)$  such that

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi d} \int_{\partial B_{d/\varepsilon}(\xi'_k)} \partial_{y_l} \psi_k = \partial_{y_l} \psi_k(\xi'_k),$$

and by (5.31),

$$\int_{\partial B_{d/\varepsilon}(\xi'_k)} \left( \partial_\nu \psi_k \partial_{y_l} \psi_k - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \psi_k|^2 \nu_l \right) = \int_{B_{d/\varepsilon}(\xi'_k)} \Delta \psi_k \partial_{y_l} \psi_k = 0.$$

Accordingly, by (5.30) we find

$$\partial_{(\xi_k)_l} F_\lambda(\xi) = -\frac{64\pi^2}{\varepsilon p^2 \gamma^{2(p-1)}} \left\{ \partial_{y_l} \psi_k(\xi'_k) + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\log \varepsilon|}\right) \right\} = -\frac{32\pi^2}{p^2 \gamma^{2(p-1)}} \left\{ \partial_{(\xi_k)_l} \varphi_m(\xi) + O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|}\right) \right\},$$

in view of  $\partial_{y_l} \psi_k(\xi'_k) = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \partial_{(\xi_k)_l} \varphi_m(\xi)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 6. PROOFS OF THEOREMS

**Definition 6.1.** We say that  $\xi^* = (\xi_1^*, \dots, \xi_m^*)$  is a  $C^1$ -stable critical point of  $\varphi_m : \mathcal{F}_m(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  if for any sequence of functions  $\Phi_n : \mathcal{F}_m(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\Phi_n \rightarrow \varphi_m$  uniformly in  $C^1_{loc}(\mathcal{F}_m(\Omega))$ ,  $\Phi_n$  has at least one critical point  $\xi^n = (\xi_1^n, \dots, \xi_m^n)$  such that  $\xi^n \rightarrow \xi^*$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Specially,  $\xi^*$  is a  $C^1$ -stable critical point of  $\varphi_m$  if either one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (i)  $\xi^*$  is an isolated local maximum or minimum point of  $\varphi_m$ ;
- (ii) the Brouwer degree  $\deg(\nabla \varphi_m, B_\varepsilon(\xi^*), 0) \neq 0$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough.

**Lemma 6.2** (see Lemma 2.2 of [13] and Lemma 2 in [42]). *Let  $u$  be a solution of  $-\Delta u = g$  in  $\Omega$ ,  $u = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . If  $\Lambda$  is a neighbourhood of  $\partial\Omega$ , then*

$$\|\nabla u\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\Lambda')} \leq C(\|g\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \|g\|_{L^\infty(\Lambda)}),$$

where  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $\Lambda' \subset\subset \Lambda$  is a neighbourhood of  $\partial\Omega$ .

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** According to Proposition 5.1, the function  $u_\lambda = U_\xi + \tilde{\phi}_\xi$  is a solution to problem (1.1) if we adjust  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathcal{O}_d \subset \mathcal{F}_m(\Omega)$  so that it is a critical point of  $F_\lambda$  defined in (5.3). This is equivalent to showing that

$$\tilde{F}_\lambda(\xi) = -\frac{p^2\gamma^{2(p-1)}}{32\pi^2}F_\lambda(\xi) + \frac{m}{8\pi}\left(4|\log \varepsilon| - 4 + 2\log 8\right). \quad (6.1)$$

has a critical point  $\xi^\varepsilon = (\xi_1^\varepsilon, \dots, \xi_m^\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{O}_d$ . Propositions 5.2-5.3 imply that for any small but fixed  $d > 0$ , as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$\tilde{F}_\lambda(\xi) = \varphi_m(\xi) + O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|}\right) \quad \text{uniformly in } C^1(\mathcal{O}_d). \quad (6.2)$$

Since  $\xi^* = (\xi_1^*, \dots, \xi_m^*)$  is a  $C^1$ -stable critical point of  $\varphi_m : \mathcal{F}_m(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , by Definition 6.1 it follows that there exists at least one critical point  $\xi^\varepsilon$  of  $\tilde{F}_\lambda$  in  $\mathcal{O}_d$  such that along a subsequence,  $\xi^\varepsilon \rightarrow \xi^*$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ . The function  $u_\lambda = U_{\xi^\varepsilon} + \tilde{\phi}_{\xi^\varepsilon}$  is therefore a weak solution to problem (1.1) with the qualitative property (1.29) which easily follows by (2.17), (2.18) and the fact that  $\tilde{\phi}_{\xi^\varepsilon}$  is a higher order term in  $u_\lambda$  because of (5.4) and the first estimate of  $\phi_{(\xi^\varepsilon)'}$  in (4.2).

**Proof of (1.24).** From (2.17), (2.18), (4.2) and (5.4) we obtain that estimate (1.24) holds pointwise in  $\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{\xi_1^*, \dots, \xi_m^*\}$ . We will try to prove that

$$\|\lambda u_\lambda |u_\lambda|^{p-2} e^{|u_\lambda|^p}\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \frac{C}{p\gamma^{p-1}}, \quad (6.3)$$

and for a given neighbourhood  $\Lambda$  of  $\partial\Omega$ ,

$$\|\lambda u_\lambda |u_\lambda|^{p-2} e^{|u_\lambda|^p}\|_{L^\infty(\Lambda)} \leq \frac{C}{p\gamma^{p-1}}. \quad (6.4)$$

Once these estimates are established, according to Lemma 6.2 we get that for  $\Lambda' \subset\subset \bar{\Omega} \setminus \{\xi_1^*, \dots, \xi_m^*\}$ ,  $\|\nabla u_\lambda\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\Lambda')} \leq \frac{C}{p\gamma^{p-1}}$ , hence estimate (1.24) follows by (1.8) and the Ascoli-Arzelá Theorem. Notice that if we set  $v_\lambda(x) = p\gamma^{p-1}u_\lambda(x) - p\gamma^p$ , then  $v_\lambda(x) = (V_{(\xi^\varepsilon)'}) + \phi_{(\xi^\varepsilon)'}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)$  and

$$\lambda u_\lambda |u_\lambda|^{p-2} e^{|u_\lambda|^p} = \lambda \gamma^{p-1} e^{\gamma^p} \left(1 + \frac{v_\lambda}{p\gamma^p}\right) \left|1 + \frac{v_\lambda}{p\gamma^p}\right|^{p-2} e^{\gamma^p \left(1 + \frac{v_\lambda}{p\gamma^p}\right)^p} = \lambda \gamma^{p-1} e^{\gamma^p} f(V_{(\xi^\varepsilon)'}) + \phi_{(\xi^\varepsilon)'}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right), \quad (6.5)$$

where the function  $f$  is defined in (2.23). Recall that  $\|\phi_{(\xi^\varepsilon)'}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \leq \frac{C}{|\log \varepsilon|^3}$ . Similar to the consideration of those expansions in (2.32), (2.36), (2.40) and (2.41), we can compute that if  $|y - (\xi_i^\varepsilon)'| \geq d/\varepsilon$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ,

$$|f(V_{(\xi^\varepsilon)'}) + \phi_{(\xi^\varepsilon)'}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)| (y) = \frac{O(\varepsilon^{\frac{2+p}{p}})}{|\log \varepsilon|^{p-1}} \exp\left[-\frac{2-p}{p}|\log \varepsilon| + O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^{p-1}}\right)\right], \quad (6.6)$$

if  $|y - (\xi_i^\varepsilon)'| < \mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^\tau$  with any  $\tau \geq 10$  large but fixed,

$$f(V_{(\xi^\varepsilon)'}) + \phi_{(\xi^\varepsilon)'}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) (y) = a_i e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y - (\xi_i^\varepsilon)')} \left[1 + O\left(\frac{\log^2(\mu_i + |y - (\xi_i^\varepsilon)'|)}{|\log \varepsilon|}\right)\right], \quad (6.7)$$

and if  $\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^\tau \leq |y - (\xi_i^\varepsilon)'| \leq d/\varepsilon^\theta$  with any  $\theta < 1$  but close enough to 1,

$$|f(V_{(\xi^\varepsilon)'}) + \phi_{(\xi^\varepsilon)'}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)| (y) \leq D \left(1 + \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^{p-1}}\right) e^{[1+O(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|})]\omega_{\mu_i}(y - (\xi_i^\varepsilon)')}, \quad (6.8)$$

where  $D > 0$  is a constant, independent of any  $\theta < 1$ . Therefore, by using relations (1.7)-(1.8) we can easily derive that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda u_\lambda |u_\lambda|^{p-2} e^{|u_\lambda|^p}\|_{L^1(\Omega)} &= \left[ \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \cup_{i=1}^m B_{d/\varepsilon}((\xi_i^\varepsilon)')} + \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \int_{B_{\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^\tau}((\xi_i^\varepsilon)')} + \int_{B_{d/\varepsilon}((\xi_i^\varepsilon)') \setminus B_{\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^\tau}((\xi_i^\varepsilon)')} \right) \right] \\ &\quad \lambda \gamma^{p-1} e^{\gamma^p} \varepsilon^2 |f(V_{(\xi^\varepsilon)'}) + \phi_{(\xi^\varepsilon)'}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)| (y) dy \\ &= \lambda \gamma^{p-1} e^{\gamma^p} \varepsilon^2 \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{B_{\mu_i |\log \varepsilon|^\tau}((\xi_i^\varepsilon)')} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y - (\xi_i^\varepsilon)')} dy + O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|}\right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{p\gamma^{p-1}} \left[ 8m\pi + O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|}\right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\|\lambda u_\lambda |u_\lambda|^{p-2} e^{|u_\lambda|^p}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \setminus \cup_{i=1}^m B_{d/\varepsilon}(\xi_i^\varepsilon))} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2 p \gamma^{p-1}} \frac{O(\varepsilon^{\frac{2+p}{p}})}{|\log \varepsilon|^{p-1}} \exp\left[-\frac{2-p}{p} |\log \varepsilon| + O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^{p-1}}\right)\right] = o\left(\frac{1}{p \gamma^{p-1}}\right).$$

**Proof of (1.25).** From (6.5)-(6.8) we conclude that for any  $\psi \in C_c(\bar{\Omega})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \lambda u_\lambda |u_\lambda|^{p-2} e^{|u_\lambda|^p} \psi dx &= \left[ \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \cup_{i=1}^m B_{d/\varepsilon}((\xi_i^\varepsilon)')} + \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \int_{B_{\mu_i} |\log \varepsilon|^\tau((\xi_i^\varepsilon)')} + \int_{B_{d/\varepsilon}((\xi_i^\varepsilon)') \setminus B_{\mu_i} |\log \varepsilon|^\tau((\xi_i^\varepsilon)')} \right) \right] \\ &\quad \lambda \gamma^{p-1} e^{\gamma^p \varepsilon^2} f(V_{(\xi^\varepsilon)'}, \phi_{(\xi^\varepsilon)'}) (y) \psi(\varepsilon y) dy \\ &= \lambda \gamma^{p-1} e^{\gamma^p \varepsilon^2} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \int_{B_{\mu_i} |\log \varepsilon|^\tau((\xi_i^\varepsilon)')} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y - (\xi_i^\varepsilon)')} \psi(\varepsilon y) dy + O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|}\right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{p \gamma^{p-1}} \left[ 8\pi \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \psi(\xi_i^*) + o(1) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof of (1.26)-(1.28).** Since  $\tilde{\phi}_{\xi^\varepsilon}$  is a higher order term in  $u_\lambda$ , by using (2.35) and the same calculus as expansion (5.20) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda p}{2} \int_{\Omega} (e^{|u_\lambda|^p} - 1) dx &= \frac{1}{2\gamma^{2(p-1)}} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{B_{\mu_i} |\log \varepsilon|^\tau(\xi_i')} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi_i')} \left[ 1 + \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} B_1 + \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^2 \frac{1}{\gamma^{2p}} \left( B_2 + \frac{1}{2}(B_1)^2 \right) \right] dy \right. \\ &\quad \left. + O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^3}\right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{\lambda p}{2} \int_{\Omega} (e^{|u_\lambda|^p} - 1) dx \right)^{\frac{2-p}{p}} &= \left( \frac{1}{2\gamma^{2(p-1)}} \right)^{\frac{2-p}{p}} \left[ 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^3}\right) \right] \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi_i')} \left[ 1 + \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{1}{\gamma^p} B_1 + \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^2 \frac{1}{\gamma^{2p}} \left( B_2 + \frac{1}{2}(B_1)^2 \right) \right] dy}_{a_i} \right\}^{\frac{2-p}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to these arguments, by (2.34)-(2.35) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{\lambda p}{2} \int_{\Omega} |u_\lambda|^p e^{|u_\lambda|^p} dx \right)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}} &= \left( \frac{1}{2\gamma^{p-2}} \right)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}} \left[ 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^3}\right) \right] \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi_i')} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{p\gamma^p} (pA_1 + (p-1)B_1) + \frac{p-1}{p\gamma^{2p}} (A_1 B_1 + B_1) + \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^2 \frac{1}{\gamma^{2p}} \left( B_2 + \frac{1}{2}(B_1)^2 \right) \right] dy}_{b_i} \right\}^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to the vanishing identity of first order stated by Corollary A.8

$$\frac{2-p}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi_i')} B_1 dy + \frac{2}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi_i')} [pA_1 + (p-1)B_1] dy \equiv 0, \quad (6.9)$$

by the Taylor expansion we can compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_\lambda &= \left( \frac{\lambda p}{2} \int_\Omega (e^{|u_\lambda|^p} - 1) dx \right)^{\frac{2-p}{p}} \left( \frac{\lambda p}{2} \int_\Omega |u_\lambda|^p e^{|u_\lambda|^p} dx \right)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}} \\
&= 4\pi m \left\{ 1 + \frac{(p-1)^2}{p^2 \gamma^{2p}} \frac{1}{8\pi m} \sum_{i=1}^m \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} \left( B_2 + \frac{1}{2}(B_1)^2 \right) dy + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} (A_1 B_1 + B_1) dy \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{(p-1)(p-2)}{p^2 \gamma^{2p}} \frac{1}{(16\pi m)^2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} B_1 dy \right)^2 \right\} \left[ 1 + O\left( \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^3} \right) \right]. \tag{6.10}
\end{aligned}$$

Obviously, by the definitions of  $\varepsilon$  and  $\gamma$  in (1.7)-(1.8) we arrive at

$$\beta_\lambda = 4\pi m \left[ 1 + O\left( \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^2} \right) \right] \rightarrow 4\pi m.$$

If  $0 < p < 1$ , using the inequality

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} B_1 dy \right)^2 \leq m \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} B_1 dy \right)^2,$$

by (6.10) we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_\lambda &\leq 4\pi m \left\{ 1 + \frac{p-1}{p^2 \gamma^{2p} m} \sum_{i=1}^m \left[ \frac{p-1}{8\pi} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} \left( B_2 + \frac{1}{2}(B_1)^2 \right) dy + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} (A_1 B_1 + B_1) dy \right) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \frac{p-2}{(16\pi)^2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} B_1 dy \right)^2 \right] \right\} \left[ 1 + O\left( \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^3} \right) \right] \\
&= 4\pi \left\{ m + \frac{4(p-1)}{p^2 \gamma^{2p}} \left[ 1 + O\left( \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|} \right) \right] \right\} < 4\pi m,
\end{aligned}$$

where the above equality is due to the identity of second order stated by Corollary B.6

$$\frac{p-1}{8\pi} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} \left( B_2 + \frac{1}{2}(B_1)^2 \right) dy + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} (A_1 B_1 + B_1) dy \right] + \frac{p-2}{(16\pi)^2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} B_1 dy \right)^2 \equiv 4. \tag{6.11}$$

While if  $1 < p < 2$ , by Hölder's inequality for vectors in  $\mathbb{R}_+^m$  we conclude

$$\beta_\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \right)^{\frac{2-p}{p}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \right)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}} \left[ 1 + O\left( \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^3} \right) \right] \geq \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m a_i^{\frac{2-p}{p}} b_i^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}} \right) \left[ 1 + O\left( \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^3} \right) \right].$$

Applying the Taylor expansion and using the identities (6.9) and (6.11) again, we can compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\left( \frac{a_i}{8\pi} \right)^{\frac{2-p}{p}} \left( \frac{b_i}{8\pi} \right)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}} &= 1 + \frac{(p-1)^2}{p^2 \gamma^{2p}} \frac{1}{8\pi} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} \left( B_2 + \frac{1}{2}(B_1)^2 \right) dy + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} (A_1 B_1 + B_1) dy \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{(p-1)(p-2)}{p^2 \gamma^{2p}} \frac{1}{(16\pi)^2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} B_1 dy \right)^2 + O\left( \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^3} \right) \\
&= 1 + \frac{4(p-1)}{p^2 \gamma^{2p}} + O\left( \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^3} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence for  $1 < p < 2$ ,

$$\beta_\lambda \geq 4\pi m \left\{ 1 + \frac{4(p-1)}{p^2 \gamma^{2p}} \left[ 1 + O\left( \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|} \right) \right] \right\} > 4\pi m.$$

**Proof of (1.31).** Let us first claim that for all points  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathcal{F}_m(\Omega)$ , the normal derivative

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m a_i G(x, \xi_i) \right] \neq 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \quad (6.12)$$

By contradiction, we suppose that there exists an  $m$ -tuple  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathcal{F}_m(\Omega)$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i \frac{\partial G}{\partial \nu}(x, \xi_i) = 0$  for all  $x \in \partial\Omega$ . Applying Green's formula to any harmonic function  $\psi$  in  $\Omega$ , we find

$$\sum_{i=1}^m a_i \psi(\xi_i) = - \int_{\Omega} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m a_i G(x, \xi_i) \right] \Delta \psi dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} \left\{ \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m a_i G(x, \xi_i) \right] \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \nu} - \psi \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \frac{\partial G}{\partial \nu}(x, \xi_i) \right] \right\} d\sigma_x \equiv 0.$$

Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^m a_i \xi_i = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^m a_i (\xi_i)_1 (\xi_i)_2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \log |\xi_i - q| = 0 \quad \text{for all } q \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega.$$

Since  $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i = 0$  with  $a_i \in \{-1, 1\}$ , we find that these identities should be absurd for any points  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathcal{F}_m(\Omega)$ .

Assume that there exists a sequence  $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$  such that  $\overline{\{x \in \Omega : u_{\lambda_n}(x) = 0\}} \cap \partial\Omega = \emptyset$ . Clearly,  $\frac{\partial u_{\lambda_n}}{\partial \nu}$  does not change sign on  $\partial\Omega$ . Thus by (1.24),  $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i \frac{\partial G}{\partial \nu}(x, \xi_i^*)$  does not change sign on  $\partial\Omega$ . On the other hand, a simple calculus gives

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m a_i G(x, \xi_i^*) \right] d\sigma_x = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \int_{\Omega} \Delta G(x, \xi_i^*) dx = - \sum_{i=1}^m a_i = 0. \quad (6.13)$$

This, together with (6.12), implies that  $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i \frac{\partial G}{\partial \nu}(x, \xi_i^*)$  must change sign on  $\partial\Omega$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Fix  $\tilde{m} = 2$ ,  $a_1 = 1$  and  $a_2 = -1$ . Then the function  $\varphi_2$  defined in (1.6) becomes

$$\varphi_2(\xi) = H(\xi_1, \xi_1) + H(\xi_2, \xi_2) - 2G(\xi_1, \xi_2) \quad \text{for all } \xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathcal{F}_2(\Omega),$$

where  $\mathcal{F}_2(\Omega) = \{\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \Omega \times \Omega : \xi_1 \neq \xi_2\}$ .

**Proof of Theorem 1.2(i).** According to Proposition 5.1, we need to prove that if  $\lambda$  is small enough, the function  $F_\lambda$  has at least  $\text{cat}(\mathcal{C}_2(\Omega))$  pairs of critical points. By (6.1) it reduces to prove that  $\tilde{F}_\lambda$  has at least  $\text{cat}(\mathcal{C}_2(\Omega))$  pairs of critical points. Notice that

$$\varphi_2(\xi) \rightarrow -\infty \quad \text{as } \xi \rightarrow \partial\mathcal{F}_2(\Omega). \quad (6.14)$$

Recall that  $\mathcal{C}_2(\Omega)$  denotes the quotient manifold of  $\mathcal{F}_2(\Omega)$  modulo the equivalence  $(\xi_1, \xi_2) \sim (\xi_2, \xi_1)$ . Under the map  $(\xi_1, \xi_2) \rightarrow (\xi_2, \xi_1)$ , we get  $U_\xi \rightarrow -U_\xi$  and  $\tilde{\varphi}_\xi \rightarrow -\tilde{\varphi}_\xi$ , and further  $\tilde{F}_\lambda(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \tilde{F}_\lambda(\xi_2, \xi_1)$  for any  $(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathcal{F}_2(\Omega)$ . The induced functions  $\tilde{\tilde{F}}_\lambda, \tilde{\varphi}_2 : \mathcal{C}_2(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are well defined. Setting  $k := \text{cat}(\mathcal{C}_2(\Omega))$ , we observe that there exists a compact subset  $K_0 \subset \mathcal{C}_2(\Omega)$  such that  $\text{cat}(K_0) = k$ . From (6.14) we see that the upper level set  $\tilde{\varphi}_2^a = \{\xi \in \mathcal{C}_2(\Omega) : \tilde{\varphi}_2(\xi) \geq a\}$  is compact for any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

We take  $a < \min_{K_0} \tilde{\varphi}_2$  and consider  $\tilde{\tilde{F}}_\lambda$  on the compact manifold  $K = \tilde{\varphi}_2^a$  with boundary  $B = \tilde{\varphi}_2^{-a}$ . Clearly, by (6.2) we have that  $\tilde{\tilde{F}}_\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{\varphi}_2$ ,  $C^1$ -uniformly on compact subset of  $\mathcal{C}_2(\Omega)$ . If  $\lambda$  is small enough, it follows that  $\max_B \tilde{\tilde{F}}_\lambda < \min_{K_0} \tilde{\tilde{F}}_\lambda$ .

Standard critical point theory implies that  $\tilde{\tilde{F}}_\lambda$  has at least  $k$  distinct critical points in  $K$ . From (6.2) and Palais's principle of symmetric criticality (see [51]),  $\tilde{\tilde{F}}_\lambda$  has at least  $k$  pairs  $(\xi_1^{i,\varepsilon}, \xi_2^{i,\varepsilon}), (\xi_2^{i,\varepsilon}, \xi_1^{i,\varepsilon})$  of critical points with  $i = 1, \dots, k$  such that each  $\xi^{i,\varepsilon} = (\xi_1^{i,\varepsilon}, \xi_2^{i,\varepsilon})$  converges along a subsequence towards a critical point  $\xi^i = (\xi_1^i, \xi_2^i)$  of  $\varphi_2$  in  $\mathcal{F}_2(\Omega)$ .

**Proof of Theorem 1.2(ii).** Let  $u_\lambda = U_{\xi^\varepsilon} + \tilde{\varphi}_{\xi^\varepsilon}$  be any one of weak solutions to problem (1.1) found in Theorem 1.2(i), where  $\xi^\varepsilon = (\xi_1^\varepsilon, \xi_2^\varepsilon)$  is a critical point of  $F_\lambda$  in  $\mathcal{O}_d$  such that it converges along a subsequence towards a critical point  $\xi^* = (\xi_1^*, \xi_2^*)$  of  $\varphi_2$  in  $\mathcal{F}_2(\Omega)$ . Observe that for  $|x - \xi_i^\varepsilon| \leq r$  with  $0 < r < d$  and  $i = 1, 2$ , by (1.8) and (2.10),

$$\begin{aligned} p\gamma^p + \omega_{\mu_i} \left( \frac{x - \xi_i^\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^3 \left( \frac{p-1}{p} \right)^j \frac{1}{\gamma^{jp}} \omega_{\mu_i}^j \left( \frac{x - \xi_i^\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \right) &\geq p\gamma^p + \log \frac{8}{\mu_i^2} + \left[ -2 + \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{D_{\mu_i}^1}{2\gamma^p} \right] \log \left( 1 + \frac{r^2}{\varepsilon^2 \mu_i^2} \right) + O \left( \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|} \right) \\ &= \log \frac{8}{\mu_i^2} - 4 \log \frac{r}{\mu_i} + \frac{(p-1)D_{\mu_i}^1}{4} + O \left( \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then by (2.4), (2.12), (2.18), (4.2) and (5.4), it is easily checked that, choosing  $r > 0$  smaller if necessary, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $p\gamma^{p-1}u_\lambda(x) > \delta$  for any  $x \in B_r(\xi_1^\varepsilon)$ ,  $p\gamma^{p-1}u_\lambda(x) < -\delta$  for any  $x \in B_r(\xi_2^\varepsilon)$ . Moreover,  $\text{dist}(B_r(\xi_1^\varepsilon), B_r(\xi_2^\varepsilon)) \geq \delta$ ,  $\xi_1^* \in B_r(\xi_1^\varepsilon)$  and  $\xi_2^* \in B_r(\xi_2^\varepsilon)$  for any  $\varepsilon$  small enough. So the set  $\Omega \setminus \{x \in \Omega : u_\lambda(x) = 0\}$  has at least two connected components. Set  $\hat{u}_\lambda(x) = p\gamma^{p-1}u_\lambda(x)$  and  $\Omega_r = \Omega \setminus [B_r(\xi_1^\varepsilon) \cup B_r(\xi_2^\varepsilon)]$ . By contradiction, we assume that there exists a third connected component  $\Lambda_\varepsilon$  of  $\Omega \setminus \{x \in \Omega : u_\lambda(x) = 0\}$ . Then  $\Lambda_\varepsilon \subset\subset \Omega_r$  and  $\hat{u}_\lambda \in H_0^1(\Lambda_\varepsilon)$  is a weak solution of the equation

$$-\Delta \hat{u}_\lambda = \frac{\lambda}{(p\gamma^{p-1})^{p-2}} \hat{u}_\lambda |\hat{u}_\lambda|^{p-2} e^{\frac{1}{(p\gamma^{p-1})^p} |\hat{u}_\lambda|^p} \quad \text{in } \Lambda_\varepsilon. \quad (6.15)$$

Since  $\Lambda_\varepsilon \subset\subset \Omega_r$ , by (2.17), (2.18), (4.2) and (5.4) we find that as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero,

$$\hat{u}_\lambda \rightarrow 8\pi [G(x, \xi_1^*) - G(x, \xi_2^*)] \quad \text{uniformly over } \bar{\Lambda}_\varepsilon, \quad (6.16)$$

so  $\sup_{\Lambda_\varepsilon} |\hat{u}_\lambda| \leq C < +\infty$  for any  $\varepsilon$  small enough. Testing equation (6.15) against  $\hat{u}_\lambda$  and using the definitions of  $\varepsilon$  and  $\gamma$  in (1.7)-(1.8), we can directly check that for any  $0 < p < 2$ ,

$$\|\hat{u}_\lambda\|_{H_0^1(\Lambda_\varepsilon)}^2 = \frac{\lambda}{(p\gamma^{p-1})^{p-2}} \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon} |\hat{u}_\lambda|^p e^{\frac{1}{(p\gamma^{p-1})^p} |\hat{u}_\lambda|^p} = \frac{O(\varepsilon^{\frac{2-p}{p}})}{|\log \varepsilon|^{p-1}} \exp \left[ -\frac{2-p}{p} |\log \varepsilon| + O \left( \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^{p-1}} \right) \right] \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

From the compactness of Sobolev embedding  $H_0^1(\Lambda_\varepsilon) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Lambda_\varepsilon)$ , it follows that  $\hat{u}_\lambda \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^2(\Lambda_\varepsilon)$ . On the other hand, from (6.16) and Lebesgue's theorem, we obtain  $\hat{u}_\lambda \rightarrow 8\pi [G(x, \xi_1^*) - G(x, \xi_2^*)]$  in  $L^2(\Lambda_\varepsilon)$ , which is a contradiction.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2(iii).** This part is an immediate consequence of (1.31) because of  $a_1 + a_2 = 0$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** For the special case  $m = 3$  or  $m = 4$  and  $a_i = (-1)^{i+1}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , we consider the existence of critical points of the function  $\tilde{F}_\lambda$  as in (6.1). From (6.2) it follows that  $\tilde{F}_\lambda \rightarrow \varphi_m$ ,  $C^1$ -uniformly on any compact subset  $\mathcal{O}_d$  of  $\mathcal{F}_m(\Omega)$ . According to Theorems 2.2-2.3 in [10],  $\tilde{F}_\lambda$  has a critical point  $\xi^\varepsilon = (\xi_1^\varepsilon, \dots, \xi_m^\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{O}_d$  which converges along a subsequence towards a critical point  $\xi^* = (\xi_1^*, \dots, \xi_m^*)$  of  $\varphi_m$  in  $\mathcal{F}_m(\Omega)$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.4.** For the general case  $m \geq 1$  and  $a_i = (-1)^{i+1}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , we consider the existence of critical points of the function  $\tilde{F}_\lambda$  as in (6.1). Set  $\Omega^S = \Omega \cap (\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}) \neq \emptyset$ . From (6.2) it follows that  $\tilde{F}_\lambda \rightarrow \varphi_m$ ,  $C^1$ -uniformly on any compact subset of  $\mathcal{F}_m(\Omega^S)$ . Since  $\Omega$  is symmetric with respect to the reflection at  $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$ , by Theorem 3.3 in [11] we get that  $\tilde{F}_\lambda$  has a critical point  $\xi^\varepsilon = (\xi_1^\varepsilon, \dots, \xi_m^\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{F}_m(\Omega^S)$  which converges along a subsequence towards a critical point  $\xi^* = (\xi_1^*, \dots, \xi_m^*) \in \mathcal{F}_m(\Omega^S)$  of  $\varphi_m$  with  $\xi_i^* = (t_i, 0)$  and  $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_m$ .  $\square$

## 7. PROOF OF THE VANISHING IDENTITY (6.9) OF FIRST ORDER

According to [15, 36, 47], for a radial function  $f(y) = f(|y|)$  there exists a unique radial solution

$$\omega(r) = \frac{1-r^2}{1+r^2} \left( \int_0^r \frac{\phi_f(s) - \phi_f(1)}{(s-1)^2} ds + \phi_f(1) \frac{r}{1-r} \right) \quad (7.1)$$

for the equation

$$\Delta \omega + \frac{8}{(1+|y|^2)^2} \omega = \frac{8}{(1+|y|^2)^2} f(y) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \quad \omega(0) = 0,$$

where

$$\phi_f(s) = 8 \left( \frac{s^2+1}{s^2-1} \right)^2 \frac{(s-1)^2}{s} \int_0^s t \frac{1-t^2}{(t^2+1)^3} f(t) dt \quad \text{for } s \neq 1, \quad \text{but } \phi_f(1) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \phi_f(s).$$

Furthermore, if  $f$  is the smooth function with at most logarithmic growth at infinity, then a direct computation shows that

$$\omega(r) = \frac{D_f}{2} \log(1+r^2) + C_f + O\left(\frac{1}{1+r}\right), \quad \partial_r \omega(r) = \frac{r D_f}{1+r^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{1+r^2}\right) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (7.2)$$

where

$$D_f = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Delta \omega(y) dy \quad \text{and} \quad D_f = 8 \int_0^{+\infty} t \frac{t^2-1}{(t^2+1)^3} f(t) dt.$$

Making the change to variables  $z = \mu_i y$ , we denote

$$\tilde{\omega}_{\mu_i}(y) := \omega_{\mu_i}(\mu_i y), \quad \tilde{\omega}_{\mu_i}^1(y) := \omega_{\mu_i}^1(\mu_i y), \quad \tilde{f}_{\mu_i}^j(y) := f_{\mu_i}^j(\mu_i y), \quad v_{\infty}(y) := \tilde{\omega}_{\mu_i}(y) + 2 \log \mu_i = \log \frac{8}{(1 + |y|^2)^2} \quad (7.3)$$

with  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ . Let  $\omega_{\infty}^0$ ,  $\omega_{\infty}^1$  and  $\omega_{\infty}^2$  be the radial solutions of

$$\Delta \omega_{\infty}^j + \frac{8}{(1 + |y|^2)^2} \omega_{\infty}^j = \frac{8}{(1 + |y|^2)^2} f_j(y) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \quad j = 0, 1, 2,$$

where

$$f_0(y) = \frac{1}{2} (v_{\infty}(y))^2, \quad f_1(y) = v_{\infty}(y), \quad f_2(y) = 1.$$

Obviously,

$$\omega_{\infty}^2(y) = 1 - Z_0(y) = \frac{2}{|y|^2 + 1}.$$

Applying the formulas (7.1)-(7.2) and replacing  $\omega(r)$  with  $\omega(r) - C_f Z_0(r)$ , we can compute

$$\omega_{\infty}^0(y) = \frac{1}{2} (v_{\infty}(y))^2 + 6 \log(|y|^2 + 1) + \frac{2 \log 8 - 10}{|y|^2 + 1} + \frac{|y|^2 - 1}{|y|^2 + 1} \left[ 4 \int_{|y|^2}^{+\infty} \frac{\log(s+1)}{s(s+1)} ds - 2 \log^2(|y|^2 + 1) - \frac{1}{2} \log^2 8 \right], \quad (7.4)$$

and

$$\omega_{\infty}^1(y) = \frac{|y|^2 - 1}{|y|^2 + 1} \left\{ \frac{2}{|y|^2 - 1} [v_{\infty}(y) + |y|^2] + v_{\infty}(y) - \log 8 - 2 \right\}. \quad (7.5)$$

By (2.7) we obtain

$$\tilde{f}_{\mu_i}^1(y) = -[f_0(y) + (1 - 2 \log \mu_i) f_1(y) + 2(\log^2 \mu_i - \log \mu_i) f_2(y)],$$

and hence

$$\tilde{\omega}_{\mu_i}^1(y) = -\omega_{\infty}^0(y) - (1 - 2 \log \mu_i) \omega_{\infty}^1(y) - 4(\log^2 \mu_i - \log \mu_i) \frac{1}{|y|^2 + 1}. \quad (7.6)$$

**Lemma A.1.**

$$\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{8}{(1 + |z|^2)^2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (v_{\infty})^2 - \omega_{\infty}^0 \right] (z) dz = 3 - \log 8. \quad (7.7)$$

*Proof.* Applying the divergence theorem and (7.4), we deduce

$$\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{8}{(1 + |z|^2)^2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (v_{\infty})^2 - \omega_{\infty}^0 \right] (z) dz = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Delta \omega_{\infty}^0 = \frac{1}{8\pi} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_r(0)} \Delta \omega_{\infty}^0 = \frac{1}{4} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r (\omega_{\infty}^0)'(r) = 3 - \log 8. \quad \square$$

**Lemma A.2.**

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{8\mu_i^2}{(\mu_i^2 + |z|^2)^2} \left[ Z_0 \left( \frac{z}{\mu_i} \right) \right]^2 \left[ 1 + \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 + 2\omega_{\mu_i} \right] (z) dz = 8\pi. \quad (7.8)$$

*Proof.* From relations (7.3)-(7.6) we get

$$\left[ 1 + \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 + 2\omega_{\mu_i} \right] (\mu_i y) = \left[ \frac{1}{2} (v_{\infty})^2 + v_{\infty} - \omega_{\infty}^0 \right] (y) + \left[ 2 \log^2 \mu_i - 2 \log \mu_i + (\log 8 + 1)(1 - 2 \log \mu_i) \right] \frac{|y|^2 - 1}{|y|^2 + 1}. \quad (7.9)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{8\mu_i^2}{(\mu_i^2 + |z|^2)^2} \left[ Z_0 \left( \frac{z}{\mu_i} \right) \right]^2 \left[ 1 + \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 + 2\omega_{\mu_i} \right] (z) dz \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{8(|y|^2 - 1)^2}{(|y|^2 + 1)^4} \left\{ \left[ \frac{1}{2} (v_{\infty})^2 + v_{\infty} - \omega_{\infty}^0 \right] (y) + \left[ 2 \log^2 \mu_i - 2 \log \mu_i + (\log 8 + 1)(1 - 2 \log \mu_i) \right] \frac{|y|^2 - 1}{|y|^2 + 1} \right\} dy. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{8(|y|^2 - 1)^2}{(|y|^2 + 1)^4} dy = \frac{8\pi}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{8(|y|^2 - 1)^2}{(|y|^2 + 1)^4} \frac{|y|^2}{|y|^2 + 1} dy = \frac{4\pi}{3}.$$

Applying the explicit expression of  $\omega_\infty^0(y)$  in (7.4) and integrating by parts, we can compute

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{8(|y|^2 - 1)^2}{(|y|^2 + 1)^4} \left[ \frac{1}{2}(v_\infty)^2 + v_\infty - \omega_\infty^0 \right] (y) dy = 8\pi,$$

(also see [36] on Page 50). Hence from all these computations we can easily deduce that (7.8) holds.  $\square$

**Lemma A.3.**

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}} \omega_{\mu_i} = 8\pi (\log 8 - 2 \log \mu_i - 2), \quad (7.10)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 = 8\pi [4 \log^2 \mu_i - 4(\log 8 - 2) \log \mu_i + \log^2 8 - 4 \log 8 + 8], \quad (7.11)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}} (\omega_{\mu_i})^3 = 8\pi [-8 \log^3 \mu_i + 12(\log 8 - 2) \log^2 \mu_i - 6(\log^2 8 - 4 \log 8 + 8) \log \mu_i + \log^3 8 - 6 \log^2 8 + 24 \log 8 + 48]. \quad (7.12)$$

*Proof.* A direct computation gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{(1 + |z|^2)^2} \log(1 + |z|^2) = \pi, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{(1 + |z|^2)^2} \log^2(1 + |z|^2) = 2\pi, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{(1 + |z|^2)^2} \log^3(1 + |z|^2) = 6\pi.$$

Since  $v_\infty(z) = \log 8 - 2 \log(1 + |z|^2)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{8}{(1 + |z|^2)^2} v_\infty(z) dz &= 8\pi(\log 8 - 2), \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{8}{(1 + |z|^2)^2} (v_\infty)^2(z) dz &= 8\pi(\log^2 8 - 4 \log 8 + 8), \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{8}{(1 + |z|^2)^2} (v_\infty)^3(z) dz &= 8\pi(\log^3 8 - 6 \log^2 8 + 24 \log 8 + 48). \end{aligned}$$

By changing variables  $y = \mu_i z$  and using the equality  $\omega_{\mu_i}(\mu_i z) = v_\infty(z) - 2 \log \mu_i$ , we can easily deduce (7.10)-(7.12).  $\square$

**Lemma A.4.**

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i)} B_1 dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i)} \left[ \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \right] (y - \xi'_i) dy = 16\pi(2 \log \mu_i - \log 8 + 2). \quad (7.13)$$

*Proof.* Using the change of variables  $\mu_i z = y - \xi'_i$ , by (7.3) and (7.9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y - \xi'_i)} \left[ \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \right] (y - \xi'_i) dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{8}{(1 + |z|^2)^2} \left\{ \left[ \frac{1}{2}(v_\infty)^2 - \omega_\infty^0 \right] (z) + \left[ 2 \log^2 \mu_i - 2 \log \mu_i + (\log 8 + 1)(1 - 2 \log \mu_i) \right] \frac{|z|^2 - 1}{|z|^2 + 1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 4 \log \mu_i - 1 - \log \frac{8}{(1 + |z|^2)^2} \right\} dz. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{8}{(1 + |z|^2)^2} \frac{|z|^2 - 1}{|z|^2 + 1} dz = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{8}{(1 + |z|^2)^2} \log \frac{8}{(1 + |z|^2)^2} dz = 8\pi(\log 8 - 2).$$

Then by (7.7), we have that (7.13) holds.  $\square$

**Lemma A.5.**

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}} \omega_{\mu_i}^1 = 4\pi [-4 \log^2 \mu_i + 4(\log 8) \log \mu_i - \log^2 8]. \quad (7.14)$$

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of (7.11) and (7.13).  $\square$

**Lemma A.6.**

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}} \omega_{\mu_i}^1 \omega_{\mu_i} = 4\pi [8 \log^3 \mu_i + (4 - 12 \log 8) \log^2 \mu_i + (6 \log^2 8 - 4 \log 8 + 24) \log \mu_i - \log^3 8 + \log^2 8 - 12 \log 8 - 64]. \quad (7.15)$$

*Proof.* Testing the equation (2.6) for  $j = 1$  against  $\omega_{\mu_i}$  and the equation  $-\Delta \omega_{\mu_i} = e^{\omega_{\mu_i}}$  against  $\omega_{\mu_i}^1$ , respectively, we find

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}} \omega_{\mu_i}^1 \omega_{\mu_i} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\omega_{\mu_i}^1 \Delta \omega_{\mu_i} - \omega_{\mu_i} \Delta \omega_{\mu_i}^1) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}} \omega_{\mu_i}^1 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}} \left[ (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\omega_{\mu_i})^3 \right]. \quad (7.16)$$

For any  $r > 1$  large enough, by making the change of variables  $y = \mu_i z$  and using the divergence theorem we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{r\mu_i}(0)} (\omega_{\mu_i}^1 \Delta \omega_{\mu_i} - \omega_{\mu_i} \Delta \omega_{\mu_i}^1) dy &= \int_{B_r(0)} [\tilde{\omega}_{\mu_i}^1 \Delta v_{\infty} - (v_{\infty} - 2 \log \mu_i) \Delta \tilde{\omega}_{\mu_i}^1] dz \\ &= 2\pi r \left[ (v_{\infty})' \tilde{\omega}_{\mu_i}^1 - (\tilde{\omega}_{\mu_i}^1)' (v_{\infty} - 2 \log \mu_i) \right] (r) \\ &= 4\pi [-8 \log^2 \mu_i + (8 \log 8 - 8) \log \mu_i + 4 \log 8 - 2 \log^2 8] + O\left(\frac{\log r}{r}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is due to the expansions

$$\tilde{\omega}_{\mu_i}^1(r) = (4 \log 8 - 8 - 8 \log \mu_i) \log r + O\left(\frac{\log r}{r^2}\right), \quad (\tilde{\omega}_{\mu_i}^1)'(r) = \frac{1}{r}(4 \log 8 - 8 - 8 \log \mu_i) + O\left(\frac{\log r}{r^2}\right).$$

Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\omega_{\mu_i}^1 \Delta \omega_{\mu_i} - \omega_{\mu_i} \Delta \omega_{\mu_i}^1) = 4\pi [-8 \log^2 \mu_i + (8 \log 8 - 8) \log \mu_i + 4 \log 8 - 2 \log^2 8]. \quad (7.17)$$

As a consequence, substituting (7.11), (7.12), (7.14), (7.17) and into (7.16), we can deduce (7.15).  $\square$

**Lemma A.7.**

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} [pA_1 + (p-1)B_1] dy &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} \left\{ p\omega_{\mu_i} + (p-1) \left[ \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \right] \right\} (y - \xi'_i) dy \\ &= 8\pi(p-2)(2 \log \mu_i - \log 8 + 2), \end{aligned} \quad (7.18)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} (A_1 B_1 + B_1) dy &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} \left\{ \omega_{\mu_i} \left[ \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \right] + \left[ \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \right] \right\} (y - \xi'_i) dy \\ &= 2\pi [-40 \log^2 \mu_i + (40 \log 8 - 32) \log \mu_i - 10 \log^2 8 + 16 \log 8 - 16], \end{aligned} \quad (7.19)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} (A_2 + A_1 B_1) dy &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} \left\{ \left[ \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{p-2}{2(p-1)} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \right] + \omega_{\mu_i} \left[ \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \right] \right\} (y - \xi'_i) dy \\ &= 2\pi \left\{ -\left(\frac{8}{p-1} + 40\right) \log^2 \mu_i + \left[\left(\frac{8}{p-1} + 40\right) \log 8 - \frac{16}{p-1} - 32\right] \log \mu_i \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\frac{2}{p-1} + 10\right) \log^2 8 + \left(\frac{8}{p-1} + 16\right) \log 8 - \frac{16}{p-1} - 16 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.20)$$

*Proof.* These are an immediate consequence of (7.10)-(7.15).  $\square$

**Corollary A.8.** For any  $0 < p \leq 2$ ,

$$\frac{2-p}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} B_1 dy + \frac{2}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} [pA_1 + (p-1)B_1] dy \equiv 0.$$

## 8. PROOF OF THE IDENTITY (6.11) OF SECOND ORDER

Let

$$\psi_0(t) = 8t \frac{t^2 - 1}{(t^2 + 1)^3}, \quad \eta_0(t) = \log(1 + t^2), \quad \zeta_0(t) = \frac{1}{t^2 + 1}, \quad \theta_0(t) = \int_{t^2}^{+\infty} \frac{\log(s + 1)}{s(s + 1)} ds.$$

Some straightforward but very tedious computations give

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \psi_0 dt = 0, \quad \int_0^{+\infty} \psi_0 \eta_0 dt = 2, \quad \int_0^{+\infty} \psi_0 \eta_0^2 dt = 6, \quad \int_0^{+\infty} \psi_0 \eta_0^3 dt = 21, \quad \int_0^{+\infty} \psi_0 \eta_0^4 dt = 90,$$

and

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \psi_0 \zeta_0 dt = -\frac{2}{3}, \quad \int_0^{+\infty} \psi_0 \zeta_0 \eta_0 dt = \frac{1}{9},$$

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \psi_0 \zeta_0 \eta_0^2 dt = \frac{11}{27}, \quad \int_0^{+\infty} \psi_0 \zeta_0 \eta_0^3 dt = \frac{49}{54}, \quad \int_0^{+\infty} \psi_0 \zeta_0 \eta_0^4 dt = \frac{179}{81},$$

and

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \psi_0 \zeta_0^2 dt = -\frac{2}{3}, \quad \int_0^{+\infty} \psi_0 \zeta_0^2 \eta_0 dt = -\frac{1}{18},$$

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \psi_0 \zeta_0^2 \eta_0^2 dt = \frac{5}{108}, \quad \int_0^{+\infty} \psi_0 \zeta_0^2 \eta_0^3 dt = \frac{47}{432}, \quad \int_0^{+\infty} \psi_0 \zeta_0^2 \eta_0^4 dt = \frac{269}{1296}.$$

In particular, integrating by parts, we can compute

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \psi_0 \theta_0 dt = -1, \quad \int_0^{+\infty} \psi_0 \zeta_0 \theta_0 dt = -\frac{61}{54}, \quad \int_0^{+\infty} \psi_0 \eta_0 \theta_0 dt = \frac{1}{2},$$

and

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \psi_0 \zeta_0^2 \theta_0 dt = -\frac{223}{216}, \quad \int_0^{+\infty} \psi_0 \eta_0^2 \theta_0 dt = 11 - 8\zeta(3), \quad \int_0^{+\infty} \psi_0 \eta_0 \zeta_0 \theta_0 dt = \frac{4}{3}\zeta(3) - \frac{179}{108},$$

and

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \psi_0 \eta_0^2 \zeta_0 \theta_0 dt = 4\zeta(4) - \frac{4}{9}\zeta(3) - \frac{589}{162}, \quad \int_0^{+\infty} \psi_0 \eta_0^2 \zeta_0^2 \theta_0 dt = 4\zeta(4) + \frac{2}{9}\zeta(3) - \frac{11893}{2592},$$

where  $\zeta(3)$  and  $\zeta(4)$  are two positive irrational numbers defined in Apéry's constants (see [14]) and  $\zeta(\cdot)$  denotes the famous Euler-Riemann zeta function

$$\zeta(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n^z} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(z)} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{t^{z-1}}{e^t - 1} dt = \frac{1}{\Gamma(z)} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\log^{z-1}(s+1)}{s(s+1)} ds, \quad \text{for any } z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } \operatorname{Re}(z) > 1.$$

Furthermore, integrating by parts twice, we can compute

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \psi_0 \theta_0^2 dt = 8\zeta(3) - \frac{23}{2}, \quad \int_0^{+\infty} \psi_0 \zeta_0 \theta_0^2 dt = \frac{68}{9}\zeta(3) - \frac{3517}{324}, \quad \int_0^{+\infty} \psi_0 \zeta_0^2 \theta_0^2 dt = \frac{62}{9}\zeta(3) - \frac{51127}{5184}.$$

**Lemma B.1.**

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \psi_0(\tilde{\omega}_{\mu_i})^2 dt = -8(\log 8 - 2 \log \mu_i) + 24, \quad (8.1)$$

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \psi_0(\tilde{\omega}_{\mu_i})^3 dt = -12(\log 8 - 2 \log \mu_i)^2 + 72(\log 8 - 2 \log \mu_i) - 168, \quad (8.2)$$

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \psi_0(\tilde{\omega}_{\mu_i})^4 dt = -16(\log 8 - 2 \log \mu_i)^3 + 144(\log 8 - 2 \log \mu_i)^2 - 672(\log 8 - 2 \log \mu_i) + 1440. \quad (8.3)$$

*Proof.* These are an immediate consequence of the above integral computations because of  $\tilde{\omega}_{\mu_i} = \log 8 - 2 \log \mu_i - 2\eta_0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma B.2.**

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \psi_0 \tilde{\omega}_{\mu_i}^1 dt = \frac{8}{3} \log^2 \mu_i - \left( \frac{8}{3} \log 8 + \frac{40}{3} \right) \log \mu_i + \frac{2}{3} \log^2 8 + \frac{20}{3} \log 8 - 20, \quad (8.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{+\infty} \psi_0 \tilde{\omega}_{\mu_i}^1 \tilde{\omega}_{\mu_i} dt &= -\frac{16}{3} \log^3 \mu_i + \left( 8 \log 8 + \frac{248}{9} \right) \log^2 \mu_i + \left( \frac{776}{9} - \frac{248}{9} \log 8 - 4 \log^2 8 \right) \log \mu_i \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{3} \log^3 8 + \frac{62}{9} \log^2 8 - \frac{388}{9} \log 8 - \frac{64}{3} \zeta(3) + 84, \end{aligned} \quad (8.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{+\infty} \psi_0 \tilde{\omega}_{\mu_i}^1 (\tilde{\omega}_{\mu_i})^2 dt &= \frac{32}{3} \log^4 \mu_i - \left( \frac{64}{3} \log 8 + \frac{512}{9} \right) \log^3 \mu_i + \left( 16 \log^2 8 + \frac{256}{3} \log 8 - \frac{7328}{27} \right) \log^2 \mu_i \\ &\quad + \left( -\frac{16}{3} \log^3 8 - \frac{128}{3} \log^2 8 + \frac{7328}{27} \log 8 - \frac{17792}{27} + \frac{256}{3} \zeta(3) \right) \log \mu_i + \frac{2}{3} \log^4 8 \\ &\quad + \frac{64}{9} \log^3 8 - \frac{1832}{27} \log^2 8 + \left( \frac{8896}{27} - \frac{128}{3} \zeta(3) \right) \log 8 - \frac{1952}{3} + \frac{1024}{9} \zeta(3) + 128 \zeta(4). \end{aligned} \quad (8.6)$$

*Proof.* From the expressions of  $\omega_\infty^0$  and  $\omega_\infty^1$  in (7.4) and (7.5), respectively, we get

$$\omega_\infty^0 = (\log^2 8 + 2 \log 8 - 10) \zeta_0 + 4 \zeta_0 \eta_0^2 + (6 - 2 \log 8) \eta_0 + 4 \theta_0 - 8 \zeta_0 \theta_0,$$

$$\omega_\infty^1 = 2(1 + \log 8) \zeta_0 - 2 \eta_0.$$

Hence by (7.6),

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\omega}_{\mu_i}^1 &= (10 - 2 \log 8 - \log^2 8) \zeta_0 - 4 \zeta_0 \eta_0^2 + 2(\log 8 - 3) \eta_0 - 4 \theta_0 + 8 \zeta_0 \theta_0 \\ &\quad + 2(2 \log \mu_i - 1) \left[ (1 + \log 8) \zeta_0 - \eta_0 \right] + 4(\log \mu_i - \log^2 \mu_i) \zeta_0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\omega}_{\mu_i}^1 \tilde{\omega}_{\mu_i} &= (\log 8 - 2 \log \mu_i) \tilde{\omega}_{\mu_i}^1 - 2(10 - 2 \log 8 - \log^2 8) \zeta_0 \eta_0 + 8 \zeta_0 \eta_0^3 - 4(\log 8 - 3) \eta_0^2 + 8 \eta_0 \theta_0 \\ &\quad - 16 \zeta_0 \eta_0 \theta_0 - 4(2 \log \mu_i - 1) \left[ (1 + \log 8) \zeta_0 \eta_0 - \eta_0^2 \right] - 8(\log \mu_i - \log^2 \mu_i) \zeta_0 \eta_0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\omega}_{\mu_i}^1 (\tilde{\omega}_{\mu_i})^2 &= (\log 8 - 2 \log \mu_i)^2 \tilde{\omega}_{\mu_i}^1 + 4(10 - 2 \log 8 - \log^2 8) \zeta_0 \eta_0^2 - 16 \zeta_0 \eta_0^4 + 8(\log 8 - 3) \eta_0^3 - 16 \eta_0^2 \theta_0 \\ &\quad + 32 \zeta_0 \eta_0^2 \theta_0 + 8(2 \log \mu_i - 1) \left[ (1 + \log 8) \zeta_0 \eta_0^2 - \eta_0^3 \right] + 16(\log \mu_i - \log^2 \mu_i) \zeta_0 \eta_0^2 \\ &\quad + 4(2 \log \mu_i - \log 8) \left\{ (10 - 2 \log 8 - \log^2 8) \zeta_0 \eta_0 - 4 \zeta_0 \eta_0^3 + 2(\log 8 - 3) \eta_0^2 - 4 \eta_0 \theta_0 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 8 \zeta_0 \eta_0 \theta_0 + 2(2 \log \mu_i - 1) \left[ (1 + \log 8) \zeta_0 \eta_0 - \eta_0^2 \right] + 4(\log \mu_i - \log^2 \mu_i) \zeta_0 \eta_0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

These equalities combined with the previous integral computations can derive that (8.4)-(8.6) hold.  $\square$

**Lemma B.3.**

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{+\infty} \psi_0 (\tilde{\omega}_{\mu_i}^1)^2 dt &= -\frac{32}{3} \log^4 \mu_i + \left( \frac{64}{3} \log 8 + \frac{416}{9} \right) \log^3 \mu_i + \left( -16 \log^2 8 - \frac{208}{3} \log 8 + \frac{3344}{27} \right) \log^2 \mu_i \\ &\quad + \left( \frac{16}{3} \log^3 8 + \frac{104}{3} \log^2 8 - \frac{3344}{27} \log 8 - \frac{256}{3} \zeta(3) + \frac{4880}{27} \right) \log \mu_i - \frac{2}{3} \log^4 8 \\ &\quad - \frac{52}{9} \log^3 8 + \frac{836}{27} \log^2 8 + \left( \frac{128}{3} \zeta(3) - \frac{2440}{27} \right) \log 8 - 128 \zeta(4) - \frac{256}{9} \zeta(3) + \frac{584}{3}. \end{aligned} \quad (8.7)$$

*Proof.* Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\tilde{\omega}_{\mu_i}^1)^2 &= (10 - 2 \log 8 - \log^2 8)^2 \zeta_0^2 + 16 \zeta_0^2 \eta_0^4 + 4(\log 8 - 3)^2 \eta_0^2 + 16 \theta_0^2 + 64 \zeta_0^2 \theta_0^2 + 16(\log \mu_i - \log^2 \mu_i)^2 \zeta_0^2 \\
&\quad + 4(2 \log \mu_i - 1)^2 \left[ (1 + \log 8)^2 \zeta_0^2 + \eta_0^2 - 2(1 + \log 8) \zeta_0 \eta_0 \right] - 8(10 - 2 \log 8 - \log^2 8) \zeta_0^2 \eta_0^2 \\
&\quad + 4(10 - 2 \log 8 - \log^2 8)(\log 8 - 3) \zeta_0 \eta_0 - 8(10 - 2 \log 8 - \log^2 8) \zeta_0 \theta_0 + 16(10 - 2 \log 8 - \log^2 8) \zeta_0^2 \theta_0 \\
&\quad + 4(10 - 2 \log 8 - \log^2 8)(2 \log \mu_i - 1) \left[ (1 + \log 8) \zeta_0^2 - \zeta_0 \eta_0 \right] \\
&\quad + 8(10 - 2 \log 8 - \log^2 8)(\log \mu_i - \log^2 \mu_i) \zeta_0^2 - 16(\log 8 - 3) \zeta_0 \eta_0^3 + 32 \zeta_0 \eta_0^2 \theta_0 - 64 \zeta_0^2 \eta_0^2 \theta_0 \\
&\quad - 16(2 \log \mu_i - 1) \left[ (1 + \log 8) \zeta_0^2 \eta_0^2 - \zeta_0 \eta_0^3 \right] - 32(\log \mu_i - \log^2 \mu_i) \zeta_0^2 \eta_0^2 \\
&\quad - 16(\log 8 - 3) \eta_0 \theta_0 + 32(\log 8 - 3) \zeta_0 \eta_0 \theta_0 + 8(\log 8 - 3)(2 \log \mu_i - 1) \left[ (1 + \log 8) \zeta_0 \eta_0 - \eta_0^2 \right] \\
&\quad + 16(\log 8 - 3)(\log \mu_i - \log^2 \mu_i) \zeta_0 \eta_0 - 64 \zeta_0 \theta_0^2 - 16(2 \log \mu_i - 1) \left[ (1 + \log 8) \zeta_0 \theta_0 - \eta_0 \theta_0 \right] \\
&\quad - 32(\log \mu_i - \log^2 \mu_i) \zeta_0 \theta_0 + 32(2 \log \mu_i - 1) \left[ (1 + \log 8) \zeta_0^2 \theta_0 - \zeta_0 \eta_0 \theta_0 \right] \\
&\quad + 64(\log \mu_i - \log^2 \mu_i) \zeta_0^2 \theta_0 + 16(2 \log \mu_i - 1)(\log \mu_i - \log^2 \mu_i) \left[ (1 + \log 8) \zeta_0^2 - \zeta_0 \eta_0 \right],
\end{aligned}$$

This equality combined with the previous integral computations can derive that (8.7) holds.  $\square$

**Theorem B.4.**

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{\mu_i}^2 &= \int_0^{+\infty} \psi_0 \tilde{f}_{\mu_i}^2 dt = -\left(\frac{8}{p-1} + 24\right) \log^2 \mu_i + \left[\left(\frac{8}{p-1} + 24\right) \log 8 - \frac{16}{p-1}\right] \log \mu_i - \left(\frac{2}{p-1} + 6\right) \log^2 8 \\
&\quad + \frac{8}{p-1} \log 8 - \frac{16}{p-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{8.8}$$

*Proof.* From (2.8) and (7.3) we get

$$\tilde{f}_{\mu_i}^2 = -\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\omega}_{\mu_i}^1)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\omega}_{\mu_i}^1(\tilde{\omega}_{\mu_i})^2 - 2\tilde{\omega}_{\mu_i}^1\tilde{\omega}_{\mu_i} - \tilde{\omega}_{\mu_i}^1 - \frac{1}{8}(\tilde{\omega}_{\mu_i})^4 - \frac{4p-5}{6(p-1)}(\tilde{\omega}_{\mu_i})^3 - \frac{p-2}{2(p-1)}(\tilde{\omega}_{\mu_i})^2.$$

Applying (8.1)-(8.7) and the second definition of  $D_{\mu_i}^2$  in (2.11), we can derive that (8.8) holds.  $\square$

**Lemma B.5.**

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} \left( B_2 + \frac{1}{2}(B_1)^2 \right) dy &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} \left\{ \left[ \omega_{\mu_i}^2 + \omega_{\mu_i} \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{p-2}{6(p-1)} (\omega_{\mu_i})^3 \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \omega_{\mu_i}^1 + \frac{1}{2} (\omega_{\mu_i})^2 \right]^2 \right\} (y - \xi'_i) dy \\
&= 2\pi \left\{ \left( \frac{16}{p-1} + 64 \right) \log^2 \mu_i + \left[ \frac{32}{p-1} + 32 - \left( \frac{16}{p-1} + 64 \right) \log 8 \right] \log \mu_i \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left( \frac{4}{p-1} + 16 \right) \log^2 8 - \left( \frac{16}{p-1} + 16 \right) \log 8 + \frac{32}{p-1} + 16 \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{8.9}$$

*Proof.* From (2.6) and (2.8) we find

$$\Delta [\omega_{\mu_i}^2 (y - \xi'_i)] = e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} (f_{\mu_i}^2 - \omega_{\mu_i}^2) (y - \xi'_i) = -e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} \left[ A_2 + A_1 B_1 + B_2 + \frac{1}{2} (B_1)^2 \right] \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2,$$

Using the the first definition of  $D_{\mu_i}^2$  in (2.11), we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} \left( B_2 + \frac{1}{2} (B_1)^2 \right) dy = -2\pi D_{\mu_i}^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} (A_2 + A_1 B_1) dy.$$

Hence by (7.20) and (8.8), we can derive that (8.9) holds.  $\square$

**Corollary B.6.** *For any  $0 < p \leq 2$ ,*

$$\frac{p-1}{8\pi} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} \left( B_2 + \frac{1}{2}(B_1)^2 \right) dy + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} (A_1 B_1 + B_1) dy \right] + \frac{p-2}{(16\pi)^2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\omega_{\mu_i}(y-\xi'_i)} B_1 dy \right)^2 \equiv 4.$$

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of (7.13), (7.19) and (8.9). □

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R.A. Adams, Sobolev Spaces, New York: Academic Press, 1975.
- [2] Adimurthi, Existence of positive solutions of the semilinear Dirichlet problem with critical growth for the  $n$ -Laplacian, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) 17 (1990) 393–413.
- [3] Adimurthi, O. Druet, Blow-up analysis in dimension 2 and a sharp form of Trudinger-Moser inequality, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 29 (2004) 295–322.
- [4] Adimurthi, M. Struwe, Global compactness properties of semilinear elliptic equations with critical exponential growth, J. Funct. Anal. 175 (2000) 125–167.
- [5] T. Aubin, Some Nonlinear Problems in Riemannian Geometry, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [6] J. Bebernes, D. Eberly, Mathematical Problems from Combustion Theory, Springer, Berlin, 1989.
- [7] S. Baraket, F. Parcard, Construction of singular limits for a semilinear elliptic equation in dimension 2, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 6 (1998) 1–38.
- [8] T. Bartsch, A.M. Micheletti, A. Pistoia, On the existence and the profile of nodal solutions of elliptic equations involving critical growth, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 26 (2006) 265–282.
- [9] D. Bartolucci, A. Pistoia, Existence and qualitative properties of concentrating solutions for the sinh-Poisson equation, IMA J. Appl. Math. 72 (2007) 706–729.
- [10] T. Bartsch, A. Pistoia, Critical points of the N-vortex Hamiltonian in bounded planar domains and steady state solutions of the incompressible Euler equations, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 75 (2015) 726–744.
- [11] T. Bartsch, A. Pistoia, T. Weth, N-vortex equilibria for ideal fluids in bounded planar domains and new nodal solutions of the sinh-Poisson and the Lane-Emden-Fowler equations, Commun. Math. Phys. 297 (2010) 653–686.
- [12] H. Brezis, F. Merle, Uniform estimates and blow-up behavior for solutions of  $-\Delta u = V(x)e^u$  in two dimensions, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 16 (1991) 1223–1253.
- [13] H. Brezis, L.A. Peletier, Asymptotics for elliptic equations involving critical growth, Partial Differential Equations and the Calculus of Variations: Essays in Honor of Ennio De Giorgi, Birkhäuser, 1989, p.149–192.
- [14] F. Calegari, V. Dimitrov, Y. Tang, The linear independence of 1,  $\zeta(2)$ , and  $L(2, \chi_{-3})$ , arXiv:2408.15403, Preprint.
- [15] D. Chae, O. Imanuvilov, The existence of non-topological multivortex solutions in the relativistic self-dual Chern-Simons theory, Comm. Math. Phys. 215 (2000) 119–142.
- [16] C.C. Chen, C.S. Lin, Sharp estimates for solutions of multi-bubbles in compact Riemann surfaces, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 55 (2002) 728–771.
- [17] E. Caglioti, P.L. Lions, C. Marchioro, M. Pulvirenti, A special class of stationary flows for two-dimensional Euler equations: a statistical mechanics description, Commun. Math. Phys. 143 (1992) 501–525.
- [18] E. Caglioti, P.L. Lions, C. Marchioro, M. Pulvirenti, A special class of stationary flows for two-dimensional Euler equations: a statistical mechanics description. Part II, Commun. Math. Phys. 174 (1995) 229–260.
- [19] L. Caffarelli, Y. Yang, Vortex condensation in the Chern-Simons Higgs model: an existence theorem, Commun. Math. Phys. 168 (1995) 321–336.
- [20] M. del Pino, M. Kowalczyk, M. Musso, Singular limits in Liouville-type equations, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 24 (2005) 47–81.
- [21] M. del Pino, M. Musso, B. Ruf, New solutions for Trudinger-Moser critical equations in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , J. Funct. Anal. 258 (2010) 421–457.
- [22] M. del Pino, M. Musso, B. Ruf, Beyond the Trudinger-Moser supremum, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 44 (2012) 543–576.
- [23] D.G. de Figueiredo, O. Miyagaki, B. Ruf, Elliptic equations in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with nonlinearities in the critical growth range, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 3 (1995) 139–153.
- [24] S. Deng, Mixed interior and boundary bubbling solutions for Neumann problem in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , J. Differential Equations 253 (2012) 727–763.
- [25] S. Deng, Construction solutions for neumann problem with Hénon term in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. A, 39 (2019) 2233–2253.
- [26] S. Deng, M. Musso, Bubbling solutions for an exponential nonlinearity in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , J. Differential Equations 257 (2014) 2259–2302.

- [27] S. Deng, M. Musso, Bubbling solutions for an elliptic equation with exponential Neumann data in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , *Annali Della Scuola Normale Superiore Di Pisa Classe Di Scienze* 13 (2014) 699–744.
- [28] S. Deng, M. Musso, Multiple blow-up solutions for an anisotropic 2-dimensional nonlinear Neumann problem, *Math. Zeitschrift* 281 (2015) 849–875.
- [29] S. Deng, M. Musso, Multiple blow-up solutions for an anisotropic Emden Fowler equation in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , *Nonlinearity* 28 (2015) 1761–1781.
- [30] S. Deng, M. Musso, Blow up solutions for a Liouville equation with Hénon term, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications*, 129 (2015) 320–342.
- [31] S. Deng, D. Garrido, M. Musso, Multiple blow-up solutions for an exponential nonlinearity with potential in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications*, 119 (2015) 419–442.
- [32] F. De Marchis, A. Malchiodi, L. Martinazzi, P.-D. Thizy, Critical points of the Moser-Trudinger functional on closed surfaces, *Invent. Math.* 230 (2022) 1165–1248.
- [33] O. Druet, Multibumps analysis in dimension 2: quantification of blow-up levels, *Duke Math. J.* 132 (2006) 217–269.
- [34] O. Druet, P.-D. Thizy, Multi-bumps analysis for Trudinger-Moser nonlinearities. I. Quantification and location of concentration points, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* 22 (2020) 4025–4096.
- [35] P. Esposito, M. Grossi, A. Pistoia, On the existence of blowing-up solutions for a mean field equation, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* 22 (2005) 227–257.
- [36] P. Esposito, M. Musso, A. Pistoia, Concentrating solutions for a planar elliptic problem involving nonlinearities with large exponent, *J. Differential Equations* 227 (2006) 29–68.
- [37] P. Esposito, M. Musso, A. Pistoia, On the existence and profile of nodal solutions for a twodimensional elliptic problem with large exponent in nonlinearity, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* 49 (2007) 497–519.
- [38] M. Flucher, Extremal functions for the Trudinger-Moser inequality in 2 dimensions, *Comm. Math. Helv.* 67 (1992) 471–497.
- [39] P. Figueroa, M. Musso, Bubbling solutions for Moser-Trudinger type equations on compact Riemann surfaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* 275 (2018) 2684–2739.
- [40] D. Gilbarg, N.S. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Second Edition, *Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften in Einzeldarstellungen*, vol. 224. Springer, Berlin, 1983.
- [41] P. Grisvard, *Elliptic Problems in Nonsmooth Domains*, *Monographs and Studies in Mathematics*, vol. 24. Boston, MA: Pitman, 1985.
- [42] Z.C. Han, Asymptotic approach to singular solutions for nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponent, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Analyse Non Linéaire* 8 (1991) 159–174.
- [43] Q. Han, F. Lin, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations*, *Courant Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 1, New York University. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
- [44] J. Liouville, Sur l'Equation aux Difference Partielles  $\frac{d^2 \log \lambda}{dudv} \pm \frac{\lambda}{2a^2} = 0$ , *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 36 (1853) 71–72.
- [45] Y. Li, I. Shafrir, Blow-up analysis for solutions of  $-\Delta u = V e^u$  in dimension two, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 43 (1994) 1255–1270.
- [46] A. Malchiodi, L. Martinazzi, P.-D. Thizy, Critical points of arbitrary energy for the Trudinger-Moser embedding in planar domains, *Adv. Math.* 442 (2024) 109548.
- [47] G. Mancini, L. Martinazzi, The Moser-Trudinger inequality and its extremals on a disk via energy estimates, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* (2017) 56:94.
- [48] L. Martinazzi, P.-D. Thizy, J. Vétois, Sign-changing blow-up for the Moser-Trudinger equation, *J. Funct. Anal.* 282 (2022) 109288.
- [49] L. Ma, J. Wei, Convergence for a Liouville equation, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 76 (2001) 506–514.
- [50] K. Nagasaki, T. Suzuki, Asymptotic analysis for two-dimensional elliptic eigenvalue problems with exponentially dominated nonlinearities, *Asymptotic Anal.* 3 (1990) 173–188.
- [51] R.S. Palais, The principle of symmetric criticality, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 69 (1979) 19–30.
- [52] N.S. Trudinger, On imbeddings into Orlicz spaces and some applications, *J. Math. Mech.* 17 (1967) 473–483.
- [53] V.H. Weston, On the asymptotic solution of a partial differential equation with an exponential nonlinearity, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 9 (1978) 1030–1053.
- [54] Y. Yang, Quantization for an elliptic equation with critical exponential growth on compact Riemannian surface without boundary, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 53 (2015) 901–941.